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# Table of Transliterations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabic</th>
<th>English</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>آ</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ا</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ا</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>أ</td>
<td>aw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ب</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ت</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ث</td>
<td>th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ج</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ه</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>خ</td>
<td>kh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>د</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ذ</td>
<td>dh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ر</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ز</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>س</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ص</td>
<td>sh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ض</td>
<td>dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ط</td>
<td>t̠</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ظ</td>
<td>z̠</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ع</td>
<td>u̲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>غ</td>
<td>gh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ف</td>
<td>f̠</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ق</td>
<td>q̲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ك</td>
<td>k̲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ل</td>
<td>l̲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>م</td>
<td>m̲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ن</td>
<td>n̲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ه</td>
<td>h̲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>و</td>
<td>w̲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ي</td>
<td>y̲</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ی</td>
<td>i̲</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Long vowel**
- ا a
- و w
- ي y

**Short vowel**
- َ a [fathah]
- ُ u [dammah]
- ِ i [kasrah]
Translator’s note

There are minor omissions in the text. Some poems have been omitted which the author quotes to illustrate a point of grammatical usage or as an example of orthography or the usage of a word, often a derivative of the root of the word used in the āyah, but not the actual word used. Often it is difficult to convey the sense in English. Occasionally the author explores a grammatical matter or a tangential issue, and some of these may have been shortened. English grammatical terms used to translate Arabic grammatical terms do not have the exact same meaning, sometimes rendering an exact translation of them problematic and often obscure.

The end of a juz’ may vary by an āyah or two in order to preserve relevant passages.
بسم الله الرحمن الرحيم
Introduction

In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful

We seek His help and may Allah bless our master Muḥammad and his family and Companions and grant them abundant peace. Thus speaks the faqīh, imam of knowledge and action, the hadith scholar, Abū ‘Abdullāh Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Abī Bakr ibn Farḥ al-Anṣārī al-Khazraji al-Andalusī, then al-Qurṭubī:

Praise belongs to Allah who began by praising Himself before any praiser praised Him! I testify that there is no god but Allah alone with no partner, the Lord who is One and Self-Sustaining, the Living, Self-Subsistent Who does not die, the Master of Majesty and Nobility and immense gifts, He Who has spoken the Qur’an, He Who created the human being and blessed him with faith and sent His Messenger Muḥammad to make the message clear. He sent him with the Clear Book, which distinguishes between doubt and certainty, which eloquent men cannot oppose and intelligent men cannot contradict. They cannot produce its like, even if they help one another. He made its examples lessons for those who reflect on them and His commands guidance for those who study them. In it He explains the obligatory judgments and differentiates between the lawful and unlawful. In it He repeats admonitions and stories for understanding and different types of metaphors and reports about matters of the Unseen.

Allah Almighty says, ‘We have not omitted anything from the Book.’ (6:38) He addresses it to His friends and they understand; and He explains to them what He means in it and they grasp it. So those who recite the Qur’an bear a concealed secret of Allah and guard His preserved knowledge. They are the caliphs of His Prophets and His trustees, who are His people, elite and chosen ones. The Messenger of Allah said, ‘Allah has his own people (ahlīn) among us.’ They asked, ‘Who are they, Messenger of Allah?’ He replied, ‘They are the people of the Qur’an, the people of Allah and His élite.’ Ibn Mājah transmitted it in the Sunan and Abū Bakr al-Bazzār in his Musnad.

Anyone who knows the Book of Allah and remembers what has
been explained to him in it should be restrained by its prohibitions and fear Allah, be mindful of Him and be ashamed before Him. He has taken on the heavy responsibility of the Messengers and has become a witness at the Rising against any opponents among the people of other religions. Allah Almighty said, ‘In this way We have made you a middlemost community, so that you may act as witnesses against mankind.’ (2:143) The proof is against the one who knows it and neglects it, rather than against the one who is lacking in it and ignorant of it. If someone is given knowledge of the Qur’an and does not benefit from it, is not constrained by its prohibitions and is not deterred by it, and commits ugly sins and vile crimes, the Qur’an is an argument against him. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The Qur’an is an argument either for you or against you.’ Muslim transmitted it. Therefore it is obligatory for anyone chosen by Allah to memorise His Book to have proper respect for it, reflect on the truth of its words, understand its marvels and clarify what is unusual in it. The Almighty says: ‘It is a Book We have sent down to you, full of blessings, so let people of intelligence ponder its Signs’ (38:29) and ‘Will they not then ponder the Qur’an or are there locks upon their hearts?’ (47:24) May Allah make us among those who respect it properly, reflect on it deeply, implement its justice, fulfil its conditions and do not seek guidance elsewhere! May He guide us to its outward signs and radiant definitive judgments and by it combine for us the best of this world and the Next! He is worthy to be feared and entitled to forgive!

Then He gave to His Messenger clarification of what was unspecified, explanation of what was difficult, and determination of what was probable, so that, as well as conveying the Message, he ﷺ showed his special competence regarding the Revelation which was entrusted to him. Allah says: ‘And We have sent down the Reminder to you so that you can make clear to mankind what has been sent down to them.’ (16:44) Then, after the Messenger of Allah ﷺ the scholars were appointed to educe the meanings which it indicates and to point out its principles in order that through ḫtād they may reach the knowledge of what is meant. By that they are distinguished from others and are singled out for reward on account of their efforts.
Allah Almighty says: ‘Allah will raise in rank those of you who believe and those who have been given knowledge.’ (58:11) So the Book became the basis, the Sunnah its clarification, and the deduction of the scholars its exposition and elucidation. Praise be to Allah who has made our breasts the vessels of His Book, our ears the springs of the Sunnah of His Prophet ﷺ, and our aspirations directed to learning the Qur’ān and investigating its meanings and unusual words, and through that our seeking to please the Lord of the worlds and rise to knowledge of the dīn.

The Book of Allah is the source of all the sciences of the Sharī‘ah which convey the Sunnah and the obligatory. It was brought down by the Trustworthy One of heaven to the Trustworthy One of the earth. I thought that I should devote myself to it for my entire life and devote my strength to that cause by writing a brief commentary on it containing some points of tafsīr, linguistics, grammar, and recitation, refutation of the people of deviation and misguidance, as well as several hadiths which attest to what we mention in it regarding judgments and the revelation of āyahs, thereby combining the meanings of both and explaining what is abstruse in them using the statements of the Salaf and those who followed them. I have done this to remind myself and as a security for the day when I am buried, and as a righteous action to continue beyond my death. Allah says: ‘On that Day man will be told what he did and failed to do’ (75:13) and ‘Each self will know what it has sent ahead and left behind.’ (82:15) The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When a man dies, his actions are cut off except for three — an ongoing sadaqah and knowledge which brought benefit and a virtuous child who makes supplication for him.’

I intend in this book to attribute statements to their speakers and hadiths to their compilers. It is said that part of the blessing of knowledge is to ascribe a statement to its speaker. Many unattributed hadiths are reported in books of tafsīr and fiqh and so the one who reads them does not know who transmitted them. Therefore someone without information about that remains confused and does not know the sound from the weak. It is a vast science. Therefore he does not accept it as evidence until he knows the person to whom it
is ascribed among the famous imams and reliable scholars of Islam. We have indicated some of that in this book. Allah is the One Who grants success. I have only used those stories of commentators and reports of historians that are necessary for clarification purposes and also help to elucidate the āyahs which contain legal rulings so as to disclose the full meaning and guide one to what is demanded by them. With every āyah I have included whatever rulings it contains and other matters that we will explain, when it contains reasons for its revelation, unusual commentary and wisdoms. If it does not contain any legal judgement, I talk about its interpretation.

The full title of this book is: The General Judgments of the Qur’an and Clarification of what it contains of the Sunnah and āyahs of Discrimination. May Allah make it sincerely for His sake and grant me and my parents the benefit of it. He hears the supplication and is quick to respond. Amen.
The general virtues of the Qur’an and encouragement to study it. The excellence of the one who seeks it, recites it, listens to it and acts by it.

This topic is vast and scholars have written many books about it. We will mention some points that point out the excellence of the Qur’an and what Allah has prepared for its people when they are sincere for His sake and act by it. The first aspect of the excellence of the Qur’an that the believer should be aware of is that it is from the Lord of the worlds and is uncreated. It consists of unparalleled words and has a quality which has no equivalent or like. It comes from the Light of Allah’s Essence. Recitation of it is by the voices of the reciters and their vocalisation. It is their acquisition and they are commanded to do it as an obligation in certain acts of worship and it is recommended at many times. They are reproved if they avoid it, and are rewarded for doing it and punished for abandoning it. This is something that the Muslims, the people of the Truth, agree on. Traditions state that and well-known reports prove it.

Reward and punishment are only connected to what is part of what people earn, as will be explained. Were it not that Allah had placed in the hearts of His slaves the strength to bear it so that they can reflect on it, study it and remember what it contains regarding obedience to Him, worship and performing their duties and obligations, they would be too weak and would collapse under its weight or perish. How could they bear it when Allah says, ‘Had We sent down this Qur’an onto a mountain, you would have seen it humbled, crushed to pieces out of fear of Allah’ (59:21)? What is the strength of hearts compared to that of the mountains? But Allah provided His slaves with the strength to bear what He wishes as a favour and mercy from Him.

As for traditions about this topic, the first is what at-Tirmidhī transmitted from Abū Sa‘īd that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘The blessed and exalted Lord said, “If anyone is distracted from asking Me by the Qur’an and remembrance of Me, I will give him better than what I give to those who ask.”’ He also said, ‘The excellence of the words of Allah over all other words is like the
excellence of Allah over His creation.’ He said that it is a *hasan gharīb* hadith. Abū Muḥammad ad-Dārimī as-Samarqandī reported in his *Musnad* that ‘Abdullāh said, ‘The seven long *sūrah*s are like the Torah. The *sūrah*s with a hundred *āyah*s are like the Gospel, and the Mathānī is like the Zabūr. The rest of the Qur’an is excellent in itself.’

In at-Tirmidḥī, al-Ḥārith reported that ‘Alī said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah ♂️ say, “There will be trials like patches of dark night.” I asked, “Messenger of Allah, how can one escape them?” He replied, “The Book of Allah, blessed and exalted be He, contains your history, information about what came before you, news about what will come after you and correct judgment between you. It is decisive, not a jest. Allah will crush any tyrant who abandons it and Allah will misguide whoever seeks guidance from other than it. It is the Firm Rope of Allah, His Clear Light and the Wise Reminder. It is the Straight Path. Passions are not misguided by it, tongues do not become sated with it, and the godfearing do not become bored by it. It does not wear out when it is recited a lot, and its wonders do not cease. It is that which the jinn did not leave once they had heard it. They said, ‘We heard a wonderful Qur’an which guides to right guidance.’ (72:1-2) Whoever knows it has knowledge which takes precedence. Whoever utters it speaks the truth. Whoever judges by it is just. Whoever acts by it is rewarded. Whoever calls to it is guided to a straight path. Take it, O one-eyed.’” (‘One-eyed’ referred to al-Ḥārith). Ash-Sha‘bī accused al-Ḥārith of lying and of being of no consequence. There are no evident lies from al-Ḥārith, but he is reproved for his excessive love for ‘Alī and preferring him to anyone else. Allah knows best, but this is why ash-Sha‘bī called him a liar, since he preferred Abū Bakr and states that he was the first to become Muslim. Abū ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘I think that ash-Sha‘bī was punished for what he said about al-Ḥārith al-Hamdānī being a liar.’

Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn Bashshār ibn Muḥammad al-Anbārī, the linguist and grammarian, says in his book, *The Refutation of the One who Opposes the Recension of ‘Uthman*, transmitting from ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd that the Messenger of Allah ♂️ said, ‘This Qur’an is the
Banquet of Allah. Learn as much as you can from His Banquet. This Qur’an is the Rope of Allah, and it is the Clear Light and Useful Healing. It is a protection for the one who clings to it and a rescue for the one who follows it. It is not crooked and so puts things straight. It does not stray so as to be blamed. Its wonders do not cease. It does not wear out with much repetition. So recite it. Allah will reward you with ten good deeds for every letter of its recitation. I do not say that Alif-Lām-Mīm is a letter. Let me not find any of you placing his foot on the other abandoning the recitation of Sūrat al-Baqarah. Shayṭān flees from a house in which Sūrat al-Baqarah is recited. The house most devoid of good is a house empty of the Book of Allah.’

In his Gharīb Abū ‘Ubayd quotes ‘Abdullāh (ibn Mas‘ūd): ‘This Qur’an is the Banquet of Allah. Whoever enters it is safe.’ He said, ‘The interpretation of the hadith is that it is a metaphor. The Qur’an is likened to something Allah has prepared for people. They have good and benefit from it. Then He invites them to it.’ If the word is ‘ma’duubah’, then it is something that someone prepares and then invites people to partake of. If it is read as ‘ma’dabah’, it means the means of instruction which leads to someone having good manners (adab). The evidence for this is found in another hadith: ‘This Qur’an is the means of instruction of Allah Almighty, so learn from His means of instruction.’ Al-Aḥmar said that both words are from different dialects and mean the same thing, but I have not heard anyone besides him say this. I prefer the first explanation.

Al-Bukhārī transmits from ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān that the Prophet ☪ said, ‘The best of you is the one who learns the Qur’an and teaches it.’ Muslim transmitted from Abū Mūsā that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘The metaphor of a believer who recites the Qur’an is that of a citron – its scent is fragrant and its taste is good. The metaphor of a believer who does not recite the Qur’an is that of a date – it has no scent but its taste is sweet. The metaphor of a hypocrite who does not recite the Qur’an is that of basil – its scent is fragrant but its taste is bitter. The metaphor of a hypocrite who does not recite the Qur’an is that of colocynth – it has no scent and its taste is bitter.’ One variant has ‘deviant’ instead of ‘hypocrite’.
Abū Bakr al-Anbārī mentioned that ʿAḥmad ibn Yaḥyā al-Ḥulwānī reported from Yaḥyā ibn Ṭāhūr from Ḥushaym, and from Idrīs from Khalaf from Ḥushaym from al-‘Awwām ibn Ḥawshab that when someone finished the Qur’an, Abū Ṭāhūr al-Ḥarīmī would have him sit before him and put his hand on his head and say to him, ‘You! Fear Allah! I do not know of anyone better than you if you act by what you know.’ Ad-Dārimī reported that Wahb adh-Dhimārī said, ‘If Allah gives someone the Qur’an and he stands reciting it at the ends of the night and the ends of the day and acts by what is in it and dies in obedience, on the Day of Rising Allah will raise him up with the angels and Prophets.’

Muslim related that ‘Ā’ishah reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Someone who recites the Qur’an and is fluent in it is with the noble pious angels. Someone who recites the Qur’an and stammers in it has two rewards, as it is difficult for him.’ He will have one reward for the recitation and one reward for the difficulty. The levels of the fluent reciter are all above that, because the Qur’an was difficult for him and then he rose beyond that to be like the angels. Allah knows best.

At-Tirmidhī reports from ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Whoever recites a letter of the Book of Allah earns a good deed, and each good deed is worth ten like it. I do not say that ‘Alif-Lām-Mīm’ is one letter, but that alif is a letter, lām is a letter, and mīm is a letter.’ He said that it is a sound hasan gharīb hadith by this path of transmission. It is also related mawqūf.

Muslim reported that ‘Uqbah ibn Ṭāmīr said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ came out to us while we were in the Ṣuffah and asked, “Which of you would like to go every day to Buṭḥān or to al-‘AQīq and bring two large-humped she-camels from it without wrongdoing?” We said, “Messenger of Allah, all of us would like that!”’ He said, “Does not one of you go to the mosque and learn or recite two āyahs from the Book of Allah? That is better for him than two camels, and three āyahs are better for him than three camels, four āyahs are better than four camels, and so on regarding the number of camels.”’
Abū Hurayrah reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Allah will relieve anyone who relieves a believer of one of the afflictions of this world or one of the afflictions of the Day of Rising. Allah will give ease in this world and the Next to anyone who eases the hardship of another. Allah will veil anyone who veils another Muslim in this world and the Next. Allah will help His slave as long as His slave is helping his brother. Whoever travels a path on which he seeks knowledge, Allah will make the path to the Garden easy for him. People do not meet in one of the houses of Allah to recite the Book of Allah and study it together without tranquillity descending on them, mercy covering them, angels encircling them and Allah mentioning them to those who are with Him.’

Abū Dāwūd, an-Nasā’ī, ad-Dārimī, and at-Tirmidhī reported that ‘Uqbah ibn ‘Āmir heard the Prophet ﷺ say, ‘The one who recites the Qur’an publicly is like the person who gives his sadaqah publicly. The one who recites the Qur’an secretly is like the person who conceals his sadaqah.’ At-Tirmidhī said that it is a ḥasan gharīb hadith. At-Tirmidhī reported from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The Qur’an will come on the Day of Rising and say, “O Lord, robe him!” and He will put on him the crown of nobility. It will say, “O Lord, more!” and He will put on him the robe of honour. Then it will say, “O Lord, be pleased with him!” and He will be pleased with him. It will be said, “Recite and ascend,” and he will be increased with a good deed for every āyah.’ He said that it is a sound hadith.

Abū Dāwūd reported from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Those who know the Qur’an will be told, “Recite and ascend. Recite slowly as you did in the world below. Your station will be at the last verse you recite.”’ Ibn Mājah transmits it in the Sunan from Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī who reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The one who knows the Qur’an will be told, “Recite and ascend.” He will recite and rise a degree by every āyah until he reaches the last one he has.’

Abū Bakr al-Anbārī transmitted from Abū Umāmah al-Ḥimṣī that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Whoever is given a third of the Qur’an has been given a third of Prophethood. Whoever is given
two-thirds of the Qur’an has been given two-thirds of Prophethood. Whoever recites all of the Qur’an has been given all of Prophethood although he has not received any revelation. On the Day of Rising he will be told, “Recite and ascend.” He will recite an āyah and rise a degree until he finishes what he knows of the Qur’an. Then he will be told, “Take,” and he will take. Then he will be asked, “Do you know what is in your hands? Eternity is in your right hand and bliss in your left.”

Idrīs ibn Khalaf related from Ismā’īl ibn ‘Ayyāsh from Tammām from al-Ḥasan that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Whoever takes a third of the Qur’an and acts on it has taken a third of Prophethood. Whoever takes half of the Qur’an and acts on it has taken half of Prophethood. Whoever takes all of the Qur’an has taken all of Prophethood.’

Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā al-Marwazī related from Muḥammad ibn Saʿdān from al-Ḥusayn ibn Muḥammad from Ḥafṣ from Kathīr ibn Zādhān from ‘Āṣim ibn Ḍamrah from ‘Alī that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If someone reads the Qur’an, recites it and memorises it, Allah will admit him to the Garden and let him intercede for all the people of his family for whom the Fire is mandatory.’ Umm ’d-Dardā’ said, ‘I visited ‘Ā’ishah and asked her, “What is the excellence of the one who recites the Qur’an over the one who does not recite it among those who enter the Garden?” ‘Ā’ishah replied, “The number of the āyahs of the Qur’an is according to the number of degrees of the Garden. No one will enter the Garden better than the one who recites the Qur’an.”’ Abū Muḥammad Makkī mentioned it.

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘If anyone recites the Qur’an and follows what is in it, Allah has guided him out of misguidance and will protect him on the Day of Rising from an evil reckoning. That is because Allah says, “All those who follow My guidance will not go astray and will not be miserable.” (20:121)’ Ibn ‘Abbās also said, ‘Allah has guaranteed that the one who follows the Qur’an will not go astray in this world nor be wretched in the Next.’ Makkī also mentioned that. Al-Layth said, ‘It was said that mercy does not come quicker to anyone than to the one who listens to the Qur’an, according to the words of the
Almighty, “When the Qur’an is recited, listen to it and be silent so that hopefully you will gain mercy.” (7:204)’ The word ‘hopefully’ makes it mandatory for Allah.’

In the Musnad of Abū Dāwūd at-Ṭayālisī, which is the first Musnad composed in Islam, it is reported from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘Anyone who stands [in prayer] for ten āyahs will not be written among the heedless. Anyone who stands for a hundred āyahs will be written among the obedient. Anyone who stands for a thousand āyahs will be written among those with heaps [qinṭārs] of reward.’ There are many traditions about this, and what we have mentioned is adequate. Allah is the One who grants success in guidance.
How to recite the Book of Allah and what is disliked and forbidden in respect of it, and people’s disagreement about that

Al-Bukhārī transmitted that Qatādah said, ‘I asked Anas about the recitation of the Messenger of Allah and he said, “He would lengthen syllables when he recited, ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful.’ He would extend the name ‘Allah’, extend ‘ar-Raḥmān’ (the All-Merciful) and extend ‘ar-Raḥīm’ (the Most Merciful).’” At-Tirmidhī reported that Umm Salamah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah used to put stops in his recitation. He would say, “Praise be to Allah, the Lord of the worlds,” and stop, “the All-Merciful, Most Merciful,” and stop. Then he would recite, “Master of the Day of Repayment.”’ He said that it is a gharīb hadith. Abū Dāwūd transmitted a similar hadith.

It is related that the Prophet said, ‘The person with the best voice is the one that you see fears Allah Almighty when he recites.’ It is related that Ziyād an-Numayrī came with the reciters to Anas ibn Mālik and was told to recite. He raised his voice and intoned. He had a loud voice and Anas uncovered his face, as he had a black cloth over it, and exclaimed, ‘You! What is this you are doing?’ When he saw something he objected to, he would remove the cloth from his face.

It is related that Qays ibn ‘Ubbād said, ‘The Companions of the Messenger of Allah used to dislike raising the voice in dhikr.’ Those who disliked raising the voice in recitation of the Qur’an included Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad, al-Ḥasan, Ibn Sīrīn, an-Nakha‘ī and others. Mālik ibn Anas and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal also disliked it. All of them disliked raising the voice with the Qur’an and intoning it. It is related that Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab heard ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz leading the people and he intoned in his recitation. Sa‘īd sent a message to him saying, ‘May Allah put you right! Imams should not recite like that.’ So ‘Umar stopped singing. Al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad said, ‘A man recited in the mosque of the Prophet and intoned and al-Qāsim objected to that. He said, ‘Allah Almighty says, “Truly it is a Mighty
Book. Falsehood cannot reach it from before it or behind it.” (41:40-41) Mālik related that he was asked about nabr (raising the pitch of the voice) when reciting the Qur’an in the prayer, and he disliked that strongly and objected to the raising the pitch of the voice in recitation. Ibn al-Qāsim related from him that he was asked about melody in the prayer and he said, ‘I do not like it.’ He said, ‘It is a kind of singing which they do and for which they are paid money.’

One group permit raising the voice in the Qur’an and intoning it. That is because, when someone beautifies his voice when reciting it, it settles more deeply in the selves and the hearts listen more to it. They find evidence in the words of the Prophet محمد ﷺ, ‘Adorn the Qur’an with your voices.’ Al-Barā’ ibn Āzib related it and Abū Dāwūd and an-Nasā’ī transmitted it. The Prophet محمد ﷺ said, ‘He who does not sing the Qur’an is not one of us.’ Muslim transmitted it. There is also what Abū Mūsā told the Prophet محمد ﷺ: ‘If I had known that you listened to my recitation, I would have beautified it for you.’ ‘Abdullāh ibn Mughaffal said, ‘In a journey in the year of the Conquest, the Messenger of Allah محمد ﷺ recited Sūrat al-Fatḥ on his mount and he used a quavering tone in his recitation.’ Some of those who believed this were Abū Ḥanīfah and his people, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Ibn al-Mubārak and an-Naḍr ibn Shumayl. It is the choice of ʿat-Ṭabarī, Abu-l-Ḥasan ibn Baṭṭāl, Qāḍī Abū Bakr ibn al-ʿArabī and others.

The first position is sounder because of what we already mentioned and will mention. As for using the first hadith as evidence, it is not apparent. It is an example of the reversal of the normal order and in fact means, ‘Adorn your voices with the Qur’an.’ Al-Khaṭṭābī said, ‘That is how more than one of the Imams of hadith have explained it, saying it is reversal.’ Ma‘mar related that from Maṇṣūr from Ṭalḥah.

Al-Khaṭṭābī related from al-Barā’ that the Messenger of Allah محمد ﷺ said, ‘Adorn the Qur’an with your voices.’ He said, ‘It means persist in its recitation and employ your voices to do it and take it as a sign and adornment.’ It is said that it means to encourage people to recite the Qur’an and persist in it. It is related from Abū Hurayrah.
that he heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘Adorn your voices with the Qur’an.’ It is related that ‘Umar said, ‘Make your voices good with the Qur’an.’

This is what the words of the Prophet ﷺ are referring to when he said, ‘The one who does not sing the Qur’an is not one of us,’ meaning ‘the one who does not make his voice good with the Qur’an is not one of us.’ That is how ‘Abdullāh ibn Abī Mulaykah interpreted it. ‘Abd al-Jabbār ibn al-Wird said that he heard Ibn Abī Malīkah say that ‘Abdullāh ibn Abī Yazīd said, ‘Abū Lubābah passed us and we followed him until he went into his house. He was a man of shabby appearance. I heard him say, “I heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘He who does not sing the Qur’an is not one of us.’”’ ‘Abd al-Jabbār said, ‘I asked Ibn Abī Mulaykah, “Abū Muḥammad, what do you think of the one who does not have a good voice?” He replied, “He makes it as good as he can.”’ ‘Abū Dāwūd mentioned it.

This is also the meaning of Abū Mūsā’s words to the Prophet ﷺ: ‘If I had known that you were listening to my recitation, I would have made my voice good in the Qur’an, adorned it and used tartīl (slow recitation).’ This indicates that he was quick in his recitation with a good natural voice. If he had known that the Prophet ﷺ was listening, he would have extended his recitation and used tartīl as he usually did when he recited to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. He used to do that in order to increase the beauty of his voice when reciting.

We seek refuge with Allah from interpreting what the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said as meaning that the Qur’an can be adorned by voices or anything else! Whoever interprets it in this way has committed something terrible in saying that the Qur’an is in need of someone to adorn it. It is Light, Illumination, and the Highest Adornment for the one who wears its splendour and is illuminated by its light. It is said that the command to adorn means to learn the readings and to adorn them with our voices. That implies: ‘adorn the recitation with your voices,’ since ‘qur’ān’ means recitation as Allah says, ‘The recitation (qur’ān) of dawn.’ (17:78) According to this interpretation, it is valid that it simply means ‘to recite’ as we made clear. It is as is
reported in *Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim* that ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr said, ‘There are some shayṭāns imprisoned in the sea whom Solomon chained. It will soon be the time when they will emerge and recite something (*qur’ān*) to people.’

It is said that the word understood as ‘singing’ (*yataghannā*) comes from *istighnā*, ‘not having any need’, not from *ghinā* (singing). This was the interpretation adopted by Sufyān ibn ‘Uyaynah and Wakī‘ ibn al-Jarrāḥ. Sufyān related that from Sa‘d ibn Abī Waqqāṣ. Another point is also related from Sufyān that Isḥāq ibn Râhwayh mentioned, which is that it means ‘to be enriched and without need of other words’. This is the interpretation preferred by al-Bukhārī when he dealt with the verse, *Is it not enough for them that We have sent down to you the Book which is recited to them?* (29:51) What is meant by being enriched by the Qur’an is not being in need of the history of the nations. Interpreters have said that.

It is said that ‘*yataghannā*’ means to display sorrow. In other words sorrow should appear in the reciter when he reads and recites. It does not come from ‘*ghunyah*’ (being able to dispense with) because a different form of the verb would have been used for that. A group of scholars believed that, including Imam Ibn Ḥibbān al-Bustī. Their evidence was what Muṭarrif ibn ‘Abdullāh ibn ash-Shikhkhīr related from his father: ‘I came to the Messenger of Allah while he was praying and his chest was heaving like a cauldron from weeping.’ They said, ‘This report makes it clear that what is meant is displaying sorrow. This is also supported by what the Imams related from ‘Abdullāh: “The Prophet said, ‘Recite to me.’ So I recited Sūrat an-Nisā’ to him until I reached the āyah, ‘How will it be when We bring a witness from every nation and bring you as a witness against them?’ (4:41) I saw his eyes overflowing with tears.’

These are four interpretations and none of them indicate that recitation is done with tunes or quavering voices. Abū Sa‘īd al-A‘rābī said about ‘He who does not sing the Qur’an is not one of us’: ‘The Arabs were keen on singing and using verse in most of what they said. When the Qur’an was revealed, they wanted to use the Qur’an as their chant instead of singing, and the Prophet said this.’
The fifth interpretation is what some claim as evidence for quavering and singing. ‘Umar ibn Shabbah said, ‘I told Abū ‘Āsim about Ibn ‘Uyaynah’s interpretation regarding “singing” meaning “being free of need” and he said, “Ibn ‘Uyaynah did not do well.”’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī was asked about the interpretation of Ibn ‘Uyaynah and said, ‘I know better than this. If the Prophet had meant not having need, he would have said it. He said, “singing” and so we know that he meant singing.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘What is known in our view is that in the language of the Arabs taghannin is singing, which is using a good voice with quavering. It is as a poet said:

Sing the poem whenever you declaim it.

Singing (ghinā’) this poetry is the arena.’

He said, ‘As for those who claim that it means “being without need”, that is not part of the language and poetry of the Arabs. We do not know any of the people of knowledge who said that.’

In respect of what at-Ṭabarī claimed about taghannā not meaning istighnā in Arabic, al-Jawharī stated what we mentioned as did al-Harawī. There is nothing to prevent it meaning istighnā’, as it is indeed more appropriate to accept that which is related from a great Companion as Sufyān reported. Ibn Wahb said about Sufyān, ‘I have not seen anyone with better knowledge of the interpretation of hadiths than Sufyān ibn ‘Uyaynah.’

A sixth interpretation is what has been narrated in the form of an addition in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, which is that Abū Hurayrah heard the Messenger of Allah say, ‘Allah does not listen to anything so gladly as He listens to a Prophet with a good voice chanting the Qur’an aloud.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘If it had been as Ibn ‘Uyaynah said, there would be no point in mentioning a good voice and aloud.’ The word ‘aloud’ can be part of the words of the Prophet, Abū Hurayrah or someone else. If it is the first, which is unlikely, it is evidence of lack of warbling (taṭrīb) and quavering because he did not say that. He said ‘aloud’, meaning so that he and those around him can hear him, as the Prophet said to someone he heard raising his voice in the shahādah, ‘People! Be kind to yourselves. You are not
calling out to someone dead or absent.’ So there is no evidence for what they claim. Some of our scholars preferred this interpretation, saying that it is the most likely because the Arabs use the term translated as ‘singing out’ for the one who raises his voice and directs it to someone absent even if it had no tune. He said, ‘This is the explanation of the Companion, and he knows their manner of speaking far better.’

Abu-l-Ḥasan ibn Baṭṭāl argues for the school of ash-Shāfi‘ī and says, ‘The removal of the difficulty regarding this question is found in what Ibn Abī Shaybah reported from Zayd ibn al-Ḥubāb from Mūsā ibn ‘Alī ibn Rabāḥ from his father that ‘Uqbah ibn ‘Āmir said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Learn the Qur’an, sing it and write it. By the One who has my soul in His hand, it is more likely to escape than a camel from the hobble.’ Our scholars said, ‘Even if this hadīth has a sound isnād, what is known absolutely and definitively refutes it: the recitation of the Qur’an has reached us mutawātir from many shaykhs, generation after generation back to the noble era and to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, and there was no making of tunes or intoning (taṭrīb) related by them, whereas they go into depth regarding the pronunciation of the letters, maddah, idghām, iẓhār and other types of recitation.’

Furthermore, in quavering and intoning, there is putting a hamzah on what does not have a hamzah and a lengthening of what should not be lengthened. So the single alif will be made into two alifs and one wāw into two. That leads to an increase in the Qur’an which is forbidden. If that occurs in a place where there is hamzah, they make several hamzahs. It may be said that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mughaffal said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ recited on his camel in the Year of the Conquest of Makkah (8/630) and quavered, which al-Bukhārī mentioned. He said, describing it, ‘ā, ā, ā’ three times. That may mean ʿishbā‘ which is lengthening the vowel of the maddah in its proper place.

It is also possible that the story of his voice has to do with the rocking of his camel, as it happens to someone in a loud voice when he is riding and his voice tightens and is cut because of the rocking of the camel. Since this is possible, then it cannot be considered as
evidence. Ḥāfiẓ Abū Sa‘īd ‘Abbās al-Ghanī ibn Sa‘īd transmitted from the hadith of Qatādah from ‘Abbās ar-Raḥmān ibn Abī Bakr who reported that his father said, ‘The recitation of the Messenger of Allah was extended (madd) but there was no quavering (tarjī‘).’ Ibn Jurayj reported that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Messenger of Allah had a mu‘adhhdhin who intoned. The Messenger of Allah said, “The adhān should be easy and smooth (i.e. without trilling). If your adhān is not easy and smooth, then do not give the adhān.”’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it in the Sunan. If the Prophet forbade it in the adhān, it is more likely that he would not permit it in the Qur’an, which is preserved by the All-Merciful, as Allah says, ‘It is We who have sent down the Reminder and We will preserve it’ (15:9) and ‘Falsehood cannot reach it from before it or behind it – it is a revelation from One Who is All-Wise, Praiseworthy.’ (41:42)

This disagreement regarding recitation is a result of the meaning of the Qur’an not being understood due to the echoing of sounds and the great amount of quavering. If the matter goes further so that the meaning cannot be understood, then that is agreed to be forbidden. This is done by reciters in the towns of Egypt who recite before kings and at funerals and receive wages and stipends for doing so. Their effort is misguided and their action is nullified. By so doing they open the way to the alteration of the Book of Allah and it makes it easy for them to be bold against Allah by adding to His revelation what was not in it out of ignorance in their dīn, deviation from the Sunnah of their Prophet, rejecting the course of the righteous Salaf in respect of that matter, and longing for what shayṭān has made seem attractive in respect of what they do. They ‘suppose that they are doing good’ while they compound their error and play with the Book of Allah. We belong to Allah and to Him we are returning. The Truthful One reported that that would happen and so it is as he reported it would be.

Imam Ḥāfiẓ Abu-l-Ḥusayn Razīn and Abū ‘Abdullāh at-Tirmidhī al-Ḥakīm in Nawādir al-uṣūl reported the hadith transmitted by Ḥudhayfah in which the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Recite the Qur’an with the tunes and voices of the Arabs and beware of the
tunes of the people of passionate love and the tunes of the People of the two Books [meaning the Torah and Gospel]. After you will come a people who make their voices quaver while reciting the Qur’an, as is done in singing and wailing. That will not go beyond their throats. Their hearts are tempted, and attracting the hearts of those who admire them is what really concerns them.’ ‘Tunes’ refers to intoning, making the voice quaver and being good in recitation, poetry and singing.

Our scholars state that this is like those of the reciters of our time who do that before prayers and in gatherings, using foreign tunes which the Prophet forbade. Quavering (tarjī) in recitation is to repeat the letters like the Christians do. Tartīl in reciting the Qur’an is to recite slowly and deliberately, and to make the letters and vowels clear. It is like the petals of the daisy. It is desirable when reciting the Qur’an. Allah says, ‘Recite the Qur’an distinctly.’ (73:4) Umm Salamah was asked about the recitation and prayer of the Prophet and she said, ‘What do you have to do with his prayer! He prayed and then slept the amount he prayed. Then he prayed the amount he slept and then he slept the amount he prayed until morning.’ Then she described his recitation, which was an explanatory recitation, letter by letter. An-Nasā‘ī, Abū Dāwūd and at-Tirmidhī transmitted it as being sound ḥasan gharīb.
Cautioning the people of the Qur’an and scholars against showing off

Allah Almighty says: ‘Worship Allah and do not associate anything with Him’ (4:36) and the Almighty also says: ‘So let him who hopes to meet his Lord act rightly and not associate anyone in the worship of his Lord.’ (18:105). Muslim reported from Abū Hurayrah that he heard the Messenger of Allah say, ‘The first of people to be judged on the Day of Rising will be a man who was martyred. He will be brought forward and will be informed of the blessings he had and will acknowledge them. Allah will ask, “What did you do with them?” He will say, “I fought for You until I was martyred.” Allah will say, “You lie. Rather you fought so it would be said, ‘A brave man!’ And so it was said.” Then the command will be given and he will be dragged on his face until he is thrown into the Fire. There will also be a man who studied knowledge and taught it and recited the Qur’an. He will be brought and informed of his blessings which he will acknowledge. Allah will say, “What did you do with them?” He will reply, “I studied knowledge and taught it and I recited the Qur’an for Your sake.” Allah will say, “You lie. Rather you studied so that it would be said, ‘A scholar!’ and you recited so that it would be said, ‘He is a reciter!’ And so it was said.” Then the command will be given and he will be dragged on his face until he is thrown into the Fire. There will also be a man to whom Allah gave a lot of wealth and all sorts of property. He will be brought and informed of his blessings which he will acknowledge. Allah will ask, “What did you do with them?” He will answer, “There was no path in which You like spending to be done but that I spent in it for Your sake.” Allah will say, “You lie. Rather you did it so that it would be said, ‘He is generous.’ and so it was said.” Then the command will be given and he will be dragged on his face until he is thrown into the Fire.’

At-Tirmidhī said about this hadith: ‘The Messenger of Allah then knelt and said, ‘Abū Hurayrah, these three will be the first of Allah’s creation to be burned by the Fire on the Day of Rising.’ Abū Hurayrah’s name was either ‘Abdullāh or ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān. He said, ‘I received my kunyah, Abū Hurayrah, because I carried a kitten in
my sleeve. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ saw me and asked, “What is this?” I said, “A cat (hirrah),” and he said, “Abū Hurayrah!”’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘This hadith is about someone who does not intend to please Allah by his action and his knowledge. It is related that the Prophet ﷺ said, “If someone seeks knowledge for other than the sake of Allah or intends it for other than the sake of Allah, he should take his seat in the Fire.”’

In the Raqā‘iq, Ibn al-Mubārak transmitted from al-‘Abbās ibn ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘This dīn will be victorious until it crosses the sea and until you plunge into the sea with horses in the Way of Allah Almighty. Then there will come a people who recite the Qur’ān. When they recite it, they will say, “Who reads more than us? Who knows more than us?”’ Then he turned to his Companions and said, ‘Do you think that there will be any good in those?’ ‘No,’ they replied. He said, ‘Those are from you and those are from this Community and those are the fuel of the Fire.’ Abū Dāwūd and at-Tirmidhī transmitted that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Anyone who learns knowledge which should be learned for the sake of Allah, the Mighty and Majestic, only to obtain by it goods of this world, will not experience the scent of the Garden on the Day of Rising.’ At-Tirmidhī said it is a ḥasan hadith.

It is related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Seek refuge with Allah from the Pit of Sorrow.’ They asked, ‘Messenger of Allah, what is the Pit of Sorrow?’ He replied, ‘It is a valley in Hell from which Hell seeks refuge a hundred times a day.’ He was asked, ‘Messenger of Allah, who will enter it?’ He replied, ‘Those who recite to show off their actions.’ He said that it is a gharīb hadith. In the book of Asad ibn Mūsā it is reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘There is a valley in Hell, and Hell seeks refuge from the evil of that valley seven times a day. In that valley is a pit, and Hell and that valley seek refuge from the evil of that pit. In that pit is a serpent and Hell, the valley and the pit seek refuge with Allah from the evil of that serpent seven times. Allah has prepared it for the wretched among those who know the Qur’ān but disobey Allah.’

So the one who knows the Qur’ān and seeks knowledge should fear
Allah regarding himself and act sincerely for Allah. If he does anything which is disliked, he should hasten to repent and begin to show sincerity in his goal and his actions. The one who knows the Qur’an must guard himself even more carefully than others, as he has a wage which others do not have. At-Ṭirmidhī transmitted that Abu-d-Dardā’ reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Allah revealed in one of the Books to one of the Prophets, “Warn those who seek understanding for other than the dīn and learn for other than action and seek this world by the deeds of the Next World. They wear sheepskins in front of people to give the impression of meekness while their hearts are like the hearts of wolves. Their tongues are sweeter than honey while their hearts are more bitter than aloes. They try to deceive Me and make light of Me. I will send them trials which will leave even the forbearing bewildered.”’

Aṭ-Ṭabarī transmitted in Adab an-nufūs from Abū Kurayb Muḥammad ibn al-‘Alā’ from al-Muḥāribī from ‘Amr ibn ‘Āmir al-Bajali from Ibn Ṣadaqah that one of the Companions of the Prophet said that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Do not try to deceive Allah. If anyone tries to deceive Allah, Allah will deceive him. It is his own self which is deceived if only he were aware.’ They asked, ‘Messenger of Allah, how can someone try to deceive Allah?’ He replied, ‘By doing what Allah has commanded while seeking other than Him by it. Fear showing-off. It is shirk. The one who shows off will be summoned before witnesses on the Day of Rising by four names which he is called: “O unbeliever! O loser! O perfidious! O deviant! Your actions are lost and your reward is nullified. You have no share today. Seek your reward from those you acted for, O impostor!”

It is reported from ‘Alqamah that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘How will you feel when you are enveloped by a trial in which children grow old, the old become senile and people act according to an innovated sunnah? When any of it is changed, it will be said, “The sunnah has been changed.”’ He was asked, ‘When will that be, Abū ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān?’ He replied, ‘When your reciters are many and your fuqahā’ are few, and your leaders are many and your trusted ones are few, and this world is sought through the actions of the
Next World and people learn *fiqh* for other than the dīn.’ Suṭyān ibn ‘Uyaynah said, ‘I heard that Ibn ‘Abbās said, “If those who know the Qur’an had taken it as it should be taken and in the way that is proper for it, Allah would love them. But they seek this world by it and so Allah hates them and they are indulgent with people.”’ It is related from Abū Ja’far Muḥammad ibn ‘Alī about the words of the Almighty, ‘They will be bundled headfirst into it, they and the misled’ (26:94), ‘This refers to people who describe the truth and justice with their tongues and then oppose it by espousing something other than that.’
What someone who knows the Qur’an must do and not neglect to do

The first thing is to be sincere in seeking it for the sake of Allah as we mentioned. You should make yourself recite the Qur’an night and day, in the prayer or outside the prayer, so that you do not forget it. Muslim transmitted from Ibn ‘Umar that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘The example of the one who knows the Qur’an is like the one who has hobbled camels. If he takes care of them, he will keep them. If he releases them, they will go off. When the one who knows the Qur’an stands and recites it night and day, he remembers it. If he does not do that, he forgets it.’ So someone who knows Qur’an must praise Allah, be thankful for His blessing, remember Him, rely on Him, seek His help, desire Him and cling to Him. He must remember death and prepare for it. He should fear his wrong actions and hope for his Lord’s pardon. When his health is good his fear should be stronger since he does not know what his seal will be. When he is close to dying, his hope should be stronger on account of his good opinion of Allah. The Messenger of Allah said, ‘None of you should die except with a good opinion of Allah.’ This means you should think that He will have mercy on you and forgive you.

He should know the people of his time, preserve himself from the ruler, and strive to save himself and save his life, having to hand what he can of the goods of this world, striving for himself in that as much as he can. His greatest concern should be scrupulousness in his dīn, fearfulness of Allah and watchful awareness of Him regarding what He commands and forbids. Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘The one who recites the Qur’an should be known by his nights when people are asleep and his days when people are not fasting, his weeping when people laugh, his silence when people delve into improper matters, his humility when they are arrogant and his sorrow when they are happy.’ ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr said, ‘The one who knows the Qur’an should not delve with those who delve, nor be ignorant with the ignorant, but he should pardon and overlook by the right of the Qur’an because inside of him are the words of Allah Almighty. He must protect himself from doubtful paths and laugh little and speak
little in the gatherings of Qur’an and elsewhere concerning anything in which there is no benefit. He must be forbearing and grave.’

He should be humble to the poor and avoid arrogance and vanity. He should withdraw from this world and its people if he fears temptation for himself, and should abandon argumentation and dispute. He should make an effort to be kind and show proper manners. He should be with those from whose evil he is safe, whose good he hopes for and from whose injury he is safe. He should not listen to those who slander in his presence and should keep the company of those who lead him to what is good and direct him to truthfulness and noble character, those who adorn him and do not sully him.

He must learn the rulings of the Qur’an and understand what Allah means and what He mandates. Then he will benefit from what he reads and act by what he recites. How vile is the one who knows the Qur’an and recites its obligations and rulings by heart but does not understand what he recites! How can the one who does not understand what it means act? How ugly it is that he is asked about the *fiqih* of what he recites but does not know it! The metaphor of someone with a state like this is only that of ‘*a donkey who carries volumes*’ (62:5).

He should know the Makkan from the Madinan *sūrah* *s* so that by that he can distinguish between what Allah told His slaves at the beginning of Islam and what was recommended for them at the end, what He made obligatory for them at the beginning of Islam and those obligations He added to it at the end. The Madinan abrogates the Makkan in most of the Qur’an. It is not possible for the Makkan to abrogate the Madinan because the abrogated was revealed before the abrogating. Part of his perfection is to know the *i’rāb* (inflection/grammar) and unusual words. That is part of what will make it easy for him to know what he reads and will remove doubt from him in what he recites.

Abū Ja’far at-Ṭabarī said, ‘I heard al-Jarmī say, “For thirty years, I have been giving people *fatwās* concerning *fiqih* taken from the book of Sībawayh [i.e. based on grammar].”’ Muḥammad ibn Yazīd said, ‘That was because Abū ‘Umar al-Jarmī knew hadiths. When he
learned the book of Sībawayh, he learned fiqh from hadith since it is from the book of Sībawayh that he learned investigation and tafsīr. Then he looked into the sunnāhs which are firmly transmitted from the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. It is by means of them that the seeker attains to what Allah means in His Book and that opens up for him the judgments of the Qur’an. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said about the words of the Almighty, “Be people of the Lord because of your knowledge of the Book” (3:79), “It is a duty for whoever learns the Qur’an to be a faqīh.”

Ibn Abī-l-Ḥawārī said, ‘A group of us went to Fuḍayl ibn ʿIyāḍ in 185 AH and stopped at his door, but he did not give us permission to enter. One of the people said, “If he comes out for anything, he will come out to recitation of the Qur’an.” So we ordered a reciter to recite and he appeared to us at a window. We said, “Peace be upon you and the mercy of Allah.” He said, “And peace upon you.” We asked, “How are you, Abū ʿAlī?” He replied, “I am in well-being from Allah and harm from you. What you are doing is something new in Islam. We belong to Allah and are returning to Him! This is not how to seek knowledge! We used to go to the shaykhs and would not see ourselves worthy of sitting with them. We sat below them and eavesdropped. When a hadith was given, we would ask them to repeat it and we would retain it. You seek knowledge with ignorance. You waste the Book of Allah. If you had sought the Book of Allah, you would have found in it healing for what you want.” We said, “We have studied the Qur’an.” He said, “Your study of the Qur’an is work enough for your lives and the lives of your children!” We asked, “How, Abū ʿAlī?” He replied, “You will not learn the Qur’an until you know its iʿrāb (syntax), its muḥkam from its mutashābih, and its abrogating from its abrogated. When you know that, then you will have no need of the words of Fuḍayl and Ibn ʿUyaynah.” Then he said, “I seek refuge with Allah, the All-Hearing, All-Knowing from the Accursed Shayṭān. In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, the Most Merciful. ‘O mankind! An admonition has come to you from your Lord and also healing for what is in the breasts and guidance and mercy for the believers. Say: “It is the favour of Allah and His mercy that should be the cause of their rejoicing. That is better than anything they accumulate.”’” (10:57-58)

When a reader of the Qur’an obtains these ranks, he will be fluent
in the Qur’an and know the Discrimination. He will be close to the one who brings him close to it. He will not benefit from anything we have mentioned until he has a sincere intention for Allah when he seeks it, or continues to have a sincere intention after learning it, as was already stated. A seeker of knowledge may begin by desiring reputation and honour in the dīn. Then his acquisition of knowledge continues until it is clear to him that he has erred in his belief, and so he repents of that and makes his intention sincere for Allah. He benefits from that and improves his state. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘We used to seek knowledge for the sake of this world and it dragged us to the Next World.’ Sufyān ath-Thawrī said that. Ḥabīb ibn Abī Thābit said, ‘We sought this matter and we had no intention in respect of it. The intention came afterwards.’
The syntax of the Qur’an, learning it and studying it, and the reward for the one who recites the Qur’an with proper inflections

Abū Bakr al-Anbārī said, ‘The Prophet ☦, his Companions and their Followers all mention the excellence of proper understanding of the syntax of the Qur’an and the encouragement to learn it and censure and dislike poor grammatical understanding. This obliges the reciters of the Qur’an to strive to learn it.

One aspect of that is in what is related by Yaḥyā ibn Sulaymān aḍ-Ḍabbī from Muḥammad ibn Saʿīd from Abū Muʿāwiya from ‘Abdullāh ibn Saʿīd al-Maqburī from his father from his grandfather from Abū Hurayrah who reported that the Prophet ☦ said, ‘Use the proper syntax in the Arabic of the Qur’an and search out its unusual words.’ My father related from Ibrāhīm ibn al-Haytham from Ādam ibn Abī Iyās and Abu-ṭ-Ṭayyib al-Marwazī from ‘Abd al-ʿAzīz ibn Abī Rawwād from Nāfi’ from Ibn ‘Umar that the Messenger of Allah ☦ said, ‘If someone recites the Qur’an without its correct grammar, an angel is entrusted to him to write for him, as it was revealed, ten good deeds for every letter. When he uses correct grammar for some of it and fails to do so for some of it, two angels are entrusted who write down for every letter twenty good deeds. If he inflects it correctly, four angels are entrusted to him to write down seventy good deeds for every letter.’ Juwaybir related that aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that ‘Abdullāh ibn Masʿūd said, ‘Recite the Qur’an well and adorn it with your voices and employ its correct syntax. It is Arabic and Allah loves the correct syntax to be employed.’ Mujāhid reported that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘Use correct syntax in the Qur’an.

Muḥammad ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Zayd related that Abū Bakr and ‘Umar said, ‘We prefer some knowledge of the syntax of the Qur’an to simply memorising its letters.’ Ash-Sha’bī reported that ‘Umar said, ‘Anyone who recites the Qur’an with its syntax has the reward with Allah of a martyr.’ Makḥūl said, ‘We were told that anyone who recites with correct syntax has double the reward of someone who recites without it.’ Ibn Jurayj related from ‘Aṭā’ that Ibn ‘Abbās reported that the Messenger of Allah ☦ said, ‘Love the
Arabs for three reasons: because I am an Arab, because the Qur’an is in Arabic and because the language of the people of the Garden will be Arabic.’ Sufyān related that Abū Hamzah said, ‘Al-Ḥasan was asked about people studying Arabic and he said, “They do well. They learn the language of their Prophet.”’ Al-Ḥasan was told, ‘We have an imam who uses ungrammatical Arabic.’ He said, ‘Dismiss him.’

Ibn Abī Mulaykah said, ‘A Bedouin arrived in Madīnah in the time of ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and asked, “Who will recite some of what was revealed to Muḥammad?”’ A man recited Sūrat at-Tawbah to him. He said, “Allah is free of the idolaters and His Messenger” using the genitive for Messenger (rasūlihi). The Bedouin said, “Allah is free of His Messenger? If Allah is free of His Messenger, we are more free of him.” ‘Umar heard what the Bedouin had said so he summoned him and said, “Bedouin, are you free of the Messenger of Allah?” He replied, “Amīr al-Mu’minin, I came to Madīnah with no knowledge of the Qur’an. I asked someone to recite it to me and he recited this Sūrat at-Tawbah and said, ‘Allah is free of the idolaters and His Messenger.’ So I said, ‘Allah is free of His Messenger? If Allah is free of His Messenger, we are more free of him.”’ ‘Umar said, “That is not how it is, Bedouin.” He asked, “How is it then, Amīr al-Mu’minin?” He said, “Allah is free of the idolaters, as is His Messenger (rasūluhu).” The Bedouin said, “We, by Allah, are more free of what Allah and His Messenger are free of!” So ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb commanded that only those who knew proper grammar should recite to people, and he commanded Abu-l-Aswad to set out the grammar.’

‘Alī ibn al-Ja’d reported that he heard Shu’bah say, ‘The metaphor of someone who knows the hadith but does not know Arabic is that of a donkey that has a nosebag with no fodder in it.’ Ḥammād ibn Salamah said, ‘The one who seeks hadith and does not learn grammar – or Arabic – is like a donkey who has a nosebag in which there is no barley.’ Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘The syntax of the Qur’an is a basic principle in the Sharī‘ah because it is that by which its meanings, which are the Sharī‘ah, are established.’

Ibn al-Anbārī said, ‘The Companions of the Prophet and their
Followers provide evidence for using language and poetry to explain unusual and problematic words in the Qur’an, and that demonstrates the soundness of the school of the grammarians respecting that and the falsity of those who deny that.’ An element of that is what is related from ‘Ubayd ibn ‘Abd al-Wāḥid ibn Sharīk al-Bazzāz from Ibn Abī Maryam from Ibn Tarūkh from Usāmah from ‘Ikrimah that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘When you ask me about the unusual words of Allah, look for them in poetry. Poetry is the lexicon of the Arabs.’ Idrīs ibn ‘Abd al-Karīm related from Khalaf from Ḥammād ibn Zayd from ‘Alī ibn Zayd ibn Juḍān who heard Sa’īd ibn Jubayr and Yūsuf ibn Mihrān mention that they heard Ibn ‘Abbās being asked about something in the Qur’an and he replied. ‘It means such and such. Have you not heard the poet say such-and-such?’ ‘Ikrimah reported that a man asked Ibn ‘Abbās about the words of Allah, ‘Purify your clothes.’ (74:4) He said, ‘Do not put on your garment in a state of treachery.’ He cited as an example the words of Ghaylān ath-Thaqafī:

Allah be praised, I did not put on a garment in treachery
    nor cover up evil!

A man asked ‘Ikrimah about a bastard (zanīm) and he said that it is the child of fornication and cited a verse:

A bastard (zanīm) is not known for his father
    with a mother who is a harlot. He has blameworthy lineage.

He also said that zanīm is someone ignoble, base and a false claimant. He said:

Men competed in increasing the ignoble,
    as feet increase the size of the skin.

He also said about the words of the Almighty, ‘shaded by spreading branches (afnān)’ (55:48) that the gardens have shade and branches. He said, ‘Do you not hear the words of the poet? He said:

Your yearning does not rouse a dove from a branch,
    calling doves to the branches (afnān) of the boughs.
You call to the father of the chicks like a bird
with the talons of the sparrow-hawks.’

‘Ikrimah reported that Ibn ‘Abbās said about the words of the
 Almighty, ‘at once they will be at as-sāhirah’ (79:14), ‘It is the earth.
Ubayy ibn Abi-ṣ-Ṣalt said, “They have flesh from the sea and flesh
from land (sāhirah).”’ Ibn al-Anbārī said that transmitters relate this
verse:

In it is both flesh from the land (sāhirah) and from the sea.
What they say remains for them.

Nāfi‘ ibn al-Azraq said to Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Tell me about the words of
Allah, “He is not subject to drowsiness (sinah) or sleep.” (2:255) What is
sinah?” He replied, ‘Drowsiness. Zuhayr ibn Abī Sulmā said:

He was not overcome by drowsiness (sinah) in the long nights
and did not sleep. There is no weakness in his business.
What is reported about the excellence of *tafsīr* of the Qur’an and those who do it

Our scholars say that part of what is transmitted from the Companions and Followers about the excellence of *tafsīr* is that ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib mentioned Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh and described him as having knowledge. A man said to him, ‘May I be your ransom! You describe Jābir as having knowledge when you are who you are!’ He replied, ‘He knew the *tafsīr* of the words of Allah, “He who has imposed the Qur’an upon you will most certainly bring you back home again.”’ (28:85) Mujāhid said, ‘The dearest of creatures to Allah Almighty is the one who has the most knowledge of what is revealed.’ Al-Hasan said, ‘Allah has not revealed any *āyah* without wanting people to know why it was revealed and what it means.’ Ash-Sha’bī said, ‘Masrūq travelled to Basra for the *tafsīr* of an *āyah* and was told that the one who could explain it had travelled to Syria. So he made preparations and travelled to Syria to learn its *tafsīr*.’

‘Ikrimah said about the words of the Almighty, ‘If anyone leaves his home, making hijrah to Allah and His Messenger’ (4:99), ‘I sought the name of this man “who leaves his home, making hijrah to Allah and His Messenger” for fourteen years until I found it.’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said that it was Ḍamrah ibn Ḥabīb. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘I remained for two years wanting to ask ‘Umar about the two women who helped each other against the Messenger of Allah and only awe of him prevented me from asking. Finally I asked him and he replied that it was Ḥafṣah and ‘A’ishah.’ Iyās ibn Mu‘āwiyah said, ‘The metaphor of those who recite the Qur’an without knowing its *tafsīr* is that of some people to whom a letter comes from their king at night when they have no lamp. They are alarmed, not knowing what the letter contains. The metaphor of the one who knows the *tafsīr* is that of a man who brings them a lamp so that they can read what the letter says.’
What is reported about the one who is a bearer of the Qur’an, who he is and those who are hostile to him

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘It is related by various paths that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘An aspect of esteem for Allah is honouring three: a just ruler, a Muslim with white hair and a bearer of the Qur’an who is neither excessive in it nor turns away from it.’ Abū ‘Umar said that the bearers of the Qur’an are those who know its rulings, its lawful and unlawful and act by what it contains. Anas reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The Qur’an is better than everything. Whoever respects the Qur’an has respected Allah. Whoever makes light of the Qur’an has made light of the right of Allah Almighty. The bearers of the Qur’an are those who are encircled by the mercy of Allah, exalting the Word of Allah, touched by the light of Allah. Allah befriends whoever befriends them, and Allah is hostile to whoever is hostile to them; such people have made light of the right of Allah.’
The respect and esteem for the Qur’an which is mandatory for someone who recites and bears the Qur’an

In *Nawādir al-uṣūl*, at-Tirmidhī al-Ḥakīm said, ‘An aspect of respect for the Qur’an is to only touch it when you are in a state of purity. Another aspect of respect for it is to recite it in a state of purity. Yet another aspect of respect for it is to use the tooth-stick (*siwāk*), clean between the teeth and make the mouth clean, as the mouth is its pathway. Yazīd ibn Abī Mālik said, “Your mouths are the pathways of the Qur’an, so purify and clean them as much as you can.”

‘Part of respect for it is to dress as you would dress to visit the ruler when you want to speak privately to him. Part of respect for it is to face *qiblah* when you recite it. When Abu-l-‘Aliyyah recited he would put on a turban, dress, wear a cloak and face *qiblah*. Part of respect for it is to rinse your mouth when you spit. Shu’bah reported from Abū Ḥamzah from Ibn ‘Abbās that he had a spittoon in front of him and when he spat, he would rinse his mouth and then begin recitation. Whenever he spat, he rinsed. Part of respect for it is that when you yawn, you should stop reciting, because when one recites, one is addressing and conversing with the Lord. Yawning is from Shayṭān. Mujāhid said, “When you yawn while reciting the Qur’an, stop reciting out of respect until you stop yawning.” ‘Ikrimah said, “By that he meant to respect the Qur’an.”

‘Part of respect for it is to seek refuge with Allah from the accursed Shayṭān when starting to recite it. You should say “*In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, the Most Merciful*” when beginning to recite it from the first *sūrah* or from wherever you start. Part of respect for it is that, when you begin to recite it, you should not stop for a time to speak to people without need. Part of respect for it is that you should not stop reciting it when someone interrupts you by speaking to you and mix recitation with your reply. That is because when you do that, you remove the effectiveness of the formula of seeking refuge that you uttered at the beginning. Part of respect for it is to recite it deliberately, slowly and carefully.

‘Part of respect for it is to deploy your mind and intelligence in understanding what is addressed to you. Part of respect for it is to
stop at an āyah of promise and have hope in Allah and ask Him for His bounty, and to stop at an āyah of warning and seek refuge with Allah from the threat. Part of respect for it is to stop at its metaphors and visualise them. Part of respect for it is to investigate its unusual words. Part of respect for it is to give every letter its due so that the words are fully articulated and then you will receive ten good deeds for every letter.

‘Part of respect for it is to end its recitation by proclaiming the truthfulness of its Lord and testifying to its delivery by His Messenger ﷺ and testifying that that is true. You say:

صَدَقَتْ رَبَّنَا، وَبَلَغَتْ رُسُلكَ، وَتَحْنُعُ عَلَى ذَلَّكَ مِنَ الشَّاهِدِينَ
اللَّهُمَّ اجْعَلْنَا مِنَ شهداء الحَقِّ، القَائِمِينَ بِالْقَسْطِ

“You have spoken the Truth O our Lord, and His Messengers conveyed it and we testify to that. O Allah, make us among the witnesses to the Truth, establishing justice.” Then you make supplication.

‘Part of respect for it is that when you recite, you do not take āyahs piecemeal from each sūrah and recite them. It is related to us that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ passed by Bilāl who was reciting a little from each sūrah and he commanded him to recite the entire sūrah.

‘Part of respect for it is that when you put down a copy of the Qur’an, you do not leave it open and do you not put any other book on top of it. It should always be on top of any other books, be they books of knowledge or other books. Part of respect for it is that when you recite, you put it in your lap or on top of something in front of you and do not put it on the ground. Part of respect for it is not to erase the board it is written on with spit, but rather use water. Part of respect for it is that when it is washed with water you avoid impurities from various places and in the places where it is put. That washing is respect. Some of the Salaf before us used to use that water to heal themselves. Part of respect for it is to not take a page when it is worn out and brittle out of fear for the writing. That is
great coarseness. It should be erased with water.

‘Part of respect for it is to not let a day pass without looking at least once at the Qur’an. Abū Mūsā used to say, “I am ashamed not to look once a day at the contract of my Lord.” Part of respect for it is to give the eyes their share of it. The eye leads to the self. Between the self and the breast is a veil and the Qur’an is in the breast. When you recite it by heart the ear listens and conveys it to the self. When you look at its writing, the eye and the ear both convey it. That is more likely to achieve the conveyance. Then the eye has its share just as the ear does. Zayd ibn Aslam reported from ‘Aṭā’ ibn Yasār from Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Give the eyes their portion of worship.” They asked, “Messenger of Allah, what is their portion of worship?” He replied, “To look at the Qur’an and reflect on it and study its wonders.” Makḥūl reported from ‘Ubādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “The best worship of my community is to read the Qur’an with the eyes.”

‘Part of respect for it is not to resort to it when offered worldly goods. ‘Amr ibn Ziyād al-Ḥanẓalī related from Hushaym ibn Bashīr from al-Mughīrah that Ibrāhīm [an-Nakha‘ī] used to dislike to resort to any of the Qur’an when he was offered worldly goods. That is like saying to a man who comes to you, “You have arrived at the pre-ordained time, Mūsā,” (20:40) and like saying, “Eat and drink with relish for what you did before in days gone by” (69:24) when food is served, and other such things. Part of respect for it is not to say Sūrat an-Naḥl, Sūrat al-Baqarah and Sūrat an-Nisā’, but to say, “The sūrah in which such-and-such is mentioned.”

I say that this, however, is contrary to the words of the Prophet ﷺ, “If anyone recites the two āyahs from the end of Sūrat al-Baqarah at night, they will be enough for him.” Al-Bukhārī and Muslim transmitted it from ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd.

‘Part of respect for it is to not invert it, as some teachers of children do, in order to show off cleverness and fluency. That is opposition to Allah. Part of respect for it is to not exaggerate its recitation as is done by those obstinate innovators who intensify the pronunciation of the hamzah and pronounce words with affectation. That is an
innovation which Shayțān suggested to them that they accepted. Part of respect for it is not to recite it using musical tunes like the tunes of the people of depravity nor with the quavering of the Christians nor chanting of the monks. All of that is deviation.

‘Part of respect for it is to make its letters bold. Abū Ḥukaymah reported that he used to copy out Qur’an in Kufa. ‘Alī passed by him, inspected his writing and ordered, “Make your pen thicker.” He said, “So I took the pen and trimmed part of it and then wrote while ‘Alī was standing and looking at my writing. He said, ‘Like that. Give light to it as Allah gave it light.’”

‘Part of respect for it is not to compete in loudness in recitation so that it becomes spoiled for the other and he hates what he hears and it becomes like a contest. Part of respect for it is not to argue or quarrel about its recitations or say to a person, “It is not like that.” Perhaps that recitation is a sound and permitted one and then you would be denying the Book of Allah.

‘Part of respect for it is not to recite it in the market or in places of clamour, worthless talk, and the places where fools congregate. Do you not see that Allah Almighty mentioned the slaves of the All-Merciful and praised them because, when they pass by worthless talk, they pass by it with dignity? (cf. 25:72) This is about simply passing by those engaged in worthless talk. So how could they recite the Qur’an in the midst of worthless talk and a gathering of fools?

‘Part of respect for it is not to use it as pillow or lean on it or throw it to someone when he wants it to be passed to him.

‘Part of respect for it is not to make it small. … It is reported that ‘Alī said, “Do not make the Qur’an small.” It is related that ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb saw a small Qur’an in the hand of a man and asked, “Who wrote it?” “I did,” he replied, and then ‘Umar struck him with his whip and said, “Esteem the Qur’an.” It is related that the Prophet ﷺ forbade people to say, ‘a little mosque or a little Qur’an” (using the diminutive).

‘Part of respect for it is not to mix it with what is not part of it. Part of respect for it is not to adorn it with gold or write it in gold so that it is mixed with the adornment of this world. Mughîrah related that Ibrâhîm disliked adorning the Qur’an or writing it with gold or
putting marks at the beginning of āyahs or making it small. It is related from Abu-d-Dardā’ that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “When you adorn your mosque and decorate your Qur’an, then ruin is upon you.” When Ibn ʿAbbās saw a Qur’an adorned with silver he said, “You tempt the thief with it. Its adornment is inside it.”

‘Part of respect for it is that it should not be written on the ground or on a wall as is done in modern mosques. Muḥammad ibn ʿAlī ash-Shaqīqī related from his father from ʿAbdullāh ibn al-Mubārak from Sufyān from Muḥammad ibn az-Zubayr who heard ʿUmar ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz say, “The Messenger of Allah ﷺ passed by some writing on the ground and said to a lad of the tribe of Hudhayl, ‘What is this?’ He replied, ‘It is from the Book of Allah. A Jew wrote it.’ He said, ‘May Allah curse the one who did this. Only put the Book of Allah in its proper place.’” Muḥammad ibn az-Zubayr said that ʿUmar ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz saw a son of his writing the Qur’an on a wall and beat him.

‘Part of respect for it is that when its writing is washed for treating an illness, it should not be poured on a rubbish heap or in a place of impurity or in a place where people walk, but in a place where people do not walk, or a hole should be dug in a pure place so that it can be poured into it, or it can be poured into a large river.

‘Part of respect for it is that, whenever someone completes the recitation of the entire Qur’an, he recites some of the beginning so that it does not have the form of something abandoned. That is why when the Messenger of Allah ﷺ finished reciting, he would recite about five āyahs from the beginning so that it would not be in an abandoned form. Ibn ʿAbbās said that a man came and asked, “Messenger of Allah, which action is best?” He replied, “You should be in a state of embarkation.” “What is a state of embarkation?” he asked. He replied, “The one with the Qur’an who starts from its beginning until he reaches its end and then starts again at the beginning. Whenever he alights, he immediately travels on.”’

‘It is recommended to gather your family when you finish the Qur’an. Abū Bakr al-Anbārī reported from Idrīs from Khalaf from Wakī‘ from Mis‘ar from Qatādah that when Anas ibn Mālik finished
reciting the Qur’an, he would gather his family and make supplication. Idrīs reported from Khalaf from Jarīr from Mansūr from al-Hakam that when Mujāhid, ‘Abdah ibn Abī Lubābah and various people were about to complete the recitation, they would summon people since mercy descends at the completion of the Qur’an. Idrīs reported from Khalaf from Hushaym from al-‘Awwām that Ibrāhīm at-Taymī said, “When someone finishes the Qur’an at the beginning of the day, the angels bless him until evening. When someone finishes the Qur’an at the beginning of the night, the angels bless him until morning.” He said, “They recommended that it should be finished at the beginning of the night or at the beginning of the day.”

‘Part of respect for it is that you should not write the refuge sūrah from it and then enter the lavatory unless they are inside a covering of leather or silver or something else. Then it is as if it were inside his breast.

‘Part of respect for it is that when you write it out [for medicinal purposes] and then drink it, you should say the Name of Allah for every breath and have a very strong intention. Allah will give to you according to your intention. Mujāhid said, “There is no harm in writing out the Qur’an and then having a sick person drink it.” Abū Ja‘far said, “If someone has hardness in his heart, he should write ‘Yāsīn’ on a goblet with saffron and drink it.”’

I say that part of respect for it is not to say, ‘What a short sūrah!’ Abu-l-‘Aliyyah disliked saying ‘What a short or long sūrah’. When he heard someone say that, he would retort, ‘You are smaller than it. All of the Qur’an is immense.’ Abū Dāwūd related the contrary of this from the hadith of ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb from his grandfather which states that one can use those terms. ‘Amr said, ‘No small or long sūrah is part of the Mufaṣṣal.’
What is reported regarding threats against engaging in *tafsīr* of the Qur’an by means of opinion (*ra’y*) or being bold in doing that, and the ranks of the commentators

It is related that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ☪ did not explain the meaning of the Book of Allah except for some *āyahs* which Jibrīl explained to him.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The meaning of this hadith is that it is about the unseen things of the Qur’an, explaining what is undefined (*mujmal*) and the like, which there is no way to uncover except with Allah’s help. An aspect of that are those unseen matters which Allah has not made known, such as the time of the Rising and the number of blasts on the Trumpet and the order of the creation of the heavens and the earth.’

At-Tirmidhī reported from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Prophet ☪ said, ‘Fear attributing words to me of which you have no knowledge. Anyone who deliberately tells a lie regarding me will take his seat in the Fire. Anyone who speaks about the Qur’an from his own opinion, will take his seat in the Fire.’ It is also reported from Jundub that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘Anyone who speaks about the Qur’an according to his own opinion and is right is still wrong.’ This is a *gharīb* hadith which Abū Dāwūd transmitted, and one of its transmitters is questionable. Razīn added, ‘Whoever speaks by his opinion and errs has disbelieved.’

Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn Bashshār ibn Muḥammad al-Anbārī, the linguist and grammarian, said in the *Kitāb ar-radd*, ‘There are two interpretations of the hadith of Ibn ‘Abbās. One is that someone who speaks on problematic things (*mushkil*) in the Qur’an without proper knowledge, differing from the position of the first Companions and *Tābi’ūn*, exposes himself to the anger of Allah. The second, which is the firmer and sounder of the two, is that when someone says something about the Qur’an knowing the truth to be different, he should take his seat in the Fire.’

Regarding the hadith of Jundub, some of the people of knowledge say that ‘opinion’ here means ‘whim’. Whoever speaks about the Qur’an according to his own whims and does not take from the Imams of the Salaf and happens to be right is still wrong since he
has judged the Qur’an by something whose basis is not recognised and is not based on the position of those with expertise in traditions and transmission. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah says, ‘The meaning of this is that a man is asked about a meaning of the Book of Allah and hurries to explain it by his own opinion without looking at what the scholars have said and what the rules of knowledge like syntax and fundamental principles demand.’ Not included is the explanation of grammarians and linguists of its grammar and the fuqahā’ of its meaning where each speaks by his ījtihād based on the rules of his own branch of knowledge and research.

This is sound and more than one scholar prefers it. The one who speaks according to what pops into his imagination and occurs to his mind without seeking evidence for it in the fundamental principles errs. Anyone who derives its meanings by basing himself on the fundamental principles, which are agreed upon, is praised.

Some scholars have said that tafsīr is dependent on oral transmission since Allah says, ‘If you have a dispute about something, refer it back to Allah and His Messenger.’ (4:59) This is false because the prohibition against explaining the Qur’an is either that what is desired is to confine oneself to transmission and not investigate, or it is something else. It is false that what is meant is that no one should say anything about the Qur’an other than what he has heard. The Companions recited the Qur’an and differed about its interpretation in some cases. Not all of what they said was what they heard from the Prophet ﷺ. The Prophet ﷺ made supplication for Ibn ‘Abbās and said, ‘O Allah, give him understanding in the dīn and teach him interpretation.’ If interpretation is confined to Revelation and Prophetic reports, what is the point of singling him out for it? This is clear and undoubted.

The prohibition against tafsīr applies in two instances. The first is when the interpreter voices an opinion about something on the basis of his own nature and passion and, therefore, interprets the Qur’an according to his opinion and passion in an effort to justify his position. If it had not been for that opinion and passion, that meaning about the Qur’an would not have occurred to him. This can sometimes be conscious, as in the case of someone who uses
some āyahs of the Qur’an to prove the validity of an innovation, when he knows that that is not what is meant by the āyah, but his aim is to confuse his opponent. Sometimes it is done in ignorance which may happen when an āyah can be applied in various ways and his understanding inclines to the meaning which coincides with his position. He prefers that interpretation because of his own opinion and passion and so he interprets it according to his own opinion. If it had not been for his opinion, he would not have preferred that meaning.

Sometimes someone has a sound purpose and seeks evidence for it in the Qur’an and uses what he knows of it as evidence for what he wants to convey, like the one who calls people to strive against a hard heart. Allah says, “Go to Pharaoh. He has overstepped the bounds.” (20:23) He points to his heart and suggests that that is what is meant by ‘Pharaoh’ in this āyah. This kind of interpretation is used by some preachers with good motives to make their words effective and encourage their listeners. It is forbidden because it is unacceptable linguistic analogy and is not permissible. The esotericists use the same method to false purposes with the goal of deluding people and calling them to their false beliefs. They use the Qur’an according to their opinion and position in matters that they absolutely know are not meant. This is one of the aspects of tafsīr that is forbidden.

The second instance is when an interpreter hastens to explain the Qur’an according to the literal meaning of the Arabic without the help of transmission about what the unusual words of the Qur’an mean and what has been passed down about the ambiguous and interchangeable words, conciseness, elision, concealment and reversal of order it contains. Someone who does not have a firm grasp of the literal tafsīr and then sets out to derive meanings by simple understanding of Arabic often errs and joins the company of those who interpret the Qur’an according to their own opinions.

Transmission is necessary first of all in the primary tafsīr so that one avoids error, and then after that one employs understanding and deduction to expand on it. The unusual words, which are only understood via transmission, are numerous and one cannot hope to reach the inward before having a firm grasp of the outward. Do you
not see that the Almighty says: ‘We gave Thamūd the camel as a visible sign (mubṣirah) and then they mistreated her’ (17:59)? It means a visible sign, and they wronged themselves by killing it. Someone who looks at the literal Arabic might think that it means that the camel could see (mubṣirah) and therefore not know what they did wrong and how they wronged others and themselves. This is an example of elision and concealment. There are many such examples in the Qur’ān. In cases other than these two, there is no prohibition against interpretation, and Allah knows best.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘Most of the righteous Salaf like Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, ‘Āmir ash-Sha‘bī and others used to be overawed at the prospect of making interpretation of the Qur’ān and would refrain from it out of scrupulousness and caution for themselves in spite of their perfection and precedence.’ Abū Bakr al-Anbārī said, ‘The imams of the Salaf were too scrupulous to explain the problematic parts of the Qur’ān. One of them supposed that his tafsīr might not coincide with what Allah meant and so refrained from speaking. Another feared that he would become an imam in tafsīr and people might follow his method, when he might hesitate to explain a single letter according to his own opinion or to err about it and then his follower might say, “My imam in the tafsīr of the Qur’ān by opinion is so-and-so, the imam of the Salaf.”’ Ibn Abī Mulaykah said, “Abū Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīq was asked about the tafsīr of a letter of the Qur’ān and said, ‘Which heaven will cover me and which earth will bear me? Where will I go? What will I do if I say about a letter of the Book of Allah other than what Allah Almighty meant?’”

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘Several of the Salaf used to explain the Qur’ān and caused the Muslims to continue doing that. As for the original commentators on whom they relied, there was ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, and he was followed by ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Abbās, who devoted himself to the subject and perfected it. He was followed by scholars like Mujāhid, Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr and others. More is transmitted from him than has been preserved from ‘Alī. Ibn ‘Abbās said, “What I grasped of tafsīr of the Qur’ān was from ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib.” ‘Alī used to praise the tafsīr of Ibn ‘Abbās and encouraged people to take it from him. Ibn Mas‘ūd used to say, “An excellent translator of the Qur’ān
is ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Abbās.’” ‘Alī said about him, “It is as if Ibn ‘Abbās looks at the unseen through a fine curtain.”

‘He was followed up by ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd, Ubayy ibn Ka‘b, Zayd ibn Thābit, and ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ. All that is taken from the Companions has preference because they witnessed the Revelation and its coming down in their language. ‘Āmir ibn Wāthilah said, “I saw ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib speaking. I heard him say in his khutbah, ‘Ask me. By Allah, you will not ask me about anything that will happen until the Day of Rising but that I will tell you about it. Ask me about the Book of Allah. By Allah, there is no āyah but that I know whether it was revealed at night or in the day, revealed on flat ground or on a mountain.”’ Ibn al-Kawwā’ rose and asked about Sūrat adh-Dhāriyāt (51).

“‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said, “If I knew of anyone with more knowledge of the Book of Allah than me, who could be reached by riding, I would go to him.” A man asked him, “Have you not met ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib?” “Yes,” he replied, “I have met him.” Masrūq said, “I found some of the Companions of Muḥammad like pools which give water to one person, some like pools which give water to two, and some who are such that if all people came to it, it would satisfy their thirst. ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd was one those pools.”

‘Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “The most merciful to my community is Abū Bakr, the strongest in the dīn of Allah is ‘Umar, the most truly modest is ‘Uthmān, the one with the most knowledge of judgement is ‘Alī, and the one with most knowledge of the shares of inheritance is Zayd. The one with the most recitation of the Book of Allah is Ubayy ibn Ka‘b. The one with the most knowledge of the lawful and unlawful is Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal. The trustworthy representative of this community is Abū ‘Ubaydah ibn al-Jarrāh. Abū Hurayrah is a vessel of knowledge and Salmān is a sea of knowledge which is not perceived. Vegetation has not shaded nor has the earth supported anyone with a more truthful tongue than Abū Dharr.”’

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The prominent Followers (Tābi‘ūn) included al-Ḥasan al-BAṣrī, Mujāhid, Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr and ‘Alqamah. Mujāhid studied recitation with Ibn ‘Abbās, coupled with understanding the
meaning, stopping at every āyah. ‘Ikrimah and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk followed them. Even if aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk did not meet Ibn ʿAbbās, he studied with Ibn Jubayr. As for as-Suddī, ‘Āmir ash-Shaʿbī attacked him and Abū Ṣāliḥ because he thought that they fell short in careful investigation.’ Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn said that al-Kalbī is nothing. Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd al-Qaṭṭān related from Sufyān that al-Kalbī said that Abū Ṣāliḥ said, ‘All that I have related to you is a lie.’ Ḥabīb ibn Abī Thābit said, ‘We used to call him “the Liar”. He was Abū Ṣāliḥ, the freedman of Umm Hāni’.

Then the tafsīr was transmitted by men of integrity of every generation. As the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘This knowledge will be carried by men of integrity of every generation, discarding from it the deviation of the excessive, the ascriptions of the falsifiers and the interpretation of the ignorant.’ Abū ʿUmar and others related it. Al-Khaṭīb al-Baghdādī said, ‘This testimony from the Messenger of Allah ﷺ shows that Qur’ānic interpreters are scholars of the dīn and imams of the Muslims because they guard the Sharīʿah against deviation and the ascription of falsehood and refute the interpretation of ignorant fools. One must consult them and rely on them in the matter of the dīn.’

Ibn ʿAṭiyyah said, ‘Then things were written about it by people like ʿAbd ar-Razzāq, al-Mufaḍḍal, ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭalḥah, al-Bukhārī and others. Then Muḥammad ibn Jarīr collected disparate commentaries from people. He brought close that which was far and mended the isnād. The eminent later commentators include Abū Ishāq az-Zajjāj and Abū ʿAlī al-Fārisī. People often correct Abū Bakr an-Naqqāsh and Abū Jaʿfar an-Naḥḥās. Makkī ibn Abī Ṭālib followed their custom. Abu-l-ʿAbbās al-Mahdawī was a precise author. All of them strove and are rewarded. May Allah have mercy on them and illuminate their faces.’
The Book being explained by the Sunnah, and what has been transmitted about that

Allah says: ‘And We have sent down the Reminder to you so that you can make clear to mankind what has been sent down to them’ (16:44), and: ‘Those who oppose his command should beware of a testing trial coming to them or a painful punishment striking them’ (24:59) and: ‘ Truly you are guiding to a Straight Path.’ (42:49) The obligation of obeying the Prophet is made clear in more than one āyah of Allah’s Book and it is connected to obedience to Allah. Allah says: ‘Whatever the Messenger gives you you should accept and whatever He forbids you you should forgo.’ (59:7) Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr mentioned in the Book of Knowledge that ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Yazīd saw a muḥrim wearing his ordinary clothes and he forbade him to do that. The man said to him, ‘Bring me an āyah from the Book of Allah which removes my clothes.’ He recited to him, ‘Whatever the Messenger gives you you should accept and whatever He forbids you you should forgo.’ (59:7) Hishām ibn Ḥujayr said, ‘Ṭāwūs prayed two rak’ahs after ‘Aṣr and Ibn ‘Abbās said, “Stop doing them.” He answered, “It is only forbidden to take them as a sunnah.”’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, “The Messenger of Allah forbade praying after ‘Aṣr. I do not know whether you will be punished or rewarded for them, because Allah says, ‘When Allah and His Messenger have decided a thing it is not for any believing man or believing woman to have a choice about it.’ (33:36)”

Abū Dāwūd related from al-Miqdām ibn Maʿdikarīb that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘I was given the Book and its like along with it. It will not be long before a satiated man on his couch declares, “This Qur’an is all you need. Make lawful whatever you find lawful in it and make unlawful whatever you find unlawful in it.” But domestic donkeys are not lawful for you, nor is eating any beast of prey with fangs, nor is something dropped by someone who has a treaty with you lawful to you, until its owner declares himself free of it. If someone stops with some people, they must give him hospitality. If they do not, he can legally pursue them for the equivalent cost of his due hospitality.’

Al-Khaṭṭābi said that the words ‘I was given the Book and its like
along with it’ can be interpreted in two ways. One is that it means he was given hidden revelation, which is not recited, in the same way that he was given the outward recited part. The second is that he was given the Book as recited revelation and was given its equivalent in clarification of it, meaning permission to explain what was in the Book, making it general and specific, adding to it and legislating what is in it. Therefore it is as mandatory to act by that and to accept it as the outward recited Qur’an. This hadith is a warning against opposing those established sunnahs which are not in the Qur’an, as the Kharijites and Rafidites did. They attached themselves to the literal text of the Qur’an and abandoned those sunnahs which contain the clarification of the Book. Therefore they were confused and misguided. The word for ‘couch’ in the hadith is ‘arīkah’. It is only used for one with a curtained canopy and therefore it means the people of luxury and wealth who remain in their homes without bothering to seek for knowledge where it is expected to find it. This hadith contains evidence that there is no need to measure the hadith against the Book. Whatever is confirmed as being from the Prophet is evidence in itself. As for what some relate, ‘When a hadith comes to you, measure it against the Book. If it agrees with it, take it. Otherwise, discard it,’ it is a baseless false hadith.

This clarification is of two types: a general clarification of something undefined in the Book, like clarifying the five prayers, their times, prostration, *rukū‘* and all their rulings, the amount and time of *zakāt* and the types of property from which it is taken, and the practices of ḥajj. When the Prophet ﷺ performed the ḥajj with the people, he said, ‘Take your practices from me,’ and he said, ‘Pray as you saw me praying.’ Al-Bukhārī transmitted it. ‘Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn said to a man, ‘You stupid man! Do you find Ẓuhr in the Book of Allah as four rak‘ahs in which recitation is not aloud!’ Then he enumerated for him the prayer, *zakāt* and the like and then said, ‘Do you find this explained in the Book of Allah? The Book of Allah Almighty did not define this; it is the Sunnah that explains it!’ Al-Awzā‘ī reported that Ḥassān ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘Revelation descended on the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and Jibrīl brought him the Sunnah which explains it.’ Sa‘īd ibn Manṣūr related from ‘Īsā ibn
Yūnus from al-Awzā‘ī and also reported that Makḥūl said, ‘The Qur’an is more in need of the Sunnah than the Sunnah is of the Qur’an.’ Yaḥyā ibn Abī Kathīr said, ‘The Sunnah judges the Book and the Book does not judge the Sunnah.’ Al-Fuḍayl ibn Ziyād said that he heard Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal being asked about this hadith and he said, ‘I am not so bold as to say that, but I do say that the Sunnah explains and clarifies the Book.’

Another area of clarification is augmentation of the rulings of the Book, like forbidding marrying a woman along with her maternal or paternal aunt, forbidding the consumption of domestic donkeys and every beast of prey with fangs, giving judgment based on an oath and a witness, and others as will be explained in the text, Allah willing.
How to learn and understand the Book of Allah and the Sunnah of His Prophet ☪, and what has been narrated about it being easier for someone who used to act by it without memorising it

In the Kitāb al-Bayān, Abū ‘Amr ad-Dānī reports from ‘Uthmān, Ibn Mas‘ūd and Ubayy that the Messenger of Allah ☪ used to recite ten āyāhs to them, and they did not go on to another ten until they knew what action was connected to those āyāhs. So we should learn the Qur’an and how to act by it at the same time. ‘Abd ar-Razzāq mentioned from Ma‘mar from ‘Aṭā‘ ibn as-Sā‘ib that ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān as-Sulamī said, ‘When we learned ten āyāhs of the Qur’an, we did not learn the ten after them until we knew what they contained of the lawful and unlawful, of prohibitions and commands.’ In the Muwaṭṭā’, Mālik reported that he heard that ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar spent eight years learning Sūrat al-Baqarah. In his book, The Names of Those who Transmitted from Mālik, Abū Bakr Ahmad ibn ‘Alī ibn Thābit mentions from Abū Bilāl Mīrdās ibn Muḥammad al-Ash‘arī from Mālik that Nāfi‘ transmitted that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘Umar learned al-Baqarah over twelve years. When he finished, he slaughtered a camel.’ Al-Anbārī reports from Muḥammad ibn Sharhiyār from Ḥusayn ibn al-Aswad from ‘Ubaydullāh ibn Mūsā from Abū ‘Amr Ziyād ibn Abi Muslim from Ziyād ibn Mikhrāq that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘It was difficult for us to memorise the words of the Qur’an, but easy for us to act by them. After us there will be people for whom it is easy to memorise the Qur’an, but hard to act by it.’

Ibrāhīm ibn Mūsā related from Yūsuf ibn Mūsā from al-Faḍl ibn Dukayn from Ismā‘īl ibn Ibrāhīm ibn al-Muhājir from his father from Mujāhid that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘The best of the Companions of the Messenger of Allah at the beginning of this Community only memorised a sūrah or two of the Qur’an. They were given the gift of acting by the Qur’an. The last of this community will recite the Qur’an – children and blind – and will not be given the gift of acting by it.’ Abū Muḥammad Ḥasan ibn ‘Abd al-Wahhāb ibn Abi-l-‘Anbar from Abū Bakr ibn Ḥammād al-Muqrī who heard Khalaf ibn
Hishām al-Bazzār say, ‘I only think that in our hands the Qur’ān has been denuded [of action]. That is because we were told that ‘Umar ibn al-Khattāb memorised Sūrat al-Baqarah over the course of about ten years. When he had memorised it, he slaughtered a camel to thank Allah. In our time, a boy sits before me and recites a third of the Qur’ān without missing out a single letter. I only reckon that the Qur’ān is denuded in our hands.’

Those with knowledge of hadith say that the person who seeks hadiths should not confine himself to listening to hadiths and reading their books without recognition and understanding. To do otherwise would mean tiring himself out with little result. His memorisation of hadiths should be undertaken gradually over nights and days. Among the masters of hadith from whom that is reported are Shu‘bah, Ibn ‘Ulayyah and Ma‘mar. Ma‘mar said that he heard az-Zuhrī say, ‘Someone who seeks knowledge all at once loses out on all of it. Knowledge is acquired one or two hadiths at a time. Allah knows best.’ Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal said, ‘Learn what you wish, but Allah will not reward you for it as long as you do not act on it.’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘Something similar to what Mu‘ādh said is related from the Prophet ☪ from ‘Ubbād ibn ‘Abd aṣ-Ṣamad which adds, ‘The concern of scholars is comprehension and the concern of fools is mere transmission.’ It is related mawqūf and is more fitting than the transmission which is marfū‘. ‘Ubbād ibn ‘Abd aṣ-Ṣamad is not authoritative. There is an excellent poem on the excellence of knowledge and the honour of the Noble Book and Splendid Sunnah:

Even if the beauties of knowledge are majestic,
their crown is that in which belief is mandatory:
The Noble Book which Allah preserves.
After that is a knowledge that allays anxiety.
That is the hadiths of the Chosen, containing the light of Prophethood,
the Sunnah of Sharī‘ah and good manners.
After this is knowledge without end.
So test yourself, you who prefer to seek.
Knowledge is a treasure which you will find in its mine. Seeker! Study and look at books! 
Recite the Book of Allah with understanding: it contains all forms of knowledge. Reflect and you will see a marvel! 
Read and you will be guided to the hadith of the Chosen. Ask your Master for what you desire and your hope will be achieved. 
Whoever tastes the knowledge of the dīn delights in it. When he has more of it, he says, ‘O joy!’
The meaning of the words of the Prophet ﷺ, “The Qur’an was revealed in seven aḥruf (dialects/modes).”

In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Ubuyy ibn Ka‘b reported that the Prophet ﷺ was with the Banū Ghifār when Jibrīl came to him and said, ‘Allah commands you to recite the Qur’an to your Community in one mode.’ He said, ‘I ask Allah for His pardon and forgiveness. My Community will not be able to do that.’ Then he came a second time and said, ‘Allah commands you to recite the Qur’an to your Community in two modes.’ He said, ‘I ask Allah for His pardon and forgiveness. My Community will not be able to do that.’ He came a third time and said, ‘Allah commands you to recite the Qur’an to your Community in three modes.’ He replied, ‘I ask Allah for His pardon and forgiveness. My Community will not be able to do that.’ He came a fourth time and said, ‘Allah commands you to recite the Qur’an to your community in seven modes. Whichever mode they recite, it is correct.’ At-Tirmidhī reported that Ubuyy said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ met Jibrīl and said, “Jibrīl, I was sent to an illiterate community. Some of them are old women and old men, boys and girls, and men who do not read at all.” He said to him, “Muḥammad, the Qur’an was revealed in seven modes.”’ He said that this is a sound hadith. This story is confirmed by the main authorities: al-Bukhārī, Muslim, the Muwaṭṭā’, Abū Dāwūd, an-Nasāʾī and other books in the form of the story about Hishām ibn Ḥakīm with ‘Umar which we will mention later.

Scholars disagree about what is meant by the seven modes, and there are thirty-five things mentioned by Abū Ḥātim Muḥammad ibn Ḥibbān al-Bustī. We will mention five of them here:

This is the position of most of the people of knowledge, such as Sufyān ibn ‘Uyaynah, ‘Abdullāh ibn Wahb, aṭ-Ṭabarī, aṭ-Ṭaḥāwī and others. What is meant are the seven manners of synonyms with different expressions, like aqbalā, taʿāla and halumma (all of which mean ‘come here’). Aṭ-Ṭaḥāwī said, ‘The clearest elucidation of that is what is mentioned in the hadith of Abū Bakrah, “Jibrīl came to the Prophet ﷺ and said, ‘Recite in one mode.’ Mīkāʾīl said, ‘Increase it.’ He said, ‘Recite it in two modes.’ Mīkāʾīl said, ‘Increase
it,’ until it was seven modes. He said, ‘Recite it. Each is adequate unless you confuse an āyah of mercy for an āyah of punishment or an āyah of punishment with an āyah of mercy.’” That is like halumma, ta‘āla, aqbala, adhaba, asra‘a and ‘ajjala.’ Warqā’ related from Ibn Abī Najīḥ from Mujāhid from Ibn ‘Abbās that Ubayy ibn Ka‘b used to recite ‘wait for us’ (57:13) ‘undhūrūnā’ as ‘umhulūnā’, ‘akhkhirūnā’, and ‘arqubūnā’. With the same isnād, it is reported that Ubayy recited in 2:19 ‘marrū’ instead of ‘mashaw’ and ‘sa‘aw’ (they walk). In al-Bukhārī, az-Zuhrī said, ‘These modes are about the same matter. They do not differ in respect of the lawful and unlawful.’

Aṭ-Ṭaḥāwī said, ‘There was allowance for people in respect of the letters since they were unable to take the Qur’an in other than their dialects because they were illiterate and only a few of them could write. It was hard for someone with a dialect to change to another. If he wanted to do that, it would have entailed great hardship and so they were given scope regarding different expressions as long as the meaning was the same. They remained like that until many of them could write and the dialects reverted to that of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. Then they were able to memorise those words and they no longer had the allowance to recite differently.’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘It is clear that scope for the seven modes was at a particular time out of necessity. When that necessity was removed, the ruling of the seven was removed, and the Qur’an was recited in one mode.’

Abū Dāwūd related that Ubayy said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said to me, “Ubayy, I recited the Qur’an and was asked whether to recite it in one ḥarf or two. The angel who was with me told me to say, ‘In two.’ Then I was asked whether to recite it in two or three ḥarfs. The angel who was with me told me to say, ‘In three.’ This continued until it was seven.”’ Then he said, “Any of these is comprehensive and sufficient. So you can say, ‘[Allah is] All-Hearing, All-Knowing, Almighty, and Wise,’ as long as you do not muddle an āyah of punishment with mercy or an āyah of mercy with punishment.”’ Ibn Mas‘ūd said something similar, Qāḍī Ibn aṭ-Ṭayyib said, ‘If this transmission, namely the hadith of Ubayy, is confirmed, it can be assumed that it was general and then abrogated. Now it is not permitted for people to exchange the
Names of Allah in different places whether or not that is in keeping with the meaning.

Second view. Some people say that the seven dialects in the Qur’an are the seven dialects of all the Arabs, both Yemeni and Nizār, because the Messenger of Allah was not ignorant of any of them. He was ‘given concise comprehensive words’. It does not mean that the one mode has seven aspects, but these seven dialects are in different parts of the Qur’an. Some of it is in the dialect of Quraysh, some in that of Hudhayl, some in Hawāzin, and some in Yemeni.

Al-Khaṭṭābi said that there is in the Qur’an that which is recited in seven ways, which is His words, ‘and who worshipped false gods?’ (5:60) and His words, ‘Why don’t you send him out with us tomorrow so he can enjoy himself and play about?’ (12:12) [both of which have variant readings], and he mentioned other examples, as if he took the position that some of the Qur’an was revealed in seven modes, not all of it.

The Qur’an being revealed in seven modes, i.e. in seven dialects was the position that Abū ‘Ubayd al-Qāsim ibn Sallām took and Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah preferred it. Abū ‘Ubayd said, ‘Some tribes have a greater share of it than others.’ Ibn Shihāb mentioned from Anas that when ‘Uthmān told them to copy out the Qur’an, he said, ‘When you and Zayd differ, then write in the dialect of Quraysh. It was revealed in their dialect.’ Al-Bukhārī mentioned it and he also mentioned what Ibn ‘Abbās said: ‘The Qur’an was revealed in the dialect of the two Ka’bs: Ka’b [ibn Lu’ayy] of Quraysh and Ka’b [ibn ‘Amr] of Khuzā‘ah.’ He was asked, ‘How is that?’ He answered, ‘Because they have the same abode.’ Abū ‘Ubayd said, ‘It means that Khuzā‘ah were the neighbours of Quraysh and so adopted their dialect.’

Qāḍī Ibn aṭ-Ṭayyib [al-Baqillānī] said, “Uthmān’s words that it was revealed in the dialect of Quraysh means that most of it was revealed in that dialect. It is not a definitive proof that it is all in the dialect of Quraysh since there are words and letters which differ from the dialect of Quraysh. Allah says, ‘We have made it an Arabic Qur’an.’ (43:3) He did not say, ‘a Qurayshī Qur’an’. This indicates that it was revealed in the language of all the Arabs, and no one can
say that it was just Quraysh or one part of the Arabs rather than others. So one cannot say that it means the dialect of ‘Adnān rather than Qaḥṭān or Rabī‘ah rather than Muḍar because the term ‘Arab takes in all of these tribes.

Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said that he believed that saying that it was revealed in the dialect of Quraysh meant that most of it was revealed in the dialect of Quraysh because other dialects than that of Quraysh exist in sound readings with the use of the hamzahs and the like. Quraysh did not use the hamzah. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that the meaning of the ‘seven modes’ is that the expressions of all seven tribes are in it. It means that sometimes it is in the idiom of Quraysh, sometimes in the idiom of Hudhayl and sometimes in other idioms according to what is most eloquent and concise in expression. Do you not see that with Arabs other than Quraysh ‘faṭr’ means beginning the creation of something and working on it? It comes in the Qur’an and Ibn ‘Abbās was not directed to its meaning until two Bedouin came to him with a dispute over a well. One of them said, ‘Anā faṭartuhā (I began it).’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Then I understood the meaning of the words of the Almighty: “the Bringer into Being (Fāṭir) of the heavens and the earth.”’ (6:14) He also said, ‘I did not know the meaning of His words, “Our Lord, judge (iftah) between us and our people with the truth.”’ (7:89), until I heard the daughter of Dhū Yazin say to her husband, “Come and I will judge (ufāṭihka) you.”’ Similarly ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said that he used to not understand the words of the Almighty, ‘Or that He will no seize them little by little.’ (17:47) There are many examples of this.

Third view. The seven dialects are all from the tribes of Muḍar. Some people said that. They used as evidence what ‘Uthmān said, ‘The Qur’an was revealed in the language of Muḍar.’ They said, ‘It is possible that part of it is that of Quraysh, part Kinānah, part Asad, part Hudhayl, part Taym, part Ḍabbah, and part Qays.’ They said these tribes of Muḍar contain the seven dialects in these ranks. Ibn Mas‘ūd used to like those who copied out the Qur’ans to be from Muḍar. Others objected to the idea that it was all from Muḍar and said that there are rare usages in Muḍar with which it is not permitted to write the Qur’an.
What is related from some scholars is exemplified by Qāḍī Ibn ʿAṭ-Tayyib who said, ‘I have reflected on the aspects of the differences in recitation and have found them to be seven. Some involve changes of vowelling while the meaning and form remain, like “aṭharu” and “aṭhara” in 11:78; some do not change their form but change their meaning through inflection, as in 36:19, reading “bāʿid” or “bāʿada”; some retain their form and change their meaning with different letters, like “nunshizuhā” (2:259) and “nunshiruhā”; some change the form while the meaning remains as in 101:5 where both ‘ihn and ṣūf mean wool; some change their form and meaning like “ṭalḥin manḍūd” (56:29) and “ṭalʿin manḍūd”; some entail a change of order as in “sakratu-l-mawt bi-l-ḥaqq” and “sakratu-l-ḥaqq bi-l-mawt” in 50:19; and some consist of addition or reduction such as His words, “ninety-nine female ewes” (38:23), and “As for the boy, he was an unbeliever and his parents were believers” (18:80), “Then after they have been forced, Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful to them” (24:33).

Fifth view. What is meant by the seven modes are meanings in the Book of Allah: command and prohibition, promise and threat, stories, arguments and parables. Ibn ʿAṭīyyah says that this is weak because that is not called ʿahruf. Furthermore there is consensus that license is not granted in making the lawful lawful or changing any of the meanings. Qāḍī Ibn ʿAṭ-Tayyib mentioned a hadith along these lines from the Prophet ﷺ and then said, ‘This is not part of what it is allowed for them to recite. Ḥarf in this means ‘manner’ as Allah says, “one who worships Allah on an edge.” (22:11).’ That is the meaning of this hadith about the seven means of allowing and forbidding and the like.

It is also said that what is meant by the seven ʿahruf are the seven readings that we have because all of that is soundly transmitted from the Prophet ﷺ. This, however, is not correct, as we will now explain.
Section on the seven readings

Most scholars, like ad-Dāwūdī, Ibn Abī Ṣufrah and others said that these seven readings, which are ascribed to the seven readers, are not the seven *aḥruf* for which the Companions had an allowance to recite. They refer merely to one *harf* of those seven, which is what ‘Uthmān collected in the copy of the Qur’ān which he authorised. Ibn an-Naḥḥās and others mentioned that. These seven famous readings (*qirā‘āt*) are the selections of those Imams of recitation. That is because each of them chose what he related and the aspect he knew of the recitations that he considered to be the best and most appropriate. Each held to a path, related and recited it, and became famous for it. He is known for it and it is ascribed to him, like the mode of Nāfī‘ and the mode of Ibn Kathīr. None of them forbade choosing another nor objected to it. They allowed it. Each of those seven has two or more choices related from him. All are sound.

Muslims in this time have agreed to rely on what is soundly transmitted from these imams: that which they related of the readings and wrote in books. The consensus remains that they are correct and the preservation of the Book which Allah promised has been secured. This is the position of the earlier imams and excellent reliable men like Qāḍī Abū Bakr ibn aṭ-Ṭayyib, aṭ-Ṭabarī and others. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘These seven readings have lasted through different times and places and the prayer is performed using them, as their soundness is established by consensus. As for the rare (*shādhdh*) readings, the prayer is not performed using them because there is no consensus on doing that. As for what is related from the Companions and the *Tābi‘ūn* about that, it can only be believed that they transmitted that. As for what is related from Abu-s-Sammāl and those connected to him, one does not rely on it.’

Someone else said, ‘As for the rare (*shādhdh*) readings of the copies of the transmitted Qur’ān, they are not considered to be the Qur’ān, and are not acted on as if they were part of it. It is most probable that they are clarification of the interpretation of the position of the one to whom they are ascribed, like the reading of Ibn Mas‘ūd. If the transmitter is explicit about having heard it from the Messenger
of Allah ☪ then scholars have two positions about acting on it: negative or positive. The negative position is based on the fact that the transmitter did not relate it as a hadith, but as Qur’an, and it is not affirmed as such and so is not confirmed. The positive position is that even if it is not affirmed as Qur’an, it is affirmed as sunnah, and that obliges action, just as the case with all single hadiths.’
Section: The hadith of ‘Umar and Hishām

Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘Allah allowed these seven modes to His Prophet ﷺ and Jibrīl brought them to him in a way which ensured inimitability and precise cohesion. His words, “Recite what is easy of it,” did not permit any of the Companions to change any expression in one of these dialects as he wished. If that had been the case, then the Qur’ān would not have been inimitable, as people would have changed this and that and then it would have become other than what was revealed from Allah. The permission was for the seven modes of the Prophet ﷺ in order to give ample scope to his Community. Once he recited to Ubayy what Jibrīl brought him, and once to Ibn Mas‘ūd what had been presented to him.

‘This was the crux of the difference between ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb’s reading of Sūrat al-Furqān and that of Hishām ibn Ḥakīm. Otherwise how was it proper for the Prophet ﷺ to say about the recitation of each of them, which differed, “That was how Jibrīl recited it to me.” Was it only that he recited it once like that and once like this? This is the meaning of what Anas said when he recited ‘aṣwab’ instead of ‘aqwam’ in Sūrat al-Muzzammil (73:5) meaning ‘more conducive’. He was told, “We recite it ‘aqwam’.” He replied, “Aṣwab’, ‘aqwam’ and ‘ahya’a’ are all the same.” This is the sense of what is reported from the Prophet ﷺ. If anyone had been permitted to do that, that would have invalidated the words of Allah, “It is We who have sent down the Reminder and We will preserve it.” (15:9).’

Al-Bukhārī, Muslim and others related that ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said, ‘I heard Hishām ibn Ḥakīm reciting Sūrat al-Furqān in a different way from how I read it and how the Messenger of Allah ﷺ himself had recited it to me. I almost grabbed him but I waited until he had finished. Then I got him by his cloak and took him to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. I said, “Messenger of Allah, I heard this one reciting Sūrat al-Furqān differently to how you recited it to me!”’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Let him recite.” He recited it as I had heard him recite it. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “That is how it was revealed.” Then he told me, “Recite.” I recited it and he
said, “That is how it was revealed. This Qur’an was revealed in seven modes, so recite whatever you find easy of it.”

Similar to this hadith is the one Muslim transmitted from Ubayy ibn Ka’b. He said, ‘I was in the mosque when a man came in to pray. He recited a reading to which I objected. Then another man entered and recited a different recitation to that of his companion. When they finished the prayer, we all went to the Messenger of Allah and I said, “This one recited a reading to which I objected and the other entered and used a different recitation to that of his companion.” The Prophet commanded them to recite, and the Prophet commended what they done. Then doubt entered my heart such as had not even affected me in the Jāhiliyyah. When the Prophet saw what had overpowered me, he struck my chest and I started to sweat, feeling alarm as if I had been looking at Allah. He said to me, “Ubayy, I was sent a message to recite the Qur’an in one mode and I replied that I wanted it to be made easy for my community. A second message commanded me to recite it in two modes, and I replied that I wanted it to be made easy for my community. Then I was told the third time, ‘Recite it in seven modes. You can ask for something for each time you returned to ask.’ I said, ‘O Allah, forgive my Community! O Allah, forgive my Community!’ I delayed the third until a Day when all creation, even Ibrahim will ask of me.”

Ubayy meant that he felt confusion and astonishment, in other words he had an impulse from Shayṭān which sullied his state and muddied his mind at that moment. The difference of the readings seemed terrible to him while it was not in itself terrible. Otherwise what would entail denial of the difference in the recitations, when that is not necessary? Praise be to Allah who abrogated what is greater than a reading! When the Prophet saw the thought that occurred to him, he woke him up by striking his chest. The result of that was the expansion of his breast and inward illumination so that the unveiling and expansion took him to a state of vision. When the ugliness of that thought was clear to him, he feared Allah and sweated profusely out of shame before Allah. This thought is the
type of thing about which the Prophet ☪ spoke when they said to him, ‘We find things in ourselves which one of us finds too terrible to speak about.’ He asked, ‘Do you experience that?’ ‘Yes,’ they replied. He said, ‘That is clear faith.’ Muslim transmitted it from Abū Hurayrah. It will further be discussed in Sūrat al-‘ārāf, Allah willing.
The collection of the Qur’an and the reason ‘Uthmān had copies of the Qur’an copied out and burned the rest. The memorisation of the Qur’an by the Companions in the time of the Prophet ☪

In the time of the Prophet ☪, the Qur’an was scattered in the breasts of men. People wrote some of it in on pages, on skins and on white stones and flat stones, scraps and other things. Then a great number of reciters were killed in the Battle of Yamāmah in the time of Abū Bakr. Something like seven hundred of them were killed in a single day and ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb suggested to Abū Bakr that he should collect the Qur’an out of fear that the shaykhs of the reciters such as Ubayy, Ibn Mas‘ūd and Zayd would all die. They deputised Zayd ibn Thābit to do that, and so after great effort, he collected it together without putting the sūrah in order.

Al-Bukhārī reports that Zayd ibn Thābit said, ‘After the slaughter in the war of Yamāmah, Abū Bakr sent for me, and ‘Umar was with him. Abū Bakr said, “‘Umar has come to me and said, ‘Many people were killed in the Battle of Yamāmah, and I fear that many Qur’an reciters will be killed in other places and so much of the Qur’an will be lost unless you collect it together. I think that you should collect the Qur’an together.’” Abū Bakr said, “I said to ‘Umar, ‘How can I do something which the Messenger of Allah ☪ did not do?’ ‘Umar said, ‘By Allah, it is better.’ ‘Umar kept on at me about it until Allah opened my breast to it and I thought what ‘Umar thought.’” Zayd ibn Thābit continued, “Umar was sitting with him, not speaking. Abū Bakr said, “You are an intelligent young man and we have no doubts about you. You used to write down the revelation for the Messenger of Allah ☪. Therefore, you are to search out the Qur’an and collect it.” By Allah, if he had obliged me to move a mountain, that would not have been weightier for me than his command to me to collect together the Qur’an. I replied, “How can the two of you do something which the Messenger of Allah ☪ did not do?” Abū Bakr said, “By Allah, it is better.” He continued to keep on at me until Allah opened my breast to that to which Allah had opened the breasts of Abū Bakr and ‘Umar. So I
began to search out the Qur’an and collect it from the parchments, shoulder-blades, palm fronds and the breasts of men until I found two āyahs of Sūrat at-Tawbah with Khuzaymah al-Anṣārī that I did not find with anyone else: “A Messenger has come to you from among yourselves...” (9:128) The copy of the Qur’an in which the Qur’an was collected remained in the possession of Abū Bakr until Allah took him, and then it was with ‘Umar until Allah took him, and then it was with Ḥafṣah bint ‘Umar.

Al-Layth related from ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Ghālib that Ibn Shihāb said that it was with Abū Khuzaymah al-Anṣārī. Abū Thābit related that Ibrāhīm said that what was with Khuzaymah or Abū Khuzaymah was: ‘But if they turn away, say, “Allah is enough for me. There is no god but Him. I have put my trust in Him. He is the Lord of the Mighty Throne.”’ (9:129) At-Tirmidhī said in his hadith: ‘I found the end of at-Tawbah with Khuzaymah ibn Thābit: “A Messenger has come to you from among yourselves. Your suffering is distressing to him; he is deeply concerned for you; he is gentle and merciful to the believers But if they turn away, say, ‘Allah is enough for me. There is no god but Him. I have put my trust in Him. He is the Lord of the Mighty Throne.’”’ (9:128)’ He said that it is a sound ḥasan hadith.

Al-Bukhārī related that Zayd ibn Thābit said, ‘I missed one āyah of Sūrat al-Aḥzāb when we copied out the Qur’an which I used to hear the Messenger of Allah recite. I only found it with Khuzaymah al-Anṣārī. It is that about which Zayd made the testimony of the Messenger of Allah equal to that of two men: “Men who have been true to the contract they made with Allah.” (33:23) At-Tirmidhī has: ‘I missed one āyah of Sūrat al-Aḥzāb when we copied out the Qur’an which I used to hear the Messenger of Allah recite: “Among the believers are men who have been true to the contract they made with Allah.” I looked for it and found it with Khuzaymah ibn Thābit or Abū Khuzaymah and put it in its sūrah.”

According to what al-Bukhārī and at-Tirmidhī said, the first āyah at the end of at-Tawbah was omitted from the first collection and the āyah from Sūrat al-Aḥzāb was omitted from the second. At-Ṭabarī said that the āyah from at-Tawbah was missing from the second collection. The first is sounder, and Allah knows best.
If it is asked what was the point of ‘Uthmān unifying people under a single copy of the Qur’ān when Abū Bakr had already achieved that, then the response is that the aim of ‘Uthmān was not to gather people in order to compile the Qur’ān. Do you not see that he sent to Ḥafṣah to ask her to give him the copy of the Qur’ān so that it could be copied out and then returned to her? ‘Uthmān did that because people were disagreeing about the various recitations owing to the fact that the Companions had spread to different areas and had begun to strongly disagree, such as the conflict which took place between the people of Iraq and the people of Syria according to Ḥudhayfah.

They joined an expedition to Armenia and each group recited what had been transmitted to them. They disagreed and quarrelled and some of them called the others unbelievers, renouncing them completely, cursing one another. Ḥudhayfah was alarmed at what he saw. As soon as he arrived back in Madīnah, according to al-Bukhārī and at-Tirmidhī, before returning to his house he went to ‘Uthmān and said, ‘This Community has reached the stage where it will be destroyed!’ ‘Why?’ asked ‘Uthmān. He said, ‘It is about the Book of Allah. I was on this expedition and some of the people of Iraq, Syria and the Hijaz came together.’ Then he described what had happened and said, ‘I fear that they will differ about their Book as the Jews and Christians differed.’

This is evidence of the falseness of those who say that the seven aḥruf are the seven present readings because there is no disagreement about them. Suwayd ibn Ghafalah reported from ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib that ‘Uthmān said, ‘What do you think about the copies of the Qur’ān? The people have disagreed about the reciters until a man says, “My reading is better than your reading. My reading is more excellent than your reading.” This is equivalent to disbelief.’ He replied, ‘What is your view, Amīr al-Mu’mīnīn?’ He said, ‘I think that we people should agree on one reading. If you differ today, those after you will disagree more strongly.’ ‘Alī said, ‘The correct opinion is yours, Amīr al-Mu’mīnīn.’ So ‘Uthmān sent a message to Ḥafṣah saying, ‘Send us the pages in your possession and we will copy them and then return them to you.’ She sent them to him and
he ordered Zayd ibn Thābit, ‘Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr, Sa‘īd ibn al-‘Āṣ, and ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn al-Ḥārith ibn Hishām to make copies of them. ‘Uthmān told the group of Qurayshīs, ‘When you and Zayd ibn Thābit disagree about any of the Qur’ān, write it in the dialect of Quraysh. It was revealed in their language.’ They did that. When they had copied it out, ‘Uthmān returned the pages to Ḥafṣah and he sent a copy of what they had copied out to every region and commanded that every sheet or copy with any other form of the Qur’ān in it should be burned. ‘Uthmān did this after gathering the Muhājirūn and Anṣār and a group of Muslims and consulting them about it. They agreed to collect what was sound and firm of the well-known readings from the Prophet and discard anything else. They thought that what he decided was right and correct. May Allah have mercy on him and all of them. Āṭ-Ṭabarī related that ‘Uthmān brought together only Zayd and Abān ibn Sa‘īd ibn al-‘Āṣ. This is weak. What al-Bukhārī, at-Tirmidhī and others mentioned is sounder. Āṭ-Ṭabarī also said that the pages that Ḥafṣah had were the model for the final collection. This is sound. Ibn Shihāb said that he was told by ‘Ubaydullāh ibn ‘Abdullāh that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd disliked Zayd ibn Thābit copying out the Qur’ān and said, ‘Company of Muslims, withdraw from making copies and entrusting it to one man. By Allah, I became Muslim while he was still in the loins of an unbelieving father!’ meaning Zayd ibn Thābit. That is why ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘People of Iraq, conceal the copies of the Qur’ān you have and keep them concealed. Allah says, “Those who misappropriate will arrive on the Day of Rising with what they have misappropriated.” (3:161). Meet Allah with the copies of the Qur’ān.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it and it will be discussed in Āl ‘Imrān, Allah willing.

Abū Bakr al-Anbārī said, ‘The fact that Abū Bakr, ‘Umar and ‘Uthmān chose Zayd in the matter of collecting the Qur’ān does not mean that they were putting him over ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd. ‘Abdullāh was better than Zayd, older in Islam, had attended more battles and possessed more virtues. Zayd, however, knew more of the Qur’ān than ‘Abdullāh since he had memorised it all during the lifetime of the Messenger of Allah, whereas ‘Abdullāh had only
memorised about seventy *sūrah* s while the Messenger of Allah ☪ was alive and learned the rest after his death. The one who knew the entire Qur’an and memorised it while the Messenger of Allah ☪ was alive was more entitled to compile the Qur’an and to be preferred and chosen to do so. No ignorant person should suppose that this is an attack on ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd since the fact that Zayd had the better memory of the Qur’an of the two does not mean that he should be preferred to him in general terms, because Zayd also knew more Qur’an than Abū Bakr and ‘Umar, and he was certainly not better than them or equal to them in virtue.’

Abū Bakr al-Anbārī said, ‘The objection which ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd made was done in anger and is not acted upon or accepted. There is no doubt that once he was no longer angry he was satisfied with the excellence of the decision of ‘Uthmān and the Companions of the Messenger of Allah ☪ and concurred with their agreement and abandoned his opposition to them.’

It is commonly known among the people who study transmission that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd learned the rest of the Qur’an after the death of the Messenger of Allah ☪. One scholar said that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd died before learning all the Qur’an. Yazīd ibn Hārūn said, ‘The two *sūrah* s of refuge have the same status as al-Baqarah and Āl ‘Imrān. Anyone who claims that they are not part of the Qur’an has rejected Allah Almighty.’ He was asked, ‘What about what ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd says about them?’ He replied, ‘There is no disagreement among the Muslims that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd died before memorising all of the Qur’an.’ This requires some investigation, as will come later.

Ismā‘īl ibn Isḥāq and others related that Ḥammād said – I think quoting Anas ibn Mālik – ‘They disagreed about an *āyah* and would say, “The Messenger of Allah ☪ recited it to so-and-so.” He might be three days from Madīnah and he would be sent for and would be asked, “How did the Messenger of Allah ☪ recite such-and-such an *āyah* to you?” and they would write it as he said.’

Ibn Shihāb said, ‘One day they disagreed about *tābūt*. Zayd said *tābūh*. Ibn az-Zubayr and Sa‘īd ibn al-‘Āṣ said *tābūt*. The
disagreement was taken to ‘Uthmān who said, “Write it with tā’. It was revealed in the language of Quraysh.” Al-Bukhārī and at-Tirmidhī transmitted it. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘Zayd recited it with hā’ and the Qurayshīs recited it with tā’. They confirmed it with tā’ and the copies of the Qur’an have been written it as it was in the past. ‘Uthmān had several copies of the Qur’an made. Some say there were seven while the majority say that there were four. They were sent to various areas. Matrix copies were sent to Iraq, Syria and Egypt, and the reciters of the cities relied on them and none of them differed from the original copy in the manner in which it was conveyed to them. No real difference in the wording is found among the seven reciters, neither more nor less. That is because they all relied on what was conveyed in ‘Uthmān’s copy since ‘Uthmān wrote those places in some copies, but not others, which was a notification that it was sound and it was permitted to recite with all of them.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘Then ‘Uthmān commanded other copies to be burned or torn up (depending on whether that is read with a hā’ as tuḥraqu (burned) or a khā’ as tukhraqu (torn)). Then that was buried.’ ‘Burned’ is a better transmission.

Abū Bakr al-Anbārī quoted Suwayd ibn Ghafalah in Kitāb ar-Radd, ‘I heard ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib say, “Company of people! Fear Allah and beware of going to excess about ‘Uthmān and calling him ‘The burner of Qur’ans’. By Allah, he only burned them on the basis of the advice of a council of us, the Companions of Muḥammad ☦.’” It is also reported from ‘Umayr ibn Saʿīd that ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said, ‘If I had been ruler at the time of ‘Uthmān, I would have done to the copies of the Qur’an the same thing that ‘Uthmān did.’

Abu-l-Ḥasan ibn Baṭṭāl said, ‘The fact that ‘Uthmān ordered the parchments and copies of the Qur’an to be burned when the Qur’an was collected contains permission to burn writing which contains the Names of Allah Almighty and shows that doing so is to honour it and protect it from being trodden on and thrown without care to the ground.’ Ma‘mar related from Ibn Ṭāwūs that his father used to burn pages when letters were collected with him that contained ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful.’ ‘Urwah ibn az-
Zubayr burned the books of *fiqh* he had at the time of the Battle of Ḥarrah. Ibrāhīm disliked burning pages when the name of Allah was on them. The position that they are burned is more likely to be correct. ‘Uthmān did it. Qāḍī Abū Bakr said that it is permitted for the leader to burn papers which contain the Qur’an if he thinks that should be done.
Section

Our scholars say that what ‘Uthmān did refutes the Ḥulūlīs (incarnationists) and Hashwites (anthropomorphists) who say that the letters and sounds are eternal and that recitation and reading are eternal and that faith is eternal and the rūḥ is eternal. The Community and all groups of the Christians, Jews and Brahmans believe – and indeed, so does every theist and unitarian – that that which is eternal is unaffected by any event and no one’s power can affect it by any aspect or means. Non-existence is not possible for the eternal and the eternal does not become temporal and the temporal does not become eternal. As for the eternal, there is no beginning to its existence whereas the temporal is that which exists after it was not.

One group break the consensus of intelligent people of religions and others and say that it is permitted for the temporal to become eternal and that when the human servant of Allah recites the Words of Allah, the action of speaking the words of Allah is eternal. They say that it is the same when he carves letters from bricks and wood, or fashions letters from gold and silver, or weaves cloth on which he embroiders an āyah from the Book of Allah. Their action in doing that with the Words of Allah is eternal and so His words are woven and eternal, carved and eternal, and fashioned and eternal. They are told, ‘In the case of what you say about the Words of Allah Almighty, can they be melted, effaced or burned?’ If they answer affirmatively, then they have parted from the dīn. If they answer in the negative, they are told, ‘So then what do say about the letters forming an āyah of the Book of Allah from wax, gold, silver, wood or paper which falls into a fire and melts or is burned? Do you say that the Words of Allah can be burned?’ If they say, ‘Yes,’ then they have abandoned their position. If they say, ‘No,’ it is said to them, ‘Did you not say that this writing is the Word of Allah? But it has been burned! Or these letters are His Word? But they have been melted!’ If they then answer that it is the letters that have been burned while His Words remain, then they have returned to the truth and what is correct.
They are close to the answer that the Prophet ﷺ gave when calling attention to what the people of the truth say: ‘If the Qur’an were in a skin and then fell into the fire, it would not burn.’ Allah Almighty says, ‘I sent down a Book to you which cannot be washed away by water and you recite it asleep and wake.’ Muslim transmitted it. So it is confirmed that His Words are not letters and do not resemble letters. This is a topic which has been discussed at length and fully in the books of fundamental principles. We explained it in Kitāb al-Asnā fī sharḥ asmā’i-llāhi-l-ḥusnā.
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The Rafidites attack the Qur’an and say, ‘One person is sufficient to confirm the transmission of an āyah and mode just as you have done. You confirmed the statement of a single man, Khuzaymah ibn Thābit, in reporting about the end of Sūrat at-Tawbah and the āyah in Sūrat al-Aḥzāb “Among the believers are men…” (33:23).’ The reply to them is that when Khuzaymah mentioned these words, many of the Companions remembered them and Zayd himself recognised them. That is why he said, ‘I missed the last two āyahs of Sūrat at-Tawbah.’ If he had not already known them, he would not have known that something was missing. So the āyah was in fact established by consensus, not by Khuzaymah alone. A second answer is that it was established by the testimony of Khuzaymah alone and the evidence of its soundness is found in the description of the Prophet ﷺ and so has no need for another witness, which is not the case of the āyah in al-Aḥzāb. That is established by the testimony of Zayd and Abū Khuzaymah who both heard it from the Prophet ﷺ. Al-Muhallab said something similar and mentioned that Khuzaymah is not Abū Khuzaymah with whom the āyah of at-Tawbah was found and he was known among the Anṣār. Anas knew him and said, ‘We inherited from him.’ The one with whom the āyah of al-Aḥzāb was found was Khuzaymah ibn Thābit. There is no contradiction and it is not the same story. So there is no confusion about it.

Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘Nothing is known about the name of Abū Khuzaymah. He is known by his kunyah, so he is Abū Khuzaymah ibn Aws ibn Zayd ibn Aṣram ibn Tha‘labah ibn Ghunm ibn Mālik ibn an-Najjār. He was present at the Battle of Badr and the subsequent battles. He died while ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān was caliph. He was the brother of Mas‘ūd ibn Aws.’ Ibn Shihāb said that ‘Ubayd ibn as-Sabbāq said that Zayd ibn Thābit said, ‘I found the end of at-Tawbah with Abū Khuzaymah al-Anṣārī.’ That is the case. There is no relationship between him and Abū Khuzaymah al-Ḥārith ibn Khuzaymah other than the fact they are both from the Anṣār. One was from Aws and the other from Khazraj.

In Muslim and al-Bukhārī, Anas ibn Mālik is reported as saying,
The Qur’an was gathered together in the time of the Prophet by four, all of whom were from the Anṣār: Ubayy, Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal, Zayd ibn Thābit, and Abū Zayd.’ Anas was asked, ‘Who is Abū Zayd?’ and he replied, ‘One of my paternal uncles.’ We also find in al-Bukhārī that Anas said, ‘When the Prophet died only four knew all the Qur’an: Abu-d-Dardā’, Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal, Zayd and Abū Zayd.’ He said, ‘We inherited from him.’ In another transmission, he said, ‘Abū Zayd died without leaving descendants. He had been present at Badr. The name of Abū Zayd was Sa’d ibn ‘Ubayd.’

Ibn at-Ṭayyib said, ‘These traditions do not indicate that the Qur’an was not memorised in the time of the Prophet and that only four of the Anṣār knew it by heart as Anas stated. It is confirmed by multiple paths of transmission that the entire Qur’an was also known by ‘Uthmān, ‘Alī, Tamīm ad-Dārī, ‘Ubādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit, and ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ. Anas’ words mean that only these four took it directly from the mouth of the Messenger of Allah. Most of them learned some of it from him and some of it from others. The transmissions corroborate each other about the four imams knowing all the Qur’an during the lifetime of the Prophet due to the fact that they became Muslim early on and the Messenger held them in great esteem.’

The Qāḍī did not mention ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd and Sālim, the client of Abū Ḥudhayfah, although they were among those who knew the entire Qur’an. Jarīr related from ‘Abdullāh ibn Yazīd aṣ-Ṣuhbānī from Kumayl that ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said, ‘I was with the Messenger of Allah and with him were Abū Bakr and whomever Allah wished. We passed ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd who was praying. The Messenger of Allah asked, “Who is reciting the Qur’an?” He was told, “Abdullāh ibn Umm ‘Abd” He said, “Abdullāh recites the Qur’an fresh as it was revealed.”’

One scholar said that this meant that he recited the first ḥarf in which the Qur’an was revealed rather than the other seven, which the Messenger of Allah was allowed after the Messenger of Allah, Jibrīl, recited the Qur’an to him in Ramadan. It is related that Abū
Ẓubyān said, “Abdullāh ibn ‘Abbās asked me, “Which of the recitations do you recite?” I replied, “The first recitation, that of Ibn Umm ‘Abd.” He told me, “Rather it was the last. The Messenger of Allah ✪ used to present the Qur’an to Jibrīl once a year. In the year that he died, the Messenger of Allah ✪ recited it to him twice. ‘Abdullāh was present and knew what was abrogated and changed in that recitation.’” In Muslim, ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr stated that he heard the Messenger of Allah ✪ say, ‘Take the Qur’an from four: Ibn Umm ‘Abd – and he began with him – Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal, Ubayy ibn Ka‘b and Sālim, the client of Abū Ḥudhayfah.’

These reports indicate that ‘Abdullāh knew all the Qur’an in the lifetime of the Messenger of Allah ✪, contrary to what was said, and Allah knows best. In Kitāb ar-Radd, Abū Bakr al-Anbārī transmitted that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘I learned 72 (or 73) sūrah{s} from the Messenger of Allah ✪ and I read to him from al-Baqarah as far as “Allah loves those who repent” (2:222).’ Abū Isḥāq says that he learned the rest of the Qur’an from Mujammī ibn Jāriyah al-Anṣārī. If this is true, the consensus which Yazīd ibn Hārūn mentioned is true and that is why Qāḍī Ibn aṭ-Ṭayyib did not mention him among those who knew the Qur’an by heart in the time of the Messenger of Allah ✪. Allah knows best.

Abū Bakr al-Anbārī related from Ibrāhīm ibn Mūsā al-Khūdrī from Yūsuf ibn Mūsā from Mālik ibn Ismā‘īl from Zuhayr that Abū Isḥāq said, ‘I asked al-Aswad what ‘Abdullāh used to do with respect to Sūrat al-A‘rāf. He said, “He did not know it until he came to Kufa.”’ He said that one of the people of knowledge said, “Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd died before he learned the two sūrah{s} of Refuge. That is why they were not found in his copy of the Qur’an.’ Other things are said which will be dealt with at the end of the book, Allah willing.

Abū Bakr said that Ibrāhīm ibn Mūsā related from Yūsuf ibn Mūsā from ‘Umar ibn Hārūn al-Khurāsānī from Rabī‘ah ibn ‘Uthmān that Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b al-Quraẓī said, ‘Among those who knew the Qur’an by heart when the Messenger of Allah ✪ was alive were ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān, ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd.’
The people of knowledge do not consider the hadith to be sound. It stops at Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b and so it is severed. It is not taken nor relied on.

The words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Take the Qur’an from four: Ibn Umm ‘Abd…’ indicate its soundness. Part of what will make that clear is that the people with the readings among the people of the Hijaz, Syria and Iraq all traced their readings which they chose back to one of the Companions who read it to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and did not exclude anything from the entire Qur’an. ‘Āṣim traced his reading to ‘Alī and Ibn Mas‘ūd, Ibn Kathīr to Ubayy as did Abū ‘Amr ibn al-‘Alā’, and ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Āmir traced his to ‘Uthmān. All of them said that they had read it to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. The isnāds of these readings are continuous and the transmitters are reliable, as al-Khaṭṭābī stated.
What has come about the order of the sūrahs and āyahs of the Qur’an, its vowelling and dots, its hizbs and tens, the number of its letters, juz’s, words and āyahs

Ibn at-Ṭayyib said, ‘Some say that the Salaf differed about the order of the sūrahs of the Qur’an and some of them wrote the sūrahs in the order that they were revealed and put the Makkan before the Madinan, and some put al-Ḥamd (Fātiḥah) at the beginning, and others put al-ʿAlaq at the beginning. This was the case in the first copy of ‘Alī. As for the copy of Ibn Masʿūd, it begins with “Master of the Day of the Dīn” (1:4) and then al-Baqarah, and then an-Nisā’ with a different order. The copy of Ubayy began with al-Ḥamd, then an-Nisā’, then Āl ‘Imrān, then al-An‘ām, then al-Aʿrāf, then al-Mā’idah. There were significant differences.’

The answer of Qāḍī Abū Bakr ibn at-Ṭayyib is that it is possible that the order of the sūrahs we have today in the Qur’an is by ijtihād on the part of the Companions. Makkī mentioned this in the taḥṣīl of Surat at-Tawbah. He mentioned the order of the āyahs in the sūrah and that placing the basmalah at the beginnings of them was from the Prophet ﷺ. Since he did not command that for Sūrat at-Tawbah, it was left without a basmalah. This is the soundest of what is said about it.

In the Jāmi’, Ibn Wahb stated that Sulaymān ibn Bilāl heard Rabī’ah being asked why al-Baqarah and Āl ‘Imrān were put first when there were about eighty sūrahs revealed before them and they were revealed in Madīnah. Rabī’ah said, ‘They were put first and the Qur’an was arranged according to the knowledge of those who arranged it and had knowledge of that. This is what we ended up with and we do not ask about it.’ Sunayd mentioned from Mu’tamir from Sallām ibn Miskīn from Qatādah that Ibn Masʿūd said, ‘Whoever of you seeks a model, should model himself on the Companions of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. They have the best hearts of this community, the deepest knowledge, least artifice, straightest guidance and the best state. Allah chose them to be the Companions of His Prophet ﷺ and to establish His dīn. So acknowledge their excellence and follow in their footsteps. They
followed straight guidance.’

Some scholars say that the arrangement of the sūrahs of the Qur’an which we find in our copies of the Qur’an was at the instruction of the Prophet ﷺ. What is related about the differences between the copies of Ubayy, ‘Alī and ‘Abdullāh was before the final presentation. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ arranged those sūrahs for them after they had done that. Yūnus related from Ibn Wahb that he heard Mālik say, ‘The Qur’an was arranged according to what they heard from the Messenger of Allah ﷺ.’

Abū Bakr al-Anbārī mentioned in Kītāb ar-Radd, ‘Allah Almighty revealed the Qur’an all at once to the lowest heaven, and then it came down in parts to the Prophet ﷺ over twenty years. A sūrah would be revealed about a matter which happened and an āyah would answer an inquiry. Jibrīl would give the Messenger of Allah ﷺ the place of the sūrah and the āyah. So the sūrahs are in order as the āyahs and letters are in order. All of it is from Muḥammad, the Seal of the Messengers ﷺ from the Lord of the Worlds. Whoever changes the order of the sūrahs is like someone who nullifies the order of the āyahs and changes the letters and the words. There is no argument against the people who possess the truth in putting al-Baqarah before al-An’ām when al-An’ām was revealed before al-Baqarah because the order is taken from the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. He said, “Put this sūrah in such-and-such a place in the Qur’an.” Jibrīl acquainted him with the position of the āyahs.’

Ḥasan ibn al-Ḥubāb related from Abū Bakr ibn ‘Ayyāsh from Abū Isḥāq that al-Barā’ said, ‘The last of the Qur’an to be revealed was, “They will ask you for a fatwā. Say: ‘Allah gives you a fatwā about people who die without direct heirs.’” (4:175).’ Abū Bakr ibn ‘Ayyāsh said, ‘Abū Isḥāq erred because it is reported from Ibn ‘Abbās that the last part of the Qur’an to be revealed was, “Show fear of a Day when you will be returned to Allah. Then every self will be paid in full for what it earned. They will not be wronged” (2:281). Jibrīl told the Prophet ﷺ, “Muḥammad, put it at the beginning of āyah 281 of al-Baqarah.”’

Abu-l-Ḥasan ibn Baṭṭāl said, ‘Whoever says this, does not say that the recitation of the Qur’an in the prayer and lessons must be in the
order that it is in copies of the Qur’an. Rather it is only mandatory to put the *sūrahs* in order when writing them down, and it is not known that any of them said that the same order is mandatory in the prayer or in recitation of the Qur’an and study, or that it is not lawful for someone to learn al-Kahf before al-Baqarah or al-Ḥajj before al-Kahf. Do you not see that ‘Ā’ishah told the person who asked about this, “There is nothing wrong in whichever you recite first. The Prophetﷺ used to recite one *sūrah* in a *rak‘ah* and then would recite in the next *rak‘ah* a different *sūrah* than the one that follows it.”

‘As for what is reported from Ibn Mas‘ūd and Ibn ‘Umar about it being disliked to recite the Qur’an out of order, claiming that it upsets the heart, what they meant by that was reciting the *sūrah* out of order and beginning from its end and then working backwards, because that is forbidden. Some people do this with the Qur’an and poetry to subject the tongue to that and facilitate memorisation. Allah forbade doing this in the Qur’an because it disorders His *sūrahs* and is counter to what He meant by it.’

Part of what indicates that it is not mandatory to use the chronological order of revelation in copies of the Qur’an is that it is confirmed that certain āyahs were revealed in Madīnah and then placed in Makkan *sūrahs*. ‘Ā’ishah said, “Sūrat al-Baqarah and Sūrat an-Nisā’ were revealed when I was with him (i.e. in Madīnah) but they come in the copies of the Qur’an before earlier *sūrah* of the Qur’an revealed in Makkah.” If they had been arranged by historical revelation, it would be obliged to break the order of the āyahs of the *sūrahs*.

Abū Bakr al-Anbārī related from Qāḍī Ismā‘īl ibn Ishāq from Ḥajjāj ibn Minhāl from Hishām that Qatādah said, ‘The *sūrahs* of the Qur’an revealed in Madīnah were: al-Baqarah, Āl ‘Imrān, an-Nisā’, al-Mā‘idah, al-Anfāl, at-Tawbah, ar-Ra‘d, an-Nāḥl, al-Ḥajj, an-Nūr, al-Aḥzāb, Muḥammad, al-Fath, al-Ḥujurāt, ar-Raḥmān, al-Ḥadīd, al-Mujādalah, al-Mumtaḥanah, aṣ-Ṣaff, al-Jumu‘ah, al-Munāfiqūn, at-Taghābun, aṭ-Ṭalāq, at-Taḥrīm, az-Zilzāl, and an-Naṣr. Those *sūrahs* were revealed in Madīnah while the rest were revealed in Makkah.’
Abū Bakr said, ‘If someone abandons traditions, turns away from consensus and orders the sūrahs based on their positions in Madīnah and Makkah, he does not know where to put the Fātiḥah, because of people’s disagreement about where it was revealed, and needs to delay the āyah at the beginning of 235 of al-Baqarah to the beginning of 240. If someone ruins the order of the Qur’ān, he has disbelieved in it and rejects what Muḥammad ﷺ related from his Lord. It is said the reason for putting the Madinan before the Makkān is that Allah Almighty addressed the Arabs in their language, using the styles of speech and discourse that they knew. When one of their linguistic styles was based on alteration of the order in speech, they were addressed in that way in the Book of Allah Almighty. Had they noticed it missing from the Qur’ān, they would asked why this was missing from it.’
**Section**

As for the diacritical marks of the Qur’an and its dots, it is reported that ‘Abd al-Malik ibn Marwān commanded them to be added, and that was undertaken by al-Ḥajjāj in Wasit. He worked on the task and added its ḥizbs. He commanded the governor of Iraq, al-Ḥasan, and Yaḥyā ibn Ya‘mar to do that. After that he wrote a book in Wasit on the readings, in which he compiled what was related about the disagreement of people in relation to the script. People used that book for a long time until Ibn Mujāhid wrote his book on the readings. In *Kitāb aṭ-Ṭabaqāt* az-Zubaydī quotes al-Mubarrad as saying that the first person to use dots in the Qur’an was Abu-l-Aswad ad-Du‘alī. Ibn Sīrīn also mentioned that he had a copy of the Qur’an in which Yaḥyā ibn Ya‘mar had put the dots.
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As for the position regarding the division of the Qur’an into groups of ten āyah or a’shār, Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘In one of the histories I read that the Abbasid al-Ma’mūn commanded that to be done. It is also said that al-Ḥajjāj did it.’ In the Kitāb al-Bayān, ad-Dānī said that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd disliked the marking of tens in the copies of the Qur’an and that he erased them. Mujāhid is reported to have disliked the signs for tens in copies of the Qur’an.

Ashhab said, ‘I heard Mālik being asked about the signs for tens which are in the Qur’an in red and other colours and he disliked that, saying, “There is nothing wrong in marking the tens with [normal] ink.” He was asked about copies of the Qur’an in which the ends of sūrah were written along with the number of āyahs in every sūrah. He said, “I dislike anything [additional] being written or vowelled in the master copies. As for those copies by which children learn, I see no harm in doing that.”’ Ashhab said, ‘Then he produced for us a copy of the Qur’an which had belonged to his grandfather. He had written it when ‘Uthmān had the Qur’an copied out. We saw the ends were written in ink in something resembling a chain which extended for an entire line and I saw that the āyahs had diacritical marks in ink.’

Qatādah said, ‘They began with the dots, then with marking the division of the fives and then the tens.’ Yaḥyā ibn Abī Kathīr said, ‘The Qur’an was bare in the original copies and the first thing that they did was to put dots on the bā’, tā’ and thā’. They said, “There is nothing wrong in it. It makes it clearer.” Then they put dots at the ends of āyahs and then they put openings and ends of sūrah.

Abū Ḥamzah said, ‘Ibrāhīm an-Nakha’ī noticed in my copy of the Qur’an the opening of such-and-such a sūrah. He said to me, “Erase it. ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘Do not mix with the Book of Allah something which is not part of it.’”’ Abū Bakr as-Sarrāj said, ‘I asked Abū Rāzin, “Do I write Sūrah such-and-such in my copy of the Qur’an?” He replied, “I fear that people will come who do not know it and think that those words are part of the Qur’an.”’

Ad-Dānī said, ‘All these reports which allow the marking of tens
and fives and beginnings of sūrah and the beginnings of āyahs say that it was done by the Companions whose ījtihād led them to that. I think that those of them who objected to that objected to the use of colours like red, yellow and so forth, although the Muslims in other areas agreed on their use in the master copies and other copies, and prohibition and error were removed from them in what they agreed upon, Allah willing.’
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As for the number of its letters and juz’s, Sallām al-Ḥimānī said, ‘Al-Ḥajjāj ibn Yusuf gathered the reciters, ḥuffāz and scribes and said, “Tell me how many letters there are in the entire Qur’ān.” I was one of that group. We calculated and agreed that the Qur’ān had three hundred and forty thousand, seven hundred and forty (340, 740) letters. Then he said, “Tell me which letter ends half of the Qur’ān.” It was in al-Kahf, on the fā’ of wa-l-yatalaṭṭaf [18:19, “he should go about with caution.”] He said, “Tell me the thirds.” The first third was found to be at the beginning of 9:100 and at the second at the beginning of 26:100 or 101. The last third was the rest of the Qur’ān. He said, “Tell me the sevenths of the letters.” The first seventh was on the dāl in 4:55, the second on the tā’ in 9:77, the third on the alif in 11:35, the fourth on the alif in 22:34, the fifth on the hā’ in 33:36, the sixth on the wāw in 48:6, and the seventh consists of the rest of the Qur’ān.’

Abū Muḥammad Sallām stated, ‘We did that over four months. Every night al-Ḥajjāj would read a fourth. The end of the first fourth was at the end of al-An‘ām, the second in al-Kahf, the third at the end of az-Zumar and the fourth consisted of the rest.’ This is contrary to what is mentioned by ad-Dānī in Kitāb al-Bayān.
Section

As for the number of the āyahs of the Qur’ān in the first Madinan copy, Muḥammad ibn Ḥūsaṃ said, ‘The number of the āyahs of the Qur’ān in the first Madinan copy was six thousand.’ Abū ‘Amr said, ‘It is the number related by the people of Kūfah from the people of Madīnah, and they did not name anyone specifically on whom they relied in that.’

As for the final Madinan copy, according to Ismā‘īl ibn Ja‘far, it has six thousand two hundred and fourteen (6214) āyahs. Al-Faḍl said, ‘The number of the āyahs of the Qur’ān according to the Makkans was six thousand two hundred and nineteen (6219). That is the number related by Sālim and al-Kisā‘ī from Ḥamzah. Al-Kisā‘ī attributed it to ‘Alī.’ Muḥammad said, ‘The number of the āyahs of the Qur’ān according to the Basrans was six thousand two hundred and four (6204), which is the number which their Salaf passed down. As for the number of the people of Syria, Yahyā ibn al-Ḥārith adh-Dhimārī said it was six thousand two hundred and twenty-six (6226). One transmission has six thousand two hundred and twenty-five (6225).’ Ibn Dhakwān said, ‘I think that Yahyā did not count the basmalah.’ Abū ‘Amr said, ‘These are the numbers that people have exchanged and counted in all regions, in the past and recently.’

As for the number of its words, al-Faḍl ibn Shādhān said, ‘The total number of the words of the Qur’ān according to ‘Aṭā’ ibn Yasār is seventy-seven thousand four hundred and thirty-nine (77,439) and its letters are three hundred and twenty-three thousand, and fifteen (323,015).’ This differs from what al-Ḥimānī said. Ibn Kathīr reported that Mujāhid said, ‘This is what we counted of the Qur’ān: it has three hundred and twenty-one thousand, one hundred and eighty (321,180) letters.’ This also differs from what al-Ḥimānī mentioned.
The meaning of the words sūrah, āyah, kalimah (word) and ḥarf (letter)

The word ‘sūrah’, which means chapter, wall or fence in Arabic, is used in the Qur’an to make each chapter clear and distinct from every other sūrah. The chapters are called that because in them one ascends from one degree to the next. It is said that they are called that because of their honour and elevation, as is said of walls which are raised up in the land. It is said that they are called that because the one who reads them looks forward at what lies ahead, like the walls of a building. All these are without hamzah. It is said that they are called that because they are cut out from the Qur’an on their own, as the Arabs call a leftover su’r. In that case the word would have a hamzah which was replaced with a wāw. It is said that they are called that because of their completion and perfection as this word is used for a fine camel.

An āyah is a sign. It is a sign since it is separate and distinct from the words before it and the words after it; it is clear from other signs and is on its own. The Arabs say, ‘There is an āyah between me and so-and-so,’ meaning a sign. That is also its usage in: ‘The sign of his kingship.’ (2:248) It is said that it is called that because it is a collection of letters of the Qur’an, as one says, ‘The people went forth with their full company (āyah).’ It is said that it is called āyah because it is a wonder which people are unable to imitate.

Grammarians disagree about the root of āyah. Sībawayh said that it is ayayah on the measure of fa’alah and because the yā’ is vowelled and has a fathah before it, it becomes alif and so it is āyah. Al-Kisā’ī said that its root is ayayah and the yā’ accepts the alif with a fathah before it and is elided because they are similar. Al-Farrā’ says that its root is ayyayah and it accepts the alif out of dislike of the doubling and becomes āyah.

As for word (kalimah), it is a composite structure which is made up of a mixture of letters. The longest words in the Book of Allah are eleven letters long, like “la-yastakhlishannahum” (24:55) and “a-nulzimukumūhā” (11:28) and the like. As for “fa-asqaynakumūhu” (15:22), it is ten in writing and eleven in articulation. The shortest
have two letters, like mā, la, laka, lahu, and the like. Some words are just one letter, such as the interrogative hamzah and the conjunctive wāw, but are not enunciated on their own.

Sometimes a complete āyah is comprised of a single word, as in wa-l-fajr, wa-d-duḥā, and wa-l-‘aṣr. That is also the case in alif-lām-mīm, alif-lām-mīm-ṣād, ẓā-hā, yā-sīn, and ḥā-mīm according to the Kufans. That occurs at the beginnings of sūrah, but not inside them. Abū ‘Amr ad-Dānī said, ‘I do not know of any word which on its own is an āyah except for mudhāmmatān in Sūrat ar-Raḥmān (55:64).’ Two words may become connected and be two āyahs, as in ḥā-mīm ‘ayn-sīn-qāf according to the Kufans.

It is possible that elsewhere a single word may stand for a complete and self-sustaining āyah. Allah says: ‘The most excellent Word of your Lord was fulfilled for the Tribe of Israel for their steadfastness.’ (7:136-137) It is said that ‘word’ here refers to Allah’s words: ‘We desired to show kindness to those who were oppressed in the land.’ (28:4-5) The Almighty says, ‘He bound them to godfearing self-restraint (literally ‘word of taqwā’).’ (48:26). Mujāhid said that the ‘word’ is: ‘There is no god but Allah.’ The Prophet ☪ said, ‘Two words are light on the tongue, heavy in the balance, beloved to the Al-Merciful: “Glory be to Allah and by His praise. Glory be to Allah, the Immense.”’ The Arabs sometimes call an entire ode and story a ‘word’ since it is their custom to call a thing by the name of what it comes from or is close to it and it is metaphorical.

The word harf (letter) is the shape which stands alone in a word of which it is made up. A letter can be called a word and a word a letter as we made clear. Abū ‘Amr ad-Dānī said, ‘Are the letters of the alphabet at the beginnings of sūrah, such as ṣād, qāf, and nūn letters or words? I say that they are words, not letters. That is because a letter is not unvowelled nor is it alone in a sūrah nor separate from what is mixed with it. But these are unvowelled, alone, separate, like words which are distinct. That is why they are called words rather than letters.’ Abū ‘Amr said that the term ‘harf’ can also be used with the meaning of a direction as in 22:11. Similarly the aḥruf of the Qur’an are seven modes of language. Allah knows best.’
Does the Qur’an contain words which are not Arabic?

There is no disagreement among the imams that the Qur’an contains words composed in a non-Arabic mode and names of people which are not Arabic, such as Isrā’īl, Jibrīl, ‘Imrān, Nūḥ and Lūṭ. They disagree about whether there are words other than proper names that are non-Arabic. Qāḍī Ibn at-Ṭayyib, at-Ṭabarī and others believe that there are no non-Arabic words in it and the Qur’an is pure Arabic, and whatever words found in it ascribed to other languages happen to be common to both, and so the Arabs, Persians, Abyssinians and others used them. Some claim that non-Arabic words exist in the Qur’an but that since they are so few that does not preclude the Qur’an being pure Arabic and the Prophet from speaking the language of his people. Mishkāt (24:35) is a niche, nasha’a means ‘to rise in the night’ as in ‘nāshi’ata-l-layl’ (73:6), qaswarah (74:51) is a lion and kiflayn (57:28) means ‘double’. These are found in Abyssinian. Ghassāq (38:57) means ‘foul’ in Turkish. Qistās (17:35; 26:182) means ‘balance’ in Greek. Sijjīl (21:104; 15:74; 105:4) means ‘stones’ in Persian. Ṭūr (2:63; 2:93, etc.) is a mountain and yamm (7:136. etc.) means sea in Syriac. Tannūr (11:40; 23:27) is the surface of the earth in Persian.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The truth about the expression of these words is that their origin is foreign but the Arabs used them and Arabicised them and so they are Arabic. When the Qur’an was revealed in their language, the Arabs had mixed with other languages via trade and travel. There were the journeys of Quraysh and the journey of Musāfir ibn Abī ‘Amr to Syria, the journeys of ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ and ‘Ammārah ibn al-Walīd to Abyssinia, and the journeys of al-A’shā to Hira [in Iraq] and his keeping the company of the Christians there: he is considered to be an authority in language. Through all of that the Arabs acquired foreign words and changed some of them by reducing the number of letters and lessening their foreign quality. They used them in their poetry and conversations until they became part of sound Arabic and are clear. It is according to this that the Qur’an was revealed. If an Arab is ignorant of it, it is clear ignorance of another language, just as Ibn
‘Abbās did not know the meaning of ‘fāṭir’ and other things.’ Ibn ʿAṭiyyah said, ‘What at-Ṭabarī believed about the two languages both using the same expressions is unlikely. Rather one of them is the root and the other the branch in most cases.’

Others say that the first is sounder. The statement that the root of the words come from another language and have entered Arabic is not more appropriate than the reverse. The Arabs either spoke them or did not. If the first is true, then it is part of their language. It is not unlikely for others to use the same words. The great imam Abū ʿUbayd said that.

If it is said that the words do not have the morphological forms of Arabic words and so they are not from Arabic, we say that if someone tells you that their morphological forms are limited so that these words are not part of them, the Qādī has investigated the bases of the morphological forms of Arabic and returned these words to them by a grammatical approach. If the Arabs did not speak them or know them, it would be impossible for Allah to address them by something they did not know. In that case, the Arabic would not be clear Arabic and the Messenger would not be addressing his people in their language. And Allah knows best.
Points about the inimitability of the Qur’an, preconditions of the miracle and its reality

A miracle (mu’jizah) is the proof of the Prophets which indicates their truthfulness. It is called that [the verb means ‘to be unable to do’] because no human being is able to do the like of it. It has five preconditions. If one of them is missing, it is not a miracle.

– It must be something which only Allah can do. This precondition is necessary because if someone comes at a time in which it is possible for there to be Messengers and claims to be a Messenger and makes his miracle consist of moving and being still, standing and sitting, that is not a miracle nor evidence of his truthfulness since another creature can do that. Miracles consist of things like splitting the sea, splitting the moon and other such things which a human being cannot do.

– It must break normal patterns. If someone comes at night and his sign is that the night will come after the day or the sun rise in the east in the morning, that is not a miracle because it is something which only Allah can do and it was not done for his sake. It was like that before he made his claim and like that when he made his claim. A claim of proof of Prophethood is like any other claim. If the evidence exists, it provides proof of truthfulness. Evidence is provided in the form of things which break normal patterns like turning a staff into a snake, the rock splitting and the she-camel emerging, or water springing from fingers like a spring, or similar matters which break normal patterns and can only be done by the Creator of the heavens and the earth. These signs are equivalent to a statement by the Lord informing us that what the Messenger says is the truth.

An example of this would be if there were a group of people in the presence of a king and one of the men, who was both seen and heard by the king, said, ‘People! the King commands you to do this and that. The evidence for that is that the King will affirm me by one of his actions: removing his signet-ring from his hand with the intention of verifying what I say.’ When the king hears his words and his claim and then does that action which attests to his truthfulness,
that is equivalent to him making a statement about the truthfulness of his claim. That is the case when Allah does something which only He can do and breaks normal patterns at the hand of the Messenger: that is equivalent to His words attesting to the truthfulness of His Messenger.

– It must be claimed to testify to the Message. If the claimant says, ‘My Sign is that Allah will turn this water into oil or move the earth when I tell it to shake,’ then if Allah does this, it is achieved.

– It must occur in order to support this claim against those who challenge him such as when he says, ‘I am a Prophet and my sign is that this she-camel will speak or that my hand will speak.’ If it then speaks and says, ‘He lied. He is not a Prophet,’ the words that Allah created indicate that the claimant lied because what Allah did was not in keeping with his claim. This is similar to what was related from Musaylimah the Liar who claimed to be a Prophet when he spat into a well to make its water abundant and the well collapsed and all its water departed. What Allah did was a sign which negated his claim.

– It must be that no one successfully comes up with the like of what he is challenged to do. If this precondition is met, the miracle indicates the Prophethood of the one at whose hands it appears. If Allah were to bring someone who did the like of what he did when challenged to do so, that would negate his Prophethood and it would no longer be a miracle or prove his truthfulness. That is why the Almighty says, ‘Let them produce a discourse like if they are telling the truth’ (52:34) and ‘Or do they say, “He has invented it”? Say: “Then produce ten invented surahs like this.”’ (11:13) It is as if He were saying, ‘If you claim that Мuḥammad ﷺ wrote the Qur’ān and produced it, then produce ten surahs like it. If you are all unable to do so, then know that it is not something that he produced.’

It is not said that miracles with the five preconditions are limited to the truthful, because the Dajjāl, as our Prophet ﷺ said, will have immense matters appear at his hands. The difference is that one claims to be a Messenger and the other to be a Lord, and there is a great difference between the blind and the seeing. It is entirely logical for some of creation to be sent to others. This is not
impossible and so it is also not unlikely for Allah to provide proofs of the truthfulness of someone who brings Divine Law and religion.
**Section**

If you affirm this, know that there are two types of miracle. The first is that which is famous and whose time ended at the death of the Prophet ﷺ, and the second are those transmitted by multiple traditions as being sound and confirmed and hence must be known. The precondition is that many people transmitted it and have knowledge of what they transmit and the whole chain is reliable so that it is impossible that there be any falsehood in it. This is the case with the Qur’an and the existence of the Prophet ﷺ since the Community has transmitted the Qur’an from generation to generation going back to the Prophet ﷺ whose existence is necessarily known and whose truthfulness was confirmed by miraculous proofs. The Messenger ﷺ took it via Jibrīl from his Lord. The Qur’an has been transmitted from two Messengers who are protected from adding to it or subtracting from it, and then it has been transmitted to us by such large numbers of people that it is not possible that they lie in what they transmit and hear. That is why we necessarily know that they speak the truth in what they transmit about the existence of Muḥammad ﷺ and the Qur’an arriving through him and the challenge issued by it. In respect of worldly knowledge, that is like a man’s knowledge of what has been transmitted to him about the existence of places like Basra, Syria, Iraq, Khorasan, Madīnah, Makkah and similar widely known and transmitted reports. The Qur’an will remain a miracle until the Day of Rising whereas the miracles of other Prophets ended with their death. The Qur’an will not be changed or altered as happened with the Torah and Gospel.

There are ten aspects to the inimitability of the Qur’an.

- Its wondrous composition differs from every usual order in Arabic and other languages because its arrangement is not any kind of poetry, as Allah says, ‘We did not teach him poetry nor would it be right for him.’ (36:68) In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, it is reported that Unays, the brother of Abū Dharr, told Abū Dharr, ‘In Makkah I met a man who claims that Allah sent him.’ He asked, ‘What do people say about him?’ He replied, ‘They say: he is a poet, a soothsayer and a sorcerer.’ Unays
was a poet and said, ‘I have heard the words of the soothsayers and this is not like their words. I compared him with the reciters of poetry and it was not like them. No one after me should err and say he was a poet. He is truthful and they are liars.’ When ‘Utbah ibn Rabī‘ah, known for his esteemed position in judging rhetoric and eloquence, heard the Qur’an, he affirmed that it was not sorcery or poetry and that he had never heard anything like it.

– Its style differs from all the other styles of the Arabs.
– It has a lucid, eloquent style which could not possibly come from a creature. Reflect on that in Sūrat Qāf (50), Sūrat az-Zumar (39) and Ibrāhīm (14) and you will clearly see its eloquence which a creature could not possibly originate. Ibn al-Ḥaṣṣār said, ‘Anyone who knows that Allah Almighty is the Truth knows that this purity of style is not found in any other discourse.’

Ibn al-Ḥaṣṣār went on, ‘These three – arrangement, purity of style and lucid eloquence – are found in every sūrah, indeed, in every āyah, and the combination of these three distinguishes the diction of every āyah and every sūrah from the words of ordinary humans. By this there is a challenge [to opponents] and inimitability. Every sūrah has these three, although other aspects of the ten may be ascribed to it as well. Sūrat al-Kawthar (108) consists of only three short āyahs, being the shortest sūrah in the Qur’an, and it contains reports about the unseen matters: about Kawthar and its immensity and abundance which indicates that the Prophet ☦ will have the greatest number of Followers. The second is about al-Walīd ibn al-Mughīrah, who was a wealthy man with many children when this was revealed (74:11), and then later he was destroyed.

– The Arabic usage used in it is beyond what any Arab could master and they all agree that it is correct, with every word and letter in its proper place.

– It talks of matters which occurred from the beginning of the world until the time of its revelations, all this issuing from the mouth of someone illiterate who could neither read nor write. It reports about the stories of Prophets with their communities and past generations as well as those matters the People of the Book asked about when they challenged him about the People of the Cave, al-
Khiḍr and Mūsā, and Dhū ’l-Qarnayn. Qāḍī ibn aṭ-Ṭayyib points out that we know that there was no way for him to learn this because he did not have contact with people with knowledge of history, or frequent a teacher, so that he could take from them, and so it is known that it could only have come by way of revelation.

– There is the fulfilment of Allah’s promises which were visually perceived in all that He promised in the Qur’an. That is divided into general reports, like promising His Messenger victory and expelling those who expelled him, and promises which have preconditions like, ‘If someone trusts in Allah, He is enough for him’ (65:3), ‘Whoever believes in Allah – He will guide his heart’ (64:11), ‘Whoever has taqwā in Allah – He will give him a way out’ (65:2) ‘If there are twenty of you who are steadfast, they will overcome two hundred.’ (8:65), and other examples.

– There are reports about unseen matters in the future which could only be known by revelation. Part of that is Allah’s promise to His Prophet that his dīn would overcome all other religions when He says, ‘It is He Who sent His Messenger with the Guidance and the Dīn of Truth’ (48:28), as happened. When Abū Bakr sent his armies on expeditions, he would inform them of Allah’s promise to make His dīn victorious so that they would be confident of victory and certain of success. ‘Umar also did that, and the conquests continued in the east and west. Allah says, ‘Allah has promised those of you who believe and do right actions that He will make them the successors in the land as He made those before them the successors.’ (24:55) He said, ‘Allah has confirmed His Messenger’s vision with truth: “You will enter the Masjid al-Harām in safety”’ (48:27) and ‘Alif Lām Mīm. The Romans have been defeated in the land nearby, but after their defeat they will themselves be victorious.’ (30:1-3) All of these are reports about unseen matters which only the Lord of all the Worlds knows or someone whom the Lord of the Worlds gives to know. This indicates that Allah informed His Messenger about them so that it would be a proof of his truthfulness.

– There is the knowledge that the Qur’an contains, which is the basis for all people regarding the lawful and unlawful and other rulings.

– There are eloquent expressions of wisdom which do not
normally issue from a human being.

– The perfect symmetry of the entire Qur’an, outward and inward without disparity or inconsistency, is a final factor. Allah says, ‘If it had been from other than Allah, they would have found many inconsistencies in it.’ (4:82)

These are ten points mentioned by our scholars, and an eleventh, mentioned by an-Nazzâm and some Qadarîs, is that the meaning of inimitability is the impossibility of opposition and being prevented from undertaking to meet the challenge. They said that the prohibition and diverting people from attempting to do that are the miracle rather than the Qur’an itself. That is because Allah directed their aspirations away from undertaking the challenge to bring a sūrah like it. This is false because the consensus of the community without any disagreement is that Qur’an itself is the miracle, not the diversion and prohibition, because its eloquence and lucidity are beyond normal patterns. If there had been any words like it, it would not be the case. This indicates that the prohibition and diversion are not the miracle.

They also have two different views about this diversion. One is that they were diverted from the ability to do it. If they had attempted it, they would have lacked the power to do it. The second is that they were diverted from undertaking it although it was within their power and they would have succeeded had they tried.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The substance of the challenge in the Qur’an has to do with its composition, the soundness of its meanings, and the continuous eloquence of its phrases. The substance of its inimitability is that Allah Almighty encompasses all things in knowledge and encompasses all words in His knowledge. By His all-encompassing knowledge, He knows which word is proper for following another and how to make clear one meaning after another. That is the case from the beginning of the Qur’an to the end of it. Human beings are subject to ignorance, forgetfulness and distraction. It is an indisputable fact that a human being is not all-encompassing.

‘The composition of the Qur’an has the ultimate eloquence. This invalidates the position of those who said that the Arabs possessed
the ability to bring the like of the Qur’an in ultimate eloquence, but when Muhammad 🌿 came, they were diverted from that and unable to do it. What is sound is that producing something like the Qur’an is not within the power of a creature. The incapacity of the human becomes obvious to you when one of them who is eloquent produces a speech or ode exerting all his effort and continues to work at it for an entire year. Then someone else comes after him and exerts his own talent and makes alterations in it. That continues to be done. But were someone to attempt to find a better word for one in the Qur’an, he would not be able to do that.’

Part of the eloquence of the Qur’an is that in a single āyah Allah mentions two commands, two prohibitions, two reports and two instances of good news. That is in ‘We revealed to Mūsā’s mother, “Suckle him and then when you fear for him cast him into the sea. Do not fear or grieve; We will return him to you and make him one of the Messengers.”’ (28:7). The same is true of the beginning of Sūrat al-Mā’idah where He mentions fulfilling contracts, forbids breaking contracts, and makes things generally lawful and then after that makes one exception after another. Then He reports about His wisdom and power. That is something that only Allah can do. Allah reports about death, grief over loss, the reward and punishment of the Next Abode, the triumph of the successful and the ruin of the wrongdoers, cautions against being deluded by this world and describes it as being insignificant in relation to the Enduring Abode in ‘Every self will taste death. You will be paid your wages in full on the Day of Rising. Anyone who is distanced from the Fire and admitted to the Garden has triumphed. The life of this world is just the enjoyment of delusion.’ (3:185). He also reports about the stories of the first and the last, the end of the affluent and outcome of those who were destroyed in half of an āyah in ‘Against some We sent a sudden squall of stones; some of them were seized by the Great Blast; some We caused the earth to swallow up; and some We drowned.’ (29:40). He reported about the Ship, its sailing, the destruction of the unbelievers, the coming to rest and landing of the Ship and directing commands of subjugation to the heaven and the earth in ‘He said, ‘Embark in it. In the name of Allah be its voyage and its landing! … And it was said, ‘Away with the people of the wrongdoers!’’ (11:41-44).
When Quraysh were unable to produce anything like it, they said that the Prophet ☪ had fabricated it. So Allah revealed: ‘Or do they say, “He has simply made it up?” No, the truth is they do not believe. Let them produce a discourse like it if they are telling the truth.’ (52:33-34) Then He revealed something that revealed their incapacity even more: ‘Or do they say, “He has invented it”? Say, “Then produced ten invented sūrahs like this.”’ (11:13) When they were still unable to do that, He decreased the amount to something like one of the short sūrahs and said, ‘If you have doubts about what We have sent down to Our slave, produce another sūrah equal to it.’ (2:23) They were unable to respond and that was the end of it. So they resorted to war and obstinacy and preferred the capture of women and children. If they had been able to respond, it would have been far easier, a stronger argument, and would have had greater effect. They could not respond although they were masters of rhetoric and composition and were the source of eloquence in language.

The eloquence (balāghah) of the Qur’an possesses the highest levels of excellence and most elevated degrees of concision and clarity. Indeed, it exceeds the limits of excellence, to the sphere of masters and beyond. Although the Messenger of Allah ☪ was given concise meaningful expressions, rare wisdoms, which you will see when you reflect on what he said in describing the Garden, and extreme excellence in language, that falls short of the rank of the Qur’an. The Prophet ☪ said, ‘In it is what no eye has seen, no ear has heard, and has not occurred to the heart of man.’ See where that is in relation to the words of the Almighty: ‘They will have there all that their hearts desire and their eyes find delight in’ (43:71) and ‘No self knows the delight that is hidden away for it.’ (32:17)

This is the most balanced structure, beautiful composition, and sweet expression. Furthermore, that is expressed even in the amount of a sūrah or a longer āyah. That is because whenever the words are long, there is scope for departure and the words fall short for someone who falls short. So the argument was won against the Arabs since they were masters of eloquence and likely to answer back. Similarly in the case of Īsā ☪, the proof of the miracle was
established against doctors and that of Mūsā ♦ against the magicians. Allah gave the Prophets miracles in the field in which people were most skilled at that time so that the Prophet could defeat them. Magic had reached its peak at the time of Mūsā, medicine in the time of ʿĪsā, and eloquence at the time of Muḥammad ♦.
Information about hadiths forged about the excellence of the sūrah of the Qur’an and other matters

No attention should be paid to false hadiths and baseless reports about the excellence of the sūrah of the Qur’an and other virtuous actions devised by forgers and opponents. Many people do this and their goals and aims vary. Some of them are zīndiqs, like al-Mughirah ibn Sa‘īd al-Kūfī and Muḥammad ibn Sa‘īd ash-Shāmī. They forged hadiths and used to create doubt in the hearts of people. One example is what Muḥammad ibn Sa‘īd related from Anas ibn Mālik about the words of the Prophet, ‘I am the seal of the Prophets and there will be no Prophet after me “except as Allah wishes”.’ He added the exception and that is heresy on his part. This is mentioned by Ibn ‘Abd ‘l-Barr in the Kītāb at-Tamhīd. The exception is interpreted to mean dreams, and Allah knows best.

Others forged hadiths to support a sect they were inviting people to join. One of the Kharijite leaders said after he repented, ‘These hadiths are the dīn. So look to whom you take your dīn from. When we desired something, we made up a hadith about it.’

Another group forged hadiths about rewards, calling people to virtuous actions, as related from Abū ‘Iṣmah Nūḥ ibn Maryam al-Marwazī, Muḥammad ibn ‘Ukkāshah al-Kirmānī, Aḥmad ibn ‘Abdullāh al-Juwaybārī and others. Abū ‘Iṣmah was asked, ‘Where did you get what you have from ‘Ikrimah from Ibn ‘Abbās about the virtue of certain sūrah?’ He replied, ‘I saw that people were turning from the Qur’an and busying themselves with the fiqh of Abū Ḥanīfah and the Military Expeditions of Muḥammad ibn Ishāq and so I made up this hadith about rewards.’ Abū ‘Amr ‘Uthmān ibn aṣ-Salāḥ said in the book, Ulūm al-ḥadīth, ‘That is the case with the long hadith reported from Ubayy ibn Ka‘b from the Prophet about the virtues of each sūrah of the Qur’an. Someone investigated its transmission going back until he reached someone known and a group who forged it and the track of the forgery is clear with respect to it. The commentator, al-Wāḥidī, and those who mentioned it erred in using it in their commentaries.

Some beggars who stand in markets and mosques forge hadiths
with isnāds from the Prophet which they have memorised. They mention these forged hadiths along with their isnāds. Abū Ja‘far ibn Muhammad at-Ṭayālisī said, ‘Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and Yaḥyā ibn Ma‘īn prayed in the Ruṣāfah mosque and a storyteller stood before them and said, “Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and Yaḥyā ibn Ma‘īn related to me from ‘Abd ar-Razzāq from Ma‘mar from Qatādah from Anas that the Messenger of Allah said, “If anyone says, “There is no god but Allah,” a bird is created from every word whose beak is of gold and feathers of coral.”’ He began a story which would cover about twenty pages. Aḥmad looked at Yaḥyā and Yaḥyā looked at Ahmad. He asked, “Did you say this?” He said, “By Allah, I only just heard it at this moment.” They remained silent until he finished his story. Then Yahyā asked him, “Who told you this hadith?” “Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and Yahyā ibn Ma‘īn,” he replied. He said, “I am Ibn Ma‘īn and this is Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and we have never heard this as being among the hadiths of the Messenger of Allah. This must be a lie.” “You are Yahyā ibn Ma‘īn?” he asked. “Yes,” he replied. He said, “I had not heard that Yaḥyā ibn Ma‘īn was a fool and I did not know it until this moment!” Yaḥyā asked, “And why do you say that I am a fool?” He replied, “It is not as if there was no Yaḥyā ibn Ma‘īn and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal in the world but you two! I have written from seventeen Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbals other than this.” Aḥmad hid his face in his sleeve and said, “Let him go.” He went as if he was mocking them.’

These groups and those who follow in their footsteps lie about the Messenger of Allah. It is mentioned that ar-Rashīd liked pigeons and amusing himself [by racing] them. He was give some pigeons while Qāḍī Abu-l-Bakhtarī was with him. He said, ‘Abū Hurayrah related that the Prophet said, “There is only racing with that which has claws, hooves or wings.”’ He had inserted ‘wings’. He had forged that word for ar-Rashīd. So he gave him a splendid reward. When he left, ar-Rashīd said, ‘By Allah, I know that was a lie!’ He ordered that the pigeons be slaughtered. He was asked, ‘What was the sin of the pigeons?’ He said, ‘It is because they caused someone to lie about the Messenger of Allah.’ Therefore scholars
abandoned his hadiths and other things that he forged and scholars did not record his hadiths at all.

If they had confined themselves to what is established in the Ṣaḥīḥ collections and Musnads and other books made by scholars related by the imams, they would have had enough. They abandoned the warning of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Fear Allah when reporting from other than those you know. Whoever deliberately lies about me should take his seat in the Fire.’ So he ﷺ warned his Community about lying, indicating that he knew that it would happen. His warning was about what is forged by the enemies of Islam and the zindīqs in respect of encouragement and warning and other things. Those who do the greatest harm are those who ascribed asceticism to themselves and forged hadiths about rewards that they claim for it. People accepted their forgeries and relied on them, and so they were misguided and misguided others.
What has come on the refutation of those who attack the Qur’an and oppose the text of ‘Uthmān by adding to it or removing some of it

There is no disagreement in the Community among the Imams of the Sunnah that the Qur’an is the name used to designate the Words of Allah which Muḥammad ﷺ brought as a miracle, as we have said. It is preserved in the hearts, recited on the tongues, written in the copies of the Qur’an, and known by necessity in sūrahās and āyahās. It is free of any addition or increase in words and letters. There is no need for a definition to define it or number to contain it. Anyone who claims increase or decrease in it has declared the consensus false and such an action astonishes people. We read what Allah said about what the Messenger ﷺ brought in the Qur’an, ‘Say: “If both men and jinn banded together to produce the like of this Qur’an, they could never produce anything like it, even if they backed each other up.”’ (17:88). That is an attempt to invalidate the Sign of the Messenger because if it had been something someone was capable of doing, it would not be a proof or a sign and therefore not a miracle.

Those who say that there is addition or decrease in the Qur’an reject the Book of Allah and what the Messenger ﷺ has brought. It is the same as someone who states that the obligatory prayers are fifty, one can marry nine women and that Allah has made it obligatory to fast extra days together with the days of Ramadan, and so forth. As all of that is refuted by consensus, so consensus about the Qur’an is more binding and necessary.

Imam Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn al-Qāsim ibn Bashshār ibn Muḥammad al-Anbārī said, ‘The people of excellence and intelligence continue to recognise the nobility of the Qur’an and its high position. This is necessitated by truth, justice and religion. They deny the statements of nullifiers and the misrepresentation of atheists, which has led in our time to grave deviation from religion and an attack on the community in an attempt to nullify the Shari‘ah, which Allah continues to support. He makes its roots firm and its branches grow and guards it against the criticisms of those who are filled with bias and injustice and against the stratagems of
the people of enmity and unbelief.’

‘Such people claim that the copy of the Qur’an that ‘Uthmān had transcribed, and which the Companions of the Messenger of Allah agreed was correct, is not complete since five hundred letters are missing from it. There are also claims that ‘Uthmān and the Companions added to the Qur’an. They claim that ‘Uthmān was not right in assigning the transcription of the Qur’an to Zayd ibn Thābit because ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd and Ubayy ibn Ka‘b were more entitled to do that than Zayd, on account of the fact that the Prophet said, ‘Ubayy ibn Ka‘b has the greatest knowledge of recitation in my community,’ and ‘Whoever is happy to recite the Qur’an fresh as it was revealed should recite the reading of Ibn Umm ‘Abd.’ Such a person says that he can differ from the Qur’an of ‘Uthman as Abū ‘Amr ibn al-‘Alā’, Ibn Kathīr, Nāfi’, Ḥamzah and al-Kisā‘ī did in respect of vowelling and doubling of certain letters. These points are not actual difference from the text as we will mention when it occurs.’

Abū Bakr continued, ‘This person mentioned that Ubayy ibn Ka‘b recited, “as though it had not been flourishing just the day before and Allah only destroyed it because of the sins of its people.” This is false because ‘Abdullāh recited to Mujāhid, Mujāhid recited to Ibn ‘Abbās and Ibn ‘Abbās recited the Qur’an to Ubayy ibn Ka‘b: “as though it had not been flourishing just the day before! In this way We make Our Signs clear…” (10:24) In one transmission, Ubayy recited the Qur’an to the Messenger of Allah. This isnād is connected to the Messenger and transmitted by the people of justice and integrity. When someone is sound from the Messenger of Allah, there is no hadith to dispute it. Yahyā ibn al-Mubārak al-Yazīdī said, ‘I recited the Qur’an to Abū ‘Amr ibn al-‘Alā’ who recited it to Mujāhid who recited it to Ibn ‘Abbās, and Ibn ‘Abbās recited it to Ubayy ibn Ka‘b who recited it to the Prophet. It does not contain “Allah only destroyed it because of the sins of its people.” Whoever denies that Allah revealed this addition to His Prophet is neither an unbeliever nor a sinner.’

Ubayy related from Naṣr ibn Dāwūd aṣ-Ṣāghānī from Abū
Abū ‘Ubayd said, ‘As for what is related about letters which disagree with the codex on which there is consensus which has isnāds, which are particular and not general, that they transmit from Ubayy the words, “Allah only destroyed it because of the sins of its people” and from Ibn ‘Abbās “there is nothing wrong in seeking bounty from your Lord in the festivals of the Hajj,” and what they relate about ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb reciting, “not of those with anger on them, nor [adding an extra ghayr] of the misguided” as well as many other examples, the people of knowledge do not transmit that the prayer is valid with them nor that they oppose the codex of ‘Uthmān because if anyone denies that these letters are part of the Qur’ān, he is not an unbeliever, but if anyone denies any part of the Qur’ān collected by ‘Uthmān with the agreement of the Companions, then he is an unbeliever and his ruling is that of an apostate who is asked to repent. If he does not repent, he is executed.’

Abū ‘Ubayd said, ‘What ‘Uthmān did in transcribing the Qur’ān is still considered to be one of his great virtuous actions. Some of the people of deviation attack it in order to look for his faults. Yazīd ibn Zuray‘ related from ‘Imrān ibn Jarīr that Abū Miljaz said, “People attacked ‘Uthmān for his foolishness in collecting the Qur’ān and then they read what was abrogated.” Abū ‘Ubayd said that Abū Miljaz believed that ‘Uthmān omitted what he omitted based on knowledge, as he confirmed what he confirmed, based on knowledge.’

Abū Bakr said, ‘The unbelief of the person who said this [about the codex of ‘Uthmān] is indicated by His words, “It is We Who have sent down the Reminder and We Who will preserve it” (15:9) because Allah has preserved the Qur’ān from change and alteration and from addition or decrease. When someone recites the sūrah, “Ruin to the hands of Abū Lahab and ruin to him!” (111) and says “wa murayyatuhu” instead of “wa-mra’atuhu”, and “layf” instead of “masad”, he has denied Allah and said that He said what He did not say and altered and changed His Book. He is laying down the path for the people of atheism who want to insert into the Qur’ān what will permit Islam to be stripped away. They ascribe it to like-minded people who are trying to foist these falsehoods on them and to nullify the consensus which guards
Islam on whose basis the prayers are established, zakāt is paid and worship attended to.

‘The words of the Almighty, “Alif-Lām-Rā’. A Book whose āyahs are perfectly constructed” (11:1) contain evidence of the innovation of this person and his departure into unbelief because the meaning of “whose āyahs are perfectly constructed” prevents creatures from having the power to add to it or decrease it or to falsely ascribe anything to it.’
Seeking Refuge with Allah from Shaytān

Allah orders people to seek refuge at the beginning of every recitation when He says, ‘Whenever you recite the Qur’an, seek refuge with Allah from the cursed Shaytān.’ (16:98) This command is a recommendation, according to the position of the majority, for every time of recitation other than the prayer. There is disagreement where the prayer is concerned. An-Naqqāsh reported from ‘Aṭā’ that seeking refuge is mandatory in it. Ibn Sīrīn, Ibrahim an-Nakha’ī and some other people sought refuge in the prayer in every rak‘ah and treated the command of Allah to seek refuge as applying in every case. Abū Ḥanīfah and ash-Shāfi‘ī sought refuge in the first rak‘ah of the prayer and considered all the recitation during the prayer to constitute a single act of recitation. Mālik did not think that there was any need to seek refuge in the obligatory prayers but thought it should be done in night prayers in Ramadan.

Scholars agree that the formula of seeking refuge is not part of the Qur’ān nor an āyah of it. It is the words of the reciter, ‘A‘ūdhu bi-llāhi mina-sh-shayṭāni-r-rajīm (I seek refuge with Allah from the Accursed Shaytān).’ This formula is that on which the majority of scholars agree because it concurs with the expression in the Book of Allah. It is related that Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘I say, “I seek refuge with Allah, the All-Hearing, All-Knowing from the accursed Shaytān.”’ The Prophet ﷺ said to him, ‘Ibn Umm ‘Abd, I seek refuge with Allah from the accursed Shaytān as Jibrīl read it to me from the Preserved Tablet from the Pen.’

Abū Dāwūd and Ibn Mājah related in their Sunan collections that Jubayr ibn Muṭ‘im saw the Messenger of Allah ﷺ praying. (‘Amr said, ‘I do not know which prayer it was.’) He said, ‘Allah is very much greater. Allah is very much greater (three times). Praise be to Allah Abundantly. Praise be to Allah Abundantly (three times) Glory be to Allah morning and evening (three times). I seek refuge with Allah from Shaytān and his blowing, spitting and spurring.’ Spurring is madness, spitting is poetry and blowing is pride. Ibn Mājah said that madness is insanity, spitting is when a man expels air through his mouth without spittle, and pride is haughtiness.
Abū Dāwūd also related that Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī said that when the Messenger of Allah (ﷺ) rose at night, he would say the *takbīr* and then say, ‘Glory be to You, O Allah, and by Your praise. Blessed is Your Name and exalted are You. There is no god but You.’ Then he said, ‘There is no god but Allah’ three times, ‘Allah is very great’ three times, and ‘I seek refuge with Allah, the All-Hearing, all-Knowing from the Accursed Shayṭān from his spurring, blowing and spitting.’ Then he would recite.

Sulaymān ibn Sālim related from Ibn al-Qāsim that the seeking refuge formula is: ‘I seek refuge with Allah, the Immense from the Accursed Shayṭān. Allah is the All-Hearing, All-Knowing. In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful.’ Ibn ‘Atiyyah stated, ‘Those who recite often change the attribute of the Name of Allah and that of the other, as when one says “I seek refuge with Allah the Glorious from the rebellious Shayṭān,” and the like. I do not say that this is a good innovation nor that it is not permitted.’

Al-Mahdawī said, ‘The reciters agree about reciting the seeking refuge formula at the beginning of the Fātiḥah except for Ḥamzah who does it silently. As-Suddī related that the people of Madīnah used to begin recitation with the *basmalah*. As-Samarqandī related from some of the commentators that seeking refuge is an obligation. When the reciter forgets it and then remembers at some point in his recitation, he stops and seeks refuge and then begins from the beginning again. One of them said that he seeks refuge and then returns to where he stopped. The first is the position of the authorities of the Hijaz and Iraq and the second is that of the authorities of Syria and Egypt.’

Az-Zahrawī said, ‘The *āyah* was revealed about the prayer, and it was recommended to seek refuge outside the prayer, but it is not an obligation.’ Another said, ‘It was an obligation only for the Prophet (ﷺ) and we emulate him.’

If it is asked, ‘What is the benefit of seeking refuge from the Accursed Shayṭān at the time of recitation?’ the reply is that the benefit lies in obeying the command. The only benefit of prescribed matters lies in obeying them if they are commands or avoiding them if they are prohibitions. It is said that its benefit is to obey the
command to seek refuge from the whispering of Shayṭān in recitation as Allah says, ‘We did not send any Messenger or any Prophet before you without Shayṭān insinuating something into his recitation while he was reciting.’ (22:50).

Ibn al-'Arabī said, ‘Very strange is what we find of the words of Mālik in the Collection (Majmū‘ah) regarding the commentary on this āyah, “Whenever you recite the Qur’ān, seek refuge with Allah from the cursed Shayṭān” (16:98) when he says, “That is after the recitation of the Umm al-Qur’ān for the one who recites in the prayer.” This position has no effect and investigation does not support it. If it is as some people say about seeking refuge being after the recitation, it specifies that that comes after the Fātiḥah in the prayer. That is a tremendous claim and does not resemble the basic principle or understanding of Mālik. Allah best knows the secret of this transmission.’

Regarding the excellence of seeking refuge, Muslim related that Sulaymān ibn Ṣurad said, ‘Two men were quarrelling in the presence of the Prophet ﷺ. One of them became angry and his face turned red and his veins stood out. The Prophet ﷺ looked at him and said, “I know a statement which, if you say it, will remove what you feel: ‘I seek refuge with Allah from the accursed Shayṭān.’” A man who had heard the Prophet ﷺ went to the man and said, “Do you know what the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said? He said, ‘I know a statement which, if you say it, will remove what you feel: “I seek refuge with Allah from the accursed Shayṭān.”’” The man said to him, “Do you think I am mad?”’ Al-Bukhārī transmitted it.

Muslim reported that ‘Uthman ibn Abi-l-'Āṣ said, ‘I went to the Prophet ﷺ and said, “Messenger of Allah, Shayṭān comes between me and my prayer and recitation and confuses me.” He said, “That is a Shayṭān called Khinzab. When you feel that, seek refuge in Allah from him and spit to your left three times.” I did that and Allah removed it from me.’

Abū Dāwūd reported that Ibn ʿUmar said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah ﷺ travelled, and night was coming he said, “O earth, my Lord and your Lord is Allah. I seek refuge with Allah from your evil and the evil of what He creates in you, from the evil of what crawls
Khawlah bint Ḥakīm reported that she heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘If anyone makes camp and then says, “I seek refuge with the complete words of Allah from the evil of what He created,” he will not be harmed by anything until he sets out again.’ The Muwatta’, Muslim and at-Tirmidhī transmitted it. He said that it is a sound hasan gharib hadith. Seeking refuge is found and confirmed in many reports. Allah is the One Who is asked for help.

The seeking refuge formula (isti‘ādhah) in Arabic is seeking protection in something so that it will guard a person against what he dislikes. The terms ‘awdahah, mu‘ādhah and ta‘wīdh have the same meaning.

Shayṭān is the singular of shayāṭīn. The name ‘Shayṭān’ comes from a root (shaṭana) which means to be far from good. Shaṭūn is a deep well. Shaṭān is a long rope. It is called that because its ends are far from one another. The Arabs describe a refractory horse as a shayṭān. Shayṭān himself is called that because he is far from the truth and is rebellious; and the word is used for every rebellious one among the jinn and animals. It is said that ‘Shayṭān’ is derived from the verb shāṭa which is a word used for someone who is destroyed or burned. Ar-rajīm (accursed) means to be far from good and humiliated. Its root means ‘stoning’. ‘Stoning’ is a metaphor for killing, cursing, exile and abuse. All of this is found in the words of the Almighty: ‘They said, “Nūḥ, if you do not desist, you will be stoned”’ (26:116) and the words of Ibrāhīm’s father: ‘If you do not stop, I will stone you.’ (19:46)

Al-A‘mash related from Abū Wā’il from ‘Abdullāh that ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said, ‘I saw the Messenger of Allah ﷺ at Ṣafā, facing an individual in the form of an elephant whom he was cursing. I asked, “Who are you cursing, Messenger of Allah?” He replied, “This is the accursed Shayṭān.” I said, “Enemy of Allah, by Allah, I will kill you and relieve the Community of you!” He said, “This is not my repayment from you.” I asked, “And what is your repayment from me, enemy of Allah?” He said, “By Allah, no one will hate you at all unless I had a share of him with his father in his mother’s womb.”’
The Basmalah

(In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, the Most Merciful)

Scholars say that ‘By the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful!’ is an oath from our Lord, which He revealed at the beginning of every sūrah. By it, He swears to His slaves, ‘What I have laid down for you, My slaves, in this sūrah is true. I will fulfil for you all that I guarantee in this sūrah of My promise, kindness and gentleness.’ ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful’ is part of what Allah revealed in His Book, and this is special for this Community after Sulaymān. Some scholars say that ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful’ contains all the Sharī‘ah because it indicates the Essence and the Attributes. This is sound.

Sa‘īd ibn Abī Sakīnah said that he heard that ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib looked at a man who was writing ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful,’ and told him, ‘Do it well. If a man does it well, he will be forgiven.’ Sa‘īd said, ‘I heard that a man looked at a parchment on which was written “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful,” and kissed it and placed it on his eyes and was forgiven on account of that.’ There is a similar story from Bishr al-Ḥāfī. When he picked up a rag on which was ‘the Name of Allah’ and perfumed it, his own name became honoured. Al-Qushayrī mentioned that.

An-Nasā‘ī reports from Abu-l-Malīḥ about a man who rode behind the Messenger of Allah that he mentioned that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘When your animal stumbles with you, do not say, “Shayṭān has made it stumble!” because that puffs him up until he becomes like a house and says with strength, “I have done it.” Rather say, “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful” and Shayṭān will become small until he is no bigger than a fly.’

‘Alī ibn al-Ḥasan said about the words of the Almighty, ‘When you mention your Lord alone in the Qur’an, they turn their backs in flight’ (17:46): ‘That refers to when you say, “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful.”’ Wakī‘ reported from al-A‘mash from Abū Wā’il that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘Whoever wants Allah to rescue him from the nineteen Zabāniyyah should recite, “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful” so that Allah will make each letter a shield.
for him against one of them. The basmalah contains nineteen letters which is the same as the number of the angels of the Fire who Allah says are also nineteen. (74:30) They say in all that they do, “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful. That is their strength and they take their strength from the name of Allah.’

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The same as this is said about the Night of the Decree being the 27th night, taking note of the position the word “hiya” in the words of the sūrah, al-Qadr (97:1-5). [It is the twenty-seventh word in the sūrah.] And also what they say about the number of angels who hastened to report the words of the one who said, “My Lord, praise is Yours, abundant, excellent and blessed,” [after rising from rukū’ when the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Allah hears the one who praises Him.’] It is about thirty letters. That is why the Prophet ﷺ said, “I saw about thirty angels racing to see which would be the first to write it down.”’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah added, ‘This is an elegant tafsīr, but not a firm tafsīr.’

Ash-Sha’bī and al-A’mash reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ used to write, ‘In Your Name, O Allah’ until he was commanded to write ‘In the Name of Allah,’ and then he wrote that. When it was revealed, ‘Say: “Call on Allah or call on the All-Merciful”’ (17:109), he wrote, ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful.’ When there was revealed, ‘It is from Sulaymān and says, “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful”’ (27:30), he wrote that. In Abū Dāwūd, ash-Sha’bī, Abū Mālik, Qatādah and Thābit ibn ‘Umārah said that the Prophet ﷺ did not write ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful’ until Sūrat an-Naml (27) was revealed.

It is reported that Ja’far aṣ-Ṣādiq said that the basmalah is the crown of the sūrah. This indicates that it is not an āyah of the Fātiḥah or other sūrah. People disagree about this and have three positions regarding it.

– It is not an āyah of the Fātiḥah or any other sūrah. This is the position of Mālik.

– It is an āyah of every sūrah, and this is the position of ‘Abdullāh ibn al-Mubārak.

– Ash-Shāfi’ī said that it is an āyah of the Fātiḥah and what he says
about the other sūrahs varies. Sometimes he says that it is an āyah of every sūrah and sometimes that it is only one of the Fātihah. There is no disagreement that it is an āyah of the Qur’an inside Sūrat an-Naml.

Ash-Shāfi‘ī’s evidence is what ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Abū Bakr al-Ḥanafī from ‘Abd al-Ḥamīd ibn Ja‘far from Nūḥ ibn Abī Bilāl from Sa‘īd ibn Abī Sa‘īd al-Maqbuṭ from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When you read “Praise belongs to Allah, the Lord of all the worlds,” then first recite “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful”. It is the Mother of the Qur’an, the Mother of the Book, and the Seven Mathānī. “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful” is one of its āyahs.’

The evidence of Ibn al-Mubārak and one of the positions of ash-Shāfi‘ī is what Muslim reports from Anas: ‘One day while the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was among us he nodded off and then he raised his head smiling. We asked, “What has made you smile, Messenger of Allah?” He replied, “A sūrah was just revealed to me. It is: ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful. Truly We have given you the Great Abundance. So pray to your Lord and sacrifice. It is the one who hates you who is cut off without an heir.”’ (108)’

The sound position is that of Mālik because the Qur’an is not established by single reports, but by way of definitive multiple transmission about which there is no disagreement. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘It is enough for you that there is no disagreement between people about the Qur’an. There is no disagreement about the Qur’an.’ Sound reports which cannot be attacked indicate that the basmalah is not an āyah of al-Fātihah or any other sūrah except for Sūrat an-Naml. Muslim reported that Abū Hurayrah said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, “Allah says, ‘I have divided the prayer into two halves between Me and My slave, and My slave will have what he asks for.’ When My slave says, ‘Praise be to Allah, the Lord of all the worlds,’ Allah says, ‘My slave has praised Me.’ He says, ‘the All-Merciful, the Most Merciful,’ and the Lord says, ‘My slave has lauded Me.’ My slave says, ‘the King of the Day of Judgement,’ and Allah says, ‘My slave has magnified Me (or entrusted to Me).’ The slave says, ‘You alone we worship. You alone we ask for help,’ and Allah says, ‘This is
between Me and My slave and My slave will have what He asks for.’ The slave says, ‘Guide us on the Straight Path, the Path of those whom You have blessed, not of those with anger on them, nor of the misguided,’ and Allah says, ‘Those are for My slave and My slave will have what He asks for.’”

Allah said, ‘I have divided the prayer’, meaning the Fātiḥah, and he called it ‘prayer’ because the prayer is not valid except with it. So He designated the first three āyahs for Himself, singling them out for Himself, and the Muslims do not disagree about that. Then he made the fourth between Him and His slave because it contains the abasement of the slave and seeking help from Him. That contains esteem for Allah. Then three āyahs conclude the seven. They are definitely three, because He uses the plural, not the dual in ‘Those are for My slave,’ So ‘those You have blessed’ is an āyah. Ibn Bukayr reported that Mālik said that ‘those You have blessed’ is an āyah.

This is confirmed by the division and by what the Prophet ﷺ said to Ubayy when he asked Ubayy, ‘How do you recite when you begin the prayer?’ He replied, ‘I recited, “Praise be to Allah, the Lord of all the worlds” to the end.’ The basmalah was not part of it. That was the position of the people of Madīnah, the people of Syria and the people of Basra. Most reciters counted ‘those You have blessed’ as an āyah. This is also related from Abū Naḍrah from Abū Hurayrah who said that the sixth āyah is ‘those You have blessed’. The people of Kufa count the basmalah as part of it and do not count ‘those You have blessed’.

If it is said that it is confirmed in the copies of the Qur’an that the basmalah is written and transmitted, as it is transmitted in an-Naml, and that this is multiple transmission, we reply that that is sound, but is it is because it is Qur’an, or is it a divider between sūrah as is related from the Companions, ‘We did not know the end of the sūrah until “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful” was revealed.” (Abū Dāwūd)? Or it may be for the blessing, in the same way that the Community agrees to write it at the beginning of books and letters. All of that is possible. Al-Jurayrī said, ‘Al-Ḥasan was asked about “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful” and said “At the beginning of letters.”’ He also said, “In the Name of Allah,
“the All-Merciful, Most Merciful” was not revealed in any of the Qur’an except an-Naml.’ The criterion is that the Qur’an is not established by logic and deduction. It is established by definitive multiple transmission. So the statement of ash-Shāfi‘ī about the basmalah being at that beginning of each sūrah is unsound because the basmalah is not an āyah of each sūrah. Praise belongs to Allah.

It is reported that a group related that the basmalahs are part of the Qur’an. Ad-Dāraquṭnī dealt with all of that. We do not deny the transmission of that and we have indicated it, but we have firm reports which counter it which are related by reliable imams and fuqahā’. In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim ‘Ā’ishah is reported as saying, ‘The Messenger of Allah used to begin the prayer with the takbīr and the recitation of “Praise be to Allah, the Lord of all the worlds.”’ Muslim also reported that Anas ibn Mālik said, ‘I prayed behind the Prophet, Abū Bakr and ‘Umar, and they began with “Praise be to Allah.” They did not mention “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful”, either at the beginning or the end of recitation.’

So our school prefers that, and it is logical. That is because the Mosque of the Prophet in Madīnah passed through many years from the time of the Messenger of Allah, until the time of Mālik and during all that time, following the Sunnah, no one recited, ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful’. This refutes the hadiths you cite. Our people, however, prefer to recite it in the voluntary prayers, and there are traditions (āthār) about reciting it or an allowance for doing that. Mālik said, ‘There is no harm in reciting it in the nāfīlah or when simply reading the Qur’an.’

A group of the school of Mālik and his people said that it is not part of the Fātiḥah or any other sūrah, and it is not recited by the one who prays the obligatory or any other prayer, either silently or aloud. It is permitted to recite it in nāfīlah prayers. This is well-known in his school and with his people. There is another transmission that it is recited at the beginning of the sūrah in nāfīlah prayers but not at the beginning of the Fātiḥah. It is related that Ibn Nāfi‘ began his recitation with it in the obligatory and nāfīlah prayers and did not ever omit it. Some of the people of Madīnah say that
there must be ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful,’ among them Ibn ‘Umar and Ibn Shihāb. Ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Isḥāq, Abū Thawr and Abū ‘Ubayd said that. That indicates that it is a matter of ijtihād and not definitive, as some ignorant individuals claim.

Another group of scholars believe that it is recited silently with the Fātiḥah. They include Abū Ḥanīfah and ath-Thawrī. That is related from ‘Umar, ‘Alī, Ibn Mas‘ūd, ‘Ammār and Ibn az-Zubayr. It is also the view of al-Ḥakam and Ḥammād, and it is stated by Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and Abū ‘Ubayd. Something similar to that is related from al-Awzā‘ī. The evidence for that is the report from Anas ibn Mālik: ‘The Messenger of Allah ☪ led us in the prayer and we did not hear him recite, “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful.”’

This is a good position, and the traditions (āthār) reported from Anas agree on it and remove the disagreement about the recitation of the basmalah. It is related that Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said, ‘The idolaters used to come to the mosque. When the Messenger of Allah ☪ recited, “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful,” they said, “This Muḥammad mentioned the Raḥmān of Yamāmah,” meaning Musaylimah. So he was commanded to recite it silently and it was revealed, “Do not be too loud in your prayer or too quiet in it.” (17:110)’ At-Tirmidhī al-Ḥakīm said, ‘That has lasted until now, even if the cause no longer exists, as running remains in tawāf even if the cause no longer exists and silence in the day prayers even if the cause no longer exists.’

The Community agree that it is permitted to write it at the beginning of every book of knowledge and letter. If it is a volume of poetry, Mujālid related that ash-Sha‘bī said, ‘The consensus is that they do not write “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful” before poetry.’ Az-Zuhrī said, ‘The sunnah is not to write “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful” in poetry.’ Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr believed that it is written in the front of books of poetry and many later scholars corroborate that. Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb said, ‘That is our choice and what we prefer.’

Al-Māwardī said that someone who says, ‘bismi-llāh’ is called a ‘mubasmil’. The term is used in poetry. What is famous in language is
[to use the verb] basmala. Ya‘qūb ibn as-Sakīt, al-Muṭawwir, ath-Tha‘ālabī and others said that basmala is the verb for someone saying ‘bismi-llāh’ or when he says it often.

The Shari‘ah recommends mentioning the basmalah at the beginning of every action, like eating, drinking, slaughtering, sexual intercourse, purification, embarking on a ship and the like. Allah says, ‘Eat that over which the name of Allah has been mentioned’ (6:119) and He said, ‘Embark in it. In the name of Allah be its voyage and its landing!’ (11:41) The Prophet said, ‘Lock your door and mention the Name of Allah. Put out your lamp and mention the Name of Allah. Cover your vessel and mention the Name of Allah. Tie up your water-skin and mention the Name of Allah.’ He said, ‘If anyone of you wants to go to his wife, he should say, “In the Name of Allah. O Allah, keep Shayṭān away from us and keep Shayṭān away from what You provide us with.” If a child is decreed for them, Shayṭān will not harm him at all.’ He told ‘Umar ibn Abī Salamah, ‘Boy, say the name of Allah Almighty and eat with your right hand and eat what is in front of you.’ He said, ‘Shayṭān considers food lawful when the Name of Allah is not mentioned over it.’ He said, ‘Whoever has not slaughtered should slaughter in the Name of Allah.’

When ‘Uthmān ibn Abī-l-Āṣ complained to him of a pain he had in his body since he had become Muslim, the Messenger of Allah said to him, ‘Place your hand on that part of your body which pains you and say “In the Name of Allah” three times and then say seven times, “I seek refuge in the might and power of Allah from the evil of what I feel and am on my guard against.”’ All of this is confirmed in the Ṣaḥīḥ. Ibn Mājah and at-Tirmidhī report that the Prophet said, ‘The veil between the jinn and the private parts of the sons of Ādam when he enters the privy is to say, “In the Name of Allah.”’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī reported that Ā’ishah said, ‘When the Prophet touched his ṭuḍū’ vessel, he said the Name of Allah and then poured the water on his hands.’ Our scholars say that this refutes the Qadarites and others who say that our actions are decreed by them. The argument against them in that is that Allah commanded us to
begin every action with the *basmalah*.

The meaning of ‘In the Name of Allah’ is ‘by Allah’, and the meaning of ‘by Allah’ is by His creating and decreeing one reaches whatever one reaches. Some say that ‘In the Name of Allah’ means ‘I begin with the help, success and blessing of Allah.’ This is Allah teaching His slaves to mention His Name at the beginning of recitation and other actions so that one begins with the blessing of Allah.

There is disagreement about the meaning of adding ‘ism’ (‘the name of’). Quṭrub said that it is added to increase the esteem and respect of mentioning Allah Almighty. Al-Akhfash said that it is added to remove it from the possibility of being an oath to being a prayer for blessing, since the root is ‘bi-llāh’. They also disagree about the meaning of adding the bā’ (‘in’, ‘with’). Does it have the meaning of a command so that it implies: ‘Begin with the Name of Allah.’ Or is it a report which implies: ‘I have begun with the Name of Allah.’ Al-Farrā’ takes the first view and az-Zajjāj takes the second. In both views it is in the accusative case. It is said that it means: ‘My beginning is in the Name of Allah.’ ‘Bismillāh’ is written without the alif of ‘ism’ as there is no need of it when the bā’ is connected to the written word because it is a frequent usage.

There is disagreement about the derivation of *ism* (name), with two basic positions. The Basrans say that it is derived from *sumuw*, which means height and elevation. It is said that *ism* means that the person is in an elevated place. It is said that the name lifts the named above others. It is said that the name is called that because it is higher than the other parts of speech by its strength. The noun (*ism*) is stronger by agreement because it is the root. These are three statements.

The Kufans say that it is derived from *simah*, which means a sign, because the Name is a sign of the One to whom it is given. So the root of *ism* is *wasam*. The first is sounder because of the form of the diminutive (*sumayy*) and the form of the plural which is *asmā’. Another disagreement indicates the soundness of that, and it is the next point.

If it is true that *ism* is derived from height, Allah was described by it before creation existed, after it existed and will be when it is
annihilated, and creatures have no effect on the Names or Attributes. This is the position of the people of the Sunnah. Those who say that it is derived from simah say that before time Allah was without name or attribute. When He created creatures, they gave Him Names and Attributes. When He annihilates them, He will again have no name or attribute. This is the position of the Mu'tazilites, and it is contrary to that on which the Community agree. It is worse than their error when they say, ‘His Word is created.’ Exalted above that is Allah! It is according to this that there is a disagreement about the Name and Named.

The people of truth believe, as Qāḍi Abū Bakr ibn aṭ-Ṭayyib al-Bāqillānī mentioned, that the name is the thing named and Ibn Fūrak is content with that. It is the position of Abū ‘Abīdah and Sībawayh. If someone says ‘Allah is Knowing’, his words indicate the Essence, which is described as being knowing. So the Name is Knowing and it is what is Named. It is the same when someone says, ‘Allah is the Creator.’ The Creator is the Lord and it is the Name itself. So their view is that the Name is the Named itself with no distinction.

Ibn Ḥaṣṣār said, ‘Those among the innovators who deny the attributes claim that namings have no meaning except the Essence. That is why they say that the Name is not the Named. Whoever affirms the Attributes, affirms that the names have meanings which are qualities of the Essence. They are not expressions, but they are Names in their view.’ More of this will come in al-Baqarah and al-A‘rāf.

Allah is the greatest and most comprehensive of all the Names, so that one scholar said that it is the Greatest Name of Allah and no one else has it. That is why it has no dual or plural. That is one of two interpretations of the words of the Almighty, ‘Do you know of any other with His Name?’ (19:65), in other words, is there anyone named with His Name which is Allah? Allah is the Name of the True Existent who has all the Divine Attributes and is described as Lord and alone possesses real existence. There is no god but Him. Glory be to Him! It is said that it means the One who alone is worthy of worship. It is said that it means the One whose existence is necessary
who always was and always will be. The meaning is the same.

There is disagreement about whether this Name is etymologically derived or a unique designation for the Divine Essence. Many of the people of knowledge believe the first but then disagree on its actual derivation and root. Sībawayh reported from al-Khalīl that its root is ilāh, on the measure of fī‘āl. The alif and lām replace the hamzah. Sībawayh said that it is like an-nās (people) whose root is ‘unās. It is said that its root is lāh and the alif and lām are added to exalt it. This is what Sībawayh preferred. Al-Kisā’ī and al-Farrā’ said that ‘bismillāh’ is made up of ‘bismi – al-ilāh’ and elision has occurred and the first lām assimilated into the second and so becomes a double lām.

It is said that the name Allah is derived from walaha, to be bewildered. Walah means loss of intellect, and someone who is wālih is bewildered. Allah bewilders minds when they think on the realities of His attributes and reflect on gnosis of Him. So the basis of this ilāh is walāh and the hamzah is changed from the wāw. That is also reported from al-Khalīl. It is related that ad-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘Allah is called a God because creatures devote (ta‘allaha) themselves to Him in their needs and make supplication to Him in times of hardship.’ It is related that al-Khalīl ibn Aḥmad said something similar. It is also said that it is derived from elevation and that the Arabs used to use lāh for something elevated and they used the verb (lāhat) for sunrise.

It is said that the name Allah is derived from the word ilāh (god), which means what a man worships as He says, ‘abandon you and your gods (ālihataka).’ (7:127) They said that it means ‘your worship’. They said that the name ‘Allāh’ is derived from this and so the meaning of ‘Allah’ is the Object of Worship. So what the unifiers say, ‘There is no god but Allah’ means ‘there is no object of worship other than Allah.’ Here ‘illā’ means ‘other than (ghayr), not ‘except.’ Some claim that the root is the hā which alludes to the third person. That is since they affirm Him as existing in their natural intellects and indicate Him with the letter of allusion. Then the lām of possession is added to it since they know that He is the Creator and Master of things, and al then is added for magnification.

The second position is taken by a group of scholars, including ash-
Shāfi‘ī, Abu-l-Ma‘ālī, al-Khaṭṭābī, al-Ghazālī, al-Mufaqdhāl and others, and is related from al-Khalīl and Sibawayh. It is that the alif and lām are a necessary part of it and cannot be elided from it. Al-Khaṭṭābī said that the evidence that the alif and lām are an intrinsic part of the structure of this name and not added as the definite article is that it is included in the vocative, as ‘Yā Allāh!’ The vocative is not combined with the definite article alif-lām. One does not say, ‘Yā ar-Raḥmān’. [but rather Yā Raḥmān]. Allah knows best.

There is also disagreement about the derivation of ar-Raḥmān. Some of them said that it has no derivation because it is one of the names particular to Him, and if it had been derived from mercy (raḥmah), it would be connected to the one shown mercy and it would be possible to say, ‘Allah is Raḥmān to His slaves,’ as one does with raḥīm. If it had been derived from raḥmah, the Arabs would not have denied it when they heard it because they did not deny the mercy of their Lord. Allah says, ‘When they are told to prostrate to the All-Merciful, they say, “And what is the All-Merciful?”’ (25:60)

At al-Ḥudaybiyah, when ‘Alī wrote at the command of the Prophet ﷺ ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful,’ Suhayl ibn ‘Amr said, ‘As for the words “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful,” we do not know “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful”!’ Rather write “In Your Name, O Allah.”’ Ibn al-‘Arabī says that this indicates that they did not know the attribute rather than the One Described. Evidence is found in the fact that they said, ‘What is the Raḥmān?’ not ‘Who is the Raḥmān?’ Ibn al-Ḥaṣṣār said, ‘It is as if he, may Allah be merciful to him, had not recited the other āyah, “Yet they still reject the All-Merciful.”’ (13:31)’ The majority of people believe that it is derived from raḥmah, and is intensive, meaning the One who possesses mercy such as no one else has. It has no plural or dual whereas raḥīm can be dual or plural.

Ibn al-Ḥaṣṣār said that part of what indicates the derivation is what at-Tirmidhī transmitted as sound from ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn ‘Awf. He heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘Allah Almighty says, “I am the All-Merciful. I created kinship (raḥim) and split it as a name from My Name. Whoever maintains ties, I maintain ties with him.
Whoever severs it, I sever him.” This is a text indicating its derivation and so there is no point in contention about it. The denial of the Arabs was simply due to their ignorance of Allah and what is mandatory for Him.

Al-Anbārī mentions in az-Zāhir that al-Mubarrad stated that ar-Raḥmān is a Hebrew name. Abū Isḥāq az-Zajjāj says in Ma‘ānī al-Qur‘ān that Aḥmad ibn Yaḥyā said that ar-Raḥīm is Arabic and ar-Raḥmān is Hebrew. This view is unwarranted.

Abu-l-‘Abbās says that the attribute is for praise as you might say, ‘Jarīr the poet.’ Muṭarrif related that Qatādah said that ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful’ is Allah praising Himself. Abū Ishāq says that this is a good position. Quṭrub says that it is possible that the two are combined for stress. Abū Isḥāq says that this is also a good position and using it for stress has a greater benefit and that is often used in Arabic. There is no need to attest to it. The benefit in that is what Muḥammad ibn Yazīd said: ‘It is favour after favour and blessing after blessing, and it strengthens the hopes of those who desire and is a promise which will not fail.’

There is disagreement about whether the two names Raḥmān and Raḥīm have one meaning or two meanings. It is said that they mean the same, as do nadmān and nadīm. It is said that Raḥmān is a special name with general action and Raḥīm is a general name with a particular action. This is the position of the majority.

Abū ‘Alī al-Fārisī said that Raḥmān is a general name for all types of mercy for which Allah is singled out. Raḥīm can be used for how He is towards the believers, as He says, ‘He is merciful (Raḥīm) to the believers.’ (33:43). Al-‘Arazamī says that Raḥmān is merciful to all His creatures with rain, physical and general blessings, and Raḥīm is merciful to the believers in guiding them and being kind to them. Ibn al-Mubārak said that when the Raḥmān is asked He gives and when the Raḥīm is not asked, He is angry. Ibn Mājah related in the Sunan and at-Tirmidhī in al-Jāmi‘ from Abū Ṣāliḥ from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ☦ said, ‘If someone does not ask, Allah is angry with him.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it. The version in Ibn Mājah has: ‘If someone does not make supplication to Allah, He is angry with Him.’ Ibn Mājah said, ‘I asked Abū Zur‘ah
about this Abū Ṣāliḥ. He said, “This is the one who is called al-
Fārisī. He is Khūzī. I do not know his name.” One of the poets took
this idea and said:

Allah is angry when people fail to ask of Him

while the sons of Ādam are angry when they are asked.

Ibn ‘Abbās said that they are two fine (raqīq) names, and one is finer
than the other, meaning that it has more mercy. Al-Khaṭṭābī said,
“This is problematic because fineness has no place in any of the
attributes of Allah.” Al-Ḥusayn ibn al-Faql al-Bajalī said, “This is an
error on the part of the transmitter because fineness (rigqaḥ) is not
part of the attributes of Allah at all. Rather they are two
compassionate (rafiq) names, one more compassionate than the
other. Compassion is one of the Attributes of Allah Almighty. The
Prophet ☦ said, “Allah is Compassionate. He loves compassion and
gives for compassion what He does not for harshness.”

Most scholars agree that the name ar-Raḥmān is used only for
Allah Almighty and it is not permitted to call anyone else by it. Do
you not see that He says: ‘Say: “Call on Allah or call on the All-Merciful”
(17:109)? So it is equal to the Name in which none but Him shares.
He says: ‘Ask those We sent before you as Our Messengers: Have We ever
designated any gods to be worshipped besides the All-Merciful?” (43:44) So
they reported that the Raḥmān deserved worship. Musaylimah the
Liar – may Allah curse him – was outrageous and called himself ‘the
raḥmān of Yamāmah’ and so was called ‘the Liar’. It is the term by
which he was known. It is also said that the name ar-Raḥmān is the
Greatest Name of Allah. Ibn al-ʿArabī mentioned that.

Ar-Raḥīm is general and can be used in respect of creatures. As ar-
Raḥmān is universal, so it is brought forward in order before ar-
Raḥīm in harmony with revelation. Al-Mahdawī stated that. It is
said that the meaning of ar-Raḥīm is: ‘It is by the Raḥīm that you
reach to the Raḥmān.’ So ar-Raḥīm is the attribute of Muḥammad
 ☦ and Allah described him as having that quality; He says:
‘compassionate, merciful (raḥīm)’ when describing him. So it as if the
meaning of saying, ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, the Most
Merciful’ is ‘It is by Muḥammad ☪ that you will reach Me,’ in other words, ‘by following him and what he has brought, you will reach My reward, honour and the vision of My face.’ Allah knows best.

It is related that ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said, ‘Bismillāh is healing from every illness and protection against every disease. Ar-Raḥmān is a help for everyone who believes in Him. It is a name not used for anyone else. Ar-Raḥīm is for those who repent, believe and perform righteous actions.’

Some of them explained the meaning according to the letters. It is related that ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān ☪ asked the Messenger of Allah ☪ about the interpretation of ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, the Most Merciful.’ He said, ‘The bā’ is the trial (balā’) of Allah, His relief, brilliance and radiance (bahā’). The sīn is the splendour (sanā’) of Allah. The mīm is the kingdom (mulk) of Allah. As for Allah, there is no god but Him. The Raḥmān is kind to both the pious and impious of His creatures. The Raḥīm is kind only to the believers.’ It is reported that Ka‘b al-Aḥbār said, ‘The bā’ is His radiance (bahā’), the sīn is His splendour (sanā’), and there is nothing higher than it. The mīm is His kingdom, and He has power over all things and nothing is hard for Him.’

It is said that every letter is the opening of one of His Names. The bā’ is the key to His Name Baṣīr (All-Seeing). The sīn is the key to His Name, Samī‘ (All-Hearing). The mīm is the key to His Name, Mālik (Master). The alif is the key to His Name, Allah. The lām is the key to His Name, Ṭaḥīf (Kind). The hā’ is the key to His Name, Ḥādī (Guide). The ṭā’ is the key to His Name, Ṣaḥīf (Provider). The ḥā’ is the key to His Name, Ḥirā (Master). The nūn is the key of His Name, Nūr (Light). The meaning of all this is supplication of Allah at the beginning of everything you do.

There is disagreement about how ‘ar-Raḥīm’ is connected in recitation to ‘al-ḥamdu lillāh’. Umm Salamah related that the Prophet ☪ recited ‘ar-Raḥīm’ with a sukūn on the mīm, stopping there and then beginning with a fresh alif. Some of the Kufans recited it in that way. Most people recite, ‘ar-Raḥīmi-l-ḥamdu’, with a kasrah on the mīm and connecting it to the alif in al-ḥamd. Al-Kisā‘ī reported that some Arabs read it ‘ar-Raḥīma-l-ḥamdu’, with fathah on the mīm and
connected to the *alif*, as if the *mīm* was in fact silent, but with an elision into the *alif*. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This recitation is not reported from anyone I know.’
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### Table of Transliterations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabic</th>
<th>Latin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ء</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ا</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ب</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ت</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ث</td>
<td>th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ج</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ح</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>خ</td>
<td>kh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>د</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ذ</td>
<td>dh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ر</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ز</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>س</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ش</td>
<td>sh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ص</td>
<td>sh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabic</th>
<th>Latin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ض</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ط</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ظ</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ع</td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>غ</td>
<td>gh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ف</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ق</td>
<td>q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ك</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ل</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>م</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ن</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ه</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>و</td>
<td>w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ي</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Long vowel
- ا | ã
- و | û
- ي | ï

#### Short vowel
- آ | a [fathah]
- ى | u [dammah]
- ؕ | i [kasrah]

- اً | aw
- ئ | ay
Translator’s note

The Arabic for the āyats is from the Algerian State edition of the riwāyah of Imam Warsh from the qirā’ah of Imam Nāfi‘ of Madina, whose recitation is one of the ten mutawātir recitations that are mass-transmitted from the time of the Prophet ☪.

There are minor omissions in the text. Some poems have been omitted which the author quotes to illustrate a point of grammatical usage or as an example of orthography or the usage of a word, often a derivative of the root of the word used in the āyah, but not the actual word used. Often it is difficult to convey the sense in English. Occasionally the author explores a grammatical matter or a tangential issue, and some of these may have been shortened. English grammatical terms used to translate Arabic grammatical terms do not have exactly the same meaning, sometimes rendering a precise translation of them problematic and often obscure.

The end of a juz’ may vary by an āyah or two in order to preserve relevant passages.
1. **Sūrat al-Fātihah**

In the name of Allah, All-Merciful, Most Merciful

1 Praise be to Allah, the Lord of all the worlds,
2 the All-Merciful, the Most Merciful,
3 the King of the Day of Repayment.

4 You alone we worship. You alone we ask for help.

5 Guide us on the Straight Path,
6 the Path of those You have blessed,
7 not of those with anger on them, nor of the misguided.
It contains four topics.

**Topic One: its virtues and names**

There are seven points in it.

At-Tirmidhī related from Ubayy ibn Ka'b that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Allah has not revealed anything like the Mother of the Book either in the Torah or the Gospel. It is the Seven *Mathānī* (Oft-repeated). “It is divided between Me and My slave, and My slave has what he asks for.”’ Mālik transmitted this from al-‘Alā’ ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Ya‘qūb who said that Abū Sa‘īd, the freedman of ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Āmir ibn Kurayz, reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ called to Ubayy ibn Ka'b while he was praying; and he mentioned the hadith. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘No further name is known for Abū Sa‘īd. He is counted as one of the people of Madīnah.’ He transmitted from Abū Hurayrah and this hadith is *mursal*. The hadith is also related from Abū Sa‘īd ibn al-Mu‘allā one of the Companions, whose name is also not known. Ḥafṣ ibn ‘Āṣim and ‘Ubayd ibn Ḥunayn related it from him. This is how it is stated in *at-Tamhīd*: ‘His name is not found.’ He mentioned the disagreement about his name in the Book of the Companions. Al-Bukhārī transmitted the hadith from Abū Sa‘īd ibn al-Mu‘allā: ‘I was praying and the Messenger of Allah ﷺ called me but I did not answer until I had finished praying. Then I went to him and he asked, “What kept you from coming to me? Does not Allah say, ‘Respond to Allah, and to the Messenger, when He calls you’ (8:24)?” Then he said to me, “I will teach you a sūrah which is the greatest of the sūrahs in the Qur’ān before you leave the mosque.” Then he took my hand. When the Prophet ﷺ was about to leave, I said to him, “Did you not say, ‘I will teach you a sūrah which is the greatest of the sūrahs in the Qur’ān’?” He said, “Praise be to Allah, the Lord of all the worlds, it is the Seven Oft-Repeated ones and the Immense Qur’ān that I have been given.”’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr and others said that Abū Sa‘īd
ibn al-Mu‘allā was one of the esteemed men and masters of the Anṣār. Al-Bukhārī alone has him, and his name was Rāfi‘. It is also said that it was al-Ḥārith ibn Nufay‘ ibn al-Mu‘allā. It is also said that it was Aws ibn al-Mu‘allā and Abū Sa‘īd ibn Aws ibn al-Mu‘allā. He died in 74 AH at the age of sixty-four. He was the first to pray towards the qiblah when it was changed as will be mentioned. There is an isnād of the hadith of Abū Yazīd ibn Zuray‘ from Rawḥ ibn al-Qāsim from al-‘Alā‘ ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān from his father from Abū Hurayrah who said, ‘The Messenger of Allah came out to Ubayy while he was praying.’ He mentioned the gist of the hadith.

Ibn al-Anbārī mentioned in his Kitāb ar-radd from his father from Abū ‘Ubaydullāh al-Warrāq from Abū Dāwūd from Shaybān from Manṣūr that Mujāhid said, ‘Iblīs, may Allah curse him, lamented four times: when he was cursed, when he fell from the Garden, when Muḥammad was sent, and when the Fātiḥah of the Book was revealed, and it was revealed in Madīnah.’

Scholars disagree about the relative excellence of some sūrahs and āyāhs over others, and some of the Beautiful Names of Allah over others. Some people say that none of them are better than any other because all are the Speech of Allah, and the same lack of preference applies to His Names. Shaykh Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Ash‘arī, Qāḍī Abū Bakr ibn at-Ṭayyib, Abū Ḥātim Muḥammad ibn Ḥibbān as-Sibtī and a group of fuqahā’ believed this, and something to that effect is related from Mālik. Yaḥyā ibn Yaḥyā said that it is an error to consider one part of the Qur’an better than other parts. Similarly Mālik disliked the repetition of one particular sūrah rather than others. In reference to the words of Allah Almighty: ‘We bring one better than it or equal to it’ (2:106), Mālik says that this means ‘one containing judgment rather than one subject to abrogation.’ Ibn Kinānah related the same as all that from Mālik.

Some of them use the following argument: ‘The best is only recognised by comparison with what might be considered slightly
less excellent, but the essential nature of all āyahs is one and the
same. They are all part of the Speech of Allah and there can be no
deficiency in the Speech of Allah.’ As-Sibū stated: ‘The meaning of
the words “Allah has not revealed anything like the Mother of the
Qur’ān either in the Torah or the Gospel” is that Allah Almighty
does not give those who recite the Torah or the Gospel a reward like
the one He gives to someone who recites the Mother of the Qur’ān,
since Allah has favoured this community over other communities
and He has given a greater excellence to the recitation of His Words
in His Revelation than He gave to others for reciting His Words. It is
a favour from Him to this Community. The words of the Prophet ﷺ,
“the greatest of the sūrahs”, means that it is the greatest in reward.
His words do not mean that some parts of the Qur’ān are better
than other parts.’

Some people have said that there is a certain kind of superiority
which may be seen in such āyahs as in the words of the Almighty,
‘Your God is One God. There is no God but Him, the All-Merciful, the Most
Merciful’ (2:163), the Throne Verse, the end of Sūrat al-Ḥashr, and
Sūrat al-Ikhlāṣ, which are among the proofs of His Oneness and His
attributes, and that does not exist, for instance, in such āyahs as
‘Perish the hands of Abū Lahab’ (111:1). Superiority occurs through the
wondrous meanings and how numerous they are, not in respect of
the quality of the language. This is the truth. Those who said that
there was preference included Ishāq ibn Rāhawayh as well as other
scholars and mutakallimūn. It is the position preferred by Qāḍī Abū
Bakr ibn al-ʿArabī and Ibn al-Ḥassār who go by the hadith of Ubayy
ibn Kaʿb, in which he said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ asked me,
“Ubayy, which of the āyahs of the Book is the greatest?” I replied.
“Allah, there is no god but Him, the Living, the Self-Sustaining” (2:255) [i.e.
the Throne Verse.] He struck my chest and said, “May Allah give
you joy, Abu-l-Mundhir!”’ Al-Bukhārī and Muslim transmitted it.
Ibn al-Ḥassār said, ‘I am surprised at those who differ when such
texts exist.’

Ibn al-‘Arabi said, ‘He says, “Allah has not revealed its like in the Torah, the Gospel or the Qur’an.” He was silent about other Scriptures, like the Revealed Scrolls, the Psalms and other things because this mentions the best of them. When something is the best of the best, then it is the best of all. It is as you say, “Zayd is the best of scholars,” and so he is the best of all.’

The Fatiha possesses attributes which other surahs do not possess, to the extent that it is said that the entire Qur’an is contained in it. It consists of twenty-five words which embrace all the sciences of the Qur’an. Part of its distinction is that Allah has divided it between Himself and His slaves, and the prayer is only valid with it, and no action is necessary to gain a reward for it. It is in this sense that it is the Mother of the Immense Qur’an. Sūrat al-Ikhlaṣ is considered to be equivalent to a third of the Qur’an. The Fatiha contains tawḥīd, judgments and admonition and Sūrat al-Ikhlaṣ contains all of tawḥīd. It also explains the words of the Prophet ☦ to Ubayy, ‘Ubayy, which of the āyahs of the Book is the greatest?’ to which he replied, ‘Allah, there is no god but Him, the Living, the Self-Sustaining.’ (2:255) It is the greatest āyah because it contains every aspect of tawḥīd. In the same way the Prophet’s words, ‘The best of what I and the Prophets before have said is “There is no god but Allah alone with no partner”, indicate that that is the best form of dhikr because they are words which contains all the knowledge of tawḥīd. The Fatiha, on the other hand, contains tawḥīd, worship and admonition. That is not impossible in Allah’s power.

‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib related that the Messenger of Allah ☦ said, ‘The Fatiha of the Book, the Throne Verse, “Allah bears witness that there is no god but Him” (3:18) and “Say: ‘O Allah, Master of the Kingdom’” (3:26): these āyahs are suspended from the Throne. There is no veil between them and Allah.’ Abū ‘Amr ad-Dānī has this in his Kitāb al-bayān.

The Fatiha has twelve names:
1. **Ṣalāt (the Prayer).** Allah Almighty says in a hadith: ‘I have divided the prayer between Myself and My slave.’

2. **Sūrat al-Ḥamd (Sūrah of Praise),** because praise is mentioned in it. This is similar in the way that other sūrahs are named, such as ‘The Sūrah of the Battlements’, ‘Booty’, ‘Repentance’ and the like.

3. **The Fātiḥah (Opener) of the Book.** This name is undisputed among scholars. It is called that because it opens the recitation of the Qur’an and the writing of every copy of the Qur’an opens with it, and the prayers also open with it.

4. **Umm al-Kitāb (Mother of the Book).** There is some disagreement concerning this name. Some allow it while Anas, al-Ḥasan and Ibn Sīrīn disliked it. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘The Umm al-Kitāb is the lawful and unlawful. Allah Almighty says, “Āyahs containing clear judgments – they are the Umm al-Kitāb – and others are open to interpretation.”’ (3:7) Anas and Ibn Sīrīn said that the Umm al-Kitāb is the name of the Preserved Tablet. Allah Almighty says, ‘It is in the Umm al-Kitāb with Us.’ (43:4)

5. **Umm al-Qur’ān (The Mother of the Qur’an).** There is also disagreement about this. The majority allow it while Anas and Ibn Sīrīn dislike it. Firm hadiths refute these two opinions. At-Tirmidhī transmitted from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah said, “Praise belongs to Allah” is the Mother of the Qur’an, the Mother of the Book and the Seven Mathānī.’ He said that this hadith is ḥasan ṣaḥīḥ. We find in al-Bukhārī: ‘It is called the Mother of the Book because it begins the writing of copies of the Qur’an and one begins with its recitation in the prayer.’ Yaḥyā ibn Ya‘mar said, ‘The Mother of Towns is Makkah, the Mother of Khorasan is Mary, the Mother of the Qur’an is the Sūrah of Praise.’ It is said that it was called the Mother of the Qur’an because it is the beginning of it and contains all its sciences. It is for that reason that Makkah is called the Mother of the Towns because it was the first on the earth and the
earth spread out from it. A mother is called ‘mother’ because she is the root of progeny. Earth is a ‘mother’. Umayyah ibn Abī aṣ-Ṣalt said:

‘The earth is our stronghold and our mother.
Our graves are in it and we were born from it.’

A banner of war is called ‘Umm’ because it precedes it and the army follows it. The root of umm is ummahah which is why the plural is ummahāt.

6. Al-Mathānī (the Oft-Repeated). It is called that because it is repeated in every rakʿah of the prayer. It is said that it is called that because it is exclusively for this Community and it was not revealed to anyone before because it was a treasure stored up for this Community.

7. The Immense Qur’an. It is called that because it contains all the sciences of the Qur’an. That is because it contains praise of Allah Almighty with the qualities of His Perfection and Majesty, the command to perform acts of worship and to be sincere in them, acknowledgement of the inability to do any of it except with Allah’s help, entreaty to Him for guidance to the Straight Path and being spared the states of those who violate the contract, and clarification of the final state of the deniers.


9. Ar-Ruqyah (the Charm). This name is taken from a hadith of Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī in which the Messenger of Allah  said to a man who had recited it as a charm for someone with a snake-bite, ‘What taught you that it was a charm?’ He replied, ‘Messenger of Allah, something that came into my heart.’ The imāms transmitted it.

10. Al-Asās (the Core). A man complained to ash-Shaʿbī of abdominal pain and he said, ‘You must have the Core of the
Qur’an, the *Fātiḥah* of the Book. I heard Ibn ‘Abbās say, “Everything has a core. The core of this world is Makkah because the world spread out from it. The core of the heavens is ‘Arīb, which is the seventh heaven. The core of the earth is ‘Ajīb, and it is the lowest of the seven earths. The core of the Gardens is the Garden of ‘Adn, and it is the navel of the Gardens and on it the Garden is founded. The core of the Fire is Jahannam, which is the lowest level on which the other levels are based. The core of creatures is Ādam. And the core of the Prophets is Nūḥ. The core of the tribe of Israel is Ya`qūb. The core of the Qur’an is the *Fātiḥah* and the core of the *Fātiḥah* is ‘*In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful*’. When you are ill, you should recite the *Fātiḥah* and you will be healed.’”

11. *Al-Wāfiyah (the Complete).* Sufyān ibn ‘Uyaynah said that it is because it may not be halved or broken up. In the case of other sūrahṣ, if one reads half in one rak‘ah and half in the other rak‘ah, that is allowed. If the *Fātiḥah* is divided between two rak‘ahs, that does not satisfy the legal requirement.

12. *Al-Kāfiyah (the Sufficient).* Yaḥyā ibn Abī Kathīr said that it is because it suffices for others while others do not suffice for it. This is indicated by what Muḥammad ibn Khallād al-Iskandāranī mentioned that the Prophetﷺ said, ‘The *Umm al-Qur‘ān* is a substitute for other than it but other than it is not a substitute for it.’

Al-Muhallab said, ‘The locus of the charm is in the words “*You alone we worship and You alone we ask for help.*”’ It is also said that the entire sūrah is a charm, based on the words of the Prophetﷺ to the man, ‘What taught you that it is a charm?’ He did not say that there is a charm in it. This indicates that the entire sūrah is a charm because it is the *Fātiḥah* of the Book and its beginning and contains all of its sciences, as has already been stated. Allah knows best.

The fact that it bears the names *al-Mathānī* and *Umm al-Kītāb* does not prevent other things from having those names as well. Allah Almighty says, ‘*A Book consistent in its frequent repetitions (mathānī)*’
and so He called His whole Book ‘mathānī’ because things are repeated in it. The seven long sūrahās are also mathānī because of the obligations and stories repeated in them. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ☪ was given the Seven Mathānī.’ He said that they are the seven long sūrahās. An-Nasāʾī mentioned that and said that the six extend from al-Baqarah to al-Aʿrāf, but they disagree about the seventh. Some people say that it is Yūnus, some that it is al-Anfāl, and some that it is at-Tawbah. The last is the position of Mujāhid and Saʿīd ibn Jubayr. Aʾshā Hamdān said:

Stay in the mosque and pray to your Lord. Study these Mathānī and the long sūrahās (ṭuwal).

Mathānī is the plural of mathnā, which is that which comes after the first. Ṭuwal is the plural of aṭwal (longest). Al-Anfāl is called one of the Mathānī because it follows the longest sūrahās in length. It is said that it is that whose āyahās are more than those of the Mufāṣṣal and less than the Hundreds. The Hundreds are sūrahās which have more than a hundred āyahās.

**TOPIC TWO: ITS REVELATION AND ITS RULINGS**

There are twenty points regarding this.

The Community agree that the Fāṭihah of the Book has seven āyahās except for a report from Ḥusayn al-Juʿfī that it only has six, which is unusual. There is also a report from ‘Amr ibn ‘Ubayd that ‘You alone we worship’ is an āyah, and so it is eight āyahās, but this is aberrant. Allah’s words, ‘We have given you the Seven Oft-repeated’ (15:87), and the hadith qudsī, ‘I have divided the prayer...’ refute these two statements.

The Community also agree that it is part of the Qur’ān. There are those who counter this by saying that if it were truly part of the Qur’ān, then ‘Abdullāh ibn Masʿūd would have written it in his copy of the Qur’ān, and the fact that he did not write it indicates that it is not part of the Qur’ān, nor indeed are the two Sūrahās of Refuge. The
answer to that was given by Abū Bakr al-Anbārī. He related from al-
Ḥasan ibn al-Hubbāb from Sulaymān ibn al-Ash‘ath from Abū
Qudāmah from Jarīr that al-A‘mash said, ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd was
asked, “Why have you not written the Fāṭihah of the Book in your
copy of the Qur’an?” He replied, “If I had written it, I would have
written it with every sūrah.”’ Abū Bakr said, ‘He was referring to the
way that every rak‘ah is begun with the Umm al-Qur‘ân before the
sūrah is recited after it.’ He said, ‘I made things shorter by omitting
it. It is safely preserved because all the Muslims memorise it and so I
did not write it anywhere to avoid having to write it with every sūra
since it precedes all of them in the prayer.’

There is disagreement about whether it is Makkan or Madinan.
Ibn ‘Abbās, Qatādah, Abu-l-‘Āliyah, ar-Riyāḥī Rufay‘ and others
said that it is Makkan. Abū Hurayrah, Mujāhid, ‘Aṭā‘ ibn Yasār, az-
Zuhrī and others said that it is Madinan. It is said that half of it was
revealed in Makkah and half in Madīnah, as is related by Abu-l-
Layth Naṣr ibn Muḥammad ibn Ibrāhīm as-Samarqandī in his
commentary. The first position is considered sounder because of the
words of the Almighty, ‘We have given you the seven Oft-repeated and the
Magnificent Qur‘ân’ from the end of al-Ḥijr and it is agreed that al-Ḥijr
is a Makkan sūrah and there is no disagreement that the prayer was
made obligatory in Makkah. It has not been recorded anywhere that
the prayer in Islam was ever performed without reciting ‘Praise be to
Allah, the Lord of the worlds.’ This is backed up by the words of the
Prophet ☪, ‘There is no prayer without the Fāṭihah of the Book.’
This is a report about a ruling.

Qāḍī Ibn aṭ-Ṭayyib mentioned people’s disagreement about the
first part of the Qur’an to be revealed. It is said that it was al-
Muddaththir (74) or al-‘Alaq (96) or the Fāṭihah. Al-Bayhaqī mentioned
in the Proofs of Prophethood from Abū Maysarah ‘Amr ibn Sharaḥbīl
that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said to his wife Khadijah, ‘When I
was alone, I heard a voice calling and, by Allah, I fear that this is an
affliction.’ She said, ‘We seek refuge with Allah! Allah would not do that to you. By Allah, you return people’s trusts, maintain ties of kinship and give ṣadaqah…’ When Abū Bakr entered when the Messenger of Allah (ﷺ) was not there, Khadījah mentioned the matter to him and said, “ʿAtīq, go with Muḥammad to Waraqah ibn Nawfal.’ When the Messenger of Allah (ﷺ) came in, Abū Bakr took his hand and said, ‘Let us go to Waraqah.’ He asked, ‘Who told you?’ ‘Khadījah,’ he answered.

So they went to him and told him the story. He said, ‘When I was alone, I heard a voice calling behind me, “O Muḥammad, O Muḥammad!” and I began to run away from that place.’ He said, ‘Do not do that. When it comes to you, be firm so that you can hear what it says. Then come and tell me.’ When he was alone, he was called and told: ‘O Muḥammad! Say: “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful. Praise be to Allah, the Lord of the worlds … to … misguided.” Say: “There is no god but Allah.”’ So he went and told Waraqah and Waraqah said to him, ‘Good news and good news again! I testify to you that you are the one about whom ‘Īsā son of Maryam gave the good news and you have received the like of the Nāmūs of Mūsā and you are a sent Prophet. You will be commanded to do jihād at some future date. If I am alive, I will strive with you.’

When Waraqah died, the Messenger of Allah (ﷺ) said, ‘I have seen the priest in the Garden wearing a silk garment because he believed in me and affirmed me.’ He meant Waraqah. Al-Bayhaqī said, ‘This hadith is munqaṭi.’ If it is guaranteed safe from corruption (maḥfūẓ) it may be a report about the revelation of the Fāṭiḥah after the revelation of ‘Read in the name of your Lord’ (96:1) and al-Muddaththir (74).

Ibn ʿAṭiyyah said that some scholars think that Jibrīl did not bring down the Sūrah of Praise because of the hadith in which Muslim related that Ibn ʿAbbās said, ‘While Jibrīl was sitting with the Prophet (ﷺ), he heard a crack above him. He lifted his head and said,
“This is a door of heaven being opened today which has not been opened before now.” An angel descended from it and he said, “This is an angel who has descended to Earth who has not descended before today.” He greeted them and said, “Good news of two lights which you have been given which no Prophet before you was given: the Fātiḥah of the Book and the Seals of Sūrat al-Baqarah (2:285-286). You will not read a single letter of them without being rewarded for it.” Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘It is not as they think. This hadith indicates that Jibrīl came before the other angel to the Prophet to inform him of it and of what he brought down. Accordingly Jibrīl participated in its revelation, but Allah knows best.’

It is clear that this hadith indicates that Jibrīl did not teach the Prophet any of the Fātiḥah. We explained that it was revealed in Makkah and that Jibrīl brought it down since Allah says: ‘The Faithful Rūḥ brought it down.’ (26:193) This means all of the Qur’an. So Jibrīl brought down its recitation in Makkah and the angel brought down its reward in Madīnah, but Allah knows best. It is said that it is Makkan/Madinan and that Jibrīl brought it down twice, as ath-Tha‘labī related. What we mentioned is more likely since it combines the Qur’an and the Sunnah. Praise and favour belongs to Allah.

It has already been made clear that the basmalah is not an āyah of the Fātiḥah according to the sound position. Given that, the ruling for those doing the prayer is that, after the takbīr, they begin straight away with the Fātiḥah and are not silent, and do not recite any tawjīh (the du‘ā, ‘I have turned my face…’) or tasbīḥ. This is verified by the hadith of ‘Ā’ishah, Anas and others. There are other hadiths which contain the tawjīh, tasbīḥ, and silence, and a group of scholars follow that position. It is related that, when they began the prayer, ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd used to say: ‘Glory be to You, O Allah, and by Your praise. Blessed is Your Name and exalted is Your Majesty. There is no god but You.’ Sufyān, Ḥmad, Iṣḥāq
and the people of opinion follow that. Ash-Shāfi‘ī used to say what is related from ‘Alī from the Prophet ☪, which is that when he began the prayer, he said the takbīr and then ‘I have turned my face…’ (6:79). Muslim mentioned it and it will come in full at the end of Sūrat al-An‘ām. At this point what we have said here is enough concerning this matter, Allah willing.

Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘It is verified that when the Messenger of Allah ☪ said the takbīr for the prayer, he was silent for a time before starting the recitation, during which time he said, “O Allah, put as much distance between me and my errors as You have put between the east and the west. O Allah, cleanse me of my errors as a white garment is cleansed of dirt. O Allah, wash me of my errors with snow, ice and hail.” Abū Hurayrah acted according to that.’ Abū Salamah ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān said, ‘The imām is silent twice, so take advantage of them with recitation.’ Al-Awzā‘ī, Sa‘īd ibn ‘Abd al-'Azīz and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal inclined to the hadith of the Prophet ☪ regarding this matter.’

Scholars disagree about the exact nature of the obligation of reciting the Fātiḥah in the prayer. Mālik and his people say that it is incumbent on the imām and anyone praying alone in every rak‘ah. Ibn Khuwayzimandād al-Baṣrī al-Mālikī said, ‘There is no disagreement about the position of Mālik in respect of someone forgetting it in one rak‘ah of a two-rak‘ah prayer: the prayer is then invalid and does not satisfy the obligation. There is disagreement about his position regarding someone who forgets it in one rak‘ah of a three or four-rak‘ah prayer. Once he said that he must repeat the prayer and another time that he should perform the prostration of forgetfulness. This is transmitted by Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam and others from Mālik.’ Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘It is said that he repeats that rak‘ah and then performs the prostration of forgetfulness after the salām.’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘The sound position is that he discounts that rak‘ah and does another to replace it, like someone
who omits a prostration out of forgetfulness. That is what Ibn al-Qāsim preferred.’

Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, most of the people of Basra, and al-Mughīrah ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān al-Makhzūmī al-Madanī said, ‘If someone recites the *Umm al-Qurʿān* once during the prayer, it satisfies the obligation and he does not have to repeat it because it is a prayer in which the *Umm al-Qurʿān* was recited. It is complete according to the words of the Prophet ﷺ: “The prayer of anyone who does not recite the *Umm al-Qurʿān* is invalid,” and he recited it.’ It is possible that the prayer of anyone who does not recite it in every *rakʿah* is invalid, which is sound as will be mentioned, and it is also possible that the prayer of someone who does not recite it in most of the *rakʿahs* is invalid. This is the reason for the disagreement, and Allah knows best.

Abū Ḥanīfah, ath-Thawrī and al-Awzāʾī said, ‘If it is omitted intentionally throughout the entire prayer and something else is recited instead, that satisfies the requirements,’ although al-Awzāʾī had some disagreement about that. Abū Yūsuf and Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan said, ‘Its minimum is three *āyahs* or a long *āyah*, like the *Āyah of Debt (2:282).*’ Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan said, ‘Discretion is allowed regarding the size of the *āyah* and the size of understood words, like “Praise be to Allah.” What is not allowed is a single letter which is not a word.’

Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘Someone who prays should recite the *Umm al-Qurʿān* in every *rakʿah*. If he does not recite it, the requirement is only satisfied by reciting its equivalent in the Qurʾan in respect of the number of *āyahs* and the letters it contains.’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr stated, ‘This makes no sense because the specification of it and the text on it specify it and nothing else. It is not permissible for the one for whom it is obliged to do something else in its place and to leave it when he is able to recite it. He must do it and repeat it, just as he must fulfil all other specific obligations in acts of worship.’
If someone following the imām catches the rukū‘ with the imām, the recitation of the imām is considered sufficient for him since there is consensus that when someone catches the rukū‘ he just says his takbīr al-ihram and bows without reciting anything else. If he finds the imām standing, he should recite. This is one point.

No one should fail to recite behind the imām in one of the silent prayers. If he does so, he has acted badly but, according to Mālik and his people, he owes nothing. When the imām recites aloud, those following the imām do not recite the Fātiḥah or anything else according to the well known position from the school of Mālik since Allah Almighty says: ‘When the Qur’an is recited, listen to it and be quiet.’ (7:204) The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Why am I being contended with in the Qur’an.’ He said about the imām, ‘When he recites, be silent,’ and ‘If someone has an imām, the recitation of the imām is his recitation.’

Ash-Shāfi‘ī said in what al-Buwaytī and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal reported, ‘No one’s prayer is satisfied unless he recites the Fātiḥah of the Book in every rak‘ah, be he an imām or follower, and whether the imām recites aloud or silently.’ In Iraq ash-Shāfi‘ī used to say about the follower, ‘He recites when it is silent but not when it is aloud,’ like the well-known position of the school of Mālik. In Egypt he said that there are two positions about when the imām recites aloud. One is that the follower should recite, and the other is that the requirements of the prayer are satisfied if he does not recite, the recitation of the imām being sufficient. Ibn al-Mundhir related this view while Ibn Wahb, Ashhab, Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam, Ibn Ḥabīb and the Kufans said that the follower should not recite anything, whether the imām recites aloud or silently, since the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The recitation of the imām in his recitation.’ This is general, It is also because Jābir said, ‘Whoever prays a rak‘ah in which he does not recite the Umm al-Qur‘ān has not prayed – except behind an imām.’

What is sound of these views is the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad,
and Mālik in his final view: the *Fātiḥah* is incumbent in every *rak‘ah* on everyone in general by the words of the Prophet ☞: ‘There is no prayer for the one who does not recite the *Fātiḥah* of the Book in it,’ and his words: ‘If anyone prays a prayer in which he does not recite the *Umm al-Qur‘an*, it is incomplete,’ three times. Abū Hurayrah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ☞ commanded me to call out: “There is no prayer except with the recitation of the *Fātiḥah* of the Book.”’ Abū Dāwūd transmitted it. As the prostration or *rukū‘* of a *rak‘ah* does not replace that of another *rak‘ah*, so the recitation of one *rak‘ah* does not replace that of another. That is stated by ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Awn, Ayyūb as-Sakhtiyānī, Abū Thawr and others among the people of ash-Shāfi‘ī and Dāwūd ibn ‘Alī. The same thing is reported from al-Awzā‘ī and Makḥūl also stated that.


Imām Abū ‘Abdullāh Muḥammad ibn Yazīd ibn Mājah al-Qazwīnī transmitted something in his *Sunan* which removes any disagreement or ambiguity. He reported from Abū Kurayb from Muḥammad ibn Fuḍayl, from Suwayd ibn Sa‘īd and from ‘Alī ibn Mushar, all from Abū Sufyān as-Sa‘dī from Abū Naḍrah that Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī said that the Messenger of Allah ☞ said, ‘There is no prayer for someone who does not recite the *Fātiḥah* and another *sūrah* in every *rak‘ah*, be it a *fard* prayer or any other.’ We find in *Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim* from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ☞ told someone to whom he taught the prayer: ‘Do that throughout all your prayer.’

Another proof of that is what Abū Dāwūd related that Nāfi‘ ibn
Maḥmūd ibn ar-Rabī‘ al-Anṣārī said, “Ubādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit was late for the Ṣubḥ prayer and the mu’adhdhin, Abū Nu‘aym, did the iqāmah for the prayer and Abū Nu‘aym led the people in the prayer. ‘Ubādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit came forward and I along with him until we were in a line behind Abū Nu‘aym. Abū Nu‘aym was reciting aloud. ‘Ubādah began to recite the Umm al-Qurān. When he finished, I said to ‘Ubādah, “Did I hear you reciting the Umm al-Qurān while Abū Nu‘aym was reciting aloud?” “Yes,” he answered, “The Messenger of Allah led us in some prayers in which recitation was aloud and it was confusing for him. When he finished, he faced us and said, ‘Do you recite when I am reciting aloud?’ Some of us said, ‘We do that.’ He said, ‘No, I tell you not to contend with me in the Qur’an. When I recite aloud, do not recite anything except the Umm al-Qurān.’”’ This is a clear text about someone following the imām.

Abū 'Īsā at-Tirmidhī transmitted the same from the hadith of Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq and said that it is a ḥasan hadith.

The practice is based on this hadith regarding recitation behind the imām among most of the people of knowledge among the Companions of the Prophet and the Tābi‘ūn. It is the position of Mālik ibn Anas, Ibn al-Mubārak, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, and Ishāq. They think that one recites behind the imām. Ad-Dāraquṭnī also transmitted it and said that it has a good isnād and all its men are trustworthy. He mentioned that Maḥmūd ibn ar-Rabī‘ used to live in Jerusalem and Abū Nu‘aym was the first to give the adhān in Jerusalem. Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ḥaqq said, ‘Al-Bukhārī did not mention Nāfi‘ ibn Maḥmūd in his History nor did Ibn Abī Ḥātim. Neither al-Bukhārī nor Muslim transmitted anything from him.’ Abū ‘Umar said that he is unknown.

Ad-Dāraquṭnī mentioned that Yazīd ibn Sharīk said, ‘I asked ‘Umar about reciting behind the imām and he ordered me to recite. I asked, “Even if it is you?” “Even if it is me,” he answered. I said, “Even if you are reciting aloud?” He replied, “Even if I am reciting
Aloud.” Ad-Dāraquṭnī said that it is a sound isnād. It is related that Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh said that Messenger of Allah said, ‘The imām is a guarantor, so do what he does.’ Abū Ḥātim said, ‘This is sound for those who believe that one recites behind the imām. Abū Hurayrah al-Fārisī used it to give a legal decision that he recites to himself when he says, “Sometimes I am behind the imām.” Then he cited as evidence the words of the Almighty, “I have divided the prayer between me and Myself. My slave will have what he asks for” and the Messenger said, “Recite. The slave says, ‘Praise belongs to Allah, the Lord of the worlds...’”

As for the evidence that the former use, taking the words of the Prophet: ‘When he recites, be silent,’ Muslim transmitted it from the hadith of Abū Mūsā al-Ash‘arī. He said that there is an addition in it from Qatādah in the hadith of Jarīr ibn Sulaymān: ‘When he recites, be silent.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī said, ‘Sulaymān at-Taymī does not follow these words from Qatādah. The memorisers of the people of Qatādah opposed him and did not mention it. They include Shu‘bah, Hishām, Sa‘īd ibn Abī ‘Arūba, Hammām, Abū ‘Awānah, Ma‘mar, and ‘Adī ibn Abī ‘Ammārah.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī said, ‘Their consensus indicates that it is weak.’ It is related from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Āmir from Qatādah, following at-Taymī, but he is not strong and al-Qaṭṭa‘ān abandoned him. Abū Dāwūd also transmitted this addition from the hadith of Abū Hurayrah. He said, ‘This addition, “when he recites, be silent,” is not preserved.’ Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ḥaqq mentioned that Muslim considered the hadith of Abū Hurayrah sound, saying, ‘I consider it sound.’

Part of what indicates its soundness in his view is its inclusion in his book from the hadith of Abū Mūsā even if there is no consensus about it. Imām Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and Ibn al-Mundhir considered it to be sound. The words of the Almighty: ‘When the Qur’ān is recited, listen to it and be silent’ (7:204) were revealed in Makkah and the prohibition against speaking in the prayer was revealed in Madīnah,
as Zayd ibn Arqam said. So it is not an argument. It is the idolators who were meant according to what Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab said. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Abū Hurayrah that it was revealed about raising the voice when behind the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Āmir said that it is weak.

As for his words, ‘Do not contend with me in the Qur’an,’ Mālik transmitted that from Ibn Shihāb from Ibn Ukaymah al-Laythī. According to Mālik, his name is ‘Amr, and others said that his name was ‘Āmir, Yazīd, ‘Ammārah or ‘Ubbād. His kunyah was Abu-l-Walīd. He died in 101 AH at the age of ninety-nine. Az-Zuhri only related one hadith from him. He is trustworthy. Muḥammad ibn ‘Amr and others related from him. The meaning of his hadith is: ‘Do not recite aloud when I recite aloud. That is contending and vying. Recite it inside yourselves.’ It is made clear by the hadith of ‘Ubbādah, the legal decisions of ‘Umar al-Fārūq and Abū Hurayrah who related both hadiths. If his words ﷺ, ‘Do not contend with me in the Qur’an’, had been understood to entail a total prohibition, he would not have given a legal decision contrary to it and to the words of az-Zuhri in the hadith of Ibn Ukaymah. So people stopped reciting with the Messenger of Allah ﷺ when the Messenger of Allah ﷺ recited aloud when they heard that from him.

As for the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘If someone has an imām, the imām recites on his behalf,’ the hadith is weak. Al-Ḥasan ibn ‘Ammārah gives its isnād, and he is abandoned, and Abū Ḥanīfah, who is weak, both relating from Mūsā ibn Abī ‘Ā’ishah from ‘Abdullāh ibn Shaddād from Jābir. Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it and said, ‘Sufyān ath-Thawrī, Shu‘bah, Isrā‘il ibn Yunus, Sharīk, Abū Khālid ad-Dālānī, Abu-l-Aḥwaṣ, Sufyān ibn ‘Uyaynah, Jarīr ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥamīd and others related it from Mūsā ibn Abī ‘Ā’ishah from ‘Abdullāh ibn Shaddād mursal from the Prophet ﷺ. That is correct.’ As for the statement of Jābir, ‘Whoever prays a rak‘ah in which he did not recite the Umm al-Qur‘ān has not prayed’, it is
related by Mālik from Wahb ibn Kaysān from Jābir, and Jābir has it from the Prophet ☪. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said that Yaḥyā ibn Salām, the author of the Tafsīr, related it from Mālik from Abū Nu‘aym Wahb ibn Kaysān from Jābir from the Prophet ☪. What is correct is that it is mawqūf, stopping with Jābir, as is stated in the Muwaṭṭa’. Part of the fiqh taken from it is that a rak‘ah in which the Umm al-Qur‘ān is not recited is invalid. It attests to the soundness of what Ibn al-Qāsim believed. He related it from Mālik regarding the invalidation of the rak‘ah and building on other rak‘ahs and the person praying does not repeat a rak‘ah in which he did not recite the Fātihah of the Book. Also part of that is that the imām recites on behalf of those behind him. This is the school of Jābir and others disagreed with him about it.

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘He said, “There is no prayer for the one who does not recite the Fātihah of the Book.” People disagree about this principle and whether the negation applies to the entire prayer or to a part. The fatwā varies according to the different states of those who investigate. The best-known and strongest opinion is that the negation is general. The strongest comes from the transmission of Mālik that the prayer of someone who does not recite the Fātihah is invalid. Then we look at its repetition in every rak‘ah. Whoever reflects on the words of the Prophet ☪, “Do that in all your prayer” obliges him to repeat the recitation as he repeats the rukū‘ and prostration, but Allah knows best.’

The hadiths and ideas mentioned about this that specify the Fātihah refute the statement of the Kufans about the Fātihah not being specified and other āyāhs of the Qur’an being the same as it. The Prophet ☪ specified it when he said what we mentioned. It is clear from Allah Almighty that it is meant in His words, ‘Establish the prayer.’ Abū Dāwūd related that Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī said, ‘We were commanded to recite the Fātihah of the Book and what is easy.’ This hadith indicates that the words of the Prophet ☪ to the Bedouin,
'Recite what is easy for you of the Qur’an,’ mean not more than the *Fātiḥah*. It explains the words of the Almighty: ‘Recite as much of the Qur’an as is easy for you.’ (73:20) Muslim related from ‘Ubādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘There is no prayer for the one who does not recite the *Umm al-Qur’an,*’ and added ‘and more’, and that he ﷺ said, ‘it is incomplete’ three times. This means that it does not satisfy the legal requirement by the aforementioned proofs. ‘Khidāj (incomplete)’ means deficient and unsound. Al-Akhfash said that the verb *khadija* is used of a she-camel miscarrying before the foetus is completely formed.

Investigation makes it clear that the prayer is not permitted with that deficiency because the prayer is not complete. If someone leaves his prayer without completing it, he must repeat it as he is commanded according to its ruling. If someone claims that it is allowed while affirming that it is incomplete, then he must provide evidence, but there is no way to do so in a binding way. Allah knows best.

It is related from Mālik that recitation is not obliged in any part of the prayer. That is like what ash-Shāfi‘ī used to say in Iraq in respect of someone who forgets it. Then he retracted that position in Egypt and said, ‘If someone can recite the *Fātiḥah* of the Book well, the prayer is only achieved by it and he is not allowed to omit a single letter of it. If he does not recite it or omits a single letter of it, then he must repeat the prayer, even if he recited something else.’ This is the sound view regarding this question. As for what is related about ‘Umar praying *Magrib* and not reciting in it and when that was mentioned to him, he asked, ‘How were the *rukū‘* and prostration?’ ‘Good,’ they answered. He said, ‘There is no harm then.’ The hadith is *munkar* in its words and its *isnād* is broken because it is related from Ibrāhīm ibn al-Ḥārith at-Ṭaymī from ‘Umar, but sometimes Ibrāhīm related it from Abū Salamah ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān from ‘Umar. Both are broken and provide no evidence.
Malik mentioned it in the *Muwaṭṭa*. Some transmitters have it, but it is not found with Yahyā and a group along with him because Malik removed it from his book at the end. He said, ‘There is no action based on it because the Prophet ☪ said, ‘Any prayer in which the *Umm al-Qur’ān* is not recited is incomplete.’

It is related that ‘Umar repeated that prayer, and that is sound. Yahyā ibn Yahyā an-Naysābūrī related from Abū Mu‘āwiyah from al-A‘mash from Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘ī from Hammām ibn al-Ḥārith that ‘Umar forgot to recite in *Maghrib* and repeated the prayer with them. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘This hadith is connected and Hammām was witness to it from ‘Umar. That was related by various paths.’ Ashhab related what Malik said: ‘Malik was asked about someone who forgot to recite, “Do you approve of what ‘Umar said?” He answered, “I do not acknowledge what ‘Umar did,” and he did not acknowledge the hadith. He said, “People relate that ‘Umar did this in *Maghrib* and they did not say, ‘Glory be to Allah!’ I think that someone who does that repeats the prayer.”’

Scholars agree that there is no prayer without recitation and they agree that there is no particular amount specified beyond the *Fātiḥah* of the Book, although they recommend that only one *sūrah* should be recited with the *Fātiḥah* because that is the maximum which has come from the Prophet ☪. Malik said, ‘The *sunnah* of recitation is to recite the *Umm al-Qur’ān* and a *sūrah* in the first two rak‘ahs and only the *Fātiḥah* in the last two.’ Al-Awzā‘ī said, ‘You should recite the *Umm al-Qur’ān*. If you do not recite *Umm al-Qur’ān* and recite something else instead, that satisfies the requirements.’ He said, ‘If he forgets to recite in three rak‘ahs, he repeats it.’ Ath-Thawrī said, ‘In the first two rak‘ahs, you recite the *Fātiḥah* and a *sūrah*, and you can glorify in the last two if you wish, or if you wish, you may recite. If you neither recite nor glorify your prayer is still allowed.’ That is the position of Abū Ḥanīfah and the rest of the Kufans. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘We related that ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said, “Recite in the
first two and glorify in the last two.”

An-Nakha’ī reported that Sufyān said, ‘If someone does not recite in three rak‘ahs, he must repeat the prayer because the recitation in a single rak‘ah is not sufficient.’ He said, ‘The same applies if someone forgets to recite in one rak‘ah in the Fajr prayer.’ Abū Thawr said, ‘A prayer is only satisfied by the recitation of the Fātiḥah in every rak‘ah, which was the Egyptian position of ash-Shāfi‘ī, and a group of the people of ash-Shāfi‘ī also say that.’ Ibn Khuwayzimandād al-Mālikī said the same. He said, ‘We consider recitation of the Fātiḥah to be mandatory in every rak‘ah. This is the sound position regarding this question.’ Muslim related that Abū Qatādah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah used to lead us in the prayer and he would recite the Fātiḥah of the Book and two sūrah in the first two rak‘ahs of Ṭuhr and ‘Aṣr. Sometimes we would hear the āyah. He was long in the first rak‘ah of Ṭuhr and short in the second, and did the same in Ṣubh.’ One variant has: ‘He used to recite the Fātiḥah in the last two rak‘ahs.’ This is a clear text and sound hadith supporting what Mālik believed and a text for specifying that the Fātiḥah is recited in every rak‘ah. The definitive proof is in the Sunnah, not in what opposes it.

The majority believe that what is additional to the Fātiḥah in the recitation is not mandatory according to what Muslim related from Abū Hurayrah. He said, ‘There is recitation in every prayer. What the Prophet made us hear, we make you hear, and what he hid from us we hide from you. If anyone recites the Umm al-Qur‘ān, it is enough for him. If someone recites more, that is better.’ Al-Bukhārī said, ‘If there is more, it is good.’ Many of the people of knowledge reject abandoning reciting the sūrah, with or without necessity, including ‘Imrān ibn Ḥusayn, Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī, Khawwāt ibn Jubayr, Mujāhid, Abū Wā‘il, Ibn ‘Umar, Ibn ‘Abbās and others. They said, ‘There is no prayer for the one who does not recite the Fātiḥah in it and something of the Qur‘an along with it.’ Some of them stipulate two āyahs, or one āyah, and some do not set a limit.
He said, ‘Something of the Qur’an with it.’ In any case, all of this obliges learning what is feasible of the Quran along with the Fātiḥah of the Book based on the hadīth of ‘Ubādah, Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī and others. We find in al-Mudawwanah: ‘Wākī‘ related from al-A’mash that Khaythama said that someone heard ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb say, “The requirement of the prayer is not satisfied if someone does not recite the Fātiḥah of the Book and something with it.”’ The School has three different positions about the recitation of the sūrah: sunnah, meritorious and mandatory.’

If someone finds it impossible, after his best efforts, to learn the Fātiḥah or anything of the Qur’an, he should mention Allah in place of the recitation using whatever formula he can: takbīr, ‘lā ilaha illā ‘llāh’, praise, glorification, magnification or ‘lā hawla walā quwwata illā bi’llāh’ when he prays alone or with an imām in a silent prayer. Abū Dāwūd and others reported that ‘Abdullāh ibn Abī Awfā said, ‘A man came to the Messenger of Allah and said, “I cannot learn any of the Qur’an, so teach me what will compensate that for me.” He said, “Say: ‘Glory be to Allah and praise be to Allah. There is no god but Allah and there is no strength or power except by Allah.’” He answered, “Say: ‘O Allah, show mercy to me, protect me, guide me and provide for me.”’

If someone is unable even to learn any of these expressions, he should not fail to try to pray with the imām. The imām will bear that responsibility for him if Allah wills. He must, however, always persevere in trying to memorise the Fātiḥah of the Book and more of the Qur’an until death intervenes. He is engaged in striving and so Allah will excuse him. If someone cannot speak Arabic, the Arabic supplication is translated for him into a language which he understands so he can perform his prayer. That will satisfy the requirement, Allah willing.

If someone recites the prayer in Persian when he has good Arabic,
it is not allowed according to the position of the majority. Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘Recitation in Persian satisfies it, even if he is good in Arabic, because the goal is to grasp the meaning.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘That does not satisfy it because it is contrary to what Allah commanded and contrary to what the Prophet taught, and contrary to what the Community of the Muslims do. We do not know of anyone agreeing with this statement of his.’

If someone begins the prayer as he is commanded without knowing Arabic and then he suddenly knows Arabic while in the prayer – and that is conceivable if he hears someone reciting it and he retains it by simply hearing it – he does not start the prayer anew because he performed in the past according to what he was commanded and there is no way to invalidate it. That is said in the book of Ibn Saḥnūn.

**Topic Three: Saying ‘Āmīn’**

There are eight points connected with it.

It is **sunnah** for the reciter of the Qur’an to say ‘āmīn’ when he finishes the Fātiḥah, after a moment of silence following the nūn of ‘ḍāllīn’ to distinguish what is part of the Qur’an from what is not part of it.

It is established in the primary sources from the hadith of Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘When the imām says “āmīn”, say “āmīn”. If someone’s āmīn coincides with that of the angels, he will be forgiven his past wrong actions.’ Our scholars say that the forgiveness of wrong actions is dependent on four conditions which are contained in this hadith. The first is the imām saying āmīn, the second is those with him saying āmīn, the third is the āmīn of the angels, and the fourth is the coinciding of the āmīns. Some say that the coinciding refers to the answer, some that it is about the time, and some say that it is about sincerity in supplication as is made clear by the words of the Prophet, ‘Call on Allah and be
certain of the answer. Know that Allah does not answer the supplication of a heedless negligent heart.’

Abū Dāwūd related that Abū Muṣabbaḥ al-Maqrānī said, ‘We used to sit with Abū Zuhayr an-Namīrī, one of the Companions, and he related the best statement about this. When one of us made a supplication, he said, “End it with āmīn. Āmīn is like the seal on the page.” Abū Zuhayr continued, “Shall I tell you how I know that? One night we went out with the Messenger of Allah and came to a man who was intense in asking [of Allah]. The Prophet stood listening to him and said, “It is guaranteed if he seals it.” Someone there asked him, “With what is it sealed?” He answered, “With āmīn. If he seals with it with āmīn, it is guaranteed.” The man who asked the Prophet went to the man and asked, “Did you seal it, so-and-so” and he gave him the good news.’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘The name of Abū Zuhayr an-Namīrī was Yaḥyā ibn Nufayr. He related from the Prophet: “Do not kill locusts. They are the greatest army of Allah.”’

Wahb ibn Munabbih said, ‘Āmīn consists of four letters. Allah creates an angel from every letter which says, “O Allah, forgive the one who said āmīn!”’ In a report we also find: ‘Jibrīl taught me āmīn when I finished the Fātiḥah of the Book and said that it is like a seal on the Book.’ We find in another hadith: ‘Āmīn is the seal of the Lord of the worlds.’ Al-Harawī said that Abū Bakr said, ‘Its meaning is that Allah puts a seal on His servants because by it He averts from them ruin and afflictions. So it is like the seal of the book which protects it and prevents it from being ruined and showing what it contains.’ We find in another hadith: ‘Āmīn is a degree in the Garden.’ Abū Bakr said, ‘It means that it is a letter by which the one who utters it obtains a degree in the Garden.’

The meaning of āmīn according to the people of knowledge is: ‘O Allah, give us an answer!’ which acts as a kind of supplication. Some people say that it is one of the Names of Allah. That is related from
Ja‘far ibn Muḥammad, Mujāhid and Hilāl ibn Yasāf. Ibn ‘Abbās is also said to have related it from the Prophet ﷺ, but the transmission is not sound. Ibn al-‘Arabī said that as well. It is said that the meaning of āmīn is ‘Let it be like that.’ Al-Jawharī said that. Al-Kalbī related from Abū Ṣāliḥ that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘I asked the Messenger of Allah ﷺ about the meaning of āmīn. He answered, “Lord, do it!”’ Muqātil said, ‘It strengthens the supplication and asks for the descent of blessing.’ At-Tirmidhī said, ‘It means: “Do not disappoint our hopes.”’

There are two ways of pronouncing āmīn: with both vowels long like Yāsīn, and with the first vowel short like yamīn. Doubling the mīm is an error, according to al-Jawharī. The doubling is related from al-Ḥasan and Ja‘far aṣ-Ṣādiq. It is the position of al-Ḥusayn ibn al-Faḍl, who derived it from amma meaning to aim for something, which gives it the meaning, ‘we aim for You.’ This occurs in Allah’s words: ‘or those heading (āmmīn) for the Sacred House…’ (5:2). Abū Naṣr ‘Abd ar-Raḥīm ibn ‘Abd al-Karīm al-Qushayrī related it. Al-Jawharī said, ‘It is based on the fatḥah as is “ayna” and “kayfa” because of joining of two silent letters.’

Scholars disagree about whether the imām says it at all and, if he does, whether he says it out loud. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and Mālik believe in the transmission of the Madinans regarding that whereas the Kufans and some Madinans say that it should not be said out loud. That is the view of aṭ-Ṭabarī. Among our scholars, Ibn Ḥabīb said that. Ibn Bukayr said that there is a choice. Ibn al-Qāsim related from Mālik that the imām does not say āmīn but those behind him do. That is the position of Ibn al-Qāsim and the Egyptians among Mālik’s followers. Their evidence is the hadith of Abū Mūsā al-Ash‘arī: ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ addressed us, made our sunnah clear to us and taught us our prayer. He said, “When you pray, then make your rows straight. Then let one of you lead the prayer. When he says the takbīr, say the takbīr. When he says, ‘not of those with anger on them nor of
the misguided,' say āmīn and Allah will answer you.”’” Muslim transmitted it. It is like the hadith of Sumayy from Abū Hurayrah that Mālik transmitted. The first is sound because of the hadith of Wā’il ibn Hujr who said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah recited, “nor of the misguided,” he said, “Āmīn,” raising his voice.’ Abū Dāwūd and ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it. He added that Abū Bakr said that only the people of Kufa have this sunnah. This is sound. Al-Bukhārī has a chapter on ‘The imām saying āmīn out loud.’

‘Aṭā’ said that āmīn is a supplication. Ibn az-Zubayr and those behind him said it until the mosque reverberated. At-Tirmidhī said, ‘That is the position of more than one of the people of knowledge among the Companions of the Prophet and those after them. They related that a man should raise his voice with āmīn and not say it silently.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad and Isḥāq said that. In the Muwāṭta’ and the two Ṣaḥīḥ Collections Ibn Shihāb said that the Messenger of Allah used to say, ‘Āmīn.’ We find in the Sunan of Ibn Mājah that Abū Hurayrah said, ‘People have abandoned the āmīn. When the Messenger of Allah said, “not of those with anger on them nor of the misguided,” he would say, “Āmīn” so that the people of the first row heard it and the mosque would reverberate with it.’ The point in the hadith of Abū Mūsā and Sumayy is defining the place where āmīn is said. It is when the imām says, ‘nor of the misguided’ so that they say it together and do not get ahead of it by saying ‘Āmīn’ as we mentioned. Allah knows best. The Prophet also said, ‘When the imām says, “Āmīn”, then say “Āmīn”.’ Ibn Nāfi‘ said in the book of Ibn al-Ḥārith: ‘The one following the imām does not say it unless he hears the imām say, “nor of the misguided.” When he is so far away that he does not hear it, he does not say it.’ Ibn ‘Abdūs said, ‘He estimates the amount of the recitation and then says, “Āmīn”.’

The people of Abū Ḥanīfah said that it is more appropriate to say āmīn silently rather than out loud because it is supplication and Allah Almighty says, ‘Call on your Lord humbly and secretly.’ (7:55) They said,
‘The evidence for it is what is related about the interpretation of the words of the Almighty: “Your request is answered.”’ (19:89) They said, ‘Mūsā and Hārūn used to make supplication using āmīn and so Allah called them both supplicators.’ The answer is that making supplication silently is better in order to avoid any showing-off. As for the group prayer, attending it is in order to publicise an outward obligation and to promote a duty which people are recommended to observe. The imām has to articulate the recitation of the Fātihah, which contains supplication and āmīn at the end of it. When supplication is of the sort which it is sunna to say aloud, then āmīn follows at the end of the supplication and is also sunnah. This is clear.

The word ‘Āmīn’ was not found before us except with Mūsa and Hārūn. At-Tirmidhī al-Ḥakīm related in Nawādir al-uṣūl from ‘Abd al-Wārith ibn ‘Abd aṣ-Ṣamad from Razīn, the muʾadhdhin of the mosque of Hishām ibn Ḥassān, from Anas ibn Mālik that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Allah has given three things to my Community that He did not give to anyone before them: the greeting of the people, the rows of the angels, and Āmīn, except for what was said by Mūsa and Hārūn.’ Abū ‘Abdullāh said, ‘It means that Mūsa prayed against Pharaoh and Hārūn said, “Āmīn.”’ Allah – blessed is His Name – said when He mentioned the supplication of Mūsa in the Revelation: “Your request is answered.” (10:89) He did not mention what Hārūn said. Mūsa said, ‘Our Lord’ and Hārūn said, ‘Āmīn.’ So he is called a supplicator in the Revelation because that amounted to a supplication on his part.

It is said that ‘Āmīn’ is particular to this community since it is related that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The Jews do not envy you for anything as they envy you for the salām and saying āmīn.’ Ibn Mājah transmitted it from Ḥammād ibn Salamah from Suhayl ibn Abī Ṣāliḥ from his father from ‘Ā’ishah that the Prophet ﷺ said…’ It is also transmitted from the ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Abbās that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The Jews do not envy you for anything as much as they envy
you for the amīn. So say “Āmīn” often.’ Our scholars say that the People of the Book envy us because the beginning of the Fātiḥah is praise of Allah, lauding Him, then humility and humbleness to Him, and then praying to guide us to the Straight Path and then invocation against them with saying ‘Āmīn.’

**Topic Four: The Meanings of the Fātiḥah, Its Recitations and Syntax, and the Excellence of Those Who Praise**

There are thirty-six points in it.

**Praise be to Allah.**

Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ghanī ibn Sa‘īd related from Abū Hurayrah and Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When someone says, “Praise be to Allah,” Allah says, “My slave has praised Me.”’ Muslim related from Anas ibn Mālik that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Allah is pleased with the slave who eats and praises Him for it or takes a drink and praises Him for it.’ Al-Ḥasan said, ‘There is no blessing but that the words, “Praise be to Allah” are better than it.’ Ibn Mājah related from Anas ibn Mālik that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘When Allah gives someone a blessing and he says, “Praise be to Allah”, what he says is better than what he receives.’

In *Nawādir al-uṣūl*, Anas ibn Mālik reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If the entire world, lock, stock and barrel, were to be in the hand of a man of my Community and then he said, “Praise be to Allah,” his praise of Allah would be greater than everything he possessed.’ Abū ‘Abdullāh said, ‘We find that its meaning is that he has been given this world and then is given these words to say after it. Then the words are better than all of this world because this world will end while the words will remain, being one of the enduring good actions. Allah says: “In your Lord’s sight, right actions which are lasting are better both in reward and end result.” (19:76) It is said in some variants, ‘What he was given was greater than what he
took.’ So ‘was given’ refers to the slave and this world is taken from Allah. This is about management. That is how this statement is said to be from the slave and this world is from Allah while, in reality, both are from Allah. This world is from Allah and the words of Allah are also from Him. He gave him this world and made him rich, and He gave him the words and honoured him by them in the Next World.’

Ibn Mājah related from Ibn ‘Umar that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said to them, ‘One of the slaves of Allah said, “O Lord, praise is Yours as befits the majesty of Your face and the immensity of Your power.”’ His two recording angels were perplexed by it. They did not know how to record it, so they rose to heaven and said, “Our Lord, Your slave said something we do not know how to record.” Allah Almighty, Who knows better what His slave said, asked, “What did My slave say?” They replied, “O Lord, he said, ‘O Lord, praise is Yours as befits the majesty of Your face and the immensity of Your power.’” Allah said to them, “Continue to write it as My slave said it until He meets Me and I will replay him for it.”’

Scholars disagree about which is better: the words, ‘Praise be to Allah, the Lord of all the worlds,’ or the words, ‘There is no god but Allah’. One group said that ‘Praise be to Allah, the Lord of all the worlds’ is better because it incorporates the tawḥīd contained in ‘There is no god but Allah’. It thus contains both tawḥīd and praise whereas ‘There is no god but Allah’ contains tawḥīd alone. Another group say that ‘There is no god but Allah’ is better because it repels disbelief and idolatry and because people are fought for refusing to say it. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘I was commanded to fight people until they say, “There is no god but Allah.”’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah preferred this and said that this view is entailed by the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘The best of what I and the Prophets before me said is, “There is no god but Allah alone with no partner.”’

The Muslims agree that Allah is praised for all His blessings and
that one of Allah’s blessings is faith. This indicates that faith is both
an action and a creation. The evidence for that is found in His
words, ‘Lord of all the worlds.’ ‘Ālamīn (worlds)’ is the total of all
creatures, and faith is part of that whole. The Qadariyyah claim of it
being their own creation is false, as will be explained.

The word ‘praise (ḥamd)’ in the Arabic language means
comprehensive praise. The definite article is used since the category
includes all forms of praise. Allah deserves all praise since He has the
Most Beautiful Names and Sublime Attributes. The expression
‘ḥamd’ has a plural of paucity as in the words of the poet:

I have singled out the brightest of the praised
with my best words and best praise (aḥmadī).

Praise is the opposite of blame. You say, ‘I praised the man’ and he
is praiseworthy (ḥamīd, maḥmūd). Taḥmīd from Form II is more
extensive than ḥamd. Praise is more universal than gratitude. The
name ‘Muḥammad’ which is derived from it means the one who has
many praiseworthy qualities. That is why the Messenger of Allah is
called that. A poet said:

It is derived for him from His Own Name to esteem him.
The Possessor of the Throne is praised (maḥmūd)
and this is Muḥammad.

Praiseworthy is the opposite of blameworthy.
Abū Jaʿfar aṭ-Ṭabarī and Abu-l-ʿAbbās al-Mubarrad believed that
praise and gratitude have the same meaning and that it does not
mean ‘approval’. Abū ʿAbd ar-Raḥmān as-Sulamī related that in his
Kītāb al-ḥaqāʾiq, quoting Jaʿfar aṣ-Ṣādiq and Ibn ʿAṭā’ ʿllāh. Ibn ʿAṭā’
ʿllāh said, ‘It means “Thanks be to Allah” since there is a blessing
from Him in teaching it to us so that we praise Him.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī
deduced that they mean the same by the validity of the words,
‘Praise be to Allah in gratitude.’ Ibn ʿAṭā’ ʿllāh said, ‘In reality, it is
evidence for other than what he believed because the word
“gratitude” is specific to praise because it is one of the blessings. Some scholars say that “gratitude” is more general than praise because it is shown with the tongue, the limbs, and the heart whereas praise is made only with the tongue. It is said that praise is more general because it contains the meaning of gratitude and the meaning of praise and is therefore more universal than gratitude because praise can include gratitude but gratitude does not include praise.’

It is related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ““Praise be to Allah” is the statement of every grateful person. When he sneezed, Ādam said, “Praise be to Allah.” Allah said to Nūḥ, “Then say: ‘Praise be to Allah Who has rescued us from the people of the wrongdoers!’” (23:28)” Ibrāhīm said, “Praise be to Allah Who, despite my old age, has given me Ismā‘īl and Ishāq!” (27:15) Dāwūd and Sulaymān said, “Praise be to Allah Who has favoured us over many of His slaves who are believers.” (27:15)” He said to His Prophet ☪, “Say: ‘praise be to Allah Who has no son.’” (17:111)” The people of the Garden will say, “Praise be to Allah Who has removed all sadness from us” (35:34)” and “The end of their call is: ‘Praise be to Allah, the Lord of all the worlds!’” (10:10)” These are the words of everyone who is grateful.’

The sound position is that the word ‘praise’ is used for the qualities of a person praised without the need of any prior act of charity on that person’s part. Thanks is praise for the one thanked because of some good that he has done. Based on this definition, our scholars say that praise is more universal than gratitude because it comprises both praising and thanking. Thanks is particular, being directed towards someone who did good to you. So the word ‘praise’ is more general and is used in the āyah because it is more than thanks. ‘Praise’ is also used with approval. It is said, ‘I tested him and approved (ḥamdatu) of him.’ Part of that is the words of the Almighty: ‘A praiseworthy (mahmūd) station.’” (17:79) The Prophet ☪ said, ‘I approve (ahladu) of you washing the urethra.’
It is mentioned that Jaʿfar aṣ-Ṣādiq said, “Praise be to Allah” is a way of praising Him with the attributes with which He has described Himself, and the word ḥamd is used because it is made up of the letters ḥā’, mīm, and dāl. Ḥā’ is from oneness (waḥdāniyyah), mīm is from dominion (mulk), and dāl is everlastingness (daymūmiyyah). This is the real meaning of “al-ḥamdu lillāh.” Shaqīq ibn Ibrāhīm said in the explanation of ‘Praise be to Allah’ that it has three aspects. The first is that when Allah gives you something, you acknowledge the One Who gave it to you. The second is that you show you are pleased with what He has given you. The third is that, as long as there us still strength in your body you do not disobey Him. These are the preconditions of praise.

Allah Almighty praised Himself and began His Book with praise of Himself and did not allow that for anyone but Himself. Indeed, He forbade that in His Book and on the tongue of His Prophet ﷺ. The Almighty says: ‘Do not claim purity for yourselves. He knows best those who are godfearing.’ (52:32) The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Throw dust in the faces of those who praise.’ Al-Miqdād related it and it will be discussed in al-Māʾidah, Allah willing.

The meaning of the words ‘Praise be to Allah, the Lord of all the worlds’ is ‘My prior praise of Myself before any created being praises Me. My praise of Myself before time does not have a cause while praise of Me by My creatures is sullied by causes.’ Our scholars said, ‘It is repugnant for a creature who has not been given perfection to praise himself for bringing benefits and repelling harms.’ It is said that since He knew His slaves’ inability to praise Him, He praised Himself by Himself for Himself before time. No matter how much His slaves try, they are unable to praise Him sufficiently. Do you not see how the Master of the Messengers ﷺ displayed inability when he said, ‘I cannot number Your praises.’ They said:

When we praise You for good,
You are as You are praised and above the one who praises.
It is said that Allah praised Himself before time because He knew the great number of His blessings would be more enjoyed by them since He removed the burden of praising Him from them.

All seven readings and the majority of people agree that there is a ḍammah on the dāl of ḥamd, making it ḥamdū. Ḥamdā is related from Sufyān ibn ‘Uyaynah and Ru’bah ibn al-‘Ajjāj. This is based on an implied elided verb. Sībuwayh says that, grammatically, if someone uses the nominative ḥamdū, it has the meaning of “I praise Allah greatly.” Praise is from Him and from all of creation for Allah. If someone uses the accusative ḥamdā, he is saying praise is from him alone for Allah. Those other than Sībuwayh say that saying this is alluding to Allah’s pardon and forgiveness and proclaiming Him great and magnifying Him. So it has a different meaning than a report: it is a request. We find in a hadith: ‘If someone is distracted from asking of Me by remembering Me, I will give him more than I give those who ask.’ It is said that His praise of Himself is to inform His slaves of it. What is implied, according to this, is: ‘Say: “Praise be to Allah.”’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘“Praise be to Allah” is praise by which He praises Himself. It contains a command for His slaves to praise Him and so it is as if He were saying, “Say: “Praise be to Allah.”’”

The Lord of all the Worlds

‘The Lord of all the Worlds’ is their Master. Every kingdom has its lord and the Lord is the Master (mālik). We find in aṣ-Ṣiḥāḥ: “Lord” (rabb) is one of the Names of Allah Almighty. It is only used of someone else in a relative sense. They used to use it to designate a king in the Jāhiliyyah period.’

The word ‘Rabb’ means ‘master’. That is demonstrated by the words of the Almighty in Sūrat Yūsuf: ‘Mention me when you are with your lord.’ (12:42) We find in a hadith: ‘When the slave-girl gives birth to her mistress (rabbah).’ We have explained it in Kitāb at-tadhkirah. The Lord is the one who puts things right, manages, compels and
preserves. Al-Harawī and others said that it is used of the one who tries to put a thing right and complete it. He sees to its fulfilment (rabba) and so he is a lord (rabb, rābb) to it. Rabbāniyyūn (divines) are so called because of their guarding of the Revealed Books. We find in a hadith: ‘Do you have a blessing which you tend to (tarubbuḥā)?’ i.e. to which you attend and put in order. The Lord is the One Who is worshipped. Part of that is the words of a poet:

Is he a lord on whose head
the male fox urinates?

Some scholars say that Rabb is the greatest name of Allah because of the large number of those who make supplication using it. Consider its use in the Qur’an as at the end of al-Baqarah and Āl ‘Imrān, and in Sūrat Ibrāhīm and other sūrahs. By this quality of Lordship we are made aware of the quality of the relationship between the Lord and the slave which involves kindness, mercy, and need in every state.

There is disagreement about the derivation of the word rabb. Some say that it is derived from tarbiyah (upbringing). So Allah Almighty manages His creation and nurtures them. We find that borne out in the words of the Almighty: ‘Your foster daughters in your care.’ (4:23) He called a foster daughter rabībah because the husband cares for her. On the basis that Allah manages His creation and nurtures it, Rabb reflects an attribute connected to Divine Action, and, in as far as Rabb means king and master, it is an attribute of the Divine Essence.

When the definite article is affixed to ‘Lord’ on its own it can only mean Allah Almighty because it is defined, but if it is elided, it can be shared between Allah and His slaves. It is said, ‘Allah is the Lord of the slaves, Zayd is the lord of the house, and Allah is the Lord of the lords.’ Allah owns both the owner and what is owned. He created him and provides for him. No lord except for Allah can be either a creator or provider. A slave can become an owner after he was not an owner and ownership can also be removed from him. He
can own one thing and not own another. The attribute of lordship when it is applied to Allah is different from this. He everlastingly knows and owns everything in existence. This is the difference between the attribute of the Creator and the created.

There is great disagreement among interpreters about the meaning of the word ‘worlds (‘ālamīn)’. Qatādah said that it is the plural of ‘ālam, and means every existent thing except Allah. It has no singular form, like raht (group) and qawm (people). It is said that the people of every age are ‘a world’. Al-Ḥusayn ibn al-Faḍl said that, based on the words of Allah: ‘Of all beings (‘ālamīn), do you lie with males’ (26:165), he means people. Al-ʿAjjāj said:

Khindif is the head of this world.

Ibn ʿAbbās said that ‘ālamīn means the jinn and human beings. The evidence for his position is the words of the Almighty: ‘So that he can be a warner to all beings (‘ālamīn)’. (25:1) He was not a warner to animals. Al-Farrāʿ and Abū ʿUbaydah said, ‘The word “world (‘ālam)” designates all who have understanding and they constitute four communities: mankind, jinn, angels and shayṭāns. “World” is not used for beasts, because it is a word only used for those with intelligence.’

Zayd ibn Aslam said, ‘They are those who are provided for.’ It is like what Abū ʿAmr ibn al-ʿAlāʾ said: ‘They are those with a rūḥ.’ That is the same idea that Ibn ʿAbbās expressed: ‘It means everything with a rūḥ crawling on the earth.’ Wahb ibn Munabbīh said, ‘Allah has eighteen thousand worlds. This world is just one of them.’ Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī said, ‘Allah has forty thousand worlds, and this world from east to west is just one of them.’ Muqāṭīl said, ‘There are eighty thousand worlds, forty thousand are on the land and forty thousand on the sea.’ Ar-Rabīʿ ibn Anas related that Abu-l-ʿĀliyah said, ‘The jinn are a world and mankind is a world. Beyond that the earth has four corners and there are fifteen hundred worlds in each corner. He created them to worship Him.’
The first view is the soundest of these, because it includes every creature and existent thing. Evidence for it is found in the words of the Almighty: ‘Pharaoh said, “What is the Lord of all the worlds?” He said, “The Lord of the heavens and the earth and everything between them.”’ (26:23-24) The word is derived from ‘alam (sign) and ‘alamah (token) because it indicates the One Who brought it into existence. That is like what az-Zajjāj said: ‘The word “worlds” refers to all that Allah created in this world and the Next.’ Al-Khalīl said, ‘Alam, ‘alāmah and ma‘lam are what indicates a thing, so ‘ālam indicates that it has a Creator and Director. This is clear.’ It is mentioned that a man said in the presence of al-Junayd, ‘Praise be to Allah.’ He told him, ‘Complete it as Allah did. Say: “the Lord of all the worlds.”’ The man said, ‘What are these worlds that they should be mentioned with the Real?’ He said, ‘Say it, my brother. When the temporal is connected to the timeless, no trace of it remains.’

Although the word ‘Lord’ is usually considered to be genitive (Rabbī) in this context, it can also be read as nominative (Rabbu) or accusative (Rabba). If it is read as accusative, it becomes the object of the praise, and if it is as read as nominative it is the beginning of a new sentence, implying, ‘He is the Lord of all the worlds.’

The All-Merciful, Most Merciful

After calling Himself ‘the Lord of all the worlds’ Allah then describes Himself as ‘the All-Merciful, Most Merciful’. Because His description as ‘the Lord of all the worlds’ causes fear, He follows it by ‘the All-Merciful, Most Merciful’ since that contains reassurance, so that His qualities induce both awe of Him and the desire for Him. This helps people in their obedience to Him. He does the same in several places in His Book. He says: ‘Tell My slaves that I am the Ever-Forgiving, the Most Merciful, but also that My punishment is the painful punishment.’ (15:49-50) He says elsewhere: ‘The Forgiver of wrong action, the Accepter of repentance, the Severe in retribution, the Possessor of abundance.’ (40:3) In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim we find a hadith in which Abū Hurayrah
reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If the believers knew the punishment of Allah, no one would hope for His Garden. If the unbelievers knew the mercy of Allah, no one would despair of His Garden.’ The meanings of these two Names have already been given in the introduction.

The King of the Day of Repayment.

Muḥammad ibn as-Samayqa‘ has ‘King’ in the accusative, and there are four readings of it: mālik, malik, malk and malik. It is related from Nāfi‘ that there is isḥbā‘ on the kasrah of malik and so he recited, malikī. Scholars disagree about which is the more comprehensive: malik (king) or mālik (master). Both readings are transmitted from the Prophet ﷺ, Abū Bakr, and ‘Umar ﷺ. At-Tirmidhī mentioned both readings. It is said that ‘King (malik)’ is more general and intensive than ‘Master (mālik)’ since every king is a master but not every master is a king, and because a king has authority over the property of a master so that he can only dispose of it according to the directives of the king. Abū ‘Ubaydah and al-Mubarrad also held that opinion. It is said that ‘Master is more comprehensive because He is the Master of people and other creatures and so it is more far reaching and greater in His power of disposal since He sees to the imposing of the laws of the Sharī‘ah. So he has greater mastery.’

Abū ‘Alī said that Abū Bakr ibn as-Sirāj transmitted from some of those who preferred to recite mālik that Allah describes Himself as being the Master of everything in His words, ‘Lord of all the worlds’, so there is no point in the recitation mālik because that would be a repetition. Abū ‘Alī said, ‘This is not a valid argument because the Revelation contains many similar instances, where the general comes first and then the particular. One example is Allah’s words: “He is Allah, the Creator, the Maker, the Giver of Form.” (59:24) Creator is general, and the Giver of Form is mentioned because it calls attention to what is created and the existence of wisdom. Another instance is when the Almighty says: “They are certain about the Next
World’ after saying ‘those who believe in the Unseen.’” (2:3) The Unseen contains the Next World and other things, but He mentioned it because of its immensity and to call attention to the obligation to believe in it and to refute the unbelievers who deny it. Yet another example, of particular relevance to the Fātiḥah is the formula, “the All-Merciful, Most Merciful” when Raḥmān is general for all existence and Raḥīm is mentioned after it to make it specific to the believers in the Next World, something made explicit by His words, “merciful to the believers” (33:43).

Abū Ḥātim said that mālik is more intensive when praising the Creator than malik, and malik is more intensive when praising creatures than mālik. The difference between them is that a master in the case of creatures can be other than a king. But when Allah is master, He is also a king. This position was chosen by Qāḍī Abū Bakr ibn al-‘Arabī. He mentioned three reasons. One is that it can be applied to both the particular and the general and so you can say ‘master of a house, land and garment’, in the same way that you say ‘master of a kingdom’. The second is that it is applied to the master of a little or a lot. When you reflect on these two statements, you find that they are the same. The third is that you say, ‘Master of the Kingdom (mālik al-mulk),’ not ‘King of the Kingdom (malik al-mulk).’ Ibn al-Ḥaṣṣār said that that is the case because the word ‘master’ indicates property (milk) and does not necessarily entail a kingdom (mulk). Property comprises both matters and so it is more intensive. It also entails completeness. That is why malik is more entitled than others.

Have you not seen the words of the Almighty: ‘Allah has chosen him over you and increased him greatly in knowledge and physical strength’ (2:247)? And that is why the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The imamate is in Quraysh,’ and Quraysh is the best of the Arab tribes and the Arabs are better and nobler than the non-Arabs. It contains power and choice, which is something which is necessary for a king. If he were not powerful,
possessing choice and having his judgments and commands carried out, then his enemies would overpower him and his subjects would be held in contempt. It also contains force, promise and threat. Do you not see that Sulaymān said: ‘How is it that I do not see the hoopoe? Or is it absent without leave? I will certainly punish it most severely’ (27:20-21)? There are other extraordinary matters and sublime meanings which are not found in mālik. Some of them argue that mālik is more intensive because it has an extra letter and so its reciter receives ten more good actions than the one who reads malik. This is looking at form rather than meaning. The recitation of malik is established and it has meanings that mālik does not have, and Allah knows best.

It is said that it is not permitted to give anyone the name nor to call other than Allah Almighty by it. Al-Bukhārī and Muslim related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Allah will seize the earth on the Day of Rising and roll up heaven in His right hand and then say, “I am the King. Where are the kings of the earth?”’ Abū Hurayrah also reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The most abased man in the sight of Allah is a man who calls himself “the King of Kings”.’ Muslim added, ‘There is no king except Allah Almighty.’ Sufyān said, ‘Like the Persian title Shahanshah.’ Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal said, ‘I asked Abū ‘Amr ash-Shaybānī about the meaning of “akhna”’ and he said, “lowly”.’ He said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The man with whom Allah will be angriest is a man who calls himself “the king of kings.” There is no king except Allah.’ Ibn al-Ḥaṣṣār said, ‘It is like that with “King of the Day of Judgment” and “Master of the Kingdom.”’ There is no disagreement that this title is forbidden to all creatures in the same way that ‘king of kings’ is forbidden. It is, however, permitted to be described as king or master if what is intended is what is customarily understood by those terms. Allah Almighty says: ‘Allah sent you Ṭālūt as a king.’ (2:247) The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Some people from my community were shown to me raiding in the way of
Allah, riding the middle of this sea like kings on thrones.’

It may be asked why does Allah say ‘Master of the Day of Repayment’ when the Day of Judgment has not yet arrived? How can He describe Himself as being the Master of something He has not yet brought into existence? The response to this is that the word used is an active participle from the verb malaka, and the active participle in Arabic can be used to indicate something which is still to come. That is a correct, sound, intelligible use of language, like ‘I will hit (dārib) Zayd tomorrow,’ using the active participle. The form can be used for the future, and that is the case in the words, ‘Master of the Day of Repayment,’ meaning that He will be the Master on the Day when it comes.

A second point is that the interpretation of the phrase refers to power, meaning that Allah has power on the Day of Judgment or over the Day of Judgment and is bringing it about because the king of a thing can dispose of a thing as He wills and has power over it. Allah Almighty is the King of all things and disposes of them as He wills. Nothing is impossible for Him. The first aspect is close to Arabic and more effective. Abu-l-Qāsim az-Zajjāj said that.

A third point is to ask why Allah singled out the Day of Repayment when He is King of that Day and all other days. The answer is because, in this world, there is contention about who has sovereignty, as is displayed in what Pharaoh, Nimrod and other tyrants did. On that Day no one will contend with Him for sovereignty and all of them will be humbled to Him, as He says: ‘To whom does the kingdom belong today?’ (40:16) The answer of all creatures will be: ‘To Allah, the One, the Conqueror.’ (40:16) Glory be to Him! There is no god but Him.

If the reading malik (King) is employed, it is one of the attributes of the Divine Essence. If mālik (Master) is used, it is one of the attributes of Divine Action.

The word ‘day’ normally designates the time from the rising of
dawn until the moment when the sun sets. It is used metaphorically here for the time from when the Resurrection takes place until the time when the people of the Next World take up their respective abodes. The word ‘day’ can apply to a time in it, as when Allah Almighty says: ‘Today I have completed your dīn for you.’ (5:3) The plural of yawm is ayyām. The root is awyām and there is assimilation. Sometimes they describe hardship as ‘a day’.

‘Repayment (dīn)’ here means requital for actions and reckoning for them. That is what Ibn ʿAbbās, Ibn Masʿūd, Ibn Jurayj, Qatādah and others said. This understanding is related from the Prophet ﷺ. It is indicated by the words of the Almighty: ‘On that Day Allah will repay them what is due to them.’ (24:25) This is their reckoning. He says: ‘Every self will be repaid today for what it earned.’ (40:17) ‘Today you will be repaid for what you did.’ (45:28) ‘Will we face a reckoning?’ (37:53)

Linguists report that dayn is debt and dīn is repayment. Another aspect of it is the term ad-Dayyān as an attribute of the Lord, meaning ‘the Repayer’. A ḥadīth states: ‘The clever one is he who calls himself to account (dāna).’ It is said that it means judgment and this is also related from Ibn ʿAbbās. These three meanings are similar. Dīn can also mean obedience. So the word is one which has several meanings. Thaʿlab said, ‘The verb dāna is used for a man when he obeys, when he disobeys, when he is exalted, when he is abased, and when he is overcome, and so it is one of the words with opposite meanings, also used for custom and affair. Dīn can be used for the policy of a king. Dayn can be a malady.’

**You alone we worship and You alone we ask for help**

With ‘You alone we worship’ the third person changes to the second person based on a grammatical shift (talwīn). From the beginning to this point the sūrah has been a description of Allah and praise for Him as is the case in other examples in Allah’s Book: ‘Their Lord will give them a pure drink’ which then changes to ‘This is your reward.’ (76:21-22) We find the reverse in ‘When some of you are on a boat, running
before a fair wind’ which changes to ‘and then a violent squall comes upon them.’ (10:22)

‘We worship’ means ‘We obey’. Worship is obedience and humility. A smooth (mu‘abbad) road is one which is easy for travellers to travel on. Al-Harawī said, ‘When those subject to the Shari‘ah say this they are acknowledging Allah’s Lordship and affirming the worship of Allah alone since other people worship other than Him in the form of idols and other things.’ The meaning of ‘ask for help’ (ista‘āna) is to seek aid, support and success. As-Sulamī says in al-Ḥaqā‘iq: ‘I heard Muḥammad ibn ‘Abdullāh ibn Shādhān say that he heard Abu Ḥaṣṣ al-Farghānī say, “Whoever recites ‘You alone we worship and You alone we ask for help’ cannot be considered guilty of espousing either the doctrine of fatalism or that of absolute free will.”

If it is asked why the object is put before the verb, the answer is that it is put first because of its importance. The Arabs tend to put the most important thing first. It is mentioned that a Bedouin cursed another and so the cursed man turned away from him and the curser exclaimed, ‘You (iyyāka) from me!’ And the other replied, ‘From you I turn.’ They put the object of emphasis first. It also ensures that the created slave and worship is not put before the object of worship. So it is not permitted to say ‘na‘buduka’ and ‘nasta‘īnuka’, nor is it permitted to say ‘na‘budu iyyāka’ and ‘nasta‘īnu iyyāka’, putting the verb first before the object. One follows the wording of the Qur‘an.

Most reciters and scholars double the yā’ in iyyāka in both places, although ‘Amr ibn Fā‘īd recited it as iyāk. That is because he disliked the doubling of the yā’ since it is heavy and because there is a kasra before it. One turns away from this reading. ‘You alone we ask for help’ is adding one sentence to a prior one. Yahyā ibn Waththāb and al-A‘mash recite ‘nista‘īn’. That is the dialect of the tribes of Tamīm, Asad, Qays and Rabī‘ah.
Guide us on the Straight Path

The words ‘guide us’ are a supplication, implying that this is something desired by the speaker from their Lord. It means: ‘Direct us to the Straight Path and guide us to it. Show us Your guidance which will lead us to intimacy with You and nearness to You.’ Some scholars say that Allah made this surah a model for all supplication. Half of it comprises His praise and half comprises our needs. The supplication which is in the surah is the best which one can use for supplication because these are words spoken by the Lord of the worlds. When you use it you make supplication using His words which He spoke. We find in a hadith: ‘There is nothing Allah considers more noble than supplication.’

It is said that it means: ‘Guide us to follow the Sunnah in performing all the obligations we owe you.’ It is said that the root of the word ‘guide’ is inclination towards something. That can be seen in the words of the Almighty: ‘We have truly turned (hudnā) to you’ (7:156), meaning ‘we have inclined towards You,’ and the Prophet ﷺ went out leaning (yatahādī) between two men. Another facet of its meaning can be gleaned from the word hadiyah (gift) because it moves from one owner to another. Yet another aspect of its meaning can be inferred from the word hady which is the term used for an animal driven to the Haram. From all this we can see that the meaning is: ‘Incline our hearts to the Truth.’

Al-Fuṣayl ibn ‘Iyāḍ said the the Straight Path is the path of the hajj. This is a very specific meaning and a general meaning is far more likely. Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥanafiyyah said about the words, ‘Guide us to the Straight Path’, that they mean to the dīn of Allah and that no other kind of worship is accepted. ‘Āṣim al-Aḥwal said that Abu-l-‘Āliyah said, ‘The Straight Path is the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and his two companions after him.’ ‘Āṣim said, ‘I said to al-Ḥusayn that Abu-l-‘Āliyah said that the Straight Path was the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and his two companions after him. He said, “He spoke the truth
and was faithful.’”

The root meaning of ṣirāṭ (path) in Arabic is ‘a way’. Āmir ibn aṭ-Ṭufayl said:

We chased through their land with horses
until we left them more abased than the way (ṣirāṭ).

An-Naqqāsh said that ṣirāṭ means road in Greek. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is very weak indeed.’ It is also sometimes recited with a sīn rather than a ṣād, from istirāṭ, meaning ‘swallowing’, implying the swallowing up of the Path by the one who travels on it, and it is enunciated between a ẓāyy and ṣād. It is also recited as a pure ẓāyy, but sīn is the root. Salamah related that al-Farrā’ said that zirāṭ with a pure ẓāyy is the dialect of ‘Udhrah, Kalb and Banū al-Qayn. He mentioned that they say ‘azdaq’ instead of ‘aṣdaq’ as they say ‘azad’ instead of ‘asad’.

Ṣirāṭ is in the accusative as a second object because the verb “guide’ is transitive, taking a second object either with the particle ‘bi’ or without the particle. ‘Straight” is an adjective describing ‘Path’ and it is one which has no crookedness or deviation in it. We find it used in the words of the Almighty: ‘This is My Path and it is straight, so follow it.’ (6:153)

The Path of those whom You have blessed

This ‘Path’ is the same as the first and the meaning is: ‘continue to guide us’. Someone may be guided to the path and then be prevented from going along it. It is also said that it is another path and means knowledge of Allah Almighty and recognition of Him. Ja’far ibn Muḥammad said that.

There are ten readings of the word normally recited as ‘alayhim used in this phrase. Most recite it as ‘alayhum. It can be recited as ‘alayhum, ‘alayhim, ‘alayhimī, ‘alayhimū, ‘alayhumū, ‘alayhumu. These are the six forms related from the imāms among the reciters. There are four other possibilities transmitted from the Arabs, but not related
from the reciters.

‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and Ibn az-Zubayr recited ṣirāṭa man (the path of him who) instead of ṣirāṭa allādhīna (the path of those who). People disagree about the identity of those who are blessed. The majority of commentators say that it means the Prophets, the people of truth, the martyrs and the righteous. They deduce that from the āyah in Sūrat an-Nisā’ which says: ‘Whoever obeys Allah and the Messenger will be with those whom Allah has blessed: the Prophets and the true, the martyrs and the righteous. What excellent company such people are!’ (4:69) It is clear from this āyah that these are the people who have been blessed by Allah and therefore those who are referred to in the Fātiḥah as following the Straight Path. Everything that has been said on this subject boils down to this and so there is no sense in mentioning all the different positions, and Allah is the One whom we ask for success.

This āyah becomes a refutation of the Qadariyyah, Mu‘tazilites and Shi‘ah because they all believe that the will of a human being alone is enough to initiate his actions, obedience or disobedience. They believe that man is the creator of his own actions and so he does not need his Lord to originate them. Allah refutes them in this āyah since in it we ask Him for guidance to the Straight Path. If the matter had really been theirs and not their Lord’s, they would not need to ask Him for guidance and to repeat that request in every prayer. They also pray to Him to avert from them what is disliked which are those things contrary to guidance. They say, ‘the path of those whom You have blessed, not of those with anger on them nor of the misguided.’ As they ask Him to guide them, they also ask Him not to misguide them. That is how they pray, saying, ‘Our Lord, do not make our hearts swerve aside after You have guided us.’ (3:8)

not of those with anger on them nor of the misguided

There is also disagreement about the identity of ‘those with anger on them’ and the ‘misguided’. The majority say that ‘those with anger on them’
are the Jews and ‘the misguided’ are the Christians. That was explained by the Prophet 🌱 in the hadith of ʿAdī ibn Ḥātim and the story of how he became Muslim, transmitted by Abū Dāwūd aṭ-Ṭayālisī in his Musnad and at-Tirmidhī in his Collection. That explanation is also attested to by the Almighty who says about the Jews: ‘They brought down anger from Allah on themselves’ (2:61, 3:112) and He says: ‘Allah is angry with them.’ (48:6) He says about the Christians that they ‘were misguided previously and have misguided many others, and are far from the right way.’ (5:77)

It is also said that ‘those with anger on them’ are the idolaters and that the misguided are the hypocrites. It is said that those with anger on them are those who omit the obligation of reciting this sūrah in the prayer, and the misguided are those who lose the blessing of its recitation. In al-Ḥaqāʾiq, as-Sulamī said – as did al-Māwardī in his commentary – that this is nonsense. Al-Māwardī said that this view is rejected because reports contradict it and a different view about it is widespread. Therefore it is not permitted to apply this judgment to it. It is said that ‘those with anger on them’ refers to people who follow innovations and that the misguided are those who lose the sunnahs of guidance.

This is good. The explanation of the Messenger of Allah 🌱 is more appropriate, higher and better. ‘On them’ is in the nominative because it means: ‘anger is on them.’ Linguistically ‘ghaadab’ (anger) means intensity of feeling. A man who is described as ghadūb has a harsh character. Ghaḍūb is also a foul malignant because of its severity. Ghaḍbah is a shield made of camel-hide, one part which is folded over the other. It is called that because of its strength. The meaning of anger when it is attributed to Allah is the will to punish; it is an attribute of the Essence since the will of Allah is one of the attributes of the Essence. It is also said to mean the punishment itself. A corroboration of that view is the ḥadīth: ‘Ṣadaqah extinguishes the anger of the Lord.’ It describes the action.
The word “misguidance” (dalāl) in Arabic means ‘missing the target and straying from the path of Truth.’ One way the word is used is to describe when milk dissolves (dalla) in water. It is also used in the āyah: ‘When we have been absorbed (dalālnā) into the earth’ (32:10), i.e. we disappear by death and become dust. It is said:

Did you not ask so that the houses inform you about where the disappeared (muḍallal) has gone?

Ḍalḍala is a smooth stone which swater has turned over again and again in a valley. Similarly ghaḍbah is a stone found in the mountains which has various colours.

‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and Ubayy ibn Ka‘b inserted a second ghayrī before ḍāllīn. It is related from the two of them with the rā’ in the accusative and genitive on both letters. If it is in the genitive, it is an appositive for ‘those’ or for ‘hum’ in ‘on them’ or as an adjective of ‘those’ which is definite. Definite nouns do not have indefinite adjectives just as indefinite nouns do not have definite adjectives. However, ‘those’ here can be undefined and general and so the words are like your words, ‘I passed by someone like you and showed honour to him,’ or it is because the word ‘ghayr’ makes something definite because it can only be one of two things without any other possibility, as you say, ‘The living is not (ghayr) the dead’; ‘the one who is still is not (ghayr) the one who is moving’; and ‘the one who is standing is not (ghayr) the one who is sitting.’

There is disagreement about the ‘lā’ in ‘nor (walā) of the misguided’. It is said that it is redundant as at-Ṭabarī says. It is also said that it is for stress and is added so that no one will suppose that ‘misguided’ is added to ‘those’. Makkī and al-Mahdawī related it. The Kufans said that ‘lā’ means ‘ghayr’ and that is the reading of ‘Amr and Ubayy. The root of ‘ḍāllīn’ is ‘ḍāliλīn’ and the vowel of the first lām has been elided and then the lām assimilated into the other lām.

Vol. 1 Sūrat al-Fāṭiḥah
Vol. 1 Sūrat al-Fāṭiḥah
2. Sūrat al-Baqarah – The Cow 1 – 141

We will first mention its revelation, excellence and summarise its contents. We will do that with each sūrah.

Sūrat al-Baqarah is Madinan and different parts of it were revealed at various times. It is said that it was the first sūrah to be revealed in Madīnah except for Allah’s words ‘Have fear of a Day when you will be returned to Allah’ (2:281). That was the last āyah to be revealed and it was revealed on the Day of Sacrifice during the Farewell Ḥajj at Minā. The āyahs about usury were also numbered among the last āyahs to be revealed of the Qur’ān.

The excellence of this sūrah is unsurpassed and the reward for reciting it is immense. It is called the Pavilion (fusṭāṭ) of the Qur’ān. Khālid ibn Ma’dān said that. That is due to its greatness, splendour and the great number of rulings and warnings it contains. ‘Umar spent twelve years learning it, its fiqh and contents and his son spent eight years doing that. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘I heard one of our shaykhs say, “It contains a thousand commands, a thousand prohibitions, a thousand wisdoms and a thousand reports.”’ The Messenger of Allah sent out an expedition, consisting a number of men, and he put the youngest of them in charge of it because he knew Sūrat al-Baqarah. He told him, “Go! You are their commander.” At-Tirmidhī transmitted this from Abū Hurayrah and said that it is sound. Muslim related from Abū Umāmah al-Bāhilī: ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah say, “Read Sūrat al-Baqarah. Learning it is a blessing, abandoning it is a cause of regret, and sorcerers (baṭalah) are impotent before it.”’ Mu‘āwiyah said that he heard that ‘baṭalah’ are sorcerers.

It is also related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Do not turn your houses into graveyards. Shayṭān flees
from a house in which *Sūrat al-Baqarah* is recited.’ Ad-Dārimī related that Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘There is no house, in which *Sūrat al-Baqarah* is recited, but that Shayṭān leaves it breaking wind.’ The Prophet ﷺ also said, ‘Everything has a hump, and the hump of the Qur’ān is *Sūrat al-Baqarah.* Everything has a core, and the core of the Qur’ān is the *Mufassal.*’ In *Ṣaḥīḥ* of al-Bustī, Sahl ibn Sa‘d reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Everything has a hump, and the hump of the Qur’ān is *Sūrat al-Baqarah.* If someone recites it in his house at night, Shayṭān will not enter his house for three nights. If someone recites it during the day, Shayṭān will not enter his house for three days.’ Al-Bustī says what is meant are the rebellious *shayṭāns.*

Ad-Dārimī reported in his *Musnad* from ash-Sha‘bī that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘If anyone recites ten āyahs of *Sūrat al-Baqarah* in the night, Shayṭān will not enter that house that night until morning. They are: the four at the beginning of it, the Āyat al-Kursī and the two following it, and the three at the end, which begin “Everything in the heavens and everything in the earth belongs to Allah” (2:284).’ Ash-Sha‘bī said that neither Shayṭān nor anything he dislikes will come near him or his family that day. They are not recited over a mad person without him recovering. Al-Mughīrah ibn Subay‘, one of the companions of Ibn Mas‘ūd, said, ‘And he will not forget the Qur’ān.’ Ishāq ibn ‘Īsā said, ‘He will not forget what he has memorised.’

We read in the *Kitāb al-Isti‘āb* of Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr: ‘Labīd ibn Rabī‘ah was one of the poets of the *Jāhiliyyah.* He lived until the time of Islam and became a good Muslim and abandoned his poetry after entering the dīn. While he was caliph, ‘Umar asked him about his poetry and asked him to recite some of it. He recited *Sūrat al-Baqarah* and ‘Umar said, “I asked about your poetry.” He said, “I have not uttered a line of poetry since Allah taught me *al-Baqarah* and *Āl Imrān.*” ‘Umar liked what he said, and gave him a stipend of 2000 and then added 500 more.’ Many historians say that Labīd did not utter any poetry from the time he became Muslim. Some say that
after he was Muslim he only said:

“Praise be to Allah, since the end of my life did not come before I had put on the trousers of Islam!”

Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said that this verse was actually uttered by Qaradah ibn Nufāthah as-Salūlī. I believe that to be sounder. Someone else said that the verse Labīd uttered in Islam was:

No one criticizes a person as he does himself.
A person is put right by a righteous companion.

1 Alif. Lam. Mim.

Interpreters disagree about the letters at the beginnings of the sūrah. ‘Āmir ash-Sha‘bī, Sufyān ath-Thawrī and a group of hadith scholars say that Allah has a secret in each of His Books and these letters are Allah’s secret in the Qur’an. They form part of the mutashābih (open to interpretation) āyahs in the Qur’an about which only Allah knows. It is not necessary to discuss them but one should simply believe in them and recite them as they have come. This position was related from Abū Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīq and ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib. Abu-l-Layth as-Samarqandī related that ‘Umar, ‘Uthmān, and ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘The “separated letters” are a branch of hidden knowledge which cannot be explained.’ Abū Ḥātim said, ‘We only find the “separated letters” in the Qur’an at the beginning of the sūrah. We do not know what Allah Almighty means by them.’

This was also stated by Abū Bakr al-Anbari, who reported from al-Ḥasan ibn al-Ḥubāb from Abū Bakr ibn Abī Ṭālib from Abu-l-Mundhir al-Wāsiṭī from Mālik ibn Mīghwāl from Saʿīd ibn Masrūq that ar-Rabīʿ ibn Khuthaym said, ‘Allah Almighty revealed this Qur’an and He has kept the knowledge of whatever He wishes for Himself and He has acquainted you with what He wishes. As for what He has kept to Himself, you will not acquire it, so do not ask
about it. As for what He has acquainted you with, it is that which you can ask and report about. You do not know the entire Qur’ān and you will not teach all that you know.’ Abū Bakr said, ‘This tells us that He concealed the meanings of the letters of the Qur’ān from everyone as a test from Allah Almighty. Anyone who believes in them is rewarded and is fortunate. Anyone who rejects and doubts them sins and is far from Allah’s mercy.’ ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘A believer does not have any belief in anything better than belief in the Unseen.’ Then he recited, ‘those who believe in the Unseen.’ (2:3)

This is the basic position and ruling about the mutashābih. It is sound according to the evidence, which will be presented when the matter is again addressed at the beginning of Āl ‘Imrān, Allah willing. The position of a large group of scholars, however, is that we should speak about this matter and search out the benefits it contains and the ideas that can be deduced from it. People disagree about the letters and say many different things. It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās and ‘Alī that the ‘separated letters’ in the Qur’ān are the greatest Name of Allah, though we do not know how it is composed from them.

Qūṭrub, al-Farrā’ and others say that it is simply a question of the letters of the alphabet — and Allah knows best — so that when the Arabs were challenged by the Qur’ān to produce something like it, it would be clear that it is composed of the same letters which are the basis of their normal language. That would make their inability to duplicate it all the more apparent in the proof against them since there is nothing in it outside of the letters they use in their everyday speech. Qūṭrub said, ‘They used to run away when they heard the Qur’ān. When they heard, “Alif Lām Mīm” and “Alif Lām Mīm Ṣād”, they did not know this expression and so they stopped to listen to him 🎙 and he then presented the familiar Qur’ān to them so that it would be firm in their hearing and ears and would be evidence against them.’ Some people say as corroboration for this, ‘It is
related that, when the idolaters refused to listen to the Qur’an in Makkah and said, “Do not listen to this Qur’an. Drown it out” (41:26), these letters were revealed so that they would find it odd and start to listen. So they began listening to the Qur’an and the evidence against them was clearly established.’

Another group said that they are letters which indicate the names of things from which they are taken. Ibn ‘Abbās and others said that Alif is from Allah, Lām from Jibrīl and Mīm from Muhammad. It is said that alif is the beginning of the Divine Name Allah, lām is the beginning of the Divine Name Laṭīf, and mīm is the beginning of the Divine Name Majīd. Abu-ḍ-Ḍuḥā related from Ibn ‘Abbās that ‘Alif Lām Mīm’ means: ‘I, Allah, know best.’ ‘Alif Lām Rā’ means: ‘I, Allah, see.’ And ‘Alif Lām Mīm Ṣād’ means: ‘I, Allah, bestow.’ So the alif indicates the idea ‘I’, lām indicates the name Allah, and mīm indicates the meaning ‘I know.’ Az-Zajjāj preferred this position and said, ‘I believe that each letter has a meaning.’ The Arabs have spoken by these letters in verse and used them instead of words.

Zayd ibn Aslam said, ‘They are the names of the sūrah.’ Al-Kalbī said, ‘They are oaths which Allah Almighty swore by due to their excellence and honour. They are an aspect of His Names.’ Ibn ‘Abbās also said that. Some scholars refute this position saying that it is not valid for them to be oaths because an oath is always connected to a particle, such as inna, qad, laqad or mā. None of these is used here and so it is not correct to say that they are oaths. The reply to that is that the object of the oath is His words: ‘without any doubt’. If a man were to swear, saying, ‘By Allah, there is no doubt in this Book,’ then the words would be correct. ‘Lā’ [no] is the complement of the oath. So the position of al-Kalbī and what is reported from Ibn ‘Abbās is confirmed as being both correct and valid.

If it is asked what is the wisdom in an oath from Allah Almighty, when people in that time were in two groups – those who accepted and those who denied – since those who accept do so without an
oath and those who deny will not believe even with an oath, the answer is that the Qur’an was revealed in the language of the Arabs and when one of the Arabs wanted to stress his words, he would swear to what he said. Allah Almighty wanted to stress the proof to them and so He swore that the Qur’an was from Him.

Some say that ‘Alīf Lām Mīm’ means: ‘This Book was revealed to you from the Preserved Tablet.’ Qatādah said, ‘Alīf Lām Mīm is one of the Names of the Qur’an.’ It is related that Muḥammad ibn ‘Alī at-Tirmidhī said, ‘Allah Almighty summed up all the rulings and stories which are in that sūrah in the letters which are mentioned at the beginning of it. That can only be understood by a Prophet or a wali. Then He made that clear throughout the entire sūrah so that all people would understand it.’ Other things are said as well, and Allah knows best.

The stop on these letters is with a sukūn since they are incomplete, except when they are reported about or connected. Then they are inflected. There is disagreement about their position in respect of inflection.

2 That is the Book, without any doubt. In it is guidance for the godfearing:

That is the Book

It is said that ‘That is the Book’ really means ‘This is the Book’. The word ‘that’ (dhālika) is used here to indicate what is present, even if its normal usage is to indicate something absent, just as Allah says about Himself: ‘That (dhālika) is the Knowers of the Unseen and the Visible, the Almighty, the Most Merciful.’ (32:6) So the word ‘that’ indicates the Qur’an. In short the meaning is: ‘Alīf Lām Mīm. This Book is without any doubt.’ That is the position of Abū ‘Ubaydah, ‘Ikrimah and others. We find support for it in the words of the Almighty: ‘That (tilka) is the argument We gave to Ibrāhīm.’ (6:83) and ‘Those (tilka) are Allah’s Signs which we recite to you with truth.’ (2:252). But when they
become as if they were distant, the word ‘that’ is used. In al-Bukhārī we find, ‘Ma‘mar said, “That Book” is the Qur’an.’ It is ‘guidance for the godfearing’ in its clarification and evidence, just as He says: ‘That is Allah’s judgment. Allah will judge between you.’ (60:10) This is Allah’s judgment. ‘This’ can mean ‘that’.

There are a number of different things said about the meaning of these words. It is said that ‘That is the Book’ refers to the Book which accompanies all human beings, containing the information about whether they will be happy or wretched in the Next World, their lifespan and their provision, and the whole phrase means that there is no way of altering it. It is said that ‘That is the Book’ means: ‘That which I wrote for Myself before time: “My mercy precedes My anger.”’ In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Abū Hurayrah reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘When Allah finished creating creation, He wrote in His Book which is with Him, “My mercy predominates over (or precedes) My anger.”’

It is said that Allah Almighty promised His Prophet that He would send down on him a Book which water would not erase. It indicates the promise which is referred to in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim in the hadith of ‘Iyāḍ ibn Himār al-Majāsha‘ī that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Allah looked at the people of the earth and hated men, both Arabs and non-Arabs, except for the remainder of the People of the Book. He said to His Prophet, “I sent you to test you and to test others by you. I sent down to you a Book which cannot be washed away by water. You recite it asleep and awake.”’

It is said that this phrase indicates the part of the Qur’an which was promised in Makkah. It is said that when Allah Almighty revealed to His Prophet: ‘We will impose a weighty Word upon you’ (73:5), the Messenger of Allah continued to wait for this promise to be fulfilled by his Lord. When ‘Alif. Lām. Mim. That is the Book, without any doubt’ (2:2) was revealed to him in Madīnah, it had the meaning: ‘This Qur’an which was revealed to you in Madīnah is
that Book which you were promised and which I revealed to you in Makkah.’

It is said that the word ‘That’ indicates what was revealed in the Torah and Gospel concerning the Qur’an. The implication is that it is that Book which was foretold by the Torah and Gospel. The Gospel and Torah testify to its soundness and it deals with what is in them as well as containing things which are not in them. It is said that ‘That is the Book’ is a reference to the Torah and Gospel themselves, so that the meaning is ‘Alif Lām Mim. Those two Books’ or the like of those two Books, meaning that this Qur’an contains what is in those two Books. So the word ‘that’ indicates ‘two’, the possibility of which is attested to in the Qur’an when Allah Almighty says: ‘A cow, not old or virgin, but somewhere between the two (dhālika)’ (2:68), making it clear that the word ‘that’ can refer to two things.

It is said that ‘That is the Book’ refers to the Preserved Tablet – that Book in which the destinies of all existent things are recorded. Al-Kisā’ī said that it refers to the Qur’an in heaven which had not yet been revealed. It is said that Allah Almighty promised the People of the Book that He would send down a Book to Muhammad ✨ and so it indicates that promise. Al-Mubarrad said that the meaning is that this Qur’an is that Book by which you seek victory against the unbelievers.

It is also said that it means all the letters of the alphabet, out of which all books are composed. This is somewhat borne out by the derivation of the word kitāb (book) which is a verbal noun from kataba, yaktubu. From it comes katibah (squadron), so called because it is composed of horsemen gathered together and takattaba is used when horses are deployed in squadrons. Kutbah is a seam, and the plural is kutab. So kitāb is the writing by the scribe of the letters of the alphabet joined together in words. It is called a Book, even if it is just writing. The word kitāb also denotes obligation, judgment, prescription and the decree.
without any doubt.

The word ‘doubt’ (rayb) has three meanings. One is doubt, the second is suspicion, and the third is need. So there is no doubt and no suspicion about the Book of Allah Almighty. It means that it is true in itself and it is revealed from Allah and is one of His qualities, uncreated and not within time, even if the unbelievers doubt that. It is said that the phrase is a prohibition, meaning ‘Do not doubt.’ The words end as if He were saying, ‘That Book is true.’ The verb rāba is used when you have doubt and fear about something. Arāba means to become full of doubt.

In it is guidance

The word hudā (guidance) in Arabic means right guidance and clarification, in other words it involves unveiling, guidance and increased clarification for the people who follow it.

There are two types of guidance. Guidance can mean pointing out the way and this is what the Messengers and their followers are able to do. Allah says: ‘Every people has a guide.’ (13:7) He says: ‘You are guiding to a straight path.’ (42:52) So he has given us that aspect of guidance which entails direction, calling to the truth and admonition. The aspect of guidance, however, which involves people actually following the path and reaching the goal is the business of Allah alone. He says to his Prophet : ‘You cannot guide those you would like to.’ (28:56) Guidance here means filling the heart with faith. Further examples of this are when Allah says: ‘They are the people guided by their Lord’ (2:5) and ‘He guides whoever He wills’ (35:8) where guidance means being actually moved to and on the path of guidance.

Abu-l-Ma‘ālī said, ‘Guidance means “bringing to” and it entails guiding the believers to the paths of the Garden and the roads which lead to it, as in the words of Allah describing those who do jihād: “He will not let their actions go astray. He will guide them... “ (47:4-5) or in the case of the unbelievers to the Fire, as in His words: “Guide them to the
Path of the Blazing Fire’’ (37:23).’ It is said that ‘hudā’ is one of the names for a river because people are guided in it to their livelihood and all their hopes.

**for the godfearing:**

Allah Almighty singled out the godfearing for His guidance, even though the Qur’an is, in fact, guidance for all creatures, in order to honour them because they believe and affirm what it contains. It is related that Abū Rawq said, ‘“Guidance for the godfearing” means honour for them. It is ascribed to them out of esteem and honour for them and to show their excellence.’

The word ‘godfearing (muttaqīn)’ is derived from taqwā whose linguistic root is said to mean to be sparing of words. Ibn Fāris related that. That is indicated by the hadith, ‘The godly (taqi) is sparing in his words and the godfearing (muttaqi) is above both the believers and those who are obedient.’ He is someone who protects himself, by his righteous actions and sincere supplication, from Allah’s punishment. It is derived from guarding yourself against the disliked by putting a barrier between you and it.

It is reported that Ibn Mas‘ūd said one day to his nephew, ‘Nephew, do you see how many people there are?’ ‘Yes,’ he replied. He said, ‘There is no good in any of them except those who turn to Allah or are godfearing.’ Then he added, ‘Nephew, do you see how many people there are?’ ‘Yes,’ he replied. He said, ‘There is no good in them except for a man of knowledge or a student.’ Abū Yazīd al-Bisṭāmī said, ‘A godfearing person is someone whose words are for Allah when he speaks and whose actions are for Allah when he acts.’ Abū Sulaymān ad-Dārānī said, ‘The godfearing are those from whose hearts love of appetites has been stripped away.’ It is said that a godfearing person is one is protected from shirk and free of hypocrisy. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is false because someone could be like that and still be a deviator.’ In relation to taqwā ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb asked Ubayy, ‘Have you ever taken a path between thorny
bushes?’ ‘Yes,’ he replied. He asked, ‘What did you do?’ He said, ‘I gathered in my clothes and was careful.’ He said, ‘That is taqwā.’

Taqwā comprises all good. It is Allah’s directive to all human beings and it is the best acquisition a person can acquire. Abu-d-Dardā’ was asked, “Your companions utter poetry but you do not memorise any of it.” He refuted them, declaiming:

A man wants to be given his desire  
but Allah only gives him what He wills.  
A man says, ‘My profit and my property,’  
but taqwā of Allah is the best thing that can be acquired.

Ibn Mājah related in his Sunan that the Prophet said, ‘After taqwā, nothing benefits a believer more than a righteous wife. When he commands her, she obeys him. When he looks at her, she delights him. When he swears by her, she carries out the oath. When he is absent from her, she is faithful to him in her person and his property.’

3 those who believe in the Unseen and establish the prayer and spend from what We have provided for them;

those who believe

The word ‘believe’ here means to hold to be true. Linguistically ʾīmān (faith, belief) is affirmation. In the Revelation the words of the brothers of Yūsuf: ‘You will not believe us’ (12:17) mean ‘believe that we are telling the truth’. Qatādah is reported as saying, ‘Son of Ādam, if the only time you want to do good is when you are feeling enthusiastic, you should know that it is the nature of the self to incline to ennui, indifference and boredom; the believer, however, is the one who spurs himself on; the believer is the one who takes heart; the believer is the one who remains strong. The believers are those who cry out to Allah night and day. By Allah, a true believer continues to say, ‘O Lord’, secretly and openly until it is answered
secretly and openly.’

**In the Unseen**

In the language of the Arabs, the word ghayb (Unseen) denotes everything which is hidden from you. It is used for the setting of the sun. Mughībah is used for a woman when her husband is absent. We fall into a ghaybah, meaning a hole in the ground. Ghayābah is a forest, which is a group of trees into which one disappears. It is also used for low-lying ground, because it is out of sight.

Scholars disagree about the meaning of the word here. Some say that what is meant by ‘Unseen’ in this āyah is Allah Himself. Ibn al-ʿArabī said that this is weak. Others have said that it is the Decree; others that it is the Qur’an and the unseen things it contains; others that the Unseen are those matters about which the Messengers report which are beyond the scope of human intellect: the signs of the Last Hour, the punishment of the grave, the Gathering, the Resurrection, the Sirāt, the Scales, the Garden and the Fire. Ibn ʿAtiyyah said that these statements are not mutually exclusive. The Unseen refers to all of them.

It is, in fact, the prescribed faith indicated in the hadith of Jibrīl when he said to the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Tell me about faith (īmān)’ He replied, ‘It is to believe in Allah, His angels, His Books, His Messengers, and the Last Day, and to believe in the decree, both its good and its evil.’ He said, ‘You have spoken the truth.’ ‘Abdullāh ibn Masʿūd said, ‘There is no faith better for the believer than faith in the Unseen.’ Then he recited, ‘Those who believe in the Unseen.’ (2:3)

In the Qur’an we find: ‘We are never absent (ghāʾibīn)’ (7:7), and also: ‘Those who fear their Lord in the Unseen.’ (21:49). Allah cannot be seen by the eyes and cannot be seen in this dimension of existence but He is not absent to investigation and deduction. The believers believe that they have a powerful Lord who will repay all their actions. They fear Him in their hearts and fear Him when they are alone, where others cannot see them, through their knowledge that He is aware of them.
These āyahs agree and there is no contradiction in them. Praise be to Allah!

‘Unseen’ is also said to mean their consciences and hearts. According to this understanding, the words ‘they believe in the Unseen’ mean ‘they believe in their hearts’. In other words, their hearts are filled with faith in contradistinction to the hearts of the hypocrites which are empty of faith. That is what al-Ḥasan said. A poet said:

We believed in the Unseen when our people were praying to idols before Muḥammad came.

and establish

Establishing the prayer means performing it together with its pillars, sunnahs and positions at the correct time as will be explained. Qāma here does not have the normal meaning of ‘stand’ but rather means to continue with and firmly establish.

The call known as the iqāmah of the prayer is well-known. It is sunnah with the majority so that, if someone fails to recite it, he does not have to repeat the prayer. Al-Awza‘ī, ‘Aṭā’, Mujāhid, and Ibn Abi Laylā, however, made it obligatory and anyone who forgets it has to repeat the prayer. The literalists said that. It is related from Mālik, and Ibn al-‘Arabī preferred that because, he said, it is found in the hadith about the Bedouin, ‘Do the iqāmah.’ So he commanded him to do the iqāmah as he commanded the takbīr, facing the qiblah and wudū’. He said, ‘You now have the hadith and it supports you in repeating one of the two transmissions from Mālik that agree with the hadith: the iqāmah is a farḍ.’ Some scholars say that if someone abandons it intentionally, he should repeat the prayer. That is not because it is obligatory since, if it that were the case, those who forget and those who abandon it deliberately would be subject to the same ruling. It is on account of making light of the Sunnah. Allah knows best.

Scholars disagree about whether someone who hears the iqāmah
should hurry to the prayer or not. Most believe that he should not hurry, even if he fears that he will miss a rak‘ah, because the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When the iqāmah for the prayer is given, do not come running to it. Come walking. You must be tranquil. Pray what you catch and complete what you miss.’ Abū Hurayrah related it and Muslim transmitted it. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ also said, ‘When the prayer is called, none of you should run to it. He should walk. He must be sedate and grave. Pray what you catch and finish what you missed.’ This is a text. Part of the reason for this is that when someone hurries, he is breathless and his entry into the prayer, recitation and humility are disordered. A group of the Salaf, including Ibn ’Umar and Ibn Mas‘ūd, disagree about hurrying when someone fears they will miss the prayer. Ishāq said, ‘He hurries when he fears that he will miss the rak‘ah.’ Something similar is related from Mālik. He said, ‘There is no harm in someone on a horse making the horse go faster.’ Some of them interpret it as the difference between someone walking and someone sitting because a rider does not become breathless in the same way that someone on foot does.

It is more appropriate to follow the sunnah of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ in every case. As in the hadith, you should walk and be sedate and grave because you are in the prayer. It is impossible for the report of the Prophet ﷺ to be different to what he reported. Just as someone who enters the prayer must be grave and still, so the one who is walking to it must be like that so that he obtains its reward. Part of what indicates the soundness of this is what we mentioned of the Sunnah and what ad-Dārimī transmitted in the Musnad from Muḥammad ibn Yūsuf from Sufyān from Muḥammad ibn ‘Ajlān from al-Maqbarī from Ka‘b ibn ‘Ujrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘When you have done wuḍū‘, go to the mosque. Do not entangle your fingers together. You are in the prayer.’ In this hadith, which is sound, the Prophet ﷺ forbade what is less than hurrying.
He made him like someone in prayer. These sunnahs clarify the meaning of His words: ‘hasten to the remembrance of Allah’ (62:9). It does not just mean getting there quickly. This is about action. This is how Mālik explained it. It is what is correct regarding that. Allah knows best.

Scholars disagree about the interpretation of ‘complete what you missed’ and ‘finish what you missed’ and whether they mean the same thing. It is said that they mean the same and that ‘finish’ is undefined and ‘completion’ is meant by it. Allah says: ‘When the prayer is finished’ (63:10) and ‘When you have completed your rites’ (2:200). It is said that they have different meanings, and that is sound. The difference depends on whether the person joins at the beginning or end of the prayer. The first view is held by a group of the people of Mālik, including Ibn al-Qāsim. The person concerned finishes what he missed of the Fātiḥah and sūrah and so it is about building on actions and finishing words. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said that this is well known in the School. Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘That is the view of our people. It is the view of al-Awzāʾī, ash-Shāfiʿī, Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, aṭ-Ṭabarī and Dāwūd ibn ‘Alī. Ashhab, who is the one Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam mentioned, related it from Mālik.’

‘Īsā related from Ibn al-Qāsim from Mālik that what the person catches is the end of his prayer and he finishes the actions and words. That is the view of the Kufans. Qāḍī Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Wahhāb said, ‘It is the well known position of the school of Mālik.’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘I think that those who make what he caught the beginning of his prayer take account of takbir al-iḥrām because that only happens at the beginning of the prayer, and the tashahhud and taslīm are only at the end of it. So they say that what he caught is the beginning of his prayer, supported by the Sunnah in his words, “Complete”. “Completion” is the end.’ Others cite ‘finish’ and someone who finishes something has missed it. The reading of
‘complete’, however, is more common.

The iqāmah precludes you from beginning any supererogatory prayers. The Messenger of Allah said, ‘When the iqāmah for the prayer is given, there is no prayer except the prescribed one.’ Muslim and others transmitted it. If someone has started a supererogatory prayer, he does not break it off because Allah says: ‘Do not make your actions of no worth.’ (47:33) That is especially the case if he has prayed a rak‘ah of it. It is also said that he stops it because of the general meaning of the hadith. Allah knows best.

Scholars disagree about someone who enters the mosque without having prayed the two rak‘ahs of fajr and then the iqāmah is given. Mālik says that he should join the prayer with the imam without praying them. If he has not entered the mosque and does not fear missing a rak‘ah of it, he may pray them outside the mosque. He should not pray them in any of the courtyard connected to a mosque in which the Jumu‘ah is prayed. If he fears that he will miss the first rak‘ah of the communal prayer, he should enter and pray with the imam and then pray the two rak‘ahs after sunrise if he wishes. I think that it is better to pray them after sunrise than to leave them. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people say that if someone fears that he will miss both rak‘ahs and not catch the imam before he rises from rukū‘ in the second rak‘ah, he should join the prayer. If he hopes to catch one rak‘ah, he should pray fajr outside the mosque and then join with the imam.

That is also what al-Awzā‘i said, although he permits praying the rak‘ahs in the mosque if the person is not afraid of missing the final rak‘ah. Ath-Thawrī said, ‘If he fears missing a rak‘ah, he should join the imam and not pray them. Otherwise he should pray them in the mosque.’ Al-Ḥasan ibn Ḥayy (or Ḥayyān) said, ‘Once the iqāmah has started, there are no voluntary prayers except for the two rak‘ahs of fajr.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘If someone enters the mosque when the iqāmah for the prayer has been given, he joins with the imam. He
does not pray the two rak‘ahs of fajr either in the mosque or outside of the mosque.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī said the same. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal also said that, relating from Mālik, and it is the sound position concerning that since the Prophet said, ‘When the iqāmah for the prayer is given, then there is no prayer except the prescribed one.’ The two rak‘ahs of fajr are either sunnah, excellent or meritorious. When there is a dispute, one takes the proof of the Sunnah.

Part of the evidence for the well known position of Mālik and that of Abū Ḥanīfah is what is related from Ibn ‘Umar who once arrived when the imam was praying the Ṣubḥ prayer: he prayed fajr in Ḥafṣah’s room and then prayed with the imam. The argument of ath-Thawrī and al-Awza‘ī is what is related about ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd. He entered the mosque when the iqāmah had been given and he prayed fajr behind a pillar and then joined the prayer in the presence of Ḥudhayfah and Abū Mūsā. They said, ‘If it is permitted to perform the supererogatory apart from the prayer outside the mosque, one is likewise permitted to do it inside the mosque.’ Muslim related that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mālik ibn Buḥaynah said, ‘The iqāmah for the Ṣubḥ prayer had been given and the Messenger of Allah saw a man praying while the mu‘adhdhin was giving the iqāmah. He said, “Are you praying Ṣubḥ with four rak‘ahs?”’ This was the Messenger of Allah objecting to the man praying the two rak‘ahs of fajr inside the mosque while the imām was praying. It is possible that it is evidence that if the two rak‘ahs of fajr are done in that situation they are sound, because he did not stop him praying while he was able to do so. Allah knows best.

**the prayer**

Linguistically the root of the word ‘ṣalāh’ (prayer) means supplication. Evidence for that is the words of the Prophet, ‘When one of you is invited for food, he should accept. If he is not fasting, he should eat, and if he is fasting, he should make supplication (ṣallā).’ When Asmā’ gave birth to ‘Abdullāh ibn az-
Asma’ said, ‘He wiped his head and prayed (sallā) for him,’ meaning made supplication. Some scholars say that the obligatory prayer is meant and that he prayed two rak‘ahs and left, but the first opinion is better known. It is the view of most scholars. Allah Almighty says: ‘Pray (ṣalla) for them’ (9:103), meaning to make supplication for them.

Some people say that it is derived from the word ṣalā, which is the cord in the middle of the back which separates at the base of the spine and goes round it. From it is also derived the word muṣallā for the second horse in a horse race, because at the end of the race, his head is at the rump (ṣalwān) of the horse in front. So the derivation of the ṣalāh from this is either because it is the second pillar after the declaration of faith, and so it resembles someone coming second immediately behind another horse, or because the back of the one who bows in the prayer is bent, in which case ṣalā refers to the bending of the horse’s back. ‘Alī said, using the word with this meaning, ‘The Messenger of Allah ☪ came first, Abū Bakr second (sallā) and ‘Umar third.’

It is also said that it comes from the idea of abiding in something, as ‘roasting in a red-hot fire’ in 88:4. According to this, it means holding to worship to the extent which Allah has commanded. It is also said to be taken from the idea of warming a branch with fire to straighten it and make it supple by heat since ṣilā’ means roasting by fire, thus referring to how the one who prays straightens himself by his efforts and makes himself supple and humble. Ṣalāh can also mean supplication and mercy, as in ‘O Allah, bless (sallī) Muḥammad.’ Ṣalāh is also an undefined act of worship. Allah says: ‘Their prayer (ṣalāh) at the House’ (8:35), meaning their worship. Ṣalāh can also mean supererogatory prayer as in ‘Instruct your family to do the prayer (ṣalāh).’ (20:132) It can also mean glorification. Ṣalāh can also mean recitation as in 17:110. So it is a word with several meanings. Ṣalāh is also a house in which one prays as Ibn Fāris said. It is also
said that ṣalāh is a name simply for this act of worship. Allah would not leave a time without a Law and would not leave a Law without a prayer. Abū Naṣr al-Qushayrī narrated that. According to this position, it has no derivation.

According to the position of the majority, those who study the roots disagree about whether it remains connected to its original linguistic root, and the same is true of īmān, zakāt, siyām, ḥajj and shar‘, which are subjected to legal preconditions and rulings, or whether that addition acts in the same way as it did before the Sharī‘ah was revealed. The first is sounder because the Sharī‘ah is confirmed in Arabic and the Qur‘an was revealed in clear Arabic. But the Arabs do have an arbitrary usage where nouns are concerned, such as the word dābbah, which is used for everything that crawls (dabba), and then the Arabs apply it specifically to animals. That is also the custom with nouns in the Sharī‘ah. Allah knows best.

There is disagreement about what is meant by ‘the prayer’ in this instance. It is said that it is both the obligatory and voluntary prayers, and that is the sound position because the expression is undefined.

The prayer is also cause for provision. Allah says: ‘Instruct your family to do the prayer’ (20:132) as will be explained in Ṭaha, Allah willing. It is healing for stomach pain and other things. Ibn Mājah related that Abū Hurayrah said, ‘The Prophet ﷺ rested at midday as I did and I prayed and sat down. The Prophet ﷺ turned to me and said in Persian, “Is your stomach bothering you?” “Yes, Messenger of Allah,” I answered. He said, ‘Get up and pray. There is healing in the prayer.”’

The prayer is only valid if all its preconditions and obligatory elements are fulfilled. Purity is one of its preconditions. Its rulings will be explained in Sūrat an-Nīsā‘ and Sūrat al-Mā‘ṣidah. The private parts must be covered, which will be dealt with in Sūrat al-A‘rāf, Allah willing. Its obligatory elements are facing the qiblah, the intention,
the *takbīr al-iḥrām* and standing for it, reciting the *Fātihah* and standing for it, *rukū‘* and being still in it, rising from *rukū‘* and standing up straight, prostration and being still in it, rising from prostration, sitting between the two prostrations and being still in it, the second prostration and being still in it, and the final sitting and being still in it.

The source for all this is the hadith of Abū Hurayrah about the man who had prayed incorrectly and whom the Prophet ☪ then taught. He told him, ‘When you stand for the prayer, perform *wuḍū‘* thoroughly and then face the *qiblah*. Say the *takbīr* and then recite what is easy of the Qur’an. Then bow and remain still in it and then come up until you are standing straight. Then prostrate until you are still in prostration. Then come up until you are still in sitting. Then do the like of that in all your prayer.’ Muslim transmitted it. Something similar is found in the hadith of Rifā‘ah ibn Rāfi‘ transmitted by ad-Dāraquṭnī and others.

Our scholars said that the Prophet ☪ explained to us the pillars of the prayer. He said nothing about the *iqāmah*, raising the hands, the amount of recitation, the *takbīrs* for the movements, the glorification in *rukū‘* and prostration, the middle sitting, the *tashahhud* for the final sitting and the *salām*. As for the *iqāmah* and the specific requirement of the *Fātihah*, they have already been discussed. As for raising the hands, a group of scholars and most *fuqahā‘* say that it is not mandatory based on the hadith of Abū Hurayrah and Rifā‘ah ibn Rāfi‘. Dāwūd and some of his people say that it is mandatory for the *takbīr al-iḥrām*, and some of his people said it is mandatory to raise the hands in *iḥrām*, *rukū‘* and coming up from *rukū‘*, and if someone does not raise his hands, his prayer is invalid. That is the view of al-Ḥumaydī and it is related from al-Awzā‘ī. They cite as evidence the words of the Prophet ☪: ‘Pray as you saw me praying’ which al-Bukhārī transmitted. They said, ‘It is obligatory for us to act as we saw him acting because he conveys Allah’s desire.’
As for takbīrs other than the takbīr al-ihrām, Most say that they are sunnah based on the hadith. Ibn al-Qāsim, Mālik’s companion, said, ‘If someone omits three or more takbīrs in the prayer, he should prostrate before the salām. If he does not prostrate, then the prayer is invalid. If he forgets one or two takbīrs, he also prostrates for forgetfulness, but owes nothing if he forgets.’ It is related from him that omitting one takbīr does not amount to forgetfulness. This indicates that he thinks that all the takbīrs are obligatory but omitting a few is overlooked. Aṣbagh ibn al-Faraj and ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam, ‘There is nothing due from anyone who does not say any of the takbīrs in the prayer including the takbīr al-ihrām. If he omits it out of forgetfulness, then he prostrates for forgetfulness. If he does not prostrate, he owes nothing. However one must not omit the takbīr al-ihrām deliberately because it is one of the sunnahs of the prayer. If someone does so, he has acted badly, but owes nothing and his prayer is valid.’

This is sound and it is the position of most of the fuqahā’ of the cities among the Shāfi‘īs and Kufans, a group of the people of hadith and the Mālikīs except for the position of Ibn al-Qāsim. Al-Bukhārī has a ‘Chapter on completing the takbīr in rukū‘ and prostration’. He includes the hadith of Muṭarrif ibn ‘Abdullāh who said: ‘Imrān ibn Ḥusayn and I prayed behind ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib. When he went into sajdah he said the takbīr and when he raised his head, he said the takbīr. When he got up after two rak‘ahs, he said the takbīr. When he finished the prayer, ‘Imrān ibn Ḥusayn took hold of my hand and said, “This man reminded me of the prayer of Muḥammad صلى الله عليه وسلم,” or he said, “He prayed the prayer of Muḥammad with us.”’

‘Ikrimah said, ‘I saw a man at the Maqām saying the takbīr every time he did rukū‘ and rose, when he stood up, and when he went down. I told Ibn ‘Abbās and he said, “If that is not indeed the prayer of the Prophet, you have no mother!”’ By this chapter al-Bukhārī indicated to you that the takbīr was not done by them. Abū Ishāq as-
Sabī‘ī related from Yazīd ibn Abī Maryam that Abū Mūsā al-Ash‘arī said, ‘On the day of the Battle of the Camel, ‘Alī led us in a prayer which reminded us of the prayer of the Prophet ﷺ. He said the takbīr every time he went down and came up, stood and sat.’ Abū Mūsā said, ‘Either we forgot it or abandoned it deliberately.’

Do you see them repeating the prayer? So how can it be said that omission of the takbīr invalidates the prayer? If that had been the case, there would have been no difference between sunnah and fard. When the thing on its own is not mandatory, then all of them are not mandatory. Success is by Allah.

According to the majority, tasbīḥ in rukū‘ and prostration are not mandatory based on the ḥadīth already mentioned. Isḥāq ibn Rāhawayh made them mandatory and said that someone who omits them must repeat the prayer since the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Exalt the Lord in rukū‘ and entreat in supplication in prostration. It is fitting that it be answered.’

Scholars disagree about the sitting and tashahhud. Mālik and his people say that the first sitting and its tashahhud are sunnahs. A group of scholars consider the first sitting to be mandatory and say that it is singled out among other obligations since prostration represents it, just as ‘arāyā do with muzābanah and girād does with wages [types of business transactions] and like standing for the takbīr al-ihrām when someone finds the imām bowing. They argue that if it had been sunnah, then someone who abandons it would not invalidate his prayer as the prayer is not invalidated by abandoning sunnahs of the prayer. Those who do not consider it mandatory say that if it had been one of the obligatory elements of the prayer, someone who forgot it would return to it and perform it, as is the case if he misses a prostration or rukū‘, and he would observe in it what he observes in rukū‘ and prostration in order. Then he would prostrate for forgetting it as is done by someone who omits a rukū‘ or prostration and then performs them. We find in the hadith of ‘Abdullāh ibn Buḥaynah
that the Messenger of Allah Ⓗ stood up from two *rak‘ahs* and forgot the *tashahhud*. People behind him said, ‘Glory be to Allah!’ He remained standing and they stood. When he finished the prayer, he did the two prostrations of forgetfulness before the *salām*. If sitting had been obligatory, forgetfulness would not cancel it because the obligations of the prayer are the same when they are omitted out of forgetfulness or deliberately.

There are five different positions about the ruling of the final sitting in the prayer. The first is that sitting is obligatory, the *tashahhud* is obligatory and the *salām* is obligatory. Those who said that include ash-Shāfi‘ī and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal in one transmission. Abū Muṣ‘ab related it from Mālik and the people of Madīnah in his *Mukhtaṣar*. Dāwūd also stated that. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘If someone omits the first *tashahhud* and the prayer on the Prophet Ⓗ, he does not have to repeat the prayer, but he does the two prostrations of forgetfulness for omitting it. If he omits the final *tashahhud* out of forgetfulness or deliberately, he should repeat the prayer.’ They cite as evidence the fact that the Prophet Ⓗ made the obligatory clear in the prayer because the basis of its obligation is undefined and requires clarification except for that which has a proof. The Prophet Ⓗ said, ‘Pray as you saw me praying.’

The second view is that sitting, the *tashahhud* and the *salām* are not mandatory. They are all sunnahs. This is the view of some of the Basrans. It was the position of Ibrāhīm ibn ‘Ulayyah and he stated that the final sitting is analogous with the first. He differs from the majority and is aberrant, although he thought that anyone who omits any of that must repeat the prayer. Part of their argument is the hadith of ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umayyah that the Prophet Ⓗ said, ‘When the imam raises his head from the final prostration in the prayer and then breaks his *wudu‘*, his prayer is complete.’ It is a hadith which is not sound according to Abū ‘Amr. We explained it in *Kitāb al-muqtabis*. This wording omits the *salām*, not the sitting.
The third view is that sitting for the amount of time needed for the tashahhud is obligatory, but neither the tashahhud nor the salām are obligatory. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people and a group of Kufans said that. They use as evidence the hadith of Ibn al-Mubarak from ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Ziyād al-Iṣrīqī which is weak. In it the Prophet said, ‘When one of you sits at the end of his prayer and then breaks his ḍuʿūḍ before saying the salām, his prayer is complete.’

The fourth view is that sitting is obligatory and the salām is obligatory, but the tashahhud is not mandatory. Those who said that include Mālik ibn Anas and his people and Ahmād ibn Ḥanbal in one variant. Their argument is that no remembrance is mandatory except the takbīr al-ḥaḍrām and recitation of the Umm al-Qur’ān.

The fifth view is that tashahhud and sitting are mandatory, but not the salām. The group took that view included Isḥāq ibn Rāḥawayh. Isḥāq cited as proof the hadith of Ibn Masʿūd when the Messenger of Allah taught him the tashahhud. He told him, ‘When you have finished this, your prayer is complete. Make up what you owe.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī said that these words were inserted by Zuhayr into the hadith and then connected to the words of the Prophet. Shabābah separated it from Zuhayr and made it part of the words of Ibn Masʿūd. His words are more likely to be correct than saying that it is interpolated into the hadith. Shabābah is trustworthy. It is corroborated by Ghassān ibn ar-Rabī who makes the end part of the words of Ibn Masʿūd rather than the Prophet.

Scholars disagree about the salām. It is said that it is mandatory and also that it is not mandatory. What is sound is that it is mandatory based on the hadith of ‘Ā’ishah and the sound hadith of ‘Alī that Abū Dāwūd and at-Tirmidhī transmitted. Sufyān ath-Thawrī related from ‘Abdullāh ibn Muḥammad ibn ‘Aqīl ibn al-Ḥanafiyyah from ‘Alī that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘The key to the prayer is purification. Its taḥrīm is the takbīr. Its release is the salām.’ This hadith is the basis for the obligation of the takbīr and the
salām and it is agreed that nothing else satisfies them just as nothing else satisfies purification.’ ‘Abd ar-Rahmān ibn Mahdī said, ‘If a man were to begin his prayer with seventy of the names of Allah Almighty without saying the takbīr al-ihrām, it would not satisfy the requirement. If he breaks wuḍū before the salām, it does not satisfy it.’ This is sound from ‘Abd ar-Rahmān ibn Mahdī by the hadith of ‘Alī. He is an imam in the science of hadith, knowing the sound from the weak. He is enough for you.

Scholars disagree about the obligation of the takbīr at the beginning of the prayer. Ibn Shihāb az-Zuhrī, Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, al-Awzā‘ī, ‘Abd ar-Rahmān and a group said that the takbīr al-ihrām is not mandatory. What is related from Mālik about someone following the imam indicates this view. What is sound in the School is that the takbīr al-ihrām is mandatory and it is an obligation and one of the pillars of the prayer. It is correct. Most agree on it. The evidence is found in the Sunnah for all those who oppose that.

Scholars disagree about the wording used to enter into the prayer. Mālik and his people and a group of scholars say that only the takbīr satisfies it. It is not satisfied by the shahādah, tasbīḥ, proclaiming Allah great or praising Him. This is the position of the Hijazīs and most Iraqīs. According to Mālik, only the expression ‘Allāhu akbar’ satisfies it and nothing else. That is similar to what ash-Shāfī‘i said. He said that it is satisfied by ‘Allāhu akbar’ and ‘Allāhu’l-Kabīr’. Mālik’s proof is the hadith of ‘Ā’ishah who said, ‘The Messenger of Allah used to begin the prayer with the takbīr and reciting “Praise be to Allah, the Lord of the Worlds”’, the hadith of ‘Alī, ‘His taḥrīm was the takbīr,’ and the hadith of the Bedouin, ‘he said the takbīr.’ According to the Sunan of Ibn Mājah, Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah and ‘Alī ibn Muḥammad aṭ-Ṭanāfisī related from Abū Usāmah from ‘Abd al-Ḥamīd ibn Ja‘far from Muḥammad ibn ‘Amr ibn ‘Aṭā’ who heard Abū Ḥamīd as-Sā‘idī say, ‘When the Messenger of Allah rose for the prayer, he faced the qiblah, raised his hands and said, “Allāhu akbar”.’ This is a
clear text and sound hadith specifying the words of the *takbīr*.

Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘If someone begins the prayer with “*lā ilaha illā ʿllāh*”, it does not satisfy the requirement. If he says, “O Allah, forgive me,” that does not satisfy it.’ That is what Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan said. Abū Yūsuf said that it does not satisfy it when he can articulate the *takbīr* well. Al-Ḥakam ibn ‘Uyaynah said that the requirement is satisfied if he mentions Allah in place of the *takbīr*. Ibn al-Mundhir said, “I do not know of any disagreement between them that if someone can recite well and says the *shahādah* and *takbīr* but does not recite, his prayer is unsound. If someone holds this position, then he is obliged to say that nothing else satisfies the *takbīr* just as nothing else can satisfy recitation. Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘The requirement is satisfied by saying “Allah is greater” in Persian, even if someone speaks Arabic well.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘It does not satisfy it because it is different to what the groups of Muslims believe and differs from what the Prophet ﷺ taught his community. We do not know of anyone who agrees with what he said.’ Allah knows best.

The community agree that the intention is obligatory at the time of the *takbīr al-iḥrām* except for something related from some of our people who discuss it in the Āyah of Purification. Its reality is to intend to approach the business by doing what is commanded in the recommended manner. Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘The basis for every intention is to formulate it in direct proximity with the intended action, or before that, provided that it accompanies that action. If the intention is made earlier and then the person concerned becomes heedless of it and starts the act of worship in that state, it is not counted when he makes it after starting the action. There is an allowance for putting it earlier in the case of fasting because of the great need for it to be connected to its beginning.’

Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Qarawī told us at the port of ‘Asqalan that he heard the Imam of the two Ḥarams say, ‘A man
should make the intention when beginning the prayer and remove his thought from the Maker, the Knower and Prophethoods so that he considers only the intention of the prayer.’ He said that it does not require a long time. That can be in an instant because teaching a camel takes a long time but remembering it can be in an instant. An element of having a full intention is for it to continue right through the whole prayer. However, since that is extremely difficult for a person, the Sharī‘ah allows for the intention to slip during the prayer. He said, ‘I heard our Shaykh Abū Bakr al-Fihrī say in Jerusalem that Muḥammad ibn Saḥnūn said, “I sometimes saw my father Saḥnūn finish the prayer and then repeat it. I asked him about that and said, ‘I lost my intention during it. That is why I repeated it.’”

These are some of the rulings of the prayer. All its rulings will come in their proper place in this book by Allah’s strength. We will mention rukū‘, the group prayer, qiblah, doing it early in the time and something about the Fear Prayer in this sūrah. Shortening the prayer and the Fear Prayer proper will be mentioned in an-Nisā‘, the times in Hūd, al-Isrā’ and ar-Rūm, the night prayers in al-Muzzammil, the prostration of the recitation in al-’Araf, and the prostration of thankfulness in Ṣād, each in its proper place, Allah willing.

and spend from what We have provided for them;

The word ‘provided’ means ‘given to’. Provision, according to the people who follow the Sunnah, refers to everything which can be used, ḥarām or ḥalāl, as opposed to the Mu‘tazilites who say that the ḥarām is not provision because it is not valid for a person to own it. According to them Allah does not ‘provide’ the ḥarām; He only ‘provides’ the ḥalāl; only something which is validly owned can properly be called provision. So, according to them, if a child grows up with thieves and only eats what the thieves eat until he is adult and strong and then himself becomes a thief and remains a thief and eats what he steals until he dies, Allah has not provided him with
anything, since everything he ever consumed was ḥarām and he did not validly own it. He dies, therefore, without having consumed any of Allah’s provision. This is false and the evidence for it is that, if provision had meant legally valid ownership, it would mean that no child could receive provision, nor could any animal which grazes in the wilderness, nor could a lamb because its mother’s milk belongs to its owner and not to the lamb!

The Community agree that children, lambs and beasts are provided for and that Allah Almighty provides for them, even though they are not the owners of anything, because it is known that nourishment is an aspect of provision. The Community also agree that slaves are provided for and that Allah Almighty provides for them although they are not owners. So it is clear that provision is what we have said, not what the Mu‘tazilites say.

All nourishment is provision and there is no provider except Allah: ‘Is there any creator other than Allah providing for you from what is in heaven and the earth?’ (35:3) He says: ‘Allah is the Provider, the Possessor of Strength, the Sure.’ (51:58) He says: ‘There is no creature on the earth which is not dependent upon Allah for its provision.’ (11:6) This is definitive. Allah Almighty is the true Provider in every instance. The son of Ādam is a mere recipient because his ownership of property is, in fact, only metaphorical as we have made clear in our commentary on the Fātiḥah. He is provided for, like the animals, which truly own nothing. If the thing is something he is permitted to obtain, it is ḥalāl for him, and if it is not permitted, then it is ḥarām for him, but nevertheless all of it is provision. One great man of knowledge explained the words of Allah, ‘Eat of your Lord’s provision and give thanks to Him, a bountiful land and a forgiving Lord’ (34:15) by saying the adjective ‘forgiving’ (ghafūr) used in it indicates that provision may contain what is unlawful.

*Rizq* is a verbal noun derived from the verb *razaqa*. *Razq* is the verbal noun and *rizq* is a noun whose plural is *arzāq*. *Razq* is giving.
Rāziqīyyah is a cotton garment. Form VIII is used for an army taking its provisions. Razqah is a single time. Ibn as-Sakīt said that rīzq means thankfulness in the dialect of Arzdishanu’ah as in 56:82 where it means ‘thanks’.

The word for ‘those who spend’ (yunfiqūn) means ‘to bring something out’. ‘Spending’ is to give out money with the hand. The verb nafaqa, with regard to a sale, means to transfer money from the hand of the seller to the hand of the buyer. Nafaqa is used for an animal when its life leaves it. From the same root comes nāfīqā’, the second entrance of the hole of the jerboa from which it emerges when it is attacked from the other entrance. We also have the word munāfiq (hypocrite), so called because he leaves belief or belief leaves his heart. Nayfaq is used for trousers because the legs emerge from them. Nafaqa is also used for something which is spent, used up or comes to an end. An example of that usage is in the words of Allah: ‘You would still hold back out of the fear it would run out (infāq).’ (17:100)

Scholars disagree about what is meant by the giving of provision in this instance. It is said that it refers to obligatory zakāt. That is related from Ibn ‘Abbās and is because the prayer accompanies it. It is said that it refers to what a man spends on his family. That is related from Ibn Mas‘ūd because that is the best type of expenditure. Muslim related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Out of a dinar which you spend in the way of Allah and a dinar which you spend on freeing a slave and a dinar which you give to a poor person and a dinar which you spend on your family, the one with the greatest reward is the one which you spend on your family.’ It is related from Thawbān that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Is not the best dinar a man spends the dinar which he spends on his family and the dinar which he spends on his mount in the way of Allah and the dinar which he spends on his companions in the way of Allah?’ Abū Qilābah said, ‘He began with the family,’ and then he continued, ‘What man could have a greater reward
than someone who spends on a young family to keep them virtuous, or Allah gives them the benefit of him and he enriches them?’

It is also said that what is meant here is voluntary sadaqah. That is related from ḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk in reference to the fact that obligatory zakāt is only referred to with the expression particular to it, which is the word zakāt. When a word other than zakāt is used, it may be obligatory or voluntary. When the word ‘spend’ is used, it is only voluntary. ḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘Spending was, at first, a kind of sacrifice by which a person drew near to Allah Almighty according to his effort in it until Allah revealed the obligations of zakāt in Sūrat at-Tawbah which abrogated that.’ It is said that it refers to obligatory rights on wealth other than zakāt because by connecting it to the prayer, Allah Almighty is indicating that they are obligatory, and the fact that He uses another expression shows that this is an obligation other than zakāt.

It is said that its meaning is general and that is a sound position because Allah praises all kinds of spending out from what we are provided with. In that case the meaning is that they pay the zakāt obliged by the Sharī‘ah and also spend in other ways in which it is recommended for them to spend. It is said that ‘believe in the Unseen’ is the portion of the heart, ‘establish the prayer’ is the portion of the body and ‘spend from what they are provided with’ is the portion of wealth. This is evident. Some early scholars said in their interpretation of the words of Allah Almighty: ‘they spend from what We have provided them,’ that they refer to people teaching others some of what Allah has taught them. Abū Naṣr ‘Abd ar-Raḥīm ibn ‘Abd al-Karīm al-Qushayrī related that.
about the Next World.

It is said that what is meant here are believers from the People of the Book, such as ʿAbdullāh ibn Sallām, and that it was revealed about him. The previous āyah was revealed about believers among the Arabs. It is also said that both this āyah and the previous one are about believers in general.

Allah’s words ‘what has been sent down to you,’ refer to the Qur’an and His words ‘and what was sent down before you’ refer to previous Books, so this differs from what the Jews and Christians did, since they only believed in their own Books as Allah tells us later in this sūrah: ‘When they are told, “Believe in what Allah has sent down,” they say, “We believe in what was sent down to us.”’ (2:91)

It is said that when this āyah was revealed and the words ‘those who believe in the Unseen’ came, the Jews and Christians said, ‘We believe in the Unseen.’ When Allah said: ‘establish the prayer’ (2:3), they said, ‘We establish the prayer.’ When He said: ‘and spend from what We have provided for them,’ they said, ‘We spend and give alms.’ When He said: ‘those who believe in what has been sent down to you and what was sent down before you,’ they were averse to that. We find in a hadith that Abū Dharr asked, ‘Messenger of Allah, how many Books have been sent down?’ He answered, ‘One hundred and four Books. Allah sent down fifty scrolls to Seth, thirty scrolls to Enoch, ten scrolls to Ibrāhīm, and ten scrolls to Mūsā before the Torah, and He sent down the Torah, the Gospel, the Zabūr and the Furqān.’ Al-Ḥusayn al-Ujurrī and Abū Ḥātim al-Bustī transmitted it.

There is a question which arises here. How can one believe in all the various Revelations when it is clear that their rulings conflict? Two answers are given. One is that one believes that all of them came from Allah. This is the position of those who abolish all the acts of worship prescribed by prior sharī’ahs. The second is that one believes in those things in them which have not been abrogated. This is the position of those who say it is obligatory to abide by prior
sharī'ahs, a judgment which will be explained in the proper place.

and are certain about the Next World

The words ‘and are certain about the Next World’ refer to the Resurrection after death. Certainty is knowledge free from all doubt. Various forms of this verb (yaqīna) can be used to express this idea. Sometimes it is possible for this word to indicate the opposite meaning of ‘conjecture’, and we find it in this sense elsewhere in the Qur’an and in many poems. Ākhirah (Next World) is derived from ta’akhkhor (delay) because it is later for us, as dunyā (this world) is derived from danwā (nearness)

5 They are the people guided by their Lord. They are the ones who have success.

Our scholars say that the words ‘by their Lord’ refute the Qadariyyah who say that they themselves are the creators of their own faith and their own guidance. Allah is too exalted for their position to be true. If that had been the case, Allah would have said, ‘by their own selves’. The meaning of the word ‘guidance’ (huda) has already been discussed in the commentary on the second āyah of this sūrah.

They are the ones who have success.

The linguistic root of ‘falāḥ’ (success) is falḥ, which has the meaning of splitting or cleaving. From it comes filāhah, tillage of the earth when it is ploughed for cultivation. Abū ‘Ubayd said that. That is why a ploughman is called a fallāḥ. Someone with a split lower lip is called aflāḥ. It is as if the one with success cuts through adversity until he reaches his goal. The word is used for success and continuation, which is also another aspect of its linguistic root.

The meaning of ‘the ones who have success’ in this context is those who win the Garden and remain in it. Ibn Abī Ishāq said, ‘Those who are successful are those who attain what they seek and are saved from the evil from which they flee.’ The meaning is the same. The
word falāḥ is also used for saḥūr (the pre-dawn meal in Ramadan), as in the hadith recorded by Abū Dāwūd: ‘Until we almost missed falāḥ with the Messenger of Allah.’ It was asked, ‘What is falāḥ?’ The answer was, ‘Sahūr.’ Falāḥ normally means to gain one’s goal and to be delivered from what one fears.

6 As for those who disbelieve, it makes no difference to them whether you warn them or do not warn them they will not believe.

As for those who disbelieve,

After mentioning the believers and their states, Allah then mentions the unbelievers and their end. Kufr (disbelief) is the opposite of īmān (belief) and that is what is meant in this āyah. Kufr can also mean ingratitude for blessings and gifts received. The Prophet used it in that way when he spoke about women in the eclipse hadith: ‘Then I saw the Fire – and I have never seen anything more hideous than what I saw today – and I saw that most of its inhabitants were women.’ They asked, ‘Why, Messenger of Allah?’ He replied, ‘For their kufr.’ It was asked, ‘Do they reject Allah [or ‘Are they ungrateful to Allah’]?’ He said, ‘They are ungrateful to their husbands, and they are ungrateful for good behaviour (towards them). Even if you were to behave well to one of them for a whole lifetime and she were to see you do something (that she did not like) she would say that she had never seen anything good from you.’ Al-Bukhārī and others transmitted it.

The root of the verb kafara in Arabic indicates covering and hiding. Part of that usage is found in the words of a poet:

In the night its clouds cover (kafara) the stars.

Night is called kāfir because it covers everything in darkness. Kāfir
can also mean a sea or huge river and is also used with the meaning of cultivator – someone who covers seeds with earth. Its plural in that case is *kuffār* rather than *kāfirūn*. Allah Almighty says, ‘*Like the plant-growth after rain which delights the cultivators* (kuffār)’ (57:20). Ashes are called *makfūr* when the wind has swept the dust so that it has covered them. ‘Land which is *kāfir* is that which is so far off the beaten track that people almost never stop in it or pass by it. Those who do stop in such places are people of *kufūr*. It is also said that *kufūr* means isolated villages.

A point is made about why Ḥamza recites ‘*alayhum*, ‘*ilayhum*’ and ‘*ladayhum*’ and does not recite ‘*rabbihum*, ‘*fihum*’ or ‘*jannatayhum*,’ the answer is that in the first examples, a *yā*’ has replaced an *alif* and the root is ‘*alāhum*, ‘*ladāhum*’ and ‘*ilāhum*’ and therefore the *hā* keeps its َdammah. That is not the case with the other examples.

**it makes no difference to them**

The words ‘*it makes no difference to them*’ mean that it is the same to them whether or not you warn them.

**whether you warn them**

His words: ‘*whether you warn them*’ mean to announce and convey warning. The word used here for ‘warn’ (*andhara*) is almost only ever used to alarm people when there is time for them to take measures to avoid the thing they are being warned about. If the situation does not allow for such a time of preparation, it is called announcement (*ishʿār*) and not warning.

Scholars disagree about the interpretation of this āyah. It is said to refer to people in general, indicating those against whom the decree of punishment will be carried out. It is an established fact that Allah already knows that these people will die in *kufr*. Allah wanted to tell people about those whose state is like that without being specific.

Ibn ‘Abbās and al-Kalbī said that the āyah was revealed about the leaders of the Jews in Madīnah, including Ḥuyayy ibn al-Akḥtab, Kaʿb ibn al-Ashraf and those like them. Ar-Rabīʿ ibn Anas said, ‘It
was revealed about the leaders of the idolaters who were killed at Badr.' The first position is sounder. Anyone who says it was revealed about a particular person can only be referring to someone whose 
*kufr* was revealed from the Unseen after his death. That is one aspect of the āyah.

7 Allah has sealed up their hearts and their hearing and over their eyes is a blindfold. They will have a terrible punishment.

**Allah has sealed up**

The words, ‘*Allah has sealed up,*’ make it clear that in this āyah Allah debars such people from having faith. The word ‘*khatama*’ (sealed up) means covering a thing and fastening it up so that nothing can enter it. It is used for letters and other such things so that what is inside them cannot be reached or replaced.

Interpreters say that, in His Book, Allah describes the hearts of the unbelievers as having ten qualities: as being sealed up, stamped, constricted, sick, rusted up, dead, hard, turning away, fanatically enraged and in denial. On being in denial, He says: ‘*Their hearts are in denial and they are puffed up with pride.*’ (16:22) On fanatical rage: ‘*When those who disbelieve filled their hearts with fanatical rage.*’ (48:26) On turning away: ‘*Then they turn away. Allah has turned their hearts away because they are people who do not understand.*’ (9:127) On being hard: ‘*Woe to those whose hearts are hardened against remembrance of Allah*’ (39:22) and: ‘*Then your hearts became hardened after that.*’ (2:74) On being dead: ‘*Someone who was dead and whom We brought to life.*’ (61122) and: ‘*Only those who can hear respond. As for the dead, Allah will raise them up.*’ (6:36) On being rusted up: ‘*No indeed! Rather what they have earned has rusted up their hearts.*’ (83:14) On being sick: ‘*Those with sickness in their hearts.*’ (47:29) On being constricted: ‘*When He desires to misguide someone, He makes his breast narrow and constricted.*’ (6:125) On being stamped: ‘*Their hearts
have been stamped so that they do not understand’ (9:87) and: ‘Allah has stamped them with kufr.’ (4: 155) On being sealed up, He says: ‘Allah has sealed up their hearts.’ (2:7) All will be explained in their proper place, Allah willing.

Sealing up can be physical, as we have made clear, and also spiritual as in this āyah. In respect of the heart, being sealed up entails the inability to absorb Allah’s words, understand what He says and reflect on His āyats. In respect of hearing, it entails the inability to understand the Qur’ān when it is recited or to respond to the call to believe in the Oneness of Allah. The blindfold over the eyes entails the inability to be guided by means of reflecting on His creatures and the wondrous things He has made. This is what Ibn ʿAbbās, Ibn Masʿūd, Qatādah and others have said about this.

We find in this āyah definitive proof of the fact that it is Allah Who is the author of guidance and misguidance, kufr and īmān. So we can only be amazed at the obtuseness of the minds of the Qadariyyah who say that they are the authors of their own faith and guidance. The ‘sealing up’ referred to in the āyah is the stamp of kufr so how could someone subject to that come to have īmān, no matter how hard his striving was? Allah has sealed up their hearts and hearing and a blindfold has been placed over their eyes. How they going to be guided and who is going to guide them after Allah, when He has misguided them, made them deaf, and blinded their eyes. ‘And no one can guide those whom Allah misguides.’ (39:23) That action of Allah is nevertheless just, since He does not place any real impediment in the way of those He misguides and disappoints preventing them from fulfilling those things which are obligatory for them. So the attribute of justice is not negated. He denies them His grace, He does not prevent them doing what was obliged for them.

Some say that the words ‘khatm’ (sealing), ‘ṭab’ (stamping) and ‘ghishāwah’ (covering) are used metaphorically and are really a way of designating them as unbelievers, judging them to be unbelievers,
and reporting that they are unbelievers and are not to be taken as retaining their literal meaning. We say that this is not the case because the reality of this sealing and stamping is an action by which the heart actually becomes sealed and stamped, so it is not correct to take it metaphorically. Do you not see that when it is said, ‘So-and-so stamped the book and sealed it,’ it means that the book in question is actually stamped and sealed, not designated or judged. There is no disagreement concerning this among people with knowledge of the language, and the whole community agree that Allah Almighty has described Himself as sealing and stamping the hearts of the unbelievers to repay them for their disbelief, as He says: ‘Allah has stamped them with disbelief.’ (4:155) So the sealing and stamping are something that Allah does to a heart which prevents faith entering it. There is evidence for this in His words: ‘In that way We insert it into the evildoers’ hearts. They do not believe in it’ (15:12) and He says: ‘We have placed covers on their hearts, preventing them from understanding it.’ (6:25)

**their hearts**

Putting the heart first indicates the excellence of the heart over all other limbs and faculties. The core and the noblest part of anything is its heart. The heart is the locus of reflection. The Arabic word for heart, *qalb*, is a verbal noun meaning to turn something over completely so that it is returned to how it was at the beginning. ‘Qalaba’ (to turn) a vessel is to turn it upside down. Then this image is transferred and used for this organ, the noblest part of the creature, owing to the speed with which thoughts revolve in it. It is as is said by the poet:

The heart is only called ‘*qalb*’ because of its revolving (*taqallub*), so beware of turning and change in the heart.

Ibn Mājah reported from Abū Mūsā al-Ash‘arī that the Prophet ☪ said, ‘The metaphor of the heart is that of a feather moved by the
winds in the desert.’ This is the meaning which the Prophet expressed when he said, ‘O Allah, Who makes hearts firm, make our hearts firm in Your obedience.’ If the Prophet, in spite of his immense worth and exalted station, said that, then it is even more fitting for us to say it in imitation of him. Allah Almighty says: ‘Know that Allah intervenes between a man and his heart.’ (8:24)

Even though the limbs follow the heart and it is their leader and master, they nevertheless affect it, because the actions they perform make a connection between the outward and the inward. The Prophet said, ‘A man gives ṣadaqah and a white spot is engraved in his heart. A man lies and his heart goes black.’ At-Tirmidhī reports as a sound hadith from Abū Hurayrah: ‘A man may commit a sin and his heart becomes black. If he repents, his heart is polished.’ He said, ‘That is the rust which Allah mentions in the Qur’an when He says: “No indeed! Rather what they have earned has rusted up their hearts.”’ (83:14) Mujāhid said, ‘The heart is like a hand which holds a sin with every finger and then is sealed.’ The Prophet said, ‘There is a lump of flesh in the body. When it is sound, the entire body is sound, and when it is corrupt, the entire body is corrupt – it is the heart.’ This indicates that ‘sealing’ is real, and Allah knows best.

Muslim relates a hadith from Ḥudhayfah: ‘The Messenger of Allah related two hadiths to us. I have seen one of them come true and am still waiting for the other. He related to us that the quality of trustworthiness had descended into the hearts of men. Then the Qur’an came down and they knew it from the Qur’an and they knew it from the Sunnah. Then he related that trustworthiness would be removed and he said, “A man will go to sleep and trustworthiness will be taken from his heart and its trace will remain like a small scar. Then he will go to sleep and trustworthiness will be taken from his heart and its trace will remain like a superficial blister, such as you see when an ember rolls onto someone’s foot and it blisters up.” Then he took some pebbles and rolled them onto his
foot. “And people will continue to trade but practically no one will
fulfil his trust to such a point that it will be said, ‘There is a
trustworthy man among the Banū so-and-so!’ and until it will be said
of a man ‘How tough he is! How elegant! How intelligent!’ when he
does not have even a speck of belief in his heart.” There was a time
when I did not care whom I did business with. If he was a Muslim,
his dīn was sufficient assurance for me, and if he was a Christian or a
Jew, his Muslim patron was sufficient assurance for me. Today I only
do business with so-and-so and so-and-so among you.’

Ḥudhayfah said that he heard the Messenger of Allah say,
‘Trials will come upon the hearts, following one after another like
the reeds in a woven mat. Any heart which opens the door to them
will have a black mark put in it. A heart which rejects them will have
a white mark put in it. Thus hearts will be of two kinds: those which
are white like marble, which will not be harmed by trial as long as
the heavens and the earth endure, and those which are black and
dust-coloured like an upset earthen jug, not recognising what is right
or rejecting what is wrong, totally permeated by base desires.’

The heart is sometimes referred to by the word fu‘ād and also the
term ṣadr (breast). Allah Almighty says: ‘It is so that We can fortify your
heart (fu‘ād) by it.’ (25:32) He says: ‘Did We not expand your breast (ṣadr)
for you?’ (94:1). In both places it is the heart which is meant. The
heart is also often equated with the intellect. Allah Almighty says:
‘There is a reminder in that for anyone who has a heart’ (50:37) meaning
intelligence, because the heart is the locus of the intellect according
to most authorities. Fu‘ād is the locus of the qalb and the breast is the
locus of the fu‘ād. Allah knows best.

**and their hearing**

The fact that hearing comes before sight in this āyah is used as
evidence by those who say that hearing is better than vision. Allah
also says: ‘Say: “What do you think? If Allah took away your hearing and your
sight...”’ (6:46) He says: ‘He gave you hearing, sight and hearts.’ (32:9) This
is said to be because hearing is always receptive from every direction, both in light and darkness, while sight is only effective in one direction at a time and needs light to function. However, most people put sight above hearing because hearing only perceives sounds and words, while sight perceives bodies, colours and all forms. They said, ‘Something which embraces more things is better.’

If it is asked why ‘sight’ is in the plural and ‘hearing’ in the singular, the answer is that it is in the singular because ‘sam’ (hearing) is a verbal noun which can be used for both a little and a lot. It is also used as a noun for the part of the body that hears. When it is used in the plural, it indicates the individual hearing of a group of people. It is possible that it means ‘the sites of their hearing’ because ‘hearing’ is not sealed up, but the site of hearing is sealed up. ‘Sam’ can also mean the function of hearing. Sim‘ is mentioning someone well. It is also a wolf born from a hyena.

**and over their eyes is a blindfold.**

The pronoun ‘their’ applies to those whom Allah knows will not believe among the unbelievers of Quraysh, or to the hypocrites or the Jews, or to all unbelievers, which is more correct because it is universal. The seal is what covers hearts and hearing and the blindfold covers the eyes. The word used for blindfold is ghishā‘ which simply means a covering. From it comes ghāshiyah, a saddle cover, so-called because it goes right over it.

Some commentators say that the covering applies to the hearing and the eyes and that it is only the hearts which are sealed. Others say that the seal covers all of them and that blindfold is another way of saying seal.

**They will have a terrible punishment.**

‘They’ here refers to the unbelievers who deny the ‘terrible punishment’ they are going to receive. The word ‘punishment’ (‘adhāb) includes things like whipping, burning with fire, cutting with steel and other things which inflict pain and suffering on a person.
In the Qur’an we find: ‘A number of believers should attend their punishment.’ (24:2) The word is derived from a root meaning imprisonment and prevention. Linguistically one can say, ‘I will punish him,’ meaning restrain him. The same root is used for the sweetness (‘adhūbah) of water because it becomes sweet by being confined in a vessel so that it is pure and separated from what it is mixed with. We also find it used in the words of ‘Alī, ‘Keep (a’dhibū) your women from going out.’ Punishment is called that because the person being punished is held and kept from everything which is conducive to his comfort and afflicted with the opposite.

8 Among the people there are some who say, ‘We believe in Allah and the Last Day,’ when they do not believe.

Ibn Jurayj related that Mujahid said, ‘Four āyahs were revealed in Sūrat al-Baqarah about the believers, two about the unbelievers, and thirteen about the hypocrites. As-Suddī said that ‘people’ here refers to the hypocrites.’ Sufi scholars say that the word ‘people’ is a generic noun and one does not use the generic to address friends.

Grammarians disagree about the derivation of the word ‘people’ (an-nās). It is said that it is the plural of insān (human being). In this case the word nās comes from naws, which means movement. The word is used to mean moving about and it is found with that meaning in hadith. It is also said that the word comes from the word ‘forget’ (nasī), in which case its root would be nasiya. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Ādam forgot (nasiya) the covenant with his Lord and so was named insān.’ He said, ‘Ādam forgot and so his descendants forgot.’ This is borne out in the Qur’ān when Allah says: ‘We made a contract with Ādam before, but he forgot.’ (20:115)

Allah Almighty mentions the believers first because of their nobility and excellence. Then He mentions the unbelievers next because
disbelief and belief are two opposites. Then after that He mentions the hypocrites and connects them to the unbelievers to deny that they have faith with the words, ‘They do not believe.’ This refutes the Karramite thesis that faith is confirmed by affirmation of it on the tongue even if the heart does not believe. Their evidence is the words of Allah: ‘Allah will reward them for what they say.’ (5:85). He does not say ‘for what they say and their belief in it.’ They also used as evidence the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘I was commanded to fight people until they say, “There is no god but Allah.”’ When they have said it, their blood and property are protected from me.’

This is wrong and fails to look into what the Qur’an and Sunnah actually say about acting by both statement and belief. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Faith is recognition in the heart, articulation by the tongue and acting according to the pillars.’ Ibn Mājah transmitted it in the Sunan. That which Muḥammad ibn Karrām as-Sijistānī and his people state is hypocrisy and schism. We seek refuge with Allah from disappointment and corrupted belief!

Our scholars say that there are two types of believer: a believer whom Allah loves and protects and a believer whom Allah loves and does not protect, but with whom He is angry and towards whom He is hostile. Allah loves and protects all those whom He knows will end up as believers. Allah hates and is angry with and hostile towards all those whom He knows will end up as unbelievers – not, of course, on account of their belief but because of the disbelief and misguidance which will overpower them. Likewise there are two types of unbeliever: an unbeliever who will be punished and an unbeliever who will not be punished. The one who will be punished is the one who ends up with disbelief and so Allah is angry with him and hostile towards him. The one who will not be punished is the one who ends up with belief: Allah is not angry with this one nor does he hate him. He loves him and protects him, not for his disbelief, but for the belief which will overtake him.
This means that it is not permitted to make the following general statement: a believer deserves the reward and an unbeliever deserves the punishment. Rather such a statement must be made conditional on the end result. Because of this we say that Allah was pleased with ‘Umar even while he was worshipping idols and meant to reward him and admit him to the Garden, not, of course, for his worship of idols, but for his ultimate belief. On the other hand, Allah was angry with Iblīs even while he was worshipping because of his ultimate disbelief.

The Qadariyyah differed regarding this matter. They said that Allah was not angry with Iblīs when he worshipped Him nor pleased with ‘Umar when he was worshipping idols. This is false since it is confirmed that Allah knew how Iblīs would end up and how ‘Umar would end up even though this had not yet happened. So it is confirmed that He was angry with Iblīs and loved ‘Umar. The consensus of the Community indicates that Allah would never show love for anyone He knew was going to be one of the people of the Fire. He would be angry with him. He does, however, love the one He knows will be one of the people of the Garden.

The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Actions are revealed by their final seals.’ That is why Sufi scholars say that faith is not what the slave is adorned with in word and deed, but faith is the preordainment of happiness before time. As for its outward appearance on individuals, that may be either empty of reality or actually true.

This is confirmed in Sahīh Muslim and elsewhere by the hadith from ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd in which the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The way that each of you is created is that you are gathered in your mother’s womb for forty days as a sperm-drop and then for a similar length of time as a blood-clot and then for a similar length of time as a lump of flesh. Then an angel is sent and he breathes the spirit into you and is encharged with four commandments: to write down your provision, your life-span, your actions, and whether you will be
wretched or happy. By Him, apart from Whom there is no god, one of you can do the actions of someone destined for the Garden until there is only an armspan between him and it, and then what is written will overtake him and he will do the actions of someone destined for the Fire and enter it. And one of you can do the actions of someone destined for the Fire until there is only an armspan between him and it, and then what is written will overtake him and he will do the actions of someone destined for the Garden and enter it.’

Abū Muḥammad ʿAbd al-Ghanī ibn Saʿīd al-Misrī transmitted a hadith about the zindīqs from Muḥammad ibn Saʿīd ash-Shāmī, who was crucified for being a zindīq, from Sulaymān ibn Mūsā al-Ashdaq from Mujājid ibn Jabr from Ibn ʿAbbās that Abū Razīn al-ʿUqaylī said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ☪ told me, “Abū Razīn, you and I will drink from a milk whose taste is unaltered.” Then I asked, “How will Allah bring the dead to life?” He said, “Have you passed by a land which is barren and then you pass by it and it is lush, and then you pass by it again and it is barren and you pass by it again and it is lush?” I replied, “Yes.” He said, “That is how the Resurrection is.” I asked, “How will I know that I am a believer?” He said, “None of this Community (or My Community) does an action and knows that it is good and that Allah will reward it, or does an evil action and knows that it is bad and that Allah will either punish it or forgive it, without that showing that he is a believer.”’

Even if the isnād of this hadith is not strong, its meaning is sound. It does not contradict the hadith of Ibn Masʿūd. That depends on the seal as the Prophet ☪ said, ‘Actions are defined by their final seals.’ This indicates that he is actually a believer, and Allah knows best.

Linguists say, ‘A hypocrite (munāfiq) is called a hypocrite when he displays outwardly something different from what he is concealing inside himself, like the jerboa whose hole is called a nāfiqā’. That is because it burrows into the earth leaving some fine soil near the
surface. When it is alarmed, it covers the mouth of its hole with dirt and leaves, so the outside of its hole seems to be solid earth whereas the inside is really hollow. Thus the outside of the hypocrite has the appearance of faith while inwardly it is really disbelief.’

9 They think they deceive Allah and those who believe. They deceive no one but themselves but they are not aware of it.

They think they deceive Allah and those who believe.

Our scholars have said that their imagined deceit of Allah is in themselves and in their thinking. It is said that it is by their actions, which are those of a deceiver. It is said that there is something elided here and that what is really meant is deceit of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. Al-Ḥasan and others said that. Allah has made their deceit of His Messenger equivalent to deceit of Himself because the Prophet ﷺ called them using Allah’s Words. The same applies to their deceit of the believers as a whole: in reality they are trying to deceive Allah. Their deceit lies in their display of faith which differs from the disbelief they conceal. This results in their lives and property being spared and makes them think that they are safe and have been successful in deceiving the believers. Some interpreters said that.

Linguists say that the root of the verb ‘khadā‘a’ (deceit) means corruption. So the meaning is that they corrupt their faith and actions, in respect of what is between them and Allah, by showing off. This is explained by the Prophet ﷺ. Its root is also said to signify concealment, from which comes mikhda‘, a cabinet in which something is kept in the house. One form of the word is used of a hyena concealing itself in its den.

They deceive no one but themselves
The words, ‘They deceive no one but themselves,’ mean that the result of what they do is that only they are deceived. There is a saying: ‘Whoever tries to deceive someone who is not deceived has deceived himself.’ This is true because deceit can only occur in respect of someone who does not know the inward reality. Any attempt to deceive someone who knows what is really going on is inevitably self-deceit. This is proof that the hypocrites do not recognise Allah since they do not know that He is not deceived. The Prophet ☪ said, ‘Do not deceive Allah. If anyone deceives Allah, Allah deceives him and his self deceives him while he is not aware.’ They asked, ‘Messenger of Allah, how can Allah be deceived?’ He replied, ‘By your doing what Allah has commanded while seeking the pleasure of another by it.’ The full extent of this deception will be seen in the interpretation of Allah’s words: ‘Allah is mocking them.’ (2:15)

Nāfi‘, Ibn Kathīr and Abū ‘Amr read, yuḥādi‘ūna for ‘deceive’ both times it occurs, whereas ‘Āṣim, Ḥamzah, al-Kisā‘ī and Ibn ‘Āmir read yakhda‘ūna, without the middle alif the second time.

but they are not aware of it.

This means that they do not understand that the evil of their deception will come back on them; they think that they will be saved and win by their deceit. That may seem to be the case in this world but in the Next World they will be told, ‘Go back and look for light.’ (57:13) Linguists say that ‘being aware of a thing’ is to comprehend it.

10 There is a sickness in their hearts and Allah has increased their sickness. They will have a painful punishment on account of their denial.

There is a sickness in their hearts

In the words ‘a sickness in their hearts’, sickness is a metaphor for the
corruption caused by their lack of faith. That is either doubt and hypocrisy or denial and repudiation. The meaning is that their hearts are sick since they lack the protection, success and support which come from true faith. Ibn Fāris, the linguist, says that sickness is anything which removes a person from good health such as illness, hypocrisy, or shortcoming in something.

and Allah has increased their sickness

This is a supplication against them. The meaning of these words is that Allah has increased them in doubt and hypocrisy on top of their disbelief and lack of support and lack of power. So this āyah indicates the permission to pray against the hypocrites and expel them because they are the worst of Allah’s creatures. Indeed one possibility is that the words actually are a supplication against them with the meaning, ‘May Allah increase their sickness’. It is said that the phrase is simply information from Allah about their increased sickness, meaning sickness on top of their sickness. He says in another āyah: ‘It adds defilement to their defilement.’ (9:125)

Those with knowledge of the precise use of language say that the first ‘sickness in their hearts’ is because of their reliance on this world and their love for it and their neglect of the Next World and their turning away from it. Then Allah’s words ‘Allah has increased their sickness’ mean that He has thrown them back on themselves and loaded them with the cares of this world, so that they are unable to break through them and turn to concern for the Next World. Al-Junayd said, ‘Sickness of the heart comes from following the lower desires, and sickness of the limbs comes from the sickness of the body itself.’

on account of their denial.

This refers to their denial of the Messengers and rejection of Allah. It is their denial of His āyahs. ‘Āṣim, Ḥamzah and al-Kisāʾī recite ‘yakdhibūna’ meaning ‘because of their lying’.

Scholars disagree about why the Prophet ﷺ left the hypocrites
alone even though he knew that they were hypocrites. There are basically four positions.

Some scholars say that he did not kill them because he was the only one who knew their state. Without exception, scholars agree that a qāḍī may not issue a sentence of execution on the basis of personal knowledge. They disagree about lesser sentences.

Ibn al-'Arabī said, ‘This is contradictory. Al-Mujadhddhir ibn Ziyād was killed by al-Ḥārith ibn Suwayd ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit because al-Mujadhddhir had killed his father, Suwayd, in the Battle of Buʿāth. Al-Ḥārith became Muslim and was ignored during the Battle of Uhud and he killed al-Mujadhddhir. Jibrīl informed the Prophet and he had him killed because he had murdered him, and treacherous murder incurs one of the hudūd of Allah.’ This is negligence on the part of this imam because if this consensus is confirmed, it is not contradictory with what he mentioned because consensus was only established after the death of the Prophet and the end of Revelation. That case was a specific one based on Revelation and so it is not used as a proof nor is it superseded by consensus. Allah knows best.

The people of ash-Shāfiʿī say that he did not kill the hypocrites because a zīndīq, who is someone who conceals disbelief and shows faith, is asked to repent and is not killed. Ibn al-'Arabī said, ‘This is weak. The Prophet did not ask them to repent and no one transmits that and no one says that it is obligatory to ask zīndiqs (heretics) to repent. The Prophet left them alone in spite of his knowledge of them.’

He did not kill them in order to bring hearts closer to him and so that people would not be alienated. The Prophet indicated this when he said to ‘Umar, ‘I seek refuge with Allah from people saying that I kill my Companions.’ Al-Bukhārī and Muslim transmitted it. He used to give people things to bring their hearts closer although he knew about their weak belief. This is the position of our scholars
and others. Ibn ‘Atiyyah said that this is the approach of the people of Mālik when talking about the Messenger of Allah refraining from the hypocrites, as stated by Muḥammad ibn al-Jahm, Qāḍī Ismā‘īl, al-Abharī and Ibn al-Mājishūn.

As for those who use the words of Allah Almighty: ‘If the hypocrites and those with sickness in their hearts do not desist’ to the words ‘mercilessly put to death’ (33:60-61) as justification for killing hypocrites, Qatādah said that this only applies when they make their hypocrisy public. Mālik said, ‘Hypocrisy in the time of the Messenger of Allah is like heresy among us today. If there is firm evidence against him, a zīnīq is killed without being asked to repent.’ This is one of the positions of ash-Shafi‘ī.

Mālik said that the Messenger of Allah refrain from killing hypocrites to make it clear to his Community that a ruler is not permitted to judge by personal knowledge when there is no other clear evidence against someone. Qāḍī Ismā‘īl said, ‘There was no witness against ‘Abdullāh ibn Ubayy, except for Zayd ibn Arqam alone, nor against al-Julās ibn Suwayd, except ‘Umayr ibn Sa‘d, his foster son. If two men had testified to the disbelief and hypocrisy of either of the two men, they would have been killed.’

Ash-Shāfi‘ī gave the evidence for the other position when he said, ‘The sunnah about someone against whom there is evidence of heresy and who then denies it and proclaims his faith and that he is free of every dīn except Islam is that it is forbidden to shed his blood.’ That is what was stated by the people of opinion (ra‘y), Ahmad, aṭ-Ṭabarī and others. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and his people said, ‘The Messenger of Allah forbade killing hypocrites as long as they display Islam, even when it is known that they are hypocrites, because what they display outwardly must be accepted.’

Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘Allah Almighty made the rulings between his slaves dependent on the outward and entrusted judgment about their secret beliefs to none of His creation. No one can judge against
what is apparent because that would be judgment by supposition. If anyone had been entitled to do that, the most entitled would have been the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. He judged the hypocrites to be Muslims because of what they displayed outwardly and left their secrets to Allah.’ Allah says that their outward appearance is false: ‘Allah bears witness that the hypocrites are certainly liars.’ (63:1)

There is debate about this distinction. The Prophet ﷺ used to know them – or many of them – by their names and persons by Allah’s informing him of who they were. Ḥudhayfah also knew who they were since the Prophet ﷺ told him so that ‘Umar used to ask him, Ḥudhayfah, who are they?’ He refused to answer.

Allah preserved the Companions of his Prophet since he made them firm against the efforts of the hypocrites to corrupt them or corrupt their dīn and for this reason there was no harm in their remaining. That is not the case today because we are not safe from the heretics corrupting our common people and the ignorant among us.

11 When they are told, ‘Do not cause corruption on the earth,’ they say, ‘We are only putting things right.’

Corruption is the opposite of rightness. Its reality is turning from rectitude to its opposite. The meaning in the āyah is: ‘Do not cause corruption on the earth through disbelief and friendliness with unbelievers and making people abandon their faith in Muḥammad ﷺ and the Qur’an.’ It is said that the earth, before the Prophet ﷺ was sent, was full of corruption and many acts of disobedience were done in it. When the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was sent, the corruption was eliminated and the earth put right. Then, when people again perpetrated acts of disobedience, they corrupted the earth after it had been put right, as Allah says in another āyah: ‘Do not corrupt the earth after it has been put right.’ (7:56)
The word for earth (اَرْد) is feminine and is a generic term so that it means the earth in general. Everything under the sky is earth. The word اَرِد means wide. A common curse is, ‘May you have no land (اَرْد)’. إَرّد also means the shakes and shaking. It also means catarrh. One derived word means a young palm-tree which is an off-shoot. إِرَّد is a large carpet of wool or hair. A man who is اَرِد is humble and disposed to do good.

they say, “We are only putting things right.”

‘Putting things right’ (سَلَاح) is the opposite of corruption. They say this because they are under the illusion that their corruption is putting things right. One aspect of it is what they do for unbelievers under the false impression that they are setting things right between them and the believers.

\[
	ext{اَلَّذِينَ أَتَى} \quad \text{هُمْ الْكَافِرُونَ} \quad \text{وَلَكِنَّ لَا يُتَّبِعُونَ}
\]

12 No indeed! They are the corrupters, but they are not aware of it.

No indeed! They are the corrupters,

These words are to refute their claims and to deny what they said. Those with knowledge of the precise use of language say that it shows that their claim is a lie. Do you not see that Allah says: ‘They are corrupters’? This is the truth.

but they are not aware of it

There are two opinions about this. One is that they do corruption secretly and display righteousness and are not aware that what they were doing was known by the Prophet ﷺ. The other aspect is that they consider their corruption to be righteousness and are not aware that it is corruption and that they have disobeyed Allah and His Messenger by not making the truth clear and then following it.
13 When they are told, “Believe in the way that the people believe,” they say, “What! Are we to believe in the way that fools believe?” No indeed! They are the fools but they do not know it.

When they are told,

‘They’ refers to the hypocrites, according to Muqātil and others.

“Believe in the way that the people believe,”

This means to affirm Muhammad ﷺ and his Sharī’ah in the same way that that the Muhājirūn and the people of Yathrib who had become Muslim affirmed them.

They say, “What! Are we to believe in the way that fools believe?”

This was said by the hypocrites who used to say it when they mocked and made fun of Islam, referring to the Companions of Muḥammad ﷺ. Ibn ‘Abbās said that, and he also said that it was the believers of the People of the Book who were intended by their words. Allah acquainted His Prophet ﷺ and the believers with what they said.

No indeed! They are the fools,

Allah confirmed that they possess foolishness, lack of understanding and lack of insight, and they are the ones properly described by that. He reported that they are the fools but they do not realise that they are because of the rust covering their hearts.

Al-Kalbī related from Abū Ṣāliḥ from Ibn ‘Abbās that this āyah was revealed about the Jews so that when it was said to them, ‘Believe in the way that the people believe,’ i.e. ‘Abdullāh ibn Sallām and his companions, they said, ‘What! Are we to believe in the way that fools believe?’ meaning the ignorant and superstitious Arabs.

The root of safah (foolishness) is lightness and shallowness. It is used of woven cloth when it is flimsy and badly woven and suggests shabbiness. It is used for the wind which makes the branches of a tree move. It is used to disparage a person. Safah is the opposite of ḥilm (forbearance).
But they do not know it. These words are like ‘They are not aware of it.’ Knowledge is recognising and knowing something for what it is.

14 When they meet those who believe, they say ‘We believe.’ But then when they go apart with their shayṭāns, they say, ‘We are really with you. We were only mocking.’

This āyah was revealed about the hypocrites. When they go apart with their shayṭāns,

Commentators disagree about the meaning of shayṭāns here. Ibn ‘Abbās and as-Suddī said that they are the leaders of the hypocrites. Al-Kalbī said that they are the shayṭāns of the jinn. A group of commentators said that they are the soothsayers. ‘Shaytāns’ means people distant from faith. The best course is to combine all of these meanings. Allah knows best.

they say, ‘We are really with you. We were only mocking.’

This is the proof against them because, with these words, they negate their claim to be believers. It is said that it means making fun of the believers. Haz’ is mockery and playing about.

15 But Allah is mocking them, and drawing them on, as they wander blindly in their excessive insolence.

But Allah is mocking them,

This means taking revenge on them and punishing them. He mocks them and repays them for their mocking. The punishment they receive has the same name as the wrong action they commit. This is the statement of the majority of scholars and is a common Arabic usage.
Al-Kalbī related from Abū Ṣāliḥ from Ibn ‘Abbās that this āyah refers to the hypocrites of the People of the Book. He mentioned them and their mocking and that when they withdraw to their shaytāns, meaning their leaders in disbelief, they said, ‘We are with you in your religion. We were only mocking the Companions of Muḥammad.’ Allah will mock them in the Next World and open for them a door between Hell and the Garden and they will be told, ‘Come,’ and they will come swimming in the Fire while the believers are on beds in alcoves looking at them. When they reach the door, it will be shut against them and the believers will laugh at them. According to this understanding the words ‘Allah is mocking them’ refer to something which happens in the Next World. The believers will laugh at them when the doors are locked against them. That is confirmed by the words of the Almighty: ‘those who believe are laughing at the unbelievers, on couches, gazing in wonder’ (83:34-35) at the people of the Fire. ‘Have not the unbelievers been rewarded for what they did?’ (83:36)

Some people say that Allah’s mocking takes the form of drawing them on by degrees in allowing them to experience the blessings of this world. Allah Almighty shows them kindness in this world, contrary to the reality of their situation which is hidden from them, and veils them from the punishment of the Next World so that they think that He is pleased with them when, in fact, the Almighty has foreordained their punishment. A human being considers this to be mocking, deceit, and tricking.

The Prophet indicated this interpretation by his words: ‘When you see Allah Almighty, giving a person what he wants when he continues to perform acts of disobedience, that is part of drawing on.’ Then he finished with this āyah: ‘When they forgot what they had been reminded of, We opened up for them the doors of everything, until, when they were exulting in what they had been given, We suddenly seized them and at once they were in despair. So the last remnant of the people who did wrong was cut off. Praise belongs to Allah, the Lord of the worlds.’ (6:44-45). Some scholars
say that the meaning of the āyah, ‘We will lead them on from where they do not know’ (7:182), is that when they commit a sin, they receive a blessing.

and drawing them on,

This phrase means allowing them a long period of respite, as we find in Allah’s words: ‘We only allow them more time so that they will increase in evildoing.’ (3:178) The verbal root means increase. Yūnus ibn Ḥabīb said, ‘It is said that Allah gives to them when they commit evil in just the same way that He gives to them when they do good. Allah Almighty says: “We supplied you with property and sons.”’ (17:6) He says: “We will supply them with fruit and meat they desire.” (52:22)’ Al-Akhfash said, ‘It means to give.’ Al-Farrā’ and al-Lahyānī said, ‘It is to give more of the same. It is used giving support, as when you reinforce an army.’ Allah says: ‘with seven seas more.’ (31:27)

they wander blindly

Mujāhid said, ‘They go to and fro, confused in disbelief.’ The scholars of language use it for when someone is confused and vacillates. It is used for camels that do not know which way to go. ‘Amā signifies blindness of the eyes and ‘amah, as is used here, signifies blindness of the heart. We find in Revelation: ‘It is not eyes that are blind but hearts in breasts that are blind.’ (22:46)

in their excessive insolence.

Their disbelief and misguidance. The basic meaning of the words ‘excessive insolence’ comes from the idea of overflowing or going over the limits of something. We find the same root used in the words of the Almighty: ‘When the waters rose’ (69:11), meaning rose high and overflowed the banks of the reservoir. It is also used of Pharaoh when Allah says: ‘He overstepped’ (20:24), meaning that he was excessive in his claim when he said, ‘I am your Lord Most High.’ (79:24) The meaning in the āyah is to extend the length of their lives so that they increase in insolence and so that their punishment will in turn be increased.
16 Those are the people who have sold guidance for misguidance. Their trade has brought no profit; they are not guided.

Those are the people who have sold guidance for misguidance. Selling here is a metaphor. It means that these people have preferred disbelief to belief, because the act of buying and selling only occurs when someone desires one thing rather than another. No real exchange is involved here, however, because the hypocrites are not really believers in the first place so in fact they have no faith to sell. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘They take misguidance and abandon guidance.’ It means that they choose disbelief over belief. The business metaphor is appropriate because buying and selling refer to replacing one thing with another and the Arabs used it in this way.

Misguidance (ḍalāl) is bewilderment. Forgetfulness is called misguidance because of the confusion it entails. It can mean being forgotten. Death is also sometimes called misguidance as in Allah’s Words: ‘They said, “When we have been absorbed (ḍalāl) into the earth.”’ (32:10)

Their trade has brought no profit.

Allah ascribed profit to trade since it is the custom of the Arabs to use terms related to commerce metaphorically in this context. It means that they have made no profit in the transaction they have made.

They are not guided.

There is misguidance in the transaction they have made. It is said that is in the prior knowledge of Allah. Guidance is the opposite of misguidance.
17 Their likeness is that of people who light a fire, and then when it has lit up all around it, Allah removes their light and leaves them in darkness, unable to see.

Some of this āyah is in the singular and some in the plural. Some people say that the word alladhī, which is usually singular, has a plural meaning here and means people who light, and this is borne out by the fact that the āyah begins and ends with the plural usage of ‘their’ and ‘them’. Others say that the singular is used because it only takes one person to actually light the fire among the group who undertake to do it. When the light is gone, the effect is the same for all of them and so Allah says: ‘their light’. The pronoun ‘their’ refers to the hypocrites and this depicts their state in the Next World, as Allah does in another place when He says: ‘A wall will be erected between them with a gate in it.’ (57:13) Someone who lights a fire in darkness can only see a short distance, just as the hypocrite remains lost in his confusion and vacillation.

The āyah is a metaphor which illustrates the true reality of the hypocrites. They make a show of faith taking advantage of the judgments of Islam in respect of marriage, inheritance, booty, and other such things and giving security to themselves, their children and their property. This is like someone who lights a fire on a dark night and it gives him just enough light to see what he needs to protect himself. But when it goes out he is no longer safe from harm and he becomes confused. That is how the hypocrites are when they imagine that they are deceiving the believers by uttering the Words of Islam. Then, after they die, they will go to the painful punishment since, ‘The hypocrites are in the lowest level of the Fire’ (41:45) and their light vanishes. They say, ‘Let us borrow some of your light.’ (57:13). It is said that the approach and words of the hypocrites to the Muslims are like fire and their turning from love of them and their reversal is like its extinguishing. Others things are said. The word for fire (nār) is derived from the word for light (nūr), as fire gives light. The plural of
nūr is anwār and that of nār is nīrān. Žulumāt is the plural of žulmah (darkness).

18 Deaf, dumb, blind. They will not return.

It means that they are deaf. The reading of ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd and Ḥafṣah has it in the accusative which can be for censure. The word for deafness in Arabic (ṣimām) comes from a word which means to be blocked, like a canal which is silted up or like a vial with a stopper in it. So a deaf person is someone whose hearing is blocked up. The word for dumb (bukm) used here refers to those who cannot speak or understand. If someone can understand, the word used is akhras. It is also said that they mean the same thing. The word ‘umy (blind) is used of those who have lost their sight. It is also said that someone is ‘blind’ when he is confused. What is meant here is not the actual senses themselves, rather what is intended is the negation of the ability to perceive the truth. Qatādah said of this that they are deaf to hearing the truth, dumb to speaking it and blind to seeing it. This meaning was used by the Prophet  in describing the ruler when the Final Hour is near in the hadith of Jibril. Allah knows best. They will not return.

They will not return to the truth. Allah says this from the position of His prior knowledge of them.

19 Or that of a storm-cloud in the sky, full of darkness, thunder and lightning. They put their fingers in their ears against the thunderclaps, fearful of death. Allah encompasses the unbelievers.

Or that of a storm-cloud in the sky

Aṭ-Ṭabarī says that the ‘or’ here, in fact, means ‘and’, and it is also
said that the ‘or’ is in order to give a choice between the two neighbours so as not to confine the description of the hypocrites to just one of them.

The word for sky, *samā’*, which means sky or heaven, is everything that is above you and covers you. So the roof of a house is sometimes called *samā’*. The word can also designate the rain because rain descends from the sky. Herbage is also sometimes called *samā’* in Arabic because it covers the ground. Heaven is what is above and the earth is what is underneath.

**full of darkness, thunder and lightning.**

The word *ẓumulāt* (darkness) is in the plural, indicating both the darkness of night and the darkness of the clouds which are piled up.

Scholars disagree about what thunder is. At-Tirmidhī reports that Ibn ʿAbbās said, “The Jews asked the Prophet ewhat thunder was. He said, “One of the angels is entrusted with the clouds and wields a fiery sword with which he drives the clouds wherever he wishes.” They asked, “What is this sound we hear?” He answered, “He hits the clouds when he pushes them until they go wherever Allah has commanded.” They said, “You have spoken the truth.”” It is a long hadith. Many scholars accept this explanation. Thunder is the name of the sound heard. ʿAlī said that. It is reported from Ibn ʿAbbās that it is a wind caught between the clouds which causes that sound. They also disagree about lightning. It is reported from ʿAlī, Ibn Masʿūd and Ibn ʿAbbās that lightning comes from the iron bar in the hand of an angel with which he drives the clouds. This is the literal meaning of the hadith of at-Tirmidhī. Ibn ʿAbbās also said that it is a whip of fire in the hand of an angel with which he drives the clouds.

Scientists say that thunder is the sound of the collision of the clouds and lightning is what is sparked by their collision. This is rejected and not transmitted and Allah knows best. It is said that the root of thunder (*raʿd*) means movement. Hence *riʿdūd* is used for a coward. One form of the word means to tremble. The root of the word for
lightning, *barq*, means ‘glittering’ and ‘a bright light’. Associated with that is *Burāq*, the animal that the Messenger of Allah  rode in the Night Journey and which the Prophets before him rode. The sky trembles from thunder and flashes light with lightning.

Ibn ‘Abbās reported, ‘We were with ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb on a journey between Madīnah and Syria with Ka‘b al-Aḥbār. There was a wind and then rain, strong wind and hail. The people separated. Ka‘b told me, “If someone hears the thunder and then says, ‘Glory be to the One whom the thunder glorifies His praise and the angels from fear of Him’ (13:13), he is safe from what is in these clouds, hail and thunderclaps.” I said it as did Ka‘b. In the morning the people gathered and I said to ‘Umar, “Amīr al-Mu’mīnīn, we were in a different situation to everyone else.” “And why is that?” he asked. I told him the hadith of Ka‘b. He said, “Glory be to Allah! Why didn’t you tell us so that we could say the same as you said!”’ Ibn ‘Umar reported about the Prophet , ‘When he heard thunder and lightning, he said, “O Allah, do not kill us with Your anger or destroy us with Your punishment, and protect us from them.”’

**They put their fingers in their ears**

So that they will not hear the Qur’an and thereby believe in it and in Muḥammad . That was disbelief for them and disbelief is death.

**against the thunderclaps,**

To protect them from the thunderclaps. Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid and others said that, when the force of the thunder is very strong, there is an angel who wields the fire from within, which is the lightning. Al-Khalīl said, ‘It is the strong event arising from the sound of the thunder which is accompanied by flashes of fire which burn what they strike.’ Abū Zayd said, ‘The fire that falls from the sky is strong thunder.’ The word ‘thunderclaps’ is also used for the blast and ‘the Shout’ which is a punishment with which Allah strikes the unbelievers. Allah says: ‘The lightning-bolt of the humiliating punishment
seized them.’ (41:17) The verb ṣu‘īqa means to faint as in 7:143. And Allah says: ‘those in the earth will lose consciousness’ which means that they will die.

In this āyah Allah likens the states of the hypocrites to the darkness, lightning and thunderclaps which are found in a cloudburst. Darkness resembles their disbelief, and thunder and lightning is like what they fear. Blindness is also darkness. It contains a threat and rebuke, which is the thunder, and radiant proofs, which can be dazzling like the lightning. The thunderclaps represent what the Qur’ān contains of being summoned to fight and the threat of the punishment in the Hereafter. It is said that the ‘thunderclaps’ are the burdens of the Sharī‘ah which they hate, such as jihād, zakāt and other things.

Allah encompasses the unbelievers.

They cannot evade Him. This linguistic term is used when someone is hemmed in on all sides. Allah encompasses all creatures, meaning they are in His grasp and under His power. This means that He knows everything about them. It is said that it means He will destroy them and bring them all together. The unbelievers are singled out because they were mentioned earlier in the āyah, and Allah knows best.

20 The lightning all but takes away their sight. Whenever they have light, they walk in it but whenever darkness covers them, they halt. If Allah wished, He could take away their hearing and their sight. Allah has power over all things.

The lightning all but takes away their sight.

The word for ‘take away’ (khaṭafa) used here means taking
extremely quickly or snatching. It is used of birds of prey because of their speed. If one takes the Qur’anic metaphor of lightning as indicating alarm, the expression means that their fear of what will happen to them almost takes away their sight. If one takes it as indicating the Qur’anic commands to the believers, it means that it is those which nearly blind them. ‘Yakhṭafu’ and ‘yakhṭifu’ are two dialectical forms that are recited. Abṣār (sight) is the plural of baṣar, the faculty of sight. If ‘lightning’ is metaphorical, then it means that their fear at what befalls them almost removes their sight.

**Whenever they have light, they walk in it but whenever darkness covers them, they halt.**

Al-Mubarrad says that what is implied is: ‘Whenever the lightning lights up the road for them.’ The meaning is that when they hear āyahs of the Qur’an which they understand and agree with, they accept them and act by them. But when some āyahs are revealed about which they are confused and which they do not understand or find burdensome, they ‘halt’, in other words they remain fixed in their hypocrisy, as Ibn ‘Abbās said. It is said that the meaning is that, when their crops and cattle were flourishing and they had continuous blessings, they said, ‘The din of Muḥammad is a blessed din.’ But when hardship befell them and difficulties afflicted them, they became angry and remained fixed in their hypocrisy, as Ibn Mas‘ūd and Qatādah said. The soundness of this is indicated by the words of the Almighty: ‘Among the people there is one who worships Allah right on the edge. If good befalls him, he is content with it, but if trial befalls him, he reverts to his former ways.’ (22:11)

Sufi scholars say that this is a metaphor which Allah made for someone whose initial state is made unsound by a self-seeking intention and who, because of that, lays claim to the states of the great. His initial state would have continued to give him illumination if it had remained sound by being accompanied by correct manners. But when he adulterates it with false claims, Allah removes its light
from him and he remains in the darkness of his false claims, not seeing any way to emerge from them.

It is also related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the people meant here are the Jews since, when the Prophet was victorious at Badr, they were greedy and said, ‘This, by Allah, is the Prophet of whom Mūsā gave good news. There is no banner which will resist him.’ When he suffered a reverse at Uḥud, they went back on that and were full of doubt. This is weak. The āyah is about the hypocrites, and this is sounder from Ibn ‘Abbās. The meaning can apply to all.

**If Allah wished, He could take away their hearing and their sight.**

This means that, if Allah had wished, he could have informed the believers about them and removed the protection and power of Islam from them by overwhelming them, killing them and expelling them from their homes. Hearing and sight are specifically mentioned since they were already mentioned in the āyah or because they are the noblest senses of the human body.

**Allah has power over all things.**

This is general. According to the *mutakallimūn*, it means that it is permitted to describe Allah with the attribute of power. The Community agree that Allah has the name *al-Qadīr*, the Powerful. He is described as *Qadīr, Qādir* and *Muqtadir*. *Qadīr* is more intensive than *Qādir*. Az-Zajjājī said that. Al-Harawī said that they mean the same. Allah has power over every possibility whether it is brought into existence or remains non-existent. All responsible people are obliged to know that Allah possesses the power to act as He wills and He does whatever He wishes according to His knowledge and choice. They must also know that human beings possess a certain limited power through which they obtain what Allah has decreed for them by the use of normal means, but this power is not self-generated.

Allah singled out the attribute of power in this instance because He already mentioned the action which results from the threat and
intimidation contained in the āyahs, and so power is fitting here. Allah knows best.

The āyahs up to this point form an introductory section to the Book of Allah. The first four describe the believers, the next two describe the unbelievers and the rest are about the hypocrites. This transmission was already mentioned from Ibn Jurayj. Mujāhid said that.

21 Mankind! worship your Lord, Who created you and those before you, so that hopefully you will be godfearing.

Mankind!

‘Alqamah and Mujāhid said that every āyah which begins with ‘Mankind!’ was revealed in Makkah and every one which begins with ‘You who believe!’ was revealed in Madīnah. That is refuted by the fact that this sūrah and Sūrat an-Nisā’ are definitely Madinan and both contain āyahs beginning ‘Mankind!’’. But what they said about ‘You who believe’ is true. ‘Urwah ibn az-Zubayr said, ‘All ḥudūd and legal obligations were revealed in Madīnah and what is mentioned about Divine punishment was revealed in Makkah.’ This is clear.

There is disagreement about who is meant by ‘mankind’. There are two positions. One is that it refers to the unbelievers who do not worship Allah, which is indicated by His words: ‘If you have doubts...’ (2:23) The second is that it is all people, in which case the believers are being told to remain constant in their worship and the unbelievers to begin it.

worship your Lord,

The word ‘worship’ here designates affirming Allah’s unity and holding to laws of His dīn. The root of ‘ibādah (worship) means humility and abasement. The word mu‘abbadah is used for a path
made by people’s feet. *‘Ibādah* also means obedience, devotion and religious practices.

**Who created you**

Allah mentions His quality as Creator since the Arabs acknowledged that Allah created them. He mentioned that as an argument against them and to rebuke them. It is said that by that He reminded them of His blessings to them. There are two aspects to the basic meaning of creation. The first is determination and the second is origination and genesis.

**and those before you,**

If it is said that if they admit that He created them, they must also admit that He created others, the answer is that the words are notification and reminding so that it will be more extensive in warning. He reminds them about those before them so that they will know that the One who made those before them die, having created them, will also make them die. They should reflect on the circumstances of those before them and how they died. They know that they will be tested as those others were tested. Allah knows best.

**and hopefully you will be godfearing.**

This is clearly connected to ‘*worship your Lord,*’ not to ‘*created you*’ because if Allah created someone for Hell, He obviously did not create him to be godfearing.

There are three interpretations of the term *la‘alla* (hopefully). The first is its usual meaning of hope and anticipation. Such qualities are within the scope of human beings. It is as if He were saying: ‘Do that with the hope and desire that you will be godfearing.’ This is the position of Sībuwayh and leading grammarians. The second is that Arabs often use the term to mean ‘in order to’ and so that it would mean ‘in order for you to be godfearing’. Aṭ-Ṭabarī says this. The third is that it means to bring a thing within reach. It is as if He were saying, ‘Do that because by accepting Allah’s command you will have protection from the Fire.’
22 It is He who made the earth a couch for you and the sky a dome. He sends down water from the sky and by it brings forth fruits for your provision. Do not, then, knowingly make others equal to Allah.

It is He who made the earth a couch for you

The word ‘made’ (ja‘ala) can mean create as in the āyah: ‘He who created/appointed (ja‘ala) the darkness and the light’ (6:1) and can also mean ‘designate’ as in: ‘We have made (ja‘alnā) it an Arabic Qur’an’ (43:3) and ‘They have assigned (ja‘alū) to Him a portion’ (43:15).

A ‘couch’ is something on which people lie and rest. So the description cannot apply to mountains, wild areas and seas, because one of the characteristics of a couch is that it is something on which one reclines. The mountains, however, are described pegs, as we find in: ‘Have We not made the earth a flat carpet and the mountains its pegs?’ (78:6-7) and other places, and the seas as something on which one travels to gain benefit as in: ‘the ships which sail the seas to people’s benefit’ (2:164) and elsewhere.

and the sky a dome.

The sky is to the earth like a roof is to a house Allah says elsewhere: ‘We made the sky (samā’) a preserved and protected roof.’ (21:32). Samā’ is used for everything which is high and gives shade, as was mentioned earlier.

He sends down water from the sky and by it brings forth fruits for your provision.

The root of mā’ (water) is mawh and the wāw has been changed to an alif by its vowelling. The words ‘and by it brings forth fruits for your provision’ mean that Allah produces various kinds of fruits and plants for us. ‘Provision’ means food for us and fodder for our animals. This is clear from His words: ‘We pour down plentiful water. Then split the
earth into furrows. Then We make grain grow in it, and grapes and herbs, and olives and dates, and luxuriant gardens, and orchards and meadows, for you and your livestock to enjoy.’ (80:25-32) We have already discussed the meaning of provision. If it is asked how provision can be used for fruits before they are owned by any owner, the reply is that they are ready to be owned and sound for use and so they constitute provision.

This āyah indicates that Allah spares the human being from being dependent on any other creature. The Prophet ﷺ indicated this when he said, ‘It is better for one of you to take a rope and carry firewood on his back than for him to go to a man and beg from him who then may give to him or refuse to do so.’ Muslim transmitted it. All forms of work are meant by this. Anyone who makes himself dependent on another human being like himself out of laziness, hope and desire for the things of this world has taken the path of someone who makes another equal to Allah.

A Sufi scholar said, ‘In this āyah Allah speaks about the path of poverty, which is that you make the earth your bed, the sky your cover, water your perfume and grass your food, and do not worship anyone in this world of creatures for the sake of this world. Allah Almighty has given you what you need without owing anything to anyone.’ Nawf al-Bikālī said, ‘I once saw ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib go out and look at the stars. He asked, “Nawf, are you asleep or awake?” I replied, “Awake, Amīr al-Mu‘minīn.” He said, “Blessed are those who make do with little of this world and desire the Next World. Those are the people who take the ground as a bed, its soil as a mattress, its water as a perfume, and the Qur’an and supplication as a blanket. They reject this world, taking the path of the Messiah.”’

**Do not then, knowingly, make others equal to Allah.**

This means His likes and peers. A poet said:

We praise Allah Who has no equal.
All good is with Him and He does whatever He wills.
Ibn ‘Abbās said that the word ‘knowingly’ is addressed to the unbelievers and hypocrites. It might be asked, ‘How can they be described as knowing when Allah has already described them with the attributes of sealing, deafness and blindness?’ The answer has two facets. One is that ‘knowingly’ means the specific knowledge that Allah Almighty created creatures sent down the rain and made provision grow. So they know that He is their Blesser without any equal. The second is that the meaning is that you, in fact, have the strength and ability to know His concern if you were only to reflect and consider. Allah knows best.

This āyah contains evidence for the command to use the evidence of the intellect and invalidates blind imitation. Ibn Fūrak said, ‘It is possible to apply this āyah to the believers in which case it means, ‘O believer, do not apostatise and make others equal to Allah when you know that Allah is One.’

23 If you have doubts about what We have sent down to Our slave, produce another sūrah equal to it, and call your witnesses, besides Allah, if you are telling the truth.

If you have doubts about what We have sent down

This refers to the Qur’an and is addressed to the idolaters who are being challenged by Allah. When they heard the Qur’an, they said, ‘This does not seem to us like the words of Allah. We have doubts about it.’ So the āyah was revealed. It is connected to the previous āyah since in that Allah has mentioned evidence of His power and now gives evidence for the Prophethood of His Prophet, showing that what he brings is not a forgery.

our slave

‘Our slave’ (‘abd) is Muḥammad ﷺ. ‘Abd is derived from ta‘abbud,
meaning abasement. A slave is so-called because he is submissive. Some people said that ‘ibādah is the noblest of qualities and that being called ‘abd’ is the noblest of designations, and so Allah calls His Prophet a slave.

**produce another sūrah equal to it,**

Ibn Kaysān said that this is a command which, in fact, means that they lack the power to do so, because Allah knew that they would be unable to do it. In the words ‘equal to it’ the ‘it’ refers to the Qur’ān according to the majority, while some say that it refers to the Torah and Gospel. Some say that ‘it’ should be read ‘him’ and refers to the Prophet ﷺ, meaning “produce a mortal like him, unable to read or write, who is able to produce such words.”

**and call your witnesses, besides Allah,**

This means your helpers and supporters. Al-Farrā’ says it means ‘your gods’. Ibn Kaysān said, ‘If someone asks why witnesses are mentioned here when witnesses testify to a matter, or inform about the matter to which they have witnessed, yet here they are told “to produce a sūrah”, the answer is that they are ordered to seek the help of their scholars and summon them to witness what they bring. So the āyāh will refute all of them and be a stronger proof.’ Mujāhid said that it means, ‘summon people to be your witnesses,’ in other words to testify that you are against it.

**if you are telling the truth.**

This is regarding what you say about being able to match it, since they said elsewhere, ‘If we wanted we could say the same thing.’ (8:31) Truthfulness is the opposite of lying. The root meaning of ṣidq (truthfulness) is the firmness of a spear. Siddīq is someone who is devoted to truthfulness. Sadāqah (friendship) is derived from the same root and indicates truthfulness in counsel and love.
24 If you do not do that – and you will not do it – then fear the Fire whose fuel is people and stones, made ready for the unbelievers.

If you do not do that – and you will not do it –

You will not be able to produce another sūrah equal to it. Some commentators say that the āyah is about not being able to call witnesses. This is one of the unseen things about which the Qur’an reported before it could happen. Ibn Kaysān said that they were not telling the truth when they said that it was lies, forged, magic, poetry or myths of earlier peoples, as we find elsewhere in the Qur’an. They claimed to have knowledge but could not produce anything like it.

then fear the Fire whose fuel is people and stones,

Fear and safeguard yourself against the Fire by believing the Prophet ﷺ and obeying Allah. The word waqūd (fuel) refers to firewood and wuqūd is burning. The word ‘people’ is usually general, but its meaning here is particular to those who have been decreed to be the fuel of the Fire. May Allah protect us from it! The ‘stones’ in this āyah are said by Ibn Mas‘ūd and al-Farrā’ to be black sulphur. It is singled out because it has five qualities of punishment which other minerals do not: speed of burning, foul smell, much smoke, clinging strongly to bodies, and great intensity of heat when it is burned. It is also said that ‘stones’ means idols since Allah says elsewhere: ‘You and what you worship besides Allah are fuel for Hell.’ (21:98)

This āyah does not mean that only people and stones are in the Fire. There are indications elsewhere that the jinn and shayṭāns will be in it as well. It is a warning that the Fire is so hot that it burns stones as well as burning people. According to one interpretation, the stones are part of the punishment and the people of the Fire are punished with fire and stones.

A ḥadīth of the Prophet ﷺ says: ‘Every harmful thing will be in the Fire.’ This has two interpretations. One is that everyone who harms
others in this world will be punished by Allah in the Next World with the Fire. The second is that everything which harms people in this world – wild animals, vermin and other things – will be in the Fire to punish the people of the Fire. Some interpreters believe that this fire with stones is particular to the Fire of the unbelievers. Allah knows best.

Muslim related from ‘Abbās, ‘I said, “Messenger of Allah, Abū Ṭālib used to protect you and support you. Will that benefit him?” He replied, “Yes. I found him in the midst of the Fire and I brought him to a shallow part. If it were not for me, he would have been in the lowest level of the Fire.”’

made ready for the unbelievers.

The literal meaning of this phrase would seem to imply that only the unbelievers will enter the Fire but that is not the case, as is mentioned in the threat to wrongdoers and in the firm hadiths about intercession.

It also contains an indication of what the people who hold the true position say about the Fire being already created and in existence, which differs from innovators who state that it has not yet been created. Muslim reported that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘We were with the Messenger of Allah when he heard something fall. He said, “Do you know what that was?” We said, “Allah and His Messenger know best.” He said, “It was a stone which was thrown into the Fire seventy years ago, and it has been falling through the Fire right up until now when it hit the bottom.”’

Al-Bukhārī related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘The Garden and the Fire argued and the Fire said, “I have the tyrants and the arrogant.” The Garden said, “I have the weak and poor people.” Allah Almighty said to the Fire, “You are My punishment by which I punish whomever I will,” and He said to the Garden, “You are My mercy by which I show mercy to whomever I will. Each of you will have its fill.”’ Muslim also
transmitted that idea. The Prophet ﷺ saw them both during the Eclipse prayer and in his Night Journey and entered the Garden, and this is irrefutable. Success is by Allah.

25 Give the good news to those who believe and do right actions that they will have Gardens with rivers flowing under them. When they are given fruit there as provision, they will say, ‘This is what we were given before,’ But they were only given a simulation of it. They will have there spouses of perfect purity and will remain there timelessly, for ever.

Give the good news to those who believe

Whenever Allah mentions the repayment of the unbelievers, He also mentions the repayment of the believers. Good news (bushrā) means a report about something which produces an effect which is seen on the outer skin (basharah). It is usually used for joy connected to the news of some good occurrence but can also be used for news of a sorrowful or evil type. Allah says, using the same word: ‘Give him the news of a painful punishment.’ (45:8)

and do right actions

This refutes those who say that faith alone is sufficient to gain Divine reward, because if that had been the case, Allah would not have added right actions. The Garden is obtained by faith and right actions. It is also said that the Garden is obtained by faith and the different degrees in it by right actions. Allah knows best.

that they will have Gardens.

The ‘Gardens’ are given that name because they shade those in them with their trees.
with rivers flowing under them.

It is related that the rivers of the Garden are not in channels, but flow on the surface, held in place by Divine power, flowing wherever its inhabitants wish. They flow under those trees.

**When they are given fruit there as provision, they will say, ‘This is what we were given before.’**

‘Before’ means in this world. There are two aspects to this. One is that their words mean, ‘This is what we were promised in the world below,’ and the second is that they actually mean, ‘This is what we were given in the world below’ because the colour of the fruits they receive there resembles the colour of the fruits of this world. But when they eat it, they find the taste different. It is said that ‘before’ refers to earlier during their time in the Garden because they were given provision there and then later are given more provision. They are given food and fruit at the beginning of the day and eat it and then, when they are given it at the end of the day, they say this, but then they find that the taste is different.

**But they were only given a simulation of it.**

This means that it is similar in appearance but differs in taste. Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid, al-Ḥasan and others said that. ‘Ikrimah said that it resembles the fruit of this world but differs from it in almost every way. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘This is an expression of wonderment. There is nothing of this world which is in the Garden except the names of things. So it is as if they wonder when they see the excellence of the fruit and its great size.’ Qatādah said that all of it is the best and there is nothing inferior in it, unlike fruits in this world.

**They will have there spouses of perfect purity**

‘Spouse’ in Arabic can refer to husband or wife. ‘Purity’ means that they are free of menstruation, phlegm and all human impurities. Mujāhid said that they do not urinate, defecate, give birth, menstruate, ejaculate or expectorate.

and will remain there timelessly, for ever.
Remaining timelessly, forever (khulūd) means staying for time without end, from which comes ‘the Garden of Eternity’. It is used metaphorically for something which is of extremely long duration.

26 Allah is not ashamed to make an example of a gnat or of an even smaller thing. As for those who believe, they know it is the truth from their Lord. But as for those who disbelieve, they say, ‘What does Allah mean by this example?’ He misguides many by it and guides many by it. But He only misguides the deviators.

Allah is not ashamed to make an example of a gnat

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘When Allah Almighty made the two earlier metaphors for the hypocrites (2:17 and 2:19), people said. “Allah is too high and exalted to make metaphors.” Therefore Allah revealed this āyah.’

In the transmission of ‘Aṭā’ from Ibn ‘Abbās we find: ‘When Allah mentioned the gods of the idolaters, and said: “If a fly steals something from them, they cannot get it back,” (22:73), and He mentioned the stratagems of their gods and compared them to a spider’s web (cf. 29:41), they said, “Do you see that Allah mentions flies and spiders in the Qur’an He revealed to Muḥammad? How can He possibly do that?” So Allah revealed this.’ Al-Ḥasan and Qatādah said that when Allah mentioned flies and the spider in the Book, the Jews laughed and said, ‘This has got nothing to do with Divine Revelation,’ and so Allah revealed this āyah.

Commentators disagree on the meaning of the word ‘yastahyī’ (ashamed) in this āyah. It is said that it means, ‘does not fear,’ which at-Ṭabarī prefers. Others say that it means, ‘does not fail to’ or ‘does
not refuse to’. The root means to withdraw from doing a thing and refuse to do it out of fear of the occurrence of something ugly. This is impossible for Allah. In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Umm Salamah mentioned that Umm Sulaym came to the Prophet ﷺ and said, ‘Messenger of Allah, Allah is not ashamed of the truth,’ meaning that He commanded people not to be embarrassed to ask about it.

or of an even smaller thing.

‘Wa mā faqwahā’ (lit. ‘what is above it’) means linguistically – and Allah knows best – what is smaller than it. Qatādah and Ibn Jurayj, however, said that it means what is larger.

they know it is the truth from their Lord.

The pronoun ‘it’ refers to the example, meaning that the example is the truth and the truth is the opposite of the false.

they say, ‘What does Allah mean by this example?’

This is not really a question; they are just denying it.

He misguides many by it and guides many by it.

It is said that these are the words of the unbelievers, who say, ‘What does Allah means by this example by which people are divided between guidance and misguidance?’ It is also said that it is a reply from Allah Almighty and this interpretation is more likely because they affirm that guidance is from Him. In this case it is: ‘Say: “He misguides many by it and guides many by it,”’ meaning He gives success to some and disappoints others. This is a refutation of the position of the Muʿtazilites and others who say that Allah did not create misguidance or guidance. They said that the meaning of ‘misguides many’ is only descriptive, in other words He describes such a person as being misguided because in reality Allah Himself does not misguide anyone. This understanding is in fact an example of their misguidance, differs from the statements of the commentators and is linguistically improbable.

But He only misguides the deviators.

He does not misguide anyone except the deviators whom He
already knew He would not guide. Nawf al-Bikālī says, “Uzayr said, when speaking to his Lord, “My God, You create creatures and then misguide whomever You wish and guide whomever You wish?” A voice said, “Uzayr, turn from this! You should turn from this or I will remove your Prophethood from you. I will not be asked about what I do but they will be asked.”’

The basic meaning of ‘ḍalāl’ (misguidance) is disintegration. It is used when milk is dispersed in water and Allah says, using that meaning of the word: ‘When we have been absorbed into the earth.’ (32:10). The root of ‘fisq’ (deviation) is to come out from something and it is used, for instance, to describe a date-stone coming out of its skin and a mouse leaving its hole. In a hadith we find, ‘Five animals are all deviant (fawāsiq) and can be killed in the Haram and out of it: snakes, crows, mice, rabid dogs and kites.’ ‘Ā’ishah related it in Muslim. One variant has scorpions instead of snakes. The Prophet used the word fisq for them because of the harm they do. When a man is fāsiq he is impious. In the usage in the Shari‘ah, someone who is fāsiq has ceased to obey Allah. It is used both for those who do so in disbelief and those who do so in disobeying Allah.

27 Those who break Allah’s contract after it has been agreed, and sever what Allah has commanded to be joined, and cause corruption on the earth, it is they who are the lost.

Those who break Allah’s contract

‘Those’ refers back to the ‘deviators’ in the previous āyah. The word used here for ‘break’ (naqada) means to nullify what has been concluded of a marriage contract, treaty or other agreement. Naqada means unravelled when applied to a rope and munāqadah, when used in respect of words, means that they contradict one another.
People disagree about the nature of the ‘contract’ alluded to here. It is said that it is the one which Allah made with all the descendants of Ādam when He brought them forth from his loins. (cf. 7:172) It is said that it is Allah’s directive to His creatures, commanding them to obey His commands and forbidding them to disobey Him. This is found in His Books, revealed on the tongues of all His Messengers. ‘Breaking it’ is to abandon acting by it. It is said that the clear evidence of His Oneness in the heavens and the earth constitutes the contract and breaking it is not grasping it. It is also said that it is Allah’s contract with those given the previous Books to make the Prophethood of Muḥammadﷺ clear and not to conceal it. In this case, the āyah is about the People of the Book. Abū Ishāq az-Zajjāj said, ‘The contract is the one He made with the Prophets and those who follow them not to reject the Prophet ﷺ.’ The evidence for that is found in: ‘When Allah made a covenant with the Prophets … undertake my commission that condition?’ (3:81) The most likely position is that the āyah refers to the unbelievers.

after it has been agreed,

The word for ‘agreed’ (mithāq) used here is employed when a contract has been confirmed by oath. It implies firmness in the strength of the contract.

and sever what Allah has commanded to be joined,

Scholars disagree about what Allah has commanded to be joined. It is said that it means to maintain the ties of kinship. It is said that He commanded that words must be joined to action and their severing is by speaking without acting. It is said that the ‘joining’ refers to Allah’s command to affirm all His Prophets but they severed this by affirming some of them and denying others. It is said that it refers to the dīn of Allah and His worship on earth, establishing His laws and observing the limits He has imposed. It is general, in that case, to everything that Allah Almighty commanded be joined. This is the statement of the majority. Kinship is, of course,
a part of that.

**and cause corruption on the earth**

This refers to the fact that they worship other than Allah Almighty and commit injustice in what they do in order to fulfill their lower appetites. This is extreme corruption.

**it is they who are the lost.**

They have lost their fortunes and their honour. This is misguidance and destruction. One who is lost will find he has lost himself and his family on the Day of Rising and will be denied a place in the Garden.

This āyah directs people to be trustworthy in their contracts and to hold to that. It is not permitted to break any permissible contract to which you commit yourself, whether it is with a Muslim or anyone else, since Allah Almighty censured those who break their contracts. He says: ‘Fulfil your contracts’ (5:1), and He said to His Prophet ﷺ: ‘If you fear treachery on the part of a people, then revoke your treaty with them mutually.’ (8:58). He forbade treachery and that is nothing other than the breaking of a contract, as will be made clear in its proper place.

28 How can you reject Allah, when you were dead and then He gave you life, then He will make you die and then give you life again, then you will be returned to Him?

**How can you reject Allah**

This question conveys amazement. It is extraordinary how anyone can disbelieve when the proof has been established. If it is asked how this can be addressed to the People of the Book when they have belief, the answer is that, although they have not rejected Allah, they did not affirm the Prophethood of Muḥammad ﷺ and accept what he brought. So then they associated something else with Allah
because they did not acknowledge that the Qur’an was from Him. Anyone who asserts that the Qur’an is the words of a mortal has associated something else with Allah and therefore broken his contract. It is said that the rebuke means: ‘How can you be ungrateful for His blessings to you and reject His power to do this?’ Al-Wāṣīṭī said that this is used to rebuke them because dead things and inanimate things cannot contend with their Maker in any way at all. Dispute only issues from bodies which possess a rūḥ.

and then give you life again,

There is disagreement among the people of interpretation on the order of these two deaths and lives and how many deaths and lives a human being has. Ibn ‘Abbās and Ibn Mas‘ūd said that it means that you were dead and non-existent before you were created and then He gave you life by creating you. Then He will make you die when your term comes to an end. Then He will bring you to life on the Day of Rising. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is what is meant by the āyah. It is a position which the unbelievers must hold since they acknowledge their life and previous non-existence. When the selves of the unbelievers concede that they were dead and non-existent and are now alive in this world, the fact that they will be made to die should make them more open to the possibility of another revivification. Yet they still deny that it has any valid relevance to them.’

Someone else said, ‘According to this interpretation, the life in the grave has the same judgment as the life of this world.’ It is also said that it means that you were dead in the loins of Ādam and then Allah brought you out from him like atoms and then He made you die the death of this world and then He will resurrect you.

Another interpretation is that it means that you were dead as sperm in the loins of a man and the womb of a woman and then He took you out of the womb and gave you life. Then He will make you die after that in this life and give you life in the grave for the
questioning. Then He will make you die in the grave and then give you the life of the resurrection for the Gathering, which is the life after which there is no more death. According to this interpretation, there are three deaths and three lives. If they were dead in Ādam’s loins and He brought them out from his back and made them testify before they were sperm in the loins of men and wombs of women, then there are four deaths and four lives.

It is even said that Allah Almighty brought them into existence before He created Ādam, in a dust-like form, and then made them die. According to this, there are five deaths and five lives. There is a sixth death for the rebels of the Community of Muhammad when they enter the Fire, based on the hadith of Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī in which the Messenger of Allah stated, ‘As for the true people of the Fire, who are its eternal inhabitants, they do not die in it nor live. The Fire, however, will smite some people for their wrong actions and Allah will make them die until they are coals. Then He will grant intercession on their behalf and they will be brought out group by group. They will be scattered by the rivers of the Garden and it will be said to the people of the Garden, “Pour water on them.” And they will grow like seeds which are carried by a flood.’ A man of the people remarked, ‘It seems as if the Messenger of Allah must have grazed sheep in the desert!’ Muslim transmitted it.

**Then He will make you die**

These words indicate a real death because they are stressed by the use of the verbal noun. That is to honour them. It is said that it is permitted for the words ‘make you die’ to be a metaphor about their being absent from its pains by sleep and not a real death. The first is sounder. Grammarians agree that, when a verb is intensified by a verbal noun, it is not a metaphor, but indicates a reality, as when Allah says: ‘Allah spoke directly to Mūsā.’ (4:164). It is said that the meaning of ‘you were dead’ means you were obscure and then He brought you to life by making you mentioned and honoured by this
din and the Prophet who came to you. Then He will make you die and your fame will die and then He will bring you to life for the resurrection.

**Then you will be returned to Him.**

You will be returned to His punishment on account of your disbelief. It is said it is to return to life and to the questioning as the Almighty says: ‘As We originated the first creation, so We will regenerate it.’ (21:104). So their return is like their beginning. Most recite ‘turjaʿūna’ while Yahyā ibn Ya‘mar, Ibn Abī Isḥāq, Mujāhid, Ibn Muḥayṣin and Salām ibn Ya‘qūb recite ‘tarjiʿūna’.
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29 It is He who created everything on the earth for you and then directed His attention up to heaven and arranged it into seven regular heavens. He has knowledge of all things.

**It is He who created everything on the earth for you**

‘Created’ means originated and brought into existence after non-existence. All of this was created for our sake. The word ‘everything’ means that all of the earth’s blessings are for us. It is said that this fact indicates the Divine Unity and demands reflection on our part.

Those who say that the basic position, in respect of things which are useful, is that they are all permissible use this āyah and those like it as evidence. Another example is: ‘He has made everything in the heavens and everything on the earth subservient to you.’ (45:13) This applies until there is specific evidence that something is forbidden. The holders of this view support this by saying that tasty foods were created while it was possible that they would not be created. They were not created to no purpose and so they must have a use. That cannot be for Allah because He has no need of anything and so they must be for our benefit. That benefit consists either in our enjoying them, or in our
avoiding them because they are a test for us, or in our reflecting on
them. Those things can only come about through our tasting them
and therefore they must be permissible.

This is unsound reasoning because we cannot accept as true the
proposition that something, which is not created to give benefit, is of
no purpose. Allah created things as they are and the principle of
bringing benefit is not compulsory for Him. He is the only One
Who can make things compulsory. So we cannot require the
imposition of some benefit as such people claim, nor can we state
that some of those benefits can only be obtained by means of taste.
Other matters can also be deduced from foods, as is done by
biologists. Another objection to their position is found in the case of
substances which are feared to be lethal poisons. So those who
espouse this position are countered by those people who forbid
certain things. Others do not come down on either side, saying that
there is no action about which we know either good or bad but that
it is possible for it to be good in itself without that being specified
before the Sharī‘ah came and therefore its ruling had to wait for the
arrival of the Sharī‘ah. These are three statements made by the
Mu‘tazilites.

Abu-l-Ḥasan [al-Ash‘arī] and his adherents, most Mālikīs and aṣ-
Ṣayarīfī say that one suspends judgment about this question. That
means that there is no judgment about the thing in that case and
that the Sharī‘ah will judge whatever it wishes when it comes. The
intellect cannot judge something to be obligatory or not. Its portion
in the matter is simply to recognise matters for what they are.

The sound meaning of ‘created everything on the earth for you’ is that it
means that it is food for reflection. That is indicated by the lessons
which precede and follow it: giving life, making die, creation,
direction to the heaven and arranging it. The One who does such
things does not lack the power to bring you back to life again.

It is said that the meaning of ‘for you’ is ‘all for your use’. What is
meant by it is ‘for you to reflect upon’ because of what we mentioned. If one were to ask what the benefit of reflecting on scorpions and snakes is, we reply that by means of harmful things a person is reminded of the punishments which Allah has prepared for the believers in the Fire, and that induces him to believe and abandon disobedience. This is the greatest consideration. Ibn ‘Arabī said, ‘There is nothing in this phrase which involves prohibition, permission or suspension of judgment. This āyah is evidence and clarification of Allah’s Oneness.’

Those with a firm grasp of meanings say about ‘It is He Who created everything on the earth for you’ that it implies that people should use these things to strengthen their obedience to Allah and not use them in ways that involve disobedience. Abū ‘Uthmān said, ‘He gave it all to you and subjected it to you as proof of the vastness of His generosity and so that you would have confidence in the generous gifts He has guaranteed to you in the Hereafter. But do not allow His great kindness to lead you to be content with few actions simply because He showed you immense blessings before any action on your part. That is tawhīd.’

‘Umar said that a man came to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and asked him to give to him. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘I do not have anything, but buy in my name and when something comes to me, we will pay it.’ ‘Umar said to him, ‘You give something when you have something to give! Allah has not obliged you to do what you are unable to do.’ The Prophet ﷺ disliked what ‘Umar said. Then a man of the Anṣār said, ‘Messenger of Allah! Spend and do not fear diminution from the Master of the Throne!’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ smiled and joy could be seen in his face at the words of the Anṣārī. Then the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘That is what I am commanded to do.’

Our scholars say that fear of diminution is having a bad opinion of Allah because Allah Almighty created the earth and what is in it for
the sons of Ādam, quoting the phrase, ‘He created everything on the earth for you.’ All these things are subjected to the human being absolutely as evidence against him so that he will be Allah’s slave as he was created to be. When the slave has a good opinion of Allah, he does not fear diminution because he knows that Allah will replace it. ‘Anything you expend will be replaced by Him. He is the Best of Providers,’ (34:39) and: ‘My Lord is Rich Beyond Need, Generous.’ (27:40) The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Allah Almighty said, “My mercy precedes My anger. Son of Ādam, spend and I will spend on you.” The right hand of Allah is full and pouring forth, not decreased by any of the night or day.’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ also said, ‘There is no day which dawns on the slaves of Allah without two angels descending and one of them saying, “O Allah, refund those who give money” and the other saying, “O Allah, ruin those who withhold it.” The same happens in the evening.’ This is all sound and related by the Imams, praise be to Allah.

If someone has an illuminated breast and knows that his Lord is wealthy and generous, he spends and does not fear diminution. That is how it is with someone whose appetites are dead to this world and is content with a little nourishment to keep him alive and whose desires for himself are cut off. This person gives in both wealth and constriction and does not fear diminution. The person who relies on himself fears diminution. When he gives today and has a desire for something tomorrow, he fears that he will not get it tomorrow and the business of the expenses of the day worry him because of fear of diminution.

Muslim reported that Asmā’ bint Abi Bakr said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ told me, “Spend (or give out or expend) and do not hold back, or Allah will hold back from you. Do not refuse to spend your surplus or Allah will deny you His.”’ An-Nasā’ī reported that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘Once a beggar came to me while the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was with me and I gave instructions for something to be
given to him and then summoned him and looked at him. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Do you want nothing to enter or leave your house except with your knowledge.” “Yes,” I replied. He said, “Do not worry, ‘Ā’ishah. Do not hold back, or Allah will hold back from you.”

**and then directed His attention up to heaven**

In His words ‘then directed’, the word ‘then’ is simply a narrative aid and does not imply any time sequence in the matters referred to. Linguistically the word ‘directed’ (istawā’) means to ascend to and be on top of something, as in: ‘When you and those with you settled in the ship.’ (23:28). It is used for the sky over your head and the birds over your head.

This āyah is one of those which are considered problematic. Regarding it and others of a similar nature people take three views. One of them is that we should read it and believe in it and not try to explain it, which is the position of most of the Imams. An example of this attitude is what is related from Mālik when a man asked him about the words of Allah: ‘The All-Merciful was established firmly on the throne.’ (20:5) Mālik said, ‘The meaning of istawā (established firmly) is not unknown but the how of it is not intelligible; belief in it is mandatory; and asking about it is an innovation. I think you are an evil man!’ Others say that we should read it and understand it literally. This is the position of the anthropomorphists. Yet others say that we should read it and interpret it metaphorically and cannot take it literally.

Al-Farrā’ said about this āyah, ‘Istawā in Arabic has two usual meanings. One refers to people reaching full maturity. The second is being free from crookedness. A third possibility is someone directing himself to something. This is its meaning in this āyah and Allah knows best.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said that istawā here means to ascend. All these things are possible in Arabic. Abū Bakr Aḥmad ibn ‘Alī ibn al-Ḥusayn al-Bayhaqī said that it is sound for istawā to mean to direct
oneself because here directing Himself is to aim for creating heaven and aiming for something is a question of will. That is permitted in respect of the Attributes of Allah Almighty. So ‘then’ is connected to creation, not will. What is related from Ibn ‘Abbās is taken from the commentary of al-Kalbī who is weak. Sufyān ibn ‘Uyaynah said that it means ‘to aim for it’, in other words its creation. This is one view. It is said that the meaning of the word is without limitation or definition, as at-Ṭabarī preferred. Abu-l-‘Āliyah ar-Riyāḥī said, ‘It means “to rise”.’ Al-Bayhaqī said, ‘Allah knows best, but what is meant by that is its elevation. It is the vapour of the water from which the sky was created.’ It is said that ‘mustawā’ means smoke. Ibn Aṭiyyah said that the words do not accept that interpretation. It is said that it means to take control, and Ibn Aṭiyyah said that this comes from His words: ‘The All-Merciful was established firmly on the throne.’ (20:5)

It would appear from this āyah, if you take the word ‘then’ as having a temporally sequential meaning, that Allah created the earth before the heavens whereas in Sūrat an-Nāzi‘āt (79) He describes the heavens being created before the earth. This was the position of Qatādah: heaven was created first. Aṭ-Ṭabarī related it from him. Mujāhid and other commentators say that Allah dried the water on which His Throne rested and turned it into the earth and made smoke rise from it and made heaven. Thus earth was created before heaven. I believe that what Qatādah said is sound, Allah willing: that Allah first created the smoke of heaven and then created the earth and directed Himself to heaven, which was smoke and arranged it and then He smoothed out the earth.

Part of what indicates that smoke was created before the earth is what is related by as-Suddī from Abū Mālik from Abū Ṣāliḥ from Ibn ‘Abbās, and from Murrah al-Hamdānī from Ibn Mas‘ūd and some Companions about this āyah: the Throne of Allah Almighty was on the water and He did not create anything before water.
When He desired to bring about creation, He produced smoke from the water and it rose above it and was high above it (samā) and so He called it heaven (samā'). Then He dried the water and made it earth and then split it up made it into seven earths over two days, Sunday and Monday. The earth was placed on the Fish which is the Nūn which Allah mentioned in the Qur'an in al-Qalam. The fish was in the water and the water was on a stone. The stone was on the back of an angel and the angel was on a large stone. The stone, which is the one Luqman mentioned, was in the wind, neither in heaven nor on earth. The fish moved and was agitated and so the earth quaked. So He sent down mountains on it and it became firm. The mountains vaunt themselves over the earth. That is His words: ‘He cast firmly embedded mountains on the earth so it would not move under you.’ (16:15)

He created the mountains and the provision and trees and its inhabitants and what it needs over two days, Tuesday and Wednesday. That is when He says: ‘Say: “Do you reject Him Who created the earth in two days, and make others equal to Him? That is the Lord of all the worlds.” He placed firmly embedded mountains on it, towering over it, and blessed it and measured out its nourishment in it, laid down for those who seek it – all in four days.’ (41:9-10) That is the answer for someone who asks. ‘Then He turned to heaven when it was smoke’. That smoke came from the respiration of water. He made it one heaven. Then He split it open and made it seven heavens in two days, Thursday and Friday. It is called ‘Jumu‘ah’ because in it the creation of the heavens and earth were combined.’ ‘He revealed, in every heaven, its own mandate.’ (41:12)

Then in every heaven He created the angels and creatures in it of vapour and mountains of hail and what is not known. Then He adorned the lower heaven with stars and made them an adornment and protection from shaytāns. When he finished creating what He wished, He settled on the Throne. That is: ‘It is He Who created the heavens and the earth in six days’ (57:1) and: ‘they were sewn together and then
We unstitched them.’ (21:30)

Wakī’ mentioned from Abū Ẓabyān that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The first thing that Allah Almighty created was the Pen. “Write,” He told it. It said, “Lord, what shall I write?” He said, “Write the Decree.” So it wrote what would be on the day until the coming of the Final Hour. Then He created the Nūn and flattened the earth on it and made it firm with the mountains. The mountains will vaunt themselves over the earth until the Day of Rising.’ One variant states that He created the earth before elevating the vapour of the water, which is the smoke, differing from the first variant. The views about this differ and there is scope for independent judgment regarding it.

The basic element of the creation of all things is water as is reported by Ibn Mājah and Abū Ḥātim al-Bustī from Abū Hurayrah. He said to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, ‘When I see you my self is happy and my eye delighted. Tell me about the origin of all things.’ He replied, ‘All things were created from water.’ He asked, ‘Tell me about something by virtue of which, I will enter the Garden.’ He said, ‘Feed people, extend the greeting, maintain ties with your kin, and stand in prayer at night when people are asleep, and you will enter the Garden in peace.’

Ibn ‘Abbās said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The first thing that Allah created was the Pen and He commanded it to write down all that would be.’ Al-Bayhaqī said, ‘Allah knows best, but he meant that the first thing that Allah created after water, wind and the Throne was the Pen.’ Ṭāwus said that a man came to ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ and asked ‘What was creation created from?’ He said, ‘From water, fire, darkness, wind and earth.’ The man asked, ‘And from what were these created?’ He replied, ‘I do not know.’ Then the man went to ‘Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr and asked him and he gave the same answer as ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr. Then he went to ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Abbas and he answered the same. When he asked the second question, Ibn ‘Abbās recited: ‘He has made everything in the
heavens and everything on the earth subservient to you.’ (45:13) The man said, ‘This could only come from a man of the people of the House of the Prophet!’ Al-Bayhaqī said, ‘He means that it is the source of all in its creation and origination. He created water first, or water and whatever He willed of His creation, not from a root or prior model. Then He made it the root for what He created afterwards. He is the Originator and He is the Creator. There is no god but Him and no Creator but Him. Glory be to Him! He is Mighty and Exalted!’

and arranged it into seven regular heavens.

Allah mentions seven heavens but does not give a clear number of earths in the Revelation. The only possible reference to seven earths is found in His words: ‘and of the earth the same number (mithlahunna)’ (65:12). There is disagreement about the meaning of that but it is said that it is referring to their number (literally ‘their like’), and the number is also used in several hadiths reference to the number of earths. Their quality and description varies, as it is said that ‘the same number’ can mean ‘the same density’. It is said that there are seven heavens, but they are not unstitched. Ad-Dāwūdī said that.

The first is the sound view: there are seven heavens. Muslim related that Sa'īd ibn Zayd said that he heard the Messenger of Allah  say, ‘If someone takes a span of land unjustly, his neck will be encircled with it through the seven earths.’ Ā’ishah has something similar as does Abū Hurayrah. An-Nasā’ī related from Abū Sa'īd al-Khudrī that the Messenger of Allah  said, ‘Mūsā said, “Lord, teach me something by which I can remember you and by which I can pray to you.” He said, “Mūsā, say: ‘There is no god but Allah.’” Mūsā said, “Lord, all of Your slaves say that.” He said, “Say: ‘There is no god but You. I want something especially for me.” He said, “Mūsā, if the seven heavens and their inhabitants other than Me, and the seven earths, were put in one pan, and ‘There is no god but Allah’ in the other pan, ‘There is no god but Allah’ would outweigh them.”’
At-Tirmidhī related that Abū Hurayrah said, ‘Once while the Prophet of Allah ﷺ and his Companions were sitting, clouds came over them, and the Prophet of Allah ﷺ asked, “Do you know what this is?” “Allah and His Messenger know best,” they answered. He said, “These are the clouds. These are the water-bearers of the earth which Allah drives to people who are not grateful to Him and do not call on Him.” He asked, “Do you know what is above you?” “Allah and His Messenger know best,” they answered. He said, “It is the firmament, a protected ceiling and waves that are held back.” Then he asked, “Do you know what is between you and it?” “Allah and His Messenger know best,” they answered. He said, “Between you and it is the distance of five hundred years.” Then he asked, “Do you know what is above that?” “Allah and His Messenger know best,” they replied. He said, “Above that are two heavens with a distance of five hundred years between them.” He continued in that manner until he had mentioned seven heavens, the distance between every two heavens being like that which is between heaven and earth. Then he asked, “Do you know what is above that?” “Allah and His Messenger know best,” was the reply. He said, “Above that is the Throne and the distance between it and the [final] heaven is like what is between a pair of heavens.” Then he asked, “Do you know what is below you?” “Allah and His Messenger know best,” they answered. He said, “It is the earth.” Then he asked, “Do you know what is under that?” They replied, “Allah and His Messenger know best.” He said, “Under that is another earth, and the distance between them is five hundred years,” and he continued until he had counted seven earths with a distance of five hundred years between each two. Then he said, “By the One Who has the soul of Muḥammad in His hand, if you were to drop a rope to the lowest earth, it would fall on Allah.” Then he recited: “He is the First and the Last, the Outward and the Inward. He has knowledge of all things.” (57:3)’ Abū ʿĪsā said, “The fact that the Prophet ﷺ recited this āyah
indicates that it means “it would fall in the knowledge, power and authority of Allah. He is on His Throne as He described in His Book.” It is a gharîb hadith. Al-Ḥasan did not hear directly from Abū Hurayrah.

There are many reports about there being seven earths. We have mentioned enough that about that.

**He has knowledge of all things.**

He has knowledge of all He created. Since He created things, He must know them. He says: ‘*Does He who created not then know?*’ (67:14). He knows all known things with timeless pre-eternal knowledge which He alone possesses. He has described Himself with knowledge in various āyahs.

30 When your Lord said to the angels, ‘I am putting a caliph on the earth,’ they said, ‘Why put on it one who will cause corruption on it and shed blood when we glorify You with praise and proclaim Your purity?’ He said, ‘I know what you do not know.’

**When your Lord said to the angels,**

It is possible that there is an elided word here in which case the meaning would be, ‘Remember when ...’ It is also said that it goes back to His words: ‘*Worship your Lord who created you*’ (2:21), in which case the meaning would be: ‘When the One who created you said to the angels.’

The fact that Allah addressed the angels indicates that they existed and understood. He addressed them not to consult them or to ask for their opinion but simply to inform them. This is the case with Allah’s commands and prohibitions. The ‘Lord’ is the Master, Controller, the One Who puts right and compels. The singular of
‘angels’ (malāʾikah) is malak.

I am putting a caliph on the earth,’

‘Putting’ in this context means ‘creating’ as at-Ṭabarī said. The ‘earth’ means Makkah. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The earth was smoothed out from Makkah,’ which is why it is called ‘the Mother of Cities’. Khalīfah (caliph) has the form of an active participle, meaning ‘the one who replaced the angels before him on the earth’, or other than the angels, according to what has been reported. It is also possible that it is in the passive mode, in which case it means someone who is sent as a representative.

According to Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn Masʿūd and all the people of interpretation, the caliph is Ādam ﷺ. He was the caliph of Allah in carrying out His commands and prohibitions because he was the first Messenger to the earth as we find in the hadīth of Abū Dharr. He said, ‘I asked, “Messenger of Allah, was he a Prophet and a Messenger?” “Yes,” he answered.’ If it were to be asked to whom was he a Messenger when there was no one on earth, the answer is that he was a Messenger to his children. He had forty children over twenty pregnancies, each pregnancy having a male and a female. They reproduced until they became numerous as Allah says: ‘He created you from a single self and created its mate from it and then disseminated many men and women from the two of them.’ (4:1) He revealed to them the prohibition of carrion, blood and pork. He lived for 930 years according to the Torah. Wahb ibn Munabbih related that he lived for a thousand years. Allah knows best.

This āyah is sound evidence for having a leader and a caliph who is obeyed so that he will be a focus for the cohesion of society and the rulings of the caliphate will carried out. None of the Imams of the Community disagree about the obligatory nature of having such a leader, except for what is related from al-Aṣamm (lit. the Deaf), who lived up to the meaning of his name and was indeed deaf to the Sharīʿah, and those who take his position who say that the caliphate
is merely permitted rather than mandatory if the Community undertakes all their obligations on their own without the need for a ruler to enforce them. Our evidence is found in the words of Allah Almighty: ‘I am putting a caliph on the earth’ as well as other āyahs (38:26, 24:55)

The Companions agreed to make Abū Bakr caliph after the disagreement about the selection which took place between the Muhājirūn and the Anṣār in the veranda of the Banū Sā‘īdah. The Anṣār said, ‘We will have a leader and you will have a leader.’ Abū Bakr, ‘Umar and the Muhājirūn dissuaded them from that, telling them, ‘The Arabs will only take their dīn from this tribe of Quraysh,’ and so the Anṣār retracted and obeyed Quraysh. If it had been a definite obligation that the ruler had to be from Quraysh, there would have been no point in the argument and debate which took place. It would have been said, ‘The obligation for appointing the leader does not have to be from Quraysh or anyone else, so why are you arguing with us by a pointless issue that is not mandatory?’ When Abū Bakr died, he delegated the office of caliph to ‘Umar and no one claimed that it was not mandatory. That indicates that it is mandatory and that it is one of the pillars of the dīn which support the Muslims. Praise be to Allah, the Lord of the worlds.

The Rāfidite Shi‘ites say that the appointment of a leader should be based on logic. Obedience then follows what is logically decided. Recognition of the leader is achieved by obedience rather than logic. This is false because logic does not make something mandatory or forbidden, or ugly or good. It is confirmed that it is mandatory by the Sharī‘ah, not by logic. This is clear. If one were to accept that the means to the mandatory nature of leadership (imāmah) is obedience, then tell us whether obedience is obliged by designation (nass) about the leader (imam) from the Messenger ♾️, or from the choice of the people who make binding
decisions of community, or by the existence of all the qualities of the imams in him so that when he calls on them to obey, that is sufficient?

The answer is that people disagree about this topic. The Imāmiyyah and others believe that the means by which the imam is known is by designation from the Messenger ☪ and that choice has no part in it. We believe that investigation is the path to acknowledging the ruler, along with the consensus of the people of ījtimā' who reach that conclusion. Those who say that the only way to it is by designation base that on their fundamental position that analogy, opinion and ījtimā' are false and nothing at all is known by those means. They say that analogy is invalid, root and branch. Then they fall into three different groups. One group claim that the designation of appointment was to Abū Bakr, one group say al-ʿAbbās, and one group that it was ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib.

The proof of the absence and non-existence of a text of designation naming a specific imam is that, if the Prophet ☪ had imposed on his community obedience to a particular imam so that it would not be possible to turn away from him to someone else, that would have been known since it is impossible to obligate the entire community to obey Allah in something which is unspecified and when there is no way to know that obligation. Since knowledge of who he is is mandatory, then that knowledge must come by way of multiple transmission which necessarily demands that it be known or deduced, or that it come from single reports. It is not possible for that to have the same authority as multiple transmission which demands a priori knowledge or by evidence, since if that had been the case, every responsible person would find in himself knowledge entailing obedience to that specific person and that would be part of the dīn of Allah which is obliged for him, just as every responsible person knows from the dīn of Allah what is obliged for him regarding the five prayers, fasting Ramadan, ḥajj and the like. No one knows
that from himself necessarily, so this claim is false.

It is false that it is known by single reports since it is impossible for definitive knowledge to occur by that means. Furthermore, had it been mandatory to go to the transmission of the designation of an imam by any manner, it would be obliged to confirm the leadership of Abū Bakr and al-ʿAbbās because each of them had people who transmitted a designation by text explicitly stating his imamate. Then since it is false for the three to be confirmed by designation at the same time, as we will explain, the same is true of one of them, since one of the three must be more entitled than the other. When confirmation of the designation is false because of the absence of a path connected to it, then choice and *ijtihād* are confirmed.

If someone claims the existence of multiple transmission and necessary knowledge of the designation, then they must be confronted immediately by the demolition of their claim through the designation of Abū Bakr and the numerous reports about that which, as a whole, take the place of a text. Then there will be no doubt about the determination of those other than the Imāmiyyah in denying the designation. They are huge in number. Necessary knowledge does not agree on denying it by less than a tenth of those who oppose the Imāmiyyah. If the refutation of what is necessary had been permitted in that way, it would be permitted for a group to deny Baghdad, furthest China and other things.

Refutation will be given of hadith which the Imāmiyyah use as evidence for the designation of ‘Alī and their position that any of the community who reject that designation are apostates and oppose the command of the Messenger *g* out of obstinacy. One of them is his words *g*, ‘Those of whom I am the master (*mawlā*), ‘Alī is his master. O Allah, befriend whomever befriends him and oppose whomever opposes him.’ They said, ‘Linguistically *mawlā* means more entitled, and so when he said, “‘Alī is his master” with the *fā*’ of consequence, it is known that what is meant by “*mawlā*’ is that he is more entitled
and so it is clear that what is meant by that is the imamate and that it demands obedience.’ There are also his words to ‘Alī, ‘In relation to me, you are as Hārūn was to Mūsā, but there will be no Prophet after me.’ They said that the position of Hārūn is known, and he shared with him in being a Prophet, and ‘Alī was not that. He was his brother, but ‘Alī was not that. He was a khalīfah and so it is known that what is meant by that is the caliphate. There are other things that they cited as evidence as will be mentioned, Allah willing.

The first answer to the hadith is that it is not transmitted by multiple sources and there is disagreement about its validity. It was attacked by Abū Dāwūd as-Sijistānī and Abū Ḥātim ar-Rāzī. They deduced that it was false because the Prophet said, ‘Muzaynah, Juhaynah, Ghifār and Aslam are my mawlās rather than others and they have no mawlā other than Allah and His Messenger.’ They said, ‘If the first report had been as he said, then one of the two reports must be false.’

Secondly, even if this report is sound and related from someone trustworthy to someone trustworthy, there is nothing in it that indicates his imamate. Rather it indicates his excellence. That is because mawlā means waltī and so the meaning of the report is: ‘If I am the waltī of someone, ‘Alī is his waltī.’ Allah says: ‘Allah is his Mawlā’ (66:4) and mawlā means Protector (waltī). What is meant in the report is to inform people that ‘Alī was the same both inwardly and outwardly. That is an immense virtue of ‘Alī.

This report came for a reason, which was that Usāmah and ‘Alī had a quarrel. ‘Alī said to Usāmah, ‘You are my mawlā.’ He retorted, ‘I am not your mawlā! I am the mawlā of the Messenger of Allah!’ The Prophet was informed about that and said, ‘If I am the mawlā of someone, ‘Alī is his mawlā.’

Another point is found in the Story of the Lie. ‘Alī said to the Prophet about ‘Ā’ishah, ‘There are many women besides her.’ That was hard on her and the hypocrites found an opening and
attacked him and said that they were free of him. Then the Prophet said this to refute what they said and denied their offering to be free of him and attack him. That is why it is related that a group of the Companions said, ‘We did not recognise the hypocrites in the time of the Messenger of Allah except by their hatred for ‘Ali.’

As for the second hadith, there is no disagreement that when he referred to the position of Hārūn in respect of Mūsā, the Prophet did not mean the caliphate after him. There is no disagreement that Hārūn died before Mūsā as will be made clear in Sūrat al-Mā‘idah. He was not the khalīfah after him: the khalīfah after him was Yūsha‘ ibn Nūn. If he had meant the caliphate by what he said, he would have said, ‘In relation to me, you are as Yūsha‘ ibn Nūn was to Mūsā.’ Since he did not say that, it indicates that it was not what he meant. He meant: ‘I have put you in charge of my people during my lifetime and in my absence from my people.’ Hārūn was the khalīfah of Mūsā over his people when he went to speak to his Lord.

It is said that this hadith has a reason behind it, which was that when he went out on the Tabūk expedition, he put ‘Ali in charge of his family and people in Madīnah. So the hypocrites spread lies about him and said, ‘He left him behind because he hates him.’ ‘Ali went out and joined the Prophet. He said, ‘The hypocrites have said this and said that!’ He answered him, ‘They lied. I appointed you as Mūsā appointed Hārūn.’ He added, ‘Are you not content to be in relation to me as Hārūn was to Mūsā?’

If it were to be confirmed that he meant the caliphate, as they claim, then ‘Ali shared with others in this virtue because the Prophet appointed one of his Companions as khalīfah in every expedition he made. They included: Ibn Umm Maktūm, Muḥammad ibn Maslamah and others, although this report centres on Sa‘d ibn Abī Waqqāṣ and it is a single report. Abū Bakr and ‘Umar are mentioned in a way that is more appropriate that that. It is related that when the Prophet appointed Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal to Yemen, he
was asked, ‘Why not send Abū Bakr and ‘Umar?’ He answered, ‘I cannot do without them. Their position is relation to me is that of hearing and sight to the head.’ He said, ‘They are my two wazīrs among the people of the earth.’ It is related that the Prophet ☪ said, ‘Abū Bakr and ‘Umar are in the position that Hārūn was to Mūsā’ This report came on its own [without a cause], and that of ‘Alī came because of a cause, and so it means that Abū Bakr is more entitled to the imamate. Allah knows best.

There is disagreement about the method by which someone is made the imam. There are three ways. The first is by designation, and the disagreement about that has already been mentioned. That position was also taken by some Ḥanbalīs, a group of the People of Hadith, al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, Bakr, the son of the sister of ‘Abd al-Wāḥid and his people, and a group of Khārijites. That view is that the Prophet ☪ designated Abū Bakr by indication and Abū Bakr designated ‘Umar. Then someone may appoint someone specific by designation as Abū Bakr did, or a group as ‘Umar did, which is the second method. Then they choose which of them should be selected as the Companions did in appointing ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān.

The third method is the consensus of the people who can appoint and dismiss. That is that when the leader of a group in one of the cities of the Muslims dies and they have no leader and he did not appoint anyone, then the community of the people of that city, which is the base of the imam, undertake that themselves and agree about someone and are satisfied with him. All the Muslims before and after them in all regions must obey that leader when that leader is not known for impiety and corruption because it is an invitation which includes them to which they must respond. No one should absent himself from it since the establishment of two rulers entails division and corruption of unity. The Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘If the heart of a believer possesses three qualities, it will not be filled with rancour: sincere action for Allah, holding to the community
and giving good counsel to those in power.’

If one of the people who can appoint and dismiss does that, it is confirmed and his action binds others, although some people disagree and say that it can only be done by a group of the people who can appoint and dismiss. Our evidence is that ‘Umar gave allegiance to Abū Bakr and none of the Companions objected to him doing that. It is also because it is a contract and it does not require a number to contract it as is the case with other contracts. Imām Abu-l-Ma‘ālī said, ‘If a contract for leadership is made by one contract, it is binding, and it is not permitted to dismiss him without a reason or change of affairs. This is agreed upon.’

If someone who possesses the qualifications for leadership seizes the imāmah and takes it by force and conquest, it is said that that is a fourth method. Sahl ibn ‘Abdullāh at-Tustarī was asked, ‘What is obliged for us in the case of a ruler who conquers our land?’ He answered, ‘To respond to him and give him what he demands of you of his right, not to object to his actions nor flee from him. When he entrusts you with a secret concerning the dīn, do not disseminate it.’ Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘If someone suited for command seizes it without consultation or choice and people give him allegiance, then allegiance to him is complete. Allah knows best.’

There is disagreement about having witnesses to the contract of leadership. Some of our people said that it does not require witnesses because testimony is only established by definitive hearing. Here there is no definitive hearing to confirm the witnessing. Some of them said that it requires witnesses. Those who say that argue that if there were no witnessing to it, that would lead to every claimant claiming that he had a secret contract and that would lead to bloodshed and civil war. Therefore it is obliged that witnessing be taken into account. Two witnesses are enough for it. Al-Jubbārī is an exception to that. He said that one considers four witnesses, the contractor and the one contracted because ‘Umar made the Council
consist of six, and so that is what is indicated. Our evidence is that there is no disagreement between us and him that the testimony of two is considered. There is disagreement about a larger number. No evidence indicates it and so it should not be considered.

There are eleven preconditions which a ruler (imam) must meet. He should be from Quraysh since the Prophet said, ‘The rulers are from Quraysh.’ There is disagreement about this. He should be someone who is able to be one of the qādīs of the Muslims and capable of ijtihād so that he does not need to consult others for a legal decision about things that happen. This is agreed upon. The third is that he should possess experience, sound judgment regarding war, management of armies, protecting frontiers, guarding territory, deterring the community, enforcing retribution on those who do wrong and recompensing those who are wronged. He should be someone who is not preventing by softness from carrying out the ḥudūd, not alarmed by execution or amputation. The proof for all of this is the consensus of the Companions because there was no disagreement between them that all of that was agreed upon. That is also necessary because the ruler is the one who undertakes judgment and legal decisions and he must settle disputes and judge. He must also investigate the affairs of his representatives and judges. That can only be fulfilled by someone who knows all of that and carries it out. Allah knows best.

He must be a free man and Muslim. He must be male and have sound limbs. There is a consensus that a woman cannot be the imam although there is disagreement about her acting as qādī concerning matters in which her testimony is permitted. He must be adult and intelligent. There is no disagreement about that. He must be of good character. There is no disagreement among the Community that it is not permitted to give the leadership to a deviant. He should be the best of them in knowledge since the Prophet said, ‘Your imams are your intercessors, so look to those
whom you ask to intercede.’ Ṭālūt (Saul) is described in Revelation: ‘Allah has chosen him over you and increased him greatly in knowledge and physical strength.’ (2:247) He began with knowledge and then mentioned what indicated his strength and sound limbs. It is not a precondition that he be protected from slips and errors or that he should know the Unseen. He does not have to be the most discriminating or most courageous of people nor must he come from the Banū Hāshim or any other clan of Quraysh. There is consensus on the validity of the leadership of Abū Bakr, ‘Umar and ‘Uthmān, and they were not from the Banū Hāshim.

It is permitted to appoint a less excellent candidate when there is someone better if there is fear of civil unrest and that the affairs of the community will not be in order. That is when the ruler is appointed to repel enemies, protect territory, block gaps, deliver rights, establish the ḥudūd, and collect revenue for the Treasury and distribute it to its people. If it is feared that appointing the better person will result in bloodshed and unrest and that things will be disordered because of the appointment of that leader, that is a clear excuse for turning away from the better man for the lesser one. That is also indicated by the knowledge shown by ‘Umar and the rest of the Community at the time of the Shūrā. The six of them included those who were better and those who were less qualified. It was permitted to give the leadership to any of them when the best interest lay in that choice. They agreed on that and none of them objected to it. Allah knows best.

When a ruler is appointed and then becomes deviant after having taken his position, most say that his leadership is voided and, in the case of well known apparent deviance, that he should be deposed, because it is confirmed that the ruler is responsible for establishing the ḥudūd, fulfilling rights, preserving the property of orphans and mad people, and looking into their affairs in the areas we have already mentioned. Deviance in him would prevent him from
undertaking these things. If it were to be permitted for him to be
deviant, that would lead to the invalidation of what he undertakes.

Others say that he may only deposed on account of unbelief,
abandoning establishing the prayer, or abandoning calling to it or
something else in the Sharī‘ah, since ‘Ubādah said that the Prophet
ﷺ said, ‘Do not contend for authority with its people unless you see
open unbelief for which you have a proof from Allah.’ There is also
the hadith of ‘Awf ibn Mālik: ‘…not as long as they establish the
prayer among you.’ Muslim transmitted both. Umm Salamah
reported that the Prophetﷺ said, ‘Rulers will be appointed over
you. Some you approve of and some you disapprove of. Anyone who
hates that is innocent. Anyone who disapproves is safe. But those
who are content and follow [are destroyed].’ They said, ‘Messenger
of Allah, should we not fight them?’ ‘No,’ he answered, ‘not as long
as they pray.’ It means those who hated and disapproved in their
hearts. Muslim also transmitted it.

If a leader finds in himself an impediment which would impair his
leadership, he should retire. If he does not find any impediment, is
he permitted to dismiss himself and appoint someone else? People
disagree about that. Some people say that he may not do that, and if
he does it, then he is not deposed. Some say that he may do that.
The evidence that when a ruler dismisses himself he can retire is
found in what Abū Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīq said when he said, ‘Dismiss me!
Dismiss me!’ The Companions replied, ‘We will not dismiss you or
let you be dismissed. The Messenger of Allahﷺ advanced you
among us, so who would be better than you? The Messenger of
Allahﷺ was pleased with you, so should we not be pleased with
you?’ If he could not do that then the Companions would not have
objected to it and told him that he could not say or do that. When
the Companions affirmed in that, it is known that he could do that.

When leadership is given by the agreement of the people of
influence or by one of them as was already mentioned, then all
people are obliged to give their allegiance to hear and obey and to establish the Book of Allah and the Sunnah of His Messenger ﷺ. If someone refuses to give allegiance with a valid excuse, he is excused, but if someone refuses without an excuse, he is compelled to accept so that there will be no division among the Muslims. If allegiance is given to two caliphs, then the true caliph is the first one and the other is killed. In respect of killing him, there is disagreement about whether it is actual execution or metaphorical and so that his dismissal is tantamount to his killing and death. The first is clearer. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘When allegiance is given to two caliphs, kill the second of them.’ Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī related it and it is transmitted by Muslim.

We find in the hadith of ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar who heard the Prophet ﷺ say, ‘If someone gives allegiance to a ruler with the clasp of his hand and the fruit of his heart, he should obey to the best of his ability. If another comes to dispute with him, then strike the neck of the other.’ Muslim also related that. ‘Arfajah has: ‘Strike him with a sword, whoever he is.’ This is the strongest evidence forbidding there being two rulers. Another reason is because that can lead to hypocrisy, conflict, division, civil strife and removal of blessings. If, however, regions are far apart and separate, like Andalusia and Khorasan, then that is permitted as will be explained, Allah willing.

If a Khārijite rebels against a ruler known for justice, then people are obliged to fight him. If the ruler is impious, and the Khārijite shows his justice, people should not hurry to help the Khārijite until his business is clear with regard to his justice or the community agree to depose the first. That is because anyone who seeks that might make a show of righteousness in himself until he is well established and then he reverts to his normal behaviour which is not what he was showing.

As for there being two or three rulers at the same time in the same land, the consensus is that that is not permitted. Imam Abu-l-Ma‘ālī
said, ‘Our people believe that it is forbidden to give rule to two individuals at the opposite ends of the world. Then they say that if leadership is given to two individuals, that is like marriage in which two guardians give a woman in marriage to two husbands without either of them being aware of the contract of the other. That which I believe is that giving leadership to two people in one region with narrow territory is not permitted, and there is consensus on that. When there is a great distance between the two rulers, then there is scope for that and it is not absolutely prohibited.’

Abū Isḥāq said, ‘It is permitted when the two regions are far apart so that people’s rights and rulings will not be neglected.’ The Karrāmites believe that it is permitted to have two rulers and do not give any detail and so they must allow that in the same land and believe that both Muʿāwiya and ʿAlī were rulers. They said that if there are two in two lands or regions, each of them carries out what he is in charge of. That is because it is permitted for two Prophets to be sent at the same time and that does not lead to the invalidation of Prophethood. Therefore it is even more likely to apply to leadership and that does not lead to the invalidation of leadership. The answer to this is that it would be permitted had not the Sharīʿah forbidden it because the Prophet said, ‘Kill the second of them’ and because the Community agree on that. Muʿāwiya did not claim leadership for himself. He claimed to be governor of Syria because he was appointed by the prior ruler. This indicates the consensus of the Community in their time that there is only one leader. Neither of them said, ‘I am a ruler and opposite me is a ruler.’ That is even though it is not logically impossible. The consensus is stronger.

They said, ‘Why put on it one who will cause corruption on it and shed blood,

We know definitely that the angels only know what they are informed about and have no foreknowledge. That applies to all angels because Allah says in praise of them: ‘They do not precede Him in
speech’ (21:27).

As to why they asked this question, it is said that it means that when they heard the word “khalīfah”, they understood that the sons of Ādam would cause corruption since the task of the caliph is to put things right. They, however, made the label of disobedience apply to all human beings. So to cheer their hearts, Allah made it clear to them that some humans would cause corruption and some would not. That was confirmed when Allah taught Ādam the names and unveiled knowledge to him, which had previously been hidden. It is also said that they asked the question because they had seen the corruption and bloodshed of the jinn who had inhabited the earth before the creation of Ādam. So Allah sent Iblīs against them with an army of angels and they killed them and drove them into the seas and to the tops of the mountains. That was when pride entered Iblīs’s heart. Their words, ‘Why put…?’ is thus a simple question. ‘Is this caliph going to be like the jinn or not?’”

Ibn Zayd and others said that Allah informed them that the caliph’s descendants would cause corruption and shed blood and so they asked this, either from astonishment that Allah was appointing a species who would disobey him or because they considered both the appointment and the disobedience terrible.

**when we glorify You with praise**

Its meaning is ‘We disassociate You from any attribute which is not appropriate for You.’ By their glorification they disassociate Him from all defects through His exaltation. Ṭalḥah ibn ‘Ubaydullāh said, ‘I asked the Messenger of Allah  about the meaning of “Glory be to Allah”, and he answered, “It is disassociating Allah from every defect.”’ It is derived from the verbal root sabḥ, which means swimming and travelling a long distance.

Interpreters disagree about the interpretation of the glorification of the angels. Ibn Mas‘ūd and Ibn ‘Abbās said that it is their prayer, as in the words of Allah: ‘If it had not been that he was a man who glorified
Allah’ (37:143), meaning ‘one of those who pray’. It is said that their glorification is raising their voices with dhikr, as al-Mufaddal says. Qatādah said that it is their saying of ‘subhānallāh’ as is usual linguistically. That is confirmed according to what is related by Abū Dharr from the Messenger of Allah who, when he was asked ‘Which words are best?’, replied, ‘Those which Allah chose for His angels and slaves: “Glory be to Allah with His praise.”’ Muslim transmitted it. ‘Abd ar-Rahmān ibn Qurṭ reported that the Messenger of Allah said during the Night Journey, ‘Glory be to the High, the Most High. Glory be to Him and exalted is He!’ Al-Bayhaqī mentioned it.

_and proclaim Your purity?_

‘We exalt You, praise You and purify Your Name from those things which are not appropriate for You which heretics have ascribed to You,’ as Mujāhid, Abū Ṣāliḥ and others said. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and others said that it means: ‘We purify ourselves for You, seeking Your pleasure.’ Some people said that Qatādah said it means ‘pray’ and that taqdīs is prayer, but this is weak according to Ibn ‘Aṭiyah. I say that it is a sound meaning. Prayer contains exaltation, proclamation of purity and glorification. The Messenger of Allah used to say in his rukū‘ and prostration, ‘Glorious, Pure, Lord of the angels and the Rūḥ’. Ā’ishah related it and Muslim transmitted it. It means purification as is seen elsewhere in the Qur’an. The prayer is purification from wrong actions. Someone who performs the prayer enters the prayer in the most perfect state because it is the best of actions. Allah knows best.

He said, ‘I know what you do not know.’

Scholars disagree about the meaning of the words ‘what you do not know’. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Iblīs was proud when He was honoured and made the treasurer of heaven. He believed that it was his prerogative and he despised the potential for disbelief and disobedience inherent in Ādam. The angels said this, not knowing what Iblīs had inside
him, which was different to what they had. Then Allah told them, “I know what you do not know,” meaning that He knew what Iblīs really felt about Ādam.’ Qatādah said that it refers to the Prophets, men of virtue and people of obedience who Allah would place on earth. It is also possible that the meaning is general and includes all of that.

31 He taught Ādam the names of all things. Then He arrayed them before the angels and said, ‘Tell me the names of these if you are telling the truth.’

He taught Ādam the names of all things.

‘Teaching’ here means ‘inspiring with the necessary knowledge’. It is possible that it took place by means of the angel Jibrīl. Sufī scholars say, ‘He knew them when Allah taught him and remembered them when He made him do so but forgot the contract he had made because, in it, he relied on himself. Allah says: “We made a contract with Ādam before, but he forgot. We did not find that he had a firm resolve.”’ (20:115)’ Ibn ‘Aṭā’ said, ‘If those names had not been unveiled to Ādam, he would not have been able to tell them to the angels.’

Ādam’s kunyah (familiar name) is Abu-l-Bashar, which means ‘the father of humanity’. Abū Muḥammad is also used since Muḥammad is the Seal of the Prophets, as as-Suhaylī said. It is said that his kunyah was Abū Muḥammad in the Garden and Abu-l-Bashar on the earth.

There is disagreement about the derivation of the name Ādam. It is said that it is derived from the crust (ādam) and surface (adīm) of the earth and he was called that because he was created from it. Ibn ‘Abbās stated that. It is said that it is derived from admah, which is brownness. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk claimed that it is duskiness. An-Naḍr
claimed that it is whiteness and that Ādam was white, since they use this word for a white camel. I believe that the sound view is that it is derived from the surface of the earth. Saʿīd ibn Jubayr said, ‘Ādam received his name because he was created from the surface of the earth and he was called insān (human being) because he forgot (nasā).’ Ibn Saʿd mentioned that in the Ṭabaqāt.

As-Suddī related from Abū Mālik, from Abū Ṣāliḥ from Ibn ‘Abbās and from Murrah al-Hamdānī from Ibn Masʿūd about the creation of Ādam. He said, ‘Allah sent Jibrīl to the earth to bring him some of its mud. The earth said, “I seek refuge with Allah from your diminishing or marring me!” He returned without taking anything. He said, “Lord, it sought refuge from me with You and so I gave it refuge.” He sent Mīkā’il and it sought refuge from him and he gave it. He returned and said the same as Jibrīl said. So he sent the Angel of Death and it sought refuge from him and the angel said, “I seek refuge with Allah from returning without what He commanded me to carry out.” So he took from the surface of the earth and mixed it, not taking it all from one place. He took red, white and black mud, and that is why the sons of Ādam emerge as different colours. That is also why he is named Ādam: because he took from the surface (adīm) of the earth. He ascended with it and Allah Almighty said to him, “Did you not show mercy to the earth when it entreated you?” He answered, “I saw that Your command was more binding that its words.” He said, “You are fit for taking the souls of his children.” He moistened the earth until it became sticky mud whose parts cling to one another. Then he left it until it became fetid. That is His words: “formed from fetid black mud.” (15:26) Then He said to the angels: “I am going to create a human being out of clay, When I have formed him and breathed My Rūḥ into him, fall down in prostration to him!” (38:71-72)

‘Allah created him with His own hand so that Iblīs would not vaunt himself over him. He said, “Do you vaunt yourself over what I have created with my own hand when I am not?” So He created him as a
human being and it was a body of forty years on Friday. The angels passed by him and were alarmed at him when they saw him. Iblīs had the greatest fear. He would pass by him and hit him and the body would make a noise like clay that had a ringing sound. That is His words, “earth like dried clay.” (55:14) He entered by his mouth and emerged from his anus and Iblīs said to the angels, “Do not fear this thing. It is hollow. If I have control over him, I will destroy him.” It is said that when he passed by him with the angels he said, ‘Do you see this being whose like you have not seen among creatures? If he is preferred to you and you are commanded to obey him, do not do it!’ They replied, ‘We will obey our Lord’s command.’ So Iblīs concealed inside himself: ‘If he is preferred to me, I will not obey him. If preference is given to him, I will destroy him!’

When the time came when He wanted to breathe the rūḥ into him, He said to the angels, ‘When I breathe some of My Rūḥ into him, then prostrate to him.’ When he breathed the rūḥ into him and it entered into his head, he sneezed. The angels told him, ‘Say: “Praise belongs to Allah.”’ So he said, ‘Praise belongs to Allah.’ Allah said to him, ‘May your Lord have mercy on you.’ When the rūḥ entered into his eyes, he looked at the fruits of the Garden. When it entered his belly, he desired food and jumped up before the rūḥ had reached his feet, hastening for the fruit of the Garden. That is when He says: ‘Man was created hasty.’ (21:37) ‘Then the angels prostrated all together, every one of them – except Iblīs. He disdained to be one of the prostrators.’ (15:30)

At-Tirmidhī related that Abū Mūsā al-Ashʿarī said that he heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘Allah Almighty created Ādam from a handful that He took from all over the earth. So the sons of Ādam come according to that earth: some of them are red, some white and some black, and colours between that, easy-tempered and rough, foul and good.’ Abū ‘Īsā said that it is a sound ḥasan ḥadīth.

‘Names’ here means expressions. ‘Ism’ can be undefined and mean something named as you say, ‘Zayd is standing’ and the ‘The lion is
standing.’ ‘Naming’ means their essence. It is also said that ‘essence’ (dhāl), ‘self’ (nafṣ), ‘source’ (‘ayn) and ‘ism’ mean the same. That is true of many of its usages in the Qur’an.

The people of interpretation disagree about the meaning of the names mentioned in this āyah. Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Ikrimah, Qatādah, Mujāhid and Ibn Jubayr said that He taught him the names of all things, large and small. ‘Āṣim ibn Kulayb related that Sa‘d, the freedman of al-Ḥasan ibn ‘Alī, said, ‘I was sitting with Ibn ‘Abbās when they mentioned the noun for vessel and the noun for whip. Ibn ‘Abbās said, “He taught Ādam all the names.”’ This idea is related by a marfūʿ transmission and the words demands all these meanings since it is a word which is comprehensive and unspecified. We find in al-Bukhārī from Anas that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The believers will be gathered on the Day of Rising and will say, “We should ask someone to intercede with our Lord.” They will go to Ādam and say, “You are the father of mankind. Allah created you with His hand, the angels prostrated to you and He taught you the names of all things.”’

Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘This āyah contains evidence that language is learned by being informed of it and that Allah Almighty taught it to Ādam in detail and in general.’ That is like what Ibn ‘Abbās said: ‘He taught him the names of all things, even the bowl and milk-pan.’ Shaybān related that Qatādah said, ‘He taught Ādam the names of His creation which He had not taught to the angels. He gave the names of every thing and use of all things and their species.’ An-Naḥḥās said, ‘This is the best of what has been related regarding this. It means that He taught him the names of the species and informed him of their uses.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘He taught him the names of the angels and his descendants.’ He preferred this. Ibn Zayd said, ‘He taught him the names of all his descendants.’ Ar-Rabīʿ ibn Khuthaym said that it was the names of the angels in particular. Al-Qutabī said that it was the names of what He created
in the earth. It is said that it is the names of the species and categories. The first view is sounder, Allah willing.

**Then He arrayed them before the angels**

Interpreters disagree about whether the presentation of the names involved the presentation of the things themselves, or simply their names, to the angels. Ibn Mas‘ūd says that it means to display the actual things. Others say that it just means the names. The verb ‘*araḍa* means to show or display something. It is used for displaying goods for sale. Ibn ‘Abbās and others said that it means He displayed the names. The variant reading of Ibn Mas‘ūd has: ‘*araḍahunna*’, referring to the names, not the persons because ‘*humna*’ is used for the feminine. The variant of Ubayy has ‘*araḍahā*’. Mujāhid said, ‘those with names’. The second reading would indicate that they are the names and the second that it is the individuals. That would also be the case with ‘*hum*’ (them). Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘It is clear that Allah Almighty taught Ādam the names and presented them to him with those individual species. Then He arrayed them before the angels and asked them for their names if they knew them. Then Ādam told them their names. Al-Māwardī said that the presentation refers to those names named.

Two things are said about the time of the arraying. One is that it was after they were created and the second is that He made the hearts of the angels give them form and then arrayed them.

There is disagreement about the first person to speak Arabic. It is related from Ka‘b al-Aḥbār that Ādam was the first to use Arabic and Syriac writing and books in all languages. Others besides Ka‘b have said that. It is said that it is related by another path that Ka‘b al-Aḥbār said, ‘Jibrīl was the first to speak Arabic, He is the one who taught it to Nūḥ and Nūḥ taught it to his son Sām.’ That is related from Thawr ibn Zayd from Khālid ibn Ma‘dān from Ka‘b. It is related that the Prophet ⲁ said, ‘The first to speak clear Arabic was Ismā‘īl when he was ten years old.’ It is also related that the first to
speak Arabic was Ya‘rub ibn Qaḥṭān. Other things are related. We say that the sound position is that the first to speak all human languages was Ādam. The Qur’an bears witness to this as Allah Almighty says: ‘He taught Ādam the names of all things.’ All languages are names and so they are included in this and the Sunnah states this. The Prophet said, ‘He taught Ādam the names of all things even bowls.’ It is mentioned that it is possible that Ismā‘īl was the first of Ibrāhīm’s children to speak Arabic. If other things are sound, it is possible that he was the first of his tribe to speak Arabic. Allah knows best.

and said, ‘Tell Me the names of these if you are telling the truth.’

‘If the sons of Ādam are simply going to cause corruption on the earth, then show Me that you have the same knowledge that I have taught this new creature.’ In other words there is far more to a human being than the angels were able to perceive. Al-Mubarrad says that. ‘Ṣādiqīn’ (telling the truth) means ‘truly knowing’. That is why the angels were unable to try and answer and instead said, ‘Glory be to You!’ as an-Naḥḥās related. If truthfulness had not been stipulated, they could have made an effort to answer.

32 They said, ‘Glory be to You! We have no knowledge except what You have taught us. You are the All-Knowing, the All-Wise.’

“Glory be to You” beyond anyone but You knowing the Unseen.’ This is their response to ‘Tell me.’ They answered that they only know what Allah has taught them and do not concern themselves with what they do not know as the ignorant do. From this comes the obligation for someone who is asked for knowledge he does not possess to say, ‘Allah knows best, I do not know,’ in imitation of the angels, Prophets, and virtuous scholars. The Prophet told us, however, that, when the scholars died, true knowledge would
disappear and we would be left with ignorant people who would be asked for fatwas and give fatwas according to their own opinion. So they will be misguided and also misguide others.

As for the reports related from the Prophet ﷺ, his Companions and the Tābi‘ûn after them about the meaning of the āyah, it is related by al-Bustī in his Musnad from Ibn ‘Umar that a man asked the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, ‘What place is worst?’ He replied, ‘I do not know until I ask Jibrîl.’ He asked Jibrîl who replied, ‘I do not know until I ask Mîkâ‘îl.’ He said, ‘The best of places are the mosques and the worst of places are the markets.’ Abû Bakr said to a grandmother, ‘Come back later so that I can consult the people.’ ‘Alî said three times, ‘It is most cooling for the liver.’ ‘What is that, Amîr al-Mu‘minîn?’ they asked. He said, ‘That a man is asked about what he does not know and says, ‘Allah knows best.’’ Ibn ‘Umar was asked about something and said, ‘I have no knowledge of it.’ When the man turned back, Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘Excellent is what Ibn Umar said! He was asked about what he did not know and said, ‘I have no knowledge of it’!’ Ad-Dârimî mentioned it in his Musnad.

We find in Ṣaḥîḥ Muslim that Abû ‘Aqîl Yahyā ibn Al-Mutawakkel, the companion of Buhayyah said, ‘I was sitting with al-Qâsim ibn ‘Ubaydullâh and Yahyâ ibn Sa’d and Yahyâ said to al-Qâsim, “Abû Muḥammad, how ugly it is for a great man like you to be asked about something in the dîn and then not to have any knowledge about it nor any answer!” Al-Qâsim asked him, “Why is that?” He answered, “Because you are the son of two imams of guidance: Abû Bakr and ‘Umar.” Al-Qâsim said to him, “More ugly than that is if, having knowledge from Allah, I should speak without knowledge or take from an untrustworthy source.” He was silent and did not answer.’ Mâlik ibn Anas said, ‘I heard Ibn Hurmuz say, “A scholar must bequeath ‘I do not know’ to his companions after him so that it is a fundamental principle in their hands.”’ Al-Haytham ibn Jamîl said, ‘I saw Mâlik ibn Anas asked about forty-eight questions and
There are many examples of this that are transmitted from the Companions, Tābi‘ūn and Muslim fuqahā’. That leads to attacking leadership and lack of balance in knowledge. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘Part of the blessing of knowledge and proper manners in knowledge is balance in it. If someone is not balanced in it, he does not understand or make others understand.’ Yūnus ibn ‘Abd al-A‘lā said that he heard Ibn Wahb say that he heard Mālik ibn Anas say, ‘There is nothing more lacking in our time than balance.’

If this was the case in Mālik’s time, then what is it like in our time when corruption is widespread and there are many common people and people seek leadership in it for the sake of leadership, not study. Instead it is for reputation in this world and for overpowering contemporaries through debate and argument which hardens the hearts and results in spite. That is part of what cones from lack of taqwā and lack of fear of Allah Almighty. Where is this in respect of what is related about what happened when ‘Umar talked about not making the dower of women more than forty ūqiyyahs, even if for a woman of lineage. A tall woman stood up and said, ‘You cannot say that!’ He said, ‘Why?’ She said, ‘Because Allah Almighty says: “If you have given your wife a large amount, do not take any of it.”’ (4:20)’ ‘Umar said, ‘The woman is correct and the man is wrong!’

Wakī‘ related from Abū Mash‘ar that Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b al-Qurṭubī said, ‘A man asked ‘Alī about something and he spoke about it. The man said, “It is not like that, Amīr al-Mu’mīnīn! It is like this.” ‘Alī said, “You are correct and I erred. Above everyone with knowledge is a Knower.”’ Abū Muḥammad Qāsim ibn Aṣbagh said, ‘When I travelled to the east, I stayed in Qayrawān. I took the hadith of Musaddad from Bakr ibn Ḥammād. Then I travelled to Baghdad and met people. When I finished, I returned to him to complete the hadith of Musaddad. One day I read to him the hadith of the Prophet ﷺ: “Some people of Muḍar came in striped shirts...
(mujtābi-n-nimār) …” and he said that it was “ath-thimār”. I said, “Mujtābi-n-nimār’ is how I read it to all of those to whom I read it in Andalusia and Iraq.” He said, “By going to Iraq, you have contradicted us and become arrogant towards us! Let us go to that Shaykh (who was in the mosque). He has knowledge of such things.” We went to him and questioned him about that and he said that it was as I had said. Bakr ibn Ḥammād said, taking hold of his nose, “My nose is forced to the truth. My nose is forced to the truth.” He left.’

‘All-Knowing’ (al-‘Alīm) is a form used for emphasis. He is the One with great knowledge of His creation. ‘Al-Ḥakīm’ is the Judge. It is again used for emphasis.

33 He said, ‘Ādam, tell them their names.’ When he had told them their names, He said, ‘Did I not tell you that I know the Unseen of the heavens and the earth, and I know what you make known and what you hide?’ He said, ‘Ādam, tell them their names.’

Allah commanded him to tell the angels their names after they were arrayed before them so that they would know that He knows best what He asked them about. This was in order to demonstrate Ādam’s excellence and high position. He was better than them since he had greater knowledge than them and they were made to prostrate before him and become his students and ordered to learn from him. This demonstrated that he had the rank of majesty and greatness.

Some scholars use this as evidence for the Prophethood of Ādam before he was in the Garden since Allah says: ‘Tell them their names,’ and so Allah commanded him to inform the angels of that which they had no knowledge.
This āyah contains evidence for the excellence of knowledge and its possessors. In a hadith we find: ‘The angels lower their wings to anyone who seeks knowledge for the pure pleasure of seeking it.’ They do that for scholars alone because that is what Allah obliged them to do in the case of Ādam and so they continue to behave in the same way. When knowledge appears in a person, they are humble to him out of respect for knowledge and its possessors and pleasure at his seeking it and being involved with it. This is merely for the seekers of knowledge; how much more must that be so in the case of its masters!

Scholars disagree about who is better: the angels or the children of Ādam. Some people say that the Messengers among human beings are better than the Messengers from the angels and that human awliyā’ are better than the awliyā’ of the angels. Some people say that the Highest Assembly is better. Their argument is that they are ‘honoured slaves, who do not precede Him in speech’ (21:26-27), and other similar āyahs. There is also the ḥadīth qudsī in which Allah says, ‘If he mentions Me in an assembly, I mention him in an assembly better than them.’

Those who seek evidence for the superiority of the children of Ādam quote the āyah: ‘Those who believe and do right actions, they are the best of creatures’ (98:7) and the words of the Prophet ☪: ‘The angels lower their wings to anyone who seeks knowledge for the pure pleasure of seeking it.’ There are other hadiths in which Allah boasts of mankind to the angels and He only boasts of the best. Allah knows best.

Some scholars have said that there is no way of concluding that the Prophets are better than the angels or that the angels are better than them, because the only way of doing so would be if there was a definitive report from Allah or from His Messenger or the consensus of the Community and nothing of that sort exists. This differs from the Qadariyyah and Qāḍī Abū Bakr who said that the angels are
better. As for the statement of our people and the Shīʿah that the Prophets are better because Allah Almighty commanded the angels to prostrate to Ādam, they are told that the person to whom someone prostrates is not necessarily better than the one who prostrates. Do you not see that the Prophets and creation prostrate towards the Kaʿbah when it is agreed that the Prophets are better than the Kaʿbah? There is no disagreement that true prostration is only to Allah Almighty because prostration is worship and worship is only for Allah. This is clear.

He said, ‘Did I not tell you that I know the Unseen of the heavens and the earth,

This is proof that no one knows anything of the Unseen except what Allah informs Him of, like the Prophets or those whom Allah teaches. Astrologers, soothsayers and such people are all liars. This will be discussed in Sūrat al-Anʿām.

and I know what you make known

This refers to their words about Allah putting someone on the earth who would cause corruption there.

and what you hide?’

Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn Masʿūd and Saʿīd ibn Jubayr said that what is being referred to here is the pride and rebellion which Iblīs concealed in himself. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that ‘hide’ is in the plural when only one person is meant. This is a common Arabic usage. One group said that making known and hiding has an undefined meaning about their secret and public aspects. Mahdī ibn Maymūn said, ‘We were with al-Ḥasan when al-Ḥasan ibn Dīnār asked him, “What did the angels hide?” He replied, “When Allah created Ādam, the angels saw an extraordinary creature. It was as if something about that worried them. Then they turned confidentially to one another and said, ‘Why are we concerned about this creature! Allah has not created anything but that we are more honoured than it in His sight.’”’
34 We said to the angels, ‘Prostrate to Ādam!’ and they prostrated, with the exception of Iblīs. He refused and was arrogant and was one of the unbelievers.

We said to the angels, ‘Prostrate to Ādam!’ and they prostrated,

‘Prostrate’ here means to be humble and submit. Those who say that Ādam and his sons are better than the angels find evidence in Allah’s words to the angels here: ‘Prostrate to Ādam!’ They say that this indicates that he was better than them. The answer to that is that the meaning of this ‘prostrate’ simply means to be humble in front of Ādam. An eye which ‘prostrates’ drops its gaze. The furthest extent of that is to put one’s face on the ground. Ibn Fāris said, ‘Someone prostrates when he goes low. All that prostrates is humbled.’ It also means to bow the head.

If someone were to ask, ‘If he was not better than them, what was the point of telling them to prostrate to him?’ the answer is that, when the angels exulted in their glorification and praise, Allah commanded them to prostrate to something other than Him in order to show them that He had no need of them and their worship. Some say that they criticised and belittled Ādam and did not recognise the special nature of what Allah had created and so they were commanded to prostrate. It could be that it was a punishment for them because of what they said.

It is said that Ibn ‘Abbās found evidence for the supreme excellence of human beings in the fact that Allah swore by the life of the Messenger ☪ and said: ‘By your life! They were wandering blindly in their drunkenness’ (15:72) and granted him security from punishment in His words: ‘So that Allah may forgive you your earlier errors and any later ones.’ (48:2) He said to the angels: ‘Were any of them to say, “I am a god apart from Him,” We would repay him with Hell.’ (21:29) The answer to this is
that He did not swear by the life of the angels as He did not swear by His life. He swore by heaven and earth and that did not indicate that they were worth more than the Throne and the seven Gardens. He also swore by the fig and the olive. There is no proof in what he said.

People disagree about how the angels prostrated to Ādam, although they agree that the prostration was not worship. Most say that this command to the angels was for them to place their foreheads on the ground as is done in the prayer because the form of prostration is clear in both custom and the Sharī‘ah. Thus the prostration was to honour him because of his excellence and to obey Allah’s command to them. Ādam acted as their qiblah. Some people say that it was not the ‘sajdah’ (act of prostration) that is known today but rather refers to the basic linguistic meaning of the word, which is abasement and obedience. So the meaning is that humbled themselves before Ādam and acknowledged his virtue. So ‘prostrated’ simply means ‘did what they were commanded.’

There is also disagreement about whether the prostration was exclusively to Ādam, so that it is not permitted to prostrate to anyone else in existence except Allah, or whether such prostration was permitted until the time of Ya‘qūb, based on the words of Allah: ‘He raised his parents up onto the throne. The others fell prostrate in front of him.’ (12:100). Most, however, say that this kind of prostration was permitted right up until the time of the Messenger of Allah ⲙ. When the trees and camels prostrated before him, the Companions said to him, ‘We are more entitled to prostrate to you than trees and stray camels.’ He told them, ‘It is not permitted to prostrate to anyone except the Lord of the worlds.’ Ibn Mājah related this in his Sunan and al-Bustī in his Ṣaḥīḥ. When Mu‘ādh came from Syria, he prostrated to the Messenger of Allah ⲙ who said, ‘What is this?’ He said, ‘Messenger of Allah, I went to Syria and saw them prostrate before their patriarchs and bishops. So I wanted to do that with
you.’ He stated, ‘No one should do that. If I had commanded anyone to prostrate to something, I would have commanded a wife to prostrate to her husband.’

**with the exception of Iblīs.**

The exception is connected to what was just mentioned before (i.e. the angels), as is generally stated. Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn Mas‘ūd and Ibn Jurayj and others said that Iblīs was one of the angels. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘His name was ‘Azāzīl and he was one of the noblest of the angels. He had four wings and then was deprived of his angelic status. When he disobeyed Allah, Allah cursed him and he became Shayṭān.’ Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said, ‘The jinn were a tribe of the angels, created from fire and Iblīs was one of them. The rest of the angels were created from light.’ Ibn Zayd, al-Ḥasan and Qatādah said, ‘Iblīs was the father of the jinn in the same way that Ādam was the father of human beings. He was not an angel.’ A similar statement is also related from Ibn ‘Abbās. He said that his name was al-Ḥārith in Arabic. Sahr ibn Ḥawshab and others say, ‘He was one of the jinn who were on earth. The angels fought them and captured him as a child and he worshipped with the angels.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī related that from Ibn Mas‘ūd.

Others find their evidence in Allah’s description of the angels: ‘*They do not disobey Allah in respect of any order He gives them and carry out what they are ordered to do*’ (66:6), and in the āyah: ‘*Iblīs was one of the jinn.*’ (18:50) The jinn are not angels. The proponents of the first position answer that nothing prevents Iblīs from issuing from the angels as a whole, since Allah knew that he would be wretched and He is not asked about what He does. There is nothing in the fact that he was created from fire nor in the development of his appetites, demonstrated by his becoming angry, that precludes him from being one of the angels. As for those who say that he was one of the jinn of the earth who was captured, to counter that it is related that Iblīs, accompanied by an army of angels, was the one who fought the jinn
on earth. Al-Mahdawī and others related that.

Ath-Tha’labī related that Ibn ‘Abbās said that Iblīs was from one of the clans of the angels who were called ‘jinn’ and who were created from smokeless fire. The angels were created from light. His name in Syriac was ‘Azāzīl and in Arabic al-Ḥārith. He was one of the guardians of the Garden and chief of the angels of the lowest heaven. He had authority over it and over the earth. He was one of the angels with the greatest striving and most knowledge. He used to manage what was between heaven and earth. Because of that he saw himself as great and noble. That is what led him to unbelief and to disobey Allah. Then he was transformed into the accursed Shayṭān. Sometimes the angels are referred to as ‘jinn’ because of their being hidden from sight in the same way. We find in Revelation: ‘They claim there is a blood-tie between Him and the jinn.’ (37:158)

He refused and was arrogant

There is a sound hadith from Abū Hurayrah in which the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When the son of Ādam recites a verse of prostration and prostrates, Shayṭān withdraws, weeping, saying, “O woe to me! Ādam was commanded to prostrate and prostrated, so he has the Garden. I was commanded to prostrate and refused, so I have the Fire.” Muslim transmitted it.

He refused to prostrate because he thought he was better than Ādam, which indicates that he considered the command and wisdom of Allah to be foolish. This is the arrogance about which the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Anyone who has an atom’s weight of pride in his heart will not enter the Garden!’ When a man said to the Prophet ﷺ that a man likes his clothing and appearance to be good, he said ﷺ, ‘Allah is beautiful and loves beauty. Pride is to disregard the truth and to despise people.’ Muslim transmitted it. The accursed one clearly expressed this when he said: ‘I am better than him. You created me from fire and You created him from clay.’ (38:76) Because of that, Allah made him an unbeliever. So anyone who considers any of the
commands of Allah Almighty or His Messenger to be foolish is subject to the same ruling as him. There is no dispute about this.

Ibn al-Qāsim said, ‘I heard that the first act of disobedience was envy and pride when Iblīs envied Ādam and Ādam desired to eat from the tree.’ Qatādah said, ‘Iblīs envied Ādam for the honour he had been given. He complained. “I am made of fire and this one is only made of clay.”’ So the first wrong action was pride, then greed which caused Ādam to eat from the tree, and then envy when the son of Ādam envied his brother.

**and was one of the unbelievers.**

This ‘was’ here means ‘became’. Most interpreters say that the meaning is: Allah knew that he would become an unbeliever because an unbeliever has one reality and a believer another and Allah knows them both. This is sound because of what the Prophet said, recorded in al-Bukhārī, ‘Actions are by their seals.’ It is said that Iblīs worshipped Allah for eighty thousand years and had leadership and was a guardian of the Garden, during all of which time he was gradually being drawn on, in the same way that hypocrites say the *shahādah* with their tongues and that Balʿam had the greatest Name on his tongue. He had leadership but pride was firmly rooted in him. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘He thought that he had greater excellence than the angels, which is why he said, “I am better than him.”’ This is why Allah said: ‘What prevented you from prostrating to what I created with My own Hands? Were you overcome by arrogance or are you one of the exalted?’ (38:75) The basis of his creation was the fire of Might, which is shown by the fact that He swore by it when he said: ‘By Your Might, I will mislead all of them.’ (38:82). Might brings about pride so that he thought that he was better than Ādam. Abū Ṣāliḥ said, ‘The angels were created from the lights of Might and Iblīs from the fire of Might.’

Our scholars say that, if someone is not a Prophet and Allah displays miracles and the breaking of normal patterns at his hand, it
does not necessarily indicate that he is saint. This is opposed to what
the Sufis and Shi’ites say about this matter, indicating that if he had
not been a wali, Allah would not have made appear at his hands
what He did. Our proof is that the knowledge that one of us is a wali
of Allah can only be validated after it is clear that he has died a
believer. If it is not known that someone died a believer, it cannot be
unequivocally stated that he is a wali of Allah. That is only proven by
faith. When we agree that we cannot unequivocally state that
someone is true to faith and that a man cannot unequivocally state
that about himself, it is known that that does not indicate someone is
a wali of Allah. They said, ‘We cannot deny that some of His awliyā’
are known by their good outcome, the final seal on their actions, and
other such things.’ Shaykh Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Ash‘arī and others said
that. Aṭ-Ṭabarī believed that Allah intended the story of Iblīs to be a
deterrent to those human beings who resemble him.

There is disagreement about whether there was any unbeliever
before Iblīs or not. Some say that Iblīs was the first to disbelieve and
it is also said that there were unbelievers of the jinn before him, who
were on the earth. There is also disagreement about whether his kufr
was out of ignorance or obstinacy, both things being said by the
people of the Sunnah. There is no disagreement that he knew Allah
before his disbelief. Those who say that he disbelieved out of
ignorance say that knowledge was stripped from him when he
disbelieved. Those who say that he disbelieved out of obstinacy say
that he disbelieved in spite of his knowledge, Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said,
‘Unbelief with continuing knowledge is unlikely, but still possible.
Allah can disappoint whomever He wills.’

35 We said, ‘Ādam, live in the Garden, you and your
wife, and eat freely from it wherever you will. But do not
We said, ‘Ādam, live in the Garden, you and your wife’

There is no disagreement that Allah expelled Iblīs when he became an unbeliever and put him far from the Garden and that afterwards He commanded Ādam ‘to live’ (uskun) in the Garden, in other words, to abide there and take up residence there. Sakan, from the same root, means any place that one feels at home in, sikkīn is a knife, which is so called because it stills the movement of the slaughtered animal; miskīn (indigent) is derived from the same root since a poor person cannot move about through lack of means; sukkan is the rudder of the ship because it keeps it steady.

The āyah shows that living in a place does not necessarily constitute ownership of it. One of the gnostics said that the use of the word ‘uskun’ (live in the imperative) shows that it was for a time that would come an end. So the original entry of Ādam and Ḥawwā’ into the Garden was one of a temporary and not a permanent nature. If this understanding is correct there is evidence in it for what most scholars say: that if someone gives a man a place to live, the man does not own it by living there and the owner can evict him when the tenant’s term of residency ends. Ash-Sha‘bī said, ‘If a man says, “My house is a residence (suknā) for you until you die,” it is his for his life and death. But if he says, “Live (uskun) in this house of mine until you die,” it reverts to the owner when he dies.” Suknā and ‘umrā are similar although there is greater disagreement about the ‘umrā which will be discussed in Hūd. Al-Ḥarbī said that he heard Ibn al-A‘rābī say, ‘The Arabs did not disagree that these things are based on the property of the owners and its uses that can be assigned in the form of ‘umrā, ruqbā, ifqār, ikhbāl, minḥah, ‘ariyyah, suknā and iṭrāq (see definitions below). This is the argument of Mālik and his people for the fact that a person only owns the use of gifts except in the case of slaves. This is the view of al-Layth ibn Sa‘d, al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad and Yazīd ibn Qusayṭ.’
An ‘umrā is letting a man live in your house for the period of your life or his life. It is the same as a ruqbā. It is when you say, ‘If you die before me, it returns to me. If I die before you, it is yours.’ It comes from the term murāqabah which is that each of them watches (rāqaba) for the death of the other. That is why there is disagreement about whether it is forbidden or permitted. Abū Yūsuf and ash-Shāfi‘ī permitted it. They consider it to be like a bequest. Mālik and the Kufans forbade it because each of them aims for recompense and does not know whether he will obtain it or not. There are also two hadiths on the topic forbidding it and permitting it that Ibn Mājah mentioned in his Sunan. The first was related by Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh: the Messenger of Allah said, ‘An ‘umrā is permitted for someone who makes it. A ruqbā is permitted for the one who makes it.’ This hadith considers ‘umrā and ruqbā to be the same. The second is that Ibn ‘Umar related that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘There is no ruqbā. If someone watches for something, it is his in his life and death.’ He said that a ruqbā is that he says to someone else, ‘It is death from me and from you.’ The words ‘There is no ruqbā’ imply a prohibition while the words in the first hadith indicate permission. An-Naṣā‘ī transmitted both of them. It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās that ‘umrā and ruqbā are the same. Ibn al-Mundhir said that it is confirmed that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘An ‘umrā is permitted for someone who makes it. A ruqbā is permitted for someone who makes it.’ He said that the hadith is sound and it provides a proof for those who say that ‘umrā and ruqbā are the same. It is related from ‘Alī and that is the position of ath-Thawrī and Ahmad. That is what Ishāq said. Ṭāwus said, ‘If someone makes a ruqbā, it is like inheritance.’

Ifqār is taken from the word for the spine and the verb is used for letting someone have an animal to ride or letting someone have game. It is the same as ikhbāl. Minhāh is a gift of milk. Manīḥah is a she-camel or ewe that a man gives to another to milk and then it is
returned. The Messenger of Allah ☪️ said, ‘An āriyyah is carried out and a minḥah is returned. A debt is settled and a claimant is a creditor.’ Abū Umāmah related it and at-Tirmidhī, ad-Dāraquṭnī and others transmitted it. It is sound. Itrāq is lending a stud to a person when he asks for it to use in his camels.

The word zawj (wife) in the language of the Qur’an has no tā’ while it does have one in hadith. Ādam’s wife was Ḥawwā’ (Eve). She was the first to be called that when she was created from his rib without Ādam being aware of it. If pain had been involved in that process, men would not have affection for their wives. When he woke up, he was asked, ‘Who is this?’ ‘A woman,’ he answered. He was asked, ‘What is her name?’ ‘Ḥawwā’,” he replied. He was asked, ‘Why is she called “imra’ah” (woman)?’ He said, ‘Because she was taken from man (mar’).’ He was asked, ‘Why did you call her Ḥawwā’?’ He answered, ‘Because she was created from something living (hayy).’ It is related that the angels asked this to test his knowledge. They asked him, ‘Do you love her, Ādam?’ ‘Yes,’ he answered. They asked Ḥawwā’, ‘Do you love him, Ḥawwā’?’ ‘No,’ she replied while in her heart her love for him was many times more than his love. They said, ‘If any woman were to speak the truth about her love for her husband, Ḥawwā’ would have spoken the truth.’

Ibn Mas’ūd and Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘When Ādam was settled in the Garden, he walked in feeling lonely. While he slept, Ḥawwā’ was created from his shortest rib on the left side so that he might find repose with her and have companionship with her. When he woke up, he saw her and asked, “Who are you?” She answered, “A woman! I was created from your rib so that you might find repose with me.” That is the meaning of His words: “It is He Who created you from a single self and made from him his spouse so that he might find repose in her.” (7:189)’ Scholars say that this is why woman was created crooked because she was created from a curved rib. In the Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Abū Hurayrah said that the Messenger of Allah ☪️ said,
'Woman was created from a rib and the top of the rib is the most curved part of it. She will not be straightened. Enjoy her as she is. If you try to straighten her, you will break her, and the breaking is divorce.’ A poet said:

She is the crooked rib that you will not straighten.
If you straighten the rib, you break it.
Are weakness and strength combined in a lad?
Is it not a wonder how she is both weak and powerful?

It is from this that scholars derive the inheritance of a hermaphrodite when the signs of masculinity and femininity are the same in them. If one of the ribs is missing, then they receive the portion of a man. That is related from ‘Alī.

We ignore what the Mu’tazilites claimed about the Garden here not being the Garden of Eternity, but a garden on the earth. That is because Allah uses the definite article for it and the fact that when someone asks Allah for the Garden, using the definite article, He only means the Garden of Eternity. According to their innovation, if it had been the Garden of Eternity, Iblīs could not have entered it since Allah says: ‘no foolish talk and no wrong action in it’ (52:23) and similar āyahs. It is not logically impossible for Iblīs to have entered the Garden to tempt Ādam.

Mūsā met Ādam and Mūsā said to him, ‘You made your descendants wretched and expelled them from the Garden.’ He used the definite article to indicate that it was the Garden of Eternity. Ādam did not deny that. If it had been other than that, Ādam would have refuted it. As Ādam was silent in the face of what Mūsā said, it was clear that the abode from which Allah expelled him was not the abode to which they were expelled. As for the āyahs they cite as evidence, Allah made those words about it refer to after its people enter it on the Day of Rising. That does not prevent the Abode of Eternity being eternal for those for whom Allah wills it while those for whom annihilation is decreed leave it. It is agreed that the angels
enter and leave the Garden. Its keys were in the possession of Iblīs and then they were taken from him after he disobeyed. During the Night Journey, the Prophet  entered it and left it. He reported what it contains and that it is truly the Garden of Eternity.

If someone claims that what is meant is the Holy Land, which Allah purified of sins, that is ignorance on their part, because Allah commanded the tribe of Israel to enter the Holy Land, which was Greater Syria. The people who follow the Sharīʿah agree that Allah purified the Holy Land, but nevertheless acts of rebellion, disbelief and lying occurred in it. These things were not prevented by its being sanctified. Abu-l-Ḥasan ibn Baṭṭāl said that some shaykhs related that the people of the Sunnah agree that the Garden of Eternity is the one from which Ādam descended. There is no sense in the position of those who disagree with that. They ask how it is possible that with his perfect knowledge, Ādam sought the Tree of Immortality in the Abode of Eternity, the question is reversed to them and they are asked how would it be possible for Ādam to seek the Tree of Immortality in the abode of annihilation! This is not permitted by anyone who has the smallest amount of intelligence.

The majority recite ‘raghad’ (freely) while an-Nakhaʾī and Ibn Waththāb recite ‘raghd’.

**but do not approach this tree**

Do not go near it to eat from it. It is said that it means ‘do not touch it’ or ‘do not go close to it’. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that this is a clear example of sadd ʿdh-dharāʾiʿ (the legal principle of barring the means to wrong action). Some of those who have a fine grasp of the use of language say that the instruction not to approach, in itself indicates that they were, in fact, going to fall into error and leave the Garden and not dwell in it forever, because someone who is eternal is not commanded or forbidden anything. The evidence of this is in the words of Allah: ‘I am putting a caliph on the earth’ (2:30), showing that Ādam was definitely going to leave the Garden.
A tree is a plant with a trunk. Interpreters disagree about what type of tree this was. Ibn Mas‘ūd, Ibn ‘Abbās, Sa‘id ibn Jubayr and others say that it was a grapevine and that is why wine was forbidden us. Ibn ‘Abbās, Abū Malik and Qatādah say that it was wheat. Wahb ibn Munabbih said that when Allah relented towards Ādam, he made it the food of his descendants. Ibn Jurayj said that some of the Companions said that it was a fig tree as is reported from Qatādah. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that there is no specification of what it was which can be reliably relied upon. What is correct is to believe that Allah forbade Ādam a particular tree and then he went to it and disobeyed Him by eating from it. The tree was a test.

They also disagree about how he could eat from it in the light of the threat which follows it, ‘and so become wrongdoers.’ Some people said that they ate from other than the tree which was indicated and did not interpret the prohibition as applying to the entire species, which suggests that Iblīs deluded them into taking an over-literal understanding of Allah’s instruction to them. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘It was the first act of disobedience according to this view.’ It is also said that Ādam ate out of forgetfulness, forgetting the threat. The soundness of that view is indicated by what Allah says about it in His Book: ‘We made a contract with Ādam before, but he forgot. We did not find that he had a firm resolve.’ (20:117) Since the Prophets are obliged to be cautious and aware because of their great knowledge and position, their obligation is greater than that of others and so by being distracted from a prohibition one becomes disobedient or in opposition.

Abū Umāmah said, ‘If the forbearance of the sons of Ādam since the time Allah created creation until the Day of Rising been placed in one pan of the balance and that of Ādam had been placed in the other, it would have outweighed it and Allah says: “We did not find that he had a firm resolve.”’ This view can be general to all the sons of Ādam and particularly about Muḥammad ﷺ who had the greatest
forbearance. It can also mean the forbearance of the sons of Ādam is not that of the Prophets. Allah knows best. The first view is good.

It is said that the first of the two to eat from it was Ḥawwā’ because Iblīs misled her and that the first of his words were to her because she could whisper to her husband and that it was the first trial for men from women. When she mentioned it to Ādam, he rejected it and mentioned the contract. Iblīs persisted with Ḥawwā’ who, in turn, persisted with Ādam until she said, ‘I will eat before you. If anything happens to me, you will be safe.’ She ate and was not harmed and went to Ādam and said, ‘I ate and it did not harm me.’ So he ate and then their private parts became clear to them and they fell into wrong action. This is because the command to them was in the dual. So there was no punishment until they had both done it. It is sound that there was no full disobedience until they had both done it. Ādam did not see this fact and so he forgot the ruling.

That is why some scholars say that if someone says to his two wives or two slave-girls, ‘If you enter the house, you are divorced, or free,’ the divorce or emancipation does not occur if only one of them enters. Scholars disagree about that, taking three different positions. Ibn al-Qāsim said that divorce or emancipation only takes place when they both enter, based on the basic principle and taking the general meaning of the word. Saḥnūn also said that. Ibn al-Qāsim said another time that they are both divorced and both freed when one of them enters because part of breaking an oath is breaking all of it. So if someone swears that he will not eat these two loaves, he breaks it by eating one of them, even one bite of them. Ashhab said that only the one who enters is divorced or freed because the entry of each of them is a precondition for divorce or emancipation. Ibn al-ʻArabī said that this is unlikely because part of a precondition is not a precondition by consensus.

What is sound is the first view. If the prohibition is connected to two acts, it is not broken except by both of them. If you tell two
people not to enter a house and then one of them enters it, both have not differed from what was prohibited. So Allah’s words: ‘Do not approach this tree’ is a prohibition directed to the two of them. ‘So become wrongdoers’ is its apodosis. They are not wrongdoers until they both do it. Therefore when she ate from it, she did not incur anything because what was forbidden did not fully exist. This meaning was hidden from Ādam and he wanted to eat and forgot this ruling. This is the sense of Allah’s words: ‘We made a contract with Ādam before, but he forgot.’ (20:115) It is said that he forgot His words: ‘This is an enemy for you and your wife, so do not let him expel you from the Garden and thus make you miserable.’ (20:117)

Scholars disagree about whether the Prophets can commit minor wrong actions, for which they are punished, or not, although there is a consensus that they are protected from major wrong actions and every vice in which there is disgrace or imperfection. Aṭ-Ṭabarī and other fuqahā’, mutakallimūn and hadith scholars say that minor wrong actions are possible for them, while the Shiʿites maintain that they are protected from all of that. Some of the fuqahā’ of Mālik, Abū Ḥānīfah and ash-Shāfiʿī say that they are protected from all minor wrong actions as they are protected from all major wrong actions because we are commanded to follow them in their actions and conduct completely without attention to context. If they were allowed minor wrong actions, it would not be possible to follow them since it would not be possible to judge which of their actions were right and which were wrong.

Some later scholars took the former position, saying that Allah would report about any wrong actions that occurred and rebuke them for them so we would know which they were. They also reported this about themselves, were frightened by it and repented of it. That is found in many cases, so that all of them cannot be argued away. This does not detract from their position. Those instances were rare and due to forgetfulness or a mistake, or an
interpretation that led to that. They are good actions in respect of others and bad actions in respect of them because of their station and great worth. They are afraid about that in the Standing in the Rising even though they know that they are safe and secure. This is the truth. As al-Junayd said, ‘The good actions of the pious are the evil actions of those brought near.’ Even if that is reported from them in texts, that does not diminish their rank. Allah chose and purified them.

**and so become wrongdoers.’**

The root of the word for wrongdoers, *ẓulm*, means to put something in other than its proper place. Land which is ‘wronged’ (*maẓlūmah*) is land which had no well and then a well was dug. It is also called *ẓālim*. *Ẓālim* is also used for milk which is drunk before becoming thick. A man who is *ẓālim* inflicts great injustice. *Ẓulm* is *shirk* as Allah says: ‘Associating others with Him is a terrible wrong (ẓulm).’ (31:13)

36 But Shayṭān made them slip up by means of it, expelling them from where they were. We said, ‘Go down from here as enemies to each other! You will have residence on the earth and enjoyment for a time.’

but Shayṭān made them slip up by means of it,

The word for ‘slip up’ comes from the root *zillah* which mean error, so he made them fall into error. Allah says elsewhere: ‘Shayṭān made them slip for what they had done.’ (3:155) Ḥamzah recited ‘azāllahumā’ with an alif, meaning he pushed them away. Ibn Kaysān said that he made them move from the obedience they had to disobedience. According to this, both readings have the same idea although the reading of the majority has a stronger meaning from ‘zalla’ as in His words: ‘It was Shayṭān who made them slip for what they had done.’ (3:155)
This took place by means of whispering, which caused them to enter into error through their own disobedience. Shayṭān has no power to physically make a person do anything. His only power is to persuade people to commit an error on their own account. Thus the reason for Ādam’s moving from one place to the other was his own wrong action. It is said that the meaning is their actual slipping down from the Garden when Allah expelled them from it. That idea is found in the reading of Ḥamzah.

**expelling them from where they were.**

Their expulsion from the Garden to the earth was because they were created from it and so that Ādam could be a caliph on the earth. Iblīs did not intend to expel him from the Garden but simply wanted to make him fall from his rank and to put him far from Allah in the position he enjoyed. So Iblīs did not obtain his goal and, for this reason, his rancour, resentment and bad opinion increased even more. In fact the opposite took place. Allah says: ‘Then his Lord chose him and turned to him and guided him.’ (20:122) So Ādam became the caliph of Allah on the earth after he had been near Him in His abode. Ādam’s high rank can be said to be Iblīs’s doing because he was the reason for it and for the error which brought it about.

The commentators do not disagree that Iblīs undertook to make Ādam err but they disagree about how he did it. Ibn Mas‘ūd, Ibn ‘Abbās and the majority say that he did it as himself, and the evidence for that is the words of Allah: ‘He swore to them, “I am one of those who give you good advice.”’ (7:21) That entails speaking directly. Others, including ‘Abd ar-Razzāq from Ibn Wahb, say that he entered the Garden in the mouth of a snake. It had four legs like the best Bactrian camel created by Allah. He had presented himself to many animals but only the snake entered the Garden. When the snake brought him into the Garden, Iblīs emerged from its belly and took something from the Tree that Allah had forbidden to Ādam and Ḥawwā’. He took it to Ḥawwā’ and said, ‘Look at this tree!
How good it smells! How delicious it tastes! What a splendid colour!’ He kept tempting her until Ḥawwā’ took it and ate it.

Then he tempted Ādam. Ḥawwā’ told him, ‘Eat! I have eaten and it did not harm me!’ He ate some of it and then their private parts became visible to them and they incurred a wrong action. Ādam went inside the Tree and his Lord called out to him, ‘Where are you.’ ‘I am here Lord,’ he answered. He said, ‘Why do you not come out?’ He replied, ‘I am ashamed before you, Lord.’ He said, ‘Go down to the earth from which you were created.’ The snake was cursed and its legs went inside of it and enmity was engendered between it and the sons of Ādam. That is why we are commanded to kill it as will be explained. Ḥawwā’ was told, ‘Because you made the Tree bleed, so you will suffer bleeding every month and will be made to conceive and bear children multiple times, being close to death.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī and an-Naqqāsh added, ‘You will be foolish when you were wise.’

One group said that Iblīs did not enter the Garden to Ādam after he was expelled from it. He was tempted by his internal șaytān, and the power and whispering that Allah gave him, as the Prophet ☪ said, ‘Şaytān flows through the son of Ādam like blood.’ Allah knows best. The wisdom is that Ādam’s expulsion from the Garden was in order that this world should be inhabited.

It is said that the snake was a servant of Ādam in the Garden and it betrayed him by giving the enemy of Allah power over itself and showed enmity to him there. When they descended, that enmity was reinforced and the earth was made its provision. It was told, ‘You are an enemy to the sons of Ādam and they are your enemy. When one of them meets you, he will crush your head.’ Ibn ‘Umar related that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘There are five that can be killed while in շրամ.’ Snakes were among them. It is related that Iblīs said to it, ‘Take me into the Garden and you will be my responsibility.’ Sākinah bint al-Ja‘d related that Sarrā’ bint Nabhān al-Ghanawiyya
said that she heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘(Kill) snakes, small and large, black and white. Whoever kills them has a ransom from the Fire and whoever is killed by one is a martyr.’ Scholars say that there is ransom from the Fire because of their participation with Iblīs and helping him to harm Ādam and his children. Therefore if someone kills a snake, he has killed an unbeliever. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘An unbeliever and his killer are not ever joined in the Fire.’ Muslim and others transmitted it.

Ibn Jurayj related from ‘Amr ibn Dīnār from Abū ‘Ubaydah that Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘We were with the Prophet ﷺ at Minā when a snake passed by. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Kill it.” It raced ahead of us to a rock and entered it. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Bring a palm leaf and ignite a fire on it.”’ Our scholars said that this hadith qualifies the prohibition of mutilation by the Prophet ﷺ and of anyone punishing someone with Allah’s punishment.

Muslim related from ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd: ‘We were with the Prophet ﷺ in a cave when al-Mursalāt was revealed. We were taking it fresh from his mouth when a snake came out to us. He said, “Kill it.” We hastened to kill it but it got away from us. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Allah protected it from your evil and protected you from its evil.”’ He did not light a fire nor use any device to kill it. It is said that perhaps there was no fire available and so that was abandoned or fire would not help with the stone and the smoke would be harmful. Allah knows best.

The command to kill snakes is part of guidance towards repelling the evil feared from snakes. When it is known that they are harmful, then it is mandatory to go quickly to kill them since he ﷺ said, ‘Kill snakes with white lines on their backs and the short tailed. They cause loss of sight and miscarriage.’ So he singled them out although they are included in the general command and called attention to the reason for that, which is due to the immense harm they cause. Those whose harmful nature is not verified and which are outside of
houses are killed because of the general command and the fact that most snakes are harmful. Ibn Mas‘ūd transmitted that he said, ‘Kill all snakes. Whoever fears their revenge is not connected to me.’

As for snakes inside houses, they are not killed until they have been warned for three days since the Prophet ☪️ said, ‘There are jinn in Madīnah that have become Muslim. If you see any of them, warn them for three days.’ Some scholars take this hadith to only apply to Madīnah because of the jinn becoming Muslim. They said, ‘We do not know whether any of the jinn outside of those in Madīnah became Muslim.’ Ibn Nāfi‘ said that. Mālik said that it is forbidden to kill house jinn in all lands.’ That is sound because Allah Almighty says: ‘We diverted a group of jinn towards you to listen to the Qur’an.’ (46:29) We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said that the Prophet ☪️ said, ‘A summoner from the jinn came to me and I went to them and recited the Qur’an to them.’ It says in the hadith that they asked him about their provision. They were jinn from the peninsula. If this is confirmed, then none of them are killed until they are warned.

The imams related that Abu-s-Sā‘ib, the freedman of Hishām ibn Zuhrah, visited Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī in his house. He said, ‘I found him praying and sat down to wait until he finished his prayer. I heard a movement in some bundles of wood in a corner of the house. I turned around and saw that it was a snake. I jumped up to kill it but he indicated to me that I should remain sitting. I did so and when he finished, he pointed to a room of the house and asked, “Do you see this room?” “Yes,” I answered. He said, “Among us was a young man who had recently got married. We went with the Messenger of Allah ☪️ to the Trench and the young man would ask for permission from the Messenger of Allah ☪️ at midday to return to his wife. One day he gave him permission and told him, “Take your weapons with you. I fear that Qurayzah may harm you.” The man took his weapons and went back, He found his wife standing
between the two doors. He went at her with his spear to stab her out of jealousy. She told him, “Put away your spear. Enter the house and see what has made me come out!” He went in and there was a huge snake curled up on the bed. He thrust the spear at it and pierced it and then went out having fixed it in the house. But it escaped and bit him and no one knew which of them died first, the snake or the young man. We went to the Messenger of Allah and mentioned that to him. We said, “Pray to Allah to bring him back to life.” He said, “Ask forgiveness for your brother.” Then he said, “There are jinn in Madīnah that have become Muslim. If you see any of them, warn them for three days. Then if you still see it after that, kill it. It is a shaytān.” Another transmission has that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘There are those [jinn] who live in these houses. If you see any of them, warn them to leave for three days. If it does not leave, kill it. It is an unbeliever.’ The account continues: ‘He told them, “Go and bury your companion.”’

Our scholars say that it is not understood from this hadith that the jinn that this young man killed was a Muslim and that the jinn killed him in retaliation, because if it had been sound that retaliation had been prescribed between us and the jinn, that would only have been in the case of intentional killing. This young man did not intend to kill a Muslim soul since he had no knowledge of that. He had intended to kill something that it is legally permitted to kill. This is accidental killing in which there is no retaliation. It is more appropriate to say that unbelieving or impious jinn can be killed because of their companionship in enmity and vengeance. It killed Saʻd ibn ʻUbādah when he was found dead in his bathing area and his body had turned green. They were not aware of his death until they heard someone invisible saying:

We killed the master of Khazraj, Saʻd ibn ʻUbādah.
We shot him with an arrow that did not miss his heart!
The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘There are jinn in Madīnah who have become Muslim’ in order to clarify the method taken to avoid killing those of them who were Muslim and to overpower those who are unbelievers. It is related by various paths that ‘A’ishah, the wife of the Prophet ﷺ, killed a snake (jānn) and dreamt that someone said to her, ‘You killed a Muslim.’ She answered, ‘If it had been a Muslim, it would not have entered where the wives of the Prophet ﷺ were.’ He said, ‘It only entered when you were dressed.’ In the morning she ordered that twelve thousand dirhams be paid in the Cause of Allah.’ Ar-Rabī’ ibn Badr said that the jānn is one of the snakes whose killing the Prophet ﷺ forbade. It walks and does not coil.

As regards the manner of warning, Mālik said, ‘I prefer that it be warning for three days.’ Īsā ibn Dīnār said that, even if it appears several times a day. He does not confine himself to three times in one day; it has to be over three days. It is also said that three times is sufficient based on the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Warn it three times.’ ‘Three’ (thalāth) is feminine and so it means ‘three times’. Mālik’s view is more appropriate because the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Three days.’ It is a sound text which qualifies what is unqualified. Thābit al-Bunānī mentioned that when house snakes were mentioned to ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Abī Laylā, he said, ‘When you see any of them in your homes, say, “I ask you by the contract which Nūḥ made with you and the contract that Solomon made with you.” When you see any of them after that, then kill it.’

Jubayr ibn Nufayr related from Abū Thaʿlabah Jarthūm al-Khushanī that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘There are three sorts of jinn: a third of them fly with wings in the air, a third are snakes and dogs, and a third alight and travel on.’ Abu-d-Dardā’ ʿUmaymir related that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The jinn were created in three groups: one third are dogs, snakes and the vermin of the earth, a third are in the wind, and a third are like human beings with clothes and are subject to the punishment. Allah created three
types of human beings. One type have hearts with which they do not understand, eyes with which they do not see, and ears which do not hear. They are like cattle, rather they are more misguided. A third have bodies like those of human beings and hearts of shayṭāns. A third will be in the shade of Allah on the Day when there is no shade but His shade.’

Animals such as snakes, scorpions, mice, geckoes and the like, which basically cause injury, are killed without disagreement because of the harm they cause. The Prophet  said, ‘Five are vicious and are killed inside and outside the Ḥaram…’ The snake showed that its nature was vicious when it betrayed Ādam and let Iblīs into the Garden in its jaws. Iblīs told it that it was his responsibility and so the Messenger of Allah  commanded snakes to be killed, saying, ‘Kill them, even during the prayer,’ referring to snakes and scorpions. Alone among creatures, the gecko blew on the fire of Abraham and so it was cursed. This is similar to what was related about snakes. It is said that the Messenger of Allah  said, ‘If someone kills a gecko, it is as if he killed an unbeliever.’

According to Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Abū Hurayrah related that the Prophet  said, ‘If someone kills a gecko with the first blow, he has a hundred good deeds. There is less than that for the second and less still for the third.’ The mouse showed its nature when it deliberately went to the ropes of Nūḥ’s Ship and severed them. ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Abī Nu‘m related from Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī that the Messenger of Allah  said, ‘Someone in ḯhāriḥm can kill snakes, scorpions, kites, aggressive beasts, wild dogs and rats.’ The Messenger of Allah  woke up and a mouse had taken the wick [of a candle] to burn down the house and so the Messenger of Allah  commanded that it be killed. The crow showed its nature when the Prophet Nūḥ sent it from the ship to bring him news of the earth and it abandoned his command and went to a carcass. All of this is similar to what the snake did.
We said, ‘Go down from here’

This is addressed to Ādam, Ḥawwā’, the snake and Shayṭān according to Ibn ‘Abbās. Al-Ḥasan said that only Ādam, Ḥawwā’ and the whisperer are intended. Mujāhid and al-Ḥasan said it was all of the descendants of Ādam and Iblīs. The word from which ‘go down’ is derived is *hubūṭ*, meaning ‘to descend from a higher to a lower place’. Ādam landed in a place called Sarndib in India on a mountain called Būdh borne by a wind from the Garden which lingered among its trees and valleys and filled them with fragrance. Ḥawwā’ landed in Jiddah, Iblīs in Ubulla, and the snake in Baysan or Sijjistan. Sijjistan is full of snakes.

as enemies to each other!

‘Enemy’ (‘aduw) is the opposite of friend. It comes from the verb ‘adā which means to do wrong. A wolf which is ‘adawān attacks people. ‘Udwān is pure injustice. Some scholars say that this āyah applies to the human body itself. This is unlikely even though the idea is sound. They find evidence in the words of the Prophet : ‘When a person’s limbs say to his tongue, “Fear Allah regarding us. If you are straight, we are straight. If you are crooked, we are crooked.”’

If it is asked why Allah uses the singular rather than the plural, there are two reasons. One is that ‘some’ and ‘all’ are used in the singular in word and in meaning. Both usages are found in the Qur’ān. The second is that ‘enemy’ in the singular is used to mean the plural. Ibn Fāris said that ‘aduw is used for the singular, dual, plural and feminine.

It is important to realise that Allah Almighty did not expel Ādam from the Garden and make him go down from it as a punishment, because He only sent him down after he had repented and He had accepted his repentance. He sent him down either to discipline him or to make the test hard. The truth, however, is that his being sent down to live on the earth stemmed from a pre-eternal wisdom
governing the whole matter. It was so that his descendants would spread throughout the earth and so that they could be given responsibility and tested there, and then be given their rewards and penalties in the Next World, since the Garden and the Fire are not dimensions where accountability applies. The eating of the tree was the necessary condition for Ādam’s descent from the Garden and Allah does whatever He wills. He says: *I am putting a caliph on the earth,* and this is a great honour and noble prerogative. It was already indicated in his being created from earth.

**you will have residence on the earth**

This means a place of residence. As-Suddī, however, says that it refers to their graves, meaning a more permanent resting place. Allah’s words: *He made the earth a stable dwelling place* (27:61) can bear either meaning. Allah knows best.

**and enjoyment**

The word used here for enjoyment (matā') applies to what is enjoyed of food, clothes, life, conversation, intimacy and other such things. From it is taken the mut‘ah (temporary) marriage since it gives a man temporary enjoyment of a woman.

**for a time**

Interpreters disagree about the word ‘ḥīn’ (time). One group say that it means until death. This is the position of those who say that ‘residence’ refers to our lifetime on the earth. Some say that it means until the Last Hour, and this is the position of those who say that ‘residence’ refers to the grave. Ḥīn sometimes means a distant time and can also refer to a period of time. It can also mean a moment or a segment of time. Ibn ‘Arafah said that it means a segment of time, an hour or more. Al-Azharī said that it is a noun like ‘waqt’ that is used for all times, long or short. It means that he will benefit from it at every moment and its benefit is never cut off. He said that ‘ḥīn’ is the Day of Rising, and it is said that it is morning and evening, as in 30:17.
Linguists disagree about the meaning of this word as do our scholars and others. Al-Farrā’ stated that ‘ḥīn’ can designate two types of time: a time which is undefined and a time which Allah mentions: ‘It bears fruit regularly (kulla ḥīnin) by its Lord’s permission’ (14:25) which is six months. Ibn al-‘Arabī says that the meaning is unknown and no judgment is connected to it. A ‘known time’ is that which is connected to judgments and legal responsibility. The maximum of a known time is a year. Mālik said that it is the most general noun for time. Ash-Shāfi‘ī thinks that it is less than that. Abū Ḥanīfah takes a middle position and says that it is six months.

There is no point in any of this line of thought because there is no valid analogy for its amount or any text from the Prophet saying what its length is. One decides on the meaning after ascertaining what a statement means linguistically. If someone swears to pray for a ‘a time’, according to ash-Shāfi‘ī that is applied to one rak‘ah because that is the minimum supererogatory prayer, analogous to the rak‘ah of the witr. Mālik and his people say that the minimum supererogatory prayer is two rak‘ahs. Time is estimated according to the action. Ibn Khuwayzimandād said in al-Aḥkām: ‘If someone swears not to speak to a certain person for “a time” or not to do something for “a time”, then the “time” is a year.’ He said that they agree in rulings that it someone swears to do something for a ‘time’, then more than a year is not included in the oath. This is agreed on in the School.

Mālik said, ‘If someone swears not to do something for a “time”, be it using the word ḥīn, zamān or dahr, all those terms refer to a year.’ Ibn Wahb said that he was unsure about dahr being a year. Ibn al-Mundhir related from Ya‘qūb and Ibn al-Ḥasan that dahr is six months. Ibn ‘Abbās, the People of Opinion, ‘Ikrimah, Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, ‘Āmir ash-Sha‘bī and ‘Ubaydah said that ‘It bears fruit regularly (kulla ḥeenin)’ (14:25) means six months. Al-Awzā‘ī and Abū ‘Ubayd also said that it is six months. Ash-Shāfi‘ī does not have a definitive
time or limit for ḥīn. He says that it might well mean for the duration of this world. He said that he would never break it. Scrupulousness would make it apply to a single day. Abū Thawr and others said that these terms are applied according to their normal usage in language. Aṭ-Ṭabarī and ash-Shāfi‘ī said that the term is used in various ways and with different possibilities because the undefined term does not have a specific meaning in language. Some scholars said that the point of ‘time’ being good news to Ādam is so that he would know that he would not remain in it forever and that he would move to the Garden by the promise that he would return to it. For others, it indicates the Hereafter. Allah knows best.

37 Then Ādam received some words from his Lord and He turned towards him. He is the Ever-Returning, the Most Merciful.

Then Ādam received some words from his Lord

‘Received’ here means understood, grasped or learned. It is said that it means accept and take. He received the Revelation. Interpreters disagree about the ‘words’ mentioned in this āyah. Al-Hasan, Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and Mujāhid said that it refers Ādam’s words in Sūrat al-‘A‘rāf, ‘Our Lord, we have wronged ourselves. If You do not forgive us and have mercy on us, we will be among the lost.’ (7:23) Mujāhid also said that the words were, ‘Glory be to You, O Allah. There is no god but You. My Lord, I have wronged myself, so forgive me. You are the Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.’ One group say that Ādam saw written on the pedestal of the Throne, ‘Muḥammad is the Messenger of Allah’ and sought intercession through that. Another group say that what is meant is weeping, modesty and supplication, or regret, asking for forgiveness and sorrow. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The expression means that Ādam used nothing but the normal form of asking for forgiveness.’ One of the
Salaf was asked about what a sinner should say. He answered, ‘He says what our father said: “Our Lord, we have wronged ourselves…”’ (7:23) Mūsā said, ‘My Lord, I have wronged myself. Forgive me.’ (28:16) Yūnus (Jonah) said, ‘There is no god but You! Glory be to You! Truly I have been one of the wrongdoers.’ (21:87)

Ibn ‘Abbās and Wahb ibn Munabbih said that the ‘words’ are ‘Glory be to You, O Allah, and by Your praise. There is no god but You. I have done evil and wronged myself. Forgive me. You are the best Forger. Glory be to You, O Allah, and by Your praise. There is no god but You. I have done evil and wronged myself. Turn to me! You are the Ever-Relenting, the Most Merciful.’ Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b said that it is the words: ‘There is no god but You. Glory be to You, O Allah, and by Your praise. I have done evil and wronged myself. Turn to me! You are the Ever-Relenting, the Most Merciful. There is no god but You. Glory be to You, O Allah, and by Your praise. I have done evil and wronged myself. Show mercy to me! You are the Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful. There is no god but You. Glory be to You, O Allah, and by Your praise. I have done evil and wronged myself. Show mercy to me! You are the Most Merciful of the merciful.’ It is said that the word are ‘Praise be to Allah’ when one sneezes. ‘Words’ (kalimāt) is the plural of kalimah. It is used for both a little and a lot.

and He turned towards him.

This means that Allah accepted his repentance. That occurred on the Day of ‘Ashūrā’ which was a Friday as has been reported. When a person repents, he returns to obedience to his Lord. The root of the word for repentance (tawbah) means to return.

If it is asked why the pronoun ‘him’ is used and not ‘them’ when both Ādam and Ḥawwā’ participated in the wrong action, the answer is that, when Ādam was addressed at the beginning with ‘live’ in the masculine singular, he was singled out for receiving and the story ends with the same pronoun. It is also because a woman is
respected and concealed and so Allah wished to conceal her fault. That is why He does not mention her in connection with the act of disobedience when He says: ‘Ādam disobeyed his Lord and became misled.’ (20:121) It is also because the woman usually follows the man. Similarly Mūsā’s servant is not mentioned with Mūsā when he is addressed in the second person singular, ‘Did I not tell you?’ (18:75). It is said that His turning to him also implies His turning to her because the command was issued to both of them.

**He is the Ever-Returning, the Most Merciful.**

Allah describes Himself as ‘at-Tawwāb’. The verbal root is used frequently in the Qur’an in the definite and indefinite, noun and verb. The word ‘Ever-returning’ (tawwāb) can be used for both people, as in 2:222, and for Allah. Ibn al-'Arabī said that our scholars have three positions about the meaning when it is used for Allah. One is that it is permitted for the Lord and is used for Him in the Qur’an and Sunnah and it should not be interpreted. Others say that it is a real description of Allah and it is used when Allah turns towards His slave when he turns from disobedience to obedience. The third view is that when Allah turns to a person, it means that He accepts His repentance. It is possible that it refers to His words, ‘I have accepted your repentance’ and the fact that He makes repentance recur in the heart of the evildoer so that he performs actions in obedience to Him.

The form of the word tāʾib, even if it may be permissible linguistically, cannot be used for Allah because we cannot apply any names or attributes to Him except what He or His Prophet or the community of the Muslims have used for Him even if that is possible linguistically. This is the sound position in this area, as we explained in Kitāb al-Asmā. Allah says: ‘Allah has turned towards the Prophet, and the Muhājirūn and the Anṣār’ (9:117) and: ‘Allah accepts repentance from His slaves.’ (9:104) The intensive form tawwāb is used of Allah to stress the action and the frequency of His acceptance of His slaves’
repentance.

No one has the power to generate repentance unilaterally because Allah Almighty alone creates all actions. This differs from the position of the Mu'tazilites and those who follow them. In the same way no one else can forgive wrong actions, and this is why our scholars say that the Jews and Christians disbelieved when they took their religious leaders as Lords by ascribing this power to them as mentioned in 9:31.

Ibn Kathīr recited ‘Ādama’ and ‘kalimātun’ while the rest recite ‘Ādamu’ and ‘kalimātan’. Both readings mean the same because Ādam received words and learned them.

Sa'īd ibn Jubayr said, ‘When Ādam was sent down to earth, there was nothing there but an eagle in the air and a fish in the sea. The eagle went to the fish and spent the night with it. When the eagle saw Ādam, it said, “Fish! Today something has come down to earth that walks on two legs and strikes with its hands!” The fish said, “If you spoke the truth, then I have no escape in the sea and you have no escape on the land!”’

38 We said, ‘Go down from it, every one of you! Then when guidance comes to you from Me, those who follow My guidance will feel no fear and will know no sorrow.’

We said, ‘Go down from it,

Allah repeats the command by way of stress and harshness. It is said that the first time, it is connected to transgression and the second to bringing guidance. It is also said that the first is the descent from the Garden to heaven and the second the descent from heaven to earth. This would indicate that the Garden was in the seventh heaven as indicated by the account of the Night Journey. every one of you!'
Wahb ibn Munabbih said, ‘When Ādam descended to earth, Iblīs said to the wild animals, “This is your enemy, so destroy him.” They gathered and elected the dog as their leader, saying, “You are the boldest of us.” When Ādam saw that, he was confused, but Jibrīl came to him and said, “Stroke the dog’s head.” He did so. When the animals saw that the dog was friendly to Ādam, they scattered. The dog sought security and Ādam gave it to him and he remained with him and his sons from then on.’

At-Tirmidhī related something similar. When Ādam descended to earth, Iblīs said to the wild animals and roused them to harm Ādam. The dog was the fiercest of them against him and then it lost heart. The report says that Jibrīl came to him and told him to put his hand on its head. He did so and it was friendly to him and calm. It became one of those who guarded him and his children and was friendly towards them. When it lost heart, it became afraid of human beings so that if one of them throws a piece of mud at it, it flees and then comes back in a friendly way. So it contains a branch of Iblīs and a branch of the stroking by Ādam. It barks, tears and attacks people by the branch of Iblīs, and by the branch of Ādam, it is calmed so that it is obedient and submits and is friendly to him and his children and guards them. Because of its loss of heart, it lolls out its tongue in all situations. That is why Allah likened evil scholars to a dog as will come in Sūrat al-‘rāf.

Then when guidance comes to you from Me,

There is disagreement about the meaning of ‘hudā’ (guidance) here. As-Suddī said that it is the Book of Allah. It is said to be success to following Allah’s guidance. One group say that it refers to the Messengers who came to Ādam from the angels and those who came to his descendants from among mankind, as in the hadith of Abū Dharr. ‘From Me’ is another indication that the actions of people are created by Allah, which differs from the position of the Qadariyyah and others.
will feel no fear and will know no sorrow.’

‘Khawf’ (fear) is anxiety and it applies only to the future. Az-Zuhri, al-Hasan, Ḥasan ibn ‘Umar, Ibn Abī Isḥāq and Ya‘qūb recited ‘lā khawfa’ to denote being free of it. Grammarians prefer it to be in the nominative with the tanwīn (lā khawfūn) as the beginning of a sentence because the second is definite and can only be in the nominative. ‘Huzn’ (sorrow) is the opposite of happiness and applies only to the past. So the meaning is that they have no fear about what lies ahead of them in the Next World nor are they sorrowful about anything that passed them by in this world. This does not deny the terrors of the Day of Rising and the fear, which the people of obedience will experience, because Allah and His Messenger have stated that the hardships of the Rising will not be lessened for those who obeyed Allah. It is when they go to the Garden that they will feel no fear, and Allah knows best.

39 But those who disbelieve and deny Our Signs are the Companions of the Fire, remaining in it timelessly, for ever.

‘Those who disbelieve commit shirk.’ The word ‘aṣḥāb’ (Companions) comes from the root ṣuḥbah, which means to be connected to a thing in any state and at any time. It entails complete adhesion to a thing and mixing with it. That is why it is used for the ‘Companions of the Fire’.

40 Tribe of Israel! remember the blessing I conferred on you. Honour My contract and I will honour your contract.

Have dread of Me alone.

Tribe of Israel!
Isrā‘îl (Israel) was Ya‘qūb ibn Ishaq ibn Ibrāhîm ☪. Abu-l-Faraj said that he is the only Prophet to have two names except for Muḥammad ☪ who has many names. I say, however, that it is said that the Messiah is a name of īsā, and Allah also named him Rūḥ (Spirit) and Word. Al-Khālid ibn Aḥmad said that there were five Prophets with two names: Muḥammad was also called Aḥmad ☪, īsā was called the Messiah, Ya‘qūb was called Isrā‘îl, Yūnus was called Dhū ’n-Nūn and Ilyās was called Dhū ’l-Kifl. We mentioned that īsā has four names, but our Prophet ☪ has many names as we will explain in the proper place. Isrā‘îl is a non-Arabic name and so it is not declined.

**Remember the blessing I conferred on you.**

‘Dhikr’ (remembrance) is a word with several possibilities of meaning according to the context. When applied to the heart, it implies remembrance rather than forgetfulness. When applied to the tongue, it implies speech rather than silence. Dhikr also means honour as in 43:44. Ibn al-Anbārī said, ‘The meaning is to remember to be grateful for Allah’s blessing. There is no need to actually mention “thankfulness” when blessing is mentioned.’ It is said that it means to remember with the heart, which is certainly much to be desired. In other words: ‘do not forget or overlook the blessing which I bestowed on you.’ ‘Ni‘mah’ (blessing), although singular, has a comprehensive meaning. The singular can have the meaning of the plural.

Among Allah’s blessings to them were that He saved them from the people of Pharaoh, appointed Prophets from among them, sent down the Books and manna and quails to them, made fountains gush out from the rock for them, and included the mention of Muḥammad ☪ in the Torah. Blessings to fathers are blessings to sons because they are ennobled by the nobility of their fathers.

Those with a precise grasp of the use of language say that Allah connected blessings to the tribe of Israel but did not mention that
regarding the Community of Muhammad ﷺ; rather He says: ‘Remember Me and I will remember you’ (2:152), so the other communities look from the blessing to the Blesser while the Community of Muḥammad look from the Blesser to the blessing.

**Honour My contract and I will honour your contract.**

There is disagreement about the contract referred to here. Al-Ḥasan said it is what is found in Allah’s words: ‘Take hold vigorously of what We have given you’ (2:63) and elsewhere as in 5:12 and 3:187. Az-Zajjāj said that what is meant are the words in the Torah about following Muḥammad ﷺ.

Allah’s honouring of the contract is generally taken to mean that they will receive the reward of the Garden. It is also said that it means that if they perform their obligations with sincerity, then Allah will accept them from them. It is said that if they perform acts of worship, Allah will look after their affairs. It is said that it means that if they preserve their behaviour outwardly, Allah will adorn their hearts inwardly. It is also said that it is general to all His commands and prohibitions and what is mentioned about Muḥammad ﷺ in the Torah and elsewhere. This is the position of most scholars, and it is sound. His side of the contract is that He will admit them to the Garden. We are ordered to fulfil the contract as Allah says frequently in the Qur’ān.

**Have dread of Me alone.**

This means ‘Fear Me.’ Ruḥb, rahb and rahbah mean fear. The command is a threat. The yā’ is omitted after the nūn because of the beginning of the āyah. Ibn Isḥāq, however, keeps the yā’.

41 Believe what I have sent down, confirming what is with you. Do not be the first to reject it and do not sell My Signs for a paltry price. Have fear of Me alone.
Believe what I have sent down,
This means to affirm the Qur’an.
confirming what is with you.
This implies ‘affirming what was sent down.’ ‘What is with you’ is the Torah.

Do not be the first to reject it
Ibn Jurayj said that the third person pronoun translated as ‘it’ refers to the Qur’an but according to Abu-l-‘Alīyah it refers to Muḥammad ☪ in which case it would be ‘him’.

If it is asked why ‘kāfir’ (reject) is in the singular and not the plural, it is said that there is an elision which implies: ‘Do not be the first group to reject it.’ Al-Akhfash and al-Farrā’ say that it goes back to ‘the first’, in other words: ‘do not be the first of those who reject it.’

Do not sell My Signs for a paltry price.
This forbids them from being the first to disbelieve and taking a price for the Signs of Allah. It means: ‘Do not take a bribe to change the description of Muḥammad ☪ as found in the Torah.’ The rabbis used to do that when they were forbidden to do it. Some interpreters, al-Hasan and others, said that. It is said that they received food in return for knowledge as a stipend, when they were forbidden that. It is said that the rabbis used to take a wage for teaching their dīn when they were forbidden from doing that. Abu-l-‘Āliyah said that it means: ‘Do not sell My commands, prohibitions and Signs for a paltry price,’ meaning do not abandon them for this world and its substance, for the sake of a livelihood which is insignificant.

Although this āyah addresses the tribe of Israel, it is about anyone getting something for what they did wrong. It can thus refer to anyone who takes a bribe for altering or falsifying the truth, refusing to teach what he is duty bound to teach, or refusing to convey what he knows, and which it is incumbent on him to convey, unless he is paid for it. All of that is included in the āyah, and Allah knows best.
Abū Hurayrah reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Anyone who learns knowledge which should be learned for the sake of Allah, the Mighty and Majestic, alone simply to obtain by it goods of this world will not experience the scent of the Garden on the Day of Rising.’ Abū Dāwūd transmitted it.

Scholars disagree about taking a wage for teaching the Qur’an and knowledge of the dīn because of this āyah and others like it. Az-Zuhrī and the exponents of opinion (ra’y) forbade it, saying that it is not permitted for anyone to take a wage for teaching the Qur’an, because teaching it is one of the obligations which require sincerity and the intention of drawing near to Allah. Therefore there can be no wage for someone who does that just as there can be none for fasting or the prayer.

Ibn ‘Abbās related that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Your children’s teachers are the worst of you, the least merciful to orphans and the harshest to the poor.’ Abū Hurayrah said that he asked, ‘Messenger of Allah, what do you say about other teachers?’ He said, ‘Their dirhams are unlawful, their clothes are unlawful, and their words are hypocrisy.’ ‘Ubādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit said, ‘I taught the Qur’an and writing to some of the people of the Ṣuffah and one of them gave me a bow. I said, “It is not money and I can shoot it in the Way of Allah.” I asked the Messenger of Allah ﷺ about it and he said, “If you are happy to wear a collar of fire, accept it.”’ Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Abū Thawr and most scholars permit accepting a wage for teaching, based on the words of the Prophet ﷺ in the hadith of Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘You are most entitled to take wages for the Book of Allah.’ Al-Bukhārī transmitted it. This hadith is an evidentiary criterion which removes any dispute and can be relied on.

To make an analogy between such teaching and the prayer and fasting is incorrect. There is a clear difference between the two things. The prayer and fasting are individual actions of worship for a person, while teaching the Qur’an is an act of worship which can be
done by other than the teacher himself. Therefore he is permitted to receive a wage for his attempting to convey the meaning of Qur’an, just as he would for teaching someone how to write the Qur’an. Ibn al-Mundhir said that Abū Ḥanīfah disliked the taking of a wage for teaching the Qur’an, but he permits hiring a man to write a tablet, poem, or known song for a known wage. So he would allow a wage for disobeying Allah but not for obeying him.

Some say that this āyah only applies to the tribe of Israel and there is disagreement about whether what was legislated for them applies to us. It is also said that the āyah is about those who should teach and refuse to do so unless they are paid. If it is not incumbent on someone, he is permitted to take a wage, based on the evidence of the Sunnah. It might be specifically incumbent on him except in the case of a teacher who does not have enough to support himself and his family. If that is the case, then the ruler should support him for sake of the establishment of the dīn. If he does not, it is up to the Muslims to do so. When Abū Bakr became caliph, he did not have enough to support his family and so he took some cloth and went to the market. He was asked about that and said, ‘How else will I support my family!’ They sent him back and allotted him a sufficient amount to cover his needs.

As for the hadiths about teachers mentioned above, none of them are reliable. The hadith of Ibn ‘Abbās is related by Sa‘īd ibn Ṭarīf from ‘Ikrimah, and Sa‘īd is abandoned. The hadith of Abū Hurayrah is related by ‘Alī ibn ‘Āṣim from Ḥammād ibn Salamah from Abū Jurham, and Abū Jurham is unknown, and Ḥammād ibn Salamah did not relate from anyone called Abū Jurham. He related it from Abu-l-Muhazzim who is abandoned. So the hadith is without foundation. The hadith of ‘Ubādah was related by Abū Dāwūd from al-Mughirah ibn Ziyād al-Mawṣulī from ‘Ubādah ibn Nusayy from al-Aswad ibn Tha’labah from him. Al-Mughirah is known by the people of knowledge, but has ‘denounced’ (munkar) hadiths. This is
one of them as Abū ‘Umar said. The hadith about the bow is known by the people of knowledge because it is related from ‘Ubādah by two paths. It is related from Ubayy ibn Ka‘b from the hadiths of Mūsā ibn ‘Alī from his father from Ubayy, and it is broken.

There is no hadith about this matter which must be acted on on account of its transmission. The hadith of ‘Ubādah is subject to interpretation because it is possible that he taught for the sake of Allah and then took a wage for it. It is related that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The best of people and the best of those who walk the surface of earth are teachers. Whenever they renew the dīn, give to them and do not employ them and constrict them. When a teacher tells the child to say, “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful,” and the child says, “In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful,” Allah writes the freeing of the child, the freeing of his teachers and the freeing of his parents from the Fire.’

Scholars disagree regarding the ruling about someone who takes a wage for leading the prayer. Ashhab related that Mālik was asked about praying behind someone who was hired to lead the people in Ramaḍān. He said, ‘I hope that there will be no harm in it.’ It is more strongly disliked in the obligatory prayer. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and his people and Abū Thawr said, ‘There is no harm in that or in praying behind him.’ Al-Awzā‘ī said that he cannot do that where the obligatory prayer is concerned. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people disliked it, as was already mentioned. This question is connected to the one before it and the basic principle is the same. Ibn al-Qāsim disliked taking a wage for teaching poetry and grammar while Ibn Ḥabīb said that there is nothing wrong in taking a wage for teaching poetry, letters and the battles of the Arabs. He did dislike poetry containing reference to wine, obscenity and satire. Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Lakhmī said that. According to his view, a wage is permitted for writing it and selling its books. Singing and wailing are forbidden in any case.

Another point concerning this matter is found in what is related by
ad-Dārimī from Ya‘qūb ibn Ibrāhīm from Muḥammad ibn ʿUmar ibn al-Kumayt from ‘Alī ibn Wahb al-Hamdānī that ad-Ḍaḥḥāk ibn Mūsā said, ‘Sulaymān ibn ʿAbd al-Mālik passed through Madīnah on the way to Makka and stayed there for a few days. He inquired, “Is there anyone in Madīnah who met any of the Companions of the Prophet ⛪?” They replied, “Abū Ḥāzim.” He sent for him and when he came, he asked, “Abū Ḥāzim, what is this concealment?” Abū Ḥāzim said, “Amīr al-Mu’minīn, what concealment are you referring to?” He said, “The notable people of Madīnah came to me but you did not!” He said, “Amīr al-Mu’minīn, I ask you to seek refuge with Allah from saying what is not the case. You did not know me before today and I did not see you!” ‘He turned to Muḥammad ibn Shihāb az-Zuhrī who said, “The shaykh is right and you are wrong.” Sulaymān said, “Abū Ḥāzim! Why do we hate death?” He replied, “Because the Next World tests you and this world makes you prosper and so you dislike to move from prosperity to ruin.” He said, “You are right, Abū Ḥāzim. What will our presentation before Allah tomorrow be like?” He said, “For the one who did good it will be like someone returning to his family after an absence. But as for the one who did evil, he will be like a runaway slave being brought back to his owner.” Sulaymān wept and said, “Would that I knew what I have with Allah!” He said, “Examine your actions in the light of the Book of Allah.” He asked, “Where should I look?” He said, “The truly good will be in perfect Bliss and the dissolute will be in a Blazing Fire.” (82:13-14) ‘Sulaymān asked, “Where is the mercy of Allah, Abū Ḥāzim?” Abū Ḥāzim said, “The mercy of Allah is near to those who do good.” Sulaymān said, “Abū Ḥāzim, which of Allah’s slaves are the noblest?” He replied, “Those with integrity and intelligence.” He asked, “Which works are best?” Abū Ḥāzim said, “Performing obligations while avoiding prohibitions.” Sulayman asked, “Whose supplication is heard?” He replied, “The supplication of a good-doer
for a good-doer.” He asked, “Which ṣadaqah is best?” He said, “That
given to a despairing beggar and to someone who is destitute, in
which there is no question of indebtedness or insult.” He asked,
“Which words are fairest?” He replied, “Speaking the truth in the
presence of someone you fear or have hopes of.” He said, “Which of
the believers is the cleverest?” He replied, “A man who obeys Allah
and directs other people to it.” He said, “Which of the believers is
the most stupid?” He replied, “A man who sinks to his brother’s
passion when he is a wrongdoer and sells the Next World for this
one.”

Ṣulaymān told him, “You are right. So what do you say about us?”
He asked, “Amīr al-Mu’minīn, will you let me go?” Sulaymān said,
“No, rather give me advice.” He said, “Amīr al-Mu’minīn, your
forefathers overpowered the people with swords and took this
kingdom by force without consulting the Muslims or without their
pleasure until they had killed many of them. They have left us. If
only you had been aware of what they said and what was said to
them!” A man in the gathering said to him, “Abū Ḧāzim! What you
have said is bad!” Abū Ḧāzim answered, “You lie. Allah has taken a
covenant from scholars to make things clear to people and to not
conceal them.” Sulaymān asked him, “So how can we put things
right?” He replied, “Leave aside conceit, hold to integrity and
distribute equally.” Sulaymān said to him, “What is our approach?”
He replied, “Take from the lawful and give it to its people.”

Then Sulaymān asked him, “Abū Ḧāzim, will you keep our
company so that you can take from us and we from you?” He
replied, “I seek refuge with Allah!” Sulaymān asked, “Why is that?”
He replied, “I fear that I would lean towards them a little and then Allah
would let me taste a double punishment in life and a double punishment in
death.” (cf. 17:75) Sulaymān said, “Tell us your needs.” He answered
“That you save me from the Fire and make me enter the Garden.”
Sulaymān told him, “That is not up to me!” Abū Ḧāzim stated, “I
have no need of anything else from you.” He said, “Make supplication for me.” Abū Ḥāzim said, “O Allah, if Sulaymān is your friend, ease him to the good of this world and the Next World. If he is Your enemy, take him by the forelock where You want and please.” Sulaymān said to him, “Enough!” Abū Ḥāzim said, “I was short but it is a lot if you are one of its people. If you are not one of its people, I should not shoot from a bow with no string.”

‘Sulayman said, “Give me some more advice.” He said, “I will advise you and be brief. Exalt your Lord and free Him from seeing you where He forbade you to be and from not seeing you where He commanded you to be.”

‘When Sulaymān left him, he sent him a hundred dinars and wrote to him, “Spend it and you will have the like of it many times more.” He returned it to him and wrote to him, “Amīr al-Mu’mīnīn, I seek refuge with Allah from your asking me being a joke or my reply to you being a surrender. I am not pleased with it for you, so how could I be pleased with it for myself? When Mūsâ, the son of ‘Imrān, arrived at the water of Madyan, he found shepherds drawing water there. Standing apart from them, he found two women, holding back their sheep. He questioned them and they said, ‘We cannot draw water until the shepherds have driven off their sheep. You see our father is a very old man.’ So he drew water for them and then withdrew into the shade and said, ‘My Lord, I am truly in need of any good You have in store for me.’ That was when he was hungry and fearful and without security. In spite of that he asked his Lord alone and did not ask people.

“The shepherds did not notice but the women noticed. So when they returned to their father, they told the story to him and recounted what he had said. Their father, who was Shu‘ayb, said, ‘This is a hungry man.’ He told one of them, ‘Go and invite him.’ When she came to him, she showed him respect and covered her face and said, ‘My father invites you so that he can pay you your
wage for drawing water for us.’ It grieved Mūsā when she said, ‘your wage for drawing water for us.’ He, however, found that he no alternative but to follow her because he was hungry and alone in a strange place. When he followed her the wind blew her garment against her back so that the shape of her buttocks showed. Mūsā looked to the side at times and lowered his eyes at others.

“When his patience was exhausted, he called her and said, ‘Slave of Allah! Go behind me and direct me to the way by words.’ When he reached Shu‘ayb, supper was being prepared. He told him, ‘Sit, young man and eat.’ Mūsā said to him, ‘I seek refuge with Allah.’ Shu‘ayb asked, ‘Why? Aren’t you hungry?’ ‘Yes,’ he replied, ‘but I fear that this may be a recompense for drawing water for them and I am from the people of a house who do not sell anything of their dīn even for the entire earth filled with gold.’ Shu‘ayb said to him, ‘No, young man, but it is my custom and the custom of my fathers to give hospitality to a guest and feed him.’ So Mūsā sat and ate.

“If these hundred dinars are recompense for what I said, then carrion, blood and pig meat in a state of need are more lawful than it. If it is connected to the treasury, then there are others who deserve it as much as I do. If it is between us, then I have no need of it.”

This is how one follows the Book and the Prophets. Look at this virtuous imam and scholar and how he would not take any payment for his knowledge, his advice or his counsel. He openly made the truth clear and did not show any fear in doing so. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Awe of someone should not prevent any of you from speaking the truth wherever he is.’ In the Revelation we find: ‘They strive in the Way of Allah and do not fear the blame of any censurer.’ (5:54)

Have taqwā of Me alone.

We have already mentioned the meaning of taqwā. It is also recited with yā’ as we mentioned in the previous āyah.
Do not mix up truth with falsehood and knowingly hide the truth.

**Do not mix up truth with falsehood**

The word *labs* means mixing. That occurs when you mix the clear with the ambiguous or truth with falsehood. Allah Almighty says: ‘*We would have further confused (labasnā) for them the very thing they are confused (yalbisūn) about.*’ (6:9) A thing is mixed up when it is mixed with something similar to it. Then it is not clear. This idea is seen in what ‘Alī said to al-Ḥārith ibn Ḥawṭ: ‘Ḥārith, it is muddled (*malbūs*) for you. Truth is not recognized by virtue of men. Recognise the truth and then you will recognise its people.’ Sa‘īd related that Qatādah said that the meaning of the phrase is ‘do not mix up Judaism and Christianity with Islam. You know the dīn of Allah. None other is accepted; only it satisfies: that is Islam. Judaism and Christianity are innovations and are not from Allah.’

A possible meaning is *libās* (clothing) and so the āyah can mean: ‘Do not cover’. That is as clothing covers. A man is described as the garment (*libās*) covering his wife, and his wife as covering him. *Labūs* is clothing and armour that covers. Allah says: ‘*We taught him the art of making garments (labūs) for you.*’ (21:80) You are on intimate terms (*lābasa*) with a person when you know his inner self. That which covers the Ka‘bah and a howdah is a *libs*.

The word used for ‘falsehood’ here is *bāṭil* which means when something becomes unsound and worthless. It can also mean ‘in vain.’ The False (*al-Bāṭil*) is one of the names of Shayṭān. A hero is also called *baṭl*, using the same root, because he makes the courage of his opponent ‘in vain’. *Baṭāla* is unemployment.

Interpreters disagree about what is meant by ‘truth with falsehood’. Ibn ‘Abbās and others said that it means: ‘do not mix what you have of the truth in the Book with falsehood by alteration or substitution.’
Abu-l-ʿĀliyah said, ‘The Jews said, “Muḥammad ☦️ was sent, but not to us.” So their affirmation of his mission was the truth but their denial that he was sent to them was falsehood.’ Ibn Zayd said that what was meant by the truth was the Torah, and the falsehood was the changes they made to it. Mujāhid said, ‘Do not mix Judaism and Christianity with Islam.’ Qatādah also said that. Ibn ʿAbbās’s statement is the most correct because it is general and so includes all the other statements.

and knowingly hide the truth.

This can be joined as ‘Do not mix and do not hide,’ or there can be an elision, meaning, ‘Let there not exist among you mixing the truth and concealing it.’ Ibn ʿAbbās said, ‘It means their concealing the genuineness of the Prophet ☦️ in spite of the fact that they recognised him.’ Muḥammad ibn Sīrīn said, ‘A group of the children of Aaron settled in Yathrib when the tribe of Israel experienced what they did of being conquered by their enemies and humiliation. That group were the bearers of the Torah at that time. They established themselves in Yathrib, hoping that Muḥammad ☦️ would appear among them. They were believers, affirming his Prophethood. Their forebears, who were believers, passed, and were followed by one generation after another until they reached Muḥammad ☦️. They rejected him in spite of their recognition of him. That is the context of the words of the Almighty: ‘yet when what they recognize does come to them, they reject it.’ (2:89)

They knew the truth about Muḥammad ☦️, so their rejection was one of obstinacy not ignorance. Allah is not attesting that they have knowledge, but is rather forbidding them to conceal what they know to be true. This shows that a wrong action is made all the more serious when it is done in spite of knowledge rather than in ignorance. This will be explained in 2:44.
Establish the prayer and pay zakāt and bow with those who bow.

Establish the prayer and pay zakāt

This is a command which entails a definite, undisputed obligation. The root meaning of ‘zakāt’ is grow and increase. To ‘pay’ is to give. It is used for crops and wealth when they increase. A man who is zakī is someone with increased wealth. Paying this tax out from wealth is called zakāt, even though doing it seems to produce the opposite of increase, since it involves reducing that wealth. The reason is because it increases the wealth in barakah or with the reward for paying it. It is also said that its root meaning is purification. It is as if the one who pays it has purified himself of the liability of the right to which the poor are entitled and which Allah has imposed on him. Do you not see that the Prophet called what was paid as zakāt the ‘filth of people’. Allah says: ‘Take zakāt from their property to purify and cleanse them’” (9:103)

There is disagreement about what is meant by zakāt here. It is said that it is referring to the obligatory zakāt since it is connected to the prayer. It is also said to be the zakāt al-fitr. Mālik stated that according to Ibn al-Qāsim. The first is the position of the majority of scholars. According to them, the zakāt mentioned in the Book is general and was then clarified by the Prophet. The imams related from Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī that the Prophet said, ‘There is no zakāt due on grain or dates until they reach five wasqs, nor on less than five camels nor less than five ūqiyyahs.’ Al-Bukhārī said that it is five ūqiyyahs of silver. Al-Bukhārī related from Ibn ‘Umar that the Prophet said, ‘There is a tenth due on land watered by rain,
springs or running springs. There is half of an ‘ushr (a twentieth) on land watered by wells.’ This will be further explained elsewhere. There is no text on zakāt al-fīṭr in the Book except the interpretation given by Mālik here and in Surah al-A‘lā.

**and bow**

Linguistically the root of the word ‘bow’ — rakaʿa — means to bend the body. It is used metaphorically for descending in station. People disagree about why this act is specifically mentioned here. Some people say that, as bowing (rukūʿ) is one of the pillars of the prayer, it is used here to designate the prayer as a whole. This is not particular to the word ‘bowing’ alone since the word ‘recitation’ and the word ‘prostration’ are also used to designate the whole prayer as well. Allah says: ‘*The recitation of fajr (dawn)*’ (17:78), meaning the Fajr prayer, and the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Whoever catches a prostration of the prayer has caught the whole prayer.’ The people of the Hijaz use the term ‘sajdah’ for a rakʿah.

It is said that bowing was singled out because the tribe of Israel did not have that in their prayer. It is said that it was more onerous for the people in the Jāhiliyyah so that one of those who became Muslim – I think it was ‘Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn – told the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Provided that I do not have to prostrate except standing,’ meaning ‘not bow’. When Islam was firm in him and he was at peace with it, he performed rukūʿ in the way he was commanded to.

The legal rukūʿ is that a person bends his back from the waist and makes his back and neck level, with his fingers clasping his knees, and remains bowing, saying, ‘Glory be to My Lord, the Immense’ three times. That is the minimum. Muslim related that ‘Āʾishah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ used to begin the prayer with the takbīr and recitation with “*Praise be to Allah, the Lord of all the worlds.*”’ Rukūʿ is an obligation and the Qur’an is a sunnah. The same is true of prostration as mentioned at the end of al-Ḥajj (22:77). The sunnah of being still is added as well as the separation between them as has
already been discussed. Prostration is made clear in the hadith of Abū Ḥumayd as-Sā‘īdī: ‘When the Prophet  prostrated, he put his forehead and nose firmly on the ground, kept his arms far from his sides, placing his hands opposite his shoulders.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it and said that it is a sound ḥasan hadith. Muslim related from Anas that the Messenger of Allah  said, ‘Be straight in prostration and none of you should stretch out his arms like a dog does.’ Al-Barā’ said that the Messenger of Allah  said, ‘When you prostrate, put your palms down and raise your elbows.’ Maymūnah, the wife of the Prophet  said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah  prostrated, he had his arms out in such a way that the whiteness of his armpits could be seen from behind. When he sat, he was still on his left thigh.’

Scholars disagree about someone who puts his forehead but not his nose down in prostration, or his nose but not his forehead. Mālik says that he should prostrate on both his forehead and nose. Ath-Thawrī and Aḥmād also said that. It is the view of an-Nakha‘ī as well. Aḥmād said, ‘It is not enough to prostrate only on one of them without the other.’ Abū Khaythamah and Ibn Abī Shaybah said that. Ishāq said, ‘If he prostrates on one but not the other, his prayer is invalid.’ Al-Awzā‘ī and Sa‘īd ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz said that what is related from Ibn ‘Abbās, Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, ‘Ikrimah, and ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Abī Laylā is that one is commanded to prostrate on the nose. One group said that it is permitted to prostrate on the forehead and not the nose. This is the view of ‘Aṭā’, Ṭāwus, ‘Ikrimah, Ibn Sīrīn and al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī. That is also the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Thawr, Ya‘qūb and Muḥammad. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘Someone said that if someone puts his forehead on the ground but not his nose or his nose and not his forehead, his prayer is completely vitiated. This is the view of an-Nu‘mān.’ He added, ‘I do not know of anyone before him with this position or anyone who followed him.’
What is sound is that prostration is putting both the forehead and nose on the ground, based on the prior hadith of Abū Ḥumayd. Al-Bukhārī related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘I was commanded to prostrate on seven bones: the forehead (and he pointed to his nose), the hands, the knees and the toes and not to tuck up the clothes and hair.’ This all qualifies what is undefined of the prayer and is a specific view. Allah knows best. It is related from Mālik that it is not enough to prostrate on the forehead without the nose, as ‘Āṭā’ and ash-Shāfi‘ī said. We prefer the first view. The obligation is not fulfilled when he does not prostrate on his forehead.

It is disliked to prostrate on the cloth of the turban, no matter whether there are one or two turns, but there is no harm in the clothes which cover the feet and knees. What is best is to prostrate directly on the ground or on that on which one prostrates. If there is something harmful there, it should be removed before starting the prayer. If someone has not done that, he may wipe it once. Muslim related from Mu‘ayqīb that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said about a man who flattens out the earth so that he can prostrate, ‘If you do it, do it once.’ It is related that Anas ibn Mālik said, ‘We prayed with the Messenger of Allah ﷺ in intense heat and if one of us was not able to put his forehead on the earth, he would spread his garment on the ground and prostrate on it.’

When Allah says: ‘Bow and prostrate’ (22:77), some of our scholars and others said, ‘What is deemed bowing and prostration is enough for us, and the same is true of standing.’ They did not stipulate being still in that but took the minimum designated by the name. It is as if they had not heard the firm hadiths about the nullifying of the prayer. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘Neither rukū‘, prostration, standing after rukū‘, and sitting between the two prostrations is satisfied until the person is straight in bowing, standing, prostrating and sitting.’ That is sound in the tradition and that is the position of the majority
of scholars and people of investigation. It is transmitted by Ibn Wahb and Abū Muṣ‘ab from Mālik.

Qādī Abū Bakr ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘There are many reports from Ibn al-Qāsim and others about the obligation of separation but omitting stillness.’ This is very weak indeed because the Prophet ﷺ did it, commanded it and taught it. If Ibn al-Qāsim had the excuse that he had not seen that, you do not have that excuse. There is knowledge of it and the argument is established against you regarding it. An-Nasā’ī, ad-Dāraquṭnī and ‘Alī ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz related that Rifā‘ah ibn Rāfi‘ said, ‘I was sitting with the Messenger of Allah ﷺ when a man came, entered the mosque and prayed. When he finished, he came and greeted the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and the people. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Go back and pray. You have not prayed.” He began to pray while we were looking at him, not knowing what was wrong with it. Then he greeted the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and the people. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Go back and pray. You have not prayed.” (Hammām said, “I do not know whether that happened twice or three times.”) The man asked him (what was wrong) saying, “I do not know what is wrong with my prayer.” He ﷺ said, “None of you has completed the prayer until he has done ṭuḍū’ fully as Allah has commanded, washing his face, his hands to the elbows, wiped his head, and washed his feet to the ankles, then has declared Allah great and praised him and recited the Umm al-Qur‘an and what he knows and is easy for him, said the takbīr, bowed, placing his palms on his knees until his joints are at rest and relaxed, then said, ‘Allah hears whoever praises Him’, standing upright until his back is straight and every bone takes its portion of it, then said the takbīr and prostrated, putting his face firmly on the ground (Hammām said, “his forehead”) until his joints are at rest and relaxed, said the takbīr and sat upright in his seat with his back straight,” and he described the prayer in its four rak‘ahs to the end. Then he said, “None of you
has a prayer until he does that.” There is a similar hadith from Abū Hurayrah that Muslim transmitted.

This is the clarification of the undefined prayer in the Book as taught and conveyed by the Prophet to all people. If someone does not stop at this clarification, fails to do what the All-Merciful has made obligatory on him and does not obey what has been conveyed to him from his Prophet, he is included in those about whom Allah has said: ‘An evil generation succeeded who neglected the prayer and followed their appetites.’ (19:59) Al-Bukhari related that Zayd ibn Wahb said, ‘Ḥudhayfah saw a man who did not fully bow or prostrate and said, “You have not prayed. If you die, you will die on other than the form on which Allah created Muḥammad.”’

with those who bow.

The word ‘with’ implies both togetherness and simultaneity. That is why some of those who interpret the Qur’an say that the command to pray did not at first entail attending the group prayer, but when Allah said ‘with’ in this āyah, He was commanding people to pray as a group. Scholars have two different positions about attending the group prayer. The majority say that it is a confirmed sunnah and a penalty is mandatory for anyone who regularly fails to attend it without an excuse. Some of the people of knowledge make it mandatory for the community as a whole. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr says, ‘This is a sound view because it is confirmed, by consensus that it is not permitted to agree to make all the mosques empty of group prayers. If there is a group prayer in the mosque and an individual prays in his home that is permitted because the Prophet said, “The group prayer is twenty-seven degrees better than the prayer of the person praying alone.” Muslim transmitted it in a hadith from Ibn ‘Umar. It is related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah said, “The group prayer is twenty-five times better than the prayer of the person praying alone.”’

Dāwūd said, ‘The prayer in a group is a personal obligation for
everyone in the same way that Jumu‘ah is.’ He cited as proof the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Someone who is a neighbor to a mosque has no prayer unless it is in the mosque.’ Abū Dāwūd transmitted it and Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ḥāqq said that it was sound. It is the position of ‘Atā‘ ibn Abī Rabāḥ, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, Abū Thawr and others. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘Someone who is able to attend the group prayer has no allowance to abandon it unless he has a valid excuse.’ Ibn al-Mundhir related that. Muslim related that Abū Hurayrah said, ‘A blind man came to the Prophet ﷺ and said, “Messenger of Allah, I have no guide to take me to the mosque.” He asked the Messenger of Allah ﷺ to make an allowance for him to pray in his house. He gave him an allowance and then he called him back and asked, “Do you hear the call to prayer?” “Yes,” he answered. He said, “Then respond to it.”’ Abū Dāwūd has in this hadith: ‘I do not find any allowance for you.’ He transmitted it from the hadith of Ibn Umm Maktūm, and he mentioned that he was the one who asked.

It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If someone hears the call to prayer and no excuse prevents him from coming to it, then the prayer that he prays is not accepted from him.’ They asked, ‘What is an excuse?’ He answered, ‘Fear or illness.’ Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ḥaqq said that this is related by Maghra’ al-‘Abdī. What is sound is to stop at Ibn ‘Abbās: ‘If someone hears the call and does not come, he has no prayer.’ Qāsim ibn Aṣbagh mentioned it in his book and said that it is related from Qāḍī Ismā‘īl ibn Ḥishāq from Sulaymān ibn Ḥarb from Shu‘bah from Ḥabīb ibn Abī Thābit from Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If someone hears the call and does not respond, he has no prayer unless he has a [valid] excuse.’ The soundness of this isnād should be enough for you. Abū Ishāq related from Maghra’ al-‘Abdī. Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘I remember us when only a known hypocrite failed to attend it.’ The Prophet ﷺ said,
‘Between us and the hypocrites is attending ‘Ishā’ and ‘Subĥ which they are unable to attend.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘We related that more than one of the Companions of the Prophetﷺ said, “If someone hears the call and does not respond, he has no prayer unless he has an excuse.”’ They included Ibn Mas‘ūd and Abū Mūsā al-Ash‘arī.’

Abū Hurayrah related that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘I thought about ordering my lads to collect some firewood and then go to some people who were praying in their houses but were not ill and burning them down on them.’ This is used as an argument by those who made the group prayer obligatory. It is clear with respect to the obligation. The majority take it to mean stressing the command to attend the group prayers as indicated by the hadith of Ibn ‘Umar and Abū Hurayrah. They take the statement of the Companions and what is in the hadith about having no prayer to mean with regard to perfection and excellence. The same applies to what the Prophet ﷺ said to Ibn Umm Maktūm, ‘respond’: it is a recommendation. His words, ‘I thought about’ do not indicate absolute obligation because he thought about it but did not do it. It is like a threat directed at the hypocrites who failed to come to the Group Prayer and to Jumu‘ah.

This idea is made clear in Muslim, when he relates that ‘Abdullāh said, ‘Whoever is happy to meet Allah in the morning as a Muslim should guard these prayers when they are called. Allah prescribed the sunnahs of guidance to your Prophet ﷺ. They are part of the sunnahs of guidance. If you pray in your houses as this one who failed to attend prayed in his house, then you have abandoned the sunnahs of your Prophet ﷺ. If you abandon the sunnahs of your Prophet ﷺ, then you are misguided. No man purifies himself and does it correctly and then goes to one of the mosques without Allah recording a good deed for every step he takes, raising him a degree and removing an evil deed from him. I remember us when only a
known hypocrite failed to attend it. A man would come, being guided between two men, until he stood in the row.’ So he made it clear that the group prayer is one of the *sunnahs* of guidance and abandoning it is misguidance. That is why Qāḍī Abu-l-Faḍl ‘Iyāḍ said that there is disagreement about people who play a part in abandoning the clear *sunnahs* and whether they should be fought. What is sound is that they should be fought because this could lead to doing away with the group prayer.

According to this, as long as the Sunnah is established and clearly evident, the prayer at home is permitted and sound. Muslim related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘A man’s prayer in a group is about twenty degrees better than his prayer in his house and his prayer in the market. When one of you does *wudū*’ well and then goes to mosque for no other purpose than the prayer and seeking nothing but the prayer, he does not take a step without being elevated one degree by it and one of his errors being removed until he enters the mosque. When he enters the mosque, he is in the prayer as long as he is waiting for the prayer. The angels pray for one of you as long as he is sitting in the place where he will pray. They say, “O Allah, show mercy to him! O Allah, forgive him! O Allah, turn to him!” as long as he does not disturb anyone or break *wudū*.’ He was asking what breaking *wudū*’ entails and said that it is breaking wind.

Scholars disagree about the excellence connected to the group and whether it is for a group no matter where it is or whether it is only for a group in the mosque, since that is necessarily one of the actions connected to mosques as stated in the hadith. The first view is more evident because the group is what the judgment is connected to, and Allah knows best. What was mentioned of the steps to the mosque, intending to go it and remaining it have a further reward beyond the group. Allah knows best.

There is also disagreement as to whether one group is better than
another based on the larger number and on the excellence of the imām. Mālik said no. Ibn Ḥabīb said that it is, because the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The prayer of a man with someone else is purer than his prayer on his own. His prayer with two men is purer than his prayer with one man. Allah loves more that which is more.’ Ubayy ibn Ka‘b related it and Abū Dāwūd transmitted it. Its isnād is soft.

They also disagree about whether someone who has prayed in a group can repeat the prayer with another group. Mālik, Abū Ḥanīfah, ash-Shāfi‘ī and their people say that someone who has prayed alone in his house and his family or outside of his house can repeat the prayer in a group with the imam. If he has prayed in a group, however small, he should not repeat it in a group either smaller or larger. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, Ishāq ibn Rāhawayh and Dāwūd ibn ‘Alī said that if someone has prayed in a group and then finds another group performing that prayer, he is permitted to repeat it with them because it is supererogatory and sunnah. That is related from Ḥudhayfah, Abū Mūsā al-Ash‘arī, Anas ibn Mālik, Śilah ibn Zafar, ash-Sha‘bī and an-Nakha‘ī. Ḥammād ibn Ziyād and Sulaymān ibn Ḥarb also said that.

Mālik’s evidence is the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘A prayer is not prayed twice in a day.’ Some of them said that they do not pray it [again]. Sulaymān ibn Yasār related that from Ibn ‘Umar. Aḥmad and Ishāq agree that this hadith means that a person does not pray an obligatory prayer and then get up and pray it again, intending the obligation a second time. If he prays it behind an imam as a sunnah or supererogatory prayer, that is not repeating the prayer. The Prophet ﷺ said to those he commanded to repeat the prayer in a group: ‘It is supererogatory for you.’ It comes from the hadith of Abū Dharr and others.

Muslim related from Ibn Mas‘ūd that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The one who knows the greatest amount of the Book of Allah should act as the imam of a people. If they are equal in their knowledge of
recitation, then it should be the one with the best knowledge of the Sunnah. If they are equal in the Sunnah, then it should be the oldest of them in terms of Hijrah. If they are equal in the Hijrah, then it should be the one oldest in years. A man should not lead another man when the second man is in authority. No one should sit in the place of honour in a house except with the owner’s permission.’ Abū Dāwūd transmitted it. Shu‘bah said, ‘I asked Ismā‘īl what the place of honour is, and replied that it was his rug.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it and said that the hadith of Ibn Mas‘ūd is sound ḥasan. One acts on it according to the people of knowledge.

They said that the person most entitled to act as imam is the one with the most recitation of the Book of Allah and the one with the most knowledge of the Sunnah. They said that the owner of a house is most entitled to act as imam. Some said that when the owner of a house gives permission to someone else, there is nothing wrong with that person leading them in the prayer. Some of them disliked that and said that the Sunnah is that the owner of the house leads the prayer. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘We related that al-Ash‘ath ibn Qays put a boy forward to lead the prayer, saying that he had the most Qur’an.’ Among those who said that the one who knows the most Qur’an leads the prayer were Ibn Sīrīn, ath-Thawrī, Ishāq and the people of opinion. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘That is what we say because it conforms to the Sunnah.’ Mālik said that the one with the most knowledge leads when his state is good even though age has a right. Al-Awzā‘ī said, ‘The one with the most fiqh leads them.’ That is what ash-Shāfi‘ī and Abū Thawr said, with the condition that he can recite Qur’an. That is because the faqīh has the best knowledge of what is intended by the actions of the prayer.

They interpret the hadîth to mean that the one with the most Qur’an is the one with the most fiqh because they learned fiqh from the Qur’an. Part of their custom was to call the fuqahā’ ‘qurrā’ (reciters). They cite as evidence the fact that, in his final illness, the
Prophet 📜 advanced Abū Bakr because of his excellence and knowledge. Ishāq said, ‘The Prophet 📜 put him forward to indicate that he was his successor after him.’ Abū ‘Umar mentioned that in *at-Tamhīd*. Abū Bakr al-Bazzār related with a good isnād from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet 📜 said, ‘When you travel, then the one with the most Qur’an recitation should lead you in the prayer, even if he is the youngest of you. When he leads you, he is your amīr.’ He said, ‘We only know that it is related from the Prophet 📜 from Abū Hurayrah by this isnād.’

It is permitted for a child to act as imam if he can recite the Qur’an. It is confirmed in *Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī* that ‘Amr ibn Salimah said, ‘We were at a watering place much-frequented by people. The caravans would pass by us and we would ask the people, “What is wrong with the people? Who is this man?” They would say, “He claims that Allah has sent him and has revealed to him such-and-such and such-and-such.” I used to memorise those words and it was as if that was fixed in my heart. The Arabs waited until the Conquest to become Muslim. They used to say, “Leave him and his people be. If he overcomes them, then he is a truthful Prophet.” When the Conquest took place, every tribe rushed to become Muslim, and my father rushed to become Muslim before his people. When he arrived back, he said, “By Allah, I have truly come to you from the Prophet 📜. He said, “Pray such-and-such a prayer at such-and-such a time, and pray such-and-such a prayer at such-and-such a time. When the time of the prayer comes, then let one of you announce it, and let the one of you who has the most Qur’an lead you.” They looked and there was no one who had more Qur’an than me since I used to learn it from the caravans. They put me in front of them when I was only about six or seven years old, wearing a cloak. When I prostrated, I was exposed. A woman of the tribe said, “Will you not cover the bottom of your reciter!” So they bought and made a shirt for me. I have never been so happy to have
anything as I was to have that shirt.’

Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī and Ishāq ibn Rāhawayh were among those who permitted a minor child to act as imam. Ibn al-Mundhir preferred to say that it is only appropriate when the child understands the prayer and can establish it, as that is part of what the Prophet ⲧฬ said: ‘The one with the most Qur’ān recitation should lead you in the prayer’ and that follows the hadith of ‘Amr ibn Salīmah. One of the two positions of ash-Shāfi‘ī is that he can lead all the daily prayers but should not lead the Jumu‘ah prayer. Before that he used to say that someone who is allowed to act as imam in prescribed prayers can act as imam at the Ӏīds. He said, ‘But I dislike anyone but the governor acting as imam in them.’ Al-Awzā‘ī said, ‘A boy should not act as imam in the prescribed prayer until he has reached puberty, unless it is a people who do not have anyone else who knows any of the Qur’ān. Then an adolescent can act as imam.’ Az-Zuhrī said that he may do that if he is needed. Mālik, ath-Thawrī and the People of Opinion forbid it altogether.

The imam [in the prayer] can be any free, adult, Muslim male who is upright, and there is no disagreement about that so long as he knows the limits of the prayer and does not use such bad Arabic in the Umm al-Qur’ān as would unsettle the meaning, such as putting a kasrah on the kāf of ‘iyyāka na‘budu’ (1:4) [which would make it a feminine ‘you’] or a dammah on the tā’ of ‘an‘amta’ [which would make it ‘I bless’]. Some of them say that he must observe the distinction between tā’ and dād and if he does not, then he cannot act as imam, because there is a difference in meaning. Some people allow all of that if he is ignorant of recitation and those who follow him are equally ignorant.

It is not permitted for the imam to be a woman, a hermaphrodite, an unbeliever, a mad person or someone illiterate. None of these can be an imam in any case according to most scholars as will be discussed, with the exception of someone illiterate leading those who
are also illiterate. Our scholars said that it is not permitted for someone illiterate who cannot recite well to act as imam when there is someone who can recite properly. That is the same as ash-Shāfi‘ī said. If he leads someone who is illiterate like him, then both we and ash-Shāfi‘ī believe his prayer to be valid. Abū Ḥanīfah said that if someone illiterate leads a group which includes those who can read and those who are illiterate, then the prayer of all of them is unsound. Abū Yūsuf disagreed with him and said that the prayer of the imam and those who cannot recite is valid. One group, holding a contrary position, said that the prayer of all of them is allowed because each of them has fulfilled his obligation and that is like someone doing tayammum leading those who have purified themselves with water, and someone sitting leading those who are standing, because each performs his obligation. The evidence for this view is found in the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Why does the person praying not look to see how he prays? He is only praying for himself.’ Muslim transmitted it. The prayer of the follower is not tied to that of the imam. Allah knows best.

There is nothing wrong in the imamate of a man who is blind or lame, who has a palsied hand, an amputee, eunuch or slave when any of them has proper knowledge of the prayer. Ibn Wahb said, ‘I do not think that someone with palsy or an amputee should lead the prayer because he has less than the rank of perfection. It is disliked for him to be imam because of that imperfection.’ He is opposed by most of his companions and that is correct because the loss of a limb does not prevent any of the obligations of the prayer. A person is permitted to be a regular imam when he lacks an eye. Anas related that the Prophet ﷺ appointed Ibn Umm Maktūm to lead the people in prayer even though he was blind. By analogy and logic, the same holds true for someone lame, an amputee, someone with palsy or a eunuch. Allah knows best. It is related that Anas said about a blind man, ‘What is your problem about him? Ibn ‘Abbās and ‘Ibīn ibn
Mālik led the prayer and they were blind.’ That is the view of most scholars.

There is disagreement about a bastard acting as imam. Mālik said, ‘I dislike him being the regular imam.’ ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-ʿAzīz disliked it. ‘Aṭāʾ ibn Abī Rabāḥ said that he may lead the prayer if he is approved of. That is the view of al-Ḥasan al-Body, az-Zuhri, an-Nakhaʾī, Sufyān ath-Thawrī, al-Awzāʾī, Ḥamad and Ishāq. According to the people of opinion, the prayer behind him is valid, although they prefer others. Ash-Shāfiʿī said that he disliked someone with an unknown father being appointed a regular imam but the prayer behind him is valid. ʿĪsā ibn Dīnār said, ‘I do not take Mālik’s position about the imamate of a bastard. Nothing of the sin of his parents adheres to him.’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam said the same when he himself is worthy of acting as imam. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘He can lead it because he is included in the words of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ: “The one who knows the most recitation leads them.”’ Abū ʿUmar said, ‘There is nothing in the traditions reported about preconditions for being imam that indicates taking account of lineage. Rather it indicates fiqh, recitation and righteousness in the dīn.’

As for slaves, Al-Bukhārī related that Ibn ʿUmar said, ‘When the first Muhājirūn came to al-ʿAṣabah, a place at Qubāʾ, before the arrival of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, they were led in the prayer by ʿAbd al-Rahmān, the freedman of Abū Ḥudhayfah. He knew the most Qurʾān.’ He said, ‘Ṣālim, the freedman of Abū Ḥudhayfah, led the first Muhājirūn and the Companions of the Prophet ﷺ in the prayer at Qubāʾ. They included Abū Bakr, ʿUmar, Zayd, and Ḥāmid ibn ʿAbd al-Raḥmān. ʿĀʾishah was led in the prayer by her slave Dhakwān from a copy of the Qurʾān.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘While he was still a slave, Abū Saʿīd, the freedman of Abū Asyad, led a group of the Companions of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ that included Ḥudhayfah and Ibn Masʿūd in the prayer.’ An-Nakhaʾī, ash-Shaʿbī, al-Ḥasan al-
Baṣrī, al-Ḥakam, ath-Thawrī, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Ishāq and the people of opinion make an allowance for a slave leading the prayer. Abū Miljaz disliked it. Mālik said, ‘A slave should only lead the prayer if he can recite and the free men with him cannot recite, but a slave may not lead the prayer in an ʿĪd or Jumuʿah.’” Al-Awzā‘ī allows the prayer if people pray behind him. Ibn al-Mundhir said that slaves are included in the words of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ: ‘The one who knows the most recitation leads them.’

As for women leading the prayer, al-Bukhārī related that Abū Bakrah said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah ﷺ heard that the people of Persia had made the daughter of Khusrau their ruler, he said, “A people will not prosper who appoint a woman over their business.”’ Abū Dāwūd mentioned it from ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Khallād from Umm Waraqah bint ‘Abdullāh. He said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ used to visit her in her house and assigned her a muʿadhdhīn to call the prayer for her and told her to lead the people of her house in the prayer.’ ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān said, ‘I saw the muʿadhdhīn and he was a very old man.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that any men who pray behind a woman must repeat the prayer.’ Abū Thawr said that they do not have to repeat it. This is similar to the view of al-Muzanī.

Our scholars have said that it is not valid for a woman to be an imam either for men or women. Ibn Ayman related that it is permissible for her to act as an imam for other women. Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that a hermaphrodite cannot be an imam for men or women. Mālik said that he may not be an imam in any case, and that is what most fuqahā’ say.

If an unbeliever who opposes the Sharīʿah, such as a Jew or a Christian, leads the Muslims in the prayer when they do not know that he is an unbeliever, ash-Shāfi‘ī and Ahmād said that the prayer is not fulfilled and they must repeat it. Mālik and his people said that because he is not one of the people of nearness. Al-Awzā‘ī said that
he should be punished. Abū Thawr and al-Muzanī said that someone who prays behind him does not have to repeat it.

As for the people of innovations among the sects like the Mu’tazilites, Jahmites and others, al-Bukhārī mentioned that Hasan said, ‘Pray, and he has his innovation.’ Aḥmad said, ‘Do not pray behind any of the people of sects since he invites to his sect.’ Mālik said, ‘One can pray behind imams of injustice, but not behind the people of sects, the Qadariyyah and others.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘It is not permitted to pray behind anyone whose innovation removes him to unbelief. If someone does not go that far, then the prayer behind him is valid. It is not permitted to put someone like this forward to lead the prayer.’

As for someone impious (fāsiq) through his actions, such as a fornicator, drinker or the like, the School disagrees about him. Ibn Ḥabīb said, ‘If someone prays behind a drinker, he should always repeat it unless it is the governor to whom he owes obedience. The one who prays behind him does not have to repeat it unless he was actually drunk. This is the position of the people of Mālik that I have met.’ It is related from the hadith of Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said on the minbar, ‘A woman does not lead a man in the prayer. A desert Arab does not lead a Muhājir. Someone deviant does not lead someone pious unless he is someone in authority.’ Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ḥaqq said, ‘Alī ibn Zayd ibn Juḍ‘ān related it from Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab. Most think that ‘Alī ibn Zayd is weak.

Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If you are happy for your prayer to be pure, put forward the best of you.’ Abu-l-Walīd Khālid ibn Ismāʿīl al-Makhzūmī, who is weak, is in the isnād. Ad-Dāraquṭnī said that. Abū Aḥmad ibn ‘Adī said about him, ‘He used to impute hadith to trustworthy Muslims, and he related this hadith from Ibn Jurayj from ‘Aṭā’ from Abū Hurayrah.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī mentioned from
Salām ibn Sulaymān from ‘Umar from Muḥammad ibn Wāsi‘ from Saʿīd ibn Jubayr from Ibn ‘Umar that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Make your imams the best of you. They form a delegation between you and Allah.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī said, ‘I think that this ‘Umar is ‘Umar ibn Yazīd, the Qāḍī of Madā’in. Salām ibn Sulaymān was also from Madā’in and is not strong. ‘Abd al-Ḥaqq said that.’

The imams related that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The imam is appointed to lead, so do not differ from him. Say the takbīr when he says the takbīr. Bow when he bows. When he says, “Allah hears whoever praises Him,” say, “O Allah, our Lord, praise is Yours.” Prostrate when he prostrates. When he prays sitting, all pray sitting.’ Scholars disagree about someone who bows or goes down before the imam deliberately. One view is that his prayer is invalid if he does that in all or most of the prayer. That is the view of the literalists. It is related from Ibn ‘Umar. Sunayd related from Ibn ‘Ulayyah from Ayyūb from Abū Qilābah that Abu-l-Ward al-Anṣarī said, ‘I prayed beside Ibn ‘Umar and began to come up before the imam and go down before him. When the imam said the salām, Ibn ‘Umar took my hand and pulled me to him. I exclaimed, “What is wrong with you?” He asked, “Who are you?” I said, “So-and-so the son of so-and-so.” He said, “You are from the people of a truthful house! What prevents you from praying?” I retorted, “Did you not see me beside you?” He replied, “I saw you rise before the imam and go down before him. The one who differs from the imam has no prayer.”’

Al-Ḥasan ibn Ḥayy said about someone who bows before the imam and then comes up from his bowing or prostration before the imam does that he does not count that and the prayer is not satisfied. Most fiqahā’ say that someone who does that has acted badly but his prayer is not invalid because the basis in the group prayer and having an imam is a good sunnah. If someone differs from that after having performed his obligatory prayer with purity,
bowing, prostration and all its obligatory elements, he does not have to repeat it, even if he has omitted one of its sunnahs, because, if he had wished, he could have prayed the prayer alone before the imam and it would have satisfied the requirement, although he acted badly in abandoning the group prayer. They said that if someone joins a prayer with an imam, and bows when he bows and prostrates when he prostrates, and is not in the same rak‘ah as the imam, he has satisfied it, even if he comes up and goes down before him because he bowed with his bowing, prostrated with his prostration, and rose while following him, but he has acted badly because he has not followed the agreed upon sunnah in following an imam.

What Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr related from the majority is based on the prayer of the follower not being connected to that of the imam because it lacks physical and legal following. That is not the position that most of them hold. The first view is the sound one in tradition and logic. The imam is appointed to be followed in his actions. Part of that is the words of the Almighty: ‘I will make you a model (imām) for mankind.’ (2:124) This is the reality of ‘imām’ linguistically and in the Shari‘ah. Whoever differs from his imam has not followed him. Then the Prophet ﷺ clarified that: ‘Say the takbīr when he says the takbīr.’ He used ‘fā’ which denotes consequential order. He explained what Allah meant. Then he strongly threatened someone who gets ahead of the imam in rising or bowing: ‘Does someone who lifts his head before the imam not fear that Allah will transform his head into that of a donkey or that He will give him the form of a donkey?’ It is transmitted by the Muwattā’, al-Bukhārī, Muslim, Abū Dāwūd and others. Abū Hurayrah said that his forelock is in the hand of Shayṭān. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Every action we have not commanded is rejected.’ If someone deliberately acts differently to his imam, knowing full well that he is commanded to follow him and forbidden to differ from him, he has made light of his prayer and opposed what he has been commanded to do.
Therefore the requirement of the prayer is not satisfied. Allah knows best.

If he raises his head out of forgetfulness before the imam, Mālik says, ‘The Sunnah about someone who forgets and does that in bowing or prostration is that he goes back, bowing or prostrating, and waits for the imam. This is an error on the part of one who does it because the Prophet ﷺ said, “The imam is appointed to lead, so do not differ from him.”’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘The apparent meaning of what Mālik said is that this does not oblige the one who did it deliberately to go back because he said, “This is an error on the part of one who does it.”’

This disagreement applies to other than the takbīr al-iḥrām and salām. The salām has already been discussed. As for the takbīr al-iḥrām, the majority believe that that of the follower may be said only after that of the imam with the exception of one of two views related from ash-Shāfi‘ī: if the follower says the takbīr al-iḥrām before that of the imam, that is allowed for him based on the hadith of Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ went to the prayer and when he had said the takbīr, he left indicating that they should remain as they were. He went out and then came back, his head dripping water, and led them in the prayer. When he finished he said, ‘I was in janābah but forgot to have a ghusl.’ There was also the hadith of Anas: ‘He said the takbīr and I said it with him.’

Muslim related that Abū Mas‘ūd said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ used to brush our shoulders in the prayer, saying, “ Straighten your rows and do not differ lest your hearts differ. Those of understanding and intelligence should be closest to me, then those after them and then those after them.”’ Abū Mas‘ūd said, ‘Today you are in the greatest disagreement.’ The hadith of ‘Abdullāh adds, ‘Beware of the tumults of the market.’ The words ‘Straighten your rows’ is a command to make the rows straight, especially the first row which is right behind the imam as will be explained in Ṣūrat al-
Ḥijr, Allah willing.

Scholars disagree about how to sit in the prayer because of the different traditions that have come regarding that. Mālik and his people said that the person praying has his buttocks on the ground with his right foot upright and left foot folded under as is related in the Muwāṭṭā from Yahyā ibn Sa‘īd: ‘Al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad showed them how to sit in the ṭashahhud, and he kept his right foot vertical and laid his left foot down, and sat on his left haunch, not on his foot. Then he said, ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar saw me doing this and related to me that his father used to do the same thing.’

This idea comes in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim where ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah used to begin the prayer with the takbīr and recitation of the ʿFāṭihah. When he bowed, he did not raise or lower his head, but in between that. When he raised his head from bowing, he did not prostrate until he was standing upright. When he raised his head from prostration, he did not prostrate until he was sitting up straight. He used to say the greeting after every two rak‘ahs. He would lay his left foot down and have his right foot vertical. He forbade sitting on the heels like Shayṭān and he forbade that a man rest on his arms like an animal. He would end the prayer with the ṭaslīm.’

Allah knows best, but according to this hadith, Ibn ‘Umar said that the sunnah of the prayer is to have the right foot vertical and the left foot folded under. Ath-Thawrī, Abū Ḥanīfah and his people, and al-Ḥasan ibn Ṣāliḥ ibn Ḥayy say that he has his right foot vertical and sits on the left foot, based on the hadith of Wā’il ibn Ḥujr. That is what is stated by ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, and Ishāq for the middle sitting. They have the same position as Mālik about the final one in Ẓuhr, ‘Asr, Maghrib and ‘Ishā’ based on the hadith of Abū Ḥumayd as-Sā‘īdī that al-Bukhārī related. He said: ‘I saw that when the Prophet said the takbīr, he placed his hands opposite his shoulders. When
he bowed in *rukūʿ*, he placed his hands on his knees and then bent his back straight. When he raised his head, he stood up straight until each vertebra had returned to its place. When he went into prostration, he placed his arms so that they were neither stretched out nor held close. The toes of his feet pointed towards the *qiblah*. When he sat after the first two *rakʿahs*, he sat on his left foot and kept his right foot upright. When he sat after the last *rakʿah*, he extended his left foot across, keeping the other upright, and sat on his buttocks.’ At-Ṭabarī said that it is good to do this. All of that is confirmed from the Prophet ﷺ.

Mālik related from Muslim ibn Abī Maryam that ‘Ālī ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān al-Muʿāwī said, “Abdullāh ibn ʿUmar saw me playing with some pebbles in the prayer. When it finished, he forbade me to do that, saying, “Do as the Messenger of Allah ﷺ did.” I asked, “What did the Messenger of Allah ﷺ do?” He said, “When he sat in the prayer, he placed his right hand on his right thigh and he closed his fist and pointed his index finger, and he placed his left hand on his left thigh. That is what he used to do.’” Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr said, ‘What Ibn ʿUmar described about placing the right hand on the right thigh and closing all the fingers of his hand except for the index finger with which he pointed, and placing the left hand on the left thigh, opened with the fingers spread is all the agreed sunnah of sitting in the prayer. I do not know of any disagreement between scholars regarding it. It is enough for you. They do, however, disagree about moving the index finger. Some of them think that you move it and some think that you do not. All of that is related in sound traditions from the Prophet ﷺ. All of it is permitted. Praise be to Allah.’

Suḥyān ibn ʿUyaynah related this hadith from Muslim ibn Abī Maryam with the same idea, but he adds to it. Suḥyān said, ‘Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd related to us from Muslim and then I met him and listened to him. He added in it: “It is a whisk against Shayṭān. No one forgets as long as he points with his finger and says this.”’
Abū Dāwūd related in the hadith of Ibn az-Zubayr that the Prophet ﷺ used to point with his index finger when he made supplication, but did not move it. That is what is believed by some Iraqis. Some of them forbade moving it. Some of our scholars think that extending it is indicating constant tawḥīd. Most of the scholars of the people of Mālik and others believe that it is moved although they have two different views about continuing to move it. Those who believe that it is continuous say that it is to remind one of continuing presence in the prayer and is a hammer with which to repel Shayṭān as Sufyān mentioned. Those who think that it is not continuous think that it is moved in the articulation of the words of the shahādah. The interpretation is that it is as if he were articulating tawḥīd with that limb. Allah knows best.

They disagree about how a woman should sit in the prayer. Mālik said that it is the same as a man and that there is no difference after the takbīr al-ʾihrām except in dress and loudness. Ath-Thawrī said that a woman is covered in her gown from one side. That is related from Ibrāhīm an-Nakhaʿī. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people said that a woman sits in the manner easiest for her. That is the view of ash-Shaʿbī: she sits in whatever way is easy for her. Ash-Shāfīʿī said that she should sit in the manner that will most cover her.

Muslim related that Ṭāwūs said, ‘We asked Ibn ‘Abbās about iqʿā’ – sitting on the feet [with the feet facing outwards]. He answered, “It is the Sunnah.” We said to him, “We think that it is coarseness on the part of a man.” He replied, “It is the Sunnah of your Prophet ﷺ.”’ Scholars disagree about the description of iqʿā’. Abū ‘Ubayd said, ‘Iqʿā’ is that a man sits on his buttocks with his thighs raised as dogs and beasts do.’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘This is the form of iqʿā’ that is agreed upon and the scholars do not disagree about it.’ This is the explanation of linguists and a group of the people of fiqh. Abū ‘Ubayd said, ‘As for the people of hadith, they consider iqʿā’ to be to put the buttocks on the heels between the two sajdahs.’ Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ
said, ‘What seems most likely to me in respect of the interpretation of the *iqā‘a* which Ibn ‘Abbās said is the sunnah, is that which the *fuqahā‘* say is about putting the buttocks on the heels between the two *sajdahs*. That is how it is explained by Ibn ‘Abbās that part of the sunnah is to have the buttocks touch the heels.’ Ibrāhīm ibn Maysarah related it from Ṭāwus. Abū ‘Umar mentioned it. The Qāḍī said, ‘It is related that a group of the Salaf and Companions used to do that. The *fuqahā‘* of the cities in general do not espouse it, calling it *iqā‘a*.’ ‘Abd ar-Razzāq mentioned from Ma‘mar from Ibn Ṭāwus that his father saw Ibn ‘Umar, Ibn ‘Abbās and Ibn az-Zubayr sitting in *iqā‘a* between the two *sajdahs*.

There is no disagreement among scholars who say that the *taslīm* is obligatory and those who say that the second *taslīm* is not obligatory except for what is related from al-Ḥasan ibn Ḥayy who stated that two *taslīms* are mandatory. Abū Ja‘far aṭ-Ṭaḥāwī said, ‘We did not find any of the people of knowledge besides him who believe that there are two *taslīms* who say that the second one is one of the obligations.’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘Part of the argument of al-Ḥasan ibn Ṣāliḥ about both being obligatory is the words: “If someone breaks *wuḍū‘* after the first but before the second, his prayer is invalid,” and the words of the Prophet ﷺ, “The *taslīm* brings one out of the prayer.” Then he made clear how the *taslīm* is: to the right and to the left. Part of the argument of those who say that only the first *taslīm* rather than the second is mandatory is the words of the Prophet ﷺ, “The *taslīm* brings one out of the prayer.” That is a single *taslīm*.‘

This question is based on taking the minimum implication of the word (*taslīm*) or the last of it. Since one enters the prayer by one *takbīr* by consensus, then leaving it is also by one *taslīm*, except for the firm sunnahs which have come. There is the hadith of Ibn Mas‘ūd, which has the greatest multiple transmission, that of Wā’il ibn Ḥuhydr al-Ḥaḍramī, that of ‘Ammār, that of al-Barā‘ ibn ‘Āzib, that of Ibn
‘Umar and that of Sa‘d ibn Abī Waqqāṣ that the Prophet ﷺ said two ṭaslīms. Ibn Jurayj, Sulaymān ibn Bilāl, and ‘Abd al-‘Azīz ibn Muḥammad ad-Darāwardī all related from ‘Amr ibn Yaḥyā al-Māzinī from Muḥammad ibn Yahyā ibn Ḥabbān that his uncle, Wāsi‘ ibn Ḥabbān, said, ‘I said to Ibn ‘Umar, “Tell me what the prayer of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was like.”’ He mentioned the takbīr whenever he raised his head and went down. He mentioned, “Peace be upon you and the mercy of Allah” to his right and “Peace be upon you and the mercy of Allah” to his left.’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘This has a sound Madīnah isnād while the famous normative practice in Madīnah is one ṭaslīm. That is a practice transmitted by the people of Madīnah by multiple transmissions from old to old. The like of that is a sound argument for the normative practice in every land because it is not hidden that it happens several times every day. The same is true of the normative practice in Kufa and elsewhere of ṭaslīms which has extensive multiple transmissions from them. Everything like this is a disagreement about what is permissible, as is the case with the adhan. Similarly an objection to a single ṭaslīm or two ṭaslīms has not been related from any scholar in the Hijaz, Iraq, Syria or Egypt. Instead that is well known among them. The hadith about a single ṭaslīm has been related by Sa‘d ibn Abī Waqqāṣ, ‘Ā’ishah and Anas although it is defective and not considered to be sound by the people who know the science of hadith.’

Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that Ibn Maṣ‘ūd said, ‘It is part of the Sunnah to say the tashahhud silently.’ Mālik preferred the tashahhud of ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb which is: ‘Greetings belong to Allah. Pure actions belong to Allah. Good words and prayers belong to Allah. Peace be upon you, Prophet, and the mercy of Allah and His blessings. Peace be upon us and on the slaves of Allah who are right-acting. I testify that there is no god but Allah and I testify that Muḥammad is His slave and His Messenger.’ Ash-Shāfī‘ī and his
people and al-Layth use the *tashahhud* of Ibn ʿAbbās who said, ‘The Messenger of Allah  used to teach us the *tashahhud* in the same way he taught us a *sūrah* of the Qur’an. It is: “Blessed greetings, prayers, good words belong to Allah. Peace be upon you, Prophet, and the mercy of Allah and His blessings. Peace be upon us and on the slaves of Allah who are right-acting. I testify that there is no god but Allah and I testify that Muḥammad is His slave and His Messenger.”’

Ath-Thawrī, the Kufans and most of the people of hadith use used the *tashahhud* of Ibn Masʿūd which Muslim also related. He said, ‘We used to say in the prayer behind the Messenger of Allah  : “Peace be upon Allah. Peace be upon so-and-so.”’ One day the Messenger of Allah  said, “Allah is Peace. When one of you sits in the prayer, he should say, “Greetings, prayers and good words belong to Allah. Peace be upon you, Prophet, and the mercy of Allah and His blessings. Peace be upon us and on the slaves of Allah who are right-acting.” (When you say that, it applies to every righteous slave of Allah in the heaven and the earth.) “I testify that there is no god but Allah and I testify that Muḥammad is His slave and His Messenger.” Then you can choose whatever supplication you wish.’ That is the position of Aḥmad, Iṣḥāq and Dāwūd. Aḥmad ibn Khālid in Andalusia preferred and inclined to it. A similar *tashahhud* to that of Ibn Masʿūd is related both *marfūʿ* and *maʾwqūf* from Abū Mūsā al-Asḥārī. All of this is disagreement about something permissible and there is nothing of an obligatory nature in it. Praise be to Allah alone.

This is a summary of the rulings on the imam and the one following him contained in His words, ‘*bow with those who bow*’. The position about standing in the prayer will be discussed in 2:238. The ruling about a sick imam and other rulings of the prayer will also be discussed there. The ruling of someone who is sick but not the imam will be discussed in Āl ‘Imrān. The ruling of someone performing the obligatory prayer behind someone performing a supererogatory
prayer will be discussed under the Fear Prayer in an-Nisā’. The ruling about the imam praying while higher than the follower will be discussed in Maryam as well as matters about the times, the adhan and mosques. All of this explains His words: ‘establish the prayer’. Some of its rulings were mentioned at the beginning of the sūrah. Praise be to Allah.

44 Do you order people to piety and forget yourselves, when you recite the Book? Will you not use your intellect?

Do you order people to piety

This is a question implying a rebuke. What is meant, according to the people of interpretation, are Jewish scholars. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘A man of the Jews of Madīnah said to his son-in-law, his kin, and those who had a milk relationship with the Muslims, “Be firm in holding to what you have and on what this man (meaning Muḥammad ﷺ) orders you to do. His business is true.” So they ordered their people to do that, but they did not do it.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Jews used to command their followers to follow the Torah but they contradicted it by their denial of the description of Muḥammad ﷺ in it.’ Ibn Jurayj said, ‘The rabbis encouraged people to obey Allah while they committed acts of disobedience themselves.’ One group said, ‘They encouraged others to give ṣadaqah but were themselves miserly.’ All these ideas are similar. The people who deal in indications (ishārāt) say that the meaning is: ‘Do you ask people for the realities of meanings when you disobey their outward meaning?’

There will be a severe punishment for someone who is like this. Ḥammād ibn Salamah related from ‘Alī ibn Zayd that Anas reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘On my Night Journey I passed by some people who were cutting of their lips with scissors
of fire. I asked, “Jibrîl, who are they?” He said, “Those are the speakers of the people of this world who commanded people to devoutness and forgot themselves. They used to recite the Book but did not understand.”” Abû Umâmah related that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Those who commanded people to piety and forgot themselves will drag their intestines into the Fire of Hell. They will be asked, “Who are you?” and will answer, “We are those who commanded people to do good but forgot ourselves.”’

This indicates that the punishment of someone who knows what is right and wrong and that it is obligatory to perform the requirements of both is worse than that of someone who does not know. That is because it is as if he were making light of the prohibitions and rulings of Allah. He does not benefit from his knowledge. The Messenger of Allah said, ‘The worst of people on the Day of Rising will be a scholar whom Allah does not make benefit from his knowledge.’

Know that the rebuke in the âyah is for abandoning acts of piety, not for ordering them. This is why in His Book Allah censures those who command acts of piety but do not perform them themselves. He censured them with a rebuke which will last until the Day of Rising. Manṣûr the Faqîh said:

People who command us to do what they do not do are mad even if they do not bring us down.

Abu-l-‘Atâhiyyah said:

You described the godfearing as if you possessed that quality, yet the smell of sins issues from your garment.

Abu-l-Aswad ad-Du’alî said:

Do not forbid something when you do the same. It is a terrible sin if you do that. Begin with your own self and forbid it its errors.
If you stop committing them, you are wise.
Then if you admonish, it will be accepted,
and what you say will be followed and instruction will be of use.

Abū ‘Amr ibn Maṭar said, ‘I was present in the gathering of the ascetic, Abū ‘Uthmān al-Ḥīrī, and he came out and sat in the place where he normally sat to remind people. He was silent for a long time. Then a man called Abu-l-‘Abbās called out, “Do you think you are saying something by your silence?” He recited:

“Only a godfearing person commands people to be godfearing.
A doctor is the one who treats the sick.”

Ibrāhīm an-Nakha’ī said, ‘I dislike attributing a specific cause to three āyahs: “Do you order people to piety...” (2:44), “Why do you say what you do not do?” (61:2) and “I would clearly not want to go behind your backs and do something I have forbidden you to do.” (11:88)’ Salm ibn ‘Amr said:

‘How ugly is someone who admonishes people to be ascetic when he is not ascetic.
If he had been true in his asceticism,
the mosque would have been his house, morning and evening.
Dismissing this world is of no consequence when one asks people for gifts and presents.
Provision is allotted to those you see.
All people, no matter their colour, obtain it.’

Al-Ḥasan told Muṭarrif ibn ‘Abdullāh, ‘Warn your companions!’ He answered, ‘I fear that I will say what I do not do.’ He said, ‘May Allah have mercy on you! Which of us does what he says! Shayṭān wants to be victorious by this. Then no one would command the correct or forbid the bad.’ Mālik said that Rabī‘ah ibn Abī ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān heard Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr say, ‘If a person did not command the correct or forbid the bad unless there was nothing of it in himself, no one would command the correct or forbid the bad.’ Mālik said, ‘He spoke the truth. Who is there who has nothing of it
in himself?’

The word for ‘piety’ – *bîr* – means obedience and righteous action. It also means truthfulness. It also means a fox cub and the bleating of sheep when they are herded. So it is a word with multiple meanings. *Burr* is wheat and *barr* is respectfulness and esteem, such as that which should be shown to one’s parents.

**and forget yourselves**

This means ‘fail to do them yourselves’ which is a common usage of the word ‘*nasā*’ (forget) as it is used frequently in the Qur‘an and in hadith. The root can also designate the opposite of remembrance and memory. A man who is described as ‘*nasyān*’ is very forgetful.

‘Selves’ (*anfūs*) is the plural of ‘*nafs*’ which is the soul. Part of the evidence for the fact that the ‘*nafs*’ is also the soul (*rūḥ*) is the words of the Almighty: ‘*Allah takes back people’s souls* (anfus) *when death arrives.*’ (39:42) That is made clear in the words of the Prophet  in the words that Bilāl said to the Prophet  in the hadith of Ibn Shihāb, ‘Messenger of Allah, He Who detained my soul (*nafsi*) detained your soul,’ and the words of the Prophet  in the hadith of Zayd ibn Aslam: ‘*Allah takes our souls* (*arwāḥ*). If He wishes, He returns them to us.’ Mālik related it. *Nafs* also means ‘blood’.

**when you recite the Book?**

This is a great rebuke to the one who understands. ‘*Tatlūna*’ means ‘to recite’. The Book is the Torah and it also applied to anyone who does the same as they did. The root of ‘*tilāwah*’ (recitation) means ‘to follow’, which is why it is used for recitation because words follow one another so that they form a sequence.

**Will you not use your intellect?**

Will you not prevent yourselves from being in this state? The word ‘*aql* (intellect) implies restraint, from which comes the hobble (*‘iqāl*) of the camel because it prevents it from moving. The word is also sometimes used for blood money because it restrains the relative of the victim from killing the perpetrator. *‘Itiqāl* is a spasm of the
stomach or restraining of the tongue. A fortress is called ‘maṣqil’. ‘Aql is the opposite of ignorance. ‘Aql is also a red cloth that Arab women use to cover howdahs. Az-Zajjāj says, ‘Someone described as ‘āqil is someone who does what Allah has obliged him to do. If does not do it, then he is ignorant.’

The people who follow the truth agree that the intellect is an existent thing which is neither timeless nor non-existent because, if it had been non-existent, it would describe some essences and not others. Since it exists, it is impossible to say it is timeless since there is nothing timeless except Allah. Philosophers have stated that the intellect is timeless. Some of them believe that it is a subtle essence in the body from which rays spread, like a lamp in a house, and by it one distinguishes between the realities of known things. Some of them say that it is a simple uncompounded essence. Then they disagree about its location. One group say that it is located in the brain because the brain is the locus of sensation. Another group say that it is located in the heart because the heart is the lode of life and the core of the senses.

The statement that the intellect is an essence is false since essences are similar. If the intellect had been an essence, then every essence would be an intellect. It is said that the intellect is that by which things are perceived in respect of the realities of ideas. Even if this statement is closer to the one before it, it is far from being correct since perception is one of the attributes of the living, and the intellect is something contingent. That is impossible for it as it is impossible for it to experience pleasure and appetite.

Shaykh Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Ash‘arī, Abū Ishāq al-Isfārāyanī and others who possess full understanding said that the intellect is knowledge as is indicated by the fact that one does not say, ‘I grasped but did not know.’ Qāḍī Abū Bakr said, ‘The intellect consists of requisite knowledge of the necessary nature of necessary things, permissibility of permissible things, and impossibility of
impossible things.’ That is what is preferred by Abu-l-Ma‘ālī in *al-Irshād*. His preference in *al-Burhān* is that it is an attribute by which knowledge is grasped. He opposes the school of the Qāḍī and deduced that his school is unsound. He related in *al-Burhān* that al-Muḥāsibī said that the intellect is an instinct. Abū Bakr related that ash-Shāfi‘ī and Abū ‘ Abdullāh ibn Mujāhid said that the intellect is the tool of discrimination. It is related that Abu-l-‘Abbās al-Qalānisī said that the intellect is the faculty of discrimination. It is related that al-Muḥāsibī said that the intellect consists of lights and insights. Then he put these views in order and said, ‘The first does not have a sound transmission from ash-Shāfi‘ī and Ibn Mujāhid.’ The word ‘tool’ is used for an actual tool and its usage here is metaphorical. The same is true of those who say that it is a faculty. Only power is understood from a faculty. Al-Qalānisī used the widest of the expressions as is the case with that of al-Muḥāsibī. The intellect is neither a form or a light, but lights and insight use it. This will be explained in the Āyat of *Tawḥīd*.

45 Seek help in steadfastness and the prayer. But that is a very hard thing, except for the humble:

Seek help in steadfastness and the prayer.

The meaning of the word used for steadfastness – ṣabr – is restraint and it is used for binding a person; and it also means endurance. Allah has commanded us in His Book to persist in obedience to Him and to restrain ourselves from committing acts of disobedience by telling us to be steadfast. It is said that a person first refrains (ṣabara) from acts of disobedience, and once he has done so he becomes steadfast (ṣābara) in obedience. This is the soundest of what is said.

Ṣalāh (the prayer) is mentioned rather than other acts of worship. When something happened to the Prophet ﷺ, he sought refuge in the prayer. Ibn ‘ Abbās was once told about the death of his brother
– or, it is said, a daughter of his – when he was on a journey and he said, ‘A weak spot Allah has protected, a burden Allah has spared us, and a reward which Allah will bring.’ Then he went off the road and prayed and went back to his camel, reciting, ‘Seek help in steadfastness and the prayer.’

Some people say that what is meant, according to linguistic usage, is supplication. This is like the āyah of Allah: ‘When you meet a troop, then stand firm and remember Allah’ (8:45) because firmness is ṣabr and dhikr is supplication. A third position was espoused by Mujâhid who said that, in this āyah, ṣabr referred to fasting. The month of Ramadan is called ‘The Month of Ṣabr’. The reason for this is that fasting curbs appetites and makes one ascetic in this world and the prayer keeps one from lewd action and what is disliked. Allah knows best.

Steadfastness in the face of injury and in performing acts of obedience is part of striving against the self and curbing it from its appetites and preventing it from becoming proud. It is one of the qualities of the Prophets and righteous. Yahyā ibn al-Yamān said, ‘Steadfastness is to not desire any state except that which Allah has placed you in and to find pleasure in whatever He has decreed for you in this world and the Next.’ ‘Alī said, ‘Steadfastness in relation to faith is like the head in relation to the body.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarî agreed with ‘Alī and said, ‘That is because faith is recognition by the heart, affirmation with the tongue and action with the limbs. If someone is not steadfast in acting with his limbs, he does not truly have faith.’ Steadfastness in carrying out the Shari‘ah is comparable to the head of a person’s body: it is not complete without it.

Allah describes the reward for various actions and puts a limit on it. He says: ‘Those who produce a good action will receive ten like it.’ (6:160) But He makes the reward of the steadfast limitless and praises the people who have it: ‘The steadfast will be paid their wages in full without any reckoning.’ (39:10) and: ‘But if someone is steadfast and forgives, that is
the most resolute course to follow. (42:43) It is also said that ‘The steadfast will be paid their wages in full without any reckoning’ (39:10) means those who fast because of the words of the Almighty in the sound report from the Prophet ☪: ‘Fasting is Mine and I repay it.’ He did not mention any exact reward as He did not mention one for steadfastness. Allah knows best.

One of the virtues of ṣabr is that Allah describes Himself as having it, as in the hadith of Abū Mūsā when the Prophet ☪ said, ‘There is no one or nothing with more patience in the face of an insult which he hears than Allah Almighty is. They claim that He has a son and yet He still gives them health and provides for them.’ Al-Bukhārī transmitted it. Our scholars say that, in the case of Allah, ṣabr has the meaning of forbearance, in that He defers the punishment from those who deserve it. The description of Him as having ṣabr does not come in the Revelation, but in this hadith where it is interpreted by scholars to mean forbearance, and so one of His Names is as-Ṣabūr, because of His great forbearance towards those who disobey Him.

**But that is a very hard thing,**

Interpreters disagree about what the word ‘that’ refers to here. It is said to refer to the prayer alone because it is harder for people than fasting, given that steadfastness here refers to fasting. The prayer is the prison of the lower self while fasting is just denial of its appetites. Someone who denies one or two appetites is not the same as someone who denies all his appetites. Fasting denies the appetite for women, food and drink but one is able to enjoy other appetites like talking, walking and looking and other such things. So there is consolation for the denied appetites. The one who prays, however, is denied all those things and all his limbs are restrained in the prayer from all appetites. That is why the prayer is harder for the self and a greater burden on it. It is said to refer to both of them but usually it is taken to mean the prayer.

This is the same as when Allah says: *those who hoard up gold and silver*
and do not spend it in the Way of Allah’ (9:34) when the primary reference is to silver because it was the predominant currency used for trade. And: ‘When they see a chance of trade or entertainment they scatter off to it.’ (62:11) It is said that since steadfastness is included in the prayer, it refers to it. It is also said to refer to worship as a whole which entails both fasting and the prayer. It is said to be a use of the verbal noun here, in which case it would mean that ‘seeking help’ is what is difficult. It is said to refer to responding to Muḥammadﷺ because ṣabr and prayer are part of what he called to. It is said that it refers to the Ka‘bah because one is commanded to pray towards it. ‘Kabīrah’ means burdensome and difficult. It is hard for all except the humble, for whom it is easy. The people of meanings say that they are those who are supported from pre-eternity by the qualities of being chosen and guided.

except for the humble:

‘Khāshi‘īn’ is the plural of khāshi‘, someone who is humble. Humility is a quality in the soul which shows itself in stillness and humbleness. Qatādah said, ‘Kḥushū‘ (humility) is in the heart where it takes the form of fear and appears outwardly as the lowering of the eye in the prayer.’ Az-Zajjāj said, ‘A humble person is one in whom the effect of abasement can be seen.’ The word is used of a house which has fallen down. This is the root meaning; A ‘humble’ place is one which has nothing to indicate where it is. The verb is used for lowering the voice and lowering the eyes. Kḥush‘ah, which comes from the same root, means a low hill. A land which is khāshi‘ is a place covered in dust with no place where one can alight.

Al-A‘mash said that he asked Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘ī about humility and he said, ‘A‘mash! You want to be an imam for the people and do not know what humility is! Humility does not consist of eating coarse food and wearing coarse clothes and bowing the head. Humility is to see the noble and lowly as having the same right and to be humble towards Allah in every obligation He has imposed on
you.’ ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb looked at a young man with a bowed head and said, ‘You! Lift your head up! Humility is not more than what is in the heart!’ ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said, ‘Humility is in the heart, in your hands being gentle with the Muslims, and in not looking about during the prayer.’ This will be discussed in 23:2. So someone who makes a display of humility, beyond what is in his heart, displays hypocrisy on top of hypocrisy. Sahl ibn ‘Abdullāh said, ‘A person is not humble until every hair in his body manifests humility by the words of Allah, “The skins of those who fear their Lord tremble.”’ (39:23)

This is praiseworthy humility because when the heart is still, the outward must also be humble, and the person who has it will inevitably display it; so you will see him with his head bowed, courteous and submissive. The Salaf used to strive to be like that. The blameworthy form is artificial, pretending to weep with a bowed head as the ignorant do in order to be viewed with respect. That is deceit from Shayṭān and part of human ego. Al-Ḥasan related that a man sighed in the presence of ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb as if he was in sorrow. ‘Umar clouted him. When ‘Umar spoke, he was heard. When he walked, he went quickly. When he struck, he caused pain. He was a truthful worshipper and truly humble. Ibn Abī Najīḥ related that Mujāhid said, ‘The humble are truly the believers.’

46 those who are aware that they will meet their Lord and that they will return to Him.

This describes the humble. The word for being aware, ẓann, means certainty in this context according to the majority of scholars. The normal meaning of ẓann entails some doubt but it can be used to mean certainty as in this āyah and elsewhere.
47 Tribe of Israel! remember the blessing I conferred on you and that I preferred you over all other beings. He means the beings of their time. The people of every time are considered a ‘world’. It is said that the preference was because they had a lot of Prophets and other advantages.

48 Have fear of a Day when no self will be able to compensate for another in any way. No intercession will be accepted from it, no ransom taken from it, and they will not be helped.

Have fear of a Day
This is a command which is also a threat, and ‘yawm’ (Day) here refers to the punishment and terror of the Last Day.

When no self will be able to compensate for another in any way
This means that no one will be punished for the sin of anyone else nor can he avert it from him. We find in the Ṣahīḥ that Abū Burdah ibn Niyār said about sacrifices, ‘It will not satisfy [the requirement] for anyone after you.’ ‘Lā tajzī’ means: it does not settle, suffice nor satisfy if there is nothing against it, but it does if there is without any choice involved in respect to rights. Abū Hurayrah reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Anyone who has done an injustice to his brother with regard to his honour or anything else should seek to be absolved by him before the Day when there will be neither dinar nor dirham. If he has some right actions, they will be taken from him to counterbalance any injustice he did and if he does not have any good actions, some of the bad actions of his friend will be taken and he will be made to carry them.’ Al-Bukhārī transmitted it.

No intercession will be accepted from it,
The word for intercession is derived from the root ‘ṣaḥa’ (even) which means one of a pair and to make an odd number even. Shu’āḥ (pre-emption) is called that because it is used when someone adds the property of his partner to his own. Shafī‘ is someone with pre-emption or intercession. A camel which is shafī‘ is one which is pregnant when there is a young foal still with it.

The position of the people who hold to the truth is that intercession is a reality, while the Muʿtazilites deny it and believe that sinful believers who enter the Fire will remain in it forever. There are mutually supporting reports that sinful rebellious people from the nations of the Prophets, who affirm the Unity of Allah, will be interceded for by the angels, Prophets, martyrs and righteous. There are two firm grounds for refuting the Muʿtazilites. The first is the numerous hadiths with multiple transmissions which affirm intercession, and the second is the consensus of the Salaf in their acceptance of these reports. No one denies them and everyone agrees that they are sound and accepts them as decisive evidence for the soundness of the creed of the people who hold to the truth and the falseness of the claims of the Muʿtazilites.

The Muʿtazilites claim that there are āyāhs in the Book which refute these traditions, like, ‘The wrongdoers will have no close friend or any intercessor who might be heard’ (40:18) and ‘Anyone who does evil will be repaid for it’ (4:123) and here ‘no intercession will be accepted from it.’ We reply that these āyāhs are general to every wrongdoer and the general has no definite application, so these āyāhs do not apply to everyone who does evil and to every soul. What is meant by them is the unbelievers rather than the believers which is supported by the evidence of the traditions reported about that.

Allah established intercession for some people and denied it to others. He says about the unbelievers: ‘The intercession of the interceders will not help them’ (74:48), ‘They only intercede on behalf of those with whom He is pleased’ (21:28), and ‘Intercession with Him will be of no benefit except
from someone who has His permission.’ (34:23) By this we know that intercession will help the believers but not the unbelievers. Commentators agree that what is meant by this āyah is the unbelievers, not every self. If you say that there is punishment for every rebellious wrongdoer, we do not say that they will be for ever in the Fire, by the evidence of the hadiths we related and by the words of Allah: ‘He forgives whomever He wishes for other than that’ (4:48) and ‘No one despairs of solace from Allah except for people who are unbelievers.’ (12:87)

With regard to ‘those with whom He is pleased’ (21:28), if they point out that the deviant is not pleasing, we reply that He did not say, ‘those who are not pleasing.’ Allah is pleased to grant intercession to the unifiers since He says: ‘They have no right of intercession. None do but those who have a contract with the All-Merciful.’ (19:87) The Prophet ﷺ was asked, ‘What is the contract which Allah has made with His creation?’ He replied. ‘That they believe in Him and not associate anything with Him.’

If they say that those who are pleasing are those who repent and have made a contract with Allah by repenting to Him, since the angels ask forgiveness for them and Allah says: ‘Forgive those who turn to you and who follow Your Way’ (40:7), and thus that the intercession of the Prophets is only for the people of repentance and not people of wrong actions, our reply is as follows: What you maintain obliges Allah to accept repentance. If Allah accepts the repentance of the wrongdoer, there is no need for intercession or asking forgiveness. The commentators agree that what is meant by ‘forgive those who turn,’ refers to turning away from shirk and ‘follow Your Way,’ means the Path of the believers. They asked Allah to forgive them for their wrong actions other than shirk as He says: ‘He forgives whomever He wishes for other than that’ (4:48).

If they say, ‘All the Community hope for the intercession of the Prophet ﷺ and if it was particularly for the people of wrong actions,
their request would be invalid,’ our reply is that every Muslim seeks the intercession of the Messenger ﷺ and desires Allah to accept it, since no one believes himself to be entirely free of wrong actions and to have performed everything Allah has required of him. Everyone acknowledges that they have shortcomings and so they fear the punishment and hope for salvation. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘None of you will be saved by his actions.’ They said, ‘Not even you, Messenger of Allah?’ He said, ‘Not even me unless Allah covers me with His mercy.’

Ibn Kathîr and Abû ‘Amr recite ‘tuqbalu’ because shafâ‘ah is feminine. The rest have it in the masculine as ‘yuqbalu’, taking it as referring to ‘intercessor’.

**no ransom will be accepted from it, and none will be helped.**

‘Adl means ‘ransom’ whereas ‘iddl means ‘like’. The ‘adl of a thing is that which is equal to a thing, of the same dimension in size. ‘Naṣr’ is ‘help’ and anṣâr are helpers as in 3:52.

The reason for the revelation of this āyah is that the tribe of Israel said, ‘We are the sons of Allah, beloved of Him and the sons of His Prophets, and our forebears will intercede for us.’ So Allah informed them about the Day of Rising on which day such intercession will not be accepted and no ransom will be taken. He mentioned intercession, ransom and help because they are the things on which human beings rely in this world. Someone who falls into hardship is only rescued by intercession, help or ransom.

49 Remember when We rescued you from the people of Pharaoh. They were inflicting an evil punishment on you, slaughtering your sons and letting your women live. In that there was a terrible trial for you from your Lord.
Remember when We rescued you from the people of Pharaoh.

The word ‘īdh’ (‘when’, translated here by ‘Remember when’) means ‘Remember My blessing when...’ and the following āyahs mention some of the blessings which the tribe of Israel enjoyed: being rescued from their enemies and Prophets being placed among them. It is addressed to those present but refers to their ancestors. Allah says ‘We rescued you’ because the rescue of their forefathers was a reason for the existence of those present. The word ‘najā’ (rescue) comes from the root najwah which is a raised place on the earth. So a rescued person is someone who emerges out of constriction into expansion. The ‘āl’ of Pharaoh means his family, followers and all who follow his dīn. Similarly, the āl of the Prophet  refers to those who follow his dīn in his time and at all times, whether related to him or not. Whoever does not follow his dīn is not one of his family or his people, even if he is related to him. Evidence for this is in the words of Allah: ‘We drowned the people of Pharaoh’ (2:50) and elsewhere. This is why it is said that Abū Lahab and Abū Jahl were not part of the people or family of the Prophet  even if they had actual kinship with him. That is why Allah Almighty said of Nūḥ’s son: ‘He is definitely not one of your family. He is someone whose action was not righteous.’ (11:46) We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah  say aloud and not secretly, “The family of my father (meaning so-and-so) are not my friends. But my friends are Allah and the righteous believers.”’

One group said that the āl of Muḥammad  consists only of his wives and children based on the hadith of Abū Ḥumayd as-Sā‘īdī: ‘They said, “Messenger of Allah, how should we pray for blessing on you?” He replied, “Say: ‘O Allah, bless Muḥammad, his wives and children as You blessed the family of Ibrāhīm. Give blessing to Muḥammad, his wives and children as You gave blessing to Ibrāhīm. You are Praiseworthy, Glorious.’”’ Muslim related it. One group of the people of knowledge said that ahl (family) is known and āl are the
followers. The first view is sounder based on what we have mentioned and on the hadith of ‘Abdullāh ibn Abī Awfā in which it is reported that when people brought their ṣadaqah to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, he would say, ‘O Allah, bless them.’ ‘Abdullāh said, ‘My father brought his ṣadaqah and he said, “O Allah, bless the family (āl) of Abū Awfā.”’

Pharaoh may have been the name of the king himself but it is more probable that it was the title of the rulers of Egypt, like Caesar and Khusrau were titles of the emperors of Rome and Persia, and Negus the title of the ruler of Abyssinia. It is said, according to the People of the Book, that this Pharaoh’s actual name was Qābūs. Wahb said that it was al-Walīd ibn Muṣ‘ab ibn ar-Riyyān. His kunyah was Abū Murrah. He was from the Banū ‘Amlīq ibn Lāwidh ibn Iram ibn Sām ibn Nūḥ. As-Suhaylī said, ‘Every ruler of the Copts and Egypt is called Pharaoh.’ Al-Mas‘ūdī said that the Arabic translation of ‘Pharaoh’ is not known.

They were inflicting an evil punishment on you, slaughtering your sons

The word used for inflicting (yasūmūna) indicates a constant punishment because sawm means constancy. Sā‘imah describes sheep because they are always grazing. ‘Evil punishment’ means the worst kind of punishment. It can mean ‘constant punishment’. The evil punishment consisted of making them slaves and chattels. They had to build, till the land, harvest and serve, and anyone who did not work had to pay a poll tax.

‘Slaughtering’ is in Form II, indicating frequency. Dhabḥ is slitting and dhibḥ is something slaughtered. Dhubāḥ is the split at the base of the fingers. Pharaoh was slaughtering male children and letting the women live. One group said that ‘sons’ means ‘men’ and they were called that because they had been sons. The first view is sounder because it is more evident. Allah ascribes the action to the people of Pharaoh even though they were acting on his command since it was
they who imposed the punishment. This informs us that someone who does something is punished for his action even if he is just following orders. ʿAt-Ṭabarī says that when a tyrant orders someone to kill someone, the one who does the killing is criminally responsible.

Scholars have three different views regarding this legal question. One is that they are both killed: the one who commanded it and the one who actually carried it out. This is the view of an-Nakhaʿī. Ash-Shāfiʿī and Mālik also said that, giving some additional details. Ash-Shāfiʿī said that when the ruler commands a man to kill another man and the one who is commanded knows that it is unjust to kill him, then both he and the ruler are subject to retaliation, just as is the case with two joint killers. If the ruler forces him to do it while the one commanded knows that the command to kill is unjust, the ruler is subject to retaliation and there are two views about the one he commanded. One is that he is subject to retaliation and the other is that he is not, but that he owes half the blood money. Ibn al-Mundhir related that.

Our scholars say that the one commanded must be one of those who must obey the one who gave the command, who is at the same time someone whose power to harm is feared, as is the case with the sultan and the master of a slave. In that case retaliation is obliged against both. If he is one of those who are not forced to obey, then the actual killer is killed alone and not the one who issued the command. That is like when a father orders a son, a teacher one of his students, or an artisan one of his apprentices, provided the person ordered is of age. If he is not of age, then the killing is of the one who ordered it and the ʿāqilah relatives of the boy pay half of the blood money.

Ibn Nāfiʿ said that a master is not killed when he orders his slave, even if he does not speak Arabic, to kill a man. Ibn Ḥabīb takes the position of Ibn al-Qāsim, that they are both killed. If the command
is issued by someone the person commanded does not fear to disobey, and there is no compulsion, then only the one commanded is killed, not the one who gave the command. He is, instead, beaten and imprisoned. Aḥmad said that if a master orders his slave to kill a man, the master is killed. This position is related from ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and Abū Hurayah. ‘Alī said that the slave is imprisoned. Aḥmad said that the slave is imprisoned and beaten. Ath-Thawrī said that the slave is disciplined. Al-Ḥakam and Ḥammād said that the slave is killed. Qatādah said that they are both killed. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that the slave is killed. Al-Hākim ibn Mundhir mentioned it. Zafar said that neither is killed, and that is the third position. It is related by Abu-I-Ma‘alī in al-Burhān. He thought that neither of them is separate in respect to retaliation and therefore he thinks that neither of them is killed. Allah knows best.

**In that was a terrible trial for you from your Lord.**

The words ‘in that’ indicate everything that went on. The word here for trial (balā‘) can also be a blessing as Allah says elsewhere using the same root: ‘...test the believers with this excellent trial.’ (8:17). Abu-I-Haytham said that balā‘ can be good or bad and its root means testing. Allah tests His slave with good actions to test his gratitude, and tests him with affliction, which he dislikes, to test his steadfastness. Therefore both are called balā‘. The majority say that, in this instance, it refers to the slaughter of the male children and so here it is an evil test. There was a test and affliction in the slaughter.
50 And when We parted the sea for you and rescued you, and drowned the people of Pharaoh while you watched.

When Allah parted the sea, each side was like a great mountain. The image comes from parting the hair and ‘furqān’ from the same root is used for separating the truth from falsehood. ‘Bikum’ here means ‘for you’ because the preposition ‘bi’ can also mean ‘li’. It is also said that ‘bi’ has its normal meaning and so it means ‘We parted the sea by your entering it.’ They travelled between the two bodies of water which were parted. The word baḥr (sea) indicates a large expanse of water, usually salty. A horse is described as baḥr when it has a long stride. ‘We rescued you’ means that ‘We brought you out of it.’

and drowned the people of Pharaoh

There are varying views on the part of scholars about how the tribe of Israel was saved. Aṭ-Ṭabarī mentioned that Allah revealed to Mūsā to travel from Egypt with the tribe of Israel. Mūsā ordered them to borrow jewellery and goods from the Copts. Allah had made that lawful for the tribe of Israel. Mūsā set out with them at the beginning of the night. Pharaoh was informed and said, ‘Do not follow them until the cock crows.’ That night not a single cock in Egypt crowed. On that night Allah made many of the sons of the Copts die and so they were busy burying them. They set out to pursue them early in the morning as Allah says in 26:60, and Mūsā went to the edge of the sea. The tribe of Israel numbered about 600,000. Pharaoh’s numbers were a million and two hundred thousand. It is said that Pharaoh pursued Mūsā with a million steeds, not counting the mares. It is said that Israel, who is Ya‘qūb, entered Egypt with seventy-six of his descendants and Allah made their numbers grow and blessed his offspring until they were
600,000 men of fighting age when they went to the sea on that day, not counting old men, children and women.

Abū Bakr ‘Abdullāh ibn Muḥammad ibn Abī Shaybah mentioned from Shabābah ibn Sawwār from Yūnus ibn Abī Isḥāq from Abū Isḥāq from ‘Amr ibn Maymūn from ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd that when Mūsā set out at night with the tribe of Israel, the news reached Pharaoh and he commanded that a sheep be slaughtered and then said, ‘By Allah, I will not finish skinning it before six hundred thousand Copts have gathered to me.’

He said that Mūsā went on until he reached the sea and told it to part. The sea replied to him, ‘You are arrogant, Mūsā! Have I parted for any of the children of Ādam so that I should part for you?’ There was a man with Mūsā on a steed of his and that man said, ‘Where do you command us to go, Prophet of Allah?’ He replied, ‘I have only been commanded to go in this direction.’ He charged in with his horse and it swam and he came out and repeated, ‘Where do you command us to go, Prophet of Allah?’ He replied, ‘I have only been commanded to go in this direction.’ He said, ‘By Allah, you did not lie and are not accused of lying!’ He plunged in and it swam with him until he once more emerged. He asked again, ‘Where do you command us to go, Prophet of Allah?’ He replied, ‘I have only been commanded to go in this direction.’ He said, ‘By Allah, you did not lie and are not accused of lying!’ So Allah revealed to him: ‘Strike the sea with your staff.’ (26:63) So Mūsā struck it with his staff and ‘it split in two, each part like a towering cliff.’ (26:63) There were twelve paths through it for the twelve tribes. Each tribe had a path that they could see. The cliffs of water became arches and windows so that they could see one another.

When Mūsā’s people emerged and only the people of Pharaoh remained, the sea collapsed in on them and drowned them. He mentioned that it was the Red Sea and that the man who was on the horse with Mūsā was his lad, Yūsha‘, son of Nūn. Allah revealed to
the sea, ‘Part for Mūsā when he strikes you.’ The sea spent the night trembling. He struck the sea in the morning. Its kunyah was Abū Khālid. Ibn Abī Shaybah mentioned that. Most commentators narrate this regarding the story. What we have mentioned is sufficient and it will be further explained in the relevant sūrahs.

Allah Almighty mentioned the deliverance and the drowning, but did not mention the day when that occurred. Muslim related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ came to Madīnah and found the Jews fasting on the day of ‘Āshūrā’. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ asked them, ‘What is this day you are fasting?’ They replied, ‘This is a great day in which Allah delivered Mūsā and his people and drowned Pharaoh and his people. Mūsā fasted it out of thankfulness and we also fast it.’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘We are more entitled to Mūsā than you are.’ So the Messenger of Allah ﷺ fasted it and commanded that it be fasted. Al-Bukhārī also transmitted that from Ibn ‘Abbās and the fact that the Prophet ﷺ told his Companions, ‘You are more entitled to Mūsā than they are, so fast it.’

The apparent meaning of these hadiths is that the Prophet ﷺ fasted ‘Āshūrā’ and commanded that it be fasted to imitate Mūsā, according to what the Jews had told him. That is not the case. When ‘Ā’ishah related it, she said that Quraysh had fasted the day of ‘Āshūrā’ in the Jāhiliyyah. Then when he came to Madīnah, he fasted it and commanded that it be fasted. When Ramadan was prescribed, then he abandoned fasting ‘Āshūrā’. Whoever wished could fast it and whoever wished did not. Al-Bukhārī and Muslim transmitted this.

It might be said that it is probable that Quraysh fasted it in imitation of the Jews because they heard about it from them as they considered them to be people of knowledge and therefore the Prophet ﷺ fasted it during the Jāhiliyyah in Makkah. Then when he came to Madīnah he found the Jews fasting it and said, ‘We are
closer and more entitled to Mūsā than you,’ and so he fasted it to follow Mūsā. He made it obligatory and stressed the command to fast it to the extent that even children fasted it. This is taken as evidence by those who speciously claim that the Prophet ﷺ may have worshipped according to the religion of Mūsā. This is not the case as will be explained in al-An‘ām (6:90).

There is some disagreement about whether the day of ‘Āshūrā’ is the ninth or the tenth of Muḥarram. Ash-Shāfi‘ī believed that it is the ninth based on the hadith of al-Ḥakam ibn al-A‘raj who said, ‘I went to Ibn ‘Abbās and found him using his cloak as a pillow at Zamzam. I said, “Tell me about the fast of ‘Āshūrā’.” He said, “When you see the new moon of Muḥarram, count the days and begin the fast on the ninth.” I asked, “Is that how Muḥammad ﷺ fasted it?” “Yes,” he answered.’ Muslim transmitted it. Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, Mālik and a group of the Salaf believed that it is the tenth. At-Tirmidhī mentioned the hadith of al-Ḥakam and did not describe it as sound or good. Then he added after it from Qutaybah from ‘Abd al-Wahhāb from Yūnus from al-Ḥasan that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ commanded that ‘Āshūrā’ be fasted on the tenth.’ Abū ‘Īsā said that the hadith of Ibn ‘Abbās is a sound ḥasan hadith.

At-Tirmidhī related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Fast the ninth and the tenth and be different to the Jews.’ This hadith is taken by ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and Ishāq. Others have said that his words, ‘count the days and begin the fast on the ninth’ do not contain a proof about not fasting the tenth. Rather it means to fast the ninth alongside the tenth. They said that fasting the two days combines the hadiths. His words to al-Ḥakam about that being how the Prophet ﷺ fasted it means ‘if he had lived’. He did not fast the ninth on its own. That is made clear by what Ibn Mājah in the Sunan and Muslim in the Ṣahīḥ transmitted from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said: ‘If I live until next year, I will fast today
Abū Qatādah related that the Prophet ṣ said, ‘As for fasting the day of ‘Āshūrā, I hope that Allah will expiate the year before it.’ Muslim and at-Tirmidhī transmitted it.

**while you watched.**

‘While you looked on with your own eyes.’ They saw the drowning of the people of Pharaoh when they had been saved and that was the greatest favour to them. It is said that they were brought out to them so that they could see them. This is one blessing after another. It is also said to mean reflecting with the inner eye because they were too busy to actually stop and watch. It is said that it means that you were in the state that someone would be if they looked. This is something that you would do. The first is more likely because they were in no state to reflect when they emerged from the sea. That is because when Allah had saved them and drowned their enemy, they said, ‘Mūsā! Our hearts are not at peace as to whether Pharaoh has truly drowned!’ Then Allah commanded the sea so that they could look at him.

Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah mentioned from Qays ibn ‘Ubbād that the tribe of Israel said, ‘Pharaoh has not died and he will never die!’ When Allah heard their denial of their Prophet, He cast him up on the shore like a red ox so that the tribe of Israel could see him. When they were at peace about it and were sent on the road to the cities of Pharaoh to reach his treasures and be immersed in blessing, they saw a people devoting themselves to some idols of theirs. They said, ‘Mūsā, give us a god as they have gods.’ Mūsā rebuked them and said, ‘Do you desire other than Allah as a god when He has preferred you over all the worlds (meaning those of his time)?’ Then he commanded them to go to the Holy Land, which had been the home of their forefathers, and to purify themselves of the land Pharaoh. The Holy Land was in the possession of tyrants who had conquered it. So they needed to fight to remove them. They said,
‘Do you want to us make us meat for the tyrants? If would have been better for us if you had left us in Pharaoh’s power!’ Allah says about this: ‘My people! Enter the Holy Land which Allah has ordained for you!’ to ‘We will stay sitting here.’ (5:21-24) He prayed and against them and called them deviants.

Therefore they remained wandering in the wilderness for forty years as a punishment. Then He showed mercy to them and gave them manna and quail as will be mentioned. Then Mūsā went to Mount Sinai to bring them the Torah and they adopted the Calf. Then they were told, ‘You have reached Jerusalem, so enter the door in prostration and say, “Ḥiṭṭah.”’ These other stories will be dealt with in the appropriate places.

51 And when We allotted to Mūsā forty nights. Then you adopted the Calf when he had gone and you were wrongdoers.

And when We allotted to Mūsā forty nights.

Abū ‘Amr recited ‘wa‘adna’ without an alif, and Abū ‘Ubayd preferred it and did not acknowledge ‘wa‘adna’ because Form III can be attributed to human beings, whereas Allah alone has the true threat and promise as we find elsewhere in the Qur’an. Makkī said that the literal meaning of the word is a promise from Allah Almighty to Mūsā and so it is necessary to apply it to that by the literal meaning of the text, which is that the action is attributed to Allah alone. That is the reading of al-Ḥasan, Abū Rajā’, Abū Ja‘far, Shaybah and ‘Īsā ibn ‘Amr. It is the reading of Qatādah and Abū Ishāq. Abū Ḥātim said that the common reading is without alif because Form III is mutual between two creatures, each of whom makes a promise. Al-Jawharī said that mī‘ād is an appointment in time and place. It can, however, be used of a single person in Arabic
and so has the same meaning as Form I. An-Naḥḥās said that Form III is better, and it is the reading of Mujāhid, al-Aʿraj, Ibn Kathīr, Nāfiʿ, al-Aʿmash, Ḥamzah and al-Kisāʾī.

Mūsā is a foreign name and so it is not declined. Copts call water ‘muʿ and tree ‘shā’. Mūsā was found in the basket in the water by a tree and so he was called Mūsā because of that. As-Suddī said, ‘When his mother feared for him, she put him in a box and threw it into the river as Allah had inspired her to do. She put him in the water between the trees at the house of Pharaoh. The maids of Āsiyah, the wife of the Pharaoh, came out to do washing and found him and so he was named from the place.’ Ibn Isḥāq said that he was Mūsā ibn ‘Imrān ibn Yashar ibn Qāhit ibn Lāwī ibn Yaʿqūb Isrāʾīl ibn Ishāq ibn Ibrāhīm.

The majority say that the forty nights referred to were the whole of Dhū ’l-Qaʿdah and the first ten days of Dhū ’l-Ḥijjah. That was after they had crossed the sea and his people asked him to give them a Book from Allah. Mūsā went to Sinai with seventy of the best of the tribe of Israel and climbed the mountain and Allah allotted them forty nights.

Then you adopted the Calf when he had gone and you were the wrongdoers

Some commentators say that the tribe of Israel waited twenty days and twenty nights and then said, ‘He has broken His promise,’ and they adopted the Calf. The Sāmirī told them, ‘This is your god and the god of Mūsā,’ and they accepted what he said. Hārūn forbade them to do that, saying, ‘My people! It is just a trial for you. Your Lord is the All-Merciful, so follow me and obey my command!’ (20:90) but they did not obey him and they all, except for 12,000 of them as is reported, insisted on worshipping the Calf. When Mūsā returned, he found them doing that and threw down the Tablets. He recovered six pieces from the broken Tablets. The surviving pieces contained the lawful and unlawful and other things they needed. He destroyed the
Calf with fire and threw the ashes into the sea. They drank love of the Calf from its water. Yellowness appeared on their lips and their bellies were swollen. They repented, but their repentance was not accepted unless they killed one another, as we find in Allah’s earlier words in āyah 54. They stood face to face wielding knives and swords from sunrise until full daylight arrived and then killed one another indiscriminately without any consideration of family ties until Mūsā called to Allah, ‘Lord! The tribe of Israel is annihilated!’ Allah had mercy on them and poured out His bounty on them and accepted the repentance of those who remained and made the rest martyrs.

If it is asked why nights rather than days are mentioned, the answer is that it is because the night precedes the day and so it comes first. That is how it is in dating: the months begin with the first nights and the days follow them.

An-Naqqāsh said that this āyah provides evidence for continuous fasting. If Allah had mentioned the days, it would be possible to believe that Mūsā broke the fast during the night. So the text demands that he fasted night and day for forty days. The Sufis use this as evidence for continuous fasting and that the best of it is forty days. This will be discussed in the proper place.

‘Then you adopted the Calf when he had gone’ means ‘you took it as a god after Mūsā left.’

52 Then We pardoned you after that so that perhaps you would show thanks.

Then We pardoned you after that

Allah is able to pardon His creation and this can take place before or after punishment. This differs from forgiveness when there is no punishment at all. When someone deserves punishment and it is abandoned, then that is pardon. Pardon (‘afw) is the effacement of the wrong action. It means, ‘We effaced your wrong actions and
excused you.’ It is derived from the wind effacing tracks. The words ‘after that’ refer to the worship of the Calf. The word ‘ījl (Calf) comes from their haste (isti’jāl) to worship it. Allah knows best. ʿījl and ʿījājwl mean ‘calf’ and the plural is ‘ajājīl.

so that perhaps you would show thanks.

This means ‘Be grateful for Allah’s pardoning you’. Shakr is to show, and an animal that is shakūr has fat showing on it more that would be provided by its fodder. Its reality is to praise a person for kindness shown to you as mentioned in our commentary on the Fātiḥah. Al-Jawharī said that it is praising a good-doer for kindness he has shown you. Shukrān (thankfulness) is the opposite of kufrān (ingratitude). At-Tirmidhī and Abū Dāwūd report from Abū Hurayrah from the Prophet ﷺ: ‘A person who does not thank people does not thank Allah.’ Al-Khaṭṭābī notes that this has two meanings. One is that the one whose nature is to be ungrateful for what he receives from people and does not thank them for their kindness will also inevitably be ungrateful for Allah’s blessings and fail to thank Him. The other is that Allah does not accept the thanks of a slave for His goodness to him when that person does not thank people for their goodness to him and is ungrateful for their kindness since the two are connected.

Scholars have said many things about gratitude to Allah. Sahl ibn ‘Abdollāh said, ‘Thankfulness is striving to obey, together with avoiding disobedience secretly and openly.’ Another group said, ‘Thankfulness is acknowledgement of your inability to thank the Blesser. That is why Allah says: “Work, family of Dāwūd in thankfulness.”’ (34:13) Dāwūd asked, “How can I be thankful, O Lord? Thankfulness is a blessing from you!” He said, “By acknowledging Me and thanking Me even though you recognise that thankfulness is a blessing from Me.” He said, “O Lord, show me Your most hidden blessing to me!” He said. “Breathe, Dāwūd.” Dāwūd took a breath. Allah said, “Who counts this blessing night and day?”’ Mūsā asked,
'How can I thank You when my actions cannot repay even the smallest blessing which You have given me?' So Allah revealed to Him, ‘Mūsā, now you have truly thanked Me.’

Al-Junayd said, ‘The reality of thankfulness is the ability to be thankful.’ He also said, ‘Once, when I was a boy of seven, I was playing in front of as-Sarī as-Saqafī while a group of people with him were discussing thankfulness. He asked me, “Boy, what is thankfulness?” I replied, “Not to disobey Allah in respect of His blessings.” He told me, “I fear that your portion from Allah lies in your tongue.”’ Al-Junayd said, ‘I still weep on account of what as-Sarī said to me.’

Ash-Shiblī said, ‘Thankfulness is humility and continuing to do good actions, opposing appetites, doing acts of obedience, and being always on the watch for the Compeller of the heavens and the earth.’ Dhū ’n-Nūn al-Miṣrī said, ‘Thankfulness to the one above you is by obedience, to your equal by equivalence, and to those below you by charity and beneficence.’

53 Remember when We gave Mūsā the Book and discrimination so that perhaps you would be guided.

‘Idh’ is used for the past and ‘idhā’ for the future. It is generally agreed that the Book mentioned here is the Torah, but there is disagreement about the meaning of the word ‘furqān’ (discrimination). Al-Farrā’ and Quṭrub said that the meaning is: ‘We gave Mūsā the Torah and Muḥammad the Furqān.’ An-Naḥḥās said that that is grammatically wrong. Az-Zajjāj said that the Furqān is the Book and it has two names here. Al-Farrā’ related it. The best of what is said about the matter is what Mujāhid said: ‘It means discrimination between the truth and falsehood, meaning, in other words, what Allah taught him.’ Zayd said that it refers to the splitting of the sea so that they could cross. It is said to be separation from grief, because they were enslaved under the Egyptians, as is the
meaning in 8:29. It is said to be the argument and proof as Ibn Baḥr said. It is said that the wāw (and) is connective and the phrase means: ‘We gave Mūsā the discriminating Book’. The evidence for this interpretation is found in: ‘We gave Mūsā the Book, complete and perfect for him who does good, elucidating everything’ (6:154): the lawful and unlawful, disbelief and belief, promise and threat, and other things. It is also said to refer to their separation from the people of Pharaoh, who were drowned while they were saved. It is said that it means “the Day of Discrimination” which was the day of the Battle of Badr when Allah helped Muḥammad ☪ and his Companions and destroyed Abū Jahl and his people. ‘Perhaps you will be guided’ from misguidance.

54 And when Mūsā said to his people, ‘My people, You wronged yourselves by adopting the Calf so turn towards your Maker and kill yourselves. That is the best thing for you in your Maker’s sight. And He turned towards you. He is the Ever-Returning, the Most Merciful.

And when Mūsā said to his people, ‘My people, You wronged yourselves by adopting the Calf

‘Qawm’ (people) is a group of men rather than women as seen in 49:11. Those with knowledge of the precise use of language say that the Calf represents a person’s lower self and whoever abases it and opposes its desires is freed from its tyranny. However, it clearly refers to the actual Calf, which the tribe of Israel worshipped, as is stated in the Revelation.

so turn towards your Maker and kill yourselves.
When Allah told them to turn towards Him, they asked how to do that and He told them to kill themselves. Some interpreters who seek out the motivation for actions have said that the meaning of this was that they abased their lower selves by acts of obedience and thus expiated their excesses, but the sound view is that they actually killed one another in the way we saw above in the commentary on āyah 51. ‘Killing’ is ending movement, and when the term is applied to wine, it is diluting its strength with water. Sufyān ibn ‘Uyaynah said, ‘Accepted repentance is a blessing from Allah which He has bestowed on this Community and not on others. The repentance of the tribe of Israel entailed killing.’

It is agreed that Allah did not command everyone who worshipped the Calf to kill himself. Az-Zuhrī said that when they were ordered to do this, they formed two rows and killed one another until they were told, ‘Enough!’ That was martyrdom for those killed and repentance for the living, as we already mentioned. Some commentators say that He sent tyrants to them who did the killing. It is said that those who worshipped the Calf stood in a row and those who had not worshipped it attacked them with weapons and killed them. It is said that the seventy who had been with Mūsā and did not worship the Calf killed those who had worshipped it. Other things are said about the method involved.

One point taken from this is that if a community does not actively combat wrongdoing when it occurs among them, they all become liable to punishment. Jābir said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If, among a people, disobedience is committed, and there are those there stronger and more powerful than those committing it who do not change it, Allah will envelop them all with a punishment.’ Ibn Mājah transmitted it in the Sunan. When the killing reached seventy thousand, Allah pardoned them as Ibn ‘Abbās and ‘Alī said. Allah removed killing from them because they had exerted themselves in it. Allah has not bestowed on this community any blessing greater
than repentance.

The Divine Name *al-Bāri*, ‘Maker’, is different from *al-Khāliq*, ‘Creator’. *Al-Bāri* is the innovator who makes something new which never existed before whereas *al-Khāliq* is the one who decrees and moves things from one state to another. *Bariyyah* is creation.

_and He turned towards you._

This refers to those who remained after the slaughter. He pardoned those who remained.

55 And when you said, ‘Mūsā, we will not believe in you until we see Allah with our own eyes.’ So the thunderbolt struck you dead while you were looking.

56 Then We brought you back to life after your death, so that perhaps you would show thanks.

When you said, ‘Mūsā, we will not believe in you until we see Allah with our own eyes.’

It is said that this refers to the seventy men picked out by Mūsā. That is because when they heard what Allah had said, they said: _‘We will not believe in you...’_ But belief in the Prophets is mandatory after they have displayed their miracles so Allah sent down lightning from heaven which struck them dead. Then Mūsā prayed to his Lord and He brought them back to life. The full story of this incident will be found later in *Sūrat al-‘A‘rāf*. Ibn Fūrak said that it is possible that their punishment was for asking to see Allah openly which was beyond the power of Mūsā to grant.

There is disagreement about the possibility of seeing Allah. Most innovators deny its possibility in either this world or the Next. Those who follow the *Sunnah* and the Salaf say it is possible in both worlds,
and say that it will certainly happen in the Next World. This means that what they asked Mūsā for was not an impossibility. This will be discussed in Sūrat al-An‘ām and Sūrat al-‘Araf.

The root of ‘with our own eyes’ (jahr) means publicly or with one’s own eyes. Ibn ‘Abbās said that. The root means appearing. It is used for reciting with the voice audibly and mujāharah is acting in disobedience openly. When you see something unconcealed, you see it ‘openly’ (jihār, jahr). There are two possible meanings for the expression here. One is that it is connected to their speech, meaning ‘they openly said to Mūsā’. The other is that it describes the way they desired to see Allah, meaning with their own eyes, openly and directly. The use of the word also stresses the difference between seeing in a dream and seeing while awake.

**So the thunderbolt struck you dead while you were looking**

If it is asked how they could die while they were looking, the reply is that the Arabs use this expression when something is very close at hand. It is also said that it refers to what they were doing when the thunderbolt struck.

**Then We brought you back to life after your death, so that perhaps you would show thanks.**

Qatādah said that they died and their spirits left them and then were returned to their bodies so that their natural lifespans could be fulfilled. An-Naḥḥās said that it is evidence against those of Quraysh who did not believe in the resurrection and also evidence against the People of the Book. ‘Perhaps you will be grateful for being resurrected after death.’ Some people say that it means, ‘We gave you knowledge after your ignorance.’

Al-Māwardī said, ‘There are two different positions about the continuance of responsibility of those brought back after death. One is that it continues and the other is that it is removed.’ The first view is the soundest because, when the tribe of Israel saw the mountain in the air about to fall to them and the fire surrounding them, that was
part of what forced them to believe and their legal responsibility continued and so they were like the people of Yūnus. It is impossible that they should cease to be responsible. Allah knows best.

٥٧ وَمَّثَّلَنَّ عَلَيْكُمْ اِلْحَمْوَةَ وَأَنْزَلْنَا عَلَيْكُمْ مَانْتَةً وَأَفْرَتْ لَكُمْ سَلْبًا مِّنْ طَيِّبَتٍ  

57 And We shaded you with clouds and sent down manna and quails to you: ‘Eat of the good things We have provided for you.’

They did not wrong Us; rather it was themselves they were wronging.

And We shaded you with clouds

Allah caused the clouds to act as an awning above them. Ghamām (clouds) is the plural of ghamāmah. Al-Farrā’ said that it can mean the same as sahāb because they both cover the sky. The verb is also used for covering the heart. As-Suddī said that they are white clouds. That was to protect them from the heat of the sun in the day and they dispersed at the end of the day so that they would have the light of the moon at night. Commentators say this was when they were in the desert between Egypt and Palestine after they refused to enter the city of the tyrants and fight them and said to Mūsā, ‘You and your Lord go and fight.’ (5:24) For that they were punished by having to wander for forty years in a small area of desert. It is related that they walked for the entire day and stopped at night and in the morning they were once more where they had been the previous morning. In the desert, they asked Mūsā, ‘Who will provide us with food?’ and Allah sent down manna and quails for them. They asked, ‘Who will protect us from the heat of the sun?’ and the clouds shaded them. They asked, ‘What will we have to give us light?’ So there was a pillar of light for them wherever they went. Makkī said it was a pillar of fire. They asked, ‘Where will we find water!’ And Mūsā was ordered to strike the stone. They asked, ‘Who
will provide us with clothes!’ So their garments did not wear out or become ragged and the children’s clothes grew as they grew. Allah knows best.

and sent down manna and quails to you:

There is disagreement about the word ‘manna’. It is said to be simply manna which is what most commentators say. It is also said to be sweet gum or honey or a sweet drink. Wahb ibn Munabbih said it was flat bread. It is said that it is a verbal noun for all that Allah gives as a blessing (manna) to His slaves without effort or cultivation on their part. We also have the words of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ in the hadith of Sa‘īd ibn Zayd ibn ‘Amr ibn Nufayl: ‘Truffles are the manna which Allah sent down for the tribe of Israel and their juice contains healing for the eye.’ One variant has: ‘...the manna which Allah sent down for Mūsā.’ Muslim transmitted it. Our scholars say that this hadith indicates that truffles are part of what Allah sent down to the tribe of Israel, which He created for them in the desert. Abū ‘Ubayd said, ‘It is likened to manna because there is no toil in it of sowing, irrigation or treatment. So it is part of that, i.e. part of the blessing to the tribe of Israel, since it is obtained without effort.’ It is related that it used to rain down on them from dawn to sunset, like snow, and a man would take enough for the day. If anyone stored any of it, it went bad except on Friday, when they stored it for the Sabbath and it did not go bad because Saturday was their day of worship and nothing came down to them on the Sabbath.

Since the Prophet ﷺ stated that truffles are a cure for the eyes, some of those with expertise in medicine say that either it cools the eye of some heat in it and so it is used on its own, or it is compounded with other things. Abū Hurayrah believed that may be used undiluted in all eye illnesses. This is as Abū Wajzah used honey in all illnesses, even in kohl as will explained in an-Nahl.

There is also disagreement about the quails, salwā in Arabic. It is
said to be actual quails as aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that there is consensus that it is birds of some kind. In fact, there is no consensus, because some commentators claim that it is honey.

‘Eat of the good things We have provided for you.’

An elision is implied, namely: ‘We said, “Eat...”’ The words ‘good things’ entail both lawfulness and deliciousness.

They did not wrong Us; rather it was themselves they were wronging

This means that they were not thankful for the blessings they received but responded with acts of disobedience to Allah.

58 Remember when We said, ‘Go into this town. And eat from it wherever you like, freely. Enter the gate prostrating and say, “Relieve us of our burdens!” Your mistakes will be forgiven. We will grant increase to all good-doers.’

Remember when We said, ‘Go into this town and eat from it wherever you like, freely.

A town in Arabic is called a *garyah* because there is a concentration of people in it. The root of the verb from which it is derived describes the act of water collecting in a tank. Another meaning is hospitality (*qirā*) for the guest. *Miqrah*, from the same root, means a tank. *Qarī* is a water course. *Maqāri* is a large bowl.

Commentators disagree about where this town was. Most say it was Jerusalem but it is also said to be Jericho. ‘Umar ibn Shabbah said, ‘It was the capital and the residence of the king.’ Ibn Kaysān said Syria. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said Ramla, Jordan, Palestine and Tadmur. The great blessing involved was that, after their wandering, they were allowed to leave the desert and enter this town.

The instruction to eat is one of permission rather than command
the adverb and ‘freely’ indicates plenty and the lifting of the restrictions to which they had been subject in the desert.

**Enter the gate prostrating and say, ‘Relieve us of our burdens!’**

The gate referred to is said by Mujāhid and others to be the gate of Jerusalem which people know as the Bāb Ḥiṭṭah. It is said to be the Qubbah Gate towards which Mūsā and the tribe of Israel prayed. The word ‘ṣujjad’ (prostrating), according to Ibn ‘Abbās, here means ‘bent over bowing’. It is said to mean humbling oneself without any particular way of doing it.

There is considerable discussion about the exact significance of the word Ḥiṭṭah translated as ‘Relieve us of our burdens!’ Al-Akhfash says it means, ‘Relieve us of our wrong actions.’ An-Naḥḥās said that there was a hadith from Ibn ‘Abbās: ‘They were told to say: “There is no god but Allah.”’ Another hadith says that they were told to say: ‘Forgiveness!’ In other words: ‘Say this, and your wrong actions will be removed.’ Ibn Fāris said that Ḥiṭṭah was a word they were commanded to say so that their wrong actions would be removed.

It is possible that they used to use this expression in their worship, which is apparent from the hadith related by Muslim from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The tribe of Israel were told, “Enter the gate prostrating and say, ‘Relieve us of our burdens.’ Your mistakes will be forgiven,” but they altered it and entered sliding on their buttocks, saying, “That Ḥiṭṭah is a grain of barley!”’ Al-Bukhāri transmitted it. It is also related that they said, ‘ḥiṭṭah’ (wheat) and ‘ḥibbah’ (seed). Ibn Qutaybah said that they said ‘hiṭṭā’ which is ‘red wheat’ in Hebrew. Al-Harawī related it from as-Suddī and Mujāhid. The upshot of all these various things that are said is that Allah gave them a command but they disobeyed it, were insolent and made mockery of it. So Allah punished them. Ibn Zayd said, ‘The plague destroyed seventy thousand of them.’ It is also related that the door was made low so that they would have to enter it bowing, but they entered it on their backsides Allah knows best.
Scholars use this āyah as evidence for changing the wording of expressions in the Sharīʿah as long as it does not form part of an act of worship which requires that specific wording. When it is a question of an act of worship in which a particular form of wording is specified, it is not permitted to alter it since Allah censures that in His words here. If it is just the idea which is intended, it can be changed to something else which conveys the same meaning. But not all scholars agree about this. It is related from Mālik, ash-Shāfiʿī and Abū Ḥanīfah that a scholar can express the meaning of a text, provided that he conveys the entire meaning. That is the position of the majority. A considerable number of scholars, however, forbid that, including Ibn Sīrīn, Ibn al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad, and Rajā’ ibn Ḥaywah. Mujāhid said, ‘One can shorten the hadiths of the Messenger of Allah ἡ, but not add to them.’ Mālik ibn Anas was very exact where the hadiths of the Messenger of Allah ἡ were concerned, even as to whether a verb had a tāʾ or yāʾ. Thus a group of the imams of hadith think that they must be related with exactitude. Even if they hear something incorrect grammatically, they will not alter it. Abū Miljaz related from Qays ibn ‘Ubbād that ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said, ‘If someone hears a hadith, he should relate it as he heard it. Then he is safe.’ The like of that is related from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr and Zayd ibn Arqam.

There is a similar disagreement about changing the order of words or adding to them or omitting them. Some say that it is the meaning that is important and do not consider the exact wording necessary, and some say that it must be exact. This is better in respect of cautiousness but most scholars hold a different position. The view that it is permitted is the sound one, Allah willing. It is known that the Companions related events and used different wording in their accounts of the same event. They had concern for the meanings and did not repeat or write down hadiths. It is related from Wāthilah ibn al-Asqaʿ: ‘We have not transmitted to you all that the Messenger of
Allah reported to us. The meaning is enough for you.’ Qatādah said that Zurārah ibn Awfā said, ‘I met a number of the Companions of the Prophet and they used different words that had the same meaning.’ An-Nakha‘ī, al-Ḥasan and ash-Sha‘bī did not present hadiths just by meaning. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘When you get the meaning, it is acceptable.’ Sufyān ath-Thawrī said, ‘If I tell you, ‘I relate to you as I heard,’” do not believe me. It is the meaning.’ Wakī‘ said, ‘If the meaning did not have a wide scope, people would be destroyed.’

Scholars agree that it is permitted to transmit the Sharī‘ah to non-Arabs in their language and to translate it for them. That is transmission by meaning. Allah actually does that in His Book when He recounts things that have passed and recounts stories with different wording in different places but with the same meaning, and He transmits their wording in Arabic which may have a different order, elision and addition. If it is permitted to change Arabic into another language, then it is more appropriate for that to be done in Arabic. This is sound.

Some people may remark that the Prophet said, ‘Allah makes flourish someone who hears my words and conveys them as he heard them,’ and what is confirmed about the Prophet instructing a man to say when he went to bed, ‘I have believed in Your Book which You sent down and Your Prophet whom You sent.’ The man said, ‘Your Messenger whom You sent,’ and the Prophet insisted, ‘Your Prophet whom You sent.’ They observe that he did not allow the one to whom he taught that supplication to use different wording, and it said, ‘He recited it as he heard it.’ The answer to this is that his words, ‘He recited it as he heard it,’ refer to its ruling rather than the precise words.

The fact that what is meant is the ruling is indicated by his words, ‘Many a person with fiqh is not a faqīh and many a person with fiqh goes to one with more fiqh than him.’ The hadith itself has been
transmitted with different wording while its meaning is the same. It is entirely possible that the expressions of the Prophet used different wording at different times. The mere fact that a hadith is transmitted with different wordings indicates that that is permitted.

When the Prophet told him to use ‘Prophet’ rather than ‘Messenger’, that is because ‘Prophet’ is more praiseworthy and each of these two words has its proper place. ‘Messenger’ can be used for all people while ‘Prophet’ is only for the Prophets themselves. Those who are sent are better than the Prophets because they have both Prophethood and the Message. Success is by Allah.

Ibn al-‘Arabī said about this: ‘The disagreement concerning this matter can be conceived of as belonging to the time of the Companions and Tābi‘ūn because they were equal in their knowledge of natural language. We do not doubt that it is not permitted for those after them since natures have changed and customs are different. This is the truth, and Allah knows best.’

Your mistakes will be forgiven.

There are three readings of the word “forgiven” in this āyah: Nāfi‘ recites ‘they will be forgiven’ (yughfar with yā); Ibn ‘Āmir recites ‘tughfar’ with tā‘; and the rest recite ‘We will forgive’ (naghfir with nūn). That is the clearest of them because He says before, ‘We said.’

We will grant increase to all good-doers.’

This means those who did not worship the Calf. It is said that Allah will forgive the sins of those who store up, and increase His goodness to those who do not. It is said that He will forgive the errors of those who disobey and increase His goodness to those who do good. A ‘good-doer’ is someone whose tawḥīd is sound, who conducts himself well, performs his obligations and spares the Muslims his evil. We find in a hadith: ‘Ihsān is that you worship Allah as if you were seeing Him. Even if you do not see Him, He sees you.’ Jibrīl said, ‘You spoke the truth.’ Muslim transmitted it.
59 But those who did wrong substituted words other than those they had been given. So We sent down a plague from heaven on those who did wrong because they were deviators.

But those who did wrong substituted words other than those they had been given.

The wrongdoers changed the words they had been told to use. That was when they said ‘ḥiṭṭah’ instead of ‘ḥiṭṭah’, and they added a letter and so they were punished since adding to the dīn and innovating in the Sharī‘ah is a terrible crime and causes great harm. This to due to their changing the word which indicated their repentance. That in itself merits punishment, so how much more must that be the case when the attributes of what is worshipped are altered! Words are less than actions, so what about changing actions!

The verb ‘baddala’ (substituted) means to replace a thing with something else. The Abdāl are a category of righteous people who always exist in the world and are so called because, when one of them dies, Allah replaces him with another.

So We sent down a plague from heaven on those who did wrong

‘Those who did wrong’ is repeated a second time rather than simply using the pronoun ‘them’ because of the gravity if what they did. Repetition is of two types. One is when it is used, as here and in 2:79, in a second phrase after the first has been completed, which intensifies the terribleness of the action done. The second is when there is repetition before the sense of the word is complete, as in ‘The Undeniable. What is the Undeniable?’ (69:1) and elsewhere, in which case the result is to honour the thing mentioned. The word ‘rijż’ (plague) is a Divine punishment and it also means ‘filth’. 
And when Mūsā was looking for water for his people, We said, ‘Strike the rock with your staff.’ Then twelve fountains gushed out from it and all the people knew their drinking place. ‘Eat and drink of Allah’s provision and do not go about the earth corrupting it.’

The type of ‘looking for water’ referred to here is what you do when there is no water and there has been no rain for some time. Then you display true slavehood, poverty, need, abasement, repentance and integrity. Our Prophet Muḥammad ﷺ did the same when he asked for rain and went out to the place of prayer, humble, abased and making supplication. If we show no repentance and nothing but obstinacy and opposition to the Lord, how can we ask for rain? The Prophet ﷺ said in a hadith related by Ibn ‘Umar: ‘They do not refuse to pay the zakāt due on their property without being denied rain from heaven. Were it not for the animals, they would not have any rain at all.’

The sunnah of the Rain Prayer is to go out to the place of prayer, and for there to be a kḥūṭbah and the prayer. That is the position of most scholars. Abū Hanifah believes that this prayer is not sunnah nor is going out for it. In his view there should only be supplication. He cited the sound hadith which al-Bukhārī and Muslim transmitted, but there is no proof in it. That was a supplication whose answer was hastened and so nothing else is needed and it is not intended to clarify the Sunnah. Enough clarification for us, however is the hadith of ‘Abdullāh ibn Yazīd al-Māzinī: ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ went out to ask for rain and reversed his cloak and prayed two rak‘ahs.’ Muslim transmitted it. More about
the rain prayer will come in *Sūrat Hūd*, Allah willing.

**Then twelve fountains gushed out from it**

Something is elided here implying he struck it and it gushed. Allah has the power to make water gush and to split the stone without the blow but He wanted to connect causes to effects. This is His wisdom for His slaves in respect of their obtaining their desires and to determine their reward and punishment according to that in the Hereafter. The meaning of the verb *infajara* is to burst out by breaking through, as dawn splits the sky. *Fujrah* designates the place where water pours out.

When Mūsā asked for water for his people, he was instructed to strike the stone with his staff at the same time as making supplication. The stone in question was cubiform and about the size of a sheep’s head. They travelled with it. It is also said that they did not carry it with them, but found it at every stop. This is an even greater Sign. It is said that Mūsā could hit any stone he wished, which is a yet greater miracle. It is said that Allah commanded him to strike a particular stone. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said that it is the stone on which Mūsā put his clothes when he washed and which ran away with his clothes. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘There is no disagreement that it was a separate cubiform stone. Three springs flowed out from each face when Mūsā struck it. When they had enough water, they set out and the water stopped.

What our Prophet Muḥammad ﷺ was given when springs of water gushed from his hand is a greater miracle. We have seen water gushing from stones at the beginning and end of the day and night, but no Prophet before our Prophet ﷺ had the miracle of water issuing from between flesh and blood. Trustworthy imams and reliable *fuqahā*’ related that ‘Abdullāh said, ‘We were with the Prophet ﷺ but did not find water. A basin was brought and he put his hand in it and I saw the water gushing from between his fingers. He said, “Come to purification.”’ Al-A‘mash said, ‘Sālim ibn Abī-
Ja’d said that he asked Jābir, “How many were there on the day?” He answered, “One thousand five hundred.”

and all the people knew their drinking place.

Each of the tribes knew its own spring and did not drink from any other. Mashrab is place where one drinks. It is also called mashrūb. The word for tribes in reference to the tribes of Israel is ‘asbāṭ’ whereas ‘qabā’i il’ is used for Arab tribes. There were twelve tribes, descended from the sons of Ya’qūb. Each had their own exclusive spring. We have heard that there were fifty thousand in each tribe.

‘Eat and drink of Allah’s provision

The elision implies: Eat the manna and quails and drink of the water issuing from the stone.

and do not go about the earth corrupting it.’

The expression ‘la’thaw’ (go about) already implies extreme corruption which is forbidden and the word ‘corrupting’ (muṣidīn) is added for more emphasis. ’Uththah, which is derived from the same root, is the moth that eats cloth. These words permit blessings and counting them while the earlier one forbids disobeying Allah.

61 And when you said, ‘Mūsā, we will not put up with just one kind of food so ask your Lord to supply to us some of what the earth produces – its green vegetables, cucumbers, grains, lentils and onions,’ he said, ‘Do you want to replace what is better with what is inferior? Go back to Egypt, then you will have what you are asking
Abasement and destitution were stamped upon them. They brought down anger from Allah upon themselves. That was because they rejected Allah’s Signs and killed the Prophets without any right to do so. That was because they rebelled and went beyond the limits.

And when you said, ‘Mūsā, we will not put up with just one kind of food

They said this when they were in the desert and became bored with a diet of manna and quails and remembered their previous life in Egypt. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘Some people brought with them vegetables and onions and they inclined to their bad old ways. Their natures yearned for their old habits and they spoke these words. They refer to manna and quails as one food because they ate them together or because it was always the same thing.’ It is said that it is ‘one food because they ate them together, or had them all the time, morning and evening.

The word ṭa‘ām (food) can refer both to what is eaten and what is drunk. This usage is also found in other āyahs. Allah says: ‘Anyone who does not taste it (yaṭ’amhu) is with me.’ (2:249) and ‘When you have eaten (ṭa‘imtum) disperse.’ (33:53) The Messenger of Allah said of the water of Zamzam, ‘It is a food to eat and a healing for illness.’ If salwā is honey, then it was drunk as well. Perhaps what is meant is wheat, as we find the same word used in hadiths for what can be used for zakāt al-ḥaḍār.

so ask your Lord to supply to us some of what the earth produces – its green vegetables, cucumbers, grains, lentils and onions,’

What is implied here are all those things produced by the earth which are edible. Baql are plants without trunks while shajar have trunks. There is disagreement about what ṭām, translated here as grains, means. It is said to mean garlic, like thūm because it is like green vegetables as Juwaybir related from aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk. It is also said
that it means ‘grains’ and that is what is related from Ibn ‘Abbās and most commentators. An-Nahḥās preferred it and that it is more appropriate. Those who say that have sound isnāds and Juwaybir does not reach their level even though al-Farrāʾ and al-Kisāʾī prefer the first view. Abū Ishāq az-Zajjāj said, ‘How can people ask for food without wheat in it when wheat is the basis of nourishment?’ Abū Naṣr al-Jawharī said that fūm is wheat. Some said that it is chick-peas in the Syrian dialect. Al-Farrāʾ said that it is an ancient dialect. ‘Aṭāʾ and Qatādah said that it is every grain used for bread.

Scholars disagree about eating onions and garlic with their unpleasant smell. The majority of scholars agree that it is permitted because of firm hadiths on the subject. One group of literalists, who say that the prayer must be performed in a group, forbid it because eating them would prevent people going to the prayer. They said that if something prevents the performance of an obligation, then it is unlawful to use it, and they cite as evidence the fact that the Messenger of Allah �Ī called it ‘foul’. Allah described His Prophet ⲃ as forbidding foul things. The proof of the majority is what is confirmed from Jābir that the Prophet ⲃ was brought a kettle containing vegetables and he caught an unpleasant smell from it. He was told what vegetables it contained and said, ‘Take it to them,’ referring to some of his Companions who were with him. When he saw that they were reluctant to eat it, he said, ‘Eat. I converse with one you do not converse with.’ Muslim and Abū Dāwūd transmitted that. This makes it clear that it is particular to him while permitted for others.

We find in Şahīḥ Muslim from Abū Ayyūb that the Prophet ⲃ was staying with him and he prepared some food for the Prophet ⲃ which contained garlic. When it was returned, he asked about the place of the fingers of the Prophet ⲃ and was told, ‘He did not eat.’ He was alarmed and went up and asked, ‘Is it unlawful?’ he replied, ‘No, but I dislike it.’ He said, ‘I dislike what you dislike.’ This is a
text showing that it is not unlawful. That is like what Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī related from the Prophet when they ate garlic at the time the conquest of Khaybar: ‘People, it is not for me to make unlawful what Allah has made lawful, but it is a plant whose smell I dislike.’

These hadiths show that the ruling is particular to him since he alone conversed with the angel even though the hadith of Jābir makes the ruling the same for him and others when he said: ‘Whoever eats this garlic plant (or ‘onions and garlic’) should not come near our mosques. The angels are harmed by the what harms of the sons of Ādam.’ Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said, ‘People, you eat two plants which I only think are foul: onion and garlic. I saw when the Messenger of Allah noticed their scent from a man in the mosque, he ordered that he be taken out to al-Baqī. Whoever eats them should kill them by cooking.’ Muslim transmitted it.

**lentils and onions**

It is related from the Prophet through ‘Alī: ‘You must have lentils. They are blessed and pure. They make the heart tender and increase tears. Seventy Prophets were blessed with them, the last of whom was ‘Īsā ibn Maryam.’ Ath-Thaʿlabī and others mentioned it. One day ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-ʿAzīz would eat bread with oil, one day with meat and one day with lentils. Al-Ḥalīmī said that lentils and oil are the food of the righteous. If its only virtue had been that it was what Ibrāhīm gave as hospitality in his city, that would have been enough. They lighten the body so that it is light for worship and do not provoke the appetites as meat does. Wheat, among all the grains, is ḥūm in the sound position. Barley, which was the food of the people of Madīnah, was the food of the people of Madīnah as lentils were the food of the town of Ibrāhīm. Each of the two grains enjoys a position of excellence with a Prophet.

he said, ‘Do you want to replace what is better with what is inferior?’
‘Replacing’ is putting one thing in place of another. Adnā (inferior), according to az-Zajjāj, is derived from danūw, meaning ‘of mediocre
worth’. ‘Alī ibn Sulaymān said that it comes from *danā‘a*, meaning ‘lower’, and the *hamza* has been removed. It is also said that it is derived from *dūn*, meaning ‘base’, and the original form would be *adwan*.

They disagree about what makes manna and quail better than what they asked for. There are five reasons given. One is that vegetables are relatively unimportant compared to manna and quail which are of higher quality. The second is that it is since manna and quail were Allah’s gift to them and He had commanded them to eat them, by eating them, they were following a divine command, which would ensure them a reward in the Next World. The same did not apply to what they were asking for which was, therefore, inferior. The third reason is that what Allah gave them is in fact better and more delicious than what they were asking for. The fourth is that what they were given entailed no work or fatigue on their part and what they were asking for could only be obtained by tillage, harvesting and hard work. The fifth is that there was no doubt about the lawfulness and purity of what came to them there since it came directly from Allah whereas the produce of the earth can be impaired by unlawful transactions and other corrupt practices.

This *āyah* contains evidence that it is permitted to eat good things and delicious foods. The Prophet Muhammad loved sweets and honey, and drank delicious cold water as will be mentioned in *al-Mā‘īdah* and *an-Naḥl*.

**Go back to Egypt, then you will have what you are asking**

This command was an impossibility for them so it was a challenge they could not rise to because they were in the desert and this was their punishment. It is said that they were given what they asked for. It is also said that *miṣr* means any city as ‘Ikrimah related from Ibn ‘Abbās. One group said that it means the city where Pharaoh lived. Those with the first view deduce that the literal meaning of the Qur’an is the command to enter the city and that is supported by
the transmission that they live in Syria after the wilderness. The other group deduce from what is in the Qur’an that Allah made the tribe of Israel inherit the houses of the family of Pharaoh.

**Abasement and destitution were stamped upon them. They brought down anger from Allah on them.**

These qualities stayed with them and were decreed for them. The verb ḍaraba (stamp) is used for ‘striking a tent. Dhillah is abasement and belittling. Maskanah is poverty. There is no Jew, even if he wealthy, who does not have an air of poverty about him. It is said that the abasement referred to was the obligation of jizyah. Al-Hasan and Qatādah said that their ‘destitution’ (maskanah) implied humiliation, as taken from sukīn. It means that poverty makes them move little as az-Zajjāj said Abū ‘Ubaydah said that dhillah is belittlement and maskanah is the verbal noun from miskīn (very poor).

The fact that they denied Allah’s Book and the miracles of His Prophets such as ‘Īsā, Yaḥyā, Zakariyyā and Muḥammad ﷺ is why that was obliged for them.

**That was because they rejected Allah’s Signs**

They denied His Book and the miracles of His Prophets such as ‘Īsā, Yaḥyā, Zakariyyā and Muḥammad ﷺ.

**and killed the Prophets without any right to do so.**

The root of Prophet (nabī’) is nabā, which means to appear. It comes from nabwah meaning something high. The position of a Prophet is high. Nāfi‘ recites ‘Prophets’ (nabī‘īn) with a hamzah throughout the Qur’an except in two places in Sūrat al-Ahzāb in which he recites it without maddah or hamzah. The hamzah is omitted in these two places because of the conjunction of two hamzahs with a kasrah. The rest omit the hamzah in all of that. Those who read it with hamzah do so because it comes from the verb anba’a, to report and its active participle is munbi and the plural of nabī’ is anbiyā’. It is also said that the plural is nubā’. Al-‘Abbās ibn Mirdās as-Sulamī says in praise of the Prophet ﷺ:
O Seal of the Prophet (nubā’), you are sent
With the truth. The guidance in every path is your guidance.

This is why it is recited with hamzah.

In the case of those who disagree and omit the hamzah, some of them say that it is derived from a verb with a hamzah, but then smooth out the hamzah. Some of them say it is derived from nabā, yanbū, meaning to be visible. So nabiyy comes from nubūwah which is elevation. So the rank of the Prophet is high. Nabiyy without a hamzah is also the path and so the Messenger is called nabiyy because people are guided by him like the path. A poet said:

The place of the Prophet (nabiyy) on (Mt) Ka‘ib
has become broken crumbled pebbles.

So the Prophets are like paths for us in the land. It is related that a man said to the Prophet ☪, ‘Peace be upon you, Prophet (nabi’) of Allah,’ using the hamzah and the Prophet ☪ replied, ‘I am not the Nabi’ of Allah (with hamzah), but I am the Nabiyy of Allah (without hamzah).’ Abū Ali said that the isnād of this hadith is weak. Part of what reinforces its weakness is that words of the eulogy, ‘O Seal of the Prophet (nubā’),’ were recited to him and it is not reported that he objected.

The words ‘without any right to do so’ emphasise the atrocity and the wrong action that they committed. If it is observed that this is evidence that there might be an occasion on which it might be right to kill a Prophet, even though it is known that the Prophets are protected from those who attack them, we reply that that is not the case. When they are killed, it must be wrongly. No Prophet can be killed by right; if they are killed it is in defence of the truth. If it is asked how could it be permitted for the unbelievers to have power over the Prophets, the answer is that it was a mark of honour for them and increased their stations, like those of the believers who are killed in the Way of Allah. Ibn ‘Abbās and al-Ḥasan said, ‘No
Prophet was ever killed except for those of them who had been commanded to fight, and everyone commanded to fight is helped.’

62 Those who believe, those who are Jews, and the Christians and Sabaeans, all who believe in Allah and the Last Day and act rightly, will have their reward with their Lord. They will feel no fear and will know no sorrow.

Those who believe,

This refers to those who believe in Muḥammad ﷺ. Sufyān said that what is meant are the hypocrites, so it is as if He were saying, ‘Those who outwardly believe.’ That is why they are joined with the Jews, Christians and Sabaeans. Then He clarified the judgment by mentioning Allah and the Last Day.

those who are Jews,

The verb hādū means ‘to be Jews’, and it is ascribed to Yahūdhā (Judah), who was the oldest son of Yaʿqūb. It is said that they were called that because of their turning away from worshipping the Calf and repenting, and so it means repentance. We find in the Revelation: ‘We have truly turned to You’ (7:156) where the verb is hudnā. Ibn ‘Arafah said that it means: ‘have faith in Your command’.

and the Christians

The word for Christians, naṣārā, is the plural of naṣrānī. It is said that the name is derived from Nazareth where ‘Īsā lived. ‘Īsā was called a Nazarene and so that was applied to his followers, as Ibn ‘Abbās and Qatādah said. Al-Jawhārī also said that. It is also said that it comes from the word anṣār which means ‘helpers’.

and Sabaeans

The singular is either šābiʾī or šāb which is why there is a
disagreement about whether it has a *hamzah*. All, except for Nāfi‘, have it with a *hamzah*. Linguistically, the Arabic word (*ṣābi‘*) means one who inclines from one *dīn* to another *dīn*. The Arabs used it to describe someone who became Muslim. So the Sabaeans separated from the religion of the People of the Book.

There is no disagreement that the Jews and Christians are People of the Book and so it is permitted to marry their women and eat their food. *Jīzyah* is imposed on them. There is disagreement about the Sabaeans. As-Suddī said that they are a sub-group of the People of the Book, as Isḥāq ibn Rāhawayh states. Ibn al-Mundhir and Isḥāq say that there is nothing wrong in eating the animals they slaughter because they are People of the Book. Abū Ḥanīfah says the same. Ibn ‘Abbās, however, says that one should not marry their women. Other things are said about them.

It is reported from Ibn ‘Abbās that this āyah is abrogated by “*If anyone desires anything other than Islam as a dīn, it will not be accepted from him.*” (3:85) Others said that it is not abrogated and that it is about those who believe in the Prophet ☞ and who are firm in their belief.

63 Remember when We made the covenant with you and lifted up the Mount above your heads: ‘Take hold vigorously of what We have given you and pay heed to what is in it, so that hopefully you will be godfearing.’ 64 Then after that you turned away, and were it not for Allah’s favour to you and His mercy, you would have been among the lost.

Remember when We made the covenant with you and lifted up the Mount above your heads:

This āyah explains the meaning of: ‘When We uprooted the mountain,
lifting it above them like a canopy.’ (7:171) Abū ‘Ubaydah said that it means that it was removed from its place and raised above the surface of the earth. There is disagreement about what ‘the Mount’ (aṭ-ṭūr) is. It is said to be the name of the mountain where Allah spoke to Mūsā and where the Torah was revealed to him (Sinai), and this was what Ibn Jurayj said that Ibn ‘Abbās said. Aḍ-Ḍahḥāk said that it is a mountain on which plants grow, unlike most others on which they do not grow. Mujāhid and Qatādah said it could be any mountain and Mujāhid said that aṭ-ṭūr is simply the Syriac word for mountain.

The Mount was lifted in this way because, when Mūsā brought the Tablets from Allah, which contained the Torah to the tribe of Israel, he told them, ‘Take them and hold fast to them.’ But they replied, ‘No! Not unless Allah tells us to do the same as you tell us!’ So they were struck dead and then brought back to life. Mūsā again told them to take them and again they refused. So Allah commanded the angels to uproot one of the mountains of Palestine and it was held over them like a cloud. The sea was behind them and a fire in front of them and they were told to take on the covenant otherwise the mountain would fall on top of them. They prostrated in repentance and accepted the Torah and the covenant. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said that one of the scholars said, ‘If they had taken it the first time, there would have been no need for a covenant.’ So their prostration was done reluctantly because they were looking at the mountain in fear. When Allah showed mercy to them, they said, ‘There is no prostration better than one that Allah accepts and by which He shows mercy to Him slaves.’ They continue to prostrate reluctantly. Ibn ‘Aṭīyyah said, ‘The only thing that can be sound is that Allah Almighty created faith in their hearts at the moment of their prostration. It is not that they believed unwillingly and that their hearts were not at peace with that.’

Take hold vigorously of what We have given you
‘We said’ is elided before ‘Take.’ ‘Bi-quwwah’ (vigorously, lit. with strength) means with gravity and striving, as Ibn ‘Abbās, Qatādah and as-Suddī stated. It is said that it means with sincerity. Mujāhid said, ‘With strength’ means “putting it into action”.’ It is also said to mean ‘with a lot of study’.

and pay heed to what is in it,

This means ‘reflect and observe Allah’s commands and warnings in it and do not neglect that and waste it’. It is the same with all the Divine Books: you must act according to them and not just recite them on the tongue. To do otherwise is to waste them. This is what is stated by ash-Sha‘bī and Ibn ‘Uyaynah as will be discussed later. (2:101) An-Nasā‘ī related from Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The worst of people is an impious man who recites the Qur’an and pays no heed to any of it.’ So he explained that the goal is to put it into action. Mālik said, ‘The Qur’an can be recited by someone who has no good in him. This was an obligation for those before us just as it is obliged for us.’

Allah says: ‘Follow the best that has been sent down to you from your Lord.’ (39:55) So He commanded us to follow His Book and act by it, but we have abandoned it just as the Jews and Christians did. There remain people with many books and copies of the Qur’an who do not benefit from that at all because they are dominated by ignorance, desire for power, and the following of appetites. We find in at-Tirmidhī that Abu-d-Dardā’ said, ‘We were with the Prophet ﷺ and he looked towards heaven and said, “This is a time in which knowledge will be snatched away from its people until they have none left.” Ziyād ibn Labīd al-Anṣārī asked, “How can it be snatched away from us when we recite the Qur’an! By Allah, we recite it and our women and children recite it.” He replied, “May your mother be bereft, Ziyād! I consider you to be like those in who know the Torah and Gospel which the Jews and Christians have. What help was it to them?”’ An-Nasā‘ī transmitted it from Jubayr
ibn Nufayr from ‘Awf ibn Mālik al-Ashja‘ī by a sound path.

In the Muwaṭṭā’ we read that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd remarked to someone, ‘You are in a time when men of understanding (fuqahā’) are many and Qur’ān reciters are few, when the ḥudūd defined in the Qur’ān are protected and its letters are neglected, when few people ask and many give, when they make the prayer long and the khutbah short, and put their actions before their desires. A time will come for people when their fuqahā’ are few but their Qur’ān reciters are many, when the letters of the Qur’ān are guarded carefully but its ḥudūd are neglected, when many ask but few give, when they make the khutbahs long but the prayer short, and they put their desires before their actions.’ (Muwaṭṭā’ 9.24.91) Yaḥyā said, ‘I asked Ibn Nāfī about what is meant by “put their desires before their actions,” and he replied, “They follow their desires and abandon the actions prescribed for them.”’

Then after that you turned away

This is a physical term which is used metaphorically for turning away from commands, religion and faith. ‘After that’ means after the evidence you have seen and after your taking of the covenant and the raising of the mountain.

65 You are well aware of those of you who broke the Sabbath. We said to them, ‘Be apes, despised, cast out.’

You are well aware of those of you who broke the Sabbath

The word ‘sabt’ (sabbath) is derived from sabat which means ‘cutting off’. That is because it was a day of rest, cut off from the rest of the week.

‘You are well aware’ means you recognise them individually and you know the ruling against them. The difference between the terms is that recognition (ma‘rifah) is directed at the essence of what is
named and knowledge (ʿilm) is directed at the states of the named. If you say, ‘I recognise Zayd,’ it means him himself, and if you say, ‘I know Zayd,’ it means his states in respect of excellence or imperfection.

An-Nasāʾī related that Ṣafwān ibn ‘Assāl reported that a Jew said to his friend, ‘Let us go to this Prophet.’ His friend said, ‘Do not say “Prophet”! He might hear you. He has four eyes!’ They went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and asked him for seven clear commandments and he told them: ‘Do not associate anything with Allah; do not steal; do not fornicate; do not kill anyone Allah has made inviolate, unless with a legal right; do not slander an innocent person to a ruler; do not use magic; do not consume usury; do not slander chaste women; and do not turn your backs in retreat when the fighting is fierce; and, as Jews, you have one specially for you: that you do not profane the Sabbath.’ They kissed his hands and feet and said, ‘We testify that you are a Prophet!’ He asked, ‘What prevents you from following me, then?’ They replied. ‘Dāwūd prayed that the line of Prophets would continue to be from his descendants and we fear that if we follow you, the other Jews will kill us.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it and said that it is a sound good hadith.

It is possible that the āyah refers to rulings about the Sabbath in general, or it may just refer to the Sabbath on which they caught the fish. The first view is that of al-Ḥasan. Ashhab related that Mālik said, ‘Ibn Rūmān related that a man would take a string and make a loop and throw it down after the fish. One end of the string was tied to a peg. Then he would leave it until Sunday. People were silent at what was done until a lot of fish were caught and they were taken to the markets. The deviants were public about their catch. One group openly forbade them to do that and withdrew, saying, ‘We will not live with you.’ The town was divided by a wall. One morning those who had forbidden them to do what they were doing were sitting in
their gatherings and none of the transgressors came out. They said, ‘Something has happened to the people.’ They climbed up the wall to look and there were apes. They opened the gate and went in and the apes went to their kin and smelled their garments and wept. They were told, ‘Did we not forbid you?’ They nodded their heads in agreement. Qurān said that the children became apes and the old men pigs. Only those who had forbidden them were saved; the rest were destroyed. This will be further discussed in al-′Aʾrāf.

There are two different positions about whether those transformed had offspring. Az-Zajjāj said that some people say that it is possible that apes are descended from them. Qādī Abū Bakr ibn al-ʿArabī preferred that. The majority say that they did not reproduce, and the apes, pigs and other things already existed before that. Those who were transmogrified were destroyed and nothing of them remains because wrath and the punishment smote them. They only lasted three days. Ibn ʿAbbās said that none of them survived more than three days: they did not eat or drink or reproduce. Ibn ʿAṭiyyah said that it is related and confirmed from the Prophet ☪ that those transmogrified did not reproduce, eat or drink, and did not live more than three days.

This is what is sound of both views. Ibn al-ʿArabī and others cite as evidence for the first position the words of the Prophet ☪: ‘A group of the tribe of Israel were lost. It is not known what happened them. I only think that they were turned into mice. Do you not see that they do not drink if camel milk is put in front of them but do drink if sheep’s milk is put in front of them?’ Abū Hurayrah related it in Muslim. There is also the hadith about the lizard which Muslim related from Abū Saʿīd and Jābir. Jābir said, ‘The Prophet ☪ was brought a lizard and refused to eat it, saying, “I do not know. Perhaps it is from one of the generations that were transmogrified.”’ Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘We find in al-Bukhārī that ‘Amr ibn Maymūn said, “In the Jāhiliyyah I saw a monkey that fornicated. They stoned
it and I stoned it with them.” The term ‘fornicated’ is omitted from some variants. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘If it is asked whether animals have knowledge of laws which they inherited from past generations until the time of ‘Amr, we say that that is the case because the Jews altered stoning and Allah wanted it to be carried out on those transmogrified to be a stronger proof against those who denied that and altered it, so that their books, their rabbis and those who had been transmogrified would all bear witness against them and they would know that Allah knows what they conceal and what they make public and that He takes account what they change and alter. The proof will be established against them while they are unaware of that. He will help His Prophet and not help them.’

This is what he says in al-Ahkām, and there is no proof for any of it. The story of ‘Amr was mentioned by al-Ḥumaydī in Jam‘ bayna-ṣ-Ṣaḥīḥayn: ‘Abū Mas‘ūd ad-Dimishqī recounted that in the two Ṣaḥīḥayn collections ‘Amr ibn Maymūn al-Awdī has a story which Ḥuṣayn related from him. He said, ‘In the Jāhiliyyah I saw a monkey that fornicated. The monkeys gathered around it and stoned it and I stoned it with them.’ That is how Abū Mas‘ūd related it. He did not mention in any place that al-Bukhārī transmitted it in his book. We investigated that and found it in some copies, but not all of them. It is mentioned in the Book of the Jāhiliyyah. The transmission of an-Nu‘aymī from al-Farabrī has nothing about this report about monkeys. It may be one of the insertions into al-Bukhārī. That which al-Bukhārī says in the Tārīkh al-kabīr is: “Nu‘aym ibn Ḥammād told me that Hushaym reported from Abū Balj and Ḥuṣayn that ‘Amr ibn Maymūn said, ‘In the Jāhiliyyah I saw monkeys gathered around a female monkey and they stoned it and I stoned it with them.’” The word “fornicated” does not appear in it. If this transmission is sound, al-Bukhārī transmitted it as evidence that ‘Amr ibn Maymūn was alive in the Jāhiliyyah. His opinion in the Jāhiliyyah is of no importance.’
Abū ‘Umar mentioned ‘Amr ibn Maymūn in *al-Istī‘āb* and the fact that his kunyah was Abū ‘Abdullāh. He said that he was considered to be one of the great Tābi‘ūn of the Kufans. He said, ‘He is the one who saw the monkey stoning in the Jāhiliyyah if that is sound, because its transmitters are unknown. Al-Bukhārī mentioned it from Nu‘aym from Hushaym from Ḥuṣayn from ‘Amr ibn Maymūn. ‘Abbād ibn al-‘Awwām narrated it from Ḥuṣayn as Hushaym related it. The long story depends on ‘Abd al-Malik ibn Muslim from ‘Īsā ibn Ḥiṭṭān who are not authoritative. A group of the people of knowledge object to relating fornication to those who are not legally responsible and for *hadd* punishments to be carried out on animals. If it were sound, it would apply to men and jinn because both acts of worship are for both of them alone. As for the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘I only think that they were turned into mice’ and ‘Perhaps it is from one of the generations that were transmogrified,’ and the like, this is only suspicion and fear of mice, lizards and other things being transmogrified. This was conjecture on his part before the revelation that Allah did not allow them to reproduce. When this was revealed, he was no longer afraid of that. He knew that lizards and mice were not transmogrified. Then, when someone asked him whether or not apes and pigs were transmogrified, he ﷺ said, ‘Allah does not destroy a people or punish a people and then give them offspring. Apes and pigs existed before that.’ This is a clear sound text that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd related and Muslim transmitted in the Book of the Decree. Texts confirm that lizard was eaten in his presence and on his table without him objecting to it. It is related from Mujāhid in the commentary on this that only their hearts were transformed and their mouths were like those of apes. As far as I know, no one else has said this.

66 We made it an exemplary punishment for those there
then, and those coming afterwards, and a warning to those who are godfearing.

We made it an exemplary punishment

Various things are said about the word ‘it’ here. It is said to refer to the punishment and it is said to refer to the town. It is said to be the whole nation which was transformed. It is said to be snakes, but this is unlikely. The word nakāl (exemplary punishment) applies to restraint and punishment. Ankāl are fetters because they restrain. Nikl is used for a heavy bit in a bridle which restrains the animal. Nakila is to refrain from a matter. Tankīl is to inflict a penalty on enemies which acts as a deterrent to others. Ibn ‘Abbās and as-Suddī said ‘those there then’ refers to those among the transmogrified at the time who did not accept their wrong actions and ‘those coming afterwards’ are those who did not commit that sort of wrong action. Al-Farrā’ said that those who were transmogrified were made an exemplary punishment for past wrong actions and those who came after them should fear transmogrification for their wrong actions. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that this is a good view and both pronouns refer to the punishment. Al-Ḥakam related from Mujāhid from Ibn ‘Abbās is that it is for those with them and those who will come after them. An-Nahḥās preferred that and said that it is closer to the meaning. Allah knows best. Ibn ‘Abbās said that it is for towns with them and after them.

and a warning to those who are godfearing.

Al-Khalīl said that ‘warning’ is reminding about good which will make the heart tender. It was a warning to everyone with taqwā separate from the obdurate unbelievers. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah says that this includes every godfearing person of every nation. Az-Zajjāj says that it is an admonishment only for the godfearing of the community of Muḥammad ☪ to refrain from what Allah has forbidden lest they experience a punishment like that of the people who profaned the Sabbath.
And when Mūsā said to his people, ‘Allah commands you to sacrifice a cow,’ they said, ‘What! Are you making a mockery of us?’ He said, ‘I seek refuge with Allah from being one of the ignorant!’

And when Mūsā said to his people, ‘Allah commands you to sacrifice a cow,’

‘Commands you’ is read by Abū ‘Amr as ‘ya’murkum’ with sukūn and elision of the ḍammah because it is heavy [instead of ‘ya’murukum’]. This comes first in terms of recitation while ‘you killed someone’ (2:72) comes first in terms of meaning, before the episode of the cow. It is possible that ‘killed someone’ was revealed first and then the command to sacrifice afterwards. It is also possible that the order of revelation is as it is. It is also possible that the command to sacrifice was given and then the killing occurred and they were commanded to strike the deceased with part of it. ‘And’ does not necessarily convey sequence as we see in other āyāhs.

There is no disagreement that the dhabh method (cutting the throat and carotid arteries) is used for slaughtering sheep and the nahṛ method (stabbing through the throat) for camels, and that one can choose either of them for cattle. It is said that dhabh is more fitting because Allah mentioned it. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘I do not know of anyone who made the meat unlawful when the wrong form of slaughter had been used.’ Mālik disliked it but something can be disliked but not unlawful. The rulings regarding sacrifices will be discussed in Sūrat al-Mā’īdah. Al-Māwardī said that they were commanded to sacrifice one particular cow because it was the same species that they had worshipped, so that what they had esteemed would be demeaned in their eyes and they would know the answer to worshipping it in themselves. This idea is a reason for slaughtering the cow but not a reason for the answer to a request.
What was meant by it was to revive the one who was killed by killing that which is alive so as to show Allah’s power in originating things from their opposites.

The word ‘baqarah’ (cow) refers to the female while thawr is the word for a bull, like nāqah and jamal in the case of camels. It is said that baqarah is a single cow. The root means ‘to split open’, since cattle split the earth open when they plough it. From this comes the term ‘al-Bāqir’ used for Abū Ja‘far ibn ‘Alī Zayn ’l-Ābidīn because he split open (baqarah) knowledge and recognized its root. Baqīrah is a bodice without sleeves.

They said, ‘What! Are you making a mockery of us?’ He said, ‘I seek refuge with Allah from being one of the ignorant!’

They said that to Mūsā when they replied to him. That was because they found someone murdered among them and were uncertain about who the killer was. They disagreed and exclaimed, ‘Murder takes place while the Messenger of Allah is among us!’ They came to him and asked him for clarification. That was before qasāmah [a collective oath taken by the people about a murder victim] was revealed in the Torah. They asked Mūsā to pray to his Lord and He commanded them to sacrifice a cow. When they heard that from Mūsā, which was not a clear answer to their question, they asked him about it and asked for a decision. They asked if he was mocking them. Haz’ is playing and mockery. He sought refuge with Allah because leaving someone who asks for guidance unanswered and mocking him is ignorance and not one of the qualities of the Prophets. Ignorance is the opposite of knowledge. He sought refuge from ignorance as they were ignorant in asking this question of someone who reported to them from Allah.

The form of words indicates the unsound faith of those who said that. It is not possible to believe that of a Prophet who has displayed miracles. He said, ‘Allah commands you to do that.’ If today anyone had said that about any of the words of the Prophet ﷺ, it would be
necessary to call that person an unbeliever. Some people believed that that came from their coarse nature and disobedience, as was the case in the division of the spoils of Ḥunayn when someone said, ‘This is a division which is not for the sake of Allah’ and as another said, ‘Be fair, Muḥammad!’ This is the clearest evidence that ignorance is ugly and corrupts the dīn.

This āyah indicates the prohibition against mocking the dīn of Allah and the dīn of the Muslims and those who must be esteemed, and that to do so is ignorance. The person who does that merits punishment. Joking, however, is not part of mockery. Indeed, the Prophet ﷺ and the imams after him used to make jokes. Ibn Khuwayzimdād said, ‘We heard that a man went to the Qāḍī of Kufa, ‘Ubaydullāh ibn al-Ḥasan, and Ubaydullāh joked with him, asking, “Is this cloak of yours from the wool of a ewe or that of a ram?” The man exclaimed, “Don’t be ignorant, Qāḍī!” Ubaydullāh said to him, “Where do you find that joking is ignorance?” The man recited this āyah to him, and Ubaydullāh turned away from him because he saw that the man was ignorant and could not distinguish joking from mockery when they are not the same.’

68 They said, ‘Ask your Lord to make it clear to us what it should be like.’ He said, ‘He says it should be a cow, not old or virgin, but somewhere between the two, so do as you have been told.’ They said, ‘Ask your Lord to make it clear to us what it should be like.’

This shows how prone they were to disobedience. If they had obeyed the command and slaughtered any cow, the goal would have been achieved, but they made things difficult for themselves so Allah
made things difficult for them. Ibn ‘Abbās, Abu-l-Āliyah and others said that. Something similar is related from al-Ḥasan al-ṣāfīrī from the Prophet ﷺ.

He said, ‘He says it should be a cow, not old or virgin, but somewhere between the two.

This indicates the permission for abrogation before an act takes place because the command to slaughter a cow meant any cow. When they wanted further description, He superseded the first ruling with another one. ‘Fārid’ (old) means one which has had many calves and ‘virgin’ means one which has had none.

So do as you have been told.’

This repeats the command and reinforces it. It indicates that a command of this type is mandatory (wujūb) in the language of the fuqahā’. This is sound as it is mentioned in the fundamentals of fiqh, and the command is immediate. That is also the position of most of the fuqahā’. Proof of that is found in the fact that when they did not hasten to do what they were commanded, Allah found them lacking. It is also said that it does not indicate immediacy because he did not reprimand them for the delay. Ibn Khuwayzimandād said that.

69 They said, ‘Ask your Lord to make it clear to us what colour it should be.’ He said, ‘He says it should be a yellow cow, the colour of sorrel, a pleasure to all who look.’

They said, ‘Ask your Lord to make it clear to us what colour it should be.’

In other words, they were asking, “Should it be black, white or red?” ‘Lawn’ (colour) is also a category.

He said, ‘He says it should be a yellow cow, the colour of sorrel,

Most commentators say that the word ṣafārā’ has its normal
meaning here of yellow. Makkî said that even the horns and hooves were the same colour. Al-Ḥasan and Ibn Jubayr said that only the horns and hooves were yellow. Al-Ḥasan said that it means black but this is unlikely, because the word only means black when referring to camels as in 77:33. This is because the black colour in camels has a yellowish tinge. If actual black had been meant, He would not have stressed the word used with being ‘fāqi’ which means that it is pure yellow, not black. There are various adjectives which the Arabs add to colours, and ‘fāqi’ is used for yellow. ‘Fāqi’ means ‘pure’ with no other colour in its hide.

a pleasure to all who look.’

Wahb said that the sunlight danced off its hide. Ibn ‘Abbâs said that yellow is cheering. It is encouraged to have yellow sandals, as an-Naqqâsh related from him. ‘Alî ibn Abî Ṭâlib said that someone who wears yellow sandals has less cares. Ath-Ṭhabî related this from him.

70 They said, ‘Ask your Lord to make it clear to us what it should be like. Cows are all much the same to us.
Then, if Allah wills, we will be guided.’

They said, ‘Ask your Lord to make it clear to us what it should be like. Cows are all much the same to us.
This was their fourth question so they still did not obey the command even when it had been made abundantly clear to them. Baqar is used because it is the plural, which is meant here.
Then, if Allah wills, we will be guided.’

The use of ‘if Allah wills’ in this sentence shows their eventual repentance and obedience. It is an indication that they regretted their lack of acceptance of what they had been commanded to do. It is related that the Prophet ્ said, ‘If they had not said “if Allah
wills” they would never have been guided to it at all.’ Mentioning ‘guidance’ indicates concern for it.

71 He said, ‘He says it should be a cow not trained to plough or irrigate the fields — completely sound, without a blemish on it.’ They said, ‘Now you have brought the truth.’ So they sacrificed it — but they almost did not do it.

He said, ‘He says it should be a cow not trained to plough or irrigate the fields —

Al-Ḥasan said that the cow was undomesticated and hence untrained for ploughing or irrigating. This āyah provides evidence for singling out animals by their description. If they are definitely known in that way, then an advance sale is permitted. That is the position of Mālik and his people, al-Awzā‘ī, al-Layth and ash-Shāfi‘ī. The same applies to everything which has a clearly defined description since here Allah gives a description that takes the place of specification. The Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘A woman should not describe another women to her husband so that it is as if he could see her.’ Muslim transmitted it. So here description takes the place of seeing.

**completely sound, without a blemish on it.’**

Musallama (sound) means that the cow must not be lame or have any other impairments as Qatādah and Abu-l-‘Āliyah said. It is not said that it is free of work because it was already made clear that it did no work. Al-Ḥasan said that it means free of any trace of trace of work. ‘Without a blemish on it’ means that nothing mars its colour at all, there being no hint of any other colour. The root of ‘shīyah’ (blemish) is ‘washyi’ and the wāw has been elided.

These specific qualities of the cow were imposed because the tribe
of Israel were difficult and so Allah made the matter difficult for them. The *dīn* of Allah is ease. It is blameworthy to go deep into asking the Prophets and others about matters of detail in this way. We ask Allah for well-being.

There are various stories related about this cow. The gist of them is that a man of the tribe of Israel had a son and he had a calf which he released in the woods, saying, ‘O Allah, I entrust this calf to You for this boy.’ The man died and when the child was grown, his mother told him, ‘Your father entrusted a calf to Allah for you, so go and fetch it.’ He went, and when the cow saw him, it came to him and he took it by the horn, even though it was wild, and he led it to his mother. The people met him and found that he had the cow that they were commanded to sacrifice. They haggled with him for it. Its price was said to be thirty dinars or its weight in gold. Allah knows best.

They said, ‘Now you have brought the truth.’

Meaning ‘made the truth clear’. Qatādah said that that is what it means.

So they sacrificed it – but they almost did not do it.

Because of their rebellion and excessive questioning or because of the high price of the cow. It is said that it was out of fear of being disgraced in the eyes of those who spoke about them, as Wahb ibn Munabbih said.

72 Remember when you killed someone and violently accused each other of it, and Allah brought out what you were hiding.

Remember when you killed someone and violently accused each other of it.

This was discussed at the beginning of the story. There are two positions about the motive for the murder. One is that a man
wanted to marry a beautiful daughter of his to his uncle’s son but the uncle refused, so he killed him and took him to another village and dumped his body there. It is also said that he dumped his body between two villages.

The second view is that the man murdered the other out of desire for the inheritance he would receive. He claimed that some tribes had killed him. ‘Ikrimah said that they had a temple with twelve doors, one for each tribe, and they found the dead man in the doorway of one of the tribes and each accused the other. They then went to Mūsā n with their quarrel and he told them to sacrifice a cow. Mujāhid said that ‘violently accuse’ is to disagree and quarrel.

If he was killed for his inheritance, a murderer does not inherit. That was stated by ‘Abīdah as-Salmānī. Ibn ‘Abbās said that this man killed his uncle in order to inherit from him. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that our sharī‘ah has a similar ruling. In the Muwaṭṭā’ Mālik related the story of Uḥayḥah ibn al-Julāḥ and his uncle and it is the reason for a killer not inheriting. Then Islam confirmed that just as many things from the Jāhiliyyah were confirmed. There is no disagreement between scholars that a murderer does not inherit from the blood money or the property of his victim except for an aberrant group, all of whom are people of innovation.

Someone who kills by accident does inherit from the property, but not the blood money according to Mālik, al-Awzā‘ī, Abū Thawr and ash-Shāfi‘ī because he is not suspected of killing in order to inherit and take his property. That is not the position of ath-Thawri, Abu Hanifa or ash-Shāfi‘ī. According to another position: neither the murderer nor the accidental killer inherit any of the property or the blood money. That is also the view of Shurayḥ, Ṭāwus, ash-Sha‘bī and an-Nakha‘ī. Ash-Sha‘bī related that ‘Umar, ‘Alī and Zayd said that neither the murderer nor the accidental killer inherit anything. Both views are related from Mujāhid.
73 We said, ‘Hit him with part of it!’ In that way Allah gives life to the dead and He shows you His Signs so that hopefully you will understand.

We said, ‘Hit him with part of it!’

The part referred to is said to have been the tongue because that is the organ of speech, and it is said to have been with the rump end of the tail. It is also said to have been the leg or another of the bones. In any case, the corpse was struck with it. Then the dead man identified his killer and reverted back to being a lifeless corpse.

Mālik used this episode as evidence for the validity of applying the qasāmah oath on the basis of the last words of the dying victim of a homicidal attack when he says, ‘So-and-so killed me.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī and most scholars forbade this, claiming that it is contrary to the principle that a suspect is innocent, except when there is absolute certainty of his guilt, and in that case there is only probability because the words of the victim may be true or false. They say that the case of the man of the tribe of Israel was a miraculous one and so it does not apply in normal circumstances. Ibn al-‘Arabī replies that the miracle consisted of the bringing back to life and that, therefore, what the man said has the same ruling as the words of any person who is alive. This is a fine area of knowledge which only Mālik grasped. It does not say in the Qur’an that when he said it was obligatory to believe what he said. Rather the qasāmah was commanded. Al-Bukhārī, ash-Shāfi‘ī and a group of scholars found that unlikely and said, ‘How can what he says about blood be accepted when what he says about dirhams is not accepted?’

Scholars disagree about the ruling of the qasāmah. It is related that Sālim, Abū Qilābah, ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz and al-Ḥakam ibn ‘Uyaynah hesitated about giving judgment on it. Al-Bukhārī inclined to that because the hadith of the qasāmah comes in a different place.
Most say that the ruling of the *qasāmah* is confirmed from the Prophet ﷺ, but then there is disagreement about how it is carried out. One group said that the claimants first give their oaths and, when they have sworn, they are entitled. If they refrain, then the defendants swear fifty oaths and are free. This is the view of the people of Madīnah, al-Layth, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad and Abū Thawr. It is what is demanded by the hadith of Ḥuwayyiṣah and Muḥayyiṣah that the imams related.

Another group believed that one begins with the oaths of the defendants who swear and then are free. That is related from ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, ash-Sha‘bī and an-Nakha‘ī. Ath-Thawrī and the Kufans take that view. They cite as evidence the hadith of Sa‘īd ibn ‘Ubayd from Bushayr ibn Yasār. In it they began with the oaths of the defendants who were Jews. Abū Dāwūd related from az-Zuhrī from Abū Salamah ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān from some of the Anṣār that the Prophet ﷺ said to the Jews, beginning with them, ‘Will fifty of your men swear?’ They said to the Anṣār, ‘Seek entitlement.’ They said, ‘Then we swear to what we did not see, Messenger of Allah!’ So the Messenger of Allah ﷺ assigned the blood money against the Jews since the victim had been found among them. He ﷺ also said, ‘The defendant gives the oath.’ They said that this is a definite principle in claims. The Sharī‘ah calls attention to its wisdom when the Prophet ﷺ says, ‘If people were to be given what they claim, then people would have laid claim to the blood and property of people. The oath is offered to the defendant.’

Those who take the first view say that the hadith of Sa‘īd ibn ‘Ubayd about starting with the Jews is considered by the people of hadith to be weak. An-Nasā‘ī transmitted it and said, ‘As far as I know, Sa‘īd ibn ‘Ubayd is not corroborated in this transmission.’ The hadith of Bushayr comes from Sahl about the Prophet ﷺ beginning with the claimants according to Yahyā ibn Sa‘īd, Ibn ‘Uyaynah, Ḥammād ibn Zayd, ‘Abd al-Wahhāb ath-Thaqafī, ‘Īsā
ibn Ḥammād and Bishr ibn al-Mufaḍḍal. These are seven. Even if Mālik has it mursal, a group have it connected. It is sounder than the hadith of Saʿīd ibn ʿUbayd. Abū Muḥammad al-Asīlī said, ‘It is not permitted for a single hadith to contradict one of a group, although Saʿīd ibn ʿUbayd said in his hadith that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ gave him blood money consisting of a hundred of the zakāt camels, but zakāt is not used for blood money and not given to other than the people entitled to it.’ The hadith in Abū Dāwūd is mursal and does not counter sound connected hadiths. They answer about holding to the fundamental principle by the fact that this ruling is a fundamental principle itself for the sanctity of human life.

Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘It is established that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ made the claimant provide the evidence and the defendant has to take an oath. It is necessary to judge by the apparent meaning of that, unless Allah makes it specific in His Book or on the tongue of His Prophet ﷺ that the ruling is only about one particular thing and is excluded from the general ruling. One thing specified by the Book is the necessity of imposing the ḥadd punishment on a slanderer if he does not have two witnesses who testify to the truth of his accusation. A special case is someone who accuses his wife: the ḥadd punishment is removed from him when he testifies four times. One thing specified by the Sunnah is the ruling that the Prophet ﷺ gave for the qasāmah. Ibn Jurayj related from ‘Aṭā’ from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ﷺ said, “The claimant must provide evidence and the one who denies it swears an oath,” except in the case of the qasāmah. Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it. What Mālik said about this in the Muwaṭṭa’ is sufficient.’

They also disagree about the necessity of imposing retaliation by the qasāmah. One group oblige retaliation based on it, and that is the view of Mālik, al-Layth, Ahmad and Abū Thawr, because of what the Prophet ﷺ said to Ḥuwayyiṣah, Muḥayyiṣah and ʿAbd ar-Raḥmān, ‘Will you swear and thereby be entitled to the blood of
your companion?’ Abū Dāwūd related from ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb from his father from his grandfather that the Prophet ❞ killed a man from the Banū Naḍr ibn Mālik on the basis of the qasāmah. Ad-Dāraqūṭnī said that text of ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb from his father from his grandfather is sound. Similarly Abū ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-Barr considers the hadith of ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb to be sound and authoritative. Al-Bukhārī said, ‘I saw ‘Alī ibn al-Madīnī, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, al-Ḥumaydī and Ishāq ibn Rāhawayh using him as an authority.’ Ad-Dāraqūṭnī said that in the Sunan.

Another group said that there is no retaliation on the basis of the qasāmah, but it obliges blood money. This is related from ‘Umar and Ibn ‘Abbās and it is the view of an-Nakha‘ī and al-Ḥasan. It was believed by ath-Thawrī and the Kufans, ash-Shāfi‘ī and Ishāq. They cite as evidence what Mālik related from Ibn Abī Laylā ibn ‘Abdullāh ibn Sahl ibn Abī Ḥathmah that the Prophet ❞ said to the Anṣār: ‘Either they will pay blood money for your companion or war will be declared on them.’ They said that this indicates that it is a question of blood money rather than retaliation. They said that his words about being entitled to the blood of ‘your companion’ means the blood money for the life of the man killed because the Jews were not their companions. Whoever is entitled to the blood money of a person is entitled to his blood because blood money may be taken in case of deliberate killing and that would make one entitled to blood.

Justified suspicion (lawth) requires the qasāmah. Lawth means that it is probable that the one who claimed that there was murder is speaking the truth, such as when there is testimony of a single upright witness to seeing the killing or seeing the victim shouting covered in blood, or when the suspect is found close to him with blood on himself. There is disagreement about lawth and what it is. Mālik said, ‘It is the words of the victim, “So-and-so killed me.” The testimony of an upright witness also furnishes justified suspicion.’ That is found in what Ibn al-Qāsim related from him. Ashhab
related that Mālik implemented the *qasāmah* when the witness is not upright or is a woman. Ibn Wahb related that the testimony of women furnishes justified suspicion. Muḥammad related from Ibn al-Qāsim that the testimony of two women constitutes justified suspicion, but not that of only one.

Qāḍī Abū Bakr ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘There is great disagreement about *lawth*. The well known position of the School is that it is when there is a single upright witness.’ Muḥammad said, ‘I prefer that and that view was taken by Ibn al-Qāsim and Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam.’ It is related from ‘Abd al-Malik ibn Marwān that when someone who is wounded or struck down says, ‘So-and-so killed me’ and then dies, there is a *qasāmah*. That is the position of Mālik and al-Layth ibn Sa‘d. Mālik cited as evidence the victim of the tribe of Israel who said, ‘So-and-so killed me.’

Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘Justified suspicion is on the evidence of a single upright witness or producing evidence, even from someone who is not considered upright.’ Ath-Thawrī and Kufans obliged a *qasāmah* on the mere discovery of a murdered victim. They said there is no need to take account of the words of the victim or a witness. They said, ‘If a victim is found where a people are located and there are indications of violence on the body, then the people of that location are required to swear that they have not killed him and they pay the blood money. If there is no trace of violence on him, then they do not owe anything unless there is evidence against one of them.’ Sufyān said, ‘This is something about which there is consensus with us.’ That is a weak position about which the people of knowledge disagree and there is no precedent for it. That is because it is contrary to the Qur’an and the Sunnah and because that is obliging the males of the clan to pay money without any evidence being established against them and no admission on their part.

Mālik and ash-Shāfi‘ī believed that when a victim is found where a group of people are located, his blood is not demanded and the
people of the nearest house are not seized for his death, because it is possible that he was killed and then dumped at someone’s door in order to throw suspicion on them. Therefore no one is seized for something like this until the reasons that are stipulated for the obligation of the *qasāmah* are shown to exist. ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz said, ‘This is is one of the things about which judgment is delayed until Allah judges it at the Rising.’

Al-Qāsim ibn Mas‘adah said, ‘I asked an-Nasā‘ī, “Mālik said that there is no *qasāmah* except when there is justified suspicion. Why, then, is the hadith of the *qasāmah* reported with no element of suspicion in it?” An-Nasā‘ī replied, “Mālik put the enmity that existed between them and the Jews in the place of suspicion, and he put suspicion, or the words of the victim, in the place of enmity.”’ Ibn Zayd said, ‘The basis for this is found in the story of the tribe of Israel when Allah brought to life the murder victim when he was struck with part of the cow. He then said, “So-and-so killed me,” and enmity is tantamount to suspicion.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘We do not consider the words of the victim to constitute justified suspicion.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘When there is clear enmity between two peoples, such as that which existed between the Anṣār and the Jews, and a murder victim is found in one of the two parties and they do not mingle with other people, then the *qasāmah* is obliged for him.’

There is disagreement about a murder victim who is discovered in a place that has been rented. The People of Opinion say that action is against the people of that quarter, not the inhabitants of that property. If they sell their houses and then the victim is discovered, the blood money is owed by the seller, not the inhabitants. If the house-owners are absent and have rented out the houses, then the *qasāmah* and blood money is due from the absent owners and not the inhabitants among whom the victim was discovered.

Then among them Ya‘qūb retracted this view and said, ‘The *qasāmah* and blood money is on the inhabitants of the houses.’ This
view is related from Ibn Abī Laylā. He argued that the people of Khaybar were resident workers and a victim was found among them. Ath-Thawrī, ‘We say that it is against the owners of the houses.’ Abī Ḥāmid said, ‘The position taken is that of Ibn Abī Laylā regarding qasāmah but not blood money.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘It is all the same. There is no blood money nor retaliation except by established evidence or what obliges the qasāmah which is carried out by the guardians.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘This is sounder.’

There are no less than fifty oaths in the qasāmah because of what the Prophet ﷺ said in the hadith of Ḥuwayyiṣah and Muḥayyiṣah, ‘Fifty of you will swear for one of them.’ Those seeking entitlement each swear an oath. If they are less than fifty, or some of them refuse but do not permit pardon, then the oaths are divided between the others. In the case of deliberate killing an oath from less than two men is not acceptable. An oath [for qasāmah] may not be sworn by only one man or by women. The fifty oaths are sworn by guardians and by those of the paternal kin (ʿaṣabah) who help them. This is the position of Mālik, al-Layth, ath-Thawrī, al-Awzā‘ī, Abī Ḥāmid and Dāwūd.

Muṭarrīf related from Mālik that no one may swear along with the defendant. They themselves swear, whether they are one or more, fifty oaths by which they free themselves. That is the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘Only an heir swears, whether the killing is deliberate or accidental.’ There is no oath sworn for property and entitlement to it except in the case of those who have personal ownership or the heirs to whom Allah has given ownership. Heirs swear oaths according to their shares of inheritance. That was stated by Abū Thawr, and Ibn al-Mundhir preferred it. It is sound because someone who has no claim against him has no reason to take an oath. The goal of these oaths is to be free of the claim. Anyone who has no claim against him is free of claims.

Mālik said that, in the case of accidental killing, a man or woman
may swear the oaths, in whatever way will complete the fifty, by one or by many, and then the oath-taker is entitled to his inheritance. If someone refuses to swear, then he is not entitled to anything. If someone who was absent comes after the swearing of oaths, nothing is obliged against him in respect of what he would have had to swear if he had been present, based on his inheritance. This is the famous view of Mālik. It is also related from him that there is no *qasāmah* for accidental killing.

This concludes the issues and rulings regarding *qasāmah*. Success is by Allah.

This story of the cow contains evidence that all true aspects of any Sharī‘ah which existed before ours are also binding on us, as many *mutakallimūn* and *fuqahā’* have said.

*In that way Allah gives life to the dead and He shows you His Signs so that hopefully you will understand.*

As He gave life to this man after his death, so Allah will revive everyone on the Last Day. He shows you His Signs and His power so that you might understand and not disobey Him.

74 Then your hearts became hardened after that, so they were like rocks or even harder still. There are some rocks from which rivers gush out, and others which split open and water pours out, and others which crash down from fear of Allah. Allah is not unaware of what you do.

*Then your hearts became hardened after that,*

‘Hardened’ refers to hardness, severity and unbendingness. This refers to the lack of repentance on the part of the Jews and their refusal to submit to the Signs of Allah. Abu-l-‘Āliyah, Qatādah and others said that what is meant here are the hearts of all the tribe of
Israel. Ibn ‘Abbās, however, says that what is meant are the hearts of the heirs of the victim because when he was brought to life and named his killer and then became dead again, they denied it and said, ‘He lied’ after seeing this great sign. So their hearts were made blind and they were the most adamant in denying their Prophet. But the judgment of Allah was carried out by their being killed.

At-Tirmidhī reported from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Do not speak a lot without mentioning Allah. A lot of words without mentioning Allah produces hardness of the heart. The furthest of people from Allah are the hard-hearted.’ In the Musnad of al-Bazzār Anas reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Four things are part of wretchedness: unresponsive eyes, hard hearts, far-reaching hopes and greed for this world.’

**There are some rocks from which rivers gush out,**

This refers to springs which become ever larger until they become rivers or rocks that simply split, even if no water comes out.

**and others which crash down from fear of Allah.**

Some rocks benefit more than hearts because water emerges from them. Mujāhid said, ‘No rock falls from the top of a mountain, nor river from a rock nor water emerges from it, except out of fear of Allah. Allah revealed the Qur’an about that.’ Ibn Jurayj said something similar. Some mutakallimūn say that the rocks, in this instance, mean hail which falls from the clouds. It is said that ‘crash down’ is metaphorical. Ibn Baḥr said that it means, ‘Some hearts fall down.’ The first, however, is the soundest. It does not prevent some inanimates from having knowledge as we find in various accounts of the Prophet’s miracles. An example is the palm trunk against which the Prophet ﷺ used to lean when he gave a khutbah. When he moved from it, it moaned. It is confirmed that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘There is a stone that used to greet me in the Jāhiliyyah. I still recognise it.’ There are other examples.

**Allah is not unaware of what you do.**
He is aware of your actions so that He does not miss anything, large or small, without recording it against you. Ibn Kathîr has the reading with yâ‘: *ya‘malûna*, ‘they do’.

Do you really hope they will follow you in faith when a group of them heard Allah’s Word then, after grasping it, knowingly distorted it?

Do you really hope they will follow you in faith

This question implies a negative response as there was no hope for the faith of a group of Jews because they had already rejected. It is addressed to the Companions of the Prophet ﷺ. That is because some of the Anṣâr wanted the Jews to become Muslim because of their alliance with them and proximity to them. It is said that it is addressed to the Prophet ﷺ alone. Ibn ‘Abbâs says that it means: ‘Do not be sad about their denial of you.’ He reported that they were evil people already.

When a group of them heard Allah’s Word

*Farîq* (group) is a plural noun with no singular form. What is meant by this are the seventy men, whom Mûsâ chose to hear the Speech of Allah, but who then did not obey and altered His words when they told their people. This is what ar-Rabî‘ and Ibn Ishâq said. This interpretation is somewhat weak. As-Suddî and others said that they were not able to listen and they were confused and wanted Mûsâ to repeat it for them. When they left a group of them then altered what they had heard of the words of Allah on the tongue of their Prophet.

People disagree about how Mûsâ recognised the Speech of Allah when he had not heard it before. Some say that he heard words without letters or voices, with no pause or breath, and knew that it could not be a human voice but must be the voice of the Lord of the
worlds. Others said that he heard words which did not come from any direction and so he knew they were not of human origin. It is said that his entire body heard it and so he knew that it was the Speech of Allah. It is said that the miracle indicated that it was the Speech of Allah when he was told to cast down his staff and it became a serpent. That was proof of the truth of the matter and confirmation of His words: ‘I am your Lord.’ (20:12) It is said that he concealed something inside himself which only He who knows the Unseen worlds would know and Allah informed him of that and so he knew that he was addressed by Allah.

and then, after grasping it, knowingly distorted it?

Mujāhid and as-Suddī said that they were the Jewish scholars who altered the Torah and made what was unlawful lawful and what was lawful unlawful, following their own whims and desires. This is to rebuke them because of what their fathers did. It indicates that any scholar who is opinionated regarding the truth is far from right guidance because he knows the promise and threat, and yet still follows his own opinion.

76 When they meet those who believe, they say, ‘We believe.’ But when they go apart with one another, they say, ‘Why do you speak to them about what Allah has decided about you, so they can use it as an argument against you before your Lord? Will you not use your intellect?’ 77 Do they not know that Allah knows what they keep secret and what they make public? When they meet those who believe, they say, ‘We believe.’
This is about the hypocrites.

**But when they go apart with one another,**

This refers to the Jews because some of them outwardly become Muslim but were actually hypocrites. They would talk to the believers about how their ancestors were being tormented. So the Jews said to them:

**Why do you speak to them about what Allah has decided about you,**

‘When you speak regarding the punishment which Allah has ordained for you, so that they can say, “We are more honoured with Allah than you are.”’ This is the meaning according to Ibn ‘Abbās and as-Suddī. It is said that when ‘Alī came near Qurayzah on the day of Khaybar, he heard them insulting the Messenger of Allah 🏴. He went to him and said, ‘Messanger of Allah, do not go to them,’ and he tried to stop him. He said, ‘I think that you heard them insulting me. If they had seen me, they would have refrained.’ He went up to them. When they saw him, they refrained. He told them, ‘You have broken the treaty, brothers of apes and pigs. May Allah disgrace you and send His vengeance on you!’ They replied, ‘You are not ignorant, Muḥammad. Do not be rash towards us! Who has told you this! This report can only have come from us!’ The root of the word used for ‘fataha’ (decided) means to give a judgment or decision but the word can also indicate help and assistance as well as judgment.

**So they can use it as an argument against you before your Lord?**

That is generally taken as referring to the Next World but it is also said that it means ‘when your Lord is mentioned’. Al-Hasan said that ḥujjah means straight words in general. Part of that is mahajjah, the road.

**Will you not use your intellect?**

This is generally taken to be the words of the rabbis to their followers but it is also possible that it is addressed by Allah to the believers, meaning, ‘Do you not know that the tribe of Israel do not
believe and therefore this is the way they behave?’ Then Allah follows that with another rebuke.

78 Some of them are illiterate, knowing nothing of the Book but wishful thinking. They only speculate.

Some of them are illiterate,
‘Them’ may refer to the Jews alone or to both the Jews and the hypocrites. The word ‘ūmmiyyūn’ (illiterate) means that they can neither read nor write. It is derived from ummiyyah, meaning ‘to be still in the state in which your mother bore you, without having learned to read or write’. An aspect of that is the words of the Prophetﷺ: ‘We are an unlettered nation. We do not write or reckon.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said that they are illiterate because they do not affirm of the Mother of the Book. Abū ‘Ubaydah said, ‘They are called “illiterate” since the Book was sent down on them, as if they were ascribed to the Mother of the Book, so it is as he were saying that among them are People of the Book who do not know the Book.’ ‘Ikrimah and aḥ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that it refers to the Christian Arabs. It is said that they are some of the People of the Book whose Book was removed because of the wrong actions they committed, and so they became illiterate. ‘Alī said that they are the Magians. The first explanation is more likely, and Allah knows best.

knowing nothing of the Book but wishful thinking.

Abū Ja‘far, Shaybah and al-A‘raj recited ‘amāni’ rather than ‘amāniyy’. ‘Amāniyy (wishful thinking) is the plural of umniyyah (recitation) from amnuyah as we see in ‘without Shayṭān insinuating something into his recitation while he was reciting (tamannā).’ (22:52) Amāniyy also means ‘lies’. That is how Ibn ‘Abbās and Mujāhid explain it here. It is also what a person wishes for and desires, and so Qatādah said that the meaning is that they desire what they in
reality do not have. It is also said that it means ‘to value’.

**They only speculate.**

This means that they lie and come up with new things because they have no knowledge about whether what they recite is true or not. They merely imitate what their rabbis recite. Abū Bakr al-Anbārī said, ‘The grammarian, Ahmad ibn Yaḥyā, told me that the Arabs use ḵann (speculation) for knowledge, doubt and lies. When the evidence for knowledge is established and is greater than the evidence for doubt, then ḵann becomes knowledge. When the proofs for knowledge and those for doubt are equal, then ḵann is doubt. When the proofs for doubt are more than the proofs for knowledge, then ḵann is lies.’ Here Allah means that they are lying.

Our scholars say that Allah describes the rabbis as changing and altering their Book and Allah says in the next āyah: ‘Woe to them for what their hands have written!’ (2:79) That is because they studied the matter, but their scholars were bad shepherds, greedy for worldly things, and so they looked for things to draw people’s attention towards themselves. Therefore they made up new things in their Law and altered it. They added these inventions to the Torah and told their foolish followers, ‘This is from Allah’ so that it would be accepted from them and would establish their power. By so doing they obtained nothing but the rubble and filth of this world.

They said various things. One is, ‘We are under no obligation where the Gentiles (lit. illiterate) are concerned’ (3:75), referring to the Arabs, meaning that whatever property of theirs they usurped was lawful for them. Another thing they said was that no sins they committed would harm them because they were beloved by Allah and His sons. (cf. 5:18) Allah is exalted above that! The Torah has: ‘My rabbis and sons of My Messengers!’ They changed it to ‘My loved ones and My sons.’ Allah said that they were lying in 5:18. They also said, ‘Allah will not punish us. If He does punish us, it will only be for forty days,’ (cf. 2:80), that being the number of days they were
worshipping the Calf. Allah made it clear that eternity in the Fire and the Garden is the result of unbelief and faith. It is not what they say.

79 Woe to those who write the Book with their own hands and then say ‘This is from Allah’ to sell it for a paltry price. Woe to them for what their hands have written! Woe to them for what they earn!

Woe

There is disagreement about what ‘wayl’ (woe) means. ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān related from the Prophet that it is a mountain of fire. Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī said that Wayl is a valley in Hell situated between two mountains whose depth is a fall of forty years. Sufyān and ‘Atāʿ ibn Yasār said that Wayl is a valley in Hellfire through which flows the pus of the people of the Fire. It is said to be a cistern in the Fire. Az-Zahrāwī said that others said that it is a gate of Hell. Ibn ‘Abbās said that it is harsh punishment. Al-Khalīl says that it is intense evil. Al-ʿAṣmaʿī said that it is being in distress and seeking mercy. Sībuwayh said that ‘wayl’ is used for someone who has fallen into destruction and ‘wayḥ’ seeks to restrain someone on the verge of destruction. Ibn ‘Arafah said that ‘wayl’ is sorrow. It is also said to be extreme sorrow and the expression is used in times of great sorrow and distress. Its root is said to be that destruction which invites woe. Al-Farrāʾ said that its root is ‘way’, meaning sorrow.

to those who write the Book

The first to write with the pen was the Prophet Idrīs, as we read in the hadith of Abū Dharr, transmitted by al-Ājurrī. It is said that Ādam was given writing and his descendants inherited it.

with their own hands.
This is for emphasis because it is well known that writing is done with the hands. This kind of linguistic usage is often used for stress in the Qur’an. The fact that they wrote it also indicates that it was not revealed to them, but they are the ones who fabricated it. It came from them, even if they did not physically write it.

This āyah and the one before it warn against making any alterations or changes or additions to the Shari‘ah. Anyone who alters, changes or innovates something in the din of Allah, which is not in it and not permitted in it, is subject to this terrible threat and painful punishment. The Messenger of Allah ☪ cautioned his community about what he knew would occur at the end of time. He said, ‘Those of the People of the Book before you divided into seventy-two sects and this community will divide into seventy-three, all of whom will be in the Fire except for one.’ He cautioned them against originating something from themselves in the din which is contrary to the Book of Allah, the Sunnah or the sunnah of the Companions by which they misguide people. What he cautioned about has, in fact, occurred and become widespread. We belong to Allah and to Him we return.

to sell it for a paltry price.

It is paltry because it will inevitably disappear and have no permanence, or because it is unlawful as there is no blessing in anything unlawful and it does not grow in the sight of Allah.

80 They say, ‘The Fire will only touch us for a number of days.’ Say, ‘Have you made a contract with Allah — then Allah will not break His contract — or are you rather saying about Allah what you do not know?’

They say, ‘The Fire will only touch us for a number of days,’

As was mentioned above ‘They’ in this āyah refers to the Jews.
There is disagreement about the reason for its revelation. It is said that the Prophet ﷺ asked the Jews, ‘Who are the people of the Fire?’ They replied, ‘We are. Then you will follow us.’ He said, ‘You lie. You know that we will not follow you,’ and this was revealed. Ibn Zayd said that. ‘Ikrimah said that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah ﷺ came to Madīnah, the Jews were saying, “The duration of this world is seven thousand [years]. People will be punished in the Fire for one day of the days of the Next World for each thousand years of the days of this world. That is seven days.” Then Allah revealed this āyah.’ This is what Mujāhid said. Others said that the Jews said that the Torah says that Hellfire is a distance of forty years across. They will cross a year’s length every day until they have gone right across and then leave Hellfire. Aṣāl Ḫāḥāk related that from Ibn ‘Abbās. Ibn ‘Abbās said that the Jews claim that they found written in the Torah that there is a length of forty years between the sides of Hell until they reach the tree of Zaqqūm. They said, ‘We will be punished until we reach the Tree of Zaqqūm and then Hell will depart and be destroyed.’ Ibn ‘Abbās and Qatādah said, ‘The Jews said, “Allah swore that they would only enter the Fire for forty days, the number of days that the Calf was worshipped.”’ Allah said that they were lying.’

This āyah refutes Abū Hanīfah and his people in their conclusion that the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Leave the prayer during the days of your menstruation’ is evidence that the period of menstruation is what can be called ‘the days of menstruation’. For this reason they say that the minimum time for a menstrual period is three days and its maximum ten days because, for any period less than three days, the singular or dual is used and for numbers more than ten the singular is also used and not the plural. The reply to this is that Allah uses the plural ‘days’ in other ways than this way, as when He says about fasting that is for ‘known days’ (2:184) and in that case it is known to mean the entire month of Ramadan and here ‘The Fire will
only touch us for a number of days’ (3:24) means forty days.

Have you made a contract with Allah?

Have you sent ahead good deeds, in that you believed and obeyed Allah, so that it would be obliged for you to come out of the Fire? Or do you know that by His Revelation which He entrusted to you? He ends by rebuking them.

81 No indeed! Those who accumulate bad actions and are surrounded by their mistakes, such people are the Companions of the Fire, remaining in it timelessly, for ever; 82 whereas those who believe and do right actions, such people are the Companions of the Garden, remaining in it timelessly, for ever.

The matter is not as they claim. This āyah is a clear refutation of the claim the Jews make. The bad actions referred to are said to be shirk. Ibn Jurayj said, ‘I asked ‘Aṭā’ about the expression “accumulate bad actions” and he said, “It means shirk,” and recited “Those who perform bad actions will be flung head-first into the Fire.” (27:90)’ Al-Ḥasan and Qatādah said that it means all major wrong actions.

This āyah is also important because it indicates that there are two preconditions for salvation and that both are necessary: faith and right action. It is similar to what Allah says: ‘Those who say, ‘Our Lord is Allah,’ and then go straight.’ (41:30) When Sufyān ibn ‘Abdullāh ath-Thaqafī asked the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Messenger of Allah, tell me something about Islam which will preclude my having to ask anyone after you,’ the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Say, “I have believed in Allah,” and go straight.’ Muslim transmitted it. This was discussed in āyah 35. Nāfi‘ recited ‘mistakes’ in the plural while the rest have it in the
83 Remember when We made a covenant with the tribe of Israel: ‘Worship none but Allah and be good to your parents and to relatives and orphans and the very poor. And speak good words to people. And establish the prayer and pay zakāt.’ But then you turned away – except a few of you – you turned aside.

Remember when We made a covenant with the tribe of Israel: ‘Worship none but Allah

There is disagreement about the covenant referred to here. Makkī said that it is the covenant which they made when they were brought out from the loins of Ādam (cf. 7:172), and it is also said that it was the covenant on the tongues of their Prophet, while they were rational and alive, that they would worship none but Allah. Worship entails affirming the Unity of Allah, confirming His Messenger and implementing what is revealed in His Books.

‘Worship’ was recited in the imperative by Ubayy and Ibn Mas‘ūd. The reading of Ibn Kathīr, Ḥamzah and al-Kisā‘ī recited it with yā‘: ‘they worship’. Al-Farrā’, az-Zajjāj and a group said, ‘It means that Allah’s contract with them was conditional on their not worshipping anything other than Allah, being good to their parents, and not shedding blood.’

and be good to your parents

Allah connects being good to one‘s parents with tawḥīd because the first development in the womb comes from Allah, and the second, which involves upbringing and teaching, is in the hands of one‘s parents. That is why Allah Almighty enjoined thankfulness to them,
as in His words: ‘Give thanks to Me and to your parents.’ (31:14) Being good to parents implies keeping their company correctly and courteously, being humble to them, obeying them, praying for forgiveness for them, and maintaining ties with the people they love.

**and to relatives**

They are commanded to be good to their relatives by maintaining ties with them and giving them gifts.

**and orphans**

*Yatāmā* (orphans) is the plural of *yatīm*. An orphan is a child without a father. In animals, an orphan is one without a mother. Al-Māwardī said that the term ‘orphan’ can be used of a human child without a mother, but the first is what is well known. The root meaning is to be alone. An orphan child is without his father. An ‘orphan’ in poetry is a verse which has no line before or after it. An ‘orphan pearl’ is a peerless one. This āyah commands people to be kind to orphans and encourages caring for them and protecting their property, which will be explained in *an-Nisā*’. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘I and an orphan’s guardian, whether he is a relative or a non-relative, will be like these two in the Garden,’ and the transmitter, Mālik ibn Anas, indicated his index and middle fingers. Abū Hurayrah related it and Muslim transmitted it. Abū Muḥammad ʿAbd al-Ghanī ibn Saʿīd transmitted from al-Ḥasan ibn Dīnār from Abū Saʿīd al-BAṣrī, who is al-Ḥasan ibn Wāṣil, from al-Aswad ibn ʿAbd ar-Raḥmān from Hişṣān that Abū Mūsā al-Ashʿarī reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When an orphan sits with some people at their table, Shayṭān does not come near it.’ He also transmitted from Ḥusayn ibn Qays, who is Abū ʿAlī ar-Raḥābī, from ʿIkrimah that Ibn ʿAbbās reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘If someone includes a Muslim orphan at his table until Allah enriches him, he will be forgiven his wrong actions entirely unless he does something which is unforgivable. And if Allah takes away the two things a person loves most and he remains steadfast and in
expectation of the reward, he will be forgiven his wrong actions entirely.’ They asked, ‘What are the two things he loves most?’ He replied, ‘His two eyes,’ and then continued, ‘and whoever has three daughters or three sisters and supports them and is good to them until they marry or die all his wrong actions will be forgiven unless he does something which is unforgivable.’ A bedouin man who had emigrated called out, ‘Messenger of Allah, or two?’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Or two.’ Ibn Abbās said that it is a gharīb hadith.

The index finger (sabbābah) is the one that is next to the thumb. It was called ‘sabbābah’ in the Jāhiliyyah they used it when insulting someone. When Allah brought Islam, they disliked this name and called it ‘mushirah’ (indicator) because they used it to indicate Allah in tawḥīd. It is also called ‘sabbāḥah’ (swimmer) in some hadiths. Eventually the Jāhiliyyah term dominated. It is related that the index finger of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was longer than his middle finger and the ring finger was shorter than the middle finger. Yazīd ibn Hārūn related from ‘Abdullāh ibn Miqṣam aṭ-Ṭā’ifi that his aunt, Sārah bint Miqṣam, heard Maymūnah bint Kardam say, ‘I went out on the ḥajj that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ performed and I saw the Messenger of Allah ﷺ on his camel and my father asked him some questions. He saw me and I, a young girl, was surprised at how much longer his finger next to the thumb was than the rest of his fingers.’ He ﷺ said, ‘He and I will be like these two in the Garden.’ Hegalso said, ‘I, Abū Bakr and ‘Umar will be gathered on the Day of Rising like this,’ and he pointed with three fingers.

**and the very poor**

It means: ‘We commanded them to be good to the very poor.’ They are those who are in need and those who are destitute. This contains encouragement to give ṣadaqah and solace to people in need, and to keep an eye on the conditions of the poor and weak. Muslim reported from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Someone who strives on behalf of widows and the poor is like
someone who fights in the way of Allah and like someone who continually stands at night in prayer and like someone who continually fasts.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘Ṭāwus thought that looking after sisters was better than doing jihād in the Cause of Allah.’

and speak good words to people.

The sentence implies: ‘Speak words which contain good for people’ since ḥusn (good) is a verbal noun. Ḥamzah and al-Kisāʿī recited ḥasan. Al-Akhfash said that they mean the same. Ibn ‘Abbās said that it means, ‘Say to them: “There is no god but Allah” and instruct them to say it.’ Ibn Jurayj said: ‘Speak the truth to people about Muḥammad ﷺ and do not alter his description.’ Sufyān ath-Thawrī said: ‘Command them to what is known to be right and forbid them what is recognised as wrong.’ Abu-l-ʿĀliyah said that it means: ‘Speak good words to them and excuse them as you yourself would want to be excused.’

All of this encourages noble character. A person should be gentle when he speaks to people and maintain a cheerful face with both the pious and the impious, the one who holds to the Sunnah and even to the innovator, provided you do not flatter him or say anything which might appear to approve of his position. Allah Almighty said to Mūṣā and Hārūn, ‘Speak to him with gentle words.’ (20:44) No speakers could be better than Mūṣā and Hārūn and no one impious could be worse than Pharaoh, and yet Allah commanded them to be gentle with him. Ṭalḥah ibn ʿUmar said, ‘I said to ‘Aṭā’, “You are a man with whom people from different sects meet and I am a man who tends to be sharp. I tell them some harsh home truths.” He replied, “Do not do that! Allah says: ‘Speak good words to people.’ The Jews and Christians are included in this āyah, so what about others?”’ It is related that the Prophet ﷺ told ‘A’ishah, ‘Do not use obscenities. If obscenity had been a man, it would have been a bad man.’

It is said that an-nās (people) in this āyah refers to Muḥammad ﷺ as Allah says: ‘Or do they in fact envy people for the bounty Allah has granted
them.’” (4:54) So it would mean: ‘Speak good words to the Prophet.’

Al-Mahdawī related that Qatādah said that this verse was abrogated by the Āyah of the Sword. Ibn ‘Abbās is reported as saying, ‘This āyah was revealed at the beginning and then abrogated by the Āyah of the Sword.’ Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘This indicates that our Community was instructed to act like this at the beginning of Islam, but abrogated in respect of the tribe of Israel. Allah knows best.’

**and establish the prayer and pay zakāt**

This is directed to the tribe of Israel. Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘Their zakāt used to be burned: a fire would descend and burn what was accepted and leave what was not accepted. So it was not like the zakāt of the nation of Muḥammad ﷺ.’

**But then you turned away – except a few of you – you turned aside.**

This is addressed to the Jews who were the contemporaries of Muḥammad ﷺ. The turning away done by their ancestors is ascribed to them since they also continued to do the same thing. The few who did not were men like ‘Abdullāh ibn Sallām, who became Muslim. It is said that tawallaytum (turning away) is with the body and muʿridūn (turning aside) is with the heart.

وإذ أخذنا ميثاقك لا نسفك مثلما كانت ولا نغسليف أنفسكمن
دلبك ضم أقررت وآلم تحتدون

84 And when We made a covenant with you not to shed your own blood and not to expel yourselves from your homes, you agreed and were all witnesses.

It is the tribe of Israel which is being addressed here, but the meaning includes those after them. Safk (shedding) is pouring out. The word for self (nafs) is derived from nafāsah (preciousness), so the self of a person is the dearest thing he has. They agreed to this covenant which was made with them and their ancestors. Their hearts were witnesses to this covenant. Witnessing can also mean being present, so they witnessed the bloodshed and expulsion.
The Jews were a single religious community and so their affairs were unified and they were like a single individual. Therefore one of them killing another was like him killing himself. It is said that retaliation is meant here, commanding them not to kill someone so that they are killed in retaliation, so that it is as if they were shedding their own blood. The same applies to committing fornication or apostasy which would also entail their own deaths. Allah made a covenant with the tribe of Israel in the Torah not to kill each other or expel anyone or enslave him or let him be robbed and other such things. This was all forbidden to us as well but all those things occur in the trials we are experiencing. ‘We belong to Allah and to Him we return!’ The Revelation says: ‘Or to confine you into sects and make you taste one another’s violence.’ (6:65).

Ibn Khuwayzimdād said that the meaning may be literal, commanding them not to commit suicide nor to be expelled from their houses through their own foolishness, nor for a man to kill himself through overwork or self-imposed affliction, nor to wander in the desert without seeking shelter in people’s houses out of ignorance of religion and foolishness. It can be taken to apply to all those things. It is reported that ‘Uthmān ibn Maz‘ūn gave his allegiance along with ten others of the Prophet’s Companions. They decided to wear ragged garments, wander in the desert, not take refuge in houses, or eat meat or go near women. The Prophet heard about that and went to ‘Uthmān’s house but did not find him there. He asked his wife, ‘What is this that we have heard about ‘Uthmān?’ She disliked to disclose her husband’s secrets or to lie to the Prophet, so she said, ‘Messenger of Allah, if you have heard something, it is as you have heard it.’ He said, ‘Say to ‘Uthmān from me: “Are you opposed to my Sunnah or following a religion other than mine? I pray and sleep, fast and break the fast, have intercourse with women, resort to houses and eat meat. Anyone who dislikes my Sunnah is not with me.”’ So ‘Uthmān and his companions stopped
85 Then you are the people who are killing yourselves and expelling a group of you from their homes, ganging up against them in wrongdoing and enmity. Yet if they are brought to you as captives, you ransom them, when it was forbidden for you to expel them in the first place! Do you then, believe in one part of the Book and reject another? What repayment will there be for any of you who do that except disgrace in this world and on the Day of Rising, they will be returned to the harshest of punishments. Allah is not unaware of what they do.

86 Those are the people who trade the Next World for this world. The punishment will not be lightened for them. They will not be helped.

This āyah is addressed to some of the Jews who had attacked other Jews. It was revealed about the Jewish tribes of Qaynuqā’ and an-Naḍīr. Qaynuqā’ were the enemies of the Jewish tribe of Qurayẓah, and the Arab tribe of Aws were the allies of Qaynuqā’ and the Arab tribe of Khazraj were the allies of Qurayẓah. So an-Naḍīr, Aws and Khazraj formed a brotherhood and Qurayẓah and an-Naḍīr also formed a brotherhood. Then they split up and fought. When the war ended, they ransomed their captives and that is what Allah is
censuring here.

**ganging up against them in wrongdoing and enmity.**

*Tazzāharūna* (ganging up) means helping each other in wrongdoing. It is derived from the word *ẓahr* (back) because they strengthened one another like the back strengthens a person. *Ithm* (wrongdoing) is an action for which the one who does it deserves to be blamed. ‘Enmity’ (*udwān*) is excess in injustice and overstepping the limits in it. The Madinans and Makkans read ‘ganging up’ as ‘*tazzāharūna*’, from *tataẓāhrūna* and the *tā* is elided into the *ẓā*, doubling it, while the Kufans read it as ‘*taẓāharūna*’ without the *shaddah*.

Yet if they are brought to you as captives, you ransom them, when it was forbidden for you to expel them in the first place!

The word for ‘captive’ (*asīr*) is derived from *isār* which is the leather thong by which a litter is secured and held in place. It is used for someone who is captured, whether or not he is physically bound. The majority recite *usārā* in the plural except for Ḥamzah who recites *asrā*. In the case of ‘ransom’, Nāfi‘, Ḥamzah and al-Kisā‘ī recite *tufādūhum* while the rest recite *tafdūhu*. It is derived from *fidyah*, which is demanding a ransom for captives.

Our scholars say that there are four clauses in the covenant: not to kill, not to expel, not to gang up against people, and the command to ransom captives. They turned away from all that they agreed to except for ransoming and so Allah rebuked them for that. That is why He asks: *Do you, then, believe in one part of the Book, ’ referring to the Torah, ‘and reject another?’* (2:85)

By Allah, we ourselves, the Muslims, have turned from all good in the course of the troubles that have beset us and we too gang up against one another. Would that it was with other Muslims but in many cases it is with unbelievers! We leave our brothers in abasement and degradation under the rule of the idolaters when it is an obligation for all Muslims to fight to free them. There is no power or strength except by Allah, the Immense!
Our scholars say that it is obligatory to ransom captives even if not a single dirham is left. Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘This āyah contains the obligation to ransom captives. Confirming that are reports that the Prophet ﷺ ransomed captives and commanded that they be ransomed. That was what the Muslims did and there is a consensus regarding it.’ It is obliged to ransom captives using money from the Treasury. If that is not possible, then it is a general obligation for the Muslims. When one of them does it, the obligation is cancelled for the rest.

87 We gave Mūsā the Book and sent a succession of Messengers after him. We gave ‘Īsā, son of Maryam, the Clear Signs and reinforced him with the Purest Rūḥ. Why then, whenever a Messenger came to you with something your lower selves did not desire, did you grow arrogant, and deny some of them and murder others?

We gave Mūsā the Book and sent a succession of Messengers after him.

The Book here is the Torah. The word used for ‘sent a succession’ is derived from qafā, which means to come second, following in the tracks of the one that comes first, and, in this way, comes to mean following after. Every Messenger who came after Mūsā came affirming the Torah and the binding command up until ‘Īsā.

We gave ‘Īsā, son of Maryam, the Clear Signs and reinforced him with the Purest Rūḥ.

‘The Clear Signs’ are proofs and evidence. ‘Reinforce’ is to strengthen. The ‘Purest Rūḥ’ is said by Ibn ‘Abbās and Qatādah to be Jibrīl. Ḥassān said:
Jibrīl is Allah’s Messenger to us and the Purest Rūḥ. It is not concealed.

An-Naḥḥās said that Jibrīl is called a rūḥ, and purity is attributed to him, because Allah formed him as a rūḥ without any parent, which is why ‘Īsā is also called a rūḥ. Ghālib ibn ‘Abdullāh related that Mujāhid said, ‘The Pure is Allah Almighty, as al-Ḥasan said, and the Rūḥ is Jibrīl.’ Abū Rawq related from aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Purest Rūḥ is the name by which ‘Īsā brought the dead to life.’ Saʻīd ibn Jubayr and ‘Ubayd ibn ‘Umayr said that. It is the Greatest Name of Allah. It is said that what is meant is the Gospel which is here called rūḥ in the same way that Allah calls the Qur’an a rūḥ in His words: ‘We have revealed to you a Rūḥ by Our command.’ (42:52) The first is more likely, and Allah knows best.

Why then, whenever a Messenger came to you with something your lower selves did not desire, did you grow arrogant!

‘Why did you refuse to respond out of disdain for the Messengers and thinking the Message unlikely?’ The root of hawā (desire) means ‘to incline to something’. The plural is ahwiyāh. Al-Jawharī said that it is called that because of the fact that it makes a person incline to the Fire and therefore it is only used for what is not true and has no good in it. This āyah shows that aspect of it but it can, in fact, also be used in a good sense, as we find in various hadiths.

deny some of them and murder others?

Among those they denied were ‘Īsā and Muḥammad and among those they killed were Yaḥyā and Zakariyā.

88 They say, ‘Our hearts are uncircumcised.’ Rather, Allah has cursed them for their disbelief. What little belief they have!

They say, ‘Our hearts are uncircumcised.’
‘They’ are the Jews again. ‘Uncircumcised’ means that they have a covering over them. It is similar to Allah’s words: ‘Our hearts are covered up against what you call us to.’ (41:5) ‘Ikrimah said that there was a stamp on them. Linguists use this term for the sheathing of a sword. It means that their hearts are veiled from understanding and discrimination. Ibn ‘Abbās said that the meaning is: ‘Our hearts are filled with knowledge and we have no need of the knowledge of Muḥammad ﷺ or anyone else.’ It is said that ‘ghulf’ is the plural of ghilāf.

Rather, Allah has cursed them for their disbelief.

Allah refutes them. Allah makes it clear that the reason for their aversion to faith is that they have been cursed for their disbelief and defiance in earlier times. This is repaying wrong action with something worse than it. The root of ‘la‘n’ (curse) has the meaning of ‘driving away and putting far away’. ‘La‘m’ is used for a man who is an outcast and is also used for a wolf. This means that Allah has put them far from His mercy, or from His success and guidance, or from every good.

What little belief they have!

It is said that this can also mean, ‘They believe only a little of what they have and reject most of it.’ Al-Wāqidī said, ‘They do not believe, whether a little or a lot.’

89 When a Book does come to them from Allah, confirming what is with them – even though before that they were praying for victory over the unbelievers – yet when what they recognise does come to them, they reject it. Allah’s curse is on the unbelievers.

‘Them’ means the Jews, and the ‘Book’ here is the Qur’ān, while
‘what is with them’ refers to the Torah and Gospel. The word for ‘praying for victory’ here (yastaftiḥūn) comes from the root fataḥa and implies the opening of something which is locked, and is used, for instance, for the opening of a door. An-Nasāʾī reports that Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī said that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Allah gave victory to the community because of its weak members by means of their supplication, prayer and sincerity.’ An-Nasāʾī also reports from Abu-d-Dardā’ that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Help me in seeking out the weak. They are supported. You are provided for on account of the weak among you.’ Ibn ‘Abbās reported that the Jews of Khaybar fought the tribe of Ghaṭafān and were defeated and so the Jews used this supplication, saying, ‘We ask You by the right of the unlettered Prophet whom You promised to send us at the end of time to make us victorious against them.’ They then defeated the tribe of Ghaṭafān. But when the Prophet was indeed sent, they rejected him and so Allah revealed this.

90 What an evil thing they have sold themselves for in rejecting what Allah has sent down, outraged that Allah should send down His favour on whichever of His slaves He wills. They have brought down anger upon anger on themselves. The unbelievers will have a humiliating punishment.

Outraged that Allah should send down His favour

The word ‘bi’s’ in Arabic conveys full censure as ‘niʿm’ conveys full praise. The word ‘baghyan’ (outraged) refers to their envy according to Qatādah and as-Suddī. Al-Asmāʾī said the same verb is used when a wound putrefies. It is said that its root meaning is connected with selling something, which is why a prostitute is called baghī. They
were outraged that Allah sent down His favour on His Prophet 🙏. Instead of ‘yunazilu’ (send down), Ibn Kathīr, Abū ‘Amr, Ya‘qūb and Ibn Muḥayṣīn recite ‘yunzilu’ (send down).

They have brought down anger upon anger on themselves.

The ‘anger’ referred to here is Divine punishment. It is said that the first anger is on account of their worship of the Calf and the second on account of their rejection of Muḥammad 🙏. Ibn ‘Abbās said that. ‘Ikrimah said that it is because the Jews first rejected Īsā and then rejected Muḥammad. Qatādah also said that their first disbelief was by rejecting the Gospel and the second was by rejecting the Qur’an. Some people said that the word is repeated twice to stress the severity of what will happen to them.

The unbelievers will have a humiliating punishment.

The word muḥīn (humiliating) is only used when referring to the punishment of unbelievers. The punishments which befall rebellious Muslims in this world and the Next are a purification for them.

91 When they are told, ‘Believe in what Allah has sent down,’ they say, ‘We believe in what was sent down to us,’ and they reject anything beyond that, even though it is the truth, confirming what they have. Say, ‘Why then, if you are believers, did you previously kill the Prophets of Allah?’

When they are told, ‘Believe in what Allah has sent down,’ they say, ‘We believe in what was sent down to us,’

‘What Allah has sent down’ refers here to the Qur’an. What was sent down to them was the Torah. Al-Farrā’ said that they reject anything other than it. Qatādah said that they reject anything after it as Abū ‘Ubayd said. The meaning is the same. Al-Jawharī said
that \textit{warā} means ‘after’. The word can also mean ‘before’, being one of the words that can have opposite meanings.

\textbf{Why then, if you are believers, did you previously kill the Prophets of Allah?}

Allah refutes their claim that they believe in what was revealed to them. He says that they are lying and rebukes them by asking them why, in that case, did they kill the Prophets when that was forbidden in their Book? It is addressed to those Jews who were present with Muhammad  while their ancestors are meant. It is said to their descendants because they have continued on the same path.

\begin{quote}
92 Mūsā brought you the Clear Signs; then, after he left, you adopted the Calf and were wrongdoers.

Reference to ‘the Clear Signs’ of Mūsā can be found in Allah’s words: ‘\textit{We gave Mūsā seven clear signs.}’ (17:101) They were: the staff, the drought, the white hand, the blood, the plague, the locusts, the lice, the frogs and the splitting of the sea. It is said that the expression ‘Clear Signs’ refers to the Torah and the evidence it contains. The use of the word ‘then’ indicates that they did this after receiving the Signs, which makes their wrong action all the worse.
\end{quote}

\begin{quote}
93 Remember when We made a covenant with you and lifted up the Mount above your heads: ‘Take hold vigorously of what We have given you and listen.’ They said, ‘We hear and disobey.’ They were made to drink the Calf into their hearts because of their disbelief. Say,
\end{quote}
‘If you are believers, what an evil thing your faith has made you do.’

The first part of this āyah has been discussed previously in the commentary on 2:63 above.

They said, ‘We hear and disobey.’

There is disagreement about whether this was the actual words they used or whether they did an action which took the place of the words, and so it was metaphorical.

They were made to drink the Calf into their hearts because of their disbelief.

In other words love of the Golden Calf penetrated them. It means that their hearts imbibed it. It is a metaphor because the whole matter of the Calf had become firm in their hearts. We read in a hadith, ‘Seditions will be presented to the hearts like a mat, reed by reed. A black spot is formed in the heart of anyone who is made to drink them.’ Muslim transmitted it. Their love of the Calf is referred to as drinking rather than eating because drinking something makes it penetrate right through the whole of the body.

As-Suddī and Ibn Jurayj said that Mūsā filed down the Calf and put it in water and told the tribe of Israel to drink that water. They all drank it and those who loved the Calf had gold filings on their lips. His scattering into the sea is indicated by His words: ‘Then we will scatter it as dust into the sea.’ (20:97) Their drinking it and its showing on their lips is refuted by His words: ‘They were made to drink the Calf into their hearts.’

Say, ‘If you are believers, what an evil thing your faith has made you do.’

The faith referred to is that which they claimed by their words, ‘We believe in what was sent down to us.’ It is said that these words were addressed to the Prophet ﷺ and he was commanded to rebuke them. So it means, ‘Muḥammad, tell them that what they were doing is evil.’
94 Say, ‘If the abode of the Next World with Allah is for you alone, to the exclusion of all others, then long for death if you are telling the truth.

95 But they will never ever long for it because of what they have done. Allah knows the wrongdoers.

When the Jews made their various false claims, as Allah reports from them in His Book, such as: ‘The Fire will only touch us for a number of days’ (2:80), and ‘No one will enter the Garden except for Jews and Christians’ (2:111), Allah told His Prophet ﷺ what to say to them. They were told to wish for death, because if someone really believes that he is one of the people of the Garden he will prefer death to life in this world, since he will obtain the bliss of the Garden and the difficulties of this world will be removed from him by it. But they shrank from doing that, out of fear of Allah, because of the terrible things they had done, thus demonstrating that they did not really believe what they said and indicating their greed for this world. If they had truly longed for death they would have died, as is related from the Prophet ﷺ when he said, ‘If the Jews had really longed for death, they would have died and seen their place in the Fire.’ It is said that Allah kept them from longing for it so as to make that a Sign for His Prophet ﷺ. These are all aspects of the fact that they did not long for death as they claimed. It is related by ‘Ikrimah from Ibn ‘Abbās that what is meant is: ‘Wish for death for the party which is lying: you or us.’ They did not do so because they knew that they were lying.

The observation may be made that longing can be expressed with the tongue or with the heart, and so how is it known that they did
not wish for it in their hearts? The reply to that is that the Qur’an stated that when Allah says: ‘they will never ever long for it.’ If they had wished for it in their hearts, it would have appeared on their tongues so that they could refute the Prophet ☦️ and invalidate his argument. This is clear.

96 Rather you will find them the people greediest for life, along with the idolaters. One of them would love to be allowed to live a thousand years. But being allowed to live would not save him from the punishment. Allah sees what they do.

It is said that this refers to the Jews and it is said that the words about them stop at ‘life’. The Arab idolaters only knew this life and had no knowledge of the Hereafter. So then what is referred to is another group of idolaters, namely the Magians. That is clear in that they say to someone who sneezes, ‘Live a thousand years!’ They believed that to be the extent that their reckoning would last. Al-Ḥasan believes that it refers to the Arab idolaters because they did not believe in the resurrection after death and hoped for a long life.

Allah sees what they do.

Allah sees those who wish to live a thousand years. ‘Ya’malūn’ (they do) is also read ‘t’a’malūn’ (you do). Those who recite the second say that it implies: ‘Tell them, Muḥammad, that Allah sees what they do.’ Scholars say that Allah describes Himself as knowing hidden matters. The word ‘baṣīr’ (see) in Arabic also means ‘to know something’ and be aware of it. You could use it for someone who has knowledge of medicine (baṣīr bi ṭibb) or fiqh. Al-Khaṭṭābī said that Allah knows and sees. It is also said that the phrase means that Allah makes the things we do visible by creating the faculty of seeing and
perception, so Allah gives His servant sight.

97 Say, ‘Anyone who is the enemy of Jibrīl should know that it was he who brought it down upon your heart, by Allah’s authority, confirming what came before, and as guidance and good news for the believers.

The reason for the revelation of this āyah is that the Jews said to the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Every Prophet has one of the angels bring him the Message and Revelation from His Lord. Who is your companion so that we might follow you?’ ‘Jibrīl,’ he replied. They said. ‘That is the one who brings war and fighting. He is our enemy! If you had said Mīkā‘īl, who brings down the rain and mercy, we would have followed you.’ So Allah revealed this. At-Tirmidhī transmitted it. There are two possibilities for the second pronoun ‘it’ which might also be read as ‘he’. It might possibly mean: ‘Allah sent down Jibrīl to your heart’ or, more likely, ‘Jibrīl brought down the Qur‘ān to your heart.’ The heart is mentioned because it is the locus of the intellect and knowledge and accepts gnosis. The āyah indicates the nobility of Jibrīl and censures his enemies. ‘Allah’s authority’ is His will and knowledge. ‘What came before’ is the Torah.

98 Anyone who is the enemy of Allah and of His angels, and of His Messengers and of Jibrīl and Mīkā‘īl, should know that Allah is the enemy of the unbelievers.’

This is a threat and rebuke to the enemies of Jibrīl and is an announcement that enmity towards some results in Allah’s enmity towards those who show it. The enmity of the slave of Allah is shown by his disobedience to Allah, his failure to obey Him, and enmity
towards His friends. Allah’s enmity to the slave is shown by punishing him and by the effects of enmity on him.

If it is asked why Allah singled out Jibrīl and Mīkā’īl for mention when they have already been included under the general category of ‘angels’, the reply is that they are singled out for mention in order to honour them. It is also said that they are singled out because the Jews had mentioned the two of them and they were the cause of the āyah being revealed.

Various things are said by linguists about these two names. The forms given for Jibrīl’s name are: Jibrīl, which is the Ḥijāzī form, Jabrā’īl, Jabrā’il, Jibrīyīl, Jabrīn, Jibrīn. The forms for Mīkā’īl are: Mīkā’īl (as Nāfī’ has it), Mīkā’il, Mīkāl (Ḥijāzī), Mīk’il, Mīkāyīl, and Mīkā’al.

Ibn ‘Abbās says that jabr means ‘slave’ and mīkā means ‘owned’. Īl is the Name of Allah, thus both names mean ‘slave of Allah’.

99 We have sent down Clear Signs to you and no one rejects them except the deviators.

Ibn ‘Abbās said that this is the reply to Ibn Ṣūriyā when he said to the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Muḥammad, you have not brought us anything which accords with our knowledge and no clear sign has been sent down to you for us to follow.’ Then this āyah was revealed.

100 Why is it that, whenever they make a contract, a group of them disdainfully tosses it aside? No indeed!

Most of them do not believe.

The Jews had made a contract that they would believe in Muḥammad when he was sent and would side with him against the Arab idolaters. Then when he was sent, they rejected him. ‘Aṭā’ says
that the āyah refers to the agreements which the Prophet ﷺ made with the Jews which they subsequently broke.

101 When a Messenger comes to them from Allah confirming what is with them, a group of those who have been given the Book disdainfully toss the Book of Allah behind their backs, just as if they did not know.

They tossed it behind them by rejecting the Messenger. As-Suddī said, ‘They cast aside the Torah and adopted the book of Āṣaf and the magic of Hārūt and Mārūt.’ It is said that it can refer to the Qur’an. Ash-Sha’bī said that they used to read it but rejected acting by it. Sufyān ibn ‘Uyaynah said, ‘They covered the Book in silk and brocade and adorned it with gold and silver but did not make lawful what it made lawful or unlawful what it made unlawful.’

102 They follow what the shayṭāns recited in the reign of Sulaymān. Sulaymān did not disbelieve, but the shayṭāns did, teaching people sorcery and what had been sent down to Hārūt and Mārūt, the two angels in Babylon, who taught no one without first saying to him, ‘We are
merely a trial and temptation, so do not disbelieve.’ People learned from them how to separate a man and his wife but they cannot harm anyone by it, except with Allah’s permission. They have learned what will harm them and will not benefit them. They know that any who deal in it will have no share in the Next World. What an evil thing they have sold themselves for if they only knew!

They follow what the shayṭāns recited in the reign of Sulaymān.

Allah here reports about the group who discarded the Book by pursuing magic. They were the Jews. As-Suddī said, ‘The Jews opposed Muhammad but the Torah and the Qur’an spoke in one voice, so they discarded the Torah and adopted the book of Āsaf and the magic of Hārūt and Mārūt.’

Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah mentioned Sulaymān as one of the Messengers, some of the rabbis said, “Muḥammad claims that the son of Dāwūd was a Prophet! By Allah, he was nothing but a sorcerer!” Then Allah revealed: “Sulaymān did not disbelieve, but the shayṭāns did.” This means that the rabbis told people that what Sulaymān did with respect to riding the seas and subjugating the birds and jinn was magic.’

Al-Kalbī said, ‘The shayṭāns wrote magic and necromancy as dictated by Āsaf, the scribe of Sulaymān during the time when Allah had removed his kingdom from him, and they buried what they wrote in the place where Sulaymān prayed. Sulaymān was not aware of this. When Sulaymān died, they unearthed the books and told people, “He ruled you by this, so learn it.” The scholars of the tribe of Israel said, “We seek refuge with Allah from this being the knowledge of Sulaymān!” whereas the fools accepted that it was and learned it and discarded the books of their Prophets until Muḥammad was sent. Then Allah revealed Sulaymān’s innocence of the accusation they made.’
‘Aṭā’ said that ‘ittaba‘ū’ (follow) in this āyah means ‘read’ while Ibn ‘Abbās says that it actually means ‘follow’. At-Ṭabarī said that it means ‘prefer’ since they preferred it to other things.

‘In the reign’ (mulk) means during the time of his Sharī‘ah and Prophethood. Az-Zajjāj said that al-Farrā’ said that it means during the time of his reign. It is said that it means during his kingship and so it is about his stories, qualities and reports. The shayṭāns here are said to be shayṭāns of the jinn. When shayṭān is used for a human being, it refers to someone who is obdurate in misguidance.

**Sulaymān did not disbelieve but the shayṭāns did,**

This declares his innocence. Although they did not explicitly accuse him of disbelief, the Jews accused him of magic and, since magic entails disbelief, they were, in fact, ascribing disbelief to him. The disbelief of the shayṭāns is established because they taught magic.

**teaching people sorcery**

The root meaning of ‘siḥr’ (sorcery) is distortion and producing illusions. It happens when a sorcerer does something and the person under the spell imagines something to be different from what it is. This resembles a mirage which someone sees and imagines to be water or someone on a ship who imagines that the trees and mountains are travelling with him. It is said to be derived from diverting a child when he is tricked. Allah says: ‘You are merely someone bewitched (musahḥharīn)’ (26:153), i.e. shaped with magic. It is said that the root of siḥr is ‘concealment’, because a sorcerer does it in concealment. It is also said that its root is ‘diversion’, since it diverts a person from what is really happening. Its root is also said to be ‘enticement’ and all that entices and bewitches you. Al-Jawharī said that spells and charms and anything whose means are subtle in that way can be called sorcery.

There is disagreement about whether it is real or not. The Mu‘tazilites say that it is simply deceit and has no basis in reality. Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that it is whispering and illness. He said, ‘We think
that its function is talismanic and is based on the effect of the particular qualities of the stars or by the shayṭāns making things easy which were difficult.’

We believe that it is true and that it has a reality and that Allah creates through it whatever He wishes, as will be explained. There is also a kind of magic which is merely sleight of hand and legerdemain. Another aspect is spells. Another aspect is words which are memorised and talismans which use the Names of Allah. That may also be part of the work of shayṭāns, or medicines and fumes and other things.

The Messenger of Allah ☪ called eloquence in speech sorcery. He said, ‘Some kinds of eloquence are sorcery.’ Mālik and others transmitted it. That is because they make the false seem credible so that the listener imagines it to be true. That statement is said, by some, to imply censure and by and by others to imply praise. Censure is more likely, because a person may obtain something which is not rightfully his by means of it.

Another kind of sorcery is the sort whose perpetration makes the one who does it an unbeliever. This includes such claims as changing the forms of people and transforming them into animals, going on a month’s journey in a single night, flying through the air and other such things. If anyone does that so that people will imagine that it is true, that turns him into an unbeliever. Abū ‘Amr said, ‘If anyone claims to be a sorcerer who can change animals from one form to another, or turn a person into a donkey and the like, and to have the power to transform bodies and destroy and alter them, this is someone who should be killed because he rejects the Prophets, laying claim to Prophethood himself, since he tries, by the use of trickery, to perform the same feats as them. As for the one who admits that his magic is simply deceit, distortion and illusions, he is not killed unless he does something else for which the punishment is death.’
Sunnīs believe that sorcery is real. Most Muʿtazilites and some Ṣaḥīfīs believe that it is baseless and is only distortion and illusion so that a thing is made to appear other than what it is. They say that it is a sort of legerdemain, as Allah says: ‘they appeared to him, by their magic, to be slithering around.’ (20:66) He did not say that it was real, but that it appeared so. This is not evidence because we do not deny that illusion-making is part of magic but other matters are confirmed which defy explanation. If magic did not have a reality, it would not be possible to teach it and the fact that Allah mentioned them teaching it indicates that it is real.

Allah says that the sorcerers ‘produced an extremely powerful magic’ (7:116) and scholars agree that Sūrat al-Falaq was revealed because of the magic of Labīd ibn al-ʿAṣamm. That is reported in al-Bukhārī and Muslim. When the spell was removed, the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Allah healed me.’ Healing only occurs by removing an illness. So both Allah and the Prophet have reported that it is real. We find no denial of its existence among the Companions or the Tābiʿūn. There is a consensus regarding it. Sufyān related from Abu-l-Aʿwar from ʿIkrimah that Ibn ʿAbbās said, ‘Magic was taught in one of the towns of Egypt called Farama.’ Anyone who denies it is unbeliever who denies Allah and His Messenger.

Our scholars say that it is not denied that breaking of normal patterns occurs through sorcerers and that, although it is not in the normal power of a human being to cause illness, separation, madness, paralysis of a limb and other things, it is, nevertheless, a human possibility. It is, however, Allah who creates these things when the magic takes place, just as He creates fullness after eating and quenching of thirst through drinking.

Muslims agree that there was no magic involved in what Allah did in relation to the locusts, lice, frogs, splitting the sea, the transformation of the staff, bringing the dead to life and other such signs of the Prophets. Our scholars said that the difference between
magic and a Prophetic miracle is that magic can exist with a sorcerer or someone else and a group of people can know it and can do it at the same time, whereas Allah does not give anyone but His Prophet the power to perform a miracle. A sorcerer cannot claim to be a Prophet and what he does is not a miracle. A miracle must be accompanied by a true claim to Prophethood and the challenge to imitate it.

Fuqahā’ differ about the ruling of a Muslim and dhimmī sorcerer. Mālik believed that when a Muslim does magic with words which constitute disbelief, he should be killed and not asked to repent. Even if he were asked to repent, his repentance would not be accepted because he would conceal his sorcery like a heretic or fornicator, and because Allah Himself called sorcery disbelief. Ibn Ḥanbal, Abū Thawr, Ishāq, ash-Shāfī‘ī and Abū Ḥanīfah also said that. The execution of sorcerers is reported from ‘Umar, ‘Uthmān, Ibn ‘Umar, Hafsah, Abū Mūsā, Qays ibn Sa‘d and seven Tābi‘ūn. It is related that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The ḥadd punishment for a sorcerer is to be struck with the sword.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it, but it is not strong. Only Ismā‘īl ibn Muslim has it and they consider him to be weak. Ibn al-Mundhir related that. It is related that ‘Ā’ishah sold a witch who had used magic on her and used the price to free slaves.

Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘When a man admits that he did magic with words which constitute disbelief, then he must be killed, even if he repents. The same applies if there is clear evidence against him. If the words that he used in his magic are not tantamount to disbelief, it is not permitted to kill him. If he deliberately inflicts an injury on the person who has been subjected to his sorcery, retaliation is obliged. If there is no retaliation for it, there is blood money.’

Ibn al-Mundhir also said, ‘When the Companions of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ disagree about something, we are obliged to follow the view which is closest to the Book and the Sunnah. It is
possible that the magic for which some of them commanded the sorcerer to be executed did indeed constitute disbelief and this corresponds to the Sunnah of the Messenger of Allah ☪. It is also possible that the magic of the witch whom ‘Ā’ishah sold did not actually constitute disbelief. If the hadith about killing sorcerers is sound, then it is only possible when the magic of the sorcerer amounts to disbelief, and so that is in keeping with what is reported from the Prophet ☪: “A Muslim’s blood is only lawful by one of three things…”

This is true. The blood of Muslims is protected and only allowed when there is certainty, and when there is disagreement there is no certainty, Allah knows best. Some scholars say that magic can only be performed through disbelief and arrogance or by esteeming Shayṭān and thus sorcery is evidence of disbelief. Allah knows best. It is related that ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘A sorcerer is not killed unless he kills by his magic and says that it was deliberate. If he does not say it was deliberate, he is not killed but pays blood money for accidental homicide. If he causes harm, he is punished according to the extent of harm he caused.’ Ibn al-‘Arabī said that this is false for two reasons. One is that he does not know the reality of magic which, in fact, consists of formulae which revere things other than Allah to which powers and entities are ascribed. The second is that Allah clearly states in His book that the shayṭāns disbelieved.

The Mālikīs argue that a sorcerer’s repentance is not accepted because sorcery is something hidden and not shown and so his repentance cannot be relied on. The person who is asked to repent is someone who openly apostatises. Mālik said that if a sorcerer or heretic repents before there is testimony against him, his repentance is accepted. His proof is the words of Allah: ‘But when they saw Our violent force, their faith was of no use to them.’ (40:85) This indicates that their faith is of use to them before the punishment arrives. This is the same.
It is said that a dhimmī sorcerer is killed, but Mālik said that he is not killed unless he kills and that he is liable for injuries caused. He is killed if he does something not part of his dhimmah treaty. Ibn Khuzayimandād said, ‘There are differing transmissions from Mālik about a dhimmī. Sometimes he said that he is asked to repent and his repentance consists of becoming Muslim. Sometimes he said that he is killed even if he becomes Muslim. Someone from the Abode of War is not killed when he repents. That is also what Mālik said about a dhimmī who insults the Prophet ﷺ: he is asked to repent and his repentance consists of becoming Muslim. Sometimes he said that he is killed because he has broken the treaty.’ The heirs of a sorcerer do not inherit from him because he is an unbeliever unless his sorcery is not called disbelief. Mālik said that a woman who makes her husband impotent with respect to herself or another by the magical use of knots is punished and not killed.

They disagree about whether a sorcerer is asked to undo his magic from the person bewitched. Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab permitted it, according to what al-Bukhārī mentioned. Al-Muzanī inclined to that, but al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī disliked it. Ash-Sha‘bī said that there is no harm in amulet charms. Ibn Baṭṭāl said, ‘We find in the letter of Wahb ibn Munabbih that one should take seven leaves from a lote-tree, crush them between two stones, mix them with water and then over that recite the Throne Verse. Then take three sips from it and wash the body with it. It will remove what he is afflicted by, Allah willing. It is good for a man prevented from having sex with his wife [because of magic].’

Most Mu‘tazilites deny the existence of shaytāns and the jinn. This indicates their weakness because not only is it not logically
impossible to affirm their existence but also the texts of the Book and the Sunnah indicate their existence. An intelligent person who clings to the rope of Allah must accept things which are beyond normal experience when there is a text stating that they exist. Allah says: ‘the Shaytāns disbelieved’ and He also mentions them elsewhere and the Prophet mentioned them and therefore they must exist.

and what (mā) had been sent down to Hārūt and Mārūt, the two angels in Babylon,

‘Mā’ means negation [i.e. ‘and it was not sent down to the two angels’] and that is conjoined to ‘Sulaymān did not (mā) disbelieve.’ That is mentioned because the Jews said the two angels (malakayn) that Allah sent with magic were Jibrīl and Mīkā’īl. Allah denies that. ‘Hārūt and Mārūt’ are an appositive for the earlier ‘Shaytāns’. This is the most fitting interpretation of the āyah. It is the soundest of what is said about them and one pays no attention to another interpretation. Sorcery comes from the Shaytāns because of the subtleness of their essence and their trivial understanding. It is mostly women who practise it. Allah refers to them when He says: ‘Women who blow on knots.’ (113:4)

And it also said that ‘mā’ is conjoined to the magic [i.e. ‘teaching people magic and what had been sent down to Hārūt and Mārūt, the two angels in Babylon’]. Anyway it is clear that Allah sent down magic to the angels as a test for people. Allah tests His slaves in whatever way He wishes. This is why the angels said, ‘We are merely a trial. We inform you that the act of sorcery is disbelief. If you obey us, you will be saved. If you disobey, you will be destroyed.’

It is related from ‘Alī, Ibn Mas‘ūd, Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn ‘Umar, Ka‘b al-Aḥbār, as-Suddī and al-Kalbī that when there was a lot of corruption among the descendants of Ādam in the time of Idrīs, the angels condemned them. Allah Almighty said, ‘If you had been in their position and been formed as they are formed, you would have acted as they have acted.’ They answered, ‘Glory be to You! It is not
fitting for us to do that!’ He said, ‘Then choose two angels from the best of you.’ They chose Hārūt and Mārūt. He sent them down to earth and inserted lust in them. Not a month had passed before they were tempted by a woman called ‘Bīdakht’ in Nabatean, ‘Nāhīl’ in Persian and ‘az-Zuhrah’ in Arabic. They argued about her and tried to seduce her. She refused unless they entered her religion, drank wine, and killed a soul whom Allah had made inviolable. They agreed to what she wanted and drank wine and had sex with her. A man saw them and they killed him. She asked them about the Name by which they ascended to heaven and they taught it to her. She spoke it, ascended and was transformed into a star.

Sālim reported from his father from ‘Abdullāh that Ka‘b related that the day had not ended before they had committed what Allah had forbidden them. According to another hadith, they were given a choice between the punishment of this world and the punishment of the Next World and they chose the punishment of this world. So they are punished at Babylon in an underground passage. It is said that it is the Babylon in Iraq and it is also said that it is the one at Nihawand. ‘Aṭā’ related from Ibn ‘Umar that when he saw Venus (Zuhrah) and Suhayl, he cursed and reviled them and said, ‘Suhayl was a tax-collector in Yemen who wronged people and az-Zuhrah was the woman of Hārūt and Mārūt.’

All of this is weak and nothing to do with Ibn ‘Umar and others. None of it is sound. It is a view refuted by the basic principles of the angels who are the guardians Allah assigned over His Revelation and His emissaries to His Messengers: ‘They do not disobey Allah in respect of any order He gives them and carry out what they are ordered to do.’ (66:6) ‘They do not precede Him in speech and they act on His command.’ (21:27) ‘They glorify Him by night and day, without ever flagging.’ (21:20)

Logically, it is not denied that disobedience could occur from the angels, the opposite of what they are obliged exist in them, and lust created in them, since everything imaginable is within Allah’s power.
This is the source of the fear of the Prophets, awliyā’, and excellent scholars. But the occurrence of this permissible matter is only perceived by report, and it is not sound.

Part of what indicates the fact that it is not sound is that Allah created the stars and the planets when He created the heavens. We read in a report: ‘When heaven was created, He created in it seven orbits: Saturn, Jupiter, Mars, Mercury, Venus, the sun and the moon.’ This is the meaning of His words: ‘each one swimming in a sphere’. (21:33) This confirms that Venus and Suhayl existed before the creation of Ādam. The words of the angels, ‘It is not fitting for us to do that!’ would mean: ‘You are not able to tempt us.’ This is unbelief. We seek refuge with Allah from it and from ascribing it to the noble angels, may Allah bless them all! We declare them free from that and they are free from what the commentators mentioned. Glory be to Allah, your Lord, the Lord of Might, from what they describe!

Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn Abzā, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and al-Ḥasan read the word as malikayn, which means two kings, and take it as referring to Dāwūd and Sulaymān. This is weak as Ibn al-‘Arabī stated. Al-Ḥasan said that they were two louts at Babylon who were kings. Bābil (Babylon) is a region in the earth. It is said to be Iraq and the surrounding area. Ibn Mas‘ūd said to the people of Kufa, ‘You are between Hira and Babylon.’ Qatādah said, ‘It extends from Nineveh to Ra’s al-‘Ayn.’ Some people said that it is in Morocco, which Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah says is weak. Some people said that it is the mountain of Nineveh. Allah knows best.

There is disagreement about why it is called ‘Bābil’. It is said that ‘Babel’ is called that because of the ‘babble’ of languages when the tower of Nimrod fell. It is said that it is called that because when Allah Almighty wanted to make the sons of Ādam have different languages, he sent a wind that gathered them from the far corners of the earth to Babel and then Allah confounded their language there.
and then that wind separated them into lands. *Balbalah* is separation. Al-Khalîl said something to that effect. Abû ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘One of the most concise and best things said about *balbalah* is what Abû Dâwûd ibn Abî Hind related from ‘Ībâ’ ibn Aḥmar from ‘Ikrimah from Ibn ‘Abbâs that when Nûḥ descended from al-Jûdî, he built a city called Thamânîn (‘Eighty’) and on the day when tongues were confounded, there were eighty languages, one of which was Arabic. The people did not understand one another.’

‘Abdullāh ibn Bishr al-Mazînî related that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Fear this world. By the One who has my soul in His hand, it has more sorcery in it than Hârût and Mârût.’ Our scholars say that this world has more sorcery than both of them because it bewitches you by deceiving you. Its temptation is concealed and it leads you to compete in greed for it, to amass it and deny it to others until it comes between you and obedience to Allah and comes between you and seeing the truth and observing it. Therefore this world is more enthralling than them. It takes your heart away from Allah, from establishing His right and from the promise and the threat. The sorcery of this world is love of it, enjoying its appetites and being deluded by its false hopes until it takes hold of your heart. That is why the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Love of a thing makes one blind and deaf.’

**who taught no one without first saying to him, ‘We are merely a trial and temptation, so do not disbelieve.’**

‘Alî is reported as saying that the angels taught people by warning them against magic, but that does not mean that they were inviting them to it. Az-Zajjâj says, ‘This is the position held by most of the people with expertise in language and investigation. It means that they taught people the prohibition and said to them, “Do not do this. Do not use that to come between a man and his wife.”’ Although this world is a greater temptation because its temptation is hidden, they said, ‘*We are merely a trial and temptation, so do not disbelieve.*’
One group said that that is by learning sorcery, and another group said that it is by using it. Al-Mahdawī said, ‘It is mockery because they said that to those whom they were certain were misguided.’

**People learned from them how to separate a man and his wife**

As-Suddī said, ‘They used to say to those who came to them, “We are merely a trial and temptation, so do not disbelieve.” If the person refused to go back, they would say, “Go to this pile of ashes and urinate on it.” When he urinated on it, a light would leave him, shining, on the way to heaven. It was his faith. Then a black smoke would emerge from it and enter his ears. It is disbelief. When he told them what he had seen, then they would teach him how to separate a man and his wife.’ One group believe that a sorcerer cannot do more than cause separation, as Allah mentions here, because Allah mentioned that when He criticised sorcery and the aim of learning it. If they had been able to do more than that, they say, Allah would have mentioned that. Another group say that separating a man and his wife is the most common application of magic and does not preclude magic of other kinds, like causing love and hatred, causing evil to part a man from his wife, and to come between a man and his heart. That includes pains and illnesses. All of that can be witnessed, and denying it is pure obstinacy.

**But they cannot harm anyone by it, except with Allah’s permission**

It is said that this refers to the Jews and it is said that it is refers to the *shayṭāns*. ‘It’ refers to sorcery. ‘Allah’s permission’ here means His will and decree, not His command, because Allah does not command the reprehensible and then judge people according to it. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘It means “only by the knowledge of Allah.”’ An-Naḥḥās said that this is incorrect.

**They have learned what will harm them and will not benefit them.**

Meaning in the Next World even if they obtain some benefit from it in this world. It is said that it will also harm them in this world because the harm which results from magic and causing disunion
rebounds on the sorcerer in this world if he is discovered because he will be disciplined and punished and the ill fame of sorcery is attached to him.

103 If only they had believed and been godfearing! A reward from Allah is better, if they only knew.

‘They’ here refers to the sorcerers.

104 You who have faith! do not say, ‘Rā‘inā,’ say, ‘Unẓurnā,’ and listen well. The unbelievers will have a painful punishment.

This is talking about another act of ignorance on the part of the Jews and the purpose is to forbid Muslims from doing the same thing. The meaning of rā‘inā is ‘look at us and we will look at you’ because the form of the verb dictates that. It further signifies ‘protect us and we will protect you; watch us and we will watch you.’ Using this word, however, entails coarseness and the believers were commanded to choose a better word with a finer meaning.

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Muslims used to say to the Prophet ﷺ, “Rā‘inā!” by way of asking and desire, from murā‘ah (supervision, respect). In Hebrew it was a curse, meaning “Listen, you do not hear.” So the Jews took advantage of that and said, “We curse him secretly. Now we will curse him openly!” and they used to use the word to address the Prophet ﷺ and then laugh about it. Sa‘d ibn Mu‘ādh overheard them because he knew their language. He told them, “The curse of Allah be on you! If I hear a single man of you say it to the Prophet ﷺ, I will lop his head off!” They replied, “But you say it!” Then the āyah was revealed and they were forbidden to
do it so that the Jews would not imitate them and intend a bad meaning by it.’

This āyah contains evidence for two rulings. One is that you should avoid ambiguous expressions which could be disparaging or allude to faults. A corollary of this is that slander can be by allusion and we say that doing this obliges the ḥadd punishment whereas Abū Ḥanīfah and ash-Shāfiʿī said that the aspect of doubt involved removes the ḥadd. This will be discussed in an-Nūr.

The second ruling to be gained from the āyah is that sadd adh-dharāʾiʿ (the blocking of the means), and the protection it gives, constitutes a valid legal principle, which is the position of Mālik and also of Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal in one transmission from him. The Book and Sunnah provide evidence for this principle. Dharāʾah (means) is something which is not prohibited in itself but it is feared that someone who does it will fall into the prohibited. In the Qurʾan this āyah demonstrates the principle. The way the principle is applied here is that the Jews used to say this phrase, which was a curse in their language. Then Allah informed the Muslims about it and issued the prohibition of the use of this phrase because, although it is all right in itself, it is the means to the evil result.

There are also Allah’s words: ‘Do not curse those they call upon besides Allah, in case that makes them curse Allah in animosity without knowledge.’ (6:108) So Allah forbids cursing their gods – something that is not wrong in itself – out of the fear that they would retaliate. Allah says: ‘Ask them about the town that was by the sea.’ (7:163) Allah forbade them to fish on the Sabbath when the fish came to them near the surface on the Sabbath. They blocked them off on the Sabbath and then took them on Sunday. That blockage was the means to catching. So Allah transmogrified them into apes and pigs. Allah also mentioned to us the idea of being on guard about that. He told Ādam and Ḥawwāʾ: ‘Do not approach this tree’ (2:35).

As for the Sunnah, there are many firm, sound hadiths, including
the hadith of ‘Ā’ishah that Umm Habibah and Umm Salamah mentioned to the Messenger of Allah a church they had seen in Abyssinia with images, and the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Among those people, when a righteous man died, they built a place of prayer over his grave and adorned it with those images. Those are the worst of creatures in the sight of Allah.’ Al-Bukhārī and Muslim transmitted it. Our scholars have said that those earlier people did that to console themselves by seeing those images and remembering the righteous behaviour of the people buried there and they strove as they had striven and worshipped Allah at their graves. Then time passed and those after them were ignorant of their aims and Shayṭān whispered to them that their fathers and forefathers had worshipped those images and so they started to worship them. The Prophet warned against the same thing happening and strongly objected to people doing that. He blocked the means to that and said, ‘The anger of Allah is terrible against people who take graves of their Prophets and righteous men as mosques,’ and ‘O Allah, do not make my grave an idol which is worshipped.’

Muslim related that an-Nu‘mān ibn Bashīr say that he heard the Messenger of Allah say, ‘The ḥalāl is clear and the ḥarām is clear. But between the two there are doubtful things about which most people have no knowledge. Whoever exercises caution with regard to what is doubtful, shows prudence in respect of dīn and his honour. Whoever involves himself in doubtful things is like a herdsman who grazes his animals near a private preserve (himā). He is bound to enter it.’ So the Prophet forbade doing doubtful things out of the fear of falling into the forbidden. That is sadd adh-dharā‘i ‘.

The Prophet said, ‘A person will not achieve the status of being one of the godfearing until he leaves what does not concern him out of consideration for what does concern him.’ He also said, ‘One of the major wrong actions is for a man to abuse his parents.’ They said, ‘O Messenger of Allah, is it possible for a man to abuse his
parents?’ He answered, ‘Yes. He may curse another man’s father who in turn curses his father, or curse his mother and he in turn curses his mother.’ So he made provoking abuse of fathers the same as actual cursing fathers.

The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When you transact using the sale-and-buy transaction (‘īnah), follow the tails of cattle, are satisfied with farming, and abandon jihad, then Allah will impose abasement on you that He will not remove from you until you return to the dīn.’ Abū ‘Ubayd al-Harawī said, ‘‘Īnah is when a man sells goods to another man at a known price until a set term and then buys them back from him at a lower price than that for which he sold them.’ He said, ‘If, in the presence of someone who wants an ‘īnah, someone buys goods from another man at a known price and takes possession of them, and then buys them from the one who wants an ‘īnah for a greater price than that which he bought them for until a fixed term, and then the buyer buys them from the first seller for cash and a lower price, this is also ‘īnah, but a lesser form than the first one. Some of them consider it to be permissible. It is called ‘īnah because the cash is obtained by the owner of the item. That is because ‘āyn is the ready cash and the buyer buys the item in order to sell it for ready cash which is immediate.’

Ibn Wahb related from Mālik that an umm walad belonging to Zayd ibn al-Arqam mentioned to ʿĀʾishah ﷺ that she sold a slave to Zayd for 800 until the stipend arrived and she then bought the slave back from him for 600 in cash. ʿĀʾishah said, ‘An evil sale and an evil purchase! Tell Zayd that his jihād with the Messenger of Allah ﷺ will be nullified if he does not repent!’ Such a statement is not made based on mere opinion because nullification of action is only known through revelation. So it is confirmed that it goes back to the Prophet ﷺ. ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said, ‘Abandon usury and doubt.’

This is our evidence for sadd adh-dharāʾi‘ on which the Mālikīs based the Book of ends of terms and other questions regarding sales and
other things. The Shāfi‘īs do not have a chapter on ends of terms because they consider that to consist of different sales transactions. say, ‘Unzurnā,’ and listen well.

They are commanded to speak to him ☪ with respect. It means: ‘Turn to us and look at us.’

105 Those of the People of the Book who disbelieve and the idolaters do not like anything good to be sent down to you from your Lord. But Allah selects for His mercy whomever He will. Allah’s favour is truly vast.

But Allah selects for His mercy whomever He wills.

‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said, that this means for His Prophethood which He singled out for Muhammad ☪. Some people say that the mercy of the Qur’ān is what is intended and others say that the mercy mentioned here is general to all types of mercy that Allah has given to His slaves, both now and in the past. The verb rahima means to have compassion. Raḥm, marḥamah and rahmah mean ‘mercy’. Ibn Fāris said that. Allah’s mercy to His slaves is His blessing them and pardoning them.

106 Whenever We abrogate an āyah or cause it to be forgotten, We bring one better than it or equal to it. Do you not know that Allah has power over all things?

Whenever We abrogate an āyah or cause it to be forgotten,

This is a very important āyah about judgments. Its cause was that the Jews envied the Muslims when they turned away from Jerusalem
and faced the Ka‘bah, and they attacked Islam for that, saying that Muḥammad commanded his Companions to do one thing and then forbade it, and maintained that the Qur’an was of his own making. They contradicted one another and so Allah revealed: ‘If We replace one āyah with another one...’ (16:101) and this āyah.

This subject is very important and scholars must be aware of it. Only ignorant fools deny it because of the effect of events on rulings and recognition of the lawful and unlawful. Abu-l-Bakhtarī said, “Alī entered the mosque while a man was causing the people there to become frightened. He asked, “What is this?” They answered, “A man who is reminding people.” He said, “He is not a man who reminds people. He says, ‘I am so-and-so son of so-and-so, so acknowledge me.’” He sent for him and asked, “Do you know the abrogating from the abrogated?” “No,” he replied. He said, “Then leave our mosque and do not admonish people in it.”

There are two aspects to abrogation or supersession (naskh). The first is transfer, like from one Divine Book to another. According to this, all the Qur’an is ‘abrogated’ in the sense that was taken from the Preserved Tablet and sent down to the House of Might in the lowest heaven. This has nothing to do with this āyah. The second form of abrogation is invalidation and removal, which is what is meant here. This, in turn, is divided into two types.

The first is supersession, which is the invalidation of something and its removal, and then putting something else in its place. The verb, nasakha, is used for the sun replacing the shadow when it takes its place. That is its meaning in this āyah. In Sahīh Muslim we find: ‘There is no Prophethood at all which is not superseded by the next (tanāsakha).’ The community has moved from one state to another. Ibn Fāris said that naskh refers to the Book, and it means to remove a command before it is acted on and then supersede it with something else, like an āyah revealed about a matter and then superseded by another. The verb is used for the sun replacing shade, old age
replacing youth and the succession of heirs by successive deaths. There is a succession of ages and generations.

The second type of abrogation is the removal of a thing without replacing it with something else, as wind obliterates (nasakha) a track. This meaning is seen in the words of Allah: ‘Allah revokes (yansakhu) what Shayṭān insinuates’ (22:52), i.e. removes it and so it is not recited nor does it have a replacement elsewhere in the Qur’an.

Pertinent to this matter is what is related from Ubayy and ‘Ā’ishah to the effect that Sūrat al-Ahzāb (33) was originally the same length as Sūrat al-Baqarah as will be later clarified. Evidence for this is also found in what Abū Bakr al-Anbārī transmitted that Sahl ibn Ḥunayf said while in the assembly of Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab. He said that a man stood up in the night to recite a sūrah of the Qur’an but was unable to recite any of it. Another man rose and could not recite any of it either. So in the morning they went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. One said, ‘I stood in the night to recite a sūrah of the Qur’an and I could not recite any of it.’ The other stood up and said, ‘The same thing happened to me, Messenger of Allah!’ Yet a third rose and said, ‘And the same thing happened to me, Messenger of Allah.’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘It was part of what Allah abrogated yesterday.’

Some modern groups of those who are called Muslims deny that such an occurrence is possible. They are veiled from the consensus of the Salaf that it occurs in the Sharīʿah. Some Jewish groups also reject that and they are veiled from what comes in the Torah since they claim that Allah told Nūḥ when he left the Ship, ‘I make every animal edible for you and your descendants. I allow all of them for you, like plants, except for blood. Do not consume it.’ Then He forbade many animals to Mūsā and the tribe of Israel. There is also the fact that Ādam married a brother to a sister, and Allah forbade that to Mūsā and others. Ibrāhīm was ordered to sacrifice his son and then told not to do it. Mūsā commanded the tribe of Israel to
kill those of them who worshipped the Calf and then stopped that. There are many examples of this. This is transfer from one act of worship to another and one ruling to another for the sake of best interest.

Intelligent people do not disagree that the laws of the Prophets are intended to meet the best interests of people in the dīn and this world. It would have been obliged in the beginning had He not known the end of matters. The One Who knows that changes things addressed according to the change in what is in their best interests, just as a doctor takes note of the changes in his patient. Allah takes note of that in His creation by His will and volition. There is no god but Him. What He asks of them changes, but His knowledge and will do not change. That is impossible in respect of Allah.

The Jews considered supersession and initiation to be the same and therefore they did not permit it and were misled. An-Naḥḥās said, ‘The difference between abrogation and initiation is that supersession is transferring an act of worship from one form to another so that something lawful becomes unlawful or something unlawful becomes lawful. As for initiation, it is leaving what was previously obliged as when you say, ‘Go to so-and-so today,’ and then you say, ‘Do not go to him.’ You decide to turn from the first statement. This is connected to people’s imperfections. Know that the real Abrogator is Allah Almighty. What He says is called abrogation since abrogation occurs by it, one judgment being replaced by another. It is said that the fast of Ramaḍān superseded the fast of ‘Ashūrā’, replacing one form of worship with another.

The sayings of our scholars differ regarding the definition of naskh. That which intelligent people who follow the Sunnah hold is that it is the removal of an established legal ruling by a further instruction which comes later in time. That is the definition of ‘Abd al-Wahhāb and Qāḍī Abū Bakr, who added, ‘If it were not for that, the prior ruling would remain firmly in place.’ So they retain the linguistic
definition which means ‘removal’ while avoiding the logical consequence of taking that literally. That which was abrogated, according to our Imams, the people of the Sunnah, constitutes a firm judgment in itself.

Our scholars disagree about whether reports are subject to abrogation. Most say that abrogating is specific to commands and prohibitions and that reports cannot be affected by abrogation since it is impossible to attribute falsehood to Allah. It is said that when a report contains a legal ruling, then supersession is permitted. It might be imagined that making what is general specific is abrogation, but that is not the case because specification does not remove the general (‘āmm). If something moves the general ruling to something else, then that is abrogation, not specification. If someone refers to it as abrogation, that is metaphorical rather than actual.

One should know that there are reports in the Sharī‘ah which appear to be general and all-inclusive but are then limited later so that their generality is removed. It is like Allah’s words: ‘If My slaves ask you about Me, I am near. I answer the call of the caller when He calls on Me.’ (2:186) The literal meaning of this āyah is that he answers everyone who calls on Him in any case, but this is limited elsewhere as when He says: ‘If He wills, He will deliver you from whatever it was that made you call on Him.’ (6:41) Someone without intelligence must suppose that this is abrogation in reports, but that is not the case. It is part of restriction of the unrestricted.

Our scholars say that abrogation is permitted from what is onerous to what is easier. A case in point is the abrogation of the ruling on standing firm in jihād when the odds are ten to one by that of standing firm when they are two to one. But it is also permitted to move from the easier to the harder, as happened when the fast of ‘Ashurā’ and some other days were exchanged for Ramadan as will be mentioned. And like can be abrogated by like, as happened in the case of the qiblah changing from Jerusalem to the House of Allah in
Makkah. Matters can also be abrogated without being replaced, like giving *ṣadaqah* before conversing with the Prophet ﷺ. The Qur’an can be abrogated by the Qur’an, the Sunnah by a *mutawātir* hadith, and a single hadith by another single hadith.

Astute Imāms also say that the Qur’an can be abrogated by the Sunnah. An example of that is the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘There is no bequest to an heir.’ It is acknowledged by Mālik but ash-Shāfi‘ī and Abu-l-Faraj al-Mālikī rejected it. The first is a sounder approach by the evidence that all is the judgment of Allah Almighty and from Him, even if the names differ. Flogging was also dropped in the *hadd* punishment for the adulterer who is stoned. That was only dropped by the Sunnah which the Prophet ﷺ made clear.

They also say that the Sunnah can be abrogated by the Qur’an. That happened when the *qiblah* was changed when the original *qiblah* was not mentioned in the Qur’an. The āyah we are looking at here is another case: it demands that women should not be returned to the unbelievers when that had been part of the treaty that the Prophet ﷺ made with Quraysh. Astute scholars agree that it is logically permitted for the Qur’an to be abrogated by a single hadith but they disagree about whether it actually occurs. Abu-l-Ma‘ālī and others believe that it happened when the people of the Mosque of Qubā’ changed their *qiblah* but others reject that. It is not proper to abrogate a text through analogy since one of the preconditions of analogy is that it does not differ from a definitive text.

All of this occurred during the lifetime of the Prophet ﷺ. The Community agree that there is no abrogation after his death, once the Shari‘ah was firmly in place. This is why there is a consensus that there is no abrogation after the end of Revelation. When we find a consensus which is apparently contrary to a text, it is known that the consensus relied on an abrogating text which we do not know about. An opposed text is not acted upon and, therefore, it must have been abrogated even though it remains a *sunnah* which is
read and reported. An example of this is the āyah of the ‘iddah (waiting period of a widow) of a year which is recited in the Qur’an. Reflect on this. It is something important. There is abrogation of a ruling while its recitation is left, like the giving of ṣadaqah before conversing with the Prophet (58:12). The opposite can also occur when the recitation is abrogated but not the ruling, which happened in the case of the āyah of stoning. It is also possible for both recitation and ruling to be abrogated. What astute scholars believe is that if someone has not heard about the abrogation, he continues to act according to the first ruling. They also permit abrogation of a ruling before it is acted on, as in the story of Ibrāhīm sacrificing his son and the obligation of the prayer being fifty prayers before it was made five.

There are means for recognising an abrogating text. One is when the expression indicates it, like the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘I used to forbid visiting graves. Now you may visit them. I forbade drinking except from skins, now you may drink from every container, but do not drink intoxicants.’ Another indication is when the transmitter mentions the date, as when he says, ‘It was the year of the Ditch,’ when it is known that what was abrogated occurred before it. Another indication is when it is stated: ‘Such-and-such a ruling is abrogated.’ Yet another means is when the entire Community agree that the ruling is abrogated.

Most recite ‘abrogate’ as ‘nansaikh’ which is the normal usage. Ibn ‘Āmir recites ‘nunsikh’ from Form IV.

or cause it to be forgotten,

It is said that this means: ‘omit it’. It is removed from you so that you do not read or remember it. ‘Nunsinha’ (forgotten) is also recited with a hamzah (nunsin’ha) meaning ‘to defer’, meaning ‘We defer its sending down or its abrogation to a later date.’

We bring one better than it or equal to it.

This means ‘more beneficial for people’. The benefit is immediate
if the abrogating ruling is easier and in the Next World if it is harder. It is also said that it is superior in that it has a greater benefit and reward since there is no disparity in the worth of the Words of Allah.

107 Do you not know that Allah is He to Whom the kingdom of the heavens and the earth belongs and that, besides Allah, you have no protector and no helper?

This means that He brings into existence and originates and has sovereignty and authority over all things and that His will and command is carried out in every instance. The Prophet is addressed while his entire community is intended. It is said that it means: ‘Say to them, Muhammad: “Do you not know that Allah has authority over the heavens and earth and that you have no protector apart from Allah?”’

108 Or do you want to question your Messenger as Mūsā was questioned before? Anyone who exchanges faith for disbelief has definitely gone astray from the level way.

‘Am’ (or) in fact means ‘indeed’ you do want and it is a rebuke. This is said to refer to their demanding proofs of Prophethood, such as asking him to bring Allah and the angels. Ibn ‘Abbās and Mujāhid said that they asked for Ṣafā to be turned into gold. ‘Level’ (sawā) means the middle way of something. It is said that it means the aim, and so it would be ‘astray from the goal of the Path’, which is obeying Allah. Ibn ‘Abbās said that the reason for this āyah was that Rāfi‘ ibn Khuzaymah and Wahb ibn Zayd said to the Prophet, ‘Bring us a Book from heaven which we can read and make rivers
flow for us and we will follow you.’

109 Many of the People of the Book would love it if they could make you revert to being unbelievers after you have become believers, showing their innate envy now that the truth is clear to them. But you should pardon and overlook until Allah gives His command. Truly Allah has power over all things.

110 Establish the prayer and pay zakāt. Any good you send ahead for yourselves, you will find with Allah. Certainly Allah sees what you do.

Many of the People of the Book would love it if they could make you revert to being unbelievers after you have become believers, showing their innate envy now that the truth is clear to them.

They do so simply out of envy without having any legitimate authorisation for it from their Book or anywhere else. The āyah is about the Jews.

There are two types of envy: blameworthy envy and praiseworthy envy. The blameworthy kind is when you desire Allah’s blessing to be removed from your brother Muslim whether you want it to come to you or not. Allah censured this type in His Book when He says: ‘Or do they in fact envy people for the bounty Allah has granted them.’ (4:54) It is blameworthy because it is tantamount to thinking that Allah is foolish and that He has blessed someone who does not deserve His
blessing.

The praiseworthy type is what comes in the sound hadith in which the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘You may only have envy in two cases: for a man to whom Allah has given the Qur’an and he gets up and recites it throughout the night, and for a man to whom Allah has given wealth and he spends it throughout the night and the day.’ This sort of envy is called ghibtah rather than ḥasad and al-Bukhārī has a chapter on envying people for their knowledge and wisdom. Its reality is that you desire to have the good and blessing which your Muslim brother has, without any good being removed from him. It is possible to call this aspiration, as the Almighty says: ‘Let people with aspiration aspire to that!’ (83:26) But you should pardon and overlook until Allah gives His command. ‘The truth’ is Muḥammad ﷺ and the Qur’an which he brought.

**But you should pardon and overlook until Allah gives His command.**

The root of the word ‘afū (pardon) means not to call to account for wrong action. The root of safḥ (overlook) is to remove its effect from the heart. This āyah is, however, abrogated by Allah’s words: ‘Fight those who do not believe … abasement’ (9:29) according to Ibn ‘Abbās. It is said that it is abrogated by ‘kill the idolaters.’ (9:5) Abū ‘Ubaydah said, ‘Every āyah which obviates fighting is Makkan and is abrogated by the command to fight. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said about this, ‘His ruling that this āyah is Makkan is weak because the hostilities with the Jews only occurred in Madīnah.’

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah’s opinion is sound. Al-Bukhārī and Muslim related from Usāmah ibn Zayd that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ rode a donkey with a saddlecloth made in Fadak and Usāmah ibn Zayd rode behind him. He went to visit Sa’d ibn ‘Ubādah in the Banū ’l-Ḥārith branch of al-Khazraj before the Battle of Badr. On the way he passed by a gathering containing ‘Abdullāh ibn Ubayy ibn Salūl, and that was before ‘Abdullāh ibn Ubayy became Muslim. The gathering contained a mixture of Muslims, idolaters, pagans, and
Jews. ‘Abdullāh ibn Rawāḥah was also in the gathering.

When the dust from the donkey reached the gathering, ‘Abdullāh ibn Ubayy covered his nose with his cloak and then said, ‘Don’t cover us with dust!’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ greeted them and then stopped. He dismounted and invited them to Allah and recited the Qur’an to them. ‘Abdullāh ibn Ubayy ibn Salūl said, ‘You! There is nothing better than what you say if it is the truth. So do not annoy us with it in our gatherings. Return to your mount and only recount it to those who come to you.’ ‘Abdullāh ibn Rawāḥah said, ‘Indeed, Messenger of Allah! Bring it to us in our gatherings. We like that!’ So the Muslims, idolaters and Jews abused one another until they were practically fighting. The Prophet ﷺ kept on calming them down until they were quiet.

Then the Messenger of Allah ﷺ mounted his animal and went on to visit Sa’d ibn ‘Ubādah. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Sa’d, did you hear what Abū Ḥubāb (meaning ‘Abdullāh ibn Ubayy) said? He said such-and-such.’ Sa’d ibn ‘Ubāda said, ‘O Messenger of Allah, pardon him and overlook it. By the One who sent down the Book to you with the truth, Allah brought you with the truth which He sent down to you after the people of this little town had already agreed to crown him. When that was prevented on account the truth which Allah gave you, he was vexed because of that. That is why he did what you saw.’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ pardoned him.

The Messenger of Allah ﷺ and the Companions used to pardon the idolaters and the People of the Book as Allah commanded them to do and they endured much harm from them. Allah says: ‘You will hear many abusive words from those given the Book before you and from those who are idolaters’ (3:186), and ‘Many of the People of the Book would love it...’

The Messenger of Allah ﷺ continued to pardon them as long as Allah commanded him to do so until the time when Allah gave permission to fight them. When the Messenger of Allah ﷺ went on
the Badr expedition, Allah killed the nobles of Quraysh through him and Ibn Ubayy ibn Salūl and those of the idolaters and pagans with him, said, ‘This is a business which has proved to be victorious,’ and they gave allegiance to the Messenger of Allah in Islam, and became Muslim. The command of Allah was the killing of Qurayẓah and the exile of the Banū ’n-Naḍîr.

**Any good you send ahead for yourselves, you will find with Allah.**

We read in a hadith: ‘When someone dies, people ask, “What did he leave behind?” while the angels ask, “What did he send ahead?”’ Al-Bukhari and an-Nasā’ī transmitted that ‘Abdullāh [ibn Mas‘ūd] reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Which of you loves the property of his heir more than his own property?’ They said, ‘Messenger of Allah, there is none of who does not love his own property more than that of his heir?’ The Messenger of Allah said, ‘No, there is none of you who does not love the property of his heir more than his own property. Your own property is what you send ahead and the property of your heir is what you leave behind.’ ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb passed by the graveyard of Baqi‘ al-Gharqad and said, ‘Peace be upon you, people of the graves. The news with us is that your wives have married, your houses are lived in and your property has been divided up.’ An invisible voice answered him, ‘Ibn Khaṭṭāb! The news with us is that we have found what we sent ahead, profited from what we spent, and lost what we left behind.’ A poet said:

Send ahead righteous action before you die and work. There is no path to immortality.

Another said:

Send ahead hopeful repentance for yourself before you die and before tongues are tied.

Yet another said:
Your mother bore you weeping
while the people around you were laughing with joy.
Work for a day when they will weep
and you will be laughing with joy on the day of your death.

111 They say, ‘No one will enter the Garden except for Jews and Christians.’ Such is their vain hope. Say, ‘Produce your evidence if you are telling the truth.’

112 Not so! All who submit themselves completely to Allah and are good-doers will find their reward with their Lord. They will feel no fear and will know no sorrow.

They say, ‘No one will enter the Garden except for Jews and Christians.’

This means that the Jews said that none but Jews would enter the Garden and the Christians said that none but Christians would enter it.

Say, ‘Produce your evidence, if you are telling the truth.’

The burhān (evidence) referred to in this āyah is the kind which produces certainty. At-Ṭabarī says that the purpose of demanding evidence here is to confirm the truth and refute those who deny it. Rather than telling the truth, they are, in fact, lying about both their faith and also their statement concerning entering the Garden.

Not so! All who submit themselves completely to Allah

Aslama (submit) here means ‘to be humble and submit’ is said to mean to be sincere in action. The words ‘themselves completely’ is literally ‘their faces’ and is used because it is the noblest part of the
human being and because it is the home of the senses and the place where might and abasement show themselves most clearly. For this reason the Arabs used the word ‘face’ to designate the entire person.

١١٣ The Jews say, ‘The Christians have nothing to stand on,’ and the Christians say, ‘The Jews have nothing to stand on,’ yet they both recite the Book. Those who do not know say the same as they say. Allah will judge between them on the Day of Rising regarding the things about which they differ.

The Jews say, ‘The Christians have nothing to stand on,’ and the Christians say, ‘The Jews have nothing to stand on,’ yet they both recite the Book.

Each of them claims that the other group have nothing and that they are more entitled to mercy than them. ‘The Book’ means the Torah and the Gospel.

**Those who do not know say the same as they say.**

‘Those who do not know’ are said by the majority to be the unbelieving Arabs because they had no Book. ‘Aṭā’ said that what is meant are the nations before the Jews and Christians. Ar-Rabī‘ ibn Anas said that it means: ‘That is what the Jews said before the Christians.’

Ibn ‘Abbās said that the reason for the revelation of this āyah was that some Christians of Najrān came to the Prophet ﷺ and the Jewish rabbis also came and they argued in the presence of the Prophet ﷺ each said this about the other.
114 Who could do greater wrong than someone who bars access to the mosques of Allah, preventing His name from being remembered in them, and goes about destroying them? Such people will never be able to enter them – except in fear. They will have disgrace in this world and in the Next World they will have a terrible punishment.

Who could do greater wrong than someone who bars access to the mosques of Allah, preventing His Name from being remembered in them,

By ‘mosques’ here Allah means either Jerusalem and the area around it or the Ka‘bah. The plural is used to honour the mosque because it is the qiblah for all other mosques. It is also said that what is meant are all mosques.

People disagree about what is meant by this āyah and about whom it was revealed. Some commentators said that it was revealed about Nebuchadnezzar because he destroyed Jerusalem. Ibn ‘Abbās and others say that it was revealed about the Christians and it means, ‘How, Christians, can you claim to be people of the Garden when you laid waste to Jerusalem and prevented those who pray from praying in it?’ In that case the sense of the āyah would be astonishment at what the Christians did to Jerusalem, in spite of their esteem for it, and that they did what they did out of their animosity towards the Jews. It is related that this devastation remained until the time of ‘Umar. It is said that it was revealed about the idolaters when they prevented the worshippers and the Prophet ﷺ from reaching the Sacred Mosque in the year of al-Ḥudaybīyah. It is said that what is meant is anyone who bars access
to any mosque until the Day of Rising. That is sound because the expression is general, and Allah knows best.

The destruction of mosques can be actual, like the destruction wrought by Nebuchadnezzar on Jerusalem and also by the Christians when they attacked the tribe of Israel under one of their emperors (possibly Vespasian). They slaughtered the people, looted the city, burned the Torah, and put excrement in the Temple and destroyed it. But it can also have a metaphorical meaning, as the idolaters prevented the Messenger of Allah from reaching the Sacred House. Or in general, it could mean letting mosques fall into disuse.

Our scholars said, ‘This āyah provides the legal basis for our ruling that it is not permitted to forbid a woman from making hajj if she has not performed it, whether or not she has a mahram, nor should she be prevented from praying in mosques as long as it is not feared that that will be a cause of immoral behaviour. The Prophet said, “Do not bar the female slaves of Allah from the mosques of Allah.”’

The āyah is also why it is said that it is not permitted to demolish a mosque, sell it, or to let it become unused, even if the town in which it is located falls into ruins. One should not forbid the construction of a mosque unless the aim of building it is to cause dissension, as would be the case when people build a mosque beside another mosque or close to it, and their intention is to cause dissension among the people of the first mosque and ruin it. In such a case, the second mosque should be destroyed and its construction forbidden. It is also why it is not permitted to have two Friday mosques in the same city, or two imāms in the same mosque, or two group prayers said in the same mosque. This will be explained in Sūrat al-Tawbah, Allah willing, and elsewhere. The āyah also indicates esteem for the prayer and that it is the best of actions and has the greatest reward, and that preventing it is the greatest of wrong actions.

Every place in which Allah is worshipped and prostrated to (sajada)
is called a mosque (masjid). The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The whole earth was made a mosque and is pure for me (to pray in).’ The Companions agreed that when an area is singled out for the prayer by a declaration, it is no longer private property and becomes public for all Muslims. If a man builds a mosque in his house and screens it off from people and singles it out for himself, it remains his property and does not become a mosque in the true sense of the word. If he opens it to everyone, its ruling is that of general mosques and it is no longer private property.

**Such people will never be able to enter them – except in fear.**

When the Muslims gain control of them and they are under their authority, then it will not be possible for the unbelievers to enter them, and, if they do enter them, it will be in fear that the Muslims will attack them and punish them for entering them. This is an indication that unbelievers should never be allowed to enter mosques as will come in Sūrat at-Tawbah, Allah willing. If the āyah is about the Christians, it is related that it points ahead to the time of ‘Umar’s reconstruction of Jerusalem after its conquest by the Muslims and his ruling that any Christian who enters the mosque of Jerusalem should be beaten, even though it had previously been their place of worship. If it is considered to be about Quraysh, it is said that it refers to the announcement made after the proclamation of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘After this year, no idolater should perform the ḥajj nor do ṭawāf of the House naked.’ It is said that what is meant is the command to struggle against them and eradicate them so that none of them enters Sacred Mosque except in fear. It is, in fact, a prohibition in the form of a report.

**They will have disgrace in this world and in the Next World they will have a terrible punishment.**

Qatādah reports that the word ‘disgrace’ refers to killing in the case of the ḥarbī, and jizyah in the case of the dhimmī. As-Suddī said that their disgrace in this world will be the coming of the Mahdī,
and the conquest of ‘Amuriyya, Rumiyah, Constantinople and other cities. If it refers to Quraysh, it is said to refer to their disgrace at the Conquest of Makkah and the punishment in the Next World of those of them who died unbelievers.

115 Both East and West belong to Allah, so wherever you turn, the Face of Allah is there. Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.

Both East and West belong to Allah,

East is where the sun rises and West is where it sets, so the āyah implies that all things are subject to Allah’s sovereignty, along with all the directions and creatures contained within them. He is the One who originated them and brought them into existence. These two directions — East and West — are singled out for connection to Him as a mark of honour, like ‘the House of Allah’, and because the reason for the revelation of the āyah dictates that, as will be made clear.

So wherever you turn, the Face of Allah is there.

Scholars disagree about the reason for the revelation of this āyah. There are five positions. ‘Āmir ibn Rabī‘ah says, ‘It was revealed about some people who prayed in a direction other than the qiblah on a dark night, as is transmitted by at-Tirmidhī: “We were with the Prophet ☪ on a journey during a dark night and we did not know where the qiblah was. Each of us prayed according to his own devices. In the morning, we mentioned that to the Messenger of Allah ☪ and this āyah was revealed.”’ Abū ‘Īsā said that this hadith lacks a proper isnād and we only know it from the hadith of Ash‘ath as-Sammān. Abū ar-Rabī‘ Ash‘ath ibn Sa‘īd is weak. But most of the people of knowledge accept the implied judgment and say that if someone prays on a cloudy day in a direction other than the qiblah
and then it later becomes clear to him that he did not pray in the right direction, his prayer is allowed. That was stated by Sufyān, Ibn al-Mubārak, Aḥmad and Ishāq. It is also the position of Abū Ḥanīfah and Mālik, although Mālik says: ‘It is preferred for him to repeat (the prayer) within the time but that is not an obligation on him because he has performed his obligation as he was commanded to do. Perfection is to seek to correct it within the time and there is evidence in the sunnah in the case of someone who prayed alone and then caught that prayer in its time in the group. He repeated it with them. It is only recommended for someone to repeat it if he had his back to the qiblah or faced a direction very much away from the qiblah. If, by his ījtimāʿ, he was only a little to the right or left, he does not need to repeat it either in the time or after the time.’

Al-Mughīrah and ash-Shāfiʿī said that such a prayer is invalid because facing the qiblah is one of the preconditions of the prayer. What Mālik said is sounder because the direction of qiblah can be abandoned out of necessity in hand-to-hand combat and is also permitted as a dispensation while travelling. Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘It was revealed about travellers who turn wherever their mounts turn.’ Muslim transmitted it. He said, ‘The Messenger of Allah  used to pray on his camel going from Makkah to Madīnah whatever the direction the camel was facing. About that was revealed: “...so wherever you turn, the Face of Allah is there.”’ There is no disagreement between scholars that it is permitted to pray nāfilah prayers while mounted, going by this hadith. No one, however, is permitted to deliberately abandon qiblah in a farḍ prayer for any other direction, unless that is due to intense fear.

Mālik has differing opinions about someone who is ill and prays on his litter. In one opinion he says that he may not pray a farḍ prayer on the back of a camel, even if he is very ill. Saḥnūn said, ‘If he does that, he should repeat the prayer.’ He said on another occasion, ‘If he is one of those who can only pray on the ground using gestures,
then he can pray on the camel after it has been halted and made to face qiblah.’ They agree that it is not valid for anyone who is healthy to pray a fard prayer except on the ground, unless he is in a state of fear.

Fuqahā’ disagree about a traveller on a journey in which the prayer cannot be shortened. Mālik and his people and ath-Thawrī said, ‘There can be no voluntary prayers on a camel except during a journey on which the prayer may be shortened, because all the journeys which are reported from the Messenger of Allah 🙆‍♂️ in which he performed nāfilah prayers were those during which the prayer may be shortened. Ash-Shāfi’ī, Abū Ḥanīfah, al-Ḥasan ibn Ḥayy, al-Layth ibn Sa’d and Dāwūd ibn ‘Alī said that it is permitted to perform nāfilah prayers while mounted outside a city on a journey of any length, whether or not the prayer may be shortened, because the reports do not specify one journey rather than another, so it is permitted in every journey.

Abū Yūsuf said that it is even permitted to pray mounted in a city using gestures going by a report in which Anas ibn Mālik prayed on a donkey in an alley in Madīnah using gestures. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘It is permitted for every rider or walker, resident or travelling, to perform nāfilah prayers while mounted or on his feet using gestures.’ Al-Athram said, ‘Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal was asked about praying on a mount while resident. He answered, “I have heard about doing that while on a journey. I have not heard about it while resident.”’ Ibn al-Qāsim said, ‘Someone who performs nāfilah on a camel-litter performs them while seated. His standing is cross-legged. He bows, placing his hands on his knees and then lifts his head.’

Qatādah said, ‘It was revealed about the Negus. When he died, the Prophet 🙆‍♂️ called the Muslims to pray over him outside Madīnah. They said, “How can we pray over a man who has died? He prayed to other than our qiblah.” The Negus was the King of Abyssinia. His name was Aṣḥamah which means “gift”. He was praying towards
Jerusalem when he died after the qiblah has been changed to the Ka’bah. So the āyah was revealed. Also revealed about him was: “Among the People of the Book there are some who believe in Allah.” (3:199) So this was an excuse for the Negus.’

The prayer that the Prophet  prayed with his Companions was in 9 AH. This is used as evidence by those who permit praying over someone who is absent. That was the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī. One of the stranger points about the prayer over the dead person is what ash-Shāfi‘ī said: ‘One prays over someone who is absent. I was in Baghdad in the gathering of Fakhr ‘d-dīn [ar-Rāzī]. A man from Khorasan entered and he asked, “How is so-and-so?” He told him, “He has died.” He exclaimed, “We belong to Him and to Him we return!” Then he told us, “Get up and pray for him.” He got up and led us in the prayer for him. That was six months after his death, the distance it took to travel between the two lands.’

We believe that the basis for that is the prayer of the Prophet  over the Negus. Our scholars, however, say that that was especially for the Prophet  for three reasons. The first is that the earth was flattened for him, north and south, so that he could see the bier of the Negus as he was able to see the al-Aqṣā Mosque. Those who disagree say that there was no point in seeing him; the benefit is in conveying his blessing. The second is that the Negus had no relative among the Muslims to perform the prayer for him. Those who disagree about this say that it is impossible for a king following a religion not to have followers. The third reason is that the Prophet  wanted to pray over the Negus to bring him mercy and seek the friendship of the kings after him since they saw his concern for him when he was alive and after his death. Those who disagree say that the blessing of the supplication of the Prophet  and others is agreed to reach the deceased. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘What I think about the Prophet’s prayer over the Negus is because he knew that the Negus and those who believed along with him did not have any
report about how the funeral prayer was performed and knew that they would bury him without it. That is why he hastened to perform the prayer for him.’

The first interpretation is better because if he actually could see him, then he was not praying over someone absent. He was praying over someone present that he could see. Someone absent is not seen. Allah knows best.

Ibn Zayd said, ‘The Jews recommended to the Prophet ☪ that he should pray facing Jerusalem. When he did, they said, “He was only guided by us.” Then, when the qiblah was changed to the Ka‘bah, the Jews said, “What has turned them from their qiblah they used to face?” and this āyah was revealed.’ According to this position, there is a sequence in the events leading up to it. When the Jews objected to the qiblah changing, Allah Almighty made it clear that He makes His slaves worship however He wishes. If He wishes, He commands them to face Jerusalem, and if He wishes, He commands them to face the Ka‘bah. He is not questioned about what He does, but they will be questioned.

Another view is that this āyah is abrogated by Allah’s words: ‘Wherever you come from, turn your face to the Masjid al-Ḥarām.’ (2:149) Ibn ‘Abbās said that it seems that in the beginning a man prayed in whatever direction he wished to and then that was abrogated. Qatādah says that the āyah which abrogated it was: ‘Turn your face, therefore, towards the Masjid al-Ḥarām.’ (2:144) Abū ‘Īsā at-Tirmidhī related it.

Yet another view related from Mujāhid and ʿaḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk is that it remains a firm ruling. It means: wherever you are in the east or the west, there is the face of Allah which you are commanded to face and that is the direction of the Ka‘bah. Mujāhid and Ibn Jubayr said that when ‘Call on Me and I will answer you’ (40:60) was revealed, people asked, ‘Where?’ and ‘so wherever you tum, the Face of Allah is there’ was revealed. Ibn ‘Umar and an-Nakha‘ī said, ‘Wherever you tum
on your journeys and when moving about, the face of Allah is there.’ It is further said that it is connected to Allah’s words in the previous āyah (2:114) ‘Who could do greater wrong?’ and means: ‘O believers, the lands of Allah are vast enough for you, so those who ruin the mosques of Allah cannot prevent you from turning your faces towards Allah’s qiblah no matter where you are on the earth.’ It is said that when the Prophet ☦️ was barred from the House in the Year of al-Ḥudaybīyah, the Muslims were saddened by that.

Those who say that it is abrogated say that there is no objection to that inasmuch as it is a report because it can convey the meaning of a command. It is possible that ‘wherever you turn, the Face of Allah is there’ can mean ‘towards the Face of Allah.

People disagree about the interpretation of the word ‘wajh’ (Face) as ascribed to Allah Almighty in the Qur’an and the Sunnah. Astute scholars say that it refers to created existence and is used for it as a metaphor, since the face is the most apparent part of the body and the most sublime. Ibn Fūrak said, ‘The attribute of the thing is mentioned and it is meant to designate the One described by it. The expression “having a face” means that something has existence.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Face designates Allah Himself as He says: “but the Face of your Lord will remain, Master of Majesty and Generosity”’ (55:27).’ Some imams say that this designation should be affirmed when it is heard, even if it contradicts what intellects demand of attributes that are appropriate for the Eternal. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah says that this is weak and what is actually meant is Allah’s existence. It is also said that it means the direction which one faces, in other words, the qiblah, and it is also said that it means what you aim at.

Another opinion is that the word ‘wajh’ (Face), as ascribed to Allah, means: ‘Wherever the pleasure of Allah lies and His reward,’ going by Allah’s words: ‘We feed you only out of desire for the Face of Allah.’ (76:9), meaning in order to please Him and seek His reward, and by the words of the Prophet ☦️: ‘If anyone builds a mosque by which he
desires the Face of Allah, Allah will build for him its like in the Garden.’ He said, ‘On the Day of Rising a sealed page will be brought and set before Allah Almighty. The Almighty will say to the angels, “Take this away and bring that one.” The angels will say, “By Your might, we only saw good!” He Who knows best will say, “This was for other than My Face. I only accept actions that were done for My Face,”’ i.e. by those that were sincere. Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it. It is said that it means: ‘Allah is there’ and ‘wajh’ is connective. Al-Kalbī and al-Qutabī said that. It is like what the Mu’tazilites say.

**Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.**

He gives His slaves latitude in their dīn and does not oblige them to do anything which is beyond their capacity. It is said that ‘All-Encompassing’ means that His knowledge encompasses everything, as in Allah’s words: ‘He encompasses everything in knowledge.’ (20:98) Al-Farrā’ said that the name All-Encompassing indicates the Generous whose giving encompasses everything as in Allah’s words: ‘My mercy encompasses everything.’ (7:156) It is said that His forgiveness is all-encompassing. It is said that He is gracious to His slaves and has no need of their actions.

116 They say, ‘Allah has a son.’ Glory be to Him! No, everything in the heavens and earth belongs to Him.

Everything is obedient to Him.

They say, ‘Allah has a son.’ Glory be to Him!

This refers to what the Christians say about the Messiah being the son of God. It is also said that it refers to the Jews’ statement about ‘Uzayr being the son of God. It is said that it is about the unbelieving Arabs who say that the angels are the daughters of Allah. These points will be discussed in *Sūrat Maryam* and *Sūrat al-
Anbiyā’.

Al-Bukhārī transmitted from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Prophet ☪ said, ‘Allah Almighty says, “The son of Ādam has denied Me and he has no right to do that. He has abused Me and he has no right to do that. He denies Me by claiming that I cannot bring him back as he was. He abuses Me by saying that I have a child. I am too glorious to take a consort or child.”’

The word word subḥāna (Glory be) mean ‘to declare free of’ and ‘to disconnect’. It declares the impossibility of their statement that Allah has a son. Allah is unique in His Essence, One in His attributes. He has not had a child, obviating the need for him to have a consort: ‘How could He have a son when He has no wife.’ (6:101) He was not born, obviating the need for something to have existed before Him. He is greatly exalted above what the wrongdoers and deniers say. A child is always of the same genus as his parent and so how could Allah – glory be to Him! – have a son among His creatures when nothing is like Him? The nature of a child is to be of the same species and in-time while timelessness necessitates oneness and permanence. He is Timeless, Pre-eternal, One, Unique. Alone, the Everlasting Sustainer of all. No one is comparable to Him.

Everything in the heavens and earth belongs to Him

There is nothing which He did not originate and bring into existence.

Everything is obedient to Him.

Qānit (obedient) means submissive and compliant. All creatures are subjected to Allah. The obedience of inanimate things is in the manifestation of the work done on them and by them. Qunūt means obedience as well as meaning silence. Zayd ibn Arqam said, ‘We used to speak in the prayer, a man speaking to the man at his side, until it reached: “Stand in obedience to Allah.”’ (2:238) We were commanded to be silent and forbidden to talk.’ Qunūt is the prayer. As-Suddī and others said that it means that everyone will stand in
obedience to Him on the Day of Rising. Al-Ḥasan said that it means that everyone undertakes to testify that they are His slave. Qunūt linguistically means standing, as az-Zajjāj said. The āyah means: creatures are obedient, undertake slavehood, either by conscious admission or, if they do not consciously obey, the effect of Allah’s action becomes clear on them. It is also said that the root meaning is ‘obedience’.

117 The Originator of the heavens and earth. When He decides on something, He just says to it, ‘Be!’ and it is.

The Originator of the heavens and earth.

The form used for the name ‘the Originator’ is one that gives extra emphasis to the meaning. To originate something is to bring it into existence without any prior model. This means that Allah brought the heavens and the earth into existence and fashioned them without any previous model. Anyone who makes something not previously thought of is called an originator. The verb is the source of the term bid‘ah which means innovation. It is called that because the one who does it innovates something which was not previously done or enunciated by any qualified imam.

No innovation issuing from a creature can have a basis in the Shari‘ah. If it has any basis at all it may fall under the category of recommended actions. If it is praiseworthy, even if it has no precedent, like some type of generosity or other good deed, it may well be a praiseworthy action, even if no one has done it before. This is supported by the words of ‘Umar regarding the tarāwīḥ prayer, ‘This is an excellent innovation’, since it was a good and praiseworthy action. The Prophet ♨️ prayed it, and then left it and did not continue doing it and people did not gather for it. ‘Umar reinstated it and people gathered for it and he recommended it to them as an innovation, but a praiseworthy one.
Anything which is contrary to what Allah and His Messenger commanded is categorically repudiated and blameworthy and this is what is being referred to by the Messenger of Allah ☪ when he said in his khutbah, ‘The worst of matters are the new ones, and every innovation (bid’ah) is misguidance,’ meaning anything which is not in keeping with the Book or the Sunnah or the practice of the Companions. The matter is made even clearer by the words of the Prophet ☪: ‘Anyone who innovates a good sunnah in Islam has its reward and the reward of whoever does it after him without that decreasing his reward in any way. Anyone who innovates a bad sunnah in Islam bears its burden and the burden of whoever acts by it after him without that decreasing his burden in any way.’ So innovations can be good or bad, and this is the basic principle regarding this matter. Protection and success are by Allah. There is no Lord but Him.

**When He decides on something, He just says to it, ‘Be!’ and it is.**

This means that, when He desires to complete something and make it perfect in accordance with His perfect knowledge of it, He says to it, ‘Be!’ Ibn ‘Arafah said, ‘Allah’s decision on a thing entails perfecting it, carrying it out and finishing it. That is why a judge is called a qāḍī, because, when he decides on a judgment, the quarrel is ended.’ Al-Azharī said that the verb *qaḍā* (decide) has various meanings. Its root meaning means to finish something and complete it.

Our scholars say that *qaḍā* is a word with various meanings. It can mean ‘to create’ as in Allah’s words: ‘...*He determined (qaḍāhunna) them as seven heavens*’ (41:12), meaning ‘created them’. It can mean ‘to inform’ as in: ‘*We decreed (qaḍaynā) for the tribe of Israel in the Book*’ (17:4), and it can mean to command as in: ‘*Your Lord has decreed (qaḍā) that you should worship none but Him.*’ (17:23) It can mean to force a judgment to be carried out which is why a judge is called a qāḍī. It can mean to settle a right in full as in: ‘*When Mūsā had fulfilled*
(qaḍā) the appointed term’ (28:29), and it can also mean ‘to will’, meaning ‘to decide or want’ as in: ‘When He decides on (qaḍā) something, He just says to it, “Be!” and it is.’ (40:67) Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah says it means ‘determine’. According to the people of the Sunnis, the āyah has both meanings: He determined it before time and then carried it out. According to the Mu’tazilites: He determined when He created and brought into existence.

The word translated here as ‘something’ (amr), which generally means a command or a matter, is used in the Qur’an in different ways to mean various things: Islam: ‘Until the truth came and Allah’s command prevailed’ (9:48), meaning here the din of Islam; word: ‘When Our command comes’ and ‘they argued among themselves about the matter.’ (20:62); punishment: ‘When the affair is decided’ (14:22) meaning the punishment becomes mandatory; the Prophet Īsā: Allah says: ‘When He decides on something’ (3:47) meaning, in this instance, Īsā, whom He knew would be born without having had a father; the killing of the unbelievers at Badr: Allah says: ‘When Allah’s command comes’ (40:78), meaning the killing which would take place at Badr; And again: ‘So that Allah could settle a matter whose result was preordained’ (8:42) referring to the killing of the unbelievers of Makkah; the conquest of Makkah: Allah says: ‘Wait until Allah brings about His command’ (9:24), meaning the Conquest of Makka; the killing of the Jewish tribe of Qurayṣah and the nobles of the tribe of an-Naḍīr: Allah says: ‘Pardon and overlook until Allah gives His command’ (2:109), referring to the Jewish enemies of the Muslims in Madīnah; the Day of Rising: Allah says: ‘Allah’s command is coming.’ (16:1); the Decree: Allah says: ‘He directs the whole affair’ (10:3), meaning what He has decreed; Revelation: Allah says: ‘He directs the whole affair from heaven to earth.’ (32:5) Revelation descends from heaven to earth. And He says: ‘The Command descending down through all of them’ (65:12), meaning Divine Revelation; command over creation: Allah says: ‘Indeed all matters return eventually to Him’ (42:53), meaning the affairs of every creature; victory: Allah
They say, “Do we have any say in the affair at all?” (3:154), meaning victory. ‘The affair belongs entirely to Allah’ (3:154), meaning victory; wrong action: Allah says: ‘They tasted the evil consequences of what they did (amrihim)’ (65:9), meaning the repayment for their wrong actions; action: Allah says: ‘Pharaoh’s command was not rightly guided’ (11:97); and He says: ‘Those who oppose His command should beware’ (24:63), meaning the action of the Prophet ﷺ.

The Divine command ‘kun’ (Be!) is what is meant by the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘I seek refuge in the complete Word (or Words) of Allah from the evil of what He created.’ This is also indicated in what is related by Abū Dharr from the Prophet ﷺ that Allah said in a ḥadīth qudsī: ‘My gift is words and My punishment is words.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it in a long hadith. So ‘word’ can mean ‘words’, but when one word is used for different matters at different times, it becomes words, even if it goes back to one word.

Depending on how the grammar is taken, there are two possible ways of looking at the phrase fa-yakūnu (‘and it is’). The first is that the thing comes into being after the command. Even if it was non-existent, it has the same status as something existent, because Allah already ‘knew’ it as will be explained. According to the second view, the thing comes into being simultaneously with the command, and at-Ṭabarī preferred that. He said, ‘His command to the thing does not have prior or later existence.’ The thing commanded to exist only exists by the command, and there is nothing which exists except that it has been commanded to exist, as will be explained. He said, ‘It is like when people rise from their graves. Allah’s summons (30:25) is neither earlier nor later, it is at the moment when He calls them.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah says this is weak and incorrect in respect of the meaning because it would necessitate the word to be accompanied by bringing into being and existence.

To summarise what is deduced from this āyah: Allah Almighty continues to command non-existent things to come into existence.
He decrees and can delay decreed things and He is All-Knowing and can delay known things. The entire āyah demands futurity, required by the things commanded, since temporal things come into being after they were not. All depends on Allah’s Power and Knowledge. He is timeless and continues forever. The meaning of the Divine command ‘Be!’; however, is timeless and connected to the Essence.

Al-Māwardī says, ‘It might be asked, “In what state were the things to which He said “Be” and it is’ – non-existence or existence? If it was in a state of non-existence, it is impossible that He command other than what is commanded, as it is impossible for the command to issue from other than the One who commands. If it is the state of its existence, that state cannot have existence or temporality commanded for it because it is already a temporal existent?” There are three answers to this question:

‘One is that it is a report from Allah regarding the carrying out of His commands in His existing creation, as when He commanded the tribe of Israel to become apes, in which case it is not about bringing something non-existent into existence.

‘The second is that Allah knows every being before it is and so the things which do not yet actually exist are already in His prior knowledge of them. So they are similar to what exists and it is permissible to say, “Be!” to them and to command them to emerge from the state of non-existence to the state of existence, since He has conceived of them and has knowledge of them in the state of non-existence.

‘The third is that it is a general report from Allah about what He brings into being and forms when He desires to create it and bring it into being. It is His Decree which is designated by the word “Be!”’, even if it is not an actual word.’
118 Those who do not know say, ‘If only Allah would speak to us, or some sign come to us!’ just like those before them who said the same as they say. Their hearts are much the same. We have made the Signs clear for people who have certainty.

Ibn ‘Abbās said that ‘those who do not know’ are the Jews while Mujāhid said that it is the Christians who are being referred to, which at-Ṭabarī prefers because they are mentioned immediately before this āyah. Ar-Rabī‘, as-Suddī and Qatādah say that it is the Arab idolaters who said these words, claiming that they would become believers if this were to happen. ‘Their hearts are much the same’ as the hearts of those before them in respect of obstinacy, brashness and lack of faith. Al-Farrā’ said that it is because they agree in disbelief.

119 We have sent you with the Truth, bringing good news and giving warning. Do not ask about the inhabitants of the Blazing Fire.

Do not ask about the inhabitants of the Blazing Fire.

Muqātil said that the Prophet said, ‘If Allah were to send down His violent force on the Jews, they would have faith,’ and then Allah revealed this. It is said that the reason for this was that the Prophet was asked about the state of his ancestors and this āyah was revealed.

There are two readings of the word ‘ask’. Nāfī‘ has it as tas’al, the apocopate form as a prohibition, meaning ‘Do not ask’. The others have it as tus’alu, ‘You will not be asked’. There are two aspects to the Nāfī‘ reading. One is that it forbids asking about the living...
person who has disobeyed Allah and disbelieved because their state might change and they might still becomes believers and obedient. The second, which is most likely, is that it forbids asking about those who have died in disbelief and disobedience, making their circumstances grave. It is as you say, ‘Do not ask about so-and-so! He is worse than you can imagine!’

120 The Jews and the Christians will never be pleased with you until you follow their religion. Say, ‘Allah’s guidance is the true guidance.’ If you were to follow their whims and desires, after the knowledge that has come to you, you would find no protector or helper against Allah.

The Jews and the Christians will never be pleased with you until you follow their religion.

Allah is telling His Messenger that it is not the goal of the Jews and Christians to gain faith by their queries about the āyahs. Even if he were to bring them everything they asked for, they would still not be pleased with him. They will not be pleased until he abandons Islam and follows them. The word millah (religion) means what Allah has prescribed for His slaves in His Books and on the tongues of His Messengers and so millah and Sharī‘ah are very similar in meaning. There is a difference between the words dīn, millah and sharī‘ah. Millah and sharī‘ah designate what Allah orders His slaves to do and dīn is what the slaves actually make of Allah’s commands to them.

A group of scholars, including Abū Ḥanīfah, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Dāwūd and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, hold the view that, according to this āyah, all disbelief constitutes one religion since the Almighty says: ‘your religion’, which is singular, and He says: ‘You have your dīn and I have my
din’ (109:6) and the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The people of two religions do not inherit from one another.’ What is meant is Islam as the Prophet ﷺ also said, ‘A Muslim does not inherit from an unbeliever.’ Mālik and Aḥmad in another transmission believe that disbelief consists of several different religions and so a Jew does not inherit from a Christian and neither of them inherit from a Magian, taking the hadith of the Prophet ﷺ literally. The word millah here means a multiplicity of beliefs, even though it is singular.

Say, ‘Allah’s guidance is the true guidance.’

This means: ‘O Muḥammad, what you have of the true guidance of Allah, which He places in the heart of whomever He wishes, is the true guidance, not what these people claim.’

If you were to follow their whims and desires,

There are two aspects to the use of the second person here. One is that it is addressed to the Messenger ﷺ alone; and the second is that while it is addressed to the Messenger ﷺ, it is his Community that is meant. According to the first aspect, it means to discipline Community since their position is less than his. The reason for the āyah was that the idolaters were asking for a truce while continuing to attack the Prophet ﷺ and Islam. So Allah informed him that they would not be pleased until he followed their religion and He commanded jihād against them.

after the knowledge that has come to you,

Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal was asked about the one who says that the Qur’ān is created. He said, ‘He is an unbeliever.’ It was asked, ‘On what basis is he an unbeliever?’ He said, ‘By the āyahs of the Book of Allah: “If you were to follow their whims and desires after the knowledge that has come to you.” This shows that the Qur’ān is part of Allah’s knowledge and so whoever claims it is created is an unbeliever.’
121 Those to whom We have given the Book, who recite it in the way it should be recited, such people believe in it. As for those who reject it, they are the losers.

122 Tribe of Israel! remember the blessing I conferred on you, and that I preferred you over all other beings.

123 Have fear of a Day when no self will be able to compensate for another in any way, and no ransom will be accepted from it, and no intercession benefit it, and they will not be helped.

Those to whom We have given the Book,

Qatādah said that they are the Companions of the Prophet and the Book is the Qur’an. Ibn Zayd said, ‘They are those of the tribe of Israel who became Muslim, and so the Book is the Torah.’ The āyah is, in fact, general.

who recite it in the way it should be recited,

There is disagreement about the meaning of the āyah. It is said that it means they follow the Book as it should be followed by obeying its commands and avoiding its prohibitions, observing the ḥudūd and the unlawful and in general acting according to what it contains, as ‘Ikrimah said. ‘Ikrimah also said, ‘In the words of Allah Almighty: “The moon when it follows it”’ (91:2), the word talā – which in the āyah means “recite” – means “to follow”, and that is what Ibn ‘Abbās and Ibn Mas‘ūd said.’ Ibn ‘Umar said that these words of Allah mean, ‘They follow it as it should be followed.’ According to al-Khaṭīb Abū Bakr Aḥmad, its isnād contains more than one unknown transmitter, but its meaning is sound. Abū Mūsā al-Ash‘arī said, ‘If someone diligently studies the Qur’an, it will bring him into the meadows of
Paradise.’

‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said, ‘They are those who, when they read an āyah of mercy, ask Allah for it, and when they read an āyah of punishment, seek refuge from it.’ This understanding was reported in connection with the Prophet ☪. It was said of him that when, in the course of his recitation, he recited an āyah of mercy, he asked for it and when he recited an āyah of punishment, he sought refuge from it. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘They are those who know its āyahs of judgment, believe its ambiguous āyahs, and entrust what is unclear in them to the Knower.’ It is said that it means ‘they recite it properly’, but this is unlikely, unless it means they pronounce its phrases slowly and understand its meanings. One understands meanings by following them.

124 Remember when Ibrāhīm was tested by his Lord with certain words which he carried out completely. He said, ‘I will make you a model for mankind.’ He asked, ‘And what of my descendants?’ He said, ‘My contract does not include the wrongdoers.’

Remember when Ibrāhīm was tested by his Lord with certain words which he carried out completely.

Since the Ka‘bah and qiblah are shortly going to be mentioned, this section is linked to Ibrāhīm who is the one who built the House. Part of the duty of the Jews, who are the descendants of Ibrāhīm, is not to tum away from his dīn. The ‘testing’ referred to was by commands and acts of worship. Ibrāhīm is a Syriac name, meaning ‘merciful father’ as al-Māwardī and Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah mentioned. As-Suhaylī said, ‘Often there is agreement between Syriac and Arabic, or the words are very similar.’ Do you not see that Ibrāhīm was merciful to children? That is why he and his wife, Sārah, are entrusted with the
care of the children of believers who die young until the Day of Rising? This is indicated by what al-Bukhārī transmitted about the long hadith of the vision reported from Samurah. In it the Prophet ﷺ said that Ibrāhīm was in the meadow surrounded by people’s children.

Ibrāhīm was the son of Terath son of Nahor according to some commentators. The Revelation has: ‘When Ibrāhīm said to his father, Āzar.’ (6:74) That is also what we find in Sahīh al-Bukhārī. There is no contradiction as we discuss later. According to as-Suhaylī, he had four sons: Ismāʿīl, Iṣḥāq, Madyan and Madāʿīn.

The ‘words’ referred to are, in reality, the words of the Creator but they are considered to be the tasks which He imposed on Ibrāhīm. Since the means of their imposition was through words, they are called words, just as Jesus is also called a word since He issued from the word ‘Be!’ A thing can be called metaphorically by what it resulted from. Ibn al-ʿArabī said that.

Scholars disagree about what is meant by these ‘words’ (kalimāt). One position is that they are the laws of Islam and that they entail thirty qualities:

Ten are in Sūrat al-Tawbah: ‘Those who make sincere repentance, those who worship, those who praise, those who fast, those who bow, those who prostrate, those who command what is known to be right, those who forbid what is recognised as wrong, those who preserve the limits of Allah: give good news to the believers.’ (9:112)

Ten are in Sūrat al-Aḥzāb: ‘Men and women who are Muslims, men and women who are believers, men and women who are obedient, men and women who are truthful, men and women who are steadfast, men and women who are humble, men and women who give ṣādaqa, men and women who fast, men and women who guard their private parts, men and women who remember Allah much: Allah has prepared forgiveness for them and an immense reward.’ (33:35)

And ten are in Sūrat al-Muʾminūn: ‘It is the believers who are successful: those who are humble in their prayer; those who turn away from worthless talk;
those who pay zakāt; those who guard their private parts except from their wives, or those they own as slaves, in which case they are not blameworthy — but those who desire anything more than that are people who have gone beyond the limits — those who honour their trusts and their contracts; those who safeguard their prayer.’ (23:1-9)

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Allah did not test any person who fulfilled every one of these qualities except for Ibrāhīm. He was tested by Islam and fulfilled it completely. Allah exonerated him and said: “Ibrāhīm who paid his dues in full.” (53:37)’ Some said that it means the command and prohibition. Another said that the test was sacrificing his son. Yet another said it means carrying out the Message. The ideas are close to one another. Mujāhid said, ‘It refers to the words of the Almighty to Ibrāhīm. Ibrāhīm said, “Will You make me a model for mankind?” “Yes,” He said. He asked, “And what of my descendants?” He said, “My contract does not include the wrongdoers.” Ibrāhīm asked, “Will You make the House a place of return for people?” “Yes,” He answered. He asked, “And a sanctuary?” “Yes,” He said. He said, “Will You show us our rites of worship and turn towards us?” “Yes,” He answered. He asked, “Will You provide its inhabitants with fruits?” “Yes,” He said. So according to this position, Allah Almighty is the one who carried out His own words.’

Sounder than this is what Ṭāwus reported that Ibn ‘Abbās said about this matter: ‘Allah tested him by acts of purification: five connected with the head and five with the body. They are, in respect of the head trimming the moustache, rinsing the mouth, snuffing water up the nose, using the siwāk, and parting the hair; and in respect of the body they are clipping the nails, shaving the pubes, circumcision, plucking the underarms, and washing the site of faeces and urine with water.’ According to this position, the one who carried out the words was Ibrāhīm. It is the literal meaning of the Qur’ān.
Maṭar related from Abu-l-Jald that there are ten although there is a difference: instead of parting the hair, there is washing the knuckles, and instead of washing the site of faeces and urine there is shaving the pubes with a razor. Qatādah said that they are the practices of the Ḥajj. Al-Ḥasan said that they are six things: rejecting first the planets, then the moon, then the sun, being thrown into the fire, emigration and circumcision. Az-Zajjāj said that these reports are not contradictory because all of them are part of what Ibrāhīm was tested with.

We see in the Muwaṭṭā’ and elsewhere that Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyib was heard to say, ‘Ibrāhīm was the first to be circumcised, the first to give hospitality, the first to make a mosque, the first to clip his nails, the first to trim the moustache, and the first to have white hair. When he saw the white hair, he asked, “What is this?” Allah answered, “Gravity.” He said, “Lord, increase me in gravity!”’ Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah mentioned from Saʿīd ibn Ibrāhīm that his father said, “The first to give a khutbah on a minbar was Ibrāhīm, the Friend of Allah.” Someone else said that he was the first to make a meat stew, the first to strike with a sword, the first to use siwāk, the first to use water in the lavatory and the first to wear trousers. Muʿādh ibn Jabal reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘If I adopt a minbar, my father Ibrāhīm adopted it. If I take a staff, my father Ibrāhīm used it.’ All these things will be dealt with in their proper place.

Scholars agree that Ibrāhīm was the first to be circumcised. There is disagreement about the age at which the circumcision took place. In the Muwaṭṭā’ Abū Hurayrah is reported in a mawqūf report as stating that he was 120 and lived for eighty years after that. Something like this would not be stated based on mere opinion. Al-Awzāʾī related it marfūʿ from Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd from Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyib. Abū ʿUmar mentioned it. Others relate that it was done at the age of eighty and was carried out with an adze.
Scholars disagree about the legal status of circumcision. The majority say that it is a confirmed *sunnah* and part of the natural patterning of Islam which men should not abandon. A group say that it is obligatory since Allah says: ‘Follow the religion of Ibrāhīm, a man of pure natural faith.’ (2:123) Qatādah said that that refers to circumcision and some Mālikīs believe that. That is the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Some of our people argue on the basis of what al-Ḥajjāj ibn Arṭa’ah related from Abu-l-Malīḥ from his father from Shaddād ibn Awas that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Circumcision is sunnah for men, and honour for women.’ Al-Ḥajjāj is not someone who is used as authority.

Part of what is considered authoritative about this matter is the hadith of Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The natural form (*fiṭrah*) consists of five: circumcision…’ Abū Dāwūd related from Umm ‘Aṭiyyah that a woman used to circumcise women in Madīnah. The Prophet ﷺ told her, ‘Do not cut a lot. That is better for the woman and more liked by her husband.’ Abū Dāwūd said that this hadith is weak. It contains someone unknown. A boy born with no foreskin is spared circumcision. Al-Maymūnī said, ‘I said to Ahmad, “This is a man who was born circumcised. He is very grieved about it.” He said, “Allah has spared him the burden. What is he unhappy about?”’

Abu-l-Faraj al-Jawzī said that Ka‘b al-Aḥbār said, ‘Thirteen Prophets were created circumcised: Ādam, Shīth, Idrīs, Nūḥ, Sām, Lūṭ, Yūsuf, Mūsā, Shu‘ayb, Sulaymān, Yaḥyā, ‘Īsā and the Prophet ﷺ.” Muḥammad ibn Ḥābīb al-Hāshimī said that there were fourteen: Ādam, Shīth, Nūḥ, Hūd, Ṣāliḥ, Lūṭ, Shu‘ayb, Yūsuf, Mūsā, Sulaymān, Zakariyyā, ‘Īsā, Ḥanžalah ibn Ṣafwān (the Prophet of the people of ar-Rass) and the Prophet ﷺ.

There are differing reports about the Prophet ﷺ. Ḥāfīz Abū Nu‘aym said in *Kitāb al-ḥilyah* with an *isnād* that the Prophet ﷺ was born uncircumcised. In *at-Tamhīd* Abū ‘Umar has an *isnād* from
Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad from Muḥammad ibn Ḥaṣān Ḥaṣān ibn Yahyā ibn Ayyūb al-ʿAllāf from Muḥammad ibn Abī as-Sariyy al-ʿAsqallānī from al-Walīd ibn Muslim from Shuʿayb from ʿAṭāʾ al-Khurāsānī from ʿIkrimah from Ibn ʿAbbās that ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalib circumcised the Prophet ☦ on the seventh day, prepared a feast for him and named him Muḥammad. Abū ʿUmar said that it is a hadith with a strange isnād. Yahyā ibn Ayyūb said, ‘I looked for this hadith and did not find it with any of the people of hadith I met except for Ibn Abī as-Sariyy.’ Abū ʿUmar remarked that it is said that the Prophet ☦ was born uncircumcised.

There is disagreement about when a boy should be circumcised. It is confirmed in reports from a group of scholars that Ibrāhīm circumcised Ismāʿīl at the age of thirteen and circumcised his son Isaac at the age of seven days. It is related from Fāṭimah that she circumcised her son on the seventh day. Mālik objected to that and said that it is part of Jewish practice. Ibn Wahb mentioned it from him. Al-Layth ibn Saʿd said, ‘A child is circumcised between the age of seven and ten.’ Ibn Wahb related the like from Mālik. Aḥmad said, ‘I have not heard anything about this.’ We find in al-Bukhārī that Saʿīd ibn Jubayr said, ʿIbn ʿAbbās was asked, “What were you like when the Messenger of Allah ☦ died?” He said, “I was still uncircumcised that day.”’ He said, ‘They used not to circumcise a male until he had reached puberty, or shortly before.’

Scholars recommend that an adult male should be circumcised. ʿAṭāʾ used to say that a man’s Islam is not complete until he is circumcised, even if he is eighty. It is related that al-Ḥasan allowed an old man who becomes Muslim to not be circumcised and he saw no harm in him, his testimony, slaughtering, ḥajj and prayer. Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr said, ‘This is what most of the people of knowledge believe. The hadith of Buraydah about the ʿajj of an uncircumcised man is not confirmed.’ It is related from Ibn ʿAbbās, Jābir ibn Zayd and ʿIkrimah that the animals slaughtered by someone
uncircumcised are not eaten and his testimony is not accepted.

With regard to clipping the nails, Mālik said, ‘It is recommended for women to clip their nails and shave their pubes just as it is for men.’ Al-Ḥārith ibn Miskīn and Saḥnūn mentioned it from Ibn al-Qāsim. At-Tirmidhī mentioned in Nawādir al-ṣūl from ‘Umar ibn Ibn ‘Umar from Ibrāhīm ibn al-‘Alā’ az-Zubaydī that ‘Umar ibn Bilāl al-Fazārī heard ‘Abdullāh ibn Bishr al-Māzinī that the Messenger of Allah ☪️ said, ‘Cut your nails and bury the clippings. Clean your knuckles. Cleans your gums of food and brush your teeth. Do not visit me stinking.’

Trimming the moustache involves cutting it back a little so that the edge of the lip appears but not removing it completely, which constitutes self-mutilation according to Mālik. Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam reported that he said, ‘I think that someone who shaves off his moustache should be disciplined.’ Ashhab said that Mālik said about shaving it, ‘It is an innovation and I think that the one who does it should be beaten.’ Ibn Khuwayzimandād said that Mālik said, ‘I think that someone who shaves should be painfully beaten.’ This seems to be because he viewed it as self-mutilation. The same is true about plucking it out. It is better to trim it than shave.

It is related that the Prophet ☪️ used to trim his nails and moustache before he went to Jumuʿah. Aṭ-Ṭaḥāwī said, ‘We did not find any text from ash-Shāfiʿī on that. His companions whom we saw, namely al-Muzanī and ar-Rabīʿ, used to trim their moustaches. That indicates that they took that from ash-Shāfiʿī.’ He said that the school of Abū Ḥanīfah, Zafar, Abū Yūsuf and Muḥammad was that it was better to trim the hair and moustache close than to shorten it. Ibn Khuwayzimandād mentioned that the school of ash-Shāfiʿī was to shave the moustache as was the school of Abū Ḥanīfah. Abū Bakr al-Athram said, ‘I saw that Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal trimmed his moustache a lot. I heard him being asked about the sunnah of trimming the moustache and he said, ‘It is trimmed as the Prophet
Abū Bakr said that there are two basic principles here: one is trimming, which is a word subject to interpretation, and the other is shortening it, which is explanatory. That which is explained trumps that which is subject to interpretation. That was the practice of the people of Madīnah, and it is the most appropriate thing that is said about this topic. At-Tirmidhī related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ☪ used to shortening his moustache and said, “Ibrāhīm, the Beloved of the All-Merciful, used to do this.”’ He said that it is a ḥasan gharīb hadith. Muslim transmitted from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ☪ said, ‘The natural form consists of five: circumcision, shaving the pubes, shortening the moustache, clipping the nails and plucking the armpits.’ Regarding that, Ibn ‘Umar said that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘Be different to the idolaters. Trim the moustache and let the beard be full.’ The Persians used to shorten their beards and let their moustaches grow, or let them both grow. This is contrary to beauty and cleanliness. Razīn mentioned from Nāfi’ that Ibn ‘Umar used to trim his moustache until the skin showed, and he cut what was between the moustache and the beard. We find in al-Bukhārī: ‘Ibn ‘Umar used to cut off of his beard what was more than a handful when he went on ḥajj or ‘umrah. At-Tirmidhī related from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ that the Messenger of Allah ☪ used to cut some of his beard on the sides and end. He said that it is a gharīb hadith.

The sunnah for armpits is to pluck them as the sunnah for the pubes is to shave them. If the reverse is done, that is permitted because cleanliness is achieved, but the first is more appropriate since it is the custom.

The hair is parted. The Prophet ☪ used to part his locks. One describes ‘parting’ the hair when it is not left to grow however it will. An-Nasā’ī related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Prophet ☪ used leave his hair to hang down and the idolaters used to part their hair. He
liked to be in conformity with the People of the Book when he had not been commanded anything specific. Then after that he parted his hair. Al-Bukhārī and Muslim transmitted it from Anas. Qādī ʿIyāḍ said that in reference to the hair, *sadl* is to let it grow freely. What is meant by scholars here is to let the hair fall on the forehead and to put it into a lock. Parting the hair is sunnah because it is that to which the Prophet reverted. It is related that when ʿUmar ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz left the *Jumuʿah* prayer, he put guards at the door of the mosque to cut off the forelock of everyone who had not parted his hair. It is said that parting was part of the sunnah of Ibrāhīm. Allah knows best.

Regarding white hair, it is a light and it is disliked to pluck it out. We find in an-Nasāʿī and Abū Dāwūd from ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb from his grandfather that the Prophet said, ‘Do not pluck out white hair. There is no Muslim who has become white-haired in Islam but that it will be a light for him on the Day of Rising and a good deed will be will be written for him and an error dropped.’ It is also disliked to dye it black, but permitted to use other colours as is reported in the hadith in which Abū Quḥāfah was brought to the Prophet and his beard was white like wormwood. The Prophet said, ‘Change this, but avoid black.’

**He said, ‘I will make you a model for mankind.’**

The word *imām* here means a model. It is used for a builder’s measure and also for a road because it directs the traveller. It means: ‘We will make you an imam for people, whom they will imitate in these qualities, and the righteous will follow you.’ So He made him an imam for the people who obey Him. That is why all the nations agree that he was rightly guided, and Allah knows best.

**He asked, ‘And what of my descendants?’**

This is a kind of supplication which consists of asking for information from Allah, meaning, ‘Lord, will You place this right guidance among my descendants?’ This is asking for information or
it may be a simple question. Allah informed him that the disobedient and wrongdoers among them are not entitled to be models.

The word for ‘descendants’ is dhuriyyah. That is because Allah brought them out from the loins of Adam like atoms (dharr) when He made them bear witness against themselves. It is also said that it is derived from dhara’a, when Allah created them.

He said, ‘My contract does not include the wrongdoers.’

There is disagreement about what is meant by ‘ahd (contract) here. Ibn ‘Abbās said that it refers to Prophethood as did as-Suddī. Mujāhid said it refers to the imamate; Qatādah that it refers to faith; ‘Aṭā that it refers to mercy; and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk that it refers to the dīn of Allah. It is said that Allah’s contract is His command and that the usage of ‘ahd is found elsewhere in the Qur’ān, as in ‘Allah made a contract with us’ (3:183), meaning ‘commanded us’. Allah says: ‘Did I not make a contract with you, tribe of Ādam?’ (36:60) It means ‘command’ because the contract of Allah consists of His commands. So this āyah means: ‘It is not possible for them to be in the same position as those accept the commands of Allah since the wrongdoers do not establish them.’ Ma‘mar related that Qatādah also said that it means: ‘My contract concerning the Next World does not include the wrongdoers. As for in this world, it is possible wrongdoers may obtain it.’ Az-Zajjāj said, ‘This is a good view. It means that the hopes of the wrongdoers will not be realised: they are not safe from Allah’s punishment.’ Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said that ‘wrongdoer’ means ‘idolater’.

A group of scholars use this āyah as evidence that the imam must be one of the people of justice, good and excellence and have the ability to fulfill the task of leadership. This is borne out by the command of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Do not dispute power with its people.’ The people of iniquity, injustice and wrong cannot be considered its people because of this āyah. This was the reason for the rebellion of
Ibn az-Zubayr and that of al-Ḥusayn, the rebellion of the virtuous people and scholars of Iraq against al-Ḥajjāj, and the rebellion of the people of Madīnah against the Umayyads at the Battle of al-Ḥarrah when Muslim ibn ‘Uqbah attacked it.

Most scholars take the view that perseverance in obedience to an unjust ruler is better than rebellion because the consequence of resisting him and rebelling against him is to replace security with fear, shed blood, give free rein to foolish people, attack the Muslims and corrupt the earth. The other is a position of a group of Mu‘tazilites and the position of the Khārijites.

Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘No wrongdoer can be a Prophet or judge or mufti or leader of the prayer. If such a thing occurs then what he relates of the Sharī‘ah is not accepted and his testimony is not accepted in judgment, although he is not dismissed for his iniquity until those entitled to appoint and dismiss do so. His judgments that accord with what is correct are carried out. Mālik stated this about the Khārijites and the rebels: their judgments are not declared void when they entail some form of ijtihād and do not violate the consensus or oppose texts and this was the consensus of the Companions. That is because the Khārijites rebelled in their time but it is not transmitted that the imāms criticised their judgments or anulled any of them or repeated their collection of zakāt or objected to their carrying out the ḥudūd punishments when they had done it. That indicates that, when they use proper ijtihād, their rulings are not attacked.’

Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘As for taking stipends from unjust leaders, there are three possibilities. If everything in their possession has been gathered according to the just demands of the Sharī‘ah, it is permitted to take the stipend, and the Companions and Tābi‘ūn took it from al-Ḥajjāj and others. If the lawful and unjust are mixed, as is the case of rulers today, it is scrupulous to forgo it, but it is permitted for the needy to take it. In this case the ruler is like a thief.
who has both stolen property and lawful property in his possession and gives something as charity to someone. The recipient is permitted to accept it as ṣadaqah even though it is possible that it might be part of the stolen property. The same is true if such a ruler buys or sells: the contract is binding although it is more scrupulous to avoid it. If, however, everything that is in his possession is clearly unlawful, then it is not permitted to accept his ṣadaqah. If the property in their possession is usurped and it is not known who is its owner and there is no claimant, then it is like what is found in the possession of thieves and highwaymen and is put in the treasury to await a claimant. If there is no one to claim it, the ruler spends as seems best in the best interests of the Muslims.

125 And when We made the House a place of return, a sanctuary for mankind, and they took the Maqām of Ibrāhīm as a place of prayer. We contracted with Ibrāhīm and Ismā‘īl: ‘Purify My House for those who circle it, and those who stay there, and those who bow and who prostrate.’

And when We made the House a place of return, a sanctuary for mankind,

The House is the Ka‘bah. Mathābah (place of return) is the form of the noun used for place, derived from the verb thāba, ‘to return’. It is called that because people return to it year after year. The use of the word ‘sanctuary’ in this āyah was taken by Abū Ḥanīfah and a group of fuqahā’ as evidence for not imposing the ḥadd punishment in the Ḥaram when an adulterer or thief takes refuge there. In making that ruling they also rely on the words of Allah: ‘All who enter it are safe.’ (3:97) The sound position is that the ḥudūd punishments are carried
out in the Ḥaram and that this āyah is abrogated although there is agreement that the killing does not take place in the House but outside of it. The disagreement is about killing inside the Ḥaram. If someone violates a hadd there, he is punished there. If someone attacks in it, he is fought and killed where he is. Abū Ḥanīfah says that the one who seeks refuge in the Ḥaram is not killed or pursued and remains confined in it until he dies or leaves. We kill him with the sword and Allah kills him by hunger and constriction, thus condemning him to a worse death. The fact that it is a sanctuary reinforces the command to face the Ka‘bah in the prayer because Jerusalem does not have this virtue and people do not make ḥajj to it.

and they took the Maqām of Ibrāhīm as a place of prayer.’

There are two readings of the verb ‘to take’ in this phrase. Nāfi‘ and Ibn ʿĀmir recite it as ittakhadhu, making it a report about those of the followers of Ibrāhīm who prayed there, added to ‘We made’ and so it reads: ‘they took the Maqām of Ibrāhīm as a place of prayer.’ Or it means ‘when We made’ and ‘when they took’. The second reading is ittakhidhu in the imperative mood so the meaning is a command and the phrase reads: ‘Take the Maqām of Ibrāhīm as a place of prayer.’ According to the first, there is one sentence, and according to the second, there are two sentences. The majority read it with a kasrah as a command and disconnect it from the first sentence.

Ibn ‘Umar reported that ‘Umar said, ‘I coincided with my Lord on three occasions: regarding the Maqām of Ibrāhīm, the ḥijāb and the captives of Badr.’ Muslim and others transmitted it. A similar report comes from al-Bukhārī. He suggested praying behind the Maqām and then this was revealed. He suggested the ḥijāb and 33:53 was revealed.

The word maqām means a place where you stand. There are various statements about the Maqām. The soundest is that it is the stone which people recognise today and behind which they pray the
two *rak‘ahs* after completing the *Tawāf* of Arrival. This is the position of Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh, Ibn ‘Abbās, Qatādah and others. In *Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim*, in a long hadith, Jābir said, ‘When the Prophetﷺ saw the House, he greeted the Corner and then trotted three circuits and walked four. Then he went to the Maqām Ibrāhīm and recited, “they took the Maqām of Ibrāhīm as a place of prayer,” and prayed two *rak‘ahs* in which he recited *Sūrat al-Ikhlāṣ* and *Sūrat al-*Kāfirūn.*’ This indicates that the two *rak‘ahs* of *tawāf* and other prayers are better for the people of Makkah. In another transmission, as will be mentioned, it indicates that *tawāf* is better for non-resident visitors.

In al-Bukhārī it states that it is the stone which Ibrāhīm climbed on when he was too weak to lift up the stones which Ismā‘īl handed him when they were building the House and his feet sank into it. Anas said, ‘In the Maqām I saw the trace of his fingers and heels and the hollows of his feet. But that disappeared when people continued to wipe it with their hands.’ Al-Qushayrī mentioned it. As-Suddī said, ‘The Maqām is the stone which Ismā‘īl’s wife placed under Ibrahim’s feet when she washed his head.’ Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid, ‘Ikrimah and ‘Aṭā’ said that the Maqām is all of the ḥajj. ‘Aṭā’ said that it is ‘Arafah, Muzdalifah and the *jamrahs*. Ash-Sha‘bī said that. An-Nakha‘ī said that all of the Ḥaram is the Maqām of Ibrāhīm. Mujāhid said that.

The sound position about the Maqām is the first statement since it is confirmed in the *Ṣaḥīḥ*. Abū Nu‘aym transmitted from Muḥammad ibn Sūqah from Muḥammad ibn al-Munkadīr that Jābir said, ‘The Prophetﷺ looked at a man between the corner and the Maqām — or the door and the Maqām — making supplication. He was saying, “O Allah, forgive so-and-so.” The Prophetﷺ asked him, “What is this?” He said, “A man charged me to supplicate for him in this Maqām.” He said, “Return. Your companion is forgiven.”’ It has further paths of transmission.

**We contracted with Ibrāhīm and Ismā‘īl: ‘Purify My House**
‘We contracted’ (‘ahidnā) here means ‘We commanded’ or ‘We revealed to’. The Kufans say that ‘purify’ means to clear it of idols, as Mujāhid and az-Zuhrī said. ‘Ubayd ibn ‘Umayr and Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said that it means to purify it of evil things and doubt. It is said that it means from unbelievers. As-Suddī said, ‘They built it and founded it on purity and with the intention of purity and so it is connected to the words of the Almighty: “Founded on taqwā.”’ (9:108) By saying “My House” Allah ascribes it to Himself to honour it. It is like the relationship of a creature to the Creator and a slave to his Master.’ He says, ‘My House’ to honour it. When Allah says: ‘Purify My House’, He means all mosques, and so its ruling is that of cleanliness and purification. The Ka‘bah is mentioned because it was the only mosque at that time or because it is the most respected House. The first view is more likely, and Allah knows best. We read in the Revelation: ‘In houses which Allah has permitted to be built.’ (24:36)

‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb related that he heard the noise of a man in the mosque. He said, ‘What is this? Do you know where you are?’ Hudhayfah said that the Prophet said, ‘Allah revealed to me, “Brother of the warners! Brother of the Messengers! Warn your people to only enter one of My houses with sound hearts, truthful tongues, clean hands and pure private parts. One should not enter one of My houses as long as he has an injustice on his head. I will curse him as long as he stands before Me until that injustice is restored to its people. Then I am his hearing with which he hears and his sight with which He sees, and he is one of My friends and chosen ones. He goes with the Prophets, truthful, martyrs and righteous.”’

Those who circle it,

The word used here is tā’īfin and its apparent meaning is to circumambulate it, as ‘Aṭā’ says. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr says that it means ‘strangers who come to Makkah’. That is somewhat unlikely.

and those who stay there,
This is also said to mean those who live in its vicinity, or even, as Ibn ‘Abbās states, those who pray there. It is said that it means ‘those who sit there without doing *tawāf*.

and those who bow and who prostrate.’

Bowing and prostrating refer to praying towards the Ka‘bah in the Ḥaram. These two things are mentioned because they are the states in which the one who prays is closest to Allah. Ash-Shāfi‘ī Abū Ḥanīfah, ath-Thawrī and a group of the Salaf used this āyah as evidence for the permission to pray both the *fard* and *nāfilah* prayers inside the House. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that if you pray inside it and face one of its walls, then your prayer is allowed. If you pray towards the open door, your prayer is invalid. That also applies to someone who prays on top of it because he does not face anything. Mālik said, ‘You may not pray a *fard* or *sunnah* in it, but you may pray *nāfilah* prayers inside. If someone prays the *fard* prayer there, he must repeat it if it is still within the time of that prayer.’ Aṣbagh said he must always repeat it, even outside the time.

This is sound according to what Muslim related from Ibn ‘Abbās. He said, ‘Usāmah ibn Zayd told me that when the Prophet ﷺ entered the House, he made supplication in all its corners but did not pray until he had come out. When he came out, he prayed two *rak‘ahs* facing the Ka‘bah and said, “This is the *qiblah*.”’ Al-Bukhārī related that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ entered with Usāmah ibn Zayd, Bilāl, and ‘Uthmān ibn Ṭalḥah al-Ḥajabī and they locked the door behind them. When they opened the door, I was the first to enter and met Bilāl. I asked him, “Did the Messenger of Allah ﷺ pray?” “Yes,” he answered, “between the two pillars on the right.”’ Muslim transmitted it. It says in it: ‘He had two pillars on his left, a pillar on his right and three pillars behind him.’ At that time the House had six pillars. It is possible that ‘pray’ means to make supplication as Usāmah said, and it is also possible that it is the normal prayer.
Ibn al-Mundhir and others related that Usāmah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah  saw some images in the Ka‘bah. I brought some water in a bucket with which he washed them off.’ Abū Dāwūd aṭ-Ṭayālisī transmitted from Ibn Abī Dhi‘b from ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Māhrān from ʿUmayr, the freedman of Ibn ʿAbbās, that Usāmah ibn Zayd said, ‘I went in to the Messenger of Allah  in the Ka‘bah and he saw some images. He called for a bucket of water. I brought it to him and he began to wash them off, saying, “May Allah fight a people who make images of what they did not create!”’ It is possible that the Prophet  prayed while Usāmah had gone to look for water and Bilāl saw him but Usāmah did not. Affirming it is more proper than denying it. Usāmah himself said, ‘People took what Bilāl said and left what I said.’ Mujāhid related that ʿAbdullāh ibn Ṣafwān said, ‘I asked ʿUmar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, “How did the Messenger of Allah  pray when he entered the Ka‘bah?” “Two rak‘ahs,” he replied.’

This is possible for  nāfilah prayers. We do not know of any disagreement between scholars on the validity of  nāfilah prayers in the Ka‘bah. That is not the case with  fard prayers because Allah Almighty specified direction when He says: ‘Turn your face, therefore, towards the Masjid al-Ḥaram.’ (2:144) When he said, ‘This is the qiblah’ when he came out, he specified it as Allah had specified it. If the  fard prayer had been valid inside it, he would not have said, ‘This is the qiblah.’ This is a sound manner of combining the two hadiths.

There is also disagreement about praying on top of it. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said what we mentioned. Mālik said, ‘Whoever prays on top of it should repeat the prayer within the time.’ Some of Mālik’s people said that it should always be repeated. Abū Ḥanīfah says that one who prays there does not owe anything.

They also disagree about which is better: praying at the House or ġawāf of it. Mālik said that ġawāf is better for the people of other places and that prayer is better for the people of Makkah. That is
mentioned from Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Aṭā’ and Mujāhid. The majority say that the prayer is better. We find in a report: ‘If it had not been for humble men, bowing old men, suckling babes, and grazing animals, We would have poured the punishment on you.’ Abū Bakr Aḥmad ibn ‘Alī ibn Thābit the Khaṭib said that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If it had not been that among you are humble men, grazing animals and suckling babes, the punishment would have poured down on the sinners.’ Abū Dharr said, ‘The prayer is best, so do a lot of it,’ Al-Ajurri transmitted it. There are many reports that attest to the excellence of the prayer and prostration. Allah knows best.

126 And when Ibrāhīm said, ‘My Lord, make this a place of safety and provide its inhabitants with fruits — all of them who believe in Allah and the Last Day,’ He said, ‘I will let anyone who becomes an unbeliever enjoy himself a little but then I will drive him to the punishment of the Fire. What an evil destination!’

And when Ibrāhīm said, ‘My Lord, make this a place of safety and provide its inhabitants with fruits –

This means Makkah. He prayed for his descendants and others to have security and ample livelihood there. It is related that when he made this supplication, Allah commanded Jibrīl to uproot the town of Ṭā‘īf from Syria and he then carried it around the Ka‘bah for a week, which is why it is called Ṭā‘īf. Then he set it down in Tihāmah. Makkah and what was around it was a desert without water or plants. Allah blessed the area around it like Ṭā‘īf and other places and plants grew.

Scholars disagree about whether Makkah became a ḥaram by
Ibrāhīm’s supplication or whether it was one before that. One view is that it has always been a ḥaram, protected from tyrants, earthquakes and other such things that happen to other lands. Rebellious people feel respect for it so that its people alone enjoy security. In it Allah has placed the great symbol of His Unity. Its special status is shown by game which go into it. Dogs and game meet in it and the dogs do not attack the game. But, when the game animal leaves the ḥaram, the dogs run after it. Ibrāhīm asked his Lord to protect it from drought, famine and attacks and to provide its people with fruits. He did not, as some people think, refer to shedding blood in it when that was necessary. That was not what he intended so that someone might say that he asked Allah to forbid the killing of those who sought refuge in it. This is very unlikely.

The second view is that it was not a ḥaram before the prayer of Ibrāhīm and was like any other place and that it only became a ḥaram when he made his supplication, as Madīnah became one when the Messenger of Allah ☪ made it one. The people of the first position use as evidence the hadith of Ibn ‘Abbās in which the Messenger of Allah ☪ said on the Day of the Conquest, ‘This city (Makkah) was made a ḥaram the day when Allah created the heavens and the earth and so will remain sacred by Allah’s making it sacred until the Day of Rising. It was not lawful for anyone to fight in it before me and it was only lawful for me for one hour of one day. It will remain sacred because Allah has made it so until the Day of Rising. Its thorns should not be cut, its game should not be hunted and something dropped should not be picked except by someone who announces that he has found it.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Messenger of Allah, except for idhkhir-herb for our goldsmiths and houses.’ He said, ‘Except for idhkhir-herb.’ Muslim and others transmitted something similar from Shurayḥ.

In Ṣahīḥ Muslim, ‘Abdullāh ibn Zayd ibn ‘Āṣim reported that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘Ibrāhīm made Makkah a ḥaram and
prayed for its people. I made Madīnah a ḥaram as Ibrāhīm made Makkah a ḥaram and I prayed for its ṣā‘ and mudd in the same way that Ibrāhīm prayed for the people of Makkah.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that there is no conflict between the two hadiths because the first one reports about the prior knowledge and decree of Allah for it and that it was sacred in the time of Ādam and the time when those with belief lived there, and the second reported about Ibrāhīm renewing its inviolability after it had fallen away. The first statement of the Prophet ﷺ reports about the huge importance of the inviolability of Makkah for the believers by making that inviolability go back to Allah. Mentioning Ibrāhīm when he made Madīnah a ḥaram is providing a model. It is not impossible that the inviolability of Madīnah also came from Allah, and was the carrying out of His Decree and by His prior knowledge. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘Makkah was a ḥaram, but Allah did not make people worship by that until Ibrāhīm asked him for it and so He made it inviolable.’

He said, ‘I will let anyone who becomes an unbeliever enjoy himself a little

Scholars disagree about whether this statement was made by Ibrāhīm or Allah. Ubayy ibn Ka‘b, Ibn Ishāq and others said, ‘That is from Allah Almighty’. Six readings have ounatti‘ahu (‘I will let enjoy’) while Ibn ‘Āmir has numatti‘uhu (‘We will let enjoy’) as well as ‘We will drive him’. Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid and Qatādah said that the statement was made by Ibrāhīm. They read it as anti‘hu in the imperative, and so it is a supplication made by Ibrāhīm against them: “Let him enjoy...” Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Ibrāhīm prayed for those who believed in particular and Allah informed him that He would provide for those who disbelieved as well as those who believed and that He would let them enjoy themselves a little and then force them to the punishment of the Fire.’ Abū Ja‘far said that Allah says: ‘We sustain each one, the former and the latter, through the generous giving of your Lord’ (17:20) and He also says: ‘There are nations to whom We will give
enjoyment.’ (11:48) Abū Ishāq said, ‘Ibrāhīm knew that there would be unbelievers among his descendants and so he singled out the believers.

127 And when Ibrāhīm laid the foundations of the House with Ismā‘īl: ‘Our Lord, accept this from us! You are the All-Hearing, the All-Knowing.

The word qawā‘id (foundations) mentioned here is the subject of some discussion. Abū ‘Ubaydah and al-Farrā‘ say it means foundations but al-Kisā‘ī says it means walls. Its usual meaning however, is foundations. In a report we find: ‘When the House was demolished, huge stones from it were brought, and Ibn Az-Zubayr said, “These are the foundations (qawā‘id) which Ibrāhīm laid.”’ It is said that the foundations had been demolished and Allah informed Ibrāhīm about them. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The House was set on foundations which existed a thousand years before this world was created.’ The singular of qawā‘id is qā‘idah.

People disagree about who first built the House and its foundations. It is said that it was the angels. It is related that Ja‘far ibn Muḥammad said, ‘While I was present, my father was asked about the initial creation of the House. He said, “When Allah said, ‘I am putting a caliph on the earth’ (2:30), the angels said, ‘Why put on it one who will cause corruption on it and shed blood when we glorify You with praise and proclaim Your purity?’ He became angry with them and they sought refuge with His Throne and circled it seven times, seeking to please their Lord so that He might be pleased with them. He told them, ‘Build for Me a House on the earth at which those of the sons of Ādam with whom I am angry might seek refuge and circumambulate it as you did of my Throne. I will be pleased with them as I am pleased with you.’ So they built this House.”’
'Abd ar-Razzāq mentioned from Ibn Jurayj from ‘Aṭā’, Ibn al-Musayyab and others that they reported that Allah revealed to Ādam, ‘When you go down to the earth, build me a House. Then go around it as you saw the angels going around My Throne in heaven.’ ‘Aṭā’ said, ‘People claim that it was built from five mountains: Ḥirā’, Sinai, Lebanon, al- Jūdī and Mt. Zayta. Its rubd was from Ḥirā’.’ Al-Khalil said that the meaning rubd in this context is the circular foundation of the House which is made of stone. One also calls the outskirts of Madīnah rubd.

Al-Māwardī mentioned from ‘Aṭā’ that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘When Ādam descended to earth from the Garden, he was told, “Ādam, go and build a House for Me. Circumambulate it and remember Me at it as you saw the angels doing around My Throne.” Ādam went forward and traversing the earth was shortened for him. Every place on which his foot fell flourished until he reached the site of the Sacred House. Jibrīl was striking the earth with his wings and uncovered a firm foundation on the ground of the lowest earth. The angels threw stones to him and one of the stones could not be lifted by thirty men.’ He built it from five mountains as we mentioned.

It is related in some reports that Ādam brought down one of the tents of the Garden and set it up at the site of the Ka‘bah so that he could live in it and circumambulate it. It remained there until Allah took Ādam and then it was removed. This is reported from Wahb ibn Munabbih. One version has: ‘A House came down with him, and he did ṭawāf of it as did his believing children. That was how it was until the time of the Flood. Then Allah removed it to heaven. It is what is called “the Inhabited House”.’ This is related from Qatādah. Al-Ḥalīmī mentioned it in Kitāb minhāj ʾd-dīn. He said, ‘It is possible that what Qatādah said about it descending with him was the measure of the Inhabited House in height, width and thickness. Then he was told to build according to those measurements and to position it opposite it. So the position of the Ka‘bah is opposite it.
and he built it there. As for the tent, it could have descended and been set up in the location of the Ka‘bah. When he was commanded to build it, he built it and it was around the Ka‘bah, giving peace of mind to Ādam as long as he lived. Then it was removed.’ So these reports agree. This was the building of Ādam and then Ibrāhīm rebuilt it.

It is related from ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib that when Allah commanded Ibrāhīm to build the House, he set out from Syria with his son Ismā‘īl and Ismā‘īl’s mother Hajar. The Sakīnah was sent with him with a tongue by which it spoke. Ibrāhīm went with it morning and evening until it brought him to Makkah. Then it told Ibrāhīm, ‘Build the foundation where I am.’ He and Ismā‘īl raised the House until it reached the height of the Corner. He told his son, ‘My son, look for a stone to set in place as a marker for people.’ He brought a stone, but he did not like it and said, ‘Look for another.’ He began to look and brought it but he had already brought the corner stone and put it in its place. He asked, ‘Father, who brought you this stone?’ He answered, ‘One who was not letting me rely on you.’

Ibn ‘Abbās reported that [Mount] Abū Qubays said, ‘Ibrāhīm! Friend of the All-Merciful! You have a deposit with me. Take it.’ It was a white stone from the rubies of the Garden. Ādam brought it down with me from the Garden. When Ibrāhīm and Ismā‘īl raised the foundations of the House, a square cloud with a face came and called out: ‘Raise it on my square.’ This is the structure of Ibrāhīm.

It is related that when Ibrāhīm and Ismā‘īl finished building the House, Allah gave them horses as a reward for raising the foundations of the House. Al-Ḥakīm at-Tirmidhī related from ‘Umar ibn Abī ‘Umar from Nu‘aym ibn Ḥammād from ‘Abd al-Wahhāb ibn Hammām, the brother of ‘Abd ar-Razzāq from Ibn Jurayj from Ibn Abī Mulaykah that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Horses were wild like other wild animals. When Allah allowed Ibrāhīm and Ismā‘īl to raise the foundations, Allah Almighty said, “I will give you
a treasure that I have stored up for you.” Then He revealed to Ismā‘īl: “Go out to Ajyad and call. Your treasure will come to you.” He went to Ajyad which was a dwelling, not knowing what the supplication was nor what the treasure was. He inspired him and there was no horse on the face of the earth in the land of the Arabs that did not come to him. He was able to take their forelocks and they were subject to him. He rode them and foddered them. They are good fortune and the legacy of your father Ismā‘īl. They are called “faras” [whose root means “perception”] in Arabic because Ismā‘īl was commanded to make supplication and it came to him.’

‘Abd al-Mun‘im ibn Idrīs related that Wahb ibn Munabbih said, ‘Shīth was the first to build using mud and stones. The structures of Quraysh are known and the report about the serpent in connection with that has been mentioned. It stopped them from destroying it until Quraysh gathered at the Maqām and cried out, “Our Lord! Do not be alarmed! We want to honour and adorn Your House if You are pleased with that. Otherwise do what seems best to You!” They heard the sound of the wings of a heavy bird in the sky and saw a bird larger than an eagle with a black back and white belly and two legs. It sunk its talons in the neck of the serpent and then left with it while its tail was dragging, being greater than such-and-such and took it towards Ajyad.

‘So Quraysh demolished it and began to rebuild it with the stones of the wadi which Quraysh carried on their backs. They raised it upwards to the level of twenty cubits. The Prophet was carrying stones from Ajyad. He was wearing a striped garment and it was tight on him. He went to put the garment on his shoulder and his private parts could be seen because of the smallness of the garment. There was a call: “Muḥammad! Cover your private parts!” He was not seen naked after that.’ There were five years between building the Ka‘bah and the descent of Revelation and fifteen years between his leaving and its rebuilding. ‘Abd ar-Razzāq mentioned it from
Ma‘mar from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Uthmān from Abu-ṭ-Tufayl.

It is mentioned from Ma‘mar from az-Zuhrī: ‘When they had built it and reached the place of the Cornerstone, Quraysh argued about the Cornerstone and which tribe should raise it and it became an open quarrel. They said, “Let the first one who comes to us from that door be the arbiter between us!” They agreed on that and the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, who was a young man wearing a striped garment, entered and they had him judge. He commanded that the stone be placed on a robe and then told the leader of each tribe to take a corner of the robe. They raised it up and the Prophet ﷺ put it in place.’

Ibn Ishāq said: ‘I was told that Quraysh found a document written in Syriac in a corner. They could not understand it until a Jewish man came and read it for them. It said: “I am Allah, the Lord of Bakkah. I created it on the day that I created the heavens and the earth, and formed the sun and moon, and I surrounded it with seven pure angels. It will remain as long as its two mountains remain, a blessing to its people with water and milk.”’ Abū Ja‘far Muḥammad ibn ‘Alī said, ‘In the time of the Amalekites, Jurhum and Ibrāhīm, the door of the Ka‘bah was on the ground until Quraysh rebuilt it.’ Muslim transmitted that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘I asked the Messenger of Allah ﷺ whether the wall was part of the House. “Yes,” he answered. I asked, “Then why do they not include it in the House?” He said, “Your people did not have sufficient funds.” I asked, “Why is its door elevated?” He said, “Your people did that so that they could admit whomever they wished and deny whomever they wished. If it had not been that your people were very recently in the time of the Jāhiliyyah and I feared that their hearts would be averse, I would have incorporated the wall into the House and brought the door down to the ground.”’

He transmitted that ‘Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr said, ‘My aunt (‘Ā’ishah) told me that the Prophet ﷺ said, “‘Ā’ishah, if it had not
been that your people were recently idolaters, I would have destroyed the Ka‘bah and made it level with the earth and given it two doors: an eastern door and a western door, and would have included in it six cubits of the Ḥijr. Quraysh made it smaller when the Ka‘bah was built.” ‘Urwah related his father that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said to me, “If it had not been that your people were recently unbelievers, I would have demolished the Ka‘bah and built it on the foundations of Ibrāhīm. When Quraysh built the Ka‘bah, they made it smaller, and I would have given it a back door.”’ Al-Bukhārī has that Hishām ibn ‘Urwah said, ‘He meant a door.’ Al-Bukhārī also has ‘two doors’. This was the structure of Quraysh.

When the Syrians attacked ‘Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr and the Ka‘bah was weakened by being burned, Ibn az-Zubayr demolished it and then rebuilt it based on what ‘Ā’ishah had told him. He added to it five cubits from the Ḥijr so that he exposed its foundation and people looked at it. Then he built it on it. The height of the Ka‘bah was eighty cubits. When he added to it, it appeared to be small and so he added ten cubits to its height. He gave it two doors: one by which to enter and one by which to leave. That is what is stated in Muslim, although the wording of reports varies.

Sufyān mentioned from Dāwūd ibn Shābūr that Mujāhid said, ‘When Ibn az-Zubayr wanted to demolish the Ka‘bah and rebuild it, he said to the people, “Demolish it.” They refused to demolish it, fearing that punishment would descend on them. We went out to Minā and stayed there for three days, awaiting the punishment. Ibn az-Zubayr climbed up the wall of the Ka‘bah himself. When they saw that nothing happened to him, they were bold enough to undertake that and they demolished it. When he rebuilt it, he gave it two doors: one by which to enter and one by which to leave. He added six cubits on the side of the Ḥijr and added nine cubits to its height.’
Muslim said in his hadith: ‘When Ibn az-Zubayr was killed, al-Ḥajjāj wrote to ‘Abd al-Malik ibn Marwān to inform him that Ibn az-Zubayr had rebuilt it on the foundations that had been seen by reputable people of Makkah. ‘Abd al-Malik wrote to him, “We are not concerned with any of the mess of Ibn az-Zubayr. As for what he added of the Ḥījr, return it as it was. Block off the door which he opened.” So he demolished it and restored it as it was.’ One version has: “‘Abd al-Malik said, “I do not think that Abu-l-Khubayb (i.e. Ibn az-Zubayr) heard from ‘Ā’ishah what he claimed that he heard.” Al-Ḥārith ibn ‘Abdullāh interjected, “Yes, I heard it from her.” He demanded, “What did you hear her say?” He said, “She said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ☪ said, “Your people reduced its size. If it had not been that your people were recently idolaters, I would have restored what they left of it. It may occur to your people to build it. Come and I will show you what they left out of it.’” He showed her about seven cubits.”’ One variant says that ‘Abd al-Malik said, ‘If I had heard it before I demolished it, I would have left it as Ibn az-Zubayr rebuilt it’. This is what has been reported about building the Ka‘bah.

It is related that Hārūn ar-Rashīd mentioned to Mālik ibn Anas that he wanted to demolish what al-Ḥajjāj had built of the Ka‘bah and to restore it to what Ibn az-Zubayr had built based on what was reported from the Prophet ☪ and which Ibn az-Zubayr followed. Mālik said to him, ‘I beseech you by Allah, Amīr al-Mu‘minīn, do not make this House a toy for kings. Then they will all want to reduce the House and rebuild it. Then the awe that people have for it will leave their hearts.’ Al-Wāqidī mentioned from Ma‘mar that Hammām ibn Munabbih heard Abū Hurayrah say, ‘The Messenger of Allah ☪ forbade cursing As‘ad al-Ḥimyarī, who was Tubba‘, and was the first to put a covering over the House. He was the last Tubba‘.’ Ibn Isḥāq said, ‘It was covered with Egyptian linen and then with a striped cloth. The first to use brocade was al-Ḥajjāj.’
One should not take any of the kiswa of the Ka‘bah. It is a gift to it. It should not be decreased at all. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr disliked taking any of the scent of the Ka‘bah for medicinal purposes.


128 Our Lord, make us both Muslims submitted to You, and our descendants a Muslim community submitted to You. Show us our rites of worship and tum towards Us. You are the Ever-Returning, the Most Merciful.

Our Lord, make us both Muslims submitted to You,

‘Muslims’ here means both in terms of faith and in terms of action, as Allah says: ‘The dīn with Allah is Islam.’ (3:19). Those who say that Islam and faith are one and the same use this as evidence. This is also supported by another āyah: ‘We brought out all the believers who were there but found in it only one house of Muslims.’ (51:35-36)

and our descendants a Muslim community submitted to You.

It is said that every Prophet only prayed for himself and his own community, except for Ibrāhīm who also prayed for this community as well as his own. It is said that He uses the partitive ‘min’ because his descendants included wrongdoers. Aṭ-Ṭabarī reported that it means the Arabs in particular. As-Suhaylī says that it means the Arabs because they are the sons of Nabt ibn Ismā‘īl or Tayman ibn Ismā‘īl. It is also said that their ancestor was Qaydar ibn Ismā‘īl. The ‘Adnānī Arabs are descended from Nabt, and the Qaḥṭānī from Qaydar or Tayman. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that this is weak because its claim appeared among the Arabs and those other than them who believe.

The word ummah (community) means a social grouping. It can refer to a single person since he is imitated in good, as Allah says: ‘Ibrāhīm
was a community in himself obedient to Allah’ (16:120). The Prophet ﷺ said about Zayd ibn ‘Amr, ‘He was sent as a community on his own’ because he did not commit shirk in his religion. Allah knows best. The expression can also be used in other contexts to mean region, time, or stature or the face. That is found in the following āyahs: ‘We found our fathers following an ummah,’ (43:22) meaning a religion; ‘This ummah of yours is one ummah’ (21:92), which can mean a time; and ‘remembering after an ummah’ (12:45), meaning ‘a time’.

Show us our rites of worship

‘Show’ (arīnā) is recited as ṛmā by ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz, Qatādah, Ibn Kathīr, Ibn Muḥayṣīn, as-Suddī, Rawḥ from Ya‘qūb, Ruways and as-Sūsī.

The linguistic root of the word manāsık (rites of worship) is nusk and means ‘washing’. In the Sharī‘ah it is the term used for an act of worship. Scholars disagree about what rites are meant here. It is said that it means the practices and waymarks of ḥajj as Qatādah and as-Suddī said. Mujāhid, ‘Aţā’ and Ibn Jurayj held the view that it refers to sacrificing. It is also said to mean all the acts of worship by which Allah is worshipped. Nāsik means a worshipper.

Zuhayr ibn Muhammad said, ‘When Ibrāhīm finished building the House, he said, “O Lord, I have finished, so show us our rites of worship!” So Allah sent Jibrīl to him and he performed the ḥajj for him. When he returned from ‘Arafah and the Day of Sacrifice came, Iblīs appeared before him and Jibrīl said, “Throw pebbles at him.” So Ibrāhīm threw seven pebbles at him. That happened for the next two days. Then he went up Thabīr and called out, “Slaves of Allah, answer!” and his call was heard by those between the seas with an atom of faith in their heart and they said, “At Your service, O Allah! At Your service!” Were it not for the presence of Muslims, the earth and those on it would have been destroyed. The people of Yemen were the first to answer.’

Abū Miljaz said: ‘When Ibrāhīm finished building the House, Jibrīl
came to him and showed him how to do \textit{tawāf} of the House (and I think he also said, ‘of Ṣafā and Marwa’). Then they went to ‘Aqabah and Shayṭān confronted them. Jibrīl took seven pebbles and gave Ibrāhīm seven pebbles. He stoned him, saying the \textit{takbīr}. Then he told Ibrāhīm, “Stone and say the \textit{takbīr}.” He did so with every pebble until Shayṭān retreated. Then they went to the middle Jamraḥ and Shayṭān confronted them. Jibrīl took seven pebbles and gave Ibrāhīm seven pebbles. He stoned him, saying the \textit{takbīr}. Then he told Ibrāhīm, “Stone and say the \textit{takbīr}.” He did so with every pebble until Shayṭān retreated. Then they went to the furthest \textit{jamraḥ} and Shayṭān confronted them. Jibrīl took seven pebbles and gave Ibrāhīm seven pebbles. He stoned him, saying the \textit{takbīr}. Then he told Ibrāhīm, “Stone and say the \textit{takbīr}.” He did so with every pebble until Shayṭān retreated. Then he took him to Jam‘ and said, “Here the people join the prayers.” Then he took him to ‘Arafāt and said, “Do you recognise (‘\textit{arafta})?” “Yes,” he answered. That is why it is called ‘Arafāt.’ It is also related that he repeated the question three times and it means Mīnā, Jam‘ and this (‘Arafāt).

Khuṣayf ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān reported that Mujāhid said, ‘When Ibrāhīm said, \textit{“Show us our rites”}, it means Ṣafā and Marwah, which are the ‘waymarks of Allah’ mentioned in the text of the Qur’an.’ Then Jibrīl took him out. When he passed by the Jamrat ‘l-‘Aqabah, Iblīs was on it and Jibrīl told him, ‘Say the \textit{takbīr} and stone him.’ Then Iblīs went on top of the middle one and Jibrīl told him, ‘Say the \textit{takbīr} and stone him.’ Then the same thing happened with the furthest Jamraḥ. Then he took him to the Mash‘ar al-Ḥarām and then to ‘Arafāt. He asked him, ‘Do you recognise (‘\textit{arafta}) what I have shown you?’ ‘Yes,’ he answered. That is why it is called ‘Arafāt. Then he told him, ‘Announce the ḥajj to people.’ He asked, ‘What do I say?’ The answer was, ‘Say: \textit{“People! Respond to your Lord!”} three times.’ He did so and they said, ‘At Your service, O Allah, at Your service!’ Whoever answered on that day was a ḥājjī. Another
variant said that he turned in a circle so that he called in every direction and the people in and the east and the west responded. The mountains bowed so that the sound went a great distance.

Muḥammad ibn Ishāq said, ‘When Ibrāhīm, the Friend of Allah, finished building the Sacred House, Jibrīl came to him and said, ‘Go around it seven times.’ So he and Ismā‘īl went around it seven times, greeting all the corners on every circuit. When they finished the seven, they prayed two rak‘ahs at the Maqām. Jibrīl rose and showed them all the rites: Ṣafā and Marwah, Minā and Muzdalifah.’ He continued, ‘When he entered Minā and descended from ‘Aqabah, Iblīs appeared to him…’

Ibn Ishāq said, ‘I heard that Ādam used to greet all of the corners before Ibrāhīm.’ He said that Ishāq and Sārah performed ḥajj from Syria. Ibrāhīm used to perform ḥajj every year on Burāq and the Prophets and nations after him performed ḥajj.

Muḥammad ibn Sābiṭ related that the Prophet said, ‘When the nation of one of the Prophets was destroyed, he would go to Makkah and worship there along with those who believed with him until they died. Nūḥ, Hūd and Ṣāliḥ died there and their graves are between Zamzam and the Ḥijr.’ Ibn Wahb mentioned that Shu‘ayb died in Makkah with the believers with him. Their graves are located in the western part of Makkah between the Dār an-Nadwah and the Banū Sahm. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘There are only two graves in the Maṣjid al-Ḥarām: that of Ismā‘īl and of Shu‘ayb. Ismā‘īl’s grave is in the Ḥijr and Shu‘ayb’s is opposite the Black Stone. ‘Abdullāh ibn Ḍamrah as-Salūlī said, ‘Between the Corner and the Maqām are the graves of ninety-nine Prophets who came on ḥajj and were buried there.

and turn towards Us.

There is disagreement about what this means since both Ibrāhīm and Ismā‘īl were Prophets protected from wrong action. A group say that they were asking for constancy and continuance, not for forgiveness from wrong actions. This is good but even better than
that is the interpretation which maintains that, when they had learned the practices and built the House, they wanted to make that clear to people and acquaint them with the rites they had to perform and inform them that this place of standing and those places are places for intercession for wrong actions and seeking repentance. It is said that it means: ‘Turn towards the wrongdoers among our community.’

129 Our Lord, raise up among them a Messenger from them to recite Your Signs to them and teach them the Book and Wisdom and purify them. You are the Almighty, the All-Wise.’

Our Lord, raise up among them a Messenger from them

Khālid ibn Mad‘ān related that a group of the Companions of the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Messenger of Allah, tell us about yourself.’ The Prophet ﷺ replied, ‘Indeed, I am the supplication of my father Ibrāhīm and the good news of ‘Īsā?’ The word rasūl (Messenger) means someone who is sent and comes from the verb arsala meaning to send out.

and teach them the Book and Wisdom

The ‘Book’ is the Qur’an and the ‘Wisdom’ (hikmah) is recognition and acceptance of the dīn, grasping its interpretation and understanding it, which is by an innate faculty and light from Allah. Mālik stated that and Ibn Wahb related it from him. Qatādah said that the ‘Wisdom’ is the Sunnah and clarification of the laws of the Sharī‘ah. It is said that it refers to the judgments and rulings derived from it. The meanings are close. Teaching is ascribed to the Prophet ﷺ since he gives the commands which are followed and taught us how to look at the Revelation Allah gave him. It is said that the ‘Book’ means the meaning of the words and the ‘Wisdom’ refers to
the judgments derived from it. Allah means the unrestricted and restricted, detailed and general, ambivalent and specific.

and purify them

...from the filth of idolatry, as Ibn Jurayj and others said. Zakāh is purification. It is said that the Signs are the recitation of the words, the Book is the meaning of the words, and Wisdom is judgment. It is what Allah means in the address of undefined and defined, explained and unexplained, general and specific. Allah knows best.

You are the Almighty, the All-Wise.’

The Almighty (al-‘Azīz) is the One whom no one can overpower. Ibn Kaysān said that it means the One whose power extends to everything as evinced by Allah’s words: ‘Allah cannot be withstood in any way, either in the heavens or on earth.’ (35:44) It is also said that it means the One Who has no like, the Incomparable. Al-Kisā’ī said that it means the Conqueror as seen in His words: ‘He got the better of me (‘azzanī) with his words.’ (38:23) It is said that it is the One Who has no like.

130 Who would deliberately renounce the religion of Ibrāhīm except someone who reveals himself to be a fool? We chose him in this world and in the Next World he will be one of the people of right action.

Who would deliberately renounce the religion of Ibrāhīm except someone who reveals himself to be a fool?

This question is in fact a rebuke and a negative answer to it is implied, such as: ‘No one would.’ It is also an expression which tends to distance the reader from doing such a thing. Millah means religion and law. The ‘someone who reveals himself to be a fool’ is said by Qatādah to be the Jews and Christians who renounced the religion of Ibrāhīm and adopted Judaism and Christianity, which
were innovations that did not come from Allah. Az-Zajjāj said that 
*safiha* (to be a fool) means ‘to be ignorant of a matter because of not 
thinking about it’. Abū ‘Ubaydah says that it means ‘destroys 
himself’. Ibn Baḥr says, ‘It means that it refers to someone having no 
self-knowledge and no grasp of the evidence and proofs within 
himself, which indicate that he has an incomparable Creator, thus 
failing to recognize Allah’s unity and power.’ 

Az-Zajjāj said, ‘He reflects about his hands with which he strikes, 
his feet with which he walks, his eyes with which he sees, his ears 
with which he hears, his tongue with which he speaks, his molar 
s which grow when he has no need to suckle and needs to masticate 
the food he eats, his stomach which processes his nourishment, his 
liver which detoxifies, his veins and passageways which reach the 
limbs, his intestines where the dregs of food settle and then emerge 
from the bottom of his body. He uses this as evidence that He has an 
All-Powerful, All-Knowing Wise Creator. This is the meaning of His 
words: “in yourselves as well. Do you not see?” (51:21)’ Al-Khaṭṭābī 
indicated this.

This āyah is used as evidence by those who say that the Sharī‘ah of 
Ibrāhīm is the same as our Sharī‘ah except for those aspects of it 
which have been abrogated as He says: ‘the religion of your forefather 
Ibrāhīm’ (22:78) and ‘Follow the religion of Ibrāhīm.’ (16:123)

**We chose him in this world**

This means: ‘We chose him to bear Our Message and purified 
him.’ The word *iṣtafā* (chose) is derived from *ṣafwah* which means to 
be pure and to choose the best.

**and in Next World he will be one of the people of right action**

The ‘people of right action’ in the Next World are those who are 
successful and will enter the Garden. Arabic scholars say three 
things about the phrasing in this āyah. One is: ‘He will be righteous 
in the Next World’. The second is that ‘in the Next World’ is connected 
to something elided, i.e. ‘his righteousness is in the Next World.’ The
third is that ṣāliḥīn does not mean ‘those who do right action’ here but is simply a designation like ‘man’. There is also a fourth view which states that it means: ‘He is one of the righteous in respect of the actions of the Next World.’ Al-Ḥusayn ibn al-Faḍl said, ‘There is a change in the normal order of words. It implies: “We chose in this world and in the Next World, and he is one of the people of right action.”’ Ḥajjāj ibn Ḥajjāj (who is Ḥajjāj al-Aswad and Ḥajjāj al-Aḥwal, known as Ziqq ‘l-‘Asal) said that he heard Muʿāwiyah ibn Qurrah say, ‘O Allah, You made the righteous righteous and provided them with actions in obedience to You so that You are pleased with them. O Allah, as You made them righteous, make us righteous. As You provided them with actions in obedience to You, provide us with actions in obedience to You. Be pleased with us!’

١٣١ Quando o Senhor dele falou, ‘Fique ao senhor de Deus!’ ele disse, ‘Sou um muçulmano que me submisso a Deus, o Senhor de todos os mundos.’

Este ayaat está conectado ao paragrafo anterior e a implicação é, ‘We chose him when his Lord said to him, “Become a Muslim and submit.”’ Allah disse isso a Ibrāhīm após ele ter testado ele com as estrelas, a lua e o sol (cf. 6:76-84). Ibn Kaysān e al-Kalbī disseram que isso significa: ‘Faça o seu dīn sincero para Deus através de tawḥīd.’ É dito que isso significa: ‘Seja humilde e submisso.’ Ibn ‘Abbās disse, ‘Allah disse isso a ele quando ele abandonou as tradições de seu povo.’ Islam aqui engloba todos os seus aspectos variados. No árabe, a palavra ‘Islam’ implica submissão e obediência ao que está submetido a você. Não todos os islamitas entram com fé, mas todos que têm fé entram com Islam. Porque quem acredita em Deus, ele submete e obedece a Deus. E não todos os que se submetem a Deus acreditam em Ele porque sua submissão pode não ser de medo da espada e que não é fé.

As Qadariyyah e Kharijites detêm uma visão oposta dizendo que
Islam is synonymous with faith and that every Muslim is a believer and every believer a Muslim based on Allah’s words: ‘The dīn in the sight of Allah is Islam’ (3:19). This indicates that Islam is the dīn and that whoever is not a Muslim is not a believer. Our evidence is found in His words: ‘The desert Arabs say, “We believe.” Say: “You do not believe. Say rather: ‘We have become Muslim.”’” (49:14) Allah reported that not every one who becomes Muslim is a believer and so it indicates that not every Muslim is a believer. When Sa’d ibn Abī Waqqāṣ said, ‘Give to so-and-so. He is a believer,’ the Prophet ﷺ said to him, ‘Or a Muslim.’ Muslim transmitted it. It indicates that faith and Islam are not the same. Faith is internal and Islam is external. This is clear. ‘Faith’ can be used to mean Islam and Islam can be used to mean ‘faith’ since they are connected. It is as if Islam were the fruit of faith and an indication of its soundness. Success is by Allah.

132 Ibrāhīm directed his sons to this, as did Ya‘qūb: ‘My sons! Allah has chosen this dīn for you, so do not die except as Muslims.’

Ibrāhīm directed his sons to this, as did Ya‘qūb:

‘This’ may refer to ‘the religion’ or to the words, ‘I submit to the Lord of the worlds.’ The latter view is more correct because it was the last thing mentioned. Some readings have wasṣā for ‘directed’ whereas the people of Madīnah and Syria read awṣā.

Ismā‘īl and Ishāq were the sons of Ibrāhīm. The elder was Ismā‘īl and his mother was Hajar the Copt. There are three positions regarding the age of Ismā‘īl at the time Ibrāhīm took him to Makkah: as a baby, as a two year old infant or as a fourteen year old youth. The first is considered the soundest. He was born fourteen years before his brother Ishāq and died when he was one hundred
and thirty-seven or one hundred and thirty years old. He was eighty years old when Ibrāhīm died. According to one statement, he was the son Ibrāhīm was commanded to sacrifice. Isḥāq’s mother was Sārah, and he was the sacrifice according to another statement, which seems sounder. We will deal with this when we examine the story in Sūrat as-Ṣāffāt (37:102-109). From him descend the Romans, Greeks and Armenians and those like them and the sons of Israel. Isḥāq lived to the age of one hundred and eighty and died in the Holy Land. He was buried with his father Ibrāhīm. When Sārah died, Ibrāhīm married Qaṭūrā (Keturah) bint Yaqṭan the Canaanite and she bore him Madyan, Madāyin, Nahshān, Zirān, Nashīq and Shuyūkh. He died about 2600 years before the birth of the Prophet. The Jews lessen this by 400 years.

Ya‘qūb gave the same directive to his other sons. Al-Kalbī said, ‘When Ya‘qūb looked at the people in Egypt, he saw them worshipping idols, fire and cows, so he collected his sons and alerted them, asking, “What will you worship after me?”’ He was called Ya‘qūb because he was the second of twins and was born holding onto the heel of his brother (‘aqīb). He lived to the age of one hundred and forty-seven and died in Egypt. He instructed that he should be transported to the Holy Land and buried with his father, Isḥāq. Yūsuf transported him and buried him there.

‘My sons! Allah has chosen this dīn for you, so do not die except as Muslims.

The dīn is Islam. This means: ‘Cling to Islam and persevere in it and do not part from it until you die.’ This contains an admonition and a reminder of death. That is because everyone knows that they will die but they do not know when. So the command is that death should not come upon you when you are not following the dīn. It is a constant, continuing command.
133 Or were you present when death came to Ya’qūb and he said to his sons, ‘What will you worship when I have gone?’ They said, ‘We will worship your God, the God of your forefathers, Ibrāhīm, Ismā‘īl and Iṣḥāq – one God. We are Muslims submitted to Him.’

This is addressed to the Jews and Christians who, by following Judaism and Christianity, falsely attribute to Ibrāhīm something for which he gave no authority. Allah refuted their words and rebuked them here. He says that they are forging lies. Ismā‘īl is included because the uncle is counted with the father.

134 That was a community which has long since passed away. It has what it earned. You have what you have earned. You will not be questioned about what they did.

This āyah indicates that a person’s actions and earnings, good or evil, are ascribed to him, even though it is Allah who gives him the power to do what he does. If it is good, it is by Allah’s favour. If it evil, it is by His justice. This is the school of the People of the Sunnah. There are many āyahs in the Qur’an which express this. A person earns his actions since the power connected to the action was created for him and there is a clear difference between a movement he makes by his own choice and, for instance, an involuntary movement such as a shiver. That capacity is the core of accountability. The Jabrites negate any possibility of personal responsibility and say that people are like plants which move whichever way the wind blows. The Qadarites and Mu’tazilites take
the opposite position that a person creates his own actions. No one will be punished for the actions of another.

135 They say, ‘Be Jews or Christians and you will be guided.’ Say, ‘Rather adopt the religion of Ibrāhīm, a man of natural pure belief. He was not one of the idolaters.’

Each claims that what they have is the real truth but Allah refuted that. The āyah means: ‘Say, O Muhammad, “We follow the one religion.”’ This is why the word “millah” (religion) is in the accusative case. It is said that it means: ‘Follow the religion of Ibrāhīm.’ It implies: ‘Guidance is a religion,’ or ‘Our religion is that of Ibrāhīm.’ Az-Zajjāj said that. Ibrāhīm is called a ḥanīf because he inclined to the dīn of Allah, which is Islam. Ḥanf means ‘inclination’. A man who is ḥanaf (clubfooted) has his feet turn toward each other. Ḥanf means ‘going straight’ as well. Ibrāhīm is called a ḥanīf because he went straight.

136 Say, ‘We believe in Allah and what has been sent down to us and what was sent down to Ibrāhīm and Ismā‘īl and Isḥāq and Ya‘qūb and the Tribes, and what Mūsā and ‘Īsā were given, and what all the Prophets were given by their Lord. We do not differentiate between any of them. We are Muslims submitted to Him.’

Al-Bukhārī transmitted that Abū Hurayrah said, ‘The People of
the Book used to recite the Torah in Hebrew and explain it in Arabic to the people of Islam. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Neither believe nor deny the People of the Book. Say, ‘We believe in Allah and what has been revealed.’” Muḥammad ibn Sīrīn said, ‘When you are asked, “Are you a believer?” recite this āyah.’ Many of the Salaf disliked anyone saying, ‘I am truly a believer.’ The reason for that will be explained in Ṣūrat al-Anfāl, Allah willing. One of the early people was asked about a man who was asked, ‘Do you believe in the Prophet so-and-so?’ and gave a name he did not know. If he said that he did, it is possible that it was not a Prophet and he was attesting to the Prophethood of someone who was not a Prophet. If he said that he did not, perhaps it was actually a Prophet and he was denying one of the Prophets. So what should he do? The reply was that he should say, ‘If he is a Prophet, I believe in him.’

This āyah is addressed to this community to instruct them in faith. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘A group of Jews came to the Prophet ﷺ and asked him about which of the Prophets he believed in and this āyah was revealed. When he mentioned Īsā, they said, “We do not believe in Īsā or in anyone who does believe in him.”’

and the Tribes,

Asbāṭ (the Tribes) are from the twelve sons of Yaʿqūb. A nation sprang from each of them. They are called asbāṭ, which comes from sabṭ, which means succession, and so it was as if they followed one another. Sabṭ is the term used for the tribes descending from Yaʿqūb as qabīlah is used for Arab tribes. It is also said that the word is derived from sabat, which means a tree. The singular is sabāṭah. Abū Ishāq az-Zajjāj said that this is clear in what Muḥammad ibn Jaʿfar al-Anbārī related from Abū Nujayd ad-Daqqāq from al-Aswad ibn ʿĀmir from Isrāʾīl from Simāk from ʿIkrimah that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘All the Prophets were from the tribe of Israel except for ten: Nūḥ, Shuʿayb, Ḥūd, Ṣāliḥ, Lūṭ, Ibrāhīm, Ishāq, Yaʿqūb, Ismāʿīl and Muḥammad ﷺ. None of them had two names except for Īsā and
Ya‘qūb.’ *Sibṭ* denotes a group or tribe who come from a single ancestor.

**We do not differentiate between any of them,**

This means ‘We do not believe in some and reject others like the Jews and Christians do.’

If their faith is the same as yours then they are guided. But if they turn away, they are entrenched in hostility. Allah will be enough for you against them. He is the All-Hearing, the All-Knowing.

This is addressed to Muḥammad and his community. It means that if they believe as you believe and affirm what you affirm, they are guided. So similarity can occur between two faiths. Baqiyyah related from Shu‘bah from Abū Ḥamzah that Ibn ʿAbbās said, ‘Do not say, “If they believe in the like of what you believe.” Allah has no like. Rather say, “in that in which you believe.”’ ʿAlī ibn Naṣr al-Jahḍamī corroborate it from Shu‘bah. Al-Bayhaqī mentioned it. It is also said to mean that if they believe in your Prophet and all the Prophets without differentiating between them, then they are guided.

**But if they turn away, they are entrenched in hostility.**

If they refuse to do anything except differentiate between them, then they veer from the *dīn* to hostility. Zayd ibn Aslam said that the expression ‘entrenched in hostility’ (*fī shiqāq*) means ‘in contention’. It is said to be quarrelling, opposition and hostility. It is derived from *shaqq*, which is the side of a chasm, so it is as if each was one of two sides. It is said that it is derived from a verb meaning to be difficult and hard and so it is as if it means that each side wants to make things difficult for the other side.
Allah will be enough for you against them.

Allah will protect His Messenger against his enemies. This is a promise from Allah to His Prophet ✈️ that He would protect him from those who were hostile to him and opposed him. He carried out that promise. That was when the Banū Qaynuqā‘ and the Banū Qurayţah were killed and Banū ’n-Naḍîr were expelled. It was this āyah on which ‘Uthman’s blood spilled when he was murdered as the Prophet ✈️ had foretold about him. It is related that Abū Dulāmah visited al-Manṣūr wearing a tall hat, a cloak on the back of which was written: ‘Allah will be enough for you against them. He is the All-Hearing, the All-Knowing’, and a sword girded around his waist. Al-Manṣūr had commanded the army to dress in that garb. He asked him, ‘How are you, Abū Dulāmah?’ ‘Bad, Amīr al-Mu’mīnīn,’ he answered. ‘Why is that?’ he inquired. He said, ‘What would you think of man whose face is on his waist, whose sword in on his bottom, and he has thrown the Book of Allah behind his back?’ Al-Manṣūr laughed at him and immediately ordered that the manner of dress be changed.

138 The dye of Allah – and what dye could be better than Allah’s? It is Him we worship.

Al-Akhfash and others said that ‘the dye of Allah’ is the dīn of Allah and is an appositive for ‘millah’, and so it implies: ‘Follow it’. Shaybah related that Qatādah said, ‘The Jews used to baptise their sons as Jews and the Christians baptised their sons as Christians. The baptism of Allah is Islam.’ Mujāhid said that it is the natural form on which people are created. Az-Zajjāj says, ‘This statement of Mujāhid refers to Islam because the natural form ever since the beginning of creation has been Islam.’ Mujāhid, al-Ḥasan, Abūl-‘Āliyah and Qatādah said that the ‘dye’ is the dīn. This also derives from immersion in water. Ibn ‘Abbās said that Christians baptised
their children when they were seven days old to replace circumcision, which is purification. When they do this, they say, ‘Now he is truly a Christian.’ Allah refuted them by saying that the dye of Islam is better. It is Islam. Calling the dīn this is a metaphor since the effect of it in actions can be seen in a person in the same way that dye can be seen in a garment. It is said that it refers to the ghusl taken by someone who wants to become Muslim which replaces Christian baptism. Al-Māwardī said that.

Because one meaning of ‘dye of Allah’ is the ‘washing (ghusl) of Allah’ it is said that washing when you become Muslim is an obligatory practice. The evidence for this in the Sunnah comes from Qays ibn ‘Aṣim and Thumāmah ibn Athāl who both had a ghusl when they became Muslims. Abū Ḥātim al-Bustī said in a sound transmission that Abū Hurayrah said that Thumāmah al-Ḥanafī was captured and one day the Prophet passed by him and he became Muslim. He sent him to the garden of Abū Ṭalḥah and told him to have a ghusl. He did so and prayed two rak‘ahs. The Prophet said, ‘The Islam of your companion is good.’ It is also transmitted that Qays ibn ‘Aṣim became Muslim and the Prophet told him to have a ghusl with water and lote-leaves. An-Nasā‘ī mentioned it and Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ḥaqq said that it is sound. It is said that an act by which one draws near to Allah is called ‘dye’. Ibn Fāris related that in al-Mujmal. Al-Jawharī said that ‘the dye of Allah’ is His dīn. It is also said that it is circumcision.

139 Say, ‘Do you argue with us about Allah when He is our Lord and your Lord? We have our actions and you have your actions. We act for Him alone.’

Al-Ḥasan said, ‘Their argument is that they say, “We are more entitled to Allah than you because we are the sons of Allah and those He loves.”’ (cf.
5:18) It is said that it was because of ‘our ancestors and books and because we did not worship idols.’ So the meaning of the āyah is: ‘Ask them, Muḥammad,’ – meaning those Jews and Christians who claim that they are the sons of Allah and those He loves and claim that they are more entitled to Allah than you because of their ancestors and Books – ‘Where is your argument when the Lord is One and everyone will be repaid for his actions? What advantage will precedence in the dīn give you?’ ‘About Allah’ means ‘about His dīn’.

**We act for Him alone.**

This means: ‘We are sincere in our worship.’ This contains a sense of rebuke, implying, ‘You are not sincere so how can you claim that you are more entitled?’ The reality of sincerity (ikhlāṣ) is to purify the action in question from taking any account of creatures. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Allah Almighty says, “I am the best associate. Anyone who associates a partner with Me, belongs to his associates. O people, make your actions sincere for Allah Almighty. Allah Almighty does not accept other than what is done sincerely for Him alone. Do not say, “This is for Allah and my relatives.” If it is for your relatives, none of it is for Allah. Do not say, “This is for Allah and your sakes.” Then it is for your sakes and none of it is for Allah.’” Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk ibn Qays al-Fihrī related it and ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it.

Ruwaym said, ‘Sincerity in action is that the person who does something does not want any recompense or return for it in either this world or the Next.’ Al-Junayd said, ‘Sincerity is a secret between Allah and the slave. An angel cannot know it so as to record it, nor can a shayṭān corrupt it, nor can passion incline to it.’ Al-Qushayrī reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘I asked Jibrīl about sincerity and what it was. He replied, “I asked the Lord of Might about what sincerity was and He said, ‘A secret of Mine which I entrust in the heart of those I love among My slaves.’”’
Or do they say that Ibrāhīm and Ismā‘īl and Iṣḥāq and Ya‘qūb and the Tribes were Jews or Christians?

Say, ‘Do you know better or does Allah? Who could do greater wrong than someone who hides the evidence he has been given by Allah? Allah is not unaware of what you do.

Or do they say that Ibrāhīm and Ismā‘īl and Iṣḥāq and Ya‘qūb and the Tribes were Jews or Christians?

Ḥamzah, al-Kisā‘ī and ‘Āṣim in the transmission of Ḥafṣ has ‘Do you say?’ with tā‘ which is a good reading. It is as if it means: ‘Do you argue about Allah or say that the Prophets were following your dīn?’ The other reading is with yā‘, ‘do they say’. This is, in either case, a rebuke to the claims of both the Jews and the Christians. Allah refutes them because He knows better than you.

Who could do greater wrong than someone who hides the evidence he has been given by Allah?

No one does greater wrong. The word ‘evidence’ (shahāda) here refers to the actions they have been commanded to do since the dīn of all the Prophets was Islam. It is also said to refer to their concealment of the description of Muḥammad in their Revealed Books as Qatādah said, but the first explanation is more in keeping with the context.

Allah is not unaware of what you do.

This is a threat that they will not be spared and will have to pay for what they did. A heedless or unaware person (ghāfil) is someone who does not grasp things because he ignores them. It is derived from earth which is ghufl, which means there is no sign or trace of
habitation on it. A camel which is *ghufl* has no markings. A man who is *ghufl* has no experience of matters.

141 That was a community which has long since passed away. It has what it earned. You have what you have earned. You will not be questioned about what they did.

This is repeated (cf. 2:134 above) because it contains a threat. Since the Prophets, in spite of their excellence and imamate, will be repaid for their actions, how much more will that be the case with you!
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**GLOSSARY**

*Abū Qubays*: a mountain located to the east of the Masjid al-Ḥarām.

*adhān*: the call to prayer.

*Ajyad*: an area in Makkah, south of the Ḥarām.

*Āmīn*: ‘Ameen’, a compound of verb and noun meaning ‘Answer our prayer’ or ‘So be it’.

*Amīr al-Mu’mīnīn*: ‘the Commander of the Believers’, the caliph.

*Anṣār*: the “Helpers”, the people of Madīnah who welcomed and aided the Prophet ☪️.

*‘aqilah*: the paternal kinsmen of an offender who are liable for the payment of blood money.

*‘Arafah*: a plain 15 miles to the east of Makkah. One of the essential rites of the ḥajj is to stand on ‘Arafah on the 9th of Dhū ’l-Ḥijjah.

*‘arāyā*: plural of ‘arīyah.

*‘arīyah*: a kind of sale by which the owner of an ‘arīyah is allowed to sell fresh dates while they are still on the palms by means of estimation, in exchange for dried plucked dates.

*‘asabah*: male relatives on the father’s side.

*Āshūrā*: the 10th day of Muḥarram, the first month of the Muslim lunar calendar. It is considered a highly desirable day to fast.

*‘Asr*: the mid-afternoon prayer.

*awliyā’*: the plural of *walī*.

*āyah*: a verse of the Qur’ān.

Āyat al-Kursī: the Throne Verse, 2:255.

*‘ayn*: ready money, cash.

*Ayyūb*: the Prophet Job.

*Badr*: a place near the coast, about 95 miles to the south of
Madīnah where, in 2 AH in the first battle fought by the newly established Muslim community, the 313 outnumbered Muslims led by the Messenger of Allah overwhelmingly defeated 1000 Makkan idolaters.

**Banū**: lit. sons, meaning a tribe or clan.

**Baqi’ al-Gharqad**: the cemetery of Madīnah.

**barakah**: blessing, any good which is bestowed by Allah, and especially that which increases, a subtle beneficent spiritual energy which can flow through things or people.

**basmalah**: the expression ‘In the name of Allah, the All-Merciful, the Most Merciful’.

**Bu‘āth**: a battle between Aws and Khazraj two years before the Hijrah.

**Burāq**: the mount on which the Prophet 🕋️ made the Night Journey.

**ḍammah**: the Arabic vowel ‘u’.

**Dār an-Nadwah**: a house built by Qusayy next to the Ka‘bah were tribal meetings took place.

**Dāwud**: the Prophet David.

**dhikr**: lit. remembrance, mention. Commonly used, it means invocation of Allah by repetition of His names or particular formulae.

**dhimmah**: obligation or contract, in particular a treaty of protection for non-Muslims living in Muslim territory.

**dhimmī**: a non-Muslim living under the protection of Muslim rule.

**Dhu-l-Ḥijjah**: the twelfth month of the Muslim calendar, the month of the hajj.

**Dhu-l-Qa‘dah**: the eleventh month of the Muslim calendar.

**din**: the life-transaction, lit. the debt between two parties, in this usage between the Creator and created.

**Ditch**: the Battle of the Ditch (or Trench), which took place in 627
CE/5 AH in which the combined forces of Quraysh and their allies unsuccessfully laid siege to Madīnah for thirty days.

**Fajr**: the dawn prayer.

**fard**: an obligatory act of worship or practice of the *dīn* as defined by the Sharī‘ah.

**faqīh**: pl. *fuqahā‘*; a man learned in knowledge of *fiqh* who by virtue of his knowledge can give a legal judgment.

**al-Fārūq**: a name for the second caliph, ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb. It means someone who makes a distinction between truth and falsehood, or between cases.

**fatḥah**: the Arabic vowel ‘a’.

**Fātiḥah**: “the Opener,” the first sūrah of the Qur’ān.

**fatwa**: an authoritative statement on a point of law.

**fiqh**: the science of the application of the Sharī‘ah. A practitioner or expert in *fiqh* is called a faqih.

**fuqahā‘**: plural of faqīh.

**ḥadd**: Allah’s boundary limits for the lawful and unlawful. The *ḥadd* punishments are specific fixed penalties laid down by Allah for specified crimes.

**gharīb**: a hadith which has a single reporter at some stage of the *isnād*.

**Ghaṭafān**: a very large tribal grouping who lived east of Madīnah and Makkah in the land between the Hijaz and the Shammar mountains.

**ḥadīth**: reported speech of the Prophet ☪.

**ḥadīth qudsī**: those words of Allah on the tongue of His Prophet ☪ which are not part of the Revelation of the Qur’ān.

**hady**: sacrificial camel.

**ḥāfiz**: pl. ḥuffāẓ, someone who has memorised the Qur’ān.

**hajj**: the annual pilgrimage to Makka which is one of the five pillars of Islam.

**ḥāl**: In Arabic grammar, a circumstantial adverb in the accusative
case which describes something happening at the same time as the action or event mentioned in the main clause.

ḥalāl: lawful in the Sharī‘ah.
hamzah: the character in Arabic which designates a glottal stop.
ḥarām: unlawful in the Sharī‘ah.

华盛: Sacred Precinct, a protected area in which certain behavior is forbidden and other behaviour necessary. The area around the Ka‘bah in Makkah is a Ḥaram, and the area around the Prophet’s Mosque in Madīnah is a Ḥaram. They are referred to together as al-Ḥaramayn, ‘the two Ḥarams’.

ḥarbī: as belligerent.
ḥarf: (plural aḥruf) one of the seven modes or manners of recitation in which the Qur’ān was revealed.

al-Ḥarrūt and Mārūt: the two angels mentioned in the Qur’ān (2: 102) in Babel from whom people learned magic. Some commentators state that they are two kings rather than two angels (malik rather than malak).

ḥasan: good, excellent, often used to describe a hadith which is reliable, but which is not as well authenticated as one which is ṣaḥīḥ.

Ḥawwā’: Eve, the first woman.

ḥijāb: a partition which separates two things; a curtain; in modern times used to describe a form of women’s dress.

Hijaz: the region along the western seaboard of Arabia in which Makkah, Madīnah, Jidda and Ta‘if are situated.

al-Ḥijr: the unroofed portion of the Ka‘bah which at present is in the form of a semi-circular compound towards the north of the Ka‘bah.
**Hijrah**: emigration in the way of Allah. Islamic dating begins with the Hijrah of the Prophet Muḥammad ﷺ from Makkah to Madīnah in 622 AD.

**ḥima**: a place of pasturage and water prohibited to the public. It was used for animals paid as zakat and mounts for jihad.

**Ḥirā**: a mountain two miles north of Makkah where the Prophet ﷺ used to go into retreat before he received the Revelation.

**Ḥudaybīyah**: a well-known place ten miles from Makkah on the way to Jiddah where the Homage of ar-Riḍwān took place.

**ḥudūd**: plural of ḥadd.

**Iblīs**: the personal name of the Devil. He is also called Shayṭān or the ‘enemy of Allah’.

**Ibrāhīm**: the Prophet Abraham.

**‘Īd**: a festival, either the festival at the end of Ramadan or at the time of the Hajj.

**iḍāfah**: a possessive construction in Arabic in which the first noun is indefinite and the second usually definite. It is used to indicate possession. The first word is called ‘muḍāf’ and the second is ‘muḍāf ilayhi’.

**‘iddah**: a period after divorce or the death of her husband for which a woman must wait before re-marrying.

**Idrīs**: a Prophet, possibly Enoch.

**ijtihād**: to exercise personal judgment in legal matters.

**imam**: Muslim religious or political leader; leader of Muslim congregational worship.

**īmān**: belief, faith.

**‘īnah**: a transaction in which the price in paid in advance based on the description of the goods purchased.

**iqāmah**: the call which announces that the obligatory prayer is about to begin.

**‘Īsā**: the Prophet Jesus.
‘Ishā’: the obligatory evening prayer.

Ishāq: the Prophet Isaac.

Ishbā‘: lengthening a vowel.

Ismā‘īl: the Prophet Ishmael.

Isnād: a hadith’s chain of transmission from individual to individual.

Jāhiliyyah: the Time of Ignorance before the coming of Islam.

Jam‘: another name for Muzdalifah.

Jamrah: lit. a small walled place, but in this usage a stone-built pillar. There are three jamrahs at Minā. One of the rites of hajj is to stone them.

Jamrat al-‘Aqabah: the largest of the three jamrahs at Minā.

Janābah: major ritual impurity requiring a ghusl: caused by intercourse, sexual discharge, menstruation, childbirth.

Jibrīl: the angel Gabriel.

Jihad: struggle, particularly fighting in the way of Allah to establish Islam.

Jinn: inhabitants of the heavens and the earth made of smokeless fire who are usually invisible.

Jizyah: a protection tax payable by non-Muslims living under Muslim rule as a tribute to the Muslim ruler.

Jumu‘ah: the day of gathering, Friday, and particularly the Jumu‘ah prayer which is performed instead of Zuhr by those who attend it.

Jurhum: an old Arab tribe, originally from Yemen, who moved to Makkah. They gave protection to Hajar and Ismā‘īl.

Ka‘bah: the cube-shaped building at the centre of the Haram in Makkah, originally built by the Prophet Ibrāhīm. Also known as the House of Allah.

Kalām: ‘theology’ and dogmatics. Kalām starts with the revealed tradition and employs rationalistic methods in order to understand it and resolve contradictions.
**Karrāmites**: a sect with anthropomorphist views on Allah, founded by Muḥammad ibn Karrām.

**kasrah**: the Arabic vowel i.

**Khārijites**: the earliest sect, who separated themselves from the body of the Muslims and declared war on all those who disagreed with them, stating that a wrong action turns a Muslim into an unbeliever.

**Khaybar**: Jewish colony to the north of Madinah which was laid siege to and captured by the Muslims in the seventh year after the Hijrah because of the Jews’ continual treachery.

**khutbah**: a speech, and in particular a standing speech given by the imam before the Jumu‘ah prayer and after the two ‘Īd prayers.

**kufr**: disbelief, to cover up the truth, to reject Allah and refuse to believe that Muhammad is His Messenger. A person who does this is a kāfir.

**kunyah**: a respectful but intimate way of addressing people as “the father of so-and-so” or “the mother of so-and-so.”

**Lā ḥawla walā quwwata illā billāh**: ‘There is no power nor strength except in Allah.’

**Lā ilaha illā ’llāh**: ‘There is no god but Allah.’

**lawth**: strong suspicion based on strong circumstantial evidence.

**Lūṭ**: the Prophet Lot.

**Maghrib**: the sunset prayer.

**mahram**: a male relative with whom marriage is forbidden.

**Maqām of Ibrāhīm**: the place of the stone on which the Prophet Ibrāhīm stood while he and Ismā‘īl were building the Ka‘bah, which marks the place of the two rak‘ah prayer following tawāf of the Ka‘bah.

**marfu‘**: ‘elevated’, a narration from the Prophet mentioned by a Companion, e.g. “The Messenger of Allah said...”

**Maryam**: Mary, the mother of ‘Īsā.

**Maṣjid al-Ḥarām**: the great mosque in Makkah.
Mathānī: lit. ‘the often recited’, said to be the first long sūrahs, or the Fātihah and also various other things.

mawqūf: ‘stopped’, a narration from a Companion without mentioning the Prophet ♨.

Minā: a valley five miles on the road to ‘Arafa where the three jamrahs stand. It is part of the hajj to spend four or possibly three nights there over the course of the hajj.

mu’adhhdhin: someone who calls the adhan or call to prayer.

Mufassal: the sūrahs of the Qur’an starting from Sūrat al-Hujurāt (49) or Sūrat Qāf (50) to the end of the Qur’an.

mufti: someone qualified to give a legal opinion or fatwa.

Muhājirūn: Companions of the Messenger of Allah ♨ who accepted Islam in Makkah and made hijrah to Madīnah.

Muhārram: the first month of the Muslim lunar year.

munkar: “denounced”, a narration reported by a weak reporter which goes against another authentic hadith.

munqati‘: a hadith whose isnād has a link which is omitted.

mursal: a hadith where a man in the generation after the Companions quotes directly from the Prophet without mentioning the Companion from whom he got it.

Mūsā: the Prophet Moses.

mutakallimūn: those who study the science of kalām, the science of investigating theological doctrine.

mutashābih: intricate, unintelligible, referring to a word or text whose meaning is not totally clear.

mutawātir: a hadith which is reported by a large number of reporter: at all stages of the isnād.

Mu‘tazilite: someone who adheres to the school of the Mu‘tazilah which is rationalist in its approach to existence. Originally they held that anyone who commits a sin is neither a believer nor an unbeliever. They also held the Qur’an to be created.

muzābanah: a forbidden sale in which something whose number,
weight, or measure is known is sold for something whose number, weight or measure is not known.

**Muzdalifah**: a place between ‘Arafah and Minā where the pilgrims returning from ‘Arafah spend a night in the open between the ninth and tenth day of Dhū ’l-Ḥijjah after performing Maghrib and ‘Ishā’ there.

**An-Naḍīr**: a Jewish tribe in Madīnah.

**nāfilah**: (plural *nawāfil*): supererogatory act of worship.

**Najrān**: a region in the southern Arabian peninsula which birders Yemen.

**Nūḥ**: the Prophet Noah.

**People of Hadith**: ‘the adherents of Hadith’, the movement who considered only the Qur’an and hadith to be valid sources of fiqh.

**People of Opinion** (*ra‘y*): a term used to describe those who use personal opinion to deduce judgment. It was a term used particularly to describe the early Ḥanafīs.

**Qadariyyah**: sect who said that people have power (*qadar*) over their actions and hence free will.

**qāḍī**: a judge, qualified to judge all matters in accordance with the Shari‘ah and to dispense and enforce legal punishments.

**qasāmah**: an oath taken by fifty members of a tribe or locality to refute or establish accusations of complicity in unclear cases of homicide.

**Qaynuqā‘**: one of the Jewish tribes of Madīnah.

**qiblah**: the direction faced in prayer – towards the Ka‘bah in Makkah.

**qirāḍ**: wealth put by an investor in the trust of an agent for use for commercial purposes, the agent receiving no wage, but taking a designated share of the profits after the capital has been paid.

**Qubā’**: a village on the outskirts of Madīnah (originally about 5 km/3 miles outside the city) where the first mosque in Islam was built, also known as the Masjid at-Taqwā (Mosque of Fear of God).
Quraysh: one of the great tribes of Arabia. The Prophet Muḥammad belonged to this tribe, which had great powers spiritually and financially both before and after Islam came. Someone from this tribe is called a Qurayshī.

Qurayẓah: one of the Jewish tribes of Madīnah.

Rajab: the seventh month of the Muslim calendar.

rakʿah: a unit of the prayer consisting of a series of standings, bowing, prostrations and sittings.

Ramadan: the month of fasting, the ninth month in the Muslim lunar calendar.

rūḥ: (plural arwāḥ) the soul, vital spirit.

rukūʿ: the bowing position in the prayer.

ṣadaqah: charitable giving in the Cause of Allah.

sadd adh-dharāʾiʿ: the blocking of a means which might lead to undesired consequences.

Ṣafā and Marwah: two hills close to the Kaʿbah.

Ṣaḥīḥ: ‘the Sound’, the title of the hadith collections of al-Bukhārī and Muslim.

ṣāliḥ: the Prophet sent to the people of Thamūd.

Shaʿbān: the eighth month in the Muslim calendar

shahādah: bearing witness, particularly bearing witness that there is no god but Allah and that Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah. It is one of the pillars of Islam. It is also used to describe legal testimony in a court of law.

Sharīʿah: The legal modality of a people based on the revelation of their Prophet. The final Sharīʿah is that of Islam.

Shawwāl: the tenth month of the Muslim calendar.
**Shayṭān:** devil, particularly Iblīs, one of the jinn.

**shirk:** the unforgiveable wrong action of worshipping something or someone other than Allah or associating something or someone as a partner with Him.

**Shu‘ayb:** the Prophet Jethro.

**shūrā:** consultation, especially used for the council of six Companions who met after the death of ‘Umar to choose the next Caliph.

**Sīrah:** biography, particularly biography of the Prophet ﷺ.

**siwāk:** a small stick, usually from the arak tree, whose tip is softened and used for cleaning the teeth.

**Ṣuffah:** a verandah attached to the Prophet’s Mosque where the poor Muslims used to sleep.

**suḥūr:** pre-dawn meal before a day of fasting.

**suknā:** designating the use of a dwelling for a poor person for a certain period of time.

**sukūn:** a diacritic mark that means that there is no sound after a consonant.

**Sulaymān:** the Prophet Solomon.

**sunan:** the plural of *sunnah*.

**Sunnah:** the customary practice of a person or group of people. It has come to refer almost exclusively to the practice of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ.

**sūrah:** a chapter of the Qur’an.

**Tābi‘ūn:** the second generation of the early Muslims who did not meet the Prophet Muhammad ﷺ but learned the din of Islam from his Companions.

**tafsīr:** commentary or explanation of the meanings of the Qur’an.

**taḥrīm:** a term used for entering a state of worship in which certain other actions are forbidden. The taḥrīm of the prayer is saying, ‘Allahu akbar’ to start it.

**Ṭā’if:** a walled town south of Makkah known for its fertility. It was
the home of the tribe of Thaqīf.

takbīr: saying ‘Allāhu Akbar,’ ‘Allah is greater’.

takbīr al-iḥrām: the takbīr which begins the prayer.

Ṭālūt: Saul.

tanwīn: nunation.

taqwā: awe or fear of Allah, which inspires a person to be on guard against wrong action and eager for actions which please Him.

tasbīḥ: glorification of Allah by saying ‘Subhāna’llāh’.

tashahhud: lit. to pronounce the shahādah. In the context of the prayer, it is a formula which includes the shahādah and is recited in the final sitting position of each two rak‘ah cycle.

taslīm: giving the Islamic greeting of ‘as-salāmu ‘alaykum,’ ‘Peace be upon you.’ The prayer ends with a taslīm.

ṭawāf: circumambulation of the Ka’bah, done in sets of seven circuits.

tawḥīd: the doctrine of Divine Unity.

tawjīh: orientation, a supplication formula recited before starting the prayer.

tayammum: purification for the prayer with clean dust, earth, or stone, when water for ghusl or wuḍū’ is unavailable or would be detrimental to health.

Uḥud: a mountain just outside of Madīnah where five years after the Hijrah, the Muslims lost a battle against the Makkan idolaters. Many great Companions, and in particular Ḥamzah, the uncle of the Prophet, were killed in this battle.

Umm al-Kitāb: literally ‘Mother of the Book’. It has a number of meanings, one of which is the celestial prototype of the Qur’an. It is also used for the Fātiḥah.

umm walad: a slavegirl who has had a child by her master.

‘umrah: the lesser pilgrimage to the Ka‘bah in Makkah performed at any time of the year.

ūqiyyah: unit of weight equal to forty dirhams.
‘ushr: land tax of one tenth of the produce if naturally watered and one twentieth if artificially irrigated.

‘Uzayr: Ezra.

walī: (plural awliyā’) someone who is a ‘friend’ of Allah, thus possessing the quality of wilāyah.

witr: literally ‘odd’, a single raka‘h prayed after the shaf‘ which makes the number of sunnah prayers uneven.

wuḍū’: ritual washing to be pure for the prayer.

Yaḥyā: the Prophet John the Baptist, the son of Zakariyyā.

Ya‘qūb: the Prophet Jacob, also called Isrā‘īl (Israel).

Yathrib: the ancient name for Madīnah.

Yūnus: the Prophet Jonah.

Yūsuf: the Prophet Joseph.

zakat: a wealth tax, one of the five pillars of Islam.

Zamzam: the well in the Ḥaram of Makkah.

zandaqah: heresy. This is an Arabicised Persian word. The term had been used for heterodox groups, especially Manichaeans, in pre-Islamic Persia, and hence it was originally applied to Magians.

az-Zaqqūm: a tree with bitter fruit which grows at the bottom of the Fire. Its fruit resembles the heads of devils.

zindīq: a term used to describe a heretic whose teaching is a danger to the community or state.

zuhd: making do with little of this world and leaving what you do not need.

Žuhr: the midday prayer.
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# Table of Transliterations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabic Letter</th>
<th>English Letter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ح</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ج</td>
<td>g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ف</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ق</td>
<td>q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ك</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ل</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>م</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ن</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ه</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>و</td>
<td>w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ي</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ض</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ط</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ز</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ع</td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>خ</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ش</td>
<td>sh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ص</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ذ</td>
<td>dh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ر</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ز</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>س</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ش</td>
<td>sh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ص</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ال</td>
<td>el</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>أ</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ا</td>
<td>ä</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>و</td>
<td>u</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ي</td>
<td>i</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>اَوُ</td>
<td>aw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>اَيِّ</td>
<td>ay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Long vowel**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabic Letter</th>
<th>English Letter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>اَوُ</td>
<td>aw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>اَيِّ</td>
<td>ay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Short vowel**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabic Letter</th>
<th>English Letter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ﺃ</td>
<td>a [fathah]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ﻞ</td>
<td>u [dammah]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ﻲ</td>
<td>i [kasrah]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Translator’s note**

The Arabic for the āyats is from the Algerian State edition of the riwāyah of Imam Warsh from the qirā’ah of Imam Nāfi‘ of Madina, whose recitation is one of the ten mutawātir recitations that are mass-transmitted from the time of the Prophet ﷺ.

There are minor omissions in the text. Some poems have been omitted which the author quotes to illustrate a point of grammatical usage or as an example of orthography or the usage of a word, often a derivative of the root of the word used in the āyah, but not the actual word used. Often it is difficult to convey the sense in English. Occasionally the author explores a grammatical matter or a tangential issue, and some of these may have been shortened. English grammatical terms used to translate Arabic grammatical terms do not have exactly the same meaning, sometimes rendering a precise translation of them problematic and often obscure.

The end of a juz’ may vary by an āyah or two in order to preserve relevant passages.
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142 The fools among the people will ask, ‘What has made them turn round from the direction they used to face?’ Say, ‘Both East and West belong to Allah. He guides whoever He wills to a straight path.’

The fools among the people will ask,

Allah Almighty is giving advance warning of what some people are going to say about the believers changing the direction they face in prayer from Syria to the Ka‘bah. The word ‘fools’ is qualified by ‘people’ because foolishness is also found in animals. The fools are those who say this. A fool (safīh) is someone with a poor intellect. A garment which is safīh, the root from which the word comes, is loosely woven. Quṭrub says that they are ignorant wrongdoers. This refers to the Jews in Madīnah, as Mujāhid says. As-Suddī said that it refers to the hypocrites and az-Zajjāj says that it means the unbelievers of Quraysh when they objected to the change of qiblah. They said, ‘Muḥammad yearns for his homeland and will soon revert to your religion.’ The Jews said, ‘He is confused about the business.’ The hypocrites said, ‘What has made them turn around from their qiblah?’ to mock the Muslims.

‘What has made them turn round from the direction they used to face?’

The imams report that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘While people were at Qubā’ performing the Ṣubḥ prayer, someone came to them and said,
“The Messenger of Allah received Revelation in the night and he has been commanded to face the Ka‘bah.” They were facing Syria and immediately turned right round to face the Ka‘bah.’ Al-Bukhārī transmitted from al-Barā‘ that the Prophet was praying towards Jerusalem for sixteen or seventeen months. He wanted his qiblah to be towards the Ka‘bah. The first prayer he prayed towards it was ‘Aṣr and people prayed it with him. A man who had prayed with the Prophet left and passed by the people of another mosque who were in rukū‘. He said, ‘I testify by Allah that I prayed with the Prophet towards Makkah.’ So they turned round so that they were facing the House. There were men who had died while they were facing the old qiblah before the change to the House and they did not know what to say about them. So Allah Almighty revealed: ‘Allah would never let your faith go to waste.’ (2:143)

This transmission mentions the ‘Aṣr prayer whereas the transmission of Mālik mentioned the Ṣubḥ prayer. It is also said that it was revealed while the Prophet was in the mosque of the Banū Salamah performing Ẓuhr and he changed qiblah after two rak‘ahs of it. For that reason, it was called the Mosque of the Two Qiblahs.

In at-Tamhīd, Abū ‘Umar mentioned from Nuwaylah bint Aslam, one of the women who gave allegiance: ‘We were performing the Ẓuhr prayer when ‘Abbād ibn Bishr ibn Qayzī came and said, “The Messenger of Allah has faced the qiblah (or ‘the Sacred House’), so the men moved to where the women were and the women moved to where the men were.”’ It is also said that the āyah was revealed about other than the prayer, and that is more common. ‘Aṣr was the first prayer towards the qiblah. Allah knows best.

It is related that the first to pray towards the Ka‘bah, when the qiblah was changed from Jerusalem, was Abū Sa‘īd ibn al-Mu‘allā. That was when he was passing through the mosque and heard the Messenger of Allah telling people to change the qiblah, reciting this āyah while he was on the minbar: ‘We have seen you looking up into
Heaven...’ (2:144) He told his companion, ‘Come and let us pray two rak‘ahs before the Messenger of Allah 🌾 comes down! Then we will be the first to pray and we will be covered in blessings.’ They prayed them and then the Messenger of Allah 🌾 descended and led the people in Zuhr.

There is disagreement about the length of time the Prophet 🌾 was in Madīnah before the qiblah was changed. It is said that it was changed after sixteen or seventeen months, as we find in al-Bukhārī. Ad-Dāraquṭnī also reported that al-Barā’ said, ‘We prayed with the Messenger of Allah 🌾 after he came to Madīnah towards Jerusalem for sixteen months. Then Allah informed the Prophet that He was aware of his desire to change qiblah and the Revelation came: ‘We have seen you looking into the heaven, turning this way and that.’ (2:144) It was sixteen months without doubt.’ Mālik related from Yahyā ibn Sa‘īd that Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab said that the qiblah was changed two months before Badr. Ibrāhīm ibn Ishāq said that was in Rajab, 2 AH. Al-Bustī said, ‘The Muslims prayed towards Jerusalem for seventeen months and three days. He came to Madīnah on Monday, 15 Rabī‘ al-Awwal, and Allah commanded him to face the Ka‘bah on Tuesday, 15 Sha‘bān the following year.’

Scholars are of three different opinions concerning the reason why the Prophet 🌾 faced Jerusalem. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘It was by opinion and ijtihād.’ ‘Ikrimah and Abū al-‘Āliyah agreed with that view. The second opinion is that he had a choice between it and the Ka‘bah and chose Jerusalem because he wanted the Jews to believe. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said that. Az-Zajjāj said that it was to test the idolaters because they were used to the Ka‘bah. The third opinion and the one which the majority hold – Ibn ‘Abbās and others – is that he was obliged to face it by the command of Allah and Revelation from Him. Then Allah abrogated that and commanded him to face the Ka‘bah in the prayer. They cite as evidence: ‘We only appointed the direction you used to face in order to distinguish those who follow the Messenger...’
There is also disagreement about when the prayer was first made obligatory for him at Makkah and whether the *qiblah* was then towards Jerusalem or the Ka‘bah. There are two positions. One group say that it was towards Jerusalem and remained that way in Madīnah for seventeen months and then Allah changed it to the Ka‘bah. Ibn ‘Abbās said that. Others say that when the prayer was first made obligatory for him he faced towards the Ka‘bah and he continued to pray towards it while he was in Makkah, as Ibrāhīm and Ismā‘īl had done. When he went to Madīnah he prayed towards Jerusalem for sixteen or seventeen months and then Allah changed the *qiblah* back to the Ka‘bah. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘I consider this to be the sounder of the two positions.’ Another said, ‘That was because when the Prophet ☪ came to Madīnah, he wanted to court the Jews and turned to their *qiblah* so that the Message would be easier for them to accept. When their obstinacy was evident and he despaired of them, he wanted to change back to the Ka‘bah and he looked up at the heavens.’ His love for Makkah was because it was the *qiblah* of Ibrāhīm, as Ibn ‘Abbās said. It is said that it was to call the Arabs to Islam. It is said that it was to be different from the Jews, as Mujāhid said. Abu-l-‘Āliyah ar-Riyyāḥī said, ‘The mosque and *qiblah* of Ṣāliḥ was towards the Ka‘bah. Mūsā used to pray towards the Stone which was in the direction of the Ka‘bah and it was the *qiblah* of all the Prophets ☪.’

This āyah contains clear evidence that the rulings of Allah and His Book can be both abrogating and abrogated and the Community agree on that, except for the rare exception. Scholars agree that the *qiblah* was the first ruling to be abrogated in the Book and that it was abrogated twice, according to one of the positions about the matter. It also indicates that it is permissible for the Sunnah to be abrogated by the Qur‘an. That is because the Prophet ☪ prayed towards Jerusalem and there was no Qur‘anic text on that. That was a
judgment only from the Sunnah and then that was abrogated by the Qur’an.

The āyah also contains evidence of the permission to make a ruling based on a single ḥadīth. That was because facing Jerusalem was definite in our Sharī‘ah, and then when someone came to the people of Qubā’ and told them that the qiblah had been changed to the Sacred Mosque, they accepted that and turned towards it and abandoned the mutawātir in favour of the single report they heard. Scholars disagree about whether it is permitted logically and actually. Abū Ḥātim said, ‘What is preferred is that that is permitted logically, if it is part of the worship entailed by the Sharī‘ah, and actually in the time of the Prophet ﷺ as is shown in the story of Qubā’ and also by the evidence that the Prophet ﷺ sent individual governors to the regions and they conveyed both the abrogating and abrogated. However it became forbidden after the death of the Prophet ﷺ by the consensus of the Companions, and the position then was that the Qur’an and the mutawātir are well-known and may not be abrogated by a single ḥadīth, and no one believes that to be possible. Those who say that it is forbidden argue by the fact that it would lead to what is impossible, namely removing what is definitive in favour of what is supposition. The story of Qubā’ and the governors is based on circumstances that convey knowledge either by transmission and realisation, or by probability and implication. This is a question about the fundamental principles of fiqh.

It also contains evidence that if the abrogation has not reached a person, then he should worship according to the first ruling. This differs from those who said that the first ruling is removed by the mere existence of the abrogation, not by knowledge of it. The first view is sounder because the people of Qubā’ continued to pray towards Jerusalem until someone came and told them about the abrogation and then they turned towards the qiblah. When the abrogation exists, it inevitably removes the prior judgment, but on
the proviso that there is knowledge of it, because the abrogation is addressed to people, and if that has not reached someone, he is not addressed by it. The point in this disagreement concerns acts of worship which are performed after the abrogation but before the person performing them has heard about it: should he repeat them or not? This is also the basis for the actions of a deputy after he has been dismissed or the one who appointed him has died before he has learned of that. There are two views.

The same is true of a qirāḍ transaction and those appointed by a judge when he dies or is dismissed. What is sound is that the action done by each of those people is carried out and their judgment is not revoked. Qāḍī ʻIyāḍ said, ‘There is no disagreement that the judgments of someone who has been freed, when he does not know that he has been freed, are the judgments of a free man in things involving other people. There is no disagreement that someone who has been freed does not repeat, after he was freed, any prayers he prayed with insufficient covering for a free person, when he did not know he had been freed. They disagree about someone who incurs an obligation which changes a ruling in worship while he is performing it which is analogous to the question of the prayer at Qubā’.

So if someone prays in a certain state which then changes before he finishes the prayer, he should complete the prayer and not break it off. What he has done satisfies the requirement. That is the same as someone who prays naked and then finds a garment while he is praying, or starts his prayer while healthy and then becomes ill, or is ill and then recovers, or sitting and then is able to stand, or the case of a slave-girl who is freed while she is praying: she takes a head covering and builds on what she has done. It is like someone who begins the prayer with tayammum and then finds water: he does not stop the prayer, as Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī and others said. Abū Ḥanīfah, however, said that he does stop it.
This contains evidence that a single report is accepted. The Salaf agree on it and there are multiple transmissions about it going back to the Prophet ﷺ sending his governors and messengers singly to all regions to instruct people in their dīn and convey to them the Sunnah of their Messenger ﷺ regarding commands and prohibitions. It also makes it very clear that the Qur’an was revealed to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ piece by piece and circumstance after circumstance, according to need, until the dīn was complete as Allah says: ‘Today I have perfected your dīn for you.’ (5:3)

Say: “Both East and West belong to Allah.

Since He owns them both, He is entitled to command any direction He wishes.

He guides whoever He wills to a straight path.’

This indicates Allah’s guidance of this Community to the qiblah of Ibrāhīm and Allah knows best. Ṣirāṭ is the Path and ‘straight’ is that in which there are no twists.

143 In this way We have made you a middlemost community, so that you may act as witnesses against mankind and the Messenger as a witness against you. We only appointed the direction you used to face in order to know those who follow the Messenger from those who turn round on their heels. Though in truth it is a very hard thing – except for those Allah has guided. Allah would never let your faith go to waste. Allah is All-Gentle, Most Merciful to mankind.

In this way We have made you a middlemost community
As the Ka‘bah is in the middle of the earth, so We made you a middlemost nation, meaning that we made you inferior to the Prophets but superior to other communities. The word ‘wasat’ (middlemost) implies being just and balanced. The basis of this is the expression: ‘The most praised of things is the middlemost of them.’ At-Tirmidhī related from Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī from the Prophet ﷺ about this āyah that it means ‘just’. He said that it is a sound ḥasan ḥadīth. We find in the Revelation: ‘The best (awsat) of them said.’ It means the best and most just. The middle part of a valley is the best place in it and has the most plants and water. The middle avoids excess and falling short and is praiseworthy, so this community does not go to excess in elevating their Prophet (like the Christians) nor fall short as the Jews do in respect of their Prophets. In a ḥadīth we find, ‘The best of matters is the middlemost of them.’ ‘Alī said, ‘You must take the middle way. The high descend to it and the low rise to it.’ Someone who is from the middlemost of his people is one of the best of them.

so that you may act as witnesses against mankind

This is referring to the Gathering, when the Prophets are joined with their nations, as is established in Ṣaḥīḥ Bukhārī when Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Nūḥ will be summoned on the Day of Rising and he will say, “At your service and obedience, O Lord!”’ Allah will say, “Did you convey it?” He will say, “Yes.” It will be said to his community, “Did he convey it to you?” They will say, “No warner came to us.” Allah will ask Nūḥ, “Who will then testify on your behalf?” He will say, “Muḥammad and his community.” They will testify that he conveyed it. That is the meaning of His words: “In this way We have made you a middlemost community, so that you may act as witnesses against mankind.”’ Ibn al-Mubārak mentioned this ḥadīth in full. We find in it: ‘Those nations will ask, “How will those who did not meet us testify against us?” The Lord will ask them, “How can you testify against those whom
you did not meet?” They will answer, “Our Lord, You sent a Messenger to us and sent down Your contract and Book to us and recounted to us that they conveyed it. Therefore we bear witness to what You entrusted to us.” The Lord will say, “You spoke the truth.”

That is the import of His words: “We have made you a middlemost community,” and “middlemost” is “just”; “so that you may act as witnesses against mankind and the Messenger as a witness against you.” Ibn An‘ām said, ‘I heard that on that Day the community of Muḥammad ﷺ will bear witness, except for someone who has sympathy in his heart for his brother.’

One group said that the āyah means that they will testify against one another after death as is confirmed in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim from Anas that the Prophet ﷺ said when a funeral passed by him and the deceased was spoken well of: ‘It is obliged. It is obliged. It is obliged.’ Then another passed him and the deceased was spoken ill of and he said, ‘It is obliged. It is obliged. It is obliged.’ ‘Umar said, ‘May my father and mother be your ransom, a funeral passed by and the deceased was spoken well of and you said, “It is obliged. It is obliged.” Then a funeral passed by and the deceased was spoken ill of and you said, “It is obliged. It is obliged. It is obliged.”’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The Garden is obliged for the one you spoke well of and the Fire is obliged for the one you spoke ill of. You are the witnesses of Allah on the earth. You are the witnesses of Allah on the earth. You are the witnesses of Allah on the earth.’ Al-Bukhārī transmitted a similar report.

Abān and Layth related from Shahr ibn Ḥawshab that ‘Ubādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit said that he heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘My Community was given three things which no other Prophets were given. Whenever Allah sent a Prophet, He said to him, “Call on Me and I will answer you.” He said to this Community, “All of you call on Me and I will answer you.” When He sent a Prophet, He said to him, “I have not imposed any hardship on you in the dīn.” He said
to this Community, “I have not imposed any hardship on any of you in the dīn.” When He sent a Prophet, He made him a witness against his people but He made this Community witnesses against the whole of mankind.’ Abū ‘abdallāh at-Tirmidhī al-Ḥakīm transmitted it in Nawādir al-uṣūl.

Our scholars say that, in His Book, our Lord has informed us of the preference He has given us by describing us as just and by entrusting us with the important task of bearing witness against all creation. He has given us the first place even if we are the last in time, as the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘We are the first who came last.’ This is also evidence that only the just may be witnesses, which will be discussed later.

This āyah also contains evidence for the soundness of the consensus of the community and the obligation to judge by it because they have been considered just enough to testify against all mankind. Every generation is a witness for those who come after them. The position of the Companions is evidence and testimony for the Tābiʿūn and that of the Tābiʿūn for those after them. Since the Community have been made witnesses, it is mandatory to accept what they say.

and the Messenger as a witness against you.

Meaning about your actions on the Day of Rising. It is also said that this can mean, ‘for you’, bearing witness that you have faith.

We only appointed the direction you used to face in order to know those who follow the Messenger

What is meant here is the first qiblah since He says: ‘you used to face.’ It is also said that it was, in fact, the second qiblah. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said that ‘know’ in this context means ‘see’. The Arabs used knowledge to mean seeing and seeing to mean knowledge. It is also said to mean ‘so that you know that We know.’ The hypocrites had doubts about Allah’s knowledge of things before they took place. It is said that this distinguishes the people of certainty from the people of
doubt, as Ibn Fūrak said. Aṭ-Ṭabarī mentioned the same view from Ibn ‘Abbās. It is said that it means: ‘so that the Prophet and his followers will know,’ when Allah reports that about Himself, as when one says, ‘The amīr did such-and-such’ whereas it was his followers who did it. Al-Mahdawī mentioned it and it is excellent. It is said that it means: ‘so that Muḥammad will know’ but He ascribed the knowledge to Himself, exalted is He, to single out and show favour, just as He alluded to Himself in His words, ‘Son of Adam, I was sick but you didn’t visit me…’ The best view is that the meaning is that it is direct witnessing which makes recompense mandatory. Allah knows the unseen and the visible and He knows what will come about before it takes place. The circumstances of known things vary but His knowledge does not vary. His knowledge is the same in every instance. ‘Follow the Messenger’ here means in respect of the command to change the qiblah to the Ka‘bah.

from those who turn round on their heels

This is referring to those who apostatised from the dīn, because when the qiblah was changed some of the Muslims reverted to their former belief and some became hypocrites.

Though in truth it is a very hard thing –

This means the change of qiblah as Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid and Qatādah said. ‘Except for those Allah has guided’: He created the guidance which is faith in their hearts as He says: ‘Allah has inscribed faith upon such people’s hearts.’ (58:22)

Allah would never let your faith go to waste.

Scholars agree that this was revealed about those who died having prayed toward Jerusalem as we see in al-Bukhārī from al-Barā‘ ibn ‘Āzib. In at-Tirmidhī, Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘When the Prophet turned towards the Ka‘bah, they asked “Messenger of Allah, what about our brothers who died while they were praying towards Jerusalem?” and Allah revealed this.’ The prayer is called ‘faith’ here because it contains intention, word and action. Mālik said, ‘I mention this āyah
to refute the position of the Murji’ites that the prayer is not part of faith.’ Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq said that it means your turning to the qiblah and your affirming your Prophet . This is the position of most Muslims and those who deal with fundamentals. Ibn Wahb, Ibn al-Qāsim, Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam and Ashhab related from Mālik that it means ‘your prayer’.

Allah is All-Gentle, Most Merciful to mankind.

‘Ra’fah’ (gentleness) is stronger than ‘rahmah’ (mercy). Abū ‘Amr ibn al-‘Alā’ said that gentleness is more frequent than mercy. The meanings are similar.

144 We have seen you looking up into heaven, turning this way and that, so We will turn you towards a direction which will please you. Turn your face, therefore, towards the Masjid al-Ḥarām. Wherever you all are, turn your faces towards it. Those given the Book know it is the truth from their Lord. Allah is not unaware of what they do.

We have seen you looking up into heaven,

This āyah was revealed before 2:282. Aṭ-Ṭabari said that he was turning his eyes towards the heaven. Az-Zajjāj said that it is to move the eyes about in the direction of the sky. The meanings are similar. ‘Heaven’ is mentioned because it was the main direction he looked towards and is the source of things like rain, mercy and revelation. ‘Please you’ means that you will love it. As-Suddī said, ‘When he prayed towards Jerusalem, he would raise his head towards heaven, waiting to see what he would be commanded to do. He wanted to pray towards the Ka’bah and Allah revealed: “We have seen you looking
up into heaven.” Abū Ishāq related that al-Barā’ said, ‘The Messenger of Allah prayed towards Jerusalem for sixteen or seventeenth months. He wanted to face the Ka’bah and so Allah revealed: “We have seen you looking up into heaven.”

**Turn you face, therefore, towards the Masjid al-Ḥarām.**

It is said to be towards any part of the House as Ibn ‘Abbās said while Ibn ‘Umar said toward the Mizāb of the Ka’bah as Ibn ‘Aṭīyyah related. The Mizāb is the qiblah of Madīnah and the people of Syria and Andalusia. Ibn Jurayj related from ‘Aṭā’ that Ibn ‘Abbās reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘The House is the qiblah for the people who can see it and the mosque is the qiblah for the people of Makkah, and Makkah is the qiblah for the rest of the people of my community, wherever they are on the earth, east or west.’ The word ‘towards’ (shaṭr) here means ‘in the direction of’ although the word can mean ‘half’.

There is no disagreement among scholars that the Ka’bah itself is the actual qiblah of everyone. They agree that it is mandatory for it to be faced by someone who can actually see it, and if he does not do that, then his prayer is invalid and he must repeat it. They agree that all of those who cannot see it face in its direction. If it is hidden from a person, then he must look for evidence for it from the stars, the direction of the wind, location of mountains and the like which will enable him to deduce the direction. If someone is sitting in the Masjid al-Ḥarām, he should face the Ka’bah and look at it with faith and in expectation of the reward. Looking at the Ka’bah is an act of worship as ‘Aṭā’ and Mujāhid said.

There is disagreement about whether someone not at the Ka’bah must face it exactly or just face in the direction of it. Facing in the direction of it is sound for three reasons. We are only responsible for doing what is possible for us since Allah says: ‘Wherever you are, turn your faces towards it.’ That is what we are commanded to do in the Qur’an by this āyah. The third reason is that scholars use the long
line in the prayer as evidence, since clearly if the line is longer than
the side of the Ka‘bah not everyone can be facing it directly.

The āyah also contains clear evidence in support of what Mālik and
those who agree with him hold, which is the ruling that someone
praying should look straight ahead and not at the place where he is
going to prostrate. Ath-Thawrī, Abū Ḥanīfah, ash-Shāfi‘ī and al-
Ḥasan ibn Ḥayy said that it is recommended for him to look at the
place where he is going to prostrate. Qāḍī Sharīk said, ‘While
standing, he looks at the place where he is going to prostrate, in
bowing he looks at his feet, in prostration he looks at the place of his
nose, and in sitting, he looks at his lap.’

Those given the Book know it is the truth from their Lord.

This means that the Jews and Christians know that the change of
direction has come from Allah. If it is asked, ‘How can they know
this when it is not part of their dīn or in their Book?’ there are two
answers. One is that since they know from their Book that
Muḥammad ﷺ is a Prophet, they know that it follows that he only
speaks the truth and only commands it. The second is that they
know from their dīn that abrogation is permitted, even if some deny
it, and so they know that abrogation is permitted in respect of the
qiblah.

Allah is not unaware of what they do.

Ibn ‘Āmir, Ḥazmzah and al-Kisā‘ī recited it with tā’, ‘what you do’,
addressed to the community of Muḥammad. Both readings inform
us that Allah is not unaware of what His slaves do. It contains a
threat. The other reading is with yā’: ‘what they do’.

145 If you were to bring every Sign to those given the
Book, they still would not follow your direction. You do not follow their direction. They do not follow each other’s direction. If you followed their whims and desires, after the knowledge that has come to you, you would then be one of the wrongdoers.

If you were to bring every Sign to those given the Book, they still would not follow your direction.

This is because they disbelieve even when the truth is clear to them and signs do not help them.

You do not follow their direction. They do not follow each other’s direction.

You do not incline to anything they face. Then Allah tells us that the Jews do not follow the qiblah of the Christians nor the Christians that of the Jews. As-Suddī and Ibn Zayd said that this tells us about their disunity and misguidance. Some people say that it means that those of them who have become Muslim and follow you do not follow the qiblah of those who are not Muslim nor do those who are not Muslim follow the qiblah of those who are. The first view is more likely, and Allah knows best.

If you followed their whims and desires,

This is addressed to the Prophet but it is his Community that is meant because it is not permitted for the Prophet to do anything that would entail wrongdoing. It is possible that it means those who disobey the Prophet. The Prophet is addressed to give the command more emphasis.

146 Those We have given the Book recognise it as they recognise their own sons. Yet a group of them knowingly conceal the truth.
Those We have given the Book recognise it

The third person pronoun ‘it’ referring back to the Book can also be read as ‘him’ referring to the Prophet ﷺ, in which case it means they recognise his Prophethood and affirm his Message, as Mujāhid, Qatādah, as others said. It is also said that what they recognise is that the change of the qiblah from Jerusalem to the Ka‘bah is true, as Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn Jurayj, ar-Rabī‘ and Qatādah said.

as they recognise their own sons.

‘Sons’ are mentioned after them ‘their own selves’ because time may pass for someone in which he does not recognise himself, but he will still recognise his son. It is related that ‘Umar asked ‘Abdullāh ibn Sallām, ‘Did you recognize Muḥammad ﷺ as you recognise your son?’ He answered, ‘Yes, and more! Allah sent His trusted one in His heaven to His trusted one on earth with his description and I recognised him. I have doubts about my son.’

Yet a group of them knowingly conceal the truth.

The ‘truth’ they conceal may be their recognition of Muḥammad ﷺ or the change of the qiblah and is the result of their obstinacy.

147 The truth is from your Lord, so on no account be among the doubters.

The truth is from your Lord,

This refers to the change of qiblah not what the Jews say about their qiblah. According to ‘Alī, this is connected to ‘they know’ in the previous āyah and so it means, ‘They know the truth from your Lord.’ Or it implies: ‘Hold to the truth.’

so on no account be among the doubters.

Again, although the Prophet ﷺ is addressed, it is his Community that is meant.
148. Each person faces a particular direction so race each other to the good. Wherever you are, Allah will bring you all together. Truly Allah has power over all things.

Each person faces a particular direction

‘Wijhah’ (direction), jihah, and wajh mean the same. What is meant is the qiblah. It means: ‘They do not face your qiblah and you do not face theirs.’ Each has a qiblah, either by the truth or by his own whims and desires. The word ‘faces’ implies that each person with a religion has a qiblah to which he turns. Instead of the reading ‘muwallīhā’, Ibn ‘Abbās and Ibn ‘Āmir recited ‘muwallāhā’ without naming the subject, and the pronoun in this reading refers to one, i.e. ‘each person has a qiblah’. Az-Zajjāj said that. In the majority reading, the pronoun refers to Allah since it is known that Allah is the One Who does that.

So race each other to the good.

The primary meaning of the āyah is to hasten to what Allah has commanded regarding the facing of the Masjid al-Ḥarām, although the phrase, in fact, contains encouragement to hasten to all acts of obedience in general. What is meant here is facing the qiblah because of the context. What is meant by ‘racing’ is to perform the prayer at the beginning of its time, and Allah knows best. An-Nasā‘ī related from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The metaphor of the one who goes early to the prayer is that of someone who sacrifices a camel. The one after him is like someone who sacrifices a cow. The one after him is like someone who sacrifices a ram. Then the one after him is like someone who sacrifices a chicken and the one after him is like someone who sacrifices an egg.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī reports from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Each of
you should pray the prayer at its time. What is at the beginning of the time is better for him than his family and wealth.’ Mālik transmitted it from Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd. Ad-Dāraquṭnī also transmits from Ibn ‘Umar that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The best of actions is the prayer at the beginning of its time.’ He also related from Ibrāhīm ibn ‘Abd al-Malik from Abū Maḥdhūrah from his father from his grandfather that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The beginning of the time is the pleasure of Allah, the middle of the time is the mercy of Allah, and the end of the time is the pardon of Allah.’

Ibn al-‘Arabī said about this, ‘Abū Bakr said, “I prefer Allah’s pleasure to His pardon. His pleasure is for the good-doers and His pardon is for those who fall short.”’ That is what ash-Shāfi‘ī preferred. Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘The end of the time is better because it is the time of the obligation.’

Mālik made a distinction, saying that in the case of Ṣubḥ and Maghrib, the beginning of the time is better. In respect of this he goes by the ḥadīth of ‘Ā’ishah: ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ used to pray Ṣubḥ when it was dark and the women would leave wrapped in their mantles and could not be recognised due to the darkness.’ And in the case of Maghrib, he goes by the ḥadīth of Salamah ibn al-Akwa’ that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ prayed Maghrib when the sun set. In the case of ‘Ishā’, it is better to delay it if one is able to do so. Ibn ‘Umar related, ‘We remained that night waiting for the ‘Ishā’ prayer with the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. He came out to us when a third of the night or more had has passed and we did not know whether he was doing something with his family or something else. When he came out, he said, “You are waiting for a prayer when none of the people of the dīn except you are waiting for it. If it had not been that it would be onerous for this Community, I would always pray at this time with them.”’ It is related in al-Bukhārī that Anas said, ‘The Prophet ﷺ delayed the ‘Ishā’ prayer to the middle of the night and then prayed…’ Abū Barzah said, ‘The Prophet ﷺ preferred to delay
As for 

**Ẓuhr**, it arrives at a time when people are inattentive and so it is recommended to delay it a little so that people can prepare and gather together. Abu-l-Faraj said that Mālik said, ‘The beginning of the time is better for every prayer except for Ẓuhr when it is very hot.’ Ibn Abī Uways said, ‘Mālik disliked praying Ẓuhr at midday but did it a little later.’ He said that praying exactly at midday is the prayer of the Khārijites. We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Bukhārī and the Ṣaḥīḥ of at-Tirmidhī that Abū Dharr al-Ghifārī said, ‘We were with the Prophet on a journey and the mu’adhdhin wanted to give the adhān for Ẓuhr, and the Prophet said, “Let it cool.” Then again he wanted to give the adhān and the Prophet said, “Let it cool.” When we saw the shadows of the hillocks, the Prophet said, “Intense heat is from the exhalation of Hell. When it is very hot, then pray when it is cooler.”’ We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim from Anas that the Messenger of Allah used to pray Ẓuhr when the sun declined. That on which both ḥadīths from Anas agree is that when it was hot, the prayer was delayed until it was cooler. When it was cool, it was brought forward. Abū ʿĪsā at-Tirmidhī said, ‘Some of the people of knowledge prefer to delay the Ẓuhr prayer when it is very hot. That is the view of Ibn al-Mubārak, Aḥmad and Isḥāq.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘Waiting for the Ẓuhr prayer until it is cooler is when its people come from a distance. When someone prays alone or prays in his local mosque, I prefer him not to delay the prayer in intense heat.’ Abū ʿĪsā said, ‘What is meant by those who delay Ẓuhr when it is very hot is that it is more appropriate and closer to following [what was done]. As for those who take the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī, that the allowance is on account of distance and hardship for people, the ḥadīth of Abū Dharr counters ash-Shāfi‘ī’s opinion. Abū Dharr said, “We were with the Prophet on a journey and Bilāl gave the adhān for Ẓuhr. The Prophet said, ‘Bilāl, let it cool. Let it cool.’” If the business had been as ash-Shāfi‘ī believed, there would have been no
point in waiting for it to cool down at that time because they were gathered together in the journey and did not need to come from afar.’

As for ‘Aṣr, it is better to do it early in the time. There is no disagreement in our School that when one hopes for a group, it is better to delay the prayer than to do it early in the time. The excellence of the group prayer is known and the excellence of the beginning of the time is unknown. It is better to obtain what is known. Ibn al-‘Arabī said that.

**Wherever you are, Allah will bring you all together.**

This is a reference to the Day of Rising. Then Allah describes Himself as having power over all things since that attribute is appropriate for what was mentioned of being brought back to life after death and decay.

149 Wherever you come from, turn your face to the Masjid al-Ḥarām. This is certainly the truth from your Lord. Allah is not unaware of what you do. 150 Wherever you come from, turn your face to the Masjid al-Ḥarām. Wherever you are, turn your faces towards it so that people will have no argument against you – except for those among them who do wrong and then you should not fear them but rather fear Me – and so that I can complete My blessing to you so that hopefully you will be guided.

This is stressing the command to face the qiblah and the
importance of that, because the change was very difficult for them. Therefore the command is repeated so that people will see the importance of it and it will be easier for them. It is said that the first command to turn the face is to look at the Ka’bah when you are praying in front of it. Then ‘wherever you are’ is for the Muslims in all the mosques in Madīnah and elsewhere. This is a command to face it everywhere and in all circumstances.

This statement is better than the first one because it gives a benefit to each āyah. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that Anas ibn Mālik said, ‘When the Prophet ﷺ was on a journey and wanted to pray on his camel, he faced the qiblah and said the takbīr and then prayed in whatever direction it turned.’ Abū Dāwud also transmitted it. Ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Thawr and Aḥmad said that. Mālik believed that you are not obliged to face Makkah, going by the ḥadīth of ‘Umar in which he said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ used to pray facing from Makkah towards Madīnah on his camel.’ ‘Wherever you turn, the Face of Allah is there’ (2:115) was revealed about this.

There is no contradiction between the two ḥadīths because this is part of the legal area of texts which are unrestricted or restricted, so the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī is more fitting and the ḥadīth of Anas is sound. It is related that Ja‘far ibn Muḥammad was asked about the meaning of the repetition of stories in the Qur’an. He said, ‘Allah knows that all people do not know the Qur’an by heart. If there was no story repeated, then it would be possible that only some people would know the story. It is repeated so that everyone will know it.’

so that people will have no argument against you –

Mujāhid said that the ‘people’ referred to here are the Arab idolaters and their argument is what they said about the qiblah. It is said that the meaning of this is: ‘So that they will not say to you, “You are commanded to face the Ka’bah yet you cannot see it.”’ This was removed when He said: ‘Wherever you are, turn your faces towards it.’ Abū Ishāq az-Zajjāj said, ‘It means that Allah has
acquainted you with the argument about the qiblah in His words: “Each person faces a particular direction.” “So that people will have no argument against you” except for someone who is unjust in his argument when the business is, in fact, clear to him. It is as you say, “You have no argument except being unjust” or “merely in order to wrong me.” “You have no definitive argument. You are merely wronging me.” So the argument is called “injustice” even though it is invalid.’ Quṭrub said that it is possible that it means: ‘So that only those who are unjust can argue against you.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘Allah made it clear that no one has evidence against the Prophet ﷺ and the Companions regarding their facing the qiblah.’ It means that they only have a baseless argument in their remarks about that.

**and then you should not fear them but rather fear Me** –

‘Khashyah’ (fear) derives from the lack of tranquillity in the heart about what to expect, while khawf is the alarm of the heart which makes the limbs tremble. The āyah calls attention to the fact that all that is other than Allah is insignificant. The command is for people to cast aside their own affairs and to obey the command of Allah.

**and so that I can complete My blessing to you**

According to az-Zajjāj, this refers to Allah making His chosen qiblah known to them. The completion of guidance is being guided to the qiblah. It is said to be admitting them into the Garden. Saʿīd ibn Jubayr said, ‘Allah’s blessing to His slave is not complete until He admits him to the Garden.’

151 For this We sent a Messenger to you from among you to recite Our Signs to you and purify you and teach you the Book and Wisdom and teach you things you did not know before.

Al-Farrā’ said that it means: ‘So that I can complete My blessing to
you by completing the like of what you have been sent with.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that this is the best view, meaning: ‘So that I can complete My blessing to you in clarifying that the sunnah of Ibrāhīm is like what We have sent to you.’ It is said that it means: ‘Perhaps you will be guided to a similar guidance as that We sent previously.’ It can also mean: ‘I will complete My blessing to you in this state,’ and the blessing in respect of the qiblah resembles the blessing of the Message, and the remembrance commanded is immense like the blessing. There can also be a change in the normal word order so that it means: ‘Remember Me as We sent you…’ The view related from ‘Alī, which is preferred by az-Zajjāj, is that it means: ‘As We sent a Messenger from among you whom you recognise to be truthful, remember Me with tawḥīd and affirmation of him.’ This is also what at-Tirmidhī al-Ḥakīm preferred: ‘As I have given you these blessings that I have enumerated to you, remember Me with gratitude and I will remember you with an increased reward because your remembering that is thanking Me and I have promised an increased reward for thankfulness.’ He says: ‘If you are grateful, I will certainly give you increase.’ (14:7)

152 Remember Me – I will remember you. Give thanks to Me and do not be ungrateful. 153 You who believe! seek help in steadfastness and the prayer. Allah is with the steadfast.

Remember Me – I will remember you.

Allah’s remembering of us signifies reward. The root meaning of the word for ‘remembering’ (dhikr) is to be aware with the heart of what is remembered and awake to it. Dhikr with the tongue is called dhikr because it indicates the remembrance of the heart. It is often used, however, with reference to the spoken words and phrases.
The āyah means: ‘Remember Me by obeying Me and I will remember you with the reward and forgiveness,’ as Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said. He also said, ‘Dhikr is obeying Allah. Anyone who does not obey Him, does not remember Him, even if he does a lot of glorification, shahādah and recitation of the Qur’ān.’ It is related that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Anyone who obeys Allah has remembered Allah, even if he has not done much prayer, fasting or good action. Anyone who disobeys Allah has forgotten Allah, even if he has done a lot of prayer, fasting and good action,’ as Abū ‘Abdullāh Muḥammad ibn Khuwayzimandād mentions in Ḥkām al-Qur’ān. Abū ‘Uthmān an-Nahdī said, ‘I know a moment in which Allah remembers us.’ He was asked, ‘How do you know that?’ He answered, ‘Allah Almighty says: “Remember Me – I will remember you.”’ As-Suddī said, ‘A person does not remember Allah without Allah remembering him. A believer does not remember Allah without Allah remembering him with mercy. An unbeliever does not remember Allah without Allah remembering him with the punishment.’

Someone said to Abū ‘Uthmān an-Nahdī, ‘We remember Allah but we do not experience any sweetness in our hearts.’ He said, ‘Praise Allah Almighty for the fact that He has adorned one of your limbs with obedience!’ Dhu-n-Nūn al-Miṣrī said, ‘Anyone who really remembers Allah, forgets everything except His remembrance and Allah preserves him from every bad thing and is his redress for everything.’ Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal said, ‘The son of Ādam does not do any action which will be more effective in saving him from the punishment of Allah than dhikr of Allah.’

There are many hadiths on the virtue of dhikr and its reward. Ibn Mājah reports from ‘Abdullāh ibn Yūsuf that a Bedouin said to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, ‘The laws of Islam are a lot for me. Tell me something on which I can really concentrate my efforts.’ He said,
‘Let your tongue remain moist with the remembrance of Allah Almighty.’ It is transmitted that Abū Hurayrah reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Allah Almighty says, “I am with My noble slave when he remembers Me and moves his lips with My Name.”’ This subject will be discussed in greater depth elsewhere.

**Gives thanks to Me**

Thankfulness (شُكْر) is to acknowledge kindness received and to speak of it. The linguistic root of *shukr* means ‘to display’. So Allah’s slave shows his thankfulness by mentioning Allah’s goodness to him and the Real thanks the slave by praising him for obeying Him.

**and do not be ungrateful.**

Do not be ungrateful for Allah’s blessings. The word *kufr* here means to cover up the blessing, not total disbelief.

154 Do not say that those who are killed in the Way of Allah are dead. On the contrary, they are alive but you are not aware of it.

This is like another verse: ‘Do not suppose those killed in the Way of Allah are dead. No indeed! They are alive and well provided for in the very presence of their Lord.’ (3:169) There we will discuss martyrs and the rulings that apply in their case, Allah willing. Allah will bring them to life after death in order to provide for them and so it is equally possible for the unbelievers to be brought to life in order for them to be punished. This is evidence for the punishment in the grave. The martyrs are alive, as Allah says. It does not mean that they will be brought to life later, since in that case there would be no difference between them and anyone else, because everyone will be brought back to life on the Last Day. The evidence for this is found in His words: ‘…but you are not aware of it’, and the believers are certainly aware that they will be brought back to life.
155 We will test you with a certain amount of fear and hunger and loss of wealth and life and fruits. But give good news to the steadfast:

We will test you with a certain amount of fear and hunger and loss of wealth and life and fruits.

‘We will test you so that We know who are the fighters and the steadfast by direct evidence in order that they may be rewarded.’ It is said that they will be tested as a sign for those after them and so that they know that if they too are steadfast in this respect, then truth will be clear to them. It is said that He will inform them of this so that they will have certainty that it will befall them as well; then they will be ready for it and will be less likely to be anxious. It advances Allah’s reward.

‘Certain amount’ (shay)’ is in the singular, but has the meaning of a plural. Indeed, ad-Ḍahḥāk actually recites it in the plural although most have it in the singular. The word ‘fear’ here refers to fear of the enemy and alarm when fighting as Ibn ‘Abbās said. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that it is fear of Allah that is meant. The ‘hunger’ is that caused by drought and famine according to Ibn ‘Abbās. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that it refers to the hunger felt in the month of Ramaḍān. ‘Loss of wealth’ comes through being occupied with fighting the unbelievers. It is said that it refers to damage done to crops. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that it is the diminishment that comes about through the payment of the obligatory zakat. ‘Loss of life’, according to Ibn ‘Abbās, is by killing and death in jihād. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that it is through illnesses. ‘Loss of fruits’, according to ash-Shāfi‘ī means the death of children as they are the fruit of a man’s heart as we find in a tradition. Ibn ‘Abbās says that it means loss of crops and blessing.

But give good news to the steadfast:

Give them the good news of the reward for their steadfastness. The
root of the word ‘steadfast’ (ṣabr) means to confine and restrict and its reward is without limit, but that only refers to steadfastness at the first blow. Al-Bukhārī transmitted from Anas that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Steadfastness is at the first blow.’ Muslim transmitted it in a more complete form. The steadfastness which is difficult for the self and which has such an immense reward is that shown when the affliction first strikes since it indicates the strength of the heart and its firmness in the station of steadfastness. When an affliction lessens with time anyone can be steadfast. That is why it is said, ‘In an affliction every intelligent person must withstand what a fool can only withstand after three.’ Sahl ibn ‘Abdullāh at-Tustarī said, ‘When the Almighty said: “give good news to the steadfast”, steadfastness became a way of life.’

There are two types of steadfastness: steadfastness in not disobeying Allah, and this is that of the mujāhid, and steadfastness in obeying Allah, and this is that of the ordinary worshipper. If someone is steadfast in not disobeying Allah and steadfast in obeying Allah, Allah will grant him complete satisfaction with His Decree. The sign of this satisfaction is tranquillity in the heart in the face of everything that happens to you, whether liked or disliked. Al-Khawwāṣ said, ‘Steadfastness is firmness in holding to the rulings of the Book and Sunnah.’ Ruwaym said, ‘Steadfastness is abandoning complaint.’ Dhu-n-Nūn al-Miṣrī said, ‘Steadfastness is seeking the help of Allah Almighty.’

156 Those who, when disaster strikes them, say, ‘We belong to Allah and to Him we will return. 157 Those are the people who will have blessings and mercy from their Lord; they are the ones who are guided.

Those who, when disaster strikes them,
The word ‘disaster’ (muṣībah) means everything that harms and afflicts a believer, even if it is minor. It is used for any evil. ‘Ikrimah related that one night the lamp of the Messenger of Allah went out and he said, ‘We belong to Allah and to Him we return.’ He was asked, ‘Is it a disaster, Messenger of Allah?’ He answered, ‘Yes, whatever harms a believer is a disaster.’ This idea is confirmed in the Ṣaḥīḥ. In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim there is a hadīth transmitted from Abū Sa‘īd and Abū Hurayrah in which they heard the Messenger of Allah say, ‘Whatever afflicts the believer, be it discomfort, fatigue, illness or sorrow, even a care that concerns him, expiates his evil deeds.’

Ibn Mājah in the Sunan transmitted from Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah from Wakī‘ ibn Hishām ibn Ziyād from his mother from Fāṭimah bint al-Husayn from her father that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘If someone suffers an affliction and remembers his affliction and says, “We belong to Allah and to Him we will return,” even after a long time, Allah will write for him a reward the same as if he had said it on the day he was afflicted.’

One of the worst afflictions is an affliction which affects one’s dīn. ‘Aṭā’ ibn Abī Rabāḥ reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘When someone suffers an affliction, he should remember his loss of me. That is one of the greatest afflictions.’ Abū Muḥammad as-Samarqandī transmitted it in his Musnad. Abū Nu‘aym reported it from Faṭr and has a similar report which is mursal from Makḥūl. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘The Messenger of Allah spoke the truth because the affliction of losing him is greater than any other affliction which a Muslim might suffer after that until the Day of Rising. The Revelation has come to an end and Prophethood has died out.’ The first evil to appear was the apostasy of the Arabs. His death was the end of good and the beginning of loss. Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī said, ‘Our hands had not brushed off the dust of the grave of the Messenger of Allah when we found that we did not know our hearts.’
We belong to Allah and to Him we will return.

Allah has made these words the refuge of all those overcome by affliction and the resort of all those undergoing trial since it contains many blessed meanings. ‘We belong to Allah’ is tawḥīd, and affirmation of our slavehood and Allah’s mastership; ‘…and to Him we will return’ is affirmation of the inevitability of death and resurrection from the grave and certainty that the entire affair will return to Allah as it belongs to Him. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said, ‘These words were not given to any Prophet before our Prophet. If Ya‘qūb had known them, he would not have said, “My sorrow for Yūsuf!”’ (12:84)

Abū Sinān said, ‘When I buried my son Sinān, Abū Ṭalḥah al-Khawlānī was standing at the edge of the grave. When I was about to leave, he took my hand and tried to cheer me up. He said, “Shall I give you some good news, Abū Sinān? Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk reported to me from Abū Mūsā that the Prophet said, ‘When someone’s child dies, Allah says to the angels, “You have taken the child of My slave?” “Yes,” they reply. He asks, “You took the apple of his eye?” “Yes,” they reply. He asks, “What did My slave say?” They reply, “He praised You and said, ‘We belong to Allah and to Him we will return.’”’ Allah Almighty will say, “Build My slave a house in the Garden and name it the House of Praise.”’"

Muslim related from Umm Salamah that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘There is no Muslim who is afflicted by a calamity and then says, “We belong to Allah and to Him we return. O Allah, give me a reward for my calamity and give me something better to replace it,” without Allah granting him something better in its place.’ This is indicated by the words of Allah Almighty: ‘Give good news to the steadfast.’ (2:155). The replacement which Allah gave Umm Salamah was the Messenger of Allah. He married her when her husband, Abū Salamah, died. Otherwise there is an ample reward in the Next World, as in the ḥadīth of Abū Mūsā.
Those are the people who will have blessings and mercy from their Lord.

The blessings (ṣalawāt) of Allah on His slave are His pardon, mercy, blessing and honour for him in this world and the Next. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘Ṣalawāt from Allah means forgiveness and good praise.’ One element of this is the funeral prayer for the dead person which entails praise for him and supplication for him. The word ‘mercy’ is repeated, when the phrase varies, for emphasis and to expand the meaning. It is said that by ‘mercy’ Allah means removing grief and fulfilling every need. In al-Bukhārī, “Umar said, “The two sides of the baggage and what is put on it.”” The two sides [of the carriers on the camel] mean blessing and mercy, and what is on top is ‘those are the guided.’ It is said that it means entitlement to the reward and ample wage. It is also said that it is making disasters easier to bear and alleviating sorrow.

158 Ṣafā and Marwah are among the Landmarks of Allah, so anyone who goes on ḥajj to the House or does ‘umrah incurs no wrong in going back and forth between them. If anyone spontaneously does good, Allah is All-Thankful, All-Knowing.

Ṣafā and Marwah are among the Landmarks of Allah,

Al-Bukhārī related that ‘Āşim ibn Sulaymān said, ‘I asked Anas ibn Mālik about Ṣafā and Marwah and he said, “We used to think that the observance connected with them was something from the Jāhiliyyah. When Islam came, we kept back from them and then Allah revealed this.”’ In at-Tirmidhī we find that ‘Urwah said, ‘I said to ‘Ā‘ishah, “I do not think that someone who does not go between Ṣafā and Marwah owes any sacrifice and I do not care if I go between them or not.”’ She said, “My nephew, what you say is
wrong. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ went between them and so did all the Muslims. Those who previously used to go into ḥiḥrām for the idol Manāṭ, which they used to worship at al-Mushallal, considered it wrong to go between Ṣafā and Marwah, so Allah revealed: ‘Ṣafā and Marwah are among the Landmarks of Allah.’ If it was as you say, it would have been: ‘incurs no wrong if he does not go between them.’”” Az-Zuhrī said that he mentioned that to Abū Bakr ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn al-Ḥārith ibn Hishām and he liked it and remarked, ‘There is something I heard men of knowledge say: “Those Arabs who do not go between Ṣafā and Marwah said that our ṭawāf between these two stones is something from the Jāhiliyyah and others among the Anṣār said, ‘We are commanded to do ṭawāf of the House and go between Ṣafā and Marwah.’ So Allah revealed: ‘Ṣafā and Marwah are among the Landmarks of Allah.’”’” Abū Bakr said, ‘I think it was revealed about these groups.’ Al-Bukhārī transmitted something to that effect. He said that it is a sound ḥasan ḥadīth.

Also in al-Bukhārī there is a ḥadīth in which ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ made a sunnah of going between them. No one should abandon going between them.’ Then she told Abū Bakr ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān and he said, ‘This is a piece of knowledge I have not heard. I did hear some of the people of knowledge saying that the people – except for those ‘Ā’ishah mentioned who went into ḥiḥrām for Manāṭ – all used to go between Ṣafā and Marwah. When Allah Almighty mentioned ṭawāf of the House and did not mention Ṣafā and Marwah in the Qur’an, they said, “Messenger of Allah, we used also do ṭawāf of Ṣafā and Marwah and Allah has revealed ṭawāf around the House without mentioning Ṣafā. Is it a sin for us to do ṭawāf of Ṣafā and Marwah?” Allah Almighty then revealed: “Ṣafā and Marwah are among the Landmarks of Allah.””’ Abū Bakr said, ‘I heard that this āyah was revealed about both groups – those who used to consider it a sin to do ṭawāf of Ṣafā and Marwah in the time of Jāhiliyyah and those who had done ṭawāf of them and then
considered it a sin to do so in the time of Islam – because Allah Almighty had commanded ṭawāf of the House without mentioning Ṣafā, only speaking of it after what He said about ṭawāf of the House.’

At-Tirmidhī related that ‘Āṣim ibn Sulaymān al-Āhwal said, ‘I asked Mālik about Ṣafā and Marwah and he said, “They were among the Landmarks of the Jāhiliyyah. When Islam came, we refrained from them. Then Allah revealed: ‘Ṣafā and Marwah are among the Landmarks of Allah, so anyone who goes on ḥajj to the House or does ‘umrah incurs no wrong in going back and forth between them.’’” He said, “They are voluntary. ‘If anyone spontaneously does good, Allah is All-Thankful, All-Knowing.’”’ He said that it is a sound ḥasan ḥadīth. Al-Bukhārī also transmitted it.

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘In the time of Jāhiliyyah some shayṭāns used to play music the entire night between Ṣafā and Marwah, and there were idols between them. When Islam came, the Muslims said, “Messenger of Allah, we do not go between Ṣafā and Marwah. To do so is shirk.” Then this was revealed.’ Ash-Sha‘bī said, ‘In the Jāhiliyyah, there was an idol called Isāf on Ṣafā, and an idol called Nā’ilah on Marwah. They used to wipe their hands on the idols when they went between them. So the Muslims refused to go between them. It was because of that that the āyah was revealed.’

The root meaning of the name Ṣafā is smooth stone. It is a well known high rock at Makkah and Marwah is another high rock. That is why they take the definite article. Ṣafā gets its name because Ādam, the chosen one (muṣṭafā), stopped there, and so it was named after him. Ḥawwā’ stopped on Marwah and it was named after the word for ‘woman’ (mar’ah). Allah knows best.

‘The Landmarks (sha‘ā’ir) of Allah’ are among His signs and the places of His worship. These are the sites of acts of worship which Allah informs us about, thereby making them signs for people: the standing, sa‘y, and sacrifice. Shi‘ār is an identification mark of the
kind put on the hump of camels to be sacrificed.

**so anyone who goes on ḥajj to the House or does ‘umrah**

He heads for it. The root meaning of the word “ḥajj” means to aim for something. ‘Umrah means a visit.

**incurs no wrong in going back and forth between them**

They do not commit any sin by doing that. The root of the word for ‘junāḥ’ (wrong) is junūḥ, which means bending and is used for the limbs (jawāniḥ) because they bend. To use this expression means to permit the action. ‘Urwah thought that it meant that it is permissible to abandon tawāf and then he saw that the Sharī‘ah confirmed the fact that there is no allowance to abandon it.

At-Tirmidhī transmitted from Jābir that, when the Prophet came to Makkah, he went around the House seven times, and recited, ‘*Take the Maqām of Ibrāhīm as a place of prayer*’ (2:125); and he prayed behind the Maqām. Then he went to the Stone and kissed it and then said, ‘We begin with what Allah began with.’ So he began with Ṣafā. The correct practice, therefore, according to the people of knowledge, is that you begin with Ṣafā. This is a sound ḥasan ḥadīth. According to the people of knowledge, the proper action is to begin with Ṣafā. It is not permitted to begin with Marwah.

Scholars disagree about the obligatory nature of *sa’y* between Ṣafā and Marwah. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and Ibn Ḥanbal said that it is a pillar of the Ḥajj. This is the well-known position in the school of Mālik, since the Prophet said, ‘Do *sa’y*. Allah has prescribed *sa’y* for you.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it. ‘*Kataba*’ means ‘has made obligatory’ as we see in the Book and ḥadīths. Ibn Mājah transmitted that an *umm walad* belonging to Shaybah said, ‘I saw the Messenger of Allah doing *sa’y* between Ṣafā and Marwah. He was saying, “Al-Abṭaḥ should only be crossed quickly.”’ If someone omits it, or omits even one circuit of it deliberately or out of forgetfulness, he must return from his land, or from wherever he remembers, to Makkah and do ṭawāf and *sa’y*, because *sa’y* is only connected to ṭawāf.
Mālik said that sa'y is the same in ḥajj or ‘umrah, although it is not a farḍ in ‘umrah. If someone has sexual contact with a woman, he must perform ‘umrah and sacrifice according to Mālik, but he completes his practices. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that he owes a sacrifice and there is no point in doing ‘umrah if he has gone back and performed ṭawāf and sa'y. Abū Hanīfah and his people, ath-Thawrī and ash-Sha‘bī said that sa'y is not mandatory. If someone omits it in the ḥajj and then returns to his homeland without doing it, he owes a sacrifice because it is one of the sunnahs of ḥajj. That is the position of Mālik in al-'Utibiyyah. It is, however, related from Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn az-Zubayr, Anas ibn Mālik and Ibn Sīrīn that it is voluntary based on this āyah.

If anyone spontaneously does good, Allah is All-Thankful, All-Knowing

Ḥamzah and al-Kisā‘i recite ‘yaṭṭawwa‘, as with 2:184, while the rest have ‘ṭawwa‘a’ in the past tense. This refers to any supererogatory good action someone does which is not imposed on them, whether ṭawāf or anything else. Allah will show gratitude for the action by rewarding them. What is sound is what ash-Shāfi‘ī believed, based on what we mentioned and the fact that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Take your practices from me.’ Therefore it is clear that it is part of the ḥajj and it must be obligatory in the same way as is the case in his making the number of rak'ahs clear ﷺ. The same is true of all of that unless it is agreed that it is sunnah or voluntary. Ṭulayb said, ‘Ibn ‘Abbās saw some people doing ṭawāf between Ṣafā and Marwah and said, “This is what your mother, Ismā‘īl’s mother, bequeathed you.”’ This is confirmed in Ṣaḥīḥ Bukhārī as we will see in Sūrah Ibrāhīm.

It is not permitted for anyone to do ṭawāf of the House or go between Ṣafā and Marwah riding unless he has an excuse. If he does this with a valid excuse, then he owes a sacrifice. If he does it without an excuse, he must repeat the action as long as he is still at the House. Otherwise he must sacrifice. We say that because the
Prophet ﷺ did ṭawāf himself and he said, ‘Take your practices from me.’ We are allowed to do that with an excuse because the Prophet ﷺ did ṭawāf on his camel and greeted the Corner with his whip, and when ‘Ā’ishah asked him about it, he said, ‘I am unwell.’ He also told her, ‘Do ṭawāf riding behind the people.’

Our people differentiate between doing ṭawāf on a camel and on a person’s back. It is not permitted to do ṭawāf on a person’s back because then the person being carried is not the one performing ṭawāf; the one performing ṭawāf is the person who is carrying him. If he does ṭawāf on a camel, he is performing ṭawāf. Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘This is a distinction in choice. It does satisfy the requirement. Do you not see that if someone faints and is carried in the rest of his ṭawāf or stops at ‘Arafāt while being carried, he has satisfied the requirement.’

159 Those who hide the Clear Signs and Guidance We have sent down, after We have made it clear to people in the Book, Allah curses them, and the cursers curse them –

Those who hide the Clear Signs and Guidance We have sent down, Allah tells us that anyone who hides the Clear Signs and Guidance is cursed. Scholars disagree about what is meant that. It is said that the Jewish rabbis and Christian monks are the ones who concealed their knowledge of the coming of Muḥammad ﷺ and the Jews concealed the command about stoning. It is said that it means everyone who conceals the truth, and so it is general to all who conceal any knowledge of the dīn of Allah which should be made known. That is explained by the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘If anyone is asked about knowledge which he knows and conceals it, Allah will bridle him with a bridle of Fire on the Day of Rising.’ Abū
Hurayrah and ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ related it and Ibn Mājah transmitted it.

That is counterbalanced by the statement of ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd, ‘You should not tell people a ḥadīth which is beyond the grasp of their intellects lest it be a trial for some of them.’ The Prophet also said, ‘Relate to people according to their ability to understand. Do you want Allah and His Messenger to be denied?’ This applies to some areas of knowledge, such as kalām and the like which not everyone can grasp. A scholar should transmit what can be grasped and deal with everyone according to their understanding. Allah knows best.

This āyah is the one which Abū Hurayrah was referring to when he said, ‘If it had not been for an āyah in the Book of Allah Almighty, I would not have told you a single ḥadīth.’ Scholars use it as evidence for the obligation of conveying true knowledge and in general of making knowledge clear without taking a wage for it, since no wage should be received for what it is mandatory to do, just as there is no wage for simply being a Muslim. This has already been discussed in the commentary on āyah 41.

The meaning of the āyah is that, when a scholar intends to conceal knowledge, he disobeys Allah. When that is not his intention, he is not obliged to convey something if he knows that other people know it. When he is asked, he is obliged by this āyah and the ḥadīth to convey what he knows. He is not, however, permitted to teach an unbeliever the Qur’an and knowledge of the dīn until he becomes Muslim. Similarly he is not permitted to teach an innovator proofs and arguments which he might then use to argue against the people who possess the truth. He should not instruct a litigant in techniques of legal advocacy so that he can use it against his opponent to take his money, nor should he teach a ruler a particular interpretation which he can then use to harm his subjects. He should not inform fools of permissive opinions which they might then use as
justification for committing forbidden acts or abandoning their obligations or other such things.

It is related that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Do not deny wisdom to those entitled to it and thus wrong them, and do not give it to those not entitled to it and thus wrong it.’ It is also reported that he said, ‘Do not hang pearls from the necks of swine,’ meaning teach fiqh to someone who is not able to grasp it. Saḥnūn said, ‘The ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah and ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ is about giving testimony.’ Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘What is sound is different to that because it says in the ḥadīth: “If anyone is asked about knowledge” and not “testimony”. One takes the literal meaning unless there is something to alter it.’ Allah knows best.

The ‘Clear Signs and Guidance’ mentioned in the āyah are not specific things. On the contrary, it is a general statement, since guidance includes all knowledge of the dīn. The āyah is evidence for the obligation of acting by the statement of a single person because clarification would only be mandatory for him if it were mandatory to accept what he says. Allah says: ‘except for those who sincerely repent and put things right and make things clear.’ (2:160) So its ruling is that clarification occurs simply by virtue of them reporting it.

If it is said that it is possible that everyone is forbidden to conceal the matter, and commanded to make it clear, so that there will be a great number of people who report it, we reply to those who say this that it is a mistake. That is because they were not forbidden to conceal things because they were among those who might collude in concealing knowledge. If someone is one of those who might collude in concealment, their report is not mandatory, and Allah knows best.

The use of the words ‘Clear Signs and Guidance’ also indicates that it is permitted to conceal anything other than that, especially when there is fear of what its misunderstanding might provoke, in which case its concealment is even more emphatically encouraged. Fear of the consequences involved led Abū Hurayrah to do precisely that.
He said, ‘I preserved two vessels from the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. I have disseminated one of them. If I were to disseminate the other one, my throat would be cut.’ Al-Bukhārī transmitted it. Our scholars say that the part which Abū Hurayrah did not disseminate, and on account of which he feared dissension or that he himself might be killed, was knowledge which was connected to seditions, information about apostates and hypocrites, and other such things which are not connected to Clear Signs and Guidance. And Allah knows best.

after We have made it clear to people in the Book,

This alludes to the Clear Signs and Guidance which Allah has revealed to the human race. ‘The Book’ is generic, meaning all the Revealed Books.

Allah curses them,

He declares Himself free of them and puts them far from His reward, telling them they are cursed. The root meaning of curse is to put away and drive away.

and the cursers curse them.

Qatādah and ar-Rabī‘ said that the ‘cursers’ are the angels and the believers. Mujāhid and ‘Ikrīmah say that they are the insects and beasts who curse them because they are afflicted by drought on account of the wrong actions of those evil scholars who conceal knowledge. Az-Zajjāj said that the correct view is that it is the angels and the believers. He says that the truth of the assertion that it is the beasts of the earth would necessarily depend on a text or a report to that effect and none has been found to back it up. There is, however, a hadīth from al-Barā‘ ibn ‘Āzib in which the Prophet ﷺ said in reference to this fact that it is the beasts of the earth. This hadīth can be found in Ibn Mājah from Muḥammad ibn aṣ-Ṣabbāḥ from ‘Ammār ibn Muḥammad from Abu-l-Minhāl from Zādhān from al-Barā‘. It has a good isnād.

If it is asked, ‘How can the plural reserved for things which have
intelligence be used for creatures without intelligence?’ the reply is that it is because the action of intelligent beings is ascribed to them. An example of this is when Allah says in Sūrat Yūsuf: ‘I saw them [the stars] prostrating to me’ (12:4), using the masculine plural. There are many other instances of this and it is something which will be discussed later, Allah willing. Al-Barā’ and Ibn ‘Abbās said that the ‘cursers’ are all creatures except for men and jinn. That is because the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When the unbeliever is struck in his grave and shouts out, everything hears him except for men and jinn. All who hear him curse him.’ Ibn Mas‘ūd and as-Suddī said, ‘It is a man who curses his companion and the curse rises to heaven and then descends and does not find the person, about whom it was said, as meriting it and so it returns to the one who said it and does not find him to merit it either. Therefore, it becomes general and falls on the Jews who concealed what Allah revealed.’

160 except for those who sincerely repent and put things right and make things clear. I turn towards them. I am the Ever-Returning, the Most Merciful.

except for those who sincerely repent

Those who sincerely repent are those who are righteous in their actions and do deeds which make their repentance clear. Simple repentance is not enough according to our scholars; it is necessary for the opposite of someone’s original condition to be clearly manifest in him. If he was an apostate and then returns to Islam, he has to be manifestly obeying its laws. If he is one of the people of disobedience, he must become one of the people who perform righteous actions and avoid people immersed in corruption and his former life. If he is an idolater, he must shun the company of other idolaters and mix with the people of Islam. Repentance and its
rulings will be explained in Sūrat an-Nisā’, Allah willing.

and put things right and make things clear.

Some scholars say that ‘make things clear’ means, for instance, by breaking wine containers and spilling out their contents and other clear actions connected with breaking with past patterns of behaviour. It is said that it means make clear what is in the Torah about the Prophethood of Muḥammad ﷺ and the obligation to follow him. It is better to take it in the more general sense of displaying the opposite of what people were doing previously. Allah knows best.

161 But as for those who are unbelievers and die unbelievers, the curse of Allah is upon them and that of the angels and all mankind. 162 They will be under it for ever. The punishment will not be lightened for them. They will be granted no reprieve.

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Many of my shaykhs told me that it is not permitted to curse a particular unbeliever because his state at the time of his death is not known. Allah Almighty stipulated in this āyah that the application of the curse depends on someone actually dying as an unbeliever. As for what is related about the Prophet ﷺ cursing specific unbelievers, that was only because he knew what their fate would be.’ Ibn al-‘Arabī also said, ‘I consider it valid, however, to curse an unbeliever on the basis of his outward state and it is permitted to fight and kill him. It is related that the Prophet ﷺ said, “O Allah, ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ has satirised me and he knows that I am not a poet, so curse him and satirise him to the extent that he has satirized me.” So he cursed him, even though faith, the dīn and Islam were to be his end. He was fair when he said, “To the extent
that he has satirised me,” and no more.’

As for cursing unbelievers in general, without specifying anyone, there is no disagreement about doing that, since Mālik reported with an isnād going back to al-A‘raj who said, ‘People definitely used to curse the unbelievers in Ramaḍān.’ Our scholars add, ‘Whether or not they are dhimmīs.’ This is not mandatory but it is permitted if someone does it, because they deny the truth and are hostile towards the dīn and its people. The same applies to anyone who commits acts of disobedience openly, like drinking wine and practising usury and other such things.

The point of cursing an unbeliever is not to drive him away from disbelief. It is repayment for his disbelief and his display of it. Some of the Salaf said, ‘There is no point in cursing those who are mad or dead among them, either by way of repayment or as a rebuke. It has no effect.’

What is meant by the āyah, according to this understanding, is that people will curse them on the Day of Rising so that it has an effect and harms and pains their hearts. That is the repayment for their disbelief as the Almighty says: ‘Then on the Day of Rising, they will reject one another and curse one another.’ (29:25) This position inclines to the view that the āyah is a simple report from Allah and not a command. Ibn al-‘Arabī mentioned that it is not permitted to curse an individual rebel, because it is reported that a man who had drunk wine several times was brought before the Prophet and one of those present said, ‘Allah curse him! How often he is brought!’ The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Do not help Shayṭān against your brother,’ and he called him a brother, which obliges compassion for him. This is a sound ḥadīth. Al-Bukhārī and Muslim mentioned it.

One scholar mentioned a disagreement about cursing a specific rebel. He said that the Prophet’s words above were about Nu‘aymān after the hadd punishment had been carried out on him. One should not curse someone on whom the hadd punishment has been carried
out. It is, however, permitted to curse someone on whom the ḥadd punishment has not been carried out, whether he is named and specific or not, because the Prophet ﷺ only cursed those for whom the curse was obliged as long as they remained in that state which obliged the curse. If someone repents of it, refrains and is purified by the ḥadd, then he should not be cursed. This is made evident by the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘When one of your slave-girls fornicates, carry out the ḥadd on her and do not find fault her.’ This hadīth, being sound, indicates that finding fault and cursing are before the ḥadd and before repentance. Allah knows best.

Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘It is permitted to curse rebels in general by consensus, based on what is related from the Prophet ﷺ, that he said: ‘Allah has cursed a slave who steals a helmet and has his hand cut off.’

Cursed people are far from Allah’s mercy. The root meaning of ‘laʾn’ (curse) is to drive away and put someone far away. The intent of a curse made by people is to drive someone away, and Allah’s curse is punishment.

If it is observed that not all mankind curse them because their own people do not, there are three answers to this objection. One is that the curse of most people is applied to them, since it denotes the majority. The second is what as-Suddī said: that everyone curses a wrongdoer and a wrongdoing unbeliever even curses himself. The third is Abu-l-ʿĀliyah’s statement that what is meant is the Day of Rising when his own people will curse him along with everyone else as Allah says: ‘But then on the Day of Rising you will reject one another and curse one another.’ (29:25) ‘For ever’ refers to the the curse: either in respect of the repayment for it or in respect of its abiding on them for ever. The punishment will not be lightened for them for a single moment.
Your God is One God.

When Allah warned against concealing the truth, He made it clear that the first thing which must be made clear, and which it is not permitted to conceal, is knowledge of Allah’s unity. He connected the proof to that and instructed people on how to find out about it: one should reflect on the wonders of creation so as to know that there must be a Unique Doer who is not like anything else. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Quraysh said, “Muḥammad, describe your Lord for us.” Then Allah revealed Ṣūrat al-Ikhlāṣ and this āyah. The idolaters had three hundred and sixty idols. Allah made it clear that He is One.’

There is no god but Him,

This means that there is nothing worthy of worship except Allah. It is reported that ash-Shiblī used to say the name ‘Allah’ alone and omit the words ‘lā ʾilāha’ – ‘there is no god’. He was asked about that and said, ‘I fear that I might die on the word of denial and not reach the word of affirmation.’ This is one of the very refined areas of knowledge which has no real substance. Allah mentions this phrase in His Book with both negation and affirmation and repeats it many times and promised, on the tongue of His Prophet ﷺ, an ample reward for whoever says it. Al-Muwāṭṭā’, al-Bukhārī, Muslim and others transmitted it. He said ﷺ, ‘If someone’s last words are “There is no god but Allah”, he will enter the Garden.’ Muslim transmitted it. What is meant is with the heart, not the tongue alone. If someone says, ‘There is no god’ and dies before completing the formula but his belief and conscience affirm Allah’s unity and His necessary attributes, by the agreement of the people of the Sunnah, he is one of the people of the Garden.
In the creation of the heavens and earth, and the alternation of the night and day, and the ships which sail the seas to people’s benefit, and the water which Allah sends down from the sky – by which He brings the earth to life when it was dead and scatters about in it creatures of every kind – and the varying direction of the winds, and the clouds subservient between heaven and earth, there are Signs for people who use their intellect.

‘Aṭā’ said that when the previous āyah was revealed, the unbelievers of Quraysh said, ‘How can One God be enough for people!’ And then this āyah was revealed. Sufyān related from his father that Abu-ḍ-Ḍuḥā said that when the previous āyah was revealed, they asked, ‘What proof is there of this?’ and so Allah revealed this āyah. It is as if they were asking for a sign to make the oneness of Allah clear to them: this universe and wondrous structure must have a Builder and Maker.

Samāwāt (heavens) is in the plural because there are different types of heaven. Each heaven is different from all the other heavens. Arḍ (earth) is in the singular because there is only one earth. Allah knows best. The sign of the heavens is that they are elevated without support under them or above them. That indicates incomprehensible power. Then there are the sun, moon and stars which rise and set in them which are yet another sign of Allah’s power. The sign of the earth consists of its seas, rivers, mines, trees, gentle terrain and rough terrain.

and the alternation of the night and day,

as they come and go from where it is not known. It is said that their
differentiation is in respect of the variable intensity of the light and darkness, and the variation in the length and shortness of each. ‘layl’ (night) is the plural of ‘laylah’. ‘Nahār’ (day) denotes the time of light between dawn and sunset. The beginning of ‘day’ is sunrise; anything before it is not part of it. Tha’lab said that among the Arabs it begins with sunrise. Az-Zajjāj said in Kīlāb al-Anwā’ that the beginning of the day is the appearance of the sun.

Ibn al-Anbārī said that there are three categories of time. One category is pure night, which extends from sunset to the rising of dawn. A second category is pure day, which extends from sunrise to sunset. The third category is shared between night and day and it is between dawn and sunrise since some of the darkness of night is left with the beginning of the light of day.

What is sound is that ‘day’ is from the rising of dawn until sunset as is related by Ibn Fāris in al-Mujmal. That is indicated by what is confirmed in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that ‘Adī ibn Hātim said, ‘When it was revealed: “until you can clearly discern the white thread from the black thread of the dawn” (2:187), ‘Adī said to the Prophet, “Messenger of Allah, I put two strings under my pillow, a white one and a black one, by which I recognise night from day.” The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Your pillow is indeed wide! It is the blackness of night and the whiteness of day.”’ This hadīth shows that the day is from the rising of dawn until sunset. This fiqh is applied in oaths and rulings are connected to it. If someone swears that he will not speak to a person for a day (nahār) and then speaks to him before sunrise, he has broken his oath. According to the first view, he has not broken it. What the Prophet ﷺ said is the criterion for that. As for what is part of literal language and derived from the Sunnah is that day begins with whitening of the sky.

and the ships which sail the seas to people’s benefit,

‘Fulk’ (ships) is a generic term for all watercraft. The meaning of the āyah is that Allah controls them so that they move on the surface
of the water and float in spite of their weight. The first person to make one was Nūḥ, as Allah reports. Jibrīl showed him how to do it and he bequeathed that to everyone. A ship is like a bird, but it is reversed so that the sea is under it as the bird is in the air above. Ibn al-‘Arabī said that.

This āyah and those like it indicate the permission to travel on the sea in general, for commerce or for acts of worship such as the ḥajj and jihād. In the Sunnah we find the ḥadīth of Abū Hurayrah, ‘A man came to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and said, “Messenger of Allah, we travel on the sea and carry a little water with us.”’ There is the ḥadīth of Anas ibn Mālik in the story of Umm Ḥarām transmitted by the imams, Mālik and others. And there are other ḥadīths to the same effect such as what is related from him ﷺ by Bundār Muḥammad ibn Bashshār which contains clear evidence for men and women travelling by sea for jihād. If it is permitted to travel on it for jihād, it is more appropriate for travelling on for the obligatory ḥajj. It is related from ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz that it is forbidden to travel on it, but the Qur’an and Sunnah refute this view. If travelling on it had been disliked or not permitted, then the Prophet ﷺ would have forbidden it to those who said, ‘We travel on the sea.’ This āyah and what is like it is a text.

As for what the two ‘Umars said about that, it is based on being cautious and not endangering oneself in order to seek this world and amass it. That is not the case with obligations. Abū ‘Umar said that Mālik disliked women embarking on the sea for ḥajj and even more so for the sake of jihād. The Qur’an and the Sunnah refute this although some of the people of Basra said that Mālik disliked that because the ships in the Hijaz were small and people could not conceal themselves when answering the call of nature because of the lack of space and press of people in it. It was possible to travel by land from Madīnah to Makkah, and therefore Mālik disliked going by sea. However, there is nothing wrong in using the large ships that
the people of Basra have. He said that the basic principle is that ḥajj
is obligatory for all those who can find a way to perform it, men or
women, when the route is generally safe. There is no difference
between land and sea in that respect.

The Book and the Sunnah both provide evidence that it permitted
to travel on the sea for worship and for trade. It is a proof and a
model, although the circumstances of people travelling on the sea
vary. Many of those who travel on it find it easy and not difficult
while others find it difficult and are weakened by it, like those
afflicted by extreme seasickness, and are unable thereby to perform
the obligation of ḥajj and similar obligations. The first individual is
permitted to travel on it and the second is forbidden to do so.

There is no disagreement among the people of knowledge that
when the sea is rough, however, it is not permitted for anyone to
embark on it nor to do so at times when it is generally unsafe. It is
permitted to embark on it when it is generally safe.

The words ‘to people’s benefit’ in this phrase refer to benefit they have
in trade or anything else which is proper for them. If people criticise
the Qur’an, saying, ‘Allah revealed in the Book: “We have not omitted
anything from the Book” (6:38), so where do we find food seasonings like
salt, pepper and the like?’ the reply is, ‘They can be found in Allah’s
words: “to people’s benefit.”’

and the water which Allah sends down from the sky —

This, of course, means the rain which brings the world to life and
makes the plants grow and is conserved in it for use at other times.
As Allah says: ‘We lodged it firmly in the earth.’ (23:18)

and scatters about in it creatures of every kind —

This means separates them and spreads them out. The word
‘dābbah’ (creatures) includes all animals. Some people say that this
āyah excludes birds, but this is rejected because they too have feet for
walking on the earth.

and the varying direction of the winds,
Sending them as sometimes barren sometimes fertilising, sometimes as freezing gales, sometimes helping sometimes destroying, sometimes hot and sometimes cold, sometimes gentle and sometimes tempestuous. It is said that the expression refers to just their direction – north, south, east or west – and the way they veer from one to the other. It is said that it means that they move large ships with full loads as well as small ships and avert what will harm them. It is said to refer to their variation from season to season.

Abū Dāwud related that Abū Hurayrah reported that he heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘The wind (rīḥ) is part of the solace (rawḥ) given by Allah. It brings mercy and brings punishment. When you see it, do not curse it. Ask Allah for its good and seek refuge with Allah from its evil.’ Ibn Mājah also transmitted this in his Sunan from Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah from Yahyā ibn Saʿīd from al-Awzāʿī from az-Zuhrī from Thābit az-Zuraqāi from Abū Hurayrah. It is also related that Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Do not curse the wind. It is from the breath of the All-Merciful.’ This means that Allah Almighty puts relief, refreshment and comfort in it. We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘I was helped by the east wind and ‘Ād were destroyed by the west wind.’ This idea also comes in a report that Allah gave His Prophet ﷺ release with the wind on the day of the Confederates as He says: ‘We sent a wind against them and other forces you could not see.’ (33:9) The root of wind (rīḥ) comes from rūḥ which is why the plural is arwāḥ.

Hamzah and al-Kiṣāʾī recited ‘rīḥ’ in the singular which is also the case in a number of other sūrahās. The rest recite it as ‘riyāḥ’ in the plural. If it is in the singular, then it is a generic noun which indicates both a little and a lot. If it is in the plural, then it refers to the different directions in which the winds blow. The plural is with mercy and the singular is with punishment. That is frequent in the Qur’ān. It is related that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ used to say when
the wind blew: ‘O Allah, makes it winds and do not make it a wind.’ That is because the wind of punishment is fierce and cohesive like a single body. The wind of mercy is soft and disconnected which is why the plural is used.

Scholars say that the wind moves the air and can be strong or weak. When the movement of the air starts from the direction of the qiblah, then the wind called an east wind (ṣabā). When the movement begins going towards the qiblah, it is a west wind (dabūr). When it begins from the right of the qiblah going to its left, it is a south wind. When it begins to the left of the qiblah going to the right, it is a north wind. Each of these winds has its own nature. Its use is according to its nature. The east wind is hot and dry. The west wind is cold and wet. The south is hot and wet. The north is cold and dry. The difference in their natures is like the difference in seasons.

That is why Allah has made four seasons deriving from the change in the winds. The first season – spring – is hot and wet and growth starts in it, water descends and earth produces its flowers and its plants appear. People begin to cultivate the trees and many crops. Animals reproduce and have abundant milk. When spring ends, it is followed by summer which is like spring in respect of heat but differs from it as it is not wet. The weather in summer is hot and dry. In it fruits ripen and the grain planted in the spring becomes dry. When summer ends, it is followed by autumn which is like summer in being dry, but is not hot like it. Autumn weather is cold and dry. The soundness of fruits ends and they dry up and there is storing. Fruits are plucked, grapes harvested and all the trees emptied. When autumn ends, it is followed by winter which is like autumn in being cold, but is wet rather than dry, because the weather of the winter is cold and wet. There is a lot of rain and snow and the ground is inert like a body at rest. It does not move until Allah makes the heat of spring return to it. When the warmth joins with the moisture, then there is growth by Allah’s permission. There are many more winds
in addition to what we mentioned, but the bases are these four. Every wind between two falls under the ruling of the one that it is closest to.

and the clouds subservient between heaven and earth,

‘Saḥab’ (clouds) take that name because they are dragged (insiḥāb) along in the air. Saḥaba means to drag along. Saḥb, from the same root, means vigorous eating and drinking. They are ‘subservient’ because they are moved without resistance from one place to another. It is said that their subservience lies in their remaining poised between heaven and earth without any support. The first is more likely. They can bring either water or punishment. In Muslim, Abū Hurayrah reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Once, while a man was walking in the desert, he heard a voice in a cloud saying, “Water the garden of so-and-so,” and that cloud went and poured out its water into a rocky area. There was a certain water channel which held all the water and he followed it and found a man standing in his garden directing the water with his spade. He asked him, “Slave of Allah, what is your name?” He said, “So-and-so,” giving the same name he had heard from the cloud. The man then said to him, “O slave of Allah, why did you ask me my name?” The first man said, “I heard a voice in the cloud which this water came from say, ‘Water the garden of so-and-so’ giving your name. What are you doing with it?” He said, “Since you have asked this, I will [reply]. I wait and see what it produces and give a third of it away as ṣadaqah, and my family and I eat from a third, and I reinvest a third back into it.”’ In one version: ‘I devote a third of it to the poor, beggars and travellers.’

In the Qur’an we also find: ‘It is Allah who sends the winds which raise the clouds which We then drive to a dead land’ (35:9) and ‘…when they have lifted up the heavy clouds, We dispatch them to a dead land and send down water to it’ (7:57) and there are many other similar āyahs. Ibn Mājah transmitted that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘When the Prophet ﷺ saw a cloud
coming on the horizon, he left what he was doing, even the prayer, to greet it. He would say, “O Allah we seek refuge with You from the evil of what You have sent.” If it rained, he would say, “O Allah, a useful watering” two or three times.” If Allah moved it on and it did not rain, he would praise Allah for that.’ Ā’ishah also said, ‘When it was a day of wind and clouds, that could be seen in the face of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. He paced back and forth. If it rained, he was happy and that state left him.’ She said, ‘I asked him and he said, “I fear that it will be a punishment sent on My community.” When he saw rain, he said, “Mercy.”’ One variant has: “Ā’ishah, perhaps it will be as the people of Ād said: “When they saw it as a storm cloud advancing on their valleys, they said, ‘This is a storm cloud which will give us rain.’ (46:24)”

These hadīths and āyāhs indicate the soundness of the first view that their subservience is not fixed. Allah knows best. Firmness would indicate that there is no change. If the firmness meant is in the air, it is neither in heaven or earth, and so it is sound since He says ‘between’. They are subservient and carry. That shows a greater power, as is the case with birds in the air as the Almighty says: ‘Do they not see the birds suspended in mid-air up in the sky? Nothing holds them there except Allah’ (16:79) and ‘Have they not looked at the birds above them, with wings outspread and folded back? Nothing holds them up but the All-Merciful.’ (67:19)

Ka‘b al-Aḥbar said, ‘The clouds are sieves for the rain. Were it not for the clouds, when water descended from heaven, it would ruin the earth on which it fell.’ Ibn ‘Abbās related that from him. Khaṭīb Abū Bakr Aḥmad ibn ‘Alī mentioned that Mu‘ādh ibn ‘Abdullāh ibn Khubayb al-Juhanī said, ‘Once, when I was among the Banū Salamah, I saw Ibn ‘Abbās pass by on a mule. Tubay’, the son of Ka‘b’s wife, passed by him and greeted Ibn ‘Abbās. Ibn ‘Abbās asked him, “Did you hear Ka‘b al-Aḥbar say anything about clouds?” “Yes,” he replied, “he said, ‘The clouds are sieves for the rain. Were
it not for the clouds, when water descended from heaven, it would ruin the earth on which it fell.” He asked, “Did you hear Ka‘b say anything about earth that grows plants one year and then again in the following year?” “Yes,” he said. “I heard him say, ‘Seeds descend from heaven.’” Ibn ‘Abbās said, “And I heard that from Ka‘b.”

There are Signs for people who use their intellect.

Allah’s Signs are evidence of His Oneness and Power. That is why these matters are mentioned after the previous āyah, which begins ‘Your God is One God…’, in order to demonstrate the truth of that statement about His Oneness and mentioning His mercy and kindness to His creatures. The Prophet ☪ said, ‘Woe to the person who reads this āyah and then dismisses it and does not reflect on it or ponder it.’

If someone were to ask, ‘What will disprove that the earth engendered itself?’, he is told that this is impossible because if it had engendered itself, it must have been existent or non-existent when it did it. If it was non-existent, then it is impossible for it to have done it because that must come from one who is alive, knowing, powerful, and possessing volition. It is not valid to describe something that does not exist with those attributes. If it is existent, then its existence would not require engendering. If this were possible, then it would be possible for a building to build itself, wood to carve itself or wool to weave itself. That is impossible. That which leads to an impossibility is impossible.

Allah does not confine mention of His Oneness to mere reports, but also combines that with investigation and reflection on the āyahs of the Qur’an. He instructed His Prophet ☪ to: ‘Say: “Look at what there is in the heavens and on the earth.”’ (10:101) This is addressed to the unbelievers since the Almighty says: ‘But Signs and warnings are of no avail to people who do not believe.’ He also says: ‘Have they not looked into the dominions of the heavens and the earth’ (7:185) and ‘dominions’ means ‘Signs’. He says: ‘…and in yourselves as well. Do you not then see?’ (51:21)
He is saying, ‘Do they not look at themselves with proper reflection and due consideration so that through that they can deduce that it is impossible for events and changes to be self-engendered and that something engendered requires a Maker to make it, and that that Maker must be wise, knowing, powerful, possessing will, hearing, seeing, speaking. That is because if He did not possess these attributes, a human being would be more perfect than Him and that is impossible.

Allah says: ‘We created man from the purest kind of clay’ (23:12), meaning Ādam, ‘then made him (meaning his descendants) a drop in a secure receptacle… you will be raised again.’ (23:13) When a person reflects on the intellect he has been given, he will see that he is managed and moved through various states. He was a drop, then a clot, then a lump, and then flesh and bones. He knows that he did not move himself from being incomplete to being complete because he is not capable of moving himself to the best state, which is full use of his intellect and full strength of limbs. He is not able to add another limb to his limbs. That indicates that he is in a state of incompleteness and weakness and lacks the power to do that. He sees himself as a young man, then a mature man, and then an old man. He did not move himself from being a strong young man to being old and senile. He did not choose it for himself and it is not within his capacity to remove his being old and replace it with restoration of the strength of youth. By that he knows that he is not the one who did those actions on his own and that he has a Maker who accomplished this and moved him from state to state. That had to be the case, for his states could not have changed without there being someone to transfer or manage them.

A wise man said, ‘Everything in the macrocosm has its like in the microcosm, which is the body of the human being. That is why the Almighty said: ‘We created man in the finest mould’ (95:4) and: ‘…and in yourselves as well. Do you not then see?’ (51:21) The sensory faculties of
the human being are more noble than the luminous stars. Hearing and sight are in the position of the sun and the moon with regards to perceiving things. His limbs become dust when they decay which is the genus of the earth. He contains water in the form of veins and other fluid components of the body. He contains air in the form of the spirit and respiration. He contains fire in the form of yellow bile. His veins are like the rivers of the earth. His liver is in the position of the springs which supply the rivers as the liver supplies the hepatic veins. His bladder is in the position of the sea since the contents of the body are poured into it as the rivers pour into the sea. His bones are are like trees. As every tree has leaves and fruit, so every limb has an action or effect. The hair on the body is like the plants and insects on the earth. Then the human being can make the sound of every animal with his tongue and his limbs are adapted to the action of every animal. So he is the microcosm within the macrocosm, created and brought about by One Maker. There is no god but Him.’

١٦٥ Some people set up equals to Allah, loving them as they should love Allah. But those who believe have greater love for Allah. If only you could see those who do wrong at the time when they see the punishment, and that truly all strength belongs to Allah, and that Allah is severe in punishment.

Some people set up equals to Allah,

In the previous āyah, Allah gave evidence of His Oneness, Power and the immensity of His authority and He now adds these cogent āyahs, for those with intelligence, about people who set up equals to Him. Mujāhid says that what is meant are the idols which they
worshipped in the same way that they worshipped Allah, giving them equal value.

**loving them as they should love Allah.**

They love their false idols as much as the believers love the Truly Real. Al-Mubarrad said that. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘In spite of the lack of power of the idols, they love them as the believers love Allah with His real power.’ Ibn ‘Abbās and as-Suddī said that it refers to their leaders whom they follow in disobeying Allah. Ibn Kaysān and az-Zajjāj said that it means that they have equal love for Allah and the idols.

**But those who believe have greater love for Allah**

Greater than the love that idolaters have for their idols and followers have for those they follow. It is said that they have greater love because Allah loved them first and so they love him. When someone has the one he loves attest to his love, then his love is more complete. Allah says: ‘…a people whom He loves and who love Him.’ (5:54) The love that the believers have for Allah and His love of them will be explained in Sūrat Āl ‘Imrān, Allah willing.

**If only you could see those who do wrong at the time when they see the punishment,**

The reading of the people of Madīnah and Syria is ‘you could see’ with *ta*’ whereas the people of Makkah and Kufa read it as ‘they could see’ with *yā*. Abū ‘Ubayd said, ‘The meaning is, that if those who do wrong in this world were to see the punishment of the Next World, they would know when they see it that all strength belongs to Allah.’ ‘See’ then would mean actual vision. An-Naḥḥās says in his book, *The Meanings of the Qur’an*, ‘This position is the one generally held by the people of *tafsīr*.’ In *I’rāb al-Qur’ān*, Muḥammad ibn Yazīd said that this explanation of Abū ‘Ubayd is unlikely and it is not well expressed because it implies ‘if those who did wrong had seen the punishment.’ So it is as if he considers it uncertain when Allah has made it mandatory.
and that truly all strength belongs to Allah,

Al-Akhfash said that the import of the verb ‘see’ continues so that the meaning is, ‘If those who do wrong could see that strength belongs to Allah…’ and that the word ‘see’ means ‘know’ in this context so it really means: ‘if they knew the reality of the strength of Allah and the severity of His punishment.’ Taking the Madinan reading, it is a threat: ‘If you, Muḥammad, could see those who do wrong when they see the punishment and are terrified of it, they would affirm that all strength belongs to Allah.’ The Prophet ﷺ knew that, but he was addressed when his whole community was intended, as is often the case. It may also mean: ‘Say, Muhammad, to the wrongdoer…’

166 When those who were followed disown those who followed them, and they see the punishment, and the connection between them is cut,

When those who were followed disown those who followed them,

‘Those who were followed’ are the masters and leaders of the unbelievers. They will declare themselves free of those who followed them in disbelief, as Qatādah, ‘Aṭā’ and ar-Rabī’ said. Qatadah also said, as did as-Suddi, that they were the shaytāns who misguide mankind and who will declare themselves free of them on the Last Day. It is said that it is general to all who are followed in disbelief.

and they see the punishment,

‘They’ are both the followers and the followed. It is said to be through the certainty they will have in this world at the time of their death about the inevitability of punishment and it is said to refer to their being presented before Allah and questioned in the Next World. Both may be true: it can mean at the time of death and it can mean in the Next World when they actually experience the pain of
the punishment.

and the connection between them is cut,

This refers to their connection in this world through kinship and in other ways, as Mujāhid and others said. The root of the word for ‘connection’ (sabab) is a rope which is tied to a thing so that it can be pulled. So what pulls a thing into existence is a sabab, which gives the word its usual meaning of means or cause. As-Suddī and Ibn Zayd said, ‘The means are their actions.’

167 those who followed will say, ‘If only we could have another chance, we would disown them just as they have disowned us.’ In that way Allah will show them their actions as a cause of anguish and remorse for them. They will never emerge from the Fire.

those who followed will say, ‘If only we could have another chance,

The followers claim that they would act righteously if they were given another opportunity. ‘Kurrah’ is a return to a previous state.

In that way Allah will show them their actions as a cause of anguish and remorse for them.

As Allah will show them the Fire, so He will also show then their actions which are the cause of their entering it. This is actual seeing with the eye. Ar-Rabī’ said that these are the corrupt actions which they committed which made the Fire mandatory for them. Ibn Mas‘ūd and as-Suddī said that it refers to the righteous actions which they abandoned and thereby forfeited the Garden. There are many ḥadīths to this effect. As-Suddī said, ‘They will be shown the Garden and will look at it and at their houses there which they would have had if they had obeyed Allah. Those houses will be divided between the believers.’ They are called ‘their’ actions
because they were commanded to do them. ‘Ḥasarah’ (anguish) is the highest degree of regret for something forfeited. It is derived from ḥasīr (exhausted), which is when something is cut off and its strength gone, like a camel which is completely exhausted. It also means to uncover.

They will never emerge from the Fire.
This is an indication that the unbelievers will be in the Fire forever and never leave it, which is the position of the people of the Sunnah. Allah says: ‘They will not enter the Garden until a camel goes through a needle’s eye.’ (7:40)

168 Mankind! eat what is good and lawful on the earth. And do not follow in the footsteps of Shayṭān. He truly is an outright enemy to you.

Mankind!
It is said that this was revealed about the tribes of Thaqīf, Khuzā‘ah and Banū Mudlij about the blessings which they denied themselves, but the words bear a general meaning.

eat what is good and lawful on the earth.
‘Ṭayyib’ (good) here means “lawful” and this is emphasised by the use of the actual legal term (ḥalāl) immediately after it. This is the position of Mālik about ‘good’. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that it means ‘pleasant and wholesome’ which is why he forbade feeding animals filth. Allah willing, this will be dealt with in greater depth in Sūrat al-An‘ām and Sūrat al-A‘rāf.

The term ‘ḥalāl’ (lawful) is called that because the bond of prohibition has been released (inhilāl) from it. Sahl ibn ‘Abdullāh said, ‘Salvation lies in three things: consuming the ḥalāl, performing the obligations and imitation of the Prophet ﷺ.’ Abū ‘Abdullāh as-Sājī, Sa‘īd ibn Yazīd, said, ‘There are five qualities which complete
knowledge: gnosis of Allah Almighty, recognition of the truth, sincere action for Allah, acting according to the Sunnah and consuming the *ḥalāl*. If even one of them is lacking, the action is not complete.’ Sahl said, ‘Eating the *ḥalāl* is only valid with knowledge, and wealth is not *ḥalāl* until it is free of six things: usury, the *ḥarām*, theft, usurpation, the disliked and the doubtful.’

**And do not follow in the footsteps of Shayṭān.**

It is possible that the word ‘*khuṭuwāt*’ (footsteps) comes from *khaṭī’ah* (error). The majority say that the phrase means: ‘Do not follow the actions of Shayṭān.’ As-Suddī said that it means: ‘do not obey him.’ The sound position is that it is general to all customs and laws stemming from innovations and acts of disobedience.

**He truly is an outright enemy to you.**

Allah reports that Shayṭān is an enemy and His report is true. An intelligent person must be cautious about this enemy whose enmity has been clear from the time of Ādam and who has devoted his energy to corrupting the states of mankind. For this reason Allah commanded us to be on our guard against him in many places in the Qur’an. ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar said, ‘Iblīs is confined to the lower earth. When he moves, every evil in the earth between two or more people is set in motion.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted from Abū Mālik al-Ashʿarī: ‘I command you to remember Allah. An example of that is when a man has an enemy rapidly following his tracks and then reaches a fortified fortress and defends himself from him. Similarly the only thing that protects a person from Shayṭān is remembering Allah.’ He said that it is a *gharīb* sound *ḥasan* hadīth.

169 He only commands you to do evil and indecent acts and to say about Allah what you do not know.

He only commands you to do evil and indecent acts
The word for evil is "sū'" because it is bad for the person who does it and brings evil consequences in its wake. The root of the word for indecent acts ("fahshā") means something which is ugly to look at. Sharī'ah is what makes something good or ugly. All that the Sharī'ah forbids is ugly and so it is part of the indecent. Muqātil said, ‘Everywhere the Qur‘an mentions “indecency” it implies fornication except where Allah says: “Shayṭān promises you poverty and commands you to avarice (fahshā’),” (2:268) where it means to refuse to pay zakat.’

According to this, there is no ḥadd for ‘evil’, but there is for ‘indecency’. That is related from Ibn ‘Abbās and others, and Allah knows best.

and to say about Allah what you do not know.

At-Ṭabarī says that this refers to various animals, like baḥīrah, sā’ibah and other such animals which the idolaters made unlawful without any basis for doing so.

170 When they are told, ‘Follow what Allah has sent down to you,’ They say, ‘We are following what we found our fathers doing.’ What, even though their fathers did not understand a thing and were not guided!

When they are told, ‘Follow what Allah has sent down on you,’

It is generally held that it is the unbelieving Arabs who are being addressed here but Ibn ‘Abbās said that it was revealed about the Jews. At-Ṭabarī said that the pronoun refers back to ‘mankind’ in the last-but-one āyah. Following implies both acceptance and action. ‘Follow’ is to accept and act accordingly.

They say, ‘We are following what we found our fathers doing.’

The strength of the expression of this āyah would seem, on the surface, to challenge the whole matter of taqlīd, which is the acceptance of an inherited position without calling it into question,
and there are other āyahs which have the same import. This āyah and the ones like it, however, are connected to what came before them. That is because Allah informs us about the ignorance of the Arabs in judging by their superstitious customs concerning animals like bahīrah, sāʾibah and wasīlah, using as evidence the fact that their fathers did that, and the command to abandon such customs was revealed by Allah to His Messenger.

Some people, however, believe that this āyah censures taking the position of taqlīd since Allah Almighty censures the unbelievers for following their fathers in falsehood and imitating them in disbelief and disobedience. This is sound where falsehood is concerned. As for taqlīd in respect of the truth, it is one of the principles of the dīn and is a protection for the Muslims to which unlearned people who are unqualified to make rulings about matters of the dīn should have recourse. Scholars disagree about the permissibility of taqlīd in matters of uṣūl (basic principles), as will be discussed, but it is universally permitted in respect of secondary rulings.

According to scholars, the reality of taqlīd is to accept a position without evidence. Accordingly, someone who accepts the Prophet ﷺ without looking at his miracles would be a muqallīd. Someone who looks into them would not be. It is said that it is believing in the soundness of the fatwas of someone when the soundness of his position is not known. It is derived from the qilādah or halter of the camel, which is when a rope is placed around its neck so that it can be led anywhere.

Taqlīd is not a means to knowledge nor does it reach it, either in respect of basic principles or secondary rulings. That is the position of the majority of intelligent people and scholars, as opposed to what is related from the ignorant Ḥashwiyyah and Thaʿalibiyyah who claim that taqlīd is the sole means to recognise the truth and that it is mandatory, and that investigation and consideration are ḥarām.

The obligation for the common person, who is not able to deduce
rulings from basic principles because he is not qualified to do so on account of his lack of knowledge of the dīn, is to head for the person with the most knowledge in his time and his land and ask him about his problem and follow his fatwā since Allah says: ‘Ask the people of the Reminder if you do not know.’ (16:43) He uses ītihād in finding the most knowledgeable of the people of his time by investigating until he satisfied that he has found the man whom most people agree to be the most learned. A scholar must also imitate a scholar who has a similar standing to him in a case in which he does not find the solution through evidence and his own investigation. Qāḍī Abū Bakr and a group of established scholars believed that.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The Community agrees that taqlīd in respect of articles of faith is invalid.’ Others, however, stated that there is disagreement about that, like Qāḍī Abū Bakr ibn ‘Arabī and Abū ‘Amr ‘Uthmān Ibn Dirbās. In al-Inṭiṣār, Ibn Dirbās said, ‘Some people permit taqlīd in respect of tawḥīd, but this is shown to be wrong by the words of Allah: “We found our fathers following a religion” (43:23), so He censured their imitation of their fathers and not following the Messenger, and this is just what the followers of sects do when they imitate their great men and do not follow the dīn of Muḥammad ﷺ. It is an obligation for every responsible person to learn tawḥīd and proper understanding of it. That can only be obtained through the Book and the Sunnah as we made clear. Allah guides whomever He wills.’

Ibn Dirbās also said, ‘Many of the people who follow sects say that those who cling to the Book and Sunnah are imitators. This is an error on their part. This designation is more applicable to them, and their scholars are less able since they turn to the position of their masters and great men in their deviation from the Book of Allah and the Sunnah of His Messenger and the consensus of the Companions. So they are included among those whom Allah censures when He says: “Our Lord, we obeyed our masters and great men...” (33:67) and: “We
found our fathers following a religion and we are simply following in their footsteps.” (43:23) Then He said to His Prophet ﷺ: “Say: ‘What if I have come with better guidance than what you found your fathers following?’ They say, ‘We reject what you have been sent with.’” Then He says to His Prophet ﷺ: “We took revenge on them.” (43:25)

‘So Allah made it clear that guidance lies in what His Messengers brought. It is not what the people of tradition say in their creed: “We found our imams, fathers and people taking the Book, Sunnah and consensus of the righteous Salaf of the community saying, ‘We found our fathers and obeyed our leaders and great men on a path.’” That is because these ascribe that to Revelation and following the Messenger, and those ascribe their lies to the people of falsehoods and are increased in misguidance by that. Do you not see that Allah praised Yūsuf in the Qur’an when he said: ‘I have left the religion of a people who do not believe in Allah nor do they have faith in the Next World. I have followed the religion of my forebears, Ibrāhīm, Isḥāq and Ya‘qūb. We do not associate anything with Allah. That is part of Allah’s favour to us and to all mankind.” (12:37-38) That is because his forefathers were Prophets who followed the Revelation, the pure Dīn with which Allah is pleased. Their forefathers’ following it is praised. In what they brought, there is no mention of ‘non-essentials’ being connected to ‘substances’ and being changed in them. It indicates that there is no guidance in them.’

Ibn al-Ḥaṣṣār said, ‘This began to be discussed in the reign of al-Ma’mūn, after 200 AH when the early books were translated and there was disagreement in them about the timelessness or contingency of the world. They disagreed about substance (jawhar) and its stability and the non-essential (‘arad) and its quiddity. Innovators, those in whose hearts was deviance, hastened to memorise those technical terms and with them they intended to impose foreign terms on the people of the Sunnah and to engender doubts among the weak people of this religion. This continued until
innovation appeared and the innovators became a party. The sultan became confused about these matters until it reached the point where the ruler proclaimed that the Qur’an was created and compelled people to adopt that position and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal was beaten to force him to accept it.

Then men from the people of the Sunnah, such as Shaykh Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Ash’arī, Ibn Kullāb, Ibn Mujāhid, al-Muḥāsibī and their likes, were entrusted with the task restoring the Sunnah and entered into in depth discussions with the innovators using their own technical language. They fought and slew them with their own weapons. Muslims of this community went forward, holding to the Book and the Sunnah, and turned away from the doubts of the heretics, not looking into non-essential and substance. Such were the Salaf.’

Now if someone examines the technical terms of the mutakallimūn to the point where he uses that to defend the dīn, his position is close to that of the Prophets, but as for those among the extreme mutakallimūn who scorn the method of taking traditions from the believers, encourage studying the books of kalām, and only know the truth through those technical terms, they are censured for not following the path of earlier imams. Allah knows best. As for disputation and arguing with evidence and proof, that is clear in the Qur’an.

171 The likeness of those who disbelieve is that of someone who yells out to something which cannot hear it is nothing but a cry and a call. Deaf – dumb – blind.

They do not use their intellect.

One understanding of this āyah is that Allah is making a metaphorical allusion to Muhammad ﷺ who is the warner of the unbelievers and the one who calls them to faith. He is saying that he
is like a shepherd who calls out to his sheep and camels, but they only hear his call but do not understand what he says. Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Ikrimah, as-Suddī, az-Zajjāj, al-Farrā’ and Sībuwayh explained it like that, saying that the unbeliever is not the one who calls out but the one called out to. Ibn Zayd said, ‘Those who disbelieve are likened in their calling on their inanimate gods, which do not understand, to someone who calls out in the middle of the night and an echo is the only answer they receive. So he shouts to what does not hear or answer and possesses no reality or benefit.’

Qutrub, however, said, ‘The metaphor refers to the unbelievers calling out to something which cannot possibly respond, meaning their idols, likening them to a shepherd who calls out to his sheep when he has no idea where they are.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī says it means that the unbelievers, in calling on their idols, are like people who call out to something which is distant and cannot hear. In these latter interpretations those calling out are the unbelievers and the idols are what they are calling out to. ‘Na‘īq’ is yelling at sheep to keep them back. One uses the term ‘nadā’ for what is far and ‘du‘ā’ for what is near. That is why the term ‘nadā’ is used for the adhan because it calls to those who are far away.

172 You who believe! eat of the good things We have provided for you and give thanks to Allah if you worship Him alone.

This confirms the first command (2:68) which was to mankind in general. The believers are singled out here out of preference and what is meant by ‘eating’ is consuming things and using them in any way. It is also said that it is eating in the usual sense of the word. In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, the Messenger of Allahﷺ said, ‘People! Allah is good and only accepts the good. Allah gives the same command to the
believers that He gives the Messengers. Allah Almighty says: “O Messengers, eat of the good things and act rightly. I most certainly know what you do” (23:51) and He says: “O you who believe! eat of the good things We have provided for you … (this āyah)” Then he mentioned a man who goes on a long journey, is dishevelled and dusty and stretches out his hands to heaven saying, ‘O Lord! O Lord!’ and said, ‘When his food is unlawful, his drink is unlawful, his clothes are unlawful, and his whole maintenance is unlawful. How could such a man be responded to?’

173 He has only forbidden you carrion, blood and pork and what has been consecrated to other than Allah. But anyone who is forced to eat it – without desiring it or going to excess in it – commits no crime. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

He has only forbidden you

The Arabic expression used here implies limitation and restriction, and so Allah moves from the general permission of the previous āyah to what is forbidden in this one. There is no food forbidden beyond this. This is a Madinan āyah and it is reinforced by another āyah revealed at ‘Arafah: ‘I do not find in what has been revealed to me, any food it is unlawful to eat except for...’ (6:145) So it is completely clear.

‘Carrion’ applies to animals, which would normally be slaughtered but which have died without being slaughtered, and to animals which may not be eaten even if they have been slaughtered, such as beasts of prey. This will be examined in more detail when we reach the relevant passage in Sūrat al-An‘ām.

The āyah is general and is qualified by the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Two kinds of carrion are lawful for us: fish and locusts; and the blood of the liver and spleen is also lawful.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī
transmitted it. This is an instance of a ḥadīth qualifying a general instruction in the Qur’an. Most of the people of knowledge permit the eating of all the animals of the sea, alive or dead, and this is the school of Mālik. He hesitated about ‘sea pigs’ (dolphins) and said, ‘You said “pig”!’ Ibn al-Qāsim said, ‘I am cautious about it but do not think that it is unlawful.’

People disagree about the possibility of the Book of Allah being qualified by the Sunnah and they agree that it is not permitted for this to take place if the ḥadīth is weak. Ibn al-‘Arabī said that. There is evidence that this verse has been qualified by a number of ḥadīths. In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, ‘Abdullāh ibn Abī Wafā said, ‘We went on seven expeditions with the Messenger of Allah during which we ate locusts.’ It is clear that they ate them even though they had died. This is what most scholars including ash-Shāfi‘ī and Abū Ḥanīfah say. The Mālikīs say that they should not be eaten if they have been smothered because they are land creatures.

Scholars disagree about using carrion or anything which is impure for purposes other than eating. There are different positions related from Mālik regarding that as well. Once he said that their use was permitted because it is recorded that the Prophet passed by a dead sheep and asked, ‘Why didn’t you take its skin?’ Another time he said, ‘It is all forbidden and it is not permitted to use any of it nor any other impurity in any way,’ so that he did not permit watering crops or animals with impure water or feeding animals things which are impure or even giving them to dogs and other animals. The reason for that is the words of Allah: ‘Unlawful for you are carrion and blood.’ (5:3). And the Prophet said in another ḥadīth, ‘Do not use any part of carrion.’ According to the ḥadīth of ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Ukaym, ‘Do not use any part of carrion whether the hide or the sinews.’

When a camel, cow or sheep is slaughtered, and there is a foetus inside it, it is permitted to eat the foetus without slaughtering it
unless it emerges alive in which case it should be slaughtered. If the foetus emerges dead after the mother has been slaughtered it is considered as one of its limbs. That is clear because it is not permitted to sell an animal excluding her unborn foetus and the foetus follows the mother just as the limbs do. Jābir related that the Prophet ﷺ was asked about a cow, sheep or camel that is slaughtered with a dead foetus inside it. He said, ‘Eat it if you wish. Its slaughtering was the slaughtering of its mother.’ Abū Dāwud transmitted the same idea from Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī. It is a definite text.

The transmissions from Mālik vary about whether the skin of carrion is made pure by tanning or not. It is related that it is not, and that is the well-known position of his school, and it is also related that it is pure, based on the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Any skin which is tanned is pure.’ It is possible that it means that tanning removes external impurities and so it can be used for dry things and for sitting on or for holding water, because water is pure as long as it is not changed in either taste or smell.

The hair and wool of carrion is pure since it is related from Umm Salamah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘There is no harm in keeping carrion when it is tanned and its wool and hair are washed.’ That is because it is pure if it is taken from it while alive, and so the same applies after death, when the flesh is impure, alive or dead. If the flesh is impure when alive, then it is like that after death. So the wool differs in the state of life and death. This is not obliged for milk and the eggs of dead chickens because we believe milk and eggs to be pure after death. They are, however, in an impure vessel and become impure from the proximity of the vessel, not by death.

There are two positions when a mouse falls into something. One position is when the mouse is removed while still alive: then the thing it fell into is pure. If it dies after falling in, there are two possibilities. If it is a liquid, then all of it is impure. If it is solid, then
what it is touching is impure. It and what is around it is discarded and the rest can be used since it is pure based on what is related from the Prophet when he was asked about a mouse that had fallen into ghee and died. He said, ‘If it is solid, throw away it and what is around it. If it is liquid, then pour it out.’ Scholars disagree about washing such a substance. Some say that it is not purified by washing because it is liquid impurity and so it is like blood, wine, urine and all impurities. Ibn al-Qāsim said that it is purified by washing because it is a substance made impure by proximity to impurity, as is the case with a garment. This is not the case with blood which is impure in itself nor with wine or urine because washing destroys them.

Our ruling that it becomes pure by washing refers to its original state of purity and other aspects of use, but it should not be sold without that being made clear because it is a defect in the eye of people which they dislike. Some believe that it is unlawful and impure and so it is not permitted to sell it until the defect has been made clear as is the case with other defective items. It is not permitted to sell it at all before it has been washed because it is not permitted to sell impure things, and because it is then an impure liquid like wine, and because the Prophet was asked about the price for wine and said, ‘Allah cursed the Jews. Fat was made unlawful for them and so they collected it and sold it and consulted its price.’ When Allah makes something unlawful, He makes its price unlawful. This liquid is unlawful because it is impure and so its price follows the same ruling.

There is disagreement about when something falls into a pot, flying or not, and then dies. Ibn Wahb related that Mālik said that what is in the pot may not be eaten and is impure since it is mixed with what has died in it. It is related from Ibn al-Qāsim that any meat should washed and the broth poured out. Ibn ‘Abbās was asked about this and said that the meat is washed and eaten. There is no
disagreement among his people about the broth. Ibn Khuwayzimandād mentioned it.

As for the rennet from carrion and milk from carrion, ash-Shāfi‘ī says that it is impure because Allah’s words are general: ‘Unlawful for you are carrion…’ (5:3) Abū Ḥanīfah said that they are pure, saying that the impurity of a source has no effect on what is around it. He said, ‘That is why meat is eaten along with its veins in spite of the proximity of blood without requiring purification or washing.’ Mālik’s view is similar to that of Abū Ḥanīfah: it does not become impure by the fact of its death but by the proximity of the impure vessel. It is something which cannot be washed. The same is true of eggs which emerge from a chicken after death because the egg is soft like a liquid before it comes out and becomes hard by exposure to the air.

Ibn Khuwayzimandād said: ‘If it is said that your view leads to what is contrary to consensus, that is because the Prophet ﷺ and the Muslims after him used to eat cheese which was brought to them from foreign lands, and it was known that animals slaughtered by the Magians are carrion, and they did not consider whether it was from carrion or correctly slaughtered animals. Very little of the rennet is used in milk made into cheese and a small amount of impurity is ignored when it is mixed with a lot liquid. This is one answer. The other answer is that that occurred at the beginning of Islam and none of the Companions was able to change the eating of cheese from Persia. Cheese is not part of the food of the Arabs. When the Muslims spread in the territory of the non-Arabs by conquest, they did their own slaughtering. This is why the Prophet ﷺ and the Companions ate cheese brought from the land of the non-Arabs and made from the rennet of their slaughtered animals.’

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘There is nothing wrong in eating the food of idolaters, Magians and other unbelievers who have no Scripture as long as it is not from their slaughtered animals, except in the case of
cheese that may contain the rennet of carrion. We find in the Sunan of Ibn Mājah about cheese and ghee from Ismā'īl ibn Mūsā as-Suddī from Sayf ibn Hārūn from Sulaymān at-Taymī from Abū ‘Uthmān an-Nahdī that Salmān al-Fārisī said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ was asked about ghee, cheese and wild donkeys. He answered, “The lawful is what Allah has made lawful in His Book. The unlawful is what Allah has made unlawful in His Book. What He remained silent about is excused.”’

blood

Scholars agree that blood is unlawful and impure and may not be eaten or used. Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘Blood is unlawful except what is unavoidable and what is unavoidable is excused. That which is unavoidable is the blood in meat and veins, and a small amount on the body and clothing in which one prays. We have said that because the Almighty says: “Unlawful for you are carrion, blood…” (5:3) and elsewhere: “Say: ‘I do not find in what has revealed to me, any food it is unlawful to eat except for carrion, flowing blood…”’ (6:145) What is forbidden is blood that is spilled.’ ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘We used to cook a dish in the time of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and it had a yellow colour from the blood but we ate it without dislike.’ Otherwise that would entail undue hardship. This is a basic principle in the Law: whenever the community is harmed and overly burdened by performing an act of worship, that is cancelled for them. Do you not see that someone may eat carrion when forced to out of necessity, a sick person may break the fast and do tayammum, and the like?

In this āyah Allah forbids blood without qualification and then He qualifies it in al-An’ām (6:145) by the word ‘flowing’ (masfūḥ). Scholars agree that this qualifies what is unqualified, and the blood meant is that which is spilled out and not that which is mixed with the flesh which, by consensus, is not forbidden. That is also the case with the liver and spleen. There is disagreement about fish blood which is separated from it. Al-Qābisī related that it is pure and continues to
be pure, and that is also said by Ibn al-‘Arabī. He said, ‘If fish blood had been impure, it would have been prescribed to slaughter them.’ Abū Ḥanīfah takes the same view. I heard one of the Ḥanafīs say that the evidence for its purity is that when it is dried, it is white whereas other blood is black. This is a point that they use in their argument against the Shāfi‘īs.

and pork

Allah mentions the meat of pigs to indicate that it is forbidden, whether slaughtered or not. It includes the fat, gristle and all other parts. The Community agree that the fat of pigs is forbidden. Mālik and his people used as evidence that if someone who swears not to eat fat then eats meat, he has not broken his oath, but if he swears not to eat meat and then eats fat, he has broken his oath because fat is included with meat. Allah made fat unlawful to the tribe of Israel (6:146) but that did not make the meat unlawful. This is the basis for the distinction that Mālik made. According to the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Thawr and the people of opinion, if someone swears not to eat meat and then eats fat, he has not broken his oath. Aḥmad said that if he swears not to eat meat and then eats fat, there is no harm unless he intended to also avoid fats.

There is no disagreement that all of the pig is forbidden except for the bristles which can be used for stitching. It is reported that a man asked the Messenger of Allah �掬 about stitching with pig bristle and he said, ‘There is no harm in it.’ Ibn Khuwayzīmandād mentioned it and said, ‘That is because stitching was done in the time of the Messenger of Allah �掬 and clearly existed after him. We do not know that the Messenger of Allah �掬 or any of the imams after him objected to it. When the Messenger �掬 allows something, it is as if it were prescribed from him.

There is no disagreement that pigs on land are unlawful as we mentioned. There is, however, disagreement about ‘sea pigs’ (dolphins). Mālik refused to give any answer about it and said, ‘You
said “pigs”!” It will be dealt with in \textit{Sūrat al-Māʾidah}.

and what has been consecrated to other than Allah.

This is something over which other than the Name of Allah was mentioned when it was slaughtered. It refers to the slaughtering done by Magians, idol-worshippers and atheists. The idol worshipper sacrifices to an idol, the Magian to the fire, and the atheist, who does not believe anything, slaughters for himself. There is no disagreement among the scholars that whatever a Magian or idol-worshipper slaughters to their idol or fire may not be eaten. According to Mālik, ash-Shāfiʿī and others, what such people sacrifice to the fire or idol may not be eaten at all. Ibn al-Musayyab and Abū Thawr, however, permit that meat be eaten if it is slaughtered for a Muslim at his order. This will explained in more detail in \textit{Sūrat al-Māʾidah}.

The word ‘consecration’ \textit{(uhilla)} means ‘to raise the voice’. It is used for the cry of a newborn baby. Ibn `Abbās said, ‘What is meant is what is sacrificed to idols and stones.’ The custom of the Arabs was to shout the name of the one intended by the sacrifice. That was their usual practice and so it is considered to be tantamount to the intention of consecration. Do you not see that when Ghālib, the father of al-Farazdaq, slaughtered camels, ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib observed the intention and said, ‘It is part of what is consecrated to other than Allah’? People left it. Ibn `Aṭiyyah said, ‘In the reports of al-Ḥasan ibn Abī ’l-Ḥasan, I saw that he was asked about an opulent woman who prepared a wedding for her amusement and slaughtered a camel. Al-Ḥasan said, “It is not lawful to eat it. It was slaughtered to an idol.”’

Connected to this is what we related from Yaḥyā ibn Yaḥyā at-Tamīmī, the Shaykh of Muslim, from Jarīr that Qābūs said, ‘A woman sent me to ‘Ā’ishah and said to greet her and ask her which prayer the Messenger of Allah \(\text{ﷺ}\) liked best to persevere in. She answered, “He used to pray four \textit{rak'ahs} before \textit{Ẓuhr} in which he
stood for a long time and did excellent bowing and prostration. As for what he never omitted, whether healthy or ill, resident or traveling, that was the two rak‘ahs before the Morning Prayer.” At that a woman from the people said, “Mother of the Believers, we have a nurse from the Persians and they give us gifts on their festivals. Can we eat any of it?” She answered, “As for the meat slaughtered in the name of that day, do not eat it, but you can eat of the fruit of their trees.”

But anyone who is forced to eat it –

‘Anyone who is forced’ means ‘compelled by need.’ It is Form VIII from ‘ḍarūrah’ (necessity). Forcing can be either by physical force exerted by a wrongdoer or compulsion due to extreme hunger. That is the position of most fuqahā’ and scholars regarding the meaning of the āyah: that it is someone who is compelled by need and hunger to do that. That is the sound position. It is said that it means that he is forced to eat forbidden things. Mujāhid said, ‘Someone can be physically forced when he is captured by the enemy and forced to eat pork and other things in disobedience to Allah. If someone is physically forced by someone to eat something unlawful, that renders it lawful for him for the duration of the time that the force is being applied.’

Hunger can be either persistent or not. If it is persistent, there is no disagreement that it is permitted to eat one’s fill of carrion. If someone is suffering from hunger and finds the property of a Muslim, the value of which is not sufficient to cause his hand to be cut off for theft and will cause no annoyance to the owner, such as some dates hanging from a tree, a sheep astray in the mountains and the like, it is not lawful for him to then eat carrion. There is no disagreement about this because of the ḥadīth reported by Abū Hurayrah who said, ‘Once, when we were with the Messenger of Allah on a journey we saw some camels tied to the branches of some trees. We went to them but the Messenger of Allah called to us
and we returned to him. He said, “These camels belong to people from a Muslim house. After Allah, it is their strength and fortune. Would you like to return to your bags of provisions and find them gone? Do you think that that would be fair?” “No,” we replied. He said, “This is the same situation.” We asked, “And if we need food and drink?” He said, “Eat but do not take anything away with you. Drink but do not take anything away with you.” Ibn Mājah transmitted it. Ibn Mājah said, ‘I believe this to be the basic principle.’

Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘We asked, “Messenger of Allah, what is lawful for someone to take from his brother’s property if he is compelled by hunger?” He replied, “He should eat but not take anything away, and drink but not take anything away.”’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘After that, everyone who disagrees about that is referred to Allah’s prohibition of property.’

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘The predominant position is that it is incumbent on a Muslim to preserve the life of another Muslim. It is an individual obligation for him unless someone else takes care of it. He can fight the one who refuses to allow him to do that, even if that might prove fatal. According to the people of knowledge, that is the case if he is the only one available. Then it is an individual obligation for him. If there are several of them or a group, then that is a group (kifāyah) obligation for them. Water in that and other cases is what one can take from another. They do, however, disagree about the obligation of paying for the thing which will save his life. Some make it obligatory and others do not. Both views are found in our School. There is no disagreement among the people of knowledge, past and present, about the obligation of saving life through something insignificant, which is no loss to the owner, when it is feared that someone will die.’

Ibn Mājah transmitted from Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah from Shabbābah, and Muḥammad ibn Bashshār and Muḥammad ibn
Ja‘far from Shu‘bah from Abū Bishr Ja‘far ibn Iyās who heard ‘Abbād ibn Sharaḥbīl, a man of the Banu Ghubar, say, ‘We suffered in the year of the famine and I came to Madīnah and went to one of its gardens and took an ear of corn, ground it, ate some and put some in my garment. The owner came and beat me and took the garment. I went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and told him. He told the man, “Why did you not feed him when he was hungry or starving? Why did you not teach him since he was ignorant?” He commanded him to return the man’s garment to him and ordered a wasq or half a wasq of food for him.’

This is a sound ḥadīth whose men are agreed upon by Bukhārī and Muslim except for Ibn Abī Shaybah whom only Muslim has. Neither Bukhārī nor Muslim transmit anything from ‘Abbād ibn Sharaḥbīl al-Ghubarī al-Yashkurī. The only thing from him is this story from the Prophet ﷺ according to Abū ‘Umar. It negates cutting off the hand and demonstrates the proper behavior in case of famine.

Abū Dāwud transmitted from Samurah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When one of you comes to a flock and the owner is there, he should ask his permission to milk and drink. If no one is there, he should shout three times. If the person comes, he asks permission. If not, he may milk a ewe and drink but may not take anything with him.’ At-Tirmidhī mentioned from Yaḥyā ibn Sulaym from ‘Ubaydullāh from Nāfi‘ from Ibn ‘Umar that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘If someone enters a garden, he can eat but not take any food away.’ He said that this is a gharīb ḥadīth which we only know from Yaḥyā ibn Sulaym. He mentioned from ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb from his father from his grandfather that the Prophet ﷺ was asked about hanging fruit and he said, ‘If someone takes what he needs (from hanging fruit) and does not take any away, he has done nothing wrong.’ He said that it is a ḥasan ḥadīth. ‘Umar said, ‘When one of you goes past a garden, he can eat but not take any away in a container.’ The term used for
this refers to something in which a person carries something in but it includes carrying something in one’s hands or on one’s back. Abū ‘Ubayd said, ‘This ḥadīth means that there is an allowance for someone who is compelled by hunger and has nothing with which to purchase food, but he may only carry away what is in his stomach.’

The fundamental principle which is agreed upon regarding this is that the property of other people is forbidden unless they are content to let it be used. That was a custom that was followed in the beginning of Islam and that now exists in some countries. Then it is permitted. That is also applied in times of hunger and dire need as we already stated. Allah knows best.

If the second case exists at a certain time, scholars have two different views about it. One is that someone may eat carrion until he is full and he is permitted to take provision from it if he fears need ahead in a desert or wasteland. When he later finds enough to eat, he must discard the carrion. That is the sense of what Mālik said in the *Muwaṭṭā*. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and most scholars say that. The proof for that is the principle that necessity removes the prohibition and so that which was forbidden becomes allowed. The extent of what amounts to need is a state of lack of food. That exists until there is food available. The ḥadīth about the whale provides textual evidence for that. When the Companions of the Prophet returned from a journey and their provision had run out, they went to the sea coast and there rose before them on the coast something like an enormous mound. When they reached it, it was a beast called a whale. Abū ‘Ubaydah, the commander, said, ‘Carrion.’ Then he said, ‘No, we are the messengers of the Messenger of Allah and are in the Cause of Allah. You are in need. Therefore eat.’ He [Jābir] said, ‘We, being three hundred, stayed with it for a month until we were plump.’ So they ate and were filled from what they believed to be carrion and took provision from it until they reached Madīnah. They mentioned that to the Messenger of Allah and he told them
that it was lawful. He asked, ‘Do you have any of its meat with you so that you can give it to us to eat?’ They sent some of it to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and he ate it.

One group say that you should eat as much as is needed to sustain life. That is the position of Ibn al-Majishūn and Ibn Ḥabīb. The people of ash-Shāfi‘ī distinguish between the state of someone resident and someone travelling. They said that someone resident should eat only that amount that will sustain his life. A traveller, however, may have his fill and take provision. Then, when he finds food, he must discard the carrion. If he finds someone else in need, he should give it to him without seeking recompense. It is not permitted to sell carrion.

If someone is physically forced to drink wine, he may drink it without dispute, but if it is on account of hunger or thirst, he should not do so. That is what Mālik said according to al-‘Utbiyyah. Wine only increases thirst. That is the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Allah completely prohibited wine but prohibited carrion provided that there is no dire necessity. Al-Abharī says, ‘If wine will remove hunger or thirst from someone, he should drink it because Allah says that pigs are impure but then permits them in case of necessity. Allah calls wine an impurity and it should be included, in necessity, under the same permission as that of pigs, going by the apparent meaning which is stronger than using analogy. There must be quenching, even if only for an instant, and repelling hunger, even if it is only for a short time.’

Aṣbagh related that Ibn al-Qāsim said, ‘Someone in dire need may drink blood but not wine. He may eat carrion but not take advantage of lost camels.’ Ibn Wahb also said that. He may drink urine but should not go near wine because the ḥadd punishment is obliged for it and so, because of this, the prohibition is stronger. That is the correct position for the people of ash-Shāfi‘ī.

If someone chokes on food, is drinking wine allowed to relieve it or
not? It is said that it is not, out of the fear that people will simply use that as an excuse. Ibn Ḥabīb claims that it is permitted in that instance because it is a case of necessity. Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘Someone who chokes on something is permitted to do that in respect of what is between him and Allah. As for what is between him and us, if we see him the circumstances are not hidden from us, in that we can see that he is choking on something, and so he is believed if he shows those symptoms. If he does not show them, he is given the hadd punishment outwardly but is safe from the punishment of Allah inwardly if it really was the case.’

If someone who is in dire need finds carrion, pork and human flesh, he may eat the carrion, because it is lawful for him in that one situation, whereas pork and human flesh never are, and so it is better to go for the lesser prohibition. Similarly, if someone is forced to have sexual intercourse with either his sister or an unrelated woman, he should choose the unrelated woman. This is the rule in respect of these judgments. He should never eat human flesh, even if that results in his death. Our scholars said that and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and Dāwūd related that. The evidence of Aḥmad is the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Breaking the bones of a corpse is like breaking them when the person is alive.’ Ash-Shāfiʿī says that he should. It is not permitted to kill a dhimmī because his life is respected, or a Muslim or a captive, because he is someone else’s property. If he is from the abode of war or a muḥsāf fornicator, it is permitted to kill him and eat his flesh. Dāwūd objected to al-Muzanī saying that and said, ‘He permits eating the flesh of Prophets!’ Ibn Shurayḥ overcame him by saying, ‘You risk killing Prophets when you forbade them to kill unbelievers.’ Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘What I consider to be sound is that a human being may only be eaten when it is absolutely certain that doing that will save a person’s life.’ Allah knows best.

Mālik was asked about someone compelled to eat carrion, who then finds property belonging to someone else in the form of dates,
crops or sheep. He said, ‘If he is safe from harm to his body, in that he will not be considered a thief, and he will be believed if he says that he only ate that to assuage his hunger and did not take any of it with him, then I prefer that to eating carrion.’ This has already been dealt with. If he fears that he will not be believed and that he will be considered to be a thief, then it is more permissible to eat the carrion in my view. In this case there is scope for eating carrion.

Abū Dāwud related from Mūsā ibn Ismā‘îl from Ḥammād from Simāk ibn Ḥarb from Jābir ibn Samurah that a man camped in the Ḩarrah with his wife and children. A man said, ‘One of my camels has got lost. If you find it, keep it [for me].’ He found it but did not find its owner. Then it became ill and his wife said, ‘Slaughter it.’ He refused and it died. She said, ‘Skin it and we can cut its meat and fat into strips and eat it.’ He said, ‘Not until I ask the Messenger of Allah ﷺ.’ He asked him and he said, ‘Do you have what is enough for you?’ ‘No,’ he replied. He said, ‘Then eat it.’ Its owner came and he told him what had happened and he said, ‘Why didn’t you slaughter it?’ He answered, ‘I was embarrassed because of what you said to me.’

Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘There are two proofs in this āyah. One is that someone who is in need can eat carrion, even if he does not fear dying from hunger, because the question is about sufficiency and not about fearing for your life. The second is that he can eat, be filled, store and take provision because there is permission to store it and no stipulation about merely having your fill.’

Abū Dāwud said, ‘Hārūn ibn ‘Abdullāh related from al-Fadl ibn Dukayn that ‘Uqbah ibn Wahb ibn ‘Uqbah al-‘Āmirī heard his father relate that al-Fukay‘ al-‘Āmirī went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and asked, ‘What carrion is lawful for us?’ He asked, ‘What is your usual food?’ He said, ‘A drink [of milk] in the evening (ghabūq) and another in the morning (ṣabūḥ).’ Abū Nu‘aym said that ‘Uqbah explained it as a cup of milk in the morning and one in the evening.
The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘This does not relieve hunger,’ and continued, ‘He made carrion lawful for them in this situation.’ Abū Dāwud said, ‘Gabūq is at the end of the day and ṣabūḥ is at the beginning of the day.’ Al-Khaṭṭābī said, ‘Gabūq is evening and ṣabūḥ is morning. A cup of milk in the morning and a cup in the evening keep one alive and upright even though the body is not fully nourished or satisfied. In that day they were permitted to use carrion. So it proves that it is permitted to consume carrion until someone has the nourishment they need.’ This is what Mālik believed and it is one of the two positions of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘If it is permitted when they have a drink of milk morning and evening, then it is permitted to have one’s fill and take provision from it.’ Abū Ḥanīfah, and ash-Shāfi‘ī in another view, said, ‘A person is only permitted to use that amount of carrion that will keep them alive.’ That is what al-Muzanī believed. They said: ‘That is because if someone was in this state in the first place, he would not be permitted to eat any of it; so that is also the situation after he has obtained it.’ Qatādah said, ‘He should not carry any of it away.’ Muqātil ibn Ḥayyān said, ‘He should not seek any provision beyond three morsels.’ The sound position differs from this as was already stated.

As for using any of these things for medical treatment, either in their original form or burned, Ibn Ḥabīb says that they are only permitted for medicinal use if they are altered by burning. Ibn al-Mājishūn said that burning purifies since it changes the character of the substance (as in burned bones). It is related in al-‘Utibiyah that Mālik said that when marthak, which is made from the bones of carrion and then placed on wounds, is used, the person using it should not pray until he has washed it off. Saḥnūn said that carrion or pig should never be used for medicinal purposes since something else which is lawful can be used. This is not the case with hunger. If there is a possible replacement for carrion, when it is to assuage
hunger, then the carrion should not be used.

Wine may not be used for medicinal use either, as Mālik stated, and that is also the predominant position of ash-Shāfiʿī. That was preferred by Ibn Abī Hurayrah and his people. Abū Ḥanīfah said that it is permitted to drink it for medicinal purposes but not to assuage thirst. That is preferred by Qāḍī at-Ṭabarī among the people of ash-Shāfiʿī. It is also the view of ath-Thawrī. Some of the Shāfiʿī Baghdādīs say that it is permitted to drink it to assuage thirst but not for medicinal purposes because the harm from thirst is immediate which is not the case with medicinal use. It is also said that it is permitted to drink it for both reasons.

Some of the Shāfiʿīs forbid using anything unlawful for medicinal reasons except for camel’s urine, whose use is found in the ḥadīth of the ‘Uranīs. Some people forbid using anything unlawful for medicine, quoting the Prophet’s words, ‘Allah did not put the treatment of my community in something He made forbidden for them.’ When Ṭāriq ibn Suwayd asked the Prophet about using wine for medicine and he forbade or disliked the use of it. Ṭāriq said, ‘But I am using it for medicine.’ His answer was: ‘It is not a remedy; it is an illness.’ Muslim transmitted this in the Ṣaḥīḥ. It is possible that this is limited to necessity and it is permitted to use poison for medicine, but not to drink it. Allah knows best.

**without desiring it or going to excess in it –**

According to Qatādah, al-Ḥasan, ar-Rabīʿ, Ibn Zayd and ‘Ikrimah it means: ‘without desiring to eat more than what is needed of it’ and ‘…or going to excess in it’ is eating it in spite of there being a substitute for it. As-Suddī said that it is without having an appetite for it or taking pleasure in it, and excess is by eating beyond taking what is necessary to satisfy one’s hunger. Mujāhid, Ibn Jubayr and others said that it means ‘not attacking or transgressing against the Muslims and so the bāghī and ‘ādī here are considered to be highwaymen, those who rebel against the ruler, travellers who cut off
ties of kinship, those who raid the Muslims and others.’ This is sound.

The basic linguistic meaning of the word for going to excess, baghī, is to aim for and intend corruption. The verb is used of a woman who is dissolute as in 24:33. Sometimes it is used for seeking something other than corruption.

The root of ‘ādī is ‘a’id and it is one of the words in which there has been a reversal. The basic ruling in this matter, as we have made clear, is that Allah permits, in the case of necessity, the consumption of all forbidden things if there is no possibility of obtaining permitted things.

Scholars disagree about what the ruling is if criminal acts of disobedience lead to such a state of necessity, such as highway robbery or causing alarm to people in other ways. Mālik, and ash-Shāfi‘ī in one of his positions, forbade using the general permission to cover such acts because Allah made the dispensation in order to help us, and it is not lawful to help a rebel. If he wants to eat, let him repent and eat. Abū Ḥanīfah, and ash-Shāfi‘ī in another position, allow it. They consider the permission to be the same. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘It is a wonder that someone permits that while the person is persisting in disobedience. I do not think that anyone says that. If someone does say it, it is a definite error.’

The sound view differs from this. Destroying a man on a journey in which he is being disobedient to Allah is worse disobedience than that in which he is involved. Allah Almighty says: ‘Do not kill yourselves.’ (4:29) This is undefined. Perhaps he may repent later and his repentance will efface what went before. Masrūq said, ‘If someone needs to eat carrion, blood, and pork, and then does not eat it and dies as a consequence, he will enter the Fire unless Allah pardons him.’ Abu-l-Ḥasan at-Ṭabarī said, ‘Eating carrion in necessity is a dispensation but in his case becomes mandatory. If he refuses to eat the carrion, he becomes a rebel. Using carrion is not a
dispensation connected to travelling, but is a consequence of necessity, whether someone is travelling or resident. It is like a rebel who is a resident breaking his fast due to illness or like a rebel who is travelling and who does tayammum because of the lack of water.’ He said, ‘I consider it to be sound.

There are different transmissions from Mālik about that. According to what al-Bājī mentioned in al-Muntaqā, it is well known in his school that it is permitted for someone to eat carrion on a journey that entails disobedience to Allah, but it is not permitted for him to shorten the prayer or break the fast. Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘In respect of eating carrion due to necessity, those who are obedient and those who are disobedient are the same, because it is permitted to use carrion [out of necessity] both on a journey and while resident. Someone who departs in disobedience does not have the ruling of a resident person cancelled in his case. His situation is worse than being resident. That is not the case with breaking the fast and shortening the prayer since they are indulgences connected to travel. When the journey is one that involves disobedience, then he is not permitted to shorten the prayer in it since the indulgence is particularly connected to the journey itself. That is why we say that he can do tayammum when he has no water during a journey of disobedience because tayammum is the same for a resident and a traveller. So how can it be possible to forbid him to eat carrion and do tayammum on account of disobedience, when not eating it would lead to death, which is the greatest form of disobedience, and not doing tayammum would lead to abandoning the prayer? Is it permitted to say that because he has committed disobedience he should commit more disobedience? Is it permitted to tell someone who drinks wine that he should also fornicate, or tell a fornicator that he should also disbelieve? Should one tell them to abandon the prayer?’ He mentioned all of this in his Aḥkām al-Qur‘ān and he did not say anything different from that coming from Mālik or any of his
people.

Al-Bājī said, ‘Ziyād ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān al-Andalusī said that someone who is disobedient on a journey should shorten the prayer and break the fast in Ramadan. He considers all of that to be the same. That is also the position of Abū Ḥanīfah. There is no disagreement that he is not permitted to kill himself by not eating [carrion] and that he is commanded to eat it as an obligation. If someone is on a journey for a disobedient purpose, the obligations and duties of the prayer and fasting are not cancelled for him. He is commanded to perform them. That is as we have mentioned. The meaning behind the first view is that these precepts are permitted on journeys when people are in need of them. One is not, however, permitted to use them to facilitate disobedience. He has a way to avoid killing himself.’

Ibn Ḥabīb said, ‘That is so that he can repent. Then he uses the carrion after his repentance.’ Ibn Ḥabīb connected that to Allah’s words: ‘…without desiring it or going to excess in it.’ So he stipulated that the permission to use carrion is dependent on not being bāghī. A traveller who is involved in brigandage, highway robbery or severing tires of kingship is ‘bāghī’ and going to excess and therefore does not possess the precondition for its being allowed. This is a deduction based on an understanding which differs from what those who understand fundamental principles believe. The construction of the āyah means that the one who is forced does not desire it and is not going to excess and therefore commits no sin in that respect. Allah is silent about others. The basic principle is that it is undefined. If someone claims that a matter is removed then he must offer proof.

Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

Allah forgives acts of disobedience and so He is more likely to forgive something He has given dispensation for. Part of His mercy is to grant dispensations.
174 Those who conceal what Allah has sent down of the Book and sell it cheap, take nothing into their bellies but the Fire. On the Day of Rising Allah will not speak to them or purify them. They will have a painful punishment.

Those who conceal what Allah has sent down of the Book

These are the Jewish scholars who concealed the part of the Torah Allah revealed containing the description of Muḥammad ﷺ and the truth of his Message. The word ‘anzala’ (sent down) here means ‘disclosed’ as in 6:93. It is also said that it means ‘revealed’, which is its usual meaning.

and sell it cheap,

They do this by accepting bribes. The word ‘cheap’ is used because what they receive will soon disappear and its result is evil. It is also said to mean that the amount of the bribe was small.

take nothing into their bellies but the Fire.

This indicates the reality of the consumption since the metaphor of actual eating is used. The use of the word ‘bellies’ also alludes to their greed and the fact that they have sold the Next World for their portion of food in this one. The fact that they will go to the Fire is because they consumed something which is unlawful and for which Allah will, therefore, punish them. Consuming a bribe is called ‘fire’ because it leads to the Fire. That is what most commentators say. It is said that it is literally fire in their bellies that they will consume as a punishment.

On the Day of Rising Allah will not speak to them

This denotes Allah’s anger with them and the removal of His pleasure from them. When someone is angry with someone it is said
that he does not speak to him. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said that it means that He will not speak to them saying what they love to hear. We find in the Revelation: ‘Slink away into it and do not speak to Me.’ (23:108) It is said that it means He will not send them angels with greeting.

or purify them.

This means that Allah will not rectify their wrong actions and so purify them. Az-Zajjāj says, ‘He will not praise them or call them pure.’ In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Abū Hurayrah reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘There are three people that Allah will not speak to on the Day of Rising, or purify, or look at, and they will have a painful punishment: an aged adulterer, a lying ruler and a poor person who is arrogant.’ These are singled out for painful punishment and intense torment for pure obstinacy in wrong action and making light of the things which move them to commit these acts of disobedience, since need did not instigate them to do these things nor did necessity bring them to do them, as might be the case with others. In the ḥadīth ‘He will not look at them,’ it means that He will not show them mercy or be kind to them.

175 Those are the ones who have sold guidance for misguidance and forgiveness for punishment. How steadfastly they will endure the Fire!

Punishment follows misguidance as forgiveness follows the guidance that they have cast aside. ‘Selling’ is used metaphorically. How steadfastly they will endure the Fire!

Most commentators, including al-Ḥasan and Mujāhid, say that the particle mā in this phrase is used to connote wonder and refers to creatures. It is as if Allah were saying, ‘They wonder at how steadfast they are in remaining in the Fire!’ This is the meaning which Abū ‘Alī accepts. Al-Ḥasan Qatādah, Ibn Jubayr and ar-Rabī’ said, ‘They
do not have, by Allah, any steadfastness, but how bold they are towards people!’ It is a known Yemeni dialectical form. It is said that it means ‘How bold they are in actions which bring them to the Fire!’ since they do actions which lead them to the Fire. Az-Zajjāj says that it refers to the great length of time they will remain in the Fire as you say, ‘How steadfastly he endures imprisonment!’ That means that he remains in it for a long time. It is said that it means: ‘How little anxiety they display concerning the Fire!’ and so their lack of concern is steadfastness. Al-Kisā’ī and Quṭrub said, ‘How long they persist in the actions of the people of the Fire!’ It is also said that mā is interrogative and rebuke is intended by it. Ibn ‘Abbās, as-Suddī, ‘Aṭā’, and Abū ‘Ubaydah Ma‘mar ibn al-Muthannā said that. It means: ‘What makes them steadfast in doing the actions of the people of the Fire?’ It is said that this is to humiliate them and make light of them.

176 That is because Allah has sent down the Book with truth and those who differ from the Book are entrenched in hostility.

That is because Allah has sent down the Book with truth

‘That’ means ‘that judgment’, as if Allah were saying, ‘The judgment of the Fire.’ Az-Zajjāj said, ‘It implies: “The matter is that” or “That is the matter” or “That is the punishment they will have.”’ The first ‘Book’ is the Qur’an. ‘Truth’ here means evidence or truthfulness.

and those who differ from the Book

The ‘Book’ referred to here is the Torah. The Christians claim that it mentioned Jesus and the Jews denied that. It is said that their ancestors disagreed about holding to it. It is said that they disagree about what was in the Torah regarding the description of
Muḥammad ﷺ and differed about that. It is said that the Qur’an is meant and those who differed from it were the unbelievers of Quraysh when some of them said that it was magic, others said that it was nothing but ancient myths, and still others said that it was forged. The meaning of ‘hostility’ was mentioned in the commentary on 2:137.

177 True goodness does not lie in turning your faces to the East or to the West. Rather, those with true goodness are those who believe in Allah and the Last Day, the Angels, the Book and the Prophets, and who, despite their love for it, give away their wealth to their relatives and to orphans and the very poor, and to travellers and beggars and to set slaves free, and who establish the prayer and pay zakat; those who honour their contracts when they make them, and are steadfast in poverty and illness and in battle. Those are the people who are true. They are the people who are godfearing.

True goodness does not lie in turning your faces to the East or to the West.

There is disagreement about who is being addressed by these words. Qatādah said, ‘It was mentioned to us that a man asked the Messenger of Allah ﷺ about true goodness (birr) and then Allah revealed this āyah.’ Qatādah continued, ‘Before the obligatory acts of worship were prescribed, when a man testified that there is no god
but Allah and Muḥammad is His slave and Messenger and then died affirming that, that was sufficient to assure him of the Garden, and so this āyah was revealed to redress the balance.’ Qatādah and ar-Rabī’ said that it is the Jews and Christians who are being addressed because they disagreed about which direction they should turn towards. The Jews faced west towards Jerusalem and the Christians east towards where the sun rose. They spoke about a change of qiblah and each group preferred its own direction. They were told that that was not where true goodness lay. Ḥamzah and Ḥafṣ recite ‘al-birra’ in the accusative. The rest recite ‘al-birru’ in the nominative.

Rather, those with true goodness are those who believe

Here ‘birr’ (true goodness) is a word which includes all good. Its use on its own here implies: ‘But true goodness is the goodness of the one who believes…’ but there is an elision. It is said that the meaning is: ‘But those with true goodness…’ That is because when the Prophet ﷺ emigrated to Madīnah and the obligatory prayers were prescribed, the qiblah redirected towards the Kaʿbah, and the statutory punishments (ḥudūd) were established, Allah revealed this in order to say that true goodness does not lie in praying or doing any other particular action, but rather that a person who is truly good is someone who believes in Allah, and all the other things mentioned in the āyah. Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, Aṭā’, Sufyān and az-Zajjāj held that view. It is possible for birr to mean bārr and barr. Barr means kind and benign and filial, while bārr also has that meaning, but is a little more restricted.

Our scholars point out that this is an immense āyah, one of the matrices of judgment, because it contains sixteen different elements:

– the requirement to have faith in Allah and His Names and Attributes
– the Resurrection
– the Gathering
– the Balance
- the Sirāṭ
- the Basin
- the Intercession
- the Garden and the Fire
- the Angels
- the Books revealed by Allah
- the Prophets
- the duty to spend one’s wealth in ways that are both mandatory and recommended
- maintaining ties of kinship and not severing them
- looking after orphans and the poor and not neglecting them
- caring for travellers (or guests) and beggars
- setting slaves free

All these things will be clarified elsewhere. Also included are safeguarding the prayer, paying zakat, fulfilling contracts and showing steadfastness in afflictions. Each of these items would require an entire book to do it justice.

There is disagreement about whether one gives voluntary ṣadaqah to an orphan merely because of their being an orphan, in order to maintain ties of kinship, even if the orphan is wealthy, or whether one only gives to the poor. This is about voluntary ṣadaqah rather than obligatory zakat.

and who, despite their love for it, give away their wealth

This is used as evidence by those who say that there are legal rights on wealth other than just zakat and that they are the means by which true goodness is achieved. It is also said that what is meant here is obligatory zakat. The first opinion is sounder since ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted from Fāṭimah bint Qays that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘There is a right on wealth over and above zakat,’ and he quoted this āyah in full. Ibn Mājah and at-Tirmidhī transmit it in their collections as well. He said that the isnād of this ḥadīth is not that strong because Abū Ḥamzah is weak. Bayān and Ismā‘īl ibn
Sālim related this ḥadīth from ash-Sha'bī, and it is stronger.

The soundness of this ḥadīth, even if there is something to be said about the isnād, is indicated by the words of Allah later in the same āyah: ‘establish the prayer and pay zakat’. He mentions zakat with the prayer. That indicates that what is meant by this phrase is not obligatory zakat because otherwise that would entail an unnecessary repetition, and Allah knows best. Scholars agree that, when an urgent need for the Muslims exists after the payment of zakat, there is a communal obligation to spend money on it. Mālik obliged people to ransom captives, even if it used up all their wealth. There is also consensus on this, and that strengthens what we prefer concerning this matter.

It is said the phrase refers to their love for the wealth they give and the pronoun can also refer to the love the giver has for his relatives. The same applies to Allah’s words in Sūrat al-Insān: ‘They give food, despite their love for it, to the poor.’ (76:8) It can have both meanings.

**those who honour their contracts when they make them,**

It is said that this is added to the earlier sentence, i.e. ‘Those with true goodness or those who believer and those who honour…’ Al-Farrā’ and al-Akhfash said that. This refers to contracts between themselves and Allah, and between themselves and other people.

**and are steadfast in poverty and illness and in battle**

‘Steadfast’ is in the accusative by way of praise or by the effect of an elided verb. The Arabs put the noun in the accusative for both praise and censure as if they were trying to isolate the person praised or blamed.

*Ba’sā’* is hardship and poverty, and *ḍarrā’* is illness. Ibn Mas‘ūd said that. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Allah Almighty says, “When I test any of My slaves in his bed and he does not complain to those who visit him, I will replace his flesh with a better flesh and his blood with a better blood. If I take him, it is to My mercy. If I give him well-being, I give him a well-being in which he has no sin.’ He was asked,
‘Messenger of Allah, what flesh is better than his flesh?’ He answered, ‘A flesh without sin.’ He was asked, ‘What blood is better than his blood?’ He answered, ‘Blood without sin.’ Ba’s is the time of war.

Those are the people who are true. They are the people who are godfearing.

They are described as having integrity and taqwā in their affairs and fulfilling them. They are serious regarding the dīn. This is great praise. Truthfulness is the opposite of lying. Someone who is sīdīq is someone who holds closely to the truth. In a hadīth we find: ‘You must have integrity. Integrity guides to goodness and goodness guides to the Garden. A man continues to be true and takes care to remain truthful until he is written with Allah as a true man (sīdīq).’

178 You who believe! retaliation is prescribed for you in the case of people killed: free man for free man, slave for slave, female for female. But if someone is absolved the thing by his brother, blood-money should be claimed with correctness and paid with good will. That is an easement and a mercy from your Lord. Anyone who goes beyond the limits after this will receive a painful punishment.

You who believe! retaliation is prescribed for you in the case of people killed:

Al-Bukhārī and ad-Dāraquṭnī related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘There was retaliation among the tribe of Israel but no blood money. So Allah told this community: “Retaliation is prescribed for you in the case of people killed: free man for free man, slave for slave, female for female. But if
someone is absolved the thing by his brother…” Absolution is to accept blood money in cases of homicide. “Blood-money should be claimed with correctness and paid with good will.” By saying it should be claimed with correctness and paid with good will, Allah is making it easier for us than what was imposed on those before us. “Going beyond the limits” refers to killing after having accepted blood money.’ This is the wording of al-Bukhārī from al-Ḥumaydī from Sufyān from ‘Amr who heard Mujāhid say that he heard Ibn ʿAbbās say that.

Ash-Sha‘bī said that ‘…free man for free man, slave for slave, female for female’ was revealed about two of the Arab tribes that fought each other and would say, ‘We will accept so-and-so son of so-and-so for our slave.’ Qatādah reported something similar.

The word ‘kutiba’ (prescribed) means ‘established and made obligatory’. It is also said that this means what was written on the Preserved Tablet and previously decreed. ‘Qiṣāṣ’ (retaliation) is from a root meaning someone who follows a trail which has been blazed. A qāṣṣ is a storyteller who follows traditions and reports. Qaṣṣ also means cutting the hair by following its line. It is as if the killer takes a path with regard to killing, and retaliation is to follow after him and proceed along the same path in that respect. That usage can be seen in 18:64. It is said that qaṣṣ is cutting and that is the source of qiṣāṣ because the retaliator wounds with the same type of wound or kills in the same way. The verb is also used for mutilation.

The form that retaliation takes is that when the relative (walī) wants to kill, the killer is obliged to submit to the command of Allah and to accept the prescribed retaliation. The relative of the murdered man is obliged to stop at the killer of his relative and not to go beyond him and kill someone else as well, which is what the Arabs used to do before Islam. That is the meaning of the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘On the Day of Rising, the most insolent of people towards Allah will be three men: someone who killed other than the killer, someone who kills in the Ḥaram, and someone who acts by the blood feuds of
the Jāhiliyyah.’

Ash-Sha’bī, Qatādah and others said, ‘The people of the Jāhiliyyah were excessive and obeyed Shayṭān. When a tribe possessed might and power and a slave of theirs was killed by the slave of another person, they said, “We will only kill a free person for him.” If a woman of theirs was killed, they said, “We will only kill a man for her.” When a base person among them was killed, they said, “We will only kill a noble for them.” They used to say, “Killing safeguards against killing.” Allah forbade them such transgression in this āyah and others.’

There is no disagreement that retaliation in killing can only be adjudged by those in authority. It is the ruler who imposes retaliation, establishes the ḥudūd and other things, because, although Allah Almighty gives all believers the possibility of retaliation, not all believers are ready to agree to it. Therefore the ruler represents them in the matter of carrying out retaliation and imposing other ḥudūd. Retaliation itself is not obligatory. What is obligatory is not to exceed in retaliation or other ḥudūd. If there is consent to forgo retaliation and to accept blood money or to pardon, that is permitted.

If it is said that ‘prescribed for you’ means it is obligatory and necessary, so how, in that case, can qisāṣ not be mandatory? The reply is that it implies ‘if you desire it.’ Know that qisāṣ is the limit for those who want blood. Qatālā is the plural of qatīl.

free man for free man, slave for slave, female for female.

There is disagreement about the interpretation of this. One group say that the āyah was revealed to clarify the judgment of the category of those whose blood is forfeit when someone of the same category is killed. So when a free person is killed, someone free is killed in retaliation for him, and when a slave is killed, a slave is killed in retaliation, and a woman is killed in retaliation for a woman. The āyah is a firm ruling which is general and explained by the words of
Allah: ‘…a life for a life’ (5:45) and explained by the Prophet in his Sunnah when he killed a Jew in retaliation for a woman. Mujāhid said that. Ibn ‘Abbās said the same, although it is related from him that it is abrogated by the āyah in Sūrat al-Mā‘īdah. That is the position of the people of Iraq.

The Kufāns and ath-Thawrī said that a free man is killed if he has killed a slave and a Muslim if he has killed a dhimmī. Their evidence is the words of Allah here which are general as well as the āyah in Sūrat al-Mā‘īdah (5:45). (Mentioned in the previous paragraph). They said that the blood of a dhimmī has the same inviolability as that of a Muslim and should be satisfied by retaliation. It is the inviolability of blood which is the principle. Both the Muslim and the dhimmī are the people of the Abode of Islam. The thing which verifies that is the fact that a Muslim’s hand is cut off for stealing the property of a dhimmī which indicates that the property of a dhimmī is the same as that of a Muslim. It follows that their blood must be the same since property is respected by respect for its owner. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people, ath-Thawrī, and Ibn Abī Laylā agree that a free man is killed in retaliation for a slave just as a slave is killed in retaliation for him. That is the position of Dāwud. The same is related from ‘Alī and Ibn Mas‘ūd and is the position of Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, Qatādah, Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘ī, and al-Ḥakam ibn ‘Uyaynayn.

The majority of scholars do not accept killing a free man in retaliation for a slave because of the categories and divisions shown in the āyah. Abū Thawr said, ‘Since everyone agrees that there is no retaliation between slaves and free people in cases less than homicide, it is even more likely to be the case where homicide is concerned. Those who make a distinction in respect of that are wrong.’ Furthermore the consensus, if someone accidentally kills a slave, is that he only owes the price of that slave. Since slaves do not resemble free men where accidental killing is concerned, the same should hold true in cases of intentional homicide. Moreover, a slave
is a commodity that is bought and sold and can be disposed of by a free person and so there is no equality between them.

This consensus is sound. The first view is contradictory. Ibn Abī Laylā and Dāwud said that there is retaliation between free men and slaves with regard to life and all limbs. For proof, Dāwud used the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘The blood of the Muslims is the same.’ He did not make a distinction between free men and slaves. This will be explained in an-Nisā’, Allah willing.

The majority also agree that a Muslim should not be killed in retaliation for an unbeliever since the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘A Muslim is not killed in retaliation for an unbeliever.’ Al-Bukhārī transmitted this from ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib. They do not consider as sound what is related from Rabī‘ah about the Prophet killing a Muslim in retaliation for an unbeliever at Khaybar, because its isnād is broken and the ḥadīth of Ibn al-Baylamānī, who is weak, from Ibn ‘Umar from the Prophet ﷺ is marfū‘. Ad-Dāraquṭnī said, ‘Only Ibrāhīm ibn Abī Yaḥyā has its isnād, and his ḥadīths are abandoned. What is correct is that it is from Rabī‘ah from Ibn al-Baylamānī mursal from the Prophet ﷺ. The ḥadīths of Ibn al-Baylamānī are weak and not used as evidence even when their line of transmission is connected, let alone when it is mursal.’ The only thing that is sound regarding this topic is the ḥadīth of al-Bukhārī which makes the generality of this āyah specific.

It is related from ‘Alī and al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī that the āyah was revealed to explain the ruling of those mentioned in the āyah and to indicate the difference between them and those who would kill a free person in retaliation for a slave or a slave in retaliation for a free person, or male in retaliation for a female or a female in retaliation for a male. They said, ‘When a man kills a woman and her relatives want to kill him, they do so and his relatives are paid half of the blood price. If they want to let him live, they accept a woman’s blood money from him. If a woman kills a man and his relatives want to
kill her, they can kill her and take half the blood money or alternatively they can take the full blood money and let her live.’ Ash-Sha‘bī related this from ‘Alī, but it cannot be sound because ash-Sha‘bī did not meet ‘Alī. Al-Ḥakam related that ‘Alī and ‘ Abdullāh said, ‘When a man murders a woman with premeditation, he is her retaliation.’ This is contrary to the transmission of ash-Sha‘bī from ‘Alī. Scholars agree that when a one-eyed man or a man with a withered hand kills a man with sound limbs, his relative cannot kill the one-eyed man and take half the blood-money from him because the one-eyed man killed someone with two eyes and the man with the withered hand killed one with two hands. This indicates that blood is equal and it is a life for a life. Children and adults are the same in that respect.

It might be said to those who espouse that position, ‘A woman is not equal to a man in that respect and is not included in the words of the Prophet about the blood of Muslims being the same. So why should she be killed for a man when she is not equal to him and half the blood-money taken? And yet scholars agree that blood-money and retaliation are not combined and, when blood-money is accepted, the life of the killer is sacrosanct and there is no retaliation. Therefore your position is baseless and not based on any analogy.’ Abū ‘Umar said that. When a free man kills a slave, the killer is killed if the owner of the slave so wishes and then he pays the blood-money of the free man less the value of the slave. If, instead, he wishes, he can be modest and take the value of the slave. This is mentioned from ‘Alī and al-Ḥasan. It is also denied from them.

Scholars agree that a man should be killed in retaliation for killing a woman and a woman in retaliation for killing a man and the majority do not think anything is repaid. One group think that the difference in the blood money is repaid. Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Isḥāq, ath-Thawrī and Abū Thawr said that is how retaliation proceeds between a man and a woman in respect of what is less than
a life. Ḥammād ibn Abī Sulaymān and Abū Ḥanīfah said that there is no retaliation between them in injuries which fall short of killing in which there is a life for a life.

Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘Ignorance leads some people to say that a free man should be killed in retaliation for killing his own slave,’ and a ḥadīth is related regarding that from Samurah in which the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘We kill the one who kills his slave,’ but it is a weak hadīth. Our evidence is the words of Allah: ‘If someone is wrongly killed, We have given authority to his next of kin. But he should not be excessive in taking life.’ (17:33) In this case this refers to the slave’s master. All the scholars agree that if a master kills his slave accidentally, the price of the slave is not taken from him for the treasury. ‘Amr ibn Shuʿayb related that a man murdered his slave and the Prophet ﷺ flogged him and exiled him for a year and removed his share as a Muslim and did not help him to pay it.

It might be asked, ‘If a man kills his wife, why do you not say that marriage sets up a doubt which would avert retaliation from the husband since marriage is a type of slavery?’ Al-Layth ibn Saʿd said that. Our reply is that marriage is a contract between him and her with implied restrictions on both sides: he cannot marry her sister or four additional wives (making the total five), and she can demand her right of intercourse from him just as he can demand it from her. He, however, has the merit of guardianship over her, which Allah gave him because he supports her from his wealth, according to what is obligatory for him in terms of the bride-price and maintenance. If a doubt had existed, it would exist for both parties.

This hadīth which Ibn al-ʿArabī says is weak is, in fact, sound. An-Nasāʾī and Abū Dāwud transmitted it and its text is complete: ‘If someone amputates a part of someone’s body, we amputate that from him. If someone castrates someone, we castrate him.’ Al-Bukhārī said that it is sound that ‘Alī ibn al-Madīnī heard it from Samurah. Al-Bukhārī said, ‘I believe that. If the hadīth had not been
sound, then these two imams would not have believed it. They are enough for you!’ A free man is killed for a slave. An-Nakha’ī, and ath-Thawrī in one view, said that al-Ḥasan only heard the ḥadīth of the ‘aqīqah from Samurah. Allah knows best. They disagree about retaliation between slaves in cases less than killing. This is the position of ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz, Sālim ibn ‘Abdullāh, az-Zuhīrī, Qurrān, Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī and Abū Thawr. Ash-Sha‘bī, an-Nakha’ī, ath-Thawrī and Abū Ḥanīfah said that there is no retaliation between slaves except with respect to killing. Ibn al-Mundhir said that the first position is sounder.

Ad-Dāraquṭnī and Abū Īsā at-Tirmidhī related that Surāqah ibn Mālik said, ‘I was present when the Messenger of Allah ﷺ judged that retaliation should be taken from a son for killing his father, but not from a father for killing his son.’ Abū Īsā said, ‘We do not know this ḥadīth from Surāqah except by this path and its isnād is not sound. Ismā‘īl ibn ‘Ayyāsh related it from al-Muthannā ibn aṣ-Ṣabbāh. Al-Muthannā is weak in ḥadīth. This ḥadīth is related by Abū Khālid al-Aḥmar from al-Ḥajjāj from ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb from his father from his grandfather from ‘Umar from the Prophet ﷺ. The ḥadīth is related mursal from ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb and contains some muddling. The normative practice among the people of knowledge is that when a father kills his son, he is not killed on that account, and when he slanders him, he does not receive the hadd punishment.’

Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘The people of knowledge disagree about a man who kills his son deliberately. One group say that no retaliation is taken from him, but he must pay blood-money. This is the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī, Ahmad, Iṣḥāq and the People of Opinion. That is also related from ‘Aṭā’ and Mujāhid. Mālik, Ibn Nāfi‘ and Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam said that he is killed in retaliation for him.’ Ibn al-Mundhir added, ‘This is our view based on the literal meaning of the Book and the Sunnah. The text of the Book is: “Retaliation is prescribed for
you in the case of people killed: free man for free man, slave for slave.” What is confirmed from the Messenger of Allah ﷺ is his words: “The blood of the believers is equal.” We do not know of any firm report that makes the father an exception in this regard. Reports which are not firm are related regarding it.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī related from ‘Uthmān al-Battī that a father is killed for killing his son since retaliation is general. Something similar is related from Mālik. Perhaps they did not accept single reports defining the general statements of the Qur’an.

There is no disagreement in the school of Mālik that when a man deliberately kills his son, such as when he makes him lie down and slaughters him, or constrains and kills him in a manner which there is no excuse for and there is no way he can claim that it was an accident, he is killed in retaliation for him. If he throws a weapon at him or chokes him while disciplining him, there are two views in the School. One is that he is killed in retaliation for him, and the other is that he is not killed, but made to pay a greater than normal sum in blood-money. That is the position of a group of scholars. A non-relative is killed in a similar case.

Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘I heard our shaykh, Fakhr al-Islām ash-Shāshī, say in debate, “A father is not killed in retaliation for his son because the father is the reason for his existence. How can he be reason for his father’s non-existence?” This is invalidated by the fact that a man is stoned if he fornicates with his daughter even though he is the reason for her existence and she is the reason for his non-existence. What sort of understanding comes from this? Why should he not be the reason for his own non-existence since he disobeyed Allah in doing that? There is a report that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Retaliation is not taken from a father for killing his son,” but it is a false ḥadīth. They added to this by saying that ‘Umar augmented the blood-money in the case of a man who had killed his son and none of the Companions objected to it. The rest of the fuqahā’ consider
the case to be allowable, saying, “Retaliation is not taken from a father for killing his son.” Mālik took it as a judgment which is subject to detailed examination of the particular circumstances and said, “If he strikes him with a sword, this is a case in which it is possible that he intended to kill or did not intend to do so. Fatherly compassion provides a doubt which can establish lack of intent and so on that basis retaliation is cancelled. If he lays him down, then the concealed intent is disclosed and the case follows the basic principle.”” Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad and Iṣḥāq say that if a son kills his father, he is killed for him.’

Imām Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal used this āyah as evidence that a group should not be killed in retaliation for the death of one person. He said, ‘That is because Allah stipulated equality, and there is no equality between a group and one individual.’ The answer to this is that retaliation in this āyah entails killing the one who did the killing, whoever that may be. This was to refute the Arabs who wanted to kill someone who was not the killer in exchange for someone who had been killed and to kill a hundred innocent people in retaliation for one or to take advantage of rank and power. Therefore Allah commanded fairness and equality so that only those who kill may be killed. ‘Umar killed seven men in Sana’, and said, ‘If all the people of Sana‘ had participated in the murder, I would have killed them all.’

‘Alī killed the Kharijites for killing ‘Abdullāh ibn Khabbāb. When they were merely guilty of innovation, he held back from killing them, but when they murdered ‘Abdullāh ibn Khabbāb as a sheep would be slaughtered and ‘Alī was informed about that, he said, ‘Allah is greater!’ He called them to bring out the murderers of ‘Abdulāh ibn Khabbāb to him. They said, ‘All of us killed him’ three times. ‘Alī told his companions, ‘There are the people.’ ‘Alī and his people did not hesitate to kill them all. Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmits both reports in his Sunan.
In at-Tirmidhī Abū Sa‘īd and Abū Hurayrah reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If all the people of heaven and all the people of the earth were to participate in shedding the blood of a believer, Allah would throw them all into the Fire.’ It is said that this is a *gharīb ḥadīth*. Furthermore if a group knew that, if they were to kill a person as a group, they would not be killed, then enemies would help one another to kill their enemies by participating in their killing and achieving their desire for revenge. So it is more fitting to follow this rule than the literal words, and Allah knows best. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘Az-Zuhrī, Ḥabīb ibn Abī Thābit and Ibn Sīrīn said, ‘Two are not killed in retaliation for one.’ That is also related from Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal, Ibn az-Zubayr and ‘Abd al-Mālik.’ Ibn Mundhir added, ‘This is sounder and someone who permits killing a group for the killing of one person has no proof.’ What we mentioned is confirmed from Ibn az-Zubayr.

The Imams related from Abū Shurayḥ al-Ka‘bī that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘You company of Khuzā‘ah killed this man from Hudhayl and I am responsible for him. Whoever has a relative killed after these words of mine, is entitled to one of two things: taking the blood money or killing in retaliation.’ The wording is that of Abū Dāwud. At-Tirmidhī said that it is a sound ḥasan ḥadīth. Abū Shurayḥ related that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The relative of the one killed can take life in retaliation, pardon or take blood-money.’ This is the position of some of the people of knowledge. It is the position of Aḥmad and Ishāq.

Scholars disagree about taking blood money from a murderer. One group say that the relative of the murdered man has a choice. If he wishes, he can take retaliation, and if he wishes he can take blood-money, even if the killer does not consent. This is related from Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, ‘Aṭā’ and al-Ḥasan. Ashhab relates this position from Mālik and it is also the position of al-Layth, al-Awzā‘ī, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Ishāq and Abū Thawr. Their proof is the ḥadīth of
Abū Shurayḥ above and it is a legal text (naṣṣ) sufficient to resolve the dispute. It is also deduced by analysis since blood money is imposed on him without his consent because it is an obligation on him to save his own life as Allah says: ‘Do not kill yourselves.’ (4:29) He says in this āyah: ‘But if someone is absolved by his brother,’ in other words, he forgoes his right to retaliation in one interpretation and is satisfied with blood money, ‘blood-money should be claimed with correctness’, meaning that the one with right to retaliation follows it by correctly demanding blood money, the killer must pay it with good will without delay.

**But if someone is absolved something by his brother, blood-money should be claimed with correctness and paid with good will.**

Scholars disagree about the interpretation of the words ‘someone’, ‘absolved’ and ‘something’ in this āyah. One view is that ‘someone’ means the killer and ‘absolved’ refers to what the relative of the deceased does. The ‘brother’ is the brother of the deceased. The ‘something’ is his right to retaliation which is absolved and for which he takes blood money. This is the position of Ibn ‘Abbās, Qatādah, Mujāhid and a group of scholars. So absolving, in this case, means abandoning the right to retaliation. It means: when the killer is absolved by the relative of the deceased of his right to retaliation and forgoes it, he takes blood-money and follows it with correctness, and the killer pays it with good will.

Another position is that of Mālik which is that ‘someone’ refers to the relative and ‘absolved’ is to make easy, not to pardon, and the ‘brother’ is the killer and the ‘something’ is the blood money, so the meaning in this case would be that when the relative inclines to foregoing retaliation and taking blood money, the killer can choose between giving it or surrendering himself. Sometimes it is eased and sometimes not. People other than Malik say that if the relatives are satisfied with blood money, the killer has no choice: he has to give it. This is also related from Mālik and many of his people preferred it.
Abū Ḥanīfah said that ‘absolve’ here means to spend. That is known linguistically. So it is as if the meaning was ‘Whoever is paid some of the blood money should accept it and pursue it with correctness.’ Some people say that the killer should pay it with good will and Allah recommends that the relative of the murder victim should take the money when that is easy for the killer. It is a lightening and a mercy, as He says after mentioning retaliation in al-Mā‘idah: ‘If anyone forgoes that as ṣadaqah, it will be expiation for him.’ (5:45) Allah recommends the mercy of absolution and ṣadaqah, as in this āyah He recommends accepting blood-money when the person pays it. The relative is commanded to claim it with correctness and the perpetrator to pay it with good will.

Some people say that these expressions deal with particular people, about whom the entire āyah was revealed, and they paid the blood money to one another in respect of the injuries outstanding between them. The meaning of the āyah is about when one group received more than the other group, and so ‘absolved’ rather means ‘has more than’. Ash-Sha‘bī said explaining this, ‘There was fighting between two tribes of Arabs and several people were killed. One of the tribes said, “We will not be content until a man is killed for a woman and a woman for a man.” They went to the Prophet ﷺ and he said, “Killing is the same.” They made peace on the basis of the payment of blood money and one of the two tribes received more than the other. That is what this āyah refers to. Whoever has more than his brother should pay it correctly.’ Ash-Sha‘bī said that this was the reason the āyah was revealed.

Finally there is the statement of ‘Alī about the difference between the blood money of a man and a woman, free person and slave, so the meaning is that the one who has more should demand it correctly.

This āyah is encouragement from Allah Almighty for correctness on the part of the person seeking payment and good will on the part of
the payer. Is that obligatory or recommended? The recitation in the nominative indicates that it is obligatory, because the meaning is that it must be pursued with correctness.

That is an easement and a mercy from your Lord.

This alludes to the fact that Allah did not give those before us any choice in the matter and they had to take a life for a life, whereas Allah has given this Community the advantage of being able to accept blood money when the relative of the deceased is satisfied by it. Others said that the relative of the dead person can only take retaliation and may not take blood money if the killer agrees to that. Ibn al-Qāsim related that from Mālik, and it is well known from him. Ath-Thawrī and the Kufans also said that. Their evidence is the ḥadīth of Anas in the story about ar-Rubayya who broke a woman’s tooth. They stated, ‘When the Prophet judged that there should be retaliation, he said, “Retaliation is the Book of Allah. Retaliation is the Book of Allah.”’ He did not give the injured woman a choice between retaliation and blood money and so the judgment of the Book of Allah and the Sunnah of the Messenger is that there is retaliation for a deliberate injury. The first position is sounder because of the ḥadīth of Abū Shurayḥ.

Ar-Rabī related that ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘Abū Ḥanīfah ibn Simāk ibn al-Faḍl ash-Shihābī reported to me from Ibn Abī Dhi‘b from al-Maqburī from Abū Shurayḥ al-Ka‘bī that the Messenger of Allah said in the year of the Conquest of Makkah, “If someone is killed, [the relative] has a choice between two courses: if he wishes, he can take the blood-money, and if he wishes, he can take retaliation.” Abū Ḥanīfah said, “I asked Ibn Abī Dhi‘b, ‘Do you take this position, Abu-l-Ḥārith?’ He struck my chest, shouted at me and got hold of me, saying, ‘I relate to you from the Messenger of Allah and then you ask me if I take it? Of course I take it! That is obligatory for me and those who hear it! Allah Almighty chose Muḥammad from among people and guided them by him. He chose for them what He
chose for him and on his tongue. Therefore people must follow him, willingly and in submission. A Muslim cannot leave that.”’

This was an easement because the people of Torah only had the choice of killing and nothing else, and the people of the Gospel only had absolution and no retaliation or blood-money. Allah gave this easement to this community and whoever wishes can retaliate by killing, whoever wishes can accept blood-money, and whoever wishes can absolve.

**Anyone who goes beyond the limits after this will receive a painful punishment.**

This refers to someone who kills after taking blood-money and consequently foregoing the blood of the killer. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘In the Jāhiliyyah, when someone killed a person he would flee to his own people and the people of the victim would come and negotiate the blood-money. The relative of the victim would say, “I will take the blood-money,” and then, when the killer was made secure by this and left, the victim’s relative would kill him and throw the blood-money back at the killer’s family.’

Scholars disagree about someone who kills after taking blood-money. A group of scholars, including Mālik and ash-Shāfi‘ī, said that he is the same as the one who kills in the first place. If the relative wishes, he kills him, and if he wishes, he pardons him and he will be punished in the Next World. Qatādah, ‘Ikrimah, as-Suddī and others said that his punishment is to be killed and it is not possible for the relative to pardon him. Abū Dāwud related from Jābir ibn ʿAbdullāh that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘I will not pardon someone who kills after having taken blood-money.’ Al-Ḥasan said that his punishment is to return the blood-money and his wrong action remains to be dealt with in the Next World. ‘Umar ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz said that his business is left up to the ruler who does whatever he thinks best. We find in the *Sunan* of ad-Dāraquṭnī that Abū Shurayḥ al-Khuzā’ī said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ
say, “Whoever is killed or lamed can choose one of three things. If he wants a fourth, then hold him back. He may retaliate, or absolve, or take the blood-money. If he accepts any of those and then attacks after that, he will go to the Fire to be in it forever.””

179 There is life for you in retaliation, people of intelligence, so that hopefully you will be godfearing.

There is life for you in retaliation, people of intelligence

These are succinct and eloquent words which mean: ‘You should not kill one another.’ Sufyān related that from as-Suddī from Abū Mālik. It means that when retaliation is established and achieved, it will deter the one who wants to kill someone else out of the fear that retaliation will be taken from him, and so both remain alive. It had previously been the case that, when one man killed another, their two tribes would fight and that would lead to many deaths. When Allah prescribed retaliation, it was a deterrent and they stopped fighting. Therefore they had life through that.

The imams who give fatwā agree that it is not permitted for anyone to take retaliation from someone without the involvement of the ruler. People cannot do it on their own. The ruler, or someone appointed by him, carry that out. Allah appointed the ruler to restrain people’s hands from one another. Scholars agree that the ruler can take retaliation from himself if he transgresses against one of his subjects since he is one of them and has the prerogative of looking after them, like a guardian or trustee. That does not preclude retaliation and so there is no difference between him and anyone else regarding the judgments of Allah in this āyah.

It is confirmed that Abū Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīq said to a man who complained to him about a governor who had cut off his hand, ‘If you are telling the truth, I will take retaliation for you from him.’ An-Nasāʾī reported that Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī said, ‘Once, while the
Messenger of Allah ﷺ was distributing something, a man bent over him and the Messenger of Allah jabbed him with a stick he had and the man yelled out. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said to him, “Come, take your retaliation.” He said, “I absolve you, Messenger of Allah.”” Abū Dāwud aṭ-Ṭayālisī reported from Abū Firās that ‘Umar gave a speech in which he said, ‘Whoever is wronged by an amīr (governor, commander) should present his case to me and I will take retaliation from him.’ ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ stood up and said, ‘Amīr al-Mu’mīnīn, if one of us disciplines a man who is subject to his authority, will you take retaliation from him?’ He replied, ‘How could I not take retaliation from him when I saw the Messenger of Allah ﷺ take retaliation from himself!’ Abū Dāwud as-Sijistānī has: “Umar gave a speech and said, “I have not sent my governors to beat your bodies or take your property. If someone has that done to him, he should present his case to me and I will take retaliation from him.””

**so that hopefully you will be godfearing.**

So that you will be careful to avoid killing and will submit to retaliation. That obedience leads to other types of obedience. Allah makes one firm in obedience through obedience.

180 It is prescribed for you, when death approaches one of you and if he has some goods to leave, to make a will in favour of his parents and relatives, correctly and fairly: a duty for all those who are godfearing.

This is the ‘Āyah of the Will’. The only āyahs in the Qur’an that deal with wills are this one and the ones we find at 4:12 and 5:106. This āyah is the most comprehensive of them. It was revealed before the revelation of the statutory shares of inheritance and their laws, as will be explained. There is an ‘and’ elided in the words: ‘And it is prescribed,’ because it follows on from the previous āyah about
retaliation and may well be directed to the one who is about to have retaliation inflicted on him which will clearly cause his death. So he is someone who knows that death is near him and that this is the time when making a will becomes absolutely imperative.

If it is asked why the word ‘kutiba’ (prescribed) is in the masculine when the word for will (waṣiyah) is feminine, the reply is that the act of making a will (iṣā') is what is meant and that is masculine. It is also said that it is because the verb is considerably separated from its subject.

**and if he has some goods to leave,**

Here ‘khayr’ (goods) means wealth. There is no dispute about this but there is disagreement about the amount involved. It is said that it means a lot of wealth, and that is related from ‘Alī, ‘Ā'ishah and Ibn ‘Abbās. They said that seven hundred dinars is a small amount. Qatādah and al-Ḥasan said that it means a thousand dinars or more. Ash-Sha‘bī said that the amount intended is from five hundred to a thousand dinars. The word ‘waṣiyyah’ (will) can designate anything which someone instructs to be done, whether during his lifetime or after his death. Custom, however, has designated it to be a declaration of what is to be done after death. The plural is waṣāyā. Waṣiy can be the testator or the executor from the verb waṣā, a verb also used to describe dense vegetation and such a land is called ‘waṣiyah’.

Scholars disagree about whether it is obligatory for those who leave property to make a will, although they agree that it is mandatory for those who hold deposits and have debts. Most scholars believe that a will is not mandatory for those who have neither of these. That is the position of Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī and ath-Thawrī, whether a person is rich or poor. Another group including az-Zuhrī and Abū Mijlaz say, judging by the literal text of the Qur’an, that making a will is mandatory whether a person has a little or a lot of wealth. Abū Thawr said that making a will is only mandatory for a man who has
a debt or wealth belonging to someone else, and then it is mandatory for him to write a will, saying what he owes. As for someone who has no debts or deposits, it is not mandatory for him to make one unless he wishes to do so.

Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘This is good because Allah has made it obligatory to return trusts to their owners but it is not mandatory for someone who holds goods on trust to make a will.’ The people with the first view use what is related from Ibn ‘Umar as evidence. He said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘It is not right for a Muslim man who owes something, which he should specify in a will, to spend two nights without making a written will.’ One variant says three nights. ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar said about it: ‘Not a night has passed, since I heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say that, without me having my will with me.’ Those who argue the opposite say that, if it was mandatory, it would not have been left to the discretion of the one making the will. It would have been necessary for him in every case. Although its literal meaning would make it obligatory, it is refuted that it is obligatory; that is actually only the case for someone who has money that belongs to other people in his possession, which it is feared might be lost, as Abū Thawr said. The same is true if other people owe him money which he fears might be lost to his heir. There is no disagreement that he is obliged to make a will in such a case.

If it is said that Allah’s use of the words, ‘prescribed for you’ means that it is mandatory, the answer to that is found in the previous āyah. It is only when you want to make a will, and Allah knows best. An-Nakha’ī said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ died without making a will. Abū Bakr made a will. It is good to make a will but there is nothing wrong in not making one.’

to make a will in favour of his parents and relatives,

Allah did not explain in His Book the amount that one should make a will for. He says: ‘if he has some goods (khayr)’ and ‘khayr’ means
‘wealth’ as in other āyahs such as 2:272 and 100:8. Scholars disagree about the amount constituted by that. It is related that Abū Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīq left a fifth in bequests. ‘Alī said, ‘There is a fifth from the booty of the Muslims.’ Ma’mar said that Qatādah said that ‘Umar left a quarter in bequests. Al-Bukhārī mentioned that from Ibn ‘Abbās. It is related that ‘Alī said, ‘I prefer to make a bequest of a fifth to that of a quarter and I prefer making a bequest of a quarter to that of a third.’

If someone has little wealth and many heirs, one group prefer that he should not make any bequests. That was related from ‘Alī, Ibn ‘Abbās and ‘Ā’ishah. Ibn Abī Shaybah related that Ibn Abī Mulaykah said to ‘Ā’ishah, ‘I want to make a will.’ She asked, ‘How much do you have?’ ‘Three thousand,’ he replied. She asked, ‘How many dependants do you have?’ ‘Four,’ he answered. She said, ‘Allah says “khayr” and this is something insignificant. It is better for you to leave it to your dependants.’

The majority of scholars believe that it is not permitted for anyone to will away more than a third of their property, except for Abū Ḥanīfah and his followers who said: ‘If a person does not have any statutory heirs, he is permitted to will away all his wealth.’ They said that on the basis that limiting the legacy to a third is in order to leave the statutory heirs with sufficient property since the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘It is better for you to leave your heirs well provided for than to leave them needy, begging from other people.’ The imams related it. They say that if he is not someone with an heir, he is not one of those meant by the hadīth. This view is related from Ibn ‘Abbās. It is also the view of Abū ‘Ubaydah and Masrūq. Ishāq believed that and it is one of the two views of Mālik. It is also related from ‘Alī. The reason for the disagreement regarding what we mention is the disagreement about whether the Treasury is an heir or a caretaker.

Scholars agree that people who die with statutory heirs should not will away all of their wealth. It is related that when he was dying,
‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ said to his son ‘Abdullāh, ‘I want to make a bequest,’ and his son said to him, ‘Go ahead and make a bequest and what you will can be paid from my property.’ He called for a paper and dictated. ‘Abdullāh said, ‘I said to him, “I think that you have exhausted both my property and your property. I will summon my brothers and ask them to permit it.”’

They agree that anyone can change his will and retract any part of it if he wishes. They disagree about doing that in respect of a slave who has a specific contract by which he is to be freed after his owner’s death (mudabbar). Mālik said, ‘The position agreed upon among us is that when someone makes a will, whether he is healthy or ill, in which he frees a slave or something else, he can change whatever of it he wants to and add or delete whatever he likes until he dies. If he wants to cancel that will, he can do so – with the exception of a tadbīr contract which there is no way to change because the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “It is not right for a Muslim who has anything to will to remain for two nights without having a written will in his possession.”’ Abu-l-Faraj al-Mālikī said, ‘A mudabbar is analogous to someone who will be set free after a month because it is a term which must come.’ They agree that someone cannot make an oath to set free and to set free at a certain term. That is also the case with a mudabbar. That is the position of Abū Ḥanīfah. Ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad and Ištāq said that it is a bequest and it is part of the third like any other bequests. They permit a man to have sex with a female mudabbar which nullifies the making of a mudabbar analogous to someone set free at a certain term. It is confirmed that the Prophet ﷺ sold a mudabbar and that ‘Ā’ishah made her slavegirl a mudabbarah and then sold her. That is the view of a group of the Tābi‘ūn. One group say that a man can change anything he wishes in his will except for emancipation. That is also the position of ash-Sha‘bī, Ibn Sīrīn, Ibn Shubrumah and an-Nakha‘ī. It is also the view of Sufyān ath-Thawrī.
There is disagreement about what happens when a man tells his slave, ‘You are free after I die,’ intending to make that a bequest. Mālik says that he can retract it. If he says, ‘So-and-so is a mudabbar after I die,’ he cannot retract that. If he meant a tadbīr by his first statement, most of the people of Mālik say that he cannot retract it. Ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Ishāq and Abū Thawr said that he can retract any of that since it is part of the third, and all that is in the third is a bequest. However, ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘The tadbīr can only be retracted by the removal of the mudabbar from his possession through sale or gift. If the mudabbar does not leave his possession until he dies, then he is set free at his death.’ In his earlier position, he said that he can retract the tadbīr as he can any other bequest. Al-Muzanī preferred that based on their consensus that a person can retract a bequest of emancipation. Abū Thawr said, ‘When he says, “I have retracted my tadbīr,” then it is invalidated and the slave is not set free when he dies.’ Ibn al-Qāsim and Ashhab disagreed about someone who says, ‘My slave is free after I die’ without intending a bequest or tadbīr. Ibn al-Qāsim said that it is a bequest. Ashhab said that he is a mudabbar even he did not mean it as a bequest.

Scholars disagree about whether this āyah is abrogated or is one which contains an active legal judgment. It is said to be the latter. Its literal expression is general and its meaning is only specific in respect of someone whose parents do not inherit automatically, because they are unbelievers or slaves for instance, and in respect of relatives other than a person’s statutory heirs. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, Tāwūs and al-Ḥasan held that view and at-Ṭabari preferred it. Az-Zuhrī said that a will is mandatory whether someone has a little property or a lot. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘All of those of import among the people of knowledge agree that it is permitted to make a bequest in favour of parents and relatives who do not inherit.’

Ibn ‘Abbās, al-Ḥasan and Qatādah said that the āyah is general and its judgment was confirmed for a period of time and then abrogated.
in respect of all those who automatically inherit by virtue of the āyahs specifying the statutory shares of inheritance. It is said that the āyahs about the shares is not the only thing that abrogates it, but it is also abrogated by the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Allah has given everyone with a due his due and there is no bequest in favour of an heir.’ Abū Umāmah related it. At-Tirmidhī transmitted it and said that it is a sound ḥasan ḥadīth. So the abrogation of the āyah is by the firm Sunnah, not only by the āyahs explaining the shares of inheritance, according to the sound position of scholars. If it were not for this ḥadīth, it would be possible to combine this āyah with the āyahs specifying the statutory shares of inheritance by receiving property from the testator both by statutory inheritance and by bequest. This ḥadīth and the consensus derived from it prevent that happening. Although ash-Shāfi‘ī and Abu-l-Faraj deny that the Book can be abrogated by the Sunnah, it is sound that it is permitted by the proof that all is Allah’s judgment and comes from Him, even if the name for it differs. This idea was already mentioned. Even if this report has reached us as a single report, the fact that the consensus of the Muslims is added to it – that it is not permitted to make a bequest to an heir – it is evident that the obligation to make bequests to relatives who are heirs is abrogated by the Sunnah and it is agreed upon. Allah knows best.

Ibn ‘Abbās and al-Ḥasan say that making a bequest to parents is abrogated by their statutory shares in Sūrat an-Nisā’, but that is confirmed in the case relatives who do not inherit automatically. That is the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī, most Mālikīs and a group of the people of knowledge. In al-Bukhārī Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘It used to be that all the property belonged to the child and the parents only inherited through a will, so Allah abrogated what He wished in respect of that. He gave males the portion of two females and gave each of the parents a sixth. A wife receives an eighth or a quarter, and the husband has half or a quarter.’
Ibn ‘Umar, Ibn ‘Abbās and Ibn Zayd said that the āyah is abrogated while the recommendation to make a bequest remains. That is similar to Mālik’s position and an-Nahţās mentioned it from ash-Sha‘bī and an-Nakha‘ī. Ar-Rabī‘ ibn Khuthaym said that there is no bequest. ‘Urwah ibn Thābit said, ‘I said to ar-Rabī‘ ibn Khuthaym, “Make me a bequest of your copy of the Qur’an.” He looked at his son and recited: “Blood relations are closer to one another in the Book of Allah.” (8:75)’ Ibn ‘Umar did something similar.

‘Aqrabīn (relatives) is the plural of qarīb. Some people say that it is better to make a will in favour of your relatives rather than non-relatives because of this text from Allah regarding them. For this reason aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk says, ‘A person who makes a bequest to other than his relatives ends his actions with disobedience.’ It is related that Ibn ‘Umar made a bequest of four hundred thousand (dirhams) to each of his slave-girls who bore him children. It is related that ‘Ā’ishah willed her household utensils to a freed slave of hers. The like of that is related from Sālim ibn ‘Abdullāh. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘If a bequest is made to non-relatives, it is returned to the relatives. If it is made to someone completely unrelated, he shares with them. It is not permitted to give to someone other than them while omitting them.’

When Abu-l-‘Āliyah died, people said, ‘Astonishing! A woman of Rīyāḥ freed him but then he leaves his property to the Banū Hāshim!’ Ash-Sha‘bī said that he could not do that, even for honour. Tāwūs said, ‘If he makes a bequest to non-relatives, it is returned to relatives and his action is nullified.’ Jābir ibn Zayd said that. This is also related from al-Ḥasan. Ishāq ibn Rāhawayh said that. Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah, al-Awzā‘ī, and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal said that if someone leaves a bequest to non-relatives and leaves his relatives in need, he has committed a wrong action. If he does that, however, his bequest stands and is carried out, whether the person receiving it is rich or poor, relative or not, Muslim or unbeliever. It is
the meaning of what was related from Ibn ‘Umar and ‘Ā’ishah. It is
the view of Ibn ‘Umar and Ibn ‘Abbās.

The first view is better. In the case of Abu-l-‘Āliyah, it is possible
that he thought that the Banū Hāshim were more entitled than the
woman who freed him because of him keeping the company of Ibn
‘Abbās, him teaching him and joining him to the rank of the scholars
in this world and the Next. Although this parenthood is spiritual, it is
actual. The purpose of the woman who freed him was to connect
him to free men in this world and the reward of emancipation is
enough for her. Allah knows best.

The majority of scholars believe that a very sick person is legally
debarred from disposing of his property. The Zāhirīs have an
aberrant view, saying that he is not debarred. Both the ḥadīth and the
idea refute them. Sa‘d said, ‘The Messenger of Allah visited me
during the Farewell Hajj when I was ill and expecting to die and I
said, “Messenger of Allah, my illness has reached what you see. I
have wealth but only have one daughter as an heir. Can I give two-
thirds of my wealth away as ṣadaqah?” “No,” he said. I said, “A
half?” and he said, “No.” He then added, “No, but (give) a third,
and a third is a lot. It is better for you to leave your heirs wealthy
than to leave them in need, begging from people.”’

The Zāhirīs also forbid leaving more than a third, even if the heirs
allow it. All allow it if the heirs agree to it, and it is sound because
the sick person is forbidden to make a bequest of more than a third
in order to protect the rights of the heirs. When the heirs forgo their
right, then that is permitted and it is like a gift from them. Ad-
Dāraqūṭnī related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Messenger of Allah said,
‘It is not permitted to make a bequest to an heir unless the
heirs want it.’ It is related that ‘Amr ibn Khārijah said that the
Messenger of Allah said, ‘There is no bequest to an heir unless
the heirs allow it.’

They disagree about those who allow a bequest to an heir while the
testator was alive rescinding it after his death. One group say that it was permitted by them so they cannot rescind it. This is the view of ‘Aṭā’ ibn Abī Rabāḥ, Ṭāwūs, Ibn Sīrīn, Ibn Abī Laylā, az-Zuhrī, Rabī‘ah and al-Awzā‘ī. Another group says that they can rescind it if they wish. That is the view of Ibn Mas‘ūd, Shurayḥ, al-Ḥakam, Ṭāwūs, ath-Thawrī, al-Ḥasan ibn Ṣāliḥ, Abū Ḥanīfah, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad and Abū Thawr. Ibn al-Mundhir preferred it. Mālik made a distinction and said, ‘When they allow it when he is healthy, then they can rescind it. When they allow it when he is in his final illness and is, therefore, debared from acting on his property, that decision is binding on them.’ It is the view of Isḥāq. The people who hold the first view argue that the prohibition comes from the heirs, so when they allow it, it is allowed. They agree that when he makes a bequest of more than a third to a non-relative, it is allowed when they allow it. That is the case. The people with the second view argue that they allowed something over which they had no ownership at that moment. The property only came into their possession after his death. The heir whose permission was asked may die before him and then he is no longer an heir; someone else inherits. If someone who has no entitlement gives permission, nothing is obliged. Mālik argued that when a man is healthy, he is more entitled to all his wealth and can do whatever he wishes with it. When they give him permission when he is healthy, they have abandoned something not obliged for them. When they give their permission when he is ill, then they have abandoned that to which they are entitled. Therefore they cannot retract that when he carries it out.

If the sick person does not carry that out, then the heir can rescind it because it has not been achieved. Al-Abharī said that. Ibn al-Mundhir mentioned from Isḥāq ibn Rāhawayh that the position of Mālik in this question is closer than others to the Sunnah. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘Mālik, ath-Thawrī, the Kufans, ash-Shāfi‘ī and Abū Thawr agree that if they allow that after his death, it is binding.’
They disagree about a man who makes a bequest of property to one of his heirs and says in his will: ‘If the heirs allow it, it is his. If they do not allow it, it is for the Cause of Allah,’ and then they do not allow it. Mālik said, ‘If the heirs do not allow it, it reverts to them.’ The view of ash-Shāfi’ī, Abū Ḥanīfah and Ma’mar, the companion of ‘Abd ar-Razzāq, say that it is for the Cause of Allah.

There is no disagreement about a will made by a sane adult whose dealings are not legally competent. They disagree about others. Mālik said, ‘The generally agreed way of doing things among us is that a simpleton, an idiot, or a lunatic who has moments of recovery can make their wills as long as they are made while they are sound of mind and know what they are doing. The same is true for a child when he understands what he is bequeathing and does not say anything untoward: his will is permitted and carried out.’ Abū Ḥanīfah and his people said that a bequest made by a child is not permitted. Al-Muzanī said, ‘It is analogous to the view of ash-Shāfi’ī, but I do not find any actual mention or text from ash-Shāfi’ī about that.’ His people have two different views. One is like that of Mālik and the other is like that of Abū Ḥanīfah. Their argument is that neither the divorce nor emancipation of such a minor is allowed. Retaliation is not taken from him for an injury and he receives no ḥadd punishment for slander. So he is not like a legally incompetent adult. The same is true of his will.

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘These scholars agree that the will of an adult legally debarred from financial dealings is permitted. It is known that intelligent children can make wills, and therefore his situation is the same as someone who is legally debarred from dealing with his wealth. The reason that he is legally incompetent is that he might squander and destroy it. That reason is removed from him when he dies. In his incompetency concerning his property he resembles the madman who has no wits. It is mandatory to allow his bequest and this is further supported by what has come regarding it from ‘Umar
Mālik said, ‘It is the generally agreed way of doing things among us in Madīnah. Success is by Allah.’ Muḥammad ibn Shurayḥ said, ‘When a child or adult makes a bequest, it is a right which Allah has decreed on his tongue. There is no averting the truth.’

correctly and fairly:

This means with justice, no more, no less. It is entrusted to the discretion and opinion of the one making the will, and then Allah decided that on the tongue of His Prophet ﷺ who said, ‘A third, and a third is a lot,’ as we have already mentioned. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Allah has given you a third of your wealth at your death so that you can increase your good deeds and make it purification for yourself.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted that from Abū Umāmah from Muʿādh ibn Jabal from the Prophet ﷺ. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘Only a third is permitted in bequests.’ Al-Bukhārī believed that and cited as evidence His words: ‘Judge between them by what Allah has sent down’ (5:49) and the judgment of the Prophet ﷺ that a third is a lot. That is the ruling that Allah has sent down. Anyone who exceeds the limit of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and makes it more than a third has done something the Prophet ﷺ forbade. If he knows the judgment of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and does that, then he becomes a rebel. Ash-Shāfiʿī said that his statement means that a third is not a small amount.

a duty for all those who are godfearing.

In other words it is a confirmed and established practice but there is no obligation to do it, since Allah says it is for the godfearing. This indicates that making a will is recommended because if it were obligatory, Allah would have said that it was a duty for all Muslims, not just the godfearing.

Scholars have said that the exhortation to hasten to make a will is not taken from this āyah but from the ḥadīth of Ibn ʿUmar. It is increased security for it to be written and witnessed. That is the will that is agreed upon and used. If reputable witnesses testify orally to
it, it should be acted upon, even if not a single line is written down. If a person writes it in his hand without witnesses, the position of Mālik does not vary about not acting on it, unless it contains affirmation of a right which is not suspect and therefore must be carried out.

Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that Anas ibn Mālik said, ‘They used to write at the beginning of their wills, “This is the will of so-and-so son of so-and-so who testifies that there is no god but Allah alone with no partner and that Muḥammad is His slave and Messenger. The Hour is coming. There is no doubt about it and Allah will raise up those in the graves.”’ A man would command those who survived him to fear Allah as He should be feared, act correctly towards one another and to obey Allah and His Messenger, and would advise them as Ibrāhīm and Ya‘qūb had advised their sons: ‘My sons! Allah has chosen this dīn for you, so do not die except as Muslims.’ (2:132)

181 Then if anyone alters it after hearing it, the crime is on the part of those who alter it. Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

This refers to altering the wording of a will. ‘Hearing it’ can mean hearing the testator himself or alternatively hearing what is confirmed from him by two witnesses. ‘The crime’ refers to the alteration, meaning the crime of alteration.

This āyah indicates that when a person mentions a debt in his will, it should be paid immediately, since it is his first responsibility, and the executor must produce what is demanded and receives a wage for carrying it out. It is a sin for him to delay paying it.

There is no disagreement that when a person makes a bequest of something which is not permitted, such as wine, pigs or any act of disobedience, it is permitted to change the will and it is not
permitted to carry it out, in the same way that it is not permitted to carry out bequests of more than a third.

‘Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.’ By naming these two attributes, it is clear that no bias on the part of the testators or alteration on the part of the witnesses can be hidden from Him.

182 But if someone fears bias or wrongdoing on the part of the person making the will, and puts things right between the people involved, in that case he has not committed any crime. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

The word ‘khāfa’ (fears) can also mean ‘knows of’ in this instance. The root is khawef. ‘The person making the will’ is recited by Abū Bakr from ‘Āṣim, Ḥamzah and al-Kisā‘ī as ‘muwaṣṣi’ while the rest recite it as ‘mūṣi’. The second reading is clearer because grammarians use the first (Form II) for a more extensive meaning. ‘Janaf’ (bias) means ‘inclining towards something’. It is related that ‘Alī recited ‘ḥayf’ rather than khawef, meaning ‘injustice’. Mujāhid said that this āyah means ‘someone who fears that the testator will be biased and cut off the inheritance of some people and deliberately cause harm, or even bring that about without doing it deliberately’. This kind of unconscious bias is not necessarily a wrong action, although it is definitely a wrong action if it is deliberate. The āyah means that someone who warns a testator about that and averts him from doing it, thereby putting things right between him and his heirs and between the heirs themselves, does nothing wrong. Allah is ‘Ever-Forgiving’ to the testator when he acts on the warning and retracts the injury he would have inflicted.

Ibn ‘Abbās, Qatādah, ar-Rabī‘ and others say that the meaning of the āyah is that anyone who knows the testator was biased and
intended injury to some of his heirs should act after his death and put right the disturbance and schism which then arises between the heirs. He does nothing wrong by doing this when the change is in the best interests of the people concerned. The alteration that is sinful is that which arises from inclination to one heir.

The āyah is addressed to all Muslims. They are told: if you fear that a testator will be biased in his bequest, turn from what is the right, fall into wrong action and not do what is correct by inclining to his daughter’s husband or his daughter’s child, for instance, so that the money will go to his daughter, or to the son of his son when he wants it to go to his son, or he wills it to someone distant and neglects his nearer relatives, then they should hasten to put things right between them. Putting things right will remove the wrong action from the one who does it. It is a fard kifāyah so if no one does it, everyone is guilty of wrong action.

The āyah provides evidence for judging based on opinion, because if someone thinks that corruption is intended, he is obliged to strive to put things right. If the corruption is verified, it is no longer considered under the category of putting things right because it becomes a different kind of judgment: one concerned with averting and putting an end to corruption.

There is no disagreement that giving ṣadaqah is better when one is alive and healthy than when one is dead since, when the Prophet ﷺ was asked, ‘Which ṣadaqah is better?’ he said, ‘That you give ṣadaqah when you are desirous of money and healthy.’ The people of the Ṣahīḥ transmitted it. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘It is better for a man to give one dirham while he is alive than a hundred when he is dead.’ An-Nasā‘ī related from Abu-d-Dardā’ that he ﷺ said, ‘The metaphor of someone who spends or gives ṣadaqah after his death is that of someone who gives food after he is full.’

Provided it does not harm anyone, it is permitted for someone to
pay any zakat he neglected to pay during his lifetime by means of his will. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Mu‘āwiyyah ibn Qurrah from his father that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘When someone who is dying makes a will, his will is based on the Book of Allah. It expiates any of his zakat he failed to pay.’

Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Harming people through a bequest is one of the major wrong actions.’ Abū Dāwud related that Abū Hurayrah reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘A man or woman can act in obedience to Allah for sixty years and then, when they are about to die, harm someone in their will and end up in the Fire because of it.’ An-Nasā’ī speaks about about praying over someone who was biased in his will: ‘Alī ibn Ḥajar reported from Hushaym from Manṣūr ibn Zadhān from al-Ḥasan that ‘Imrān ibn Ḥusayn said that a man freed six slaves he had when he died and that was the only property he possessed. The Prophet ﷺ heard about that and was angry and stated, ‘I wish that I had not prayed over him.’ Then he brought the man’s slaves and divided them into three parts and then drew lots and freed two and left four as slaves. Muslim transmitted it, but instead of ‘I wish that I had not prayed over him’, he has, ‘He said harsh words about him.’

183 You who believe! fasting is prescribed for you, as it was prescribed for those before you – so that hopefully you will become godfearing – 184 for a specified number of days. But any of you who are ill or on a journey should fast a number of other days. For those who are
able to fast [only with difficulty and do not fast], their fidyah is to feed the poor. And if someone does good of his own accord, it is better for him. But that you should fast is better for you, if you only knew.

You who believe! fasting is prescribed for you,

Allah mentions two injunctions for Muslims – retaliation and making a will – and then mentions a third, saying that fasting is also prescribed for them and obligatory and mandatory for all. There is no disagreement about this. The Prophetﷺ said, ‘Islam is based on five: the testimony that there is no god but Allah and Muḥammad is the Messenger of Allah, establishing the prayer, paying zakat, fasting Ramadan and ḥajj.’ Ibn ‘Umar related it. Linguistically ‘ṣiyām’ (fasting) means refraining from something and not moving from one state to another. Silence is called fasting because it is refraining from speaking, as we find when Allah says in Sūrat Maryam: ‘I have made a vow of silence (ṣawm) to the All-Merciful.’ (19:26) The verb is also used to refer to the wind being still, to an animal when it goes off its food, and to the day when it reaches its midpoint. Maṣām is when the sun reaches midday. In terms of the Sharī‘ah, fasting means to refrain from all those things which break the fast, having made the intention to fast, between dawn and sunset, and it is completed and perfected by avoiding all forbidden things and not falling into any prohibited actions, as the Prophetﷺ said, ‘If someone does not abandon lying and acting by it, Allah has no need of him abandoning food and drink.’

The benefits of fasting are huge and its reward enormous. There are many good and excellent reports attesting to that. Sufficient evidence for the excellence of fasting lies in the fact that Allah singled it out for ascription to Himself as is confirmed in the ḥadīth in which the Prophetﷺ reports his Lord as saying, ‘Allah Almighty says, “Every action of the son of Ādam is his except for fasting. It is Mine and I reward it.”’ He singled out fasting as being His, even
though all acts of worship are in fact His, for two reasons which make fasting different from other acts of worship. The first is that fasting curtails the enjoyment and appetites of the lower self which other acts of worship do not. The second is that fasting is a secret between the slave and his Lord that only He knows. All other acts of worship are outward and may contain some artifice and showing off, which is not the case with fasting. That is why it is specially for Him.

Other things are said as well.

as it was prescribed for those before you —

Ash-Sha‘bī, Qatādah and others said that the comparison refers to the time of fasting and amount of fasting. Allah had prescribed fasting Ramadan for the people of Mūsā and ‘Īsā but they altered it. The priests added ten days due to a vow made by one of them and eventually, due to further oaths, the Christian fast became fifty days and that was too arduous in the heat, so it was moved to the spring. An-Naḥḥās preferred this view and said that it is closer to what is in the āyah. There is also a ḥadīth which indicates its soundness from Daghfal ibn Ḥanẓalah in which the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The Christians were obliged to fast a month and then one of their men became ill. They said, “If Allah heals him, we will add ten days.” Then another ate meat and had a pain in his mouth. They said, “If Allah heals him, we will add seven days.” Then a king fell ill and they said, “We will complete the seven days as ten and move our fast to the spring.” So it became fifty days.’ Mujāhid said, ‘Allah prescribed the fast of Ramadan for every nation.’ It is said that they took a covenant and fasted a day before the thirty days and a day after it, generation after generation, until their fast reached fifty days. It was difficult for them in the heat and so they moved it to the spring. An-Naqqāsh said, ‘There is a ḥadīth about that from Daghfal ibn Ḥanẓalah, al-Ḥasan al- Başrī and as-Suddī.

Allah knows best, but this is why it is disliked to fast the Day of Doubt and the six days of Shawwāl continuously after the day of the
\`Id. Ash-Sha`b\`
\textbf{\textit{ī}} said, ‘Even if I were to fast all of the six, I would not fast the Day of Doubt. That is because the Christians were obliged to fast the month of Ramadan as we are obliged to fast it. Then they changed it to the spring because it used to coincide with the heat of summer. They did thirty days. Then after them some of them made a covenant imposing on themselves to fast a day before and after the thirty. That continued to be the custom until it reached fifty days. That is the import of His words: \textit{“as it was prescribed for those before you.”}

It is said that the comparison applies to the fact of the obligation to fast, not to the time or amount of fasting. It is said that the comparison is in respect of the description of fasting, as it is denial of food, drink and sexual intercourse. Even if the end of the fast comes and someone is asleep, he does not do any of these things. That is how it was with the Christians and at the beginning of Islam. Then Allah abrogated it by His words: \textit{‘On the night of the fast it is lawful for you to have sexual relations with your wives.’} (2:187) As-Sudd\`
\textbf{\textit{i}, Abu-}
\textbf{\textit{l-`Āliyah and ar-Rabī’ said that.}}

Mu`\textbf{\textit{ādh ibn Jabal and ‘Ata’}} said that the comparison is in respect of fasting, not the manner or the number of days, although the two fasts differ in being more or less in terms of time. Fasting three days of every month and the Day of `Ashūrā’ \textit{‘prescribed for you, as it was prescribed for those before you,’} meaning the Jews. According to Ibn `Abb\textbf{\textit{ās, it was three days of every month and the Day of `Ashūrā’}. This was then abrogated for the Muslims by the prescription of the month of Ramadan. Mu`\textbf{\textit{ādh ibn Jabal said that it was abrogated by ‘a specified number of days’ and then by the days of Ramadan.}}

\textbf{\textit{so that hopefully you will become godfearing —}}

You are weak. When you only eat a little, your appetites are weakened. When the appetites are weakened, acts of disobedience are lessened. This is a good metaphorical interpretation. It is also said it means so that you will be wary of acts of disobedience. It is
said that it is general because fasting, as the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘is a covering and hope’ and a means to becoming godfearing because it subdues appetites.

**for a specified number of days**

This refers to the month of Ramadan. This differs from what is related from Mu‘ādh, and Allah knows best.

**But if any of you who are ill or on a journey should fast a number of other days.**

There are two possibilities for someone who is ill. One is that he cannot fast at all and it is obligatory for him to break it. The second is that he is able to fast but only with difficulty. This person is recommended to break his fast and only an ignorant person fasts in that condition. Ibn Sīrīn said, ‘When a person is in a state which can be called illness, he can break the fast, analogous with a traveller who does so by reason of travelling, even if he does not have to.’ Ṭarīf ibn Tammām said, ‘I visited Muḥammad ibn Sīrīn in Ramadan and he was eating. When he finished he said, “I have something wrong with this finger.”’

Most scholars, however, say that a person may only break the fast when he has an illness which pains or harms him or when he fears that the illness will last longer or increase if he fasts. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is the position of the people of Mālik who possess extensive knowledge.’ Ibn Khuwayzīmandād said that there were different transmissions from Mālik about the kind of illness that permits breaking the fast. One view was that the illness had to be one in which there was fear of someone dying if they fasted. Another was that it was a serious illness and there was fear of it getting worse and causing hardship, and this is the sound position of his school. That is based on the literal wording because the ‘illness’ is unspecified. Therefore it is allowed in the case of any illness except that for which there is specific evidence: headache, fever and minor illness which is unaffected by fasting. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘If someone is
unable to pray standing due to illness, then he should break the fast.’ An-Nakha’ī said that. One group said that you should only break the fast when the illness actually forces you to. When the difficulty accompanying it can be endured, then the fast should not be broken. This is the view of ash-Shāfi’ī.

However, the fairest thing concerning this topic, Allah willing, is what Ibn Sīrīn said, and al-Bukhārī reports a similar position from Ishāq ibn Rāhawayh. He said, ‘I fell ill in Nishapur with a minor illness in the month of Ramadan. Ishāq ibn Rāhawayh visited me with a group of his companions. He asked me, “Have you broken the fast, Abū ‘Abdullāh?” “Yes,” I answered. He said, “I feared that you would be too weak to take advantage of the allowance.” I said, “‘Abdullāh ibn al-Mubārak related to me that Ibn Jurayj asked ‘Aṭā’, ‘For what illness may I break the fast?’ He replied, ‘For any illness, since Allah Almighty says: “But if any of you who are ill.”’”’ Al-Bukhārī said that Ishāq did not have that ḥadīth. Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘If a man who is fasting fears that if he does not break the fast his pain or fever will increase, then he should break the fast.’

or on a journey

Scholars disagree about the length of journey on which it is permitted to break the fast and shorten the prayer although there is a consensus about the journey being one which is in obedience to Allah, such as ḥajj or jihād, or a journey to visit relatives or seeking necessary livelihood. As for journeys for trade and other permitted things, there is disagreement about whether it is forbidden or permitted on these. That it is permitted is more likely. As for a journey for the sake of disobedience, there is also disagreement about whether it is permitted or prohibited to break the fast during it, and prohibited is more likely. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that.

According to Mālik, the distance which must be travelled to break the fast is the same as that for which the prayer can be shortened. Scholars disagree about exactly what that is. Mālik said, ‘A day and
a night,’ and then he retracted that and said, ‘Forty-eight miles.’ Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘That appears to be the position of his school.’ He is once reported as saying forty-two miles, or thirty-six miles, or a day and night, or two days, which is the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī. And there is a difference between land and sea journeys. It is said that a sea journey must be a day and a night whereas on land the stipulation is forty-eight miles. It is said in the School that it is thirty miles, and three miles is said outside the School. Ibn ‘Amr, Ibn ‘Abbās and ath-Thawrī said that you should break the fast on a journey of three days, as Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah also related.

Scholars agree that someone travelling in Ramadan is not permitted to break the fast at home before setting out because a traveller does not become a traveller by intention and remains a resident who is a resident until he becomes a traveller by action and actually setting out. A resident does not need any action because by the intention to remain he immediately becomes a resident. Residency does not need any other action. Therefore the two are different. They say that there is no disagreement about the fact that someone who intends to travel is not permitted to break the fast before he actually leaves. If he does break it, Ibn Ḥabīb says that if he has made preparations to travel and has begun the process, he owes nothing provided that the journey actually takes place. That is also related from Aṣbagh and Ibn al-Mājishūn. If he is then prevented from travelling, he owes kaffārah. Īsā related from Ibn al-Qāsim, however, that he only has to make up that day because he was following a legal interpretation in breaking the fast. Ashhab says he owes nothing whether he travels or not. Saḥnūn says that he owes kaffārah whether he travels or not and that he is like a woman expecting her period who breaks the fast for that reason. Then he reverted to the position of ‘Abd l-Malik and Aṣbagh, saying, ‘He is not like a woman because a man can start a journey when he wishes and a woman cannot make her period start.’
The position of Ibn al-Qāsim and Ashhab about not owing kaffārah in this case is good because it is an action which people are permitted to do and do not incur liability for. Nothing is established about it except by certainty and there is no certainty when there is a disagreement about what is demanded by the words ‘...on a journey’. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘This is the soundest of their views on this question because it does not intentionally detract from the inviolability of fasting. It is based on a legal interpretation. If someone eats while only intending to travel (and then does not travel), then he must owe kaffārah because that which is cancelled after setting out is not cancelled before setting out. If you reflect on that, you will find it to be the case. Allah knows best.’

Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Abū Bakr an-Naysābūrī from Ismā‘īl ibn Ishāq ibn Sahl in Egypt from Ibn Abī Maryam from Muḥammad ibn Ja‘far from Zayd ibn Aslam from Muḥammad ibn al-Munkadir that Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b said, ‘I went to Anas ibn Mālik in Ramadan when he was intending to travel. His camel was loaded and he had his travel clothes on. It was close to sunset. He called for food, ate, and then mounted. I asked him, ‘Sunnah?’ ‘Yes,’ he replied.’ It is also related that Anas said, ‘Abū Mūsā said to me, “Have I not informed you that when you leave, you leave fasting, and when you arrive, you arrive fasting? When you leave, leave not fasting and when you arrive, arrive not fasting.”’ Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī said, ‘If you wish, you can break it in your house before leaving.’ Al-Ḥasan said that someone may break the fast when he goes beyond the houses (of the settlement where he is resident). Ishāq said, ‘No, it is when he puts his foot in the stirrup.’

Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘The view of Aḥmad is sound, because when someone starts the day healthy and then becomes ill, he is told not to fast for the rest of the day. Similarly, when he starts the day at home and then sets out on a journey, he can break the fast.’ One group said that he should not break the fast that day, even when he starts a
journey. That is what was stated by az-Zuhri, Makhlul, Yahya al-Ansari, Malik, al-Awzai, ash-Shafii, Abu Thawr and the People of Opinion.

They disagree about what happens if he does break it. Most of them say that he makes it up, but does not do kaffarah. Malik said that it was because the journey is an incidental reason and it is similar to when he becomes ill. It is related from some of Malik’s people that he makes it up and does kaffarah. That is the view of Ibn Kinanah and al-Makhzumi. Al-Baji related that position from ash-Shafii. Ibn al-Arabi preferred that and stated it. He said that that is because the journey is something that occurred in his case after the act of worship became binding. Illness and menstruation are different because illness permits breaking the fast and menstruation makes it unlawful to fast. A journey does not authorise that and therefore kaffarah is incurred by a person who breaks the fast under those circumstances for violating the inviolability of fasting. Abu ‘Umar said, ‘This is not the case because Allah has permitted breaking the fast in the Book and the Sunnah. When they say that he should not break it, that is a recommendation for what he has contracted. If he takes up the dispensation that Allah has given, then he must simply make it up. There is no reason for kaffarah. Someone who makes it mandatory has made mandatory something which neither Allah nor His Messenger made mandatory.’ Regarding this question, it is related that Ibn ‘Umar said that he can break it on that day when he sets out on a journey. It is the view of ash-Sha’bi, Ahmad and Ishaq.

Al-Bukhari has a chapter entitled ‘Someone breaking his fast on a journey in such a way that people will see him’. He has a hadith from Ibn ‘Abbas: ‘The Messenger of Allah went out from Madinah to Makkah and fasted until he reached Usfan. Then he called for water and raised it in his hand so that the people would see him and ceased fasting until he reached Makkah. That was during
Ramadan.’ Muslim also transmitted that Ibn ‘Abbās said in it: ‘He called for a vessel which contained something to drink and drank from it in the day so that people would see him. Then he ceased fasting until reached Makkah.’ This is a clear text on the topic and so there is no opposition to it. Success is by Allah.

It also contains evidence for those who say that one does not fast on a journey. That is related from ‘Umar, Ibn ‘Abbās, Abū Hurayrah and Ibn ‘Umar. Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘If someone fasts on a journey, he should still make it up when he becomes resident.’ ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn ‘Awf said, ‘Someone who fasts on a journey is like someone who does not fast when resident.’ Some of the Ẓāhirīs take that position and cite as evidence ‘a number of other days.’ There is also what is related that Ka‘b ibn ‘Āṣim said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, “Fasting on a journey is not part of piety.”’

There is also evidence in it for those who say that if someone on a journey intends at night to fast the next day, he can break the fast, even without an excuse. That is the view of Muṭarrif and one of the views of ash-Shāfi‘ī. It is held by a group of the people of ḥadīth. Mālik said that he must make it up and do kaffārah because he has a choice between fasting and not fasting. When he chooses to fast and makes an intention to do so during the night, it is binding on him and he may not break the fast. If he breaks the fast deliberately without an excuse he must make it up and do kaffārah. It is also related from him that he does not have to do kaffārah. That is the view of most of his people except for ‘Abd al-Malik. He said, ‘If he breaks his fast through sexual intercourse, then he must do kaffārah because doing that does not relate to having sufficient strength for the journey and so he has no excuse. A traveller is permitted to break his fast in order to be strong enough for the journey by doing that. The rest of the fuqahā’ in Iraq and the Hijaz, including ath-Thawrī, al-Awzā‘ī, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah and the rest of the fuqahā’ of Kufa, say that he owes no kaffārah. Abū ‘Umar also said
Scholars disagree about which is better: breaking the fast or fasting on a journey. Mālik and ash-Shāfi‘ī said that fasting is better for the one who is strong enough to do it. The majority opinion in the school of Mālik is that there is a choice, which is also the position in the school of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and those who follow him also say that he has a choice, without giving details. That is also the view of Ibn ‘Ulayyah based on the hadīth of Anas who said, ‘We travelled with the Prophet ﷺ during Ramadan and those who were fasting did not criticize the non-fasters and the non-fasters did not criticize those who were fasting.’ Mālik, al-Bukhārī and others transmitted it. It is related that ‘Uthmān ibn Abī l-‘Āṣ ath-Thaqafī and Anas ibn Mālik, the Companions of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, said, ‘Fasting is better for those who are strong enough to do it.’ That is the position of Abū Ḥanīfah and his people. Ibn ‘Umar and Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Taking advantage of the dispensation is better,’ as did Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, ash-Sha‘bī, ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz, Mujāhid, Qatādah, al-Awzā‘ī, Aḥmad and Ishāq. They say that not fasting is better because of the words of the Almighty: ‘Allah desires ease for you. He does not desire difficulty for you.’ (2:185)

should fast a number of other days.

There is an elision here, i.e. ‘whoever among you is ill or on a journey should break the fast and then make up…’ The majority of scholars say that when the people of the land fast twenty-nine days and a man is ill, when he recovers, he makes up twenty-nine days. He makes up the days he missed. Some people, including al-Ḥasan ibn Ṣāliḥ ibn Ḥayy, said, ‘He makes up the month without reference to the number of days.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘This is unlikely because Allah says, “a number of other days” and did not say, “a month of other days.”’ ‘Number’ (‘iddah) demands fulfilling the number of days he did not fast. There is no doubt that if he misses some of Ramadan, he must make up the same number of days he missed. It is the
number of days that one considers rather than ‘the month’.

People disagree about whether it is obligatory for the days to be continuous or not when someone is making them up. Ad-Dāraquṭnī mentioned both in his Sunan and related that ‘Ā’ishah said that originally the āyah said ‘fast a continuous number’ and then ‘continuous’ was dropped. This report has a sound isnād. Abū Hurayrah reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Anyone who owes a fast for Ramadan, should do it continuously and not break it.’ Its isnād contains ‘Abd ar-Rahmān ibn Ibrāhīm who is weak. Ibn ‘Abbās, however, says about making up Ramadan, ‘Fast it however you wish.’ Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘Fast them as you broke them.’ It is traced to Abū ‘Ubaydah ibn al-Jarrāḥ, Ib n ‘Abbās, Abū Hurayrah, Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal and ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ. Muḥammad ibn al-Munkadir said, ‘I heard that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was asked about breaking up the fast of Ramadan and said, “That is up to you. If someone had a debt and repayment is done in amounts of one or two dirhams, does he not repay it? Allah is more entitled to pardon and forgive.”’ Its isnād is good although it is mursal and not confirmed as connected. We find in the Muwaṭṭā’ from Mālik from Nāfi‘ that Ibn ‘Umar used to say, ‘Someone who breaks the fast in Ramadan because he is ill or travelling should make up the days he has missed consecutively.’ Al-Bājī said in al-Muntaqa: ‘It is possible that the report means that it is mandatory, and it is possible that it means that it is recommended. Most fuqahā’ say that it is recommended. It is allowed to fast them separately. That is the view of Mālik and ash-Shāfi‘ī. The evidence for the soundness of this is His words, “a number of other days” without specifying whether they are separate or continuous. If he does them separately, he has fasted a number of other days and so it necessarily satisfies it.’ Ibn al-‘Arabī said that consecutiveness is mandatory during the month since it is specified. It is not specified in making up the days and so it is permitted to break them up.
When Allah says, ‘a number of other days’, it indicates the obligation to make it up without specifying a time for doing it because the phrase applies to times, which are not distinct. We find in the two Ṣaḥīḥ collections that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘Sometimes I owed fasting for Ramadan and was unable to make the days up until Sha‘bān since I was busy with the Messenger of Allah’. This is a text and further explanation of the āyah. That refutes what Dāwud said about it being obligatory to make it up on the second day of Shawwāl. In his view, someone who dies without having fasted it has sinned. Based on that, if he is obliged to free a slave and finds a slave on sale for eight, he cannot go beyond him and buy another because he was obliged to free the first slave he finds and the obligation is not satisfied by another slave. If the slave the person owns dies, then the emancipation is not invalidated, as it would be invalidated in the case of someone who vows to free a particular slave who then dies. In that case, his vow is invalidated. Some scholars of fundamentals say that if a person dies after the second day of Shawwāl, he is not disobedient provided that he has resolve. What is sound is that he does not sin and is not negligent. That is the majority position, although it is, however, recommended for him to hurry to make them up so that he does not die before having completed them, still owing an obligation.

If someone must make up days of Ramadan and enough days pass after Ramadan in which he could have made them up and he delays doing so until something prevents him from making them until the following Ramadan, he does not have to feed anyone because he was not negligent since he was permitted to delay. This is the position of the Baghdādī Mālikīs and they relate it as the position of Ibn al-Qāsim in the Mudawwana.

If someone delays making up the fast until Sha‘bān has ended, which is the usual time for making it up, does he owe fidyah? Mālik, Aḥmad, ash-Shāfi‘ī and Isḥāq said that he does but Abū Ḥanīfah, al-
Ḥasan, an-Nakha‘ī and Dāwud said he does not. This is what al-Bukhārī believed based on the words he mentioned *mursal* from Abū Hurayrah and Ibn ‘Abbās that he should feed people, even though Allah does not mention feeding but fasting a number of days. There is a *ḥadīth* from Abū Hurayrah about the person who neglects to make up the days he owes of Ramadan until the next Ramadan. He said that he fasts it with the people and then fasts what he missed and feeds a poor person for every day. Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it and said that it has a sound *isnād*. It is related from him that the Prophet Muhammad said about a man who breaks the fast in Ramadan due to illness and recovers and does not make it up until the next Ramadan: ‘He fasts the month he is in and then fasts the month in which he broke the fast and feeds a poor man for every day.’ Ibn Nāfi‘ and Ibn Wajih are in the *isnād* and they are weak.

If someone’s illness continues and he does not recover until the next Ramadan, ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Ibn ‘Umar that he should feed a poor person a *mudd* of wheat for every day and does not have to make it up. It is related that Abū Hurayrah said, ‘If he does not recover between the two Ramadans, then he fasts for the current one and feeds for the other and does not have to make it up. If he recovers but does not fast until the next Ramadan, he fasts the current one and feeds for the past one. When he breaks the fast, he makes it up.’ It has a sound *isnād*.

Our scholars say that the positions of the Companions which use different analogies are also used as evidence. It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās that a man came to him and said that he had been ill through two Ramadans. Ibn ‘Abbās asked him, ‘Was it a continuous illness or did you recover between the two?’ He answered, ‘I recovered.’ He said, ‘Fast the two Ramadans and feed sixty poor people.’ This replaces his statement that if his illness continues, he does not have to make it up. This is similar to their school about pregnant and nursing women feeding and not making up as we will mention.
There is disagreement about the amount someone is obliged to give in feeding. Abū Hurayrah, al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad, Mālik and ash-Shāfi‘ī say that it is a *mudd* for every day. Ath-Thawrī said that it is half a *ṣā‘* for every day.

There is disagreement about what is incurred by someone who breaks the fast or has sex while making up Ramadan. Mālik said, ‘Someone who breaks the fast out of forgetfulness during a day when he is making up Ramadan owes nothing except making up. It is recommended that he continue to fast, because of the disagreement about that, and then make it up. If he breaks it intentionally, then he sins, but he only has to make up that day. He does not continue to fast that day because there is no point in refraining from what a faster refrains from since he is not fasting when he deliberately breaks the fast according to a group of scholars. There is no disagreement among Mālik and his people that no *kaffārah* is obliged for that. That is the view of a group of scholars. Mālik said, ‘Someone who breaks the fast on a day that he is making up Ramadan, by having sex with his wife or something else, owes no *kaffārah.*’ Ibn al-Qāsim related from Mālik that someone who breaks the fast on a day he is making up Ramadan owes two days. Ibn al-Qāsim gave that *fatwā* and then retracted it. Then he said, ‘If he breaks the fast deliberately while making up, he must fast two days in its place, in the same way that someone who spoils his *ḥajj* by having sex with his wife and then makes *ḥajj* in the following year and again spoils it by having sex with his wife: he owes two *ḥajj*’s.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Ibn Wahb and ‘Abd al-Malik disagreed with him about the *ḥajj*. There is no analogy when something is disputed.’ What I believe is correct, and Allah knows best, is that in both cases he only has to make up one day because it is one day that he spoiled twice.

What is demanded by the words is that when someone fasts one day in place of the one in which he broke the fast in Ramadan, he
has performed what is obligatory for him and nothing else is obliged. Allah knows best.

Most believe that if someone breaks the fast in Ramadan because of illness and then dies of that illness, or travels and dies on his journey, he owes nothing. Ṭāwūs and Qatādah said that if a sick person dies before he recovers, feeding is done on his behalf.

They disagree about someone who dies owing some days of Ramadan that he has not made up. Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī and ath-Thawrī said that no one may fast on behalf of someone else. Aḥmad, Ishāq, Abū Thawr, al-Layth, Abū ‘Ubayd and the literalists say that it is possible to fast on behalf of someone else unless the fast is incurred by a specific vow. Something similar is related from ash-Shāfi‘ī. Aḥmad and Ishāq said that feeding can be done on behalf of someone to make up days of Ramadan they missed. Those who say that fasting is possible cite as evidence what Muslim related from ‘Ā‘ishah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If someone dies owing fasting, then his relative should fast on his behalf.’ This is a general statement about fasting which is made specific by Muslim’s report that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘A woman went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and said, “Messenger of Allah, my mother died while owing a vow to fast (one variant has ‘fast for a month’). Can I fast on her behalf?’ He answered, ‘Do you think that if your mother owed a debt you would pay it for her?’ ‘Yes,’ she answered. He said, ‘Fast on behalf of your mother.’ Mālik and those who agree with him, however, cite as evidence the words of the Almighty: ‘No burden-bearer can bear another’s burden’ (6:164), and: ‘Man will have nothing but what he strives for’ (53:39) and: ‘What each self earns is for itself alone.’ (6:154) They also cite what an-Nasā‘ī transmitted from Ibn ‘Abbās stating that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘No one may pray on behalf of another and no one may fast on behalf of another, but on their behalf one can feed people with a mudd of wheat for every day they owe.’

This hadīth is general, but his statement that no one may fast on
behalf of another might mean the fast of Ramadan whereas it is permitted to do so in the case of unfulfilled vows, as is indicated by the hadīth of Ibn ‘Abbās and others. Sahīh Muslim also has a hadīth from Buraydah similar to that of Ibn ‘Abbās. One version has: ‘…to fast for two months. Can I fast on her behalf?’ He replied, ‘Fast on her behalf.’ She said, ‘She did not perform ḥajj. Can I perform ḥajj on her behalf?’ He answered, ‘Do not perform ḥajj on her behalf.’ The fact that she said ‘two months’ means that it is unlikely that it was for Ramadan. Allah knows best. Mālik’s argument is strengthened because it was the practice of the people of Madīnah and is supported by clear analogy. It is a physical act of worship which does not involve any wealth and so she is not doing it for someone who owes something like the prayer. The ḥajj does not contradict this because money plays a part in it.

Those who say that you do not fast on a journey and must always make it up use this āyah as evidence. Allah says: ‘Any of you who are ill or on a journey should fast a number of other days.’ That means that the person concerned owes a number and there is no elision in the words. They also cite the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Fasting on a journey is not part of piety.’ Something that is not part of piety is sinful. That indicates that it is not permitted to fast on a journey. Most say that there is something elided in it, i.e. ‘…should not fast and…’, as we already mentioned. It is sound based on the hadīth of Anas already mentioned: ‘We travelled with the Prophet ﷺ during Ramadan and those who were fasting did not criticise the non-fasters and the non-fasters did not criticise those who were fasting.’

**For those who are able to fast, their fidyah is to feed the poor**

‘Poor’ (masākīn) is a plural. Ibn ‘Abbās recited it in the singular: ‘miskīn’. That is related by al-Bukhārī, Abū Dāwud and an-Nasā’ī from ‘Aṭā’. It is a good reading because it explains the ruling for each day. Abū ‘Ubayd said that. It is the reading of Abū ‘Amr, Ḥamzah and al-Kisā’ī. Abū ‘Ubayd said that it makes it clear that
the ruling is to feed one poor person per day and so the singular can designate the plural while the plural cannot designate the singular. The meaning is that one poor person must be fed for each day. Feeding is *fidyah*.

Scholars disagree about exactly what is meant by this *āyah*. The majority say that it is abrogated. Al-Bukhārī related from Ibn Numayr from [al-A’mash] from ‘Amr ibn Murrah from Ibn Abī Laylā from the Companions of Muḥammad ﷺ that Ramadan was sent down and it was difficult for them. Those who fed a poor person for every day left fasting to those who were able to fast. An indulgence was granted to them regarding that and then it was abrogated by: *‘But that you should fast is better for you’*. On this basis, the majority reading of ‘*those who are able to fast*’ means ‘*those who can do it*’ because that is how the obligation of fasting was: those who wish to do so should fast, and those who wish to do so should feed a poor person. Ibn ‘Abbās said that this was revealed about the dispensation for old people and the infirm who do not fast when they are able to do so, which was abrogated by: *‘Any of you who are resident for the month should fast it.’* So the dispensation is now only for those who are unable to fast.

Al-Farrā’ said that the pronoun in the words can refer to fasting, in which case it means: ‘*those who cannot fast should feed people when they do not fast*’ and this was then abrogated. It is also possible that the words apply, not to fasting, but to paying *fidyah*. If the verb in the *āyah* is recited as ‘*yuṭawwāqūnahu*’, which means those for whom fasting is difficult, such as those who are ill or pregnant, and they can fast but with undue hardship for themselves, they can fast and satisfy the requirement or they can pay *fidyah* instead. Ibn ‘Abbās gives that interpretation. Abū Dāwud related from Ibn ‘Abbās that that judgment is confirmed for pregnant and nursing women. It is also related from him that it is a dispensation for very old men and women who are nevertheless still capable of fasting: they may feed a
poor person for every day they do not fast. If pregnant and nursing women fear for their child, they do not fast and pay *fidyah* instead. Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted from him that there is a dispensation for old people not to fast but to feed a poor person for every day and they do not have to make up the days. It has a sound isnād. It is also related that he said that the *ayah* is not abrogated and refers to old men and women who cannot fast: they feed a poor person for every day. This is sound. It is related that he told his *umm walad* who was pregnant or nursing: ‘You are one of those unable to fast. You must pay *fidyah*, but do not have to make it up.’ It also has a sound isnād.

It is confirmed with sound isnāds from Ibn ‘Abbās that the *ayah* is not abrogated, but is one of judgment in respect of those who are mentioned. The first view is also sound although it is possible that the term ‘abrogation’ can mean the qualification of an earlier judgment and a lot of earlier people used that meaning for the word *naskh*. Allah knows best. Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, ‘Aṭā’ ibn Abī Rabāḥ, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, an-Nakha‘ī, az-Zuhrī, Rabī‘ah, al-Awzā‘ī and the People of Opinion say that pregnant and nursing women should not fast and do not have to feed anyone, as is the case with a sick person who breaks his fast and then makes it up. Abū ‘Ubayd and Abū Thawr said that. Abū ‘Ubayd related that from Abū Thawr and Ibn al-Mundhir preferred it. That is the position of Mālik about pregnant women when they do not fast. When nursing women do not fast, however, they must make it up and feed people. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and Ahmad say that both categories should not fast but should feed people as well as making it up. They all agree that old men and women who cannot fast, or who can fast, but only with undue hardship, do not fast.

They do, however, disagree about what they owe in that case. Rabī‘ah and Mālik said that they owe nothing, although Mālik did say, ‘I prefer for them to feed a poor person for every day.’ Anas, Ibn ‘Abbās, Qays ibn as-Sā‘ib and Abū Hurayrah said that they
definitely owe *fidyah*. That is the position of ash-Shāfi‘î, the People of Opinion, Aḥmad and Isḥāq, following the position of the Companions and this āyah. Those who are not ill or travelling owe *fidyah*. Mālik’s argument is that this category do not fast because of an existing excuse like old age and therefore it is not mandatory for them to feed people, as is the case with those who are travelling or ill. This is also related from ath-Thawrī and Makḥūl. Ibn al-Mundhir preferred it.

There is disagreement about the amount owed by people who have to pay *fidyah*. Mālik said it is a *mudd* of the Prophet for every day and ash-Shāfi‘î also said that. Abū Ḥanīfah said that it is a *sā‘* of dates or half a *sā‘* of grain. It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās that it is a *sā‘* of grain. Ad-Dāraquṭnî mentioned it. It is related that Abū Hurayrah said, ‘If someone becomes elderly and cannot fast, then he owes a *mudd* of wheat for every day. It is related from Anas ibn Mālik that if someone is too weak to fast for a month, he should prepare a bowl of food and invite thirty poor people to it and give them their fill.

**and if someone does good of his own accord, it is better for him.**

Ibn Shihāb said that this refers to anyone who wants to feed as well as fast. Mujāhid said that it is about giving more than a *mudd* when paying *fidyah*. Ibn ‘Abbās said that it is to feed another poor person. Ad-Dāraquṭnī mentioned it and said that its *isnād* is sound and firm. ‘Īsā ibn ‘Amr, Yaḥyā ibn Waththāb, Ḥamzah and al-Kisā‘ī recited ‘yaṭṭawwa’ while the rest have ‘taṭṭawwa‘a’.

**But that you should fast is better for you if you only knew.**

Fasting is better than breaking the fast. This was before the abrogation. It is said that it refers to travelling and any illness which is not serious. Allah knows best. It is encouragement for fasting, i.e. ‘Know that and fast.’
The month of Ramadan is the one in which the Qur’an was sent down as guidance for mankind, with Clear Signs containing guidance and discrimination. Any of you who are resident for the month should fast it. But any of you who are ill or on a journey should fast a number of other days. Allah desires ease for you; He does not desire difficulty for you. You should complete the number of days and proclaim Allah’s greatness for the guidance He has given you so that hopefully you will be thankful.

The month of Ramadan

Historians state that the first person to fast Ramadan was the Prophet Nūḥ when he left the Ark. We have already mentioned that Mujāhid said that Allah made fasting the month of Ramadan obligatory for every nation and it is known that there were nations before Nūḥ, and Allah knows best. The word for month, *shahr*, is derived from *ishhār* which means to make something known in such a way that it is not difficult for anyone to know it. The verb is also used for a sword being unsheathed. The name ‘Ramadan’ is derived from *ramad*, which is burning, because the belly of the faster is burning from thirst. *Ramḍā’* is intense heat. A ḥadīth states: ‘The prayer of the penitent is like when young camels are burned (*ramiḍat).*’ This is when the feet of young camels are burned and they kneel because of the intense heat. It is said the month was named because it was the time of intense heat and so it is derived from *ramḍā’*. Al-Jawharī said that the plural is *ramaḍānāt* and *armiḍā’*. It is...
said that when the names of the month were transferred from the ancient tongue, they were named after the times in which they occurred and this month coincided with the days of intense heat and that is the source of its name. It is said that it is called that because it burns up wrong actions by means of righteous actions. It comes from īrmāḍ, which means ‘burning’, the verb used when one’s feet are burned by the heat. The verb can also be used for a matter hurting (literally ‘burning’) someone. It is said that it is because, in it, the hearts take the heat of admonition and reflection about the Next World as the sand and stones are burned by the heat of the sun. Ramḍā’ are also burned stones. The verb is also used for putting a blade between two stones and beating it to make it thin. Such a blade is called ramīḍ and marmūḍ. The month is called that because they used to prepare their weapons in Ramadan so that they could fight with them in Shawwāl before the arrival of the Sacred Months. Al-Māwardī related that its name in the Jāhiliyyah was Nātiq.

The statement implies: ‘Obligatory for you is the fasting of the month of Ramadan’ or ‘part of what has been prescribed for you is the month of Ramadan.’ It is also said that this phrase is just descriptive. ‘Month’ is mentioned a second time in the āyah for esteem as occurs elsewhere in the Qur’an.

There is disagreement about whether one can say just ‘Ramadan’ rather than ‘the month of Ramadan.’ Mujāhid disliked that and said that it should be said in the manner that Allah said it. We find in a report: ‘Do not say “Ramadan”. Attribute it as Allah attributed it in the Qur’an. He said, “the month of Ramadan”.’ He used to say, ‘I have heard that it is one of the names of Allah.’ That is why he disliked using the plural. He argued from the premise of what he related about it being one of the names of Allah. This is not sound. It comes from the ḥadīth of Abū Ma’shar Nujayḥ who is weak. What is sound is that it is permitted to say ‘Ramadan’ on its own as is confirmed in as-Šīhāḥ and elsewhere.
Muslim related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘When Ramadan comes, the gates of mercy are opened, the gates of the Fire are closed and the shayṭāns are chained.’ We find in the Sahīh of al-Bustī that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘When Ramadan comes, the gates of mercy are opened, the gates of Hell are closed and the shayṭāns are chained.’ It is related from Ibn Shihāb from Anas ibn Abī Anas from his father that he heard Abū Hurayrah say this. Al-Bustī said that this Anas ibn Abī Anas was the father of Mālik ibn Anas and the name of Abū Anas was Mālik ibn Abī ‘Āmir. He was one of the trustworthy people of Madīnah. His full name is Mālik ibn Abī ‘Āmir ibn ‘Amr ibn al-Ḥārith ibn ‘Uthmān ibn Juthayl ibn ‘Amr from the Dhū Aṣbagh from the tribes of Yemen.

An-Nasā’ī related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Ramadan, a blessed month, has come to you. Allah has prescribed that you fast it. In it the gates of heaven are opened, the gates of Hell are closed and the recalcitrant shayṭāns are chained. It contains a night better than a thousand months. Anyone who is deprived of its good is truly deprived.’ Abū Ḥātim al-Bustī also transmitted it. ‘Recalcitrant’ defines the ‘shayṭāns’. An-Nasā’ī related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said to a woman of the Anṣār, ‘Perform ‘umrah in Ramadan. An ‘umrah in it is equivalent to a ḥajj.’ An-Nasā’ī also related from ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn ‘Awf that he related that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Allah Almighty obliged the fast of Ramadan for you and I have made it sunnah for you to pray at night in it. Anyone who fasts and prays at night in it in faith and in expectation of the reward emerges from his wrong actions like the day his mother bore him.’ There are many traditions like that, all of which omit the word ‘month’.

The excellence of Ramadan is immense and its reward is huge. That is indicated by the meaning of its being derived from being something that burns up wrong actions and as well as the hadīths we
have mentioned.

Allah imposed fasting from the start of the month of Ramadan, which is when its new moon appears, and that is why the moon is sometimes called a ‘month’, as in the ḥadīth, ‘When the month is clouded over for you’ meaning the new moon. If it is cloudy, Sha'bān is counted as thirty days, or, if the end of Ramadan is cloudy, it itself is counted as thirty days so that there will be certainty in respect of worship and certainty that it has ended. Allah says in His Book: ‘We have sent down the Reminder to you so that you can make clear to people what has been sent down to them.’ (16:44)

Reliable imams relate that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Fast by seeing the moon and break the fast by seeing it. If it is cloudy, calculate it.’ Muṭarrif ibn ‘Abdullāh, one of the great Tābi‘ūn, and Ibn Qutaybah, the linguist, said, ‘One can rely on reckoning when it is cloudy by observing the stages of the moon, taking the ḥadīth of the Prophet ﷺ, “If it is cloudy, calculate it,” to mean to use its stages as evidence and complete the month accordingly. The majority, however, say that “calculate” means to complete the number which is elucidated by the ḥadīth reported by Abū Hurayrah which says specifically: ‘Complete the number.’ Ad-Dāwudī mentioned that “calculate” means to calculate the stages of the moon. We do not know of anyone who takes this position except for some Shāfi‘īs who say that one can consider the statement of astronomers regarding this matter, but the overwhelming consensus is the argument against them. Ibn Nāfi‘ related from Mālik that, if a ruler does not fast by sighting the moon or break it by sighting it and fasts and breaks it by calculation, you should not follow him or imitate him.’ Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Some of our people have erred and related that ash-Shāfi‘ī said that one can rely on calculation. That is a stumble. May they rise from it!’

Mālik and ash-Shāfi‘ī disagree about whether the new moon of Ramadan is confirmed by a single witness or whether it needs two.
Mālik says, ‘A single testimony is not accepted for it because it is the testimony of a new moon, and not less than two is accepted for it.’ The basis is sighting the new moon of Shawwāl and Dhu-l-Ḥijjah. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and Abū Ḥanīfah say that one witness is accepted based on what is related by Abū Dāwud that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘The people sighted the new moon and so I informed the Messenger of Allah and he fasted and ordered the people to fast.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it and said that only Marwān ibn Muḥammad has it from Ibn Wahb and he is trustworthy. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that a man testified that he had seen the new moon in the presence of ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and he fasted. He said, ‘I think that he said, “and he ordered the people to fast.”’ He said, ‘I prefer to fast a day of Sha‘bān than to not fast a day of Ramadan.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘If most of the people do not see the new moon of the month of Ramadan and one reputable man sees it, I think that he is accepted because of the tradition and out of caution.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī later said, ‘It is not permitted to fast Ramadan except with two witnesses.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī and some of our people say, ‘It is only accepted with two witnesses. That is based on an analogy regarding everything which is not present.’

They disagree about someone who sees the new moon of Ramadan or that of Shawwāl when he is alone. Ash-Shāfi‘ī is reported as saying, ‘If someone sees the new moon of Ramadan on his own, he should fast, and if someone sees the new moon of Shawwāl on his own he should break the fast but conceal it.’ Ibn Wahb reported from Mālik that someone who sees the new moon of Ramadan alone should fast because he should not eat knowing that it is one of the days of Ramadan. If someone sees the new moon of Shawwāl on his own, he should not eat on it because the people would suspect anyone among them who broke the fast and he would not be safe unless they say that they have seen the new moon. That is the position of al-Layth ibn Sa‘d and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal. ‘Aṭā’
and Isḥāq said, ‘He should not fast and break the fast.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘He fasts and breaks the fast.’

They disagree about what happens when someone reports about the sighting of the moon in another land. If it is near, their ruling should be followed; if it is far away, then the people of each land should sight it separately. This is related from ʿIkrimah, al-Qāsim and Sālim. It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās and Isḥāq also said it. Bukhārī indicated it in a chapter entitled: ‘The people of each land sight it.’ Others said that when it is established among people that the people of a land have sighted it earlier, then they must make up any days they did not fast. That is what al-Layth and ash-Shāfiʿī said. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘I only know that to be the position of al-Muzanī and al-Kūfī.’

Aṭ-Ṭabarī mentioned in Aḥkām al-Qur‘ān: ‘The Ḥanafīs agree that when the people of one country fast thirty days by sighting and the people of another land fast only twenty-nine days, those who fasted twenty-nine have to make up one day. The Shāfiʿīs do not think that, since the moon rising in different places can vary. The evidence of the Ḥanafīs is the words of the Almighty: “Complete the number.” It is established by the sighting of the people of a land that the number is thirty and so those people must complete it. Those who differ from them argue by the words of the Prophet ﷺ, “Fast by sighting it and break the fast by sighting it.” That necessitates considering the custom of every people in their land.’ Abū ‘Umar reported the consensus that one does not pay attention to sighting in distant lands, like Andalusia in relation to Khorasan. He said, ‘Each land sights it, except for those areas neighbouring large cities.’

Muslim related from Kurayb that Umm al-Faḍl bint al-Ḥārith sent him on a mission to Muʿāwiyyah in Syria. He said, ‘I came to Syria and did what she asked me to do. Ramadan began while I was in Syria and I saw the new moon on a Friday night. Then I came to Madīnah at the end of the month and ʿAbdullāh ibn ʿAbbās asked
me about when I had seen the new moon. “Friday night,” I replied. “You yourself saw it?” he asked. “Yes,” I said, “and the people saw it and fasted and Mu‘āwiyah fasted.” He said, “But we saw it on Saturday night. We will continue to fast until it is the full thirty days or we see it.” I asked, “Is it not enough that Mu‘āwiyah saw the moon and fasted?” “No,” he said, “that is what the Messenger of Allah commanded us to do.”

Our scholars said, ‘The statement of Ibn ‘Abbās, “That is what the Messenger of Allah commanded us to do”, is a clear statement that goes back to the command of the Prophet, and that is evidence that when the lands are far from each other as Syria is far from the Hijaz, the people of each land must act according to their own sighting. If that is confirmed with the overall leader [i.e. the Caliph], he cannot impel people to follow him in that. But if he does, it is not permitted to oppose him.’

Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘It is possible to interpret his words, “That is what the Messenger of Allah commanded us to do” as referring to the words of the Messenger of Allah: “Fast by sighting it and break the fast by sighting it.”’ Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘There is disagreement about the interpretation of the words of Ibn ‘Abbās. It is said that it is refuted because it is a single report. It is said that it is refuted because breaking the fast varies according to different times of moon rising. That is sound because Kurayb did not testify. He merely reported about a ruling that was confirmed by sighting. There is no disagreement that it is permitted to have a firm ruling based on a single report. An example of that is that when it is confirmed with the people of Aghmat that the new moon is seen on Friday and with the people of Seville that it is seen on Saturday, then each acts according to their sighting since the sky was clear in Aghmat but not in Seville. This indicates a difference in risings.’

The position of school of Mālik regarding this question related by Ibn Wahb and Ibn al-Qāsim in al-Majmū‘ah is that when the people
of Basra saw the new moon of Ramadan and then news of it reached the people of Kufa, Madīnah, and Yemen, they had to fast or make it up. Qāḍī Abū Isḥāq related from Ibn al-Mājishūn that if it was confirmed at Basra as a generally known fact which does not require testimony and witnesses (because of the large number of people who had seen it), then it was obligatory for the people of other lands to make it up. If it was confirmed with the ruler only by the testimony of two witnesses then it was not obliged for other countries. It is only obliged for those under that ruler’s rule. But if it was confirmed with the Amīr al-Mu’minīn, then the whole community of Muslims must make it up. That is the position of Mālik.

Most people recite ‘month’ in the nominative as a *khabar* of an elided *ibtidā’, meaning ‘That is the month’ or ‘Fasting it, the month of Ramadan, is prescribed for you.’ It is said that it is in the accusative as the object of something not named: ‘Prescribed for you is the month of Ramadan.’

**is the one in which the Qur’an was sent down as guidance for mankind**

This provides textual evidence that the Qur’an was revealed during the month of Ramadan. It explains the words of the Almighty: ‘*Hā Mim, by the Book which makes things clear. We sent it down on a blessed night’* (44:1-3), meaning the Night of Power, and: ‘*We sent it down on the Night of Power’* (97:1). This also indicates that the Night of Power is only in Ramadan. There is no disagreement that the Qur’an was sent down from the Preserved Tablet on the Night of Power all at once. It was then lodged in the House of Might in the lowest heaven. Then Jibrīl brought it down, piece by piece, with commands and prohibitions brought about by different situations over the course of the following twenty years. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Qur’an was sent down from the Preserved Tablet all at once to the scribes in the lower heaven. Then Jibrīl brought it down in instalments, meaning as one or two āyahs at
a time, at different times over twenty-one years.’ Muqātil said, ‘It was sent down every year from the Preserved Tablet on the Night of Power to the lowest heaven. Then it was sent down from the Preserved Tablet to the scribes over twenty months and Jibrīl brought it over twenty years.’ This indicates what al-Ḥasan said about the Night of Power being on the night of the twenty-fourth, as will be mentioned.

The word ‘Qur’an’ designates the Words of Allah Almighty and means ‘recited’. This is a common linguistic usage in Arabic as ‘maktūb’ (written) is a book. It is also said that it is a verbal noun.

We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar that in the sea there are imprisoned shayṭāns who were shackled by Sulaymān who are close to emerging and reciting something to people. Sūrat al-Isrā’ uses the word ‘Qur’an’ to mean ‘recitation’ (17:78) The Arabs often use a verbal noun for a passive participle. This common usage is known legally and the Qur’an is a name for the Word of Allah so that it can be said that the Qur’an which is recited is not created. That means what is recited, not the recitation itself. Sometimes the word is also used for the bound book (muṣḥaf) in which the words of the Qur’an are written down. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Do not travel to the land of the enemy with the Qur’an,’ meaning a copy of the Qur’an. It is derived from the root qara’a, to collect something. It is said that it is a name for the Book of Allah without any derivation, like Torah and Gospel. This is related from ash-Shâfi‘ī. What is sound is that all of it is derived.

**as guidance for mankind, with Clear Signs containing guidance and discrimination.**

The Qur’an guides them. It is also clarification, as it makes things clear to them. This refers to the entire Qur’an, its firm judgments and ambiguous expressions, its abrogating and abrogated āyahs, and Allah here lays emphasis on the Clear Signs in it, meaning by that the lawful and unlawful, and its warnings and rulings. Its
‘discrimination’ is that it distinguishes truth from falsehood.

**Any of you who are resident for the month should fast it.**

The verb ‘*shahida*’ means ‘to be resident’. It implies: ‘Any of you who are resident in a city during the month, sane, adult, healthy and resident should fast it.’ This qualifies the command to fast. ‘Month’ here is not an object, but an adverb of time.

Scholars disagree about the interpretation of this. Ṭālib, Ibn ʿAbbās, Suwayd ibn Ghafalah and ʿĀ’ishah, four Companions, as well as Abū Miljaz Lāḥiq ibn Ḥumayd and ‘Abīdah as-Salmānī, said: ‘Whoever is resident at the beginning of the month in his town should complete his fast, whether he travels or remains resident after that. He may break his fast on a journey when Ramadan starts while he is travelling.’ They think that if Ramadan arrives while someone is travelling, he may not fast and then must make it up with a number of other days. If Ramadan arrives while someone is resident, he should fast. The majority of the community say that whoever is present at the beginning and end of the month should fast as long he is resident. If he travels, then he may break the fast. This is sound and is backed up by firm *ḥadīths*. Al-Bukhārī has a chapter entitled: ‘If someone fasts some days of Ramadan and then sets off on a journey.’ ʿAbdullāh ibn Yūsuf related from Mālik from Ibn Shihāb from ʿUbaydullāh ibn ʿAbdullāh ibn ʿUtbah that Ibn ʿAbbās reported that the Messenger of Allah set off for Makkah in Ramadan and continued fasting. When he reached al-Kadīd, he broke his fast and the rest of the people broke their fast with him.

It is possible that what ʿAlī and those who agree with him said means that this is during a recommended journey, such as one for visiting brothers among the righteous, or a permissible one, such as one for seeking provision beyond what is merely sufficient. As for a mandatory journey, such as one for seeking necessary provision or conquering a land when that is certain or to repel enemies, a person can choose, and it is not mandatory for him to fast. Indeed, it is
better to not fast so as to increase strength. If the month arrives when he is in his home town, he fasts some of it there based on the ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Abbās and others. There is no disagreement about this, Allah willing. Allah knows best.

Abū Ḥanīfah and his people say that if Ramadan comes when someone is resident and meets its preconditions and is neither mad nor unconscious, he should fast it. If Ramadan comes when he is in a state of insanity and he continues to be in that condition until the end of the month, then he does not have to make it up because he was not present when the month arrived in a manner which would oblige him to fast. If someone is insane at the beginning and then again at the end of the month, he makes up the days of his insanity.

It is confirmed that the obligation of fasting is made binding by being Muslim, by adulthood, and by having knowledge of the month. When an unbeliever becomes Muslim, or a child becomes an adult, before dawn in Ramadan, they must fast the following day. If it is already dawn, then it is recommended for them not to eat, but they do not have to make up the past days of the month, only the day they became adult or Muslim. Scholars disagree about when an unbeliever becomes Muslim on the last day of Ramadan and whether he is obliged to make up all of that Ramadan or not. Is he obliged to make up the day on which he became Muslim? Imam Mālik and the majority say that he does not have to make up what has passed because he is only present at the month at the time he became Muslim. Mālik said, ‘I prefer him to make up the day on which he became Muslim.’ ‘Aṭā’ and al-Ḥasan said, ‘He should fast what remains and makes up what has passed.’ ‘Abd al-Malik ibn al-Mājishūn said, ‘He should refrain from eating for that day and then make it up.’ Aḥmad and Ishāq said something similar. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘He does not have to make up either the rest of the month or that day.’ Al-Bājī said, ‘Those of our people who say that unbelievers are subject to the laws of Islam, which is what is entailed
by the position of Mālik and most of his people, oblige him to fast for the rest of the day.’ Ibn Nāfi‘ related that in the Mudawannah from Mālik. Shaykh Abu-l-Qāsim said that. Those of our people who say that unbelievers are not subject to the laws of Islam say that he is not obliged to fast for the rest of the day. That is demanded by what is stated by Ashhab and ‘Abd al-Malik ibn al-Mājishūn. Ibn al-Qāsim also said that. It is sound based on Allah’s words: ‘You who believe!’ So the believers are addressed rather than others. This is clear. Therefore the convert is not obliged to fast for the rest of the day or to make up what has passed. This was discussed in 2:184.

**Allah desires ease for you; He does not desire difficulty for you**

One group recite *yusr* (ease) as *yusur* and ‘*usr*’ (difficulty) as ‘*usur*. They are dialectic usages. Mujāhid and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that the word ‘ease’ refers to not fasting on a journey and the word ‘difficulty’ to fasting on it. The meaning, however, can be general to all matters of the *dīn* because the Almighty says: ‘He has not placed any constraint upon you in the *dīn*.’ (22:78) The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The *dīn* is ease,’ and he further said, ‘Make things easy and do not make things difficult.’ ‘*Yusr*’ is easiness. An aspect of this is the fact that wealth is sometimes referred to as *yasār*. The left hand (*yusrā*) is used for good fortune because it makes the things easier by helping the right hand.

The āyah indicates that Allah wills by His pre-eternal timeless Will which is distinct from His Essence. This is the position of people of the Sunnah, in the same way that He knows by knowledge, has capacity through power, lives by life, hears by hearing, sees by sight and speaks by speech. These are all attributes which exist before time and are distinct from the Essence. The philosophers and the Shi‘ah believe these attributes are negated. Allah is greatly exalted above the words of deviants and atheists! That which demolishes the position of the atheists is the fact that it is said: ‘If it had not been true that He possesses will, then He would not have will. If that had been true, then everything that lacks a will is inferior to that which
has a will. Someone who possesses the qualities of will can make something specific or not make it specific. A sound mind would conclude that that is perfection not imperfection. Were it to be imagined that He is divested of that, then His prior state would have been more perfect than his second state and that which is not described would be more imperfect than what is described. This is clearly impossible. How then can it be imagined that something created is more perfect than the Creator and the Creator more imperfect than something created? That instinctively is rejected and nullified.

Allah Almighty describes Himself as possessing will. He says: ‘He is the Doer of what He wills’ (11:107) and: ‘Allah desires ease for you; He does not desire difficulty for you’ (2:185) and: ‘Allah desires to make things lighter for you’ (4:28) and: ‘…when He desires something, He says to it, “Be!” and it is.’ (36:82) A knower may possess ultimate wisdom, perfection, order and exactitude, but nevertheless it is possible for him to exist or not exist. That which is singled out for existence must possess will, power and knowledge. If a being does not possess knowledge and power, then it is not valid for anything to issue from it. If it does not possess knowledge but does possess power, then what issues from it is not arranged with wisdom and precision. If it does not possess will, then it cannot specify any permissible things with states and times rather than other things since they all have the same relationship to it. So they have said that if it is confirmed that Allah possesses power and will, then He must also have life since life is a precondition for these attributes. Someone who is alive must be hearing, seeing and speaking. If it is not confirmed that something possesses these qualities, then it must be described by their opposites, like being blind, deaf and mute as is known in the visible world. The Almighty Creator is too exalted and pure to be described by something that would oblige His Essence to be imperfect.

You should complete the number of days
There are two interpretations of this. One is that someone who breaks the fast on a journey or due to illness must complete the number by fasting the days he missed. The second is that it refers to the number of days in the month, whether it is twenty-nine or thirty. Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh said that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The month is twenty-nine.’ This refutes the interpretation of the one who interprets the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘The month is counted, and Ramadan and Dhu-l-Ḥijjah are not reduced’ to mean that they are not less than thirty days. Abū Dāwud transmitted it. Most scholars interpret that to mean that the reward is not reduced and errors are expiated whether it is twenty-nine or thirty days.

There is no consideration given to a claimed sighting of the new moon of Shawwāl on the 30th of Ramadan during the daytime. That moon belongs to the coming night. This is what is sound. Transmitters from ‘Umar differ about his matter. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that Shaqīq said, “Umar’s letter arrived when we were at Khaniqan. It said: “Some new moons are larger than others. When you see the new moon in the day, do not break the fast until there are two witnesses who said that they saw it the day before.”” Abū ‘Umar mentioned it from the ḥadīth of ‘Abd ar-Razzāq from Ma‘mar from al-A‘mash from Abū Wā’il. Abū ‘Umar said that ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib related the like of what ‘Abd ar-Razzāq mentioned. It is also the view of Ibn Mas‘ūd, Ibn ‘Umar, and Anas ibn Mālik. Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah, Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan, al-Layth and al-Awzā‘ī said that. It is also stated by Aḥmad ibn Ishāq. Sufyān ath-Thawrī and Abū Yūsuf said that if it is seen after midday, it belongs to the coming night, but if it is seen before midday, it belongs to the previous night. Something similar is related from ‘Umar. ‘Abd ar-Razzāq mentioned from ath-Thawrī from Mughīrah from Shibāk that Ibrāhīm said, “Umar wrote to ‘Utbah ibn Farqad: “If you see the new moon in the daytime before midday, the thirty are completed, so break the fast.” If you see it after midday, then do not
break the fast until evening.’” ‘Alī related something similar, though nothing is sound regarding this topic in respect of the isnād from ‘Alī. A similar statement to that of ath-Thawrī is related from Sulaymān ibn Rabī‘ah. ‘Abd al-Malik ibn Ḥābīb said that. He gave a fatwā to that effect in Cordoba.

Different things are reported from ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz about this matter. Abū ‘Umar said that the meaning contained in the ḥadīth from ‘Umar is what is believed by Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī and Abū Ḥanīfah. It is a connected transmission while what is related with the position of ath-Thawrī is broken. It is better to accept what is connected. Those who take the position of ath-Thawrī cite as evidence the ḥadīth of al-A‘mash which is general and does not mention whether it is before or after midday. The ḥadīth of Ibrāhīm has accompanying explanation and so it is better to follow it.

What is related from Umar as connected and mawqūt is related marfū‘ by ‘A‘ishah, the wife of the Prophet ﷺ, who said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ was fasting on the morning of the 30th and saw the new moon of Shawwāl in the daytime. He did not break the fast until evening.’ Ad-Dāraqutnī transmitted from al-Wāqidī that Mu‘ādh ibn Muḥammad al-Anṣārī said, ‘I asked az-Zuhrī about the new moon of Shawwāl when it is seen in the morning. He said that he heard Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab say, “When the new moon of Shawwāl is seen after dawn until ‘Aṣr, or until sunset, it belongs to the coming night.”’ Abū ‘Abdullāh said, ‘This is agreed upon.’

Ad-Dāraqutnī related from Rib‘iyy ibn Ḥirāsh that one of the Companions of the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘People disagreed about the last day of Ramadan. Two Bedouins came and testified in the presence of the Prophet ﷺ that they had seen the new moon the previous evening. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ commanded people to break the fast and go to their prayer place.’ Ad-Dāraqutnī said that this has a sound firm isnād. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘There is no disagreement from Mālik and his people that the Ḥīd prayer may only be performed on
the day of the ‘Īd and, even on the day of the ‘Īd, not after midday. That is related from Abū Ḥanīfah. Ash-Shāfi‘ī’s position on this matter varies. Sometimes he took the view of Mālik. Al-Muzanī preferred that and said, ‘If it is not permitted to pray after midday on the day of the ‘Īd, then the second day is even further away, and so it is less fitting to pray then.’ There is another transmission from ash-Shāfi‘ī which says that it can be prayed before noon on the second day. Al-Buwayṭī said, ‘It may only be prayed if there is a ḥadīth established about it.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘If the ‘Īd prayer were to be made up after its time has passed, then it would resemble the obligatory prayers but they all agree that the rest of the sunnahs are not made up and this prayer is like them.’

Ath-Thawrī, al-Awzā‘ī and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal said that people should go out on the following day, and Abū Yūsuf said that in al-Imlā‘. Al-Ḥasan ibn Sāliḥ Ḥayy said that they do not go out then for the ‘Īd of Fiṭr, but do for the ‘Īd al-Adḥā. Abū Yūsuf said that the prayer for the ‘Īd al-Adḥā can be performed even on the third day. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘That is because all the days of Adḥā are days of ‘Īd and the ‘Īd prayer can be done on any of them. The ‘Īd al-Fiṭr is only one day. If it is not prayed on that day, it is not made up on another day, because it is not one of the obligatory prayers that can be made up.’ Al-Layth ibn Sa‘d said, ‘People go out during the morning on Fiṭr and Adḥā.’

The view about going out is sounder, Allah willing, because of the firm sunnah regarding it. That does not prevent the Lawgiver making any exception he wishes regarding the sunnahs and commanding that the prayer should be made up after its time. At-Tirmidhī related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If someone does not pray the two rak‘ahs of Fajr, he should pray them after sunrise.’ Abū Muḥammad says that it is sound. At-Tirmidhī said, ‘Some of the people of knowledge act on this.’ It is the position of Sufyān ath-Thawrī, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Isḥāq and
Ibn al-Mubārak. It is related that ‘Umar did it.

Our scholars say that if the time is short for someone and he prays Ṣubḥ and omits the two rak‘ahs of Fajr, he can pray them after sunrise if he wishes. It is also said that he does not pray them then. If we say that he does pray them, is he making them up or performing two two rak‘ahs whose reward replaces the reward for the rak‘ahs of Fajr? Shaykh Abū Bakr said, ‘This follows the basic principle of the School. “Making up” is metaphorical.’

It is not unlikely that the ruling of praying the Fiṭr prayer on the second day is based on this source, especially since it is once in the year as is confirmed in the Sunnah. An-Nasā‘ī related from ‘Amr ibn ‘Alī from Yaḥyā from Abū Bishr from Abū ‘Umayr from his uncles that some people saw the new moon and went to the Prophet and he commanded them to break their fast after it was well into the day and they went out to the ʿĪd on the following day. One variant says: ‘they went out to their prayer place the next day.’

Abū Bakr from ‘Āṣim, Abū Bakr in part of what was related from him, al-Ḥasan, Qatādah and al-A‘raj recited ‘li-tukammilū’ in Form II while the rest have ‘li-tukmilū’. Al-Kisā‘ī prefers the second since it agrees with 5:30. An-Naḥḥās said that these are two dialectical forms with the same meaning. This is an excellent view.

**and proclaim Allah’s greatness**

This is encouragement to say the takbīr at the end of Ramadan according to the position of the majority of interpreters. People disagree about its definition. Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that it is reported that Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, ‘Urwah, and Abū Salamah used to say the takbīr on the Night of the ʿĪd and praise Allah. He said that that night resembles the Night of Sacrifice. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘It is a duty for the Muslims, when they see the new moon of Shawwāl, to say the takbīr.’ It is related that he said, ‘One says the takbīr from the sighting of the moon to the end of the khutbah, stopping when the imam comes out, and then saying the takbīr with his takbīr (during the khutbah).’ Some
people say that one says the *takbīr* from the sighting of the moon until the imam comes out for the prayer.’ Sufyān said that it is the *takbīr* on the day of *Fiṭr*. Zayd ibn Aslam said, ‘They say the *takbīr* when they go out to the place of prayer. When the prayer ends, the ʿĪd ends. This is the school of Mālik.’ Mālik said, ‘It is said from the time he leaves his house until the imam comes out.’

Ibn al-Qāsim and ‘Alī ibn Ziyād said, ‘If you go out before sunrise, you do not say the *takbīr* on the way, nor when you sit, until the sun rises. In the morning after sunrise, you say the *takbīr* on the way to the place of prayer and when you sit, until the imam comes out.’ According to Mālik, *Fiṭr* and *Adḥā* are the same in that respect. That is also the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Abū Ḥanīfah, however, said that you say the *takbīr* for *Adḥā* but not for *Fiṭr*.

The evidence for the [first] position is that Allah says: ‘*proclaim Allah’s greatness*’, and also because this is a day of ʿĪd which does not recur in the year. Therefore the sunnah is to say that *takbīr* when going out to it as is the case with *Adḥā*. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that Abū ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān as-Sulamī said, ‘They put more weight on doing the *takbīr* for *Fiṭr* than than for *Adḥā*.’ It is related from Ibn ‘Umar that the Messenger of Allah Ⓐ used to say the *takbīr* on the Day of *Fiṭr* from when he left his house until he reached the prayer place. It is also related from him that on the morning of *Adḥā* and *Fiṭr* he Ⓐ would say the *takbīr* aloud until he arrived and then would say that *takbīr* until the imam appeared.

Most of the people of knowledge among the Companions of the Prophet Ⓐ and others said the *takbīr* on the ‘Īd al-ʿĪd al-Fiṭr as Ibn al-Mundhir mentioned, stating that al-Awzā‘ī related that from Ilyās. When he saw the new moon of Shawwāl, ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘I like people to say the *takbīr* in groups and alone. They should continue to say it, and do so aloud, until they come to the prayer place up until the imam comes out for the prayer. I also like it on the night of *Adḥā* for those not on ḥajj.’ The ruling of the two ‘Īd prayers and the *takbīr*
The form of the takbīr according to Mālik and a group of scholars is to say: ‘Allāhu akbar. Allāhu akbar. Allāhu akbar’ three times. That is related from Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh. Some scholars say the takbīr, shahādah and glorification are all included here in the takbīr. Some people say, ‘Allāhu akbar kabīran wa-l-ḥamdu lillāhi kathīran wa subḥāna-llāhi bukratan wa aşīlā.’ (Allah is very much greater. Praise belongs to Allah and evening and morning.) Ibn al-Mubārak used to say when he left home on the day of Fīṭr: ‘Allāhu akbar. Allāhu akbar. Lā ilāha illa-llāh. Allāhu akbar lillāhi-l-ḥamd. Allāhu akbar ‘alā mā hadānā.’ Ibn al-Mundhir reported that Mālik did not specify any particular form. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal said that there is leeway. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Our scholars prefer the simple takbīr. It is the literal text of the Qur’an, and I incline to that view.’

**for the guidance He has given you**

It is said that this is mentioned because the Christians went astray by altering their fast and it is said that it refers to replacing what was done in the past, because the practice of the Jāhiliyyah involved boasting about ancestors and rivalry based on lineage and titles. It is also said that it means to esteem Allah for the laws He has guided us to, in which case the phrase has a general significance. This was discussed in 2:52.

186 If My slaves ask you about Me, I am near. I answer the call of the caller when he calls on Me. They should therefore respond to Me and believe in Me so that hopefully they will be rightly guided.

If My slaves ask you about Me,

‘If they ask you Who it is that they worship, then tell them that I am near and I reward obedience and answer the one who makes
supplication to Me.’ Allah knows what His slaves do in respect of fasting, prayer and other things. The commentators disagree about the reason this āyah was revealed. Muqātil said that ‘Umar had sexual intercourse with his wife after he had prayed ‘Ishā’ and regretted that and wept. He went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and informed him and returned deeply upset. That was before the permission to do so was revealed. Then this āyah was revealed.

**I am near.**

This is in respect to the response. It is said to mean ‘near by My knowledge’, and it is said to mean ‘near to My friends through My favour and blessing to them.’

**I answer the call of the caller when he calls on Me.**

This means: ‘I accept the worship of the one who worships Me.’ The calling means worship and the answer means acceptance. The evidence for that is what Abū Dāwud related from an-Nu’mān ibn Bashīr that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Supplication is worship. Your Lord says, “Call on Me and I will answer you.”’ So calling (du‘ā’) is the same as worship. Evidence for that can be found in the words of the Almighty: ‘Those who are too proud for My worship will enter Hell abject.’ (40:60) Here it means supplication.

So Allah Almighty commanded us to make du‘ā’ and encouraged it and called it worship and promised that He would answer it. Layth related from Shahr ibn Ḥawshab that ‘Ubādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘My Community have been given three things that only Prophets were given previously. Whenever Allah sent a Prophet, he said, “Call on Me and I will answer you.” He told the whole of this community, “Call on Me and I will answer you.” When Allah sent a Prophet, he said to him, “I have not placed any constraint on you in the dīn.” He told the whole of this community, “I have not placed any constraint on you in the dīn.” When Allah sent a Prophet, He made him a witness against his people, and He made the whole of this community witnesses against
mankind.’

Khālid ar-Rib‘iyy said, ‘I was amazed at this āyah: “Call on Me and I will answer you” (40:60), in which Allah commands us to make supplication and promises the response without any precondition. Someone asked, “What kind of precondition might there be?” The answer was, “Such as we find in His words: ‘Give good news to those who believe and do right actions.’ (2:25) This is a precondition. He says elsewhere: “Give good news to those who believe that they are on a sure footing.” (10:2) There is no precondition here. He says: “Call upon Allah, making your din sincerely His.” (40:14) This is a precondition. He says: “Call on Me and I will answer you” (40:60) There is no precondition here. Nations used to resort to their Prophets for their needs so that the Prophets could ask for that for them.’

If it is asked, ‘Why would a caller call if he did not expect to be answered?’ the reply is that the words of Allah in the two āyahs, ‘answer’ and ‘respond’ do not absolutely necessitate an answer for every supplicator in detail nor every seeker in detail. Allah says in another āyah: ‘Call on your Lord humbly and secretly. He does not love those who overstep the limits.’ (7:55) Everyone who persists in a major wrong action, knowingly or ignorantly, is a transgressor and Allah tells us that He does not love transgressors and so how could He answer such a one? The categories of transgression are numerous and, Allah willing, they will be mentioned in Sūrat al-A‘rāf. Some scholars say: ‘He can answer if He wishes as He says: “If He wills, He will deliver you from whatever it was that made you call on Him.”’ (6:41)’ This is an aspect of the knowledge of what is general and what is defined. The Prophet made three supplications and was granted two and denied one as will be made clear in Sūrat al-An‘ām, Allah willing.

It is said that what is intended by this statement is to acquaint all believers with the fact that their Lord answers the call of those who call in general, and that He is close to His slave and hears his supplication and knows his need and responds to whatever He
wishes and in whatever way He wishes. ‘Who is further astray than the one who calls other things besides Allah, which will not respond to them?’ (46:5)

A master answers his slave and a father his child but may not give them the thing they are asking for. So it is not inevitable that what is asked for will be granted.

It is related through Ibn ‘Umar that the Prophet said, ‘Whoever has the door of supplication opened to him has the doors of the answer opened to him as well.’ Allah revealed to Dāwud, ‘Tell My wrongdoing slaves not to call on Me for I have made it incumbent on Myself to answer those who call on Me and when I answer wrongdoers, I curse them.’ People have said that Allah answers every supplication and that the answer either appears in this world or takes the form of expiation of sins or is stored up in the Next World. Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī reported that the Prophet said, ‘There is no Muslim who calls on Allah Almighty with a supplication which does not contain a request for anything wrong or severance from his kin, without Allah giving him one of three things: He either hastens it to him, or stores it up for him or turns away the like of it in evil from him.’ They asked, ‘What about when we do a lot of supplication?’ ‘Allah has more,’ he replied. Abū ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-Barr transmitted this and Abū Muḥammad said that it is sound. The Muwaṭṭā’ has it with a severed isnād. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘This hadīth is transmitted in commentary on the words of the Almighty: “Call on Me and I will answer you”’ (40:60). All of this is part of the answer.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Everyone who makes supplication is answered. If someone prays for provision in this world, Allah gives it, and if he does not receive it in this world, then it is stored up for him in the Next World.’

Although the hadīth of Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī allows the answer to come in one of three ways, it indicates to you the soundness of what was said about avoiding any transgression that prevents the answer coming by saying: ‘which does not contain a request for anything
wrong or severance from his kin’. Muslim added, ‘As long as he does not seek to hasten it.’ It is related from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘I continue to answer My slave as long as he does not ask for anything wrong or severance from his kin and as long as he does not seek to hasten it.’ He was asked, ‘Messenger of Allah, what is seeking to hasten it?’ He replied, ‘It is that he says, “I asked and I asked and have not had any answer.” Then he is saddened by that and stops making supplication.’ Al-Bukhārī, Muslim and Abū Dāwud related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘You receive an answer as long as you do not seek to hasten it, saying, “I asked and was not answered.”’

Our scholars said that it is possible that he is reporting about the obligatory nature of the answer and reporting about how it will occur. So it is a report about the obligation and its occurrence. The answer can come in any of the three ways mentioned above. When someone says, ‘I asked and was not answered,’ that voids the occurrence of one of these three things and the supplication is then detached from all of them.

The answer to the supplication can also be denied because of consumption of the unlawful and other such things. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘A man is on a long journey with his hair disheveled and covered in dust. He stretches his hands to heaven, saying, “O Lord! O Lord!” But his food is unlawful, his drink is unlawful, his clothing is unlawful and his nourishment is unlawful. How can he be answered when he is in that state?’ This question conveys the fact that it is unlikely for his supplication to be accepted when he is like that.

The answer to the supplication is dependent on the necessary preconditions being met in respect of the one making the supplication, the supplication itself, and what he asks for. The preconditions in the case of the one making the supplication is that he knows that only Allah can bring about his need and that the
means are in His hand and subject to Him; that he makes the supplication with a sincere intention and with presence of the heart, as Allah does not answer the supplication of someone with a heedless heart; that he avoids unlawful consumption; and that he does not become impatient with respect to his supplication. The precondition regarding what is being asked for is that it is something permissible to seek or lawful to do, confirmed by his words, ‘as long as he does not ask for anything wrong or severance from his kin’. ‘Wrong’ includes all forms of wrong actions, and ‘kin’ includes all the rights and wrongs of other Muslims.

Sahl ibn ‘Abdullāh at-Tustarī said, ‘Supplication has seven preconditions: humble entreaty, fear, hope, constancy, humility, universality, and consumption of the lawful.’ Ibn ‘Aṭā’ ‘illāh said, ‘Supplication has pillars, wings, means and times. When the pillars are in order, it is strong. When its wings are in order, it flies to heaven. When its times are in order, it is successful. When its means are in order, it will succeed. Its pillars are: the presence of the heart, goodness, humility and submission. Its wings are sincerity. Its time is before dawn. Its means is the prayer on the Prophet ﷺ.’ It is said that it has four preconditions. They are guarding the heart when alone, guarding the tongue when with people, guarding the eye and keeping it from looking at what is not lawful, and guarding the belly from what is unlawful. It is said that one of its preconditions is that supplication be free of grammatical errors as the poet says:

He calls to his Lord in ungrammatical Arabic.

Perhaps when he supplicates like that it will not be answered.

Ibrāhīm ibn Adham was asked, ‘Why do we make supplication and not get an answer?’ He answered, ‘Because you knew Allah but did not obey Him. You knew the Messenger but did not follow his Sunnah. You knew the Qur’an but did not act by it. You consumed the blessings of Allah but did not thank Him properly for them. You knew of the Garden but did not seek it. You knew of the Fire but did
not flee from it. You knew Shayṭān but did not fight him and instead agreed with him. You knew of death but did not prepare for it. You buried the dead but did not reflect on it. You ignored your own faults and busied yourselves with the faults of others.’

‘Alī said to Nawf al-Bikālī, ‘Nawf, Allah revealed to David, “Tell the tribe of Israel that they should not enter any of My Houses except with pure hearts, lowered eyes and clean hands. I do not answer the supplication of any of them as long as they act unjustly towards any of my creatures.” Nawf, do not be a poet, tax-collector, or tax assessor. David rose in at an hour in the night and said, “This is an hour in which no one makes a supplication without it being answered unless he is an appraiser, a policeman, a lute-player or a drummer.”’

Our scholars say that no one should say, ‘O Allah, give to me if You wish! O Allah, forgive me if You wish! O Allah, show mercy to me if You wish.’ His request should be free of saying, ‘If You wish.’ He makes the request with the full knowledge that Allah will not do it unless He wishes. Furthermore, the expression ‘If You wish’ contains a degree of not needing His forgiveness, gift and mercy. It is like someone saying, ‘If you wish to give me this, then do so.’ That form of expression is only used when someone is not really in need of the thing he is asking for. If someone is truly in need of something, then he shows resolve in asking and asks in the manner of someone truly in need of what he is asking for. The imams related from Anas ibn Mālik that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘When one of you makes supplication, he should be definite in the request and should not say, “O Allah, give to me if You wish.” No one can force Him to do anything.’ We find [added] in the *Muwaṭṭā*: ‘O Allah, forgive me if You wish. O Allah, show mercy if You wish.’

Our scholars say that the words ‘he should be definite in the request’ is evidence that a believer must strive in supplication and hope for an positive response. He should not despair of Allah’s
mercy because he is calling on one who is generous. Sufyān ibn ‘Uyaynah said, ‘No one should be prevented from making supplication by what he knows about himself. Allah answered the supplication of the worst of creation, Iblīs when he said, “Lord, defer me until the Day they are resurrected.” He replied, “You are one of those deferred.”’

There are certain times and situations at which supplication is more likely than at others to be answered, such as the time before dawn, the moment of breaking the fast, between the adhan and the iqāmah, between Zuhr and ‘Aṣr prayers on Wednesday, at moments of great need, while travelling and while ill, when rain descends and when lining up in the cause of Allah. All of that comes in traditions and they will be explained in the proper place. Shahr ibn Ḥawshab related that Umm ad-Dardā’ said, ‘Shahr, do you suffer from the shakes?’ ‘Yes,’ he answered. She said, ‘Pray to Allah. Supplication for that condition is answered.’ Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh said, ‘The Messenger of Allah made supplication in the Masjid al-Fatḥ on three days: Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday. It was answered for him on Wednesday between the two prayers. I could see the joy in his face.’ Jābir added, ‘Nothing important happened to me but that I aimed for that time and made supplication in it and had an answer.’

**They should therefore respond to Me…**

Abū Rajā’ al-Khurāsānī said: ‘They should call on Me.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that this means: ‘They should seek for My response.’ It is Form X of the verb which is to seek for something. Mujāhid and others said that the meaning is: ‘They should respond to Me regarding what I call them to in respect of faith,’ in other words, they should obey Allah and act on His commands.
187 On the night of the fast it is lawful for you to have sexual relations with your wives. They are clothing for you and you for them. Allah knows that you have been betraying yourselves and He has turned towards you and excused you. Now you may have sexual intercourse with them and seek what Allah has written for you. Eat and drink until you can clearly discern the white thread from the black thread of the dawn, then fulfil the fast until the night appears. But do not have sexual intercourse with them while you are in retreat in the mosques. These are Allah’s limits, so do not go near them. In this way does Allah make His Signs clear to people so that hopefully they will be godfearing.

On the night of the fast it is lawful for you to have sexual relations with your wives.

The way that this is expressed indicates that it was at first forbidden and then that prohibition was abrogated. Abū Dāwud reported from Ibn Abī Laylā that, when a man broke the fast and then went to sleep before eating again, he would not break his fast until the following morning. One day ‘Umar came home and went to his wife and she told him she had already slept. He thought she was making an excuse and had intercourse with her. In another incident a man of the Anṣār wanted to eat and they said, ‘We will heat something up for you,’ and he fell asleep. In the morning, this
āyah was revealed.

Al-Bukhārī related that al-Barā’ said, ‘Among the Companions of Muḥammad if ever a man was fasting and the time of fast-breaking came but he went to sleep before he had broken his fast, he would not eat that night or the following day until evening. Qays ibn Śirma al-Anṣārī was fasting and when the time of fast-breaking came, he went to his wife and asked her, “Do you have any food?” She said, “No, but I will go and look for something for you.” He worked during the day and sleep overcame him. His wife returned to him and when she saw him, she said, “You are disappointed.” In the middle of the day, he fainted and it was mentioned to the Prophet. Then this āyah was sent down: “On the night of the fast it is lawful for you to have sexual relations with your wives.” Then they were very happy, and it was revealed: “Eat and drink until you can clearly discern the white thread from the black thread of the dawn.”

We find in al-Bukhārī, also from al-Barā’: ‘When the fast of Ramadan was revealed, they used to not approach their wives through all of Ramadan. Some men betrayed themselves and so Allah revealed: “Allah knows that you have been betraying yourselves and He had turned towards you and excused you.” Betrayal here means to have sexual intercourse during the nights of the fast. If someone disobeys Allah, then he has betrayed himself because he has brought down the punishment on himself. Al-Qutabī said, ‘The root meaning of betrayal is that a man is entrusted with something and does not fulfil his trust.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī said that one night ‘Umar returned after having conversed in the night with the Prophet and found that his wife had slept. He desired her and she told him, ‘But I have slept!’ He said, ‘But I have not slept!’ and had sex with her. Ka’b ibn Mālik did the same thing. In the morning ‘Umar went to the Prophet and said, ‘I apologise to Allah and to you! My self impelled me and I had sex with my wife. Do you find any indulgence for me?’ He said, ‘You were not entitled, ‘Umar.’ When he reached his house, he sent for
him and told him about the excuse in the āyah of the Qur’ān. An-Naḥḥās and Makkī mentioned it.

The word ‘rafath’ (sexual relations) is an allusion to sexual intercourse because Allah is noble and prefers to use an allusion. Ibn ‘Abbās, as-Suddī and az-Zajjāj said, ‘Rafath is a word which denotes all that a man does with a woman.’ Al-Azharī also said that. Ibn ‘Arafah said that it is sexual intercourse. It is also said that rafath is obscene language.

They are clothing for you and you for them.

The metaphor of clothing is used because a married couple are close to one another like garments and garments cling to the body. Someone said that it is because they cover and protect a thing and so each of them protects the other from what is not lawful as we find in a tradition. It is also said that it is because each of them protects the other from the eyes of other people in respect of what occurs between them. Abū ‘Ubayd said others said a wife is called one’s ‘garment’, ‘bed’, and ‘waist-wraper’. Ar-Rabī’ said, ‘They are a bed for you and you are a cover for them.’ Mujāhid said, ‘They are a repose for you, i.e. you each find repose in one another.’

Allah knows that you have been betraying yourselves and He has turned towards you and excused you.

Betraying yourselves was by having forbidden sexual intercourse and eating after sleeping during the nights of fasting. It is possible that it means each of them betrays himself and it is called that because of the harm that reverts to him. Allah’s turning towards them has two possible meanings. One is that He accepts their repentance for having betrayed themselves and the other is that it refers to Him making it easier for them through His indulgence and His allowing them to do what had previously been forbidden, as when He says elsewhere: ‘He knows that you will not keep count of it, so He has turned towards you,’ (73:20) meaning He has lightened it for you. There is also His words about accidental killing: ‘Anyone who does not
find the means should fast two consecutive months. This is a concession (tawbah) from Allah.’ (4:92) It means a lightening because the person who did the accidental killing did not do anything for which he should repent. He says: ‘Allah has turned towards the Prophet, and the Muhājirūn and the Anṣār, those who followed him at the “time of difficulty”.’ (9:117) No repentance was obliged from the Prophet ﷺ.

So the words ‘excused you’ can refer to the wrong action or it can mean making things wide and easy, as the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The beginning of the time is the pleasure of Allah and the end of it is the pardon of Allah.’ Therefore it means that Allah knew that you would do this and He turned to you after it occurred and lightened things for you. So ‘afā’ means ‘to make easy’. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Scholars of asceticism say that that happened so that there could be divine concern and a noble station. ‘Umar betrayed himself and Allah made it part of the Sharī‘ah and things were made easier for the community. Allah was pleased with him and he was pleased with Allah.’

Now you may have sexual intercourse with them

It is lawful for you now to do what was forbidden before. ‘Mubāshara’ (sexual intercourse) is so called because of the contact of skin to skin. This is the literal meaning of the word. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘This indicates that the reason for the revelation of the āyah was ‘Umar having sex with his wife, not the hunger of Qays, because if the hunger of Qays had been the reason, he would have said, ‘Now you may eat’ as that would have been the reason for the revelation of the āyah.

and seek what Allah has written for you.

Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid, al-Ḥakam ibn ‘Uyaynah, al-Ḥasan, as-Suddī, ar-Rabī’, and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that this refers to seeking to have a child, indicating that it refers directly back to the previous statement about having sexual intercourse. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘What Allah has written for you is the Qur‘an.’ Az-Zajjāj said, ‘It means: “Seek in the
Qur’an for what is permitted to you and for what you have been commanded to do.’” Ibn ‘Abbās and Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal reported that it refers to looking for the Night of Power. It is also said that it means: ‘Take advantage of the allowance and dispensation Allah has granted you,’ and this was what Qatādah and Ibn ‘Atiyyah said. It is said that it means to seek slavegirls and wives.

**Eat and drink until you can clearly discern the white thread from the black thread of the dawn,**

When it is clear that dawn has arrived it is not lawful for anyone to eat, even if there is still some time until sunrise. There is disagreement about the point at which it becomes clear that one must abstain from things which break the fast. The majority say that dawn is the light which spreads right and left on the horizon and there are *ḥadīths* to that effect. Muslim related from Samurah ibn Jundub that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Do not be deluded from your *sahūr* by the *adhān* of Bilāl nor by the whiteness of the false dawn on the horizon. It is like that until the dawn spreads like that.’ Ḥammād related it with his hand open. Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘Dawn is not like this as it is said,’ and he put his fingers together and then lowered them to the ground, ‘but that which is said to be like this,’ and he put his index fingers together and stretched out his hands.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘There are two dawns. The one that is like a wolf’s tail does not permit or forbid anything. As for the one which spreads on the horizon, it makes the prayer permitted and forbids food.’ This is a *mursal* report.

One group say that it refers to the time after dawn when it becomes clear in the streets and houses that dawn has arrived. That is related from ‘Umar, Ḥudhayfah, Ibn ‘Abbās, Ṭalq ibn ‘Alī, ‘Aṭā’ ibn Abī Rabāḥ, al-A‘mash, Sulaymān and others. Abstaining becomes obligatory when it is clearly dawn in the streets and on the tops of the mountains. Masrūq said, ‘They did not consider it to be
their dawn. What they considered to be dawn was that which filled the houses.’ An-Nasā’ī related from ‘Āṣim that Zirr said, ‘We asked Ḥudhayfah, “When did you have saḥūr with the Messenger of Allah?” He replied, “It was daytime, although the sun had not yet risen.”’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Ṭalq ibn ‘Alī that the Prophet of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Eat and drink. Do not be deceived by the white ascending light. Eat and drink until the red light spreads horizontally.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī said that Qays ibn Ṭalq is not strong. Abū Dāwud said that this is something that only the people of Yamamah have. At-Ṭabarī said, ‘That which guided them to this fast is that it is during the day and they believe that the day begins with sunrise and ends with sunset.’ The disagreement between linguists about that has already been mentioned as well as the explanation of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ: ‘It is the darkness of the night and the whiteness of the day.’ That is what distinguishes along with ‘a specified number of days’. (2:184)

Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from ‘Ā’ishah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Whoever does not intend to fast before the rising of dawn has no fast.’ ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Abbād alone has it from al-Muṣafḍāl ibn Faḍālah with this isnād. All of them are trustworthy. It is related from Ḥafṣah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Anyone who does not resolve to fast before dawn has no fast.’ ‘Abdullāh ibn Abī Bakr has it marfūʿ from highly regarded, trustworthy people. It is also related marfūʿ from Ḥafṣah. These two ḥadīths indicate what the majority say about dawn and that someone who does not have an intention before dawn has no fast, differing from the position of Abū Ḥanīfah.

Fasting is one of the acts of worship which is only valid with an intention, and the time to make the intention is before dawn (fajr), so how can it be said that eating and drinking is permissible after dawn? Al-Bukhārī and Muslim related that Sahl ibn Sa’d said, ‘When “Eat and drink until you can clearly discern the white thread from the black thread” was sent down and “of the dawn” had not been sent
down, some men who wanted to fast would tie a white thread and a black thread to their legs and would continue to eat until they could see them clearly. Allah later revealed “of the dawn” and they then knew that it meant night and day.’ It is related that ‘Adī ibn Abī Ḥātim said, ‘I said, “Messenger of Allah, what is the white thread from the black thread? Are they two threads?” He said, “You are a thick-head if you look at the two threads.”’ Then he said, “No, rather it is the blackness of night and the whiteness of day.”’ Al-Bukhārī transmitted it and said that dawn is called a thread because what appears is a distinct line of white like a thread. A poet said:

The white thread is the light of morning breaking.
The black thread is the darkness of night covering.

‘Khayṭ’ (thread) in their language designates colour. ‘Fajr’ (dawn) is a verbal noun used for water which gushes up and spreads out. The root meaning is ‘cleaving’. It is used for dawn because when the light of the sun begins to appear, it spreads out along the horizon. This is what the Arabs call ‘the white thread’. One also says that ‘dawn breaks’.

*then fulfil the fast until the night appears.*

Allah made ‘night’ a time for eating, drinking and sexual intercourse, and ‘day’ a time for fasting. So the judgments of the two times are clear and distinct from each other. What is allowed in the night is not permitted in the day, except for travellers or ill people as we have already explained. In any other case, if someone breaks the fast he either does it intentionally or out of forgetfulness. If it is the first case, Mālik said, ‘Whoever breaks the fast in Ramadan by eating, drinking, or sexual intercourse, must make it up and owes kaffārah,’ as is reported in the *Muwattā* and also in *Ṣahīḥ Muslim* where the Prophet ﷺ ordered a man who broke the fast to free a slave, or fast two consecutive months or feed sixty poor people. Ash-Sha‘bī said that. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and others say that this *kaffārah* is
specific to someone who breaks the fast through sexual intercourse based on the *ḥadīth* of Abū Hurayrah who said, ‘A man came to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and said, “I am destroyed, Messenger of Allah!” He asked, “What has destroyed you?” He answered, “I had sex with my wife in Ramadan.”’ In it he mentioned *kaffārah* and its order. Muslim transmitted it.

They apply this case to the first case and say that it is the same. This is not sound. Rather they are two separate cases because they have two different contexts. *Kaffārah* is connected undefined to someone who breaks the fast and so it is universal. This is the position of Mālik and his people, Al-Awzā’ī, Ishāq, Abū Thawr, aṭ-Ṭabarî, and Ibn al-Mundhir. That is also related from ‘Aṭā’ in one transmission as well as from al-Ḥasan and az-Zuhrī. Ash-Shāfi‘î obliges that position and says that there is no distinction in spite of the difference in circumstances. It indicates that the ruling is universal. Ash-Shāfi‘î made the penalty mandatory as well as making up the days because of violating the sanctity of Ramadan.

They also disagree about what is obliged for a woman whose husband has sex with her in the month of Ramadan. Mālik, Abū Yūsuf and the People of Opinion say that she owes the same that her husband owes. Ash-Shāfi‘î says that she only owes one *kaffārah*, whether it was voluntary or forced, because the Prophet ﷺ responded to the questioner with one *kaffārah* without any distinction. It is related from Abū Ḥanīfah that if it is voluntary, then each of them owe one *kaffārah*. If he forced her, then only he owes one *kaffārah*. That is the position of Saḥnūn ibn Sa‘īd al-Mālikî. Mālik said that he owes two *kaffārah*, and that is his final position according to most of his people.

They also disagree about someone who has sex or eats forgetting that he is fasting. Ash-Shāfi‘î, Abū Ḥanīfah and his people and Ishāq say that he owes nothing in either case, neither making up nor *kaffārah*. Mālik, al-Layth and al-Awzâ’î say that he must make any
such days up but does not owe *kaftārah*. The same is related from ‘Aṭā’. It is also related from ‘Aṭā’ that he owes *kaftārah* if he has sex forgetfully and that it is the same if he does not forget. Some of the Zāhirīs say that it is the same whether he has sex forgetfully or deliberately: he must make it up and also owes *kaftārah*. That is the position of ‘Abd al-Malik ibn al-Mājishūn. It was the position of Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal because the *ḥadīth* obliges *kaftārah* and there is no distinction between someone who forgets or someone who does it deliberately. Ibn al-Mundhir says that he owes nothing.

Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Thawr and the People of Opinion said that if someone eats out of forgetfulness and then, thinking that he has broken the fast, has sex deliberately, he must make it up but does not owe *kaftārah*. Ibn al-Mundhir says, ‘That is what we say.’ It is stated in the School that he must make it up and also owes *kaftārah* if he did it intentionally since he has violated the sanctity of the fast with impudence and lack of esteem. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘According to the basic principle of Mālik, he does not have to do *kaftārah* because he ate out of forgetfulness and thought that he had broken the fast, he must make up that day. What sanctity has he violated when he was not fasting? Those other than Mālik said that someone who eats forgetting that he is fasting has not broken the fast.

This is sound, and it is the position of the majority: if someone eats or drinks out of forgetfulness, he does not have to make up a day and his fast is complete, based on the *ḥadīth* of Abū Hurayrah who said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If someone fasting eats or drinks out of forgetfulness, it is provision which Allah has given him. He does not have to make it up. (One variant has, ‘He should complete his fast.’) Allah has fed and watered him.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī related it and said that it has a sound isnād, all of whose transmitters are trustworthy. Abū Bakr al-Athram said, ‘I heard Abū ‘Abdullāh being asked about someone who eats out of forgetfulness in Ramadan. He answered, “He owes nothing based on the *ḥadīth* of
Abū Hurayrah. Then Abū ‘Abdullāh Mālik said, “They claim that Mālik says he must make it up!” and laughed. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘He owes nothing based on what the Prophet ﷺ said about someone who eats or drinks out of forgetfulness, “He should complete his fast.” He completes it and it is a completed full fast.’

When someone breaks the fast out of forgetfulness, he does not have to make it up and his fast is complete, but if he has sexual intercourse deliberately, he makes it up and owes kaffārah and Allah knows best. Our scholars cited for evidence of the obligation of making up the fact that he is told to fast a full day without any gaps since He says, ‘fulfil the fast until the night appears.’ This day has not been completed, and so it remains for him to fast. Perhaps the ḥadīth is actually about voluntary fasting. It is reported in the Ṣaḥīḥ collections of al-Bukhārī and Muslim: ‘Anyone who forgets while he is fasting and eats or drinks should complete his fast.’ It does not mention or allude to making it up.

Our scholars use this as evidence, and it is sound. Were it not sound from the Lawgiver, we would not have mentioned it. There is a sound clear text related by Abū Hurayrah from the Prophet ﷺ: ‘If someone breaks his fast in Ramadan out of forgetfulness, he does not have to make it up and owes no kaffārah.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it and said that Ibn Marzūq has it, and he is trustworthy from al-Anṣārī. The doubt is therefore removed. Praise be to Allah, the Master of Majesty and Perfection!

When Allah mentions the things forbidden by fasting, such as eating, drinking and sexual intercourse, He does not mention other direct physical contact such as kissing, touching and other such things. That indicates the soundness of the fast of someone who kisses or touches because the mention of what is allowed at night indicates its prohibition during the day, and only three things are mentioned. There is no evidence there for anything other than these specific things and therefore one stops at the evidence. This is why
there is disagreement about this matter and indeed the Salaf disagreed.

One of those things is the matter of touching. Our scholars say that it is disliked for someone who is not certain that he will be able to control himself in case it becomes a cause leading to something that actually breaks the fast. Mālik related from Nāfi‘ that ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar forbade kissing and touching for someone who is fasting. Allah knows best, but this is out of fear for what might happen between the couple. If he kisses and is safe from going further, he does nothing wrong. The same is true of touching. Al-Bukhārī related that ‘Ā’ishah said that the Prophet used to kiss and touch when he was fasting. ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd and ‘Urwah ibn az-Zubayr were among those who disliked kissing by someone fasting. It is related that Ibn Mas‘ūd would make up a day to compensate for it. The hadīth is an argument against them. Abū ‘Umar said however, ‘I do not know of anyone who grants an indulgence for someone when he knows that it will lead to what will invalidate the fast.’

If someone kisses and ejaculates, then he must make up the day but does not owe kaffārah. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people, ath-Thawrī, al-Ḥasan and ash-Shāfi‘ī said that. Ibn al-Mundhir preferred it. He said, ‘The one who obliges kaffārah for it has no argument.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘If someone kisses and has a discharge of prostatic fluid, they believe that he does not need to do anything in compensation.’ Aḥmad said, ‘If someone kisses and has a discharge of prostatic fluid or ejaculates, he must make it up, but owes no kaffārah. That is only owed by the one who has sexual intercourse and penetration, whether deliberately or out of forgetfulness.’ Ibn al-Qāsim related from Mālik that someone who kisses or touches and is aroused but discharges no fluid at all must make up the day. Ibn Wahb said that he does not have to make up a day unless he has a discharge of prostatic fluid.’ Qāḍī Muḥammad said, ‘Our people agree that he owes no kaffārah.’ If it is sperm that is ejaculated, does that oblige
kaffārah as well as making up? Either it was a single kiss and then discharge, or a kiss which he enjoys and then repeats and has a discharge. If it is a single kiss, or touch, Ashhab and Saḥnūn said that the owes no kaffārah, unless he repeats it. Ibn al-Qāsim said that there is kaffārah for all of that, except for a glance, for which there is no kaffārah unless he repeats it.

Among those who said that someone owes kaffārah if he kisses, touches, plays with his wife or has sexual relations short of penetration and then ejaculates were al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, ‘Aṭā’, Abū Thawr, and Ishāq. That is the position of Mālik in the Mudawwanah. The argument behind the view of Ashhab is that touching, kissing and contact do not break the fast in themselves but may lead to a matter which breaks the fast. If he does it once, not intending ejaculation and invalidation of fasting, he does not owe kaffārah, as is the case with the glance. If he repeats it, then he has intended to invalidate the fast and he owes kaffārah, just as is the case if he looks again. Al-Lakhmī said, ‘They all agree that ejaculation brought about by the glance does not entail kaffārah unless he continues to do it.’

The fundamental position is that kaffārah is only mandatory for someone who intends to break the fast and violate the sanctity of the fast. Since that is the case, one must look at the normal reaction of someone who has a discharge and whether he normally experiences that from a single kiss or touch or whether that varies and sometimes it happens and sometimes not. I think that he should do kaffārah because he did that knowing he would violate his fast or to expose himself to that danger. If he is normally safe from that happening, then it is assumed that what happened to him is not normal and he does not owe kaffārah. The statement of Mālik about kaffārah being mandatory is because that only happens with someone whose nature is like that. Ashhab took the dominant view that they are safe from that and what they say about the glance is evidence of that.
What he related as a basis for the agreement about the glance is not the same. Al-Bājī related in *al-Muntaqā*: ‘If someone looks once intending pleasure and then ejaculates, Shaykh Abu-l-Hasan said that he must make the day up and owes *kaffārah.*’ Al-Bājī said, ‘I consider that to be sound because when someone intends pleasure by it, it is the same as a kiss and other types of pleasure. Allah knows best.’ Jābir ibn Zayd, Ath-Thawrī, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Thawr and the People of Opinion said about someone who continues to look at a woman until he ejaculates that he does not have to make a day up and does not owe *kaffārah.* Ibn al-Mundhir said that. Al-Bājī said, ‘In *al-Madaniyyah* Nāfi‘ related that if someone looks at a naked woman with pleasure and ejaculates, then he must make up the day but does not owe *kaffārah.*’

Most scholars believe that if dawn comes on someone in *janābah*, his fast is still valid. Qāḍī Abū Bakr ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘That is permitted by consensus. There was some discussion about it between the Companions. Then it was decided that the fast of someone who is in *janābah* in the morning is sound.’ The argument about it is sound and well known and came about from Abū Hurayrah saying, ‘Someone who is in *janābah* in the morning has no fast.’ This is transmitted in the *Muwaṭṭā* and elsewhere. We find in an-Nasā‘ī that he retracted it. There is disagreement about his retraction. The best known of his two views with the people of knowledge is that the person concerned has no fast as Ibn al-Mundhir related. It is related from al-Ḥasan ibn Ṣālih. Abū Hurayrah also has a third view, which is that when someone knows that he is in *janābah* and goes to sleep until morning has come, he has broken the fast. If he does not know, then he is still fasting. That is related from ‘Aṭā’, Ṭāwūs, and ‘Urwah ibn az-Zubayr. It is related from al-Ḥasan and an-Nakha‘ī that it does not matter in the case of voluntary fasting but must be made up where obligatory fasting is concerned.
These are, in fact, four views about someone who finds himself in *janābah* in the morning. What is sound is the position of the majority based on the *ḥadīth* of ‘Ā’ishah and Umm Salamah that the Prophet ﷺ would be in *janābah* in the morning after sexual intercourse, not a wet dream, and would still fast. Ā’ishah said, ‘In Ramadan, dawn would come while the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was in *janābah* after sexual intercourse, not a wet dream, and he would have a *ghusl* and fast.’ Al-Bukhārī and Muslim transmitted it. It is what is necessarily understood from Allah’s words: ‘*Now you may have sexual intercourse with them.*’ Since the permission to have sex extends until the rising of dawn, it is necessarily known that dawn might find someone in *janābah* and he would have to have a *ghusl* after dawn. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘If his penis is inside his wife at dawn, he does not have to make it up.’ Al-Muzani said, ‘He must make it up because it is part of the completion of intercourse.’ The first is sounder based on what we mentioned and it is the position of our scholars.

There is disagreement about a menstruating woman who becomes pure before *fajr* but does not purify herself until morning has arrived. Most scholars believe that she is obliged to fast, whether she failed to purify herself deliberately or forgetfully, as is the case with someone in *janābah*. That is the position of Mālik and Ibn al-Qāsim. But ‘Abd al-Malik said, ‘When a menstruating woman becomes pure before *fajr* but delays *ghusl* until after dawn, then she is not fasting that day because she was impure for part of it. She is not like someone in *janābah* because a wet dream does not break the fast but menstruation does.’ That is what Abu-l-Faraj mentioned in his book from ‘Abd al-Malik. Al-Awzā‘ī said that she makes it up because she neglected *ghusl*. Ibn al-Jallāb mentioned from ‘Abd al-Malik that if she becomes pure at a time when it is possible for her to have a *ghusl* before *fajr*, but neglects to do so and does not do it until after morning has arrived, it does not affect her adversely, as is the case with someone in *janābah*. If the time is so short that she would not be
able to have a *ghusl* before *fajr*, then her fast is not allowed and she is not fasting that day. Mālik said that. She is the same as someone who is still menstruating at the beginning of the day. Muḥammad ibn Maslamah said that she should fast and make up the day as well, as al-Awzāʿī also said. An aberrant view is related from him that if she becomes pure before *fajr* and then neglects and delays doing a *ghusl* until after the morning has arrived, she owes *kaffārah* as well as making up the day. If a woman becomes pure during the night in Ramadan but does not know whether that was before or after *fajr*, she fasts and also makes up that day out of caution. She owes no *kaffārah*.

It is related that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The cupper and the one cupped break the fast.’ That is related from the ḥadīth of Thawbān, Shaddād ibn Aws and Rāfiʿ ibn Khadij. Aḥmad and Isḥāq said that. Aḥmad said that the ḥadīth of Shaddād ibn Aws is sound. ‘Alī ibn al-Madīnī said that the ḥadīth of Rāfiʿ ibn Khadij is sound. Mālik, ash-Shāfiʿī and ath-Thawrī said that a person does not have to make it up unless he was forced to do that out of deceit. We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that Anas was asked, ‘Do you dislike someone fasting being cupped?’ ‘No,’ he answered, ‘unless it results in weakness.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘We believe that the ḥadīths of Shaddād, Rāfiʿ and Thawbān are abrogated by the ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Abbās who said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was cupped while fasting in ḥijrām. It states in the ḥadīth of Shaddād ibn Aws that in the year of the Conquest of Makkah the Prophet ﷺ passed by a man who was being cupped on the 18th of Ramadan and said, ‘The cupper and the one cupped break the fast.’ Then he himself ﷺ was cupped while he was in ḥijrām and fasting during the year of the Farewell Hajj. Therefore it must be abrogated because the Prophet ﷺ did not reach another Ramadan after the Farewell Hajj, dying in Rabīʿ al-Awwal of that year.

*Fulfil the fast until the night appears*’ is an undisputed command. The
preposition ‘until’ marks its extent in time. In the case of the preposition ila, if what is after it and before it are the same, then all is subject to the same ruling, as when you say, ‘I have bought from this tree to that tree from you,’ so what is sold are trees, and the final tree mentioned is included in the sale. This would not be the case if you said, ‘the area up to (ila) the house.’ The house is not included since it is not the same thing. So here Allah stipulates the completion of the fast when night is clear as it is permitted to eat until day is clear.

Part of the completeness of the fast is that it must be accompanied by an intention which is not removed. According to the Mudawwanah, if it is removed at any point during the day by the person intending to break the fast, even if he has not eaten or drunk, he has broken the fast and must make up that day. According to the book of Ibn al-Ḥabīb, however, he is still fasting. He said that he only ceases to fast by breaking it by an action, not by an intention. It is also said that he owes kaffārah as well as making it up. Saḥnūn said, ‘He does kaffārah if he intends not to fast at night, but if he intends it in the day, it does not harm him, even though it is recommended that he make it up.’

When it is clearly night, then you break the fast legally, whether you eat or not. Ibn al-'Arabī said, ‘Imam Abū Ishāq was asked about a man who took an oath, swearing by a treble divorce, that he would not break the fast on anything hot or cold. He answered that he broke the fast when the sun set and so owes nothing. For evidence, he cited the words of the Prophet ﷺ, “When night comes from here and day retreats there, then the faster has broken his fast.” Imam Abū Naṣr ibn aṣ-Ṣabbāgh, the author of ash-Shāmil, was asked about it and said, “He must break his fast on hot or cold.” The response of Imam Abū Ishāq is more fitting because it is demanded by the Book and Sunnah.’

If someone thinks that the sun has set because of clouds or for
some other reason and breaks the fast, and then the sun appears, he
must only make up that day according to most fiqhā’i. We find in al-
Bukhārī that Asmā’ bint Abī Bakr said, ‘Once, during the time of
the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, we broke the fast on a cloudy day and
then the sun appeared. Hishām said, “We were commanded to
make it up.”’ ‘Umar said in the Muwattā’ about this, ‘That is an easy
matter. It was because of our deduction (ijtihād) about the time,’
meaning making it up. Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī said, ‘He does not have to
make it up,’ meaning this view. Allah knows best.

If someone breaks the fast while unsure about whether the sun has
set or not, he owes kaffārah as well as making it up. Mālik said that,
even if the person thinks that it is probable that it has set. If someone
is unsure about whether dawn has broken or not, he must refrain
from eating. If, in spite of his doubt, he eats, then he must make it up
like someone who forgets. There is no disagreement about Malik’s
position regarding that. Some of the people of Madīnah and others
do not think that he owes anything until it is clear to him that dawn
really has broken. That is the view of Ibn al-Mundhir. Aṭ-Ṭabarī
said, ‘Some people think that, since you are permitted to not fast
until the beginning of dawn, if someone eats thinking that dawn has
not broken, he has eaten with the permission of the Sharī’ah at a
time when it is permitted to eat. Therefore he does not have to make
it up.’ That is also stated by Mujāhid and Jābir ibn Zayd. There is
no disagreement that making up a day is obligatory for a person if
the new moon is hidden from him by clouds on the first night of
Ramadan and he eats, and then it becomes clear that it is Ramadan.
We have a similar view. That is also true for a captive in the Abode
of War when he eats thinking that it is Sha’bān and then it is clear
that that is not the case.

The injunction to ‘fast until the night appears’ contains an implicit
prohibition against continuous fasting since night marks the end of
the fast. ‘Ā’ishah said that. It is a subject of dispute as people like
'Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr, Ibrāhīm at-Taymī, Abu-l-Jawzā’, Abu-l-Ḥasan ad-Dīnawārī and others fasted continuously. Ibn az-Zubayr used to fast for seven days at a time. When he broke his fast, he would drink ghee and aloes until his intestines opened. He said, ‘It dries the intestines.’ Abu-l-Jawzā’ used to fast continuously for seven days and seven nights.

The literal text of the Qur’an and Sunnah make it clear that it is forbidden. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When night comes from here and day retreats there, then the faster has broken his fast.’ Muslim transmitted this from ‘Abdullāh ibn Abī Awtā. He forbade continuous fasting. When they refused to stop, he fasted day and night continuously with them for a day and then another day. Then they saw the crescent moon and he said, ‘If the crescent moon had not appeared, I would have made it longer.’ It was like a punishment for them since they would not stop. Muslim transmitted it from Abū Hurayrah. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Beware of continuous fasting! Beware of continuous fasting!’ to stress its prohibition. Al-Bukhārī transmitted it.

Most scholars dislike continuous fasting because it weakens the faculties and exhausts the body. Some of them even made it unlawful since it is contrary to the literal text and imitates the People of the Book. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The difference between our fast and the fast of the People of the Book is that we eat before dawn.’ Muslim and Abū Dāwud transmitted it. We find in al-Bukhārī that Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī said that he heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘Do not fast continuously. Any of you who wants to fast continuously, fast continuously until before dawn (saḥar).’ They said, ‘But you fast continuously, Messenger of Allah.’ He answered, ‘I am not like you. In the night I have One Who feeds me and gives me to drink.’ They said, ‘This is permission to delay breaking the fast until before dawn. It is the limit of someone who wants to fast continuously. It is forbidden to connect the fast of one day to another.’ That was stated
by Aḥmad, Isḥāq, and Ibn Wahb, the companion of Mālik.

Those who permit continuous fasting argue that the prohibition against continuous fasting was because they were new to Islam and the Messenger of Allah ﷺ feared to burden them with continuous fasting and the highest stations lest they flag or prove too weak to do something that was more beneficial than jihād and showing strength against the enemy, in spite of their need at that time. It was something that he imposed on himself: continuous fasting and the highest stations of acts of obedience. When they asked him about continuous fasting, he showed them the difference between him and themselves and informed them that his state in that respect was different to their states. When faith was complete in their hearts and firm in their breasts, and there were many Muslims and they had defeated their enemies, the awliyā’ of Allah obliged the highest stations for themselves. Allah knows best.

It is better, however, not to fast continuously even though Islam has now been victorious and defeated its enemies. That is the highest degree and station anyone can hope to attain to. The evidence for that is what we mentioned. Night is not a time for legal fasting so that if a person were to start his fast in it with an intention, he would not be rewarded for it. The Prophet ﷺ did not report from himself that he did it, but the Companions thought that he did and said, ‘But you fast continuously.’ He told them that was given food and drink. The literal meaning of this would be that the Prophet ﷺ was given the food and drink of the Garden. It is said that this can be applied to the meaning of subtleties that came to his heart. When words can be taken both literally and metaphorically, the basis is the literal meaning unless there is evidence that negates it. When they refused to stop continuous fasting, he ﷺ did so with them according to his custom as he had said he did, while they remained doing it in their way until they became weak and lacking in steadfastness. So they ceased to fast continuously. This was a punishment for them so
that they would stop their excessive behaviour towards themselves. Success is by Allah.

Breaking the fast with dates or sips of water is recommended based on what is related by Abū Dāwud that Anas said, ‘The Messenger of Allah used to break the fast with fresh dates before he prayed, and if there were no fresh dates, then with small dry dates. If there were no dry dates, then with a few sips of water.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it and said that it has a sound isnād. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah broke the fast, he said, “We have fasted for You and broken our fast on Your provision. Accept it from us. You are the All-Hearing, All-Knowing.”’ Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah broke the fast, he said, “The thirst has gone, the veins are moist and the wage is confirmed, Allah willing.”’ Abū Dāwud transmitted it. Ad-Dāraquṭnī said, ‘Al-Ḥusayn ibn Wāqid alone has it and its isnād is sound. Ibn Mājah related that ‘Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr said, ‘The Messenger of Allah broke the fast with Sa’d ibn Mu‘ādh and said, “The fasters have broken the fast with you, the pious have eaten your food, and the angels have prayed for blessing for you.”’ It is also related from Zayd ibn Khālid al-Juhani that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘All those who provide food for someone to break his fast with have the like of his reward with that decreasing their reward at all.’ It is also related from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘When the faster breaks his fast, no supplication he makes is rejected.’ Ibn Abī Mulaykah said that he heard ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr say when he broke his fast, ‘O Allah, I ask you by Your mercy which encompasses all things to forgive me.’ We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that the Prophet said, ‘A faster has two joys. He has a joy when he breaks his fast and a joy in his fasting when he meets his Lord.’

It is recommended to fast six days of Shawwāl based on what Muslim, at-Tirmidhī, Abū Dāwud, an-Nasā‘ī and Ibn Mājah related
from Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣārī who said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If someone fasts Ramadan and then follows it with six from Shawwāl, it is as if he had fasted all time.’ This is a sound ḥasan ḥadīth from Sa‘d ibn Sa‘īd al-Anṣārī al-Madanī. He is one of those from whom al-Bukhārī did not transmit anything. It has a good isnād, explaining the ḥadīth of Abū Asmā’ ar-Raḥabī from Thawban, the freedman of the Prophet ﷺ, who heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘Allah has made a good deed equal to ten like it, and so the month of Ramadan is equal to ten months and the six days of the Ṣaḥīr complete the year.’ An-Nasā’ī related it.

There is disagreement about fasting these days. In the Muwaṭṭā’ Mālik disliked it out of fear of the people of ignorance connecting to Ramadan what is not part of it. What he feared actually occurred so that in some towns in Khorasan they rise for the saḥūr as they do in Ramadan. Muṭarrīf related from Mālik that he used to fast it just for himself. Ash-Shāfi‘ī recommended fasting them. Abū Yūsuf disliked it.

But do not have sexual intercourse with them while you are in retreat (i‘tikāf) in the mosques.

Allah makes it clear that sexual intercourse invalidates i‘tikāf. The people of knowledge agree that if someone has sexual intercourse with his wife while he is in i‘tikāf, that violates his i‘tikāf. They disagree about what he owes if he does that. Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī said that he owes what is owed by someone who has sex with his wife in Ramadan. Pleasures other than intercourse are disliked, even if they were not intended. Touching without lust is not forbidden, because ‘Ā’ishah combed the Prophet’s hair while he was in i‘tikāf and that entails touching. This is the position of ‘Aṭā’, ash-Shāfi‘ī and Ibn al-Mundhir. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘They agree that someone in i‘tikāf should not touch or kiss, but they disagree about what he owes if he does that. Mālik and ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘If he does any of that, his i‘tikāf is invalid.’ Al-Muzanī also said that. In another place
regarding issues concerning *i‘tikāf*, he says that his *i‘tikāf* is not invalidated by sex unless it is something that would entail the *hadd* punishment. Al-Muzanī preferred that based on analogy with fasting during the *hajj*.

The word for ‘retreat’, *i‘tikāf*, linguistically means ‘to cling to’ and someone doing *i‘tikāf* clings to good action by obedience to Allah during the period of his *i‘tikāf*. In the usage of the Shari‘ah it denotes clinging to a particular act of obedience at a particular time with particular conditions in a particular place. Scholars agree that it is not obligatory but is a supererogatory act of devotion which the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, his Companions and his wives imposed on themselves. It is disliked for someone to begin it if he fears that he will not be able to complete it.

Scholars agree that *i‘tikāf* can only be done in mosques, in view of the words of Allah, ‘in mosques’. They disagree about exactly what is meant by mosques in this context. Some people believe that the āyah was confined to those mosques which were built by a Prophet, such as the Masjid al-Ḥarām and Jerusalem. This is related from Ḥudhayfah ibn al-Yamān and Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, who said that *i‘tikāf* can only be done in them. Others say that it can only be done in a mosque in which the *Jumu‘ah* prayer is held since they believe that what is indicated in the āyah is that category of mosques. This is related from ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and Ibn Mas‘ūd. It is the position of ‘Urwah, al-Ḥakam, Ḥammād, az-Zuhrī and Abū Ja‘far Muḥammad ibn ‘Alī, and is one of the positions of Mālik. Still others say that it is permitted in any mosque. This is related from Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, Abū Qilābah and others. It is the position of ash-Shāfi‘i and Abū Ḥanīfah and his people. Their argument is that āyah is general to every mosque with an imam and *mu‘adhdhin*. It is another position of Mālik. That is also the view of Ibn ‘Ulayyah, Dāwud ibn ‘Alī, aṭ-Ṭabarī and Ibn al-Mundhir. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk that Ḥudhayfah said that he heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say,
‘I’tikāf is proper in any mosque with a mu’adhhdhin and imam.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī said that aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk did not listen to Ḥudhayfah.

According to Mālik and Abū Ḥanīfah, the minimum time of i’tikāf is a day and a night. If someone vows to do it for a night, he must do it for a day and a night. That is the same if he vows to do it for a day: he must do it for a day and a night. Saḥnūn said that if someone vows to do it for a night, he owes nothing. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people say that if he vows a day, he must do a day without the night. If he vows to do a night, he owes nothing. Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that its minimum is an instant and there is not maximum. Some Ḥanafis say that i’tikāf for an hour is valid.

According to this view, fasting is not a precondition for i’tikāf. It is related from Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal in one of his two views, as well as Dāwud ibn ʿAlī and Ibn ʿUlayyah. Ibn al-Mundhir and Ibn al-ʿArabī also said that. They argued that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ did i’tikāf in Ramadan and so it is possible that his fasting was because of its being in Ramadan. According to Mālik and his people, if someone doing i’tikāf in Ramadan intends his fast to be both voluntary and obligatory, then his fast is invalid. It is known that in the night someone doing i’tikāf must avoid having sex with women as is also the case during the day. The night is included in his i’tikāf and the night is not a time for fasting. Therefore the day does not require fasting, but it is good for him to fast.

Mālik, Abū Ḥanīfah and Aḥmad, in his other position, say that i’tikāf is not valid without fasting. It is related from Ibn ʿUmar, Ibn ʿAbbās and ʿĀ’ishah. We find in the Muwaṭṭā from al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad and Nāfi‘, the freedman of ʿAbdullāh ibn ʿUmar, that there is no i’tikāf without fasting, going by the words of the Almighty in His Book: ‘Eat and drink … in the mosques.’ They said, ‘Allah mentions i’tikāf together with fasting. Yahyā said that Mālik said, ‘That is what we go by here.’ Their evidence is what ʿAbdullāh ibn Budayl related from ʿAmr ibn Dīnār from Ibn ʿUmar that in the
Jāhiliyyah ‘Umar imposed on himself that he would do *i’tikāf* for a night or a day at the Ka‘bah. He asked the Prophet ﷺ who said, ‘Do *i’tikāf* and fast.’ Abū Dāwud transmitted it. Ad-Dāraquṭnī said that only ‘Abdullāh ibn Budayl has it from ‘Amr, and he is weak. ‘Ā’ishah said that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘There is no *i’tikāf* without fasting.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī said that only Suwayd ibn ‘Abd al-Azīz has it from Sufyān ibn Ḥusayn from az-Zuhrī from ‘Urwah from ‘Ā’ishah. They said, ‘We do not consider fasting to be a precondition for *i’tikāf*. Rather it is sound that fasting can be for it, for Ramadan, for a vow, or something else. If someone makes a vow, it is directed to what it demands in the basis of the Sharī‘ah. So if someone vows to pray, he must do it. He does not have to purify himself for it especially, but a previous purification is sufficient.

Someone in *i’tikāf* should only leave it when absolutely necessary based on the imams relating that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah ﷺ did *i’tikāf*, he would put out his head to me and I would comb it. He would not enter the house except for a human need (meaning urine or defecation).’ There is no disagreement about this in the community nor among the imams. When someone in *i’tikāf* leaves for a necessary need and returns immediately after the need is fulfilled, he continues with his *i’tikāf* and owes nothing. Clear illness and menstruation are part of need.

They disagree about when someone leaves for some other reason. The position of Mālik is what we have mentioned, and that is also the position of ash-Shāfi‘i and Abū Ḥanīfah. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, al-Ḥasan and an-Nakha‘ī said that a person may visit the sick and attend funerals. This is also related from ‘Alī, but is not firm from him. Ishāq made a distinction between mandatory and voluntary *i’tikāf*: in mandatory *i’tikāf* a person may not visit the sick or attend funerals, but in the voluntary, when he begins, he may stipulate that he can visit the sick, attend funerals and attend to other needs. Ahmad has different positions regarding that: sometimes he forbids
it, and sometimes he says, ‘I hope that there will be no harm it.’ Al-Awzā‘ī has the same position as Mālik: no preconditions may be added to i‘tikāf. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘A person may not leave his i‘tikāf except for a necessary need which is that for which the Prophet left.’

They disagree about leaving for Jumu‘ah. Some say that he should leave for Jumu‘ah and return after he says the salām because he is leaving for something obligatory and therefore does not break his i‘tikāf. Ibn al-Jahm related it from Mālik. That is the view of Abū Ḥanīfah. Ibn al-‘Arabī and Ibn al-Mundhir said that. The well known position of Mālik is that someone who wants to do i‘tikāf for ten days, or vows to do that, may only do it in a Jāmi’ mosque. If he does it in another mosque, then he must leave for Jumu‘ah and his i‘tikāf is invalidated. ‘Abd al-Malik said, ‘He goes to Jumu‘ah, attends it and then returns and his i‘tikāf is valid.

That is sound because of Allah’s words, ‘in the mosques’, which is general. Scholars agree that i‘tikāf is not mandatory but sunnah. Most scholars agree that Jumu‘ah is an individual obligation. When there are two obligations and one is more strongly stressed than the other, then the one that is more strongly stressed is put first. So what is the case when there is something recommended and something mandatory? He did not say that he should fail to go to it, and so that counts as a need.

If someone in i‘tikāf commits a major sin, his i‘tikāf is invalidated because a major sin is the opposite of worship in the same way that breaking wudu’ is the opposite of purity. Leaving what Allah has forbidden is the highest of the stations of i‘tikāf in worship. Ibn Khuwayzimandād stated that.

Muslim related that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah wanted to go into i‘tikāf, he prayed fajr and then entered i‘tikāf. Scholars disagree about the time one enters i‘tikāf. Al-Awzā‘ī took the ḥadīth literally. It is related from ath-Thawrī and al-Layth ibn
Saʿd in one view, and also stated by Ibn al-Mundhir and a group of Tābiʿūn. Abū Thawr said, ‘This is done by someone who makes a vow for ten days. If he adds to it, it is before sunset.’ Mālik, ash-Shāfiʿī and Abū Ḥanīfah and his people said: ‘When someone imposes on himself to do *iʿtikāf* for a month, he should enter the mosque before sunset on that day.’ Mālik said, ‘That is the case with everyone who wants to do *iʿtikāf* for a day or longer.’ That was also stated by Abū Ḥanīfah and ‘Abd al-Malik ibn al-Mājishūn because the first night of the days of *iʿtikāf* is included in them and it is a time of *iʿtikāf* and is not divisible. Ash-Shāfiʿī said, ‘When someone swears to Allah to do *iʿtikāf* for a day, he should enter before dawn and leave after sunset.’ This is not his well known position. Al-Layth in one view and Zufar said that he should enter before dawn. They consider a month or a day to be the same. The same is related from Abū Yūsuf and it is also the view of Qāḍī ‘Abd al-Wahhāb: night is included in *iʿtikāf* as a natural consequence by the evidence that *iʿtikāf* is only valid with fasting and night is not a time of fasting. Therefore it is considered that what is meant by *iʿtikāf* is the day rather than the night. The ḥadīth of ‘Ā’ishah refutes these views. It is the proof in case of dispute and is a firm ḥadīth which is undisputedly sound.

Mālik recommended that someone doing *iʿtikāf* during the last ten nights of Ramadan should spend the night of *Fiṭr* in the mosque and go from there to the prayer. Aḥmad also said that. Ash-Shāfiʿī and al-Awzāʾī said that he should leave at sunset. Saḥnūn related that from Ibn al-Qāsim, because the ten end when the month ends, and the month ends at the sunset of the last day of Ramadan. Saḥnūn said that this is mandatory. If he leaves during the night of *Fiṭr* then his *iʿtikāf* is void. Ibn al-Mājishūn said, ‘This is invalidated by what we mentioned about the end of the month. If remaining the night of *Fiṭr* had been a precondition of the validity of *iʿtikāf*, then no *iʿtikāf* is would have been valid unless it was connected to the night of *Fiṭr*. 
The consensus that this is not the case indicates that it is not a precondition of soundness for someone in i’itikāf to stay the night of Fīṭr.’ This is enough about rulings of fasting and i’itikāf connected to these āyahs. Success is by Allah.

**These are Allah’s limits, so do not go near them.**

‘These judgments are the limits of Allah, so do not oppose them.’ This indicates these commands and prohibitions. ‘Hudūd’ (limits) are barriers and ḥadd is prevention. Iron is called ḥadīd because it prevents the weapon from reaching the body. A doorman and jailer are called ḥaddād because they prevent people from entering or going out. The hudūd of Allah are so called because they prevent the one who commits the crimes from returning to their like.

**In this way does Allah make His Signs clear to people**

Just as He clarifies these limits, He clarifies all the judgments, so that people will be careful not to exceed them. The Signs are the āyahs which guide to the truth. Hopefully Allah will ease them to guidance by the direction provided by the Signs.

\\[
\text{وَلَا تَجْلَبُوا أَمَوَاتَكُمْ بِالْخَزَمَةِ إِلَّا لِتَكُونُ إِلَيْهِمْ ذِكْرٌ}
\]

188 Do not devour one another’s property by false means nor offer it to the judges as a bribe, trying through crime to knowingly usurp a portion of other people’s property.

**Do not devour one another’s property by false means**

This was revealed about ‘Abdān ibn Ashwa‘ al-Ḥadramī. He claimed some property from Imru-l-Qays al-Kindī and they went to the Prophet ﷺ and Imru-l-Qays al-Kindī denied ‘Abdān’s claim and wanted to make an oath. This was revealed and he refrained from making the oath and the Prophet ﷺ ruled in favour of ‘Abdān in respect of the disputed property and Imru-l-Qays did not contend against him. But despite the specific cause of the revelation, the āyah
embraces the entire community of Muḥammad ﷺ. It means: you should not consume one another’s property without having the legal right to do so. Things included in this judgment are gambling, fraud, usurpation, denying someone’s just rights and anything the owner is not happy about or things which the Sharī’ah forbids, even if the owner is happy about them, such as money from prostitution, fees for soothsaying and money from wine and pigs other such things. ‘Property’ is ascribed to both parties since the prohibition applies to both of them.

Anyone who obtains someone else’s property in a manner other than that permitted by the Sharī’ah has consumed it by false means. One such occasion is if a qāḍī judges in your favour when you know that you are in the wrong. The unlawful does not become lawful by the verdict of a judge because he judges by the outward. This is the consensus concerning property. Umm Salamah transmitted that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘I am but a man to whom you bring your disputes. Perhaps one is more eloquent in his evidence than the other and so I rule according to what I have heard from him. If I make a ruling in his favour about something which is rightfully his brother’s, he should not take any of it, for I am awarding him a portion of the Fire.’ The position of most scholars and the imams of the fuqahā’ base their position on this ḥadīth.

This is a clear text expressing the fact that the ruling of the judge by the outward does not change the inward judgment, whether it is about property, bloodshed or sexual matters, except for Abū Ḥanīfah’s position that judgment does not effect the ruling about private sexual matters. He claimed that if a man gives false witness about divorcing his wife and the judge gives a ruling on the basis of their testimony, since they are reputable in his view, it is lawful for her husband to have sex with her when it is known that the ruling was void after her ʿiddah. That is also the case when one of the witnesses marries her: in his view, it is permitted, because if she is
lawful to her new husband outwardly, the witness and others are the same, because the judgment of the qāḍī severed the bond. When that happens, then making lawful or making unlawful occur both outwardly and in private. If that were true, she would not be lawful to past husbands. He argues by the ruling of the li‘ān and says, ‘It is known that a wife can be parted from her husband by a li‘ān based on lies. If the judge had known her lies, he would have imposed the ḥadd on her and not parted them. This has nothing to do with the words of the Prophet ﷺ, “If I give a ruling in his favour about something which is rightfully his brother’s, he should not take any of it.”’

This āyah binds everyone who agrees with or opposes any ruling they ask for themselves which is not permitted. Evidence for this is found in His words: ‘Do not consume one another’s property by false means.’ (4:29) It could be answered that it is not agreed to be false until there is clear evidence of that being the case. Then it is included in the general statement. It is evidence that false means are not permitted in transactions.

The root of the word for ‘by false means’ (bāṭil) means literally to go and depart. The plural is bawāṭil. One form of it means to follow a diversion. Qatādah says that Allah’s words: ‘Falsehood cannot reach it’ (41:42), refers to Iblīs. He is not able to add anything or remove anything. In: ‘Allah wipes out the false’ (42:24), it means shirk. Baṭalah means sorcerers.

nor offer it to the judges as a bribe,

It is said that this refers to deposits and things about which there is no evidence, as Ibn ‘Abbās and al-Ḥasan said. It is said that it is property belonging to orphans in the possession of their trustees which is presented to the judges when it is demanded, so that some of it can be alienated and apparent evidence given regarding it. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘You act according to what the outward rulings demand and leave what you know to be the truth.’ ‘Adlā bi’l-ḥujja’ is to present
something you hope will be successful. It is likened to someone letting down a bucket (dalw) into a well. The verb adlā is used for releasing it and dalā is to pull it out. It means: ‘Do not combine consuming wealth by false means with presenting false evidence to judges.’ That is like His words: ‘Do not mix up truth with falsehood and knowingly hide the truth.’ (2:42) It is said that it means ‘Do not cajole judges with your property and give them bribes (tarshaw) so that they judge in your favour because judges are rarely free of this.’ The two words are similar, as dalw means a bucket and the root of the word normally used for bribe (rashwah) is rashā, a rope, since people use rope to get what they need. But judges today are likely to take bribes. There is no power nor strength except by Allah!

trying through crime to knowingly usurp a portion of other people’s property.

‘Fariq’ is a part or portion, and the word is used to denote a sheep that is apart from the greater flock. It means to consume the property of some people. The words ‘through crime’ mean through injustice and transgression. It is called a ‘crime’ (ithm) because of the wrong action of the doer. ‘Knowingly’ means that you know that it is false and a wrong action and this is astonishing audacity and disobedience.

The people of the Sunnah agree that whoever takes any kind of property in this way, no matter whether it is a little or a lot, becomes legally iniquitous by doing that. It is forbidden for him to take it. An exception to that was made by Bishr ibn al-Mu‘tamir and the Mu‘tazilites who followed him. He said that someone only becomes legally iniquitous by taking ten dinars, not by anything less than that. Ibn Hudhayl that it is five dirhams. Some of the Qadarīs of Basra said that it is one dirham or more, but not less. All of this is rejected by the Qur’an and Sunnah and agreement of the scholars of the community. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Your blood property and reputations are sacrosanct to you.’ This is agreed to be sound.
They will ask you about the crescent moons. Say, ‘They are set times for mankind and for the ḥajj.’ It is not devoutness for you to enter houses by the back. Rather devoutness is possessed by those who are godfearing. So come to houses by their doors and have fear of Allah, so that hopefully you will be successful.

They will ask you about the crescent moons.

The Jews asked the Prophet ﷺ about this when Muʿādh was with him and Muʿādh said, ‘Messenger of Allah, the Jews overwhelm us and ask us a lot of questions about the crescent moons. Why does the moon first appear fine and then increase in size until it is round and then get smaller again until it looks like it did at the beginning?’ Then Allah revealed this āyah. It is also said that the reason for the revelation of this āyah was that the Muslims asked the Prophet ﷺ about the new moon and the reason for its waning and fullness and its difference from the sun. Ibn ‘Abbās, Qatādah, ar-Rabī‘ and others said that.

‘Crescent moons’ (ahilla) is the plural of hilāl, while it is one in reality since it occurs once in every month, although it also appears at the end. It is a reference to the passing months and the term is used to designate months because the month begins with a crescent moon. The month (shahr) takes its name from the fact that people make it known (tashharu) by pointing at the new moon with their hands when they see it. The term is used for the two days at the end of the month and the two at the beginning. It is also said to apply to the three at the beginning. Al-Aṣmā‘ī says it is called a crescent (hilāl) when it is curved like a thin thread. It is also said that it is called hilāl until its light is clear in the sky, which is the seventh night. Abu-
l-‘Abbās said that it is called *hilāl* because the word means to raise the voice and people raise their voices when reporting its sighting. One form of the verb is used for the cry of a newborn baby. Another use is for a face shining with joy.

If someone swears that he will settle a debt, or do something else, at the crescent moon or at the beginning of the crescent and does that a day or two after the crescent moon, he has not broken his oath. All the months are valid times for all sorts of acts of worship and transactions.

**Say, ‘They are set times for mankind and for the ḥajj.’**

This explains the legal ruling applied to the waxing and waning of the moon. It removes doubt about the length of set terms and transactions, oaths, ḥājj, ‘iddah, fasting and breaking the fast, and the extent of pregnancy, wages and hire and other things. This is referred to in the *ayah*: ‘We made the night and day two Signs. We blotted out the Sign of the night and made the Sign of the day a time for seeing so that you can seek favour from your Lord and will know the number of years and the reckoning of time.’ (17:12) Allah also says: ‘It is He Who appointed the sun to give radiance and the moon to give light, assigning its phases so you would know the number of years and the reckoning of time.’ (10:5) Counting the new moons is easier than counting days.

What we have affirmed refutes the literalists who say that sharecropping (*musāqāh*) is permitted for an unknown number of years. They argued that the Messenger of Allah Ⓐ empleado the Jews in return for half the crops and dates without setting a time. This does not constitute evidence because the Prophet Ⓐ told the Jews, ‘I affirm you in what Allah has affirmed you.’ This is the clearest evidence that that was something specifically for him. He was awaiting a judgment concerning that from his Lord. That is not the case with other people. The Sharī‘ah deals with ideas of hire and all transactions. Nothing is permitted except what the Book and Sunnah sets out. The imams of the community state that.
‘Set times’ (mawāqīt) is the plural of mīqāt. It is also said that mīqāt refers to the end of a period, and that mawāqīt is not declinable and has no singular form. Hajj is only mentioned because it is something whose time needs to be known and it is not permitted to delay it beyond its time, which differs from what the pre-Islamic Arabs thought because they used to alter the months. Allah nullified what they said and did as will be discussed in al-Tawbah, Allah willing.

Mālik and Abū Ḥanīfah used this āyah as evidence that ḥārām can be validly adopted outside the months of ḥajj because Allah Almighty here makes all the crescent moons apply to it. This is contrary to the position taken by ash-Shāfi‘ī in view of the words of Allah: ‘The ḥajj takes place during certain well-known months’ (2:197), as we will discover when we come to that āyah. The meaning of this āyah, then, is that some crescent moons are times for people (in general) and some are times for ḥajj (specifically).

There is no disagreement between scholars that if someone sells known goods at a known term in the months or days of the customary Arab dating system, that is permitted. That is the same with a sale on credit with a known period of time. They disagree about someone who sells something which is to be paid for at harvest time or the arrivals of stipends or the like. Mālik said, ‘That is permitted because it is known.’ Abū Thawr said that. Aḥmad said, ‘I hope that there is nothing wrong with it.’ The same is true when setting a time such as the arrival of an expedition. Ibn ‘Umar said that one can sell with payment due at the time of the payment of the stipend. One group said that it is not permitted because Allah Almighty set times and made them the markers for time periods in sales. Ibn ‘Abbās said that. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and an-Nu‘mān stated that. Ibn al-Mundhir said that the view of Ibn ‘Abbās is sound.

When the crescent moon is seen to be large, our scholars say that one does not rely on whether it is large or small. It is the product of its night. Muslim related that Abu-l-Bakhtarī said, ‘We went out on
‘umrah. When we camped at Baṭn Nakhlah, we saw the new moon. Some of the people said that it was three nights old and some said two nights. We met Ibn ‘Abbās and said, “We saw the new moon and some of the people said that it was three nights old and some said two nights.” He asked, “Which night did you see it?” We told him and he said, “The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Allah has extended this for seeing.’ It was the night you saw it.”

It is not devoutness for you to enter houses by the back.

This is mentioned together with talking about the time of the ḥajj because the questions about the crescent moons and entering houses from their backs were asked together and the āyah was revealed in answer to both of them.

If the Anṣār set out on ḥajj and then returned for something they would not enter the doors of their houses. After they had adopted ḥijām for ḥajj or ‘umrah, they were not allowed to have anything come between them and the sky, so if one of them returned to get something, he would not go inside his house because the ceiling would come between him and the sky. Instead, he would climb up the outside walls onto the roof and then stand by his room and ask for whatever he needed which would be brought out to him. They used to think that this was piety and devoutness and Allah refuted that, making it clear that piety consists obeying Him.

As transmitted by Abū Ṣāliḥ, Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘During the time of the Jāhiliyyah, and also at the beginning of Islam, when someone adopted ḥijām for ḥajj and was one of the people who live in houses, he would make a hole in the back of his house and enter and leave through it or put a ladder up and climb up and descend by it. If he lived in a tent, he would enter by the back of the tent unless he was one of the ḥums.’ Az-Zuhrī related that the Prophet adopted ḥijām for ‘umrah in the time of Ḥudaybīyah and entered his room and one of the Anṣār entered after him and made a hole in the wall as was his custom. They asked him, ‘Why did you go inside when you
are in ihrām?’ The man replied, ‘You entered and so I entered.’ The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘I am one of the ḥums,’ meaning those who do not follow that as a dīn. The man said to him, ‘I have the same dīn as you do,’ and the āyah was revealed. Ibn ‘Abbas, ‘Aṭā’ and Qatādah said that. It is said that the man was Quṭbah ibn ‘Āmir al-Anṣārī. Ḥums means the tribes of Quraysh, Kinānah, Khuzā‘ah, Thaqif, Jushm, Banū ‘Āmir ibn Ṣa‘ṣa‘ah and the Banū Naṣr ibn Mu‘āwiyah. It derives from their zealousness (ḥamāsah) in their dīn.

There is some disagreement about the interpretation of this phrase. What we have already mentioned is said about it and it is sound. It is said that it is about delaying the ḥajj; they would make a month sacred that was not normally sacred by delaying the ḥajj so that it took place in it and thereby they also made a sacred month no longer sacred by removing the ḥajj from it. According to this, mentioning houses is based on the disagreement about the obligation in respect of the ḥajj and its months. Some of this will be mentioned in at-Tawbah, Allah willing. Abū ‘Ubaydah said that the āyah is a metaphor and means: ‘It is not devoutness to ask the ignorant, but it is to have fear of Allah and to ask those with knowledge.’ This is similar to the words, ‘I approached the matter by its door.’

It is related by al-Mahdawī, Makkī from Ibn al-Anbārī and al-Māwardī from Ibn Zayd that the āyah is a metaphor for having sex with wives, and men are being instructed to have sex from the front and not the back. Women are called ‘houses’ because one comes to them as one comes to houses. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is unlikely and alters the form of the words.’ Al-Ḥasan said, ‘They used to look for omens. If someone went on a journey and did not achieve what he needed, he would come to his house from the back of it because of the ill omen of disappointment. They were told, ‘There is no devoutness in omens. Devoutness is to be godfearing and trust in Allah.’
The first view is the soundest one because of what al-Barā’ related: ‘When the Anṣār went on hajj and returned, they would not enter the houses by their doors.’ He said that one of the Anṣār came and entered his house by the door and was asked about that and so the āyah was revealed. This is a text about actual houses. Al-Bukhārī and Muslim transmitted it. The other views are derived from something else in the āyah. It is also said that in the āyah Allah calls attention to what devoutness is, and it is doing what Allah has commanded. When Allah says to ‘come to houses by their doors’, He is telling people to do things in the manner which Allah has recommended. So the views are sound. ‘Houses’ is the plural of bayt, and it is read as both buyūt and biyūt.

This āyah also makes clear that anything Allah has not prescribed or recommended as an act of devotion is not, in fact, an act of devotion. Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘If it is hard to differentiate between what is devoutness and devotion and what is not, examine the action involved. If it is similar in nature to other legal obligations and sunnahs, then it can be that. If that is not the case, then it is not devoutness or devotion.’ He added, ‘That is found in reports from the Prophet ﷺ.’ And he mentioned the hadith of Ibn ‘Abbās who said, ‘Once, when the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was speaking, he saw a man standing in the sun and asked about him. They said, ‘He is Abū Isrā‘īl. He made a vow to stand and not sit, not to seek shade or speak, and to fast.’ The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Tell him to speak, seek shade and sit. He should complete his fast.’ So the Prophet ﷺ nullified what was not devotion and had no basis in the Sharī‘ah. This confirms that devotion is an action which is somehow similar to other legal obligations and sunnahs.
you, but do not go beyond the limits. Allah does not love those who go beyond the limits.

**Fight in the Way of Allah against those who fight you**

This was the first āayah to be revealed with the command to fight. There is no disagreement that fighting was forbidden before the Hijrah by the words of Allah: ‘RepeI the bad with something better’ (41:34), ‘Pardon them and overlook’ (5:13), ‘Cut yourself off from them – but courteously’ (73:10), ‘You are not in control of them’ (88:22) and other similar āyahs which were revealed in Makkah. When the Prophet ﷺ emigrated to Madīnah, he was commanded to fight and this āayah was revealed. Ar-Rabī’ ibn Anas and others said this. Abū Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīq, however, said that the first āayah revealed about fighting was the āayah in Sūrat al-Ḥajj: ‘Permission is given to those who are fought against because they have been wronged.’ (22:39). The one in this sūrah is more frequently cited as being the first.

The āayah for the permission to fight was revealed about fighting in general and the instruction is to fight not only those idolators who fight the Muslims but also those who do not fight. The command refers to the time when the Prophet ﷺ went out with his Companions to Makkah to perform ṭumrah. When he camped at Ḥudaybīyah near Makkah, the idolators prevented him from continuing on into Makkah and he remained there for a month. Ḥudaybīyah is the name of a well and that site is named after the well. They made a treaty stipulating that he could return the following year for three days and that there would be no fighting between them for ten years. After concluding this treaty, he returned to Madīnah. The following year he made preparations for ḥajj and the Muslims feared the treachery of the unbelievers and did not like the idea of fighting in the sacred months and in the Ḥaram.

Then this āayah was revealed, meaning that it is lawful for you to fight if the unbelievers fight you. So the āayah is connected to the prior mention of ḥajj and entering houses by the back. After this the
Prophet ﷺ fought those who fought him and refrained from fighting those who refrained from fighting him until the āyah in Sūrat al-Tawbah (9:5) was revealed, ‘Fight the idolaters,’ and this āyah was abrogated. This is the position of the majority of scholars.

Ibn Zayd and ar-Rabī‘, however, say that this āyah was abrogated by Allah’s words: ‘Fight the idolaters totally’ (9:36) in which he was commanded to fight all the unbelievers. Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz, and Mujāhid said that it is an āyah whose judgment remains operative and means: ‘Fight those who fight you and do not transgress by killing women, children, monks and the like’ as will be explained. Abū Ja‘far an-Naḥḥās said that this is the sounder position in terms of both the Sunnah and in terms of logic. As for the Sunnah, there is a ḥadīth reported by Ibn ‘Umar that, during one of his expeditions, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ saw a woman who had been killed and he abhorred that and forbade the killing of women and children. As for logic, it applies to children and those like them, such as monks, the chronically ill, old men and hirelings, who clearly should not be killed. When Abū Bakr sent Yazīd ibn Abī Sufyān to Syria, he commanded that he should not do harm to certain groups. Mālik and others transmitted this. Scholars put those who should not be killed into six categories.

– Women. But if they fight, they should be fought. Saḥnūn said, ‘In battle and out of it because of the general nature of Allah’s words: “Fight against those who fight you.”’ A woman can have an immense effect on the fighting, including supplying assistance and encouraging fighting. Women go out with their hair undone, shouting encouragement and censuring flight. So it is permitted to kill them. If they are captured, however, then enslavement is more beneficial since they are more easily converted and it is difficult for them to run away which is not the case with men.’

– Children. Children should not be killed and that is a firm prohibition. If a child fights, however, then he can be killed.
Monks. Monks should be neither killed nor enslaved. They are left to live on the property which they own. This is when they live apart from the people of disbelief because of the command which Abū Bakr gave to Yazīd ibn Abī Sufyān, ‘You will find some people who claim that they have confined the themselves for the sake of Allah. Leave them with what they claim.’ If, however, they are with the unbelievers in churches, they can be killed. As for nuns, Ashhab thinks that they should not be killed. Saḥnūn said, ‘Being a nun does not alter the basic ruling about her as a woman.’ Qāḍī Abū Bakr ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘I think that the sound view is that of Ashhab and nuns are included in the directive of Abū Bakr.’

The chronically ill. Saḥnūn says that they should be killed. Ibn Ḥabīb says that they should not be killed. The sound position is that we consider their states. If there is potential harm in them, they should be killed. Otherwise, they should be left alone.

Old men. Mālik says that they should not be killed and that is the position of the majority of fuqahā’. If an old man is senile and unable to fight and not consulted for his opinion or taking part in defence, he should not be killed. Mālik and Abū Ḥanīfah say that. Ash-Shāfi‘ī has two positions: one is that of the majority and the second is that old men and monks should be killed. The sound position is the first one because of what Abū Bakr said to Yazīd. No one opposes it and there is a consensus to back it up. Also it is not permitted to kill anyone who does not fight or help the enemy, like women. As for those harm is feared in respect of planning, advice or monetary support, if they are captured, the ruler can choose between five options: killing, an act of good will, ransom, enslavement or agreeing to become a dhimmī in return for the payment of jizyah.

Hirelings and agricultural workers. Mālik says that they should not be killed. Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that agricultural workers, hirelings, and old men should be killed unless they agree to pay the jizyah. The first view is sounder because of what the Prophet ﷺ said in the ḥadīth

Ashhab mentioned that the āyah refers to those who were at Ḥudaybīyah who were commanded to fight those who fought them. What is sound is that it is addressed to all Muslims: it commands each of them to fight those who fight them. Do you not see how Allah made the matter clear in Sūrat at-Tawbah when He says: ‘Fight those of the unbelievers who are near to you.’ (9:123) What was meant first are the people of Makkah and it was specified to begin with them. When Allah conquered Makkah, then it was directed to those nearby who were causing harm until eventually the call became universal and the word reached all areas, no unbeliever remaining. That continues until the Day of Rising, supported by the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘There is good in the forelocks of horses until the Day of Rising: reward and spoils.’ It is said that its end will be the descent of Jesus son of Mary which is in agreement with the previous ḥadīth because his descent is one of the signs of the Final Hour.

**But do not go beyond the limits.**

This is a firm judgment. As for the apostates, the only options available concerning them are execution or repentance. The same applies to people involved in deviation and misguidance: the only options afforded them are execution or repentance. If someone conceals a false belief and then it appears in him, as happens with a zīndīq, he should be killed and is not asked to repent. As for those who rebel against just rulers, they must be fought until they return to the truth.

Some people have said that this phrase means: ‘Do not go beyond the limits by fighting for other than the Face of Allah, out of fanaticism, for instance, or to gain fame.’ In other words, ‘Fight in
the Way of Allah against those who fight you. Fight in support of the dīn so that Allah’s Word is uppermost.’ It is said that it means: do not fight those who do not fight. In that case it would be abrogated by the command to fight all the unbelievers, and Allah knows best.

191 Kill them wherever you come across them and expel them from where they expelled you. Fitnah is worse than killing. Do not fight them in the Masjid al-Ḥarām until they fight you there. But if they do fight you, then kill them. That is how the unbelievers should be repaid. 192 But if they cease, Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

Kill them wherever you come across them

This is evidence for killing captives, and that topic will be explained in Sūrat al-Anfāl, Allah willing.

and expel them from where they expelled you

According to aṭ-Ṭabarī this is addressed to the Muhājirūn and ‘they’ refers to the unbelievers of Quraysh.

Fitnah is worse than killing.

The fitnah to which they are subjecting you − trying to make you return to disbelief − is worse than killing. Mujāhid said it refers to the believers, so the meaning is that being killed is better for a believer than being subjected to fitnah. Others said that fitnah here means their association of others with Allah and their disbelief in Him and it is a great crime and worse than the killing which they criticise you for. There is evidence that the āyah was revealed about ‘Amr ibn al-Ḥaḍramī when he was killed by Wāqid ibn ‘Abdullāḥ at- Tamīmī at the end of the sacred month of Rajab, an incident which will be explained later. Aṭ-Ṭabarī and others said that.

Do not fight them in the Masjid al-Ḥarām until they fight you there.
Scholars take two positions concerning this āyah. One is that it is abrogated. The second is that it is an āyah containing a firm judgment. Mujāhid says that it is an āyah of judgment and that it is not permitted to fight anyone in the Masjid al-Ḥarām unless they fight you there. Ṭāwūs said that as well, and indeed it is what is intimated by the text of the āyah. It is a sound position and Abū Ḥanīfah and his people adopted it. In the Ṣaḥīḥ Collection, Ibn ‘Abbās reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said on the day when Makkah was conquered, ‘This land was made sacred on the day Allah created the heavens and the earth and it will remain sacred as Allah has decreed until the Day of Rising. Fighting was not lawful in it for anyone before me and it was only lawful for me for one hour of one day and it will remain sacred until the Day of Rising.’

Qatādah said that the āyah is abrogated by Allah’s words: ‘When the sacred months are over, kill the idolaters wherever you find them.’ (9:5). Muqātil said the same. So this means that it is possible to initiate killing in the Ḥaram and evidence for that position can be found in the fact that Sūrat at-Tawbah, where this āyah occurs, was revealed about two years after Sūrat al-Baqarah and after the Prophet ﷺ entered Makkah and had Ibn Khaṭal, who was clinging to the drapes of the Ka‘bah, killed there.

Ibn Khuwayzimandād said that the phrase ‘Do not fight them in the Masjid al-Ḥarām’ is abrogated because the consensus is that people should be fought if they attack, even if they occupy Makkah. If they stop people from performing ḥajj, then it is a legal obligation to fight them, even if they do not start the fighting. Makkah is the same as everywhere else in that respect.

It is said that it is a Ḥarām which should be esteemed. Do you not see that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ sent out Khālid ibn al-Walīd in the year of the Conquest, telling him, ‘Reap them with your sword until you meet me on Ṣafā.’ Al-ʿAbbās came and said, ‘Quraysh are finished! There will be no Quraysh after today!’ Do you not see
what Allah said about the respect owed to it, that anything found there should not be picked up without it being announced, and yet that is the same with it and other places? It is possible that it is abrogated by His words: ‘Fight them until there is no more fitnah.’ (2:193)

Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘I was in Jerusalem on a Friday at the madrasah of Abū ʿUqbah al-Ḥanafī while Qāḍī az-Zanjānī was giving a lesson to us. While we were there, a man with a radiant countenance with rags on his back entered. He gave the greeting of scholars and went to the front of the gathering wearing a shepherd’s shirt. Qāḍī az-Zanjānī asked, “Who is the master?” He answered, “A man whom scoundrels looted yesterday while I was making for this sacred Ḥaram. I am a man from the people of Ṣaghān, a seeker of knowledge.” The Qāḍī immediately said, “Question him!” It was their custom to honour men of knowledge by immediately questioning them. The lot [for the question posed] that came up was the case of an unbeliever who seeks sanctuary in the Ḥaram: may he be killed or not? The man gave a fatwā that he should not be killed. He was asked for his proof and said that it was the words of Allah: “Do not fight them in the Masjid al-Ḥarām until they fight you there.” It can also be recited as “Do not kill them.” If it is recited as that, then it is a clear text forbidding that. If it is recited as “Do not fight them,” then it is an admonition because, if Allah forbids fighting which is a cause of killing, it is clear evidence of the prohibition of killing itself. The Qāḍī countered him by supporting ash-Shāfiʿī and Mālik even though he normally did not espouse their school. So he stated, “This āyah is abrogated by His words: ‘kill the idolaters wherever you find them’ (9:5).” The man from Ṣaghān replied, “This is not fitting for the position and knowledge of the Qāḍī. This āyah which you used to counter is general to all places and that which I used for argument is specific. It is not permitted for anyone to say that the generally undefined abrogates the specific.” Qāḍī az-Zanjānī was stumped. Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘If an unbeliever seeks sanctuary in the Ḥaram,
there is no way to kill him because of the text of the āyah and the firm Sunnah that forbids fighting in it. However, the hadd punishment must be carried out on the fornicator and killer. If, however, the unbeliever initiates fighting, then he is killed according to the text of the Qur’an.’

As for the argument they use about the killing of Ibn Khāṭal and his fellows, that is not a proper argument. That occurred at a time in which Makkah was not a Ḥaram because it was an abode of war and unbelief and so the blood of people could be shed during the time it was not a Ḥaram and in which fighting was permitted. It is confirmed and correct that the first view is sounder, and Allah knows best.

Some scholars say that this āyah applies in the case of someone who rebels against the ruler but does not apply to unbelievers. Unbelievers are killed in every case when they fight. Rebels are only fought with defence in mind and should not be pursued if they retreat, or finished off if they are wounded. This will be discussed in Sūrat al-Ḥujurāt.

But if they cease,

If they stop fighting you because they believe and become Muslims, then Allah will forgive them all that they did before and show mercy to all of them by pardoning them as Allah says elsewhere: ‘Say to those who disbelieve that if they stop they will be forgiven what is past.’ (8:38)

193 Fight them until there is no more fitnah and the dīn belongs to Allah alone. If they cease, there should be no enmity towards any but wrongdoers.

Fight them until there is no more fitnah and the dīn belongs to Allah alone.

This is a command to fight every idolater in every place according
to those who say that it abrogates the previous āyahs. According to those who say that it does not abrogate other āyahs, it means: ‘Fight those about whom Allah says: “if they fight you.”’ The former is the more likely meaning. It is an unqualified command to fight without any precondition of hostilities being initiated by the unbelievers. The evidence for that is in the words of Allah: ‘and the dīn belongs to Allah alone.’ The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘I was commanded to fight people until they say, “There is no god but Allah.”’ The āyah and hadīth both indicate that the reason for fighting is disbelief because Allah says: ‘until there is no more fitnah,’ meaning disbelief in this case. So the goal is to abolish disbelief and that is clear.

Ibn ‘Abbās, Qatādah, ar-Rabī’, as-Suddī and others said that fitnah here means shirk and the subsequent injury to the believers caused by it. The root of fitnah is testing and trial, derived from the term for testing silver when it is put in the fire to separate the impurities from the pure metal.

If they cease, there should be no enmity towards any but wrongdoers.

If they stop and become Muslim, or submit by paying jīzyah in the case of the people of the Book. Otherwise they should be fought and they are wrongdoers and only transgress against themselves. What is done to the wrongdoers is called enmity since it is the requital of enmity. Wrongdoing and injustice involve enmity and the requital of enmity is also called enmity. It is as Allah says: ‘The repayment of a bad action is one equivalent to it.’ (42:40) The wrongdoers are either those who initiate fighting or those who remain entrenched in disbelief and fitnah.

194 Sacred month in return for sacred month – sacred things are subject to retaliation. So if anyone oversteps
the limits against you, overstep against him the same as he did to you. But have fear of Allah. Know that Allah is with those who are godfearing.

Sacred month in return for sacred month –

The reason for this being revealed was reported by Ibn ‘Abbās, Qatādah, Mujāhid, Miqsam, as-Suddī, ar-Rabī‘, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and others. They said that it was revealed during the ‘Fulfilled ‘Umrah’. When the idolaters prevented the Prophet from completing the ‘Umra in the Year of al-Ḥudaybīyah, Allah promised him that he would enter Makkah, and he did so in 7 AH and completed the practices of ‘umra and the āyah was revealed. Al-Hasan related that the idolaters said to the Prophet, “Have you ceased fighting in the sacred month, Muḥammad?” “Yes” he replied. They wanted to fight and the āyah was revealed by which Allah allowed him to fight against them. The first interpretation, however, is better known.

Sacred things are subject to retaliation.

Ḥurumāt is the plural of ḥurmah. Allah means the sacredness of the sacred month, the sacred land and the sacredness of ḥiṭrām. Ḥurmah denotes something which is inviolable. Retaliation (qiṣāṣ) is to make things equal, so in this instance the compensation for you for when they stopped you in 6 AH is to make up the ‘umrah in 7 AH. So this is connected to what precedes it. It is said that it is separate and that it refers to the state of affairs at the beginning in Islam which was, that if something sacred was violated, they were entitled to the like of the transgression committed against them. Then this was abrogated by the āyahs of fighting.

One group say that the āyah deals with enmity within the community of Muhammad and other crimes and so it is not abrogated. When someone is the victim of transgression in respect of his property or through physical injury, he can retaliate with the like of what was done to him. This is between creatures. There is nothing between the human being and Allah in that respect. Ash-
Shāfi‘ī and others say that, and there is one transmission to that effect from Mālik. A group of Mālikīs say that matters of retaliation are up to the judges and not the individual. Property is dealt with according to the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Surrender trusts to those who entrusted them to you and do not betray those who betrayed you.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it. If someone deposits a trust with someone who betrays him, he is not permitted to betray him in return and attain his due from the one he trusted. This is the well known position of their school. Abū Ḥanīfah held that view as well because of this ḥadīth as well as the words of the Almighty: ‘Allah commands you to return to their owners the things you hold on trust.’ (4:58)


What is sound is that it is permitted for him to do that provided that he can obtain his property without being considered to be a thief. That is the school of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Ad-Dāwudī related this from Mālik and Ibn al-Mundhir said that as well. Ibn al-‘Arabī preferred that and said that it is not treachery, but rather obtaining one’s right. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Help your brother, wronging or wronged.’ Taking what is owed from the wrongdoer is part of helping him. When Hind bint ‘Uqbah, the wife of Abū Sufyān, said to the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Abū Sufyān is a stingy, mean man. He does not give me and my son enough maintenance unless I take it from his property without his knowledge. Do I do anything wrong?’ He ﷺ answered, ‘Take what is enough for you and your son in a correct manner.’ So he allowed her to take, but only the amount strictly due to her. All of this is confirmed in the Ṣaḥīḥ. The words in this āyah cut off the dispute.

They disagree about someone taking property which is not of the
same sort as the property he is owed. It is said that he can only do that based on the ruling of a judge. Ash-Shāfi‘ī has two views. The sounder of the two is that he may take it based on analogy with taking it from the same sort of property. The second view is that he should not take it because it is a different sort of property. Some say that he calculates the value of what he is owed and takes an equivalent amount. This is sound based on what we explained of the proof.

In dealing with taking, does one take into account what someone owes of debts and other things? Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that you do not, but simply take what you are owed. Mālik said that a person takes into consideration his position in relation to other debtors when someone is bankrupt. Allah knows best.

So if anyone oversteps the limits against you, overstep against him the same as he did to you.

It is agreed that this āyah is general and undefined and retaliation can either be done directly, if that is possible, or by obtaining a legal judgment. People disagree about whether ordinary compensation is included under this and whether it can be called ‘overstepping’ or not. Some say that there are no metaphors in the Qur’ān and that this is overstepping, but permitted overstepping (‘udwān), as that is a normal linguistic usage in Arabic. Others say that there are metaphors in the Qur’ān and ‘overstepping’ here is used in that way. Scholars disagree about someone who destroys or ruins animals or goods which cannot be weighed or measured. Ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah and his people and a group of scholars say that he owes the equivalent of that and one pays no attention to price unless there is nothing equivalent available, based on this āyah as well as: ‘If you want to retaliate, retaliate to the same degree as the injury done to you.’ (16:123)

It is said that this principle should be applied to all things, even broken bowls, since the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘A vessel for a vessel and food for food’ regarding an incident when one of his wives broke a
bowl of food belonging to another wife. Abū Dāwud transmitted from Musaddad from Yaḥyā, and from Muḥammad ibn al-Muthannā from Khālid from Ḥumayd from Anas that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was with one of his wives when another of the Mothers of the Believers sent a servant with a bowl of food. She struck it with her hand and broke the bowl. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ picked up the two pieces and put them together and began to collect the food in them, saying, ‘Your mother is jealous.’ He said, ‘Eat,’ and they ate until a bowl in her house was brought. He kept the messenger and the bowl until they had finished and then gave the unbroken bowl to the messenger and kept the broken one. Abū Dāwud related from Musaddad from Yaḥyā from Fulayt al-‘Āmirī (whom Abū Dāwud says is Aflat ibn Khalīfah) from Jasrah bint Dajājah that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘I never saw a woman make food like Ṣafiyyah. She prepared food for the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and sent it to him. I could not keep myself from breaking the vessel. I asked, “Messenger of Allah, what is the expiation for what I did?” He answered, “A vessel like the vessel, and food like the food.”’

The evidence is that the Prophet ﷺ made a man who freed half of his slave also pay for the half owned by his partner and not just the cost of half of the slave. There is no disagreement between scholars that there is parity in food, drinks and things that are weighed since he ﷺ said, ‘Food for food.’

There is no disagreement between scholars that this āyah is the basis for parity in retaliation. If someone kills someone, he is killed by the same method he used when he killed, and that is the position of the majority, as long as the victim was not killed by an iniquitous act such as sodomy or drinking wine. In that case, the killer is killed with the sword. One view of the Shāfī‘īs is that he is killed in the same way in any case. Ibn al-Mājishūn said that if someone kills by fire or poison, he is not killed by the same method because the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Only Allah punishes with fire.’ Poison is internal
As for retaliation with a staff, Mālik said in one transmission that killing with a staff is prolonged and amounts to torture and so he should be killed by the sword. Ibn Wahb related that from him and Ibn al-Qāsim also said that. Another transmission says that he is killed with it, even if it entails that. That is the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Ashhab and Ibn Nāfi‘ related that Mālik said that someone who kills with stones or a staff is killed with them provided that the blow will be a fatal one, but not if it requires multiple blows. He should not be shot with arrows or stoned because that entails torture. ‘Abd al-Malik said that. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘What is sound among the views of our scholars is that similarity is mandatory unless that falls under the definition of torture. Then it is abandoned in favour of the sword.’ Our scholars agree that when someone cuts off a hand or foot or gouges out an eye, intending torture by that, then that is done to him, as the Prophet ﷺ did to those who killed the herdsmen. But if that occurred through defence or by a striking blow, then he is killed by the sword.

One group take a different view regarding all of this and say that retaliation is only taken by the sword. That is the school of Abū Ḥanīfah, ash-Sha‘bī and an-Nakha‘ī. Their proof for that is what is related from the Prophet ﷺ: ‘There is no retaliation except with iron (i.e. a blade).’ There is also the prohibition against mutilation and the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Only the Lord of the Fire punishes with fire.’

What is sound is the view of the majority based on what the imams related from Anas ibn Mālik about a girl who was discovered with her head crushed between two stones. They asked her, ‘Who did this to you? So-and-so? So-and-so?’ until they mentioned a Jew and she nodded with her head. The Jew was seized and he confessed, so the Messenger of Allah ﷺ ordered that his head be crushed with stones. One version states that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ killed him with
two stones. This is a clear explicit text. It is what is demanded by Allah’s words: ‘If you want to retaliate, retaliate to the same degree as the injury done to you.’ (16:123) As for the evidence used from the ḥadīth of Jābir, ḥadīth scholars consider it to be weak. It is not related by a sound path. If it had been sound, we would say that it is mandatory. When someone kills with a metal weapon, he is killed with it. This is indicated by the ḥadīth of Anas: ‘A Jew crushed a girl’s head between two stones and so the Messenger of Allah ﷺ had his head crushed between two stones.’

We say that the prohibition against mutilation is applied when the perpetrator does not mutilate. If he mutilates, then we do that to him as is indicated by the ḥadīth of the ‘Uranīs. It is sound and transmitted by the imams. His words, ‘Only the Lord of the Fire punishes with fire’ apply when he himself has not burned. If he has burned, then he is burned as is indicated by the generality of the words of the Qur’an. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘When a person deliberately throws someone into a fire, then he too is thrown into a fire until he dies.’ Al-Waqqār mentioned this in his Mukhtaṣar from Mālik. It is also the view of Muḥammad ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘Many of the people of knowledge say that there is retaliation against a man who strangles another man. That is opposed by Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan. He said, “If he strangles him so that he dies, throws him into a well and he dies, or throws him from a mountain or a roof and he dies, there is no retaliation. His male relatives owe blood-money. If he is known for that and strangles more than one person, then he is killed.”’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘When the Prophet ﷺ took retaliation from the Jew who crushed the girl’s head with stones, it was on this basis.’

Someone else related this view from Abū Ḥanīfah and said, ‘Abū Ḥanīfah has an aberrant view and stated that if someone kills by strangulation, poison, throwing someone from a mountain or into a well, or with a piece of wood, he is not killed and retaliation is not
taken from him unless he killed with a sharpened blade, stone or wood, or is known for strangling and throwing people [to their death]. His male relatives must pay blood-money.’ This is contrary to the Book and the Sunnah. It is innovating something which is not the business of the community. It is a means to removing the retaliation which Allah has prescribed for people. This is inevitable.

They disagree about someone who imprisons a man and then another man does the killing. ‘Aṭā’ said that the killer is killed and the imprisoner imprisoned until he dies. Mālik said, ‘If he imprisons him wanting to kill him, then they are both killed.’ The view of ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Thawr and an-Nu‘mān said that the one who imprisoned is punished. Ibn al-Mundhir preferred that.

The view of ‘Aṭā’ is sound and demanded by the Revelation. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Ibn ‘Umar that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When one man holds a man while another kills him, then the killer and the one who held him are both killed.’ Sufyān ath-Thawrī related it from Ismā‘īl ibn Umayyah from Nāfi‘ from Ibn ‘Umar, and Ibn Jurayj from Ismā‘īl mursal.

‘Overstepping’ is going beyond the limits as Allah says: ‘Those who overstep Allah’s limits.’ (2:229) The upshot of the āyah is that, if anyone wrongs you, you may take your right according to the way you were wronged, and if someone insults you, you may respond with what he said but may not go beyond what he said. So if someone insults you, you may insult him, but not insult his parents, son or relatives. You are not permitted to lie about him even if he lies about you. Disobedience may not be countered by disobedience. For instance, if someone says to you, ‘You unbeliever!’ you are permitted to say, ‘You are the unbeliever.’ But if he says, ‘Adulterer!’ then your retaliation is to say, ‘You liar, bearer of false witness!’ If you were to say, ‘Adulterer!’ then you would be a liar and sin in the lie. About someone who puts you off when he is wealthy without excuse and says, ‘Wrongdoer! Consumer of people’s wealth!’ the Prophet ﷺ
said, ‘The evasiveness of someone with sufficient means to pay makes his honour forfeit and his punishment lawful.’ His honour is by what we explained and his punishment is imprisonment. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘This was revealed before Islam was strong and Muslims who were injured were commanded to repay with the like of the injury received, be patient or pardon. Then that was abrogated by Allah’s words: “Fight the idolators totally.” (9:36)’ It is said that it was abrogated by taking it to the ruler and it is not lawful for anyone to take retaliation from anyone without the ruler’s permission.

195 Spend in the Way of Allah. Do not cast yourselves into destruction. And do good: Allah loves good-doers.

Spend in the Way of Allah. Do not cast yourselves into destruction.

Al-Bukhārī related from Ḥudhayfah that this whole āyah was revealed about spending. Aslam Abī ‘Imrān said, ‘We raided Constantinople when ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn al-Walīd was in charge of the group. The Byzantines were keeping their backs to the wall of the city. A man attacked the enemy and the people said, “Easy! Easy! Do not cast yourselves into destruction.” Abū Ayyūb said, “Glory be to Allah! This āyah was revealed about us, the Anṣār. When Allah gave His Prophet v victory and made His dīn victorious, we said, ‘We will stay in our property and put it right.’ and then Allah revealed, ‘Spend in the Way of Allah.’ The expression ‘Do not cast yourselves into destruction’ referred to staying at home to tend to one’s property and abandoning jihād.” Abū Ayyūb continued to do jihād in the Way of Allah until he was buried in Constantinople. His tomb is there.’ So Abū Ayyūb informed us that casting oneself into destruction is abandoning jihād in Allah’s cause and that the āyah was revealed about that. The like of that is related from Hudhayfah, al-Ḥasan, Qatādah, Mujāhid and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk.

At-Tirmidhī related this report from Yazīd ibn Abī Ḥabīb from
Abū ‘Imrān Aslam. He said, ‘We were in the city of the Greeks. They came out against us in a single rank. The same number or more of the Muslims went out against them. ‘Uqbah ibn ‘Āmir was in charge of the Egyptians and Faḍālah ibn ‘Ubayd was in charge of the Syrians. A man from the Muslims attacked the Greek ranks until he penetrated them. The people shouted and said, “Glory be to Allah! He is casting himself into destruction!” Abū Ayyūb al-Anṣārī stood and said, “People! You interpret this āyah in this way when this āyah was revealed about us, the Anṣār! When Allah exalted Islam and its helpers were numerous, we said secretly to one another apart from the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, ‘Our property is lost. Allah has exalted Islam and it has many helpers. We should stay in our property and put right what has been lost of it.’ So Allah revealed to His Prophet ﷺ that which refuted what we said: ‘Spend in the Way of Allah. Do not cast yourselves into destruction.’ The actual destruction was staying in one’s property, tending to it, and abandoning expeditions.” Abū Ayyūb continued to fight in the Way of Allah until he was buried in Greek territory.’ Abū ‘Īsā said that it is a sound gharīb ḥasan ḥadīth.

Ḥudhayfah ibn al-Yamān, Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Ikrimah, ‘Aṭā’, Mujāhid and the majority of people say that ‘do not cast yourselves into destruction’ refers to not spending in the Way of Allah and fearing poverty so that a man says, ‘I do not have anything that I can spend.’ Al-Bukhārī believed this since he did not mention anything else. Allah knows best. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Spend in the Way of Allah, even if you do not have a share or portion. No one should say, ‘I do not have anything.’ The same is reported from as-Suddī: ‘Spend, even a hobble. Do not cast yourself into destruction and say, “I have nothing.”’

There is a third view stated by Ibn ‘Abbās. It is that when the Messenger of Allah ﷺ commanded people to go out in jihād, some of the desert Arabs present in Madīnah went to him and said, ‘What
shall we use for provision? By Allah, we have no provisions and no one will feed us.’ So Allah revealed, ‘Spend in the Way of Allah,’ i.e. the wealthy should spend in the Way of Allah to obey Allah. ‘Do not cast yourselves to destruction,’ i.e. do not refrain from giving ṣadaqah so that you are destroyed. That is also what Muqātil said. The meaning of what Ibn ‘Abbās said about not refraining from giving ṣadaqah is: ‘Do not refrain from giving to the weak. If they stay behind you, the enemy will defeat you and you will be destroyed.’

A fourth view is related from al-Barā’ ibn ‘Āzib about this āyah. He was asked, ‘Is it a man who attacks a squadron?’ He answered, ‘No, but it is a man who commits a sin and then says, “I have disobeyed Allah too much. There is no point in repenting,” and he despairs of Allah and after that devotes himself to disobedience.’ So destruction is despairing of Allah. ‘Ubaydah as-Salmānī said that.

Zayd ibn Aslam said that it means: ‘Do not travel in jihād without provision. People did that and it led to them being cut off on the road or being a burden on the people. This is a fifth view.

The ‘Way of Allah’ (ṣabīlu-llāh) here means jihād and refers to all the ways of doing it. Al-Mubarrad says that ‘your hands’ [the Arabic is ‘bi-aydikum’, literally ‘by your hands’] means ‘yourselves’. The part designates the whole. This is a common usage in the Qur’an. It is also said that this is a sort of metaphor. A person puts his hand to something when he undertakes to do it personally, and someone involved in fighting has his weapons in his hand. The expression is also used for something that a person fails to do. ‘Destruction’ (tahlukah) is a verbal noun derived from the verb meaning ‘to destroy’ (halaka). It is also said that it means: ‘Do not take what will destroy you.’ Az-Zajjāj and others said that. It means: if you do not spend, then you disobey Allah and are destroyed. It is also said that it means: do not hold on to your property as others are certainly going to inherit it and you will be ‘destroyed’ by being deprived of the use of your property. Another meaning is: do not hold on to your
property because, by doing so, you will miss out on its restitution in this world and the reward for giving it away in the Next World. It is also said that it means: do not spend from what is unlawful so that it comes back on you and you are destroyed. Something similar is related from ‘Ikrimah. He said that this is like another āyah: ‘Do not have recourse to bad things when you give.’ (2:267) It is general and includes all that was mentioned since the expression allows that.

Scholars disagree about a man attacking another man in battle and about attacking the enemy on his own. Al-Qāsim ibn Mukhaymarah, al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad and ‘Abd al-Malik among our scholars say that there is no harm in a man attacking a large army alone when he is strong and has a sincere intention. If he is not strong, that is tantamount to suicide. It is said that, when someone seeks martyrdom and has a sincere intention, he is permitted to attack because his aim is to attack the enemy. That is clear in the words of the Almighty: ‘Among the people there are some you give up everything, desiring the good pleasure of Allah.’ (2:207) Ibn Khuwayzīmandād said, ‘If a man attacks a hundred, or an entire army, or a group of thieves and bandits, or Khārijites, there are two possibilities. If he knows and thinks it is probable that he will kill the one he attacks and survive, that is good. If he knows and thinks it probable that he will be killed, but will cause great harm or open a path which the Muslims can use, then it is also permitted.’ I have heard that when the Muslim army met the Persians, a group of the Muslim cavalry bolted from the elephants. One of their men made a clay elephant and made his horse familiar with it. In the morning his horse did not shy away from the elephants. He attacked the leading elephant. He was told, ‘It will kill you!’ He said, ‘There is no harm if I am killed and the Muslims are victorious.’ The same was true in the Battle of Yamāmah when the Banū Ḥanīfah fortified themselves in the walled garden and one of the Muslims said, ‘Put me in the catapult and shoot me at them.’ They did that and he
fought them alone and opened the gate.

Part of this is what is related that a man said to the Prophet ﷺ: ‘What do you think if I am killed in the Way of Allah with steadfastness and in expectation of the reward?’ He answered, ‘You will have the Garden.’ He dived into the enemy until he was killed. We find in Ṣahīḥ Muslim from Anas ibn Mālik that in the Battle of Uhud the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was left with only seven of the Anṣār and two men of Quraysh. When the enemy approached, he said, ‘Whoever turns them away from us will have the Garden’ or ‘will be my companion in the Garden.’ One of the Anṣār went forward and fought until he was killed. Then they advanced again and again he said, ‘Whoever turns them away from us will have the Garden’ or ‘will be my companion in the Garden.’ Another of the Anṣār went forward and fought until he was killed. This continued until all seven were killed and the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘We have not done justice to our Companions.’

Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan said, ‘If one man attacks a thousand idolaters on his own, there is no harm in that provided he hopes to survive or inflict great damage on the enemy. If that is not the case, then it is disliked because he exposes himself to destruction without any benefit for the Muslims. If his intention is to encourage Muslims to follow him, it may be permitted because of the benefit for the Muslims involved. If he intends to terrify the enemy and show them the resolve of the Muslims, it may also be permitted.’

If that will help the Muslims, strengthen the dīn of Allah and weaken the unbelievers, then it is the noble station which Allah praises when He says: ‘Allah has bought from the believers their selves and their wealth in return for them having the Garden. They fight in the way of Allah, and they kill and are killed.’ (9:111) There are others āyahs in which Allah praises those who expend themselves in that way. The same applies to the ruling of commanding what is correct and forbidding what is bad when one hopes that it will help the dīn and a
person strives to achieve that until he is killed: he is in the ranks of the martyrs. Allah says: ‘Command what is right and forbid what is wrong and be steadfast in the face of all that happens to you. That is certainly the most resolute course to follow.’ (31:17) ‘Ikrimah related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The best of martyrs is Ḥamzah ibn ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib and a man who speaks the truth in the presence of a tyrannical ruler who kills him.’ This will be discussed in Āl ‘Imrān, Allah willing.

And do good: Allah loves good-doers.

Spend in obedience and have a good opinion of Allah that He will repay you for doing it. It is said that it means: do good in your actions by obeying Allah. That is related from some Companions.

196 Perform the Ḥajj and ‘umrah for Allah. If you are forcibly prevented, make whatever sacrifice is feasible. But do not shave your heads until the sacrificial animal has reached the place of sacrifice. If any of you are ill or have a head injury, the expiation is fasting or ṣadaqah or sacrifice when you are safe and well again. Anyone who comes out of ihrām between ‘umrah and ḥajj should make whatever sacrifice is feasible. For any one who cannot, there is three days’ fast on ḥajj, and seven on your return – that is ten in all. That is for anyone whose family does not live near the Masjid al-Ḥarām. Be fearful of Allah and know that Allah is fierce in
retribution.

Perform the ḥajj and ‘umrah for Allah.

Scholars disagree about what this means [as the verb used normally means ‘to complete’]. It is said it means: perform them, doing both of them, as the verb is used elsewhere (2:124, 2:187) to mean this. This is according to the position of those who consider ‘umrah to be obligatory. Those who do not consider it to be obligatory say that it means complete them once you have begun them. When someone assumes ḏhrām, he is obliged to complete the act for which he has entered it and not invalidate it. Ash-Sha'bī and Ibn Zayd stated that. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said that ‘completing them’ is to assume ḏhrām for them from the abode of one’s people. That is related from ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and Sa’d ibn Abī Waqqāṣ. ‘Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn did that. Sufyān ath-Thawrī said that ‘completing them’ is to set out intending them and not trade or anything else. That is strengthened by His words, ‘for Allah’. ‘Umar said that ‘completing them’ is to do each of them separately without tamattu’ or qirān. Ibn Ḥabīb said that. Muqātil said that ‘completing them’ means not to make inappropriate things lawful in them. That was because they used to commit shirk in their ḏhrām and would say, ‘At Your service, O Allah! At Your service! You have no partner except a partner that is Yours. You control him and he does not control You.’ Therefore He said to complete them without mixing anything else in them.

As for what is related from ‘Alī and what ‘Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn did in assuming ḏhrām before the mīqāts that the Messenger of Allah established, ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas’ūd and a group of the Salaf supported it. It is confirmed that ‘Umar assumed ḏhrām from Jerusalem and that al-Aswad, ‘Alqamah, ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān and Abū Isḥāq assumed ḏhrām from their houses. Ash-Shāfi‘ī made an allowance for doing that. Abū Dāwud and ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Umm Salamah said that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘If
someone assumes ḥāram for ḥajj or ‘umrah from Jerusalem, he leaves his sins like the day his mother bore it.’ One variant has: ‘he is forbidden past and future sins.’ Abū Dāwūd transmitted it and said, ‘May Allah show mercy to Wakī‘! He assumed ḥāram from Jerusalem,’ i.e. for Makkah. This contains permission to assume ḥāram before the Ṭiwārī.

Mālik disliked anyone adopting ḥāram before the Ṭiwārī. He related that from ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb who objected to ‘Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn adopting ḥāram from Basra and ‘Uthmān objected to Ibn ‘Umar adopting ḥāram before the Ṭiwārī. Aḥmad and Iṣḥāq said that the correct thing is to start at the Ṭiwārī. Part of the argument for this view is that the Messenger of Allah established the Ṭiwārīs and specified them, thereby clarifying something previously undefined about the Hajj. The Prophet did not adopt ḥāram for ḥajj from his house, but did so at the Ṭiwārī he established for his community. What the Prophet did is the best, Allah willing. That is also what was done by most of the Companions and the Tābi‘ūn after them.

The people holding the first view argue that it is better because ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah was not given a choice between two things but that he chose the easier of them,’ and the ḥadīth of Umm Salamah, along with what was mentioned from the Companions who saw the Messenger of Allah adopt ḥāram for ḥajj from the Ṭiwārī. They knew what he intended and knew that his ḥāram from the Ṭiwārī was to make things easier for his community.

The imams have related that the Messenger of Allah set the Ṭiwārī for the people of Madīlah at Dhu-l-Hulayfah, for the people of Syria at al-Juḥfah, for the people of Najd at Qarn and for the people of Yemen at Yalamlam. Those sites were for them and for other people who came to them intending ḥajj and ‘umrah. Those who were closer to Makkah than them would assume ḥāram from where they started so that the people of Makkah did so in Makkah. The people of knowledge agree on the literal meaning of this ḥadīth.
and acting on it. There is absolutely no disagreement about it. They disagree about the *mīqāt* of the people of Iraq and those who take their *mīqāt*. Abū Dāwud and at-Tirmidhī related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Prophet  set the *mīqāt* for the people of the east at al-‘Aqīq. At-Tirmidhī said that it is a *ḥasan hadīth*. It is also related that ‘Umar set the *mīqāt* for the people of Iraq at Dhat ‘Irq. We find in Abū Dāwud from ‘Ā’ishah that the Messenger of Allah  set Dhat ‘Irq as the *mīqāt* for the people of Iraq. This is sound. Those who relate that ‘Umar set it was because it was conquered in his time and then neglected. It was, in fact, the Messenger of Allah  who set it as he set al-Juḥfah for the people of Syria although at that time all of Syria was a land of unbelief as was the case with Iraq and other lands at that time. Iraq and Syria were only conquered in the time of ‘Umar. That is undisputed. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Every Iraqi or easterner adopted *iḥrām* from Dhat ‘Irq. All say that *iḥrām* is assumed from the *mīqāt*. They believe, however, that al-‘Aqīq is more proper than Dhat ‘Irq although Dhat ‘Irq although Dhat ‘Irq is also their *mīqāt*.’

Scholars agree that if someone adopts *iḥrām* before he reaches the *mīqāt* he is in *iḥrām*. Those who forbid that think that adopting *iḥrām* at the *mīqāt* is better out of their dislike of someone making difficult for himself something which Allah has made easier for him, as well as the fact that it might lead to an innovation in respect of *iḥrām*. If he does that, however, all of them confirm his *iḥrām* since he has added something and not decreased it.

This āyah is evidence for ‘*umrah*’ being obligatory because Allah commands it to be completed as He commands *ḥajj* to be completed. Aṣ-Ṣubayy ibn Ma‘bad said, ‘I went to ‘Umar and said, “I was a Christian and became Muslim. I find that *ḥajj* and ‘*umrah*’ are prescribed for me and so I adopted *iḥrām* for both of them.”’ ‘Umar said to him, ‘You have been guided to the Sunnah of the Prophet  .’ Ibn al-Mundhir observed that he did not object to what he said and his assumption that they were obligatory for him. ‘Alī ibn Abī
Ṭālib, Ibn ‘Umar and Ibn ‘Abbās all said that they were both obligatory. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Ibn Jurayj from Nāfī‘ that ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar used to say, ‘Allah has not created anyone who does not owe ḥajj and ‘umrah if he is able to find a way to do them. If anyone does more than that, it is good and voluntary.’ He said, ‘I did not hear him say anything about the people of Makkah.’ Ibn Jurayj said that ‘Ikrimah reported that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Umrah is obligatory, as is ḥajj, for those who find a way to perform it.’

Among the Tābi‘ūn who believed that it was obligatory were ‘Aṭā’, Ṭāwūs, Mujāhid, al-Ḥasan, Ibn Sīrīn, ash-Sha’bī, Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, Abū Burdah, Masrāuq, ‘Abdullāh ibn Shaddād, ash-Shāfī‘ī, Aḥmad, Ishāq, Abū ‘Ubayd, and Ibn al-Jahm among the Mālikīs. Ath-Thawrī said, ‘We heard that it is mandatory.’ Zayd ibn Thābit was asked about doing ‘umrah before ḥajj and said, ‘Two prayers: you are not harmed by starting with either of them.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī mentioned it. It is related marfū‘ from Muhammad ibn Sīrīn from Zayd ibn Thābit that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Ḥajj and ‘umrah are two obligations. There is no harm in beginning with either of them.’

Mālik, however, said, ‘Umrah is sunnah. But we do not know of anyone who made an allowance for abandoning it.’ That is also the position of an-Nahka‘ī and the People of Opinion (ra‘y) according to what Ibn al-Mundhir related. Some people of Qazwin and Baghdad report from Abū Ḥanīfah that he considered it obligatory (wājib) like the ḥajj, and that it is a confirmed sunnah. Ibn Mas‘ūd and Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh also said that. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Muḥammad ibn Zakariyyā from Abū Kulayb Muḥammad ibn al-‘Alā‘ from ‘Abd ar-Raḥīm ibn Sulaymān from Ḥajjāj from Muḥammad ibn al-Munkadīr that Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh said, ‘A man asked the Messenger of Allah ﷺ about prayer, zakāh and ḥajj and whether they were mandatory. He said that they were. Then he asked about whether ‘umrah was mandatory. He answered, “No, but it is better for you to
perform ‘umrah.”” Yaḥyā ibn Ayyūb related it mawqūf from Ḥajjāj and Ibn Jurayj from Ibn al-Munkadīr from Jābir. This is the argument of those who do not make it mandatory in the Sunnah. They said that there is no evidence in the āyah of its mandatory nature because Allah connected it to completion, not to initiation, whereas with the prayer and zakāh He does by saying: ‘Establish the prayer and pay zakāh’ (73:20) and with the ḥajj as well when He says: ‘Ḥajj to the House is a duty owed to Allah by all mankind.’ (3:97) When He mentions āyah, He commands that it should be completed. If one were to make ten ḥajj’s or ten ‘umrah, it is obligatory to complete all of them. The āyah is about obligation and completion, not obligation and initiation. Allah knows best.

Those who disagree about its mandatory nature say that the pillar of ḥajj is standing at ‘Arafah and there is no equivalent standing in ‘umrah. If it had been like the sunnah of the ḥajj, it would be necessary for it to have equivalent actions as is the case with the sunnah prayer which has the same actions as the obligatory prayer.

Ash-Sha’bī and Abū Ḥawyah recite ‘al-‘umratu’, indicating that it is not mandatory while the main body of the community recite ‘al-‘umrata’, indicating that it is mandatory. The copy of Ibn Mas’ūd’s Qur’an has ‘Perform the ḥajj and ‘umrah to the House of Allah’ and also ‘Establish the ḥajj and ‘umrah to the House of Allah.’ The point of mentioning Allah here is that the Arabs used to intend the ḥajj for meeting, public display, vying with one another, disagreement, settling needs and attending markets. None of that involves obeying Allah and there is no intention or act of nearness. Allah therefore commanded that people have the intention to perform the obligation and fulfil the need. After that there is scope for commerce.

There is no disagreement among scholars about the fact that someone who attends the ḥajj or ‘umrah practices must make an intention to do so. Part of the completion of any act of worship is the
presence of the intention, which is an obligation in itself. It is an obligation just as *iḥrām* is, since, when the Prophet ﷺ mounted, he said, ‘At Your service for both ḥajj and ‘umrah.’ Ar-Rabî‘ mentioned in the book of al-Buwaytî that ash-Shāfi‘î said, ‘If a man says the *talbîyah* and does not intend ḥajj or ‘umrah, he is not performing ḥajj or ‘umrah. If he makes the intention and does not say the *talbîyah* until he has finished the practices, his ḥajj is complete.’ The argument is based on the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Actions are according to intentions.’ If someone does the the same as ‘Alî did when he made his *iḥrām* the same as that of the Prophet ﷺ, that intention satisfies the requirement because it was based on the prior intention of someone else, which is not the case in the prayer.

Scholars disagree about adolescents and slaves who perform ḥajj and then become adults or are freed before they stand at ‘Arafah. Mālik said, ‘There is no way for them to abandon *iḥrām* just as there is no way with anyone else, since Allah says: ‘Complete the ḥajj and ‘umrah for Allah.’ No one who abandons *iḥrām* completes his ḥajj or ‘umrah. Abû Ḥanîfah said, ‘It is permitted for a child who reaches puberty before the standing at ‘Arafah to renew his *iḥrām*. If he continues in his original ḥajj, however, it does not satisfy the ḥajj of Islam.’ His argument is that since he was not subject to the obligation when he assumed *iḥrām* for the ḥajj, but then ḥajj became obligatory when he reached puberty, it is impossible for him to be distracted from a specific obligation by something supererogatory and neglect his obligation. This is like someone who begins a supererogatory prayer and then the *iqāmah* for a prescribed prayer is given and he fears that he will miss it, so he stops the supererogatory and enters into the prescribed.

Ash-Shāfi‘î says that when a child adopts *iḥrām* and then reaches puberty before standing at ‘Arafah, he stands there in *iḥrām* and satisfies the ḥajj of Islam. The same is true of a slave. He said that if a slave is freed at Muzdalifah, or a child reaches puberty there, if
they return to ‘Arafah after their emancipation or puberty and manage to stand there before dawn, they have satisfied the ḥajj of Islam and they do not owe a sacrifice. I prefer for them to exercise caution and sacrifice. I do not believe that is definitive.

He cited as proof for not renewing ḥijrah the ḥadīth of ‘Ali when he arrived from Yemen having adopted ḥijrah for the ḥajj. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ asked him, ‘What did you adopt ḥijrah for?’ He answered, ‘I said, “At Your service, O Allah, for an ḥijrah which is the same as the ḥijrah of Your Prophet.”’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “I adopted ḥijrah for the ḥajj and have driven sacrificial camels.”’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ did not object to what he said nor did he command him to renew his intention for ifrād, tamattu‘ or qirān.’

Mālik said about a Christian who becomes Muslim on the night of ‘Arafah and adopts ḥijrah for the ḥajj: ‘He has satisfied the ḥajj of Islam.’ The same is true of a slave who is freed or a child who reaches puberty, when they are not already in ḥijrah and do not owe a sacrifice. Sacrifice becomes obligatory for someone who wants to go on ḥajj but does not adopt ḥijrah from the mīqāt. Abū Ḥanīfah said that slaves owe a sacrifice. In their view, they are like a free man in respect of going past the mīqāt. That is not the case with a child or a Christian. They were not obliged to assume ḥijrah to enter Makkah because they do not owe the obligation. When an unbeliever becomes Muslim, or a child reaches puberty, they have the same ruling as the people of Makkah and neither owes anything for missing the mīqāt.

If you are forcibly prevented,

Ibn al-‘Arabī says that this āyah is problematical but, in fact, there is nothing problematic about it as we will explain. Being prevented refers to any obstacle which stops you doing what you intended with respect to going on ḥajj, whatever that obstacle might be, whether it is an enemy, the injustice of a ruler, illness, highway robbers or
anything else that might stop a person from fulfilling his intention. Scholars disagree about the actual obstacle referred to in the āyah and have two views. One is stated by ‘Alqamah, ‘Urwah ibn az-Zubayr and others which is that it refers to illness and not to an enemy. But it is also said that it is only an enemy which is meant, and that is the view of Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn ‘Umar, Anas and ash-Shāfi‘ī. Ibn al-‘Arabī said that that is the opinion our scholars prefer, while linguists prefer illness if the verb *uḥṣira* is used and the enemy if the verb *ḥuṣira* is used.

What Ibn al-‘Arabī related as the choice of our scholars is related by Ashhab alone. He is opposed by the rest of the people of Mālik in this case who say that *uḥṣira* is used for illness and *ḥuṣira* for the enemy. Al-Bājī stated that in *al-Muntaqā*. Abū Ishāq az-Zajjāj related that that is the view of all linguists. Abū ‘Ubaydah and al-Kisā‘ī said the same, but we find the reverse in Ibn Fāris. One group, including Abū ‘Umar, said that *uḥṣira* is used for both. This is the position of Mālik in the *Muwaṭṭā’* where he uses *uḥṣira* for both.

Al-Farrā’ said that they both have the same meaning: for illness and the enemy. Abū Naṣr al-Qushayrī said, ‘The Shāfi‘īs claim that *uḥṣira* is used for the enemy and *ḥuṣira* for illness. What is sound is that they are both used for both.’ Al-Khalīl ibn Aḥmad and others state their difference from the Shāfi‘īs. Al-Khalīl said, ‘*Ḥuṣira* is used when a man is detained. *Uḥṣira* is used for someone on ḥajj who is prevented from completing the practices due to illness or the like.’ He used *ḥuṣira* for the enemy and *uḥṣira* for illness. This agrees with what Ibn ‘Abbās said, in other words that ‘forcibly prevented’ is by the enemy. Ibn as-Sakkāt said, ‘Illness prevents (*uḥṣira*) someone from travelling or from something he needs to do. The enemy prevents (*ḥuṣira*) a person when they restrict and encircle him.’ Most linguists say that Form I (*ḥuṣira*) is used for the enemy and Form IV (*uḥṣira*) for illness.

Since the root meaning is to be confined, the Ḥanafīs say that the
person who is prevented is someone who is prevented from reaching Makkah after he has adopted *iḥrām*, whether that is due to illness, the enemy, or something else. They cite the general meaning of the word and say, ‘The fact that “safety” is mentioned later in the āyah indicates that it is not about illness. The Prophet ﷺ said, “A common cold is security from leprosy.” He also said, “If someone praises Allah before a sneezer does, he is safe from toothache, earache and colic.” Ibn Mājah transmitted that in the *Sunan.*’ They said, ‘We consider that being detained by the enemy is prevention as it is analogous with illness and has the same ruling. It is not based on the literal meaning of the āyah.’ Ibn ‘Umar, Ibn az-Zubayr, Ibn ‘Abbās, ash-Shāfi‘ī and the People of Madīnah say that what is meant by the āyah is being prevented by the enemy because the āyah was revealed in 6 AH during the ‘umrah of Ḥudaybīyah when the idolaters prevented the Messenger of Allah ﷺ from reaching Makkah. Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘We set out with the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and the unbelievers of Quaysh blocked the way to the House. The Prophet ﷺ sacrificed his animals and shaved his head. This is indicated by His words, “when you are safe,” not “when you are free.”’ Allah knows best.

Most people believe that when someone is prevented by the enemy from continuing, he should come out of *iḥrām* where he is, sacrifice his animals, if he has any, and shave his head. Qatādah and Ibrāhīm said, ‘If he is able, he sends his animals on and when they arrive, he comes out of *iḥrām*.‘ Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘The sacrifices for being prevented from completion do not have to take place on the Day of Sacrifice. It is permitted to sacrifice before the Day of Sacrifice if they have arrived.’ His two companions differed from him and said that it is necessary to wait for the Day of Sacrifice and it does not satisfy the requirement if someone slaughters before that. This will be further discussed.

Most scholars say that if someone is stopped by the enemy, be it an
unbeliever, Muslim, or ruler who confines him, he owes a sacrifice. That is the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī and Ashhab also said that. Ibn al-Qāsim said, ‘Someone who is barred from the House in ḥajj or ‘umrah does not have to sacrifice unless he has driven animals with him. That is the view of Mālik.’ Part of their argument is that at Ḥudaybīyah the Prophet ﷺ slaughtered the animals he had marked and garlanded when he went into ḯhārām for ‘umrah. When those animals did not reach their place due to the obstruction, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ commanded that they be slaughtered because they were sacrificial animals obliged as such by garlanding and being marked. They were brought out for Allah and it is not permitted to return them. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ did not slaughter them on account of being barred. That is why someone who is barred from the House is not obliged to sacrifice. The majority use as evidence the fact that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was not out of ḯhārām at Ḥudaybīyah and did not shave his head until he had sacrificed. That indicates that one of the preconditions for someone barred from ḥajj or ‘umra coming out of ḯhārām is to sacrifice any animals he has with him. If he is poor, then it is when he finds the means to be able to do so. He only leaves ḯhārām by that. That is demanded by Allah’s words here. It is said that he comes out of ḯhārām and sacrifices if he is able to do so. Both views are related from ash-Shāfi‘ī, and there are also two views about someone who does not find a sacrificial animal to purchase.

‘Aṭā’ and others say that someone prevented from continuing by illness is just like someone prevented by the enemy. Mālik and Shāfi‘ī and their people say that someone prevented by illness from continuing does not come of out ḯhārām until he has done ṭawāf of the House, even if it takes years for him to recover. That is the same for someone who makes a mistake in calculating the month or from whom the crescent moon is hidden. Mālik said, ‘The people of Makkah are like other people in that respect.’ He said, ‘If someone
ill requires treatment, he is treated and pays *fidyah* and remains in his *ihram*. He is not released from his *ihram* until he is free of illness and, when he is free of illness, he must continue to the House and do ṭawāf of it seven times, run between Ṣafā and Marwah and he then comes out of his *ihram* for ḥajj or ‘umrah. This is also the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Regarding that, he believed what was related from ‘Umar, Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Ā’ishah, Ibn ‘Umar and Ibn az-Zubayr. In the case of someone hindered by illness or miscalculation, they said that he does not come out of *ihram* until he has done ṭawaf of the House. The same is true of someone who breaks a bone or has an abdominal pain.

The ruling for someone in this situation, according to Mālik and his people is that, if he fears that he will miss standing at ‘Arafah due to his illness, he has a choice. If he wishes, when he recovers he can continue on to the House, do ṭawaf and come out of *ihram* for ‘umrah, or he can instead remain in his *ihram* until the next year. If he remains in his *ihram* and does not do anything that someone performing ḥajj is forbidden to do, then he owes no sacrifice. A factor in the argument regarding that is the consensus of the Companions that if someone miscalculates the month, his ruling is that he can only come out of *ihram* by doing ṭawaf of the House.

He said about a Makkan who remains confined until people have finished the ḥajj, that he should go outside the Haram, say the *talbiyyah*, then do what someone performing ‘umrah does, and then he can come out of *ihram*. Then the following year he should perform ḥajj and sacrifice. Ibn Shihāb az-Zuhri said that a Makkan who is barred must stand at ‘Arafah, even carried on a bier. This position was preferred by Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn ‘Abdullāh ibn Bukayr al-Mālikī who said: ‘Mālik’s position about a Makkan who is barred is that what applies to others also applies to him: he should repeat the ḥajj and sacrifice. This differs from the literal wording of the Book where Allah says: “That is for anyone whose family
does not live near the Masjid al-Harām.” He added, ‘I believe that one takes the position of az-Zuhrī: it is permitted by Allah Almighty for those whose family does not live near the Masjid al-Ḥarām to remain for treatment because of the distance, even if they miss the ḥajj. As for those whose distance from the Masjid al-Ḥarām is not such that one could shorten the prayer when travelling between them, he should attend the practices, even lying on a bier, because he is close to the House.’ Abū Ḥanīfah and his people said that if someone is prevented from reaching the House by enemies, illness, lack of money, loss of mount, or being stung by vermin he should remain where he is in ḭarthām and send ahead his sacrificial animals or their price. When the sacrifice is made, then he comes out of ḭarthām. That is what is stated by ‘Urwah, Qatādah, al-Ḥasan, ‘Aṭā’, an-Nakha’ī, Mujāhid and the people of Iraq.

Mālik and his people say that someone in ḭarthām does not benefit from having made a stipulation about his ḥajj if he fears being hindered by illness or the enemy. That is the view of ath-Thawrī and Abū Ḥanīfah and his people. Such a stipulation is that he says when he goes into ḭarthām: ‘At Your service, O Allah, at Your service. I will come out of ḭarthām if I am prevented in the land.’ Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, Ishāq ibn Rāhawayh and Abū Thawr said that there is nothing wrong in making a stipulation if the situation exists. That is stated by more than one of the Companions and the Tābi‘ūn. Their argument is the hadīth of Ḥubā’ah bint az-Zubayr ibn ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib who went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and said, ‘Messenger of Allah, I want to perform ḥajj. Can I make stipulations?’ ‘Yes,’ he answered. She asked, ‘So what should I say?’ He said, ‘Say: “At Your service, O Allah, at Your service. I will come out of ḭarthām if I am prevented in the land.”’ Abū Dāwud, ad-Dāraquṭnī and others transmitted it.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘If the hadīth of Ḥubā’ah were confirmed, I would not go against it. You come out of ḭarthām wherever Allah detains you.’
More than one person said that it is sound, including Abū Ḥātim al-Bustī and Ibn al-Mundhir. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘It is confirmed that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said to Ḍubā‘ah bint az-Zubayr, “Go on ḥajj and make a stipulation.”’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī took that position when he was in Iraq but not when he was in Egypt. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘I take the first view.’ ‘Abd ar-Razzāq mentioned it from Ibn Jurayj from Abu-z-Zubayr that Ṭāwūs and ‘Ikrimah said that Ibn ‘Abbās said, Ḍubā‘ah bint az-Zubayr went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and said, “I am a heavy woman who wants to perform ḥajj. What do you command me to do when I go into iḥrām.” He said, “Go into iḥrām and stipulate that you will come out of iḥrām if you are held back.”’ This is a sound isnād.

Scholars disagree about the obligation to make up the ḥajj in the case of someone prevented from completing it. Mālik and ash-Shāfi‘ī said that if someone is stopped by the enemy, he does not have to make up either ḥajj or ‘umrah unless he is someone who has not yet performed the ḥajj and still owes it since it is still obligatory for him. That is also the case with those who believe that ‘umrah is a mandatory obligation. Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘Someone barred from completion by illness or the enemy owes both ḥajj and ‘umrah.’ That is the view of aṭ-Ṭabarī. The People of Opinion say, ‘If someone has adopted iḥrām for ḥajj, he should make up ḥajj and ‘umrah because his iḥrām for ḥajj has become iḥrām for ‘umrah. If he is doing qirān, then he should make up ḥajj and two ‘umrahs. If he adopted iḥrām for ‘umrah, he should make up ‘umrah. That is the same whether he is detained by illness or the enemy.’

They base their argument on the ḥadīth of Maymūn ibn Mihrān who said, ‘I went out to perform ‘umrah in the year the people of Syria laid siege to Ibn az-Zubayr in Makkah. Some men of my people sent sacrificial animals with me. When I reached the people of Syria, they prevented me from entering the Ḥaram and so I sacrificed my animal where I was and came out of iḥrām and went
back. The following year I left to complete my ‘umrah and went to Ibn ‘Abbās to question him. He said, “Replace the sacrificial animals. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ instructed his Companions to replace the animals that they had sacrificed in the year of Ḥudaybīyah in the Fulfilled ‘Umrah. They did so based on the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Anyone who has broken a leg or gone lame should come out of ihrām and he owes another ḥajj.’”’ ‘Ikrimah related that al-Ḥajjāj ibn ‘Amr al-Anṣārī also said that he heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘Anyone who has broken a leg or gone lame should come out of ihrām and he owes another ḥajj.’ They stated that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and his Companions went on ‘umrah in the year after Ḥudaybīyah. That was making up the earlier ‘umrah. They said that is the reason that it is called ‘the Fulfilled ‘Umrah’.

Mālik argued by the fact that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ did not instruct any of his Companions or those with him to make up anything or to repeat anything. His doing that is not recorded from him by any path whatsoever. He did not say the following year, ‘This ‘umrah is making up for the ‘umrah that I was prevented from completing.’ They call it ‘Umrat al-Qaḍā’ and ‘Umrat al-Qadiyyah. It was called that because the Messenger of Allah ﷺ concluded (qāḍā) and made a treaty with Quraysh that year that they would go back from the House that year and come to it to the following year. That is how it gets its name.

None of the fuqahā’ say that someone who breaks a bone or goes lame has to assume ihrām at the exact place he broke it except for Abū Thawr who follows the literal meaning of the ḥadīth of al-Ḥajjāj ibn ‘Amr. Dāwud ibn ‘Alī and his people corroborate him in that. Scholars agree that someone who breaks a bone should come out of ihrām, but they disagree about what takes him out of ihrām. Mālik and others said that it is only by doing tawāf of the House. The Kufans who oppose him say that he comes out of ihrām by the
intention and does what brings him out of it.

There is no disagreement among the fuqahā’ of any city that being prevented is general and applies to both ḥajj and ‘umrah. Ibn Sīrīn said, ‘There is no prevention in respect of ‘umrah because it has no specific time.’ The response to this is that even if it has no specific time, there is harm in waiting until the excuse disappears. The āyah was revealed about that. It is related from Ibn az-Zubayr that someone who is prevented from completion by the enemy or illness only comes out of īhrām by doing ṭawāf of the House. This is also contrary to the text of the report in the year of Ḥudaybīyah.

The preventing agent may be either an unbeliever or a Muslim. If it is an unbeliever, it is not permitted to fight him, even if the prevented person is confident of defeating him, and comes out of īhrām immediately, since Allah says: ‘Do not fight them in the Masjid al-Ḥarām.’ If the unbeliever asks for money, he should not pay it because that would indicate weakness in Islam. If he is Muslim, he is not permitted to fight him in any case and he is obliged to come out of īhrām. If he asks for something in exchange for letting him continue, however, he is permitted to pay it. Fighting is not permitted since it involves destruction of life. There is no obligation to fight where the performance of acts of worship is concerned, even though that is tolerated in the dīn. Paying the amount asked for is avoiding the greater of two harms for the lesser. It is also because the ḥajj is something on which one spends money, in any case, and this is counted as part of the expenses.

The enemy who prevents is either someone you are certain, because of his strength and great number, will stay and consolidate, or not. If it is the former, then the one prevented should come out of īhrām immediately where he is. If the latter is the case, and you hope that the enemy concerned will leave, then you are not considered someone who is prevented unless there is not enough time after the time of the departure of the enemy in which to catch the ḥajj. In that
case, according to Ibn al-Qāsim and Ibn al-Mājishūn, you should come out of *iḥrām*. Ashhab said, ‘Someone prevented from completing ḥajj does not come out of *iḥrām* until the Day of Sacrifice and does not stop saying the *talbiyyah* until the people go to ‘Arafah.’ The reason for the position of Ibn al-Qāsim is that this is the moment when he despairs of completing his ḥajj because of the enemy. Therefore he is permitted to come out of *iḥrām* then. The day of ‘Arafah is the basis for that. The reason for the position of Ashhab is that a person must do the utmost that is possible for him regarding the rules of *iḥrām* and so it is obligatory for him until the Day of Sacrifice, which is the moment when those performing ḥajj are permitted to come out of *iḥrām*.

**Make whatever sacrifice is feasible.**

According to most scholars, this means a sheep. Ibn ʿUmar, ʿĀishah, and Ibn az-Zubayr said that it is whatever camel or whatever cow is available. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘The greatest sacrifice is the camel, the medium one the cow and the least the sheep.’ This phrase provides evidence for what Mālik believes, which is that someone stopped by enemy forces does not have to make up his ḥajj, because only the sacrifice is mentioned. Allah knows best.

The word for ‘sacrifice’ (*ḥadī*) is used because it refers to camels which are led (*hadā*) to the House of Allah. The Arabs use the term to mean ‘camels’. Abū Bakr said that they are called this because they are given (*yuḥdā*) to the House of Allah.

**But do not shave your heads until the sacrificial animal has reached the place of sacrifice.**

This is addressed to all Muslims performing the ḥajj, not just those who are prevented from completing their ḥajj. Some scholars think, however, that it only refers to those who have been stopped. So it means: ‘Do not come out of *iḥrām* until the sacrifices have been slaughtered’. The ‘place of sacrifice’ (*mahillahu*) referred to is the place where it is lawful to slaughter the sacrificial animals. For Mālik
and ash-Shāfi‘ī, the place to sacrifice is the place where people have been stopped; this is in order to imitate the Messenger of Allah at Ḥudaybīyah. Allah says: ‘and prevented the sacrifice from reaching its proper place,’ (48:25) which means they are stopped from reaching the Ancient House. Abū Ḥanīfah says that it means the Ḥaram of Makkah since Allah says: ‘...and then their place of sacrifice is by the Ancient House.’ (22:33) The response to this is that for this to happen the security necessary to reach the House is essential. Someone who barred fails to meet this condition. The evidence for this lies in the fact that the Prophet and his Companions slaughtered their animals at Ḥudaybīyah and not at the Ḥaram. In the Sunnah, the argument advanced is the ḥadīth of Nājiyah ibn Jundub, the Companion of the Prophet, who said to the Prophet, ‘Send the sacrificial camels with me and I will slaughter them at the Ḥaram.’ He asked, ‘How will you do that?’ He said, ‘I will lead them through inaccessible wadis and until the point that I can slaughter them in the Ḥaram.’ The answer to this is that it is not sound. One slaughters where one comes out of iḥrām to imitate what the Prophet did at Ḥudaybīyah. This is the sound version related by the imams. Furthermore, the sacrificial animals follow the one who presents them and where he comes out of iḥrām.

Scholars disagree about whether someone who is prevented from continuing should shave his head and about the way in which he should come out of iḥrām before sacrificing. Mālik said: ‘The firm sunnah about which there is no disagreement with us is that it is not permitted for someone to remove any of his hair until he has sacrificed. Allah says: “Do not shave your heads until the sacrificial animal has reached the place of sacrifice.”’ Abū Ḥanīfah and his people say that if he comes out of iḥrām before he sacrifices he owes another sacrifice and reverts to being in iḥrām until he sacrifices. If he catches game before he slaughters his sacrifice, he owes requital, and the wealthy and poor are the same in that respect. He does not come out of
*ihrām* until he sacrifices or the sacrifice is done for him. They said that the minimum is a sheep which is not blind and does not have its ears cut. For them, that takes the place of fasting.

Abū ‘Umar said that the position of the Kufans regarding this matter is weak and contradictory because they do not permit someone barred by illness or the enemy to come out of *ihrām* until he has sacrificed in the Ḥaram. By permitting the person stopped because of illness to send a sacrificial animal, instructing the person who takes it to slaughter it on a certain day, whereupon he can come out of *ihrām* and shave his head, they then allow him to assume certainty that the animal has reached the place and has been slaughtered. So they compel him to come out of *ihrām* based on assumption when scholars agree that it is not permitted for someone obliged to perform one of his obligations to leave it based on assumption. The evidence that it is an assumption is found in their words: ‘If that animal dies, is lost or stolen, the one who sent it comes out of *ihrām* and has sex with his wife and hunts, he reverts to being in *ihrām* and owes requital for what he hunted.’ So they permit him to invalidate the ḥajj and make obligatory him what is binding for someone who has not come out of *ihrām*. This is an unconcealed contradiction and a weak position. They base all of their school on the position of Ibn Mas‘ūd and did not look at anything that disagreed with him.

Ash-Shāfi‘i has two views about someone who is barred when it is difficult for him to sacrifice. One is that he only leaves *ihrām* by sacrifice, and the other is that he is commanded to do what he is able to do. If he is not able to do something, then he does what he is able to do. Ash-Shāfi‘i said, ‘Someone who says this says that he leaves *ihrām* where he is and slaughters if he is able to do so. If he is able to slaughter in Makkah, then he is only allowed to slaughter there.’ He said that his situation is only satisfied by a sacrifice. It is said that if someone cannot find a sacrificial animal, then he should
feed people or fast. If he cannot do any of these three, then he does one of them when he is able to. In the case of a slave, it is said that the situation is only satisfied by fasting. The price of a sheep is calculated in dirhams and then the dirhams in terms of food and he should fast a day for every *mudd* so calculated.

There is disagreement about whether someone forced to stop can shave his head or not once he has sacrificed. One group say that he does not have to shave because his rites have ended. Their argument is that all the practices, such as *ṭawāf* and *saʿy*, are cancelled for him when he is barred. That is part of what takes him out of *iḥrām*. Therefore the rest of what brings someone out of *iḥrām* is cancelled for him by the fact of being barred. Among those who argue this are Abū Ḥanīfah and Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan who said that someone barred does not have to shave or shorten his hair. Abū Yūsuf said that he should shave, but owes nothing if he does not shave. Ibn ‘Imrān related from Ibn Samāʿah from Abū Yūsuf in *an-Nawādir* that he must shave his head or shorten his hair.

Ash-Shāfiʿī has two different view about this matter. One is that the person prevented from finishing the practices shaves his head, which is the view of Mālik, and the other that it is not one of the practices, as is the view of Abū Ḥanīfah. The argument of Mālik is that *ṭawāf* of the House and running between Ṣafā and Marwah are all made impossible for the person who is barred and so what is between him and them is cancelled for him, but there is nothing preventing him from shaving: he is able to do it. When he is able to do something it is not cancelled for him. Part of what indicates that someone barred must still shave their head, in the same way as someone who reaches the House must, is the words of Allah: ‘*Do not shave your heads until the sacrificial animal has reached the place of sacrifice.*’ There is also what is related about the Messenger of Allah supplicating three times for those who shave their heads but only once for those who shorten their hair. This is the definitive proof and sound view regarding this
matter. It is what Mālik and his people believe. They believe that shaving the head is one of the practices owed by the ḥajjī which complete his ḥajj, and they are also due from someone who misses the ḥajj, someone barred by the enemy or prevented by illness.

The imams related from Nāfi’ from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘O Allah, forgive those who shave their heads.’ They said, ‘And those who shorten their hair, Messenger of Allah!’ He said, ‘O Allah, forgive those who shave their heads.’ They said, ‘And those who shorten their hair, Messenger of Allah!’ He said, ‘and those who shorten their hair.’ Our scholars say that this is a supplication by the Messenger of Allah ﷺ three times for those who have shaved and only once for those who shortened their hair. It is evidence that it is better to shave than shorten the hair in the ḥajj and ‘umrah. That is stipulated by Allah’s words in the āyah where there is no mention of shortening the hair. With the exception of something mentioned by al-Ḥasan about obliging shaving in the first ḥajj that a person makes, scholars are, however, agreed that shortening the hair is permitted for men.

Women do not shave their heads. Their sunnah is to shorten the hair since the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Women do not have to shave off their hair. They shorten it.’ Abū Dāwud transmitted it from Ibn ‘Abbās. Scholars agree on that position. Some people think that a woman shaving her hair amounts to mutilation. There is disagreement about the amount which should be cut. Ibn ‘Umar, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, and Isḥāq said that it is a fingertip length from every lock. ‘Aṭā’ says it is the length of three fingers when put together. Qatādah says a third or a quarter. Ḥafṣah bint Sīrīn says that a woman who is older should cut a quarter and a young woman only a little. Mālik says that it should be cut all round but that whatever a woman removes is adequate; all her locks, however, must be trimmed. Ibn al-Mundhir said that it is anything that can be called ‘shortening’, but it is more cautious to take a fingertip from every lock.
No one is permitted to shave his head until his sacrifice has been slaughtered. That is because it is sunnah to slaughter before shaving. This āyah is the basis for that along with what the Messenger of Allah ﷺ did. He slaughtered first and then shaved after that. If someone reverses this order, he has either done so in error and ignorance or intentionally. If it is the first case, then he owes nothing. Ibn Ḥabīb related that from Ibn al-Qāsim and it is well known in the school of Mālik. Ibn al-Mājishūn said that he owes a sacrifice, and that is what is stated by Abū Ḥanīfah. As for the second case, Qāḍī Abu-l-Ḥasan related that it is permitted to shave the head before sacrificing. Ash-Shāfi’ī also said that. The apparent position in the School is that it is forbidden. What is sound is that it is permitted based on the ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Abbās in which the Prophet ﷺ was asked about slaughtering, shaving and stoning and a change in the order. He said, ‘There is no harm in it.’ Muslim related it. Ibn Mājah transmitted from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr that the Prophet ﷺ was asked about someone who slaughtered before shaving or shaving before slaughtering and he said, ‘There is no harm in it.’

There is no disagreement that shaving the head in the ḥajj is a recommended practice and permitted in other than the ḥajj, although some disagree and say that in that case it is mutilation. If it had been mutilation it would not have been permitted in the ḥajj or anywhere else because the Messenger of Allah ﷺ forbade mutilation. He shaved the heads of the sons of Ja‘far three days after their father was killed. If shaving had not been permitted, he would not have done it. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib used to shave his head. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said that scholars agree about tying the hair and allowing shaving it. This is enough of a proof. Success is by Allah.

If any of you are ill or have a head injury, the expiation is fasting or ṣadaqah or sacrifice when you are safe and well again.

‘If any of you’: some Shāfi’ī scholars say that this phrase definitely indicates that the one who is ‘prevented’ at the beginning of the āyah
refers to people barred by an enemy and not by illness since, if that was not the case, there would be no need for the repetition here. If someone has something harmful on his head, he must pay *fidyah*. The application of the *āyah* does not necessarily follow in the context. The pronouns at the end refer back to what was mentioned at the beginning. Part of what indicates that is the reason for its revelation. The imams relate about Ka‘b ibn ‘Ujrah when the Prophet ﷺ saw lice dropping from his hair onto his face and said, ‘Perhaps your head is causing you harm?’ He commanded him to shave his head at Ḥudaybīyah while they were still hoping to enter Makkah. Then Allah revealed *fidyah* (expiation) and the Prophet ﷺ commanded him to feed six poor people, sacrifice a sheep or fast three days.

Al-Awzā‘ī said that if someone in *ihrām* has something harmful on his head, it is enough for him to expiate it by *fidyah* before shaving his head. According to this, the *āyah* means ‘if he wants to shave.’ So anyone who shaves owes *fidyah* which he does not pay until he shaves. Allah knows best.

Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr says, ‘The sacrifice, in this case, is a sheep and there is no disagreement about that. There is disagreement about the amount of fasting and feeding. Most Muslim scholars believe that the fast is for three days, as is found in the sound ḥadīth from Ka‘b ibn ‘Ujrah. Al-Ḥasan, ‘Ikrimah and Nāfi‘ say that it is ten days. None of the *fuqahā*’ of the cities or the imams of ḥadīth take this position.’ It is transmitted by Abu-z-Zubayr from Mujāhid from ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān that Ka‘b ibn ‘Ujrah told him that he went into *ihrām* in Dhu-l-Qa‘dah and his head was full of lice. He went to the Prophet ﷺ who was heating a pot over a fire. He ﷺ said to him, ‘It seems that the vermin on your head are causing you harm.’ ‘Yes,’ he answered. He said, ‘Shave and make a sacrifice.’ He said, ‘I do not have the means to sacrifice.’ He said, ‘Then feed ten poor people.’ He replied, ‘I do not have the means.’ He said, ‘Fast for three days.’
Abū ‘Umar said, ‘The ḥadīth would give the impression that there is an order in the three alternatives, but is not the case. If this had been sound, it would be first one, then the other, but most of the reports from Ka‘b ibn ‘Ujrah use the word ‘choice’. That is the text of the Qur’an and it is how the scholars in every city acted and that was their fatwā. Success is by Allah.

Scholars disagree about how much food is required for the fidyah on account of this harm. Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah and their people said that the feeding required is two mudds measured by the mudd of the Prophet ﷺ. That is the view of Abū Thawr and Dāwud. It is related that ath-Thawrī said that fidyah is half a ṣā‘ of wheat or a ṣā‘ of dates, barley or raisins. It is also related that Abū Ḥanīfah made half a ṣā‘ of wheat equal to a ṣā‘ of dates. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘This is an error because in some reports the Prophet ﷺ said to Ka‘b, ‘Give as ṣadaqah three ṣā‘s of dates to six poor people.’ Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal once said what Mālik and ash-Shāfi‘ī said, and another time: ‘He should feed each poor person with a mudd of wheat or half a ṣā‘ of dates.’ Feeding poor people a midday and evening meal does not satisfy the requirement in the expiation for removal of harm. It is necessary to give each poor person two mudds measured by the mudd of the Prophet ﷺ. That is what is stated by Mālik, ath-Thawrī, ash-Shāfi‘ī, and Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan. Abū Yūsuf, however, said that it is enough to feed them a midday and evening meal.

The consensus of the people of knowledge is that someone in Ḱhrām is forbidden to shave his hair or cut it or eliminate it in any way it by shaving or depilation or anything else, except in case of illness as the Qur’an states. They agree that fidyah is mandatory for someone who shaves while in Ḱhrām without reason. They disagree about someone who does that or puts on perfume deliberately without an excuse. Mālik said, ‘What he has done is bad! He owes fidyah and can choose how to pay it. It is the same whether that is deliberate or accidental, due to necessity or not.’ Abū Ḥanīfah, ash-Shāfi‘ī, their people, and
Abū Thawr say that there is no choice except when it was due to necessity, based on this āyah. So when he shaves his head deliberately or puts on perfume deliberately without an excuse, then he has no choice and only owes a sacrifice. They disagree about someone who does that out of forgetfulness. Mālik said that the one who does it deliberately or does it forgetfully are the same with respect to the obligation of fidyah. That is also the view of Abū Ḥanīfah, ath-Thawrī and al-Layth. Ash-Shāfi‘ī has two views about it. One is that he owes no fidyah and that is the view of Dāwud and Ishāq. The second view is that he owes fidyah.

Most scholars oblige fidyah for a man in iḥrām who wears stitched clothing, covers all or part of his head, wears leather socks, cuts his nails, touches perfume, and removes harm. That is also the case if he shaves his body or daubs it, or shaves cupping sites. A woman is like a man in that respect. She must pay fidyah for using kohl, even if there is no perfume in it. A man may use kohl if there is no perfume in it. A woman owes fidyah if she covers her face or wears gloves. The intentional, forgetful and ignorant are the same regarding that. Some scholars oblige a sacrifice for people who do any of those things. Dāwud said that they owe nothing for shaving body hair.

Scholars disagree about the place where this fidyah should take place. ‘Āṭā’ said, ‘Sacrifices should be done in Makkah, while feeding or fasting can be done whenever the person wishes.’ The same is stated by the People of Opinion. Al-Ḥasan said that sacrificing must be done in Makkah. Ṭāwūs and ash-Shāfi‘ī said that both feeding and sacrificing may only be done in Makkah, while fasting can be done wherever one wishes, because the people of the Ḥaram get no benefit from fasting. Allah Almighty says: ‘a sacrifice to reach the Ka‘bah.’ (5:95) It is a comfort for poor people in the vicinity of His House. Feeding is beneficial there which is not the case with fasting. Allah knows best. Mālik said, ‘He can do that wherever he wishes.’ It is a sound view and it is the view of Mujāhid. According to Mālik,
slaughtering is a practice but a sacrifice is not, based on the text of the Qur’an and the Sunnah. Practices can be done anywhere but sacrifices may only be done at Makkah. Part of his argument is what is related from Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd in the Muwatta’: “Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib ordered that Ḥusayn’s head should be shaved and then he offered a sacrifice on his behalf, killing a camel for him.’ Mālik said that Yaḥyā ibn Saʿīd said, ‘Ḥusayn had set out with ‘Uthmān on that particular journey to Makkah.’

This is the clearest evidence that fidyah for injury can be fulfilled outside of Makkah. If the sacrifice is slaughtered in the Ḥaram, Mālik permits it to be given to people outside of the Ḥaram, since the object of it is to feed poor Muslims. Mālik said, ‘Since it is permitted to do the fast outside the Ḥaram, it is also permitted to feed other than the people of the Ḥaram.’ Allah’s words: ‘If any of you are ill…’, clarify the proof of what we have said. In this āyah, He does not mention a specific place. So the literal meaning is that wherever a person does that, it satisfies the requirement. Allah uses ‘nusuk’ as a term for what is slaughtered and the Messenger of Allah also used that term for it rather than saying ‘hady’. Therefore we are not obliged to treat it as analogous to ‘hady’ nor designate it as such in spite of what has come about that from ‘Alī. Furthermore, when the Prophet commanded Kaʿb to do fidyah, he was not in the Ḥaram and therefore it is valid for all of that to take place outside of the Ḥaram. The same as this is related from ash-Shāfiʿī.

‘Nusuk’ is the plural of nasīkah which is a slaughtered animal that someone offers (nasaka) to Allah. Nusk is basic worship. An example of that usage is Allah’s words: ‘Show us our rites (manāsik)’. (2:128) It is said that the linguistic root of nusk is washing and the verb is used for washing a garment. So it is as if a person washes himself of the filth of sins by worship. It is also said that nask are silver ingots, each of which is nasīkah.

It is said that the words ‘when you are safe’ mean ‘when you have
recovered from your illness’. It is said that they mean ‘safe from fear of the enemy’, as Ibn ‘Abbās and Qatādah said. That is more in keeping with the expression used, because no one can ever be free from fear of illness to the extent that it is possible to feel entirely safe from it, and Allah knows best.

**Anyone who comes out of iḥrām between ‘umrah and ḥajj should make whatever sacrifice is feasible.**

Scholars disagree about who is being referred to in this phrase. ‘Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr, ‘Alqamah and Ibrāhīm say that the āyah is about those who are forcibly prevented and cannot go on. According to Ibn az-Zubayr the situation referred to is when someone is held up until he misses the ḥajj and then reaches the House after it has passed and assumes iḥrām for ‘umrah and then performs ḥajj in the following year to make up for the one he missed. This joins his ‘umrah to the ḥajj of making up. Others say that the situation referred to is when someone is detained and then delays ‘umrah until the following year and does it in the months of ḥajj and performs ḥajj in the same year. Ibn ‘Abbās and others said that this āyah is about both those who are barred and others who are able to continue.

There is no disagreement among scholars that ḥajj involving a temporary removal of iḥrām (tamattu’), ḥajj on its own (ifrād) and ḥajj and ‘umrah combined (qirān) are all permitted because Prophet ﷺ declared himself happy with all of them. He did not object to the ḥajj of any of his Companions, but allowed it for them and was pleased with them. Scholars do, however, disagree about which of them the Messenger of Allah ﷺ adopted when performing his own ḥajj and which is the best, all based on different ḥadīths that have been reported. Some, including Malik, said that ifrād is better the qirān and qirān is better than tamattu’, because the Prophet ﷺ did ifrād. We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘We went out with the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and he said, “Whoever among you wants
to go into *iḥrām* for ḥajj and ‘umrah should do so. Whoever wants to

go into *iḥrām* for ḥajj alone should do so. Whoever wants to go into
*iḥrām* for ‘umrah should do so.”’ ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘The Messenger of

Allah ﷺ went into *iḥrām* for ḥajj and some people did so with him,

while others assumed *iḥrām* for ḥajj and ‘umrah and yet others

assumed *iḥrām* for ‘umrah. I was one of those who assumed *iḥrām* for

‘umrah.’ A group related it from Hishām ibn ‘Urwah from his father

from ‘Ā’ishah. Some of them say that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said,

‘As for myself, I have assumed *iḥrām* for ḥajj.’ This is a text used

in the topic of this dispute. It is the argument of those who say that

ifrād is better. Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan related that Mālik said,

‘Since two different *ḥadīths* have come from the Prophet ﷺ, and we

heard that Abū Bakr and ‘Umar acted on one of the two *ḥadīths* and

left the other, that provides evidence that truth lies in what they did.’

Abū Thawr also preferred ifrād and thought it better than tamattu‘

and qirān. It one of two positions reported from ash-Shāfi‘ī and it is

well known from him.

Others prefer tamattu‘, because it is mentioned in the Book, and

said that it is better. That is the school of ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar and

‘Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr and is the view of Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and it is

the other position of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Ad-Dāraquṭnī said, ‘Ash-Shāfi‘ī said,

“I preferred ifrād, but tamattu‘ is good and we do not dislike it.”’

The argument of those who prefer tamattu‘ is found in what Muslim

related from ‘Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn who said, ‘The āyah of tamattu‘ was

revealed in the Book of Allah and the Messenger of Allah ﷺ commanded us to do it. Then nothing was revealed to supersede it and the Messenger of Allah ﷺ did not forbid us to do it before he died. Afterwards a man would say whatever he wished about it based on his own opinion.’ At-Tirmidhī related from Qutaybah ibn Sa‘īd from Mālik ibn Anas from Ibn Shihāb from Muḥammad ibn ‘Abdullāh ibn al-Ḥārith ibn Nâwî who, in the year that Mu‘āwiyyah ibn Abī Suﬀyân made ḥajj, heard Sa‘d ibn Abī Waqqāṣ and aḍ-
Ḍaḥḥāk ibn Qays mentioning tamattu’, ‘umrah joined to ḥajj. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk ibn Qays said, ‘He only did that out of ignorance of Allah’s command!’ Sa‘d retorted. ‘What you have said is bad, nephew!’ Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb forbade that!’ Sa‘d answered, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ did it and we did it with him.’ This is a sound ḥadīth.

Ibn Ishāq related from az-Zuhrī that Sālim said, ‘I was sitting in the mosque with Ibn ‘Umar when a man from Syria came and asked him about tamattu’. Ibn ‘Umar said, “Fine and good.” The man said, “Your father used to forbid it.” Ibn ‘Umar retorted, “Woe to you! If my father forbade it, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ did it and commanded it to be done. Should I take the position of my father or the command of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ? Leave me.”’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it, and Abū ‘Īsā at-Tirmidhī transmitted it from the ḥadīth of Šāliḥ ibn Kaysân from Ibn Shihāb from Sālim. It is related from Layth from Ṭāwūs that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ, Abū Bakr, ‘Umar and ‘Uthmān performed tamattu’. Mu‘āwiyah was the first to forbid it.’ It is a ḥasan ḥadīth. Abū ‘Umar said that this ḥadīth of Layth is munkar. He is Layth ibn Abī Sulaym and is weak. What is well known is that ‘Umar and ‘Uthmān forbade tamattu’.

One group of scholars claim that the form of tamattu’ that ‘Umar forbade and nullified was to add ḥajj to ‘umrah. That was not the case in adding ‘umrah to ḥajj. Those who say that it is correct that ‘Umar forbade tamattu’ state that he forbade it so that people would seek their provision at the House twice or more in the same year so that there would be more visitors to it outside the Festival. He wanted to be kind to the people of the Ḥaram in respect of people’s visits, to bring about the supplication of Ibrāhīm: ‘Make the hearts of mankind incline towards them.’ (14:37) Others say that he forbade it because he saw that people were inclining to tamattu’ because it was easy and he feared that ifrād and qirān would be lost when they are
two sunnahs of the Prophet ﷺ. In defence of his preference for 
tamattu’, Aḥmad cited the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Had I known 
what I know now, I would not have brought sacrificial camels and I
would have made it ‘umrah.’ The imams have transmitted it.

Others prefer qirān, and they include Abū Ḥanīfah and ath-
Thawrī. Al-Muzanī said that the reason for that is that it is per-
forming two obligations together. That is what Isḥāq said. Isḥāq
said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ did qirān, and it is the position of
‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib. The argument for those who recommend and
prefer qirān is what al-Bukhārī related from ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb.
He said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say in the valley of ‘Aqīq,
“In the night someone came to me from my Lord and said, ‘Pray in
this blessed valley and say, ‘Umrah in ḥajj.’”’ At-Tirmidhī related that
Anas heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘At Your service for ‘umrah
and ḥajj.’ He said that it is a sound ḥasan hadīth.

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Allah willing,_ifrād is better because the
Messenger of Allah ﷺ did _ifrād. That is why we say that _ifrād is
better. The traditions about his _ifrād are sounder because _ifrād has
more actions and ‘umrah is another action.’” Abū Ja‘far an-Naḥḥās
said, ‘Ifrād has more followers than tamattu’ because one remains in
iḥrām. That has a greater reward.’ The way that the hadīths are
brought into agreement with one another is that because the
Messenger of Allah ﷺ commanded _ifrād and qirān, it is permitted to
say that Messenger of Allah ﷺ did tamattu’ and qirān in the same way
that Allah says: ‘Pharaoh called to his people.’ (43:51) ‘Umar ibn al-
Khaṭṭāb said, ‘We stoned and the Messenger of Allah ﷺ stoned. He
commanded stoning.’

What is most evident is that the ḥajj of the Prophet ﷺ was qirān. He
performed qirān according to the hadīths of ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb
and Anas that we have mentioned. We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim from
Bakr that Anas said, ‘I heard the Prophet ﷺ say the talbiyyah for ḥajj
and ‘umrah together.’ Bakr said, ‘I related that to Ibn ‘Umar and he
said, “He said the *talbiyyah* for ḥajj alone.” I met Anas and told him what Ibn ‘Umar had said and Anas said, “You are treating us as children! I heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘At Your service for ‘umrah and ḥajj!’” We also find in *Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim* that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Prophet ﷺ adopted *iḥrām* for ‘umrah and the people adopted *iḥrām* for ḥajj. Neither the Prophet ﷺ nor his Companions who had brought sacrificial camels came out of *iḥrām* while the rest of them did.’ Some of the people of knowledge say that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ did *qirān*. When he did *qirān*, he did ḥajj and ‘umrah. Therefore the ḥadīths agree.

An-Naḥḥās said, ‘Part of the best of what is said about this is that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ adopted *iḥrām* for ‘umrah and those who saw him said, “He is performing *tamattu’*.” Then he adopted *iḥrām* for ḥajj and those who saw him said, “He is performing *ifrād*.” Then he said, “At Your service for ḥajj and ‘umrah.” Those who heard him said, “*Qirān.*” So the ḥadīths agree. The evidence for this is that no one related that the Prophet ﷺ said, “I did ḥajj *ifrād*” or “I did *tamattu’*.” It is, however, valid that he said, “I did *qirān,*” as an-Nasā’ī related from ‘Alī. He said, “I went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and he asked me, ‘What did you do?’ I answered, ‘I adopted *iḥrām* according to your *iḥrām.*’ He said, ‘I have brought sacrificial camels and am doing *qirān.*’” He said that he remarked to his Companions, “Had I known what I know now, I would have done what you did, but I have brought sacrificial camels and am performing *qirān.*” It is confirmed that Ḥafṣah said, ‘I said, “Messenger of Allah, why have people come out of their *iḥrām* when you have not?” He answered, “I matted my hair and drove my sacrificial animals and so I will not come out of *iḥrām* until you slaughter.”’ This makes it clear that he was doing *qirān* because if he had been doing *tamattu’* or *ifrād*, he would not have been prevented from slaughtering the sacrifices.

An-Naḥḥās mentioned that no one related that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘I did ḥajj *ifrād*,’ while it is related that ‘Ā’ishah said that he
said, ‘I have assumed *iḥrām* for ḥaǧj.’ This means that he was doing *iifrād* although it is possible that he had assumed *iḥrām* for ‘umrah and then said, ‘I have assumed *iḥrām* for ḥaǧj.’ Part of what clarifies this is what Muslim related from Ibn ‘Umar: ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ began and adopted *iḥrām* for ‘umrah and then adopted *iḥrām* for ḥaǧj.’ So his words about adopting *iḥrām* for ḥaǧj are not evidence for *iifrād*. His words, ‘I am doing *qirān*’ remain. Anas, his servant, said that he heard him say, ‘At Your service for ḥaǧj and ‘umrah together.’ This is a clear text for *qirān* not subject to interpretation. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from ‘Abdullāh ibn Abī Qatādah that his father said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ combined ḥaǧj and ‘umrah because he knew that he would not perform ḥaǧj after that.’

As we stated, *iifrād*, *tamattu’* and *qirān* are all permitted by consensus. According to scholars, there are four forms of *tamattu’*. There is agreement about one of them and disagreement about the other three. The one on which there is agreement is the *tamattu’* meant by the words of the Almighty: ‘Anyone who comes out of *iḥrām* between ‘umrah and ḥaǧj should make whatever sacrifice is feasible.’ That is when a man adopts *iḥrām* for ‘umrah in the months of ḥaǧj, as will be explained, and is not from Makkah. He comes to Makkah and finishes it and then remains in Makkah, not in *iḥrām*, until the ḥaǧj begins in that year before he has returned home or before he goes to the *mīqāt* of the people of his region. When he does that, he is performing *tamattu’* and owes what Allah has obliged for someone performing *tamattu’*: a feasible sacrifice which he slaughters and gives to the poor at Minā or Makkah. If he cannot do that, then he fasts for three days and seven when he returns home. There is consensus that he does not fast on the Day of Sacrifice. There is disagreement about fasting the days of Tashrīq.

This is the consensus of the people of knowledge, past and present, about *tamattu’*. It has eight preconditions. The first is that someone combines ḥaǧj and ‘umrah. The second is that it is on the same
journey. The third is that is in the same year. The fourth is that it is in the months of ḥajj. The fifth is that ‘umrah is done first. The sixth is that it is not mixed, but the iḥrām for ḥajj comes after finishing ‘umrah. The seventh is that both ‘umrah and ḥajj are for the same person, and the eighth is that he is not from Makkah. If you reflect, you will see that these are preconditions for the ruling of tamattu‘.

The second form of tamattu‘ is basically qirān. It is that someone combines them in the same iḥrām and assumes iḥrām for both of them together in the months of ḥajj or some other time. He says, ‘At Your service for both ḥajj and ‘umrah.’ When he reaches Makkah, he does one ṭawāf for his ḥajj and ‘umrah and one saʿy for both according to those who think that it can be done. They are Mālik, ash-Shāfiʿī and their people, Ishāq and Abū Thawr. It is the school of ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar, Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh, Ṭāwūs, based on the ḥadīth of ‘Ā’ishah: ‘We went out with the Messenger of Allah on the Farewell Ḥajj and we adopted iḥrām for ‘umrah…’ It says in it, ‘Those who combined ḥajj and ‘umrah did one ṭawāf.’ Al-Bukhārī transmitted it. On the Day of Nafr, he said to ‘Ā’ishah when she had not done ṭawāf of the House and menstruated, ‘Your ṭawāf and saʿy are for both your ḥajj and ‘umrah.’ One variant has: ‘Your going between Ṣafā and Marwah satisfies both your ḥajj and ‘umrah.’ Muslim transmitted it.

Or one does two ṭawāfs and two saʿys according to the opinion of those who think that, namely Abū Ḥanīfah and his people, ath-Thawrī, al-Awzāʿī, al-Ḥasan ibn Ṣāliḥ and Ibn Abī Laylā. It is related from ‘Alī and Ibn Masʿūd. That is the view of ash-Shaʿbī and Jābir ibn Zayd. They argue by the ḥadīths about ‘Alī combining ḥajj and ‘umrah and doing two ṭawāfs and two saʿys for them. Then he said, ‘That is how I saw the Messenger of Allah act.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted both of them in his Sunan and considered them weak. He made qirān part of tamattu‘ because the one doing qirān is enjoying (tamattaʿa) lack of fatigue in travelling to perform
‘umrah once and to perform ḥajj once, and enjoying combining them. He does not have to assume iḥrām for each of them from his mīqāt. By adding ḥajj to ‘umrah he falls under the words of the Almighty: ‘Anyone who comes out of iḥrām between ‘umrah and ḥajj should make whatever sacrifice is feasible.’

This is a form of tamattuʿ that is permitted by scholars without any dispute. The people of Madīnah do not permit joining ‘umrah and ḥajj unless the person brings a sacrificial camel with him. They believe that it must be a camel and nothing less. Part of what indicates that qirān is tamattuʿ is the view of Ibn ‘Umar. He made tamattuʿ exclusively for the people of all regions [outside Makkah] and recited: ‘That is for anyone whose family does not live near the Masjid al-Ḥaram.’ Therefore anyone who lives in the vicinity of the Masjid al-Ḥaram and does tamattuʿ or qirān does not owe the sacrifice of tamattuʿ or qirān. Mālik said, ‘I have not heard of a Makkan doing qirān. If he were to do it, he would not owe a sacrifice or fasting.’ Most fuqahāʾ take Mālik’s view regarding that. ‘Abd al-Malik ibn al-Mājishūn said, ‘When a Makkan does qirān of ḥajj with ‘umrah, he does not owe the sacrifice for qirān because Allah has cancelled sacrifice and fasting in tamattuʿ for the people of Makkah.’

The third form of tamattuʿ is the one which ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb threatened. He said, ‘I forbid two types of tamattuʿ that were done in the time of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and I will punish people for them: the muʿtah [marriage] with women and the muʿtah of ḥajj.’ Scholars disagree about the permissibility of this. It is that a man adopts iḥrām for ḥajj and then, when he enters Makkah, his ḥajj is changed into ‘umrah. Then he comes out of iḥrām and remains so until he adopts iḥrām on the Day of Tarwīyah. This is the form which is reported by multiple transmissions from the Prophet ﷺ. In his ḥajj, he ordered those who did not have sacrifices with them and had adopted iḥrām for ḥajj to make it ‘umrah. Scholars agree on the soundness of the traditions that report that from him ﷺ. They did
not reject any of them, but they disagree about taking it and acting on it. Most of them believe that it is not acted on because they believe that it was a specific case which the Messenger of Allah made for his Companions on that ḥajj. Abū Dharr said, ‘The mut’ah for us was specifically on that ḥajj.’ Muslim transmitted it. One version has: ‘Two mu’ahs were allowed only to us specifically,’ meaning the mu’ah with women and the mu’ah of ḥajj.

The pretext for its being special and the benefit in it is in what Ibn ‘Abbās said: ‘They used to think that ‘umrah during the months of ḥajj was one of the most heinous actions anyone could do. They would consider al-Muḥarram to be Ṣafar and would say, ‘When the wounds on the back of the camel heal and the scar has gone and Ṣafar has passed, then ‘umrah is lawful for anyone who wants to perform it.” The Prophet and his Companions arrived on the morning of the fourth of Dhu-l-Ḥijjah, having adopted iḥrām for the ḥajj and he told them to make it an ‘umrah. They thought that extraordinary and said, “Messenger of Allah, what sort of coming out of iḥrām is it?” He said, “A total coming out of iḥrām.”’ Muslim transmitted it. We find with a sound isnād of Abū Ḥātim that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘By Allah, the Messenger of Allah told ‘Ā’ishah to perform ‘umrah in Dhu-l-Ḥijjah in order by that to cut off the business of the people of shirk. This clan of Quraysh and those near them used to say, “When the wounds on the back of the camel heal and the scar has gone and Ṣafar has passed, then ‘umrah is lawful for anyone who wants to perform it.” They used to go into iḥrām for ‘umrah when Dhu-l-Ḥijjah had passed. The Messenger of Allah told ‘Ā’ishah to perform ‘umrah to annul that position of theirs.’

This contains proof that the Messenger of Allah incorporated the ‘umrah into the ḥajj to show them that there was nothing wrong in performing ‘umrah in the months of ḥajj. That was something specifically for him and those with him because Allah Almighty issued a general command that whoever starts ḥajj or ‘umrah should
complete them. One does not oppose the literal text of the Book of Allah, except in favour of something in the Book of Allah or a clarifying sunnah that abrogates it without ambiguity. Their argument is what we mentioned from Abū Dharr as well as the ḥadīth of al-Ḥārith ibn Bilāl whose father said, ‘We said, “Messenger of Allah, is the casting off of ḥajj something particularly for us or is it for people in general?” He replied, “It is particularly for us.”’ This is the position of the majority of the fuqahā’ of the Hijaz, Iraq and Syria except for something related from Ibn ʿAbbās, al-Ḥasan and as-Suddī.

Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal stated it and said, ‘I will not deny those sound multiple transmissions of reports which come about casting ḥajj into ‘umrah based on the ḥadīth of al-Ḥārith ibn Bilāl from his father and the position of Abū Dharr.’ He did not make it particular. Aḥmad argued by the sound long ḥadīth of Jābir about the ḥajj. We find in it: ‘Had I known what I know now, I would not have brought sacrificial camels and I would have made it ‘umrah.’ Surāqah ibn Mālik ibn Juʿshum stood up and said, ‘Messenger of Allah, is it specifically for this year or is it forever?’ The Messenger of Allah intertwined his fingers together and said twice, ‘Umrah has been incorporated into ḥajj.’

By Allah, I know that it is to this that al-Bukhārī inclined when he entitled a chapter: ‘Someone saying talbiyyah for ḥajj and specifying it.’ He gives the ḥadīth of Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh: ‘We came with the Messenger of Allah saying, “At Your service, O Allah, for the ḥajj.” The Messenger of Allah instructed us to make it ‘umrah.’ Some people said that the command by the Messenger of Allah to come out of iḥrām came by a different path which Mujāhid mentioned: ‘The Companions of the Messenger of Allah did not make the ḥajj obligatory first. He commanded them to come completely out of iḥrām and to wait for what they were commanded. That is the same with the iḥrām of ʿAlī in Yemen and the same with the iḥrām of the Messenger of Allah. It is indicated by his words,
‘Had I known what I know now, I would not have brought sacrificial camels and I would have made it ‘umrah.’ So it is as if he went out waiting for what he was commanded and then commanded his Companions to do that. That is also indicated by his words, ‘In the night someone came to me from my Lord and said, “Pray in this blessed valley and say, ‘Umrah in ḥajj.’”’

The fourth form of tamattu’ is that of someone who is prevented from reaching the House. Ya’qūb ibn Shaybah mentioned from Abū Salamah at-Tabūdhakī from Wuhayb from Ishāq ibn Suwayd who heard ‘Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr say in a khutbah: ‘People! By Allah, tamattu’, joining umrah into ḥajj, is not as you do, but tamattu’ is that a man sets out on ḥajj and is detained by the enemy until the days of ḥajj have passed. Then he reaches the House and does tawāf and runs between Safā and Marwah and then enjoys being out of ḥarām until the following year when he performs ḥajj and sacrifices.’

Someone hindered by the enemy has already been dealt with. An element of his position is that someone hindered does not come out of ḥarām, but rather remains in it until he slaughters the sacrifice on the Day of Sacrifice. Then he shaves but remains in ḥarām until he reaches Makkah and then comes out of ḥarām for ḥajj by the actions of umrah. What Ibn az-Zubayr mentioned is counter to the undefined nature of this āyah. Allah did not make a distinction between ḥajj and umrah in the ruling of someone prevented from completing it. When the Prophet and his Companions were stopped at Ḥudaybīyah, they came out ḥarām as he did. He commanded them to do so.

Scholars also disagree about the reason for saying that someone is doing tamattu’. Ibn al-Qāsim said that he is called that because he can enjoy (tamatta‘a) all that it is not permitted for someone in ḥarām to do from the time he comes out of his ḥarām until he starts ḥajj. Others say that he is called that because he enjoys not having to make one of two journeys as it is the due of umrah to be the object of
a journey and the same is true of ḥajj. When he enjoys the cancellation of one of them, then he owes a sacrifice to Allah as is also the case with someone doing qirān who combines ḥajj and ‘umrah in the same journey. The first position is more universal: he can enjoy all that someone not in iḥrām can do and he does not have to make another journey from his land to perform ḥajj, and he does not have to return to his mīqāt to adopt iḥrām from for ḥajj.

This is what ‘Umar and Ibn Mas‘ūd disliked. One or both of them said, ‘One of you comes to Minā with his penis dripping with semen.’ However, the Muslims agree that that is permitted. A group of scholars said that ‘Umar disliked it because he preferred to visit the House twice in the year: once on ḥajj and once for ‘umrah. He thought that ifrād was better and commanded it and inclined to it. His forbidding other forms of ḥajj was a recommendation. That is why he said, ‘Separate your ḥajj and your ‘umrah. It is more complete for your ḥajj to do that; and it is more complete for your ‘umrah to perform it outside of the months of ḥajj.’

Scholars disagree about someone who performs ‘umrah in the month of ḥajj, returns home to his house and then performs ḥajj in the same year. Most scholars say that in that case he is not performing tamattu‘ and does not owe a sacrifice or fasting. Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, however, said that he is performing tamattu‘ if he returns to his family, whether or not he performs ḥajj. He stated that it is because ‘umrah during the months of ḥajj is necessarily tamattu‘. Hushaym related that from Yūnus from al-Ḥasan. He also related from Yūnus from al-Ḥasan that the person doing that does not owe a sacrifice. The sound position is the first one. That is what was stated by Abū ‘Umar: ‘…whether or not he performs ḥajj.’ Ibn al-Mundhir did not mention it, but said that his ḥajj is based on the literal words of the Book: ‘Anyone who comes out of iḥrām between ‘umrah and ḥajj…’. There is no exception made for someone who returns to his family or does not return. If Allah had intended that, He would
have explained it in His Book or on the tongue of His Messenger ﷺ.

A similar position to that of al-Ḥasan is related from Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Also related from al-Ḥasan regarding this topic is a position that no one follows and none of the people of knowledge adopt. He said that someone who performs ‘umrah on the Day of Sacrifice is doing *tаматту*‘. Two views are related from Tāwūs that are even more aberrant than what we have mentioned from al-Ḥasan. One is that someone who performs ‘umrah outside the months of ḥajj, then stays until the time of ḥajj and does ḥajj in the same year, is performing *tamattu*‘. He is the only scholar to say this and none of the *fuqahāʾ* of any region believe it. Allah knows best, but that is because the months of ḥajj are more proper for ḥajj than they are for ‘umrah because ‘umrah is permitted throughout the entire year. The place of the ḥajj is in known months. If someone does ‘umrah in the months of ḥajj, he has put it in a place to which ḥajj is more entitled, although in His Book and on the tongue of His Messenger ﷺ Allah made an allowance for doing ‘umrah in the months of ḥajj if someone is performing *tamattu*‘ or *qirān*, and for someone who does *ifrād*, out of mercy from Him. He assigned a feasible sacrifice for doing it. The other form is what is said about a Makkan when he does *tamattu*‘ from one the regions: he owes a sacrifice. One does not turn to this because of the literal meaning of His words: “That is for anyone whose family does not live near the Masjid al-Haram.” One group of scholars permit *tamattu*‘ with the preconditions we have explained. Success is by Allah.

Scholars agree that if a man, who is not from the people of Makkah, performs ‘umrah in the months of ḥajj with the resolve to remain there and then begins ḥajj in that year, that is *tamattu*‘, and he owes what someone performing *tamattu*‘ owes. They agree that when a Makkan comes from outside the *mīqāt* in *iḥrām* for ‘umrah and then begins ḥajj from Makkah while his family is in Makkah and it is his only residence, he owes no sacrifice. That is also the case if he lives
elsewhere as well as living there and has family both in Makkah and
outside of Makkah. They agree that if he moves with his family from
Makkah and then comes performing ‘umrah in the months of ḥajj
and stays there until ḥajj that year, he is performing tamattu’.

Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah and their people, ath-Thawrī and
Abū Thawr agree that someone performing tamattu‘ does ṭawāf of the
House for ‘umrah and runs between Ṣafā and Marwah and after that
does another ṭawāf and sa’y for ḥajj. It is related from ‘Aṭā’ and
Ṭāwūs that it is enough to do one sa’y between Ṣafā and Marwah.
They disagree about someone who starts his ‘umrah outside the
months of ḥajj and then finishes it during the months of ḥajj. Mālik
said that his ‘umrah is in the month in which it occurred. He means
that if it occurs outside the months of ḥajj, it is not tamattu‘, but if it
occurs in the months of ḥajj, then he is performing tamattu‘ if he does
ḥajj in the same year. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘If someone does ṭawāf of the
House in the months of ḥajj, he is performing tamattu‘ if he performs
ḥajj in the same year.’ That is because ‘umrah is completed by ṭawāf
of the House. One considers its completion. That is the view of al-
Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, al-Ḥakam ibn ‘Uyaynah Ibn Shurumah and Sufyān
ath-Thawrī.

Qatādah, Aḥmad and Isḥāq said that his ‘umrah is attached to the
month in which he assumed ihrām. That idea is also related from
Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh. Ṭāwūs said that his ‘umrah is attached to the
month in which he enters the Ḥaram. The People of Opinion said
that if someone does four circuits of ṭawāf in Ramadan and three in
Shawwāl, he is not doing tamattu‘. Abū Thawr said, ‘If he starts
‘umrah outside of the months of ḥajj, it is the same whether he does
ṭawāf in Ramadan or in Shawwāl: he is not doing tamattu‘ in this
‘umrah.’ That is the meaning of the statement of Aḥmad and Ishāq:
his ‘umrah is attached to the month in which he assumes ihrām.

The people of knowledge agree that someone who adopts ihrām for
‘umrah in the months of ḥajj and does not add ḥajj to it until he
begins ṭawāf of the House is by that token doing qirān. He is under the same obligation as that of someone who begins ḥajj and ‘umrah together. They disagree about adding ḥajj to ‘umrah after he has begun ṭawāf. Mālik said, ‘That binds him and he becomes someone performing qirān as long as he has not finished ṭawāf.’ Something similar is related from Abū Ḥanīfah. It is well known from him that it is only permitted to add ḥajj before he begins ṭawāf. It was said that he can add ḥajj to ‘umrah as long as he has not prayed the two rak‘ahs of ṭawāf. All of that is the position of Mālik and his people.

If someone performing ‘umrah has done one circuit for his ‘umrah and then adopts ḥārām for ḥajj, he is performing qirān; the rest of his ‘umrah is cancelled for him and he owes the sacrifice of qirān. That is also the case if someone adopts ḥārām for ḥajj during ṭawāf or after it but before praying the two rak‘ahs. Some of them say that he can add ḥajj to ‘umrah as long as he has not completed sa‘y between Ṣafā and Marwah. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘The people of knowledge consider all of this aberrant.’ Ashhab said, ‘When he has done one circuit for ‘umrah, he is not obliged to adopt ḥārām and he is not doing qirān. He continues with his ‘umrah until he finishes it and then adopts ḥārām for ḥajj. This is the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī and ‘Aṭā‘. Abū Thawr also said that.’

They disagree about adding ‘umrah to ḥajj. Mālik, Abū Thawr and Isḥāq said that ‘umrah may not be added to ḥajj, and if someone adds ‘umrah to ḥajj, it counts for nothing. Mālik said that and it is one of the views of ash-Shāfi‘ī. It was known from him in Egypt. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people and ash-Shāfi‘ī in his old school says that he becomes someone performing qirān and owes what he owes as long as he has not done one circuit of his ṭawāf for ḥajj. He cannot do it if he has done ṭawāf because he has done his ḥajj. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘I take the view of Mālik regarding this question.’

Mālik said, ‘If someone has slaughtered an animal for ‘umrah and is performing tamattu‘, that does not cover what he owes. He owes
another sacrifice for *tamattuʿ* because that is what he is doing when he begins ḥajj after he has come out of *iḥrām* for ‘umrah: a sacrifice is obliged for him. Abū Ḥanīfah, Abū Thawr and Iṣḥāq said that he should only slaughter his sacrifice on the Day of Sacrifice. Aḥmad said, ‘If someone doing *tamattuʿ* arrives before the tenth, he does ṭawāf, *saʿy* and sacrifices. If he comes in the ten days, then he only sacrifices on the Day of Sacrifice.’ ‘Aṭāʾ said that. Ash-Shāfiʿī said, ‘He comes out of *iḥrām* for ‘umrah when he does ṭawāf and *saʿy* whether or not he has brought a sacrificial animal.’

Mālik and ash-Shāfiʿī disagree about what happens when someone doing *tamattuʿ* dies. Ash-Shāfiʿī said, ‘When he adopts *iḥrām* for ḥajj, then he owes the sacrifice of *tamattuʿ* if he can afford that.’ Az-Zaʿfrānī related it from him. Ibn Wahb related that Mālik was asked whether someone performing *tamattuʿ*, who dies at ‘Arafah or elsewhere after having adopted *iḥrām* for ḥajj, owed a sacrifice. He said, ‘If anyone dies before stoning the Jamrat al-ʿAqabah, I do not think that he owes a sacrifice. If someone stones the Jamrat al-ʿAqabah and then dies, he owes a sacrifice.’ He was asked if it was paid from the capital or from the third [for bequests] and said that it was paid from the capital.

**For any one who cannot, there is three days’ fast on ḥajj and seven on your return – that is ten in all.**

Anyone who should sacrifice but is unable to do so due to lack of money or lack of animals, must fast for three days during the ḥajj and seven when he returns home. The three days are the three days up to and including the Day of ‘Arafah. This is the position of Ṭāwūs and it is related from ash-Shaʿbī, ‘Aṭāʾ, Mujāhid, al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, an-Nakhaʿī, Saʿīd ibn Jubayr, ‘Alqamah, ʿAmr ibn Dīnār and the People of Opinion. Ibn al-Mundhir related it. Abū Thawr reports that Abū Ḥanīfah says that a person may also fast the prescribed days during the time he is in *iḥrām* for ‘umrah because it is one of the two *iḥrāms* of *tamattuʿ* and so it is permitted to fast these
days in the *ihram* for ‘umrah in the same way as it is in the *ihram* for ḥajj. It is also reported from Abū Ḥanīfah and his people that the day before the day of Tarwiyah (7th Dhu-l-Ḥijjah) should be fasted, then the Day of Tarwiyah and then the Day of ‘Arafah.

Ibn ‘Abbās and Mālik ibn Anas say that the three days may be fasted at any time from the time someone assumes *ihram* for ḥajj until the Day of Sacrifice because Allah says: ‘*three days* fast on ḥajj’. If they are fasted on ‘umrah, it is before the time and so it is not allowed. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and Ibn Ḥanbal say that the days may be fasted at any time between adopting *ihram* for ḥajj up until the day of ‘Arafah, which is the position of Ibn ‘Umar and ‘Ā’ishah. This is also related from Mālik and is stated in the *Muwattā*’, and it is in order to avoid fasting on the Day of ‘Arafah. That is following the Sunnah and more conducive to worship. Aḥmad also said that it is possible to fast the three days before adopting *ihram* (but during Dhu-l-Ḥijjah). Ath-Thawrī and al-Awzā‘ī said that the days may be fasted from the beginning of the first ten days of Dhu-l-Ḥijjah. ‘Aṭā’ said that. ‘Urwah said that the days may be fasted as long as one remains in Makkah and during the days of Minā, and Mālik and a group of the people of Madīnah also took that view.

The days of Minā are the three days of Tashrīq following the Day of Sacrifice. Mālik related in the *Muwattā* that ‘Ā’ishah, the Mother of the Believers, said, ‘Someone performing *tamattu*, who does not have a sacrificial animal, should fast [three days] during the period from the time he adopts *ihram* for the ḥajj till the Day of ‘Arafah, and if he does not fast then, should fast the days of Minā.’ These words show that it is good to fast between the time one adopts *ihram* for ḥajj *tamattu* until the Day of ‘Arafah. He begins then, either because it is the proper time to do them, and the days of Minā after that are the time of making them up, as the people of ash-Shāfi‘ī say, or it is because doing the fasting before the Day of Sacrifice exonerates the person, and that is commanded. What is most apparent in the
School is that doing that fulfils the requirement, even though fasting before it is better. It is like the latitude of the time of the prayer: the beginning of the time is better than the end of it. This is the sound position: it is performing the duty, not making it up.

‘Three days fast on ḥajj’ can refer to the locus of the ḥajj or the time of the ḥajj. If it means the actual days, this is a sound view because the last of the days of ḥajj is the Day of Sacrifice. It is also possible, however, that the last of the days are the days of stoning because stoning is one of the actions of the ḥajj in particular even if it is not one of its pillars. If what is meant is the locus of the ḥajj, he may fast the days as long as he is at Makkah during the days of Minā as ‘Urwah said and greatly stresses. Some people said that a person may delay starting them until the days of Tashrīq because fasting only becomes obligatory for him when he does not find a sacrifice on the Day of Sacrifice.

A group of the people of Madīnah and ash-Shāfi‘ī in his New School as well as most of his people believe that it is not permitted to fast the days of Minā because the Messenger of Allah forbade fasting them. The response to that is that if the prohibition is confirmed, it is general but was qualified by the fact of its permissibility for someone who is performing tamattu’, since it is confirmed in al-Bukhārī that ‘Ā’ishah fasted them. Ibn ‘Umar and ‘Ā’ishah both said that there is no allowance to fast the days of Tashrīq unless someone has not brought sacrifices. Ad-Dāraquṭnī said that its isnād is sound. It is related marfū‘ from Ibn ‘Umar and ‘Ā’ishah via three paths of transmission which he considers to be weak. There is an allowance to fast them because only they remain of the days of ḥajj. Therefore it is mandatory for those without sacrificial animals to fast them. Ibn al-Mundhir related that ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said, ‘If someone fails to fast before, then he should fast after the days of Tashrīq.’ Al-Ḥasan and ‘Aṭā‘ said that. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘That is what we say.’ One group say that if he fails to
fast during the ten, then nothing but a sacrifice will satisfy the requirement. That is related from Ibn ‘Abbās, Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, Ṭāwūs, and Mujāhid. Abū ‘Umar related it from Abū Ḥanīfah and his people. Reflect on it.

Scholars agree that there is no way for someone performing tamattu’ to fast if he is able to sacrifice. They disagree about what should happen when he does not find a sacrifice and so fasts, but then finds a sacrifice before his fast is complete. Ibn Wahb mentioned that Mālik said, ‘When he begins the fast and then finds a sacrifice, I prefer him to sacrifice. If he does not, the fast satisfies the requirement.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘He continues the fast. It is his obligation.’ That is also what Abū Thawr says and it is the view of al-Ḥasan and Qatādah. Ibn al-Mundhir preferred it. Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘If it becomes feasible on the third day of the fast, the fast is void and he must sacrifice. If he fasts three days in the ḥajj and then it becomes feasible, he can fast seven days and does not return to sacrifice.’ Ath-Thawrī, Ibn Abī Najīḥ and Ḥammād said that.

The words ‘your return’ mean when you return to your country as Ibn ‘Umar, Qatādah, ar-Rabī’, Mujāhid and ‘Aṭā’ said. Mālik said that in the book of Muḥammad and ash-Shāfi‘ī stated that. Qatādah and ar-Rabī’ said, ‘This is a dispensation from Allah Almighty. No one is obliged to fast the seven until he returns home unless there is a very strong reason, as is the case with someone who fasts while travelling in Ramadan. Aḥmad and Ishāq said that fasting on the road satisfies the requirement. This is also related from Mujāhid and ‘Aṭā’. Mujāhid said, ‘If he wishes, he can fast on the road. This is a dispensation.’ That is similar to what ‘Ikrimah and al-Ḥasan said. However, some linguists say that the the phrase refers to when you leave ḣirām and return to the state you were in before. Mālik said, ‘When someone has returned from Minā, there is nothing wrong in fasting then.’ Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘It is a lightening and allowance. The consensus is that is permitted to bring forward the allowance
and abandon the kindness in favour of resolve. If that has a time, there is no text on it and nothing explicit about it meaning a person’s own country, although that is what is normally understood.’

There is, however, something evident, which is close to a clarifying text, in Ibn ‘Umar’s words: ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ did *tamattu*‘ in the Farewell Ḥajj, with *‘umrah* to ḥajj, and sacrificed. He drove the sacrificial camels with him from Dhu-l-Ḥulayfah. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ first went into *iḥrām* for *‘umrah* and then *iḥrām* for ḥajj. People did *tamattu*‘ with the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. Some people brought sacrificial camels with them and some did not. When the Messenger of Allah ﷺ reached Makkah, he said to the people, “Any of you who have sacrificial camels, should not make lawful anything that was unlawful for you until you finish your ḥajj. Those of you who do not have sacrificial animals should do *ṭawāf* of the House, go between Šafā and Marwah, shorten their hair and come out of *iḥrām*. Then they should adopt *iḥrām* for ḥajj and sacrifice. Those who do not find any way to sacrifice should fast for three days on ḥajj and seven when they return to their family.”’ This is like a text for it not being permitted to fast the seven days except in one’s own country and family. Allah knows best.

That is similar to what al-Bukhārī reported from Ibn ‘Abbās: ‘Then on the eve of Tarwīyah he told us to go into *iḥrām* for ḥajj. When we finished the rites, we came and did *ṭawāf* of the House and did *sa’y* between Šafā and Marwah. Our ḥajj was complete and we had to sacrifice, in compliance with the words of Allah Almighty: “…he should make whatever sacrifice is feasible. For anyone who cannot, there is three days’ fast on ḥajj and seven on your return.”’ (2:196)’ An-Naḥḥās said that this is the consensus.

There is disagreement about the meaning of the words ‘*that is ten in all*’. It is known that it is ten. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘Since it is conceivable to imagine that there is a choice between three days on ḥajj or seven when he returns home instead of them since He did not say,
“another seven”, He removed that possibility by saying, “*that is ten*” and then said “in all” (kāmilah). Al-Ḥasan said that he is ‘complete’ in respect of the reward, just like someone who did not do tamattu’. It is said that it is an expression which is in the form of a report while a command is meant. It means: ‘Complete them: that is the obligation.’ Al-Mubarrad said that ‘ten’ indicates the ten of the number so that it is not imagined that there is anything further after the seven. It is said that it is for stress.

**That is for anyone whose family does not live near the Masjid al-Ḥarām.**

A sacrifice is required from anyone not resident in the Ḥaram who performs *tamattu*’. Al-Bukhārī related that Ibn ‘Abbās was asked about ḥajj *tamattu*’ and said, ‘The Muhājirūn and the Anṣār and the wives of the Prophet went into *ihram* for the Farewell Ḥajj and we went into *ihram* too. When we reached Makkah, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Make your *ihram* for ḥajj an *ihram* for ʿumrah – except for those who have garlanded sacrificial animals.” We did ṭawāf of the House and went between Şafā and Marwah, and then went to our wives and put on our (normal) clothes. He said, “Anyone who has garlanded his sacrificial animal should not come out of *ihram* until the sacrifice reaches its place.” Then, on the eve of Tarwiyyah, he told us to go into *ihram* for ḥajj. When we had finished the rites, we came and did ṭawāf of the House and went between Şafā and Marwah. Our ḥajj was complete and we had to sacrifice, in compliance with the words of Allah Almighty: ‘…he should make whatever sacrifice is feasible. For any one who cannot, there is three days’ fast on ḥajj and seven on your return’ to your cities, a sheep being sufficient. So we combined two practices – ḥajj and ʿumrah – in the same year Allah Almighty sent it down in His Book and it was the Sunnah of His Prophet ﷺ. It was allowed to people other than the people of Makkah. Allah says: “…that is for anyone whose family does not live near the Masjid al-Ḥaram.” The months of ḥajj which Allah Almighty
mentioned are Shawwāl, Dhu-l-Qa’dah and Dhu-l-Ḥijjah. Whoever performs *tamattu* in these months must sacrifice or fast.’

The *lām* in ‘for anyone’ means the obligation of sacrifice for those who are not from the people of Makkah. That indicates the position of Abū Ḥanīfah and his people that *tamattu* and *qirān* are only for those who are not from Makkah. They believe that those who live near the Masjid al-Ḥaram cannot do either *tamattu* or *qirān*, and if someone does that, then he owes the sacrifice for a malpractice, from which he may not eat because it is not the sacrifice of *tamattu*. Ash-Shāfi‘i said that they owe the sacrifice of *tamattu* or *qirān*. What is indicated is sacrifice and fasting and so someone from Makkah does not owe either. ‘Abd al-Malik ibn al-Mājishūn made a difference between *tamattu* and *qirān* and obliged a sacrifice for *qirān* but not *tamattu*.

People disagree about the definition of those who live near the Masjid al-Ḥaram although they agree that those who live in Makkah and what is directly connected to it are considered to be living near it. At-atabarī said, ‘There is consensus that they are the people of the Ḥaram.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘It is not as some scholars say about it meaning those who are obliged to attend its *Jumu‘ah* prayer and anyone beyond that is a Bedouin, making the expression refer to city people and Bedouins.’ Mālik and his people said that they are only the people of Makkah and what is directly connected to it. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people said that they are the people of the *mīqāts* and those closer than them in all directions. So those who are the people of the *mīqāts* and those closer than them are considered to be near the Masjid al-Ḥaram. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and his people said that they are those who do not have to shorten the prayer when travelling to Makkah. That is the closest *mīqāt*. The positions of the early scholars are based on these views in interpreting the *āyah.

**Have taqwā of Allah.**

Fear Him in respect of what He has made obligatory for you. This
The Ḥajj takes place during certain well-known months. If anyone undertakes the obligation of ḥajj in them, there must be no sexual intercourse, no wrongdoing, nor any quarrelling during ḥajj. Whatever good you do, Allah knows it. Take provision; but the best provision is fearful awareness of Allah. So be fearful of Me, people of intelligence!

Allah now explains the difference between the times of ‘umrah and ḥajj. The period during which Ḥijrām may be assumed for ‘umrah is any time throughout the entire year, but ḥajj is only at one particular time each year. So the āyah means that the months when the ḥajj takes place are well-known months, or the time of the ḥajj falls during well-known months, or the time when the actions of the ḥajj take place is well-known, or it may mean simply that the ḥajj occurs in certain months.

There is disagreement about exactly which months are referred to as ‘well-known’. Ibn Mas‘ūd, Ibn ‘Umar, ‘Aṭā’, ar-Rabī’, Mujāhid and az-Zuhrī said that the months of ḥajj are all of Shawwāl, Dhu-l-Qa‘dah, and Dhu-l-Ḥijjah. Ibn ‘Abbās, as-Suddī, ash-Sha‘bī and an-Nakha‘ī say that it is Shawwāl, Dhu-l-Qa‘dah, and only the first ten days of Dhu-l-Ḥijjah. That is related from Ibn Mas‘ūd and is also stated by Ibn az-Zubayr. Both are transmitted from Mālik. Ibn Ḥabīb related the latter and Ibn al-Mundhir the former. The point of the disagreement is specifying when someone owes a sacrifice.
Those who say that all of Dhu-l-Ḥijjah is included in the months of Ḥajj do not think that a sacrifice is owed if the actions occur after the Day of Sacrifice, because that is still within the months of ḥajj. According to the second view, ḥajj ends on the Day of Sacrifice, and a sacrifice is owed by anyone who does the actions later than that since he is outside its time.

Allah does not name the months of ḥajj in His Book since they were well-known to the people addressed. It is possible in Arabic for the plural ‘months’ to be applied to two months and part of a third month.

They disagree about adopting *ihram* for ḥajj outside the months of ḥajj. Ibn ʿAbbās says that part of the Sunnah is to adopt *ihram* during the months of ḥajj. ‘Aṭā’, Mujāhid, Tāwūs and al-Awzā’ī said that if someone adopts *ihram* for ḥajj before the months of ḥajj, it can only be considered an ‘umrah and not ḥajj. He is like someone who prays before the time of the prayer. It does not satisfy the obligation, but is supererogatory. Ash-Shāfi’ī and Abū Thawr say that. Al-Awzā’ī says that he adopts *ihram* for ‘umrah. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal says that it is disliked. Mālik, however, in his well-known position, says that *ihram* for ḥajj can be assumed at any time during the entire year and that is also the position of Abū Ḥanīfah. An-Nakha’ī said that a person does not come out of *ihram* until he finishes his ḥajj based on the words of Allah: ‘They will ask you about the crescent moons, Say: “They are set times for mankind and for the ḥajj.”’ (2:189) What ash-Shāfi’ī said is sounder because that is undefined while this āyah is specific. It is possible that it is part of the text which is undefined for some people because these months are more excellent than others. On this basis the position of Mālik is sound. Allah knows best.

**If someone undertakes the obligation of ḥajj in them.**

This means if someone obliges himself to do ḥajj by making the inward intention and performing the outward action of setting off and vocalising the *talbīyah* in words. Ibn Ḥabīb and Abū Ḥanīfah say
that the *talbīyah* is the definitive action of setting off on ḥajj but ash-Shāfi‘ī said that that is not the case because the *talbīyah* is not one of the pillars of ḥajj. That is also the view of al-Ḥasan ibn Ḥayy. Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that the intention and the adoption of *ihrām* is enough.

The literalists and others say that linguistically the root of the word for ‘undertakes the obligation’ (*farada*) means to make a notch or cut. From that is derived *furḍah*, the notch in an arrow, an inlet in the bank of a river or a crevice in a mountain. So ḥajj is obligatory for the slave of Allah in the same way that the notch is necessary for an arrow. It is also said that *farada* means to make clear, and this goes back to cutting because cutting something makes it distinct from other things. The Arabic particle ‘*man*’ is in the nominative by the *ibtida* and has the meaning of the precondition whose *khabar* is ‘*farada*’.

**there must be no sexual intercourse,**

The word used for sexual intercourse here is *rafath*. Ibn ‘Abbās, Jubayr, as-Suddī, Qatādah, al-Ḥasan, ‘Ikrimah, az-Zuhrī, Mujāhid and Mālik said that *rafath* means sexual intercourse and that sexual intercourse invalidates the ḥajj. Scholars agree that sexual intercourse before ‘Arafah invalidates the ḥajj and makes a new ḥajj obligatory as well as a sacrifice. ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar, Ṭāwūs, ‘Aṭā’ and others said that it means obscene speech to a woman, like saying, ‘When we come out of *ihrām*, we will do such-and-such to you’ without using an indirect allusion. Ibn ‘Abbās said that. He recited while he was in *ihrām*:

> They walk softly with us.
>
> If the birds tell truly, we will have a touch.

His companion, Ḥussayn ibn Qays, said to him, ‘Do you speak lewdness (*tarfuthu*) while you are in *ihrām*?’ He answered, ‘*Rafath* is what is said in the presence of women.’ Some people say that it is coarse language mentioning women, whether in their presence or not. It is also said, by some, to apply to all sexual activity which
might take place between a man and his wife. Abū ‘Ubaydah said that it is foolish talk.

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘What is meant by “no rafath” is a legal prohibition, rather than an existential one. We find and see that which is called rafath, and the report of the Almighty cannot be contrary to what it reports. So the words refer to a legal existence rather than a physical one. It is similar to His words: “Divorced women should wait by themselves for three menstrual cycles.” (2:228) It means legally and not physically because there are divorced women who do not wait. Therefore the negation refers to the legal ruling and not physical existence. The same is true of His words: ‘No one may touch it except the purified.’ (56:79) If we say that it refers to human beings, which is sound, then it means legally that no one should touch it. If it is touched by someone in this state, it is contrary to the legal ruling. This is a fine point that scholars have missed. They say that a report can mean a prohibition. That does not exist and is not sound. They can differ in reality and description.’

**no wrongdoing,**

*Fusūq* (wrongdoing) in this context means all acts of disobedience according to Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Aṭā’ and al-Ḥasan. Ibn ‘Umar and a group said that, in this context, it means committing those acts of disobedience to Allah which are specific to the state of *iḥrām* for ḥajj, such as killing game, paring nails, cutting hair and the like. Ibn Zayd and Mālik said that it means sacrificing to idols, going by Allah’s words: ‘Or some deviance (fisq) consecrated to other than Allah.’ (6:145) Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said it means exchanging obscene epithets. Indicating that are the words: ‘How evil is it to have a name for evil conduct (fusūq)!’ (49:11) Ibn ‘Umar also said that it is cursing. That is attested to by the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Cursing a Muslim is wrongdoing (fusūq) and killing him is unbelief.’ The first position is the soundest since it includes all the other possibilities. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Anyone who makes ḥajj and does not engage in sexual intercourse or wrongdoing
returns as he was on the day his mother bore him.’ And: ‘An accepted ḥajj has no repayment except the Garden.’ He also said ۱۹۱, ‘By the One who has my soul in His hand, there is no action between heaven and earth better than jihād in the Way of Allah or an accepted ḥajj, in which there is no sexual intercourse, wrongdoing or quarrelling.’ The fiqhā’ state that the accepted ḥajj is one in which one does not disobey Allah while performing it. Al-Farrā’ said, ‘It is one after which one does not disobey Allah.’ An accepted ḥajj is one in which, and after which, one does not disobey Allah. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘An accepted ḥajj is that a person returns from it abstinent with respect to this world, desiring the Next World.’ Other things are said as well.

**nor any quarrelling during ḥajj.**

Jidāl (quarrelling) is argumentation and is derived from the word jadal which means twisting. A rein which is braided (majdūl) is derived from the same root. It is also possible that jidāl comes from the word jadāla which means the earth. It is as if each of the opponents stands against the other person and one overcomes the other.

Scholars disagree about its exact meaning here and offer six possibilities. Ibn Mas‘ūd, Ibn ‘Abbās and ‘Aṭā’ say that jidāl here means to argue with a Muslim until you make him angry to the extent that that leads to insults. As for discussing points of knowledge, that is not forbidden. Qatādah said that it means abuse. Ibn Zayd and Mālik ibn Anas said that here it means the disagreements people used to have about which of them was in the authentic places of Ibrāhīm, which used to happen in the Jāhiliyyah when Quraysh stood where the rest of the Arabs did not stand, and so, according to this interpretation, it means that there must be no quarrelling about its sites. One group say that it refers to when one group say, ‘The ḥajj is today’ and another group say, ‘The ḥajj is tomorrow.’ Mujāhid and a group said, ‘It is to argue about the months according to the Arab reckoning. It used to happen that
some would be at ‘Arafah while others were at Muzdalifah and they would quarrel about who was in the right.’

According to these two last interpretations, it means that there must be no quarrelling about the time and place of ḥajj. These two positions are the soundest of what is said regarding the interpretation. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Time revolves as it did on the day that Allah created the heavens and the earth.’ It means that the ḥajj has returned to the day and time it used to have. When the Prophet ﷺ performed ḥajj, he said, ‘Take your rites from me.’ So he clarified the stations and places of ḥajj. Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b al-Qurṭubī said, “Quarrelling” is that one group says, “Our ḥajj was more accepted than your ḥajj,” and the others say the same.’ It is also said that the quarrelling occurred with respect to boasting about ancestors. Allah knows best.

**Whatever good you do, Allah knows it.**

This means that Allah will repay you for your actions because repayment is only made by the one who has knowledge of the matter concerned. It is also said that this is encouragement to use good words instead of obscenities and to be pious and godfearing rather than wrongdoing and argumentative. It is said that the word ‘good’ refers to people restraining themselves so that they do not do what is forbidden.

**Take provision;**

This is a command to people to take provisions for their ḥajj. Ibn ‘Umar, ‘Ikrīmah, Mujāhid, Qatādah and Ibn Zayd said, ‘The āyah was revealed about a group of Arabs who used to go on ḥajj with no provisions. One of them would say, “How could we make ḥajj to the House of Allah and He not feed us?” So they were dependent on other people for their needs. They were forbidden to do that and commanded to take provisions.’ ‘Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr said, ‘People used to rely on one another and not take provisions, and so they were commanded to take provisions. When the Prophet ﷺ
travelled, he took a camel carrying provisions. Three hundred men of Muzaynah came to him and when they were about to leave, he said, “Umar, give the people provisions.” Some people say that the expression refers to a righteous companion, but Ibn ‘Atiyyah said that this specification is weak. A more fitting meaning of the āyah is to store up provision for the Next World in the form of righteous actions.

The first position is sounder because what is meant is actual provision for the ḥajj journey as we mentioned. It is as al-Bukhārī related from Ibn ‘Abbās: ‘The people of Yemen used to go on ḥajj and not take provisions. They would say, “We are relying on Allah.” When they came to Makkah, they begged from people, so Allah Almighty sent down: “Take provision, but the best provision is fearful awareness (taqwā) of Allah.”’ This is what the text suggests and is the position of most commentators. Ash-Sha‘bī says that it means specifically dates and sawīq (barley mush). Ibn Jubayr says biscuits and sawīq.

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Allah commands the people of wealth to take provisions. Those who have no money and have a craft by which they support themselves, and those who beg, are not being addressed here. Those addressed are people who have wealth but who leave their wealth and go out without provisions, saying, “We are relying on Allah.” Reliance (tawakkul) has preconditions. Those who meet those preconditions go out without provision and are not addressed by this. Most people who go out lack the degree of reliance and neglect its realities. Allah knows best.’ Abu-l-Faraj al-Jawzī said, ‘Iblīs has muddled some people who claim to be relying on Allah, they go out without provision and imagine that this is true reliance on Allah. They are gravely in error. A man said to Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, “I want to set out for Makkah based on reliance on Allah without provisions.” Aḥmad said to him, “Go out without going in a caravan.” “No,” he answered, “only with them.” He said, “Then
you are relying on other people’s sacks!’”

**but the best provision is fearful awareness of Allah.**

Allah reports that the best provision is avoiding what is forbidden and so Allah commands us here to add fearful awareness to taking provision. It means to fear Allah by following His command to take provision. It is said that it can mean that the best provision is that by which the traveller is kept safe from destruction or from the need to beg. It is said that it makes it clear that this world is not the abode of permanence. The people with knowledge of subtle indications (ishārāt) say that Allah is reminding us of the journey to the Next World and commands us to take fearful awareness of Him as provision. Fearful awareness is the provision of the Next World. Al-A‘shā said:

If you do not travel with a provision of fear of Allah, you will meet those with provision after you die And regret that you are not like them and that you did not prepare as they prepared.

Someone else said:

Death is a swollen sea in which the devices of the swimmer disappear. Soul! I am speaking, so listen to words from a compassionate good counsellor. In his grave, a man’s only companions are fear of Allah and righteous deeds.

**So have taqwā of Me, people of intelligence!**

Those with intelligence are singled out, even though the command is to everyone, because they are those through whom the proof of Allah is established. They receive His commands and undertake to carry them out. The word used for intelligent people is the plural of lubb, which refers to the core of anything.
There is nothing wrong in seeking bounty from your Lord. When you pour down from ‘Arafāt, remember Allah at the Sacred Landmark. Remember Him because He has guided you, even though before this you were astray.

There is nothing wrong in seeking bounty from your Lord.

The word used here for ‘wrong’ (junāḥ) means wrong action. After mentioning the things prohibited during ḥajj, namely sexual intercourse, wrongdoing and quarrelling, Allah mentions that trade is allowed. It means that there is nothing wrong in seeking bounty from Allah. In the Qur’an, the phrase ‘seeking bounty’ is used for engaging in trade. Allah Almighty says: ‘…spread through the earth and seek Allah’s bounty…’ (62:10). Evidence for the soundness of this is found in al-Bukhārī where Ibn ‘Abbās is reported as observing: ‘Ukāz, Majinnah and Dhū’ l-Majāz were markets in the time of the Jāhiliyyah and people thought it sinful to trade during the festivals; and then this was revealed about the ḥajj festival.’ So the āyah is evidence that it is permitted for someone on ḥajj to trade during it while performing the rites of ḥajj. Intending to do so is not shirk and does not detract from the sincerity of the person concerned.

Nonetheless, performing ḥajj without trade is better since it is free of the impurities of this world and the connection of the heart to something else. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related in the Sunan that Abū Umāmah at-Taymī said, ‘I said to Ibn ‘Umar, “I am a man who hires out in this way. People say that I have no ḥajj.” Ibn ‘Umar said, “A man came to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and asked a similar question as you have asked me. He remained silent until this āyah was revealed: ‘There is nothing wrong in seeking bounty from your Lord.’”’
When you pour down from ‘Arafat,

‘Afāda’ (pour down) means to rush on. The verb is used for when you pour liquid into a vessel until it overflows down the sides. A man who is fayyād is very munificent. A ḥadīth which is mustafid is extensively known.

‘Arafāt is the name of a place which is in the plural form. It is called that because of what is around it. It is said that the plain is called ‘Arafāt because people recognise one another (yata‘ārāfahūna) there. It is said that when Ādam descended in India and Ḥawwā’ at Jiddah, after a long search, they met at ‘Arafāt on the Day of ‘Arafah, and so the day is called ‘Arafah and the place ‘Arafāt. Aḍ-Dağhīḥāk said that. Other things are said which were mentioned in 2:128.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that it is clear that it is an improvised name as is the case with most names of places. ‘Arafah is Na‘mān al-Arāk (Na‘mān of the Lote-trees).’ It is said that it is derived from ‘arf, which means scent. It is a fragrant place as opposed to Minā which is strewn with stomach contents and blood. Hence it is ‘Arafāt. Some say that the two names are derived from steadfastness because they are steadfast in supplication, trial and enduring hardships to perform this act of worship, and the ‘ārif is someone who is steadfast and humble. This is found in a saying: ‘The soul is steadfast (‘arūf) and endures what burdens it.’ We find in a poem:

I steadfastly (‘ārifah) endure the heat for that.
Or similarly in Dhū ‘r-Rummah:

Steadfast (‘arūf) in the face of the decrees that are written for it.
So it has this name because of the humility of the person performing ḥajj and his steadfastness in supplication, types of affliction and enduring hardship to carry out this act of worship.

Scholars agree that if someone stands at ‘Arafāt on the day of ‘Arafah before midday and then goes on before midday, he is not considered to have stood at ‘Arafah. They agree that when someone
stands at ‘Arafah after midday and pours onward before nightfall, his ḥajj is complete, with the exception of Mālik ibn Anas who said that he must have part of the night. If someone stands at ‘Arafah in the night, none of the community disagree about his ḥajj being complete. The argument of the majority is based on His words: ‘When you pour down from ‘Arafāt’, in which He does not specify night or day, and the ḥadīth of ‘Urwah ibn Muḍarris who said, ‘I went to the Prophet while he was at the stopping place at Jam‘. I said, “Messenger of Allah, I have come to you from the two mountains of Tayy’. I have tired my camel out and exhausted myself. By Allah, I have not passed any mountain without standing on it. Do I have a ḥajj, Messenger of Allah?” The Messenger of Allah said, “Whoever has prayed the morning prayer with us at Jam, having gone to ‘Arafāt before that, either by night or day, has completed his dishevelment and completed his ḥajj.”

More than one of the imams transmitted it, including Abū Dāwud, an-Nasā‘ī and ad-Dāraquṭnī. At-Tirmidhī said that it is a sound ḥasan ḥadīth. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘This ḥadīth of ‘Urwah ibn Muḍarris at-Ṭā‘ī is sound and confirmed. It is related by a group of the reliable companions of ash-Sha‘bī from ash-Sha‘bī, including Ismā‘īl ibn Abī Khālid, Dāwud ibn Abī Hind, Zakariyyā ibn Abī Zā‘idah, ‘Abdullāh ibn Abī ’s-Safar and Muṭarrif, all of them from ash-Sha‘bī from ‘Urwah ibn Muḍarris ibn Aws ibn Ḥārithah ibn Lām.’ The argument of Mālik regarding the firm Sunnah is the long ḥadīth of Jābir transmitted by Muslim which states: ‘He continued to stand until the sun had set, the yellow light had diminished a little and the disc of the sun had disappeared.’ His actions are mandatory, especially in ḥajj. He said, ‘Take your rites from me.’

The majority disagree about someone who leaves before sunset and does not return: what does he owe to make his ḥajj is sound? ‘Aṭā’, Sufyān ath-Thawrī, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Abū Thawr, the People of Opinion and others said that he owes a sacrifice. Al-Hasan
al-Baṣrī said that he owes a hady. Ibn Jurayj says that he owes a camel. Mālik said that he must do ḥajj again as well as a hady that he sacrifices in that ḥajj: he is like someone who has missed ḥajj. If he returns to ‘Arafah until he goes on after sunset, ash-Shāfi‘ī says that he owes nothing. That is the view of Aḥmad, Isḥāq and Dāwud. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said that. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people and ath-Thawrī said that a sacrifice is not cancelled for him, even if he returns after sunset. That is what Abū Thawr said.

There is no disagreement among scholars that it is better for someone to stop at ‘Arafah while mounted because the Prophet ﷺ did that until he went on from it after sunset with Usāmah ibn Zayd riding behind him. This is recorded in the long ḥadīth of Jābir and the ḥadīth of ‘Alī as well as the ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Abbās. Jābir said, ‘Then the Messenger of Allah ﷺ rode to the stopping place and made his she-camel Qaṣwā’ turn towards the rocks, with the path of the walkers in front of him. He faced the qiblah and continued to stop there until the sun had set, the yellow light had diminished a little and the disc of the sun had disappeared. He had Usāmah ibn Zayd sit behind him.’ If someone cannot ride, he stands on foot, making supplication for as long as he is able. There is nothing wrong with a person sitting down if he is unable to stand. Stopping while mounted demonstrates exaltation and honour of the ḥajj: ‘As for those who honour Allah’s sacred rites, that comes from the taqwā in their hearts.’ (22:32) Ibn Wahb said in his Muwaṭṭā’: ‘Mālik said to me, “I prefer stopping at ‘Arafa on mounts and camels to standing on foot. There is no harm in someone who stands on foot resting.”’

It is confirmed in Sahīh Muslim and elsewhere from Usāmah ibn Zayd that when the Prophet ﷺ went on from ‘Arafah, he went at a medium pace, but when he found a gap, he sped up. That is what is obliged for the leaders of the ḥajj and those behind them, because hastening the pace to Muzdalifah is hastening to the prayer there. It is known that on that day Maghrīb and ‘Ishā’ must be prayed together
at Muzdalifah. That is the Sunnah, as will be made clear, Allah willing.

The literal text of the Qur’an and the Sunnah indicates that the whole of the plain of ‘Arafa is a standing place. The Prophet said, ‘I stood here and all of ‘Arafa is a standing-place.’ Muslim and others related it from the long ḥadīth of Ḥābir. We find in the Muwaṭṭā’ of Mālik that he heard that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘The whole of ‘Arafa is a standing-place, except the middle of ‘Uranah, and the whole of Muzdalifah is a standing-place, except for the middle of Muḥassir.’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘This ḥadīth is connected to the ḥadīth of Ḥābir ibn ‘Abdullāh, the ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Abbās, and the ḥadīth of ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib. Most of the traditions do not exclude the middle of ‘Uranah from ‘Arafa and the middle of Muḥassir from Muzdalifah. That is how the reliable scholars among the people of ḥadīth transmit it in the ḥadīth of Ja’far ibn Muḥammad from his father from Ḥābir.’

Abū ‘Umar said that fuqahā’ disagree about those who stand at ‘Uranah on ‘Arafa. According to what Ibn al-Mundhir mentioned from him, Mālik said that they should make a sacrifice and then their ḥajj is complete. This is related by Khālid ibn Nizār from Mālik. Abu-l-Muṣ’ab said that a person who stands in the middle of ‘Uranah is like someone has not stood and has therefore missed the ḥajj and owes a ḥajj in the following year. It is related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘If someone pours on from ‘Uranah, he has no ḥajj.’ That is the view of Ibn al-Qāsim and Sālim. Ibn al-Mundhir mentioned this view from ash-Shāfi‘i. He said, ‘That is my view. It is not enough if someone stands in a place where the Messenger of Allah commanded that he should not stand.’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘The exception of ‘Uranah from ‘Arafa does not come in a manner which would oblige a [new] ḥajj, either by way of transmission or consensus.’ The argument of those who take the position of Abu-l-Muṣ’ab is that standing at ‘Arafa is an obligation.
which is agreed upon in a specific place. It is only permitted to perform it with certainty, and there is no certainty when there is disagreement. ‘Uranah is to the west of the mosque of ‘Arafah. Some scholars said that if the western wall of the ‘Arafah mosque were to fall, it would fall in the valley of ‘Uranah. Al-Bājī related from Ibn Ḥabīb that ‘Arafah is outside of the Ḥaram and ‘Uranah is inside it. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘As for the valley of Muḥassir, Wakī‘ mentioned from Sufyān from Abu-z-Zubayr from Jābir that the Prophet ﷺ hurried through the valley of Muḥassir.

There is nothing wrong in acknowledging the Day of ‘Arafah outside of ‘Arafah in such a way as to resemble the people at ‘Arafah. Shu‘bah related from Qatādah that al-Ḥasan said, ‘The first to do that was Ibn ‘Abbās in Basra.’ It meant people gathering in the mosque at Basra on the Day of ‘Arafah. Mūsā ibn Abī ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘I saw ‘Umar ibn Ḥurayth giving a khutbah on the day of ‘Arafah and people had gathered for it.’ Al-Athram said, ‘I asked Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal about celebrating ‘Arafah in cities by gathering on the Day of ‘Arafah. He answered, ‘I hope that there is no harm in it. More than one person has done it: al-Ḥasan, Bakr, Thābit and Muḥammad ibn Wāsi‘. They used to attend the mosque of the Day of ‘Arafah.’

The excellence of the Day of ‘Arafah is immense and its reward is immense. On it Allah expiates the worst wrong actions and multiplies good actions. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The best supplication is supplication on the Day of ‘Arafah and the best of what I and the Prophets before have said is: “There is no god but Allah alone with no partner.”’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from ‘Ā’ishah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘There is no day on which Allah frees a greater number from the Fire than the Day of ‘Arafah. On that Day, Allah draws near to the earth and then, showing His pride in them to the angels, He says, “What do these people want?”’ We find in the Muwattā‘ from ‘Ubaydullāh ibn Karīz that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ
said, ‘Shayṭān is not considered more abased or more cast out or more contemptible on any other day than he is on the day of ‘Arafah. That is simply because he sees the descent of mercy and the fact that Allah overlooks great wrong actions. The only exception to that is what he was shown on the Day of Badr.’ Someone asked, ‘What was he shown on the Day of Badr, Messenger of Allah?’ He answered, ‘Did he not see Jibrīl arranging the ranks of the angels?’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Abu-n-Naḍr Ismā‘īl ibn Ibrāhīm al-‘Ijlī related this ḥadīth from Mālik from Ibrāhīm ibn Abī ‘Ablah from Ṭalḥah ibn ‘Ubaydullāh ibn Karīz from his father. He did not say in this ḥadīth ‘from his father’. It is nothing. What is correct is what is in the Muwaṭṭā’.

At-Tirmidhī al-Ḥakīm mentioned in Nawādir al-uṣūl that it was related from Abū Rawḥ Ḥātim ibn Nu‘aym at-Tamīmī from Hishām ibn Abu-l-Walīd ‘Abd al-Malik at-Ṭayālisai from ‘Abd al-Qāhir ibn as-Sariy as-Sulamī from Ibn Kinānah from ‘Abbās ibn Mirdās from his father from his grandfather, ‘Abbās ibn Mirdās that, on the evening of ‘Arafah, the Messenger of Allah made supplication for forgiveness and mercy for his community. He made a lot of supplication and received the reply: ‘I have done that, except for when they wrong one another. As for the sins that are between Me and them, I have forgiven them.’ He said, ‘O Lord, You have the power to reward those wronged with better than the wrong done to them and to forgive those who wronged them.’ He did not receive an answer that night. On the following day in the morning at Muzdalifah, he strove in making that supplication and was answered: ‘I have forgiven them.’ The Messenger of Allah smiled and was asked, ‘What has made you smile, Messenger of Allah, at a time in which you did not used to smile?’ He replied, ‘I smiled at the enemy of Allah, Iblīs. When he learned that Allah had answered my supplication for my community, he fell down, crying for woe and destruction and threw dust on his head and then fled.’
Abū ‘Abd al-Ghanī al-Ḥasan ibn ‘Alī related from ‘Abd ar-Razzāq from Mālik from Abu-z-Zinād from al-A’raj from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘On the Day of ‘Arafah Allah forgives the sincere ḥājjī. On the night of Muzdalifah, Allah forgives the merchants. On the day of Minā, Allah forgives the porters. On the day of the Jamrah ‘l-‘Aqabah, Allah forgives the beggars. Many are the people among those who say that there is no god but Allah who are not present at that standing who are forgiven.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘This is a gharīb ḥadīth from Mālik. It is only recorded from him by this path. Abū ‘Abd al-Ghanī says that he does not know it. The people of knowledge continue to be indulgent in transmissions about things to be desired and virtues while they are severe about ḥadīths that contain judgments.’

The people of knowledge recommend fasting on the Day of ‘Arafah, except for the people who are actually there. The imams related from ibn ‘Abbās that the Prophet ﷺ did not fast at ‘Arafah. Umm al-Faḍl sent some milk to him and he drank it. At-Tirmidhī said that it is a sound ḥasan ḥadīth. It is related that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘I went on ḥajj with the Prophet ﷺ and he did not fast (the day of ‘Arafah). I went with Abū Bakr and he did not fast, and with ‘Umar and he did not fast. According to most of the people of knowledge, this is the normal practice. They recommended not fasting at ‘Arafah so that a person will strong in supplication. Some of the people of knowledge did fast ‘Arafah at ‘Arafah.’ There is an isnād from Ibn ‘Umar like the first and he added at the end of it, ‘and with ‘Uthmān, and he did not fast. So I do not fast it, but I neither command or forbid it.’ Ibn al-Mundhir mentioned it.

‘Aṭā’ said about fasting the day of ‘Arafah, ‘I fast in the winter and do not fast in the summer.’ Yahyā al-Anṣārī said, ‘One must not fast on the day of ‘Arafah.’ ‘Uthmān ibn Abī ‘l-‘Āṣ, Ibn az-Zubayr and ‘Ā’ishah used to fast the day of ‘Arafah.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘I prefer to not fast the day of ‘Arafah at ‘Arafāt to follow the
Messenger of Allah ﷺ, but I prefer to fast elsewhere since the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was asked about fasting the day of ‘Arafah and said, “It expiates the past year and the coming year.”” We were told that ‘Atā’ said, ‘If someone does not fast on the day of ‘Arafah in order to be strong for supplication, he will have the same reward as someone who fasts.’

**remember Allah at the Sacred Landmark.**

Remember Him by making supplication and reciting the *talbīyah* at the Sacred Landmark. The place is called Jam‘ because the prayers of Maghrib and ‘Ishā’ are joined together (*jumī‘a*) there. Qatādah said that it is because Ādam met Ḥawwā’ there and they joined each other (*jama‘a*) there and that is also why it is called Muzdalifah (*izdala‘a*, to draw near). It is said that it is called that on account of the actions of the people there, because they draw near (*izdala‘a*) to Allah by standing there. The word for Sacred Landmark (*mash‘ar*) comes from *shi‘ār* which means a sign or landmark, and it is called that because it is a landmark of the ḥajj due to the fact that people are required to pray there and spend the night there in supplication to Allah. It is called a Haram because of its sanctity.

It is confirmed that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ prayed both Maghrib and ‘Ishā’ at Muzdalifah. The people of knowledge agree, with no disagreement whatsoever, that the sunnah is to join Maghrib and ‘Ishā’. They disagree about someone who prays before reaching Jam‘. Mālik said, ‘Whoever stands with the imam and goes on when he goes on, does not pray until he reached Jam’, where he joins the prayers. Evidence for this is found in the words of the Prophet ﷺ to Usāmah ibn Zayd, ‘The prayer is ahead of you.’ Ibn Ḥabīb said, ‘If someone prays before reaching Muzdalifah without excuse, he repeats it when he knows. He is in the position of someone who prays before midday based on the words of the Prophet ﷺ, “The prayer is ahead of you.”’ Abū Ḥanīfah said that. Ashhab says that he does not have to repeat them unless he prayed both of them before
the disappearance of twilight. And then he only repeats ‘Ishā’. Ash-Shāfi'ī said that. It is what Qādī Abu-l-Ḥasan supported. His argument is that that the sunnah is to join these two prayers, but that it is not a precondition for their validity. It is a recommendation, like joining Zuhr and 'Asr at ‘Arafah. Ibn al-Mundhir preferred this position and related it from ‘Aṭā’ ibn Abī Rabāḥ, ‘Urwah ibn az-Zubayr, al-Qāsim ibn Muḩammad, Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, Aḥmad, Ishāq, Abū Thawr and Ya‘qūb. He related that ash-Shāfi'ī said, ‘He does not pray until he has reached Muzdalifah. But if the middle of the night comes before he reaches Muzdalifah, he does pray them.’

If someone hurries and reaches Muzdalifah before twilight has disappeared, Ibn Ḥabīb said, ‘There is no prayer for someone who hastens to Muzdalifah before twilight has disappeared, either the imam or anyone else, until the twilight has disappeared.’ Part of the meaning is that the time of this prayer is after the disappearance of twilight. It is not permitted to pray it before that. If its time had been before the disappearance of twilight, it would not have been delayed.

About someone who reaches ‘Arafah after the imam has pressed on, or he is one of those with an excuse who stood with the imam, Ibn al-Mawwāz said: ‘If someone stands after the imam, he should pray each prayer at its time.’ Mālik said about someone with an excuse that keeps him from being with the imam that he prays both prayers together when the twilight disappears. Ibn al-Qāsim said about those who stand after the imam: ‘If he hopes to reach Muzdalifah in the first third of the night, he should delay the prayer until he reaches Muzdalifah. Otherwise he prays each prayer at its time.’ So Ibn al-Mawwāz restricted delaying the prayer until Muzdalifah is reached to those who stand with the imam rather than other people. Mālik made it a question of the time rather than the place and Ibn al-Qāsim considers the preferred time for the prayer and the place. If someone fears missing the preferred time, then it is invalid to consider the place. It is more appropriate to observe the
preferred time.

Scholars disagree about the form of the prayer at Muzdalifah. There are two possibilities. One is with the adhan and the *iqāmah*. The other is that they are joined together with no action to separate them or action permitted between: setting down baggage and the like. As for the adhan and the *iqāmah*, it is confirmed that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ prayed *Maghrib* and ‘Ishā’ at Muzdalifah with one adhan and two *iqāmahs*. The *Ṣaḥīḥ* transmitted that from the long ḥadīth of Jābir. That was the view of Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, Abū Thawr and Ibn al-Mundhir. Mālik said that they should be prayed with two adhans and two *iqāmahs*. The same is true of *Ẓuhr* and ‘Aṣr at ‘Arafah, although the consensus is that that happens at the beginning of the time of *Ẓuhr*. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘I do not know of any ḥadīth regarding what Mālik says that goes back to the Prophet ﷺ by any path, but it is related from ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb.’ Ibn al-Mundhir added Ibn Masʿūd. Part of the argument of Mālik in respect of this topic is that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ made a sunnah of the two prayers at Muzdalifah and ‘Arafah, so arguably the two have the same time since their time is the same. Therefore each of them is prayed in its proper time and neither of them is more entitled to the adhan and *iqāmah* than the other since neither of them is being made up: they are prayers that are being prayed at their time. Each prayer is prayed in its time. Their sunnah is therefore to have an adhan and *iqāmah* for the group. This is clear, and Allah knows best.

The others say that the first one is prayed with an adhan and *iqāmah* and the second is prayed without an adhan and *iqāmah*. They said that ‘Umar commanded the second adhan because people had separated for eating and the adhan was to gather them together again. They said, ‘That is what we say when the people separate from the imam for eating or any other reason: he can command the *muʿadhdhin* to call in order to gather them. When there is an adhan,
there is an *iqāmah*. They said that this is the meaning of what is related from ‘Umar. They mentioned the *hadīth* of ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Yazīd in which he said, ‘Ibn Mas‘ūd used to put the evening meal between the two prayers at Muzdalifah. Another path of transmission states that he prayed every prayer with an adhan and a *iqāmah*. ‘Abd ar-Razzāq mentioned it.

Still others said that both prayers are prayed at Muzdalifah with an *iqāmah*, but with no adhan for either of them. It is related from Ibn ‘Umar and that is the position of ath-Thawrī. ‘Abd ar-Razzāq and ‘Abd al-Malik ibn aṣ-Ṣabbāh mentioned from ath-Thawrī from Salamah ibn Kuḥayl from Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘The Messenger of Allah Ḥ joined *Maghrib* and *‘Ishā’* at Jam‘. He prayed *Maghrib* with three *rak‘ahs* and *‘Ishā’* with two with one *iqāmah*.’

Others said that both prayers are prayed together between *Maghrib* and *‘Ishā’* with one adhan and one *iqāmah*. That was because they believed what Hushaym related from Yaunus ibn ‘Ubayd from Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr about Ibn ‘Umar joining *Maghrib* and *‘Ishā’* at Jam‘ with one adhan and one *iqāmah* with nothing separating them. The same is related *marfū‘* from Khuzaymah ibn Thābit. He is not strong. Al-Jūzjānī related from Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan from Abū Yūsuf from Abū Ḥanīfah that they are prayed with one adhan and two *iqāmahs*: an adhan for *Maghrib* and only an *iqāmah* for *‘Ishā’*. This is the position of aṭ-Ṭaḥāwī based on the *hadīth* of Jābir. It is the first view and it is relied on.

Others say that they are prayed with two *iqāmahs*, with no adhan for either of them. Among those with this position are ash-Shāfi‘ī and his people, Ishāq, and it is one of the two positions of Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal. It is the position of Sālim ibn ‘Abdullāh and al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad. They argue by what ‘Abd ar-Razzāq mentioned from Ma‘mar from Ibn Shihāb from Sālim from Ibn ‘Umar: ‘When the Prophet Ḥ came to Muzdalifah, he joined *Maghrib* and *‘Ishā’*. He
prayed *Maghrib* with three *rak‘ahs* and ‘*Ishā*’ with two with one *iqāmah* for each of them. He did not connect them at all.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘The reports from Ibn ‘Umar about this position are the firmest of what is related regarding this matter, but it is subject to interpretation. There is no disagreement about the *ḥadīth* of Jābir. It is more fitting. There is no scope for speculation regarding this matter. It is something that must be followed.’

As for a separation between the two prayers by some action other than the prayer, it is confirmed from Usāmah ibn Zayd that when the Prophet ﷺ reached Muzdalifah, he dismounted and did *wuḍū*’ fully. Then the *iqāmah* for the prayer was made and he prayed *Maghrib*. Then every man made his camel kneel in his camp. Then the *iqāmah* for the prayer was made and he prayed it without praying anything between them. One variant has: ‘They did not unpack until the *iqāmah* for ‘*Ishā*’ was given. He prayed and then they unpacked.’ We have already mentioned that Ibn Mas‘ūd had his evening meal between the two prayers. This is permission to separate the two prayers at Jam‘.

Mālik was asked about someone who arrives at Muzdalifah and whether he should begin with the prayer or delay it until he has removed his baggage from his camel. He said, ‘If the baggage is light, there is nothing wrong in doing that before the prayer. I do not think that is the case with load-bearers. Then the person begins with the prayer and unloads his camel afterwards.’ Ashhab said in his books, ‘He can unload his camel before the prayer, although I prefer that he prays *Maghrib* before unloading it unless it is absolutely necessary, because it is heavy for the animal or some other reason.’ As for supererogatory prayers between the two prayers, Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘I do not know of any disagreement between them that someone joining prayers does not pray supererogatory prayers between them. According to the *ḥadīth* of Usāmah, “He did not pray anything between them.”’
Spending the night at Muzdalifah is not one of the pillars of the ḥajj according to the majority of scholars, but there is disagreement about whether someone who does not spend the night there is obliged to sacrifice. Mālik said that someone who does not spend the night there owes a sacrifice. Someone who stands there for most of the night owes nothing because according to Mālik and his people spending the Night of Sacrifice there is a confirmed sunnah, not an obligation. A similar statement was made by ‘Atā’, az-Zuhrī, Qatādah, Sufyān ath-Thawrī, Aḥmad, Isḥāq, Abū Thawr and the People of Opinion about someone who does not spend the night there. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that if someone leaves it after half the night, he owes nothing, but if he leaves before half the night has passed and does not return to Muzdalifah, he owes fidyah of a sheep.

‘Ikrimah, ash-Sha‘bī, an-Nakha‘ī and al-Hasan al-Baṣrī said that stopping at Muzdalifah is an obligation and that, if someone misses Jam‘ and does not stop, he has missed ḥajj and must make his ḥajj that of ‘umrah. That is related from Ibn az-Zubayr and it is the position of al-Awzā‘ī. The same is related from ath-Thawrī. The soundest transmission from him is that stopping there is a confirmed sunnah. Ḥammād ibn Abī Sulaymān said, ‘Someone who misses pouring on from Jam‘ has missed the ḥajj and should make it an ‘umrah and do ḥajj in the following year. Their argument is based on the literal text of the Book and the Sunnah. In the Book, the basis is the words of the Almighty: ‘When you pour down from ‘Arafāt, remember Allah at the Sacred Landmark.’ In the Sunnah, it is the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Whoever catches Jam‘ and stands with the people until they pour down has caught it. Whoever does not catch that has no ḥajj.’ Ibn al-Mundhir mentioned it. Ad-Dāraqūṭnī related that ‘Urwah ibn Muḍarris said, ‘I went to the Prophet ﷺ while he was at Jam‘ and said to him, ‘Do I have a ḥajj, Messenger of Allah?’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Whoever has prayed the morning prayer with us at Jam‘, having gone to ‘Arafat before that, either by
night or day, has completed his dishevelment and completed his ḥajj.’ Ash-Sha‘bī said, ‘Anyone who does not stop at Jam‘ makes it ‘umrah.’

Those who take the majority position answer that the āyah does not contain any proof of the obligatory nature of standing or of spending the night there since that is not mentioned in it. All that is mentioned is remembrance (dhikr). All agree that if someone stops at Muzdalifah without remembering Allah, his ḥajj is complete. If the remembrance commanded is not a primary element of the ḥajj, then it is even more probable that being present at the place is not going to be either. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Similarly they agree that, when the sun rises on the Day of Sacrifice, the time of stopping at Jam‘ has been missed but whoever manages to stop there before sunrise has caught it. Some say that it is an obligation and some say that it is sunnah.’ As for the ḥadīth of ‘Urwah ibn Muḍarris, in some variants it states that it is about stopping at Muzdalifah rather than spending the night there. That is similar to the ḥadīth of ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Ya‘mar ad-Dīlī who said, ‘I saw the Messenger of Allah ☪ at ‘Arafah when some people from Najd came to ask him about the ḥajj. The Messenger of Allah ☪ said, “The ḥajj is ‘Arafah. Whoever catches it before dawn has caught it. The days at Minā are three. There is no sin for someone who hurries on after two and there is no sin for someone who stays longer.”’ He says in the ḥadīth of ‘Urwah, ‘Whoever prays this prayer of ours,’ and he mentioned the prayer at Muzdalifah. Scholars agree that if he spends the night there, stops and then sleeps through the prayer and misses the prayer with the imam, his ḥajj is nevertheless complete. If
attending the prayer with the imam is not a primary element of the ḥajj, then stopping at the place where the prayer is held is even less likely to be. They said that this hadīth only verifies the obligation of ‘Arafah.

**Remember Him because He has guided you, even though before this you were astray.**

The command to remember is repeated for emphasis. It is said that the first is a command to remember at the Mashʿar al-Harām and the second is to remember with sincerity. It is said that the second time it means to acknowledge the blessing and show gratitude for it and then to remind them about the time when they were misguided. Remember Him in a good way as He has guided you in a good way. Remember Him as He has taught you what to do and do not turn from it. ‘Before this’ refers to before the guidance of Islam came, or before the Qurʾān, or before the Prophet ﷺ. The first is the most likely, and Allah knows best.

199 Then press on from where the people press on and ask Allah’s forgiveness. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

**Then press on from where the people press on**

The ‘people’ referred to here are those called Ḥums (Quraysh and others), who did not stop with the people at ‘Arafāt, but stopped at Muzdalifah, which is part of the Ḥaram, claiming that they were its servants and must venerate only it. Notwithstanding the fact that they knew that ‘Arafāt was where Ibrāhīm had stood, they did not leave the Ḥaram and stood instead at Jamʿ while the people were at ‘Arafāt. They were told to press on with the people. ‘Then’ in this āyah is for order, and it adds one sentence to another. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk says that the entire community is addressed and ‘people’ means
Ibrāhīm as is the case in 3:173. So it has a singular meaning. It is possible that the pressing on here means from ‘Arafah or that it means the pressing on from Muzdalifah. At-Ṭabarī said that it means: ‘Press on from where Ibrāhīm pressed on from Muzdalifah Jam‘; namely, press on to Minā because the pressing on from ‘Arafah is before the pressing on from Muzdalifah.

This is evidence to support those who make it an obligation to stop at Muzdalifah since there is a command to press on from it, and Allah knows best. The sound position regarding the interpretation of this āyah is the first one. At-Tirmidhī related that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘Quraysh and those following their religion, namely the Ḥums, used to stop at Muzdalifah, saying, “We are the servants of Allah.” Other people stood at ‘Arafah. Therefore Allah revealed: “Press on from where the people press on.”’ This is a sound ḥasan ḥadīth. ‘Ā’ishah said in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, ‘The Ḥums were those about whom Allah revealed: “Press on from where the people press on.”’ She added, ‘The people used to press on from ‘Arafāt while the Ḥums pressed on from Muzdalifah. They said, “We only press on from the Ḥaram.” When “Press on from where the people press on,” was revealed they returned to ‘Arafāt.’ This is an explicit text. It is very sound and other views are not relied on. Allah is the One we ask for help.

Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr recited ‘an-nāsī’ (the forgetter). Its interpretation is that it refers to Adam since Allah says: ‘He forgot. We did not find that he had a firm resolve.’ (20:115)

Allah commanded them to ask for forgiveness because this is the place for doing that and a place where it is likely that it will be accepted and that mercy will descend. One group said that it means: ‘Ask Allah’s forgiveness for any actions you did that are contrary to the sunnah of Ibrāhīm with respect to your standing at Quzaḥ at Muzdalifah rather than ‘Arafah.’

Abū Dāwud related that ‘Alī said, ‘In the morning the Prophet ♨ stood on Quzaḥ and said, “This is Quzaḥ. It is a place of standing.
All of Jam‘ is a place of standing. I sacrificed here and all of Minā is a place of sacrifice, so sacrifice where your baggage is.” Therefore the ruling for the ḥājjīs when they press on from ‘Arafah to Muzdalifah is that they spend the night there. Then the imam leads the people in the Ṣubḥ prayer before dawn and they stand at the Sacred Landmark. Quzaḥ is the mountain on which the imam stands. They continue to remember Allah and make supplication until it is close to sunrise. Then they press on before sunrise, differing from the Arabs who used to press on after sunrise, when they would say, ‘Ashriq thabīr kaymā nughīr’ (Illuminate Thabīr so that we may hasten), meaning to approach coming out of Ḭārām.

Al-Bukhārī related that ‘Amr ibn Maymūn said, ‘I saw ‘Umar pray Ṣubḥ at Jam‘. Then he stood and said, “The idolaters used not to press on until the sun rose, saying, ‘Ashriq thabīr.’ The Prophet acted differently to them and pressed on before sunrise.”’ Ibn ‘Uyaynah related from Ibn Jurayj from Muḥammad ibn Makhramah from Ibn Ṭāwūs from his father that the people of the Jāhiliyyah used to press on from ‘Arafah before sunset, and press on from Muzdalifah after sunrise. The Messenger of Allah delayed one and brought the other forward: he delayed the pressing on from ‘Arafah and hastened that of Muzdalifah, acting differently to the idolaters.

When they press on before sunrise, their ruling is to press on in the manner in which they pressed on from ‘Arafah, which is that the imam travels with the people at a medium pace, and speeds up slightly when he finds a gap. ‘A medium pace’ (‘anaq) is a well known pace taken by mounts. ‘Naṣṣ’ is a faster pace than ‘anaq, like khabab (a quick amble). We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that Usāmah ibn Zayd was asked, ‘How did the Messenger of Allah travel when he pressed on from ‘Arafah?’ He answered, ‘He travelled at a medium pace, and when he found a gap, he went quicker (naṣṣ).’ Hishām said that naṣṣ is faster than ‘anaq as we already mentioned. It is recommended
to hasten in the valley of Muḥassir for the distance of a stone’s throw. There is no harm if one does not do that. It is part of Minā. Ath-Thawrī and others related from Abu-z-Zubayr that Jābir said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ pressed on with tranquillity and told them, “Go gently and quickly in the valley of Muḥassir” and he told them, “Take your rites from me.”’

When they reached Minā on the morning of the Day of Sacrifice, they stoned the Jamrat al-‘Aqabah in the middle of the morning while mounted, if possible. It is not recommended to ride to the other **jamrahs**. They stone them with seven pebbles, each of them like a pea, as will be explained. When they have stoned them, they leave their **ihram** and what was forbidden to them of clothing and other things becomes lawful for them again: except for women, scent and hunting, according to Mālik and Ishāq in the transmission of Abū Dāwud al-Khaffaḥ. ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and Ibn ʿUmar said, ‘Everything is lawful to him except women and scent.’ According to Mālik, if someone uses scent after stoning and before the **Ṭawāf al-Ifāḍah**, he does not owe **fidyah** because of what has come about that. He believes that if someone hunts after stoning the Jamrah and before the **Ṭawāf al-Ifāḍah**, he owes reparation. Ash-Shāfi‘ī, Ahmad, Ishāq and Abū Thawr said that everything except women is lawful for him. That is related from Ibn ʿAbbās.

The person performing ḥajj stops saying the **talbīyah** with the first pebble he throws at the Jamrat al-‘Aqabah. This is the position of most of the people of knowledge in Madīnah and elsewhere. This is permitted and allowed according to Mālik. What is well known from him, however, is that one stops saying it at midday on the day of ‘Arafah according to what he mentioned in the **Muwaṭṭā’** from ‘Alī. He said, ‘That is the way with us.’

The basis for this in the Sunnah is what Muslim related from al-Faḍl ibn ʿAbbās. He was riding behind the Messenger of Allah ﷺ who said to the people on the evening of ‘Arafah and the morning of
Jam‘, ‘You must be calm!’ He was holding back his she-camel until he entered Muḥassir (which is part of Minā). He said, ‘You must have pea-sized pebbles with which to stone the Jamrah.’ He said, ‘The Messenger of Allah  continued to say the talbīyah until he had stoned the Jamrat al-‘Aqabah.’ One variant has: ‘The Prophet indicated with his hand how someone flicks a pebble.’ We find in al-Bukhārī that ‘Abdullāh went to the largest Jamrah with the House on his left and Minā on his right. He threw seven pebbles and said, ‘That is how the stoning was done by the one to whom Sūrat al-Baqarah was revealed.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that ‘Ā’ishah said that the Messenger of Allah  said, ‘When you have stoned, shaved and sacrificed, then everything except women is lawful for you. Clothing and scent are lawful for you.’ We find in al-Bukhārī that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘I put scent on the Messenger of Allah with these two hands when he went into īḥrām and when he came out of it before doing ṭawāf.’ She stretched out her hands. According to scholars, this is the lesser coming out of īḥrām. The greater coming out of īḥrām is the Ṭawāf al-Ifāḍah which makes lawful women and all things that are forbidden in īḥrām. More of this will be mentioned in Sūrat al-Ḥajj, Allah willing.

200 When you have completed your rites, remember Allah as you used to remember your forefathers, or even more. There are some people who say, ‘Our Lord, give us good in this world.’ They will have no share in the Next World.

When you have completed your rites, remember Allah

Mujāhid says that the ‘manāsik’ (rites) referred to here are the sacrifices and it means the spilling of blood. It is said that it refers to
the pillars of ḥājj since the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Take your rites from me.’ So the meaning is: ‘When you perform the rites of ḥājj, remember Allah, and also remember Him and praise Him for His blessings to you.’ ‘Qaḍaytum’ means: ‘you have performed and finished’. The verb can also be used for performing acts of worship outside of their defined time.

as you used to remember your forefathers – or even more.

The custom of the Arabs was that, when they completed the ḥajj, they would stand at the Jamrah and boast of their forefathers and mention the glorious and courageous feats of their ancestors, to the extent that one of them would say, ‘O Allah, my father was a great tent with a huge pit and much wealth. Give me the like of what You gave him!’ and would only mention his father. This āyah was revealed commanding them to remember Allah more than they used to remember their forefathers in the time of the Jāhiliyyah. This is the position of most commentators. Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Aṭā’, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and ar-Rabī‘ said that the meaning of the āyah is: ‘Remember Allah as children remember their fathers and mothers. Seek help from Him and seek refuge with Him as you did with your parents when you were a child.’ Another group say that the āyah means: ‘Remember Allah and esteem Him, defend His sanctity and repel those who desire to introduce shirk into His dīn and rites just as you would speak well of your parents if someone criticised them, and protect and defend them.’

Abu-l-Jawzā’ said to Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘These days no one mentions his forefathers. So what does the āyah mean?’ He replied, ‘That is not what it is about. Allah is angrier when someone disobeys Him than your parents are angry with you when you abuse them.’ Az-Zajjāj said that ‘even more’ means ‘with a stronger remembrance.’ It is possible that it means: ‘Remember Him more.’

There are some people who say, ‘Our Lord, give us good in this world’
This refers to the idolaters. Abū Wā’il, as-Suddī and Ibn Zayd said, ‘In the time of the Jāhiliyyah, the Arabs used only to pray for the good things of this world. They would ask for camels, sheep and victory over their enemies. They would not ask for the Next World since they did not acknowledge it or believe in it. Here they are being forbidden to ask for only this world. The prohibition comes in the form of a report about what they do. It is also possible that this threat refers to the believers when their supplication is confined to this world. They will have no portion in the Next World because they do not ask for it.’ ‘Khalāq’ is a portion.

201 And there are others who say, ‘Our Lord, give us good in this world, and good in the Next World, and safeguard us from the punishment of the Fire.’

The ‘others’ referred to here are the Muslims who ask for the good of this world and the Next World. There is disagreement about exactly what the two kinds of good entail and there are many things said about this. It is related from ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib that the good in this world is a good woman and in the Next World it is the houris, and the ‘punishment of the Fire’ refers to a bad woman. This, however, is unlikely and is not a sound transmission from ‘Alī because the Fire is, in reality, a burning fire and interpreting it to be a woman would only be metaphorical.

Qatādah said the good of this ephemeral world takes the form of health and adequate wealth. Al-Ḥasan said that the good of this world is knowledge and worship. Other things are said. But what most of the people of knowledge believe is that what is meant by the two kinds of good are the blessings of this world and the Next World. This is sound and the expression entails all of that because the word ‘good’ in both cases in the supplication has no definite article and so
it can be applied to all sorts of good. The good of the Next World is
the Garden by consensus. It is also said that it does not mean one
particular good, but rather ‘Give us the good of this world.’

**and safeguard us from the punishment of the Fire.’**

What is meant here is a supplication that the person concerned will
not enter the Fire because of his acts of disobedience and that he
should be brought out of it by intercession. It is possible that it is a
supplication confirming the request to enter the Garden so that it
expresses the desire for salvation and success on both fronts. It is as
what one of the Companions said to the Prophet ﷺ, ‘I say in my
supplication, “O Allah, make me enter the Garden and protect me
from the Fire.” I do not know what it is that you and Mu‘ādh
mumble.’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said to him, ‘We say that in a
low voice.’ Abū Dāwud transmitted it in his *Sunan* as did Ibn Mājah.

This āyah is a comprehensive supplication, asking for both this
world and the Next. Anas was asked, ‘Make supplication for us.’ He
said, ‘Give them good in this world and good in the Next World and
protect them from the punishment of the Fire.’ They said, ‘More.’
He said, ‘What more do you want? I have asked for this world and
the Next World!’ We find in the Ṣaḥīḥ collections that Anas is
reported as saying that the Prophet ﷺ frequently used this
supplication: ‘Give them good in this world and good in the Next
World and protect them from punishment of the Fire.’ Anas used to
use this supplication regularly. It is reported that while ‘Umar was
doing *ṭawāf,* he said, ‘Our Lord, give us good in this world and good
in the Next World and protect us from the punishment of the Fire,’
and that he said nothing else. Abū ‘Ubayd mentioned this. Ibn
Jurayj said that he heard that the most frequent supplication of the
Muslim when standing at ‘Arafāt should be: ‘Our Lord, give us good
in this world and good in the Next World and protect us from the
punishment of the Fire.’

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘There is an angel who has been standing at the
[Yemeni] Corner since Allah created the heavens and the earth, saying, “Amen.” Therefore say: “Our Lord, give us good in this world and good in the Next World and protect us from the punishment of the Fire.” ‘Aṭā’ ibn Abī Rabāḥ was asked about the Yemeni corner while he was doing tawāf of the House and he said, ‘Abū Hurayrah related to me that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Seventy angels have been entrusted to it. If someone says, “O Allah, I ask You for pardon and well-being in this world and the Next! Our Lord, give us good in this world and good in the Next World and protect us from the punishment of the Fire,” they say, “Amen.”’ Ibn Mājah transmitted it in the Sunān.

202 They will have a good share from what they have earned. Allah is swift at reckoning.

They will have a good share from what they have earned.

This refers to the second group, the party of Islam, and informs them that they will have the reward for the ḥajj or the reward for the supplication. A believer’s supplication is worship in itself. It is also said that the word ‘they’ refers to both groups. The believer will receive the reward for his action and his supplication, and the unbeliever will have the punishment for his shirk and his confining his outlook to this world. Evidence for that are the words of Allah: ‘All have ranks according to what they did.’ (6:132)

Allah is swift at reckoning.

‘Swift’ (sāri) comes from the verb sarū’a, meaning ‘to hasten’. ‘Ḥisāb’ (reckoning) is a verbal noun like muḥāsabah. ‘Ḥisāb’ means the same as what is counted (muḥāsabah) and so what is counted constitutes the reckoning. Ḥasab, meaning noble descent, is what a man counts as one of his glories. One can say that his glory (ḥasab) is his dīn or his wealth. In a ḥadīth we find, ‘Ḥasab is wealth and nobility is piety.’ Samurah ibn Jundub related it. Ibn Mājah transmitted it. It
The āyah means that Allah is swift in calculating the reckoning and has no need of counting, addition or the action of thought as a human reckoner would. That is why He says: ‘We are sufficient as Reckoner.’ (21:47) The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘O Allah, Sender down of the Book, Swift at Reckoning.’ Allah knows His slaves and what they do and has no need of memory or reflection since He knows everything to be reckoned for or against them. The purpose of reckoning is ascertaining the truth.

It is said that the phrase means that Allah is swift at repaying people for their actions. It is said that it means that one thing does not distract Him from other things. He reckons them all at the same time as we find in His words: ‘Your creation and rising is only like that of a single self.’ (31:28) Al-Ḥasan said, ‘His reckoning is swifter than the blink of an eye.’ One report says: ‘Allah reckons in the amount of time it takes to milk a sheep.’ It is said that when He reckons one person, He reckons all creation. ‘Alī was asked, ‘How can Allah reckon all His slaves in a single day?’ He replied, ‘In the same way that He provides for them in a single day!’ Another possible meaning of reckoning is that Allah acquaints His slaves with the exact repayment they will receive for their actions and reminds them of what they forgot as is seen in His words: ‘On the Day Allah raises up all of them together, He will inform them of what they did. Allah has recorded it while they have forgotten it.’ (58:6) It is said that it means that He is swift at bringing about the Day of Reckoning and so the meaning of the āyah is to warn about the Day of Rising.

All of these interpretations are possible. The slave of Allah should make the reckoning light for himself through righteous actions. The reckoning will be lighter in the Next World for the one who calls himself to account in this world.

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The words “They will have a good share...” refer to a man who uses money to perform ḥajj for someone else and has a
reward.’ A ḥadīth is reported about that in which a man said, ‘O Messenger of Allah, my father died without performing ḥajj. Can I perform ḥajj on his behalf?’ The Prophet ﷺ answered, ‘If your father had a debt, would you not pay it?’ He answered, ‘Yes.’ He said, ‘A debt owed to Allah is more entitled to receive settlement.’ He asked, ‘Will I have a reward?’ and then Allah revealed: ‘They will have a good share from what they have earned.’ So the meaning is that the reward for the ḥajj for the dead person is shared between him and the dead person.

Abū ‘Abdullāh Muḥammad ibn Khuwayzimandād stated in al-Aḥkām, ‘The position of Ibn Ābbās is like that of Mālik because the final position of Mālik is that the one for whom the ḥajj is done has the reward for paying for it and the ḥajj belongs to the one who does it. So it is as if the person doing the ḥajj has the reward of his body and actions and the one who pays for it has the reward of his spending. That is why we say that there is no difference in this ruling between the one who has performed the obligatory ḥajj for himself or one who has not, because in the case of actions in which a proxy is permitted, the ruling of the proxy is the same for someone who has performed it before or has not performed it. This is true of actions which are for this world and those which are for the Next World. Do you not see that someone who owes zakat, kaffārah or the like can perform that on behalf of someone else, even if he has not performed it for himself? The same is true of someone who does not attend to his best interests in this world. He can, nonetheless, act as proxy for someone else in such things as that and undertake that for someone else even if he has not undertaken it for himself. He can carry out a marriage for someone else even if he himself has not married.’
203 Remember Allah on the designated days. Those who hurry on in two days have done no wrong, and those who stay another day have done no wrong – those of them who are fearful of Allah. Be fearful of Allah. And know that you will be gathered back to Him.

There is no disagreement among scholars that the ‘designated days’ here refer to the days of Minā. They are the days of tashrīq. These three days have various names. They are the days of the stoning of the jamrahs which takes place over three days but then it is permitted for someone on ḥajj to hurry and do it in two days after the Day of Sacrifice. Ath-Tha‘labī and Ibrāhīm [an-Nakha‘ī] said that the ‘designated days’ are the ‘ushar (10th, 11th and 12th) and the ‘specific days’ (22:28) are the days of sacrifice. Makkī and al-Mahdawī also said that the ‘designated days’ are the ‘ushar.

Allah commands His slaves to remember Him on designated days which are the three days after the Day of Sacrifice. The Day of Sacrifice itself is not one of them by the consensus of the people that no one can hurry and leave on the second day. If the Day of Sacrifice had been one of the designated days, then it would be permitted for those who wish to hurry on to leave on the Day of Nafr because he has taken two of the designated days. Ad-Dāraquṭnī, at-Tirmidhī and others transmitted from ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Ya’mar ad-Dīlī that some one of the people of Najd went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ at ‘Arafah to question him and he commanded someone to call out: ‘The ḥajj is ‘Arafah. Whoever comes on the night of Jam‘ before dawn has caught it. The days at Minā are three. There is no sin for someone who hurries on after
two and there is no sin for someone who stays longer.’ It means anyone who hurries on after two of the days at Minā has to stay at Minā for three days including the Day of Sacrifice. His total stoning consists of forty-nine pebbles and he does not have to stone on the third day. Anyone who doesn’t leave until the end of the third day stays at Minā for four days because of the Day of Sacrifice and does the full amount of stoning as will be explained. Part of the evidence for staying three days at Minā, as we mentioned, is what al-‘Arjī said:

It was only three days at Minā before Nafr parted us.

The days of stoning are ‘designated’ and the days of sacrifice are ‘specific’. Nāfi‘ related that Ibn ‘Umar said that the ‘designated’ days and ‘specific’ days together add up to four days: the Day of Sacrifice and the three days after it. The Day of Sacrifice is ‘specific’, and not ‘designated’; the next two days are both ‘specific’ and ‘designated’, and the fourth day is ‘designated’ and not ‘specific’. This is the position in the school of Mālik and others.

That is the case because the first day, the Day of Sacrifice, is not one of the days particular to Minā nor one of those which the Prophet specified when he said, ‘The days of Minā are three.’ So it is ‘specific’ because Allah says: ‘Invoke Allah’s name on specific days over livestock He has provided for them.’ (22:28) There is no disagreement that what is meant is the Sacrifice, and the Sacrifice is on the first day. It is the day of Aḍḥā and the two days after it. The consensus of our scholars is that there should be no sacrifice on the fourth day and so the fourth day is not included in His word, ‘specific’ because there is no sacrifice on it. The stoning on it is ‘designated’ on account of the stoning, even though it is not ‘specific’ because of the lack of sacrificing on it. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘The truth is that the Day of Sacrifice is ‘designated’ for stoning and ‘specific’ for slaughtering, but our scholars says that it is not included in His words, ‘Remember Allah on designated days.’
Abū Ḥanīfah and ash-Shāfi‘ī said that the ‘specific days’ are the ten from the 1st of Dhu-l-Ḥijjah to the Day of Sacrifice. Their position on it does not vary and they related that from Ibn ‘Abbās. Aṭ-Ṭaḥāwī related from Abū Yūsuf that the ‘specific days’ are the days of sacrifice and that Abū Yūsuf said, ‘That is related from ‘Umar and ‘Alī and I believe it because Allah says: “Invoke Allah’s name on specific days over livestock He has provided for them.”’ Al-Karkhī related from Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan that the ‘specific days’ are the three days of Sacrifice: Aḍḥā and the two days after it. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘According to the view of Abū Yūsuf and Muḥammad there is no difference between the “specific” and “designated” because the “designated days” mentioned in the Qur’an are undisputedly the days of tashrīq, and no one doubts that the “designated days” do not include the ten days, because Allah says: “Those who hurry on in two days have done no wrong.” The “ten” do not have a ruling connected to two rather than three.’ It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the ‘specific’ are the ten and the ‘designated’ are the days of tashrīq. That is the majority position.

Ibn Zayd said that the ‘specific days’ are the ten days of Dhu-l-Ḥijjah and the days of tashrīq. This is unlikely based on what we have mentioned and the literal meaning of the āyah refutes it. Allah assigned remembrance in the designated and specific days which indicates something different to what he says, and so there is no need to deal with it.

There is no disagreement that the person addressed here is the person on ḥajj who says the takbīr while stoning the jamrahs, over the animals he intends to sacrifice during the specific days, and after the prayers without the talbīyah. Does it include other than those on ḥajj? The fuqahā’ of all the regions and the famous Companions and Tābi‘ūn agree that everyone is meant to do the takbīr, especially at the times of the prayer, and so it is recited, whether you pray alone or in a group, to imitate the Salaf. The Mukhtasar of Khalil states that
women should not do it but the first position is better known since women follow the rules of ḥaram in the same way that men do and the Mudawwanah states that.

If someone forgets the takbīr after the prayer and not much time has passed since he completed it, he should say it. If, however, a long time has passed, he owes nothing. Ibn al-Jallāb said that. Malik is reported in the Mukhtasar as saying that you should say it as long as you are still sitting. If you rise before saying it you owe nothing. According to the Mudawwanah, Mālik said that if the imam forgets the takbīr and remembers soon after, he sits and says it, but if a long time has passed, he owes nothing. If he leaves without saying the takbīr and the people are still sitting down, they say it.

Scholars disagree about the end of the time of saying the takbīr. ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and Ibn ‘Abbās said that it is said from the Ṣubḥ prayer on the Day of ‘Arafah up until ‘Asr on the last of the days of tashrīq. Ibn Masʿūd and Abū Ḥanīfah say that it is from the morning of the Day of ‘Arafah up until ‘Asr of the Day of Sacrifice. His two companions disagree and take the first position. So they agree about its beginning, but not its end. Mālik says that the takbīr should be said from Ṣuḥr on the Day of Sacrifice to the Ṣubḥ prayer on the last of the days of tashrīq. Ash-Shāfi‘ī agrees with that, and it is also the position of Ibn ‘Umar and another position of Ibn ‘Abbās. Zayd ibn Thābit said that the takbīr should be said from Ṣuḥr on the Day of Sacrifice to the days of tashrīq.

Ibn al-‘Arabī says that those who say that the takbīr is said from the Day of ‘Arafah and stopped at ‘Asr on the Day of Sacrifice have abandoned the evident text because Allah says: ‘on the designated days’, which are three. Those people say that they say the takbīr over two days and have therefore abandoned the literal text without any proof. As for those who say that it is said on the Day of ‘Arafah and the days of tashrīq, their argument is that Allah says: ‘When you pour down from ‘Arafāt’ (2:198) and so ‘Arafāt is included in the days
mentioned. So it would be valid for someone to say that the *takbīr* should be said from *Maghrib* on the Day of ‘Arafah because that is the time of pouring down. Doing it before that is not demanded by the literal wording of the *ayah*. It is obligatory from the Day of Tarwīyah, when one alights in Minā.

There is disagreement about the wording of the *takbīr*. The well-known position in the school of Mālik is that the *takbīr* is said three times after each prayer. Ziyād ibn Ziyād related it from Mālik. One transmission is that one says after the three *takbīrs*: ‘There is no god but Allah. Allah is greater and praise be to Allah.’ (‘*Lā ilāha illa-llāh, wa-llāhu akbar, wa lillāhi-l-ḥamd.*’) In the *Mukhtaṣar* Mālik is reported as saying, ‘Allah is greater. Allah is greater. There is no god but Allah and Allah is greater. Allah is greater and praise be to Allah.’ (‘*Allāhu akbar. Allāhu akbar. Lā ilāha illa-llāh, wa-llāhu akbar. Allāhu akbar, wa lillāhi-l-ḥamd.*’)

**Those who hurry on in two days have done no wrong,**

Hurrying on is only permitted at the end of the second day. The same applies to the third day because the time of stoning during those days is after midday. All agree that on the Day of Sacrifice you only stone the Jamrat al-‘Aqabah because the Messenger of Allah ﷺ did not stone the other *jamrahs* on the Day of Sacrifice. The time for stoning on that day is from sunrise to midday. They also agree that the time for stoning the *jamrahs* on the days of *tashrīq* is from after midday up until sunset. They disagree about someone who stones the Jamrat al-‘Aqabah before dawn or after dawn but before sunrise. Mālik, Abū Ḥanīfah, Aḥmad and Isḥāq said that it is allowed if it was after dawn, but not before dawn.

Mālik, Abū Ḥanīfah, Aḥmad and Isḥāq said that it is permitted to stone the *jamrahs* after dawn, but before sunrise. Mālik said, ‘It has not reached us that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ allowed anyone to stone before dawn.’ It is not permitted to stone them before dawn. If someone stones them before dawn, then he must repeat it. Abū
Ḥanīfah and his people said similarly that it is not permitted to stone them then. Aḥmad and Isḥāq also said that. One group permit stoning before dawn breaks. It is related that Asmā’ bint Abī Bakr used to stone at night. She said, ‘We used to do this in the time of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ.’ Abū Dāwud transmitted it. This position is related from ‘Aṭā’, Ibn Abī Mulaykah, and ‘Ikrimah ibn Khālid. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that the stoning could occur after the middle of the night. Another group said that there is no stoning until sunrise. Mujāhid, an-Nakha‘ī and ath-Thawrī said that. Abū Thawr said, ‘If someone stones before sunrise, and they disagree about it, it does not satisfy the requirement. If they agree or there is a sunnah about it, then it does satisfy it.’

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘As for the view of ath-Thawrī and those who follow him, his argument is that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ stoned the jamrah after sunrise and said, “Take your rites from me.”’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘The sunnah is that one does not stone until after sunrise and stoning before sunrise does not satisfy the requirement. If someone does do it, then he must repeat it, since he has acted contrary to the Sunnah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ laid down for his community.’

Ma‘mar related from Hishām ibn ‘Urwah that his father said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ commanded Umm Salamah to be in Makkah in the morning of her day…’ Abū ‘Umar said that this hadīth varies from Hishām. One group related it mursal from Hisham from his father as Ma‘mar related it and others related it from Hisham from his father from ‘Ā‘ishah with an isnād. All of them are reliable. The point is that it indicates that she had stoned the jamrah at Minā before dawn, since the Messenger of Allah ﷺ commanded her to be in Makkah on the morning of the Day of Sacrifice. This could only happen if she had stoned the jamrah at Minā during the night before dawn. Allah knows best.

Abū Dāwud related from Hārūn ibn ‘Abdullāh from Ibn Abī
Fudayk from ʿaḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk ibn ʿUthmān from Hishām ibn ʿUrwah from his father that ʿĀ’ishah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ sent Umm Salamah ahead on the night before the Day of Sacrifice. She stoned the jamrah before dawn and then went and performed the Ṭawāf al-Ifāḍah. That was the day when the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was going to be with her. If this is confirmed, then stoning at night is permitted, but what is preferred is from sunrise to midday. Abū ʿUmar said that they agree that the preferred time for stoning the Jamrat al-ʿAqabah is between sunrise and midday. All but Mālik agree that if someone stones before sunset on the Day of Sacrifice, it satisfies the requirement and he owes nothing. Mālik said, ‘I recommend that if he fails to stone the Jamrat al-ʿAqabah until evening he should sacrifice an animal that he brought from outside the Ḥaram.

They disagree about someone who does not stone until sunset and then stones in the night or the following morning, Mālik said that he owes a sacrifice. His argument was that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ set a time for stoning the jamrah: the Day of Sacrifice. If someone stones after sunset, he has stoned after the end of the time and if someone does something in the ḥajj outside of its time, he owes a sacrifice. Ash-Shāfiʿī said that he owes no sacrifice. That is also the view of Abu Yūsuf and Muhammad. Abū Thawr said that was because when someone said to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, ‘Messenger of Allah, I stoned after the evening,’ he said, ‘There is no harm.’ Mālik said, ‘If someone forgets to stone the jamrahs until evening, he should do the stoning at whatever time he remembers, day or night, as someone prays a prayer that he forgot whenever he remembers it. He only stones what he missed. If it is one jamrah, he stones it and then stones the jamrahs after it. The order of the jamrahs in stoning is mandatory. It is not permitted to start stoning the next jamrah until he has completed stoning the previous jamrah, as is the case with the rakʿahs of the prayer.’ This is well-known in the School.
It is said that the order is not mandatory for the validity of stoning, but all stoning at the correct time of performance satisfies the requirement.

There is no stoning after the end of the days of stoning. If someone remembers failing to do that after he is in Makkah or after he has left it, he owes a sacrifice, whether he omitted all of the stoning or just one *jamrah* or even one pebble of the *jamrah*. If the days of Minā are over, he owes a sacrifice. Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘If someone omits all of the *jamrah*s, he owes a sacrifice. If he omits one *jamrah*, he must feed a poor person half a *sāʿ* of food for every pebble of the *jamrah* he missed until it reaches the level of sacrifice and then he should feed what he wishes, except in the case of the *Jamrat al-‘Aqabah* for which he owes a sacrifice. Al-Awzā’ī said that he gives *ṣadaqah* for omitting a pebble. Ath-Thawrī said that he should feed in the case of one, two or three pebbles. If it is four or more, he owes a sacrifice. Al-Layth said that there is a sacrifice for one pebble missed. That is one of the two views of ash-Shāfi‘ī. His final position is the well-known one: a *mudd* of food for one pebble, two *mudds* for two pebbles, and a sacrifice for three pebbles.

The majority say that there is no possibility for anyone to complete anything of the rite of stoning the *jamrah*s on the days of *tashrīq* he has missed once the sun has set on the last day, which is the fourth day from the Day of Sacrifice and the third of the days of *tashrīq*. Such a person must do reparation in the form of sacrifice or feeding the poor according to what we have just mentioned.

It is not permitted to spend the night at Makkah, or elsewhere other than Minā, during the nights of *tashrīq*. All agree that that is not permitted although there is an exception made for herdsmen and those of the family of ‘Abbās who bring water. Mālik said, ‘A sacrifice is owed by anyone who spends any of the nights of Minā elsewhere, with the exception of herdsmen and those of the family of ‘Abbās who bring water.’ Al-Bukhārī related from Ibn ‘Umar that
al-‘Abbās asked for permission from the Prophet ﷺ to spend the nights of Minā at Makkah in order to provide water and he gave him permission. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘Ibn ‘Abbās used to oversee the watering and undertook that task. He provided the ḥājjīs with water during the Festival. That is why he had an allowance not to spend the nights at Minā, as did those who herded camels, due to their need to attend to the camels and to take them where they could graze, which was some distance from Minā.

Minā takes its name from the blood shed (yumnā) there. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘It is called Minā because Jibrīl said to the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Wish (tamann).’ He said, ‘I wish for the Garden.’ Therefore it is called Minā. He said that it is called Jam‘ because Ḥawwā’ and Ādam met (ijtima‘a) there. Jam‘ is also a name for Muzdalifah which is the Sacred Landmark as we already said.

Fuqahā’ agree that spending the nights of Minā at Minā is one of the practices and rites of the ḥajj, except for those we have mentioned who are given a dispensation. Logic demands that any omission of its practices calls for a sacrifice, based on analogy with the rest of the ḥajj and its rites. We find in the Muwaṭṭā’: ‘From Mālik from Nāfi‘ from Ibn ‘Umar is that ‘Umar said, “No one performing ḥajj should spend the nights of Minā beyond al-ʿAqabah.”’ The area of ‘Aqabah beyond which ‘Umar forbade anyone to spend the night is that which is at the jamrah that people stone on the Day of Sacrifice, which is closer to Makkah. Ibn Nāfi‘ related it from Mālik in al-Mabsūṭ. He said that Mālik said, ‘Anyone who spends the nights of Minā beyond it owes fidyah. That is because he spent the nights of Minā other than at Minā.’ Spending those nights there is prescribed in the ḥajj and therefore a sacrifice is owed by someone who does not spend the night there, as is the case if someone does not spend the night at Muzdalifah. According to Mālik, fidyah means a hady. He said, ‘It is a hady driven from outside the Ḥaram into the Ḥaram.’
Mālik related from ‘Abdullāh ibn Abī Bakr ibn Muḥammad ibn ‘Amr ibn Ḥazm from his father that Abu-l-Baddāḥ ibn ‘Āṣim ibn ‘Adī reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ allowed those herding the camels, who spend the nights away from Minā, to stone on the Day of Sacrifice, then to stone on the following day and the day after it. Then they stone on the Day of Nafr.

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Mālik’s view does not accord with this ḥadīth. He used to say that they stone the Jamrat al-ʿAqabah on the Day of Sacrifice and then do not stone on the following day, which is the second of the days of tashrīq, and the day when those who want to hurry on do so or when those who are permitted to hurry on stone on the two days — that day and the day before it — because they perform what they owe. In his view no one pays anything except after it is obligatory for him. This is the meaning of Mālik’s interpretation of this ḥadīth in the Muwaṭṭā’.’

Others say that there is nothing wrong in any of that, based on what is in the ḥadīth of Mālik, because they are all days of stoning. In Mālik’s view, it is not permitted for herdsmen to bring forward the stoning because those other than the herdsmen are not permitted to stone the jamrahs on the days of tashrīq before midday. If someone stones them before midday, he must repeat it. They cannot bring it forward. They do have an allowance for that on the second to the third day. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘What Mālik says regarding this question exists in the transmission of Ibn Jurayj from Muḥammad ibn Abī Bakr ibn Muḥammad ibn ‘Amr ibn Ḥazm from his father; saying that Abu-l-Baddāḥ ibn ‘Āṣim ibn ‘Adī reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ allowed those herding the camels, who spend the nights away from Minā, to alternate so that they would stone on the Day of Sacrifice, leave the second day and night and then stone on the following day. Our scholars say that stoning the third jamrah is cancelled for those who hurry on. Ibn Abī Zaminīn said, ‘One stones it on the first day of Nafr when he wants to hurry on.’ Ibn al-
Mawwāz said, ‘The one who hurries on stops with twenty-one pebbles in the two days, seven pebbles for each jamrah. That makes his total stoning forty-nine pebbles because he stoned the Jamrat al-‘Aqabah with seven on the Day of Sacrifice.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said that the stoning for the third day is cancelled.

Mālik related from Yahyā ibn Saʿīd that he heard ‘Aṭā’ ibn Abī Rabāḥ mention that there was a dispensation for the herdsmen to stone in the night at first. Al-Bājī said, “‘At first’ means that it was during the time of the Prophet ﷺ, because it is the first period of this Sharī‘ah.’ According to this it is mursal. It is possible that he means the time that ‘Aṭā’ heard it. Then it would be a mawqūf isnād.’ Allah knows best. It has an isnād from ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb from his father from his grandfather from the Prophet ﷺ that ad-Dāraquṭnī and others transmitted. We mentioned it in al-Muqtabis fī sharḥ Muwaṭṭā’ Mālik ibn Anas. They were permitted to stone at night because it is easier for them and shows more concern for their efforts to herd the camels, because night is a time when they do no graze or wander off. That is why they stone at that time.

There is disagreement about someone who misses doing the stoning until the sun has set. ‘Aṭā’ said, ‘The only people who are permitted to stone at night are the herdsmen. Merchants may not do that.’ It is related that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘If someone fails to stone until the sun has set, he should not stone until the sun has risen on the following day.’ Aḥmad and Ishāq said that. Mālik said, as transmitted by Ibn al-Qāsim, ‘If he fails to do it in the day he should stone at night and owes a sacrifice.’ The Muwaṭṭā’ does not mention owing a sacrifice. Ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Thawr, Ya‘qūb and Muḥammad said that if someone fails to stone until evening, he stones and does not owe a sacrifice. Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣri makes an allowance for stoning the jamrahs at night. Abū Ḥanīfah said that he stones and owes nothing, even though he did not mention it as being in the night. If he waits until the following day, then he owes a sacrifice.
Ath-Thawrī said that if he delays the stoning until night, out of forgetfulness or deliberately, he must sacrifice.

As for the camel-herders or the people who get water (siqāyah) stoning at night, they owe no sacrifice based on the hadīth. However, if it is done by other people, then logic demands that they sacrifice. That is when it is deliberate, and Allah knows best.

It is confirmed that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ stoned the Jamrat al-‘Aqabah on the Day of Sacrifice while mounted. Mālik and others recommended stoning it while mounted. Ibn ‘Umar, Ibn az-Zubayr, and Sālim used to stone it on foot. The stoning consists of twenty-one pebbles on each of the three days and the takbīr is said with each pebble. The person should face towards the Ka‘bah when he stones and do the jamrahās in the correct order, doing them all without stopping and without separating them or reversing them. He starts with the first jamrah and throws seven pebbles at it and does not set them down. That is what was stated by Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Thawr, and the People of Opinion. If he simply tosses them, that is permitted by the People of Opinion.

Ibn al-Qāsim said, ‘It is not permitted to throw them all together.’ That is sound because the Prophet ﷺ used to stone them and he did not throw two or more stones at the same time. When he did the stoning, he did it with one pebble at a time. When he had finished throwing them, he stood in front of the jamrah for a long time making such supplication as was feasible. Then he stoned the second one, which is the middle one and left it going to the left in the bottom of the river-bed and stood for a long time at that one making supplication. Then he stoned the third one, the Jamrat al-‘Aqabah, with seven pebbles from below it, but he did not stop beside it. It is satisfactory to stone them from above. The takbīr should be said with every pebble thrown.’ The Sunnah of remembrance when stoning the jamrahās is to say the takbīr and nothing else. They should be stoned on foot which is not the case with the jamrah on the Day of
Sacrifice.

All this is related by an-Nasā‘ī and ad-Dāraquṭnī from az-Zuhri from the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. He used to stone the jamrah that is next to the mosque of Minā with seven pebbles, saying the takbīr with every pebble. Then he went forward and stood for a long time facing the qiblah, raising his hands in supplication. Then he went to the second jamrah and stoned it with seven pebbles, saying the takbīr with every pebble. Then he went down to the left opposite the wadi and stood facing the qiblah, raising his hands in supplication. Then he went to the jamrah at al-‘Aqabah and stoned it with seven pebbles, saying the takbīr with every pebble. Then he left without standing there. Az-Zuhri said that he heard Sālim ibn ‘Abdullāh relate this from his father from the Prophet ﷺ. He said that Ibn ‘Umar used to do it like this.

The ruling of the jamrahs is that they are considered pure and are not affected by anything used to stone them. If someone stones them with what has already been used to stone them, Mālik believes that it does not satisfy the requirement. Ibn al-Qāsim said that he said that if that is only a matter of a single pebble, it satisfies the requirement. Ibn al-Qāsim gave that fatwā. The people of knowledge recommend taking the stones from Muzdalifah, not from the mosque. If someone takes more than he needs and still has some in his possession after stoning, he should bury them and not just throw them away. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and others said that.

Most, with the exception of Ṭāwūs, say that they should not be washed. He related that it is not good if the jamrahs and what is thrown are not washed free of impurity but, if they are not, it still satisfies the requirement. Ibn al-Mundhir said that it is disliked to throw what has already been thrown but, nonetheless, it still satisfies the requirement since he did not know of anyone who obliges someone who does that to repeat it. We do not know of any reports stating that the Prophet ﷺ washed the pebbles or commanded that
they be washed. Ṭāwūs, as we stated, did wash them.

Throwing bits of mud at the jamrahs does not satisfy the requirement nor does anything except stone. That is the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī, Ahmad and Ishāq. The People of Opinion say that it is permitted with dry clay. The same applies to anything that is part of the earth: it satisfies the requirement. Ath-Thawrī said that if someone throws date-stones or mud, that does not satisfy the requirement. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ threw pebbles.

There is disagreement about the size of what is thrown. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that the pebbles should be smaller in both length and width than a fingertip. Abū Thawr and the People of Opinion said that they should be like the pebbles used for flicking. We related that Ibn ‘Umar used to stone the jamrah with something the size of sheep pellets. There is no sense in Mālik’s statement, ‘I prefer them being larger than that’ because the Prophet ﷺ made a sunnah of stoning with something similar in size to date-stones. It is permitted to stone with anything that can be called a pebble, but it is better to follow the Sunnah. Ibn al-Mundhir said that.

This is the sound position which cannot be opposed by anyone who is guided and follows. An-Nasā‘ī related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said to me on the morning of al-‘Aqabah while he was on his camel, “Pick up some pebbles for me.” I picked up seven pebbles the size of peas. When I put them in his hand, he said, “Something like these. Beware of excess in the dīn. Those before you were destroyed by excess in the dīn.”’ His words about ‘excess in the dīn indicate dislike of stoning of the jamrahs with large stones and the fact that doing that is part of excess. Allah knows best.

If someone has a pebble left in his hand and he does not know which of the jamrahs it was for, he stones the first and the middle and the last one after it. If it has been a long time, he starts over again.

Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, ‘Abd al-Malik, Abū Thawr, and the People of Opinion said that if someone puts one jamrah ahead of another, it
does not satisfy the requirement unless he stones them in order. Al-Ḥasan, ‘Aṭā’ and some people say that it does satisfy it. Some people cite as evidence the statement of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘There is no harm in someone putting one rite before another.’ He said, ‘This is not more than a man who combines the prayer and fasting and makes up one of them before the other.’ The first shows more caution. Allah knows best.

They disagree about the stoning done by someone who is ill and someone stoning on his behalf. Mālik said that one may stone on behalf of someone ill or a child who cannot stone. The ill person takes care when he stones and says seven takbīrs for each jamrah and he owes a ḥady. When a sick person recovers during the days of stoning, he stones for himself. According to Mālik, he owes a sacrifice in addition to that. Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Isḥāq and the People of Opinion say that one can stone on behalf of a sick person and they do not mention a sacrifice. There is no disagreement about stoning on behalf of a child who is unable to stone. Ibn ‘Umar used to do that. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī said, ‘We said, “Messenger of Allah, we reckon that these jamrahs that are stoned decrease in size.”’ He said, ‘What is accepted of it is taken up. If it were not for that, you would see them like mountains.”

Ibn al-Mundhir said that scholars agree that if someone wants to leave Minā to return to his country and come out of ihram without staying at Makkah, he can depart after midday when he has stoned during the day after the Day of Sacrifice. That is because Allah says, ‘Those who hurry on in two days have done no wrong.’ He can go as long as there is some of the day left. We related that an-Nakha‘ī and al-Ḥasan said that someone who catches ‘Aṣr at Minā on the second day of tashrīq should not leave until the following day. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘It is possible that they both said that as a recommendation. We take the first view based on the literal text of
the Book and the Sunnah.’

They disagree about whether the people of Makkah can leave in the first departure. We related that ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said, ‘Any of the people who wish can leave in the first departure except for Khuzaymah. They may only leave in the final leaving.’ Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal used to say, ‘I do not like those who leave in the first departure to be resident in Makkah.’ He said, ‘It is making light for the people of Makkah.’ Aḥmad and Ishāq took the meaning of ‘Umar’s words ‘except Khuzaymah’ to mean the people of Makkah. Mālik used to say about the people of Makkah, ‘Anyone with an excuse can hurry on in two days, but if someone merely wants to lighten the business of the ḥajj for himself, that is not permitted.’ So he thought that hurrying was for those who lived far away.

One group said that the āyah is general and the allowance is for all people: the people of Makkah as well as others. It is for those who want to leave Mīnā either to reside in Makkah or to return to their homeland. ‘Aṭā’ agreed that it is for people in general. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘It accords with the school of ash-Shāfi‘ī, and we take that position.’ Ibn ‘Abbās, al-Ḥasan, ‘Ikrimah, Mujāhid, Qatādah and an-Nakha‘ī said that there is nothing wrong with leaving on the second of the designated days and there is nothing wrong in remaining to the third day. So the āyah means that all of that is permitted. This division is given attention since some Arabs criticised those who hurried on and some criticised those who did not. Therefore this āyah was revealed to remove any consideration of harm from either position.

‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn Mas‘ūd, and Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘ī said that it means that anyone who hurries on is forgiven and whoever stays is forgiven. Their argument is based on the ḥadīth of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Anyone who makes ḥajj to this House and does not engage in sexual activity or wrongdoing emerges from his errors like the day his mother bore him.’ So Allah’s words ‘have done no
wrong’ is a general negation and absolution. Mujāhid said that the āyah means: there is no wrongdoing for those who hurry on or stay until the following year. This report has an isnād. Abu-l-‘Āliyah said about the āyah, ‘There is no wrongdoing for someone who remains godfearing for the rest of his life. The ḥājjī is absolutely forgiven,’ meaning that all his sins have departed provided he remains godfearing for the rest of his life. Abū Ṣāliḥ and others said that the āyah means that there is no wrongdoing for someone who is fearful of killing game and avoiding that which must be avoided in the ḥajj. He also said, ‘Someone who is godfearing in his ḥajj and performs it fully is accepted.’

those of them who are fearful of Allah.

This statement is connected to forgiveness. It implies that there is forgiveness for those who are fearful of Allah. This is the interpretation of Ibn Mas‘ūd and ‘Alī. Qatādah said, ‘Ibn Mas‘ūd mentioned to us that forgiveness is reserved for those who remain fearful of Allah after finishing the ḥajj and avoid all acts of disobedience.’ Al-Akhfash said that it implies: ‘Forgiveness is for those who are fearful of Allah.’ One of them said that it refers to not killing game in ʿihram or in the Haram. It is said that there is safety for anyone who is fearful of Allah. This is related from Ibn ‘Umar. It is also said that it means safety for those who are godfearing. It is also said that it is connected to the remembrance inherent in the word, ‘Remember’ which begins the āyah, meaning that remembrance is confined to those who are fearful of Allah. Allah commands us to be fearful of Him and reminds us of the Gathering and Standing.

204 Among the people there is someone whose words about the life of this world excite your admiration, and he calls Allah to witness what is in his heart, while he is
in fact the most hostile of adversaries.

Among the people there is someone whose words about the life of this world excite your admiration,

This āyah is here because Allah has just mentioned in His words a little earlier that the aspiration of some people is confined to this world: ‘Our Lord, give us good in this world.’ The believers are those who ask for the good of both the worlds. Hypocrites are mentioned because hypocrites make a display of faith while concealing disbelief. As-Suddî and other commentators say that it was revealed about al-Akhnas ibn Sharîq. His name was actually Ubayy and al-Akhnas was a nickname for him because on the day of the Battle of Badr, he withdrew (khanasa) from fighting the Messenger of Allah  with three hundred men of his allies of the Banû Zuhrah. This will be explained in Āl Ḥmîrān. He was a man of sweet words and good appearance. He came to the Prophet  and made a display of Islam and said, ‘Allah knows that I am telling the truth,’ and then after that he ran away. On his way he passed some crops and animals belonging to some Muslims and burned the crops and hamstrung the donkeys. Al-Mahdawî said that Allah’s words: ‘But do not obey any vile swearer of oaths, and backbiter, slandermonger’ (68:10-11), were also revealed about him as were the words: ‘Woe to every fault-finding slanderer.’ (104:1)

Ibn ‘Atîyyah said, ‘It is not established that al-Akhnas became Muslim.’ Ibn ‘Abbâs says that it was revealed about some hypocrites who spoke about those killed in the Rajî‘ expedition, saying that they should have stayed at home. Then this was revealed to describe the hypocrites while those who were martyred in Rajî‘ are referred to in the words: ‘And among the people there are some who give up everything.’ (2:207).

Qatādah, Mujâhid and a group of scholars said that the āyah was revealed about anyone who conceals disbelief, hypocrisy, lying or vindictiveness while displaying the opposite of that in what he says.
Therefore the meaning is general and unrestricted. This is akin to something at-Tirmidhī quotes as being contained in one of the books of Allah, which goes: ‘There are some slaves of Allah whose tongues are sweeter than honey while their hearts are more bitter than aloes. They appear to people to be like gentle sheep while they use the dīn to buy this world. Allah Almighty says: “Do they attempt to delude Me? Are they bold towards Me? I swear by My Self that I will destine for them a trial that will leave someone forbearing bewildered!”’

**and he calls Allah to witness what is in his heart,**

He does this by saying, ‘Allah knows that I am telling the truth,’ whereas Allah knows that he is different to what he says. As Allah says: ‘Allah bears witness that the hypocrites are certainly liars’ (63:1).

The reading of Ibn Mas‘ūd reverses the position of ‘Allāhu’ and ‘yashhadu’ in the āyah, (which would mean ‘Allah bears witness to…’) but the majority reading is stronger in censure because he remains adamant in holding to good words while something other than that is manifesting itself inside him.

Our scholars say that this āyah calls attention to the necessity of caution in dealing with both matters of the dīn and matters of the material world, and the need to verify the states of witnesses and qāḍīs. It points out that a judge should not base his judgment merely on the outward states of people and any display of faith and rectitude they make, without investigating their inward, because Allah will make the inward states of people clear. Some people can speak sweetly while intending evil.

If it is said that this is contradicted by the words of the Prophet ☪, ‘I was commanded to fight people until they say, “There is no god but Allah”...’ the answer is that that was at the beginning of Islam when people’s Islam was sound, before corruption became widespread. That is what Ibn al-‘Arabī said. The sound position, however, is that a judge should judge by the outward until something
contrary to it becomes clear, going by the statement of ‘Umar ibn al-
Khaṭṭāb in al-Bukhārī, ‘O people, Revelation has come to an end. We
now judge according to what appears to us of your actions. If
someone exhibits good, we consider him trustworthy and bring him
near. We know nothing of what is concealed within him; Allah will
call him to account for what is in his heart. If someone exhibits bad
to us, we do not consider him trustworthy or believe him, even if it
happens to be that his heart is good.’

**while he is in fact the most hostile of adversaries.**

The word ‘*aladd*’ (hostile) is derived from the word *ladīdān*, meaning
both sides of the neck so that the implication is that his hostility is
deeply rooted. The word ‘*khiṣām*’ (adversaries) is a verbal noun from
khāṣama, and it is also said to be the plural of khaṭm. Az-Zajjāj said
that. It means that he is the most vehement in argumentation when
he speaks to you and replies to you and you find his words sweet,
even though what he says is inwardly false. This indicates that
argumentation is only permitted when the inward and outward are
the same. We read in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that ‘Ā’ishah reported that the
Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The man Allah most hates is “the most
hostile of adversaries”.’

205 When he leaves you, he goes about the earth
   corrupting it, destroying crops and animals Allah does
   not love corruption.

**When he leaves you, he goes about the earth corrupting it,**

The verb ‘*tawallā*’ (leaves) is said to imply being misguided, angry
and arrogant and ‘*sa’y*’ (goes about) to imply scheming and striving
to bring about reverses for Islam and its people, as Ibn Jurayj and
others have said. It is also said that it is the action of a single person,
so he turns his back and leaves you. *Sa’y* is to go about on his feet,
cutting off the road and corrupting it as Ibn ‘Abbās and others say. Both forms of *saʿy* are corruption.

**destroying**

This is added to ‘corrupting’. Although the *āyah* is held to refer specifically to al-Akhnas burning crops and killing camels, at-Ṭabarī said that its meaning is general and should be extended to all who fit its description and merit the curse and punishment. Some scholars said that if someone kills a donkey or burns a haystack, he must be blameworthy and shame is attached to him until the Day of Rising. Mujāhid said, ‘What is meant is that wrongdoers work corruption in the earth so that Allah withholds the rain from it, causing crops and animals to die.’ It is said that ‘crops’ are wives and ‘animals’ are children. The reason for this is that hypocrisy leads to disunity and fighting and that causes people to be killed. Az-Zajjāj expressed that idea. *Saʿy* in the land is ‘running’ and this is an expression for causing sedition and contention between people. Allah knows best.

We find in a ḥadīth: ‘When people see an oppressor and do not take hold of his hands [to stop him], Allah is about to envelop them with a punishment from Him.’

**crops and animals.**

The root of the word ‘*harth*’ (crops) linguistically means splitting and the word for plough (*miḥrāth*) comes from it since it splits the earth. By extension *ḥarth* comes to mean gaining and amassing wealth. We find in a ḥadīth, ‘Cultivate (*ahrith*) for this world as if you are going to live forever.’ *Ḥarth* is agriculture. *Ḥarrāth* is a ploughman. Other uses of the verb are *ahratha* mean to study thoroughly, to ride an animal until it is exhausted, and to poke the fire. *Miḥrāth* is also a poker according to al-Jawharī.

The word ‘*nasl*’ (animals) denotes any progeny of a female. Its root meaning is ‘to leave and fall’. The verb is used for hair falling out and feathers moulting. We find it used in the *āyah*: ‘they will be sliding (*yansilūna*) from their graves towards their Lord’ (36:51) and: ‘rush down
(yansilūna) from every slope.’ (21:96) So the words in the āyah indicate planting and cultivating the earth, planting trees to bear fruit, and seeking increase in livestock which provide livelihood for a person. This refutes those who espouse abandoning secondary means.

**Allah does not love corruption.**

Al-‘Abbās ibn al-Faḍl said that ‘fasād’ (corruption) means ruin. Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab said that clipping dirhams forms part of corruption in the land. ‘Aṭā’ said, ‘A man called ‘Aṭā’ ibn Munabbih assumed ḵiṟām in a jubbah and the Prophet ﷺ told him to remove it.’ Qatādah said to ‘Aṭā’, ‘We heard that he tore it in half.’ ‘Aṭā’ said, ‘Allah does not love corruption.’

The āyah is general to all kinds of corruption in the land, in respect of either property or the ḏīn, and that is the sound position, Allah willing. It is said that He does not love corruption in people of righteousness, or He does not love it as a ḏīn.

206 When he is told to be fearful of Allah, he is seized by a feeling of might which drives him to wrongdoing. Hell will be enough for him! What an evil resting-place!

This describes the chief attribute of the unbeliever and the hypocrite: arrogance. It is disliked for a believer to fall into this. ‘Abdullāh said, ‘It is enough wrong action for a man that he says to his brother, “Fear Allah,” and he retorts, “Mind your own business! Someone like you advises me!”’ The word ‘iẓẓah’ (might) denotes strength and dominance, as it is used in 38:23. It is also said to mean zeal. It is said that it means unapproachable self-esteem. He exalts himself and when that arrogance takes hold of him, that leads him to commit wrong action. Qatādah said, ‘It means that when he is told to slow down, he is increased in his advancing towards disobedience. It means that his pride leads him to commit wrong
action, so that he falls into disbelief for the sake of might and the zeal of the Jāhiliyyah. It is like the ayah: ‘Those who disbelieve are full of vainglory and entrenched in hostility.’ (38:2) It is said that it means that arrogance and zeal make him refuse to accept the warning about the wrong action that is in his heart, which constitutes hypocrisy.

It is mentioned that a Jew needed something from Hārūn ar-Rashīd and he kept going to his door for a year without his need being met. So he stood at his door and when Hārūn came out, he ran and stood in front of him. He said, ‘Fear Allah, Amīr al-Mu‘minīn!’ Hārūn dismounted and went down into prostration. When he raised his head. He commanded that his need be met. When he returned, it was said to him, ‘Amīr al-Mu‘minīn! Do you dismount from your animal for the words of a Jew!’ ‘No,’ he answered, ‘but I remembered the words of Allah: “When he is told to have taqwā of Allah, he is seized by pride which drives him to wrongdoing. Hell will be enough for him! What an evil resting-place!” That is enough of a consequence and repayment.’

The usual meaning of the word ‘resting place’ (mīhād) is a place prepared for sleep. It is also used for a child’s cradle. Jahannam (Hell) is referred to as one because it is where the unbelievers will remain. It is also said that here ‘Hell’ is an appositive for ‘resting-place’.

207 And among the people there are some who give up everything, desiring the good pleasure of Allah. Allah is Ever-Gentle with His slaves.

After speaking of the actions of the hypocrites, Allah talks about the behaviour of the believers. It is said that the āyah was actually revealed about Suhayb. He emigrated to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and some people of Quraysh followed him. He dismounted, took out
what was in his quiver and took up his bow and said, ‘You know that I am the best shot among you. By Allah you will not reach me until I have shot what is in my quiver and struck with my sword until nothing remains in my hands and then do what you wish.’ They said, ‘We will not let you leave us as a wealthy man when you came to us with nothing. Direct us to your property in Makkah and we will let you go.’ They made an agreement with him to that effect and he did that. When he came to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, this āyah was revealed and the Prophet ﷺ said to him, ‘A profitable sale, Abū Yahyā!’ and recited it to him. Razīn transmitted it. Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab said that.

Commentators say that the idolaters took Ṣuhayb and tortured him and Ṣuhayb said to them, ‘I am an old man. Your security will not be prejudiced if I am with other than you. Will you not take my wealth and leave me with my dīn?’ They did that and he stipulated a camel and provision for himself and went to Madīnah where Abū Bakr, ‘Umar and some men met him. Abū Bakr told him, ‘A profitable sale, Abū Yahyā!’ Ṣuhayb asked what he meant and he told him about the revelation of the āyah.

Al-Ḥasan said, ‘Do you know about whom this āyah was revealed? It was revealed about a Muslim who met an unbeliever and told him, “Say: ‘There is no god but Allah’. If you say it, your property and life are safe.” He refused to say it. The Muslim said, “By Allah, I will sell my self to Allah” and he advanced and fought until he was killed.’ It is said that it was revealed about all those who command what is known to be right and forbid what is recognised as wrong and that is the way it was interpreted by ‘Umar, ‘Alī and Ibn ‘Abbās. ‘Alī and Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Two men fought and the one who was trying to make the corrupter change his ways said, “Be fearful of Allah!” The corrupter refused and pride took hold of him. So the one who was trying to change him sold himself to Allah and fought him. So the two fought.’
Al-Khalil said, "Umar ibn al-Khattab heard a man reciting this ayah and said, "We belong to Allah and to Him we return. A man stood commanding what is known to be right and forbidding what is recognised as wrong and was killed.' It is said that 'Umar heard Ibn 'Abbās say, 'Two men fought,' when the reciter recited this ayah. He asked him about what he had said and he explained it to him in this way. 'Umar said to him, 'May Allah bless you, Ibn 'Abbās!'

It is also said that it was revealed about someone who leaps into the fight. Hisham ibn 'Āmir attacked the ranks at Constantinople and fought until he was slain and Abū Hurayrah recited: 'And among the people there are some who give up everything, desiring the good pleasure of Allah.' Something similar is related from Abū Ayyūb.

It is also said that it was revealed about those martyred in the Raji' expedition. Qatadah said that it refers to the Muhājjirūn and Anșār. It is said that it was revealed about 'Alī when the Prophet left him on his bed on the night he went out to the cave as we will deal with in Sūrat at-Tawbah. It is said that the ayah is general and applies to everyone striving in the way of martyrdom for Allah's sake or changing something wrong. We have already mentioned the ruling on someone who attacks the ranks of the enemy. The rulings of someone who changes something wrong will come in Āl 'Imrān.

'Yashri' means 'to sell'. 'Selling the self' means to expend it in obeying Allah's commands. The verb can also mean 'to purchase'. We see this interpretation of the ayah in the story of Šuhayb because he purchased himself with his property and did not sell it, unless it is said that Šuhayb offering to fight them was selling himself to Allah, in which case the word bears its normal meaning.

208 You who believe! enter Islam totally. Do not follow in the footsteps of Shayṭān. He is an outright enemy to
You who believe! enter Islam totally.

After Allah made it clear that people are either believers, unbelievers or hypocrites, He says, “Follow only one religion and agree on Islam and remain firm in it.” Here the word salm means Islam as Mujāhid said and as is related from Ibn ‘Abbās. Part of that is seen in the words of the Kindī poet:

I called my tribe to Islam (salm) when I saw them turn their backs on us.

This is calling them to Islam when Kindah apostatised after the death of the Prophet under al-Ash‘ath ibn Qays al-Kindī. Another reason that it cannot mean ‘truce or a peace treaty’ here is because the Muslims were never commanded to enter into a truce in this way. Rather the Prophet was told to incline to peace if the enemy inclined to it, but not to initiate it. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said that. It is said that Allah is here commanding those who articulate belief with their tongues to enter into it with their hearts as well. Ţāwus and Mujāhid said that it means, ‘Enter under the authority of the dīn.’ Sufyān ath-Thawrī says that it refers to all types of piety.

It is read both as salm (Warsh) and silm (Ḥafṣ) and they mean the same. This is the position of most of the Basrans and they use both to signify Islam and to signify ‘truce’. Abū ‘Amr ibn al-‘Alā’ distinguished between them and here he recited ‘as-silm’ meaning Islam, and recited ‘as-salm’ in al-Anfāl and Muḥammad where he said that it means ‘truce’. Al-Mubarrad denied this difference. ‘Āṣim al-Jahdarī said that silm is Islam, salm is truce and salam is submission. Muḥammad ibn Yazīd disliked this distinction and said, ‘Language is not defined like this. Language is defined by oral transmission, not on the basis of analogy. Someone who makes a distinction like this requires some evidence.’ The Basrans said that silm, salm and salam are employed with the same meaning. Al-Jawharī said that silm and salm mean truce, derived from the root meaning of submission. Aṭ-
Ṭabarī says that the word means Islam.

Regarding this āyah, Ḥudhayfah ibn al-Yamān said, ‘Islam is divided into eight parts. The prayer is one part, zakat is one part, fasting is one part, ḥajj is one part, ‘umrah is one part, jihād is one part, commanding what is known to be right is one part, and forbidding what is recognised as wrong is one part. Disappointed is he who has no part of Islam.’

Ibn Abbas said that it was revealed about the People of the Book and the meaning is: ‘You who believe in Mūsā and ‘Īsā, enter into Islam totally through Muḥammad ﷺ.’ It is reported in Sahīḥ Muslim from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘By the One who has the soul of Muḥammad in His hand, any Jew or Christian who hears this and then dies without believing in what I was sent with will be one of the people of the Fire.’

‘Kāffatan’ means ‘totally’ and it is an adverb modifying ‘Islam’ or ‘believers’. It is derived from the expression, ‘kafaftu’ meaning ‘I prevented’. So none of you should be prevented from entering Islam. Kaff is stoppage. The hem (kuffah) of a sleeve stops it from unravelling just as the scale (kiffah) of a balance collects what is weighed and keeps it from spreading. The hand (kaff) of a person is that which collects the things that benefit and harm him. The eyes of a blind (makfūf) man are prevented from seeing. The communal group is called kāffah because it prevents separation.

Do not follow in the footsteps of Shayṭān.

Muqātil said, “Abdullāh ibn Salām and his people asked for permission to recite the Torah in the prayer and to do some of what is in the Torah and this was revealed. It means: “It is better to follow the Sunnah now that Muhammad ﷺ has been sent than to follow the footsteps of Shayṭān.”’ It is also said that it means: ‘Do not follow the path that Shayṭān calls you to.’
If you backslide after the Clear Signs have come to you, know that Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.

If you backslide

In other words, if you turn back from the path of righteousness. The root of ‘zalal’ (backslide) relates to the foot slipping and so it is used metaphorically for reverting from beliefs, opinions and other such things.

after the Clear Signs have come to you,

This may refer to the miracles of the Prophet ﷺ or to the āyahs of the Qur’an if it is addressed to the believers. If it is addressed to the people of the Book, the Clear Signs are what came in their Books telling them about Muhammad ﷺ. The āyah contains evidence that the punishment of a man of knowledge for a wrong action is greater than the punishment of an ignorant person and is also evidence that someone who has not heard the call of Islam is not an unbeliever by the fact of not observing its laws. An-Naqqāsh related that when Ka‘b al-Aḥbar became Muslim, he used to study the Qur’an. The one who was teaching him recited to him: ‘Know that Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Forbearing.’ Ka‘b said, ‘I do not think that that is how it is.’ A man passed by them and Ka‘b asked, ‘How do you recite this āyah?’ The man answered, ‘Know that Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.’ Ka‘b said, ‘That is correct.’ The Name ‘Azīz signifies the One Who cannot be stopped from doing anything He wills, and the Name Ḥakīm signifies the One Who is wise in what He does.

What are they waiting for but for Allah to come to them in the shadows of the clouds, together with the angels, in which case the matter will have been settled?
All matters return to Allah.

What are they waiting for but for Allah to come to them in the shadows of the clouds

This is referring to those who do not enter into Islam and implies obstinacy on their part. Qatādah, Abū Ja‘far Yazīd ibn al-Qa‘qā‘ and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk recited ‘ẓilāl’ instead of ‘ẓulal’. Abū Ja‘far recited ‘angels’ in the genitive, as being in apposition to ‘clouds’. It implies ‘with the angels’. This is an Arabic usage. Ẓulal is the plural of ẓullah. Al-Akhfash says that if it is in the genitive, it means ‘in the angels’. He says that the nominative reading is better as is evidenced in other āyahs like 6:158 and 89:22. The reading of ‘Abdullāh has: ‘What are they waiting for but for Allah and the angels to come to them’. Qatādah says that it refers to the angels coming to take their souls. It is also said to refer to the angels coming to take their souls. It is said to refer to the Day of Rising, and that is more likely.

Abu-l-‘Āliyah and ar-Rabī‘ said that the angels will come to them in the shadows of the clouds and Allah will come to them in whatever way He wishes. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘It implies “in the shadows of the clouds and among the angels”.’ It is said that the words should not be taken literally in respect of Allah. It means for the command and judgment of Allah to come to them. It is said that it means that the reckoning and punishment that Allah has promised them is lying in wait for them in the shadows, as we find in the āyah: ‘Then Allah came upon them from where they least expected it.’ (59:2) This means that He will cause them disappointment. This is what az-Zajjāj said. The first is the view of al-Akhfash. It is also possible that what is being referred to is the repayment they will receive for their wrong actions and that this particular usage is employed to intensify the threat and to convey alarm. This is also the case elsewhere in the story of the approach of Nebuchadnezzar (16:26).

The word ‘coming’ can entail all these ideas because its root linguistically means to aim for something and so the āyah means: ‘What are they waiting for but for Allah to show them something by
means of one of His created forms, with the aim of repaying them and judging their affairs?"

Allah also has the capability to originate an event to which He refers by the words ‘coming’ or ‘settling’. So here He can originate an action which He calls ‘coming’. But His actions are without any cause or instrument. Glory be to Him! As transmitted by Abū Ṣāliḥ, Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘This is part of what is unknowable and should not be explained.’ So some people were silent about its interpretation and others interpreted it as we have mentioned. It is said that ‘fī’ (in) here means ‘bā’ (through), so He comes to them through clouds. There is also the hadīth which states: ‘Allah will come to them in a form…’, meaning a form which is to test them. It is not permitted to take this and similar things which come in the Qur’ān and in reports as meaning movement in a place, movement in general, or disappearance, because such things are attributes of physical bodies. Allah is greatly exalted and elevated, the Master of Majesty and Nobility. He is far beyond being anything like physical bodies.

The word for ‘clouds’ (ghamām) in this āyāh means ‘thin fine white clouds’. They are called that because they cover, for the verb ghamma means ‘to cover’. in which case the matter will have been settled

Ma‘ādh ibn Jabal recited, ‘qadā‘u-l-amr’. Yaḥyā ibn Ya‘mar recited ‘quḍiyyy ‘l-umūr’ in the plural. Most have: ‘quḍiya-l-amr’. It means that the matter being settled is a reference to the repayment and the punishment of the people of disobedience. Although most readings have ‘turja‘u-l-umūr’ (‘All matters return to Allah’), Ibn ‘Āmir, Ḥamzah and al-Kisāʿī have ‘tarji‘u-l-umūr’. Both readings are good and have the same meaning. All affairs return to Allah, before and after. He calls attention to remembering that on the Day of Rising things that belonged to the kings of this world will disappear.
211 Ask the tribe of Israel how many Clear Signs We gave to them. If anyone alters Allah’s blessing after it has come to him, Allah is fierce in retribution.

Ask the tribe of Israel how many Clear Signs We gave to them.

What is being referred to in this āyah is the great number of signs which came to them making the coming Prophethood of Muḥammad ﷺ clear to them and directing them to him. Mujāhid, al-Ḥasan and others said that it refers to the miraculous signs which Mūsā manifested such as the splitting of the sea, the shading by the clouds, his staff turning into a snake, his hand turning white and other things. The command present in the āyah is to His Prophet to ask them by way of rebuking them.

If anyone alters Allah’s blessing after it has come to him,

This is a general expression applying to everyone, even if it is the tribe of Israel who are mentioned in this instance. They changed things in their Books and denied the Prophethood of Muhammad ﷺ. But the expression applies to all those who alter the blessing of Allah. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said that the blessing here is Islam and this is close to the first statement. The expression also includes the unbelievers of Quraysh since the fact that Muḥammad ﷺ was sent to them was a blessing to them and instead of being thankful they rejected him.

Allah is fierce in retribution.

This is a threat. ‘Iqāb (retribution) is derived from ‘aqīb which means the last part or heel of something and so it is as if the one being punished has retribution following at his heels. Also from the same root is ‘uqbah, used for the ‘turn of the person riding’ (when people take it in tums to ride an animal) and someone’s turn to get water (when a water source is being shared). ‘Iqāb and ‘uqūbah are punishment, because they follow after the wrong action and
punishment is a consequence of a wrong action.

212 To those who disbelieve, the life of this world is painted in glowing colours and they laugh at those who believe. But on the Day of Rising those who fear Allah will be over them. Allah provides for whomever He wills without any reckoning.

To those who disbelieve, the life of this world is painted in glowing colours.

Although no one is named, those intended here are the leaders of Quraysh. The One Who adorns it is its Creator and Originator. Shayṭān also makes it seem attractive by his whispering and causing people to err. Those who disbelieve are singled out for mention because they are totally taken in by the adornment of this world and turn to it and tum away from the Next World because of it. Allah has made what is on the earth its adornment in order to test His creatures to see who will perform the best actions. The believers who follow the norms of the Sharī'ah are not tempted by worldly adornment but it dominates the minds of the unbelievers because they do not believe in anything but it. Abū Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīq said when he was offered wealth, ‘O Allah we cannot do other than rejoice in what You have made seem attractive to us.’

and they laugh at those who believe.

This indicates the unbelievers of Quraysh. So it is as if the unbelievers of Quraysh thought highly of their state in this world and delighted in it and scoffed at the followers of Muḥammad ﷺ. Ibn Jurayj said that they scoffed at their seeking the Next World. It is said that they mocked their poverty and those who were poor among them like Bilāl, Ṣuhayb, Ibn Mas‘ūd and others.

But on the Day of Rising those who fear Allah will be over them.
Allah calls attention to the lowering of their position because of their ugly behaviour: ‘On the Day of Rising those who fear Allah will be over them.’ ‘Alī reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘If anyone demeans a believing man or woman, or disdains them on account of their poverty and lack of possessions, Allah will make him known on the Day of Rising and will disgrace him. If anyone slanders a believing man or woman or says something about them that is not true, Allah Almighty will make him stand on a hill of fire on the Day of Rising until he produces what he said about them. If someone esteems a believer, Allah will esteem and honour him more than one of the angels near to Him. There is nothing which Allah loves better than a repentant believing man or repentant believing woman. A believer is acknowledged in heaven as a man is acknowledged in this world among his family and children.’

It is said that this expression refers to their ranks because they will be in the Garden while the unbelievers are in the Fire. It is possible that ‘over’ actually does have a kind of spatial meaning since heaven is high and the Fire is the lowest of the low. It is also possible that it means that what they will have is better than what the unbelievers claim to have. Connected to that is the hadīth of Khabbāb with al-‘Āṣ ibn Wā’il. Khabbāb said, ‘Al-‘Āṣ owed me a debt and I went to ask him to settle it. He told me, “I will not settle it until you reject Muḥammad ﷺ.” I told him, “I will not reject him until you die and are resurrected.” He asked, ‘Will I be resurrected after death? Then I will pay you when I return to my wealth and children.”’

The verb for ‘laughing at’ is sakhira and the nouns for it are sukhrīyah, sukhrī and sikhrī.

Allah provides for whomever He wills without any reckoning

Aḍ-Ḍahḥāk says that this means without being subject to accountability in the Next World. It is said that it refers to those who are being victimised, meaning that Allah will provide them with a high station. The āyah calls attention to the great blessing they will
receive and says their provision is without reckoning because it will last forever and never end and so the amount of it cannot be calculated. It is said that ‘without any reckoning’ refers to Allah’s provision which He dispenses as He wishes and His bounty is beyond reckoning. What is based on a reckoning is dependent on a person’s prior actions. Allah says, ‘a recompense from your Lord, a commensurate gift.’ (78:36) Allah knows best. It is also possible that it means without the reckoning of those who receive the provision as evinced by His words: ‘He will provide from him from where he does not expect.’ (65:3)

213 Mankind was a single community. Then Allah sent out Prophets, bringing good news and giving warning, and with them He sent down the Book with truth to decide between people regarding their differences. Only those who were given it differed about it, after the Clear Signs had come to them, envying one another. Then, by His permission, Allah guided those who believed to the truth of that about which they had differed. Allah guides whoever He wills to a straight path.

**Mankind was a single community.**

This means that they had one *dīn*. Ubayy ibn Ka‘b and Ibn Zayd said that what is meant by ‘mankind’ here are all the sons of Ādam when Allah brought out their souls from the back of Ādam and they affirmed His oneness. Muhājid said that ‘mankind’ refers to Ādam alone. A singular noun can be used for a plural because he is the source of his offspring. It is said that it means Ādam and Ḥawwā’.
Ibn ‘Abbās and Qatādah said that what is meant are the generations between Ādam and Nūḥ, which were ten, who followed the truth until they differed, and then Allah sent Nūḥ and the Prophets after him. Ibn Abī Khaythamah said that the length of time which passed from the time Allah created Ādam until He sent Muḥammad is fifteen thousand eight hundred years. It is said that it is more than that. There were twelve hundred years between him and Nūḥ and Ādam lived to the age of nine hundred and sixty. People in his time had one religion and held to the dīn and the angels shook hands with them. They remained like that until Idrīs was taken up and then they differed.

This is unclear because it is known that Idrīs came after Nūḥ. Some people, including al-Wāqidī and al-Kalbī, say that what is meant is Nūḥ and those with him in the Ark. They were Muslims and then after the death of Nūḥ they differed. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘They were one community in disbelief, meaning in the time of Nūḥ until Allah sent Nūḥ.’ He also said, ‘People in the time of Ibrāhīm were one community: all unbelievers. Ibrāhīm was born in a time of ignorance and then Allah sent Ibrāhīm and other Prophets.’

According to these statements, the verb ‘kāna’ (was) actually means the past. So mankind were believers for the time mentioned and then they disagreed and then the Prophets came. This indicates an elision. ‘Only those who were given it differed about it’ means that people based themselves on the truth and followed it, and then they differed and so Allah sent the Prophets to give good news to those who obey and warn those who disobey. Prophets were sent to all of those whom Allah decreed would become unbelievers. But it is also possible that kāna has a continuous meaning and that what is meant is to inform us that mankind as a species would all be one community in respect of their failure to follow Allah’s laws and their ignorance of the truth if it were not for Allah’s grace to them and His favour in granting them Messengers. In this case kāna does not
actually refer to the past.

The word ‘ummah’ (community) is taken from amma, meaning to aim for. So an ummah has one focus. One person can be called an ummah, when what is meant is that his aim is not the same as that of other people. An example of that is what the Prophet ﷺ said about Quss ibn Sā‘idah, ‘He will be gathered on the Day of Rising as a whole ummah.’ That was also said about Zayd ibn ‘Amr ibn Nufayl. Umma also means ‘stature because it is as if it is the aim of the entire body. Imma means blessing because people aim for it. Imām is used because people aim in the same direction as him. An-Naḥḥās said that.

Then Allah sent out Prophets

There were twenty-four thousand Prophets and three hundred and thirteen of them were Messengers. There are eighteen mentioned by name in the Qur’an. The first Messenger was Ādam as we find in the hadīth of Abū Dharr, which was transmitted by al-Ājurri and Abū Ḥātim al-Bustī. It is also said that it was Nūḥ going by the hadīth of intercession where people say to him, ‘You are the first of the Messengers.’ It is also said that the first was Idrīs. This will be dealt with in Sūrat al-A‘rāf, Allah willing.

and with them He sent down the Book with truth

Here ‘Book’ is generic and means all the Books. Aṭ-Ṭabarī says that it means the Torah.

to decide between people regarding their differences.

Most scholars say that it is ‘the Book’ which is the deciding factor since every Prophet judges according to his Book. When he judges by the Book it is as if the Book itself does the judging. Āṣim al-Jaḥdarī recites it as ‘li-yuḥkama’ in the passive. That is an aberrant reading because ‘Book’ was already mentioned. It is said that the meaning is that Allah judges.

Only those who were given it differed about it,

The ‘it’ can also be understood as ‘him’ in which case it refers to
the one it has been revealed to, meaning Muḥammad ﷺ. Az-Zajjāj said that. They disagreed about the Prophet ﷺ only after they were given knowledge of him.

**envying one another.**

Their failure to accept him was only due to envy. This calls attention to the foolishness of what they did and its ugliness.

**Then, by His permission, Allah guided those who believed**

Allah guided the community of Muḥammad ﷺ to the truth since He clarified the matters about which those before them had disagreed. One group says that it means that the previous communities denied each other’s Books and so Allah guided the community of Muḥammad ﷺ to what was true in all of them. Another group says that Allah guided the believers to the truth regarding the disagreements of the People of the Book about whether Ibrāhīm was a Jew or a Christian. Ibn Zayd and Zayd ibn Aslam said that it is about their *qiblah*: the Jews faced Jerusalem and the Christians the east. Or it may be about the Day of Jumu‘ah. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘This is the day about which they differed and Allah guided us to it. The Jews have tomorrow and the Christians the day after it.’ It is also said to be about fasting or about all their differences. Ibn Zayd said, ‘They disagree about Jesus; the Jews say he was a fraud and the Christians say he was a Lord. So Allah guided the believers to consider him to be a slave of Allah.’

Al-Farrā’ said that there is an inversion here, and that is what aṭ-Ṭabarī prefers. He said that the meaning is: ‘So Allah guided those who believe to the truth regarding that about which they differed.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that he claimed this implication lest the words give rise to the impression that they disagreed about the truth and so Allah guided the believers to part of that about which they disagreed which may not have actually been the truth. Aṭ-Ṭabarī inclined to this in what he recounted from al-Farrā’’. Claiming inversion in the Qur’an without necessity could lead to having a bad opinion. The
words should be taken as they are because the word ‘guided’ implies that they got the truth. The words conclude at ‘fihi’. What is made clear by Allah’s words ‘to the truth’ is that it was a matter about which there was disagreement. Al-Mahdawī said that the idea of disagreement is mentioned before mentioning the truth out of concern for it, since what one is concerned with is the mention disagreement. Ibn ‘Atiyyah said that he does not consider this to be strong.

The words ‘by His permission’ mean ‘by His knowledge’ according to az-Zajjāj. An-Naḥḥās says that this is not correct and that it means ‘by His command’. When He gives permission for something, it is commanded. Allah guided those who believe by commanding them to do what they were obliged to do.

**Allah guides whomever He wills to a straight path.**

This is another refutation of the rationalist Mu‘tazilite position that maintains that the human being is his own guide.

\[
\text{أَوْلَٰئِكَ هُمُ الَّذِينَ يَتَسَاءَلُونَ عَلَى الْكَلِمَةِ وَيَعْلَمُونَ مَا فِي كُلِّ بَصَرٍ مِّنْ يُؤْتَاهُمُ اللَّهُ مِنْ قَبْلَهُمْ.}
\]

214 Or did you suppose that you would enter the Garden without facing the same as those who came before you? Poverty and illness afflicted them and they were shaken to the point that the Messenger and those who believed with him said, ‘When is Allah’s help coming?’ Be assured that Allah’s help is very near.

**Or did you suppose that you would enter the Garden**

Qatādah, as-Suddī and most commentators say that this āyah was revealed about the Battle of the Ditch [in 5/627] when the Muslims suffered from overtiredness, stress, heat and cold, poor food and various other difficulties. As Allah says, it was a time when: ‘your hearts rose to your throats’ (33:10). It is also said that it was revealed
about the Battle of Uḥud and is similar to what Allah says in Āl ‘Imrān: ‘Or did you reckon that you were going to enter the Garden without Allah knowing those among you who had struggled?’ (3:142) One group say that it was revealed to console the Muhājirūn when they were forced to leave their homes and wealth in the hands of the idolaters in Makkah, preferring the pleasure of Allah and His Messenger, and then the Jews showed animosity to the Prophet and some of the wealthy Madīnans concealed hypocrisy in their hearts. This was revealed to cheer the hearts of the Muhājirūn.

**without facing the same as those who came before you?**

This means that they would be tried to the same degree that those before them were tried so that they could be steadfast in the same way as those before them had been steadfast. An-Naḍr ibn Shumayl said that ‘mathal’ has an adjectival meaning and so the meaning can be: what afflicts you is the like of what afflicted those before you. This refers to trial. Wahb said ‘There were seventy dead Prophets between Makkah and Ta’īf. They died of hunger and fleas.’ This is like another āyah: ‘Do people imagine that they will be left to say, “We believe,” and will not be tested? We tested those before them.’ (29:1-3) Allah calls on them to be steadfast and promises them that they will be helped if they are, saying, ‘Allah’s help is very near.’

**Poverty and illness afflicted them and they were shaken to the point...**

The word ‘shaken’ (zulzilū) refers to great turbulence. It can be applied to both individuals and situations. The noun zalzalah means “earthquake” and its plural zalāzil is used for hardships. Az-Zajjāj said the root of the word is zalla, which is used when a thing slips from its place.

...that the Messenger and those who believed with him, said, ‘When is Allah’s help coming?’ Be assured that Allah that Allah’s help is very near.

The verb ‘said’ is recited by Nāfī‘ as ‘yaqūlu’ while the rest have
‘yaqūla’. The position of Sībuwayh is that each reading has two aspects. You say, ‘I travelled until I entered the city’ with a *fāṭḥah*, and it means that both the journey and the entry are in the past. The other aspect with a *fāṭḥah*, which is used elsewhere, is ‘I travelled to it in order to enter it.’ One of the two aspects when it is read with a *ḍammah* is: ‘I travelled and entered it,’ and both are in the past. An-Nahḥās thinks that the reading with *ḍammah* is sounder and clearer in meaning, i.e. ‘they were shaken until the Messenger said…’ because the statement is not separate from the shaking. According to Muqātil, the Messenger here is Shu‘ayb. He is al-Yasa‘. Al-Kalbī said that this is about every Messenger sent to his nation who strives in fulfilling his mission to the point that he says, ‘When is Allah’s help coming?’” It is related that ad-Ḍaḥḥāk said that it means Muḥammad ﷺ and the revelation of the āyah indicates him. Allah knows best. The other aspect with a *ḍammah*, which is used elsewhere: ‘I travelled so that I might enter it’ where the journey is in the past and the entry in the present. Mujāhid, al-A‘raj, Ibn Muḥayṣin and Shaybah preferred the *ḍammah*. Al-Ḥasan, Abū Ja‘far, Ibn Abī Isḥāq, Shibl and others recited it with a *fāṭḥah*. Makkī said that he preferred it because most reciters have that.

The question is part of the words of the Prophet ﷺ in which he asks for help to come quickly, without that expressing doubt or uncertainty about its arrival in any way. The word ‘rasūl’ (Messenger) is generic here. One group said that there is a reversal of normal order here. The implied meaning is that those who believed said, ‘When is Allah’s help coming?’ and the Messenger said, ‘Be assured that Allah’s help is very near.’ The Messenger ﷺ is mentioned first because of his high rank. The words of the believers are put first because they occurred earlier in time. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said this is an arbitrary interpretation and it is probable that the words ‘Be assured that Allah’s help is very near’ is simply a report from Allah.
215 They will ask you what they should give away. Say, ‘Any wealth you give away should go to your parents and relatives and to orphans and the very poor and travellers.’ Whatever good you do, Allah knows it.

This was revealed about ‘Amr ibn al-Jamūḥ who was a very old man. He said, ‘Messenger of Allah, I have a lot of wealth. What ṣadaqah should I give and to whom should I give it?’ and this was revealed.

It is said that the askers are the believers in general, and it means: ‘They will ask you about the ways in which to spend and whether to spend.’ As-Suddī said that this āyah was revealed before zakat became obligatory and that the imposition of zakat abrogated it. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that al-Mahdawī thinks this statement to be weak. He stated that the āyah was about the obligatory zakat. It was at a later time that parents ceased to be recipients of it. Ibn Jurayj and others said that it refers to recommended spending and that zakat is something else and so this āyah is not abrogated.

It clarifies the channels of voluntary ṣadaqah. A rich man must spend on his needy parents to the point that their standard of living is brought up to the level of his own in respect of food, clothing and other such things. Mālik said that a son is not obliged to go as far as procuring a wife for his father but he should spend on his father’s wife, whether she is his mother or not. Mālik said that he does not have to procure a wife for his father because he thinks that, in general, there is no real need for him to marry. If, however, his father does really need a wife, then he should procure one for him. As for expenses connected to worship, the son does not have to pay for his father to perform ḥajj or go on jihād but he does have to pay his zakat
al-fiṭr. The other channels – orphans, the poor and travellers – have already been discussed. This āyah is similar to His words: ‘Give relatives their due, and the poor and travellers.’ (30:38)

216 Fighting is prescribed for you even if it is hateful to you. It may be that you hate something when it is good for you and it may be that you love something when it is bad for you. Allah knows and you do not know.

**Fighting is prescribed for you**

‘Kutiba’ (prescribed) means ‘made obligatory’. This means that fighting is obligatory and refers to the obligation of jihād. Allah makes it clear that He has made the trial of fighting a means of reaching the Garden. What is meant by fighting is fighting enemies among the unbelievers. This is known from the context. The Prophet ﷺ was not given permission to fight while he was in Makkah. When he emigrated, he was given permission to fight those idolaters who fought him when Allah said: ‘Permission to fight is given to those who are fought against’ (22:39), and then he was given permission to fight idolaters in general.

There is disagreement about who is meant by the āyah. It is said that it refers to the Companions of the Prophet in particular, and so fighting with the Prophet is an obligation for each of them individually. When the Shari‘ah was established, it became a farḍ kifāyah, an obligation for the community as a whole but not for every individual in it. ‘Aṭā’ and al-Awzā‘ī stated that. Ibn Jurayj said, ‘I asked ‘Aṭā’, “Is jihād made obligatory for everyone by this āyah?” He replied, “No, it was prescribed for those people in particular.”’

The majority say that, at first, it was a kifāyah obligation, not specific to all of them, but when the Prophet ﷺ called them out, it was incumbent on them to obey him. Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab said,
‘Jihād will remain an obligation forever for every single Muslim.’ Al-Māwardī related that. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘That on which there is consensus is that jihād is a farḍ kifāyah for the entire community of Muhammad ﷺ. If some undertake it, the obligation falls from the rest, unless the enemy arrives in a Muslim land and then it is an obligation for every individual.’ This will be explained in Sūrat at-Tawbah, Allah willing. Ath-Thawrī said that jihād is voluntary. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah remarked that he thought that these words were in response to a question put by someone who had already performed jihād and he was told that it was voluntary.

**even it is hateful to you.**

It is natural to dislike it. Ibn ‘Arafah said about the word ‘kurh’ (hateful) that, when it is pronounced with a ḍammah, kurh, as it is here, it means hardship and when it is pronounced with a fatḥah, karh, it means hateful. It is also possible that kurh has the same meaning as karh. The verb is kariha. Jihād is hateful because it involves spending money, leaving one’s homeland and family, exposing one’s body to head fractures and wounds, loss of limbs and death. So people find that aspect of it hateful, not the fact that it is an obligation from Allah. ‘Ikrimah mentioned that they first hated it and then came to love it because, even though obeying the command entails hardship, the knowledge of the reward made it easy.

It is similar to the removal of things which cause people pain and which they fear, like the amputation of limbs, the extraction of teeth, venesection, cupping and other such things which people undergo to improve their health. Knowledge of the eventual benefit makes people keen to undergo these things in spite of the temporary hardship they entail. There could be no benefit greater than everlasting life in the Abode of Eternity: ‘on seats of honour in the Presence of an all-powerful King’ (54:55).

**It may be that you hate something when it is good for you and it may**
be that you love something when it is bad for you.

The word “asāʾ” (may be) can mean that it is actually the case. Al-Aṣamm said that it has the meaning of qad [indicating that something certain has taken place]. It is said that it means that it is mandatory. When the word is used by Allah, it means that that is the case everywhere in the Qur’an except 66:5. It means, in other words: ‘You do hate the hardship in jihād but it is good for you in that you conquer, have victory, take booty and are rewarded and whoever perishes dies a martyr. You do love peace and not fighting but it is evil for you since you will be overcome and abased and your authority lost.’ This is absolutely true and it happened in Andalusia when they abandoned jihād and avoided fighting and many fled. The enemy took the land and captured, killed and enslaved the Muslims. We belong to Allah and to Him we return! That was because of what they did and earned! Al-Ḥasan said that the meaning of this āyah is: ‘Do not hate vicissitudes that occur. Your success may lie in many a matter that you hate and your perdition in many a matter that you love.’ Abū Saʿīd aḍ-Ḍarīr composed:

Many a thing you fear
may bring something that pleases you.
What is loved is hidden from you
while what is hated appears openly.

217 They will ask you about the Sacred Month and fighting in it. Say, ‘Fighting in it is a serious matter; but barring access to the Way of Allah and rejecting Him
and barring access to the Masjid al-Ḥarām and expelling its people from it are far more serious in the sight of Allah. Fitnah is worse than killing.’ They will not stop fighting you until they make you revert from your dīn, if they are able. As for any of you who revert from their dīn and die unbelievers, their actions will come to nothing in this world and the Next. They are the Companions of the Fire, remaining in it timelessly, for ever. 218 Those who believe and make hijrah and do *jihād* in the Way of Allah can expect Allah’s mercy. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

They will ask you about the Sacred Month

Jarīr ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥamīd and Muḥammad ibn Fuḍayl related from ‘Aṭā’ ibn as-Sāʿīb from Saʿīd ibn Jubayr that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘I have not seen any people better than the Companions of Muhammad ﷺ. They only asked him about thirteen matters, all of which are in the Qur’an. “They will ask you about menstruation,” “they will ask you about the Sacred Month,” “they will ask you about orphans.” They only asked him about what would benefit them.’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘There are only three of the thirteen in the *ḥadīth*.’

Abū-l-Yasār reported from Jundub ibn ‘Abdullāh that the Prophet ﷺ sent out a party led by ‘Abdullāh ibn Jaḥsh and wrote a letter for him and instructed him not to read the letter until he reached a certain place. He said, ‘Do not force your companions to go on.’ When he reached the place, he read the letter and said, ‘We belong to Allah and return to Him. We hear and obey His Messenger.’ Two men returned while the rest continued. They met Ibn al-Ḥadramī and killed him, not knowing that it was the month of Rajab. The idolaters said, “You have killed in the Sacred Month!” and Allah revealed this.

It is related that the reason for its revelation was that two men of the Banū Kilāb met ‘Amr ibn Umayyah aḍ-Ḍamrī on the first day of
Rajab and he killed them. Quraysh said, ‘You killed them during the Sacred Month,’ and Allah revealed the āyah. The most commonly accepted version, however, is that of ‘Abdullāh ibn Jaḥsh. The Prophet saw him with eight or nine men in the month of Jumādā al-Ākhirah two months before the Battle of Badr. It is also said that it was in the month of Rajab. In Kitāb ad-Durar, Abū ‘Amr said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah returned from pursuing Kurz ibn Jābir, which is called the First Expedition of Badr, he stayed in Madīnah for the rest of Jumādā al-Ākhirah and Rajab. During Rajab, he sent out ‘Abdullāh ibn Jaḥsh ibn Rīāb al-Asadī with eight of the Muhājirūn: Abū Ḥudhayfah ibn ‘Utbah, ‘Ukkāshah ibn Mīḥṣan, ‘Utbah ibn Ghazwān, Suhayl ibn Bayḍā’ al-Fihrī, Sa’d ibn Abī Waqqāṣ, Ṭā’ir ibn Rabī’ah, Wāqīd ibn ‘Abdullāh at-Tamīmī, and Khālid ibn Bukayr al-Laythī. He wrote a letter to ‘Abdullāh ibn Jaḥsh and told him not to look at it until he had travelled for two days. Then he was to open it and do what he was commanded to do, but he was not force any of his companions. He was their commander.

‘Abdullāh ibn Jaḥsh did what he was commanded. When he opened the letter, he found in it: ‘When you read this letter, proceed to Nakhlah between Makkah and Ta’if. Lie in wait for Quraysh there and find out for us what they are doing.’ When he read the letter, he said, ‘We hear and obey.’ Then he informed his companions about that and the fact that he was not to force any of them to go and whoever wanted to rise and go for his sake should obey him, and if no one obeyed him, he would go alone. Anyone who desired martyrdom should go forward and anyone who disliked death should go back. They answered, ‘We want what you want. There is none of us who does not hear and obey the Messenger of Allah.’ So they went forward with him. They travelled through the Hijaz. Then the camel on which Sa’d ibn Abī Waqqāṣ and ‘Utbah ibn Ghazwān were alternating on went astray and they fell
behind to look for it. ‘Abdullāh ibn Jaḥsh and the rest of them pressed on until they stopped at Nakhlah. A caravan of Quraysh carrying raisins and other goods passed by them. The caravan included ‘Amr ibn al-Ḥaḍramī (whose name was ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Abbād, one of Ṣadif, a sub-tribe of Hadramawt), ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Abdullāh ibn al-Mughīrah and his brother, Nawfal ibn ‘Abdullāh ibn al-Mughīrah al-Makhzūmī, and al-Ḥakam ibn Kaysān, the freedman of the Banū ʾl-Mughīrah.

The Muslims held a council and said, ‘We are in the last day of the sacred month of Rajab. If we fight them, we will violate the sanctity of the sacred month. If we leave them until nightfall, they will enter the Ḥaram.’ They agreed to engage them. Wāqīd ibn ‘Abdullāh at-Tamīmī shot ‘Amr ibn al-Ḥaḍramī and killed him. ‘Uthmān and al-Ḥakam were captured and Nawfal ibn ‘Abdullāh slipped away. Then they took the caravan and the two captives. ‘Abdullāh ibn Jaḥsh said to them, ‘Leave the fifth of our booty for the Messenger of Allah ﷺ.’ They did that. It was the first khums in Islam.

Then the Qur’an was revealed: ‘Know that when you take any booty, a fifth of it belongs to Allah.’ (8:41) So Allah and His Messenger affirmed what ‘Abdullāh ibn Jaḥsh had done. Allah was pleased with it and made it a sunnah for the community until the Day of Rising. They were the first spoils to be taken in Islam and ‘Abdullāh was the first commander. ‘Amr ibn al-Ḥaḍramī was the first to be killed.

The Messenger of Allah ﷺ disapproved of killing Ibn al-Ḥaḍramī in the sacred month and the group were in despair. Then Allah revealed: ‘They will ask you about the Sacred Month and fighting in it… … remaining in it timelessly, forever.’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ accepted ransom for the two captives. ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Abdullāh died an unbeliever in Makkah. Al-Ḥakam ibn Kaysān became a Muslim and remained with the Messenger of Allah ﷺ until he was martyred at Biʿr Maʿūna. Saʿd and ‘Utbah returned to Makkah in safety.

It is said that Saʿd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ and ‘Utbah went to look for
their camel with the permission of ‘Abdullāh ibn Jaḥsh. When ‘Amr ibn al-Ḥaḍramī and his fellows saw the Companions of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, they were frightened of them. ‘Abdullāh ibn Jaḥsh said, ‘The people are alarmed by you.’ One of the men shaved his head and showed himself to them. When they saw his shaved head, they felt safe and said, ‘People performing ‘umrah. There is nothing for you to worry about.’ Then they discussed fighting them.

The Jews took this as a bad omen and said, ‘Wāqid: war is kindled (waqadat). ‘Amr: war has come to life (‘amarat). Al-Ḥaḍramī: war is present (ḥadarat).’ The Makkans sent the ransom for the captives and he ﷺ said, ‘We will not let them be ransomed until Sa’d and ‘Utbah return. If they do not return, we will kill the two of them in exchange.’ When they appeared, he let them ransom them. Al-Ḥakam became Muslim and stayed in Madīnah until he was killed as a martyr at Bi’r Ma‘ūnah. ‘Uthmān returned to Makkah and died there as an unbeliever. Nawfal struck the belly of his horse in the Battle of the Confederates in order to enter the Trench to attack the Muslims. He fell into the trench with his horse and they were both crushed. Allah killed him, and the idolaters asked to pay for his corpse. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Take it. A foul corpse, foul blood-money.’ This was the reason for the revelation of the āyah.

Ibn Isḥāq mentioned that ‘Amr ibn al-Ḥaḍramī was killed on the last day of Rajab as we already mentioned. Aṭ-Ṭabarī mentioned from as-Suddī and others that it was on the last day of Jumādā al-Ākhirah. The first is more famous, although Ibn ‘Abbās mentioned that it was on the first night of Rajab while the Muslims thought that it was still Jumādā al-Ākhirah. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘Aṣ-Ṣāḥib ibn ‘Abbād said in his Risālah known as al-Asadiyyah that ‘Abdullāh ibn Jaḥsh was called the ‘Amīr al-Mu’minīn’ at this time because he was in command of a group of Muslims.

Scholars disagree about whether the āyah was abrogated. The majority say that it was abrogated and that it is permitted to fight
the idolaters in the Sacred Months. They disagree about what abrogated it. Az-Zuhrī said that it was abrogated by: ‘fight the idolaters totally.’ (9:36) It is said that it was abrogated by the expedition of the Prophet ﷺ against Thaqīf in the Sacred month and his sending ‘Āmir against Awṭās in the Sacred Month. It is said that it was abrogated by the Pledge of Riḍwān to allow fighting in Dhu-l-Hijjah. This is weak. When the Prophet ﷺ heard that ‘Uthmān had been killed in Makkah and that they were resolved on fighting him, he accepted allegiance from the Muslims to defend themselves, not to initiate the fighting.

Al-Bayhaqī mentioned from ‘Urwah ibn az-Zubayr from other than the account of Muḥammad ibn Ishāq after the story of al-Ḥaḍramī, ‘Allah revealed the āyah: “They will ask you about the Sacred Month and fighting in it.” So Allah informed them in His Book that fighting in the Sacred Month was still as unlawful as it always had been, but what had been made lawful against the believers was far worse than that: barring access to the Way of Allah by imprisoning the Muslims, torturing them and keeping them from emigrating to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, their denial of Allah, and preventing the Muslims from reaching the Masjid al-Ḥarām for ḥajj and ʿumrah and preventing them from praying in it, expelling the people of the Masjid al-Ḥarām from it when they were its Muslim inhabitants, and trying to make them revert from their dīn. We heard that the Prophet ﷺ paid the blood money of Ibn al-Ḥaḍramī and considered the Sacred Month to be sacred as they did until Allah revealed: “Allah and His Messenger are free of them.” (9:1)

‘Aṭā’ said that the āyah is one of judgment and that it is not permitted to fight in the Sacred Months. He swore to that because the āyahs which come after it are general with respect to times while this one is specific. It is agreed that the general does not abrogate the specific. Abu-z-Zubayr related that Jābir said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ did not fight in the Sacred Months unless he was attacked.’
and fighting in it.

Sībuwayh says that ‘fighting in it’ is grammatically an inclusive substitute because the question asked includes both the months and fighting. It means that the unbelievers will ask you in wonder at the violation of the sanctity of the month and the reason they asked was because of the fighting in it. Az-Zajjāj said they ask you about fighting in the Sacred Month. Al-Qutaybī said, ‘They are asking whether it is permitted to fight in the sacred month.’

Say, ‘Fighting in it is a serious matter;’

It was objectionable because the prohibition against fighting in the Sacred Month was still in place at that time if the Muslims initiated it. The word ‘shahr’ (month) here is generic. The Arabs made it a principle that was equal to all. Blood was not shed during those months and there was no change in the Sacred Months which are Rajab, Dhu-l-Qa‘dah, Dhu-l-Ḥijjah and Muḥarram. Three are together and one is separate. This will be further explained in al-Mā‘īdah, Allah willing.

but barring access to the Way of Allah and rejecting Him

This is a greater wrong action than fighting in the Sacred Month. Al-Mubarrad and others said that and it is sound since they prevented people from doing ṭawāf of the Ka‘bah. The words “kufrun bihi” (rejecting Him) are generally taken to be referring to rejecting Allah though it is also said that they refer to the ḥajj and the Sacred Months.

and expelling its people from it are far more serious in the sight of Allah.

This means that, in the sight of Allah, these things merit a far greater punishment than fighting in the Sacred Month. Most say that the āyah means: ‘O unbelievers of Quraysh! you think that it is terrible for us to fight in the Sacred Month yet what you do to us in barring access to the Way of Allah, your rejection of Allah, and your expelling the people of the Mosque from it as you did to the
Messenger of Allah ﷺ and His Companions is a far more grievous sin in the sight of Allah.’ ‘Abdullāh ibn Jaḥsh said:

You count killing in the Sacred Month as something terrible, but far graver than it, if you are properly guided,

Is your barring them from what Muḥammad says and disbelief in it which Allah sees and witnesses,

And your expelling its people from the mosque of Allah So that none is seen prostrating to Allah in His House. If you criticise us for killing him, oppressors and enviers are more perilous to Islam.

Our spears drank the blood of Ibn al-Ḥaḍramī at Nakhlah when Wāqid lit the flames of war.

‘Uthmān ibn ‘Abdullāh is with us: a bloody leather collar restrains him.

Az-Zuhrī, Mujāhid and others said that ‘Fighting in it is a serious matter’ is abrogated by ‘…fight the idolaters totally.’ (9:36) and ‘…fight the idolaters.’ (9:5) ‘Aṭā’ said that it is not abrogated and that there should not be fighting in the Sacred Months as we already mentioned.

**Fitnah is worse than killing.**

Mujāhid and others said that fitnah in this instance means disbelief so their disbelief is worse than our killing them. Most say that fitnah here means their tempting the Muslims away from their dīn so that they would be destroyed. That is a worse crime than killing people in the Sacred Month.

They will not stop fighting you until they make you revert from your dīn,

This warns the believers of the nature of the evil of the unbelievers. Mujāhid said that it is the unbelievers of Quraysh who are meant here.

As for any of you who revert from their dīn and die unbelievers, their actions will come to nothing in this world and the Next.
So if anyone apostasises and returns to disbelief their actions are nullified and useless. The root of the word for “come to nothing” is ḥabat, which is a word denoting what happens to livestock when they eat too much herbage and their bellies swell. This sometimes causes them to die. This is a threat to the Muslims, warning them to stay firm in Islam.

Scholars disagree about whether or not apostates are asked to repent. One group say that they are asked to repent and, if they do not, they are killed. Some say they are given an hour and others a month. Others say that they are asked to repent three times. That is related from ‘Umar and ‘Uthmān and that is the view of Mālik. Ibn al-Qāsim related it from him. Al-Ḥasan said they are asked a hundred times. It is also said that they are killed without being asked to repent. Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that in one of his two views and it is one of the positions of Ṭāwūs and ‘Ubayd ibn ‘Umayr. Saḥnūn mentioned that ‘Abd al-‘Azīz ibn Abī Salmah al-Mājishūn said that apostates are killed without being asked to repent. He argues that based on the ḥadīth of Mu‘ādh and Abū Mūsā. It says: ‘When the Prophet sent Abū Mūsā to Yemen, he sent Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal after him. When he came to him, he dismounted and Abū Mūsā gave him a cushion. There was a man in shackles beside him. Mu‘ādh asked, “Who is this?” He replied, “He is a Jew who became Muslim and reverted and returned to Judaism.” He stated, “I will not sit down until he is killed. This is the judgment of Allah and His Messenger.” “Sit,” Abū Mūsā said. He repeated, “No, I will not sit down until he is killed. This is the judgment of Allah and His Messenger.” He said it three times and he commanded that he be killed.’ Muslim and others transmitted it.

Abū Yūṣuf mentioned from Abū Ḥanīfah that an apostate is offered Islam. If he does not accept Islam, he is killed immediately unless he requests a delay. If he requests a delay, he is given three days. What is well known from him and his people is that an
apostate is not killed without being asked to repent. He considered
an apostate and zīndīq to be the same in that respect. Mālik,
however, said that zīndīqs are killed without being asked to repent.
This was mentioned at the beginning of al-Baqarah.

They disagree about someone who goes from one form of unbelief
to another. Mālik and most fuqahā’ say that he is not interfered with
because he has moved to what would have originally be confirmed
for him. Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam related from ash-Shāfi‘ī that he is killed
based on the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘If someone changes his
religion, kill him,’ without specifying Muslims rather than unbelievers.
Mālik said that the ḥadīth means someone who leaves
Islam for unbelief and that those who leave unbelief for unbelief are
not meant by the ḥadīth. That is the view of a large group of fuqahā’.
What is well known from ash-Shāfi‘ī is what al-Muzanī and ar-Rabī‘
mentioned about one of the people of the dhimmah changing his
religion: the ruler sends him to the Abode of War and expels him
from his territory; his property is fair game along with the rest of the
property of the people of war if their territory is conquered, because
he was given his dhimmī status based on the religion he was following
at the time of the contract.

They disagree about female apostates. Mālik, al-Awzā‘ī, ash-Shāfi‘ī
and al-Layth ibn Sa‘d said that a female apostate is killed just as a
male apostate is killed, based on the literal words of the ḥadīth: ‘If
someone changes his religion, kill him.’ ‘Someone’ can be male or
female. Ath-Thawrī and Abū Ḥanīfah and his people said that a
woman is not killed. That is the position of Ibn Shubrumah and it
was what Ibn ‘Ulayyah believed. It is also the position of ‘Aṭā’ and
al-Ḥasan. Their argument is that Ibn ‘Abbās related that ḥadīth from
the Prophet ﷺ and then said, ‘A female apostate is not killed.’ The
one who related the ḥadīth has a better knowledge of its
interpretation. Something similar is related from ‘Alī. The Prophet ﷺ
forbade killing women and children. The people with the first
view argue by the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘The life of a Muslim is only lawful by one of three things: unbelief after belief…’ Therefore it is general to those who disbelieve after having believed. It is sounder.

There is also discussion about whether people’s actions are considered to have been invalidated by apostasy or not if they later return to the dīn. Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that an apostate who returns to Islam does not have his actions or his ḥajj invalidated. His actions come to nothing if he dies an apostate. Mālik says that they are invalidated by apostasy. There is disagreement about a Muslim’s ḥajj when he apostatises and then returns to Islam. Mālik said that he must perform ḥajj again because the first was cancelled by apostasy. Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that he does not have to repeat it because the action remains. Our scholars cite as evidence Allah’s words: ‘If you associate others with Allah, your actions will come to nothing.’ (39:65) It is addressed to the Prophet ﷺ while his community is meant because apostasy is legally impossible for him. The people of ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘It is addressed to the Prophet ﷺ to make it more severe for the community. It is clear that, in spite of his noble position, had the Prophet ﷺ committed shirk, his actions would have come to nothing, so what then is the case with you! But, of course, he did not do so because of his excellent rank as Allah says: “Wives of the Prophet! if any of you commits an obvious act of indecency she will receive double the punishment.”’ (33:30) That is because of the nobility of their status. It is not conceivable that any of them would violate the honour of their noble, esteemed husband.’

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Our scholars say that Allah mentioned compliance here as a precondition because it is connected to eternity in the Fire as a repayment. So if someone complies with unbelief, Allah will put him in the Fire forever according to this āyah. If someone commits shirk, Allah will cancel his deeds based on the other āyah. So these are two useful āyahs with two meanings and two
different wisdoms. That which was addressed to the Prophet ﷺ was meant for his community to confirm his special status. What was said about his wives was said to explain that, had it been conceivable, the one who did so would be violating the sanctity of the dīn as well as the sanctity of the Prophet ﷺ. There would be a punishment for each violation of sanctity. That is in the position of someone who disobeys Allah in the Sacred Month, the Sacred Land or the Masjid al-Ḥarām. The punishment is multiplied because of multiple violations. Allah knows best.’

Another question is whether apostates are inherited from and there is disagreement about that. ‘Ālî ibn Abī Ṭālib, al-Ḥasan, ash-Sha‘bî, al-Ḥakam, al-Layth, Abū Ḥanîfah and Ishāq ibn Râhawayh said that their property reverts to their Muslim heirs. Malik, Rabî‘ah, Ibn Abî Laylâ, ash-Shâfi‘î and Abû Thawr said that their property goes to the treasury. Ibn Shubrumah, Abū Yūsuf, Muḥammad and al-Awzâ‘î, in one of two transmissions, say that what an apostate earned after his apostasy goes to his Muslim heirs. Abû Ḥanîfah said that whatever an apostate earns while an apostate is spoils, but what he earned while a Muslim before his apostasy is inherited by his Muslim heirs. Ibn Shubrumah, Abû Yūsuf, and Muḥammad do not make a distinction between the two. The general nature of the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘There is no inheritance between the people of two religions’, indicate that their position is false. They agree that someone’s unbelieving heirs do not inherit from him with the exception of ʿUmar ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzîz who says that they do inherit.

Those who believe and make hijrah

Jundub ibn ʿAbdullâh, ʿUrwh ibn az-Zubâyrr and others said that when Wâqîd ibn ʿAbdullâh at-Tamîmî killed ʿAmr ibn al-Ḥadramî in the sacred month, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ hesitated to take the khums, which was his rightful share of the booty, from ʿAbdullâh ibn Jaḥsh and the captives. The Muslims rebuked ʿAbdullâh ibn Jaḥsh and his companions until it became hard for them and then Allah
revealed this āyah about the Sacred Month, relieved them, and informed them that they had the reward of those who emigrated and fought. They are indicated in His words: “Those who believe…” and that quality remains for those who do what Allah mentioned. It was said that if they did not have a burden, they would not have a reward, and so Allah revealed this āyah.

To make hijrah means to move from one place to another, and the aim is to leave one out of preference for the other. Hajr means separation, the opposite of connection. A muhājir is someone who emigrates from one land to another, leaving the first for the second. Tahājur is mutual severance. Some say that muhājarah is to move from the desert to the city. This is weak because that was normal among the Arabs and also because the people of Makkah would, in that case, not be Muhājirūn.

and do jihād in the Way of Allah can expect Allah’s mercy

‘Jāhada’ is Form III of the verb, which means to strive by exerting effort and to struggle (jahd, mujahadah, jihād). Ijtihād and tahājud is expending effort and exertion). Jahād means ‘hard land’. The word ‘expect’ implies hope and also trying to bring near. It is said that this is praise of them because no one in this world knows if he will go to the Garden, no matter how many good actions he does. There are two reasons for this. The first is that he does not know what his seal will be and the second is that he should not rely on his actions. Hope delights and hope is always accompanied by fear and fear is always accompanied by hope. Hope derives from a desire for a good outcome.

219 They will ask you about alcoholic drinks and gambling. Say, ‘There is great wrong in both of them
and also certain benefits for mankind. But the wrong in them is greater than the benefit.’ They will ask you what they should give away. Say, ‘Whatever is surplus to your needs.’ In this way Allah makes the Signs clear to you, so that hopefully you will reflect.

They will ask you about alcoholic drinks and gambling

Those who ask are the believers. The word for ‘alcoholic drinks’ (khamr) comes from khamara, to cover, and the khimār (veil) of a woman comes from the same root. The verb is used for anything that covers something, as in the expression, ‘Cover your vessels.’ So wine covers the intellect and obscures it. Khamar is also used for a covert of trees because it conceals what is under it, so that wolves and other animals are able to conceal themselves there. It is also used for hiding oneself in a crowd when a person is in a situation that causes him to feel alarm. Khumār describes disappearing into a crowd of people so that one is hidden. It is said that it is called khamr because it obscures the mind. It is also said that it is called that because it is left until it becomes intoxicating as Form VIII of the verb is used for dough rising. It is also used to describe having a concealed suspicion until the matter is made clear. It is said that wine is called khamr because it muddles the intellect. Mukhāmirah is become mixed and muddled. The meanings are close together, but the true linguistic root means covering.

The word khamr is generally used for the juice of grapes which has become fermented. However any other substance which intoxicates the mind carries the same ruling, just as there is a consensus among scholars that all types of gambling are unlawful even though only maysir is mentioned here. All other kinds of types of gambling follow the same ruling by analogy. Maysir is, in fact, the act of drawing arrows to determine the distribution of meat. By the same process every alcoholic drink that intoxicates like wine falls under the same ruling.
The majority of the Community believe that when a lot of something intoxicates, then drinking either a little or a lot of it is unlawful and the ḥadd punishment is obliged for doing it. Abū Ḥanīfah, ath-Thawrī, Ibn Abī Laylā, Ibn Shubrumah and a group of the scholars of Kūfah say that when a lot of something, other than actual wine made from grapes, intoxicates, it is lawful and if someone becomes intoxicated by it without intending to then the ḥadd punishment is not applicable. This is weak and refuted both by logic and by reports as will come in the commentary about it in Sūrat al-Mā‘idah and Sūrat an-Naḥl, Allah willing.

Some commentators say that there is no honour or kindness which Allah failed to bestow on this Community. Part of His generosity and kindness was that He did not impose laws on them all at once, but little by little. This was the case with the prohibition of wine. This is the first āyah revealed about it, and then after it comes 4:43, then 5:91 and finally 5:90 as will be explained in those places, Allah willing.

The ‘gambling’ (maysir) referred to here, as mentioned above, is a particular type of gambling which the Arabs did with arrows. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘In the Jāhiliyyah, a man would stake his wealth and family with another man and then this āyah was revealed.’ Mujāhid, Muḥammad ibn Sīrīn, al-Ḥasan Ibn al-Musayyab, ‘Aṭā’, Mu‘āwiyyah ibn Şāliḥ, Ṭāwūs, ‘Alī and Ibn ‘Abbās say that everything in which there is an element of gambling, such as backgammon and chess, is considered to be maysir, even children playing with walnuts and dice. An exception is made in the case of permitted wagers in respect of horses and drawing lots to sort out rights. Mālik said that there are two types of maysir: one constitutes simple amusement and the other is gambling. The kind which constitutes amusement includes chess, backgammon and other games. Gambling is when people bet against one another. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said that chess is the maysir of the non-Arabs. According to Mālik and other scholars any form of
gambling is *maysir*. This will be further clarified in *Sūrat Yūnus*.

The word *maysir* is derived from *yasar*, which is when something is imposed on a person. *Yāsir* is the person who casts the divining arrows. Al-Azharī said that *maysir* is the slaughtered camel for which they are betting. It is called *maysir* because it is divided into parts and the *yāsir* is the slaughterer because he divides the meat into portions. He said that this is the original root of *yāsir* and then it was used for those who cast arrows and gamble for animals. The *yāsirūn* are slaughterers because the *maysir* is the reason for the slaughtering taking place. The verb *yasara* is used for the people who slaughter the camel and divide up its joints.

Mālik related in the *Muwaṭṭāʾ* that Dāwud ibn Ḥuṣayn heard Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab say, ‘One form of the gambling of the people of Jāhiliyyah was bartering live animals for slaughtered meat, for instance, one live sheep for two slaughtered sheep.’ This is applied by Mālik and most of his people to the same category, i.e. selling an animal in exchange for meat of the same type. He considered it part of *muzābanah*, chance and gambling because it is not known whether the live animal will have the same amount of meat or not. It is not permitted to sell meat for meat when there is a disparity, and selling a live animal for meat is like selling meat for other meat of the same kind which is still in its skin. He considers one category to be camels, cattle, sheep, gazelles, mountain goats and other wild beasts. He considers all four-legged edible animals to be a single category and does not permit selling any animal of this category for the meat of another of the same category in any manner whatsoever because he considers it to be *muzābanah*: like selling raisins for grapes, olives for olive oil, sesame oil for sesame seeds, and the like. He also considers birds to all be a single category and fish to be a single category as well.

Ash-Shāfiʿī and his people and al-Layth ibn Saʿd said that it is not permitted to sell meat for the animal in any case whatsoever,
whether they are the same category or two different categories because the ḥadīth is general. It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās that a camel was slaughtered in the time of Abū Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīq and divided into ten parts. A man said, ‘Give me a portion in exchange for a sheep.’ Abū Bakr, ‘This is not correct.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘I do not know that any of the Companions disagreed with Abū Bakr about that.’ Abū ‘Umar said that it was related that Ibn ‘Abbās permitted selling sheep for meat, but it is not a strong transmission. ‘Abd ar-Razzāq related from ath-Thawrī from Yaḥyā ibn Sa‘īd that Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab disliked selling something living for something dead, meaning a slaughtered sheep for a standing one. Sufyān said, ‘We do not see any harm in it.’ Al-Muzanī said, ‘If the ḥadīth about selling a live animal for meat is not sound, then by analogy it is permitted. If it is sound, the analogy is false and the tradition should be followed.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘The Kufans have many proofs about permitting selling meat for an animal based on analogy and reflection. However, if the tradition is sound, analogy and investigation are false.’

Mālik related from Zayd ibn Aslam from Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ forbade selling an animal for meat. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘I do not know that its transmission is directly connected to the Prophet ﷺ by a sound path. The best of its isnāds are mursal from Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab according to what Mālik mentioned in the Muwaṭṭā’. That is what ash-Shāfi‘ī believed. His fundamental principle is that he does not accept mursal ḥadīths although he claimed that he dismissed the mursal ḥadīths of Sa‘īd and then found them – or most of them – to be sound. Therefore he disliked selling categories of animals for categories of meat based on the literal and undefined words of the ḥadīth because there is no report that makes it specific and there is also no consensus about it. He does not permit a text to be made specific through analogy. He believes that ‘animals’ includes all living creatures on land or in
water, even if they are different species, just as ‘food’ is a noun for all that is eaten or drunk.

**Say, ‘There is great wrong in both of them’**

‘Both of them’ refers to wine and gambling. The wrong in wine is the evil which issues from the drinker: quarrelling, cursing, foul language, lies, loss of the intellect and, therefore, of the obligations owed to the Creator, the invalidation of the prayer, turning from remembering Allah and other such things. In an-Nasā‘ī, ‘Uthmān is reported as saying, ‘Avoid wine. It is the mother of all foul things. There was a man before you who used to worship Allah. Then a seductive woman became attached to him and sent her female servant to him to invite him to act as a witness. He went with her servant and whenever they went through a door she locked it behind them until he reached a beautiful woman who had with her a slave-boy and a vessel of wine. She said, “By Allah, I did not invite you to act as a witness! I invite you to have sex with me, drink a cup of this wine or kill the boy.” He said, “Let me drink a cup of this wine.” So she gave him a cup to drink and he said, “Give me more.” He did not stop until he had sex with her and took a life. Therefore avoid wine. By Allah, Allah does not combine faith and inveterate drinking without one being on the point of expelling the other.’ Abū ‘Umar mentioned it in *al-Isti‘āb*.

It is related that when al-A‘shā went to Madina to become Muslim, some idolaters met him on the road and asked him, ‘Where are you going?’ He said that he was going to Muḥammad ﷺ. They said, ‘Do not go to him. He will command you to pray.’ He replied, ‘It is obligatory to worship the Lord.’ They said, ‘He will command you to give wealth to the poor.’ He answered, ‘Giving charity is also obligatory.’ He was told, ‘He forbids fornication.’ He replied, ‘It is foul and ugly and, in any case, I have become old and have no need of it.’ He was then told, ‘He forbids drinking wine.’ He said, ‘This is something I cannot endure!’ So he went back. He said, ‘I will drink
wine for a year and then go back to him.’ Before reaching his house, he fell from his camel, broke his neck and died.

Qays ibn ‘Āṣim al-Minqarī used to drink in the Jāhiliyyah and then forbade it to himself. The reason for that is that while he was drunk he insulted his parents and saw the moon and said something. He gave the wine-merchant a great deal of his money. When he recovered, he was told what he had done and forbade himself wine. He said:

I thought that wine was good
while it contain things that corrupt a patient man.
No, by Allah! I will not drink it while healthy
and I will never use it to treat illness!
As long as I live, I will not pay for it
nor invite anyone to drink with me at night.
Wine disgraces the one who drinks it.
It makes those who drink do terrible things.

Abū ‘Umar said that Ibn al-A‘rābī related from al-Muťadād al-aḍ-Ḍabbī related these verses spoken Abū Mihjan ath-Thaqafī when he gave up wine:

If I die, bury me beside a vine
whose roots will give my bones a drink after my death.
Do not bury me in the desert.
I fear that when I die, I will not taste it again.

‘Umar flogged Abū Mihjan several times for drinking and exiled him to an island in the sea. Sa‘d met him and ‘Umar wrote, telling him to imprison him and he did so. He was a bold and brave warrior. Sa‘d released his chains in the Battle of al-Qādisiyyah and said, ‘We will never flog you again for drinking wine.’ Abū Mihjan said, ‘And I, by Allah, will never drink again!’ and he never drank after that. One version adds, ‘I used to drink and then the hadd punishment would be imposed on me and so I would be purified of
it. Since you have granted me immunity, by Allah, I will never drink again!’ Al-Haytham ibn ‘Adī mentioned that someone told him that he had seen the grave of Abū Mīhjan in Azerbaijan or in the region of Jurjān and the roots of three grapevines grew from it. They were tall and bore fruit on a trellis over his grave. Written on his grave was ‘This is the grave of Abū Mīhjan.’

Someone who drinks becomes a laughing-stock in the eyes of those who are sober. He may play with his urine and filth and may wipe his face with his urine while saying, ‘O Allah, make me one of the penitent! Make me one of those who purify themselves!’ One of them was seen with a dog licking his face while he was saying, ‘Make Allah honour you!’

Gambling brings about enmity and hatred because it is consuming someone’s property falsely.

**and also certain benefits for mankind.**

Wine has the benefit of producing profitable trade. They used to bring it from Syria for a cheap price and sell it in the Hijaz for a profit. They could not store it and the wine sold for a high price. This is the soundest of what is related about its benefit. It is said that it helps to digest food, strengthens the weak, increases sexual energy, makes the miser generous, the coward brave, brightens colour and gives other kinds of pleasure. Ḥassān ibn Thābit said:

We drink it and it turns us into kings and fearless lions.

Many poems have been written in praise of it.

The benefit of gambling is that by it a man can obtain something without toil or work. They used to buy a camel carcass and divide it into shares. Whoever had a share drawn took his share of the meat without paying anything, whereas the others would pay the full price and not get any of the meat. It is said that its benefit is expansion for the poor. The one who gambled did not eat any of its meat, but divided it among the poor.

There were eleven arrows in *maysir*, seven of which had shares
according to the number of portions. They are: fadhdh, which has one notch and one share, taw’am, which has two notches and two shares, raqīb, which has three notches, ḥils, which has four, nāfiz or nāfis which has five, musbil, which has six, and mu’allī, which has seven. That adds up to twenty-eight shares. The shares of the camel were like that according to al-Aṣmā’ī. There remain four arrows which are blanks with no shares or portions. They are: muṣaddar, muḍa‘īf, manīḥ and safīḥ. It is also said that there are three blanks called safīḥ, manīḥ and waghd. They added these three to increase the shares on what is allowed so that the one shuffles them would have no bias to anyone. The one who shuffles the arrows is called mujīl, mufīḍ, ḍārib or ḍarīb, the plural of which is ḍurabā’. It is said that a watcher was put behind him to ensure that there is no bias towards anyone. The shuffler kneels and wraps them with a cloth and then puts his hand in the bag and draws out the arrow. The custom of the Arabs was to use these arrows to draw lots for the poor in winter, times of scarcity and extreme cold. A camel would be purchased and the wealthy would guarantee its price and satisfy its owner. They used to boast about that and criticize those who did not do it. They called such a person ‘baram’ (niggardly). Mutammim ibn Nuwayrah said:

He was not niggardly, a man to whose wife women bring gifts, when the tent flaps in the bitter cold of winter.

Then it would be slaughtered and divided into ten parts. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘Al-Aṣmā’ī erred about the division of the camel when he mentioned it there were twenty-eight portions. That was not the case. They drew for ten portions. Whoever had his arrow come out of the bag first took his share and gave it to the poor. The ‘bag’ (rabābah) was like a quiver. The arrows were put into it. It may also the name for all the arrows. Sometimes they gambled for themselves and then the one whose arrow did not come out was liable for the whole cost as was already
stated. The poor of the time subsisted on this custom. Al-A‘shā said:

Those who feed a guest in the winter
and make the gambler give to the poor.

**But the wrong in them is greater than the benefit.’**

Allah tells us that the wrong in these things is greater than the benefit and will incur harm in the Next World and so the great wrong action is after the prohibition and the benefits before it. Ḥamzah and al-Kisā‘ī recited ‘kathīr’ (more). Their argument is that the Prophet ﷺ cursed wine and cursed ten along with it: the one who sells it, the one who buys it, the one for whom it is bought, the one who presses it, the one who has it pressed, the one who serves it, the one who drinks it, the one who conveys it, the one for whom it is conveyed, and the one who benefits from its price. With the sum of its benefits, then it is good to have the sum of its sins and ‘kathīr’ conveys that. The rest of the reciters and most people recite ‘kabīr’ (greater). Their argument is that the wrong action in gambling and drinking wine is among the major wrong actions and so it is more fitting to call it ‘greater’. It also agrees with ‘akbar’ as they agree that it is not ‘akthar’ except in the copy of ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd.

Some people say that wine is forbidden by this āyah because Allah said, ‘My Lord has forbidden indecency, both open and hidden, and wrong action’ (7:33) and here He reports that there is wrong in both of them. Therefore it is forbidden. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that this view is not good because the wrong in it is the unlawful that stems from it, not the thing itself as this view would demand. Some people say that this āyah prohibits wine because it is called ‘wrong’ and ‘wrong’ is unlawful in the other āyah and some people said that ‘wrong’ means wine. This is also not good because Allah did not call wine ‘wrong’ in this āyah. He says: ‘There is great wrong in both of them’ not, ‘They are wrong.’ The āyah in al-A‘rāf explains this. Qatādah said that this āyah censures wine. The actual prohibition comes in al-Mā‘idah according
to most commentators.

They will ask you what they should give away. Say, ‘Whatever is surplus to your needs.’

Most recite “afw” in the accusative while Abū ‘Amr recites it in the nominative. There is disagreement from Ibn Kathīr. Al-Ḥasan, Qatādah and Ibn Abī Ishāq recite it in the nominative. An-Naḥḥās and others said that if ‘dhā’ means ‘which’, then it is better to have the nominative as it means: ‘That which you give away is surplus.’ The accusative is permissible. If ‘mā’ and ‘dhā’ are one word, then the accusative is preferable and it means: ‘Say: ‘Give away whatever is surplus,’ although the nominative is permissible.

Scholars say that since the first question mentioned previously in āyah 215 above is a question of whom to spend on, as we made clear, the answer is appropriate to the question. The second question here is about the amount to give, and it is still connected with the question asked by ‘Amr ibn al-Jamūḥ. When: ‘Any wealth you give away should go to your parents…’ (2:215) was revealed, he asked, ‘How much?’ and was told, ‘Your surplus’ (‘afw), which means ‘what is easy, feasible and superfluous and is not hard to give.’ It is as the poet said:

Take what is surplus from me and continue to love me.
Do not speak about my outburst when I am angry.

It means spend what is surplus to your needs so that you will not harm yourselves by it and thus become needy. This is the most fitting of what is said regarding the interpretation of this phrase, and it is what al-Ḥasan, Qatādah, ‘Aṭā’, as-Suddī, al-Quraẓī, Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b, Ibn Abī Laylā and others said. They said ‘surplus to poverty’, and Ibn ‘Abbās said the same. Mujāhid said, ‘Giving ṣadaqah when you are wealthy.’ The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The best ṣadaqah is what you give when you are wealthy.’ A similar ḥadīth has: ‘The best ṣadaqah comes from the wealthy.’
Qays ibn Sa‘d said, ‘This means obligatory zakat.’ But the majority of scholars said that it refers to voluntary spending. It is said that it is abrogated. Al-Kalbī said, ‘After the revelation of this āyah, when a man had gold, silver, crops or animals, he would work out what would satisfy him and his dependants for a year and keep that and give the rest as ṣadaqah. If he was someone who earned his living from manual work, he kept what was adequate for the day and gave the rest away. This was the case until the āyah of obligatory zakat was revealed and this was abrogated.’ Some people say that this āyah is one of judgment and that there is a right on property over and above zakat. The first position is the most evident.

In this way Allah makes the Signs clear to you,

Al-Mufaḍḍal ibn Salamah said that it is by the command to spend. so that hopefully you will reflect...

You will reflect and work out how much of your property is needed for you in the life of this world and spend the rest on what will benefit you in the Next World. It is said that there is a change in the word order: that is how Allah makes the Signs clear to you in this world and the Next World so that you will reflect about this world and how it will vanish so that you are abstinent in it and about the Next World and its permanence so that you desire it.

220 ...on this world and the Next. They will ask you about the property of orphans. Say, ‘Managing it in their best interests is best.’ If you mix your property with theirs, they are your brothers. Allah knows a squanderer from a good manager. If Allah had wanted, He could have been hard on you. Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.

They will ask you about the property of orphans.

Abū Dāwud and an-Nasāʿī related that Ibn ‘Abbās said: ‘When
Allah revealed: “Do not go near the property of orphans except in a good way…” (6:152), and: “…those who consume the property of orphans wrongfully…” (4:10), everyone who had an orphan in their care separated their food and drink from that of any orphans in their care and began to keep their food aside for them until they ate it or it went bad. That was hard for people. They mentioned that to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and then Allah revealed this. So they shared their food and drink.’

This āyah is connected to what came before it because the command to preserve the property of orphans is connected to the discussion about property. It is said that the asker was ‘Abdullāh ibn Rawāḥah and it is said that it was the Arabs who thought it bad luck to resort to the property of orphans in what they ate. When Allah gave permission for dealing with orphans with the intention of making things right for them, that indicated the permission to utilise the property of orphans. The executor deals with selling, division and other things since this āyah is general. It is not transmitted that any of the caliphs put anyone in charge of an orphan even though they existed at that time.

There are many hadīths about making use of an orphan’s property in mudārabah and trade. The permission for a guardian to mix his property with that of an orphan in his charge is evidence that it is permitted to utilise an orphan’s property in buying and selling and other such things when that is beneficial. There is disagreement about using an orphan’s money for a qirāḍ. Ashhab forbade it, based on analogy with the guardian being forbidden to buy or sell from them for himself. Someone else said that if the guardian takes a share of the profit of the qirāḍ, then the qirāḍ is acceptable, it being comparable to the guardian selling something on behalf of an orphan, subject to investigation. Muḥammad ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam said, ‘If the guardian of an orphan buys something on credit, that is questionable.’
Ibn Kinānah says that a guardian may spend on an orphan’s wedding and perfume and other things required for it and other matters which are in his best interests. That depends on the orphan’s social standing as well as that of the person he marries, and the extent of his wealth. The same applies to his circumcision. If someone fears that he might fall under suspicion of misusing an orphan’s wealth, he should tell the ruler what he wants to do, who can then command him according what is intended. It is, however, permitted for him to do that if he thinks that correct. The exchange of gifts to curry favour is not permitted nor is bad management of an orphan’s wealth. The literal meaning of the āyah indicates that guardians should teach the orphans in their care about this world and the Next and pay for someone to teach them a craft. When an orphan is given something, the guardian can take it and use it in the orphan’s best interests. This will be further explained in an-Nisā’, Allah willing.

There are two situations regarding what an executor and guardian spend out of an orphan’s property. One is when there is testimony to the transaction. Then his word is only accepted when he provides evidence. The second is when it is not possible to have witnesses to it. In that case, his word is accepted without evidence. When he buys real estate and that which customarily is secured, then his word is not accepted without evidence. Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘That is why our people make a distinction between two cases. The first is an orphan who lives in the house of the executor who spends on him, and who is not required to bring witnesses for his expenditure and clothing because it is impossible to have witnesses to what he eats and wears at every moment. Instead he says, “I spent these expenses for a year,” and that is accepted from him. The second case is when the orphan is with his mother or nurse and the executor claims that he spent on him or gave the expenses and clothing to his mother or nurse. His word about giving it to the mother or nurse is not
accepted except with proof that she took it either monthly or yearly.

Scholars disagree about a man who gives an orphan in his care in marriage and whether he can buy for himself from the property of his orphan. Mālik said, that matrimonial guardianship (wilāyah) by actual guardianship (kafālah) and custody is stronger than it by kinship, so that he said about the desert Arabs who handed over their children in times of famine, that they could marry them. A marriage by a guardian will be mentioned in an-Nisā’, Allah willing.

Mālik says in his best known position that a guardian may buy from the orphan in his care. That is also what Abū Ḥanīfah says: he may buy the orphan’s property for himself for a greater than normal price because that is putting right as indicated by the words of the Qur’an. Ash-Shāfi’ī said that he is not permitted to do that either in respect of marriage or sales, because disposal of assets is not mentioned in the āyah.

Say, ‘Managing it in their best interests is best.’

No specifics are mentioned. Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘Since welfare is good, it is permitted to arrange a marriage for them.’ Ash-Shāfi’ī does not think that marriage should be considered a best interest unless there is a real need for it and there is no real need before puberty. Ibn Hanbal permits arranging marriage because it may be in someone’s best interest. Ash-Shāfi’ī permits a grandfather to arrange marriage with the executor and by the right that a father has for a child whose mother has died, not by the ruling of this āyah. Abū Ḥanīfah permits a qāḍī to carry out a marriage of an orphan based on the literal text of the āyah. These positions all originate from this āyah. If it is confirmed that marriage is a benefit, then the literal text of the Qur’an demands that it be permitted. It is possible that the phrase: ‘They will ask you about orphans…’ means about their sustenance for their guardians.

If you mix your property with theirs, they are your brothers. Allah knows a squanderer from a good manager.
If it is said that the property of an orphan should be left alone because buying it might lead to suspicion, the response is that that is not necessary. The blocking of means is employed because forbidden actions may lead to what is forbidden by the text. Here Allah permits a certain level of the mixing of an orphan’s property with that of his guardian and enjoins on guardians to be true to their trusts regarding that, saying: ‘Allah knows a squanderer from a good manager.’ It is not said about other risky matters which Allah has entrusted to people, that they are a means to something unlawful and so must be forbidden. For instance, Allah has entrusted Muslim women with protecting their private parts, even though there are immense judgments that arise from sexual behaviour which are connected to lawfulness, unlawfulness and lineage, and even though it is possible that the women concerned may lie.

When Ṭāwūs was asked about orphans, he would recite, ‘Allah knows a squanderer from a good manager.’ Ibn Sīrīn said that it is preferable, concerning the property of orphans, that their advisors meet and see what would be best for the orphans concerned. Al-Bukhārī quotes it. This is evidence for the fact that a guardian is permitted to buy from an orphan in his care for himself, as was mentioned earlier. The other view is that a guardian should not buy anything which is under his management since that might give rise to suspicion, unless that sale is made by the ruler in a community. Muḥammad ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam said, ‘He should not buy from an inheritance. There is no harm if someone who is buying on his behalf includes some of it without his prior knowledge.’

The mixing of property is like mixing like with like, like dates with dates. Abū ‘Ubayd said, ‘This mixing is when an orphan has money and it is difficult for his guardian to isolate his food. He has no alternative to mixing the orphan’s property with that of his own dependants, so he takes what is adequate from the orphan’s property after due investigation and includes it in with his family’s
maintenance. This may increase and decrease. This abrogating āyah brought the allowance to do this.’ Abū ‘Ubayd also said, ‘I consider this to be the basis for what fellow travellers do on a journey. They distribute their provisions equally between themselves even though the amount of food each brings may vary. Not everyone who eats a little food is happy about giving the surplus to his companion. Since there is leeway in the property of orphans, that applies even more to others. Otherwise I fear that things would be oppressive for people.’

The second phrase is a warning. Allah knows those who squander the property of orphans from those who look after it and will repay each of them.

**If Allah had wanted, He could have been hard on you.**

Al-Ḥakam ibn Miqsam related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘If Allah had wanted to He could have made what you took of the property of orphans a crime.’ The expression *hard on you* means: ‘He could have destroyed you’ according to az-Zajjāj and Abū ‘Ubaydah. Al-Qutaybī says that it means: ‘He could have constricted things for you and been harsh, but He only desired ease for you.’ It is said that it means that Allah could have obliged you to repay what you used when you mixed your property with theirs as was the case with those before you, but He made things easier for you.

‘*Anāt*’ (hardship) is a word used for the breaking of a bone when it has been previously broken and then mended. It is used for an animal that re-breaks a bone so that it cannot walk. ‘*Anūt*’ is used for a mountain which is hard to climb. Ibn al-Anbārī says that the root of the word means to make something hard and difficult, to force someone to do something which is difficult to perform, and the meaning is extended to the sense of destruction.

**Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.**

There is nothing impossible for Him. He is ‘Wise’ in disposing of His kingdom as He wishes and nothing can stop Him doing so.
221 Do not marry women of the idolaters until they believe. A slavegirl who is one of the believers is better for you than a woman of the idolaters, even though she may attract you. And do not give [your women] in marriage to men of the idolaters until they believe. A slave who is one of the believers is better for you than a man of the idolaters, even though he may attract you. Such people call you to the Fire whereas Allah calls you, with His permission, to the Garden and forgiveness. He makes His Signs clear to people so that hopefully they will pay heed.

Do not marry women of the idolaters until they believe.

The root of the word used for ‘marry’ here – *nakaḥa* – means to have sexual intercourse and it is used metaphorically to mean marriage.

After Allah has given permission for using an orphan’s property in a beneficial manner and for arranging their marriage, He makes it clear that marriage with idolaters is not permitted. Muqātil said, ‘This *āyah* was revealed about Abū Mirthad al-Ghanawī or Kannāz ibn Ḥusayn. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ sent him secretly to Makkah to bring out one of his Companions. There was a woman in Makkah called ‘Anaq whom he had loved in the time of the Jāhiliyyah. She came to him and he told her, “Islam forbids what used to happen in the time of Jāhiliyyah.” “So marry me,” she said. He replied, “Not until I ask permission from the Prophet ﷺ.” He asked for permission and he forbade him to marry her because he was a Muslim and she was an idolater.’ This will be further explained in *an-Nūr*, Allah
willing

Scholars disagree about the interpretation of this āyah. One group says that Allah forbade marrying all women idolaters in Sūrat al-Baqarah, including women of the Book (Jews and Christians), and then allowed marriage with them in Sūrat al-Māʾīdah. This position is related from Ibn ʿAbbās and was related by Mālik ibn Anas, Sufyān ibn Saʿīd ath-Thawrī and ʿAbd ar-Raḥmān ibn ʿAmr al-Awzāʿī. Qatādah and Saʿīd ibn Jubayr said that the āyah is general to every female unbeliever and there is a special case for women of the Book [Jews and Christians], which is explained in Sūrat al-Māʾīdah, and so the general statement never included women of the Book. This is one of the positions of ash-Shāfiʿī. According to the first view, the āyah is taken to be general and then some of that generality was abrogated by al-Māʾīdah. The first position is that of Mālik; and Ibn Ḥabīb mentioned it and said, ‘Marrying a Jewish or Christian woman, even though it is allowed by Allah Almighty, is disliked and blameworthy.’

Iṣḥāq ibn Ibrāhīm al-Ḥarbī said, ‘Some people say that the āyah in Sūrat al-Baqarah is the abrogating one and the one in Sūrat al-Māʾīdah is abrogated, and so they forbid any woman idolater, whether she is of the Book or not.’ An-Naḥḥās said, ‘This has a sound isnād from Muḥammad ibn Rayyān from Muḥammad ibn Rumḥ from al-Layth from Nāfi‘ saying that ʿAbdullāh ibn ʿUmar was asked about a man marrying a Christian or Jewish woman and said, ‘Allah forbade idolatrous women to the believers and I do not know of any shirk greater than for a woman to say, “My Lord is Jesus” or any of the slaves of Allah!’ An-Naḥḥās observes that the prohibition against marrying Christian or Jewish women is contrary to the position of the majority because some of the Companions and Tābiʿūn married women of the Book, including, among the Companions, ʿUthmān, Ṭalḥah, Ibn ʿAbbās, Jābir and Ḥudhayfah, and among the Tābiʿūn, Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab, Saʿīd ibn Jubayr, al-Ḥasan, Mujāhid, Ṭāwūs,
‘Ikrimah, ash-Sha‘bī, and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk. That is the position of the fiqahā’ of all regions. It is also impossible for this āyah to abrogate the one in Sūrat al-Mā‘idah because Sūrat al-Baqarah was one of the first sūrah to be revealed in Madīnah and Sūrat al-Mā‘idah was one of the last, and the later clearly abrogates the earlier. There is no evidence in the ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Umar because Ibn ‘Umar was a man who hesitated on the side of caution. When he heard one āyah that made it lawful and the other that made it unlawful, and he had not heard about the abrogation, he hesitated and did not take the abrogation, but interpreted it. One does not use interpretation in respect of the abrogating and abrogated.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah mentioned that Ibn ‘Abbās said in part of what is related from him that the āyah is general, including pagans, Magians and Kitābīs. All of those who are following other than Islam are unlawful. According to this, it abrogates the āyah in al-Mā‘idah. The position of Ibn ‘Umar in the Muwatta’ takes this view: ‘I do not know of any shirk greater than for a woman to say, “My Lord is Jesus.”’

It is related that ‘Umar parted Ṭalḥah ibn ‘Ubaydullāh and Ḥudhayfah ibn al-Yamān from their Kitābī wives. They said, ‘We will divorce, Amīr al-Mu’mīnīn. Do not be angry!’ He replied, ‘If it were permitted to divorce them, it would be permitted to marry them. I will part you.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah mentioned that its isnād is good and it is also reported that ‘Umar wanted to separate them and Ḥudhayfah said to him, ‘Do you claim that it is unlawful so that I should let go, Amīr al-Mu’mīnīn?’ He answered, ‘I do not claim that it is unlawful, but I fear that you will engage with prostitutes from them.’ Something similar is related from Ibn ‘Abbās.

Ibn al-Mundhir mentioned from ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb that it is permitted to marry Kitābī women and he mentioned the Companions and Tābi‘ūn in connection with this view. Ibn an-Naḥḥās said that at the end of his words that it is not valid that any of the early people said that it was unlawful.
Some scholars said that there is no conflict between the two āyahs. The literal meaning of the term ‘shirk’ does not include the People of the Book since the Almighty says: ‘Those of the People of the Book who disbelieve and the idolaters do not like anything good to be sent down to you from your Lord’ (2:105) and: ‘The People of the Book who disbelieved and the idolaters.’ (98:1) So He distinguished between them in His words. The use of the conjunction ‘and’ means that there must be a difference between the two. Furthermore the term ‘shirk’ is undefined and not a text. Allah says: ‘Free women of those given the Book’ (5:5) and: ‘free women from among the believers.’ So there is no contradiction between what is implied and what is not implied. If it is said that His words: ‘free women of those given the Book before you’ mean ‘who were given the Book before you and then became Muslims,’ as He says: ‘Among the People of the Book there are some who believe in Allah’ (3:199) and: ‘There is a community among the People of the Book who are upright’ (3:113), the answer is that this is different to the text of the āyah when He says: ‘free women of those given the Book before you’ and the position of the majority. It is not unclear to anyone that it is permitted to marry someone who has become Muslim and become one of the Muslims. If they remark that He says: ‘Such people call you to the Fire,’ then the reason for the prohibition of marrying them is because they invite to the Fire. The answer is that that is based on His words: ‘A slavegirl who is a believer is better for you than a woman of the idolaters,’ because idolaters call to the Fire. This reason is the same for all unbelievers and there is no dispute that a Muslim is better than an unbeliever. This is clear.

It is not lawful to marry people of the Book when they are people who are fighting the Muslims. Ibn ‘Abbās was asked about that and said, ‘It is not lawful.’ He recited: ‘Fight those of the people who were given the Book who do not believe in Allah and the Last Day…’ (9:29) The ḥadīth transmitter said that Ibrāhīm an-Nakha’ī related that and approved of it. Mālik disliked marrying such women because of leaving children in the Dār al-Ḥarb and because of their consumption of
wine and pork.

**A slavegirl who is one of the believers is better for you than a woman of the idolaters, even though she may attract you.**

A believing slavegirl is better than an idolatress, even though she may attract you by her lineage, wealth or beauty. This is what at-Tabarî and others said. It was revealed about Khansâ’, a black girl who belonged to Ḥudhayfah ibn al-Yamān. Ḥudhayfah said, to her, ‘Khansâ’, you were mentioned in the Highest Assembly in spite of the fact that you are black and ugly. Allah mentioned you in His Book.’ So Ḥudhayfah freed her and married her.

As-Suddî said that it was revealed about ‘Abdullâh ibn Rawâhah. He had a black slavegirl whom he slapped in anger and then regretted doing so. He went to the Prophet ﷺ and told him and the Prophet asked, ‘What kind of girl is she, ‘Abdullâh?’ He replied, ‘She fasts, prays, does wuḍū’ well, and testifies to the shahādah.’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘She is a believer.’ Ibn Rawâhah said, ‘I will free her and marry her.’ He did so and he was attacked by some of the Muslims who said, ‘He has married a slavegirl!’ They thought that they could marry idolatrous women out of the desire for their lineage, and so this āyah was revealed. Allah knows best.

Scholars disagree about the permissibility of marrying Christian or Jewish slavegirls. In the book of Muḥammad, Ashhab said about someone who became Muslim and was married to a Kitābī slavegirl that they should not be parted. Abū Ḥanîfah and his people said that it is permitted to marry slavegirls from the People of the Book. Ibn al-‘Arabî said, ‘Shaykh Abū Bakr ash-Shâshî taught us in Madînat as-Salam, “As evidence for the permissibility of marrying a Kitābī slavegirl, the people of Abū Ḥanîfah cite the āyah: “a slavegirl who is a believer is better for you than a woman of the idolaters”. The way the āyah supports this evidentially is that Allah gives a choice between marrying a believing slavegirl and an idolatress. Were it not permissible to marry an idolatrous slavegirl, Allah would not have
given a choice between them because choice is between two permissible matters, not between something permissible and something forbidden nor between two opposite matters. The answer to this objection is that linguistically and in the Qur’an it is possible to have a choice between two opposites because Allah says: ‘The Companions of the Garden on that Day will have better lodging and a better resting-place.’ (25:24) And ‘Umar said in his letter to Abū Mūsā, ‘Returning to the truth is better than remaining in falsehood.’ Another answer is that His words, ‘slavegirl’ (amah) does not mean slavehood by virtue of ownership. Rather it simply means a human being, all human beings being the slaves of Allah. Qāḍī Abu-l-Abbās al-Jurjānī said that in Basra.

There is also disagreement about the permissibility of marrying Magian women. Mālik, ash-Shāfī‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah, al-Awzā‘ī and Ishāq forbid it. Ibn Ḥanbal says, ‘I do not like it.’ It is related that Ḥudhayfah ibn al-Yamān married a Magian woman and ‘Umar told him to divorce her. Ibn al-Qaṣṣār said, ‘Some of our fellows said that according to one of two views, it is mandatory that they have a Scripture which permits marriage with them.’ Ibn Wahb related from Mālik that it is not permitted to have sexual intercourse with a Magian slavegirl by virtue of ownership. The same is true for pagans and other unbelieving women. That is the view of a group of scholars, except for what Yahyā ibn Ayyūb related from Ibn Jurayj that ‘Aṭā’ and ‘Amr ibn Dīnār were asked about marrying Magian slavegirls and they said that there is no harm in it. They interpreted the āyah as referring to the marriage contract, not the purchased slavegirl. They used as evidence the captives of Awṭās and the fact that the Companions married the slavegirls from them by virtue of ownership. An-Naḥḥās said that this is an aberrant view, and it is possible that the slavegirls from Awṭās became Muslim and therefore it was permitted to marry them. Arguing by Allah’s words: ‘Do not marry women of the idolaters until they believe’ is an error since they took
'nikāḥ’ to mean the contract, while linguistically it can refer to both the contract and to sexual intercourse, and so when He says: ‘Do not marry women of the idolators’, He forbade every form of nikāḥ with women idolaters, whether actual marriage or sexual intercourse.

Abū ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said that al-Awzā’ī said, ‘I asked az-Zuhrī about whether a man who buys a Magian woman can have sexual intercourse with her. He answered, “When she testifies that there is no god but Allah, he can have sexual relations with her.”’ Yūnus related that Ibn Shihāb said that it is not lawful for him to have sexual intercourse with her until she becomes Muslim. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘In view of the fact that he was the most knowledgeable of people regarding expeditions and biographies, Ibn Shihāb’s statement, that it is not lawful for him to have sex with her until she becomes Muslim, is proof of the falsity of the statement of those who claim that the Companions had sexual relations with the captives of Awtās before they became Muslim. That is related from a group of them, including ‘Aṭā’ and ‘Amr ibn Dīnār who said that there is nothing wrong in having sexual intercourse with a Magian woman. None of the fuqahā’ pay any attention to this.

It has come from al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, who was one of those who did not go on that expedition and only engaged in expeditions to Persia and beyond as far as Khorasan, and none of those people were People of the Book. It was not clear how they behaved in respect of their captured women. ‘Abdullāh ibn Muḥammad ibn Asad reported from Ibrāhīm ibn Aḥmad ibn Firās from ‘Alī ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz from Abū ‘Ubayd from Hishām from Yūnus that al-Hasan said, ‘A man asked him, “Abū Sa‘īd, what do you do when they are captured?” He answered, “We used to turn her to the qiblah and command her to become Muslim and testify that there is no god but Allah and that Muḥammad is the Messenger of Allah. Then we would command her to have a ghusl. If her owner wants to have sexual intercourse with her, he does not do so until she has done
A group follow this interpretation, taking Allah’s words as meaning that they are pagans and Magians because Allah has made women of the Book lawful by His words: ‘free women of those given the Book before you’. This means chaste women, not known for fornication. Some dislike marrying them or having sexual intercourse with them by virtue of ownership since that corrupts lineage.

And do not give [your women] in marriage to men of the idolaters until they believe. A slave who is one of the believers is better for you than a man of the idolaters, even though he may attract you.

A Muslim woman may not marry an idolatrous man. The Community agree that an idolater may not marry a believing woman in any case. That is shame towards Islam.

This āyah provides textual evidence of the fact that marriage is only possible with the presence of a walī. Muḥammad ibn ‘Alī said, ‘Marriage with a walī is found in the Book,’ and he recited this āyah. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘It is confirmed that the Messenger of Allah said, “There is no marriage except by a walī.”’ Scholars disagree about marriage without a walī. Most scholars say that there is no marriage without a walī. This is related from ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, Ibn Mas‘ūd, Ibn ‘Abbās and Abū Hurayrah. It is also the position of Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz, Jābir ibn Zayd, Sufyān ath-Thawrī, Ibn Abī Laylā, Ibn Shubrumah, Ibn al-Mubārak, ash-Shāfi‘ī, ‘Ubaydullāh ibn al-Ḥasan, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and Abū ‘Ubayd.

It is the position of Mālik, Abū Thawr and aṭ-Ṭabarī. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘The argument of those who say that there is no marriage without a walī is that the Prophet affirmed that position in the ḥadīth which was related mursal by Shu‘bah and ath-Thawrī from Abū Isḥāq from Abū Burdah from the Prophet. Those who accept mursal ḥadīths must accept it, but those who do not accept mursal reports must also accept it, because those who connected its
transmission are people of memorisation and trustworthiness. Among those who connected its transmission were Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlī, Abū ʿAwānah from Abū Ishāq from Abū Burdah from Abū Mūsā from the Prophet ﷺ. Ḥusayn ibn ʿAlī and those who corroborated him are ḥuffāẓ. Something added by a ḥāfiẓ is accepted and this addition is supported by fundamental principles. Allah Almighty says: ‘Do not prevent them from marrying their first husbands.’ (2:232) This āyah was revealed about Maʿqil ibn Yasār when he prevented his sister from returning to her husband. Al-Bukhārī has it. Were it not that he had a right concerning her marriage, he would not have been forbidden from preventing it.

One thing that indicates this in the Book is Allah’s words: ‘Marry them with their owners’ permission’ (4:32) and: ‘Marry of those among you who are unmarried…’ (24:32) Allah only addresses men with respect to marrying. If women had that right, He would have mentioned them. This will be explained in an-Nūr. Allah says relating from Shuʿayb in the story of Mūsā: ‘I would like to marry you to one of these two daughters…’ (28:27) as will be explained in al-Qaṣaṣ. Allah also says, ‘Men have charge of women.’ (4:34) Both the Book and the Sunnah confirm that there is no marriage without a wali. ʿAt-Ṭabarī said, ‘We find in the ḥadīth about Ḥafṣah, when she was widowed, that ‘Umar carried out her marriage rather than her doing it herself. This invalidates the position of those who say that an adult woman has power over herself and can give herself in marriage and make the contract without her wali. If that had been true, then the Messenger of Allah ﷺ would have proposed to Ḥafṣah herself, since she would have been more entitled to that than her father was, and the proposal would have been being made to someone who had no power to carry out the contract on her behalf.’ This also provides clarification of the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘A widow is more entitled to herself than her wali.’ It means that she is more entitled to herself so that he cannot make a contract for her marriage without her consent, not
that she is more entitled to make a contract a marriage for herself without her *wali* being involved.

Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘A woman may not give another woman in marriage nor may she give herself in marriage. A fornicatress is someone who gives herself in marriage.’ He said that it is a sound *ḥadīth*. Abū Dāwud related from Suṭyān from az-Zuhrī from ‘Urwah that ‘Ā’ishah said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said three times, ‘If any woman is married without the consent of her *wali*, her marriage is invalid.’ Then he continued, ‘If he consummates it with her, then she has the dower because of what he has got from her. If they quarrel, then the ruler is the *wali* of those with no *wali*.’ This is a sound *ḥadīth*. No attention should be paid to the statement of Ibn ‘Ulayyah from Ibn Jurayj: ‘I asked az-Zuhrī about it and he did not recognise it.’ No one but Ibn ‘Ulayyah says that Ibn Jurayj said this. A group who related from az-Zuhrī did not mention it. If it had been confirmed from az-Zuhrī, it would still not be a proof because trustworthy men transmitted it from him, including Sulaymān ibn Mūsā, a trustworthy imam, and Ja‘far ibn Rabī‘ah. If az-Zuhrī forgot it that does not harm him because no human being is safe from forgetfulness. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Ādam forgot and so his descendants forgot.’ The Prophet himself ﷺ forgot, so it is more fitting that others should suffer from forgetfulness. The one who remembers is an argument against the one who forgets. When someone trustworthy relates a report, the forgetfulness of someone who forgets does not harm him. This is the case if what Ibn ‘Ulayyah related from Ibn Jurayj is sound, so what then is the situation if the people of knowledge deny that story?

Abū Ḥātim Muḥammad ibn Ḥibbān at-Tamīmī al-Bustī transmitted this *ḥadīth* in his sound *Musnad* without a break in its *isnād*. No detraction is confirmed in respect of the one who transmitted from Ḥafṣ ibn Ghiyāth from Ibn Jurayj from Sulaymān
ibn Mūsā from az-Zuhrī from ‘Urwah from ‘Ā’ishah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Marriage can only take place with a wāli and two reputable witnesses. Any marriage without that is invalid. If they quarrel, then the ruler is the wāli of someone without a wāli.’ Abū Ḥātim said, ‘Only three people add “and two reputable witnesses” in the report of Ibn Jurayj from Sulaymān ibn Mūsā from az-Zuhrī: Suwayd ibn Yahyā al-Umawī from Ḥafṣ ibn Ghiyāth, ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Abd al-Wahhāb al-Jumaḥī from Khālid ibn al-Ḥārith, and ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Yūnus ar-Raqqī from ‘Īsā ibn Yūnus.’

The stipulation of two witnesses is only found in this report. If this report is sound, the Book and Sunnah explicitly state that there is no marriage without a wāli. There is no sense in opposing it. Az-Zuhrī and ash-Sha‘bī said that when a woman gives herself in marriage to an equal with two witnesses, the marriage is permitted. That is what Abū Ḥanīfah said. That is the position of Zufar. When she marries herself to someone who is not her equal, the marriage is permitted, but the relatives can separate them. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘What an-Nu‘mān said is contrary to the Sunnah and not part of the position of most of the people of knowledge. We take the report of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ.’

Abū Yūsuf said, ‘Marriage is only permitted provided there is a wāli. If the wāli accepts it, it is allowed. If he refuses to accept it and the husband is an equal, then the qāḍī allows it. The marriage is achieved when the qāḍī allows it. If he does not do that, then there must be a new contract.’ There is no disagreement between Abū Ḥanīfah and his people that when a woman’s wāli allows her to carry out the marriage herself, it is allowed. Al-Awzā‘ī said, ‘When she assigns the matter to a man who gives her in marriage to an equal, the marriage is allowed and her wāli cannot part them unless she is an Arab who marries a client (mawlā).’ This is similar to the school of Mālik as will be mentioned.

Some of those who take the school of az-Zuhrī, Abū Ḥanīfah and
ash-Sha‘bī take the hadīth of the Prophet ﷺ about there being no marriage except with a wali as applying to perfection rather than completion. This is similar to the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘The neighbour of a mosque has no prayer except in the mosque,’ and ‘There is no portion of Islam for anyone who abandons the prayer.’ They cite as evidence the words of Allah: ‘Do not prevent them from marrying their first husbands…’ (2:232) and: ‘…you are not to blame for anything they do with themselves with correctness and courtesy.’ (2:234) They also cite what ad-Dāraquṭnī related about Simāk ibn Ḥarb saying, ‘A man came to ‘Alī and said, “A woman, of whom I am the wali, married without my permission.” ‘Alī said, “Investigate what she has done. If she married an equal, we allow that for her. If she has married someone who is not her equal, we assign that to you.”’ We find in the Muwaṭṭā that ‘Ā’ishah gave her niece by her brother ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān in marriage while he was absent. Ibn Jurayj related from ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad ibn Abī Bakr from his father that ‘Ā’ishah said that the man to whom she married her brother’s daughter was al-Mundhir ibn az-Zubayr. A curtain was set up between them and then she spoke until nothing remained but the contract. She commanded a man to carry out the marriage. Then she said, ‘Women cannot perform marriage.’ The point of the hadīth of Mālik from ‘Ā’ishah is that she set (the amount of) the dower and the terms of the marriage. Although one of her male relatives in fact carried out the marriage, the contract was attributed to ‘Ā’ishah because she arranged it.

Ibn Khuwayzimandād mentioned that what is transmitted from Mālik about guardians varies as to who they are. Sometimes he says that whoever represents the best interests of a woman is her wali, whether he is from the paternal or maternal relatives, unrelated men, ruler or guardian (waṣī). At other times he says that guardians should be paternal relatives and whichever of them represents her best interests is her wali. Abū ‘Umar said that Mālik said in what Ibn
al-Qāsim related from him that when a woman is given in marriage by someone other than her wali with her permission, if she is noble and has a position among people, then her wali has a choice between invalidating or affirming the marriage. If she is lowly like a freed woman, black woman, or prostitute or someone with no position, her marriage is allowed. Then her wali has no choice because they are equals. It is related from Mālik that neither a noble or lowly woman may be given in marriage except by her wali or the ruler. Ibn al-Mundhir chose this position. He said, ‘As for the difference Mālik makes between a poor woman and one with no worth, it is not valid because the Prophet made judgments the same in respect of blood, saying, ‘The blood of all Muslims is equal in value.’ Since they are the same in respect of blood, they are the same in respect of other things as well.

Ismā‘īl ibn Ishāq said, ‘When Allah commanded marriage, He made the believers guardians of one another. Allah says: “The men and women of the believers are friends (awliyā’) of one another.” (9:71) The believers in that sentence inherit from one another. If a man dies without having an heir, his inheritance goes to the Muslims. If a Muslim inflicts an injury, then the blood money is paid by the Muslims. So one guardianship is closer than another and one kinship is closer than another. If a woman is in a position where there is no ruler or wali to represent her, her affair is handed over to one of her neighbours who is trusted who gives her in marriage and acts as her wali in this case, because people must marry and so they do the best that they can in order to bring that about. This is the basis of the view of Mālik concerning a woman in a weak situation: she is given in marriage by the one to whom she entrusts her business because she is too insignificant to have the ruler act on her behalf and so, in that respect, she is like someone without a ruler. Therefore in general it reverts to the totality of Muslims being guardians for her. If she gives her affair to a man and abandons her
relatives, she has proceeded improperly in the business and he does something the judge and Muslims dislike him doing and that marriage is invalidated without it being announced that it is unlawful since we have described the Muslims as being guardians of each other and since there is some disagreement about that. The marriage is, however, invalidated because it has been carried out improperly and that is more cautious in respect of protecting private parts. If the marriage has been consummated, a long time has passed and children have been born, then invalidation is not permitted because when matters are dissimilar, then only the undoubtably unlawful with respect to them is rejected. It resembles something that has been misapplied because of the ruling of a judge; the ruling of a judge is only invalidated by an undoubted error.’

Ash-Shāfi‘ī and his people believe that a marriage without a wālī is always invalid, both before and after consummation, and that the couple do not inherit from one another when one of them dies. They believe that having a wālī is one of the obligatory elements of marriage because of the evidence in the Book and Sunnah. Allah says: ‘Marry off those among you who are unmarried’ (24:32); ‘Marry them with their owners’ permission’ (4:25) and He addresses guardians with the words: ‘…do not prevent them…’ (2:232). The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘There is no marriage without a wālī.’ There is no differentiation between lowly and noble women based on the consensus of scholars that there is no difference between them in respect of blood since the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The blood of the Muslims is equal in value.’ That is true of other rulings. There is no distinction between noble and lowly in the Book and Sunnah.

There is disagreement about a marriage that happens without a wālī and then is allowed by the wālī before consummation. Mālik and his people said that that is only valid for a slave. That is permitted when he allows it soon afterwards, whether or not it has been consummated. This is when the contract of marriage is
without a *walī* and the woman did not contract herself. If the woman contracted herself and makes a marriage contract without a *walī* among the Muslims, this marriage is never affirmed even if a long time has passed and children have been born, but the paternity of any child is attached to the father and there is no *ḥadd* punishment. That marriage must be annulled in any case. Ibn Nāfi‘ said that Mālik said that it is annulment rather than a divorce.

Scholars disagree about the position and rank of guardians. Mālik used to say, ‘The first in line are sons, however far removed, (i.e. sons of sons) then fathers, then full brothers, then paternal half-brothers, then sons of full brothers, then sons of the father’s brothers, then grandfathers on the father’s side, however far removed, then uncles in the order of the brothers, then their sons in the order of the sons of the brothers, however far removed, then clients, and then the ruler or the qāḍī. An executor is put ahead of relatives in giving orphans in marriage. He is the deputy and representative of the father and so his position is that which the father would have had if he were still alive.

Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that no one else has guardianship when the father is available, and if he dies, then it is the grandfather, then the father of the father’s father because they are all fathers. After the grandfather, it goes to the brothers and then to other relatives. Al-Muzanī said in the new School that when there is only a mother, the grandfather is more entitled to conduct the marriage as is the case with inheritance. In the old School ash-Shāfi‘ī said that they are the same.

The Madinans related from Mālik something similar to the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī and that the father is more entitled than the son. That is one of the two views of Abū Ḥanīfah related by al-Bājī. It is related that al-Mughīrah said, ‘The grandfather is more entitled than the brothers.’ What is well-known in his school is what we have already mentioned. Aḥmad said that the father is the most entitled to give a
woman in marriage; then the son, then the brother, then his son, then the paternal uncle. Ishāq said that the son is more entitled than the father as Mālik already stated. Ibn al-Mundhir preferred that because ‘Umar ibn Abī Salamah married Umm Salamah to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. An-Nasā’ī transmitted that from Umm Salamah and has a chapter entitled ‘A son giving his mother in marriage’.

Our scholars often cite this as proof, but it counts for nothing. The evidence for it is what is confirmed in sound collections that ‘Umar ibn Abī Salamah said, ‘I was a boy in the care of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and my hand would go around the platter. He said, “Boy, say the Name of Allah, eat with your right hand, and eat from what is in front of you.”’ Abū ‘Umar said in Kitāb al-Isti‘āb, ‘The kunyah of ‘Umar ibn Abī Salamah was Abū Ḥafṣ. He was born in 2 AH in Abyssinia.’ It is said that he was nine years old when the Messenger of Allah ﷺ died. It is not possible for someone of this age to act as a walī. However, Abū ‘Umar mentioned that Abū Salamah and Umm Salamah had two sons, the other being called Salamah. He is the one who carried out the contract between the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and his mother, Umm Salamah. Salamah was older than his brother ‘Umar. Nothing is transmitted by him from the Prophet ﷺ, only from ‘Umar.

They disagree about a woman being given in marriage by a more distant guardian when a nearer relative is available. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that the marriage is void and Mālik said that it is allowed. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘If the closer relative does not object to anything in the contract or reject it, then it is carried out. If he objects, and the woman has been previously married or is an adult orphan virgin with no executor, the position of Mālik and his people and a group of the people of Madīnah varies about it. Some say that it is not rejected and is carried out because the marriage was contracted with the permission of a walī from the tribe. Others among them say that
it should not be carried out and say that rank must be observed in guardians according who is the best and most proper. However, that is recommended and not mandatory. This is a summary of the school of Mālik according to most of his people. Ismā'īl ibn Isḥāq and his followers preferred that. It is also said that the ruler looks into it and questions the closer relative regarding what he disagrees about. Then if he thinks that it should be carried out, it is carried out, and if he thinks that it should be rejected, it is rejected. It is said that the nearer guardian can reject it in any case because he has the right to do so. It is said that he can reject it or allow it as long as a long time has not passed and there are no children. All of these are positions of the people of Madīnah.

If the closest guardian is a Magian or a fool, then the next closest relative gives her in marriage, and the former is considered to be like someone who is deceased. The same is true if the closest guardian is absent at a great distance or absent so that it is not expected that he will return soon: then the next closest relative gives her in marriage. It is also said that if the closest guardian is absent, then the next one does not give her in marriage, rather the judge does. The former is the view of Mālik.

If two relatives are equal in their closeness and one of them is absent, then the woman entrusts the contract of her marriage to the one who is present and the absent one has no grounds for objection. If they are both present, then she entrusts the contract to one of them and he only gives her in marriage with the other’s permission. If the two disagree, then the judge looks into it and allows it if he thinks that it is best for her. Ibn Wahb related that from Mālik.

Having witnesses to the marriage is not one of the pillars of marriage in the view of Mālik and his people. It is enough that it be made known and announced and not kept secret. As for keeping a marriage secret, Ibn al-Qāsim reports that Mālik stated, ‘If someone marries with witnesses and then orders them to keep that secret, the
marriage is not allowed because it is a secret marriage. If someone marries without witnesses, not seeking to conceal it, it is allowed. They bear witness in the future.’ Ibn Wahb related from Mālik about a man who marries a woman with the testimony of two men and asks them to conceal it, that the couple are separated by a divorce and the marriage is not allowed. The woman has her dower if he has consummated the marriage and the witnesses are not punished. Abū Ḥanīfah and ash-Shāfi‘ī say that it is permitted if there were two witnesses, even if he has asked them to conceal it. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘This is the position of our man, Yaḥyā ibn Yaḥyā al-Laythī al-Andalusī, who said, ‘Every marriage that has been witnessed by two men is no longer secret.’ I think that he related it from al-Layth ibn Sa‘d. As for secret marriage, ash-Shāfi‘ī and the Kufans and those who follow them say that any marriage without two or more male witnesses is invalid in every case.

The position of ash-Shāfi‘ī is sounder because of the ḥadīth we mentioned. It is related that Ibn ‘Abbās said: ‘There is no marriage without witnesses and a sensible walī.’ As far as I know, none of the Companions disagreed with that. The evidence for Mālik and his School is that the sales transactions that Allah mentioned have witnesses to the contract. There is evidence that that is not one of the requirements of a sale, so it is even more fitting that, since Allah did not mention witnesses to marriage, witnesses are not one of its preconditions and mandatory elements. The goal is to make it known and public in order to preserve lineage. Witnesses are good after the contract when there are conflicting claims and disagreement about what was contracted between the two parties. It is related that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Make marriage public.’ This position of Mālik is the position of Ibn Shihāb and most of the people of Madīnah.

A believing slave is better than a noble and wealthy idolater. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘All of your men are slaves of Allah and all of your
women are slaves of Allah.’ He also said, ‘Do not bar the female slaves of Allah from the mosques of Allah.’ Allah says: ‘What an excellent slave! He truly turned to His Lord.’ (38:30, 44) This is the best interpretation of this this āyah. It removes dispute and disagreement. Allah is the One Who gives success.

**Such people call you to the Fire**

Meaning the men and women who are idolaters. They call you to actions which make the Fire inevitable. Keeping their company and being intimate with them means that you yourself are bound to participate in many of their deviances quite apart from what they will teach your children.

**whereas Allah calls you, with His permission, to the Garden and forgiveness.**

He calls you to the actions of the People of the Garden. Az-Zajjāj said that ‘with His permission’ means ‘by His command’.

222 They will ask you about menstruation. Say, ‘It is an impurity, so keep apart from women during menstruation and do not approach them until they have purified themselves. But once they have purified themselves, then go to them in the way that Allah has enjoined on you.’ Allah loves those who turn back from wrongdoing and He loves those who purify themselves.

**They will ask you about menstruation.**

At-Ṭabarī mentioned from as-Suddī that the askers referred to in this āyah were Thābit ibn ad-Daḥdāḥ or Usayd ibn Ḫuḍayr and ‘Abbād ibn Bishr, which is the position of the majority. The reason for the question, according to Qatādah and others, was that the Arabs in Madīnah and around it had adopted the custom of the
Jews with respect to avoiding menstruating women and so this was revealed. Mujāhid said, ‘They used to avoid normal intercourse with women when they were menstruating and they would have anal intercourse with them during their period of menstruation and then this was revealed.’

We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that Anas said, ‘When Jewish women menstruated, the Jews would not eat with them or go to them in their rooms. The Companions asked the Prophet ﷺ about this and Allah revealed: “They will ask you about menstruation.”’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Do everything short of actual intercourse.” The Jews heard this and they said, “This man will not leave any practice we do without opposing us in it!” Usayd and ‘Abbad told the Prophet ﷺ what they were saying and asked, “Messenger of Allah, the Jews say such-and-such. Should we not have sex with women?” They said, “The face of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ changed colour until we thought that he was angry with them. They left. The Messenger of Allah had been given a gift of buttermilk and so he sent for those two men and gave them it to drink. So they knew that he was not angry with them.’ Our scholars say that Jews and Magians shunned menstruating women while the Christians did not avoid them at all, and this was a middle way.

The root of the word for menstruation (maḥīḍ) means to flow and overflow. It is used for a torrent which overflows or a tree whose sap or gum flows out. The forms of the verbal noun also include ḥayd and maḥāḍ. A menstruating woman is described as ḥā’id or ḥā’idah, the plurals being ḥuyyad and ḥawā’id. Ḥīḍah is a rag used by a woman during menstruation as is maḥīḍah. It is also said that maḥīḍ designates time and place. Hawāḍ meaning a basin or reservoir is from the same root because water flows into it. The Arabs can use the wāw and yā’ interchangeably. Ibn al-‘Arabī said that there are eight names for a menstruating woman: ḥā’id, ‘ārik, fārik, ṭāmis, dāris, kābir, ḍaḥik and ṭāmith.
Scholars agree that there are three rulings which concern a woman when she sees evident vaginal flowing blood. One of those is normal menstruation whose blood is thick and darker than red. Then she does not pray or fast. There is no disagreement about that. It may be either continuous or with breaks. If it is continuous, then the ruling about it is firm. If it has breaks and she sees blood one day and none one day, or she sees blood for two days and none for one or two days, she does not pray in the days of bleeding and has a ghusl when it stops and then prays. Then she puts together the days of bleeding and cancels the intervening pure days and does not count them as pure in either ‘iddah or istibrā’. Menstruation is part of a woman’s natural constitution and nature.

Al-Bukhārī related that Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī said, ‘The Messenger of Allah set out to the place of prayer on the Day of Aḍḥā or Fīṭr and passed by the women. He said, “O company of women! Give ṣadaqah for I have seen that you make up the majority of the inhabitants of the Fire!” They asked, “Why, Messenger of Allah?” He replied, “You call down too many curses and show ingratitude to your husbands. I have not seen anyone more deficient in intellect or dīn. Yet the mind of even a resolute man might be swept away by one of you.” They asked, “In what way is our dīn and intellect deficient, Messenger of Allah?” He asked, “Is not the testimony of a woman worth only half that of a man?” “Yes,” they answered. He said, “That is how your intellect is deficient. Is it not so that when a woman is menstruating, she neither prays nor fasts?” They said, “Yes, Messenger of Allah.” He said, “That is how her dīn is deficient.”

Scholars agree that menstruating women do not pray or fast and that they must make up days of fasting missed but not missed prayers. That is based on the ḥadīth of Mu‘ādhah who said, ‘I asked ‘Ā’ishah, “Does a menstruating women make up the fast but not make up the prayers?” She said, “Are you a Ḥarūrī (Khārijite)?” I
replied, “I am not a Ḥarūrī, but I am just asking.” She said, “We experienced that and were commanded to make up the fast but not commanded to make up the prayers.” Muslim transmitted it. When it ends, she purifies herself with a ghusl as will be dealt with later.

Scholars disagree about the length of a menstrual period. The fuqahā’ of Madīnah said that it cannot be longer than fifteen days. So it can be fifteen days or less. What goes beyond fifteen days is not menstruation but rather false menstruation (istiḥāḍah). That is the school of Mālik and his people. It is related from Mālik that there is no time for the minimum or maximum of menstruation except that which exists in women. It is as if he abandoned the first position and referred to the custom of women.

Muḥammad ibn Salamah said that the minimum period of purity is fifteen days. Most of the Baghdādī Mālikīs prefer that and it is also the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah and their people, and ath-Thawrī. That is sound in respect of this topic because Allah Almighty made the ‘iddah of women with periods three menstrual cycles and the ‘iddah of those who do not menstruate due to old age or youth three months. So it is as if every period is equal to a month and the month includes purity and menstruation. If the period of menstruation is less, that of purity is increased. If the period of menstruation is more, then that of purity is less. So when menstruation is more than fifteen days, then the minimum period of purity opposite it must be fifteen days to complete the month of menstruation and purity. It is what is normally customary in the constitution of women as well as being reinforced by the evidence of the Book and Sunnah.

Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that the minimum period of menstruation is a day and a night and its maximum period is fifteen days. A similar position to that of Mālik is related from him about referring the matter to the custom of women. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people said that the minimum period of menstruation is three days and its
maximum period is ten days. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘According to them, what is less than three days is *istiḥāḍah* and it only prevents the prayer when it first appears because it is not known how long it will last. Then the woman must make up the prayers she missed. That is also the case, according to the Kufans, if it is more than ten days. According to the Hijazīs, what is more than fifteen days is *istiḥāḍah*. According to ash-Shāfi‘ī, what is less than a day and a night is *istiḥāḍah*. That is the view of al-Awzā‘ī and aṭ-Ṭabarī. Among those who said that the minimum length of menstruation was a day and a night and its maximum fifteen days were ‘Aṭā’ ibn Abī Rabāḥ, Abū Thawr and Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal. Al-Awzā‘ī said, ‘We believe that a woman can menstruate in the morning and be pure in the evening.’

We have seen what scholars say regarding this topic about the maximum and minimum periods of menstruation and the minimum period of purity in *al-Istīzhār* and the argument in *al-Muqtabis fī sharḥ Muwaṭṭā’ Mālik ibn Anas*. According to ash-Shāfi‘ī, if a woman is a virgin experiencing her first period, she starts the fifteen days as soon as she sees blood. Then she has a *ghusl* and makes up the prayers for fourteen days. Mālik said that she does not have to make up the prayer and her husband should refrain from sexual intercourse with her. Ibn Ḥanbal says that she should wait for a day and a night and then have a *ghusl* and her husband may not approach her. Abū Ḥanīfah and Abū Yūsuf said that she leaves the prayer for ten days, then has a *ghusl* and prays for ten days and then after that stops praying for ten days. This is her state when the bleeding stops. If she has known days, then Mālik says that she adds three days through *istiżhār* to the known days, as long as it does not exceed fifteen days. Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that she has a *ghusl* at the end of her days without adding anything.

The second type of bleeding is lochia (*nifās*) after childbirth. Scholars also disagree about its length. It is said that it is two months, which is the view of Mālik. It is said that it is forty days,
which is the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Other things are said. She is pure when it ends. The *ghusl* for it is the same as the *ghusl* for *janābah*. Qāḍī Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Wahhāb said, ‘Bleeding for menstruation and lochia prevent eleven things: the obligation of the prayer and the soundness of performing it, fasting which is not mandatory (and the difference between the two is that the fast must be made up but not the prayer), vaginal intercourse, ‘*iddah*, divorce, *tawāf*, touching a copy of the Qur’an, entering a mosque and doing *i’tikāf* in it, and there are two transmissions about reciting the Qur’an.

The third type of bleeding is that which is neither normal nor part of the natural constitution. It is from a vein which bleeds red blood and does not stop until it is healed. Its ruling is that a woman suffering from it is pure and is not prevented from praying or fasting. This is based on the consensus of scholars and *marfū‘* reports that agree on that since it is known that it is blood from a vein, not menstrual blood. Mālik related from Hishām ibn ‘Urwah from his father that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘Fāṭimah bint Abī Ḥubaysh said, “Messenger of Allah, I never become pure. Should I abandon the prayer?” The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “That is a vein, not menstruation. So when your period approaches, stop praying. When its normal length ends, wash the blood from yourself and pray.”’

This *ḥadīth*, which is sound and has few words, explains the rulings of menstruation and false menstruation. It is the soundest of what is related regarding this matter. It refutes what is related from ‘Uqbah ibn ‘Āmir and Makḥūl about a menstruating woman doing *ghusl* and *wuḍū‘* for every prayer and facing the *qiblah* sitting while remembering Allah. It says that a menstruating woman does not pray. That is the consensus of the great majority of scholars, with the exception of a few of the Khārijites who say that a menstruating women owes the prayer. It also indicates that a woman suffering from *istiḥādah* is not obliged to do other than a *ghusl* for her period. If she had been obliged to do anything else, he ﷺ would have
commanded her to do it. It also refutes the view of those who think that she must do a ghusl for every prayer, those who think that she does one ghusl for the day prayers, one for the two night prayers and one for Ṣubḥ, those who say that she does a ghusl for one purity to another, and the view of Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab that it is from one purity to another, because the Prophet ﷺ did not command any of that. It refutes those who espouse istizhār because the Prophet ﷺ commanded her to do ghusl and pray when she knew that her period had ended and he did not command her to leave the prayer for three days to wait and see whether menstruation was coming or not. The cautiousness is respect of performing the prayer, not leaving it.

**Say, ‘It is an impurity,**

It is something by which women and others are discommoded, referring to the smell of menstrual blood. The word ‘adhā’ (impurity) alludes to impurity in general and is also applied to disliked words. Part of that is the words of Allah: ‘Do not nullify your ṣadaqah by demands or gratitude or insulting words (adhā)’ (2:265) where it is disliked words, and: ‘Disregard their abuse (adhā)’ (33:48), meaning to ignore the abuse of the hypocrites. A ḥadīth states, ‘Remove ‘adhā’ from him,’ meaning, the hair on the head of the newborn child. It is shaved on the seventh day which is the ‘aqīqah. There is also the ḥadīth on faith: ‘The least of it is removing something harmful (adhā) from the road.’ This is stones, thorns and the like which might harm a passerby. Allah also says: ‘There is nothing wrong, if you are bothered (adhā) by rain.’ (4:102)

This āyah is used as evidence by those who forbid sexual intercourse with a woman experiencing istiḥādah (false menstruation) when blood is flowing since all blood is impurity and must be washed from the clothes and body. All such blood, whether menstrual or resulting from istiḥādah is still an impurity. Permission to pray is an allowance brought by the Sunnah just as someone with incontinence can also pray. This is the position of Ibrāhīm an-
Nakha’ī, Sulaymān ibn Yasār, al-Ḥakam ibn ‘Uaynah, ‘Āmir ash-Sha‘bī, Ibn Sīrīn and az-Zuhrī. There are different positions from al-Ḥasan. ‘Ā’ishah also said that a woman’s husband should not come to her at that time. That is the position of Ibn ‘Ulayyah, al-Mughīrah ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān, the most elevated of Mālik’s companions, and Abū Muḥabb who gave a fatwā to that effect.

The majority of scholars, however, say that a woman experiencing istihādah can pray, fast, do ṭawāf and recite Qur’an. Her husband can come to her as well. Mālik said, ‘The position of the people of fiqh and knowledge is this, even if the bleeding is considerable.’ Ibn Wahb related it from him. Aḥmad said, ‘I prefer that a husband should not have intercourse with such a woman unless her condition persists for a long time.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said about a woman suffering from istihādah, ‘There is no harm in her husband having intercourse with her, even if the blood is flowing onto her heels.’ Mālik said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “That is a vein, not menstruation.” If it were menstruation, what would prevent him having sex with her when she is praying?’ Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘When Allah judged that the blood of a woman experiencing istihādah does not prevent the prayer and other acts of worship done by a woman who is not menstruating, then it is mandatory that none of the rulings of a menstruating women apply to her except for the consensus of having a ghusl as is the case with all sorts of bleeding.’

**so keep apart from women during menstruation**

This can either mean ‘during’ the time of menstruation if it is a verbal noun or it may refer to the place of menstruation itself in which case it would merely mean avoiding the actual act of sexual intercourse. Scholars disagree about other kinds of sexual contact with a menstruating woman and what is permitted in that respect. Ibn ‘Abbās and ‘Abīdah as-Salmānī said that a man must leave his wife’s bed when she menstruates. This is an aberrant position, outside the position of most scholars. Even if the general words of
the āyah may suggest that, the Sunnah is contrary to it. Ibn ‘Abbās’s aunt, Maymūnah, went to him and said, ‘Do you turn away from the Sunnah of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ!’

Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, al-Awzā‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah, Abū Yūṣuf and the vast majority of scholars say that a man can enjoy everything above the waist-wrapper because that is what the Prophet ﷺ said about this. When someone asked him, ‘What is lawful to me of my wife when she is menstruating?’ He replied, ‘She should tie on her waist-wrapper, and then your business is what is above that.’ The Prophet ﷺ said to ‘Ā’ishah when she was menstruating, ‘Tie on your waist-wrapper and then return to bed.’ Ath-Thawrī, ash-Shaybānī and some Shāfi‘īs say that a man should avoid the actual site of the blood, since the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Do everything except sexual intercourse.’ That is the position of Dāwud and it is the sound view from ash-Shāfi‘ī. Abū Ma‘shar related from Ibrāhīm that Masrūq said, ‘I asked ‘Ā’ishah what is lawful to me of my wife while she is menstruating and she answered, “Everything except the genitals.”’ Scholars say that the reason a woman wears a waist wrapper is to cut off the means because a man might otherwise exceed what is allowed. That agrees with the reports and is not contradictory. Success is by Allah.

They disagree about what someone who does have sexual intercourse with his wife while she is menstruating should do. Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī and Abū Ḥanīfah say that he should ask for Allah’s forgiveness and owes nothing. That is the position of Rabī‘ah and Yaḥyā ibn Sa‘īd. Dāwud also said that. It is related from Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan that he should give half a dinar as ṣadaqah. Ahmad ibn Ḥanbal said, ‘The most excellent remedy is the ḥadīth of ‘Abd al-Ḥamīd from Miqsam from Ibn ‘Abbās in which the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘He should give a dinar or half a dinar as ṣadaqah.’ Abū Dāwud transmitted it and he said that this is a sound transmission: ‘…a dinar or half a dinar.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī recommended
that, but if someone does not do it, he owes nothing. That is the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī in Baghdad. One group of the People of Hadith said, ‘If he has intercourse during bleeding, he owes a dinar. If he has intercourse after it has ended, he owes half a dinar.’ Al-Awzā‘ī said, ‘If someone has intercourse with his wife while she is menstruating, he should give five dinars as ṣadaqah.’ The paths of transmission of all of this is found in the Sunan of Abū Dāwud, ad-Dāraquṭnī and elsewhere. At-Tirmidhī transmitted from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘If it is red blood, he owes a dinar. If it is yellow, then half a dinar.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘The argument that he owes no expiation except asking for forgiveness and repentance is the weakness in the isnād from Ibn ‘Abbās. Something like that is not used as a proof. He remains free of liability. It is not mandatory to confirm anything in it for a poor person or anything else except by an undisputed proof, and that does not exist with respect to this matter.’

**and do not approach them until they are pure.**

Ibn al-‘Arabī said that he heard ash-Shāshī say in an assembly of debate, ‘When it says, “Do not approach (taqrab)”, it means “Do not touch.” If it is “tuqrib”, it means “Do not go near”. Nāfi‘, Abū ‘Amr. Ibn Kathīr and ‘Āṣim in the transmission of Ḥafṣ recite ‘yathurna’ while Ḥamzah, al-Kisā‘ī, ‘Āṣim in the transmission of Abū Bakr and al-Mufaḍḍal recite ‘yatḥāharna’. The copies of the Qur’an of Ubayy and ‘Abdullāh have ‘yataṭahharna’. The copy of Anas ibn Mālik has ‘do not approach women while they are menstruating and withdraw from them until they have purified themselves.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī preferred a double ṭā’, saying that it means ‘they have washed’ since the consensus of all is that it is unlawful for a man to approach his wife after the bleeding has stopped until she has had a ghūsl.’ The disagreement is about the type of purification required. Some say it is ghūsl, some say it is ṭuḍū and some say it is merely washing the genitals. That makes her lawful to her husband, even if she has not
had a *ghusl* on account of menstruation.

**But once they have purified themselves,**

This is generally understood to refer to a full *ghusl* with water. That is the position of Mālik and most scholars. They say that the purification by which sexual intercourse with a woman who has been menstruating becomes lawful is by washing the whole body with water like you do for *janābah*. Neither *tayammum* nor anything else is adequate. That is the position of Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, at-Ṭabarī, Muḥammad ibn Maslamah, the people of Madīnah and others. Yaḥyā ibn Bukayr and Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b al-Qurṭubī said, ‘When the period of a menstruating woman has completely finished and she does *tayammum* in a situation when there is no water, she is lawful for her husband without doing *ghusl*.’ Mujāhid, ‘Ikrimah and Ṭāwūs said that the end of bleeding makes her lawful for her husband, but she should perform *wuḍū‘*. Abū Ḥanīfah, Abū Yūsuf and ash-Shaybānī said that when the blood has stopped for ten days, he can have sexual intercourse with her before she does *ghusl*. If it is before that time, he is not permitted to do so unless she has a *ghusl* or it becomes obligatory for her to do the prayer. This is arbitrary and without logic. That judgment would mean that after the end of bleeding, the woman would still be in her *‘iddah* and her husband would be able to take her back as long as she has not had a *ghusl* for her third period.’

Our evidence is that Allah connected the ruling about this to two preconditions. The first is the end of bleeding which is in His words: ‘until they are pure.’ The second is having a *ghusl*, which is in His words: ‘once they have purified themselves,’ i.e. done a *ghusl* with water. This is like His words, ‘*Keep a close check on orphans until they reach a marriageable age…*’ (4:6) where He connected the ruling, which is giving them their wealth, to two preconditions. The first is reaching the age of marriage and the second is recognition of their good sense. Another example is His words about a divorced woman: ‘*She*
is not lawful to him after that until she has married another husband’ (2:230)
and then the Sunnah brings in sexual relations [with the new
husband]. Therefore making the woman lawful to her first husband
is based on two things today: a marriage contract and sexual
intercourse with the new husband.

Abū Ḥanīfah argued that the āyah means that the end [of impurity]
in the precondition is the same as the end which is mentioned before
it, and so the two uses of ‘yaṭhurna’ has the same meaning as
‘yaṭṭaharna’, and so both linguistic usages must be acted on and we
must we take each of them to have its own meaning, so the first is
about the end of bleeding which is the lesser end, and we do not
permit having sex with her until she has had a ghūsl because there is
always the possibility of its resuming. We take the other āyah to mean
when her bleeding stops at the maximum length, and so it is
permitted to have sex with her, even if she has not had a ghūsl. Ibn
al-‘Arabī says that this is the strongest position that they have.

The answer to the first is that it is not the language of those
eloquent in Arabic. If that were the case, it would entail a repetition
counting. When it is possible to apply the same words to a single
thing, then no repetition is used by people, so how could that not be
the case with the words of the All-Wise, All-Knowing? The answer
to the second is that each of them can be taken to have a meaning
that the other does not have, and so when the bleeding stops, she still
has the ruling of being menstruating until she has a ghūsl. They do
not say that, as we made clear. It is agreed that she is still
menstruating, and it is not permitted to have sex with a
menstruating women. Furthermore, if they said that it demands that
it is permitted to have sex when the bleeding ends at the maximum
length, what we said demands prohibition. When there is a conflict
between what demands prohibition and what demands
permissibility, then prohibition takes precedence as was stated by ʿAlī
and ʿUthmān in respect of having two sisters by virtue of ownership:
one āyah makes them lawful and the other āyah makes then unlawful. The prohibition takes precedence. Allah knows best.

Scholars disagree about whether a woman of the People of the Book should be forced to have a ghūsl or not. In the transmission of Ibn al-Qāsim, Mālik said that she is compelled to have one in order to make intercourse with her husband lawful. Allah says: ‘Do not approach them until they have purified themselves.’ He says that she should do it with water and that the instruction does not single out just Muslim women. Ashhab, however, relates from Mālik that she is not compelled to do so because she does not believe in that instruction. Since Allah says: ‘It is not lawful for them to conceal what Allah has created in their wombs if they believe in Allah and the Last day.’ (2:228) That refers to menstruation and pregnancy. The instruction is addressed to believing women. Allah says: ‘There is no compulsion where the dīn is concerned.’ (2:256) This is stated by Maḥmūd ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam.

The ghūsl that a menstruating woman performs is the same as that performed on account of janābah. She does not have to undo her braids when doing it, based on Muslim’s relation that Umm Salamah said, ‘I said, “Messenger of Allah, I have tight braids on my head. Do I have to undo them for the ghūsl for janābah?”’ He answered, “No, it is enough for you to pour three handfuls of water on your head, then water over yourself, and you will be purified.” One variant has: ‘undo them on account of menstruation and janābah.’

then go to them in the way that Allah has enjoined on you.’

This means to have sexual intercourse with them. It is a command which gives permission. ‘Go to’ is a euphemism for sexual intercourse. This is strengthened by the mention of purification with water since that is a form of command from Allah which is only complete. Allah knows best. The particle ‘min’ here means ‘in’, namely where Allah has commanded which is the vagina. ‘Min’ is used to mean ‘in’ in other āyahs. It is said that it means ‘in the
manner in which He has permitted you,’ so not while fasting, in *iḥrām* or while in *iʿtikāf*. Al-Aṣamm said that. Ibn ‘Abbās and Abū Razīn said that it means in a state of purity, not during menstruation. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that. Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥanafiyyah said that it means lawfully and not in fornication.

**Allah loves those who turn back from wrongdoing and He loves those who purify themselves.**

There is disagreement about what this means. It is said that it refers to those who turn back from wrong action and *shirk* and those who purify themselves with water from *janābah* and minor impurities. ‘Āṭā’ and others said that. Mujāhid said that it is turning back from wrong actions and also from anal intercourse with women. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘It appears to be a reference to the words about the people of Lūṭ in 7:82.’ It is said that those purify themselves are those who have not committed wrong actions. Someone who repents is someone who does not despair of gaining Allah’s mercy and is not arrogant as we see in another *āyah*: ‘But some of them wrong themselves; some are ambivalent; and some outdo each other in good.’ (35:32)

223 Your women are fertile fields for you, so come to your fertile fields however you like. Send good ahead for yourselves and be fearful of Allah. Know that you are going to meet Him. And give good news to the believers.

**Your women are fertile fields for you,**

It is related in Muslim from Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh: ‘The Jews used to say, “If a man has intercourse with a woman from the rear, the child will be squint-eyed.”’ Then this *āyah* was revealed. The transmission from az-Zuhrī adds, ‘If he wishes, when she is lying on her front, and if he wishes, when she is not lying on her front, as long as it is only
the one opening.’ At-Tirmidhī mentioned it.

Al-Bukhārī related that Nāfi’ said, ‘When Ibn ‘Umar recited the Qur’an, he would not speak until he finished it. I came to him one day and he recited Sūrat al-Baqarah until he reached a place whereupon he asked, “Do you know about what this was revealed?” “No,” I answered. He said, “It was revealed about such-and-such.” Then he continued. It is related by ‘Abd aṣ-Ṣamad from his father from Ayyūb from Nāfi’ that Ibn ‘Umar said that this āyah means ‘however you like’. Al-Ḥumaydī says that the word refers to the vagina. Abū Dāwud related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Ibn ‘Umar (may Allah forgive him!) misunderstood something. There was this tribe of the Anṣār, who had been idolaters, and the tribe of the Jews, who were People of the Book, and they [the Anṣār] used to think that the Jews were superior to them in knowledge. Therefore they used to imitate much of what they did. They had commanded the People of the Book not to have intercourse with women except on one side [i.e. lying on their backs] as that was more concealing for the woman. This tribe of the Anṣār adopted this from them. The tribe of Quraysh used to uncover women completely and enjoy them coming to them from in front and behind and lying on their backs. When the Muhājirūn came to Madīnah and a man married a woman of the Anṣār, he would act with her in that way and she disliked it and told him, “We are approached on one side! Do that or keep away from me!” This business spread and it reached the Prophet ﷺ. Then Allah Almighty revealed: “Come to your fertile fields however you like,” meaning from in front and behind and lying on the back, referring to the place where the child is born [i.e. the vagina].’

At-Tirmidhī related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, “Umar went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and said, “Messenger of Allah, I am destroyed!” “What has destroyed you?” he asked. He said, “Last night I changed my direction.” The Messenger of Allah ﷺ did not say anything. Then Allah revealed this āyah to the Messenger of
Allah ﷺ: “Your women are fertile fields for you, so come to your fertile fields however you like.” [He said,] “Approach from the front or the back, but avoid the anus and menstruation.” He said that this is a sound ḥasan ḥadīth.

An-Nasā’ī related that Abu-n-Naḍr said that he said to Nāfi‘, the freedman of Ibn ‘Umar, ‘It is often said that you say that Ibn ‘Umar gave a fatwā that one can have anal intercourse with women.’ Nāfi‘ exclaimed, ‘They have lied about me! I will tell you how the business is. Ibn ‘Umar read the Qur’an to me one day while I was with him until we reached: “Your women are fertile fields for you.” Do you know what this āyah commands? We, the Quraysh, used to have women lie on their backs. When we arrived in Madīnah and married the women of the Anṣār, we wanted from them what men want from their wives and they disliked that and thought it terrible. The women of the Anṣār used to have sex on their sides. Then Allah revealed: “Your women are fertile fields for you, so come to your fertile fields however you like.”’

These ḥadīthṣ provide a text about the permission for all forms when it is vaginal intercourse, i.e. whatever position you wish, front or back, reclining or lying on the back. As for sex in that part which is not permitted, it is not permitted! The āyah uses the word “ḥarth” (fields) and so this must apply to the vagina, because that entails fertility. Metaphorically, a woman is like the earth, the sperm is like the seed and the child is like the plants

So come to your fertile fields however you like.

The majority of the Companions, the Tābi‘ūn and the imams qualified to give fatwā say that it means whatever position, front or back. The word for ‘however’ (annā) has various meanings in Arabic: however, whenever, and wherever. ‘However’ refers to the manner of joining. One group who say it means ‘wherever’ say that it is permitted to penetrate the anus. Among those to whom this view is ascribed are Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, Nāfi‘, Ibn ‘Umar, Muḥammad
ibn Ka‘b al-Quraẓī and ‘Abd al-Malik ibn al-Mājishūn. It is also related from Mālik in a book called Kitāb as-Sīrr. However, the astute Mālikīs and their shaykhs reject that book. Mālik is too esteemed to have a ‘Kitāb as-Sīrr’. This view is found in al-‘Utbīyyah. Ibn al-‘Arabī mentioned that Ibn Sha‘bān ascribes this view to a large group of the Companions and Tābi‘ūn. There are many transmissions ascribed to Mālik in Kitāb Jimā‘ an-Niswān wa-l-Āḥkām al-Qur’ān. At-Ṭabarī said, ‘It is related that Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b al-Quraẓī saw nothing wrong with that. In that he interpreted the following words of Allah: “Of all beings, do you lie with males, leaving the wives your Lord has created for you?” (26:165) He said that it implies: “You leave the like of that with your wives and if the like of that had not been permitted with wives, that would not be sound.” It is not the site which is permitted so that you say, “You do that and leave its like which is permitted.”’ At-Ṭabarī said, ‘This is debatable since it means: “You leave what your Lord created for you of your wives in which you still your appetite and the pleasure of intercourse is obtained by the two of them together.” According to this, the rebuke is permitted. His words: “Once they have purified themselves, then go to them in the way that Allah has enjoined on you” and “Come to your fertile fields” indicate that it is one site in particular, and it is confined to the site of childbirth.’

This is what is true regarding this matter. Abū ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-Barr mentioned that scholars do not disagree that a woman whose vagina is closed so that there is no way to have sexual intercourse with her has a defect for which she can be rejected, with the exception of something that has come from ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz by a path that is not sound that there can be no rejection on account of a closed vagina or anything else. All the fuqahā’ take a different view because touching is what is desired in marriage. Their consensus about this is evidence that an old woman who cannot bear children is not rejected. What is sound in respect of this matter is what we explained.
What is ascribed to Mālik and his people regarding this is false and they are innocent of that because the permission is particularly connected to the place of the field because of His words and because the wisdom in creating couples is the bringing about of progeny. The power of marriage is only obtained by the site of procreation. This is the truth. Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘Shaykh Fakhruʾd-dīn Abū Bakr Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn al-Ḥusayn, the faqīh and imam of his time, said, “The vagina is the thing most like thirty-five things,” and he made a fist with his hand then said, “The urinary passage has thirty. The passage of the penis and vagina contain five. Allah has made the vagina unlawful during menstruation because of the impurity arriving. So it even more proper for the anus to be unlawful because of the inevitable impurity.”’ Mālik said to Ibn Wahb and ‘Alī ibn Ziyad when they informed him that some people in Egypt claimed that he allowed anal intercourse, that he objected to that and rebuked the one who told him: ‘They have lied about me! They have lied about me! They have lied about me!’ Then he asked, ‘Are they not an Arab people? Did not Allah say: “Come to your fertile fields”? Is a fertile field other than a place capable of growth?’

What opponents cite about the phrase ‘…however you like’ including all passages, because it is undefined, is not a true argument since it is specific to what we mentioned. There are also numerous hadīths which are excellent, sound and well-known, related from twelve Companions with different texts, all of which forbid anal intercourse with women. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal mentioned them in his Musnad as did Abū Dāwud, an-Nasāʾī, at-Tirmidhī and others. Abu-l-Faraj ibn al-Jawzī compiled them, along with their paths of transmission, in a section entitled: ‘Prohibition of the disliked place’. Our Shaykh, Abu-l-ʿAbbās has a section entitled ‘Exposition on turning away from those who allow anal intercourse.’

This is the truth which is followed and what is sound regarding this matter. Someone who believes in Allah and the Last Day must not
be turned, in this calamity, to a scholastic error after having it rectified. We should be cautious about scholastic errors. Something different is related from Ibn ‘Umar in which he said that someone who does it is an unbeliever. That is what he held to. May Allah be pleased with him. Similarly Nāfi’ said that the one who reported the previous transmission from him was lying, as an-Nasā’ī mentioned. Mālik denied it and thought it terrible and said that those who ascribed it to him were lying. Abū Muḥammad ad-Dārimī related in his Musnad from Abu-l-Ḥubāb that Sa‘īd ibn Yasār said, ‘I asked Ibn ‘Umar, “What do you say about slavegirls when one ‘pastures’ with them?” He asked, “What is ‘pasturing’?” I mentioned to him that it was anal intercourse. He exclaimed, “Do any of the Muslims do that!”’ It is transmitted that Khuzaymah ibn Thābit said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah say, “People! Allah is not shy of the truth. Do not go women from their rear.”’ Something similar is reported from ‘Alī ibn Ṭalq. Abū Hurayrah reported that the Prophet said, ‘If someone has anal sex with a woman, Allah will not look at him on the Day of Rising.’ Abū Dāwud aṭ-Ṭayālisī related in his Musnad from Qatādah from ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb from his father from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr that the Prophet said, ‘That is the lesser sodomy.’ It is related that Ṭāwūs said, ‘The actions of the people of Lūṭ started with committing sodomy with women.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘The fact that something is confirmed from the Prophet dispenses with the need for any further evidence.’

Send good ahead for yourselves and be fearful of Allah

Do what will be of benefit to you on the Last Day. Allah says elsewhere: “Any good you send ahead for yourselves you will find with Allah.” (2:110) So it means obedience and righteous actions. It is also said that it means seeking children and progeny since a child is good for you in this world and the Next. He may be an intercessor and protection for you. It is said it means marriage with a chaste woman so that the ensuing child will be pure and righteous. It is said that it
is excess as the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Anyone who has three of his children die before reaching the age of [accountable] sin will not be touched by the Fire except that which will fulfill an oath.’ This will be dealt with in Maryam, Allah willing. Ibn ‘Abbās and ‘Aṭā’ said that it means to mention Allah before sexual intercourse as the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘If, when he goes to his wife, one of you were to say, “In the Name of Allah. O Allah, keep Shayṭān away from us and keep Shayṭān away from what You provide us with” and a child is decreed for them, Shayṭān will never harm him.’ Muslim transmitted it.

**Know that you are going to meet Him.**

This is a far-reaching threat, because Allah will repay people both for their piety and for any wrong actions they commit. Ibn ‘Uyaynah related that ‘Amr ibn Dīnār heard Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr say that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say while he was giving an address, “You will meet Allah barefooted, naked, on foot and uncircumcised.” Then the Messenger of Allah ﷺ recited: “Have taqwā of Allah and know that you are going to meet Him.”’ Muslim transmitted it.

‘Give good news to the believers’ is to make it easy to do good actions and seek the paths of guidance.

224 Do not, by your oaths, make Allah a pretext to avoid good action and being fearful of Him and putting things right between people. Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

**Do not, by your oaths, make Allah a pretext**

Scholars say that Allah commands people to spend on, and behave well towards, orphans and women and, as a corollary, He is saying, ‘Do not reject anything of noble character, using as an excuse, “I took an oath that I would not do such-and-such a thing.”’ That is
what Ibn ‘Abbās, an-Nakha‘ī, Mujāhid ar-Rabī‘ and others said that the āyah means. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said, ‘It is about a man refusing to be pious, or to maintain contact with his kin, or to put things right between people, all of which constitute goodness, saying that he took an oath not to.’ Some commentators say that it means ‘Do not swear falsely by Allah if you truly desire good and that things be put right.’ It is said that it simply means to make an excessive number of oaths by Allah because such oaths are more likely to move people. That is why Allah says, ‘Keep your oaths.’ (5:89) He censured making an excessive number of oaths: ‘Do not obey any vile swearer of oaths.’ (68:10) The Arabs praise making a small number of oaths as one says:

Having few oaths, he keeps his oaths.
If he makes an oath, he fulfils it.

This means that making few oaths comes from piety and taqwā. If someone makes many oaths, then he also breaks them and rarely guards the right of Allah Almighty. This is a good interpretation. Mālik ibn Anas said, ‘I have heard that people swear by Allah to everything.’ It is said that it means: ‘Do not make oaths commonplace in respect of everything, both true and false.’ Az-Zajjāj and others said that the āyah means that when a person is asked to do a good action, he uses Allah as an excuse and says, ‘I have sworn an oath’ which he has not, in fact, sworn. Al-Qutabī said that it means: ‘When you swear not to maintain ties with your kin, not to give ṣadaqah and not to put things right and similar good deeds, then you should expiate your oath.’ This is an excellent position, and it is also what is indicated by the reason for the revelation as we will make clear.

It is said that it was revealed about the time when Abū Bakr made an oath not to give any more money to his poor kinsman Miṣṭah, when he gossiped about ‘Ā’ishah, as we shall read in the story of the Lie which will be discussed in Sūrat an-Nūr (24). It is also said that it was revealed because of Abū Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīq when he swore that he
would not eat with his guests. It is also said that it was revealed about ‘Abdullāh ibn Rawāḥah when he swore not to speak to Bashīr ibn an-Nu’mān who was his son-in-law. Allah knows best.

The word “urḍah” (pretext) means a target, intention, obstacle, or something which strengthens and supports something, in this case, the oath. The term is used of a woman being a ‘target’ for marriage since it is good for her and strengthens her. It is also used for strength to travel and fight. It means: ‘Do not use your oaths to make Allah reinforce yourselves and as a means to refuse to do good.’

To avoid good action and being fearful of Him

It is more appropriate to do good, like ‘More fitting for them would be obedience and honourable words.’ (47:21) Az-Zajjāj and an-Naḥḥās said that.


225 Allah will not take you to task for careless statements in your oaths, but He will take you to task for the intention your hearts have made. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, All-Forbearing.

Allah will not take you to task for careless statements in your oaths,

The expression ‘laghw’ (careless statements) is a verbal noun that means making use of unnecessary words or things which are not good but which do not actually involve wrongdoing. It is used in a ḥadīth to describe someone else speaking while the imam is speaking on the minbar.

Scholars disagree about exactly what is meant by a careless or ineffective oath. Ibn ‘Abbās said that it refers to those oaths that people make while speaking or arguing when they say, ‘No, by Allah’ and ‘Yes, by Allah’ without really intending to make an actual oath. Al-Marwazi says, ‘The ineffective oath, about which scholars agree,
are the careless words of a man, “No, by Allah” and “Yes, by Allah”, when an actual oath is not intended.’ Ibn Wahb related from Yūnus from Ibn Shihāb from ‘Urwah that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘A careless oath is one made when arguing or joking and words which the heart does not intend.’ We find in al-Bukhārī that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘The words of Allah, “Allah will not take you to task for careless statements in your oaths” was revealed about when a man says, “No, by Allah” and “Yes, by Allah.”’

It is said that a careless oath is one which is based on speculation and so the truth may turn out to be different. Mālik said that and Ibn al-Qāsim related it from him. A group of the Salaf also said that. Abū Hurayrah said, ‘When a man swears to something, thinking that it is true, and then it turns out that it is not true, that is a careless oath and there is no expiation owed for it.’ Something similar is related from Ibn ‘Abbās. It is related that some people exchanged words in the presence of the Messenger of Allah while they were shooting. One of them swore, “I hit it and you missed!” It turned out that the business was different and the man said, “He broke his oath, Messenger of Allah!” The Prophet said, “The oaths of archers are ineffective oaths. There is no breaking them and no expiation owed for them.”’ Mālik stated in the Muwaṭṭā’, ‘The best of what I have heard on the matter is that carelessness in oaths is when a man makes an oath about something which he feels certain is like he said, only to find that it is other than what he said. There is no kaffārah owed for it.’

If someone swears to something while knowing that he is lying and sinning, in order to please someone or to make an excuse to someone or to get some wealth, this is worse than an oath for which kaffārah is due. Kaffārah is due from someone who swears that he will not do something that it is permitted to do and then does it, or he that he will do something and then does not do it, as happens when he swears that he will not sell a garment for ten dirhams and then
sells it for that amount, or he swears that he will beat his boy and then does not beat him.

If it is a sound transmission, Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘A careless oath is what you swear when you are angry.’ Ṭāwūs said that. Ibn ‘Abbās related that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘There is no oath while someone is angry.’ Muslim transmitted it. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said that it is making what is lawful unlawful, as when someone says, ‘My property is unlawful to me if I do such-and-such,’ or ‘the unlawful is lawful to me.’ Ṭāwūs said that. Ibn ‘Abbās related that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘There is no oath while someone is angry.’ Muslim transmitted it. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said that it is making what is lawful unlawful, as when someone says, ‘My property is unlawful to me if I do such-and-such,’ or ‘the unlawful is lawful to me.’ Makḥūl ad-Dimishqī said that. Mālik said that this excludes oaths about his wife where the prohibition is binding unless he excludes her in his heart.

It is said that it is an oath to do something in which one disobeys Allah, as was stated by Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, Abū Bakr ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān, and ‘Urwah and ‘Abdullāh, the sons of az-Zubayr. That is like when someone swears to drink wine or sever ties with his kin. His keeping of his oath in that instance is to abandon that action, and he owes no kaffārah. Their argument is found in the ḥadīth of ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb from his father from his grandfather that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘If someone swears an oath and sees something else better than it, he should abandon it. His abandoning it is its kaffārah.’ Ibn Mājah transmitted it in the Sunan. It will be discussed in al-Mā‘īdah.

Zayd ibn Aslam said, ‘A careless oath is when someone invokes Allah against himself like, “May Allah blind me,” “May Allah remove my wealth,” “I am a Jew” or “I am an idolater.” This is a careless oath if he says that.’ Mujāhid said, ‘It is like when there are two men involved in a sale and one of them says, “By Allah, I will not sell it to you for that,” and the other says, “By Allah, I will not buy it for this.”’ An-Nakha‘ī said that it is a man swearing that he will not do something and then he forgets about having said that and does it. Ibn ‘Abbās and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that an ineffective oath is one that is expiated, i.e. when the oath is expiated, it is cancelled and
becomes of no note and Allah does not take him to task for its expiation and returning to what is better.

Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr related a position that ineffective oaths are those which are forced. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘As for an oath which is forgotten, there is no doubt that it is ineffective because it is different to his intention and so it is absolutely of no note. The oath of someone forced is of this sort, and the ruling of someone who is forced will be dealt with in an-Nahl, Allah willing.

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘As for those who say that an ineffective oath is an oath entailing disobedience to Allah, that is false because someone who swears to abandon an act entailing disobedience makes his oath an act of worship, and someone who swears to commit disobedience makes his oath disobedience and is told, “Do not do it. Expiate it.” If he does the action, he sins in advancing to do it to fulfil his oath.’ As for someone who says that it entails a man invoking against himself that something will happen to him if he does not do a certain thing, it is careless words in the path of kaffārah, but it is binding when it is intentional, but disliked. He may be punished for it because the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘None of you should invoke against himself. It is possible that it will coincide with a moment in which Allah grants anything that He is asked.’ As for someone who says that it is an oath in anger, he is refuted by the oath of the Prophet ﷺ in anger that he would not give camels to ride to the Ash‘arīs and then he did so and expiated his oath as will be explained in at-Tawbah.

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘As for those who say that it is an expiated oath, it is not pertinent to what is related.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah also says that it is weak. He said, ‘Generally speaking, Allah removed any calling to task for a careless oath. The reality is that it is not a sin and there is no expiation required for it. Taking to task for oaths is punishment in the Next World for a deliberately false oath and for those whose kaffārah has not been done when it is something for which there
should be *kaffārah*, and taking to task in this world is by making *kaffārah* necessary. Therefore the statement that it is an expiated oath is weak because there is censure for it and censure is for its being in the Next World.’

‘Aymān’ (oaths) is the plural of ‘yamīn’ which literally means ‘right hand,’ and the basis for that is that among the Arabs, when they made a contract or alliance, they would shake each other’s right hands and so an oath became termed *yamīn*. It is said that it is because it contains blessing (*yumn*). Allah called it that because it preserves rights.

**but He will take you to task for the intention your hearts have made.**

This is an adjunct to Allah’s words: *‘Allah does not take you to task for your inadvertent oaths.’* (5:89) Zayd ibn Aslam said that His words are about someone who says, ‘He is an idolater if he does it.’ This is careless unless he believes in *shirk* in his heart and acquires it. *‘Ever-Forgiving, All-Forbearing’* are two attributes appropriate to what was mentioned about not taking to task since they are aspects of compassion and leniency.

226 Those who swear to abstain from sexual relations with their wives can wait for a period of up to four months. If they then retract their oath, Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful. 227 If they are determined to divorce, Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

**Those who swear to abstain from sexual relations with their wives**

This kind of oath is called *īlā*’ in Arabic. There are other forms of the verbal noun from the verb: *aliyyah*, *alwah* and *ilwah*. ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Abbās said that the *īlā*’ in the days of Jāhiliyyah could be for one, two or even more years. Men intended by that to harm their wives and so a maximum time of four months was set for it. If someone
vows less than that it is not considered an īlā’. According to Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, it is said that the Prophet pronounced an īlā’ because his wives asked him for maintenance which he did not have. It is said that it is because Zaynab returned his gift to him and he became angry with his wives. This is what we find in Ibn Mājah.

Īlā’ has the same legal conditions as a pronouncement of divorce and a free person, slave or drunk person can invoke it, as can a simpleton and one under a guardian, provided that he is adult and not insane. The same is true of a hermaphrodite if he is not mad and an elderly man as long as he is still alive and active. The position of ash-Shāfi‘ī varies regarding an īlā’ by a eunuch. One view says that he cannot make an īlā’ and another says that it is sound. The first is more correct and closer to the Book and the Sunnah. Sexual intercourse is what cancels the oath and a verbal statement about it does not cancel it. As long as the oath remains unbroken the ruling of īlā’ remains.

Scholars disagree about the kind of oath by which īlā’ occurs. Some say it only occurs with an oath by Allah alone since the Prophet said, ‘Whoever swears should swear by Allah or be silent.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that in his new school. Ibn ‘Abbās says that any oath that prevents sexual intercourse is sufficient for it. That is what is said by ash-Sha‘bī, an-Nakha‘ī, Mālik, the people of Hijaz, Sufyān ath-Thawrī and the people of Iraq, ash-Shāfi‘ī in his first view, Abū Thawr, Abū ‘Ubayd, Ibn al-Mundhir and Qāḍī Abū Bakr ibn al-‘Arabī. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said that it applies to every oath because of which a man cannot have intercourse with his wife. Unless he breaks it, he is carrying out an īlā’ when the oath goes more than four months. So it is all a person can swear by: by Allah, by one of His attributes, by saying, ‘I swear by Allah’ or ‘I bear witness by Allah’, or ‘I have a promise or pact or pledge with Allah’. In all these cases it is an īlā’. If, however, a man says, ‘I swear’ and does not mention Allah, it is said that it is not an īlā’ unless he means
‘by Allah’ and intends that. This will be further explained in *al-Mā‘īdah*. If he swears that he will fast if he has sexual intercourse with his wife and says, ‘If I have sex with my wife, I will fast for a month or a year,’ that is an īlā‘. That same is true if it involves divorce, emancipation, prayer or ṣadaqah. The basis for this is the generality of Allah’s words: ‘Those who swear to abstain.’ He made no distinction about what makes it obligatory.

If a man makes an oath not to have intercourse and says ‘inshā‘illāh’, it can still be an īlā‘, but if, in that instance, he has intercourse with his wife, he owes no kaffārah in the transmission of Ibn al-Qāsim from Mālik. Ibn al-Mājishūn said in *al-Mabsūṭ* that in that case it is not an īlā‘ and that is sounder because of the “*inshā‘illāh*” which undoes the oath. That is the position of the people of all regions because he makes it clear by saying ‘*inshā‘illāh*’ that he is not resolved on the action. The reason for what Ibn al-Qāsim related is based on the fact that ‘*inshā‘illāh*’ does not undo the oath, but has an effect on cancelling kaffārah as will be explained in *al-Mā‘īdah*. When the oath remains, then the ruling of īlā‘ is obliged for him, even if kaffārah is not obliged.

If a man swears by the Prophet, the angels, or the Ka‘bah not to have intercourse or says that he is a Jew or a Christian or fornicator if he does, this is not an īlā‘. Mālik and others said that. Al-Bājī said, ‘I believe that it means it is not a form of the oath unless he meant by what he said for it to be an īlā‘.’ According to *al-Mabsūṭ*: ‘Ibn al-Qāsim was asked about whether a man who tells his wife, “You are not welcome,” means an īlā‘ by that. He said that Mālik said, “All words by which divorce are intended are divorce. This is divorce in any case.”’

Scholars disagree about the īlā‘ mentioned in the Qur’an. Ibn ‘Abbās said that it is not an īlā‘ unless he swears that he will never touch her. One group said that if he swears that he will not go near his wife for a day, or more or less than that, and then does not have
sex with her for four months, she is free from him by īlā’. This is related from Ibn Maṣʿūd, an-Nakhaʿī, Ibn Abī Laylā, al-Ḥakam, Ḥammād ibn Abī Sulaymān and Qatādah, and Ishāq said that. Ibn al-Mundhir said that many of the people of knowledge deny this view. Most say that an īlā’ is that a man swears not to have sex with his wife for more than four months. If he swears that for four months or less, it is not an īlā’. They consider it to be a simple oath. If he has sex with her in this period he owes nothing as is the case with other oaths. This is the view of Mālik, ash-Shāfiʿī, Aḥmad and Abū Thawr. Ath-Thawrī and the Kufans said that an īlā’ is that a man swears to four months or more. That is the view of ‘Aṭā’.

The Kufans said that Allah made the waiting period in the īlā’ four months as He made the ‘iddah of a widow four months and ten days. There are three menstrual cycles in the ‘iddah and there is no waiting after it. They said that it is mandatory that the īlā’ is cancelled after that period, and it is only cancelled by intercourse within the period. There is a divorce after four months. Mālik and ash-Shāfiʿī argued that Allah assigned four months for the īlā’ and so he can have it in full without any protest from his wife in it, just as happens in the case of a deferred debt where the one owed it cannot demand it until the end of the stipulated period. The approach of Ishāq about a short period in which a person is doing īlā’ when he does not have sex is based on someone making an oath to do more than four months: he is doing an īlā’ because he intended harm by the oath. This idea exists in a short period.

They disagree about someone who swears not to have sex with his wife for more than four months and then the four months comes to an end without his wife requesting it or presenting the case to the ruler to enforce a stop. According to Mālik and most of the people of Madīnah nothing is obliged for him. Some of our scholars say that after the end of four months a revocable divorce has taken place. Some of them and others said that he has a final divorce at the end
of four months. The sound position is that of Mālik and his people: a divorce is not obliged for the person with the īlā’ until the ruler asks him to stop at the request of his wife to have sex. Then he can take his wife back through sexual intercourse and expiate his oath or divorce her. He must either have intercourse or divorce her. Sulaymān ibn Yasār said, ‘Nine of the Companions of the Prophet ⚭ detained people on account of an īlā’.’ Mālik said, ‘That is what is done with us.’ Al-Layth, ash-Shāfi‘i, Aḥmad, Iṣḥāq and Abū Thawr said that. Ibn al-Mundhir preferred it.

The term of the īlā’ starts from the day of the oath, not from the day the woman argues with him and presents the case to the judge. If she argues with him and is not content with his refusal of sex, the ruler sets for him a term of four months from the day he swore the oath. If he has sex with her, he has fulfilled the wife’s right and then he expiates his oath. If he does not have sex, then a revocable divorce is carried out. Mālik said, ‘If he takes her back, it is not validated until he has had sex with her during the ‘iddah.’ Al-Abhurī said, ‘That is because divorce occurs to avert harm. When he does not have sex with her, the harm continues. There is no sense in taking her back without that unless he has a reason that prevents sexual intercourse. If there is, then his taking her back is validated because the harm has disappeared since his refusal to have sex is not about causing harm, but comes from a valid excuse.’

Scholars disagree about an īlā’ when one is not angry. Ibn ‘Abbās said that an īlā’ is only possible in anger. That is related from ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib in the well-known position reported from him. Al-Layth, ash-Sha‘bī, al-Ḥasan and ‘Aṭā’ all said that the īlā’ is only made in a state of anger, harshness and desire to cause trouble. It is the same whether that upholds the best interests of a child or not. If it does not come from anger, it is not īlā’. Ibn Sīrīn said, ‘It is the same whether the oath is done in anger or not: it is still īlā’.’ Ibn Mas‘ūd, ath-Thawrī, Mālik, the people of Iraq, ash-Shāfi‘ī and his people
and Aḥmad said that. Mālik, however, said, ‘as long as the best interests of a child is not intended by it.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘This is sounder because they agree that ziḥār, divorce and other oaths are the same in both anger and pleasure. So the īlā’ is like that.’ This is indicated by the general nature of the Qur’an. Making it is specific to anger would require a proof. Allah knows best.

Our scholars have said that if a man refuses to have intercourse with his wife without making an oath in order to harm her, he is ordered to have intercourse with her. If he refuses to do so, then they are divorced without a term being set. It is also said that the term of the īlā’ is set. It is said that it is not īlā’ when a man shuns his wife, even if that lasts for years and he does not have sex with her. However, he is admonished and commanded to have taqwā of Allah by not causing her harm.

They disagree about someone who swears not to have sex with his wife until he weans her child so that the child does not become squint-eyed, without intending harm to her, until the end of the period of suckling. During that period the wife cannot make a demand of the husband according to Mālik because he is intending the best interests of the child. Mālik said, ‘I heard that ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib was asked about that and did not consider it to be īlā’. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that in one of his views. The other view is that it is īlā’. He does not take the suckling of the child into account. Abū Ḥanīfah said that.

Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah and his people, al-Awzā‘ī and Ahmad ibn Ḥanbal believed that he is not making an īlā’ when he swears not to have sex with his wife in this house or this land because he has a way to have sex with her elsewhere. Ibn Abī Laylā and Isḥāq said that if he leaves her for four months, she is clear of him by īlā’. Do you not see that he is detained for four months? If he swears not to have sex with her in his city or land, according to Mālik, it is considered to be an īlā’. This is when a journey would
entail hardship rather than in a garden or cultivated land nearby.

The words ‘their wives’ include free women, dhimmī women and slavegirls when they are married. An īlā’ made by a slave is binding. Ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad and Abū Thawr said that his īlā’ is the same as that of a free man. Their argument is the literal text of the Qur’an in this āyah which is general to all. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘That is what I say.’ Mālik, az-Zuhrī, ‘Aṭā’ ibn Abī Rabāḥ and Isḥāq said that the length of a slave’s īlā’ is two months. Al-Ḥasan and an-Nakha‘ī said that an īlā’ with a slavegirl is two months and that with a free woman is four months. Abū Ḥanīfah said that. Ash-Sha‘bī said that the īlā’ of a slavegirl is half that of a free woman.

Mālik and his people, Abū Ḥanīfah and his people, al-Awzā‘ī, an-Nakha‘ī and others said that the binding nature of an īlā’ is the same in a consummated and unconsummated marriage. Az-Zuhrī, ‘Aṭā’ and ath-Thawrī said that there can only be an īlā’ after consummation. Mālik said that there can be no īlā’ with a girl who has not yet reached puberty. If the husband pronounces the īlā’ and then the girl reaches puberty, the īlā’ becomes binding from the day she reaches puberty.

An īlā’ made by a dhimmī man is not valid. The same is true of his ḥīḥār and divorce. That is because we do not consider the marriages of the people of shirk to be valid. They do have a quasi-authority. Since dhimmīs are not bound by the Sharī‘ah, they owe no kaffārah. If they were to present themselves to us in a case of īlā’, it is not proper for our judges to render judgment. They should be sent to their own judges. If that occurs in the form of mutual injustice towards each other, then the ruling is that of Islam, as when a Muslim refuses to have sex with his wife in order to harm her, but without an oath.

can wait for a period of up to four months.

The word tarabbus means being patient and waiting. The reason for this period of time, according to Ibn ‘Abbās, has already been mentioned in what he said about the people of the Jāhiliyyah. Allah
forbade that and allowed a husband four months in which to discipline his wife by shunning her since Allah says: ‘...refuse to sleep with them’ (4:34) The Prophet parted from his wives in this manner for a month to rectify their behaviour. It is said that this is the maximum which a woman can endure. It is related that ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb used to go around Madīnah at night and heard a woman saying:

‘This night is long and dark 
and it pains me that I have no beloved with whom to play.
By Allah – were it not for Allah, there would be nothing but Him – the sides of this bed would shake!
Fear of Allah and modesty restrain me 
as well as the honour of my husband from doing it!’

In the morning ‘Umar sent for that woman and asked her, ‘Where is your husband?’ She replied, ‘You sent him to Iraq!’ Then he summoned the women and asked them about the length of time a wife could endure being without her husband. ‘Two months,’ they answered. ‘Her patience becomes stretched after three months, and disappears after four.’ Therefore ‘Umar made four months the maximum length of time for a man to be absent on a military expedition. Then they would be replaced by others. Allah knows best, but this makes it clearer why four months is singled out here.

**If they then retract their oath,**

This means retract it or go back on it. We see its usage in 49:9. The word ‘*fay*’ is also used for the shadow after midday because it returns from the west to the east. Ibn al-Mundhir said that all scholars, who are recorded, agree that retraction consists in having sexual intercourse unless someone has a valid excuse. If he has an excuse in the form of illness or imprisonment or the like, his simple statement of retraction is valid and she remains his wife. If the excuse ends, as when he returns from a journey, recovers from illness
or is released from prison, and he still refuses to have sexual intercourse, the couple are separated if the end of the time has been reached. Mālik stated that in the *Mudawwanah* and the *Mabsūṭ*. ‘Abd al-Malik said, ‘She is parted from him the day that the period ends. If he has a valid excuse for retraction which is possible, his truthfulness is judged retrospectively. If what he claims about retraction being impossible when, in fact, he was able to do so, then he is taken to be lying about it and stubborn. Rulings are carried out according to what is in force at the time.’

One group said that when there is proof of retraction at a time when an excuse exists, then it is allowed. Al-Ḥasan, ‘Ikrimah and an-Nakha‘ī said that. Al-Awsā‘ī said that. An-Nakhā‘ī said, ‘Retraction is only validated by a statement and witnesses. The ruling of *īlā‘* is cancelled. So what do you think if he does not rise to intercourse?’ Ibn ‘Atiyah said, ‘If he does not have sex, then this statement amounts to causing harm.’ Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal said, ‘If he has an excuse, he retracts in his heart.’ Abū Qilābah said that. Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘If he is unable to have sex, he says, “I have taken her back.”’ Aṭ–Ṭabarī said, ‘Abū Ḥanīfah says that if someone pronounces an *īlā‘* while he is ill and a period of four months passes when the wife has a blockage that prevents intercourse or is too young, and he retracts that verbally when the period has passed and the excuse remains, that is a sound retraction. Ash-Shāfi‘ī opposes him in one of his positions. One group said that retraction only takes place by sexual intercourse, whether it is at a time of valid excuse or not. That is what Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said and he said that it is the case when he is on a journey or in prison.

Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah and his people, and most scholars say that a man must do *kaffārah* if he retracts his oath. Al-Ḥasan said that there is no *kaffārah*. An-Nakha‘ī said that, stating: ‘They used to say that when he retracts it, he owes no *kaffārah*.’ Ishāq said, ‘Some commentators say that “If they then retract” means “if they then break
the oath.’” That is the position about oaths, in the view of some of the Tābi‘ūn, about someone who swears an oath to do good, have *taqwā* or some area of good if he does not do it. If he does it, then he owes no *kaffārah*. Their argument is found in Allah’s words: ‘*If they then retract their oath, Allah is Ever-Forgiving, All-Forbearing.*’ There is no mention of expiation here. This is also based on the ineffectiveness of an oath sworn to disobey Allah. Abandoning sex with one’s wife is an act of disobedience. There is evidence for this position in the Sunnah in the ḥadīth that ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb reported from his father from his grandfather that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘If someone swears an oath and then sees something better than it, he should abandon it. His abandoning it is its expiation.’ Ibn Mājah transmitted it in the *Sunan*. This is further explained in the *āyah* on oaths. The argument of the majority is in accordance with the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘If someone swears an oath and then sees something better than it, he should do what is better and expiate his oath.’

When he expiates his oath, the *īlā’* is cancelled. Our scholars said that. That contains evidence for putting *kaffārah* ahead of breaking the oath in the School. That is the consensus about the *īlā’*. The evidence is against Abū Ḥanīfah in the question of oaths since he does not think it is permissible to do *kaffārah* ahead of breaking the oath. Ibn al-‘Arabī said that. Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan used this *āyah* as evidence that it is not permitted to do *kaffārah* ahead of breaking the oath. He said, ‘Then Allah judged that the person doing an *īlā’* is subject to one of two rulings, retraction or resolving on divorce, because if he breaks the oath, nothing is incumbent on him for breaking it. When the one who breaks the oath is not obliged to do anything, he is not in an *īlā’*. The permission to do *kaffārah* first cancels the ruling of *īlā’* in a way other than how Allah mentions. That is contrary to the Book.’

**If they are determined to divorce,**

Determination (‘*azīma*) means to conclude a contract on something.
It comes from the verb ‘azama. It is also to adjure someone to do something. Shamr said, ‘Āzīmah and ‘azm is resolving to do something. Ṭalāq is divorce. A divorced women is both ṭāliq and ṭāliqah. Ṭalāq is to undo the marriage contract. The root meaning is to release and let go. Divorced women are let go and divorce is letting go. A released camel is called ‘ṭāliq’, meaning that it is left to graze without a lead or herdsman. The plural is aṭlāq. A woman who is divorced is free to go her own way. It is also said that it is derived from the running free (talaq) of a horse.

The āyah is evidence that the wife is not divorced simply by the conclusion of the period of four months. As Mālik says, ‘The divorce does not occur automatically after the time is up.’

**Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.**

Allah is referred to as ‘All-Hearing’ meaning that the pronouncement is heard after the time. Abū Ḥanīfah said that He hears the īlā’ and ‘knows’ the resolve. Suhayl ibn Abī Ṣāliḥ relates that his father said, ‘I asked twelve of the Companions of the Messenger of Allah about a man who does an īlā’ from his wife. All of them said that nothing is obliged for him until the end of four months. Then he is detained. If he does not retract, he is divorced.’ Qāḍī Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘The truth of the matter is that we think that the āyah means that the retraction is at the end. The divorce is by failing to retract it at the end of the period of waiting. This is an equal possibility.’

If the two possibilities are equal, then the position of the Kufans is stronger in analogy based on a woman in ‘iddah counting months and menstrual cycles since Allah has established that term. At the end of it, the bond is severed completely without dispute. Then her husband has no way to return to her without her permission. That is how it is with the īlā’. So if he forgets to retract it and the term ends, the divorce occurs. Allah knows best.

‘If they are determined on divorce” is evidence that there is no īlā’ with a
slave-girl with whom he has relations based on ownership since there is divorce. Allah knows best.

228 Divorced women should wait by themselves for three menstrual cycles; and it is not lawful for them to conceal what Allah has created in their wombs if they believe in Allah and the Last Day. Their husbands have the right to take them back within that time, if they desire to be reconciled. Women possess rights similar to those held over them to be honoured with fairness; but men have a degree above them. Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.

Divorced women should wait by themselves for three menstrual cycles;

Allah follows the mention of īlā’ by talking about women who have been divorced and clarifies the rulings applying to women after divorce has taken place. We find in Abū Dāwud and an-Nasā’ī from Ibn ‘Abbās about Allah’s words: ‘Divorced women should wait by themselves for three menstrual cycles;’ ‘That is because when a man divorces a woman, he is still entitled to her, but if he divorces her three times, that is superseded when He says: ‘Divorce is twice…’. ‘Divorced women’ is general but what is meant here specifically are those in a consummated marriage. This excludes those divorced before consummation which is dealt with in the āyah in al-Aḥzāb: ‘… there is no ‘iddah for you to calculate for them.’ (33:49) The same is true of pregnant women, about whom Allah says: ‘The time for women who are pregnant is when they give birth.’ (65:4) The purpose of the menstrual cycles is to ascertain whether or not the woman is pregnant, whereas
the waiting period at the time of bereavement is for worship. If the woman does not menstruate due to youth or age, then the time is counted in months rather than menstrual cycles. Some people say that the generality of the term ‘divorced women’ first included all of these and was then abrogated. That is weak. The āyah is about menstruating women in particular. It is the most common state of women.

‘Tarabbuṣ’ means waiting as we already stated. Although it is phrased as a report, the waiting referred to here is in fact a command. This is the undoubted position of linguists according to what Ibn ash-Shajarī. Ibn al-‘Arabī said that this is false and that it is simply a report about a ruling of the Sharī‘ah. That must be a report from Allah Almighty. It is said that there is an implied ‘li’ omitted at the beginning of ‘tarrabuṣ’.

Most people recite ‘menstrual cycles’ as qurū’ with a hamzah while Nāfi‘ has quruw. It is the plural of agru’ and aqrā’ which is, in turn, the plural of qur’. Al-Aṣma‘ī said that. Abū Zayd said that it is qar’. The word also can mean the end of menstruation. Some of them say that it is the time between two menstrual periods. Abū ‘Amr ibn al-‘Alā’ said, ‘Some Arabs call menstruation qur’ and some use that term for purity and some use it for both.’ An-Naḥḥās mentioned that.

Scholars disagree about which of them is meant here. The people of Kufa say that it is the time of menstruation which is meant, based on what ‘Umar, ‘Alī, Ibn Mas‘ūd, Abū Mūsā, Mujāhid, Qatādah, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, ‘Ikrimah and as-Suddī said, while the people of the Hijaz say that it is the period of purity which is meant, which is the position of ‘Ā’ishah, Ibn ‘Umar, Zayd ibn Thābit, az-Zuhri, Abān ibn ‘Uthmān, and ash-Shāfi‘ī. Those who consider it to be menstruation do so because the blood collects (qara‘a) in the womb and those who consider it to be purity do so because the blood collects in the body. That which is precise about the matter is that the term is about time as one says, ‘The wind blew in its time (li-
It is said that menstruation has a time and purity has a time since they both refer to a known time.

Some people say that the word is derived from the settling (qur’) of water in a basin where it collects. The Qur’an takes its name from the fact that it collects meanings or is collection of letters. The womb collects blood at the time of menstruation and the body collects it in the period of purity. Abū ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘The view of those who say that qur’ is derived from the word for water collecting in a basin amounts to nothing because qur’ has a hamzah and that word does not.’ This is sound as it is transmitted by linguists like al-Jawhari and others: the noun for water settling is qirā and qur’ is moving from purity to menstruation or menstruation to purity. That is what ash-Shāfi’ī said: it is moving from purity to menstruation. He did not think that it is moving from menstruation to purity. This demands that it is qur’, based on derivation, and the āyah means three cycles or three movements.

A divorced woman is described by both states – sometimes she is moving from purity to menstruation and sometimes from menstruation to purity – and so the meaning of the words is in order. It directs to the movement of menstruation and purity and so it is a shared word. It is said that if it is confirmed that qur’ is movement, then her moving from purity to menstruation is not meant at all by the āyah. That is by the sunnah commanding that divorce does not take place during menstruation. That is a divorce with an ‘iddah. If it is a divorce with an ‘iddah, then it is done in a state of purity. That indicates that qur’ is derived from the movement.

Since divorce in a state of purity is sunnah, the words imply that their ‘iddah is three changes. The first is from the purity in which the divorce occurred and which the change from menstruation to purity does not make a qur’ because the language indicates that. However, we recognise another proof. Allah did not mean the change from menstruation to purity. When one of them is removed, then what is
meant is the other, which is the change from purity to menstruation. On this basis, there are three changes, the first of which is purity. According to this, it is possible to fulfil three full cycles when divorce is done in a state of purity. It is not used metaphorically in any way. ʿAttar said, ‘This is a fine inspection at the end of the direction taken by ash-Shāfiʿī. It is possible that in that we mention a secret that it is not hard to understand in the fine points of the Sharīʿah. It is that the change from purity to menstruation is a qurʿ since it indicates that the womb is clear. Normally, a pregnant woman does not menstruate and so menstruation indicates that the womb is empty.’

The change from menstruation to purity is different to this. A menstruating woman can become pregnant at the end of her menstrual period. When pregnancy continues and the child is strong, then the bleeding stops. That is why Arab women become pregnant while pure.

This is how scholars and linguists interpret qurʿ. They use the verb for a woman when she menstruates, when she is pure and when she becomes pregnant and agree qurʿ refers to a time. If you were to say, ‘Divorced women should wait by themselves for three times,’ the ʿāyah would explain the probable number in what is counted and so clarification must be sought elsewhere. Our evidence is found in Allah’s words: ‘…divorce them during their period of purity (ʿiddah)’ (65:1), There is no disagreement about the fact that one is commanded to divorce during purity and it is necessary that it is what is considered in the ʿiddah. When He says: ‘…divorce them,’ He means in a time in which she can do ʿiddah. Then He says: ‘calculate their ʿiddah,’ meaning what the divorced woman observes which is the state of purity in which she is divorced. The Prophet ʿ said to ʿUmar, ‘Order him to take her back and then keep her until she is pure and then to wait until she menstruates and then becomes pure again. That is the ʿiddah which Allah has commanded for divorced women.’
Muslim and others transmitted it. It is a text about the time of purity being called ‘iddah which is the time in which women are divorced.

There is no disagreement that a woman who is divorced while menstruating does not count that menstruation, but when she is divorced while pure, that period of purity is counted in the ‘iddah by the majority. That is more appropriate. Abū Bakr ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān said, ‘We did not meet any of our fiqhahā’ who did not take the position of ‘Ā’ishah about ‘aqrā’ being periods of purity. When a man divorces a woman in a period of purity, during which he did not have sex with her, she observes ‘iddah for the rest of it, whether it is only an hour or an instant. Then she starts another period of purity after her menstruation and then a third after the second menstruation. When she sees the blood of the third menstruation, she is lawful to marry and leaves her ‘iddah. If someone divorces a woman during a period of purity, during which he has had sex with her, the divorce is binding, but he has behaved badly and she observes ‘iddah for the rest of that period of purity. Az-Zuhrī said that when a woman is divorced in a state of purity, her ‘iddah is three periods of purity other than the rest of that period. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘I do not know of anyone who says that ‘aqrā’ are periods of purity and then takes this view other than Ibn Shihāb az-Zuhrī. He said that the period of purity in which she is divorced does not count. Then she must observe three periods of purity because of Allah’s words in the āyah.’

According to this view, a divorced woman is not lawful until after the fourth menstrual period. The position of Ibn al-Qāsim, Mālik and most of his people, ash-Shāfi‘ī and the scholars of Madīnah is that when a divorced women sees the first drop of the third period, she is free of the bond. That is the school of Zayd ibn Thābit, ‘Ā’ishah and Ibn ‘Umar. Āḥmad ibn Ḥanbal also said that and it is what Dāwud ibn ‘Alī and his people said. The argument against az-Zuhrī is that the Prophet ﷺ gave permission to divorce a woman in
a state of purity without intercourse and did not stipulate the beginning or end of the period. Ashhab said, ‘The bond and inheritance are not severed until it is certain that it is menstrual blood to ensure that it is not a single gush which is not menstrual blood. The Kufans argue by what the Prophetﷺ said to Fāṭimah bint Abī Ḥubaysh when she complained of bleeding to him, ‘That is a vein. Wait and when you see your menstrual period, do not pray. When the period passes, then purify yourself and then pray from one period to another.’

The Almighty says: ‘In the case of those of your wives who are past the age of menstruation, if you have any doubt, their ‘iddah should be three months.’ (65:4) This indicates that, in the case of the ‘iddah of a woman beyond the age of menstruation, the time is counted in months. ‘Umar said in the presence of the Companions, ‘A slavegirl’s ‘iddah is two months, half that of a free woman. If you are able to make it one and a half months, do so.’ No one objected to that. That indicates that it is their consensus. It is also the view of the Companions, including the first four caliphs. What they have said is enough for you! Allah’s words: ‘Divorced women should wait by themselves for three menstrual cycles,’ indicate that because it means to wait for three full cycles. This is only possible based on saying that they are menstrual cycles because if someone says that they are periods of purity, then it is possible to do an ‘iddah of two periods of purity and part of another because when she is divorced in a period of purity, she counts the rest of that period as a cycle. We believe that she starts from the beginning of menstruation so that the name holds true. When a man divorces her in a period of purity without having had sex during that time, she turns to a menstrual period, then another and then another. When she has a ghushl after the third period, she leaves the ‘iddah.

This is refuted by the words of the Almighty: ‘Allah subjected them to it for seven whole nights and eight whole days’ (69:6). ‘Eight’ is feminine
because ‘yawm (day)’ is masculine. The same is true of qur’. This indicates that it is meant. Abū Ḥanīfah agreed with us that when a woman is divorced while menstruating, she does not count the menstrual period in which she is divorced nor the purity after it; she starts the ‘iddah with the menstruation after purity. We count by periods of purity as we already made clear. Linguists permit Designating a part of something by the noun for the whole of it.

Some of those who say that it is menstruation say that when she is pure after the third menstrual period, the ‘iddah ends after ghusl and there is no right then for the husband to take her back. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, Ṭāwūs, Ibn Shurbrumah and al-Awzā‘ī said that. Sharīk said, ‘When a woman delays the ghusl for twenty years, her husband can still take her back as long as she has not had a ghusl.’ It is related that Isḥāq ibn Rāhawayh said, ‘When a woman starts her third menstrual period, she is clear of the husband and he no longer has a right to take her back although it is not lawful for her to marry another until she has had a ghusl after menstruation.’ Something similar is related from Ibn ‘Abbās, but it is a weak position because of the evidence in the words of the Almighty: ‘When their ‘iddah comes to an end, you are not to blame for anything they do with themselves.’ (2:234) As for what ash-Shāfī‘ī mentioned about the change from purity to menstruation being called qur’, the point of it is to shorten the ‘iddah for the woman. So when a woman is divorced in the last hour of purity and then starts to menstruate, she counts it as a cycle and at the change from the third period of purity, the bond is severed and she is lawful. Allah knows best.

Most scholars say that the ‘iddah of a slavegirl who menstruates is two menstrual cycles after her husband divorces her. It is related that Ibn Sīrīn said, ‘I only think that the ‘iddah of a slavegirl is the same as that of a free woman although there is a past sunnah on that. It is more appropriate to follow the Sunnah.’ ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Kaysān al-Aṣamm, Dāwud ibn ‘Alī and a group of the Ṣāḥīhīs said
that the āyahs about the ‘iddah for divorce and widowhood in months and cycles are general to slavegirls and free women. So the ‘iddāh of a free woman and slavegirl is the same. The majority argue by the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Divorce of a slavegirl is two times and her ‘iddah is two menstrual cycles.’ Ibn Jurayj related that from ‘Aṭā’ from Muzāhir ibn Aslam from his father from al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad that ‘Ā’ishah said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Divorce of a slavegirl is twice and her cycles are two menstrual cycles.’ Both divorce and ‘iddah are ascribed to her. However, only Muzāhir ibn Aslam has this ḥadīth, and he is weak.

and it is not lawful for them to conceal what Allah has created in their wombs

‘Ikrimah, az-Zuhri, and an-Nakha’ī said that this refers to the concealment of menstruation. ‘Umar and Ibn ‘Abbās said that it is pregnancy. Mujāhid said that it is menstruation and pregnancy. This is on the basis that a pregnant woman can menstruate. The idea that is meant in the āyah is that the length of the waiting period (‘iddah) depends on the statement of the women concerned and their word is accepted about whether the ‘iddah has ended or not. They are entrusted with that and it is what is meant by this āyah. Sulaymān ibn Yasār said, ‘We were not commanded to investigate women and examine their private parts. That is up to them since they are entrusted with it.’

The reason for prohibiting concealment is to prevent harm to the husband and taking away his right. If a woman says, ‘I have menstruated’ when she has not, then she takes away his right to take her back. When she says, ‘I have not menstruated’ when she has, he is obliged to pay maintenance to which she is not entitled and she thereby harms him. Or she may intend by her lie in denying menstruation that he may not take her back until the ‘iddah is over and so cuts off his right. The same is true of a pregnant woman who conceals her pregnancy to cut off his right to take her back. Qatādah
also mentions that it was the custom in the time of Jāhiliyyah for women to conceal their pregnancy so that the child could be ascribed to a new husband and that is the reason that the ā'yah was revealed. It is related that a man of the tribe of Ashja‘ went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and said, ‘Messenger of Allah, I divorced my wife while she was pregnant. I do not feel safe that she will not marry again and my child will be attributed to another.’ Then this ā'yah was revealed and his wife was returned to him.

Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘All that I remember from scholars is that when a woman says after ten days, “I have had three periods and my waiting period is over,” her words are not believed or accepted unless she says that she had a miscarriage and that is clear.’ They disagree about the time when the woman’s statement is accepted. Mālik says that what she says is accepted if the period of time is reasonable for someone like her. There are two views when what she says is something that rarely occurs. We find in the Mudawannah: ‘If she says she has had three periods in a single month and other women confirm it, she is believed.’ That is what Shurayḥ said. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said to him, ‘You are right and have done well!’ He said in the book of Muḥammad, ‘She is only believed after a month and a half has passed.’ Abū Thawr said something similar. He said, ‘The minimum for that is forty-seven days. That is the minimum fifteen days of purity and the single day for the minimum of menstruation.’ An-Nu‘mān said, ‘She is not believed about less than sixty days.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that.

**if they believe in Allah and the Last Day.**

This is a strong threat to emphasise the prohibition against concealment and to encourage women to fulfil the trust placed in them by telling the truth about what is in their wombs. It makes concealment tantamount to not believing in Allah. This is not the action of someone who believes.

**Their husbands have the right to take them back within that time, if**
they desire to be reconciled.

The word used for ‘husbands’ here is the plural of the word ba‘l. This word is used because of a husband’s position in respect of his wife. We find in 37:125: ‘Do you call on Baal?’ Baal means a lord or someone in a high position. Bi‘āl means sexual intercourse and this is found in the words of the Prophet ﷺ about the days of Tashrīq: ‘They are the days of eating, drinking and sexual intercourse.’ The word ba‘l can in fact be used for husband or wife.

The ‘right to take them back’ can be exercised in two ways: one is during the waiting period, according to the hadīth of Ibn ‘Umar, and the other is after the waiting period has come to an end, according to the hadīth of Ma‘qil. If that is the case, then the āyah is evidence that the generality regarding those named has become specific. ‘Divorced women should wait by themselves for three menstrual cycles’ is general in respect of women divorced three times and those divorced less times than that without disagreement. Then Allah says: ‘Their husbands have the right to take them back.’ That is a specific ruling about someone whose divorce is less than three times.

Scholars agree that when a free man divorces his free wife, whose marriage has been consummated once or twice, he is entitled to take her back as long as her ‘iddah is not over, even if she dislikes him doing that. If the man does not take her back until the ‘iddah is over, then she is more entitled to herself and is not related to him. She is only lawful to him by a proposal of a new marriage with a walī and witnesses. This is not part of the sunnah of taking back a wife. This is the consensus of the scholars.

Al-Muhallab said, ‘If the man takes his wife back during the ‘iddah, none of the rulings of marriage are necessary for him except for witnessing to the taking back. This is the consensus of scholars because Allah says: ‘Then when they have reached the end of their ‘iddah, either retain them with correctness and courtesy or part from them with correctness and courtesy. Call two upright men from among yourselves as witnesses.’ (65:2)
He mentions witnesses in taking back but not in marriage or divorce. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘What we mentioned from the Book of Allah and the consensus of scholars is enough in this area. Allah knows best.’

They disagree about what constitutes a man taking back his wife during the ‘iddah. Mālik said, ‘When he has sex with her during the ‘iddah, intending to take her back, while being ignorant of having to declare that he has done that, that is taking her back. If the man has not made his intention clear, the woman should refuse intercourse until he declares that he has taken her back. Ishāq said that. It is because the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Actions are by intentions, and a man has what he intends.’ If he has sex with her during the ‘iddah without having intended to take her back, Mālik says, ‘He takes her back in the ‘iddah and does not have sex with her until she is free of his false sperm.’ Ibn al-Qāsim added, ‘Even if her ‘iddah ends and neither he nor anyone else has had sex with her in the rest of the period of istibrā’. If he does that, his marriage is void but the prohibition between them is not perpetual because it was his sperm.’ One group said that if he has sex with her, that constitutes taking her back. That is what Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, Ibn Sīrīn, az-Zuhrī, ‘Aṭā’, Ṭāwūs and ath-Thawrī said. It is said that he should make a declaration. That is what is stated by the People of Opinion, al-Awzā‘ī and Ibn Abī Laylā. Ibn al-Mundhir related it. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘It is said that his having sex with her is taking her back in any case, whether he intended it or not.’ That is related from a group of the people of Mālik and al-Layth believed that. They do not disagree about someone who sells a slavegirl with an option of return that he can have sex with her during the period of the option. He takes back her ownership by that and chooses to break the sale by doing that.

If someone kisses or touches his wife with the intention of taking her back by that, it is a retraction. If he did not intend retraction by
that, he sins and does not take her back. The Sunnah is that he should testify before having sex and before kissing or touching. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people said that if he has sex with her or touches her with lust, that constitutes a retraction. That is the view of ath-Thawrī. He should testify. In the view of Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Ishāq, Abū ‘Ubayd and Abū Thawr, it is not a retraction. Ibn al-Mundhir said that. He said in al-Muntaqā: ‘There is no disagreement about the validity of verbal retraction. As for action, like sexual intercourse and a kiss, Qāḍī Abū Muḥammad said that it is valid by this and any sort of pleasurable enjoyment.’ Ibn al-Mawwāz said, ‘It is like a touch for pleasure, or looking at her private parts or similar beauties when he intends retraction by that. This differs from the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī that retraction can only be done verbally. Ibn al-Mundhir related it from Abū Thawr, Jābir ibn Zayd and Abū Qilābah.

Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘If he has sex with her, whether or not he intends retraction, it is not a retraction and she receives an appropriate dower. Mālik said that she has nothing because if he takes her back, he does not owe any dower. There is no sex without retraction and no dower for retraction. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘I do not know of anyone who obliges him to pay an appropriate dower other than ash-Shāfi‘ī. His position is not strong because she falls under the ruling of a wife and they inherit from each other. So how can a suitable dower be obliged for having sex with a woman when most of her rulings are those of a wife.’ However, the judicial error in the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī is strong because she is unlawful to him unless he takes her back. They agree that when someone has sex with a woman based on a judicial error, she is obliged a dower. That is enough!

They disagree about travelling with her before taking her back. Mālik and ash-Shāfi‘ī said that he should not travel with her until he has taken her back. That is also the position of Abū Ḥanīfah and his people except for Zufar. Al-Ḥasan ibn Ziyād related from him that he can travel with her before taking her back. ‘Amr ibn Khālid
related from him that he should not travel with her until he has taken her back.

They disagree about when he visits her without seeing any of her beauties and whether she can adorn herself for him. Mālik said that he should not be alone with her and only visit her with permission. He should not look at her unless she is fully dressed. He should not look at her hair. There is nothing wrong with him eating with her when there is someone else with them. He should not spend the night with her. Ibn al-Qāsim said, ‘Mālik retracted that and said that he should not visit her or look at her hair. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people did not disagree that she can adorn herself, perfume herself, and wear jewellery. Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab said, ‘When a man divorces his wife once, he must ask permission to visit her and she can wear whatever clothes and jewellery he likes. If they only have one room, they put a curtain between them and give the greeting when they enter. Something similar is related from Qatādah. When he enters, he makes her aware by clearing his throat. Ash-Shāfiʿī said, ‘A divorced woman who can be taken back is unlawful to her husband until he takes her back and that can only be by declaration.’

Scholars agree that when the man says after the ‘iddah is over, ‘I took you back inside the ‘iddah’ and she denies it, one takes her statement with her oath and he has no way to her. However, an-Nuʿmān did not think that there was any question of an oath in marriage or retraction. His two companions disagreed with him and took a similar view to that of most scholars. The same is true when the wife is a slavegirl and the master and slavegirl disagree and the husband claims that he took her back in the ‘iddah after the end of the ‘iddah and she denies it. One takes the statement of the wife, even if the master says that she is lying. This is the view of ash-Shāfiʿī, Abū Thawr and an-Nuʿmān. Yaʿqūb and Muḥammad said that the statement of the master is taken and he is more entitled to her.
Rejection demands the removal of the bond although scholars say that a revocable divorce makes intercourse forbidden and the rejection refers to being lawful. Al-Layth ibn Sa‘d and Abū Ḥanīfah and those who take their position that the retraction makes intercourse lawful: the point of the divorce decreases the number he has. The rulings of being married remain and none of them are dissolved. They said that even if the rulings of being a wife remain, as long as she is in her ‘iddah the woman is on course for their removal when the ‘iddah ends. Retraction turns away from this path that the woman is travelling. This is a metaphorical rejection and the rejection by which we judge is real. There is an achieved removal, which is the prohibition of sex and the rejection of it is real. Allah knows best.

The word ‘right’ is used in the comparative form here, ‘aḥaqq’ (literally ‘more right’) because there are two rights in any conflict and one has to be preferred. So the husband has the right during the waiting period to take his wife back, which is not the case when the waiting period has ended. This is similar to the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘A widow is more entitled to herself than her guardian (walī).’ A husband is recommended to take his wife back, but that is provided that he puts things right between himself and her and removes the alienation between them. If he just desires to harm her more and to prolong her waiting period and to prevent her being free from the marriage, it is forbidden and the man concerned does wrong. Allah says: ‘Do not retain them by force, thus overstepping the bounds.’ (2:231) If someone does do that, the retraction is nevertheless valid even though he does something forbidden and wrongs himself.

Women possess rights similar to those held over them

Women have rights over men just as men have rights over women. This is why Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘I adorn myself for my wife as she adorns herself for me. I do not want to take from her all the rights she owes me for then I would be obliged to give her all the rights I
owe her because the Almighty says: “Women possess rights similar to those held over them to be honoured with fairness,” in other words for their husbands to make themselves attractive as long as it does not involve something wrongful.’ He also said, ‘They are entitled to good company from and intimate relations with their husbands and they must obey their husbands.’ It is said that their right is that their husbands should not cause them any harm and in return they should not cause any harm to their husbands. At-Tabarî said that. Ibn Zayd said, ‘Fear Allah in respect of them as they should fear Allah in respect of you.’ The meanings are close and the āyah is general to all marital rights.

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘I adorn myself for my wife.’ Scholars say that a man’s adornment is dependent on his state. Men do that according to what is seemly and appropriate. Sometimes adornment is proper at one time but not at another, and some adornment suits young men but not old men, and some suits old men but not young men. Do you not see that when old men and mature men trim their moustaches, it is seemly and adorns them, but when young men do that, it is ugly and unseemly because their beard is not yet full. If someone trims his moustache as soon as the hair appears on his face, it is silly. If his beard is full and he trims his moustache, that adorns him. It is related that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘My Lord commanded me to leave my beard and trim my moustache.’ The same principle applies to dress.

This applies to all seeking of rights. A man acts according to what is seemly and appropriate with his wife in respect of adornment so that it delights her and makes her abstain from other men. The same is true of mature men: one type of adornment may be appropriate for some but not others. As for wearing perfume, using siwak and toothpicks, removing dirt, excess hair, washing and clipping the nails, that is clearly appropriate for everyone. Henna is for old men, and rings are adornment for all, young and old. That is
the adornment of men, as will be made clear in Sūrat an-Naḥl. A husband must bear in mind the times when a wife needs a man to satisfy her so that she has no need to look elsewhere. If a man sees that he is unable to carry out his wife’s right to sexual satisfaction from him, he should take medicines to increase his potency.

**but men have a degree above them.**

The root of the word *darajah* (degree) means ‘to roll up’. A *darajah* is a step which one steps on to ascend. *Rīlah* means ‘strength’ and a horse that is ‘rajīl’ is strong in walking. The extra degree of a man is on account of intelligence, power to spend and maintain, paying blood money, larger shares of inheritance, and *jihād*. Ḥumayd said that the degree referred to is the beard, but even if this is sound from him, it is weak because it is not implied by the *āyah* nor does the *āyah* mean it. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Bliss to the slave who refrains from what he does not know, especially when it concerns the Book of Allah! The superiority of men over women is not hidden from someone who is intelligent, even if it were not that woman is created from man, and so he is her root. He can prevent her going out without his permission and she may only fast with his permission and only make *ḥajj* with him.’

It is said that the degree is the dower as ash-Sha‘bī said. It is said that it is the permission to discipline. Thus the degree entails preference. You should be aware that the right she owes to her husband obliges the right that he owes to her. That is why the Prophet said, ‘If I were to command anyone to prostrate to other than Allah, I would have commanded a woman to prostrate to her husband.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The degree indicates encouragement for men to be good company and expansive to women in money and character, because the one preferred must make greater efforts.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that this is an outstanding and excellent opinion. Al-Māwardī said that it is possible that it is about marital rights because he can initiate divorce but she cannot and he can call her to bed.
while she cannot force him to respond. Related to that are the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘If a woman is called to her husband’s bed and refuses, the angels curse her until morning.’

‘Allah is Almighty’ with power that none can resist, and ‘All-Wise’, knowing what is correct in His actions.

229 Divorce can be pronounced two times; in which case wives may be retained with correctness and courtesy or released with good will. It is not lawful for you to keep anything you have given them unless a couple fear that they will not remain within Allah’s limits. If you fear that they will not remain within Allah’s limits, there is nothing wrong in the wife ransoming herself with some of what she received. These are Allah’s limits so do not overstep them. Those who overstep Allah’s limits are wrongdoers.

Divorce can be pronounced two times;

It is confirmed that people in the time of Jāhiliyyah had no limit to the number of divorces they could pronounce although they did have a known waiting period (‘iddah). It was the same at the beginning of Islam: a man would divorce his wife as often as he wished. Then when she was almost free he would take her back. Then during the time of the Prophet ﷺ a man said to his wife, ‘I will not house you nor will I let you go.’ ‘How can you do that?’ she asked. He said, ‘I will divorce you and when your ‘iddah is almost up, take you back.’ The woman complained about that to ‘Ā’ishah and she mentioned it to the Prophet ﷺ and then Allah revealed this āyah, which clarifies the number of divorces a man can pronounce and
then retract without having a new marriage with dower and ṭawīl. It superseded what they were doing. This was said by ‘Urwah ibn az-Zubayr, Qatādah, Ibn Zayd and others. Ibn Mas‘ūd, Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid and others said that the āyah is simply meant to establish the sunnah of divorce: anyone who divorces twice should fear Allah in the third and either leave her without wronging any of her right or keep her correctly. The āyah includes both possibilities.

Divorce is accomplished by the removal of the contractual bond between a husband and wife by means of the pronouncement of certain words. It is permitted by this āyah and others and by the words of the Prophet ﷺ in the ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Umar, ‘If he wishes, he may keep her, and if he wishes, he may divorce her.’ The Prophet ﷺ divorced Ḥafṣah and then took her back. Ibn Mājah transmitted it. Scholars agree that it is sunnah to divorce when the woman concerned is free of menstruation in a period of purity in which he has not had sex with her. Then he follows the sunnah in the divorce and the ‘iddah which Allah has commanded. He retracts that if he has sex with her before the end of the ‘iddah. If it has ended, then he becomes a suitor like any other. The Book, Sunnah and consensus of the community is that divorce is permitted and not prohibited. Ibn al-Mundhir said that there is no report that confirms a prohibition of it.

Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Abu-l-‘Abbās Muḥammad ibn Mūsā ibn ‘Alī ad-Dūlābī and Ya‘qūb ibn Ibrāhīm from al-Ḥasan ibn ‘Arafah from Ismā‘īl ibn ‘Ayyāsh ibn Ḥumayd ibn Mālik al-Lakhmī from Makhūl that Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Mu‘ādh, Allah has not created on the face of the earth anything dearer to Him than setting free a slave and Allah has not created anything on the face of the earth more hateful to Him than divorce. When a man says to his slave, ‘You are free, Allah willing,’ he is free without exception. When a man says to his wife, ‘You are divorced, Allah willing,’ his exception holds good and there is no
Muḥammad ibn Mūsā ibn ʿAlī related from Ḥumayd ibn ar-Rabīʿ from Yazīd ibn Hārūn from Ismāʿīl ibn ʿAyyāsh with a similar isnād. Ḥumayd said, ‘Yazīd ibn Hārūn said to me, “What a ḥadīth that would be if only Ḥumayd ibn Mālik al-Lakhmī were recognised!”’ I answered, “He is my grandfather!” Yazīd said, “You have delighted me, Now it is a ḥadīth!”’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘Among those who believed that the exception “Allah willing” applied in divorce were Ṭāwūs, Ḥumayd, ash-Shāfiʿī, Abū Thawr and the People of Opinion. According to the position of Mālik and al-Awzāʿī, the exception is not permitted in divorce. That is the view of al-Ḥasan and Qatādah about divorce in particular.

**in which case wives may be retained with correctness and courtesy or released with good will.**

This means that you must do that or that it is obligatory for you to retain them correctly. ‘*With good will*’ means without wronging her in any way with respect to her rights or overstepping in words. ‘*Imsāk*’ (retaining) is the opposite of divorce. ‘*Tasrīḥ*’ (releasing) means to let something go. It is used for combing the hair since the hairs are released from one another. It is also used for releasing livestock. The releasing referred to here either means to leave the woman until the waiting period of the second divorce has ended so that she has control of herself, as as-Suddī and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, or to divorce her a third time and let her go as Mujāhid, ‘Aṭā’ and others said.

The latter is more likely for three reasons. One is a ḥadīth in ad-Dāraquṭnī, reported by Anas that a man said, ‘Messenger of Allah, Allah says, “Divorce is twice.” Why does it become three?’ He replied, ‘Retaining with correctness or releasing with good will is the third.’ Ibn al-Mundhir mentioned it. The second possibility is that the word ‘release’ is one of the expressions used for divorce. The third is that it is allowed by the verbal form of ‘release’ which is causative. Abū ‘Umar said that scholars agree that Allah’s words: ‘*…or released with good will*’ is the third divorce after the second. It is also meant by
His words: ‘But if a man divorces his wife a third time, she is not lawful for him until she has married another husband.’ (2:230) They agree that someone who divorces his wife once or twice can take her back. After the third divorce, she is not then lawful to him until she has married another man. This is a firm ruling of the Qur’an about whose interpretation there is no disagreement. The same is also related from reputable people. Sa‘īd ibn Naṣr related from Qāsim ibn Aṣbagh from Muḥammad ibn Waḍḍāḥ from Abū Bakr ibn Shaybah from Abū Mu‘āwiya from Ismā‘īl ibn Sumay‘ that Abū Razīn said, ‘A man came to the Prophet ﷺ and said, “Messenger of Allah, have you seen that Allah says, ‘Divorce is twice.’ Where is the third?” The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Wives may be retained with correctness and courtesy or released with good will.”’ Ath-Thawrī and others related the like from Ismā‘īl ibn Sumay‘ from Abū Razīn.

Aṭ-Ṭabarī mentioned this report and said that it is confirmed in its transmission. He preferred the statement of aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and as-Suddī that the third divorce is mentioned in the course of His words: ‘If a man divorces his wife a third time.’ (2:230) The third is mentioned in these words with the obliged separation and the prohibition only removed by another husband. So His words, ‘released with good will’, are a renewed point: the separation at the end of the ‘iddah. The goal of the āyah is to explain the number of divorces which oblige making her unlawful and superseding what was permitted of an unlimited number of divorces. If ‘released with good will’ was the third divorce, the goal of causing the prohibition by three would not be clear since stopping at that would not indicate the separation which makes her prohibited without marriage to another. The prohibition is known from Allah’s words: ‘If a man divorces his wife a third time…’ and there the earlier words do not mean the third. If it had meant the third, then the following words would mean a fourth divorce because the fā’ entails consequence.

Al-Bukhārī has a section on ‘The one who allowed a triple divorce
by the words of the Almighty: “Divorce can be pronounced two times; in which case wives can be retained with correctness and courtesy or released with good will.” This indicates that this is the maximum number allowed. It is binding for the one who has constricted himself. Our scholars said that the imams of fatwā agree that a triple divorce can take place in a single statement. That is the position of the majority of the Salaf with the exception of Ṭāwūs and some of the Zāhirīs who believe that saying it three times in one statement is only a single divorce. This is related from Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq and al-Ḥajjāj ibn Arṭa’ah who said that nothing is obliged for him. It is the position of Muqātil. It is related from Dāwud that it does not occur. What is famous from al-Ḥajjāj ibn Arṭa’ah, the majority of the Salaf and the imams is that three must occur and there is no difference between saying the three together or separately.

Those who believe that nothing is obliged cite Allah’s words: ‘Divorced women should wait by themselves for three menstrual cycles.’ (2:228) This is general and includes every divorced woman except for certain specific ones. Allah also says: ‘Divorce can be pronounced two times’ and: ‘…wives can be retained with correctness and courtesy or released with good will.’ It is not binding for someone who divorces three times in one statement because it is not mentioned in the Qurʾān.

Those who believe that it is a single divorce cite three ḥadīths. One is the ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Abbās transmitted by Ṭāwūs, Abu-ṣ-Ṣahbā’ and ‘Ikrimah. The second is the ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Umar as transmitted by those who related that he divorced his wife three times in one statement and the Prophet ﷺ ordered him to take her back and count it as a single divorce. The third is that Rukānah divorced his wife like that and the Messenger of Allah ﷺ ordered him to take her back. The retraction makes clear that it was considered as a single divorce. The answer to the ḥadīths is what aṭ-Ṭaḥāwī mentioned that Saʿīd ibn Jubayr, Mujāhid, ‘Aṭā’, ‘Amr ibn Dīnār, Mālik ibn al-Ḥuwayrith, Muḥammad ibn Iyās ibn al-Bukhayr and an-Nuʿmān
ibn Abī 'Ayyāsh related from Ibn ‘Abbās that someone who divorces his wife three times in a single statement has disobeyed his Lord and his wife is parted from him and he can only marry her after she has married another husband.

Those imams related from Ibn ‘Abbās that which agrees with the general consensus and indicates the weakness of what Ṭāwūs and others related. Ibn ‘Abbās would not adopt his own opinion if it disagreed with that of the Companions as a whole. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘The transmission of Ṭāwūs is weak and an error which is not used as a basis by any of the fuqahā’ of the regions in the Hijaz, Syria, Iraq or the east and the west. It was said that Abu-ṣ-Ṣahbā’ is not known among the freemen of Ibn ‘Abbās.’ Qāḍī Abu-l-Walīd al-Bājī said, ‘I think that the transmission of Ibn Ṭawūs about that is sound. Imams have related it from him: Ma’mar, Ibn Jurayj and others. Ibn Ṭawūs is an imam. The ḥadīth which they indicate is that which Ibn Ṭawūs related from his father from Ibn ‘Abbās: “In the time of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, Abū Bakr and two years of the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, divorce was three divorces in one. ‘Umar said, ‘People are hasty in a matter about which they should be slow. We should carry out something on them!’ and he did so.” The account means that they used to carry out a single divorce instead of what people do now with three divorces. The soundness of this interpretation is indicated by what ‘Umar said, “People are hasty in a matter about which they should be slow.” He disliked them innovating haste in divorce when they should be slow about it. If their state had been that in the time of the Prophet ﷺ, he would not have said it and there would have been nothing wrong in them being hasty about a matter in which they should be slow. The soundness of this interpretation is indicated by what is related from Ibn ‘Abbās by a different path: he gave a fatwā that the triple divorce was binding when someone did it all at once. If this is the meaning of the ḥadīth of Ibn Ṭawūs, then it is what we said. If the ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Abbās is
applied to the interpretation, no attention is paid to that statement. Ibn ‘Abbās went back to the position of the majority and the consensus. Our evidence by way of analogy is that this divorce was carried out by someone with the power to do so and so it must be binding, the same as is the case if it is a single one.’

The interpretation of al-Bājī is what at-Ṭabarī mentioned from the scholars of ḥadīth, that they used this single divorce which became three: they did not divorce in one go, but did so once in the entire ‘iddah so that the woman was clear and the ‘iddah ended. Qāḍī Abū Muhammad ‘Abd al-Wahhāb said, ‘It means that people used to confine themselves to one divorce. Then in the time of ‘Umar, they increased it to three.’ The Qāḍī said, ‘This is the closest to what the transmitter said: in the time of ‘Umar people used to hasten to do three, so he hastened that for them, i.e. obliged the ruling on them.’

As for the hadīth of Ibn ‘Umar, ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Aḥmad ibn Ṣubayḥ from Ṭarīf ibn Nāṣiḥ from Muʿāwiyyah ibn ‘Ammār adh-Dhahabī that Abu-z-Zubayr said, ‘I asked Ibn ‘Umar about a man who divorced his wife three times while she was menstruating. He said, “Do you know Ibn ‘Umar?” “Yes,” I answered. He said, “I divorced my wife three times in a single statement in the time of the Messenger of Allah while she was menstruating. The Messenger of Allah returned it to the Sunnah.”’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī said, ‘All of them are Shi‘ah. It is recorded that Ibn ‘Umar divorced his wife once while she was menstruating.’ ‘Ubaydullāh said, ‘The only thing contrary to the Sunnah is his divorcing her during menstruation.’ Similarly Ṣāliḥ ibn Kaysān, Mūsā ibn ‘Uqbah, Ismā‘īl ibn Umayyah, Layth ibn Sa‘d, Ibn Abī Dhi‘b, Ibn Jurayj, Jābir. Ismā‘īl ibn Ibrāhīm ibn ‘Uqbah said that Nāfi‘ said that Ibn ‘Umar did a single divorce. That is also what az-Zuhrī said from Sālim from his father, Yūnus ibn Jubayr, ash-Sha‘bī and al-Ḥasan.

It is said that the hadīth of Rukānah is a muddled, severed hadīth. It has no authoritative isnād. Abū Dāwud related it from Ibn Jurayj
from one of the Banū Abī Rāfī`, among whom there is no one authoritative, from ‘Ikrimah from Ibn ‘Abbās. It says: Rukānah ibn ‘Abd Yazīd divorced his wife three times. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ told him, ‘Take her back.’ It is also related by various paths from Nāfi` ibn ‘Ujayr that Rukānah ibn ‘Abd Yazīd divorced his wife with a triple divorce and the Messenger of Allah ﷺ asked him to swear to what he meant by it. He swore that he had only intended a single divorce and so he returned her to him. There is confusion regarding the name and the action. No one uses something like this as an argument.

Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted this ḥadīth by various paths in the Sunan. In one of them Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā ibn Mirdās related from Abū Dāwud as-Sijistānī from ʿAḥmad ibn ‘Amr ibn as-Sarḥ, Abū Thawr, ʿIbrāhīm ibn Khālid al-Kalbī, and another that Muḥammad ibn Idrīs ash-Shāfiʿī related from his uncle Muḥammad ibn ‘Alī ibn Shāfiʿ from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Alī ibn as-Sā’ib from Nāfi` ibn ‘Abd Yazīd that Rukānah ibn ‘Abd Yazīd divorced his wife Suhaymah al-Muzaniyyah with a final divorce. He informed the Messenger of Allah ﷺ about that and said, ‘By Allah, I only meant one!’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ asked, ‘By Allah, did you only mean one?’ Rukānah said, ‘By Allah, I only meant one by it!’ So the Messenger of Allah ﷺ returned her to him. He divorced her a second time during the caliphate of ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and a third time during the caliphate of ‘Uthmān. Abū Dāwud said that it is a sound ḥadīth. What is sound in the ḥadīth of Rukānah is that he divorced his wife finally, not three times. There is disagreement about a final divorce as we will explain. So there is no argument. Allah knows best. Abū ʿUmar said that the transmission of ash-Shāfiʿī from his uncle is more complete. The addition is not refuted by the sources and so it is obligatory to accept it because of the trustworthiness of its transmitters. Ash-Shāfiʿī, his uncle and grandfather were from the household of Rukānah. All of them were from the Banū ‘Abd al-
Muṭṭalib ibn ‘Abd Manāf. They were people who knew the story.

Aḥmad ibn Muḥammad ibn Mughīth at-Ṭulayṭilī mentioned this matter in al-Wathāʾiq. He said, ‘There are two types of divorce: the sunnah divorce and the innovated divorce. The sunnah divorce occurs in the manner that the Sharī’ah recommended. The innovated divorce is the opposite. It is to divorce a wife while she is menstrual or in post-natal lochia and to do three in one statement. If he does it, then the divorce is nevertheless binding.’

Then after the consensus that she is divorced, scholars disagree about what is obliged for someone after the divorce. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and Ibn Masʿūd said that one divorce is obliged. Ibn ‘Abbās said that. He observed that his word triple has no sense because he did not divorce three times. The word ‘three’ is allowed if he is reporting about the past and says, ‘I divorced three times,’ reporting about three actions that he did three times. It is as when a man says, ‘Yesterday I recited the sūrah three times.’ That is sound. If he recited it once and says, ‘I recited it three times,’ he is lying. That is also the case if he swears by Allah three times to repeat the oath: that is three oaths. If, however, he says, ‘I swear by Allah trebly,’ that is one oath. That is the case with divorce. That was stated by az-Zubayr ibn al-‘Awwām and ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn ‘Awf. We related all of that from Ibn Waḍḍāḥ and it is the position of the shaykhs of Cordoba: Ibn Zinbā’, Muḥammad ibn Taqiyy ibn Mukhallad, Muḥammad ibn ‘Abd as-Salām al-Ḥasanī, the unique scholar and faqīh of his time, Aṣbagh ibn al-Ḥubāb and a group of others.

Part of the argument of Ibn ‘Abbās is that Allah separated the word ‘divorce’ in His Book. He said: ‘Divorce can be pronounced two times,’ meaning the maximum number after which there must be either retaining with correctness during the waiting period or releasing. Releasing means to leave her without returning to her until the end of the waiting period. That is being good to her if there is regret between them. Allah says: ‘You never know, it may well be that after that
Allah will cause a new situation to develop’ (65:1), which means regret about separation and the desire to return. The pronouncement of three in one go is not good because it is abandoning what is recommended in something Allah has allowed. Allah mentioned divorce separated [in the āyāhs] to indicate that when someone combines it in one phrase, he has left what is analogous in other recommended matters that indicate that. An example of that is when a person says, ‘My property is ṣadaqah for the poor’: a third satisfies that. In the Ishrāf, Ibn al-Mundhir says, ‘Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, Ṭāwūs, Abu-sh-Sha‘thā’, ‘Aṭā’, and ‘Umar ibn Dīnār said that if someone divorces a virgin three times in one statement, it is one divorce.’ Sometimes they make an exception for a woman whose marriage is unconsummated and has no ‘īddah when he says, ‘You are divorced three times.’ Then she is clear of him as soon as he says, ‘You are divorced,’ before the ‘three times’.

The same verb for ‘release’ is used in 33:49: ‘Let them go.’ So this expression amounts to explicit divorce, according to ash-Shāfi‘ī. Scholars disagree about the meaning of this and whether it is tantamount to divorce. Qāḍī Abū Muḥammad believed that an explicit expression must contain some form of the word ‘divorce’ like ‘You are divorced,’ ‘I have divorced you,’ ‘Divorce is binding on you,’ and other similar expressions which are used to allude to divorce. Abū Ḥanīfah said that. Qāḍī Abu-l-Ḥasan said, ‘There are many explicit statements of divorce, some of which are clearer than others: ṭalāq (divorce), sarāḥ (release), separation, being unlawful, letting go and cut off. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘There are three explicit phrases which come in the Qur‘an: divorce, release and separation. Allah says: “…part from them with correctness” (65:2), “…released with good will” and “…divorce them during their period of purity” (65:1).”

If this is affirmed, then there are two types of divorce: explicit and allusory. We have mentioned the explicit. That in which divorce alluded to is the other. The difference between them is that the
explicit form does not require an intention. Divorce occurs by the mere articulation of the words. That which is alluded to requires an intention. The argument of those who say that ‘unlawful’, ‘letting go’ and ‘cutting off’ are explicit divorce is because they are so frequently used in divorce, to the point that it is common knowledge. Therefore the intention about divorcing is clear. Then ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz said, ‘If divorce is a thousand, nothing of it remains clear. If someone says, “Finally,” then that is the furthest end.’ Mālik transmitted it. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that ‘Alī said, ‘The statements about letting go, being cut off, clear and unlawful constitute a triple divorce. The woman is not lawful to him until she has married another.’ It has come from the Prophet ﷺ that use of the word ‘finally’ constitutes a triple divorce. It comes from a path of transmission with some weakness in it which ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted. It will be discussed in 2:231.

Scholars do not disagree that if someone tells his wife, ‘I have divorced you,’ it is an explicit divorce whether or not the marriage has been consummated. If someone tells his wife, ‘You are divorced,’ it is one unless he intends more than that. If he intends two or three, it is as he intended. If he does not intend anything, it is one divorce and he can take her back. If he says, ‘You are divorced (ṭāliq),’ and then says, ‘I meant to say “fetter (wathāq)”’, his statement is not accepted and it is binding for him unless there is some evidence that he is telling the truth. If someone says, ‘You are divorced once and I will not take you back,’ the words, ‘I will not take you back’ are baseless. He can take her back because he said ‘once’, not ‘three times’.

They disagree about someone who tells his wife, ‘I have parted from you,’ ‘You are let go’, ‘cut off’, or ‘clear,’ ‘Your rope is on your withers,’ ‘You are unlawful to me,’ ‘Rejoin your family,’ ‘I have given you to your family,’ ‘I have let you go,’ or ‘I have no way to you.’ Abū Ḥanīfah and Abū Yūsuf said that they constitute a final divorce.
It is related that Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘When a man tells his wife, “You are in charge of your business,” “I give command to you,” or “Rejoin your family,” they accept it and it is one final divorce.’ It is related from Mālik that if someone says to his wife, ‘I have parted from you’ or ‘I have released you,’ it is an explicit divorce, just as when you say, ‘You are divorced.’ It is related from him that it is an allusion that refers to the intention of the one who says it and he is asked about the number he meant, whether or not the marriage was consummated. Ibn al-Mawwāz said, ‘The sounder of his two views is that it is one for an unconsummated marriage unless he intends more. Ibn al-Qāsim and Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam said that. Abū Yūsuf said that it is three and is like, ‘I have slipped you off’ or ‘I have no authority over you.’

As for other allusions, Mālik considers them, when the speaker has no particular intention, to constitute a triple divorce in every consummated marriage and, in the case of an unconsummated marriage, only if there is a specific intention. If he swears that he only meant one, he is the same as any other suitor because he let go the wife with whom he had a consummated marriage and he only is free or cut off from her by three divorces. In the case of an unconsummated marriage, he is free and parted from her by one divorce. Mālik and a group of his people and a group of the people of Madīnah maintain that in all these expressions he has what he intends and divorce is obliged. It is related from him that it is only when the expression ‘final divorce’ in particular is used, rather than other allusions in which he does not intend that, whether or not it is consummated. If a man intends three, it is three. If he intends one, it is a final one and she has control over herself. If he intends two, it is one. Zufār said that if he intends two, it is two. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘He is not divorcing in any of those instances until he says, “The words I spoke meant divorce.” He has what he intended. If he intends less than three, it is revocable. If he divorces with a final one, it is
revocable.’ Isḥāq said, ‘All that resembles divorce is what he intends of divorce.’ Abū Thawr said, ‘It is a revocable divorce and he is not asked about his intention.’ It is related that Ibn Mas‘ūd did not think that there was a final divorce except in the case the *khul‘* or *ila‘*. That is recorded from him. Abū ‘Ubayd said that.

Al-Bukhārī has a chapter entitled: ‘Chapter on if a man says, “I have parted from you,” “I have let you go,” or other expressions which indicate leaving or freeing, or that by which divorce was meant, it is according to what he intended.’ This indicates the position of the Kufans, ash-Shāfi‘ī and Isḥāq when he says, ‘or that by which divorce is meant.’ The argument in that is that any statement that can be construed as being divorce or not being divorce does not necessitate divorce unless the one who said it says that he meant divorce by it. Then it is binding on him by his affirmation. It is not permitted to nullify a marriage because they agree that it is sound. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘The position of Mālik about the meaning of a man’s words to his wife, ‘Go out,’ ‘I have released you,’ or ‘Your rope is on your withers,’ varies. Once he said that intention concerning such statements is not taken into account and it constitutes a triple divorce and another time he said, ‘He has what he intends in all of that, whether or not it is consummated.’ I say that.

What the majority believe and what is related from Mālik is that intention in using these expressions is pivotal and the ruling is based on that. That is sound because of the evidence we have mentioned and the sound *ḥadīth* that Abū Dāwud, Ibn Mājah, ad-Dāraquṭnī and others transmitted from Yazīd ibn Rukānah: Rukānah ibn ‘Abd Yazīd divorced his wife Suhaymah and informed the Prophet  about that and said, ‘By Allah, I only meant one!’ The Prophet  asked, ‘By Allah, did you only mean one?’ Rukānah said, ‘By Allah, I only meant one!’ So the Messenger of Allah  returned her him. Ibn Mājah said that he heard Abu-l-Ḥasan at-Ṭanāfīsī say, ‘How
noble this *hadīth* is!’ Mālik said about a man who tells his wife, ‘You are like carrion, blood and pork to me!’: ‘I think that it is final even if he had no intention. She is not lawful to him until she has married another husband.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī thought that if he meant a divorce, then it was a divorce and whatever number of divorces he meant, and if he did not mean a divorce, it is nothing after he takes an oath to that effect.

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘The basis of this topic regarding every allusion to divorce is what is related about the Prophet (ﷺ) saying to a woman he married when she said, “I seek refuge with Allah from you”, “You have sought refuge with One Who gives refuge. Rejoin your family.” That was a divorce. When the Prophet (ﷺ) told Ka‘b ibn Mālik to withdraw from his wife, he told her, “Rejoin your family,” but it was not a divorce. This indicates that the expression requires an intention and the only ruling is according to what a man intended by what he said. It is like that with all allusions that can possibly give rise to separation and others.’ Allah knows best. Most scholars say that there is no divorce on account of it unless that is what is intended by the speaker. Mālik said, ‘Divorce is obliged by whoever intends divorce by any expression he uses.’

**It is not lawful for you to keep anything you have given them**

This is addressed to husbands. They are forbidden to take anything from their wives which might cause them harm. The *khul‘* divorce is only valid when it is the man alone who has not done anything which would constitute grounds for divorce. Husbands are mentioned because the custom among people is that, in separation, a man seeks what he has given his wife as a dower and support. That is why men are mentioned.

The majority agree that taking a payment for divorce is allowed and they agree that it is forbidden to take anything belonging to the wife unless it is a case of disobedience and bad behaviour on her part. Ibn al-Mundhir related that an-Nu‘mān said, ‘If the
disobedience or injustice comes from him and she asks for a *khul'*; it is allowed and he is a wrongdoer. What he did is not lawful but he cannot be forced to return what he has taken.’ Ibn al-Mundhir says that this is contrary to the text of the Book of Allah and contrary to a firm report from the Prophet ﷺ, and contrary to what most scholars agree on. I do not think that if someone were told to exert himself to look for an error, he would find something worse that someone saying that the Book forbids something and then, having had that countered by a text, still saying that his view is permitted. Abu-l-Ḥasan ibn Baṭṭāl said that Ibn al-Qāsim related the like from Mālik. This position is contrary to the literal text of the Book of Allah and contrary to the ḥadīth about the wife of Thābit which will be dealt with.

**unless a couple fear that they will not remain within Allah’s limits.**

In this āyah Allah forbids a man to take anything unless there is fear they will not establish the limits of Allah and he stresses the prohibition by a threat issued to the one who exceeds the limits. It means that each of them thinks that they will not be able to endure giving the obligatory rights to his or her companion due to the dislike she has for him or vice versa. This is not an objection to her ransoming herself, nor is there any objection to the husband taking what she pays. The āyah is addressed to the couple and the dual is used. ‘Fear’ here implies knowledge, so the inference is that they know that they will not be able to remain within the limits, and that is true fear. It is apprehension that something disliked is bound to happen. It is close to the meaning of supposition. Then it is said that ‘unless they fear’ is an exceptive severed from the general sentence. It means: if there is antipathy on their part, there is nothing wrong in them accepting *fidyah*. Ḥamzah recited ‘*yukhāfā’* [instead of *yakhāfā*] in the passive with the subject elided. The subject in that case is guardians and judges. Abū ‘Ubayd preferred that since He said ‘*if you fear’* and so the fear comes from other than the couple. If
the couple had been meant, Allah would have said ‘khāfā’. This is evidence for those who say that the khulʿ should be referred to the ruler.

This is the view of Saʿīd ibn Jubayr and Ibn Sīrīn. Shuʿbah said, ‘I asked Qatādah, “From whom did al-Ḥasan take the idea of presenting a khulʿ to the ruler?” He answered, “Ziyād. He was the governor of ‘Umar and ‘Alī.”’ An-Naḥḥās said, ‘This is known from Ziyād. There is no sense in this position because when a man does a khulʿ from his wife, it is based on what they both consent to. The ruler cannot make him to do that. Therefore there is no sense in saying that it is up to the ruler.’

Abū ‘Ubayd denied that he had a choice. I do not know that anything regarding a choice is more unlikely than the particle ‘illā’ because that is not obliged by the inflection, the word, or the meaning. As far as the inflection is concerned, Ibn Masʿūd recited ‘illā an yakhāfa’ as ‘takhāfā’. In Arabic, when reference is made to someone where the subject is not named, it is said, ‘illā an yakhāf’. If the expression is ‘yakhāfā’, then it must be recited ‘khīfa’. If it is based on the expression, ‘in khiftum’, then it must be said ‘takhāfū’. As far as the meaning is concerned, it is not unlikely for someone to say: ‘It is not lawful for you to take anything of what you have given them unless others fear…’ So the khulʿ can be taken to the ruler. Aṭ–Ṭaḥāwī said, ‘It is true that ‘Umar, ‘Uthmān and Ibn ‘Umar allowed it to be carried out by other than the ruler. Just as divorce and marriage are permitted without a ruler, the same is true of the khulʿ. That is the view of most scholars.’

**If you fear that they will not remain within Allah’s limits,**

The limits of Allah consists of what is obliged for them in respect of good company and affectionate fellowship. This is addressed to rulers, and mediators in this matter, even if they are not rulers. Not remaining within Allah’s limits is when a woman makes light of her husband’s due and does not obey him. Ibn ‘Abbās, Mālik Ibn Anas
and most fuqahā’ say that. Al-Hasan ibn Abī ‘l-Ḥasan and some people said that when a woman says, ‘I will never obey anything you order, I will not have a ghūsl on account of janābah, and I will not fulfill any oath to you,’ then a khul‘ is lawful. Ash-Sha‘bī said that it means ‘if they fear they will not obey Allah.’ That is due to anger with one another which makes them stop obeying. ‘Āṭā’ ibn Abī Rabāḥ said, ‘The khul‘ is lawful as is taking property in cases where the woman tells her husband, “I hate you and will never love you.” This is like: “there is nothing wrong with a wife ransoming herself.”

there is nothing wrong in the wife ransoming herself

Al-Bukhārī reports a ḥadīth from Ayyūb from ‘Ikrimah from Ibn ‘Abbās that the wife of Thābit ibn Qays came to the Prophet and said, ‘Messenger of Allah, I do not fault him in respect of the dīn or his character, but I will not obey him!’ The Messenger of Allah said, ‘Will you return his garden to him?’ ‘Yes,’ she replied. Ibn Mājah transmitted from Qatādah from ‘Ikrimah from Ibn ‘Abbās that Jamīlah bint Salūl came to the Prophet and said, ‘By Allah, I do not fault Thābit in respect of his dīn or his character, but I dislike ingratitude in Islam and I will not obey him due to my hatred of him.’ The Prophet asked, ‘Will you return his garden to him?’ ‘Yes,’” she replied, and he commanded him to take his garden from her and no more. It is said that she hated him intensely and he loved her fervently. The Messenger of Allah separated them by means of the khul‘ divorce and it was the first khul‘ divorce in Islam.

Ibn ‘Abbās is also reported as saying that the first person to have a khul‘ divorce in Islam was the sister of ‘Abdullāh ibn Ubayy. She came to the Prophet and said, ‘Messenger of Allah, my head and his head will never be joined. I lifted the side of the tent and saw him in a group and he was the ugliest and shortest of them and had the ugliest face.’ He asked, ‘Will you return his garden to him?’ ‘Yes,’ she replied, ‘and if he wishes, I will give more.’ He separated them. This is the basis of the khul‘ divorce and it is accepted by the
majority of fuqahā’. Mālik said, ‘That is what I have heard from those who possess knowledge, and it is what is done among us. If a man does not harm his wife and is not bad to her and she wants to leave him, it is lawful for him to take whatever she pays to ransom herself as the Prophet ﷺ did with the wife of Thābit ibn Qays.’

If the antipathy is on his side, as it is when he oppresses her and harms her, he should return to her anything he has taken from her. ‘Uqbah ibn Abī ’ṣ-Ṣahbā’ said. ‘I asked Bakr ibn ‘Abdullāh al-Muzanī about a man who wanted his wife to pronounce a khul divorce and he said, “It is not lawful for him to take anything from her.” I asked, “So where are the words of Allah: If you fear that you will not remain within Allah’s limits, there is nothing wrong in the wife ransoming herself?” “It was abrogated,” he replied. “Where?” I asked. He said, “In Sūrat an-Nisā’: If you desire to exchange one wife for another, and have given your original wife a large amount, do not take any of it. Would you take it by means of slander and downright crime” (4:20)” An-Naḥḥās said, ‘This is an aberrant view outside of the general consensus. Neither of the two contradicts the other so that there is abrogation because “If you fear...” does not cancel the other āyah because, when they fear thus, the husband does not fall into the category designated by the words “If you desire to exchange...” because the latter is addressed to men alone.’ Aṭ-Tabarī said, ‘This āyah is one of judgment and what Bakr said is nonsense.’

Those who think that khul is specific to the state of schism and harm use this āyah, and say that it is a precondition for khul’. They support this by what Abū Dāwud related from ‘Ā’ishah to the effect that Ḥabībah bint Sahl was married to Thābit ibn Qays and he hit her and broke her jaw. She went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ after the Subh prayer and complained to him. The Prophet summoned Thābit and said, ‘Take some of her property and separate from her.’ He asked, ‘Is that proper, Messenger of Allah?’ ‘Yes,’ he said. He said, ‘I gave her the two gardens she has as a dower.’ The Prophet
said, ‘Take them and separate from her.’ He took them and separated from her. However, the position of the majority is that *khul‘* is permitted without any complaint of harm as we see in the ḥadīth of al-Bukhārī and other ḥadīths. The āyah is not a proof for it since Allah did not mention it as a precondition, but rather mentioned it because it is the usual case in the *khul‘*.

The āyah also indicates the permission for taking, in a *khul‘* divorce, more than a husband gave to his wife. Scholars disagree about this. Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah and his people and Abū Thawr say that it is permitted to do whatever they agree on, whether that is less or more that he gave her. This is reported from ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān, Ibn ‘Umar, Qabīṣah and an-Nakha‘ī. Qabīṣah recited as proof: ‘…there is nothing wrong in the wife ransoming herself.’ Mālik said, ‘Doing that is not part of noble character, but I have not seen any of the people of knowledge express dislike of it.’

Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī said, ‘My sister was married to a man of the Anṣār who married her in return for a garden he gave her. There were some words between the two of them and they took it to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ who said, “Will you return the garden he gave you and then he will divorce you?” “Yes,” she answered, “and I will give him more.” He said, “Return his garden to him and give him more.”’ We find in the ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Abbās: ‘If he wishes, I will give him more,’ and he did not object to it.

One group said that he should not take from her more than he gave her. That is what was stated by Ṭāwūs, ‘Aṭā‘, and al-Awzā‘ī. Al-Awzā‘ī said, ‘Judges do not permit anyone to take other than what he gave his wife.’ Ṭāḥād and Isḥāq said that. They argue by what Ibn Jurayj related from Abu-z-Zubayr that Thābit ibn Qays ibn Shammās was married to Zaynab bint ‘Abdullāh ibn Ubayy ibn Salūl. He gave her a dower of a garden. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Not more, but his garden.’ ‘Yes,’ she said. He took it and let her go.
When Thābit ibn Qays heard that, he said, ‘I have accepted the judgment of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ.’ Abu-z-Zubayr and others heard it. Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it. It is related mursal from ‘Aṭā’ that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The man does not take from a woman in a *khul* more than what he gave her.’

In the view of Mālik, a *khul* can be carried in exchange for unripe fruit, for a stray camel or runaway slave, an unborn child of a slavegirl and the like that and other unsure things which is not the case in sales and marriage. He can make a demand for all that. If it is sound, it is given to him and if it is not sound, he gets nothing. Divorce is carried out based on its ruling. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘The *khul* is allowed [in this case] but he receives the appropriate dower.’ Ibn Khuwayzimandād related that Mālik said, ‘That is because when contracts involving mutual exchange contain an unsound reimbursement and have passed, then one refers to what is necessary in similar substitutes.’ Abū Thawr said, ‘The *khul* is invalid.’ The People of Opinion said, ‘The *khul* is allowed and he has the unborn child of the slavegirl. If there is no child, then he has nothing.’

In *al-Mabsūṭ*, Ibn al-Qāsim reported that he said: ‘It is permitted in exchange for the fruits of his palm trees for a year or for what his sheep produce in a year.’ This differs from the position of Abū Ḥanīfah and ash-Shāfi‘ī. The proof for what Mālik and Ibn al-Qāsim say is the general nature of the words of the Almighty: ‘…there is nothing wrong in the wife ransoming herself.’ By way of analogy, it is part of what he owns by gift or bequest and so it is permitted for it to be an exchange in the *khul*, just as is the case with something known. Furthermore, *khul* is a form of divorce, and divorce is valid without any recompense whatsoever. Since it is valid without any such recompense, then it is even more likely to be valid with unsound goods because the worst state of that which is spent is that about which one is silent. It is also true because marriage, a contract which makes something lawful, is not rendered unsound by unsound
goods and so that is even more the case in divorce which destroys the lawfulness of a contract.

If she is given a *khulʿ* provided that she nurse her son for two years, that is also permitted. There are two views about the basis of the *khulʿ* being that she support her son after the two years for a known period. One is that it is permitted and that is the view of al-Makhzūmī and the view preferred by Saḥnūn. The second is that it is not permitted. Ibn al-Qāsim related that from Mālik and said that if the husband stipulated it, it is void and removed from the wife. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Those who permit a *khulʿ* in exchange for a stray camel, runaway slave and the like of uncertain things make this permitted as well.’ Other scholars of the Qarawīn say that Mālik did not forbid a *khulʿ* in exchange for maintenance for more than two years because of the uncertainty; he forbade it because it is a duty specifically for the father in every case and therefore he cannot transfer it to someone else. The difference between this and maintenance for two years is that the maintenance, which is for suckling, may be imposed on the mother while she is married and after the divorce if the father is in straitened circumstances. Therefore it is permitted to transfer this maintenance to the mother because she is subject to it. In *al-Mabsūṭ*, Mālik cited as evidence the words of the Almighty: ‘Mothers should nurse their children for two full years – for those who wish to complete the full term of nursing.’ (2:233)

If a *khulʿ* occurs in a permitted manner, based on providing for the son, and then the child dies before the end of the period, does the husband have recourse to her for payment of the rest of the maintenance? Ibn al-Mawwāz related from Mālik that he cannot pursue her for anything. Abu-l-Faraj related from him that he can pursue her because it is his confirmed due which is the responsibility of the wife by *khulʿ* and it is not cancelled by the death of the child. That is also the case if he does a *khulʿ* for money: she is liable for it. The reasoning behind the first view is that he did not stipulate for
himself any wealth with which to enrich himself, but the duty of the support of his child. Then if the child dies, he has no right of restitution from her at all. That is like a case in which a man voluntarily supports a child for a year and then the child dies: he owes no restitution at all because he intended to take on his support. Allah knows best. Mālik said, ‘I do not think that anyone should be pursued for something like this. If he does pursue it, he can make a statement regarding that.’ They agree that if she dies, then the child is supported from her money because it was a right established before her death and is not removed by her death.

If in the *khul* a man stipulates the maintenance of her unborn child and that she will have nothing, he must support the child if she has no wealth to spend on the child. If she then later becomes wealthy, he can pursue her for what he spent and take it from her. Mālik said, ‘It is part of the duty of the man to maintain his child, even if he stipulates that the mother will support it, when she does not have the wherewithal to do so.’

Scholars disagree about whether the *khul* is a divorce or annulment. It is related from ‘Uthmān, ‘Alī, Ibn Mas‘ūd and a group of Tābi‘ūn that it is a divorce. That was stated by Mālik, ath-Thawrī, al-Awzā‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah and his people and ash-Shāfi‘ī in one position. If someone intends two or three divorces by the *khul*, that is binding for him according to Mālik. The People of Opinion said that if he intends three divorces, it is three, and if he intends two, it is one final one because it is one statement. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said in his other view that if he intends divorce by the *khul* and calls it divorce, then it is divorce, and if he did not intend divorce and did not call it such, that separation does not occur.’ He said that in his Old School. I prefer his first position. Al-Muzanī said, ‘It is the soundest in my view.’ Abū Thawr said, ‘When divorce is not named, then *khul* is separation and not divorce. If it is called divorce, then it is divorce and the husband has the power to take her back as long as
she is in her ‘iddah.’

Among those who said that *khul* is annulment and not divorce unless it is intended are Ibn ‘Abbās, Ṭāwūs, ‘Ikrimah, Isḥāq and Ahmad. For evidence they cite the hadith of Ibn ‘Uyaynah from ‘Amr ibn Ṭāwūs from Ibn ‘Abbās that Ibrāhīm ibn Sa‘d ibn Abī Waqqāṣ asked him about when a man has divorced his wife two times and then does a *khul* to part from her. Can he remarry her? He said, ‘Yes, he can remarry her. The *khul* is not a divorce. Allah mentioned divorce at the beginning and end of the āyah, and the *khul* is between that and so the *khul* is not divorce.’ Then he quoted, ‘Divorce can be pronounced two times; in which case wives may be retained with correctness and courtesy or released with good will.’ (2:229) Then he recited, ‘If a man divorces his wife a third time, she is not lawful to him after that until she has married another husband.’ (2:230) He said, ‘That is because if *khul* had been a divorce, it would have been the third after the two divorces. And His words after that “If a man divorces” would indicate a fourth divorce. Then the prohibition would be connected to a fourth divorce.’

They also use as evidence what at-Tirmidhī, Abū Dāwud and ad-Dāraquṭnī cited from Ibn ‘Abbās that the wife of Thābit ibn Qays obtained a *khul* from her husband in the time of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and the Messenger of Allah ﷺ ordered her to observe an ‘iddah of one menstruation. At-Tirmidhī said that it is a ḥasan gharīb hadith. Ar-Rubayyi bint Mu‘awwridh ibn ‘Afrā’ reported that she had a *khul* in the time of the Prophet ﷺ and he told her to observe an ‘iddah of one menstruation. At-Tirmidhī said that the hadith of ar-Rubayyi is sound about her being ordered to observe an ‘iddah of one menstruation. He said that it indicates that *khul* is annulment, not divorce because Allah says: ‘Divorced women should wait by themselves for three menstrual cycles.’ (2:228) If it had been a divorce, it would not have been confined to one cycle.

If someone divorces his wife twice and then does a *khul* from her
and then wants to marry her, he can do that, as Ibn ‘Abbās said, even if she had not married another husband, because he has only done two divorces and the khulʿ does not count. Someone who considers the khulʿ to be a divorce says that he is not permitted to take her back until she has married another husband because the three divorces are complete by the khulʿ. That is sound, Allah willing. Qāḍī Ismāʿīl ibn Ishāq said, ‘How can it be permitted for a man whose wife has told him, “Divorce me for a sum” which he then does, to say that it is not a divorce? If he had put her authority in her hands without anything else and then she divorced herself, it would be divorce.’ He says that Allah’s words: ‘If a man divorces his wife a third time, she is not lawful to him after that until she has married another husband’ are added to His words: ‘Divorce can be pronounced two times’ because the words ‘released with good will’ mean ‘or divorced’. If khulʿ had been added to the two divorces, it would only be permitted to have a khulʿ after two divorces. No one says this.

Someone else said that their interpretation of this āyah is a mistake. ‘Divorce can be pronounced twice’ gives the ruling of two times when it occurs other than in a khulʿ. It is confirmed that he can still take her back when Allah says: ‘…retained with correctness and courtesy’. Then He mentioned their ruling when it is by way of khulʿ. So the khulʿ refers to the two already mentioned since what is meant by them is to explain divorce in general, divorce in exchange for recompense, and a triple divorce with or without recompense after which the woman is only lawful after another husband.

This is the answer to the evidence of the āyah. As for the ḥadīth, when mentioning the ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Abbās about one menstrual period, Abū Dāwud said that the ḥadīth was related by ‘Abd ar-Razzāq from Maʿmar from ‘Amr ibn Muslim from ‘Ikrimah mursal from the Prophet ﷺ. Al-Qaʿnabī related from Nāfiʿ that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘The ‘iddah of a woman separated by a khulʿ is the same as that for divorce.’ Abū Dāwud said, ‘We believe that our action is based
on this.’ It is the school of Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Ishāq, ath-Thawrī and the people of Kufa. At-Tirmidhī said, ‘That is the view of most of the people of knowledge among the Companions of the Prophet and others.’

The ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Abbās about a single menstruation is gharīb according to at-Tirmidhī and mursal according to what Abū Dāwud mentioned about it being said that the Prophet made her ‘iddah one and a half menstrual cycles. Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted from Ma‘mar from ‘Amr ibn Muslim from ‘Ikrimah from Ibn ‘Abbās that the wife of Thābit ibn Qays got a khul’ from her husband and the Prophet made her ‘iddah one and a half menstrual cycles. Here a cycle and a half is mentioned by Ma‘mar while one menstrual cycle is also related from him. The transmitter was Abū ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān Hishām ibn Yūsuf aṣ-Ṣan‘ānī al-Yamānī. Only al-Bukhārī transmitted from him. The ḥadīth is unsettled in both its isnād and its text. It is not used to argue that the ‘iddah for khul’ is one menstrual cycle. So the words of the Almighty: ‘Divorced women should wait by themselves for three menstrual cycles,’ remain for every divorced woman whose marriage has been consummated except for special cases. At-Tirmidhī said, ‘Some of the Companions of the Messenger of Allah said that the ‘iddah for a khul’ is one menstruation.’ Ishāq said, ‘If someone believes this, it is a strong position.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān and Ibn ‘Umar said that her ‘iddah is one menstrual cycle. That was stated by Abān ibn ‘Uthmān and Ishāq.’ ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said that her ‘iddah is that of a divorced woman. I take the position of ‘Uthmān and Ibn ‘Umar. The ḥadīth of ‘Alī is not firm. We already mentioned from Ibn ‘Umar that the ‘iddah for a khul’ is the same as that for a divorce. It is sound.

The position of Mālik varies about the case of someone who intends to carry out a khul’ without any recompense. ‘Abd al-Wahhāb said, ‘Mālik considers it to be a khul’ and the divorce is final.’ It also is reported that he said that it is not final unless there is
recompense. Ashhab and ash-Shāfi‘ī said that because it is a divorce divested of recompense and the number must be observed. It is revocable as it would be if he had said ‘divorce’. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘I and the people of knowledge believe this to be the soundest of his views.’ The reason behind the first is the lack of recompense in the *khul* does not remove it from what is demanded. The basis for that is if the *khul* is based on wine or pigs.

The woman with a *khul* is the one divested of all she has received and the woman who ransoms herself does so with some of it and takes some of it. A woman who frees herself is the one who frees herself from her husband before consummation, saying, ‘I am free of you, so be free of me.’ This is the view of Mālik. ‘Īsā ibn Dīnār related that Mālik said, ‘The freed woman is the one who does not take anything or give anything. The woman with a *khul* is the woman who gives what she was given and may give more. The woman who ransoms herself is the one who ransoms herself with some of what she was given while keeping some. All of this is whether or not the marriage has been consummated. If it is before consummation, then she has no ‘iddah. A woman who conciliates is like the woman who frees herself.’

Qāḍī Abū Muḥammad and others said that these four expressions refer to the same idea, even if their qualities differ in respect of occurrence. It is a final divorce whether or not it is named. She cannot be taken back in the ‘iddah but she can be married in the ‘iddah or after it with her consent and with a *wali* and dower, before or after having another husband. This is not the view of Abū Thawr because of the fact that she gave him something in exchange for control over herself. If the *khul* divorce had been revocable, she would not have control over herself. In that case, the husband would have both the recompense and that for which it was given.

This is in spite of the fact that the contract is usually carried out. If she gives him recompense and he stipulates the right of retraction,
there are two transmissions about that which Ibn Wahb related from Mālik. One is that it is affirmed, which is the view of Saḥnūn. The other is that it is denied. Saḥnūn said that the reason for the first transmission is that they both agreed on the recompense in compensation for dropping the number of divorces. This is permitted. The reason for the second is that he made a stipulation in the contract which precludes the aim of the contract, and therefore it is not confirmed as would be the case if he stipulated in the marriage contract that he would not have sex with her.

**These are Allah’s limits so do not overstep them.**

After Allah has clarified the judgments of marriage and divorce, He says: ‘These are Allah’s limits…’ which you are commanded to obey, just as He explains those matters which are prohibited during the fast in another āyah and says: ‘Those are Allah’s limits, so do not go near them.’ (2:187) So He divided the limits into two categories: limits which one is commanded to obey and limits which one is commanded to avoid. Then He ends with the threat: ‘Those who overstep Allah’s limits are wrongdoers.’

230 But if a man divorces his wife a third time, she is not lawful for him after that until she has married another husband. Then if he divorces her, there is nothing wrong in the original couple getting back together provided they think they will remain within Allah’s limits. These are Allah’s limits which he has made clear to people who know.

**But if a man divorces his wife a third time,**

Some of the Khorasanī Ḥanafī shaykhs used this āyah as evidence that the *khul‘* should be followed by normal divorce. They said,
‘Allah prescribed an explicit divorce after she has ransomed herself through *khul‘* because the use of the particle *fā*’ here is sequential. It is unlikely for it to refer back to: “Divorce can be pronounced twice” because the intervening words prevent: “If he divorces her” being based on it. It is more likely to refer to the exception close to it and it would only refer to something before that with some evidence as we see in 4:23.’

Scholars disagree about the divorce after the *khul‘* during the ‘*iddah*. One group say that if a wife frees herself from her husband by *khul‘* and then he divorces her again during the waiting period, the new divorce applies rather than the *khul‘*. That was stated by Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, Shurayḥ, Ṭāwūs, an-Nakha‘ī, az-Zuhrī, al-Ḥakam, Ḥammād, ath-Thawrī and the People of Opinion. There is a second view and that is that the second divorce bears no legal weight and is not considered. Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn az-Zubayr, ʿIkrimah, al-Ḥasan, Jābir ibn Zayd, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Isḥāq and Abū Thawr take this position. It is also the position of Mālik, although Mālik says, ‘If she ransoms herself from him on the condition that he gives her a triple divorce consecutively, when he pronounces the divorce, then the full divorce has taken place. If there is a silence between that, what follows the silence is nothing. That is because the sequential and connected nature of the words demands one ruling. That is also the case when “Allah willing” is connected to the oath by Allah: it is preferred and the ruling of the exception is confirmed. When it is separated from it, then it is not connected to the previous words.’

**she is not lawful for him after that until she has married another husband.**

This is agreed upon and there is no disagreement about it. But there is disagreement about exactly what constitutes an intervening marriage and what it is permitted to be. Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab and those who agree with him said that the contract itself is enough. Al-Ḥasan ibn Abī ʿl-Ḥasan said that there must be ejaculation as well as
sexual intercourse. A group of scholars and *fuqahāʾ* agree that sexual intercourse is enough, and that consists of the meeting of the private parts in the manner which would necessitate the ḥadd and ghusl, which would invalidate fasting and ḥajj, and which would make the payment of a full dowry obligatory. Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘I have not come across a question in *fiqh* more difficult than this one. That is because the basis of the legal position is contingent on what the ruling is connected to: the minimum to which it is possible to apply the term “marriage” or the full meaning of the term “marriage”. If we say the minimum, then we take the position of Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab. If it is connected to the latter, then there must be ejaculation and penetration, as al-Ḥasan said.’

Ibn al-Mundhir said that the term used in the ḥadīth, ‘taste sweetness’, means sexual intercourse and that is the position of the majority of scholars with the exception of Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab who said, ‘People say that she is not lawful to her first husband until she has had sex with the second husband. I, however, say that when he marries her in a sound marriage which is only intended to make her lawful, there is nothing wrong in her marrying the first husband.’ We do not know of anyone who agrees with this statement except a group of the Khārijites. The Sunnah spares the need for anything else. Saʿīd ibn Jubayr took the position of Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab. An-Naḥḥās mentioned it in *Kitāb maʿānī al-Qurʾān*. He said, ‘The people of knowledge say that marriage here entails sexual intercourse because Allah says, “another husband”. Being a spouse was already mentioned, and so “marriage” must mean sexual intercourse. Saʿīd ibn Jubayr, however, said that marriage here is sound marriage when it is not with the simple intention of making her lawful.’

I think that what is most likely is that they had not heard the ḥadīth about ‘sweetness’ or believed that it was not sound and took the literal text of the Qurʾān when Allah says: ‘until she has married another
husband’. Allah knows best.

The imams like ad-Dāraquṭnī related that ‘Ā’ishah said that the Messenger of Allah ḥ said, ‘When a man divorces his wife three times, then she is not lawful to him until she has married another husband and each of them has tasted the sweetness of the other.’ Some of the Ḥanafi scholars said, ‘If someone makes a marriage contract based on the position of Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, the qāḍī can nullify it. Disagreement regarding it is not considered because it deviates from the consensus of scholars.’ Our scholars said that his words ḥ, ‘…each of them has tasted the sweetness of the other,’ means that they are equal in enjoying the pleasure of sexual intercourse. It is the proof of one two views. We believe that if he has sex with her while she is asleep or unconscious, she still is not lawful to the man who divorced her because she has not tasted ‘sweetness’.

An-Nasāʾī related from ‘Abdullāh that the Messenger of Allah ḥ cursed those women who tattoo and are tattooed, those who add hairpieces and those to whose hair they are added, those who consume and pay usury and those who facilitate re-marriage and those for whom that facilitation is done. At-Tirmidhī related that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ḥ cursed those who facilitate re-marriage and those for whom that facilitation is done.’ He said that this is a sound ḥasan ḥadīth. This ḥadīth is also related from the Messenger of Allah ḥ by a different path. It was the normative position among the people of knowledge among the Companions of the Prophet ḥ, including ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān, ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar and others. It is the position of the fuqahā’ among the Tābi‘ūn. It was also the position of Sufyān ath-Thawrī, Ibn al-Mubārak, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Mālik, Aḥmad and Ishāq. I heard al-Jārūd mention that Waki‘ said, ‘One must remove this topic from the People of Opinion.’ Sufyān said, ‘When a man marries a woman to make her lawful and then decides to keep her, she is not lawful to him until he marries her with a new marriage.’
Abū ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘Scholars disagree about a marriage to facilitate remarriage. Mālik said, “A man who married to facilitate remarriage does not remain in his marriage until he has a new marriage. If he has sex with her, then she receives an appropriate dower. It is not lawful for her first husband to have sex with her, whether or not they know that he has married her to make her lawful for her first husband. He is not confirmed in the marriage and it is nullified.” Ath-Thawrī and al-Awzā‘ī said that.’

There is a second view about it related from ath-Thawrī about a marriage which is incorrect, or to facilitate remarriage, that the marriage is sound but the precondition is void. That is the view of Ibn Abī Laylā about that matter and the temporary (mu‘tah) marriage. Al-Awzā‘ī said that the marriage for facilitation is a bad action but the marriage is allowed. Abū Ḥanīfah, Yūsuf and Muḥammad said that the marriage is allowed if it is consummated and he can keep her if he wishes. Once Abū Ḥanīfah and his people said that she is not lawful to the first husband if someone married her just to facilitate that, and another time they said that he is lawful to her by this marriage provided he has sex with her and then divorces her. They do not disagree that a marriage of this kind is sound and he can maintain it.

There is a third view. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that when a man says, ‘I will marry you to make you lawful,’ then there is no marriage between them after that. This is a sort of temporary marriage. It is unsound and not confirmed. It is annulled. If he has sex with her on this basis it does not legalise re-marriage. If he marries her with a simple marriage in which there is no stipulation and he does not stipulate that it is to legalise re-marriage, ash-Shāfi‘ī has two views on that in his old book: one is like the view of Mālik and the other is like that of Abū Ḥanīfah. His view in his new Egyptian book does not vary: the marriage is sound if he makes no stipulation. That is the view of Dāwud as well.
Al-Māwardī related from ash-Shāfi’ī that if a man stipulates that legalisation is the object before the contract, the marriage is sound and he makes her lawful for the first husband. If they stipulate that in the contract, however, then the marriage is invalid and she is not lawful for the first husband. He said that it is the view of ash-Shāfi’ī. Al-Ḥasan and Ibrāhīm said that if any one of the three people intends legalisation by it, then the marriage is invalid. This is stricter. Sālim and al-Qāsim said that there is nothing wrong in a man marrying a woman to legalise her when the couple do not know about it and he is paid. Rabī‘ah and Yahyā ibn Sa‘īd said that. Dāwud ibn ‘Alī said that: provided that it does not appear as a stipulation when the contract is made.

According to scholars, a marriage arranged with the ostensible purpose of legalising remarriage is permitted for the [second] husband who marries [the woman]. It is the same whether that was stipulated or intended [before the marriage], but when that actually occurs [for that sole purpose], then the marriage is unsound and not confirmed. His having sex with the woman does not make her lawful for her first husband. It is the same whether the first husband knows that or is ignorant of that. It is said that when he learns that the man married her for that purpose, he should not take her back. According to Mālik, she is only made lawful by a marriage in which he desires and has need of her and does not intend to legalise her. The new husband having sex with her [in that marriage] is permissible as long as she is not fasting, in ḥabarām or menstruating, and he is an adult Muslim.

Ash-Shāfi‘ī, on the other hand, said, ‘If the new husband has sex with her on the basis of a valid marriage and fully consummates it, then they have tasted “sweetness”. It is the same whether it is a strong or weak marriage and whether the consummation was achieved by his or her hand, and whether he was a child, adolescent or eunuch as long as he still has part of his penis, and whether the
woman is fasting or in ḥāram.’ This entire description of ash-Shāfī‘ī is also the position of Abū Ḥanīfah and his people, ath-Thawrī, al-Awzā‘ī, and al-Hasan ibn Ṣāliḥ, and it is also the position of some of the people of Mālik. Ibn Ḥabīb said that if he marries her and likes her, he can keep her. If he expects payment for making her legal, it is not permitted since he mixed marriage with the intention of legalisation. In that case she is not lawful for the first husband.

When a master has sex with his slavegirl who has been finally divorced by her husband, she does not become lawful for the first husband since the master is not a husband. That is related from ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and is the view of ‘Ubaydah, Masrūq, ash-Sha‘bī, Ibrāhīm, Jābir ibn Zayd, Sulaymān ibn Yasār, Ḥammād ibn Abī Sulaymān and Abu-z-Zinād. That is also the position of most of the fuqahā’ of the different regions. The opposite of that, however, is related from ‘Uthmān, Zayd ibn Thābit and az-Zubayr. They said that when her master has sex with her in a manner by which he does not intend deceit or legalisation, she may remarry her husband with a proposal and dower. The first view is sounder because the Almighty says: ‘…until she has married another husband’. A master has control by virtue of ownership not marriage. This is clearer.

We find in the Muwaṭṭā’ of Mālik that Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab and Sulaymān ibn Yasār were both asked whether, if a man married a slave of his to a slavegirl who then divorced her finally and then her master gave her to him, she was lawful to him by virtue of ownership. They said that she was not lawful to him until she had married another husband.

Mālik related that he asked Ibn Shihāb about a man who was married to a slavegirl owned by someone else and then bought her and divorced her with a single divorce. He said, ‘She is lawful to him by virtue of ownership as long as it was not a final divorce. If it was a final divorce, she is not lawful to him by virtue of ownership until she has married another husband.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘This is the position
of the bulk of scholars and imams of fatwā: Mālik, ath-Thawrī, al-
finally divorced her buys her, then she is lawful to him by virtue of
ownership based on the general nature of Allah’s words: ‘…those you
own as slaves’. (4:3) Abū ‘Umar said, ‘This is an erroneous view
because Allah’s statement: ‘…those you own as slaves’ does not permit
mothers or sisters or the rest of those forbidden by kinship.’

When a Muslim divorces his dhimmī wife three times and she then
marries a dhimmī who consummates the marriage and then divorces
her, one group say that the dhimmī is a proper husband for her and
she can remarry the first husband. That is what al-Ḥasan, az-Zuhrī,
Sufyān ath-Thawrī, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū ‘Ubayd and the People of
Opinion said. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘That is what we say because
Allah says: ‘…until she has married another husband’ and the Christian
man is a husband. Mālik and Rabī‘ah said that he does not make
her lawful.

An invalid marriage does not permit a woman who has been
divorced three times to remarry her first husband according to the
majority position. Mālik, ath-Thawrī, ash-Shāfi‘ī, al-Awzā‘ī, the
People of Opinion, Aḥmad, Isḥāq and Abū ‘Ubayd said that she is
only lawful for the first husband if she has entered into a valid
intervening marriage. Al-Ḥakam said that this refers to the husband.
Ibn al-Mundhir said that it does not refer to the husband because
the spousal rulings of ḥijār, īlā’ and li‘ān are not confirmed between
them. All of those scholars who are regarded say that if a woman
says to the first husband, ‘I have married and it was consummated,’
and he believes her, she is lawful to him. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that it is
scrupulous not to do it if he suspects that she is lying.

‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb strongly condemned this practice of false
marriage and said, ‘If I am brought someone who makes remarriage
legal or someone for whom it was done, I will stone them.’ Ibn
‘Umar said, ‘False marriage in order to legalise re-marriage (tahlīl) is fornication and people who do it are fornicators, even if they remain together for twenty years.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Umar’s words are only taken to indicate the severity of his position because it is clear that the ḥadd punishment is removed from someone who has unlawful sexual intercourse when he is ignorant that it is unlawful and has the excuse of ignorance. This is a more appropriate interpretation. There is no dispute that he is not stoned for it.’

Then if he divorces her, there is nothing wrong in the original couple getting back together

The word ‘he’ here refers to the second husband. If he divorces the woman concerned there is nothing wrong if she and her first husband remarry. Ibn ‘Abbās said that there is no disagreement about this. Ibn al-Mundhir said that scholars agree that when a free man divorces his wife three times and her waiting period comes to an end and then she marries another husband who is free and the marriage is consummated and then he divorces her and her waiting period for that divorce ends, then she can re-marry the first husband.

They disagree about what happens when a man divorces his wife once or twice and then she marries someone else and then later remarries her first husband. One group say that she only has the number of divorces which remain from the time she was previously married to the man, as was stated by the great Companions of the Messenger of Allah: ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, Ubayy ibn Ka‘b, ‘Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn and Abū Hurayrah. That is also related from Zayd ibn Thābit, Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal and ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ. It is also the position of ‘Abīdah as-Sulmānī, Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, Mālik, Sufyān ath-Thawrī, Ibn Abī Laylā, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Ishāq, Abū ‘Ubayd, Abū Thawr, Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan and Ibn Naṣr.

There is a second position that the marriage is new and divorce
starts afresh. That was the position of Ibn ‘Umar and Ibn ‘Abbās. That was also the position of ‘Aṭā’, an-Nakha‘ī, Shurayh, an-Nu‘mān and Ya‘qūb. Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah mentioned from Abū Mu‘āwiyah and Wakī‘ from al-A‘mash that Ibrāhīm said, ‘The companions of ‘Abdullāh used to say, “Is a marriage destroyed by three and not destroyed by one or two?”’ Ḥafṣ related from Ḥajjāj from Ṭalḥah from Ibrāhīm that the companions of ‘Abdullāh used to say that a single or double divorce annuls as is the case with three, except for ‘Abīdah who said that a wife remains with the remaining unused divorces. Abū ‘Umar mentioned it. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘I take the first view.’ There is a third view which is that if there was consummation in the last one, it is a new divorce and new marriage, and if there was no consummation, the number remains as it was. This was the view of Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘ī.

provided they think they will remain within Allah's limits

Ṭāwūs said, ‘If they think that each of them will treat the other well.’ It is said that the limits of Allah refer to what He has made obligatory, so it means when they know that there will be correctness between them in the second marriage. If the husband knows that he is unable to support his wife or pay her dowry or provide for any of her obligatory rights, it is not lawful for him to marry her until he makes that clear to her and knows that he will, in fact, be able to fulfil her rights. It is the same if he has a defect which prevents sexual enjoyment. This is so that he does not deceive the woman regarding himself. Similarly he must not deceive her about his lineage or wealth or work by lying about that. Similarly if the woman knows that she will be unable to carry out the duties owed by her to the husband or has a defect which prevents sexual intercourse such as insanity, leprosy or genital defects, she is not permitted to deceive him and must make that clear to him. This same principle applies to those who sell goods: they must make any faults clear. If one of the couple discovers a defect in their partner,
they can reject him or her. If it is the man who has the fault, the woman keeps her complete dower if the marriage is consummated and half the dower if it has not been consummated. If the fault is with the woman, the husband returns her and takes back the dower he has given her. It is related that the Prophet ﷺ married a woman from the Banū Bayāḍah and discovered that she had leprosy. He returned her saying, ‘You deceived me.’

There are varying views from Mālik about a woman who is frigid and refuses sex and whose marriage is ended because of that. Sometimes he says that she keeps all of her dower and sometimes that she only keeps half of it. This is because of the difference in his view about whether the entitlement to the dower is based on acceptance or consummation.

Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘Our companions disagree about whether the wife has to serve or not. Some say that a man cannot oblige his wife to serve and that is because the contract specifies enjoyment, not service. Do you not see that it is not a contract of hire or slavery? It is a contract of pleasure and what is entailed by the contract is enjoyment and nothing more and so more than that may not be demanded of her. Do you not see the words of Allah Almighty: “But if they obey you do not look for a way to punish them” (4:34)? Others among our companions say that she owes the service of a woman of her standing. If she is noble due to the wealth or lineage of her parents, she must manage the house and direct the servants. If she is middle-class, she must make the bed and the like. If she is less than that, she should attend to the house, cooking and washing. If she is a woman from the Kurds, Daylam and the mountains, she is obliged to do what their women are normally obliged to do. That is because Allah says: “Women possess rights similar to those held over them to be honoured with fairness.” (2:228) The custom of Muslims in their lands, ancient and modern, is as we have mentioned it. Do you not see that the wives of the Prophet ﷺ and his Companions used to
undertake grinding, bread-making, baking, making beds, serving food and the like? We do not know of any woman who refused to do that. She could not refuse and they used to beat their wives when they fell short in doing that and oblige them to serve. Had that not been an entitlement [at that time], they would not have asked for it.’

These are Allah’s limits which he has made clear to people who know.

The ‘ḥudūd’ (limits) are what He has forbidden. The root of the verb is used for confining and denying good. A man who is maḥdūd is deprived of good. The verb is used for a woman in mourning who is forbidden adornment. A doorkeeper can be called ḥaddād, because he prevents people from entering. The words ‘a people who know’ are used because when someone ignorant is subject to a lot of commands and prohibitions, he will not observe them or abide by them. The one who knows will do so. This is why those who know are addressed and not the ignorant.

231 When you divorce women and they reach the end of their waiting period, then either retain them with correctness and courtesy or release them with correctness and courtesy. Do not retain them by force, thus overstepping the limits. Anyone who does that has wronged himself. Do not make a mockery of Allah’s Signs. Remember Allah’s blessing to you and the Book and Wisdom He has sent down to you to admonish you. Be fearful of Allah and know that Allah has knowledge of all things.

When you divorce women and they reach the end of their waiting
period,

The word ‘balagha’ (reach) here means ‘to draw near to’ according to the consensus of scholars, because, if she actually reaches the end, her husband no longer has a choice about keeping her. It is a mutual prohibition in the āyah after it because the idea demands that.

then either retain them with correctness and courtesy

This means the husband must undertake to fulfil his legal obligations towards his wife. That is why a group of scholars said that an aspect of retaining with correctness is that if the husband does not have what is necessary for the maintenance of his wife, he must divorce her. If he does not do so, he is no longer in the right and the divorce can be forced upon him by the judge due to the harm she would suffer by remaining with someone who cannot support her. That was the position of Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘i, Aḥmad, Iṣḥāq, Abū Thawr, Abū ‘Ubayd, Yaḥyā al-Qaṭṭān, and ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Mahdī. It was stated by ‘Umar, ‘Alī and Abū Hurayrah among the Companions, and Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab among the Tābi‘ūn. He said that it is sunnah. Abū Hurayrah related that from the Prophet ﷺ.

Another group say that the couple are not separated in that situation and she must put up with that. Maintenance is made his responsibility by the ruling of a judge. That is the position of ‘Aṭā‘ and az-Zuhrī and it is the position which is taken by the Kufans and ath-Thawrī. Their evidence is found in the āyahs: ‘If someone is in difficult circumstances, there should be a deferral until things are easier’ (2:280) and: ‘Marry off those among you who are unmarried.’ (24:32). So Allah recommends that poor people marry and so poverty cannot be a reason for separation. It is, however, recommended along with marriage. Also marriage between a couple is established by consensus and there is no separation except by consensus or an irrefutable sunnah from the Prophet ﷺ. The evidence for the first position is in Ṣaḥīḥ Bukhārī: ‘The woman says, “Either feed me or
divorce me.’” This provides a text in case of dispute. We consider separation due to hardship to constitute a revocable divorce, differing from ash-Shāfi‘ī who thinks it is final. This is because it is a separation after consummation when the number of divorces has not been used up, not in exchange for compensation nor due to harm on the part of the husband. Therefore it is revocable.

**or release them with correctness and courtesy.**

This means to divorce them as was already mentioned.

**Do not retain them by force, thus overstepping the limits.**

Mālik related from Thawr ibn Zayd ad-Dīlī that a man would divorce his wife and then take her back, having no need for her and not intending to keep her, but simply to make the waiting period longer for her and to thereby hurt her more, and so Allah revealed this.

**Anyone who does that has wronged himself.**

Az-Zajjāj says that ‘wrongs himself’ here means that he exposes himself to punishment by doing what Allah has forbidden. This report agrees with the report which was revealed about abandoning what the people of the Jāhiliyyah used to do in divorce and taking wives back as we mentioned earlier. These two reports tell us that the revelation of these two āyahs was about the same idea of a man keeping a woman and taking her back in order to cause harm to her. This is evident.

**Do not make a mockery of Allah’s Signs.**

This means ‘Do not mock Allah’s judgments’. They are all serious. If someone mocks them, they are still binding on him. Abu-d-Dardā’ said that in the time of Jāhiliyyah a man used to pronounce a divorce by saying, ‘You are divorced and I am joking,’ as he would do in marriage and emancipation. So Allah revealed this āyah and the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘If anyone divorces, emancipates, marries or gives in marriage and then says, “I was only joking,” what he said is taken seriously.’ Ma‘mar related it from ‘Īsā from ‘Amr from al-
Ḥasan from Abu-d-Dardā’. We find in the *Muwaṭṭāʾ* that a man said to Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘I divorced my wife a hundred times. What do you think?’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘She was divorced from you by three, and you made a mockery of Allah’s Signs in the ninety-seven.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted from Ismā’īl ibn Umayyah al-Qurashī that ‘Alī said, ‘The Prophet ﷺ heard a man do a final divorce and he became angry and said, “Do you make a mockery of Allah’s Signs or the dīn of Allah and make a game of final divorce? We obliged that it is three and she is not lawful to you until she marries another husband.”’ This Ismā’īl ibn Umayyah is Kufan and weak in ḥadīth.

It is related that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘A man used to divorce his wife and say, “By Allah, I will neither let you inherit nor will I let you go.”’ “How is that?” she asked. He said, “When you are about to finish your ʿiddah, I will take you back.”’ So the āyah was revealed. Our scholars say that all such expressions are included in what is said in this āyah because it is said of someone who mocks the Signs of Allah as well as someone who rejects them and someone who discards them and acts on another basis. Thus all are included in this āyah. The Signs of Allah are His proofs, commands and prohibitions.

There is no disagreement between scholars that, if someone divorces in jest, the divorce is binding but they disagree about other matters as will be dealt with in *Sūrat at-Tawbah*, Allah willing. Abū Dāwud related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Three matters are serious and jests about them are taken seriously: marriage, divorce and taking back in marriage.’ It is related from ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, Ibn Mas‘ūd and Abu-d-Dardā’: ‘There is no jest in three matters and someone who plays with them is serious: marriage, divorce and emancipation.’ It is said that it means: ‘Do not abandon Allah’s commands so that you fall short and are playing.’ This āyah includes verbally asking for forgiveness while persisting in the deed. That is the case with everything of this sort, so know that.
Remember Allah’s blessing to you and the Book and Wisdom He has sent down to you to admonish you.

The blessing is Islam and Allah making His judgments clear. ‘Wisdom’ refers to the Sunnah which makes things clear on the tongue of the Messenger of Allahﷺ in respect of things about which there is no text in the Qur’an. He admonishes you to frighten you.

232 When you divorce women and they reach the end of their waiting period, do not prevent them from marrying their first husbands if they have mutually agreed to it with correctness and courtesy. This is an admonition for those of you who believe in Allah and the Last Day. That is better and purer for you. Allah knows and you do not know.

When you divorce women and they reach the end of their waiting period, do not prevent them from marrying their first husbands

Maʿqil ibn Yasār related that his sister was married to Abu-l-Baddāḥ and he divorced her and left her until after the end of her waiting period and then regretted it and proposed and she agreed to remarry him. Her brother refused to give her in marriage. He said, ‘It will be unlawful for us to see one another if you marry him,’ and the āyah was revealed. Muqātil said that the Prophetﷺ summoned Maʿqil and said, ‘If you are a believer, you will not prevent your sister from remarrying Abu-l-Baddāḥ.’ He said, ‘I believe in Allah and give her in marriage to him.’ Al-Bukhārī related from al-Ḥasan that the sister of Maʿqil ibn Yasār was divorced by her husband. Her ‘iddah ended and he proposed to her. Maʿqil refused him and the āyah was revealed. Ad-Dāraquṭnī also transmitted from al-Ḥasan that Maʿqil ibn Yasār told him, ‘I had a sister and proposals were
made to me and I used to refuse her to people. A cousin of mine came and proposed and I married her to him. They were together for as long as Allah wished and he divorced her with a revocable divorce and left her until her 'iddah had ended. Then he proposed together with other suitors. I said, “I kept her from people and married her to you and then you divorced her with a revocable divorce and left her until her 'iddah had ended. Now you propose to me and come to me along with other suitors! I will never marry her to you!” So Allah revealed: “When you divorce women and they are near the end of their ‘iddah, do not prevent them from marrying their first husbands.” So I expiated my oath.’ The variant of al-Bukhārī has: ‘Ma‘qil was angry out of pride and said, “He kept away from her when he could keep her and now he proposes to her again!” Allah revealed the āyah and the Messenger of Allah summoned him and recited it to him and so he set aside his anger and obeyed Allah’s command.’ He was Ma‘qil ibn Sinān. An-Naḥḥās said, ‘Ash-Shāfi‘ī related it in his books from Ma‘qil ibn Yasār or ibn Sinān.’ Aṭ-Ṭaḥāwī said that it is Ma‘qil ibn Sinān.

If this is true it indicates that it is not permitted for any woman to marry without a walī because the sister of Ma‘qil had clearly previously been married. If the matter had been left to her and not to her guardian, she would have married by herself and would have had no need of Ma‘qil. So the words ‘do not prevent’ are addressed to guardians. They are commanded to give the women concerned in marriage with their consent. It is also said that it is addressed to the husbands, because taking the woman back to cause her harm keeps her from marrying another and makes her waiting period longer. The people of Abū Ḥanīfah use it as evidence that a woman can give herself in marriage. They said that that is because Allah Almighty ascribes that to her as He says: ‘She is not lawful to him after that until she has married another husband.’ (2:230) He did not mention a walī. This has already been discussed. The first position is sounder.
since we mentioned the reason for the revelation. Allah knows best.

The word ‘reach’ in this instance really means that the ‘iddah has actually ended because initiating marriage is only conceivable after the end of the ‘iddah. The verb for “prevent” is ‘aḍala, meaning to restrain them. Al-Khalīl said that a mu‘ḍil is a hen which cannot lay eggs. It is said that ‘aḍl is to constrict and prevent, from the meaning of confinement. The verb is used for being prevented from doing something. The verb a‘ḍala is used for a matter when one cannot solve it. Al-Azharī said, ‘The root of ‘aḍl comes from the verb used for a she-camel when the foetus is stuck and hard to get out, just as is the case with a chicken which cannot lay its eggs.

That is better and purer for you.

If you do that you will be righteous.

233 Mothers should nurse their children for two full years – those who wish to complete the full term of nursing. It is the duty of the fathers to feed and clothe them with correctness and courtesy – no self is charged with more than it can bear. No mother should be put under pressure in respect of her child nor any father in respect of his child. The same duty is incumbent on the heir. If the couple both wish weaning to take place after mutual agreement and consultation, there is nothing wrong in their doing that. If you wish to find wet-nurses for your children, there is nothing wrong in your doing that provided you hand over to them what you have
agreed to give with correctness and courtesy. Be fearful of Allah and know that Allah sees what you do.

Mothers should nurse their children for two full years – those who wish to complete the full term of nursing.

After Allah has spoken of marriage and divorce He then speaks about children, since a couple may have children when they separate. So the āyah is about divorced women who have children by their ex-husbands. As-Suddî, ăḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and others said that, meaning that they are more entitled to nurse their children than other women because they will be more compassionate towards them and it is harmful to both mother and child to remove a small child from her. This also indicates that, even if the child is weaned, the mother is still more entitled to custody because of her compassion for it. She remains more entitled unless she remarries as will be mentioned.

This renders problematic the words: ‘It is the duty of the fathers to feed and clothe them’, because, if it is not a revocable divorce, divorced women are not entitled to clothing. They are entitled to a wage. Noble character, however, would ensure that the wage was adequate for both her food and her clothing. It is said that the āyah is general to both divorced women who have children and to wives. The most evident position is that it is about wives while the marriage remains, because they are entitled to maintenance and clothing, whether they nurse or not. Maintenance and clothing are according to the man’s ability. If she is busy with nursing and he lacks ability, it might be imagined that maintenance is cancelled. To remove that idea, the Almighty says: ‘It is the duty of fathers – referring to the husband – to feed and clothe them’ while they are nursing because, in doing it, they are occupied with the interests of the husband. So if she were to travel for what the husband needs with his permission, maintenance is not cancelled.

Nursing is mandatory for some and recommended for some. It is
said that it is reporting about what is prescribed. People disagree about whether nursing is a right for or against the mother. The expression can mean either because, taken literally, it means that it is obligatory for mothers to nurse their children just as fathers are obliged to maintain them. But that is only while she is married and also only when custom demands it. If she is noble and wealthy, the custom is for her not to nurse. That is like a stipulation. If the child will not, however, accept another woman, then nursing becomes mandatory for her. This is also the case if no one else is available. If the father dies and the child has no property, the position of Mālik in the *Mudawwanah* is that the mother is still obliged to nurse without being paid a wage. Ibn al-Jallāb said that the wage should be paid from the Treasury. ‘Abd al-Wahhāb said that that only applies when the father is a Muslim who is poor.

When a woman has been trebly divorced, she does not have to nurse and only does so if she wishes to. If she does so, she is entitled to a suitable wage if the husband is wealthy. If he does not have wealth, he cannot oblige her to nurse unless she is the only one the child will accept. If she is obliged to nurse and some excuse arises which prevents her from doing so, nursing then becomes the father’s responsibility. It is related from Mālik that if he is poor and the child has no property the mother must nurse. If she has no milk and has wealth, the child is nursed at her expense. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that paying for nursing is only mandatory for the father or grandfather. This will be further discussed later.

The words ‘two full years’ denote a measure of time that revolves in a full cycle. The word for ‘year,’ ḥawl, comes from the verb ḥāla, which means ‘to be transferred, so a ‘year’ is transferred from one moment to another. It is also said that it is called that because it is normal period for the transformation of things. The word ‘full’ is added because the word ‘year’ by itself can be used for less than a complete year, so ‘two years’ can actually be a year and part of
another year. Allah says: ‘those who hurry on in two days’ (2:203) and that is actually in a day and part of another day. Allah’s words: ‘those who wish to complete the full term of nursing’ indicates that the two full years is not a definitive term. Weaning can take place before the two years are up. A limit is set merely to eliminate any dispute between the couple about the length of nursing. The father does not have to pay for more than two years and, if the father wants the child to be weaned before two years and the mother does not consent, he cannot enforce that. An increase or decrease in the two years can be made provided that the child is not harmed and that the parents agree.

Mālik and those who follow him and another group of scholars deduce from this āyah that the nursing which creates a milk relationship only occurs within this first two year period and ends when those two years end, so that any nursing after that is not considered. He states that in the Muwaṭṭā’. Muhammad ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam related it from him. It is the position of Ibn ‘Umar and Ibn ‘Abbās. It is related from Ibn Mas‘ūd and was also stated by az-Zuhrī, Qatādah, ash-Sha’bī, Sufyān ath-Thawrī, al-Awzā‘ī, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Isḥāq, Abū Yūsuf, Muḥammad and Abū Thawr. Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam related from him that it is two years and a few days more. ‘Abd al-Malik said that it is like a month or so more. Ibn al-Qāsim related that saying, ‘Nursing is two years, and two months after the two years.’ Al-Walīd ibn Muslim related that he said, ‘A month or two or three after the two years is part of the two years. What is after that is of no consequence.’ It is related that an-Nu‘mān said, ‘Nursing up to six months after the two years is still considered nursing. The first view is the sound one since Allah says: “Mothers should nurse their children for two full years.” This indicates that no ruling is attached to it when the child is nursed after the two years.’ Sufyān related from ‘Amr ibn Dīnār from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Suckling is only in the first two years.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī
said that only al-Haytham ibn Jamīl has it from Ibn ‘Uyaynah. He is trustworthy with good memory.

This report, the āyah and the meaning make it clear that an adult cannot be suckled. It has no standing. The statement that they can was related from ‘Ā’ishah. Among scholars, al-Layth ibn Sa‘d said that. It is related that Abū Mūsā al-Ash‘arī thought that an adult could receive suckling, and then it is related that he retracted that. This will be explained in Sūrat an-Nisā’.

A group of commentators say that the period of suckling is two years for each child. It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās that that is when the child is in the womb for six months. If it remains for seven months, the nursing period is twenty-three months. If it is nine months, the nursing is twenty-one months going by Allah’s words: ‘His bearing and weaning is thirty months.’ (46:15) So the period of pregnancy and the period of nursing are interconnected and one reduces the length of the other.

It is the duty of the fathers to feed and clothe them with correctness and courtesy – no self is charged with more than it can bear.

‘Rīzq’ in this ruling is adequate food. This is evidence for the obligation of the father to maintain the child since it is weak. The feeding referred to is the nourishment which reaches the child by means of the mother through nursing. Scholars agree that a man must support all his children who have no money. When Hind bint ‘Utbah told the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Abū Sufyān is miserly and does not give me adequate maintenance and what is enough for my son. If I take from his property without his knowledge, do I do anything wrong?’ he told her, ‘Take what is adequate for you and your child in a correct manner.’ ‘Kiswah’ is clothing. ‘Ma‘rūf’ (correctness) means what is customary in the usage of the Shari‘ah without excess or negligence. Then Allah made it clear that a man’s duty of maintenance is according to his wealth and position, and that no specific amount is stipulated, by His words: ‘no self is charged with more
than it can bear.’ This will be clarified later. It is said that it means that a woman should not be made to endure stinting in her wage and a husband should not be forced to be extravagant. Rather the basic aim should be taken into account.

Mālik thought that this āyah indicates that a mother should have custody of her sons until puberty and of her daughters until marriage. That is her right, and Abū Ḥanīfah stated the same. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that when the child is eight years old, which is the age of discrimination, it is given a choice between his parents. At that stage its interest and desire should be stimulated to learn the Qur’an, manners and the duties of worship. That is the same for both boys and girls.

An-Nasā‘ī and others reported from Abū Hurayrah that a woman came to the Prophet ﷺ and said, ‘My husband wants to take my son.’ The Prophet ﷺ said to him, ‘This is your father and this is your mother: choose whichever of them you want,’ and he took his mother’s hand. We find in Abū Dāwud that Abū Hurayrah said, ‘A woman went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ while I was sitting with him and she said, “Messenger of Allah, my husband wants to take my son. He brings me water from the well of Abū ‘Inabah and helps me.” The Prophet ﷺ said, “Draw lots for him.” Her husband exclaimed, “Who contends with me for my son?” The Prophet ﷺ said, “This is your father and this is your mother: choose whichever of them you want,” and he took his mother’s hand and went with her.’ Our evidence is what Abū Dāwud related, that al-Awzā‘ī related from ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb from his father from his grandfather ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr that a woman came to the Prophet ﷺ and said, ‘Messenger of Allah, my womb was a vessel for this son of mine, my breasts a source of drink for him, and my lap a protection for him. His father has divorced me and wants to take him away from me.’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘You are more entitled to him as long as you do not marry.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘The consensus of
eminent scholars is that when a couple separate and have a child, the mother is more entitled to it as long as she does not remarry.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘I do not know of any disagreement among scholars that a divorced woman, as long as she does not marry again, is more entitled to her child than the father, while he is still young and lacking discrimination; this is when he is safe with her and sufficiently supported and no dissoluteness or ostentation is confirmed about her.’

They disagree about the child being given a choice when he understands the situation and who is most entitled to look after the child then. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘It is confirmed that the Prophet judged that the daughter of Ḥamzah should go to her maternal aunt. The Prophet did not give a choice to Ḥamzah’s daughter.’ Abū Dāwud reports that when Zayd ibn Ḥārithah went to Makkah and brought Ḥamzah’s daughter, Jaʿfar said, ‘I am more entitled to her. She is my uncle’s daughter and her maternal aunt is married to me, and that maternal aunt is also a mother.’ ‘Alī said, ‘I am more entitled to care for her. She is my uncle’s daughter and I am married to the daughter of the Messenger of Allah and she is more entitled to her.’ Zayd said, ‘I am more entitled to her. I went and travelled to get her and brought her!’ The Prophet said, ‘I judge the girl to go to Jaʿfar so she can be with her maternal aunt. The maternal aunt is in the position of the mother.’

Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘The esteemed people of knowledge agree that a mother does not have the right to the child when she remarries.’ That is what he states in Kitāb al-Ashrāf. Qāḍī ‘Abd al-Wahhāb mentioned in his commentary on the Risālah from al-Ḥasan that her right to custody is not cancelled simply by marriage. Mālik, ash-Shāfiʿī, an-Nuʿmān and Abū Thawr agree that the mother’s mother is most entitled to the custody of the child [after the mother]. They disagree about when she has no mother but the father’s mother is available. Mālik said, ‘The father’s mother is more entitled
when the child has no maternal aunt.’ Ibn al-Qāsim said that Mālik said, ‘I have heard that the maternal aunt is more entitled to custody than the father’s mother.’ In the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī and an-Nu‘mān the father’s mother is more entitled than the maternal aunt. It is said that the father is more entitled to his son than the father’s mother. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘I believe that this is the case when he does not have another wife.’

Then the sister is next after the father and then the paternal aunt. This is when each of those can be trusted with the child and it is in a state of security and adequacy with them. When that is not the case, there is no right to custody. In awarding custody one investigates who will protect the child, be good to him in preserving him and teaching him good. This is the position of those who say that custody is the right of the child. That is related from Mālik and a group of his people also said that. They also do not think that custody should be granted to a reprobate woman or one who is too weak to look after the child’s right due to illness or chronic illness.

Ibn Ḥabīb mentioned from Muṭarrif and Ibn al-Mājishūn from Mālik that custody goes first to the mother, then the mother’s mother, then the maternal aunt, then the father’s mother, then the child’s sister, then the child’s paternal aunt, then the daughter of the child’s brother, then the father. The father’s mother is more entitled than the sister. The sister is more entitled than the paternal aunt. The paternal aunt is more entitled than those who come after her. The most entitled of men are the guardians. There is no right to custody for an aunt’s daughter or the daughters of the child’s sister.

When it is not feared that the guardian may cause the death of the child or cause corruption to him, he remains his guardian until he reaches puberty. It is also said that it only lasts until the child looses his milk-teeth. In the case of a girl, it is until she marries. This is the case unless the father wants to travel and resettle somewhere else. In that case, he is more entitled to the child than the mother and others.
if they do not wish to move. If he wants to leave for the sake of trading, he does not have the right to the child’s custody. The same is true of the relatives of the child, to whom he has been allotted, when they move with the intention of resettling. The mother cannot move her child from the place where the father resides except for a short distance, such that it would not entail shortening the prayer. When the father moves from the mother’s land and stipulates that he will not leave his child with her unless she is responsible for his maintenance and support for a number of known years and she takes that on, it is binding on her. If she dies, however, her heirs are not pursued for that maintenance and support from her estate. It is also said, however, that it does constitute a debt that should be taken from her estate. The first view is sounder, Allah willing. That is also the case if the father dies, or if he made an agreement with her for the support of pregnancy and nursing: it is cancelled and she is not pursued for any of that.

According to Mālik, if the mother remarries, the child is not removed from her until the marriage is consummated. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘When she marries, her right is severed.’ If her husband then divorces her, according to what we think is the best known position of Mālik in his school, custody does not then revert to her. Qāḍī Ismā‘īl and Ibn Khuwayzimandād mentioned that Mālik’s position about that varied. Sometimes he said that the child is returned to her and sometimes he said that it is not. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘If the mother leaves the land where her child is and then returns to it, she is more entitled to her child according to ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Thawr and the People of Opinion. That is also the case if she marries and then is divorced or widowed: her right to the child is restored.’ That is like the position of Qāḍī Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Wahhāb. If she is divorced or widowed, she can take the child back since the pretext for removing it no longer exists.

When a mother forgoes the right to custody and she is not married
to another man and then later wants to take the child back, she can only do so if she had a legitimate excuse for what she did. If she left it out of dislike for the child, then she cannot take it back.

They disagree about what happens to a couple who are divorced when the wife is a dhimmī. One group say that there is no difference between a dhimmī and Muslim mother: they are both entitled to their child. This is the position of Abū Thawr, the People of Opinion, and Ibn al-Qāsim, the follower of Mālik. Ibn al-Mundhīr says that there is a marfū‘ ḥadīth which states this, although there is some dispute about its isnād. The other position is that the child remains with the Muslim parent. This is the position of Mālik, Sawwār, and Ibn al-Qāsim, and is reported from ash-Shāfi‘ī. There is the same disagreement when one of the couple is free and the other a slave. One group said that the free parent is more entitled to custody. This is the view of ‘Aṭā’, ath-Thawrī, ash-Shāfi‘ī, and People of Opinion. Mālik said, ‘If the father is free, the child is free, and the mother is a slave, then the mother is more entitled to custody unless she is sold and moves. Then the father is more entitled.

**No mother should be put under pressure in respect of her child nor any father in respect of his child.**

The mother should not refuse to nurse her child in order to harm the father or ask for more than an appropriate wage and it is not lawful for the father to refuse to let the mother nurse when she wants to. This is the position of the majority of commentators. Nāfi‘, ‘Āṣim, Ḥamzah and al-Kisā‘ī recited ‘tuḍārra’ (‘put under pressure’) in the jussive because it is a firm prohibition. It means: ‘Do not remove the child from her if she is content to nurse and the child is fond of her.’ Abū ‘Amr, Ibn Kathīr, Abān ibn ‘Āṣim and a group recite ‘taḍārru’ added to His words, ‘no self is charged’. So it is a report by which the command is meant. Yūnus related that al-Ḥasan said, ‘She should not harm her husband by saying, “I will not nurse him,” and he should not harm her by removing the child from her if she
says that she will nurse him.’

**The same duty is incumbent on the heir.**

There is disagreement about the interpretation of this phrase. Qatādah, as-Suddī, al-Ḥasan, and ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said that the heir referred to is the heir of the child if he dies. Some said that it is only the male heirs who must pay the nurse, as the father of the child would have that duty if he were alive. Mujāhid and ‘Aṭā’ said that. Qatādah and others said that the heirs of the child can be both men and women and they must have it nursed paying in proportion with their shares of inheritance. Aḥmad and Ishāq said that. Qāḍī Abū Ishāq Ismā‘īl ibn Ishāq said in his book, *Meanings of the Qur’an*, ‘Abū Ḥanīfah said that the maintenance and nursing of the child is incumbent on every relative. For instance, in the case of the young son of a sister who is needy and the young son of an uncle when he is his heir: maintenance is incumbent on the maternal uncle if his sister’s son becomes fatherless even though he does not inherit from him. The responsibility is removed from the son of an uncle if there is another son of an uncle who is an heir.’ Abū Ishāq said that those who say this are taking a position which is not in the Book of Allah and we do not know of anyone who says it.

Aṭ-Ṭabarī reported that Abū Ḥanīfah and his adherents said that the heir who must pay for the nurse is the child’s heir who is a close relative. As for nephews and those who are not close relatives, they are free of that responsibility. It is said that what is meant is that the paternal relatives of the father must maintain and clothe the child. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘If the child’s father dies and the child has property, his nursing is paid for from his own property. If he has no property, it is taken from the paternal relatives. If they have no money, then the mother is compelled to nurse him.’ Qabīṣah ibn Dhu’ayb, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and Bashīr ibn Naṣr, the Qāḍī of ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz, said, ‘The “heir” means the child himself. It means that he must pay for nursing from his own property since he was his father’s heir.’
Sufyān said, ‘The heir here is the remaining parent of the child after the death of one of them. If the father dies, then the mother must care for the child if he has no property. The paternal kin share with her in payment for nursing the child according to their share of the estate. Ibn Khuwayzmīndād said, ‘If an orphan is poor and has no money, the ruler must support him from the Treasury. If the ruler does not do it, then the Muslims must do it, starting with those specified in order. The mother is the first specified and it is obliged for her to nurse him and sustain him. She does not resort to him or anyone else.’

Nursing is mandatory and maintenance is recommended. Husbands are obliged to maintain the women who nurse their children. If the husband is unable to pay what is due owing to death or hardship, the duty is not cancelled for the mothers. A waiting period is mandatory for them, as is maintenance and lodging by their husbands. If maintenance is impossible, their waiting period is not thereby cancelled. In al-Asadiyyah ‘Abd ar-Rahmān ibn al-Qāsim reported that Mālik ibn Anas said, ‘A man is not obliged to maintain a sister or a relative.’ He said that Allah’s words: ‘The same duty is incumbent on the heir’ are abrogated. An-Naḥḥās said, ‘This is what Mālik said. Neither he nor ‘Abd ar-Rahmān ibn al-Qāsim explained what abrogated it. I do not know of any of their people who made that clear. Allah knows best, but it seems that he believes that what abrogates it is that at first Allah made it obligatory for the widow to receive maintenance for a year and lodging from the property of the deceased and then that was abrogated and removed and it was also abrogated for the heir.’ According to this, the child is obliged to pay for his upkeep from his property and not his heir.

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Ibn al-Qāsim said that Mālik said that the instruction: “the same duty is incumbent on the heir”, is abrogated. These are words which the hearts of the heedless abhor and which bewilder the minds of the isolated. But the business is close! The
early fuqahā’ and commentators used to refer to specification (takhṣīṣ) as abrogation because it removes part of what was entailed by the generality of the āyah. They used that language and what they meant became unclear to later people. The verification of that is that Allah’s words: “The same duty is incumbent on the heir”, indicate what was before. Some people refer it to all of the obligation of maintenance and prohibition of harm, including Abū Ḥanīfah among the fuqahā’ and Qatādah and al-Ḥasan among the Salaf, deriving it from ‘Umar.

One group of scholars said that the āyah does not refer to all of that, but means that the heir is forbidden to harm the mother by withholding what is due from the father. This is the basic principle. Whoever claims that that it refers to all must provide the proof. ‘This is the basic principle’ means to refer the pronoun to closest person mentioned. That is sound. If it had meant all, which is nursing, spending and lack of harm, Allah would have said, ‘The same duties – using the plural – are incumbent…’. This indicates that it is added to the prohibition to harm. All commentators interpret in that way according to what is related from Qāḍī ‘Abd al-Wahhāb. What is meant by it is that mother may not harm her child by not nursing him when the father pays her a suitable wage, and the father may not harm the mother when she is paid to nurse him for an appropriate wage, because the mother is kinder and gentler towards the child and her milk is better for him than the milk of other women.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘Mālik and all his adherents, ash-Sha‘bī, az-Zuhrī, aḍ-Ḍahḥāk and all scholars, say that what is meant by “the same duty” is that she should not be harmed. He is not obliged to give anything in respect of food and clothing.’ Ibn al-Qāsim related from Mālik that the āyah includes the fact that the heir owes food and clothing, but then the consensus of the community is that this was abrogated by the heir not being put under pressure. The
disagreement is about whether or not he owes food and clothing. Yaḥyā ibn Ma‘mar recited ‘heirs’ in the plural. That would demand the generality of the āyah. They took as evidence the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Allah does not accept sadaqah when there are relatives in need.’ The answer to them is that ‘relatives’ is general and includes every relative, mahram or not. There is no doubt that giving sadaqah to relatives is better because the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Give it to your relatives.’ The hadīth is taken in that manner and there is no proof in it for what they claim. Allah knows best.

An-Naḥḥās said that the opinion of those who say that ‘the same duty is incumbent on the heir’ means not to harm is a good opinion because people’s property is protected and so none of it taken except by decisive evidence. As for the position of those who say that it refers to the heirs of the father, their argument is that maintenance is the responsibility of the father and so his heirs are more suitable to provide it than the heirs of the son. The argument of those who say that it is the responsibility of the son’s heirs is that as they inherit from him, so they should support him.

An-Naḥḥās said, ‘Muḥammad ibn Jarīr preferred the position of those who say that the heir here is the son. It is an odd position. Deduction by it is sound and the argument based on it is evident because he is more entitled to his own property. With the exception of the odd aberrant position, fuqahā’ agree that if a man has a child who is a minor and the child has property and the father is in straitened circumstances, neither maintenance nor nursing are obliged from the father but rather they are taken from the child’s property. If it is said that Allah says: “It is the duty of the fathers to feed and clothe them with correctness and courtesy,” then it is answered that it is a feminine pronoun. Nonetheless, the consensus defines and explains the āyah and no Muslim can depart from it. As for those who say that it is owed by the remaining parent, their argument is that it is not permitted for a mother to let her child perish, given that
the one who supported her and the child has died.’

Al-Bukhārī has a chapter that refutes that entitled “The same duty is incumbent on the heir.” Does a woman owe any support? He provides the hadīths about Umm Salamah and Hind. Umm Salamah had sons by Abū Salamah who had no property. She asked the Prophet ﷺ who told her that she would have a reward for that. This hadīth indicated that she was not obliged to spend on her sons. If she had been obliged to do so, then she would not have said to the Prophet ﷺ, ‘I would not leave them.’ In the hadīth of Hind, the Prophet ﷺ allowed her to take the maintenance for her and her son from the father’s property and he did not oblige her to spend from herself as he obliged it for the father. Al-Bukhārī deduced from this that since mothers are not obliged to support their children while their father is alive, neither are they obliged to support them after their father’s death.

As for the view of those who say that the spending and clothing is the duty of every maḥram relative, their argument is that a man must spend on all maḥram relatives if they are poor. An-Naḥḥās said, ‘This view is countered by the fact that it is not taken from the Book of Allah, consensus, or sound Sunnah. It is only known from what we mentioned. The Qurʾan, however, says: “The same duty is incumbent on the heir,” so the heir owes maintenance and clothing. They differ from that and said, “If he leaves a maternal uncle and a son of a paternal uncle, then maintenance is owed by his uncle and the cousin owes nothing.” This differs from the text of the Qurʾan because the maternal uncle does not inherit with the son of a paternal uncle according to one view, and he does not inherit alone according to the view of most scholars. Most scholars take a different view than the one they hold about owing maintenance to every relative.’

**If the couple both wish weaning to take place**

The dual pronoun refers to the parents. Weaning is the child
leaving nourishment by the mother’s milk for other foods. The root meaning of the noun fiṣāl (weaning) means ‘separation’. It is called weaning because the child is separated from the mother’s breast. This āyah refers to it happening before the two years are up. In that case ‘there is nothing wrong in’ weaning him. That is because when Allah set two years as the period of nursing, He made it clear that no one can dispute the time of weaning unless the parents agree on less than that amount of time, as long as that does not harm the child. That is permitted based on this clarification. Qatādah said, ‘Nursing was mandatory for the two years and weaning before that time was forbidden, and then it was lightened and it was allowed to nurse less than two years when Allah said: “If the couple both wish weaning to that place.”’ This indicates that Allah has allowed ījtihād in the rulings on that, when the parents consult one another, about the course which will be in the best interests of the child. That is based on their predominant opinions, not on reality and certainty. Tashāwur is joint consultation. Mashūrah and mushāwarah mean the same. The verb shāra is used for extracting honey and it is also used for making a horse run before buying it. Shawār are household utensils because they are evident to someone who looks. Shārah is a man’s shape and ishārah is bring out something from inside yourself and reveal it.

**If you wish to find wet-nurses for your children,**

This means other than the mother. Az-Zajjāj said that. An-Naḥḥās said, ‘In Arabic it implies looking for other women to nurse your children.’ This is evidence for hiring a wet-nurse when the parents agree to that. ‘Ikrimah said that the words: ‘No mother should be put under pressure’, refer to getting a wet-nurse. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah says that the basic position is that every mother is obliged to nurse her child as Allah mentions and their husbands are obliged to give them maintenance and clothing while they are married. If nursing had been a requirement of the father, Allah would have mentioned it with the requirements of maintenance and clothing. Mālik, however,
excepted noble women and said that they are not obliged to nurse. He removed them from the general category by the legal principle of custom. This is a principle which only Mālik understands. This splendid principle is that the matter existed in the Jāhiliyyah, regarding those of noble birth, and then Islam came and did not alter it. So those of wealth and high birth continued to free the mothers among them for enjoyment by giving suckling infants to wet-nurses for a period of time. He stated that position and it continues until now and so it is part of the Sharī‘ah.

provided you hand over to them what you have agreed to give

This means that the father is responsible for paying the wages of the wet-nurse. Sufyān said that. Mujāhid said that it means to hand over the wages to the mothers for their nursing until the time they wish to find a wet-nurse. Six of the seven readings has ‘ātaykum’, meaning ‘give’ and Ibn Kathīr has ‘ataykum’ meaning ‘bring and do’. Qatādah and az-Zuhrī said that it means: ‘you hand over what you have done in desiring to seek a wet-nurse’, which means that both parents submit and are satisfied. That is based on their agreement, good intention and seeking what is correct regarding the matter. According to this possibility, ‘hand over’ is addressed to men and women. According to the first two views, it is only addressed to men. Abū ‘Alī said that it means ‘when you hand over what you have given of money or gift and there is some elision. According to this interpretation, it refers to men because they are the one who pay the wages of the wet-nurses.’ Abū ‘Alī also said that it is possible that ‘mā’ acts as a verbal noun and has the same meaning as the first view.

234 Those of you who die leaving wives behind: they should wait by themselves for four months and ten
nights. When their waiting period comes to an end, you are not to blame for anything they do with themselves with correctness and courtesy. Allah is aware of what you do.

Those of you who die leaving wives behind: they should wait by themselves for four months and ten nights.

After Allah has mentioned the waiting period of divorce followed by nursing, He speaks about the waiting period for widowhood as well so that it is not assumed that the waiting period for widows is the same as the waiting period for divorce. The words ‘those of you’ refer to husbands and ‘they’ to widows. Az-Zajjāj said that and an-Naḥḥās also mentioned that. ‘Abū ‘Alī al-Fārisī said, ‘It implies: “those of you who die and leave wives: they should wait after them.”’ The expression is extremely succinct. Al-Mahdawī said that Sībuwayh said that it means: ‘In what is recited to you: those of you who die…’

This āyah is about the waiting period of widows. Its literal meaning is general while its actual meaning is specific. Al-Mahdawī related from one scholar that the āyah concerned pregnant women and that it was abrogated by: ‘The time for those who are pregnant is when they give birth.’ (65:4) Most scholars say that this āyah abrogates: ‘Those of you who die leaving wives behind should make a bequest to their wives...’ (2:240) because, in the beginning of Islam, when a man died and left a pregnant wife, he would make a bequest for her of maintenance for a year and provide lodging for her until she left and remarried. Then this was abrogated by four months and ten days and a share of inheritance. Other people have said that there is no abrogation in this and that it constitutes a reduction from the original year in the same way that the travelling prayer was reduced from four to two rak‘ahs. This is not abrogation.

This view is a clear error because the ruling was a waiting period for a year provided the widow did not go out. If she went out, she
was not prevented from doing so but the maintenance would thereby be brought to an end. Then this was removed and a waiting period of four months and ten days was imposed. This is an abrogation, and it has nothing to do with the case of the travelling prayer. In any case, ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘The prayer was prescribed as two *rak‘ahs* each, and then the resident prayer was increased and the travel prayer remained the same.’ That will be dealt with in due course.

The waiting period of a pregnant widow lasts until she gives birth according to the majority of scholars. It is related from ‘Alī and Ibn ‘Abbās that the end of the waiting period is whichever of the two terms is longer. Among our scholars Saḥnūn chose this view. It is also related that Ibn ‘Abbās retracted his statement. Their reasoning is that they prefer to combine both *āyahs* (2:234 and 65:4) and maintain acting on them by taking the longer term. If her *‘iddah* ends by giving birth, then she has not acted on the *āyah* containing the waiting period of the widow. According to the people of fundamentals, joining them is better than giving preference to one of them. This view would be good were it not for the fact that it is overturned by the *ḥadīth* of Subay‘ah al-Aslamiyyah. She gave birth some days after the death of her husband and she mentioned that to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and he told her to marry. It is transmitted in the *Ṣaḥīḥ*. So the *ḥadīth* makes it clear that this ruling applies both to widows and divorced women when they are pregnant, and the waiting period of a widow is specifically for one who is not pregnant. This is reinforced by the view of Ibn Mas‘ūd: ‘The relationship between the two is that the short “Āyah of Women” was revealed after the *āyah* dealing with the waiting period of widows.’

Our scholars say that the apparent meaning of the words is that abrogation has taken place. That is not what is meant, and Allah knows best. What is actually meant is that it is specific to her. Certain circumstances have removed her from the ruling. The
incident involving Subay‘ah took place after the revelation of the āyah about the waiting period of widowhood because it occurred after the Farewell Hajj. Her husband was Sa‘d ibn Khawlah, one of the Banū ‘Āmir ibn Lu’ayy, who had been present at the Battle of Badr. He died in Makkah while she was pregnant. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ told her that he had died in Makkah, and she gave birth after about half a month. Al-Bukhārī said that it was forty days. Muslim related from ‘Umar ibn ‘Abdullāh ibn al-Arqam that Subay‘ah asked the Messenger of Allah ﷺ about that and he gave her a fatwā that she was lawful for remarriage when she gave birth and told her that she could marry if that seemed proper for her.

Ibn Shihāb said, ‘I do not think that there is anything wrong in her marrying after she gives birth, even if she is still bleeding, but her husband should not approach her until she is pure.’ This is the position of the majority of scholars and imams of the fuqahā’. Al-Ḥasan, ash-Sha‘bī, an-Nakha’ī and Ḥammād said that a woman should not marry in the period of lochia. They had two stipulations: giving birth and purity after the bleeding of lochia. The ḥadīth provides the argument against them. They have no argument in his words ﷺ, ‘When she finished her lochia, she beautified herself for suitors’, as we find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim and Abū Dāwud, because although the root of the word ‘finished’ means to be pure of the blood of lochia, according to what al-Khalīl says, it is possible that what is meant here is that she is finished with the pains of lochia. If we accept that it means what al-Khalīl said, there is no argument in it. The proof lies in what the Prophet ﷺ said to Subay‘ah: ‘You are lawful when you give birth.’ So she becomes lawful when she gives birth. He ﷺ did not say that it is at the end of bleeding or when she has purified herself. What is sound then is what the majority stated.

There is no disagreement that the end of the waiting period of every pregnant divorced woman ends when she gives birth, whether that was a revocable divorce or not, and whether she is free, a slave,
a *mudabbarah* or *mukātabah*. There is some disagreement about the end of the waiting period of a pregnant widow, as we have stated. All of them agree, without any disagreement, that if a man dies leaving a pregnant widow, even after the period of four months and ten days she is still not lawful until she has given birth. Therefore it is known that what is meant is giving birth.

The word ‘wait’ here means to refrain from remarrying and leaving the marital home. That means not leaving it at night. Allah did not mention the residence of widows in His Book as He did that of divorced woman when He says: ‘*let them live*…’ (65:6). There is nothing in the term *ʿiddah* that indicates mourning. He says, ‘*wait*’ and the Sunnah clarifies that and there are many *ḥadīth*s from the Prophet ﷺ indicating that the waiting period of widowhood is a period of mourning, which should be marked by not wearing jewelry, beautiful dyed garments, perfume and the like. This is the statement of the majority of scholars. Al-Ḥasan said that it has nothing to do with mourning and it is only about not marrying, and so a widow is free to adorn herself and use perfume. This is weak because it is contrary to the Sunnah as we will make clear.

It is confirmed that the Prophet ﷺ said to Furay‘ah bint Mālik when she was widowed, ‘*Remain in your house until the term is reached*.’ She said, ‘*My waiting period was for four months and ten days*.’ This is a confirmed *ḥadīth* transmitted by Mālik from Saʿīd ibn Ishāq ibn Kaʿb ibn ʿUjrah. It was related from him by Mālik, ath-Thawrī, Wuhayb ibn Khālid, Ḥammād ibn Zayd, ʿĪsā ibn Yūnus and a great number of others. Ibn ʿUyaynah, al-Qaṭṭān and Shuʿbah. Mālik related it from Ibn Shaʿbān. That is enough for you! Al-Bājī said that no one else related it from him. ʿUthmān ibn ʿAffān accepted it. Abū ʿUmar says that it contains the judgment for the widow spending the waiting period in her house. It is a famous *ḥadīth* among scholars of the Hijaz and Iraq who maintain the widow should spend the waiting-period in her house and not leave. It is the
position of a group of the *fuqahā'* of the cities in the Hijaz, Syria, Iraq and Egypt.

Dāwud, however, said that a widow may spend the waiting period wherever she wishes because, in the Qur'ān, lodging is only mentioned in the case of divorced women. He argues that it is a disputed question. They said that this *ḥadīth* was related by a woman not known for conveying knowledge. Lodging and other rulings are only obliged by a text of the Qur'ān, Sunnah or consensus. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘The Sunnah is confirmed. Praise be to Allah. There is no need for consensus when the Sunnah exists, because when a disagreement occurs about a question, the argument goes in favour of the position that agrees with the Sunnah. Success is by Allah.’

What has come from ‘Alī, Ibn ‘Abbās, Jābir, and ‘Ā’ishah supports the opinion of Dāwud and that position was taken by Jābir ibn Zayd, ‘Aṭā’ and al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī. Ibn ‘Abbās said that it is because Allah says: ‘…they should wait by themselves for four months and ten days’ and did not say, ‘wait in their houses’. So she does the *ʿiddah* wherever she wishes. It is also reported from Abū Ḥanīfah.

ʿAbd ar-Razzāq related from Maʿmar from az-Zuhrī that ‘Urwah reported that when ‘Ā’ishah’s sister, Umm Kulthum, was widowed when her husband, Ṭalḥah ibn ‘Ubaydullāh, was killed, ‘Ā’ishah took her to Makkah on *ʿumrah*. Her judgment was that a widow could go out during her waiting period. Ath-Thawrī related that ‘Ubaydullāh ibn ʿUmar heard al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad remark that some people rejected that position of hers. Maʿmar related that az-Zuhrī said, ‘Those who make allowances for widows take the position of ‘Ā’ishah and those who are scrupulous and firm take that of Ibn ‘Umar.’ It states in the *Muwaṭṭa’* that ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb sent back some widows from al-Bayḍā’ when they were on their way to ʿaḥj and prevented them from doing it. This was based on his *ijtihād* because he thought that widows must stay in their husbands’ houses and that is demanded by the Qur’ān and the Sunnah. A
widow is not permitted to go on a ḥajj or 'umrah until her ‘iddah is over. Mālik said that she is sent back as long as she has not adopted ihrām.

If the husband owns the dwelling, his widow observes her waiting period in it according to most fuqahā’ – Mālik, Abū Ḥanīfah, ash-Shāfi‘ī and Ahmad among them – based on the hadīth of Furay‘ah. But can the house then be sold if it belonged to the deceased and the heirs want to sell it? Most of our people say that the sale is permitted but a precondition of the sale must be that the widow can observe her waiting period there. Ibn al-Qāsim said that is because she is entitled to her lodging as a creditor. Muhammad ibn al-Ḥakam said that the sale is invalid until the end of the widow’s waiting period. The reasoning behind the view of Ibn al-Qāsim is that soundness is predominant and doubt is rare and so that does not cause the contract to be invalid. If the sale occurs with this precondition, that causes uncertainty. Mālik said in the book of Muḥammad, that the widow is entitled to reside until the doubt ends. He said that he preferred the buyer to have the option to cancel the sale or carry it out and not retract anything, because it is based on a known amount of time. If the sale has the precondition of the removal of doubt, it is unsound. Saḥnūn said, ‘The buyer has no argument, even if the doubt lasts for five years. The like of that is related by Abū Yazīd from Ibn al-Qāsim.

If the husband has a dwelling that is not owned, she can live in it for the waiting period. Abū Ḥanīfah and ash-Shāfi‘ī disagree since the Prophet ﷺ said to Furay‘ah, knowing that her husband did not own the house, ‘Stay in your house until the period is concluded.’ It is not said that the house was hers. That is why he said to her, ‘Stay in your house.’ Ma’mar related from az-Zuhrī that she mentioned to the Prophet ﷺ that her husband had been killed and he had left her in a house which was hers and she sought his permission. He mentioned the hadīth. We take it to mean that he left a house in
which she could live and would not be pursued for. So the wife had to do the waiting period in it. The basis of that is owning the right to live in it.

This is when her deceased husband paid the rent. If he has not paid it, the Mudawwana states that she has no right of lodging from the property of the deceased, even if he was wealthy, because her right was contingent on what he owned completely. She has only what she receives by inheritance and that is property and not lodging. Muḥammad reported that Mālik said that renting a lodging for the widow is an obligation and must be paid for from the property of the deceased.

The words of the Prophet ﷺ to Furay‘ah, ‘Stay in your house until the term is concluded’ may mean that he commanded her to do that since her husband had paid the rent or had provided for her lodging in his will or that the owner of the house allowed her to spend her waiting period there with or without rent. It is whatever Allah meant by that, in view of the fact that it is necessary for her to have somewhere to live until the end of her waiting period.

They disagree about a woman who is informed of her husband’s death while she is in a house other than that of her husband. Some relate that she should return to his house and Mālik ibn Anas said that. He related it from ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-Azīz. Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab and an-Nakha‘ī said that she does the waiting period where the news reaches her and does not leave until the end of her waiting period. Ibn al-Mundhir says that the sound position of Mālik is that she should return to the deceased husband’s house unless he himself had moved her to her current location. In that case, she stays at that place.

She is permitted to go out for her needs and her work, at the time when people normally go out for such things, up until the time of the ‘Ishā’ prayer. She should, however, spend the night in that house alone. We find in al-Bukhārī and Muslim from Umm ‘Aṭiyyah that
the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘A woman should not mourn for a dead person more than three days, except in the case of a husband, when the mourning period is four months and ten days. A widow should not wear a dyed garment except for one made of pre-dyed yarn. She should not wear eye make-up (kohl) or perfume until her waiting period has ended, except for costus or azfar (types of aromatic wood).’ Umm Ḥabībah said, ‘It is not lawful for a woman who believes in Allah and the Last Day to mourn for a dead person more than three days unless it is her husband. She should mourn him for four months and ten days.’ Mourning consists of abandoning all adornment in respect of clothing, perfume, jewellery, make-up and henna during the waiting period, because adornment is a sign of seeking a husband and so it is forbidden as a protection and in order to make sure that that does not happen. Oiling the hair is not considered as adornment but henna is. The Arabic for a woman in mourning is ḥādd and muḥidd.

We believe that the fact that the Prophet ﷺ described a woman with faith indicates the soundness of one of the two views about a widowed Kitābī woman not having to observe mourning. That is the view of Ibn Kinānah and Ibn Nāfi‘. Ashhab related it from Mālik. That is also what is stated by Abū Ḥanīfah and Ibn al-Mundhir. It is related from Ibn al-Qāsim that she must mourn like a Muslim. That is the view of al-Layth, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Thawr and most of our people because it is one of the rulings about the ‘iddah and so it is obliged for the Kitābī wife of a Muslim just as lodging and ‘iddah are also obliged.

The words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘more than three days’ indicate that it is unlawful for Muslim women to observe mourning for other than their husbands for more than three days, and the fact that it is definitely permitted to mourn them for three days, starting the number with the night before to the end of the third day. If her close friend dies at the end of a day or night, it is not counted and the
number starts from the following night.

This ḥadīth indicates a general ruling which applies to all widows and it included slave-girls and young and old free women. That is the position of the majority of scholars. Abū Ḥanīfah believed that neither a slave-girl nor a young girl have to observe mourning. Qāḍī Abu-l-Walīd al-Bājī related it from him. Ibn al-Mundhir said that a married slave-girl is included with wives in general since the reports are non-specific. That is the view of Mālik, ash-Shāfiʿī, Abū Thawr and the People of Opinion. I do not recall any disagreement about that from anyone and I do not know that they disagree about mourning in respect of an ʿumm ʿwalad if her master dies because she is not a wife and the ḥadīths are about wives. Al-Bājī said, ‘When the young girl is one of those who understands commands and prohibitions, she is obliged to observe the mourning period prescribed for her, but if she does not grasp any of that because of her youth, Ibn Muzayn related from ʿĪsā that her family should make her avoid what an adult woman would avoid. She must do that. The evidence for the obligation of mourning for a young girl is something related from the Prophet ﷺ. A woman asked him about a daughter of hers who was widowed and had something wrong with her eye. Could she treat it with kohl? The Prophet ﷺ answered, ‘No,’ saying it two or three times. He did not ask about her age. If there had been a separate judgment about young and old, he would have asked about her age to make the ruling clear. It is not permitted to delay clarification about something like this. All who are obliged to observe the waiting period of a widow must observe mourning as is the case with an adult woman.

Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘I do not know of any disagreement about dyeing being part of the forbidden adornment. They agree that she is not permitted to wear dyed clothing except for that made with black. There is an allowance for it from ʿUrwah ibn az-Zubayr, Mālik and ash-Shāfiʿī. Az-Zuhri said that she should not wear a
black head-scarf. That differs from the *ḥadīth*. In the *Mudawwana* Mālik said, ‘She should not wear fine black Yemenī fabric,’ but he makes an allowance if it is coarse. Ibn al-Qāsim said that the reason for that is that fine fabric is like dyed garments. She can wear fine garments of silk, linen and cotton. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘Everyone from whom I have reported makes an allowance for white clothing.’ Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ said, ‘Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that every dye is adornment and the woman in mourning should not touch it, whether it is fine or coarse. Qāḍī ‘Abd al-Wahhāb says something similar. He said, ‘A mourning woman is forbidden from enjoying any colour that women use to adorn themselves for their husbands.’ Some of our later shaykhs forbid fine white cloth that is used for adornment. The same is true of fine black cloth. Ibn al-Mawwāz related from Mālik that a woman should not wear jewellery if she is in mourning. In general, any kind of jewellery that a woman wears for adornment should not be worn by a woman in mourning. There is no specific text on gems, rubies, emeralds and things of that sort. Allah knows best.

The people agree that Muslim widows should go into mourning – with the exception of al-Ḥasan who says that it is not mandatory. He based that on the *ḥadīth* of ‘Abdullāh ibn Shaddād ibn al-Hādi about Asmā’ bint ‘Umays when Ja‘far ibn Abī Ṭālib was killed. She said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ told her, ‘Withdraw for three and then do what you wish.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘It is only al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī among scholars who did not think that there was mourning. He said that a divorced woman and a widow observe three days. They may then wear kohl, dye and do whatever they like.’ Reports from the Prophet ﷺ confirm mourning. No one who heard those reports did not accept them. Perhaps they did not reach al-Ḥasan, or they reached him, but he interpreted them by the *ḥadīth* of Asmā’ bint ‘Umays who asked the Prophet ﷺ for permission to mourn for her husband, Ja‘far. He gave her permission for three days and then
after three days he sent word to her to purify herself and wear kohl. Ibn al-Mundhir said that the people of knowledge present this hadīth with various aspects. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal said, ‘This is aberrant in the hadīth and not taken.’ Ishāq said it.

Mālik and ash-Shāfi‘ī believed that a woman divorced finally, once, or more, or revocably, does not have to mourn. That is the view of Rabī‘ah and ‘Aṭā’. The Kufans, namely Abū Ḥanīfah and his people, ath-Thawrī, al-Ḥasan ibn Ḥayy, Abū Thawr and Abū ‘Ubayd said that a woman divorced trebly must mourn. That is also the view of Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, Sulaymān ibn Yasār, Ibn Sīrīn, and al-Ḥakam ibn ‘Uyaynah. Al-Ḥakam said, ‘It is more stressed for her than a widow. Part of the idea is that they both observe a waiting period by which lineage is protected.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad and Ishāq said that part of caution is that a divorced woman avoids adornment. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘The words of the Prophet ﷺ, “It is not lawful for a woman who believes in Allah and the Last Day to mourn for a deceased person other than her husband for more than three days. For a husband it is four months and ten days,” are evidence that a woman who is divorced three times and whose husband is alive does not mourn.’

Scholars agree that if someone divorces his wife in a manner in which he can take her back and then dies before the end of the waiting period, she must observe the waiting period of a widow and she inherits from him. They disagree about the ʿiddah of a woman triply divorced while the husband is ill. One group said that she observes the waiting period for a divorce. This is the view of Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Ya‘qūb, Abū ‘Ubayd and Abū Thawr. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘That is what I say because Allah Almighty made the waiting period of divorced women three menstrual cycles. They agree that if the husband of a woman who has been divorced three times dies, she does not inherit from him because she is not his wife. If she is not his wife, he is not her husband. Ath-Thawrī said that she should
observe the longer of the two waiting periods. An-Nu‘mān and Muḥammad said that she must observe four months and ten days in which the three menstrual cycles are completed.

They disagree about a woman who hears that her husband has died or has divorced her. One group say that the waiting period for both begins from the day he dies or she was divorced. This is the position of Ibn ‘Umar, Ibn Mas‘ūd and Ibn ‘Abbās. That is also stated by Masrūq, ‘Aṭâ’, and a group of Tābi‘ūn. It was the position of Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Isḥāq, Abū ‘Ubayd, ath-Thawrī, Abū Thawr, the People of Opinion and Ibn al-Mundhir. There is a second view regarding her, which is that it starts from the day the news reaches her. This view was related from ‘Alī. That is the view of al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, Qatādah, ‘Aṭâ’ al-Khurāsānī and Jullās ibn ‘Amr. Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab and ʿUmar ibn ‘Abd al-ʿAzīz said, ‘If there is evidence, her waiting period starts from the death or divorce. If there is no evidence, then it starts from the day the news reaches her.’ The first is the sound position because Allah Almighty connected the waiting period to death or divorce and because, had she known of his death, she would have finished mourning and the ʿiddah would have ended. It is easier for her to have left it when she did not know. Do you not see that a young girl finishes her waiting period and does not observe mourning? Scholars also agree that if the woman is pregnant and does not know that her husband has divorced her or died and then gives birth, her ʿiddah is over. There is no difference between this question and the disputed one. The reasoning of those who say that her waiting period begins from the day the news reaches her is that it is an act of worship by abandoning adornment which is only valid by aim and intention. There is only an intention after knowledge. Allah knows best.

The waiting period for widowhood must be observed by both free women and slaves, young and old, those who have not reached the age of menstruation, those who menstruate, those past the age of
menstruation and Kitābī women, whether or not the marriage has been consummated, when the woman is not pregnant. Except for slave-girls, the waiting period of all of them is four months and ten days since the āyah is general. The waiting period of a slave-girl is two months and five days. Ibn al-ʿArabī said that the consensus is that it is half of that of a free woman except for what is related from al-Aṣamm that it is the same for a slave-girl and free woman. The consensus of the community preceded that, but perhaps he had not heard it because of his deafness. Al-Bājī said, ‘We do not know of any disagreement except what is related from Ibn Sirīn, which is not actually confirmed from him, about slave-girls having the same ‘iddah as free women.’

The position of al-Aṣamm is sound from the point of view of reflection as the āyahs which deal with the waiting person for widowhood and divorce mention months and menstrual cycles and have general application to both slave-girls and free women. Therefore their waiting period is the same, based on this consideration. Generalities do not make a distinction between free and slave and, as the free woman and slave-girl are the same in respect of marriage, so they should be the same in respect of waiting period. Allah knows best. Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘It is related from Mālik that a kitābī woman should observe an ‘iddah for three menstruations when she is not pregnant. This is very unsound because it removes her from the generality of the āyah of widowhood when she is in fact part of it and includes her in the generality of the āyah of divorce when she is actually not part of it.’ This is the basis for what is found in the Mudawwanah about her not having to observe a waiting period if the marriage has not been consummated because it is known that she is not pregnant. This entails the fact that she can marry a Muslim or someone else after her husband’s death because she does not have to observe an ‘iddah for widowhood nor wait to see if she is pregnant. Therefore she is lawful for marriage.
They disagree about the ‘iddah of an umm walad whose master has died. One group said that it is four months and ten days as was stated by a group of Tābi‘ūn, including Sa‘īd, az-Zuhrī, al-Ḥasan al- Baṣrī and others. Al-Awzā‘ī and Ishāq said that. Abū Dāwud and ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Qabīṣah ibn Dhu‘ayb that ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ said, ‘Do not muddle up the Sunnah of our Prophet for us. The waiting period of an umm walad is four months and ten days.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī said that this is mawqūf. That is correct: it is mursal because Qabīṣah did not listen to ‘Amr. Ibn al-Mundhir said that Aḥmad and Abū ‘Ubayd said that this hadīth is weak. It is related from ‘Ali and Ibn Mas‘ūd that her waiting period is three menstrual periods. This is the position of ‘Aṭā’, Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘ī, Sufyān ath-Thawrī and the People of Opinion who said that it is because the ‘iddah is obliged when the woman is free and so it must be a full ‘iddah. The basis of the ‘iddah is that of a free woman. Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad and Abū Thawr said that her ‘iddah is one menstrual period. That is the view of Ibn ‘Umar. It is related from Ṭāwūs that her ‘iddah is half that of a widowed free woman. Qatādah said that. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘I take the position of Ibn ‘Umar because it is the minimum of what is said about it and there is no sunnah which is followed nor any consensus which is relied on.’ He mentioned their disagreement about her waiting period when she is freed as well as when she is widowed. Al-Awzā‘ī, however, said that her ‘iddah when she is freed is three menstrual periods.

The soundest position is that of Mālik since Allah says: ‘Divorced women should wait by themselves for three menstrual cycles.’ (2:228) So the precondition for waiting based on menstrual cycles is that it is on account of divorce. So he said that it is not for other things. Allah says: ‘Those of you who die leaving wives behind: they should wait by themselves for four months and ten days,’ and He connected that to the woman being a widow. This indicates that a slave-girl is different; and also a slave-girl with whom the master has had sex based on ownership
observes *istibrā' of one menstrual period. That is the basic principle.

If this is confirmed, then the question about the waiting period of an *umm walad* is whether it is ‘*iddah* or *istibrā’*. Abū Muḥammad mentioned in *al-Ma‘ānīnah* that one menstrual period is *istibrā’* and it is not ‘*iddah*. According to the *Mudawwanah*, an *umm walad* must observe an ‘*iddah* and her ‘*iddah* is one menstrual period as that of a free woman is three menstrual periods. The point of the difference lies in calling it ‘*iddah’*. Mālik said, ‘I do not like anyone promising to marry her until she has had one menstrual period.’ Ibn al-Qāsim said, ‘I heard that he said that she should only spend the night in her house.’ He confirmed that the period of her *istibrā’* has the same ruling as ‘*iddah*.

Scholars agree that the husband must support a trebly divorced woman and a revocably divorced woman while she is pregnant since Allah says: ‘*If they are pregnant, maintain them until they give birth.*’ (65:6)

They disagreed about the obligation of the maintenance of a pregnant widow. One group said that she has no maintenance, as was stated by Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh, Ibn ‘Abbās, Sa‘īd ibn al-Mūsāyyab, ‘Aṭā’, al-Ḥasan, ‘Abd al-Malik ibn Ya‘lā, Yaḥyā al-Anṣārī, Rabī‘ah, Mālik, Aḥmad and Ishāq. Abū ‘Ubayd also related that from the People of Opinion. The second view is that she receives maintenance from the entire estate. This position is related from ‘Alī and ‘Abdullāh. It was affirmed by Ibn ‘Umar, Shurayḥ, Ibn Sīrīn, ash-Sha‘bī, Abū ‘Alīyah, an-Nakha‘ī, Jullās ibn ‘Amr, Ḥammād ibn Abī Sulaymān, Ayyūb as-Sijistānī, Sufyan ath-Thawrī and Abū ‘Ubayd. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘I take the first view because they agree that if someone is obliged to maintain someone while he is alive, like his young children, wife and parents, it is cancelled for him in death. The same is true of maintenance for his pregnant wives ceasing.’ Qāḍī Abū Muhammad said, ‘That is because maintenance of a pregnant widow is not a confirmed debt, so it is attached to his property after his death with the evidence that it is cancelled for him
in case of hardship. So it is more likely that it would be cancelled for him after his death.’

Scholars disagree about the period of ‘four months and ten nights’ which Allah set for the waiting period of a widow and whether it requires menstruation to take place in it or not. Some say that a widow with whom the deceased husband has had sex must have at least one menstrual period within the four months and ten days. Otherwise there is the possibility that she is pregnant. Others state that she does not owe more than the four months and ten days in any circumstance unless she herself is uncertain, because this is a period of time in which a woman normally has a period, unless she is a woman who does not menstruate or she is a woman who knows that her menstrual period will occur in a longer period than this.

Wakī‘ related from Abū Ja‘far ar-Rāzī from ar-Rabī‘ ibn Anas that Abu-l-‘Āliyah was asked why the ten days were added to the four months. He said, ‘Because the spirit is breathed in during that time.’ This will be explained in al-Ḥajj, Allah willing. Al-Aṣma‘ī said, ‘It is said that the foetus of every pregnant woman moves halfway through the pregnancy.’

Al-Khaṭṭābī said, ‘Allah knows best, but “ten” means the days with the nights.’ Al-Mubarrad said that ‘ten’ is feminine because it means the period (muddah). The ‘ten’ means every period of a day and a night. The night and day is a known period. It is said that Allah does not say ‘‘ashrah’ in the feminine because the night predominates, since night comes before day and the day is included in it. Also ‘‘ashr’ is easier to say. Night take precedence over day when they are together in dating because the months begin with the night when the new moon is sighted. Since the month begins with a night, it takes precedence. Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī and the Kufans believe that what is meant are days and nights. Some fuqahā’ believe that when four months and ten nights have passed, the widow can lawfully remarry. That is because ‘iddah is undefined and so the feminine
takes preference and it is interpreted as meaning nights. This is the view of al-Awzā‘ī among the fuqahā’ and Abū Bakr al-Aṣamm among the mutakallimūn. It is related that Ibn ‘Abbās recited ‘ten nights’.

When their waiting period comes to an end, you are not to blame for anything they do with themselves with correctness and courtesy.

The waiting period referred to is that of women whose husbands have died. The words ‘you are not to blame’ are addressed to people in general, but particularly to judges and relatives. What widows do with respect to marriage and adornment once they leave mourning is their own business. ‘Correctness’ refers to what is permitted regarding choosing husbands and determining dowry without actually making a contract, because it is the guardian who makes the contract. But this does provide evidence that relatives have the right to prevent widows from adorning themselves and seeking a husband during the time of their waiting period. This refutes Isḥāq’s view that a divorced woman can do that once she starts her third menstrual period. She is not completely free until she has had a ghusl. Sharīk said that a divorced woman’s husband can take her back as long as she has not had a ghusl, even if twenty years have passed. However, Allah’s words in this āyah mean that the waiting period ends with the blood of the third menstrual period. Allah did not mention ghusl. When the waiting period ends, then it is lawful for her to remarry and there is no blame for anything she does of that then. If the ḥadīth from Ibn ‘Abbās is sound, then it may simply be a recommendation.

Nor is there anything wrong in any allusion to
marriage you make to a woman, nor for any you keep to yourself. Allah knows that you will say things to them. But do not make secret arrangements with them, rather only speak with correctness and courtesy. Do not finally decide on the marriage contract until the prescribed period has come to its end. Know that Allah knows what is in your selves, so beware of Him! And know that Allah is Ever-Forgiving, All-Forbearing.

Nor is there anything wrong in any allusion to marriage you make to a woman,

‘Wrong’ (junāḥ) in this context means sin. That is sounder in the Sharī‘ah. It is said that it means something difficult. The āyah is addressed in particular to any man who wants to marry a woman who is still in her waiting period, saying that there is no sin in alluding to marriage during that time. An allusion is not an explicit statement. It produces understanding of what is alluded to in words whose expression is not explicit. It is like circling around something without actually saying it. It is said that it means ‘to offer’ as in offering someone a present and we find that usage of the verb in ḥadīth. Alluding to something in words connects a person to something whose meaning is understood.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that the Community is agreed that speaking to a woman in her waiting period about marriage in an explicit way is not permitted. They agree that speaking to her mentioning sex or its encouragement or anything like that is not permitted. Other than that is permitted. The closest to that which is explicit is found in the words of the Prophet ﷺ to Fātimah bint Qays, ‘Stay with Umm Sharīk. Do not advance yourself too quickly.’ There is a consensus that it is not permitted to allude to marriage with a woman who is in her waiting period following a revocable divorce, because, in that, case, she is still in fact a wife. It is, however, permitted in the case of a woman who is in her waiting period after a final divorce. Allah
knows best.

It is related that there are many such allusions and they fall into two categories. The first is to mention her to her guardian to tell him not to give her in marriage before his offer. The second category is to indicate that to her without an intermediary by saying to her things like, ‘I’m intending to marry,’ ‘You’re very beautiful,’ ‘Allah will bring you good,’ ‘I desire you and who could not?’ ‘I am in need of a wife’ or ‘Allah will decree good for you.’ Mālik and Ibn Shīhāb used these as examples. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘There is nothing wrong in saying, ‘Do not be hasty with yourself.’ There is nothing wrong in a man giving her a present or doing some work for her while she in the waiting period when it is part of his business. Ibrāhīm said that. He is permitted to praise himself and mention his deeds as part of an allusion to marriage. Abū Jaʿfar Muḥammad ibn ‘Alī ibn al-Ḥusayn is mentioned as doing that.

Sukaynah bint Ḥanẓalah said, ‘Muḥammad ibn ‘Alī asked for permission to visit while I was still in my waiting period after the death of my husband. He said, “You know my kinship to the Messenger of Allah  and my kinship to ‘Alī and my place among the Arabs.” I said, “May Allah forgive you, Abū Jaʿfar! You are a man from whom people take knowledge and yet you propose to me while I am in my ʿiddah!”’ He answered, “I have informed you of my kinship to the Messenger of Allah  and ‘Alī. The Messenger of Allah visited Umm Salamah when she became the widow of Abū Salamah and said, ‘You know that I am the Messenger of Allah and know my goodness and position among my people.’ That was a proposal.”’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it.

It is permitted to give a gift to a woman in her ʿiddah. That is part of making an allusion. Saḥnūn and many scholars said that. Ibrāhīm also stated it. Mujāhid disliked anyone saying, ‘Do not hasten to offer yourself to anyone before me.’ He thought that it amounted to a secret arrangement. Qāḍī Abū Muḥammad ibn ‘Aṭīyyah said, ‘I
consider this to be an interpretation of the words of the Prophet ﷺ to Umm Salamah as a sort of opinion about who should marry her, not that he meant to marry her himself.

*Khiṭbaha* (proposal) is the action of a suitor with an intention either by word or deed. *Khaṭṭāb* is a man who frequently proposes to women. *Khaṭīb* and *khātīb* mean ‘suitor’ as does *khiṭīb*. *Khuṭbah* means words that can be about marriage or something else.

**not for any (allusion) you keep to yourself.**

This means what you conceal during a woman’s waiting period when you want to marry her after it has finished. *Iknān* is covering and concealment. *Kanna* and *ikanna* both mean ‘to conceal’. It means to protect something from disaster whether or not it is concealed. *Maknūn* is therefore used of eggs and pearls. It can be concealed by a garment, a house, land or something else. It can also be concealed in oneself although the Arabs do not use it in that way. So Allah removed any wrong action from a man who wants to marry a woman who is still in her waiting period and conceals it. He forbade any arrangements which involve a clear statement of desire for marriage, such as a proposal. Allah made this dispensation because He knows people have human weaknesses.

Shāfi’ī’s use this as evidence that the *ḥadd* punishment is not required in the case of a slanderous allusion. They say that, since Allah said that allusion is not wrong in this case, an allusion implying slander should not incur the *ḥadd* either, because Allah makes it clear here that an allusion is not the same as an explicit statement. We disagree and say that this is an invalid analogy because Allah did not allow explicit marriage proposals, but an allusion by which marriage is understood. An allusion to slander acts in the same way and so the *ḥadd* punishment is required because the slander is in fact clearly understood just as the allusion to marriage was.

**Allah knows that you will say things to them.**
He knows, whether that is secretly or openly, in yourselves or on your tongues. There is an allowance in respect of allusions but not explicit statements. Al-Ḥasan said that it means, ‘He knows that you will propose to them.’

**But do not make secret arrangements with them,**

Scholars disagree about what is meant by ‘secret’ here. It is said that it means to actually arrange a marriage, in other words a man should not say to a woman during her waiting period, ‘Will you marry me?’ He should merely allude to what he intends and not secretly make an agreement whereby she will not marry someone else. This is the position of Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn Jubayr, Mālik and his people, Mujāhid, ‘Ikrīmah, as-Suddī and most of the people of knowledge. It is also said that ‘secret’ in this context implies fornication, in other words ‘do not agree to fornicate during the waiting period’. Jābir ibn Zayd, Abū Miljaz Lāḥiq ibn Ḥumayd, al-Ḥasan, Qatādah, an-Nakha‘ī and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that and that ‘secret’ in this āyah refers to fornication. So it means: ‘Do not make secret arrangement to fornicate with them.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī preferred that. It is also said that ‘secret’ refers to sexual intercourse, meaning, ‘Do not describe yourselves to them as being someone who likes a lot of sexual intercourse to make them desire to marry you.’ Mentioning that to other than one’s spouse is lewdness. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that. It could also be that the secret is the marriage contract, whether it is secret or public. Ibn Zayd says that it means to not marry them secretly. If it is lawful, then make it public and consummate it with them. This is the same as the first view. According to this, Ibn Zayd took the first view, but it is very odd to call the contract ‘an arrangement’. That is unsettled. Makkī and ath-Tha‘labī said that it is abrogated by Allah’s words in this ayah: ‘Do not finally decide on the marriage contract…’.

Abū Muḥammad ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The Community agree that it is disliked to arrange a marriage with a woman during her waiting
period or for her father to do so for his virgin daughter or a master for his slave-girl.’ Ibn al-Mawwāz said, ‘I dislike a wali, who does not have the power of compulsion, doing it but if it happens, I do not invalidate it.’ Malik said, ‘If they make an arrangement during the waiting period and then marry afterwards, I prefer them to separate, whether or not the marriage has been consummated, and it is one divorce. When she can lawfully marry, then he is just one among other suitors.’ This is what is transmitted from Ibn Wahb. Ashhab related from Mālik that they must be separated. Ibn al-Qāsim said that as well. Ibn al-Ḥārith related the same thing from Ibn al-Mājishūn. He added that it obliges a perpetual prohibition of marriage between the two. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘If someone makes an explicit proposal and the woman explicitly consents but the marriage does not take place until after the waiting period has ended, the marriage is valid and it was the explicit proposal which was disliked.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said that.

rather only speak with correctness and courtesy.

This refers to those allusions which are permitted. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk mentioned that such courteous words might be to say to a woman in ‘iddah, ‘Keep yourself for me. I desire you,’ to which she replies, ‘The same applies here.’ This is a sort of arrangement.

Do not finally decide on the marriage contract until the prescribed period has come to its end.

The meaning of ‘azm, to resolve or decide on something, has already been discussed. Here it means: ‘Do not resolve on the marriage contract.’ It is clear that the Qur’an uses the most eloquent language. What it brings cannot be opposed and its soundness and eloquence is undoubted. Allah says: ‘If they are determined (‘azamū) to divorce’ (2:227) and here He uses the same verb. It means: ‘Do not decide on the contract of marriage during the time of the waiting period.’ An-Naḥḥās said that it means ‘make the contract’.

‘Kitāb’ (prescribed period) literally means ‘book’ and here denotes
the limit which is set and the length of the period. It is called a ‘book’ since it is defined and imposed in the Book of Allah. The same word is used elsewhere in reference to the prayer, as in 4:103. So ‘kitāb’ is the obligation, and it means ‘until the obligation reaches its end.’ The term is also used of the fast in 2:183. It is said that there is some elision in the words, i.e. until the prescribed obligation reaches its end. According to this, ‘kitāb’ here means the Qur’an and there is no elision. That is more appropriate.

Allah has definitively forbidden marriage during the waiting period. This is firmly agreed on. It is not allowed until the waiting period is finished although indirect allusions to marriage are permitted during the waiting period. As we have mentioned, there is disagreement about which forms of expression are allowed. There is disagreement about a man who proposes to a woman during her waiting period out of ignorance, or who makes an arrangement and then concludes the contract after its end. We have already discussed this.

There is also disagreement about deciding on marriage while a woman is in her waiting period and when that is discovered and declared invalid by a judge. ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and a group of scholars say that it does not create a perpetual ban and the man concerned simply becomes one of the suitors. Mālik and Ibn al-Qāsim said that in the Mudawannah at the end of the chapter after ‘Setting a term for a missing person’. Ibn al-Jallāb related from Mālik that there is a perpetual ban against marriage, even if the marriage is declared invalid before it takes place. His reasoning is that it is marriage within the waiting period and therefore there is a perpetual ban. This is based on the marriage being consummated. If the contract is made within the waiting period and then the marriage consummated afterwards, some scholars say that it is the same as when it is consummated inside the waiting period – there is a perpetual ban between them – whereas other scholars said that
there is not a perpetual ban. Mālik said that it does bring about a perpetual ban. Once he stated that the perpetual ban is based on clear evidence. Both views are found in the Mudawwanah in the section on the sunnah divorce.

If the union is consummated in the waiting period, Mālik, al-Layth and al-Awzā‘ī said that they must be parted and she will never be lawful to him. Mālik and al-Layth said that that includes ownership, although they do not permit marriage with someone with whom she has fornicated. Their argument is that ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said, ‘They may never be joined together.’ Sa‘īd said, ‘She receives her dower because he was allowed to have sex with her.’ Āth-Thawrī, the Kufans and ash-Shāfi‘ī said that they are separated but the prohibition is not perpetual. The marriage is invalidated and she observes a waiting period and then he is one of the suitors. Their argument is based on the consensus that, if he commits fornication with her, she is not unlawful for him to marry. Therefore the same must be true if he has sex with her while she is in the waiting period. They stated that that is the view of ‘Alī. ‘Abd ar-Razzāq mentioned it. Something similar is mentioned from Ibn Mas‘ūd and al-Ḥasan. ‘Abd ar-Razzāq mentioned from Āth-Thawrī from Ash‘ath from ash-Sha‘bī from Masrāuq that ‘Umar retracted that and permitted them to be joined.

Qāḍī Abu-l-Walīd al-Bājī mentioned in al-Muntaqā: ‘When someone marries a woman in ‘iddah, then he must consummate it either within or after the end of the ‘iddah. If he consummates it within the ‘iddah, then the well-known position of the school is that the ban is perpetual. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal said that.’ Shaykh Abū l-Qāsim related in at-Tafrī that there are two transmissions about a man who knowingly marries a woman who is in ‘iddah on account of divorce or becoming a widow. One is that she is perpetually unlawful to him as we already stated. The second view is that he...
commits fornication and receives the *hadd* punishment and the child is not attached to him. He can marry her at the conclusion of the ʿ*iddah*. That is the view of ash-Shāfiʿī and Abū Ḥanīfah.

The reasoning behind the first view, which is the well-known one, is based on what is confirmed of the judgment ʿUmar gave regarding that and his establishing that among the people. His judgments have travelled and spread throughout the regions and no one is known to dispute them. It is confirmed that it is the consensus. Qaḍī Abū Muḥammad said, ‘The same thing is related from ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib and no one opposes them in spite of that being well known and widespread, and so this has the ruling of consensus.’

The reasoning behind the second view is that this is forbidden sexual intercourse and so her prohibition is not perpetual, as would be the case if she had given herself in marriage, done a *muʿtah* marriage or fornicated. Qaḍī Abu-l-Ḥasan said that the well-known school of Mālik regarding that matter is weak in respect of logical thought. Allah knows best.

Abū ʿUmar related from ʿAbd al-Wārith ibn Sufyān from Qāsim ibn Aṣbagh from Muḥammad ibn Ismāʿīl from Nuʿaym ibn Ḥammād from Ibn al-Mubārak from Ashʿath from ash-Shaʿbī that Masrūq said, “ʿUmar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb heard that a woman of Quraysh had married a man of Thaqīf during her ʿ*iddah* and he sent to them and separated them and punished them. He said, “You will never marry her!” He put her dower in the treasury. The news of that spread among people and the news reached ʿAlī. He said, “May Allah have mercy on the Amīr al-Muʾminīn! What business does the treasury have with dowries? They were ignorant and the leader must return them to the sunnah.” He was asked, “Then what do you say about them?” He answered, “She has her dower which makes sex lawful. They are separated but not flogged. She completes her first ʿ*iddah* and then does a second full ʿ*iddah* of three menstrual cycles. Then he can propose to her if he wishes to do so.” ʿUmar heard that
and he addressed the people and said, “People! Prefer ignorance to the Sunnah!”

Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘There is no disagreement among fuqahā’ that if someone makes a marriage contract with a woman during her waiting period for another marriage, that marriage is invalid. The fact that ‘Umar and ‘Alī agreed that they are not subject to the ḥadd punishment indicates that an invalid marriage does not necessitate the ḥadd punishment. That is agreed upon if they are ignorant of the fact that it is forbidden, but there is disagreement when they do know about it.

They also disagree about whether she does an ʿiddah for both of them. This is the question about two ʿiddahs. The Madinans related from Mālik that she completes the rest of the first ʿiddah and then starts a new one for the other. That is the position of al-Layth, al-Ḥasan ibn Ḥayy, ash-Shāfiʿī, Aḥmad and Isḥāq. It is also related from ‘Alī as we mentioned, and also from ‘Umar. Muhammad ibn al-Qāsim and Ibn Wahb related from Mālik that her ʿiddah for the second is enough from the day they are parted, whether by pregnancy, menstrual cycles or months. That is the view of ath-Thawrī, al-Awzāʿī, and Abū Ḥanīfah. Their argument is the consensus that the first husband may not marry her in the rest of the waiting period and so that indicates that she is in the waiting period for the second husband. Were it not for that, he would have married her in her waiting period for his marriage. The people with the first view reply that that is not necessary and that, because the first husband refused to marry her in the rest of the waiting period, it is mandatory when it is followed by the waiting period for the second. These are two duties which she owes two husbands, just like rights and duties owed to other human beings. One does not diminish the other.

Mālik transmitted from Ibn Shihāb from Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab and Sulaymān ibn Yasār that Ṭulayḫāḥ al-Asadiyyah was married to
Rashīd ath-Thaqafī. He divorced her and she remarried during her 'iddah. 'Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb beat her and beat her new husband with a scourge and separated them. Then ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said, ‘If any woman marries during her ‘iddah and the new husband has not consummated the marriage, separate them. Then she finishes her ‘iddah from her first husband and the new husband becomes one of the suitors. If he has consummated the marriage, separate them. Then she finishes her ‘iddah from her first husband and then observes another ‘iddah for the new husband and they are never united.’ Mālik added that Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab said, ‘She has her dower because sex was made lawful.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘This Ṭulayḥah is Ṭulayḥah bint ‘Ubaydullāh, the sister of Ṭalḥah ibn ‘Ubaydullāh at-Taymī. Some copies of the Muwaṭṭā’ in the transmission of Yaḥyā have Ṭulayḥah al-Asadiyyah. That is an ignorant error and I do not know of anyone who said that.’

When he said that he beat them with a scourge, it means as a punishment for committing something forbidden, which is marriage during the waiting period. Az-Zuhrī said, ‘I do not think that it reached the level of flogging.’ He said, ‘Abd al-Malik flogged both of them with forty lashes for that.’ He said, ‘Qabīṣah ibn Dhu’ayb was asked about that and said, “You should have been lighter and given them twenty!”’ Ibn Ḥabīb said about when a woman marries in the waiting period and then the new husband touches her, kisses her, winks or looks with a look of pleasure, then the couple should be punished as well as the wali, the two witnesses and anyone who knew that she was still in her ‘iddah. There is no punishment for any of them who did not know that. Ibn al-Mawwāz said that if they did that deliberately, the couple are flogged with the hadd punishment. It is possible that the position of Ibn Ḥabīb is about the one who knows about the ‘iddah. It is possible that he was ignorant of the prohibition and did not intend to commit something prohibited. That is the one who is merely punished. Accordingly, ‘Umar beat
the woman and her husband with a scourge. The punishment and discipline for that is according to the circumstances of the one punished. The statement of Ibn al-Mawwāz can be taken to mean that they knew of the prohibition and committed what was forbidden out of boldness. Shaykh Abu-l-Qāsim said that these are two transmissions about doing it deliberately: one is that there is the ḥadd and the other that there is punishment and no ḥadd.

**Know that Allah knows what is in yourselves, so beware of Him!**
This is an extreme warning against falling into what is forbidden.

236 There is nothing wrong in your divorcing women before you have touched them or allotted a dower to them. But give them a gift – he who is wealthy according to his means and he who is less well off according to his means – a gift to be given with correctness and courtesy: a duty for all good-doers.

There is nothing wrong in your divorcing women before you have touched them or allotted a dower to them.

This is another of the rulings which deal with divorced women. It deals with removing any aspersion of wrongdoing from a man who divorces before the consummation of a marriage, whether or not a dower has been stipulated. So the Messenger of Allah ﷺ forbade marriage merely for the sake of gratification and sexual indulgence and instructed people to marry for the sake of protection and in order to obtain Allah’s reward, and with the intention of having a constant companion. The believers did not like divorcing before a marriage had been consummated, as they believed that it was disliked and so this āyah was revealed to remove their prejudice against it, since the basis of marriage lies in the good intention
behind it. Some people said that ‘nothing wrong’ means that you should not ask for the return of the entire dower. You are only entitled to get back half of what has been allotted to her and you should give a gift to the woman if the amount of the dower has not been stipulated. It is said that when the command to pay the dower was confirmed in the Sharī'ah, it was thought that a dower was obligatory, either stipulated or appropriate. In this āyah the wrong was removed from someone who divorces before any dower has been stipulated. Some people have said that it refers to those who pronounce divorce during menstruation when the marriage has not been consummated since in that case the woman does not have to observe a waiting period.

There are four types of divorced women:

- Women in a consummated marriage with an allotted dower and Allah has mentioned before this āyah that the ruling in respect of their ‘iddah is three menstrual periods. They are not asked to return any of their dower.
- Women without an allotted dower whose marriage has not been consummated and this āyah is about them. They are not allotted a dower but Allah says that they should be given a gift. And it is made clear in Sūrat al-Aḥzāb (33) that a woman whose marriage has not been consummated and who is divorced has no ‘iddah.
- Women who have been allotted a dower whose marriage has not been consummated who will be mentioned after this āyah in 2:237.
- Women without a dower whose marriage has been consummated. They will be mentioned in Sūrat an-Nisā’ (4:24).

This āyah is about women divorced before either consummation or the allotment of a dower, and the next is about women who are divorced before consummation but after the allotment of dower. The first receives a gift and the second receives half of the dower because of the specification of the contract.

Allah divided divorced women into two groups: those with an
allotted dower and those without. He indicated that *tafwīḍ* marriage is permitted. This is a marriage in which the dower is not mentioned. It is not disputed and in it the woman is allotted a dower after the contract. If it is allotted, it is connected to the contract and permitted. If it is not allotted to her and there is a divorce, the consensus is that the dower is not obliged. Qāḍī Abū Bakr ibn al-‘Arabī said that. Al-Mahdawī related from Ḥammād ibn Abī Sulaymān that when a man divorces a wife without having consummated the marriage, and has not allotted her a dower, he is compelled to give half of a suitable dower. If the dower has been allotted after the marriage contract and before divorce, Abū Ḥanīfah said that it is not made half by divorce because it was not obliged by the contract. This is contrary to the literal meaning of the text of His words: ‘If you divorce them before you have touched them but have already allotted them a dower.’ (2:237) It is also contrary to analogy. The allotment after the contract is connected to the contract and so it is obliged to be halved by divorce. The basic position is that the allotment is connected to the contract.

Concerning what happens if death occurs before allotment, at-Tirmidhī mentioned that Ibn Mas‘ūd was asked about a man who married a woman without allotting her something and had not consummated the marriage before he died. Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘She receives the like dower of other women of her class, neither more nor less. She has to observe the ḍiddah and she inherits.’ Ma‘qil ibn Sinān al-Ashja‘ī said, ‘The Messenger of Allah  gave a similar decision about Barwa‘ bint Wāshiq, one of our women.’ Ibn Mas‘ūd was very happy to hear that. At-Tirmidhī said that it is a sound ḥasan ḥadīth. It is also related from him by another path of transmission. This was the normative practice among some of the people of knowledge among the Companions of the Prophet  and others. It is also the view of ath-Thawrī, Aḥmad and Isḥāq. Some of the people of knowledge among the Companions of the Prophet  ,
including ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, Zayd ibn Thābit, Ibn ‘Abbās and Ibn ‘Umar said that when a man marries a woman and does not consummate the marriage and has not allotted her a dower before he dies, then she inherits but has no dower and must observe ‘iddah. That is the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī who said, ‘If the ḥadīth of Barwa‘ bint Wāshiq is confirmed, it is argument for what is related from the Prophet ﷺ.’ It is related from ash-Shāfi‘ī that he retracted this position in Egypt and accepted the ḥadīth of Barwa‘ bint Wāshiq.

There is disagreement about the firmness of the ḥadīth of Barwa‘ bint Wāshiq. Qāḍī Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Wahhāb said in his commentary on the Risālah of Ibn Abī Zayd: ‘The experts in ḥadīth and leaders of the people of knowledge reject the ḥadīth of Barwa‘ bint Wāshiq.’ Al-Wāqidī said, ‘This ḥadīth was situated in Madīnah and none of the scholars accept it.’ As we and Ibn al-Mundhir mentioned, at-Tirmidhī considered it to be sound. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘It is confirmed like the report of ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd from the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. We say that.’ He mentioned that it is the view of Abū Thawr and the People of Opinion. A similar position to that of ‘Alī, Zayd, Ibn ‘Abbās and Ibn ‘Umar is mentioned from az-Zuhrī, al-Awzā‘ī, Mālik and ash-Shāfi‘ī. There is a third position which is that the woman has no inheritance until she has a dower. Masrūq said that.

Part of the argument of Mālik, that a marriage which is ended before any allotment does not oblige a dower, is that its basis is divorce, but if the ḥadīth is sound, then the analogy opposing it is unsound. Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ḥamīd related from the School that which is in agreement with the ḥadīth. Praise be to Allah. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘The ḥadīth of Barwa‘ bint Wāshiq was related by ‘Abd ar-Razzāq from ath-Thawrī from Manṣūr from Ibrāhīm from ‘Alqamah from Ibn Mas‘ūd. It says in it: ‘Ma‘qil ibn Sinān stood up.’ Ibn Mahdī related from ath-Thawrī from Firās from ash-Sha‘bī from Masrūq that ‘Abdullāh said, ‘Ma‘qil ibn Yasār stood up’ I
believe that what is correct is Ma‘qil ibn Sinān rather than Ma‘qil ibn Yasār because Ma‘qil ibn Yasār was a man of Muzaynah and this hadīth is about a woman of Ashja‘, not Muzaynah. That is how Abū Dāwūd related it from ash-Sha‘bī from ‘Alqamah. He says in it: ‘Some people of Ashja‘.’ Ma‘qil ibn Sinān was killed in the Battle of al-Ḥarrah. A poet says:

The Anṣār weep for their leaders,
and Ashja‘ weeps for Ma‘qil ibn Sinān.

In the phrase ‘before you have touched them’, mā means ‘which’. It means ‘If you have divorced women without touching them.’ The recitation of Nāfī‘, Ibn Kathīr, Abū ‘Amr, ‘Āṣim and Ibn ‘Āmir is ‘tamassūhunna’ and that of Ḥamzah and al-Kisā‘ī is ‘tumāssūhunna’ from Form III because sexual intercourse needs the participation of both of them. The first reading also has an associative meaning. Abū ‘Alī preferred it because the verbs with this meaning normally are in Form I, but both readings are good. ‘Aw’ (‘or’) means ‘and’ here, meaning, ‘before you have touched them and have not allotted them.’

But give them a gift –

This means give them something they will enjoy having. Some, like ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, al-Ḥasan ibn Abī ’l-Ḥasan, Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, Abū Qilābah, az-Zuhrī, Qatādah, and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, take it as being mandatory. Others, such as Abū ʿUbayd, Mālik ibn Anas and his people, Qāḍī Shurayḥ and others, see it as merely being a recommendation. The people with the first position take it as a command and those with the second view look at the words, ‘a duty for good-doers’ and ‘for all who are godfearing’ (2:241) and say that if it had been mandatory, it would have been made general to all people. The first view is more appropriate because of the universal nature of the command to give and connecting the gift to them by the particle of possession in the words: ‘Divorced women should receive maintenance.’ (2:241) That is more apparently an obligation than a
recommendation. The second āyah stresses the fact that it is an obligation because everyone must have fear of Allah in respect of *shirk* and disobedience. Allah says: ‘guidance for the godfearing.’

There is disagreement about the pronoun ‘them’ and which women are meant. Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn ‘Umar, Jābir ibn Zayd, al-Ḥasan, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Ahmad, ‘Atā’, Ishāq and the People of Opinion say that a gift is mandatory for a woman divorced before consummation or the allotment of a dower and recommended for others. Mālik and his people said that it is recommended in every divorce even if the marriage was consummated. In the case of a woman whose marriage has been consummated and who has an allotted dower, she should receive her dower but no extra gift. Abū Thawr says she should receive a gift as well, as should every divorced woman. The people of knowledge say that in the case of a woman who has not been allotted a dower and whose marriage has not been consummated, she should only receive a gift. Az-Zuhrī said that the qāḍī decides it for her. Most people say that he does not decide it.

This consensus is about free women. If a slave-girl is divorced before allotment and consummation, then most say that she receives a gift. Al-Awzā‘ī and ath-Thawrī said that she receives no gift because she belongs to her master and he is not entitled to property in exchange for the harm to his property by divorce. As for the fixed school of Mālik, Ibn Sha‘bān said, ‘The gift is on account of the sorrow of divorce. That is why there is no gift before or after consummation for a woman parted by *khul‘*, freeing herself and *li‘ān* because in that instance she is the one who chose divorce.’ At-Tirmidhī, ‘Atā’ and an-Nakha‘ī said that the wife should receive a gift after *khul‘*. The People of Opinion said that a woman separated by *li‘ān* receives a gift. Ibn al-Qāsim said that there is no gift where an invalid marriage is concerned. Ibn al-Mawwāz said, ‘There is no gift in a marriage which becomes unsound after a sound contract, such as when one of the couple becomes the owner of the other.’ Ibn
al-Qāsim said, ‘The basis of that is that Allah’s words: “Divorced women should receive maintenance/a gift” only apply to divorce, not invalidity.’ Ibn Wahb related from Mālik that a wife given a choice receives a gift which is not the case with a slave-girl who is freed while married to a slave. She has a choice about herself. Such a wife does not receive a gift. As for a free woman given a choice, or who is given authority [for divorce] or whose husband marries a slave-girl, and she chooses herself, in all such instances she receives a gift because the husband is the cause of the separation.

Mālik says that it is not specified whether the gift should be a little or a lot. People disagree about this. Ibn ʿUmar said that the minimum is about thirty dirhams. Ibn ʿAbbās said, ‘The largest gift is a servant, then clothes, then maintenance.’ ‘Aṭā’ said that a medium-sized gift is a dress, veil (khimār) and mantle. Abū Ḫanīfah said, ‘That is the minimum it should be.’ Ibn Muḥayriz said that anyone receiving a state pension should give thirty dinars and a slave should also give a gift. Al-Ḥasan says that it is according to ability and continued, ‘One gives a servant, another gives clothes, another a garment and another money.’ That is what Mālik said because the āyah says ‘according to his means’ and there is no amount or limit specified. Al-Ḥasan ibn ʿAlī gave a gift of 20,000 and skins full of honey. Shurayḥ gave a gift of 50,000 dirhams.

It is said that one considers the circumstances of the woman as well. Some Shāfiʿīs said that. They said, ‘If we were consider the state of the man alone, and then if he were to marry two women, one of whom is noble and the other lowly and divorce both before consummation without naming any dower, would they be equal in the gift, the lowly receiving the same as the noble woman? This is contrary to the words of Allah “…a gift to be given with correctness.” That would oblige that when a wealthy man marries a lowly woman, he is like her because if he divorces her before consummation and allotting a dower, he is obliged to give a gift
commensurate with his state and a dower suitable for someone like her. According to this, the gift would be many times greater than the suitable dower and so she would be entitled before consummation many times more than what she would be entitled to afterwards in the form of a suitable dower which is in exchange for sexual intercourse.’ The People of Opinion and others say that the gift to a woman divorced before consummation is half of a suitable dower and nothing else, because a suitable dower becomes due by the contract and the gift is part of the suitable dower. Therefore it is obliged for her as the half of the specified dower is obliged when she is divorced before consummation. This is refuted by Allah’s words: ‘…he who is wealthy according to his means, and he who is less well off according to his means.’ This is evidence which refutes a limit. Allah knows the realities of all matters.

Ath-Tha‘labī mentioned a hadīth and said that the words: ‘There is nothing wrong in your divorcing women…’ were revealed about a man of the Anṣār who married a woman of the Banū Ḥanīfah without specifying a dower for her. He divorced her before touching her and the āyah was revealed. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Give her a gift, even if it is your hat.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that Suwayd ibn Ghafalah said, “Ā’ishah al-Khat’amiyyah was married to al-Ḥasan ibn ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib. When ‘Alī was struck down and allegiance was given to al-Ḥasan as the caliph, she said, “Congratulations on the caliphate, Amīr al-Mu’mīnīn!” He said, “‘Alī is murdered and you make a show of gloating! Go, you are divorced three times.” She wrapped herself in her garments and waited until her ‘iddah was over. He sent her 10,000 as a gift along with the rest of her dower. She said: “A scant gift from a parting lover!” When he heard what she had said, he wept and said, “If it had not been that I heard my grandfather (or my father told me that he heard my grandfather) say, ‘If a man divorces his wife three times finally or three times over cycles, she is not lawful to him until she has married another husband,’ I would
have taken her back.”’ One variant has: ‘When the messenger informed him, he wept and said, ‘If I had not divorced her finally, I would have taken her back. But I heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘If a man divorces his wife three times with a divorce in each period of purity, or a divorce at the beginning of each month, or three times all at once, she is not lawful to him until she has married another husband.’”

If someone is ignorant about the need to give a gift, he should still give it to his divorced wife, even if years have passed and she has since married someone else, or to her heirs if she has died. Ibn al-Mawwâz related that from Ibn al-Qâsim. Aṣbagh said that he does not owe anything if she has died because it is solace for the wife on account of being divorced and that has occurred. The reasoning behind the first is that it is a right confirmed against him and it moves from her to her heirs, as is the case with other rights. This notes its obligatory nature in the school. Allah knows best.

He who is wealthy according to his means and he who is less well off according to his means –

This is also taken as evidence of the mandatory nature of the gift. Most recite ‘al-mūsî’, who is someone in a wealthy situation. One says, ‘he spends according to his means (qadaruhu)’. Ibn Kathîr, Nâfi‘, Abû ʿAmr and Āṣim in the transmission of Abû Bakr recite ‘qadruru’ in both places, while Ibn ʿĀmir, Ḥamzah, al-Kisâ‘î and Āṣim in the variant of Ḥâfṣ recite ‘qadaruru’. Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Akhfash said that they mean the same. Abû Zayd also related that and that is used elsewhere in the Qur’an. ‘Muqtir’ is someone with little property.

A gift to be given with correctness and courtesy:

The words ‘with correctness and courtesy’ here mean with moderation according to the Sharî‘ah.

A duty for all good-doers.

This is further evidence for the mandatory nature of the gift. The verb ḥaqqa means to decide and oblige. The word ‘duty’ stresses it. It
is also said that this means that it is for the believers because only they can be called ‘godfearing’ and ‘good-doers’. All people are commanded to do good and be godfearing. They do good by performing the obligations of Allah and avoiding actions in which they disobey Him so that they do not enter the Fire. So it is obliged for all people to do good and be godfearing.

237 If you divorce them before you have touched them but have already allotted them a dower, they should have half the amount which you allotted, unless they forgo it or the one in charge of the marriage contract forgoes it. To forgo it is closer to taqwa. Do not forget to show generosity to one another. Allah sees what you do.

If you divorce them before you have touched them but have already allotted them a dower,

People disagree about this āyah. One group, including Mālik and others, says that it removes the ruling of the gift in the previous āyah from any woman who is divorced after a dower has been allotted. Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab said that this āyah abrogates the āyah in Sūrat al-Aḥzāb (33:49) because it includes giving a gift to all wives whose marriage has not been consummated. Qatādah says that it abrogates the āyah preceding it. I say that the positions of Sa‘īd and Qatādah are debatable since the preconditions for abrogation do not exist and it is possible for it to coexist with the other āyahs. Ibn al-Qāsim said in the Mudawwanah, ‘The gift is for every divorced woman in 2:241 and for any woman whose marriage has not been consummated in the āyah in Sūrat al-Aḥzāb.’ So Allah excluded a woman who has been
allotted a dower by this āyah. Here it is confirmed that she has half of what has been allotted. One group of scholars, including Abū Thawr, say that the command to give a gift to every divorced woman is universal and that this āyah makes it clear that a woman who has had a dower allotted to her receives half of it and the āyah does not mean that the gift is cancelled. Rather she receives a gift as well as half the dower.

**they should have half the amount which you allotted,**

This is mandatory. The consensus is that the allotted dower is divided equally between the man and the woman. ‘Half’ is the portion of something divided in two.

If a husband allots his wife a dower and then divorces her before consummation, the dower being in her possession, Mālik says that the growth of every property or slave given to her as a dower is shared between them, just as any decrease or destruction is shared between them. The woman owes nothing of it. If he gives her gold or silver and she uses it to purchase a slave or house, or she uses it to buy a share of something or buys something else like perfume, kitchen utensils or something else which she uses for her outfitting and putting things in order for remaining with him, all of that is in the position of the dower given to her and they both share in any growth or decrease in it. If he divorces her before consummation, she only keeps half of it. She is indebted to him for half of what she took from him. If she bought something personal with some or part of it, then she can be in debt to him for half of the dower that she took from him. That is also the case if she buys a slave or a house with a thousand that he gave her as a dower. If he then divorces her before consummation: she owes him half of the thousand.

There is no disagreement that if a man consummates his marriage and then dies having named something for her, she receives the total that he named for her as well as her share of the inheritance and she must observe ‘iddah.
They disagree about a man who has been alone with a woman but has not had sexual intercourse with her before parting from her. The Kufans and Mālik say that he owes the total dower and she must observe ‘iddah based on the report of Ibn Masʿūd who said, ‘The Rightly-guided Caliphs judged that when someone closes the door or lowers the curtain, she inherits and must observe ‘iddah.’ It is related marfūʿ and ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it. It will be mentioned in an-Nisā’. Ash-Shāfiʿī did not oblige a full dower and says that she does not have to observe ‘iddah if there was no consummation. That is based on the apparent text of the Qur’an. Shurayḥ said, ‘I did not hear Allah mention a door or a curtain in His Book. When he claims that he has not touched her, then she receives half the dower. That is the position of Ibn ‘Abbās.’ What our scholars say about this will be mentioned in an-Nisā’ (4:21).

**unless they forgo it**

This is forgoing the half they are due because of the marriage. This refers to women who have charge of their own affairs. Allah allowed them to forgo it after it has become mandatory since it is solely their due and they can see that it is carried out or they can forgo it as they like. Women in charge of their own affairs are those who are adult, sane and sensible. Ibn ‘Abbās and a group of fuqahā’ and Tābiʿūn said that it is permitted for a virgin who does not have a walī to do that. Saḥnūn related it in the Mudawwanah from other than Ibn al-Qāsim after Ibn al-Qāsim mentioned that she was not permitted to set aside the half. If she is a ward of someone or a child, it is not permitted for her to cancel the half. There is no disagreement about that as far as I know.

**or the one in charge of the marriage contract forgoes it.**

People disagree about who is meant by this. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that Jubayr ibn Muṭʿim married a woman from the Banū Naṣr and divorced her before consummation. He sent her the full dower and said, ‘I am entitled to forgo it. Allah says: “…unless they forgo it, or the
Therefore I am entitled to forgo it.’ He interpreted the āyah as referring to himself in every situation before and after divorce, namely the marriage contract, since he could act on it or not. Ad-Dāraquṭnī also related marfū‘ from Qutaybah ibn Sa‘īd from Ibn Lahī‘ah from ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb from his father from his grandfather that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘The wālī of the marriage contract is the husband.’ This also comes from ‘Alī, Ibn ‘Abbās, Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab and Shurayḥ. He said that that was stated by Nāfi‘ ibn Jubayr, Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b, Ṭāwūs, Mujāhid, ash-Sha‘bī and Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr. That is also true of Mujāhid and ath-Thawrī. Abū Ḥanīfah preferred it, and it is the sound view from ash-Shafi‘ī. They all say that a guardian has no control over any of his ward’s dower as there is a consensus that it would not he permitted for him to absolve the husband of all the dower if there were no divorce. So the same applies if there is. They agree that a guardian does not have the power to give away any of his ward’s property, and the dower is her property. They agree that guardians cannot absolve the husband, no matter whether they are nephews, uncles or fathers. Allah knows best.

Some of them say that a guardian has that right. Ad-Dāraquṭnī also has a report from Ibn ‘Abbās regarding that, and it is the position of Ibrāhīm, ‘Alqamah and al-Ḥasan, and others added ‘Ikrimah, Ṭāwūs, ‘Aṭā‘, Abu-z-Zinād, Zayd ibn Aslam, Rabī‘ah, Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b, Ibn Shihāb, al-Aswad ibn Yazīd, ash-Sha‘bī, Qatādah and Mālik, and it was the old position of ash-Shāfi‘ī. According to them it is permitted for a father to forgo half of the dower of his virgin daughter if she is divorced before the consummation of her marriage whether or not she has reached the age of menstruation. ‘Īsā ibn Dīnār said, ‘She does not have recourse to any of it from her father.’

The evidence that it is the wālī that is meant is found in the words of Allah at the beginning of the āyah: ‘If you divorce them before you have
touched them but have already allotted them a dower, they should have half the amount you allotted.’ So He mentioned the husbands and addressed this to them. Then He says, ‘…unless they forgo it,’ and mentioned women. Then a third group are mentioned: ‘…or the one in charge of the marriage contract forgoes it.’ This does not refer to the previously mentioned husband unless no one but him exists. But the guardian exists and so he is the one who is meant. Makkī said that and Ibn al-‘Arabī mentioned it. Furthermore, Allah says: ‘…unless they forgo it,’ and it is known that a minor girl is not in a position to forgo. A young girl, and one who is a ward, are not in a position to forgo and so Allah made the two categories clear. He said: ‘…unless they forgo’ when they are entitled to do that: ‘…or the one in charge of the marriage contract,’ who is the ṭalāī: ‘…forgoes it’ because he is in charge of the matter.

That is what is related by Ibn Wahb, Ashhab, Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam and Ibn al-Qāsim from Mālik about the father of a virgin girl and the master of a slave-girl. The ṭalāī is permitted to forgo when he is one of the appropriate people. He is not permitted to forgo if he is a simpleton.

If it is said that we do not agree that it is the ṭalāī, but rather it is the husband. He is more entitled to this title because he has more control over the contract than the ṭalāī, as was already stated, then we reply that we do not accept that the husband has more control over the contract than the father of a virgin girl. The father of the virgin has control of it, rather than the husband, because the object of the contract is the dower of the virgin. The husband does not have the right to contract that, but rather it is the father who does. Shurayḥ allowed the brother to forgo half the dower. That is what ‘Ikrimah said: ‘The one who makes the contract of marriage between them can forgo, whether he is an uncle, father or brother, even she dislikes it.’

To forgo it is closer to taqwā. Do not forget to show generosity to
one another.

This is addressed to both men and women according to Ibn ‘Abbās. Mujāhid said, ‘What is best is for a man to forgo all the dower or for a woman to forgo half of it.’

Allah sees what you do.

This is a promise to the good-doer and warning for the one who does not do good. Nothing you do is hidden from Allah.
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Stand in obedience to Allah.

Safeguard the prayer – especially the middle one.

The command is in the plural, directed at the entire Community. The āyah is a command to persevere in performing the prayers at their correct times with all their preconditions. Safeguarding implies constancy in a thing and perseverance in it. The ‘middle’ of something is the best and most balanced part of it. Allah uses the term in another place in the same way when He says: ‘In this way We have made you a middlemost community.’ (2:143). A desert Arab praised the Prophet ☪:

O midmost of all people in praise!
Noblest of people in mother and father!

The middle prayer is singled out for mention because it is in the middle of all the prayers, to honour it. An example of this is found in Allah’s words: ‘When We made a covenant with all the Prophets – with you and with Nūḥ’ a(33:7) and: ‘In them are fruits and date-palms and pomegranates.’ (55:68) There are various positions regarding what constitutes the middle prayer:

– One is that it is Zuhr because it is in the middle of day, according to the sound position that the day starts from dawn. We begin with Zuhr because it is the first prayer prayed in Islam. Those who said
that it is the middle prayer include Zayd ibn Thābit, Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī, ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar and ‘Ā’ishah. One thing that indicates that it is the middle prayer is what ‘Ā’ishah and Ḥafṣah said when they recited the āyah and said, ‘especially the middle one and the ‘Aṣr prayer.’ It is related that it is harder for the Muslims because it comes at a time of when it is very hot and they are distracted from it by work in their property. Abū Dāwud reports from Zayd: ‘The Prophet used to pray Zuhr at midday and he did not pray any prayer which was harder for the Companions of the Prophet. Then: “Safeguard the prayer – especially the middle one…” was revealed.’ He said that there are two prayers before it and two prayers after it. Mālik related in the Muwaṭṭā’ and Abū Dāwud aṭ-Ṭayālisī in the Musnad that Zayd ibn Thābit said, ‘The middle prayer is Zuhr.’ Aṭ-Ṭayālisī added, ‘The Prophet used to pray it at midday.’

– It is said to be ‘Aṣr because the two prayers of the day are before it and the two prayers of the night after it. An-Naḥḥās said, ‘Better than this is the argument that it is called “middle” because it is between two prayers. One of them is the first to be made obligatory and the other was the second to be made obligatory. Among those who said this were ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn ‘Umar, Abū Hurayrah, and Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī. This view is the one preferred by Abū Ḥanīfah and his people and ash-Shāfiʿī and most of the traditionists. It was the view of ‘Abd al-Malik ibn Ḥabīb and preferred by Ibn al-‘Arabī in al-Qabas. In his tafsīr, Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘It is the position of the majority of people, and I also say that.’ Hadiths transmitted by Muslim and others are offered as evidence for this position. Ibn Masʿūd reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘The middle prayer is the ‘Aṣr prayer.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it and said that it is a sound ḥasan hadīth. We dealt with more of this in al-Qabas, a commentary on the Muwaṭṭā’ of Mālik ibn Anas.

– It is said to be Maghrib. Qubayṣah ibn Abī Dhu’ayb said that as
well as others. Their evidence is that it is middle in the number of rakʿahs neither more nor less and is not shortened on a journey, and the Prophet ﷺ did not delay it or bring it forward. Prayers are aloud after it and silent before it. ‘Ā’ishah reported that he ﷺ said, ‘The best of prayers in the sight of Allah is the Maghrib prayer. It is not decreased for a traveller or someone who is resident. Allah began the night prayers with it and sealed the day prayers with it. If someone prays Maghrib and prays two rakʿahs after it, Allah will built him a castle in the Garden. If someone prays four rakʿahs after it, Allah will forgive him the wrong actions of twenty years (or forty years).’

– It is said to be ‘Ishā’ because it is between two prayers which may not be shortened and it comes at the time of sleep and it is recommended to delay it, so that makes it difficult to safeguard.

– It is said to be Ṣubḥ, because the two night prayers before it are those in which the prayer is said out loud and the two daytime prayers after it are silent and because it is a time when people are asleep and rising for it is hard in the winter because of the cold and in the summer because the night is short. Those who said that it was Ṣubḥ include ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Abbās. It is also transmitted in the Muwaṭṭā’ and at-Tirmidhī reports it from Ibn ‘Umar and Ibn ‘Abbās in commentary. It is related from Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh. It is the position of Mālik and his people and ash-Shāfiʿī inclined to it according to al-Qushayrī.

The sound report from ‘Alī is that it is ‘Aṣr which is related from him by a sound known path. For evidence that it is Ṣubḥ, they cite, ‘Stand in obedience to Him,’ i.e. in it, and there is no prayer in which al-qunūt (standing in obedience) is prescribed except Ṣubḥ. Abū Rajā’ said, ‘Ibn ‘Abbās led us in the morning prayer in Basra and did the qunūt in it before rukū‘. He raised his hands and when he finished, he said, ‘This is the middle prayer in which Allah commanded us to stand in obedience.’ Anas said, ‘The Prophet ﷺ did the qunūt in the
ṣubḥ prayer after rukū’. The ruling on the qunūt and what scholars say about it will be found in Āl ʿImrān.

– Some say that it refers to the Jumuʿah prayer because one is encouraged to go to it and listen to the khutbah in it and it is made a festival. Ibn Ḥabīb and Makkī mentioned that. Muslim related from ʿAbdullāh that the Prophet ﷺ said about some people who failed to come to Jumuʿah, ‘I thought about commanding a man to lead the people in the prayer and then burning the houses of some men who failed to come to Jumuʿah.’

– Ṣubḥ and ʿAṣr together. Shaykh Abū Bakr al-Abharī said that his evidence for that in found in the words of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, ‘The angels of the night and the angels of the day succeed one another in overseeing you.’ Abū Hurayrah related it. Jarīr ibn ʿAbdullāh said, ‘We were sitting with the Prophet ﷺ when he looked at the full moon and said, “You will see your Lord as you see this moon without any doubt that you are seeing Him. If you are able not to miss the prayer before sunrise and before sunset, do so.”’ He means ʿAṣr and Fajr. Then Jarīr recited, ‘Glorify your Lord with praise before the rising of the sun and before its setting.’ (20:130) ‘Umārah ibn Ruʿaybah related that he heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘Someone who prayed before the rising of the sun and before its setting will not enter the Fire.’ It means ʿAṣr and Fajr. The Prophet ﷺ also said, ‘Whoever prays the two cool ones will enter the Garden.’ It is confirmed in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim and elsewhere. He called them that because they are done in times of coolness.

– ʿIshā’ and Ṣubḥ. Abu-d-Darda’ said in his final illness. ‘Listen and convey to your descendants: safeguard these two prayers (i.e. in the group): ʿIshā’ and Ṣubḥ. If you had known what was in them, you would have come to them, even crawling on your hands and knees.’ ‘Umar and ‘Uthmān said that. The imams related that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If you had known what was in ʿIshā’ and Ṣubḥ, you would have come to them, even crawling.’ He said that
they are the hardest prayers for hypocrites. It makes the one who
prays Subh in the group rise at night and ‘Ishā’ is in the middle of the
night. Mālik mentioned it mawqūf, stopping at ‘Uthmān and Muslim
has it marfū‘. Abū Dāwud and at-Tirmidhī transmitted that the
Messenger of Allah said, ‘Whoever attends ‘Ishā’ in the group, it is
as if he prayed half the night. Whoever prays ‘Ishā’ and Fajr in the
group, it is as if he had prayed the entire night.’ This is contrary to
what Mālik and Muslim related.

– The five prayers as a group. Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal said that. That is
because ‘Safeguard the prayer’ includes the obligatory and the
supererogatory. Then he singles out the obligatory.

– It is unspecified. Nāfi‘ quoted Ibn ‘Umar as saying that. Ar-Rabī‘
ibn Khaytham said that. Allah concealed it among the five prayers
as He concealed the Night of Power in Ramadan, the time of
acceptance on the day of Jumu‘ah and the times of the night when
supplication is accepted so that people will pray at night in the
darkness to converse with the Knower of secrets. Evidence for the
validity of its being undefined and not specified is found in what
Muslim related in the Ṣaḥīḥ at the end of the chapter where al-Barā‘
ibn ‘Āzib said, “‘Safeguard the prayer – especially ‘Aṣr’” was revealed
and we recited it for as long as Allah wished and then Allah
abrogated it and said, “‘Safeguard the prayer – especially the middle one.’” A
man asked, ‘So it is the ‘Aṣr prayer?’ Al-Barā‘ said, ‘I have informed
you of how it was revealed and how Allah abrogated it. Allah knows
best.’ This implies that after it was specified, its specification was
abrogated and made unknown. Allah knows best. This is what
Muslim preferred because he puts it at the end of the chapter. More
than one of the later scholars said that it is sound, Allah willing,
because of the contradictory evidence and lack of preference. All
that is left, then, is to persevere in all of them and perform them at
their times.

This disagreement about the middle prayer indicates the falseness
of the position of those who claim that the words ‘and the ‘Aṣr prayer’ in the ḥadīth of Yūnus, the freedman of ‘Ā’ishah, where she told him to write out the Qur’an for her were actually part of the Qur’an. Our scholars said that it is like a commentary from the Prophet ﷺ as indicated by the ḥadīth of ‘Amr ibn Rāfi’: ‘Haṣṣah told me to write out the Qur’an for her. Part of what she dictated to me was: “Safeguard the prayer – especially the middle one (which is ‘Aṣr.) Stand in obedience to Allah.”’ She said, “That is how I heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ recite it.”’ So her words about ‘Aṣr indicate that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ explained the middle prayer as being ‘Aṣr. Nāfi‘ related ‘and the ‘Aṣr prayer’ from ‘Ā’ishah and from Ḥafṣah, but without ‘and’. Abū Bakr al-Anbārī said, ‘This extra word indicates that it is false, and that what is in the copy of the community of Muslims is sound.’

There is another argument which is that if someone says, ‘the middle prayer and the ‘Aṣr prayer,’ he makes the ‘middle prayer’ other than ‘Aṣr. This is refuted by the ḥadīth of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ that is related by ‘Abdullāh. He said, ‘On the Day of the Confederates, the idolaters kept the Messenger of Allah ﷺ from the ‘Aṣr prayer until the sun was yellow. The Messenger of Allah said, ‘They have kept me from the middle prayer. May Allah fill their bellies and graves with fire!’

‘The middle prayer’ is evidence that the odd number is mandatory because the Muslims agreed that the number of obligatory prayers is less than seven and more than three, and there is only five between seven and three. Pairs have no ‘middle’ and so it is confirmed that the number is five. We find in the ḥadīth of the Night Journey: ‘They are five and they are fifty. The word is not changed with Me.’

**Stand in obedience to Allah.**

This means in the prayer. People disagree about the meaning of the word qānitīn. Ash-Sha‘bī said that it means ‘in obedience’. Jābir ibn Zayd, ‘Aṭā’ and Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said that. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said,
‘Every use of the word *qanūt* in the Qur’an refers to obedience.’ Abū Sa‘īd said, quoting the Prophet ﷺ, that the people of every dīn will stand as rebels on the Day of Rising, but this Community will be told, ‘Stand in obedience to Allah.’ Mujāhid said that it means ‘in humility’. *Qunūt* means long bowing, humility, and lowering the eye. Ar-Rabī‘ said that *qunūt* means long bowing. Ibn ‘Umar said that and he recited: ‘…he who spends the night hours in prayer (*qānit*), prostrating and standing up?’ (39:9) The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The best prayer is the long *qunūt*.’ Muslim and others transmitted it. A poet said:

Obedient (*qānitan*) to Allah, calling on his Lord and intentionally withdrawing from people.

Ibn ‘Abbās said that *qānitīn* means calling or supplicating, and it is used with that meaning in the ḥadīth: ‘The Prophet ﷺ did the *qanūt* for a month, invoking against Ri‘l and Dhakwān.’ Some people said that it means to supplicate. Other people said that it means to stand for a long time. As-Suddī said that it means to be silent, and his evidence is that the āyah was revealed to forbid speaking in the prayer which was permitted at the beginning of Islam. This is sound based on Muslim and others relating that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘We used to greet the Messenger of Allah ﷺ while he was praying and he would reply to us. When we returned from the Negus, we greeted him and he did not reply to us. We said, “Messenger of Allah, we used to greet you during the prayer and you would reply to us.” He answered, “One is occupied in the prayer.”’ It is related that Zayd ibn Arqam said, ‘We used to speak in the prayer and a man would speak to the man at his side until it was revealed: “Stand in obedience to Allah.” So we were commanded to be silent and forbidden to speak.’

It is said that the linguistic root means to be constant in a thing. Since that is the linguistic root in language, it is permitted to describe someone who is constant in obedience as ‘*qānit*’. That same
is true about someone who stands long in the prayer, recitation, and supplication in the prayer, or has long-standing humility and silence. All of these are aspects of the idea of *qunūt*.

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘All Muslims agree that deliberately speaking in the prayer while knowing that one is in the prayer, when it is not to put the prayer right, invalidates the prayer. Al-Awzā’ī’s position that when one speaks to revive a person or other important matters, the prayer is not invalidated by that, is logically weak since Allah says: “*Stand in obedience to Allah,*” and Zayd ibn Arqam said, “We used to speak in the prayer until Allah revealed: ‘*Stand in obedience to Allah.*’” Ibn Mas‘ūd said that he heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘Allah introduced that you should not speak in the prayer.’ This is when it is not a major matter which obliges that one stop the prayer and for which one must start anew. When someone does stop the prayer because of the excellence of saving a person’s life, or on account of property or something similar, he should start the prayer again and not build on what he has done. This is the sound position regarding the question, Allah willing.

They disagree about someone who speaks out of forgetfulness. Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī and their people believe that speaking in the prayer out of forgetfulness does not invalidate it. Mālik, however, said, ‘The prayer is not spoiled by deliberately speaking in it when that is about the prayer and putting it right.’ That is the view of Rabī‘ah and Ibn al-Qāsim. Saḥnūn related from Ibn al-Qāsim that Mālik mentioned the case when an imam leads the people in prayer for two *rak‘ahs* and says the *salām* out of forgetfulness and they say, ‘*Subḥānallāh,*’ but he does not understand. So one of the men praying behind him in the prayer says, ‘You have not finished! Finish the prayer!’ and he turns to the people and asks, ‘Is he telling the truth?’ and they say, ‘Yes.’ The imam leads them in the rest of the prayer and both those who spoke and those who did not finish the prayer with him owe nothing. In doing that they are doing what the
Prophet did on the day Dhu-l-Yadayn spoke in the prayer. This is the position of Ibn al-Qāsim in the Mudawwanah and he related it from Mālik. It is the well known position of Mālik’s school. Ismā‘īl ibn Ishāq imitated it and cited it as evidence in his book in which he refutes Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan. Al-Ḥārith ibn Miskīn said, ‘All of Mālik’s adherents take a different position to that of Mālik in the question of Dhu-l-Yadayn with the sole exception of Ibn al-Qāsim who took Mālik’s position.

Others rejected that, saying that that took place at the beginning of Islam. Now that people know their prayer, whoever speaks in it must repeat it. That is the position of the Iraqis: Abū Ḥanīfah and his people and ath-Thawrī. They believe that speaking in it makes it unsound in every instance, whether that is deliberate or out of forgetfulness and whether it is about the prayer or something else. That is the view of Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘ī, ‘Aṭā’, al-Ḥasan, Ḥammād ibn Abī Sulaymān and Qatādah. The people of Abū Ḥanīfah claimed that this hadith from Abū Hurayrah about Dhu-l-Yadayn is abrogated by the hadith of Ibn Mas‘ūd and Zayd ibn Arqam. They said that Abū Hurayrah became a Muslim later and he has the hadith of Dhu-l-Yadayn mursal as he has another mursal hadith: ‘If Fajr catches someone in janābah, he has no fast.’ They said that he has many mursal hadiths.

‘Alī ibn Ziyād mentioned that Abū Qurrah heard Mālik say, ‘When a man speaks in the prayer, it is recommended for him to repeat it and not build on what he has already done.’ He said, ‘Mālik said to us, “One day the Messenger of Allah spoke to his Companions because they thought that the prayer had been shortened. No one is permitted to do that today.”’ Sahnūn related from Ibn al-Qāsim about a man who prayed alone and thought that he had finished four rak‘ahs and a man at his side said, ‘You have only prayed three.’ The man turned to another and asked, ‘Is what he said true?’ ‘Yes,’ was the answer. He said that his prayer was spoiled and that he
should not have spoken nor looked at him. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Regarding this question, they differentiated between an imam with a group and someone praying alone. They permitted speech about the prayer for the imam and those with him, but not for someone praying alone. Other people took Ibn al-Qāsim’s answer to refer to the person praying alone and to the imam and those with him, based on the disagreement about what he said about using the ḥadīth of Dhu-l-Yadayn as the position of Mālik on that differed.’

Ash-Shāfi’ī and his people said that whoever speaks deliberately, knowing that he is praying, should not complete the prayer and he renders the prayer invalid. If he speaks out of forgetfulness, or speaks thinking that he is not in the prayer because he has finished it, he builds on what he has already done.

The position of Aḥmad varies regarding this matter. Al-Athram mentioned that he said, ‘What a person says in his prayer to put the prayer right does not invalidate his prayer. If he speaks for any other reason, it is invalidated.’ This is the well-known view of Mālik. Al-Khiraqī mentioned that Aḥmad’s view is that if someone speaks deliberately or out of forgetfulness, his prayer is invalid, with the exception of the imam who can speak to put the prayer right in which case his prayer is not invalidated.

Among Mālik’s adherents, with the exception of Saḥnūn, if someone says the salām after two rak‘ahs in a four ra’kah prayer, his words do not invalidate the prayer. If he says something else, then the prayer is invalidated. What is, in fact, sound is what Mālik believed in his well-known position, holding to the ḥadīth and taking the basic universal principles about violation of rulings and the generality of the Sharī‘ah and removing what is imagined of its being specific when there is no proof of that being the case. If someone were to say that the words spoken are about the prayer and forgetfulness, the Prophet ﷺ told them, ‘Saying “Subḥanallāh” is for men and clapping for women.’ So why did not they not say
'Subhanallāh'? The answer is that it is possible that at that time it was not their custom, and if it is as you have said, they did not say ‘Subhanallāh’ because they thought that the prayer had been shortened. That comes in the ḥadīth: ‘People went out quickly, saying, “Has the prayer been shortened.”’ That required speech. Allah knows best.

Some of those who disagree said that the words of Abū Hurayrah, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ led us in the prayer’ can mean that he led the Muslims while he [Abū Hurayrah] was not one of them, as is seen in what is related from an-Nazzāl ibn Sabrah who said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said to us, “We and you used to be called the Banū ‘Abd Manāf. Today you are the Banū ‘Abdullāh and we are the Banū ‘Abdullāh.”’ By that he meant that he said to his people. This view is unlikely. It is not permitted to say, ‘He led us in the prayer’ when at that time he was an unbeliever and not one of the people of the prayer, and so that is a lie. In the ḥadīth of an-Nazzāl, the speaker was one of the people and heard what he heard from the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. As for what the Ḥanafīs claim of it being abrogated and being mursal, our scholars and others, especially Ḥāfiz Abū ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-Barr in at-Tamhīd, answered their position and refuted it. He mentioned that Abū Hurayrah became Muslim in the year of Khaybar and came to Madīnah that year. He was the Companion of the Prophet ﷺ for four years and was present for the incident of Dhu-l-Yadayn. That was not before Badr as they claim and Dhu-l-Yadayn was killed at Badr. He said that Abū Hurayrah being present on the day of the incident with Dhu-l-Yadayn is preserved by trustworthy transmitters.

Qunūt can mean ‘standing’. It is one of its possible meanings as mentioned by Abū Bakr ibn al-Anbārī. The community agree that standing in the obligatory prayer is mandatory for every healthy person who is able to stand, whether praying alone or as imam. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The imam is appointed to be followed. When he
prays standing, pray standing.’ The imams transmitted the ḥadīth. It clarifies the āyah.

They disagree about whether a healthy person should pray sitting down when he prays behind a sick imam who cannot stand. A group of scholars allow that, indeed the majority of them, based on the words of the Prophet ﷺ about the imam: ‘When he prays sitting, then all pray sitting.’ This is the sound position regarding this matter as we will soon explain, Allah willing. One group of scholars allow someone to pray standing behind a sick imam because each is performing his obligation according to his ability in imitation of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ who prayed sitting in his final illness while Abū Bakr was standing beside him, following his prayer and the people were standing behind him. He did not indicate to them or Abū Bakr to sit. He completed the prayer with them sitting while they were standing. It is known that that was after he fell from his horse and it is known that the later action abrogates the earlier one

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Among those who took this position and argued for it were ash-Shāfi‘ī and Dāwud ibn ‘Alī. It is transmitted by al-Walīd ibn Muslim from Mālik. He said, ‘I prefer someone to stand beside him to inform the people in the prayer.’ This is an unusual (gharīb) transmission from Mālik. One group of the people of Madīnah and others said that, and it is sound, Allah willing, because it was the last prayer that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ prayed. What is well-known from Mālik is that someone sitting does not lead people standing in the prayer. If he leads them sitting, then both his prayer and their prayer is invalid because the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘After me, no one should lead the prayer sitting down.’ He said that if the imam is ill, the prayer of the imam is complete and the prayer of those following him is spoiled. He said, ‘If someone prays sitting down and is not ill, he must repeat the prayer.’ This is the transmission of Abū Muṣ‘ab from Mālik in the Mukhtasar. So someone who prays sitting must repeat the prayer whether that is
within the time or after it. It is related from Mālik that they only have to repeat it if it is within the time. Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan has a similar position in this to the well-known position of Mālik. He argued for his position and school by quoting the hadīth that Abū Muṣ‘ab mentioned.

Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted from Jābir that ash-Sha'bī said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘After me, no one should lead the prayer sitting down.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī said, ‘Only Jābir al-Ju‘fī related it from ash-Sha‘bī, and his hadīths are abandoned. It is mursal and not used as evidence.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Jābir al-Ju‘fī does not have anything authoritative related from him with an isnād, so how much more is that the case with what is related as mursal?’

Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan said, ‘When a sick imam leads the prayer sitting for both those who are healthy and other ill people who are sitting, his prayer and the prayer of those behind him who cannot stand is valid and permitted, but the prayer of those behind him who can stand is invalid.’ Abū Ḥanīfah and Abū Yūsuf said that both his prayer and their prayer is allowed. They said, ‘If he prays and indicates to people who are bowing and prostrating, it does not satisfy them all in their view and the prayer of the imam is allowed.’ Zufar said, ‘Their prayer is allowed because they prayed their obligatory prayer and their imam prayed his obligatory prayer as ash-Shāfi‘ī said.

As for what Abū ‘Umar and other scholars before and after him said about that being the last prayer that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ prayed, I have seen others who collected the paths of transmission of hadīths about this and discussed it speaking about the disagreement of the fuqahā’ regarding that. We will mention a brief summary of it so that it is clear to you what is correct, Allah willing, regarding the soundness of those who say that the prayer of someone healthy behind a sick imam is allowed. Abū Ḥātim Muḥammad ibn Ḥabbān al-Bustī mentioned in his sound Musnad from Ibn ‘Umar that while
the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was in a group of his Companions, he said, ‘Do you not know that I am the Messenger of Allah to you?’ ‘Yes,’ they replied, ‘we bear witness that you are the Messenger of Allah!’ He continued, ‘Do you not know that whoever obeys me has obeyed Allah, and part of obeying Allah is obeying me?’ They answered, ‘Yes, we bear witness that whoever obeys you has obeyed Allah and part of obeying Allah is obeying you.’ He said, ‘Part of obeying Allah is to obey me, and part of obeying me is to obey your commanders. If they pray sitting, then pray sitting.’ ‘Uqbah ibn Abī ḫṣ-Ṣahbā’ is in the path of transmission, and he is trustworthy as Yaḥyā ibn Ma‘īn said.

Abū Ḥātim said that this report is clear evidence that following the imam praying sitting when their imam prays sitting is part of obeying Allah Almighty which Allah has commanded His servants to do. I consider it to be a sort of consensus. They agree that it is permitted because four of the Companions of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ gave a fatwā to that effect: Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh, Abū Hurayrah, Usayd ibn Ḥuḍayr and Qays ibn Qahd. They did not relate, from any of the Companions who witnessed the descent of revelation and sought refuge from alteration and change, anything different to what those four said, whether with a connected or broken isnād. Therefore it is as if the Companions agreed that when the imam prays sitting down, those who follow him must also pray sitting down. That is what was stated by Jābir ibn Zayd, al-Awzā‘ī, Mālik ibn Anas, Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, Ishāq ibn Ibrāhīm, Abū Ayyūb Sulaymān ibn Dāwud al-Hāshimī, Abū Khaythamah, Ibn Abī Shaybah, Muḥammad ibn Ismā‘īl and those of the people of ḥadīth who followed them like Muḥammad ibn Naṣr and Muḥammad ibn Ishāq ibn Khuzaymah. This is the Sunnah that was related from the Prophet ﷺ from Anas ibn Mālik, ‘Ā’ishah, Abū Hurayrah, Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh, ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, and Abū Umāmah al-Bāhilī.
The first in this community to invalidate the prayer of someone following an imam sitting when his imam is sitting was al-Mughīrah ibn Miqsam, the companion of an-Nakha’ī. Ḥammād ibn Abī Sulaymān took it from him, and then Abū Ḥanīfah took it from Ḥammād, and his people after him followed him. The report with the best isnād which they use as evidence is what is related by Jābir al-Ju‘fī from ash-Sha‘bī who said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘After me, no one should lead the prayer sitting.’ If its isnād is sound, it is mursal. Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘Among those I have met, I have not seen anyone better than ‘Aṭā’, nor among those I met any more mendacious than Jābir al-Ju‘fī. I have not gone to him with anything without him bringing me a ḥadīth about it and claiming that he had so many thousands of ḥadīths from the Messenger of Allah ﷺ that he had not uttered.’ So Abū Ḥanīfah discredited Jābir al-Ju‘fī and thought him a liar, differing from the position of those of his companions who adopt his position.

Abū Ḥātim said, ‘Many reports, both summary and abridged, have come about the prayer of the Prophet ﷺ while he was ill. Some of them have clear details. According to some of them: “The Prophet ﷺ came and sat beside Abū Bakr. Abū Bakr followed the Prophet ﷺ and the people followed Abū Bakr.” One of them has: “He sat to the left of Abū Bakr.” This is an explanation.’ So it says that the Prophet ﷺ prayed sitting with the people while Abū Bakr prayed standing. Abū Ḥātim said, ‘In short regarding this report, ‘Ā’ishah related about this prayer up to this point, and Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh has the rest of the story. He said that the Prophet ﷺ commanded them to sit in that prayer as he commanded them to do when he had fallen from his horse. Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan ibn Qutaybah related from Yazīd ibn Mawhab from al-Layth ibn Sa‘d from Abu-z-Zubayr that Jābir said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ was ill and we prayed behind him while he was sitting and Abū Bakr let the people hear his takbīr.’ He said, ‘He turned to us and saw us standing and
indicated for us to sit. So we followed his prayer sitting. When he said that salām, he said, “You almost did what Persia and Rome did. They stood for their kings while they were seated. Do not do it. Follow your imam. If he prays standing, pray standing. If he prays sitting, then pray sitting.”

Abū Ḥātim said, ‘This report provides evident clarification that the Prophet ﷺ sat to the left of Abū Bakr and Abū Bakr moved to follow his prayer and said the takbīr so the people could hear it and follow his prayer. Then the Prophet ﷺ commanded them to sit when he saw that they were standing. When he finished the prayer, he commanded them to sit when their imam prayed sitting. Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh saw his prayer when he had fallen from his horse and his right side was scratched. He ﷺ fell in Dhu-l-Ḥijjah 5 AH. He witnessed this prayer when he was ill on another occasion. He gives each report. Do you not see that he mentions about this prayer: “Abū Bakr raised his voice with the takbīr so that people could follow him”? When he fell from his horse, the prayer that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ prayed was in his house and there was no need to raise his voice with the takbīr so that people could hear it because ‘Ā’ishah’s room was small. He raised his voice in the Great Mosque where the Messenger of Allah ﷺ prayed when he was ill. Since what we described is not sound, we cannot make some reports abrogate others. This is the prayer to which he ﷺ went supported by two men, and he was the imam in it and prayed sitting and commanded them to sit. As for the prayer that he prayed at the end of his life, he went out to it between Barīrah and Thawbah. He followed the imam in it and prayed sitting behind Abū Bakr while wrapped in a garment.’

Anas ibn Mālik related: ‘The last prayer that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ prayed with the people, he prayed wrapped in a single garment, sitting behind Abū Bakr.’ So the Prophet ﷺ prayed two prayers in the mosque in the group, not one prayer. We find in the
report of ‘Ubaydullāh ibn ‘Abdullāh from ‘Ā’ishah that the Prophet ﷺ came out between two men, one of whom was Ibn ‘Abbās and the other ‘Alī. Masrūq reported from ‘Ā’ishah: ‘The Prophet ﷺ felt better and so he came out between Barīrah and Thawbah. I could see his sandals hitting the pebbles and saw the bottom of his feet.’ This indicates that there were two prayers, not one prayer.

Abū Ḥātim reported from Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq ibn Khuzaymah from Muḥammad ibn Bashshār from Badal ibn al-Muḥabbar from Shu‘bah from Mūsā ibn Abī ‘Ā’ishah from ‘Ubaydullāh ibn ‘Abdullāh from ‘Ā’ishah that Abū Bakr led the people in the prayer while the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was in the row behind him. Abū Ḥātim said, ‘Shu‘bah ibn al-Ḥajjāj differed from Zā‘idah ibn Qudāmah about the text of this report from Mūsā ibn Abī ‘Ā’ishah. Shu‘bah had the Prophet ﷺ following in the prayer sitting while the people were standing. Zā‘idah made the Prophet ﷺ the imam when he prayed sitting while the people were standing. They are both trusted custodians. How can one make one of these two apparently contradictory transmissions abrogate the previous accounts? If someone makes one of the two reports abrogate the earlier position of the Prophet ﷺ and leaves the other without evidence that confirms its validity that allows his opponents to take what other of the two reports and leave the one he took.’

Similar to this in the sunan is the report of Ibn ‘Abbās about the Prophet ﷺ marrying Maymūnah while he was in iḥrām and the report of Abū Rāfī‘ that states that he married her while they were both out of iḥrām. So the two reports are apparently contradictory about the same action while we do not think that there is any real contradiction between them. One group of hadīth scholars consider the two reports about the marriage of Maymūnah contradictory and believe in the report of ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān from the Prophet ﷺ: ‘No one in iḥrām should marry or give someone in marriage.’ They take it since it is agrees with one of the reports related about the marriage
of Maymûnah and abandon the report of Ibn ‘Abbas who said that
the Prophet ﷺ married her while he was in ḭârîm. Someone who
does that must say that the two reports about the prayer of the
Prophet ﷺ when he was ill are contradictory according to what we
mentioned, and so one must take the report containing the
command for the followers to pray sitting when the imam prays
sitting. That view is taken, and it agrees with one of the two
transmissions about the Prophet’s prayer ﷺ when he was ill. He
should abandon the other report as is done in the case of
Maymûnah’s marriage. Abû Ḥâtim said, ‘Some Iraqis who follow
the Iraqi school say that his words, “When the imam prays sitting,
then pray sitting,” mean when he says that tâshâhhuḍ sitting, all do
the tâshâhhuḍ sitting. So they twisted the report from the general
meaning in the report without any evidence to support that
interpretation.’

239 If you are afraid, then do the prayer on foot or
mounted. But when you are safe, remember Allah in the
way He taught you when previously you did not know.

If you are afraid, then do the prayer on foot or mounted.

‘Rîjâl’ means ‘on foot’. It is the plural of râjîl or rajul. It describes a
person walking on his feet. Allah commanded people to stand in the
prayer with gravity and stillness and with the limbs at rest, and this
applies when there is security and peace of mind. Then Allah
mentions the occasional state of fear and makes it clear that this act
of worship is not cancelled for His slaves in any circumstances, but in
certain situations people are allowed to do the prayer while walking
or on the backs of horses, camels and the like, and are permitted to
pray with nods and gestures in any direction. This is the position of
the scholars. This is the prayer performed by someone praying alone
when he is constricted by fear for himself during fighting, or fear of a wild animal that is after him, or of an enemy who is pursing him or of a flood that is overflowing. In general, in every matter in which he fears for his life, he is permitted to do what is mentioned in this āyah. There is a consensus of the scholars on this dispensation when someone is acting and moving in a manner by which he thinks that he will save his life.

They disagree about exactly what constitutes the fear in which it is permitted to pray like this. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that it applies to the situation when the Muslims are actually in sight of the enemy and are not in a fortified position protecting them from being hit by arrows, or when the enemy is so close that they can strike at any time, or when someone whose information is trusted comes and tells them that the enemy is close and making for them. Otherwise it is not permitted to pray the fear prayer. If people pray it based on a report and then the enemy leaves, they do not have to repeat the prayer. Some say that they should, which is the position of Abū Ḥanīfah. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘The situation in which it permitted for someone in fear to pray on foot or mounted, facing the qiblah or not facing the qiblah, is in one of intense fear. The circumstances in the reports about it are other than this.’ This refers to the fear prayer with an imam and the division of people (into two groups). Its ruling is not dealt with in this āyah. The fear prayer behind an imam will be dealt with in Sūrat an-Nisā’. Mālik differentiated between fear of the enemy and fear of wild beasts and the like which might attack and bring about death. When it is on account of other than the enemy, it is recommended to repeat the prayer within the time if one reaches safety. Most scholars view fear as having the same ruling in either instance.

Abū Ḥanīfah said that fighting invalidates the prayer but the ḥadīth of Ibn ‘Umar refutes that and the evidence of the āyah reinforces Ibn ‘Umar’s position. The literal meaning of the āyah is the strongest
proof of it as will be dealt with Sūrat an-‘Nīsā’. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that since Allah made a dispensation permitting the omission of some of the preconditions of the prayer, that indicates that fighting does not invalidate the prayer. Allah knows best.

The number of rak‘ahs is not reduced in the fear prayer to below that of the travel prayer according to Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī and a group of scholars. Al-Ḥasan ibn Abī ‘l-Ḥasan, Qatādah and others said that the rak‘ah is prayed by indication. Muslim related from Bukayr ibn al-Akhnas from Mujāhid that Ibn ʿAbbās said, ‘Allah prescribed the prayer on the tongue of the Messenger of Allah as four rak‘ahs when resident, two when travelling and one in fear.’ Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr said that only Bukayr ibn al-Akhnas has this. It is more fitting to safeguard the prayer. When someone prays two rak‘ahs when travelling and in a state of fear, he leaves disagreement in favour of certainty. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk ibn Muzāhim said, ‘Someone in fear of death in hand-to-hand fighting and elsewhere prays one rak‘ah. If he is unable to do that, then he says two takbīrs.’ Ishāq ibn Rāhawayh said, ‘If he is only able to say one takbīr, that is enough.’ Ibn al-Mundhir mentioned.

**But when you are safe, remember Allah in the way He taught you**

This means return to the full pillars of the prayer you are commanded to do normally. Mujāhid said that it means when you are resident, and at-Ṭabarī also reported this position. One group say that it means when the fear you were subject to, which made you resort to the fear prayer, is removed.

Scholars disagree about whether the person in fear builds on the prayer to complete it if the situation becomes safe. Mālik said that if someone prays one rak‘ah in a safe situation and then fear occurs, he should mount and complete the prayer while mounted. That is the same if he prays one rak‘ah in fear and then becomes safe: he should dismount and complete the prayer. This is also one of the positions of ash-Shāfi‘ī and is what al-Muzanī said. Abū Ḥanīfah says that if
someone begins the prayer safe and then fears, he starts anew and does not complete what he has done. If he prays in fear and then becomes safe, he completes it. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that the one who dismounts completes it but the one mounted does not. Abū Yūsuf said that he does not complete it in any of those situations.

The words ‘remember Allah’ here mean to thank Him for the blessing of teaching you the form of the fear prayer, which satisfies the obligation of prayer imposed on us, so you do not miss any of the prayers. This is something that you did not know. The kāf in ‘kamā’ implies thankfulness.

Our scholars say that the basis of the prayer is supplication and a state of fear is one in which supplication is most appropriate which is why the prayer is not cancelled by fear. If the prayer were to be cancelled by fear, it would be more likely to be cancelled by other things, such as illness or the like. Allah commanded us to safeguard the prayers in every situation: health or illness, at home or on a journey, in strength or weakness, fear or security. The obligation of prayer is never removed from the responsible person. The ruling of someone ill will come in Āl ‘Imrān. It means perform the prayer as best you can. It is not cancelled in any state so that if you can only do it by indication by the eyes, then you must still do it. This is why the prayer differs from other acts of worship, all of which can be cancelled by excuses. Ibn al-‘Arabī says, ‘Our scholars say that this is an immense matter and the one who abandons the prayer is killed because it resembles faith in that the obligation to do it is never removed.’ They said that the prayer is one of the pillars of Islam and nothing can replace it nor can it be delegated. Someone who abandons it is killed. This will be discussed in at-Taawbah.
240 Those of you who die leaving wives behind should make a bequest to their wives of maintenance for a year without them having to leave their homes. But if they do leave you are not to blame for anything they do with themselves with correctness and courtesy. Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.

Those of you who die leaving wives behind should make a bequest to their wives of maintenance for a year without them having to leave their homes.

A group of commentators have said that this means that a widow should stay in the house of her husband for a year and that she is entitled to maintenance from his estate as long as she does not leave it. If she leaves, the heirs can stop the maintenance. The waiting period of four months and ten days and the maintenance were abrogated by the shares of inheritance in Sūrat an-Nisā’. Ibn ‘Abbās, Qatādah, aḍ-Ḍahḥāk, Ibn Zayd and ar-Rabī’ followed this opinion. Scholars disagree about lodging. Al-Bukhārī related that Ibn az-Zubayr said, ‘I said to ‘Uthmān about this āyah in al-Baqarah: “Those of you who die leaving wives behind…” which was abrogated by the other āyah, “Why do you write it down?” He answered, “Nephew, I will not change any of it from its place.”’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī said that Mujāhid said, ‘This āyah is one of judgment and is not abrogated. The ‘iddah was confirmed as four months and ten days but then Allah gives widows a further bequest of lodging for seven months and twenty days during which they may continue to live in their husband’s house if they wish or leave if they wish. That is from the words of Allah: “…without them having to leave their homes. But if they do leave, you are not to blame.”’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah says that all this is removed by abrogation, which is agreed upon by everyone except for what aṭ-Ṭabarī said that Mujāhid said. Qāḍī ‘Iyāḍ said that the consensus is that the ‘year’ is abrogated and her waiting period is four months and ten days. Other said that ‘waṣiyyah’ (bequest) is an instruction
from Allah which was mandatory for wives after the death of their husband, allowing them reside in the house for a year, and it was then abrogated.

What at-Ṭabarī mentioned from Mujāhid is sound and confirmed. Al-Bukhārī transmitted from Ishāq from Rawḥ from Shībl from Ibn Abī Najīḥ that Mujāhid said about: ‘Those of you who die leaving wives behind…’; ‘A widow was obliged to spend this waiting period with her husband’s family. Then Allah revealed: “Those of you who die leaving wives behind should make a bequest to their wives…”’ He added, ‘Allah then allotted a full year for her, another seven months and twenty days as a bequest. If she wished, she could stay with his executor, and if she wished, she could leave. That is from the words of the Almighty: “…without them having to leave their homes. But if they do leave, you are not to blame.”’

The first position is more apparent since the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘It [the ‘iddah] is four months and ten days. In the Jāhiliyyah, one of you would throw a piece of dung at the end of a year.’ He was reporting about the state of widows before the Sharīʿah. When Islam came, Allah Almighty commanded them to stay in their houses for a year and then that was abrogated by four months and ten days. In addition to its clarity in the firm Sunnah transmitted by single reports, it is the undisputed consensus of Muslim scholars. Abū ‘Umar said that. The same is true of the rest of the āyah. According to the majority of scholars this āyah is all abrogated. Then the command to provide wives lodging for the year was abrogated except for an aberrant, avoided transmission from Ibn Abī Najīḥ from Mujāhid which is not corroborated. None of the Muslim scholars among the Companions and Tābiʿūn or those after them said that she has more than four months and ten days as far as I know. Ibn Jurayj related the like of what people say from Mujāhid. So the consensus is reached and disagreement removed. Success is by Allah.
‘Bequest’ is recited by Nāfi‘, Ibn Kathīr, al-Kisā‘ī and ‘Āşim in the transmission of Abū Bakr in the nominative. It is possible that it means: ‘they should make a bequest’ and ‘to their wives’ describes it. That is how it is in the reading of ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd. Abū ‘Amr, Ḥamzah and Ibn ‘Āmir recite it in the accusative based on an implied verb: ‘make a bequest’. Someone deceased does not make a bequest. It means when someone is close to death. It is said that it means: ‘Allah commands” and it means to give them maintenance, so Allah has assigned that for them. It is also possible that it is in the accusative for the adverbial ḥāl or because it is a verbal noun. ‘Matā” here is their maintenance for a year.

‘Without them having to leave their homes’ means that the relatives of the deceased and his heirs who inherit the house should not expel the deceased’s widow from it.

**But if they do leave you are not to blame for anything they do with themselves with correctness and courtesy.**

This refers to them leaving voluntarily before the year is up. Then there is nothing held against the guardian, judge or anyone else because the widow does not have to stay in the house for a whole year. It is said that it means that there is nothing wrong in cutting off their maintenance or in them looking for new husbands since that is cut off from them under the supervision of the heirs. They cannot remarry until their waiting period is finished but there is nothing wrong in them remarrying after the end of the waiting period. The words ‘with correctness and courtesy’ here mean in accordance with the Sharī‘ah.

**Allah is Almighty**

It entails a threat to those who oppose the limits in this case and expel the wife when she does not want to leave.

**All-Wise**

Firm in judgement (muḥkim) in what He desires in the affairs of His slaves.
241 Divorced women should receive maintenance given with correctness and courtesy: a duty for all who are godfearing. 242 In this way Allah makes His Signs clear to you, so that hopefully you will use your intellect.

People disagree about this āyah. Abū Thawr says that it is one of the āyahs of judgment, and every divorced woman is owed maintenance. That is what az-Zuhrī said, adding that it even applies to divorced slave-girls. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said that every divorced woman should receive maintenance. That is one of the positions of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Mālik says that every woman divorced once or twice receives it, except in the case of a woman divorced before consummation whose dowry has been allotted; she simply receives half her dowry. If it has not been allotted, then she receives maintenance not exceeding the dower of a woman of her status. There is no definition of the amount of maintenance, as Ibn al-Qāsim said.

Ibn al-Qāsim said in the Mudawwanah about when the curtain has been lowered (privacy between the couple has been established), ‘Allah Almighty has appointed maintenance for every divorced woman on the basis of this āyah. Then in the other āyah He makes an exception for a woman whose dower has been allotted and whose marriage has not been consummated: she does not receive maintenance. Ibn Zayd claimed that it is abrogated.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘Ibn al-Qāsim fled from the word “abrogation” to “exception” and that is not proper in this place. It is indeed pure abrogation as was stated by Zayd ibn Aslam. When Ibn al-Qāsim makes “divorced women” include every divorced woman, he must then say that there is abrogation.’

‘Aṭā’ ibn Abī Rabāḥ and others said that this āyah is about previously married women who have had sex, since in another āyah
it mentions the maintenance of those whose marriage has not been consummated. This position is about a woman who has been allotted a dower before consummation and whose marriage has not been consummated in general. That is on the basis that Allah’s words in 2:247 specifies this category of women. When it is said that this is general and undefined, then that is abrogation and not specification.

Ash-Shāfi‘ī said in another view that there is only a gift for a woman who has been divorced before consummation when there has been no touching or allotment, because someone who is entitled to something of the dower does not need a gift. The words of Allah about the wives of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Come and I will give you all you need’ (33:27), are taken as being voluntary on the part of the Prophet ﷺ, not mandatory, and His words: ‘…there is no ḍīdah for you to calculate for them, so give them a gift’ (33:49), are also taken to be not mandatory. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that when a woman has been allotted a dower, she has no gift if she is divorced before consummation, because she takes half of the dower when there has been no sexual intercourse. In the case of a woman whose marriage has been consummated, she receives a gift when she is divorced because the dower is in compensation for sexual intercourse and the gift on account of the dissolution of the contract. Ash-Shāfi‘ī obliged a gift for a woman after a ḥulūl and buying her freedom. Mālik’s people said, ‘How can a woman who ransoms herself be given a gift when she is the one who spends? How can she then take a gift?’ There is no gift due to a woman who chooses separation, whether by ḥulūl, ransoming herself, buying her freedom, or by mutual agreement, li‘ān or emancipation, when she chooses separation, whether or not it has been consummated and whether a dower has been stipulated or not.
243 What do you think about those who left their homes in thousands in fear of death? Allah said to them, ‘Die!’ and then brought them back to life. Allah shows great favour to mankind, but most people are not grateful.

The literal meaning of the words ‘What do you think...?’ is ‘Do you not see?’ but in this usage it refers to the vision of the heart and means ‘Do you not know?’ Sībuwayh says that the phrase is used to call attention to the story of those people.

The story behind the āyah is that some of the tribe of Israel suffered a plague in a town called Dāwardān and fled from it. They went into a valley and Allah caused them to die there. Ibn ‘Abbās said that there were four thousand of them and they said, ‘We will go to a land where there is no death,’ but Allah made them die anyway. A Prophet passed by them and prayed to Allah and He brought them back to life. It is said that they were dead for seven or eight days, and Allah knows best. Al-Ḥasan said that Allah made them die before their time was up as a punishment for them and then brought them back for the rest of their lifespans. It is said that he did that to them as a miracle for one of the Prophets whose name is said to be Sham‘ūn. An-Naqqāṣh related that they fled from the fever.

It is also related that they fled from fighting or from jihād. When Allah commanded them to fight through Ezekiel and they feared death in jihād, they left their homes and fled from it, so Allah made them die to teach them that nothing could save them from death. Then He brought them back to life and commanded them to fight by His words: ‘Fight in the Way of Allah.’ (2:190) Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah says that all these stories have weak isnāds. The important point about the āyah is that, in it, Allah informs his
Prophet Muḥammad ﷺ about the importance of taking note of the mistakes of the people of the past and to acquaint him with some people who left their homes in flight from death and how Allah made them die and then brought them back to life to show them and all those after them that death is in the hand of Allah and no one else and so there is no sense in having fear of it or the delusion of being able to escape from it. Allah revealed this āyah before commanding the believers of the Community of Muḥammad ﷺ to fight jihād. This is the position of aṭ-Ṭabarī. It is the apparent meaning of the āyah.

‘Ulūf’ (thousands) is the plural of alf. The number referred to by the word ‘thousands’ is said to be 60,000 or 80,000. Ibn ‘Abbās said 40,000. Abū Mālik said 30,000. As-Suddī said 37,000. It was said by ‘Aṭā’ ibn Abī Rabāḥ to be 70,000. Ibn Jurayj related from him that it was 8,000. The sound position is that it was more than 10,000 because of the plural used for ‘thousands’. It is not used for less than 10,000. Ibn Zayd said that the expression means they were united (the verbal root alafa has the meaning of unite), meaning that one group of their people did not leave nor was there any dissension between them, but they were united. This group then differed from them and left in order to flee from death and to seek to live. So Allah made them die in the place where they claimed that they were safe. According to this, ulūf is the plural of ālif.

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Allah caused them to die for a time as a punishment and then brought them back to life. This death was a punishment after which there was life. There is no life after the death which is the end of one’s term.’ Mujāhid said, ‘When they were brought to life, they returned to their people, and they recognised that they had been dead. The blackness of death was on their faces. None of them touched a garment without it becoming a grimy shroud until they finally died at the time written for them.’ Ibn Jurayj said that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The smell remains on that clan
of the tribe of Israel until today. It is related that they were in the middle of Iraq. It is said that they were brought back to life after they were putrid. That smell exists in their descendants until today.

The expression ‘in fear of death’ means in order to avoid death. It is said that two angels shouted the word ‘Die!’ at them, meaning that Allah said this by means of the angels, and Allah knows best.

The soundest of these positions, and best known, is that they were fleeing from the plague, as Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr related from Ibn ‘Abbās who said, ‘They left, fleeing the plague, and died. Then one of the Prophets asked Allah to bring them back to life so that they could worship Allah, and Allah brought them back to life.’ ‘Amr ibn Dīnār said about this āyah: ‘The plague broke out in their town and some people left and some remained. More left than remained. Those who left were saved and those who remained died. When it happened again, they all went out except for a few and Allah caused them and their animals to die. Then He brought them to life and they returned to their town and reproduced.’ Al-Hasan said that they left to avoid the plague and Allah made them all die at the same moment, including their animals. They numbered 40,000.’

Rulings derive from this āyah. The imams related from ‘Āmir ibn Sa‘d ibn Abī Waqqāṣ who heard Usāmah ibn Zayd relate from Sa‘d that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The plague was a punishment with which Allah punished some nations and some of it remained, and it comes and goes. Anyone who hears that it has broken out in a land should not go to it, and if someone is in a land where it has broken out, he should not leave it, fleeing it.’ Abū ‘Īsā at-Tirmidhī transmitted from Qutaybah from Ḥammād ibn Zayd from ‘Amr ibn Dīnār from ‘Āmir ibn Sa‘d from Usāmah ibn Zayd that the Prophet ﷺ mentioned the plague and said, ‘It is something that remains of a plague or punishment which Allah sent on a group of the tribe of Israel. When it breaks out in a land where you are, do not leave it. When it breaks out in a land where you are not, do not go to it.’ He
said that it is a sound ḥasan hadīth.

‘Umar and the Companions acted according to these hadīths when he returned from Saragh when ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn ‘Awf informed them of the ḥadīth, as is well known in the Muwaṭṭā’ and elsewhere. People dislike fleeing from the plague and a land with disease. It is related that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘Fleeing from the plague is like fleeing from battle.’ There is the story of ‘Umar who was on his way to Syria with Abū ‘Ubaydah and returned. At-Ṭabarī said, ‘The ḥadīth of Sa’d contains evidence that a person should guard against disliked things before they occur and avoid feared things before they attack and that one must have steadfastness and lack of terror after such things occur. That is because the Prophet ﷺ forbade someone who is not in a land where the plague is to enter the land where it is raging and he forbade someone who is in the land where the plague has broken out from fleeing from it. That is what is mandatory with respect to the general ruling of everyone who fears fearful matters. In that he follows what one follows in the case of the plague. This idea is like the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘Do not wish to meet the enemy. Ask Allah for well-being. If you meet the enemy, be steadfast.’

This is what is sound in respect of this matter and it is what is demanded by what the Messenger ﷺ said and by the action of his noble righteous Companions. When Abū ‘Ubaydah argued with ‘Umar and asked, ‘Are you fleeing from Allah’s decree?’ ‘Umar answered, ‘Would that someone other than you said that, Abū ‘Ubaydah! Yes, we are fleeing from Allah’s decree to Allah’s decree.’ It means that the human being cannot escape what Allah has decreed for and against him. However, Allah has commanded us to be cautious about perilous matters and put energy into guarding ourselves against disliked things. Then ‘Umar said to him, ‘If you had camels and descended into a valley where one side was lush and the other barren, would you not graze on the lush side? Doing so is
based on Allah’s decree. If you were to graze them on the barren side, that is also by the decree of Allah.’ ‘Umar returned to Madīnah from that place.

Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘We do not know of any disagreement about the fact that when unbelievers or highwaymen make for a weak land whose people will not be able to resist those who are coming, they can remove themselves from them. Life-spans are decreed and can be neither lengthened nor shortened. It is said that it is forbidden to flee from the plague because the one who is in the place where it breaks out might have already taken his portion of it, since he shared with the people of that place in the cause of that prevalent illness. So there is no point in him fleeing. So he adds the hardship of travel to the first signs of the plague. Then his pains are doubled and harm increased. They will die on every road and be cast into every ravine and mountain pass. Therefore it is said that no one flees from the plague and is safe.’ Ibn al-Madā’īnī related that.

There is enough warning about that in Allah’s words in this āyah. If he flees and is safe, he might say, ‘I was saved because I left it,’ and his belief will thereby be deficient. Generally speaking, fleeing from the plague is forbidden based on what we mentioned and also because it entails emptying the land when there are oppressed people in it for whom it would be difficult to leave. They will be harmed if the wealthy, who are the pillars of the land and support the oppressed, evacuate it. When the plague has broken out in a land, no one should go it, being cautious about harmful situations and averting ideas which disturb. There may be destruction in entering that place and it is not permitted in the judgment of Allah expose oneself to it. It is mandatory to protect oneself from what is disliked. It is feared that what someone believes will be false so that he might say, ‘If I had not entered this place, that disliked matter would not have happened to me.’ This is the point of the prohibition of entering a land where the plague has broken out or leaving it.
Allah knows best.

Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘The plague is a trial for those who remain and those who flee. Someone who flees may say, “I was saved because I fled.” Someone who stays may say, “I stayed and so I died.”’ Mālik indicated something similar when he was asked about disliking to look at a leper. He said, ‘I have not heard that there is any dislike of doing that. I can only think that what has come about that being forbidden is out of fear of being alarmed by him or the fear that it will cause wrong thinking in a person. The Prophet ﷺ said about the plague, “When you hear that the plague has broken out in a land, do not go to it. If it breaks out where you are, do not leave it to flee from it.”’ He was also asked about a land in which there was death and illnesses and whether it was disliked to leave it. He answered, ‘I see no harm in leaving or staying.’

The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When the plague breaks out in a land where you are, do not leave it, fleeing from it.’ This indicates that it is permitted to leave a plague land if one is not fleeing from it since he is certain that what befalls him could not have missed him. That is also the ruling of someone who enters it when he is certain that entering it will not bring him anything unless Allah has decreed it for him. Then a person is permitted to enter or leave it within the parameters that we mentioned. Allah knows best.

The word for plague is ‘ṭā’ūn’. It is generally used for death from pestilence. It is related from ‘Ā’ishah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The annihilation of my community comes about through defamation (ṭa‘n) and plague (ṭā‘ūn).’ She said, ‘We know what ṭa‘n is. What is ṭā‘ūn?’ He said, ‘It is a bubo like the ganglion of a camel in the groin and armpits.’ Our scholars say that this pestilence was sent by Allah as revenge and a punishment to whomever He wishes of His disobedient and unbelieving servants. He sent it as martyrdom and mercy to the righteous. Mu‘ādh said about the ‘Amwas Plague, ‘It is martyrdom and mercy for them and the supplication of your
Prophet: “O Allah, give Mu‘ādh and his family a portion of Your mercy.” It appeared on his hand.’ Abū Qilābah said, ‘I knew what martyrdom and mercy are, but I did not know what the supplication of your Prophet meant. I asked and was told that he asked for the obliteration of his community to be by stabbing and the plague.’

Jābir and others reported that the Prophet said about the plague, ‘The one who flees from it is like someone who flees from battle. Whoever is patient is like someone who is steadfast in battle.’ We find in al-Bukhārī from Yahyā ibn Ya‘mar that ‘Ā’ishah informed him that she asked the Messenger of Allah about the plague, the Prophet of Allah, told her, ‘It is a punishment which Allah sent to those before you. He made it a mercy for the believers. There is no one who comes into contact with the plague and then remains where he is with fortitude and in expectation of the reward, knowing that only what Allah has written for him will befall him, who will receive any other reward than that of a martyr.’ This explains the words of the Prophet: ‘The plague is martyrdom and the one who dies of it is a martyr.’ It means someone who is steadfast in it in expectation of a reward from Allah, knowing that what afflicts him was only what Allah decreed for him. That is why Mu‘ādh hoped to die in it since he knew that whoever dies in it is a martyr. The one who fears and dislikes the plague and flees from it is not included in the meaning of the ḥadīth.

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘I have not heard that any people of knowledge fled from the plague except for what Ibn al-Madā‘inī mentioned about ‘Alī ibn Zayd ibn Jud‘ān fleeing from the plague to Sayala. He used to go to every Jumu‘ah and returned. When he went to Jumu‘ah, they shouted to him, ‘Flee from the plague!’ He died at Sayala.’ He said, ‘Amr ibn ‘Ubayd and Rabāṭ ibn Muḥammad fled to ar-Rabāţiyyah. Ibrāhīm ibn ‘Alī al-Fuqaymī said about that:

When death startled every denier,
I was steadfast, but Rabāṭ and ‘Amr were not.
Abū Ḥātim mentioned that al-Aṣmāʾī said, ‘One of the Basrans fled from the plague and mounted a donkey of his and travelled with his family in the direction of Safawān. He heard someone chanting behind him:

He will not outrun Allah on a donkey or on an unbeaten fast horse. Death comes as decreed. In the morning it is in front of the traveller.

Al-Madāʾinī said, ‘The plague broke out in Egypt while ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz ibn Marwān was governor. He fled from it and stopped in one of the towns of Upper Egypt called Sukar. When he had stopped there, the messenger of ʿAbd al-Malik ibn Marwān reached him. ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz asked, ‘What is your name?’ He answered, ‘Ṭālib ibn Mudrik,’ which means ‘Seeker, son of the one who reaches.’ He exclaimed, ‘Oh! I do not think that I am returning to Fusṭāṭ!’ He died in that town.’

244 Fight in the Way of Allah. Know that Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

This is addressed to the Community of Muhammad ordering them to fight in the Way of Allah. The purpose of this fighting is to make the word of Allah uppermost. The ways of Allah are many and varied, and the āyah applies to all of them. Allah says: ‘Say: “This is My way.”’ (12:108) Mālik said, ‘The ways of Allah are many and there is not one of them which should not be fought on, in or for. The greatest of them is the dīn of Islam. There is no disagreement about this.’

It also is said that the people addressed are those of the tribe of Israel who were brought to life. That is related from Ibn ʿAbbās and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, who say that the wa (and) which comes at the beginning of the āyah connects it to the previous matter and if this is the case
then something is elided and what is intended is: ‘He said to them, “Fight...”’

An-Naḥḥās said that the āyah is a command to the believers not to flee in the face of fighting. It means, ‘Know that Allah hears your words if you say the like of what those people said and He knows your intention.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī said that those who say that it is those who were brought to life who were commanded to fight have no basis for what they say. Allah knows best.

245 Is there anyone who will make Allah a generous loan so that He can multiply it for him many times over? Allah both restricts and expands. And you will be returned to Him.

Is there anyone who will make Allah a generous loan

Allah commanded jihād and fighting for the truth and there is nothing in the Sharī'ah which it is not permitted to fight for, the greatest object being the dīn of Islam as Mālik said. Then Allah encouraged people to spend to that end and included this in this passage about fighting in the Way of Allah. So a person spends hoping for the reward for fighting, as ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān did in the case of the Army of Hardship.

When this āyah was revealed, Abu-d-Daḥdāḥ set out to give all his wealth as ṣadaqah, seeking the reward of his Lord. The Shaykh, faqīh and imam, Qāḍī Abū ‘Āmir Yaḥyā ibn ‘Āmir ibn Ahmad ibn Manī‘ al-Ash‘arī (by lineage and school) reported to us in Cordoba in Rabī‘ al-ʿĀkhir 628 AH, when I read to him from Abū Ijāzah by reading from Abū Bakr ‘Abd al-ʿAzīz ibn Khalaf ibn Madyan al-Azdī from Abū ‘Abdullāh ibn Sa’dūn from Abu-l-Ḥasan ‘Alī ibn Mahrān from Abu-l-Ḥasan Muḥammad ibn ‘Abdullāh ibn Zakariyyā ibn Ḥaywah an-Naysābūrī in 366 AH from his uncle, Abū Zakariyyā Yaḥyā ibn
Zakariyyā from Muhammad ibn Muʿāwiyah ibn Ṣāliḥ from Khalaf ibn Khalīfah from Ḥumayd al-Aʿraj from ‘Abdullāh ibn al-Ḥārith that ‘Abdullāh ibn Masʿūd said, ‘When: “Is there anyone who will make Allah a generous loan,” was revealed, Abu-d-Daḥdāḥ said, “Messenger of Allah, does Allah desire a loan from us?” He replied, “Yes, Abu-d-Daḥdāḥ.” Abu-d-Daḥdāḥ said, “Give me your hand.” He gave it to him and he said, “I have lent Allah a garden with six hundred palm trees in it.” Then he walked to the garden where Umm ad-Daḥdāḥ was with his family. He called her. “Umm ad-Daḥdāḥ!” “At your service!” she replied. He said, “Come out. I have lent my Lord a garden with six hundred palm trees in it.”

Zayd ibn Aslam said, ‘When: “Is there anyone who will make Allah a generous loan,” was revealed, Abu-d-Daḥdāḥ said, “May my father and mother be your ransom, Messenger of Allah! Does Allah ask us for a loan when He has no need of a loan?” He said, “Yes, He wants to admit you to the Garden by it.” He said, “If I lend my Lord a loan will He guarantee the Garden for me and my daughter, Daḥdāḥah?” “Yes,” he replied. He said, “Give me your hand.” The Messenger of Allah ℣ gave him his hand and he said, “I have two gardens, one at as-Sāfilah and one at al-‘Āliyah. By Allah, they are all that I own. I have made them a loan to Allah Almighty!” The Messenger of Allah ℣ said, “Make one of them for Allah, and leave the other as livelihood for you and your dependants.” He said, “I bear witness, Messenger of Allah, that I have made the better of them a loan for Allah Almighty.” It was a garden of six hundred palm-trees. The Prophet ℣ said, “Allah will repay you with the Garden for them.” Abu-d-Daḥdāḥ went to Umm ad-Daḥdāḥ who was with heir children in the garden going around in the palm-trees and said:

My Lord has guided me to the paths of guidance,
to the path of good and correctness.
Leave the garden at al-Widād.
It is a loan until the Meeting. 
I have lent it to Allah, relying voluntarily, 
not seeking a favour or its return, 
Only the hope for many times more in the Hereafter. 
So set out with yourself and your children. 
There is no doubt that piety is the best provision 
which someone sends ahead to the Hereafter.

Umm ad-Daḥdāḥ said, ‘A profitable sale! May Allah bless you in what you purchased!’ Then Umm ad-Daḥdāḥ answered him in verse:

Allah has given you the good news of good and joy. 
A person like you settles what he owes and is faithful. 
Allah has provided for my dependants 
and given black ‘ajwāh dates and bright flowers. 
The slave strives and has what he toiled for 
in the long nights and owes what he procured.

Then Umm ad-Daḥdāḥ told her children to remove what was in their mouths and what was in their sleeves and went to the other garden. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘How many heavy clusters and abundant houses Abu-d-Daḥdāḥ will have!’

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘By the judgment, wisdom, power, will and decree of the Creator, when people heard this āyah, they were separated into categories and divided into three groups. The first group are base. They said, “The Lord of Muḥammad is poor and in need of us and we are rich and independent.” This is unconcealed ignorance. They are refuted by His words: “Allah has heard the words of those who say, ‘Allah is poor and we are rich.”’’ (3:181) The second group preferred avarice and miserliness and desired wealth and did not spend in the way of Allah or ransom captives or help anyone, being too lazy to obey and relying on this world. The third group set out to obey Allah, choosing to respond to what they heard with haste like
Abu-d-Daḥdāḥ and others, and Allah knows best.

The word used for ‘loan’ here is *qard*. It is everything for which there is repayment. *Aqrada* means to advance money to someone. *Qirḍ* is used in another dialect. Az-Zajjāj said that linguistically it means a good or bad test. Al-Kisā’ī said that it is what you advance of good or bad actions. Its root means ‘cutting’. The word *migrād*, meaning scissors, comes from it, because *aqrada* implies cutting out a piece of one’s wealth which will be repaid. Another form of the word is used for when the traces of a people are cut off and they are destroyed. *Qard* here is a noun but Allah could say *iqrāḍ*. The word is used to make it familiar to people in a way that they will understand. Allah is Rich-beyond-need and Praiseworthy, but He likens what the believer gives in this world in exchange for what he hopes for of reward in the Next World to a loan, as He likens the giving of lives and property in order to obtain the Garden to buying and selling, as will be discussed in *Sūrat-Tawbah*, Allah willing.

It is said that the aim of this āyah is to encourage *ṣadaqah* and spending wealth in the Way of Allah to help the poor and needy and to be generous with them, and ‘in the Way of Allah’ also means to support the *Dīn*. Allah alludes to the poor by mentioning Himself, even though He is sublime and beyond need of anything, to encourage *ṣadaqah*, as He alludes to the sick, hungry and thirsty by mentioning Himself in the famous ḥadīth: ‘Son of Ādam, I was ill and You did not visit Me; I asked you for food and you did not feed Me; I asked you for water and you did not give it to Me.’ The reply was, ‘O Lord, how could I give you water when You are the Lord of the worlds?’ He said, ‘My slave asked you for water and you did not give it to him. If you had given it to him, you would have found that with Me.’ This ḥadīth can be found in both al-Bukhārī and Muslim. All of this is to ennoble the person alluded to in order to encourage those who are addressed.

Someone who asks for a loan is duty bound to repay the loan and
Allah has made it clear that those who spend in the Way of Allah certainly do not lose by doing so. Allah absolutely rewards it. In a ḥadīth we read, ‘Spending in the Way of Allah is multiplied seven hundred times or more.’ This will be explained in 2:261. Here Allah says: ‘…so that He can multiply it many times over’. This has no end or limit.

The reward for the loan is so large because it gives expansion to the Muslim and relieves him. Ibn Mājah related in the Sunan from Anas ibn Mālik that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘On the Night Journey I saw written on the gate of the Garden, “Ṣadaqah is rewarded ten times over and a loan eighteen times.” I asked Jibrīl, “Why is a loan better than ṣadaqah?” He replied, “Because a beggar may ask when he has something but the one who asks for a loan only does so out of real need.”’

Muḥammad ibn Khalaf al-ʿAsqalānī related from Yaʿlā from Sulaymān ibn Yusayr from Qays ibn Rūmī that Sulaymān ibn Udhubān lent ʿAlqamah a thousand dirhams to be repaid when he received his stipend. When his stipend arrived, Sulaymān demanded repayment and was hard on ʿAlqamah, so he paid it. It seemed that ʿAlqamah was angry. A month passed and then he went to him again and said, ‘Lend me a thousand dirhams until my stipend comes.’ He answered, ‘Yes, and with honour! Umm ‘Utbah! Bring that sealed bag you have!’ She brought it and he said, ‘By Allah, they are your dirhams that you paid me. Not a single dirham has moved.’ He asked, ‘What has made you do this for me?’ He said, ‘It is because of what I heard from you.’ He asked, ‘And what did you hear from me?’ He replied, ‘I heard you mention from Ibn Masʿūd that the Prophet ﷺ said, “If any Muslim gives a loan to another Muslim twice, it is as if he had given it as ṣadaqah once.”’ He said, ‘That is what Ibn Masʿūd told me.’

A loan in the Sharīʿah is one to one, in other words, a person returns what has been lent him. Scholars agree that it is permitted to
lend dinars, dirhams, wheat, barley, dates and all similar goods. The Muslims agree that stipulating an increase over and above what has been lent is usury, even if it is only a handful of fodder, as Ibn Mas‘ūd said, or a single grain. It is, however, permitted to give more when repaying provided that it was not a precondition because that is courtesy and the *ḥadīth* transmitted by Abū Hurayrah reports, ‘The best of you is the best of you in repayment.’ The imams praised repaying well. That is undefined and not limited by any description. That is how the Prophet repaid the loan of a young camel with a four-year-old camel. This *ḥadīth* contains evidence for the permission to lend animals. That is the school of the majority while Abū Ḥanīfah forbade that as already mentioned.

It is not permitted for someone who takes a loan to give a gift to the one who gave him the loan while it is still outstanding nor is it permitted for the lender to accept it unless that is their normal custom, and the Sunnah has brought this. Ibn Mājah transmitted from Hishām ibn ‘Ammār from Ismā‘īl ibn ʿAyyāsh from ʿUtbah ibn Ḥumayd aḍ-Ḍabbī that Yaḥyā ibn Abī Isḥāq al-Hunā‘ī said, ‘I asked Anas ibn Mālik about one of our men who lent his brother some money. Could he give him a gift?’ He answered, ‘The Messenger of Allah said, “When one of you gives his brother a loan and then he gives him a gift or lets him ride his animal, he should not accept and not ride unless that was the normal custom between them before that.”’

A loan can be property, whose ruling we have explained, or it can be in the form of reputation. We find in a *ḥadīth* from the Prophet: ‘Is one of you unable to be like Abū Ḍamḍam? When he left his house he would say, “O Allah, I have given my reputation as *ṣadaqah* to Your servants.”’ It is related that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘Give a loan of your reputation for day when you are poor.’ He meant: ‘Do not take your due from someone who insults you and do not carry out a *ḥadd*
on him until the Day of Rising when there will be a full reward.’
Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘It is not permitted to give reputation as ṣadaqah
because it is Allah’s right.’ That is related from Mālik. Ibn al-ʿArabī
said, ‘This is false. The Prophet ﷺ said in the Ṣaḥīḥ: “Your lives,
property and honour are unlawful to you…” This demands that
these three matters are treated in the same way: as a human right.’
Al-Wāqidī said that the word ‘generous’ (ḥasan, literally “good”)
means what is reckoned as good by the lender. ʿAmr ibn ʿUthmān aṣ-
Ṣadafī said that it means not entailing obligation or harm. Sahl ibn
ʿAbdullāh said, ‘He does not believe that there is compensation for
the loan.’

so that He can multiply it for him many times over?
‘Āṣim and others recited ‘yuḍāʿifahu’ while Ibn ʿĀmir and Yaʿqūb
recited ‘yuḍaʿafahu’, Ibn Kathīr, Abū Jaʿfar and Shaybah recited
‘yuḍaʿafuhu’, and others recited ‘yuḍāʿifuhu’. This stresses the idea
of great increase. Al-Ḥasan and as-Suddī say only Allah knows what
this multiplication entails since He says: ‘…pay out an immense reward
direct from Him.’ (4:40) Abū Hurayrah said that this is about money
given to help in jihād.

Allah both restricts and expands.
This is general to everything. ‘You will be returned to Him’ is a threat.
He will repay every action.

246 What do you think about the council of the tribe of
Israel after Mūsā’s time when they said to one of their
Prophets, ‘Give us a king and we will fight in the Way of
Allah!’? He said, ‘Is it not possible that if fighting were
prescribed for you, you would not fight?’ They said, ‘How could we not fight in the way of Allah when we have been driven from our homes and children?’ But then when fighting was prescribed for them, they turned their backs – except for a few of them. Allah knows the wrongdoers.

What do you think about the council of the tribe of Israel

In order to encourage fighting, Allah mentions another story which concerns the tribe of Israel. The ‘council’ (mala’) are the nobles among a people. It is as if they were filled with honour. Az-Zajjāj said that they are called this because they are filled (mala‘a) with everything they need. Here the ‘council’ means representatives of the people, and mala’ can be used as the name for a group, like qawm and raḥt. The word also means good character. Part of that is found in a ḥadīth: ‘Make the council good. All of you will be seen.’ Muslim transmitted it.

after Mūsā’s time

After his death.

When they said to one of their Prophets, ‘Give us a king and we will fight in the Way of Allah!’?

It is said that the Prophet referred to was Shamwīl ibn Bāl ibn ‘Alqamah who was known as ‘the son of the old woman’. It is also said that it was Sham‘ūn [Samuel] as as-Suddī said. It is said that he was known as ‘the son of the old woman’ because his mother was old and barren and asked Allah for a child. It is said that he was called ‘Sham‘ūn’ because she prayed to Allah to give her a child and He heard her prayer and she bore a boy. Therefore she called him ‘Sham‘ūn’ and said, ‘Allah has heard (sami‘a) my prayer.’ The sīn becomes a shīn in Hebrew. He was one of the descendants of Ya‘qūb. Muqātil said that he was one of the descendants of Hārūn. Qatādah said that the Prophet was Yūsha’ ibn Nūn. Ibn ‘Atiyyah said that this is weak because Dāwud was generations after Mūsā and Yūsha’ ibn
Nūn was Mūsā’s servant. Al-Muḥāsibī mentioned that his name was Ismā‘īl. Allah knows best.

This āyah tells us that at that time the tribe of Israel were abased and defeated by their enemies and asked for permission to fight and were commanded to do so. When the command came many were faint-hearted. A few, however, were steadfast and so Allah gave them victory. One reports states that these were the ones who were made to die and then brought back to life. Allah knows best.

**He said, ‘Is it not possible that if fighting were prescribed for you, you would not fight?’**

Nāfi‘ reads “asītum” while the rest read “asaytum” which is the best known. The word kutiba (lit. written) here means ‘prescribed’. When they saw the reality of fighting and thought about actually taking part in the battle, their resolve weakened.

**But then when fighting was prescribed for them, they turned their backs**

The words ‘turned their backs’ means that their intentions became muddled and their resolve failed. This happens with wealthy nations who incline to comfort and an easy life. When war occurs, they are reluctant to fight and follow their nature. Part of this idea is found in the prohibition of the Prophet ﷺ when he said: ‘Do not wish to meet the enemy. Ask Allah for well-being. But when you do meet them, then stand firm.’ The imams have related it. Then Allah reported that a small number of them remained firm on their first intention and their resolve to fight in the Way of Allah continued.

247 Their Prophet said to them, ‘Allah has appointed Ṭālūt to be your king.’ They said, ‘How can he have
kingship over us when we have much more right to kingship than he does? He has not even got much wealth!’ He said, ‘Allah has chosen him over you and increased him greatly in knowledge and physical strength. Allah gives kingship to anyone He wills. Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.’

Their Prophet said to them, ‘Allah has appointed Ṭālūt to be your king.’

Their Prophet responded to their request. Ṭālūt (Saul) was a water-bearer, or tanner, or a mule driver, but he was a man of knowledge and so Allah elevated him. He was from the tribe of Benjamin and not from the tribe of either prophethood or kingship. Prophethood was in the descendants of Levi and kingship in the tribes of Yahūdhā (Judah). That is why they objected. Wahb ibn Munabbih said, ‘When the Council of the tribe of Israel said this to Samuel, he asked Allah to send them a king and to show him who he was. Allah said to him, “Keep an observant eye on the horn in your house. When a man comes to you at the time when the oil is bubbling up in the horn, he is the king of the tribe of Israel. Then anoint his head with it and make him their king.”’ He said, ‘Ṭālūt was a tanner and went out looking for an animal he had lost. He went to Shamwīl to ask him to pray for him to find the animal or to find some relief and the oil bubbled up. So Shamwīl took him and anointed his head and told him, “You are the king of the tribe of Israel whom Allah has commanded to be advanced to kingship.” Then he told the tribe of Israel, “Allah has appointed Ṭālūt to be your king.”’

Ṭālūt and Jālūt are two Arabicised foreign names and so they are not declined. The same is true of Dāwud.

They said, ‘How can he have kingship over us?

‘How can he rule us when we are more entitled to be kings than he is?’ Their custom was that the Prophets would name the king by Allah’s command. When they asked, ‘How?’ it means ‘From what
path when we are from the tribe of kings and he is not?’ They also pointed out that he was poor, completely ignoring the strongest reason, which was the prior decree of Allah, and their Prophet pointed out this conclusive argument against them: ‘Allah has chosen him over you.’ His choice is the definitive argument. He made it clear to them that that was the reason why Ṭālūt was chosen.

He said, ‘Allah has chosen him over you and increased him greatly in knowledge and physical strength.

He also possessed knowledge, which is the basis of a person’s character, and physical strength which helped him in war and fighting. This āyah, therefore, provides the description of what a ruler should be like and the conditions governing rulership. A ruler merits his authority on the basis of knowledge, piety and strength, not on the basis of lineage. Lineage has no real say in the matter since knowledge and virtue supersede it as Allah makes clear by informing us that He chose Ṭālūt over them on account of his knowledge and strength even though their lineage was more noble. We have already mentioned the preconditions of leadership at the beginning of the sūrah. This āyah is the basis for it. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘At the time, Ṭālūt was the most knowledgeable man among the tribe of Israel, and the most complete. His physical stature alarmed the enemy.’ It is said that he was called Ṭālūt because of his height (ṭawl). It is also said that the increased strength took the form of great charity and courage, not actual physical strength. A poet says:

You see a thin man and think little of him
when a bold lion is in his clothes.
You admire a handsome man and put him to the test,
and the handsome man is not as you had thought.
A camel may be immense but lacking in heart
and the camel has no need of its great size.

This is the meaning of the words of the Prophet ﷺ to his wives:
‘The first of you to join me will be the one with the longest hand.’ They used to measure their hands, and then it was Zaynab who died first because she used to work with her hands and give ṣadaqah. Muslim transmitted it. One of the interpreters said that what is meant by knowledge here is knowledge of war. This is making the general particular without any evidence for doing so. It is said that ‘increased knowledge’ refers to the fact that Allah gave him revelation, but this would mean that Ṭālūt was a Prophet.

Allah gives kingship to anyone He wills.

Some commentators believe that these words are something that Allah said to Muhammad ﷺ. It is also said these are the words of Shamwîl and that is more likely. He said that to them when he saw their obstinacy and argumentativeness. He wanted to conclude his words with some definitive statement which could not be gainsaid, and so he said this. The ascription of a worldly kingdom to Allah is because He owns it. Then, without them asking, he informed them that ‘the sign of kingship…’ It is also possible that they had asked him for proof of his truthfulness about Allah choosing Ṭālūt to be king. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The first is more apparent based on the context of the āyah. The second is more in keeping with the blameworthy qualities of the tribe of Israel. At-Ṭabarî believed that.’

248 Their Prophet said to them, ‘The sign of his kingship is that the Ark will come to you, containing serenity from your Lord and certain relics left by the families of Mūsā and Hārūn. It will be borne by angels. There is a sign for you in that if you are believers.’

Their Prophet said to them, ‘The sign of his kingship is that the Ark
will come to you.

It is said that the Ark (tābūt) was sent down to Ādam. From him it reached Yaʿqūb and from then on remained with the tribe of Israel. Those who fought with it were victorious until they disobeyed Allah and the Ark was seized and then the Amalekites defeated them: Jālūt (Goliath) and his people according to as-Suddī. So the tribe of Israel were forced to surrender the Ark to them. This is the strongest evidence that disobedience is the cause of failure, and this is clear.

An-Naḥḥās said that it is related that the sign in the Ark was that a groan was heard coming from it. When they heard that, they went out to fight. When there was no groan, they did not go out. It is said that they used to place it at a critical place on the battlefield and they would have victory. This continued until they disobeyed Allah and were defeated and the Ark was taken from them and they were abased. When they saw this, some of them arranged for a Council and they asked the Prophet of the time for a king. When he told them that Ṭālūt was their king, they then rejected him as Allah tells us. When he gave them the decisive argument, they then asked for a clear sign of that.

We read in aṭ-Ṭabarī, ‘When they asked their Prophet for the clear sign regarding what he said, he called on his Lord and, because of that, affliction descended on the people who had taken the Ark. There is some disagreement about that. It was said that they put it in a temple of theirs in which there were idols and the idols were overturned. They said, “Put it in the temple of the idols under the great idol,” and in the morning it was on top of the idol. They tied it to its feet and in the morning the hands and feet of the idol were cut off and cast under the Ark. So they took it and put it in a village and those people experienced pains in their necks.’ It is said that they put it in a lavatory and then they were afflicted by haemorrhoids. When the affliction was great, they said, ‘It is this Ark which is the problem,’ and they returned it to the tribe of Israel. They put it on a
cart between two oxen and let them loose in the land in the direction of the tribe of Israel. Allah sent angels to drive the oxen until they reached the tribe of Israel who were under the command of Ṭālūt. Then they were certain of victory. The angels carried the Ark in this transmission. It is related that the angels came to carry it. Yūshaʿ ibn Nūn put it on the earth. It is related that they saw the Ark in the air when it descended among them. Ar-Rabīʿ ibn Khaytham said that. Wahb ibn Munabbih said that the size of the Ark was three cubits by two cubits. Al-Kalbī said that it was made of acacia wood from which combs are made.

containing serenity from your Lord and certain relics left by the families of Mūsā and Hārūn.

People disagree about the “sakīnah” (serenity) and the “baqīyyah” (relics). The word sakīnah is derived from sukūn, meaning tranquility, gravity and serenity. So it means that the Ark was the cause of serenity in their hearts despite their disagreement over Tālūt. We find a similar use of the word when Allah says: “Allah sent down His serenity on him” (9:40), meaning something which made his heart tranquil. He meant that the Ark was the cause of tranquillity in their hearts. Wherever they were, they relied on it and did not abandon it when it accompanied them in war.

Wahb ibn Munabbih said, ‘The sakīnah is a rūḥ (spirit) from Allah which spoke. When they disagreed about something, it clarified the matter for them, and when it shouted in war, they had victory.’ ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said, ‘It was a wind which blew and had a face like a human being.’ It is said that he said that it was a gale with two heads. Mujāhid said, ‘It was an animal like a cat with two wings, a tail and shining eyes. When it looked at an army, that army was defeated.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said that it was a gold basin from the Garden in which the hearts of the Prophets were washed. As-Suddī said that. Ibn ‘Aṭīyyah said, ‘The sound view is that the Ark contained some excellent objects left by the Prophets and the hearts of the people
were strengthened by that.’

We read in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that al-Barā’ said, ‘A man was reciting Sūrat al-Kahf and he had a horse with him tethered by two ropes. Then a cloud came over him and began to draw near and his horse began to shy away from it. In the morning he went to the Prophet ﷺ and mentioned that to him and he said, “That was the sakīnah which descended on account of the Qur’ān.”’ We find in the ḥadīth of Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī that Usayd ibn al-Ḥuḍayr was reciting the Qur’ān at night in his drying-floor. In it the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Those were the angels who were listening to you. If you had continued, the people would have seen them in the morning and they would not have been concealed from them.’ Al-Bukhārī and Muslim transmitted it. Sometimes the Prophet ﷺ mentioned the descent of the sakīnah and sometimes the descent of the angels. It indicates that the sakīnah was in that canopy and that it always descends with the angels. This is the argument of those who said that the sakīnah was a spirit (rūḥ) or something with a spirit because it is not valid that listening to the Qur’ān be done by something that is not sentient. Allah knows best.

Several things are said about the relics (baqiyyah). It is said that they consisted of the staff of Mūsā, the staff of Hārūn, and fragments of the tablets because they were broken when Mūsā threw them down. Ibn ‘Abbās said that. ‘Ikrimah added the Torah to the list. Abū Ṣāliḥ said that it was the staff and garment of Mūsā, the garment of Hārūn and two tablets of the Torah. ‘Aṭiyyah ibn Sa‘d said that it was the staff of Mūsā, the staff of Hārūn, their garments, and fragments of the tablets. Ath-Thawrī said that some people said it was a measure of manna in a gold basin, the staff of Mūsā, the turban of Hārūn and fragments of the tablets. Some said that it was the staff and sandals. The meaning of this is what is related about when Mūsā came to his people and found them worshipping the Calf. He threw down the tablets in anger and they broke. He
extracted from them what was unbroken and took the fragments of what was broken and put them in the Ark. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that the word refers to jihād and fighting the enemy. Ibn ʿAṭiyyah said the command to do that was in the Ark, either written or by the mere fact of it being brought. They are ascribed to the families of Mūsā and Hārūn since the command comes from one people to another, all of whom were from the families of Mūsā and Hārūn. The family (āl) of a man are his kin.

249 When Tālūt marched out with the army, he said, ‘Allah will test you with a river. Anyone who drinks from it is not with me. But anyone who does not taste it is with me – except for him who merely scoops up a little in his hand.’ But they drank from it – except for a few of them. Then when he and those who believed with him had crossed it, they said, ‘We do not have the strength to face Jālūt and his troops today.’ But those who were sure that they were going to meet Allah said, ‘How many a small force has triumphed over a much greater one by Allah’s permission! Allah is with the steadfast.

When Tālūt marched out with the army,

The word for ‘march out’ (faṣala) means to go out with them but the verb also means ‘to separate from’. Wahb ibn Munabbih said, ‘When Tālūt set out, they said to him, “The water will not be
enough for us, so ask Allah to make a river flow for us.” He said, “Allah will test you with a river.” According to as-Suddī, there were eighty thousand soldiers. Wahb continued, ‘No one stayed behind the army except for those excused by youth, age or illness. *Ibtīlā*’ is testing.’

*Nahar* and *nahr* (river) are two dialectical forms. The word is derived from the meaning of expansion. *Nahār* (day) comes from it. Qatādah said, ‘The river with which Allah tested them was a river between Jordan and Palestine.’ The reason for the test was so that Tālūt would know that those who left the water alone would obey him in respect of other things and those who were overcome by desire for water and disobeyed his command would disobey him in more important matters. It is related that they reached the river and were thirsty and the water was very sweet and good. That is why those who obeyed had an allowance of scooping up a handful to remove the pain of thirst from themselves. It is clear that a handful is to relieve the harm caused by thirst for those who are resolute and steadfast in the hardship of life and whose concern is for other than just being comfortable. It is as ‘Urwah said:

> Feel the purity of water, and the water is cool.

This is the sense of the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Enough for a person are a few morsels with which to keep his back straight.’ One of those with a grasp of profound meanings said that this *āyah* is an example that Allah has made which represents this world. Allah likened the river and the one who drinks from it to someone who inclines to this world and seek to have a lot of worldly things. The one who does not drink from it is like someone who turns from it and makes do with little of it. Someone who scoops up a handful is like someone who only takes what he needs from it. These three have different states in the sight of Allah. This is excellent, although it moves from the literal to an interpretation, but the meaning is nevertheless sound.
he said, ‘Allah will test you with a river.

Those who say that Tālūt was a Prophet take these words as a proof, since it is clear that Allah had revealed to him that this was a test from Allah for them. Those who say that he was not a Prophet say that it was Shamwīl who informed him of the revelation and then he informed the people. The test was to distinguish the sincere people from the false-hearted. Some people believe that ‘Abdullāh ibn Ḥudhāfah, the Companion of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, commanded his companions to light a fire and enter it to test their obedience, but his nature led him to make the matter he imposed harsh. This will be dealt with in an-Nisā’, Allah willing.

**Anyone who drinks from it is not with me.**

‘He will not be one of my companions in this battle.’ It was not a test of their faith so they did not become unbelievers by doing that. As-Suddī said that they were eighty thousand and so they inevitably included believers, hypocrites, and both serious and lazy people. We find in a ḥadīth: ‘Whoever cheats us is not one of us,’ meaning ‘He is not one of our Companions and not following our path and guidance.’ It is said:

When you try to deceive Asad by iniquity,
I am not from you and you are not from me.

This saying is used by the Arabs. If a man’s son is following a different path, he may say, ‘You are not from me.’

**But anyone who does not taste it is with me —**

Allah uses the verb ṭama‘a (taste) rather than sharība (drink). Allah does not repeat the same word, because the best style in Arabic is to avoid repetition and the style of the Qur’an is the most eloquent possible. This is an aspect of that eloquence.

Our scholars use this as evidence for the principle of sadd adh-dharā‘ī because the least taste is included in the word ‘taste’. When ‘tasting’ is forbidden, there is no way for someone to drink from
what is forbidden.

The use of the word ‘taste’ also indicates that water is considered to be food. If it is food, it means that it nourishes and supports the body. So usury may occur in respect of it. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘That is sound in the School.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Mālik said, “There is nothing wrong in selling water on the bank for water different in amount and on credit.’ That is the view of Abū Ḥanīfah and Abū Yūsuf. Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan said, ‘It is one of the commodities that are measured and weighed. According to this position, there should be no disparity in it.’ He considers that to be usury because the cause with respect of it being usury is weighing and measuring. Ash-Shāfī‘ī said, ‘It is not permitted to sell water with different amounts or on credit.’ His reason for its being usury is that water is one sort of combustible.

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Abū Ḥanīfah said, “If someone says, ‘If my slave so-and-so drinks from the Euphrates, he is free,’ he is only free if he drinks directly from the river with his mouth. If he drinks using his hand or scoops it up with a vessel, he is not freed because Allah made a difference between drinking directly from the river and drinking with the hand.”’ He continued, ‘This is unsound because the drinking of water is used for every form of doing so in Arabic, whether that is scooping up with the hand and drinking directly by mouth. It is one application. When he finds that water which the oath was about in language and actuality, that fulfils the oath.’

I say that the view of Abū Ḥanīfah is sounder. The people who understand language make a distinction between them as does the Book and the Sunnah. Al-Jawharī and others said that the verb kara‘a denotes drinking water directly from the place without using one’s hands or a vessel. In the Sunnah, Ibn Mājah mentioned in the Sunan from Wāṣil ibn ‘Abd al-A‘lā from Ibn Fuḍayl from Layth from Sa‘īd ibn ‘Āmir that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘We passed by a pond and began to drink directly (nakri‘u) from it. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ
said, “Do not drink directly from it. Rather wash your hands and then drink from it. There is no more sweeter vessel than the hand.” This is a text. Muslim transmitted from Layth ibn Abi Sulaym and said that he is weak.

except for him who merely scoops up a little in his hand.’

Scooping is taking up something with the hand or some implement. Mīghrafah (ladle) comes from the same root. Gharf and ightirāf (scooping) mean the same. This usage means to do it once. Some say that when the word gharfah (Warsh) is used it means with one hand and when ghurfah (Ḥafṣ) is used it means with two, and some say that they both mean the same thing.

Whoever desires what is purely ḥalāl in these times without any suspicion or doubt should drink water with his own hands from springs and rivers whose flow is subjected by the flow at the end of the night and end of the day, seeking from Allah by that to gain good deeds, remove burdens, and join the imams and pious. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If someone drinks with his hand while able to use a vessel, desiring to be humble by doing that, Allah will record good deeds for him according to the number of his fingers. That was the vessel of ʿĪsā ibn Maryam when he cast aside the cup and said, “Uff! This is part of this world.”’ Ibn Mājah transmitted it from Ibn ‘Umar. He said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ forbade us to drink on our bellies: that is kar‘ (drinking directly from the water with the mouth). He forbade us to scoop it up with one hand. He said, “None of you should drink like a dog nor drink with one hand as was done by people with whom Allah was angry. He should not drink from a vessel at night without first shaking it, unless it was covered. If someone drinks with his hand while able to use a vessel…”’ Baqiyyah ibn al-Walīd is in the isnād. Abū Ḥātim said, ‘His ḥadīths are recorded but not used as an authority.’ Abū Zur‘ah said, ‘If Baqiyyah relates from trustworthy sources, then he is trustworthy.’
But they drank from it – except for a few of them.

Ibn ‘Abbās said that they drank according to the degree of their certainty. The unbelievers drank eagerly, and those who were merely disobedient less than that. Seventy-six thousand of the people failed the test and only the believers remained, some of whom did not drink at all and some of whom took a handful. Those who drank were not quenched, but remained intensely thirsty. Those who completely left the water were in a good situation and were more steadfast than those who took a handful.

Then when he and those who believed with him had crossed it,

This means that they crossed the river. ‘Huwa’ is used for stress. The verb jāwaza means ‘to cross a place’. Ibn ‘Abbās and as-Suddī said, ‘Four thousand men crossed the river with him, including those who drank from it. When they saw Jālūt and his armies, which numbered one hundred thousand, all of them heavily armed, three thousand six hundred and eighty of his army left.’ If this is so, the believers who had certainty in the resurrection and the return to Allah and who said, ‘How many a small force has triumphed over a much greater one by Allah’s permission’ were same number as the people of Badr. Most commentators say that those who did not drink crossed the river with him and some of them said, ‘How can we face the enemy when they are so many!’ and those with true resolve made the other statement. Al-Barā’ ibn ‘Āzib said, ‘We used to say that the people of Badr were the same number as the companions of Ṭālūt who crossed the river him: about 310.’ One variant has ‘313’. He added, ‘Only those who believed crossed with him.’

But those who were sure that they were going to meet Allah

Here ḥann means certainty. The word can also mean doubt. It means that they thought that they would be killed with Ṭālūt and would meet Allah as martyrs. So there was uncertainty about the possibility of being killed.

‘How many a small force has triumphed over a much greater one by
Allah’s permission!’

A ‘fi’ah’ (force) is a group of people and section of them. It also comes from a root meaning to cut with a sword, so it is like a section cut from the rest. This statement was to encourage fighting, being steadfast and obeying those who are true to their Lord. This is how it is mandatory for us to behave. But ugly actions and false intentions have prevented that until we have reached the stage where a large number of us can be defeated by a small number of the enemy as we have seen happen more than once. That is what we have brought on ourselves!

In al-Bukhārī, Abu-d-Dardā’ said, ‘We fight on the basis of our actions.’ In the Musnad, the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Are you given provision and victory except on account of your weak ones?’ Now actions are false and the weak are neglected. Steadfastness is scarce, reliance on Allah is weak and taqwā has completely disappeared! Allah says: ‘Be steadfast, be supreme in steadfastness; be firm on the battlefield; and show fear of Allah’ (3:200); ‘Put your trust in Allah’ (5:23); ‘Allah is with those who show fear of Him and with those who are good-doers’ (16:128); ‘Allah will certainly help those who help Him’ (22:40) and: ‘When you meet a troop, stand firm and remember Allah repeatedly so that perhaps you will be successful.’ (8:45)

These are the reasons for victory and its preconditions. They are lacking and do not exist with us. We belong to Allah and to Him we return! Only the mention of the word Islam remains, and only the merest trace of the dīn, due to the appearance of corruption, excessive transgression and lack of guidance so that the enemy has conquered the lands of the east and west, land and sea. Seditions have become widespread, and the trials are terrible. There is no protection except with the All-Merciful!

وَأَنَّا نَسْتَرَؤُوا بِمَّا أَلْوَسْنَا وَجَعَلَنَا قَالَوْا:َ وَقَالَنَا:َ أَفْضِلُ عَلَيْنَا صَبَرًا وَقَلِيلًا أَفْقَدْنَا وَانضَرْنَا عَلَى الْقُوْمِ اِلْحَسَبِيِّنَّ

250 When they came out against Jālūt and his troops,
they said, ‘Our Lord, pour down steadfastness upon us, and make our feet firm, and help us against this unbelieving people.’

They went out onto the field of battle. Jālūt was the ruler of the Amalekites and their king. His shadow extended for a mile. It is said that the Berbers are descended from him. It is said that he had three hundred thousand warriors. ‘Ikrimah said seventy thousand. When the believers saw their numbers, they prayed to their Lord. This is like Allah’s words: ‘Many a Prophet fought when there were many thousands with him…’ (3:146-147) When the Prophet met the enemy, he would say in the fighting, ‘O Allah, I attack and move by You.’ When the Prophet met the enemy, he would say, ‘O Allah, I seek refuge with you from their evil and put You at their throats.’ At the Battle of Badr, he made supplication until his cloak fell from his shoulders, asking Allah to fulfill His promise as will be dealt with in Āl ‘Imrān, Allah willing.

251 And with Allah’s permission they routed them. Dāwud killed Jālūt and Allah gave him kingship and wisdom and taught him whatever He willed. If it were not for Allah’s driving some people back by means of others, the earth would have been corrupted. But Allah shows favour to all the worlds.

And with Allah’s permission they routed them.

Allah sent down victory on them. The root of the verb ‘hazamū’ (they routed) literally means to break. It also means to sink a well. Zamzam is called ‘the Hazmah of Jibrīl,’ meaning that he sunk it with his foot and water came out. Another form of the word means
Dāwud killed Jālūt

That was because King Ṭālūt selected him from his people to fight Jālūt. He was a short young man, sallow and sickly. Jālūt was one of the strongest and most powerful of men. He used to defeat entire armies on his own. Yet Jālūt was killed at Dāwud’s hand in front of the Amalekite army. His full name was Dāwud ibn Ishā [Jesse] or Dāwud ibn Zakariyyā and he was descended from Yahudhā ibn Yaʻqūb in whose line was both prophethood and kingship. He was a shepherd and the youngest of his brothers and looked after the sheep while his seven brothers accompanied Ṭālūt. When war came, he said to himself, ‘I will go and see this fight.’ When he started on the way, he passed by a stone and it called out to him, ‘Dāwud! Take me. It is through me that you will kill Jālūt.’ Then another stone called him and then another. So he took them and put them in his bag and went along.

Jālūt came forward seeking single combat. People backed away from him until Ṭālūt said, ‘If someone goes out and kills him, I will marry my daughter to him and give him authority over my property.’ Dāwud came forward and said, ‘I will go and kill him.’ Ṭālūt thought little of him when he saw him because of his youth and small size and rejected him. Jālūt called out a second and third time and Dāwud came out once more. Ṭālūt asked him, ‘Have you had any experience of fighting?’ ‘Yes,’ he replied. ‘What was it?’ he asked. He said, ‘A wolf attacked my sheep and I hit it and then cut off its head.’ Ṭālūt said, ‘Wolves are weak. Have you tested yourself against anything else?’ ‘Yes,’ he said, ‘A lion came and I hit it and then took its jaws and split them. Do you think this man is stronger than a lion?’ ‘No,’ he answered. Ṭālūt had armour intended only for the person who was to fight Jālūt. He told him about it and it was brought and he put it on. Ṭālūt said, ‘Ride my horse and take my weapons,’ which he did.
When he had gone a short distance, people said, ‘The youth is a coward!’ Dāwud said, ‘If Allah does not kill him for me and help me against him, this horse and armour will be of no use to me. I will fight him in the way I am used to fighting.’ Dāwud was extremely skilful with a slingshot. He dismounted and took his bag and tied it round him and took his sling and went out to Jālūt who was bristling in his armour. Jālūt said to him, ‘You, lad, come out against me!’ ‘Yes,’ he replied. ‘You are scarcely even a match for a dog!’ Ṭālūt said. ‘That may be so,’ replied Dāwud, ‘but you are less than that.’ He said, ‘I will feed your flesh to the birds and wild beasts today!’ They approached one another. Jālūt went to seize Dāwud with his hand since he thought little of him. Dāwud took the stone, put it in the sling, said the name of Allah and slung it and it hit him on the head and killed him. He removed his head and put it in his bag. The army was defeated in the subsequent confusion. It is said that he hit at the site of his nose. It is said that it hit his eye and emerged from the back of his head and hit some of his army and killed them. It is said that the stone split up until some of it hit everyone in the army. It was the handful which the Prophet ﷺ threw at Hawāzin in the Battle of Ḥunayn. Allah knows best. People have many stories about this āyah. I have mentioned to you what is meant by it and Allah is praised.

The meaning of the statement of Ṭālūt, ‘If someone goes out and kills him, I will marry my daughter to him and give him authority over my property,’ is confirmed in our Sharī‘ah. It is when the leader says, ‘If someone brings a head, he will have such-and-such,’ or ‘If he brings a captive, he will have such-and-such.’ This will explained in al-Anfāl.

This indicates that going out for single combat can only be done with the permission of the leader, as Aḥmad, Iḥṣāq and others said. What is transmitted from al-Awzā‘ī varies. It is related that he said that no one should embark on that without the leader’s permission.
It is also related that he said that there is nothing wrong with it. If the leader forbids anyone going out to single combat, no one may do so except with his permission. One group permits going out, not mentioning whether it is with or without the permission of the leader. This is the position of Mālik. Mālik was asked about a man in the ranks who says, ‘Who will come forth?’ He said, ‘That is up to his intention. If he desires Allah by that, I hope that there is no harm. That was done in the past.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘There is nothing wrong in going out.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘It is good to go out with the permission of the leader, but someone who goes out without the permission of the leader does nothing wrong. That is not disliked because I do not know of any report that forbids it.’

_and Allah gave him kingship and wisdom._

As-Suddī said, ‘Allah made him the inheritor of both the kingdom of Ṭālūt and the prophethood of Shamwīl. He taught him how to make chain mail, speak the language of the birds and other forms of knowledge.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘It is that Allah gave him a chain connected to the galaxy and celestial sphere. The end of which was at Dāwud’s hermitage. Nothing happened in the air without the chain ringing so that Dāwud knew what has happening. No one with a disease touched it without being healed. The sign of his people entering the _dīn_ was when they touched it with their hands and then they wiped their palms on their chests. They used to take their arguments to it after Dāwud until it was removed.’

_if it were not for Allah’s driving some people back by means of others, the earth would have been corrupted._

For the word ‘driving’ Nāfi‘ reads _difā‘_ while the rest read _daf_. They are both verbal nouns as Sībuwayh said. Abū Ḥātim said that they mean the same.

Scholars disagree about the people by whom corruption is driven back. It is said that they are the _Abdāl_, who are forty men. Whenever one of them dies, Allah replaces him with another. They will all die
on the Last Day. Twelve of them are in Syria, eight in Iraq. It is related that ‘Alī said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah say, “The Abdāl are in Syria and they are forty men. When one of them dies, Allah replaces him with another man. By them succour is poured forth and by them He helps the believers against their enemies and removes affliction from the people of trials.”’ At-Tirmidhī al-Ḥakīm mentioned it in Nawādir al-Uṣūl.

The following is also transmitted from Abu-d-Darda’: ‘The Prophets are the pegs which hold the earth in place. When Prophethood was brought to an end, Allah replaced the Prophets with some of the people from the Community of Muhammad called the Abdāl. They are not superior to other people in respect of fasting or prayer, but on account of their good character, true scrupulousness, good intention, sound hearts and good counsel for all the Muslims, seeking Allah’s pleasure by steadfastness, forbearance, intelligence, and humility without abasement. They are the caliphs of the Prophets, the people Allah has chosen for Himself and whom He has selected for Himself by His knowledge. They are forty true men. Thirty of them have a similar certainty to that of Ibrāhīm, the Friend of the All-Merciful. By them Allah drives away disliked things from the people of the earth and innovations which people have introduced. It is because of them that people have rain and provision. None of them dies without Allah putting someone else in his place.’

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘If it were not for Allah’s driving back the enemy by the armies of the Muslims, the idolaters would have triumphed and killed the believers and ruined their towns and mosques.’ Sufyān ath-Thawrī said, ‘They are the martyrs who are the means by which the dīn is established.’ Makkī related that most commentators say, ‘If it were not that Allah has defended those who do not pray by those who do and those who are not fearful of Allah by those who are, people would have been destroyed for their wrong actions.’ That is
like what an-Naḥḥās, ath-Thaʿlabī and the rest of the commentators say, ‘If it were not for Allah’s driving back the impious unbelievers by the pious believers, the earth would have been corrupted.’

The Prophet ﷺ is reported as saying in a ḥadīth, ‘Allah drives the punishment away from those of my community who do not pray by those who do, from those who do not give zakat by those who do, from those who do not perform ḥajj by those who do, and from those who do not do jihād by those who do. If they had all agreed to abandon these things, Allah would not put off their destruction for the blink of an eye.’ Then the Messenger of Allah ﷺ recited: ‘If it were not for Allah’s driving some people back by means of others, the earth would have been corrupted.’

The Prophet ﷺ also said, ‘The angels call out every day: “Were it not for Allah’s slaves who pray, suckling children and grazing animals, the punishment would already have fallen upon you.”’ Abū Bakr al-Khaṭīb transmitted the same idea from the ḥadīth of al-Fuḍayl ibn ‘Iyāḍ. Manṣūr related from Ibrāhīm from ‘Alqamah from ‘Abdullāh that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Were it not for humble men, grazing animals and suckling children, the punishment would already have fallen upon the believers.’ Someone said:

If it had not been for Allah’s slaves who bow
and orphaned suckling children,
And animals grazing in the wild,
the most painful punishment would have fallen on them.

Jābir reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘By a man’s rectitude, Allah puts right his children and grandchildren and the people of his house and the houses around him and they will remain in Allah’s protection as long as he is among them.’ Qatādah said, ‘The believers experience trials on account of the unbelievers and the unbelievers experience well-being on account of the believers.’ Ibn ‘Umar reported from the Prophet ﷺ, ‘On account of a righteous
believer Allah will drive away affliction from a hundred of the people of his household and his neighbours.’ Then he recited this āyah.

It is also said that this driving back is by means of the laws prescribed on the tongues of the Messengers. If it were not for that, people would plunder and loot one another and be destroyed. This is an excellent statement as it is general to restraining, defence and other things. Reflect on it. ‘But Allah shows favour to all the worlds’ indicates that the fact that the believers avert the evil of the unbelievers is a favour and blessing from Him.

252 Those are Allah’s Signs which We recite to you with truth. You are indeed one of the Messengers.

Allah is informing his Messenger ﷺ that only a sent Prophet will have knowledge of these signs which He mentions.

253 These Messengers: We favoured some of them over others. Allah spoke directly to some of them and raised up some of them in rank. We gave Clear Signs to ‘Īsā, son of Maryam, and reinforced him with the Purest Rūḥ. If Allah had willed, those who came after them would not have fought each other after the Clear Signs came to them, but they differed. Among them there are those who believe and among them there are those who disbelieve. If Allah had willed, they would not have
fought each other. But Allah does whatever He desires.

These Messengers: We favoured some of them over others.

This āyah is confusing. There are firm ḥadīths in which the Prophet ﷺ stated, ‘Do not choose between the Messengers,’ and ‘Do not give preference to any of the Prophets of Allah,’ meaning, ‘Do not say that this one is better than that one.’ They are related by trustworthy imams. Scholars disagree about this, some saying that these statements were made before there was revelation of his superiority and before he knew that he was the master of the children of Ādam and that the Qur’ān abrogated the prohibition of preference with this āyah.

Ibn Qutaybah said that his words, ‘I am the master of the children of Ādam’, refer to the Day of Rising because he will intercede on that Day and he will have the Banner of Praise and the Basin. His words, ‘Do not prefer me to Mūsā’, are a mark of his humility, as Abū Bakr said, ‘I have been appointed and I am not the best of you.’ The same is true of his words ﷺ, ‘No one should say that I am better than Yunus ibn Mattā.’ That expresses humility. The words of Allah: ‘Do not be like the companion of the fish…’ (68:48) indicate that, in fact, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was better than him because Allah says, ‘Do not be like him.’ That indicates that the other statements of the Prophet ﷺ were expressing humility. It is permitted to say, ‘Do not prefer me to him in action. He may be better in action than me,’ or to say in affliction and trial, ‘He has a greater trial than me.’

The leadership and excellence which Allah has given His Prophet ﷺ on the Day of Rising over all the Prophets and Messengers was not because of his actions, but rather because Allah preferred him and singled him out for this distinction. This is the interpretation which al-Muhallab preferred. One of them said, ‘It is forbidden to delve into that because delving into that is a means leading to argumentation and that would lead to mentioning the Prophets in a way which is not appropriate and might lessen the respect due to
them.’ Our shaykh said that it means that one does not say, ‘The Prophet is better than all the other Prophets,’ which is the literal prohibition because that might lead to deprecation of the other Prophets. The prohibition is about using the expression, not about what one might believe in that way. Allah has reported that the Prophets vary in excellence. One should not say, ‘Our Prophet is better than the Prophets,’ nor that he is better than a certain Prophet, in order to avoid what has been forbidden and to show proper courtesy and to act in accordance with belief in what the Qur’an contains of preference. Allah is the One who knows the realities of things.

The best that is said is that the prohibition of preference is in respect of the reality of Prophethood itself which is one trait in which there is no rivalry. Rivalry exists in increased states, election, miracles, and kindnesses, not in the fact of Prophethood itself. That is why there are Messengers and ‘those with resolve’, the one Allah took as a Friend, the one He spoke to, and those He raised in rank. Allah says: ‘We favoured some of the Prophets over others’ (17:55) and ‘These Messengers: We favoured some over others.’

This is excellent and combines the hadiths without need for any abrogation. Saying that some are favoured over others is based on virtuous qualities and means. Ibn ‘Abbās indicated that when he said, ‘Allah preferred Muḥammad over the Prophets and over the people of heaven.’ They asked, ‘Ibn ‘Abbās, what is his being favoured over the people of heaven?’ He replied, ‘Allah Almighty says: “Were any of them to say, ‘I am a god apart from Him,’ We would repay him with Hell. That is how We repayment the wrongdoers.”’ (21:29) He said to Muḥammad: “Truly We have granted you a clear victory, so that Allah may forgive you your earlier errors and any later ones.”’ (48:1)’ They asked, ‘What is his being favoured over the Prophets?’ He said, ‘Allah says: “We have not sent any Messenger except with the language of his people”’ (14:4) and He said to Muḥammad ﷺ: “We only sent you to the whole of
mankind.” (35:28) He sent him to both jinn and men.’ Abū Muḥammad ad-Dārimī mentioned this in his Musnad.

Abū Hurayrah said, ‘The best of the sons of Ādam are Nūḥ, Ibrāhīm, Mūsā and Muḥammad. They are “those with resolve” among the Messengers.” These are texts from Ibn ‘Abbās and Abū Hurayrah about specification. It is known that the Messengers are better than those who were not sent with a Message. The one who is sent is favoured over others by the Message while he is equal in Prophethood regarding what the Messengers encounter of their nations’ denial and being killed by them. This is not hidden.

However, Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ḥaqq ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The Qur’an demands preference. That is in general without any specification of anyone less favoured. That is also the case in the ḥadīth. That is why the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘I am the most honoured of the sons of Ādam with my Lord’ and ‘I am the master of the sons of Ādam.’ He was not specific. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘No one should say that I am better than Yūnus ibn Mattā’ and ‘Do not prefer me to Mūsā.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is a strong prohibition against specifying the less favoured because Yūnus was a young man and caved in under the burdens of Prophethood. If the Prophet ﷺ did not express that, neither should anyone else.’

Allah willing, what we prefer is better. When Allah reported that He favoured some over others, He made some of the rivalry clear and mentioned the circumstances in which others were favoured. He says: ‘Allah spoke directly to some of them and raised some of them in rank. We gave Clear Signs to ‘Īsā, the son of Maryam’ (2:253); ‘We gave Dāwūd the Psalms’ (17:55); ‘We gave him the Gospel’ (5:46), ‘We gave to Mūsā and Ḥārūn the Discrimination and a Shining Light and a Reminder to those who are godfearing’ (21:480; ‘We gave knowledge to Dāwūd and to Sulaymān’ (27:15); and ‘When We made a covenant with all the Prophets – with you and with Nūḥ.’ (33:7) So He was general and then specific. He began with Muḥammad ﷺ. This is clear.
That is similar to what was said about the Companions. They shared in being Companions of the Prophet ﷺ and then were distinct with respect to the virtues Allah bestowed on them in the form of gifts and means. By those gifts they vary in excellence although they are all Companions, upright and praised. How excellent are the words of Allah: ‘Muḥammad is the Messenger of Allah, and those who are with him are fierce to the unbelievers…’ (48:29) He says: ‘He bound them to the expression of taqwā which they had the most right to and were most entitled to.’ (48:26) He says: ‘Those of you who gave and fought before the Victory are not the same.’ (57:10) He says: ‘Allah was pleased with the believers when they pledged allegiance under the tree.” (48:18) So He was general and then specific. He forbade disgrace and deprecation. May Allah be pleased with all of them and provide us with love for them!

Allah spoke directly to some of them.

The one to whom Allah spoke was Mūsā. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ was asked about Ādam and whether he was a sent Prophet. He said, ‘He was a Prophet who was spoken to.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The interpretation of people regarding this is that Ādam was spoken to in the Garden and so the special quality of Mūsā remains.’

and raised up some of them in rank.

An-Naḥḥās said, ‘Some of the commentators, including Ibn ‘Abbās, ash-Sha‘bī and Mujāhid, said that this refers to Muḥammad ﷺ. He said, “I was sent to the red and black (i.e. all mankind), the earth was made a mosque for me, I was helped by terror at the distance of a month’s travel, booty was made lawful for me, and I was given intercession.”’ His marks of distinction include the Qur’ān, the splitting of the moon, the tree speaking to him, his feeding a lot of people from a few dates, and his obtaining milk from the sheep of Umm Ma‘bad after its milk had dried up. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said this and added, ‘He is the person with the largest community and by him all the Prophets are sealed, not to mention other aspects
of the great and noble character which Allah granted him.’ It is possible that it means both Muhammad ﷺ and others whose signs are great. It is possible that it refers to Allah’s statement that He raised Idrīs up to a high place and to the ranks of the Prophets in the heavens as reported in the ḥadīth of the Mi‘rāj.

We gave Clear Signs to ‘Īsā, son of Maryam,

The clear signs of ‘Īsā were bringing the dead to life, healing the blind and lepers, and creating a bird of clay as we read in the Qur’an.

and reinforced him with the Purest Rūḥ.’

‘The Purest Rūḥ’ is Jibrīl as already stated.

If Allah had willed, those who came after them would not have fought each other

By ‘them’ Allah means the Messengers. It is said that the pronoun ‘them’ refers specifically to Mūsā and ‘Īsā. It is said that it is all the Messengers after them, which is the literal meaning of the āyah. It is said that fighting refers to what was done by people after they had all passed away but this is not the meaning. What is meant is people fighting one another after the time of the Prophet concerned. People fell into disagreement after each Prophet. Some believed, and some rejected out of rebellion, envy and desire for worldly goods. All of that is by the decree and will of Allah. If He had willed differently, whatever He had willed would have happened, but the secret in this matter is that He did not will other than what occurred.
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GLOSSARY

Aḍḥā: see ʿĪd al-Adḥā.
adhān: the call to prayer.
Amīr al-Muʾminīn: ‘the Commander of the Believers’, the caliph.
Anṣār: the “Helpers”, the people of Madīnah who welcomed and aided the Prophet ﷺ.
ʿAqīq: a valley about four and a half west of Madīnah.
ʿaqīqah: an animal killed in celebration of the birth of a child and ṣadaqah equal to the weight of the child’s hair given in the way of Allah for the same reason.
ʿArafah: a plain 15 miles to the east of Makkah. One of the essential rites of the ḥajj is to stand on ʿArafah on the 9th of Dhu-l-Ḥijjah.
ʿĀshūrā’: the 10th day of Muḥarram, the first month of the Muslim lunar calendar. It is considered a highly desirable day to fast.
ʿAṣr: the mid-afternoon prayer.
awliyā’: the plural of walī.
Awṭās: a location between Makkah and Taʾif, about fifteen miles from Makkah, the site of a battle.
āyah: a verse of the Qur’an.
Badr: a place near the coast, about 95 miles to the south of Madīnah where, in 2 AH in the first battle
fought by the newly established Muslim community, the 313 outnumbered Muslims led by the Messenger of Allah overwhelmingly defeated 1000 Makkan idolaters.

**baḥīrah:** in the Jāhiliyyah period, a female camel which had given birth five times, the last being a male. Its ears were slit and let free to graze.

**Biʾr Maʿūnah:** site of an expedition four months after the Battle of Uḥud where a delegation of Muslims were attacked and killed.

**ḍammah:** the Arabic vowel ‘u’.

**Dār al-Ḥarb:** ‘the Abode of War’, the domain of the unbelievers.

**dhikr:** lit. remembrance, mention. Commonly used, it means invocation of Allah by repetition of His names or particular formulae.

**dhimmah:** obligation or contract, in particular a treaty of protection for non-Muslims living in Muslim territory.

**dhimmī:** a non-Muslim living under the protection of Muslim rule.

**Dhu-l-Ḥijjah:** the twelfth month of the Muslim calendar, the month of the hajj.

**Dhu-l-Majāz:** a market located between Makkah and ‘Arafah in the territory of Hudhayl. The Arabs came there after sighting the new moon of Dhu-l-Ḥijjah and stayed for eight days. It was very popular.

**Dhu-l-Qaʿdah:** the eleventh month of the Muslim calendar.

**dīn:** the life-transaction, lit. the debt between two parties, in this usage between the Creator and created.

**Fajr:** the dawn prayer.

**fardḥ:** an obligatory act of worship or practice of the *dīn* as defined by the Sharīʿah.
farḍ kifāyah: a collective obligation, something which is obligatory for the community as a whole and is satisfied if some of them perform it.

faqīh: pl. fuqahā’, a man learned in knowledge of fiqh who by virtue of his knowledge can give a legal judgement.

fatḥah: the Arabic vowel ‘a’.

Fātiḥah: “the Opener,” the first sūrah of the Qur’an.

fatwa: an authoritative statement on a point of law.

fidyah: a ransom, compensation paid for rites or acts of worship missed or wrongly performed because of ignorance or ill health. Also the amount paid by a woman in the khul’.

fiqh: the science of the application of the Sharī‘ah. A practitioner or expert in fiqh is called a faqīh.

fitnah: civil strife, sedition, schism, trial, temptation, also shirk.

Fiṭr: see ‘Īd al-Fiṭr.

fuqahā’: plural of faqīh.

gharīb: a hadith which has a single reporter at some stage of the isnād.

ghusl: major ablution of the whole body with water required to regain purity after menstruation, lochia and sexual intercourse.

ḥadd: Allah’s boundary limits for the lawful and unlawful. The ḥadd punishments are specific fixed penalties laid down by Allah for specified crimes.

hadith: reported speech of the Prophet ﷺ.

hady: sacrificial camel.

hajj: the annual pilgrimage to Makka which is one of the five pillars of Islam.

ḥāl: In Arabic grammar, a circumstantial adverb in the accusative case which describes something happening at the same time as the action or event mentioned in the main clause.

ḥalāl: lawful in the Sharī‘ah.

hamzah: the character in Arabic which designates a glottal stop.
harām: unlawful in the Sharī‘ah.

Ḥaram: Sacred Precinct, a protected area in which certain behavior is forbidden and other behaviour necessary. The area around the Ka‘bah in Makkah is a Haram, and the area around the Prophet’s Mosque in Madīnah is a Haram. They are referred to together as al-Ḥaramayn, ‘the two Ḥarams’.

al-Ḥarrah: a stony tract of black volcanic rock east of Madīnah.

Hārūn: the Prophet Aaron, the brother of Mūsā.

Ḥarūriyyah: the first Khārijites who separated themselves from ‘Alī and based themselves at Ḥarūrā’, a town two miles from Kufa.

ḥasan: good, excellent, often used to describe a hadith which is reliable, but which is not as well authenticated as one which is ṣaḥīḥ.

Ḥashwiyyah: a sect who took the verses of the Qur’ān literally and hence became anthropomorphists. They also espoused other innovations.

Hawāzin: one of the large Arab tribes in the Hijaz who were part of the Qays tribal grouping.

Ḥawwā’: Eve, the first woman.

Hijaz: the region along the western seaboard of Arabia in which Makkah, Madīnah, Jidda and Ta’īf are situated.

Hijrah: emigration in the way of Allah. Islamic dating begins with the Hijrah of the Prophet Muḥammad from Makkah to Madīnah in 622 AD.

Hūd: the Prophet sent to the people of ‘Ād.

Ḥudaybīyah: a well-known place ten miles from Makkah on the way to Jiddah where the Homage of ar-Riḍwān took place.

ḥudūd: plural of ḥadd.

Ḥunayn: a valley between Makkah and Ta’īf where the battle took place between the Prophet and Thaqīf pagans in 8/630.

Iblīs: the personal name of the Devil. He is also called Shayṭān or the ‘enemy of Allah’.

Ibrāhīm: the Prophet Abraham.
ʻĪd: a festival, either the festival at the end of Ramadan or at the time of the Hajj.

ʻĪd al-ʻAḍḥā: a four day festival at the time of hajj. The ʻĪd of the Sacrifice, it starts on the 10th day of Dhu-l-Ḥijjah (the month of Hajj), the day that the pilgrims sacrifice their animals.

ʻĪd al-Fiṭr: the festival at the end of the month of fasting (Ramadan).

iḍāfah: a possessive construction in Arabic in which the first noun is indefinite and the second usually definite. It is used to indicate possession. The first word is called ‘muḍāf’ and the second is ‘muḍāf ilayhi’.

ʻiddah: a period after divorce or the death of her husband for which a woman must wait before re-marrying.

ifrād: a form of hajj in which the hajj alone is performed.

iḥrām: the conditions of clothing and behaviour adopted by someone on hajj or ʻumrah.

ijtihād: to exercise personal judgement in legal matters.

īlā’: a vow by a husband to abstain from sexual relations with his wife. If four months pass, it is considered a divorce.

imam: Muslim religious or political leader; leader of Muslim congregational worship.

īmān: belief, faith.

insha’llāh: ‘Allah willing’.

iqāmah: the call which announces that the obligatory prayer is about to begin.

ʻĪsā: the Prophet Jesus.

ʻIshā’: the obligatory evening prayer.

Ishāq: the Prophet Isaac.

ishārāt: allusions, hints, indications of meanings too fine to be expressed directly.

Ismā‘īl: the Prophet Ishmael.

isnād: a hadith’s chain of transmission from individual to
individual.

**istibrā’**: the waiting period for slave-girls.

**istiḥādah**: bleeding from the womb of a woman outside her ordinary periods.

**istiżhār**: a woman considering herself as menstruating until her situation is clear.

**iʿtikāf**: seclusion, while fasting, in a mosque, particularly in the last ten days of Ramadan.

**Jāhiliyyah**: the Time of Ignorance before the coming of Islam.

**Jālūt**: Goliath.

**Jamʿ**: another name for Muzdalifah.

**jamrah**: lit. a small walled place, but in this usage a stone-built pillar. There are three *jamrahs* at Minā. One of the rites of hajj is to stone them.

**Jamrat ʿl-ʿAqabah**: the largest of the three *jamrahs* at Minā.

**janābah**: major ritual impurity requiring a ghusl: brought about by sexual intercourse, sexual discharge, menstruation, childbirth.

**jawhar**: literally ‘jewel’, substance, specifically the essence or the intrinsic being of a thing.

**Jibrīl**: the angel Gabriel.

**jihad**: struggle, particularly fighting in the way of Allah to establish Islam.

**jinn**: inhabitants of the heavens and the earth made of smokeless fire who are usually invisible.

**jizyah**: a protection tax payable by non-Muslims living under Muslim rule to the Muslim ruler.

**Jumāda-l-Ākhir**: the sixth month of the Muslim calendar.

**Jumāda-l-Ulā**: the fifth month of the Muslim calendar.

**Jumuʿah**: the day of gathering, Friday, and particularly the Jumuʿah prayer which is performed instead of Ẓuhr by those who attend it.

**Jurjān**: an area in north-east Persia.
Ka‘bah: the cube-shaped building at the centre of the Ḥaram in Makkah, originally built by the Prophet Ibrāhīm. Also known as the House of Allah.

al-Kadīd: a place between Makkah and Madīnah.

kafālah: long-term fosterage.

kaffārah: atonement, prescribed way of making amends for wrong actions, especially missed obligatory actions.

kalām: ‘theology’ and dogmatics. Kalām starts with the revealed tradition and employs rationalistic methods in order to understand it and resolve contradictions.

kasrah: the Arabic vowel i.

Khārijites: the earliest sect, who separated themselves from the body of the Muslims and declared war on all those who disagreed with them, stating that a wrong action turns a Muslim into an unbeliever.

khaṭīb: an orator, someone who delivers the khuṭbah.

Khazraj: along with Aws, one of the two major tribes of Madīnah.

Khorasan: Persian province southeast of the Caspian Sea; a centre of many dissident movements in early Islamic history.

khul‘: a form of divorce initiated by the wife from her husband by giving him a certain compensation, or by returning back the bride price (mahr) which he gave her.

kuṭbah: a speech, and in particular a standing speech given by the imam before the Jumu‘ah prayer and after the two ‘Īd prayers.

Khuzā‘ah: an Azdī tribe who were concentrated around Makkah.

Kitābī: Someone who is one of the People of the Book, i.e. a Jew or Christian.

li‘ān: mutual cursing, a form of divorce in which the husband and wife take oaths when he accuses her of adultery and she denies it.

Majannah: a market located near Makkah at Marr az-Zahrān over the last ten days of Dhu-l-Qa‘dah. People came to it after the end of the ‘Ūkāz market.
Maqām of Ibrāḥīm: the place of the stone on which the Prophet Ibrāḥīm stood while he and Ismā‘īl were building the Ka‘bah, which marks the place of the two rak‘ah prayer following tawāf of the Ka‘bah.

marfū‘: ‘elevated’, a narration from the Prophet  mentioned by a Companion. “The Messenger of Allah  said...”

Maghrib: the sunset prayer; also the western part of Muslim lands. Today it means Morocco.

Maryam: Mary, the mother of ‘Īsā.

Mash‘ar al-Ḥarām: a venerated place in the valley of Muzdalifah where it is a sunnah to stop.

Masjīd al-Ḥarām: the great mosque in Makka.

mawla: a person with whom a tie of walā‘ has been established, usually by having been a slave and then set free.

mawqūf: ‘stopped’, a narration from a Companion without mentioning the Prophet  

Minā: a valley five miles on the road to ‘Arafah where the three jamrahs stand. It is part of the hajj to spend four or possibly three nights there over the course of the hajj.

mīqāt: plural mawāqīt, one of the designated places for entering ḩārām or ḥajj or ‘umrah.

Mīzāb ar-Raḥmah: ‘the Spout of Mercy’, the rainspout at the top of the Ka‘bah on its northeastern side.

mu‘ādhdhin: someone who calls the adhan or call to prayer.

mudabbbar: a slave who has been given a tadbīr, a contract that he be freed after his master’s death.

mudd: a measure of volume. approximately a double-handed scoop.

Mudlij: an Arab tribe which was a branch of Kinānah.

mufti: someone qualified to give a legal opinion or fatwa.

Muhājirūn: Companions of the Messenger of Allah  who accepted Islam in Makkah and made hijrah to Madīnah.
Muḥarram: the first month of the Muslim lunar year.
Muḥassir: a depression on the way to Minā.
mujāhid: some performing jihad.
mujtahid: a scholar who is qualified to carry out ijtihād.
mukātab: a slave who has been given a kitābah, a contract to buy his freedom.
munkar: “denounced”, a narration reported by a weak reporter which goes against another authentic hadith.
muqallid: a person who practises taqlīd, not performing ijtihād himself but instead following the legal opinion already arrived at by a mujtahid.

Murjiʻites: the opponents of the Kharijites. They held that it is faith and not actions which are important. There is also a political position which suspends judgement on a person guilty of major sins.
mursal: a hadith where a man in the generation after the Companions quotes directly from the Prophet without mentioning the Companion from whom he got it.
Mūsā: the Prophet Moses.
Musaylimah: the false prophet of the Banū Ḥanīfah in Najd.
muṣḥaf: a physical copy of the Qur’ān.
musnad: a collection of hadiths arranged according to the first authority in its isnād; also a hadith which can be traced back through an unbroken isnād to the Prophet.
mustafid: hadith related by three or more transmitters, although it does not reach the level of mutawātir.
muṭʻah: a temporary marriage.
mutakallimūn: those who study the science of kalām, the science of investigating theological doctrine.
mutawātir: a hadith which is reported by a large number of reporter: at all stages of the isnād.
muzābanah: a forbidden sale in which something whose number, weight, or measure is known is sold for something whose number,
weight or measure is not known.

**Muzdalifah**: a place between ‘Arafah and Minā where the pilgrims returning from ‘Arafah spend a night in the open between the ninth and tenth day of Dhu-l-Ḥijjah after performing Maghrib and ‘Ishā’ there.

**Nafr**: the 12th or 13th of Dhū al-Ḥijjah when the pilgrims leave Minā after having complete the rites at ‘Arafah, Muzdalifah and Minā.

**Nakhlah**: a place between Makkah and Ṭā’īf, two days ride east of Makkah.

**Nūḥ**: the Prophet Noah.

**People of the Book**: principally the Jews and Christians whose religions are based on the Divine Books revealed to Mūsā and ‘Īsā; a term also used to refer to any other group who claim to be following a Book revealed prior to the Qur’an.

**People of Hadith**: ‘the adherents of Hadith’, the movement who considered only the Qur’an and hadith to be valid sources of fiqh.

**People of Opinion (ra‘y)**: a term used to describe those who use personal opinion to deduce judgement. It was a term used particularly to describe the early Ḥanafīs.

**Qadariyyah**: sect who said that people have power (qadar) over their actions and hence free will.

**qāḍī**: a judge, qualified to judge all matters in accordance with the Sharī‘ah and to dispense and enforce legal punishments.

**Qādisīyah**: a decisive four day battle fought against the Persians in Iraq in 15/636.

**qiblah**: the direction faced in the prayer which is towards the Ka‘bah in Makkah.

**qirāḍ**: wealth put by an investor in the trust of an agent for use for commercial purposes, the agent receiving no wage, but taking a designated share of the profits after the capital has been repaid.

**qirān**: performing hajj and ‘umrah simultaneously.
qiṣāṣ: retaliation.

Qubā': a village on the outskirts of Madīnah (originally about 5 km/3 miles outside the city) where the first mosque in Islam was built, also known as the Masjid at-Taqwā (Mosque of Fear of God).

qunūt: a supplication said in the prayer.

Quraysh: one of the great tribes of Arabia. The Prophet Muḥammad ﷺ belonged to this tribe, which had great powers spiritually and financially both before and after Islam came. Someone from this tribe is called a Qurayshī.

Quzah: a mountain at Muzdalifah.

Rabī‘ al-Awwal: the third month of the Muslim calendar.

Rabī‘ al-Ākhir: the fourth month of the Muslim calendar.

Rajab: the seventh month of the Muslim calendar.

rak‘ah: a unit of the prayer consisting of a series of standings, bowing, prostrations and sittings.

Ramadan: the month of fasting, the ninth month in the Muslim lunar calendar.

Ridwān: the Homage of Riḍwān was a pledge which the Muslims took at Ḥudaybīyah to avenge ‘Uthmān when they thought that Quraysh had murdered him in 6/628.

rūḥ: (plural arwāḥ) the soul, vital spirit.

rukū’: the bowing position in the prayer.

ṣā‘: a measure of volume equal to four mudds.

Sabians: a group of believers. It is not entirely clear who they were. Possibly they were Gnostics or Mandaeans.

Sacred Months: the months of Rajab, Dhu-l-Qa‘dah, Dhu-l-Ḥijjah and Muḥarram in which fighting was forbidden.

ṣadaqah: charitable giving in the Cause of Allah.

Ṣafā and Marwah: two hills close to the Ka‘bah.

Ṣafar: the second month of the Muslim lunar calendar.

ṣaḥīḥ: healthy and sound with no defects, used to describe an authentic hadith.
Ṣahīḥ: “the Sound”, the title of the hadith collections of al-Bukhārī and Muslim.

saḥūr: pre-dawn meal before a day of fasting.

sā’ibah: in the Jāhiliyyah, a she-camel let loose to graze, usually as a result of a vow to idols.

salām: the expression, ‘as-salāmu ‘alaykum,’ or ‘Peace be upon you,’ used as a greeting and to end the prayer.

sawīq: a gruel made of wheat or barley.

saʿy: the main rite of ‘umrah and part of hajj. It is going between the hills of Ṣafā and Marwah seven times.

Shaʿbān: the eighth month in the Muslim calendar

shahādah: bearing witness, particularly bearing witness that there is no god but Allah and that Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah. It is one of the pillars of Islam. It is also used to describe legal testimony in a court of law.

Sharīʿah: The legal modality of a people based on the revelation of their Prophet. The final Sharīʿah is that of Islam.

Shawwāl: the tenth month of the Muslim calendar.

Shayṭān: devil, particularly Iblīs, one of the jinn.

shirk: the unforgiveable wrong action of worshipping something or someone other than Allah or associating something or someone as a partner with Him.

Shuʿayb: the Prophet Jethro.

ṣiddīq: a man of truth, the ṣiddīq is the one who believes in Allah and His Messenger by the statement of the one who reports it, not from any proof except the light of belief which he experiences in his heart and which prevents him from hesitating and prevents any doubt entering him about the word of the Messenger who reported.

Sīrah: biography, particularly biography of the Prophet ☦️.

Ṣirāṭ: the narrow bridge which spans the Fire and must be crossed to enter the Garden. It is described as sharper than a sword and thinner than a hair. It will have hooks over it to catch people as they
cross it.

**siwāk**: a small stick, usually from the arak tree, whose tip is softened and used for cleaning the teeth.

**Ṣubḥ**: dawn prayer.

**sukūn**: a diacritic mark that means that there is no vowel sound after a consonant.

**Sulaymān**: the Prophet Solomon.

**sunan**: plural of sunnah.

**Sunnah**: the customary practice of a person or group of people. It has come to refer almost exclusively to the practice of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ.

**sūrah**: a chapter of the Qur’an.

**Tābi‘ūn**: the second generation of the early Muslims who did not meet the Prophet Muhammad ﷺ but learned the dīn of Islam from his Companions.

**tadbīr**: a contract given by a master to a slave whereby the slave will be freed after the master dies.

**tafsīr**: commentary or explanation of the meanings of the Qur’an.

**Ṭā’if**: a walled town south of Makkah known for its fertility. It was the home of the tribe of Thaqīf.

**takbīr**: saying ‘Allāhu Akbar,’ ‘Allah is greater’.

**takbīr al-ihrām**: the takbīr which begins the prayer.

**talbīyah**: saying ‘Labbayk’ (‘At Your service’) during the hajj.

**Ţalūt**: Saul.

**tamattu‘**: a form of hajj in which ‘umrah is done first, and then the ḥājjī comes out of iḥrām before going back into iḥrām for the hajj itself.

**tanwīn**: nunation.

**taqlīd**: imitation; following the opinion of a mujtahid without considering the evidence.

**taqwā**: awe or fear of Allah, which inspires a person to be on guard against wrong action and eager for actions which please Him.
Tarwiyyah: ‘drawing water’, on the 8th of Dhu-l-Ḥijjah, the day before ‘Arafah when the pilgrim gather water in preparation for the days of the Hajj which lie ahead.

tashrīq: ‘drying meat in the sun’, the days of the 10th, 11th, 12th and 13th of Dhu-l-Hijjah when the pilgrims sacrifice their animals and stone the jamrahs at Minā.

ṭawāf: circumambulation of the Ka’bah, done in sets of seven circuits.

ṭawāf al-ifaḍāh: the circumambulation that pilgrims must perform when coming from Minā to Makkah on the 10th of Dhu-l-Ḥijjah.

tawḥīd: the doctrine of Divine Unity.

tayammum: purification for the prayer with clean dust, earth, or stone, when water for ghusl or wuḍū’ is unavailable or would be detrimental to health.

Thaʿalibiyyah: a Kharijite sect.

Thabīr: a mountain near Makkah.

Thamūd: a people to whom the Prophet Ṣāliḥ was sent, possibly a group of Nabateans. Madā’in Ṣāliḥ is located at al-Ḥijr in Najd about 180 miles north of Madina. The inscriptions on the tombs there date from 3 BC to 79 CE which are probably after the culture which once flourished there was destroyed.

Thaqīf: a tribe based in the town of Taʿīf, a branch of the tribe of Hawāzin.

‘Ukaz: the most famous and largest pre-Islamic market in Arabia. It was located to the east of Makkah, at the edge of the Ḥarām. Every region attended it.

Umm al-Muʾminīn: literally ‘Mother of the Believers’, an honorary title given to the wives of the Prophet.

umm walad: a slavegirl who has had a child by her master.

Ummah: the body of Muslims as one distinct Community.

‘umrah: the lesser pilgrimage to the Kaʿbah in Makkah.
performed at any time of the year.
uṣūl: plural of aṣl, the basic principles of any source used in fiqh.
wājib: a necessary part of the Sharī‘ah but not obligatory, although it is sometimes used as a synonym for fard.
walī: (plural awliyā’) someone who is a ‘friend’ of Allah, thus possessing the quality of wilāyah. Also a relative who acts as a guardian.
waṣīlah: in the Jāhiliyyah, a she-camel that has given birth to two females with no male in between them. It was set loose to graze.
wilāyah: guardianship.
wasq: a measure of volume equal to sixty ṣā‘s.
wuḍū’: ritual washing to be pure for the prayer.
Yaḥyā: the Prophet John the Baptist, the son of Zakariyyā.
Ya‘qūb: the Prophet Jacob, also called Isrā‘īl (Israel).
Yūnus: the Prophet Jonah.
Yūsuf: the Prophet Joseph.
Ẓāhiriyya: a school of fiqh which derived its judgements from the literal text of the Qur’ān and Sunnah, and rejected the use of other legal principles like analogy.
zakat: a wealth tax, one of the five pillars of Islam.
ẓihār: an oath by a husband that his wife is like his mother’s back to him, meaning she is unlawful for him. It was a form of divorce in the Jāhiliyyah.
zindīq: a term used to describe a heretic whose teaching is a danger to the community or state.
Zuhr: the midday prayer.
Zuhrah: a clan of the Quraysh tribe.
1. **Translator’s note**

   
   1. **142** The fools among the people will ask, ‘What has made them turn round from the direction they used to face?’ Say, ‘Both East and West belong to Allah. He guides whoever He wills to a straight path.’

   1. **143** In this way We have made you a middlemost community, so that you may act as witnesses against mankind and the Messenger as a witness against you. We only appointed the direction you used to face in order to know those who follow the Messenger from those who turn round on their heels. Though in truth it is a very hard thing – except for those Allah has guided. Allah would never let your faith go to waste. Allah is All-Gentle, Most Merciful to mankind.

   2. **144** We have seen you looking up into heaven, turning this way and that, so We will turn you towards a direction which will please you. Turn your face, therefore, towards the Masjid al-Ḥarām. Wherever you all are, turn your faces towards it. Those given the Book know it is the truth from their Lord. Allah is not unaware of what they do.

   3. **145** If you were to bring every Sign to those given the Book, they still would not follow your direction. You do not follow their direction. They do not follow each other’s direction. If you followed their whims and desires, after the knowledge that has
come to you, you would then be one of the wrongdoers.

4. 146 Those We have given the Book recognise it as they recognise their own sons. Yet a group of them knowingly conceal the truth.

5. 147 The truth is from your Lord, so on no account be among the doubters.

6. 148 Each person faces a particular direction so race each other to the good. Wherever you are, Allah will bring you all together. Truly Allah has power over all things.

7. 149 Wherever you come from, turn your face to the Masjid al-Ḥarām. This is certainly the truth from your Lord. Allah is not unaware of what you do.

8. 150 Wherever you come from, turn your face to the Masjid al-Ḥarām. Wherever you are, turn your faces towards it so that people will have no argument against you – except for those among them who do wrong and then you should not fear them but rather fear Me – and so that I can complete My blessing to you so that hopefully you will be guided.

9. 151 For this We sent a Messenger to you from among you to recite Our Signs to you and purify you and teach you the Book and Wisdom and teach you things you did not know before.

10. 152 Remember Me – I will remember you. Give thanks to Me and do not be ungrateful. 153 You who believe! seek help in steadfastness and the prayer. Allah is with the steadfast.

11. 154 Do not say that those who are killed in the Way of Allah are dead. On the contrary, they are alive but you are not aware of it.
11. 155 We will test you with a certain amount of fear and hunger and loss of wealth and life and fruits. But give good news to the steadfast:
12. 156 Those who, when disaster strikes them, say, ‘We belong to Allah and to Him we will return. 157 Those are the people who will have blessings and mercy from their Lord; they are the ones who are guided.
13. 158 Ṣafā and Marwah are among the Landmarks of Allah, so anyone who goes on hajj to the House or does ‘umrah incurs no wrong in going back and forth between them. If anyone spontaneously does good, Allah is All-Thankful, All-Knowing.
14. 159 Those who hide the Clear Signs and Guidance We have sent down, after We have made it clear to people in the Book, Allah curses them, and the cursers curse them –
15. 160 except for those who sincerely repent and put things right and make things clear. I turn towards them. I am the Ever-Returning, the Most Merciful.
16. 161 But as for those who are unbelievers and die unbelievers, the curse of Allah is upon them and that of the angels and all mankind. 162 They will be under it for ever. The punishment will not be lightened for them. They will be granted no reprieve.
17. 163 Your God is One God. ‘There is no god but Him, the All-Merciful, the Most Merciful.
18. 164 In the creation of the heavens and earth, and the alternation of the night and day, and the ships which sail the seas to people’s benefit, and the water which Allah sends down from the sky – by which He
brings the earth to life when it was dead and scatters about in it creatures of every kind – and the varying direction of the winds, and the clouds subservient between heaven and earth, there are Signs for people who use their intellect.

19. 165 Some people set up equals to Allah, loving them as they should love Allah. But those who believe have greater love for Allah. If only you could see those who do wrong at the time when they see the punishment, and that truly all strength belongs to Allah, and that Allah is severe in punishment.

20. 166 When those who were followed disown those who followed them, and they see the punishment, and the connection between them is cut,

21. 167 those who followed will say, ‘If only we could have another chance, we would disown them just as they have disowned us.’ In that way Allah will show them their actions as a cause of anguish and remorse for them. They will never emerge from the Fire.

22. 168 Mankind! eat what is good and lawful on the earth. And do not follow in the footsteps of Shayṭān. He truly is an outright enemy to you.

23. 169 He only commands you to do evil and indecent acts and to say about Allah what you do not know.

24. 170 When they are told, ‘Follow what Allah has sent down to you,’ They say, ‘We are following what we found our fathers doing.’ What, even though their fathers did not understand a thing and were not guided!

25. 171 The likeness of those who disbelieve is that of someone who yells out to something which cannot
hear it is nothing but a cry and a call. Deaf – dumb – blind. They do not use their intellect.

26. 172 You who believe! eat of the good things We have provided for you and give thanks to Allah if you worship Him alone.

27. 173 He has only forbidden you carrion, blood and pork and what has been consecrated to other than Allah. But anyone who is forced to eat it – without desiring it or going to excess in it – commits no crime. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

28. 174 Those who conceal what Allah has sent down of the Book and sell it cheap, take nothing into their bellies but the Fire. On the Day of Rising Allah will not speak to them or purify them. They will have a painful punishment.

29. 175 Those are the ones who have sold guidance for misguidance and forgiveness for punishment. How steadfastly they will endure the Fire!

30. 176 That is because Allah has sent down the Book with truth and those who differ from the Book are entrenched in hostility.

31. 177 True goodness does not lie in turning your faces to the East or to the West. Rather, those with true goodness are those who believe in Allah and the Last Day, the Angels, the Book and the Prophets, and who, despite their love for it, give away their wealth to their relatives and to orphans and the very poor, and to travellers and beggars and to set slaves free, and who establish the prayer and pay zakat; those who honour their contracts when they make them, and are steadfast in poverty and illness and in battle.
Those are the people who are true. They are the people who are godfearing.

32. You who believe! retaliation is prescribed for you in the case of people killed: free man for free man, slave for slave, female for female. But if someone is absolved the thing by his brother, blood-money should be claimed with correctness and paid with good will. That is an easement and a mercy from your Lord. Anyone who goes beyond the limits after this will receive a painful punishment.

33. There is life for you in retaliation, people of intelligence, so that hopefully you will be godfearing.

34. It is prescribed for you, when death approaches one of you and if he has some goods to leave, to make a will in favour of his parents and relatives, correctly and fairly: a duty for all those who are godfearing.

35. Then if anyone alters it after hearing it, the crime is on the part of those who alter it. Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

36. But if someone fears bias or wrongdoing on the part of the person making the will, and puts things right between the people involved, in that case he has not committed any crime. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

37. You who believe! fasting is prescribed for you, as it was prescribed for those before you – so that hopefully you will become godfearing – for a specified number of days. But any of you who are ill or on a journey should fast a number of other days. For those who are able to fast [only with difficulty.
and do not fast], their fidyah is to feed the poor. And if someone does good of his own accord, it is better for him. But that you should fast is better for you, if you only knew.

38. **185** The month of Ramadan is the one in which the Qur’an was sent down as guidance for mankind, with Clear Signs containing guidance and discrimination. Any of you who are resident for the month should fast it. But any of you who are ill or on a journey should fast a number of other days. Allah desires ease for you; He does not desire difficulty for you. You should complete the number of days and proclaim Allah’s greatness for the guidance He has given you so that hopefully you will be thankful.

39. **186** If My slaves ask you about Me, I am near. I answer the call of the caller when he calls on Me. They should therefore respond to Me and believe in Me so that hopefully they will be rightly guided.

40. **187** On the night of the fast it is lawful for you to have sexual relations with your wives. They are clothing for you and you for them. Allah knows that you have been betraying yourselves and He has turned towards you and excused you. Now you may have sexual intercourse with them and seek what Allah has written for you. Eat and drink until you can clearly discern the white thread from the black thread of the dawn, then fulfil the fast until the night appears. But do not have sexual intercourse with them while you are in retreat in the mosques. These are Allah’s limits, so do not go near them. In
this way does Allah make His Signs clear to people so that hopefully they will be godfearing.

41. 188 Do not devour one another’s property by false means nor offer it to the judges as a bribe, trying through crime to knowingly usurp a portion of other people’s property.

42. 189 They will ask you about the crescent moons. Say, ‘They are set times for mankind and for the hajj.’ It is not devoutness for you to enter houses by the back. Rather devoutness is possessed by those who are godfearing. So come to houses by their doors and have fear of Allah, so that hopefully you will be successful.

43. 190 Fight in the Way of Allah against those who fight you, but do not go beyond the limits. Allah does not love those who go beyond the limits.

44. 191 Kill them wherever you come across them and expel them from where they expelled you. Fitnah is worse than killing. Do not fight them in the Masjid al-Harâm until they fight you there. But if they do fight you, then kill them. That is how the unbelievers should be repaid. 192 But if they cease, Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

45. 193 Fight them until there is no more fitnah and the din belongs to Allah alone. If they cease, there should be no enmity towards any but wrongdoers.

46. 194 Sacred month in return for sacred month – sacred things are subject to retaliation. So if anyone oversteps the limits against you, overstep against him the same as he did to you. But have fear of Allah. Know that Allah is with those who are godfearing.
47. **195 Spend in the Way of Allah.** Do not cast yourselves into destruction. And do good: Allah loves good-doers.

48. **196 Perform the Ḥajj and ‘umrah for Allah.** If you are forcibly prevented, make whatever sacrifice is feasible. But do not shave your heads until the sacrificial animal has reached the place of sacrifice. If any of you are ill or have a head injury, the expiation is fasting or ṣadaqah or sacrifice when you are safe and well again. Anyone who comes out of iḥrām between ‘umrah and ḥajj should make whatever sacrifice is feasible. For any one who cannot, there is three days’ fast on ḥajj, and seven on your return – that is ten in all. That is for anyone whose family does not live near the Masjid al-Ḥarām. Be fearful of Allah and know that Allah is fierce in retribution.

49. **197 The Ḥajj takes place during certain well-known months.** If anyone undertakes the obligation of ḥajj in them, there must be no sexual intercourse, no wrongdoing, nor any quarrelling during ḥajj. Whatever good you do, Allah knows it. Take provision; but the best provision is fearful awareness of Allah. So be fearful of Me, people of intelligence!

50. **198 There is nothing wrong in seeking bounty from your Lord.** When you pour down from ‘Arafāt, remember Allah at the Sacred Landmark. Remember Him because He has guided you, even though before this you were astray.

51. **199 Then press on from where the people press on and ask Allah’s forgiveness.** Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.
200 When you have completed your rites, remember Allah as you used to remember your forefathers, or even more. There are some people who say, ‘Our Lord, give us good in this world.’ They will have no share in the Next World.

201 And there are others who say, ‘Our Lord, give us good in this world, and good in the Next World, and safeguard us from the punishment of the Fire.’

202 They will have a good share from what they have earned. Allah is swift at reckoning.

203 Remember Allah on the designated days. Those who hurry on in two days have done no wrong, and those who stay another day have done no wrong – those of them who are fearful of Allah. Be fearful of Allah. And know that you will be gathered back to Him.

204 Among the people there is someone whose words about the life of this world excite your admiration, and he calls Allah to witness what is in his heart, while he is in fact the most hostile of adversaries.

205 When he leaves you, he goes about the earth corrupting it, destroying crops and animals Allah does not love corruption.

206 When he is told to be fearful of Allah, he is seized by a feeling of might which drives him to wrongdoing. Hell will be enough for him! What an evil resting-place!

207 And among the people there are some who give up everything, desiring the good pleasure of Allah. Allah is Ever-Gentle with His slaves.
60. **208 You who believe! enter Islam totally. Do not follow in the footsteps of Shayṭān. He is an outright enemy to you.**

61. **209 If you backslide after the Clear Signs have come to you, know that Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.**

62. **210 What are they waiting for but for Allah to come to them in the shadows of the clouds, together with the angels, in which case the matter will have been settled? All matters return to Allah.**

63. **211 Ask the tribe of Israel how many Clear Signs We gave to them. If anyone alters Allah’s blessing after it has come to him, Allah is fierce in retribution.**

64. **212 To those who disbelieve, the life of this world is painted in glowing colours and they laugh at those who believe. But on the Day of Rising those who fear Allah will be over them. Allah provides for whomever He wills without any reckoning.**

65. **213 Mankind was a single community. Then Allah sent out Prophets, bringing good news and giving warning, and with them He sent down the Book with truth to decide between people regarding their differences. Only those who were given it differed about it, after the Clear Signs had come to them, envying one another. Then, by His permission, Allah guided those who believed to the truth of that about which they had differed. Allah guides whoever He wills to a straight path.**

66. **214 Or did you suppose that you would enter the Garden without facing the same as those who came before you? Poverty and illness afflicted them and they were shaken to the point that the Messenger and those who believed with him said, ‘When is
Allah’s help coming?’ Be assured that Allah’s help is very near.

2. 215 They will ask you what they should give away. Say, ‘Any wealth you give away should go to your parents and relatives and to orphans and the very poor and travellers.’ Whatever good you do, Allah knows it.

2. 216 Fighting is prescribed for you even if it is hateful to you. It may be that you hate something when it is good for you and it may be that you love something when it is bad for you. Allah knows and you do not know.

3. 217 They will ask you about the Sacred Month and fighting in it. Say, ‘Fighting in it is a serious matter; but barring access to the Way of Allah and rejecting Him and barring access to the Masjid al-Ḥarām and expelling its people from it are far more serious in the sight of Allah. Fitnah is worse than killing.’ They will not stop fighting you until they make you revert from your dīn, if they are able. As for any of you who revert from their dīn and die unbelievers, their actions will come to nothing in this world and the Next. They are the Companions of the Fire, remaining in it timelessly, for ever. 218 Those who believe and make hijrah and do jihād in the Way of Allah can expect Allah’s mercy. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

4. 219 They will ask you about alcoholic drinks and gambling. Say, ‘There is great wrong in both of them and also certain benefits for mankind. But the wrong in them is greater than the benefit.’ They will ask you what they should give away. Say, ‘Whatever
is surplus to your needs.’ In this way Allah makes the Signs clear to you, so that hopefully you will reflect.

5. 220 ...on this world and the Next. They will ask you about the property of orphans. Say, ‘Managing it in their best interests is best.’ If you mix your property with theirs, they are your brothers. Allah knows a squanderer from a good manager. If Allah had wanted, He could have been hard on you. Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.

6. 221 Do not marry women of the idolaters until they believe. A slavegirl who is one of the believers is better for you than a woman of the idolaters, even though she may attract you. And do not give [your women] in marriage to men of the idolaters until they believe. A slave who is one of the believers is better for you than a man of the idolaters, even though he may attract you. Such people call you to the Fire whereas Allah calls you, with His permission, to the Garden and forgiveness. He makes His Signs clear to people so that hopefully they will pay heed.

7. 222 They will ask you about menstruation. Say, ‘It is an impurity, so keep apart from women during menstruation and do not approach them until they have purified themselves. But once they have purified themselves, then go to them in the way that Allah has enjoined on you.’ Allah loves those who turn back from wrongdoing and He loves those who purify themselves.

8. 223 Your women are fertile fields for you, so come to your fertile fields however you like. Send good ahead for yourselves and be fearful of Allah. Know that
you are going to meet Him. And give good news to the believers.

9. 224 Do not, by your oaths, make Allah a pretext to avoid good action and being fearful of Him and putting things right between people. Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

10. 225 Allah will not take you to task for careless statements in your oaths, but He will take you to task for the intention your hearts have made. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, All-Forbearing.

11. 226 Those who swear to abstain from sexual relations with their wives can wait for a period of up to four months. If they then retract their oath, Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful. 227 If they are determined to divorce, Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

12. 228 Divorced women should wait by themselves for three menstrual cycles; and it is not lawful for them to conceal what Allah has created in their wombs if they believe in Allah and the Last Day. Their husbands have the right to take them back within that time, if they desire to be reconciled. Women possess rights similar to those held over them to be honoured with fairness; but men have a degree above them. Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.

13. 229 Divorce can be pronounced two times; in which case wives may be retained with correctness and courtesy or released with good will. It is not lawful for you to keep anything you have given them unless a couple fear that they will not remain within Allah’s limits. If you fear that they will not remain within Allah’s limits, there is nothing wrong in the wife
ransoming herself with some of what she received. These are Allah’s limits so do not overstep them. Those who overstep Allah’s limits are wrongdoers.

14. 230 But if a man divorces his wife a third time, she is not lawful for him after that until she has married another husband. Then if he divorces her, there is nothing wrong in the original couple getting back together provided they think they will remain within Allah’s limits. These are Allah’s limits which he has made clear to people who know.

15. 231 When you divorce women and they reach the end of their waiting period, then either retain them with correctness and courtesy or release them with correctness and courtesy. Do not retain them by force, thus overstepping the limits. Anyone who does that has wronged himself. Do not make a mockery of Allah’s Signs. Remember Allah’s blessing to you and the Book and Wisdom He has sent down to you to admonish you. Be fearful of Allah and know that Allah has knowledge of all things.

16. 232 When you divorce women and they reach the end of their waiting period, do not prevent them from marrying their first husbands if they have mutually agreed to it with correctness and courtesy. This is an admonition for those of you who believe in Allah and the Last Day. That is better and purer for you. Allah knows and you do not know.

17. 233 Mothers should nurse their children for two full years – those who wish to complete the full term of nursing. It is the duty of the fathers to feed and clothe them with correctness and courtesy – no self
is charged with more than it can bear. No mother should be put under pressure in respect of her child nor any father in respect of his child. The same duty is incumbent on the heir. If the couple both wish weaning to take place after mutual agreement and consultation, there is nothing wrong in their doing that. If you wish to find wet-nurses for your children, there is nothing wrong in your doing that provided you hand over to them what you have agreed to give with correctness and courtesy. Be fearful of Allah and know that Allah sees what you do.

18. 234 Those of you who die leaving wives behind: they should wait by themselves for four months and ten nights. When their waiting period comes to an end, you are not to blame for anything they do with themselves with correctness and courtesy. Allah is aware of what you do.

19. 235 Nor is there anything wrong in any allusion to marriage you make to a woman, nor for any you keep to yourself. Allah knows that you will say things to them. But do not make secret arrangements with them, rather only speak with correctness and courtesy. Do not finally decide on the marriage contract until the prescribed period has come to its end. Know that Allah knows what is in your selves, so beware of Him! And know that Allah is Ever-Forgiving, All-Forbearing.

20. 236 There is nothing wrong in your divorcing women before you have touched them or allotted a dower to them. But give them a gift – he who is wealthy according to his means and he who is less
well off according to his means – a gift to be given with correctness and courtesy: a duty for all good-doers.

21. 237 If you divorce them before you have touched them but have already allotted them a dower, they should have half the amount which you allotted, unless they forgo it or the one in charge of the marriage contract forgoes it. To forgo it is closer to taqwā. Do not forget to show generosity to one another. Allah sees what you do.

22. 238 Safeguard the prayer – especially the middle one. Stand in obedience to Allah.

23. 239 If you are afraid, then do the prayer on foot or mounted. But when you are safe, remember Allah in the way He taught you when previously you did not know.

24. 240 Those of you who die leaving wives behind should make a bequest to their wives of maintenance for a year without them having to leave their homes. But if they do leave you are not to blame for anything they do with themselves with correctness and courtesy. Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.

25. 241 Divorced women should receive maintenance given with correctness and courtesy: a duty for all who are godfearing. 242 In this way Allah makes His Signs clear to you, so that hopefully you will use your intellect.

26. 243 What do you think about those who left their homes in thousands in fear of death? Allah said to them, ‘Die!’ and then brought them back to life. Allah shows great favour to mankind, but most people are not grateful.
27. **244** Fight in the Way of Allah. Know that Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

28. **245** Is there anyone who will make Allah a generous loan so that He can multiply it for him many times over? Allah both restricts and expands. And you will be returned to Him.

29. **246** What do you think about the council of the tribe of Israel after Mūsā’s time when they said to one of their Prophets, ‘Give us a king and we will fight in the Way of Allah!’? He said, ‘Is it not possible that if fighting were prescribed for you, you would not fight?’ They said, ‘How could we not fight in the way of Allah when we have been driven from our homes and children?’ But then when fighting was prescribed for them, they turned their backs – except for a few of them. Allah knows the wrongdoers.

30. **247** Their Prophet said to them, ‘Allah has appointed Ṭālūt to be your king.’ They said, ‘How can he have kingship over us when we have much more right to kingship than he does? He has not even got much wealth!’ He said, ‘Allah has chosen him over you and increased him greatly in knowledge and physical strength. Allah gives kingship to anyone He wills. Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.’

31. **248** Their Prophet said to them, ‘The sign of his kingship is that the Ark will come to you, containing serenity from your Lord and certain relics left by the families of Mūsā and Hārūn. It will be borne by angels. There is a sign for you in that if you are believers.’
32. 249 When Tālūt marched out with the army, he said, ‘Allah will test you with a river. Anyone who drinks from it is not with me. But anyone who does not taste it is with me – except for him who merely scoops up a little in his hand.’ But they drank from it – except for a few of them. Then when he and those who believed with him had crossed it, they said, ‘We do not have the strength to face Jālūt and his troops today.’ But those who were sure that they were going to meet Allah said, ‘How many a small force has triumphed over a much greater one by Allah’s permission! Allah is with the steadfast.

33. 250 When they came out against Jālūt and his troops, they said, ‘Our Lord, pour down steadfastness upon us, and make our feet firm, and help us against this unbelieving people.’

34. 251 And with Allah’s permission they routed them. Dāwud killed Jālūt and Allah gave him kingship and wisdom and taught him whatever He willed. If it were not for Allah’s driving some people back by means of others, the earth would have been corrupted. But Allah shows favour to all the worlds.

35. 252 Those are Allah’s Signs which We recite to you with truth. You are indeed one of the Messengers.

36. 253 These Messengers: We favoured some of them over others. Allah spoke directly to some of them and raised up some of them in rank. We gave Clear Signs to ‘Īsā, son of Maryam, and reinforced him with the Purest Rūḥ. If Allah had willed, those who came after them would not have fought each other after the Clear Signs came to them, but they differed. Among them there are those who believe
and among them there are those who disbelieve. If Allah had willed, they would not have fought each other. But Allah does whatever He desires.
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142 The fools among the people will ask, ‘What has made them turn round from the direction they used to face?’ Say, ‘Both East and West belong to Allah. He guides whoever He wills to a straight path.’

143 In this way We have made you a middlemost community, so that you may act as witnesses against mankind and the Messenger as a witness against you. We only appointed the direction you used to face in order to know those who follow the Messenger from those who turn round on their heels. Though in truth it is a very hard thing – except for those Allah has guided. Allah would never let your faith go to waste. Allah is All-Gentle, Most Merciful to mankind.

144 We have seen you looking up into heaven, turning this way and that, so We will turn you towards a direction which will please you. Turn your face, therefore, towards the Masjid al-Ḥarām. Wherever you all are, turn your faces towards it. Those given the Book know it is the truth from their Lord. Allah is not unaware of what they do.

145 If you were to bring every Sign to those given the Book, they still would not follow your direction. You do not follow their direction. They do not follow each other’s direction. If you followed their whims and desires, after the knowledge that has come to you, you would then be one of the wrongdoers.
Those We have given the Book recognise it as they recognise their own sons. Yet a group of them knowingly conceal the truth.

Those We have given the Book recognise it as they recognise their own sons. Yet a group of them knowingly conceal the truth.

The truth is from your Lord, so on no account be among the doubters.

The truth is from your Lord, so on no account be among the doubters.

Each person faces a particular direction so race each other to the good. Wherever you are, Allah will bring you all together. Truly Allah has power over all things.

Each person faces a particular direction so race each other to the good. Wherever you are, Allah will bring you all together. Truly Allah has power over all things.

Wherever you come from, turn your face to the Masjid al-Ḥarām. This is certainly the truth from your Lord. Allah is not unaware of what you do.

Wherever you come from, turn your face to the Masjid al-Ḥarām. Wherever you are, turn your faces towards it so that people will have no argument against you – except for those among them who do wrong and then you should not fear them but rather fear Me – and so that I can complete My blessing to you so that hopefully you will be guided.

Wherever you come from, turn your face to the Masjid al-Ḥarām. Wherever you are, turn your faces towards it so that people will have no argument against you – except for those among them who do wrong and then you should not fear them but rather fear Me – and so that I can complete My blessing to you so that hopefully you will be guided.

For this We sent a Messenger to you from among you to recite Our Signs to you and purify you and teach you the Book and Wisdom and teach you things you did not know before.

For this We sent a Messenger to you from among you to recite Our Signs to you and purify you and teach you the Book and Wisdom and teach you things you did not know before.

Remember Me – I will remember you. Give thanks to Me and do not be ungrateful.

Remember Me – I will remember you. Give thanks to Me and do not be ungrateful.

Do not say that those who are killed in the Way of Allah are dead. On the contrary, they are alive but you are not aware of it.

Do not say that those who are killed in the Way of Allah are dead. On the contrary, they are alive but you are not aware of it.

We will test you with a certain amount of fear and hunger and loss of wealth and life and fruits. But give
good news to the steadfast:
156 Those who, when disaster strikes them, say, ‘We belong to Allah and to Him we will return. 157 Those are the people who will have blessings and mercy from their Lord; they are the ones who are guided.

158 Ṣafā and Marwah are among the Landmarks of Allah, so anyone who goes on ḥajj to the House or does ‘umrah incurs no wrong in going back and forth between them. If anyone spontaneously does good, Allah is All-Thankful, All-Knowing.

159 Those who hide the Clear Signs and Guidance We have sent down, after We have made it clear to people in the Book, Allah curses them, and the cursers curse them – 41 except for those who sincerely repent and put things right and make things clear. I turn towards them. I am the Ever-Returning, the Most Merciful.

160 But as for those who are unbelievers and die unbelievers, the curse of Allah is upon them and that of the angels and all mankind. 162 They will be under it for ever. The punishment will not be lightened for them. They will be granted no reprieve.

163 Your God is One God. ‘There is no god but Him, the All-Merciful, the Most Merciful.

164 In the creation of the heavens and earth, and the alternation of the night and day, and the ships which sail the seas to people’s benefit, and the water which Allah sends down from the sky – by which He brings the earth to life when it was dead and scatters about in it creatures of every kind – and the varying direction of the winds, and the clouds subservient between heaven and earth, there are Signs for people who use their intellect.
165 Some people set up equals to Allah, loving them as they should love Allah. But those who believe have greater love for Allah. If only you could see those who do wrong at the time when they see the punishment, and that truly all strength belongs to Allah, and that Allah is severe in punishment.

166 When those who were followed disown those who followed them, and they see the punishment, and the connection between them is cut,

167 those who followed will say, ‘If only we could have another chance, we would disown them just as they have disowned us.’ In that way Allah will show them their actions as a cause of anguish and remorse for them. They will never emerge from the Fire.

168 Mankind! eat what is good and lawful on the earth. And do not follow in the footsteps of Shayṭān. He truly is an outright enemy to you.

169 He only commands you to do evil and indecent acts and to say about Allah what you do not know.

170 When they are told, ‘Follow what Allah has sent down to you,’ They say, ‘We are following what we found our fathers doing.’ What, even though their fathers did not understand a thing and were not guided!

171 The likeness of those who disbelieve is that of someone who yells out to something which cannot hear it is nothing but a cry and a call. Deaf – dumb – blind. They do not use their intellect.

172 You who believe! eat of the good things We have provided for you and give thanks to Allah if you worship Him alone.

173 He has only forbidden you carrion, blood and pork
and what has been consecrated to other than Allah. But anyone who is forced to eat it – without desiring it or going to excess in it – commits no crime. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

174 Those who conceal what Allah has sent down of the Book and sell it cheap, take nothing into their bellies but the Fire. On the Day of Rising Allah will not speak to them or purify them. They will have a painful punishment.

175 Those are the ones who have sold guidance for misguidance and forgiveness for punishment. How steadfastly they will endure the Fire!

176 That is because Allah has sent down the Book with truth and those who differ from the Book are entrenched in hostility.

177 True goodness does not lie in turning your faces to the East or to the West. Rather, those with true goodness are those who believe in Allah and the Last Day, the Angels, the Book and the Prophets, and who, despite their love for it, give away their wealth to their relatives and to orphans and the very poor, and to travellers and beggars and to set slaves free, and who establish the prayer and pay zakat; those who honour their contracts when they make them, and are steadfast in poverty and illness and in battle. Those are the people who are true. They are the people who are godfearing.

178 You who believe! retaliation is prescribed for you in the case of people killed: free man for free man, slave for slave, female for female. But if someone is absolved the thing by his brother, blood-money should be claimed with correctness and paid with good will. That is an
easement and a mercy from your Lord. Anyone who goes beyond the limits after this will receive a painful punishment.

179 There is life for you in retaliation, people of intelligence, so that hopefully you will be godfearing.

180 It is prescribed for you, when death approaches one of you and if he has some goods to leave, to make a will in favour of his parents and relatives, correctly and fairly: a duty for all those who are godfearing.

181 Then if anyone alters it after hearing it, the crime is on the part of those who alter it. Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

182 But if someone fears bias or wrongdoing on the part of the person making the will, and puts things right between the people involved, in that case he has not committed any crime. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

183 You who believe! fasting is prescribed for you, as it was prescribed for those before you – so that hopefully you will become godfearing – 184 for a specified number of days. But any of you who are ill or on a journey should fast a number of other days. For those who are able to fast [only with difficulty and do not fast], their fidyah is to feed the poor. And if someone does good of his own accord, it is better for him. But that you should fast is better for you, If you only knew.

185 The month of Ramadan is the one in which the Qur’an was sent down as guidance for mankind, with Clear Signs containing guidance and discrimination. Any of you who are resident for the month should fast it. But any of you who are ill or on a journey should fast a
number of other days. Allah desires ease for you; He does not desire difficulty for you. You should complete the number of days and proclaim Allah’s greatness for the guidance He has given you so that hopefully you will be thankful.

186 If My slaves ask you about Me, I am near. I answer the call of the caller when he calls on Me. They should therefore respond to Me and believe in Me so that hopefully they will be rightly guided.

187 On the night of the fast it is lawful for you to have sexual relations with your wives. They are clothing for you and you for them. Allah knows that you have been betraying yourselves and He has turned towards you and excused you. Now you may have sexual intercourse with them and seek what Allah has written for you. Eat and drink until you can clearly discern the white thread from the black thread of the dawn, then fulfil the fast until the night appears. But do not have sexual intercourse with them while you are in retreat in the mosques. These are Allah’s limits, so do not go near them. In this way does Allah make His Signs clear to people so that hopefully they will be godfearing.

188 Do not devour one another’s property by false means nor offer it to the judges as a bribe, trying through crime to knowingly usurp a portion of other people’s property.

189 They will ask you about the crescent moons. Say, ‘They are set times for mankind and for the ḥajj.’ It is not devoutness for you to enter houses by the back. Rather devoutness is possessed by those who are godfearing. So come to houses by their doors and have fear of Allah, so that hopefully you will be successful.
190 Fight in the Way of Allah against those who fight you, but do not go beyond the limits. Allah does not love those who go beyond the limits.

191 Kill them wherever you come across them and expel them from where they expelled you. Fitnah is worse than killing. Do not fight them in the Masjid al-Ḥarām until they fight you there. But if they do fight you, then kill them. That is how the unbelievers should be repaid. 192 But if they cease, Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

193 Fight them until there is no more fitnah and the dīn belongs to Allah alone. If they cease, there should be no enmity towards any but wrongdoers.

194 Sacred month in return for sacred month – sacred things are subject to retaliation. So if anyone oversteps the limits against you, overstep against him the same as he did to you. But have fear of Allah. Know that Allah is with those who are godfearing.

195 Spend in the Way of Allah. Do not cast yourselves into destruction. And do good: Allah loves good-doers.

196 Perform the Ḥajj and ‘umrah for Allah. If you are forcibly prevented, make whatever sacrifice is feasible. But do not shave your heads until the sacrificial animal has reached the place of sacrifice. If any of you are ill or have a head injury, the expiation is fasting or ṣadaqah or sacrifice when you are safe and well again. Anyone who comes out of iḥrām between ‘umrah and ḥajj should make whatever sacrifice is feasible. For any one who cannot, there is three days’ fast on ḥajj. and seven on your return – that is ten in all. That is for anyone whose family does not live near the Masjid al-Ḥarām. Be fearful of Allah and know that Allah is fierce in retribution.
197 The Ḥajj takes place during certain well-known months. If anyone undertakes the obligation of ḥajj in them, there must be no sexual intercourse, no wrongdoing, nor any quarrelling during hajj. Whatever good you do, Allah knows it. Take provision; but the best provision is fearful awareness of Allah. So be fearful of Me, people of intelligence!

198 There is nothing wrong in seeking bounty from your Lord. When you pour down from ‘Arafāt, remember Allah at the Sacred Landmark. Remember Him because He has guided you, even though before this you were astray.

199 Then press on from where the people press on and ask Allah’s forgiveness. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

200 When you have completed your rites, remember Allah as you used to remember your forefathers, or even more. There are some people who say, ‘Our Lord, give us good in this world.’ They will have no share in the Next World.

201 And there are others who say, ‘Our Lord, give us good in this world, and good in the Next World, and safeguard us from the punishment of the Fire.’

202 They will have a good share from what they have earned. Allah is swift at reckoning.

203 Remember Allah on the designated days. Those who hurry on in two days have done no wrong, and those who stay another day have done no wrong – those of them who are fearful of Allah. Be fearful of Allah. And know that you will be gathered back to Him.

204 Among the people there is someone whose words
about the life of this world excite your admiration, and he calls Allah to witness what is in his heart, while he is in fact the most hostile of adversaries.

205 When he leaves you, he goes about the earth corrupting it, destroying crops and animals Allah does not love corruption.

206 When he is told to be fearful of Allah, he is seized by a feeling of might which drives him to wrongdoing. Hell will be enough for him! What an evil resting-place!

207 And among the people there are some who give up everything, desiring the good pleasure of Allah. Allah is Ever-Gentle with His slaves.

208 You who believe! enter Islam totally. Do not follow in the footsteps of Shayṭān. He is an outright enemy to you.

209 If you backslide after the Clear Signs have come to you, know that Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.

210 What are they waiting for but for Allah to come to them in the shadows of the clouds, together with the angels, in which case the matter will have been settled? All matters return to Allah.

211 Ask the tribe of Israel how many Clear Signs We gave to them. If anyone alters Allah’s blessing after it has come to him, Allah is fierce in retribution.

212 To those who disbelieve, the life of this world is painted in glowing colours and they laugh at those who believe. But on the Day of Rising those who fear Allah will be over them. Allah provides for whomever He wills without any reckoning.

213 Mankind was a single community. Then Allah sent out Prophets, bringing good news and giving warning, and with them He sent down the Book with truth to
decide between people regarding their differences. Only those who were given it differed about it, after the Clear Signs had come to them, envying one another. Then, by His permission, Allah guided those who believed to the truth of that about which they had differed. Allah guides whoever He wills to a straight path.

214 Or did you suppose that you would enter the Garden without facing the same as those who came before you? Poverty and illness afflicted them and they were shaken to the point that the Messenger and those who believed with him said, ‘When is Allah’s help coming?’ Be assured that Allah’s help is very near.

215 They will ask you what they should give away. Say, ‘Any wealth you give away should go to your parents and relatives and to orphans and the very poor and travellers.’ Whatever good you do, Allah knows it.

216 Fighting is prescribed for you even if it is hateful to you. It may be that you hate something when it is good for you and it may be that you love something when it is bad for you. Allah knows and you do not know.

217 They will ask you about the Sacred Month and fighting in it. Say, ‘Fighting in it is a serious matter; but barring access to the Way of Allah and rejecting Him and barring access to the Masjid al-Ḥarām and expelling its people from it are far more serious in the sight of Allah. Fitnah is worse than killing.’ They will not stop fighting you until they make you revert from your dīn, if they are able. As for any of you who revert from their dīn and die unbelievers, their actions will come to nothing in this world and the Next. They are the Companions of the Fire, remaining in it timelessly, for ever. 218 Those who believe and
make hijrah and do jihād in the Way of Allah can expect Allah’s mercy. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

219 They will ask you about alcoholic drinks and gambling. Say, ‘There is great wrong in both of them and also certain benefits for mankind. But the wrong in them is greater than the benefit.’ They will ask you what they should give away. Say, ‘Whatever is surplus to your needs.’ In this way Allah makes the Signs clear to you, so that hopefully you will reflect.

220 …on this world and the Next. They will ask you about the property of orphans. Say, ‘Managing it in their best interests is best.’ If you mix your property with theirs, they are your brothers. Allah knows a squanderer from a good manager. If Allah had wanted, He could have been hard on you. Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.

221 Do not marry women of the idolaters until they believe. A slavegirl who is one of the believers is better for you than a woman of the idolaters, even though she may attract you. And do not give [your women] in marriage to men of the idolaters until they believe. A slave who is one of the believers is better for you than a man of the idolaters, even though he may attract you. Such people call you to the Fire whereas Allah calls you, with His permission, to the Garden and forgiveness. He makes His Signs clear to people so that hopefully they will pay heed.

222 They will ask you about menstruation. Say, ‘It is an impurity, so keep apart from women during menstruation and do not approach them until they have purified themselves. But once they have purified themselves, then go to them in the way that Allah has enjoined on you.’ Allah loves those who turn back from wrongdoing and He loves those who purify themselves.

223 Your women are fertile fields for you, so come to your
fertile fields however you like. Send good ahead for yourselves and be fearful of Allah. Know that you are going to meet Him. And give good news to the believers.

224 Do not, by your oaths, make Allah a pretext to avoid good action and being fearful of Him and putting things right between people. Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

225 Allah will not take you to task for careless statements in your oaths, but He will take you to task for the intention your hearts have made. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, All-Forbearing.

226 Those who swear to abstain from sexual relations with their wives can wait for a period of up to four months. If they then retract their oath, Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

227 If they are determined to divorce, Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

228 Divorced women should wait by themselves for three menstrual cycles; and it is not lawful for them to conceal what Allah has created in their wombs if they believe in Allah and the Last Day. Their husbands have the right to take them back within that time, if they desire to be reconciled. Women possess rights similar to those held over them to be honoured with fairness; but men have a degree above them. Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.

229 Divorce can be pronounced two times; in which case wives may be retained with correctness and courtesy or released with good will. It is not lawful for you to keep anything you have given them unless a couple fear that they will not remain within Allah’s limits. If you fear that they will not remain within Allah’s limits, there is nothing wrong in the wife ransoming herself with some of what she received. These are Allah’s limits so do not overstep them. Those who overstep Allah’s limits are wrongdoers.
230 But if a man divorces his wife a third time, she is not lawful for him after that until she has married another husband. Then if he divorces her, there is nothing wrong in the original couple getting back together provided they think they will remain within Allah’s limits. These are Allah’s limits which he has made clear to people who know.

231 When you divorce women and they reach the end of their waiting period, then either retain them with correctness and courtesy or release them with correctness and courtesy. Do not retain them by force, thus overstepping the limits. Anyone who does that has wronged himself. Do not make a mockery of Allah’s Signs. Remember Allah’s blessing to you and the Book and Wisdom He has sent down to you to admonish you. Be fearful of Allah and know that Allah has knowledge of all things.

232 When you divorce women and they reach the end of their waiting period, do not prevent them from marrying their first husbands if they have mutually agreed to it with correctness and courtesy. This is an admonition for those of you who believe in Allah and the Last Day. That is better and purer for you. Allah knows and you do not know.

233 Mothers should nurse their children for two full years – those who wish to complete the full term of nursing. It is the duty of the fathers to feed and clothe them with correctness and courtesy – no self is charged with more than it can bear. No mother should be put under pressure in respect of her child nor any father in respect of his child. The same duty is incumbent on the heir. If the couple both wish weaning to take place after mutual agreement and consultation, there is nothing wrong in their doing that. If you wish to find wet-nurses for your children, there is nothing wrong in your doing that provided you hand over to them what you have agreed to
give with correctness and courtesy. Be fearful of Allah and know that Allah sees what you do.

234 Those of you who die leaving wives behind: they should wait by themselves for four months and ten nights. When their waiting period comes to an end, you are not to blame for anything they do with themselves with correctness and courtesy. Allah is aware of what you do.

235 Nor is there anything wrong in any allusion to marriage you make to a woman, nor for any you keep to yourself. Allah knows that you will say things to them. But do not make secret arrangements with them, rather only speak with correctness and courtesy. Do not finally decide on the marriage contract until the prescribed period has come to its end. Know that Allah knows what is in your selves, so beware of Him! And know that Allah is Ever-Forgiving, All-Forbearing.

236 There is nothing wrong in your divorcing women before you have touched them or allotted a dower to them. But give them a gift – he who is wealthy according to his means and he who is less well off according to his means – a gift to be given with correctness and courtesy: a duty for all good-doers.

237 If you divorce them before you have touched them but have already allotted them a dower, they should have half the amount which you allotted, unless they forgo it or the one in charge of the marriage contract forgoes it. To forgo it is closer to taqwā. Do not forget to show generosity to one another. Allah sees what you do.

238 Safeguard the prayer – especially the middle one. Stand in obedience to Allah.

239 If you are afraid, then do the prayer on foot or mounted. But when you are safe, remember Allah in the way He taught you when previously you did not know.
240 Those of you who die leaving wives behind should make a bequest to their wives of maintenance for a year without them having to leave their homes. But if they do leave you are not to blame for anything they do with themselves with correctness and courtesy. Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.

241 Divorced women should receive maintenance given with correctness and courtesy: a duty for all who are godfearing. 242 In this way Allah makes His Signs clear to you, so that hopefully you will use your intellect.

243 What do you think about those who left their homes in thousands in fear of death? Allah said to them, ‘Die!’ and then brought them back to life. Allah shows great favour to mankind, but most people are not grateful.

244 Fight in the Way of Allah. Know that Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

245 Is there anyone who will make Allah a generous loan so that He can multiply it for him many times over? Allah both restricts and expands. And you will be returned to Him.

246 What do you think about the council of the tribe of Israel after Mūsā’s time when they said to one of their Prophets, ‘Give us a king and we will fight in the Way of Allah!’? He said, ‘Is it not possible that if fighting were prescribed for you, you would not fight?’ They said, ‘How could we not fight in the way of Allah when we have been driven from our homes and children?’ But then when fighting was prescribed for them, they turned their backs – except for a few of them. Allah knows the wrongdoers.

247 Their Prophet said to them, ‘Allah has appointed Ṭālūt to be your king.’ They said, ‘How can he have kingship over us when we have much more right to kingship than he does? He has not even got much wealth!’ He said, ‘Allah has chosen him
over you and increased him greatly in knowledge and physical strength. Allah gives kingship to anyone He wills. Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.’

248 Their Prophet said to them, ‘The sign of his kingship is that the Ark will come to you, containing serenity from your Lord and certain relics left by the families of Mūsā and Hārūn. It will be borne by angels. There is a sign for you in that if you are believers.’

249 When Tālūt marched out with the army, he said, ‘Allah will test you with a river. Anyone who drinks from it is not with me. But anyone who does not taste it is with me – except for him who merely scoops up a little in his hand.’ But they drank from it – except for a few of them. Then when he and those who believed with him had crossed it, they said, ‘We do not have the strength to face Jālūt and his troops today.’ But those who were sure that they were going to meet Allah said, ‘How many a small force has triumphed over a much greater one by Allah’s permission! Allah is with the steadfast.

250 When they came out against Jālūt and his troops, they said, ‘Our Lord, pour down steadfastness upon us, and make our feet firm, and help us against this unbelieving people.’

251 And with Allah’s permission they routed them. Dāwud killed Jālūt and Allah gave him kingship and wisdom and taught him whatever He willed. If it were not for Allah’s driving some people back by means of others, the earth would have been corrupted. But Allah shows favour to all the worlds.

252 Those are Allah’s Signs which We recite to you with truth. You are indeed one of the Messengers.

253 These Messengers: We favoured some of them over others. Allah spoke directly to some of them and raised up some of them in rank. We gave Clear Signs to ʻĪsā, son of Maryam, and
reinforced him with the Purest Rūḥ. If Allah had willed, those who came after them would not have fought each other after the Clear Signs came to them, but they differed. Among them there are those who believe and among them there are those who disbelieve. If Allah had willed, they would not have fought each other. But Allah does whatever He desires.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabic</th>
<th>Latin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ء</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>\‘</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>th</td>
<td>gh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j</td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>q</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kh</td>
<td>k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dh</td>
<td>m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>w</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sh</td>
<td>y</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Long vowel**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabic</th>
<th>Latin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ā</td>
<td>a [fatḥah]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ū</td>
<td>u [dammah]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ī</td>
<td>i [kasrah]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ꜞw</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ꜞy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Short vowel**
TRANSLATOR’S NOTE

The Arabic for the āyats is from the Algerian State edition of the riwāyah of Imam Warsh from the qirā’ah of Imam Nāfi’ of Madina, whose recitation is one of the ten mutawātir recitations that are mass-
transmitted from the time of the Prophet ﷺ.

There are minor omissions in the text. Some poems have been omitted which the author quotes to illustrate a point of grammatical usage or as an example of orthography or the usage of a word, often a derivative of the root of the word used in the āyah, but not the actual word used. Often it is difficult to convey the sense in English. Occasionally the author explores a grammatical matter or a tangential issue, and some of these may have been shortened. English grammatical terms used to translate Arabic grammatical terms do not have exactly the same meaning, sometimes rendering a precise translation of them problematic and often obscure.

The end of a juz’ may vary by an āyah or two in order to preserve relevant passages.
2. **Sūrat al-Baqarah – The Cow 254 – 286**

254 You who believe! give away some of what We have provided for you before a Day arrives on which there is no trading, no close friendship and no intercession. It is the unbelievers who are the wrongdoers.

Al-Ḥasan said that this āyah refers to obligatory zakāt. Ibn Jurayj and Saʿīd ibn Jubayr said that it includes both obligatory zakāt and ṣadaqah. Ibn ‘Atiyyah says that this is sound, but the previous āyahs, which mention fighting and tell us that Allah drives back the unbelievers by means of the believers, makes it probable that this is a recommendation rather than an explicit command. It is in the Way of Allah. That is reinforced by the words at the end: ‘It is the unbelievers who are the wrongdoers,’ meaning: ‘Fight with your lives and also by spending your wealth.’

According to this interpretation, spending wealth is sometimes mandatory and sometimes recommended according to whether jihād is obligatory individually or not. Allah commands His slaves to spend from what He has provided them with and blessed them by, and warns them against withholding from spending until a day comes on which it is not possible to buy or sell or obtain maintenance. It is as He says: ‘He says, “My Lord, if only You would give me a little more time so that I can give ṣadaqah.”’ (63:10)

‘Khullah’ (close friendship) means sincere mutual love. It is derived from the word takhallala, which refers to the interpenetration of secrets between friends. Khilālah, khulālah and khalālah are all words for true friendship and love. A poet says:
How do you connect with someone whose true friendship (khalālah) is like the welcoming shade?

*Khullah* can also mean sweet herbage. It is said that ‘khullah’ is the bread of camels and salty herbage is their fruit. *Khallah* means need and poverty. It is also a male camel in its second year, as al-Aṣmā’ī said. An expression says, ‘They brought them a round cake of bread as though it were the foot of a khallah.’ One says of a dead person, ‘May Allah put right the gap (khallah) he has left.’ *Khallah* is also sour wine. *Khillah* is the scabbard of a sword. More will be said about the derivation of Khalil (friend) in Sūrat an-Nisā’. Allah tells us that there will no friendship or intercession in the Next World except by the permission of Allah. Its reality is mercy from Him to honour the one who is permitted to intercede.

Ibn Kathīr and Abū ‘Amr recited ‘bay’a fīhī walā khullata walā shaftā’ata’ in the accusative without tanwīn. The same is found in 14:31 and 42:23. The rest recite all of that in the nominative and with tanwīn. Having a *fatḥah* in the general negative embraces all the aspects of this category. It is as if it is an answer to the person who asks, ‘Is there any trading on that day?’ asking a general question which has a general negative response. ‘Lā’ with a negative noun is in the position of one noun in the nominative case by the inceptive whose predicate is ‘on it’. If you wish, you can make it an adjective of ‘Day’. Those who make it nominative put ‘lā’ in the position of ‘laysa’ and give an answer which is not undefined. It is as if it is the answer to someone who asks, ‘Is there trading on it?’ omitting ‘any’. So the answer is not changed from the nominative case. Makkī said, ‘The nominative is preferred because most reciters have it, and it is permitted outside of the Qur’ān. [SOME OMISSION]

**It is the unbelievers who are the wrongdoers.**

‘Unbelievers’ is the inceptive and ‘who’ is a second inceptive and ‘wrongdoers’ is the predicate of the second. If you wish, ‘who’ can be redundant for the distinction and ‘wrongdoers’ is the predicate of
‘unbelievers’. ‘Aṭā’ ibn Dīnār said, ‘Praise be to Allah who said this, and did not say that the wrongdoers are the unbelievers!’

255 Allah, there is no god but Him, the Living, the Self-Sustaining. He is not subject to drowsiness or sleep. Everything in the heavens and the earth belongs to Him. Who can intercede with Him except by His permission? He knows what is before them and what is behind them but they cannot grasp any of His knowledge save what He wills. His Footstool encompasses the heavens and the earth and their preservation does not tire Him. He is the Most High, the Magnificent.

Allah, there is no god but Him,

This is the Throne Verse, the Master of the āyahs of the Qur‘an and the greatest āyah. It was revealed at night and the Prophet summoned Zayd and he wrote it down. It is related that Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥanafiyyah said, ‘When the Āyat al-Kursī was revealed, every idol in this world prostrated, every king in this world prostrated and the crowns fell from their heads. The Shayṭāns fled, hitting one another, and went to Iblīs and told him what was happening among them. He commanded them to investigate what had occurred and they went to Madīnah and heard that the Throne Verse had been revealed.’

The Imams report from Ubayy ibn Ka‘b: ‘The Messenger of Allah said, “Abu-l-Mundhir! Do you know which verse of the Book of Allah is the greatest?” I said, “Allah and His Messenger know best.” He repeated, “Abu-l-Mundhir! Do you know which verse of the
Book of Allah is the greatest?” I said, “Allah, there is no god but Him, the Living, the Self-Sustaining.” He struck my chest and said, “May you enjoy this knowledge, Abu-l-Mundhir!” Abū ‘Abdullāh at-Tirmidhī al-Ḥakīm added, ‘By the One Who has my soul in His hand, this āyah has a tongue and two lips with which it proclaims the sanctity of the King at the foot of the Throne.’ Abū ‘Abdullāh said, ‘Allah revealed this āyah and made the reward for its reciter both immediate and later. The immediate reward is that it protects the one who recites it from disasters.’ It is related that Nawf al-Bikālī said, ‘In the Torah the Throne Verse is called the friend of Allah.’ He means that the one who recites it is called ‘dearest’ (‘azīz) in the domains of the heaven and earth.’ When ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn ‘Awf entered his house, he would recite the Āyat al-Kursī in the four comers of his house, intending by that to guard the four sides and to expel Shayṭān from the House.

It is related that ‘Umar wrestled a jinn and threw him to the ground. The jinn said to him, ‘Let me go and I will teach that which will protect you from me.’ He let him go and he said, ‘You will be protected from me by the Throne Verse.’ This is sound. We read in a tradition, ‘If someone recites the Āyat al-Kursī after every prayer, the Master of Majesty and Nobility will take his spirit directly and he will be like one who fights alongside the Prophets of Allah until he is martyred.’ ‘Alī said, ‘I heard your Prophet say on the minbar, “If someone recites the Āyat al-Kursī after every prayer, only death keeps him from entering the Garden, and only someone who is true or a worshipper will persist in it. If someone recites it when he goes to bed, Allah will protect him, his neighbour, his neighbour’s neighbour and the houses around him.””

We find in al-Bukhārī in the story of Abū Hurayrah regarding Shayṭān, when the Prophet put him in charge of guarding the zakāt of Ramadan, that he said, ‘Messenger of Allah, he claimed that he would teach me some words by which Allah would benefit me. So
I let him go.’ ‘What were they?’ he asked. He said, ‘He told me, “When you go to bed, recite the Throne Verse from beginning to end.”’ He told me, “A guardian will continue to watch over you and Shayṭān will not come near you until morning.”’ They were the most eager of people for good. The Prophetﷺ said, ‘He has told you the truth although he is a liar. Do you know to whom you were speaking the past three nights, Abū Hurayrah?’ ‘No,’ he answered. He said, ‘That was Shayṭān.’

We find in the *Musnad* of Abū Muḥammad ad-Dārimī that ash-Sha’bī said that ‘Abdullāh ibn Maṣ‘ūd said, ‘One of the Companions of Muḥammadﷺ met a man of the jinn and they wrestled and the human being threw him down. The human told him, ‘I see that you are scrawny and thin, with forearms like those of a dog. Are all the jinn like this or just you?’ He answered, ‘No, by Allah! I am considered one of the strong ones among them! Let us wrestle again. If you throw me down, I will teach you something which will be of benefit to you!’ ‘Yes,’ he replied. So he wrestled and he threw him down. The jinn said, ‘Recite the Throne Verse.’ ‘Yes,’ he agreed. He said, ‘No one recites it in a house but that shayṭān leaves it, breaking wind like a donkey and then will not enter it again until morning.’ Abū Nuʿaym transmitted it from Abū ‘Āṣim ath-Thaqafī from ash-Sha’bī. Abū ‘Ubaydah mentioned it in the *gharīb* hadiths of ‘Umar from Abū Muʿāwiyah from Abū ‘Āṣim ath-Thaqafī from ash-Sha’bī from ‘Abdullāh. ‘Abdullāh was asked, ‘Was it ‘Umar?’ He said, ‘Who else could it have been but ‘Umar?’

It is reported in at-Tirmidhī from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allahﷺ said, ‘Whoever recites the beginning of *Sūrah al-Muʾmin* (40:1-3) and the Throne Verse in the morning will be protected until evening. Whoever recites them in the evening will be protected until morning.’ He said that it is a *gharīb* hadith. Abū ‘Abdullāh at-Tirmidhī al-Ḥakīm said that it is related that the believers are recommended to seek protection by reciting it after
every prayer.

Anas has a *marfu‘* hadith going back to the Prophet ﷺ in which he said, ‘Allah revealed to Mūsā: “If someone continues to recite Āyat al-Kursī after every prayer he will be given what the thankful are given: the reward of the Prophets and the actions of the true. I will stretch out my hand to him with mercy, and all that keeps him from entering the Garden is the arrival of the Angel of Death.”’ Mūsā said, “Lord, is there anyone who hears this who will not persevere in it?” He replied, “Among My slaves I will only give it to a Prophet, a true person, a man I love, or a man I want to be martyred in My Path.”’ It is reported from Ubayy ibn Ka‘b that Allah Almighty said to Musa, ‘Musa, anyone who recites the Āyat al-Kursī after every prayer will be given the reward of the Prophets.’ Abū ‘Abdullāh said, ‘I think that it means that he will be given the reward of the action of the Prophets. None but the Prophets have the reward of Prophethood.’ This āyah contains the truth of *tawḥīd* and the lofty attributes. It is fifty words long, and each word contains fifty blessings. It is equal to a third of the Qur’an, as a hadith reports. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah mentioned that. Within a long hadith, Abū Dharr said, ‘I asked the Messenger of Allah ﷺ which is the greatest āyah revealed by Allah in the Qur’an. He answered, ‘Allah, there is no god but Him, the Living, the Self-Sustaining.’’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The noblest āyah in the Quran is the Throne Verse.’ One scholar said, ‘That is because the name of Allah is repeated it, either directly or indirectly, eighteen times.’

**the Living, the Self-Sustaining.**

These are combined attributes of Allah. ‘*Al-Ḥayy*’ (The Living) is one of His Beautiful Names. It is said that it is the Greatest Name of Allah. It is said that when ‘Īsā wanted to bring the dead to life, he made this supplication, ‘O Living, O Self-Sustaining.’ It is said that it was used by Āsaf ibn Barkhiyā when he wanted to bring the throne of Bilqīs to Sulaymān. He made the supplication: ‘O Living,
O Self-Sustaining.’ It is said that the tribe of Israel asked Mūsā about the Greatest Name of Allah and he said to them, ‘Ayā Hayā Sharā Hayā’ which means: ‘O Living, O Self-Sustaining.’ It is said that it is the supplication people at sea should make when they fear drowning. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said that some people said that it is like a description of Himself and that should not be examined. It is said that He called Himself ‘Living’ since He disposes of matters exactly and determines things precisely. Qatādah says that it is the Living who does not die. As-Suddī says that it means the One who goes on forever. Labīd said:

If you see me sound today,
I am not among the living from Kilāb and Jaʿfar.

‘Al-Qayyūm’ (The Self-Sustaining), means the One who undertakes to uphold everything He has created, as Qatādah said. Al-Ḥasan said that it means the One who attends to every self in respect of what it earns until He has repaid it for its actions, as He knows them, and nothing is hidden from Him. Ibn ʿAbbās said, ‘It means: the One who does not change or vanish.’ Umayyah ibn Abi-ṣ-Ṣalt said:

The heavens and the stars as well
as the sun and the moon only abide
As determined by the Self-Sustaining Guardian.
The gathering, the Garden and bliss
are only for a matter which is immense.

Al-Bayhaqī said that he saw in ‘Uyūn at-tafsīr that Ismāʿīl ʿaḍ-Ḍarīr said, ‘Qayyūm means the One who does not sleep,’ which is taken from the verse after it. Al-Kalbī said, ‘Al-Qayyūm is the One with no beginning.’ Al-Anbārī mentioned that. A poet says:

The Master of the Throne is the One Who provides for people.
He is Living and the One Who sustains them.
He is not subject to drowsiness or sleep.

Then Allah denies that He is subject to drowsiness or sleep. Drowsiness, according to all, is what affects the eyes and sleep is what affects the heart. Al-Mufaḍḍal made a distinction between them: sinah is what is in the head, nu‘ās is in the eye, and sleep (nawm) is in the heart. Ibn Zayd said that wasnān is when someone rises from sleep so confused that he might even unleash his sword against his family. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘What Ibn Zayd said is debatable. It is not understood from Arabic.’ As-Suddī said, ‘Sinah is the wind of sleep which touches the face and makes a person drowsy.’ Generally speaking, it is fatigue which affects a person. What is meant by the āyah is that Allah Almighty is not affected by lapses or weariness in any way. The root of sinah (drowsiness) is wasnah. Sleep is that which is heavy and removes a person’s consciousness.

People mention what Abū Hurayrah reported about a story which the Messenger of Allah ﷺ recounted on the minbar: ‘Once Mūsā wondered about whether Allah slept and so Allah sent an angel to him to keep him awake for three nights and then gave him two bottles, one in each hand, and commanded him to look after them. He began to fall asleep and his hands almost released them. Then he moved them apart. When he fell asleep, his hands banged together and the bottles broke. He said that Allah made an example by that illustrating the fact that if He were to sleep, the heavens and the earth would not be maintained.’ This hadith is not sound. Others, including al-Bayhaqi, said that it is weak.

Everything in the heavens and the earth belongs to Him.

He owns them, so He is the Owner and Lord of all. ‘Mā’ is used even though sentient beings are included in the whole. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘This āyah was revealed when the unbelievers said, “We only worship idols so that they might bring us close to Allah.”’

Who can intercede with Him except by His permission?

It is affirmed in this āyah that Allah gives permission to whomever
He wishes to be an intercessor. They are the Prophets, the people of knowledge, those who strive, angels and others whom Allah has honoured and ennobled. Then they only intercede for those with whom Allah is pleased as we know from His words: ‘They do not intercede except on behalf of those with whom He is pleased.’ (21:28)

Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘It appears that the scholars and righteous intercede for those who have not reached the Fire and are between the two stages, or have arrived there, but have righteous deeds to their credit.’ In Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī in ‘One of the remaining chapters on the Vision’ we find: ‘The believers will say, “Our Lord, our brothers used to pray with us and fast with us.”’ This is intercession for those who are borderline cases. As for the intercession of miscarried children at the gate of the Garden, it is for their parents. This intercession is for relatives and acquaintances. The Prophets intercede for the rebels of their community who are in the Fire for their wrong actions. It is not on account of kinship or acquaintance, but on account of faith alone. There then remains the intercession of the Most Merciful of the Merciful for those who were immersed in errors and wrong actions for which the intercession of the Prophets does not prevail. As for the intercession of Muhammad ☦ to hasten the reckoning, that is his alone.

In his Ṣaḥīḥ, Muslim adequately explains how intercession takes place. The intercessors will enter the Fire and bring people out who deserve to be punished. So it is not unlikely that the believers have two intercessions: intercession for those who have not reached the Fire and the intercession for those who have reached it and entered it. May Allah protect us from it! We find mentioned in the hadith of Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī: ‘Then the bridge will be set up over Hell and intercession will be allowed. They will say, “O Allah, safety! Safety!”’ It was asked, ‘Messenger of Allah, what is the bridge?’ He answered, ‘A very slippery place on which are snares, hooks, and hard thorns which are like that found in Najd known as saʿdān. Some of the
believers will cross over it like the blink of an eye, some like lightning, some like the wind, some like a bird, and some like the faster steed and camel. Some will escape unhurt, some will be scratched but released, and some will be pushed into the Fire until the believers are delivered from the Fire. By the One Who has my soul in His hand, on the Day of Rising there is none of you who will make more earnest entreaties of Allah for his rights than those the believers will make for their brothers in the Fire. They will say, “Our Lord, they used to fast with us, pray with us and go on ḥajj!” They will be told, “Go and bring out those you know.” Their forms are forbidden to the Fire and they will bring out many people who were taken by the Fire up to middle of their thigh or up to their knees. Then they will say, “None of those about whom You commanded us remains in it.” Then the Almighty will say, “Return and bring out whoever you find who has the weight of a dinar of good in his heart.” They will bring out many people and then will say, “Our Lord, we have not left in any of those about whom You commanded us.” Then He will say, “Return and bring out whoever you find who has the weight of half a dinar of good in his heart.” They will bring out many people and then will say, “Our Lord, we have not left in any of those about whom You commanded us.” Then He will say, “Return and bring out whoever you find who has an atom’s weight of good in his heart.” They will bring out many people and then say, “Our Lord, we have not left any good in it.”” Abū Sa‘īd said, ‘If you do not believe me in respect of this hadith, then, if you wish, recite: “Allah does not wrong anyone by so much as the smallest speck. And if there is a good deed Allah will multiply it and pay out an immense reward direct from Him.”’ (4:40) Then Allah Almighty will say, “The angels have interceded, the Prophets have interceded and the believers have interceded. None remains but the Most Merciful of the merciful.” He will take a handful from the Fire, bringing out people who never did any good at all and have been turned into charcoal…”
It is mentioned from Anas that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘I will say, “O Allah, give me permission for those who said, ‘There is no god but Allah.’” He said, “That is not for you. By My might, pride, immensity and omnipotence, I will bring out those who said, ‘There is no god but Allah.’”’ Abū Hurayrah mentioned that he ﷺ said, ‘When Allah has finished judging between His slaves and wants to bring out those among the people of the Fire He wishes to, He will command the angels to bring out of the Fire anyone among those to whom Allah desires to show mercy who did not associate anything with Allah, those who said, “There is no god but Allah.”’ They will recognise them in the Fire by the mark of prostration. The Fire will consume all of the son of Ādam except for the mark of prostration. Allah has forbidden the Fire to consume the mark of prostration.’

These hadiths indicate that the intercession of the believers and others is for those who have entered the Fire. May Allah protect us from it! Ibn ‘Atiyah said that it applies both to those who have reached it and those who have not reached it. It is possible that that is taken from other hadiths. Allah knows best. Ibn Mājah transmitted in the Sunan from Anas ibn Mālik that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘People will be lined up in rows on the Day or Rising (Ibn Numayr said ‘the people of the Garden’) and a man from the people of the Fire will pass by a man and say, “Do you not remember me? Do you not remember the day you asked me for water and I gave you a drink?” The man will intercede for him. A man will pass another man and say, “Do you not remember the day I brought you water for purification?”’ and he will intercede for him.’ Ibn Numayr said: ‘He will say, “Do you not remember the day when you sent me to get something you needed and I did it?”’ and he will intercede for him.’

As for the intercession of our Prophet Muhammad ﷺ, there is disagreement about it. It is said that it will occur three times, or two or five times. It will be dealt with elsewhere. We have also dealt with
this in *Kitāb at-Tadhkirah*.

**He knows what is before them and what is behind them**

‘Them’ in this phrase refers to everyone who has sentience. They are all included in ‘*everything in the heavens and the earth.*’ Mujāhid said that ‘what is before them’ is this world and ‘what is behind them’ is the Next World. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘All this is valid and there is nothing wrong with it because “what is before” means everything to which a person advances and “what is behind” is all that came before.’ Something similar to this was stated by as-Suddī and others.

**but they cannot grasp any of His knowledge save what He wills.**

Knowledge here means what is known, meaning that people do not encompass any of what He knows. This is like the statement of al-Khīḍr to Mūsā when the sparrow dipped its beak in the sea, ‘My knowledge and your knowledge does not diminish the knowledge of Allah except as this sparrow would diminish this sea.’ This and examples like it refer to known things because the knowledge of Allah is an attribute of His Essence which cannot be separated from it. The meaning is that no one knows other than what Allah wishes him to know.

**His Footstool encompasses the heavens and the earth**

In his *History*, Ibn ‘Asākir mentioned from ‘Alī that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘The Footstool is made of pearl and the Pen is made of pearl and the length of the Pen is seven thousand years and the height of the Footstool is only known by Allah.’ Ḥammād ibn Salamah related from ‘Āṣim ibn Bahdalah, who is ‘Āṣim ibn Abi-n-Nujūd, from Zirr ibn Ḥubaysh that Ibn Masʿūd is reported as saying, ‘There is a distance of five hundred years between every heaven and five hundred years between the seventh heaven and the Footstool, and between the Footstool and the Throne is a distance of five hundred years. The Throne is above the water and Allah is above the Throne. He knows what you are in and what you are on.’

Ibn ‘Abbās said that His Footstool is His knowledge, and at-Ṭabarī
preferred that. Indicating that is the derived word *kurrāsah* (notebook) which contains knowledge. Scholars are also called ‘*karāsīy*’ (thrones) because they are relied on. That is similar to the saying, ‘the supporting pegs of the earth.’ A poet said:

Noble people and a troop surround them,

those who know (*karāsīy*) events when they occur.

It is also said that the Footstool is Allah’s Power by which He sustains the heavens and the earth, as the word *kursī* can be used for the underpinning of a thing. *Kursī* is the base of a wall which holds it up. This is close to what Ibn ‘Abbās said about ‘*His Footstool encompasses*’. Al-Bayhaqī said, ‘We related from Ibn Mas‘ūd and Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr that Ibn ‘Abbās said that “*His Footstool encompasses*” means His knowledge.

Other transmissions from Ibn ‘Abbās and others indicate that what is meant by the Footstool is something connected to the Throne. Isrā‘īl related from as-Suddī reported that Abū Mālik said, ‘It is the rock on which the seventh earth stands and is the whole extent of creation in all its vastness. Four angels are over it. Each of them has four faces: a human face, a lion’s face, an ox’s face and an eagle’s face. They attend to it and encompass the earths and heavens. Their heads are under the Footstool, and the Footstool is under the Throne. Allah has placed His Footstool above the Throne.’ Al-Bayhaqī said, ‘This statement indicates that there are two Footstools. One is under the Throne and one is above the Throne.’ It is related in what Asbāṭ related from as-Suddī from Abū Mālik, and from Abū Şāliḥ from Ibn ‘Abbās, from Murrah al-Hamdānī from Ibn ‘Abbās, and from Murrah al-Hamdānī from Ibn Mas‘ūd from some of the Companions of the Messenger of Allah  about this that the heavens and earth are inside the Footstool and the Footstool is in front of the Throne.

The people of atheism apply it to the immensity of the kingdom and majesty of the sultan. They deny the existence of the Throne.
and Footstool and say that they are nothing. The people of the truth consider their existence conceivable since the power of Allah is vast and one must believe in it. Abū Mūsā al-Ashʿarī said, ‘The Footstool is the place where the feet are put. It creaks as a saddle creaks.’ Al-Bayhaqī said, ‘We also related this from Ibn ʿAbbās and we mentioned that it means, as he thinks, that it is part of the Throne, where the feet of someone on a throne would be placed. There is, however, no affirmation of “place” in it.’ Ibn Buraydah related that his father said, ‘When Jaʿfar came from Abyssinia, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ asked him, “What was the most extraordinary thing you saw?” He answered, “A woman with a basket of food on her head. A horse passed and knocked it off. She sat down, collecting her food and then she turned to it and said, ‘Woe to you for a Day when the King will sit on His Throne and hear a tale from the wrongdoer and give to the wronged!’”’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ affirmed her words and said, “A nation is not pure (or ‘how can a nation be pure?’) when its weak do not take their due from the strong?”’

Ibn ʿAṭiyyah said, ‘The statement, “The Footstool is the place of the feet” mean that it is part of the Throne of the All-Merciful, like the place for the feet on the thrones of kings. It is an immense created thing in front of the Throne. It is ascribed to it as the footstool is ascribed to a king’s throne.’ Al-Ḥasan ibn Abī-l-Ḥasan said that the Footstool is the Throne itself, but this is not acceptable. The hadiths clearly state that the Footstool is a creation which is in front of the Throne and the Throne is greater than it.

Abū Idrīs al-Khawlānī related that Abū Dharr said, ‘I asked, “Messenger of Allah, what is the greatest āyāh revealed to you?” He replied, “The Āyat al-Kursī.” Then he said, “Abū Dharr, the heavens and the Footstool are only like a ring cast in the desert, and the size of the Throne compared to that of the Footstool is like the size of the desert compared to the ring.”’ Al-Ajurī, Abū Ḥātim al-Bustī in his sound Musnad and al-Bayhaqī transmitted it and said that it is sound.
Mujāhid said, ‘The heavens and the earth in comparison to the Throne are like a ring cast into the desert.’

**their preservation does not tire Him**

This āyah informs us about the immensity of what Allah has created. It is deduced from that that Allah is greater still since the preservation of this immense matter does not tire Him at all.

The word ‘tire’ means to find something burdensome and hard. This is how Ibn ‘Abbās, al-Ḥasan, Qatādah and others explained it. Az-Zajjāj says that the ‘him’ can refer to Allah or it can refer to the Throne. If it is the Footstool, that is part of the business of Allah.

**He is the Most High, the Magnificent.**

‘Al-‘Alī’ (The Most High) refers to height of power and position, not place, because Allah is free of being confined by space. Aṭ-Ṭabarānī related that some people said that He is High above His creation as His place is above the places of creatures. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is the view of ignorant anthropomorphists! The aspect is not related.’ ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Qurṭ related that in the Night Journey the Messenger of Allah heard glorification in the high heavens: ‘Glory be to Allah, the High, the Most High! Glory be to Him and exalted is He!’ ‘Alī and ‘ālī is the one who conquers and overcomes things. The Arabs use the verb ‘alā for overcoming and overpowering. A poet said:

When we overpowered (‘alawwānā) and firmly were over them,

We left them flat for the vultures and wild beasts.

‘Al-‘Aẓīm’ (The Magnificent) describes His immense power, importance and nobility, not His physical size. Aṭ-Ṭabarānī related that some people said ‘aẓīm means esteemed (muʿazzām) as is said that ‘atīq (ancient) means muʿattīq. Other people rejected that and said, ‘Had it meant “esteemed”, that would imply that He is not magnificent before He created creation and after it is annihilated since there would be none to esteem Him then.
There is no compulsion where the *dīn* is concerned.

Right guidance has become clearly distinct from error. Anyone who rejects false gods and believes in Allah has grasped the Firmest Handhold, which will never give way. Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

The word ‘*dīn*’ in this āyah means what is believed and religion since it is followed by ‘Right guidance has become clearly distinct from error’. Compulsion can take place in judgments regarding oaths, sales, gifts and other things. This will be explained in *Sūrat al-Nāhla* (16:106), since this is not the place for it. Abū ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān recited ‘ar-rashad’. That is also related from al-Ḥasan and ash-Sha‘bī. The form *rashada, yarshudu, rashd* is used when something you love is attained but the form *rashida, yarshadu, rashad* is also possible. Ghawā is its opposite. An-Naḥḥās said that. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah related that Abū ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān as-Sulamī recited *rushād* rather than *rushd* and al-Ḥasan recited *rushud*. The root of ‘*ghayy*’ (error) refers to when someone is misguided in what he believes or thinks. The word ‘error’ (*ghayy*) is not used to denote complete misguidance.

Scholars disagree and hold various positions regarding the legal status and meaning of this āyah.

– It is said that it is abrogated because the Prophet ﷺ forced the Arabs to adopt the *dīn* of Islam and fought them and was only pleased with Islam for them. Sulaymān ibn Mūsā took that view, saying, ‘It is abrogated by “*O Prophet! Do jihād against the unbelievers and the hypocrites.*” (9:73)’ That is related from Ibn Mas‘ūd and many commentators.

– It is not abrogated and was sent down about the people of the
Book in particular and means that they are not forced to adopt Islam when they pay jizyah. Those who are forced are the idolaters. Only Islam is accepted from them, and they are the ones about whom the āyah: ‘O Prophet! Do jihād against the unbelievers and the hypocrites’ (9:73) was revealed. This is the position of ash-Sha‘bī, Qatādah, al-Ḥasan and ad-Ḍaḥḥāk. The evidence for this position is related by Zayd ibn Aslam from his father, ‘I heard ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb say to an old Christian woman, “Become Muslim, old woman, you will be safe. Allah sent Muḥammad with the Truth.” She replied, “I am an old woman and close to death.” ‘Umar said, “O Allah, bear witness!” and he recited, “There is no compulsion where the dīn is concerned.”’

– Abū Dāwūd reported from Ibn ‘Abbās that this was revealed about the Anṣār. There was a woman all of whose children had died. She made a vow that if she had a child who lived she would make it a Jew. When the Banu-n-Naḍīr were exiled, among them were many of the children of the Anṣār. They said, ‘We will not abandon our children!’ Then Allah revealed this. One variant has, ‘We did what we did and we thought that their dīn is better than what we had.’ When Allah brought Islam, they denied it and this was revealed. Whoever wished remained with them and whoever wished, entered Islam. This is the position of Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr, ash-Sha‘bī and Mujāhid, but he added that the reason that they were with the Banu-n-Naḍīr was through suckling. An-Naḥḥās said, ‘The position of Ibn ‘Abbās regarding this āyah is the best position since its isnād is sound.’

– As-Suddī said that the āyah was revealed about a man of the Anṣār called Abū Ḥuṣayn who had two sons. Some merchants came from Syria to Madīnah with oil and when they wanted to leave, his sons went to them. They invited the two sons to become Christians and they did so and went back with them to Syria. Their father went to the Messenger of Allah to complain about this and asked the
Messenger of Allah to send someone to bring them back. Then the words: ‘There is no compulsion where the dīn is concerned’ were revealed. He had not at that time been commanded to fight the People of the Book. He said, ‘Allah has put them far away. They are the first to disbelieve.’ Abu-l-Ḥuṣayn felt annoyed that the Prophet ﷺ did not send someone after them. Then Allah revealed: ‘No, by your Lord, they are not believers until they make you their judge in the disputes that break out between them’ (4:65). Then ‘No compulsion’ was abrogated and he was commanded to fight the People of the Book in Sūrat at-Tawbah. The sound view for the reason behind the words: ‘No, by your Lord, they are not believers …’ is the hadith of az-Zubayr with his Christian neighbour about water as will be dealt with in Sūrat an-Nisā’, Allah willing.

– It is said that it means ‘do not call those who have submitted through the sword compelled and forced’.

– It is said that it was related about captives who were People of the Book. They are not compelled when they are adults. If they are Magians, young or old, or idolaters, they are compelled to adopt Islam because their captivity does not help them when they are idolaters. Do you not see that their slaughtered animals are not eaten nor their women married? That is what Ibn al-Qāsim reported from Mālik. Ashhab said that children are considered to have the dīn of those who have captured them. If they refuse that, they are compelled to become Muslim. Children have no dīn and that is why they are compelled to enter Islam so that they do not go to a false dīn. When other types of unbelievers pay the jīzyah, they are not forced to become Muslim, whether they are Arabs or non-Arabs, Quraysh or otherwise. This will be dealt with in Sūrat at-Tawbah.

**Anyone who rejects false gods and believes in Allah**

The word for ‘false gods’ (ṭāghūt) comes from the root ṭaghā which means ‘to exceed the bounds’. The word can indicate the plural or
the singular. It is said that the root of ṭāghūt is tugḥyān which means ‘overstepping the limits’. Al-Mubarrad said that it is a plural, but Ibn ‘Āṭiyyah says that that is rejected. Al-Jawharī said that the word ṭāghūt refers to soothsayers, shayṭāns and every leader in misguidance. It can have a singular meaning as we see in 4:60 or a plural meaning as we see in 2:257. It does have an actual plural: ṭawāghīth.

**has grasped the Firmest Handhold,**

This phrase is a metaphor. Commentators disagree about metaphorical usage (tashbīh). Mujāhid says that ‘the Firmest Handhold’ is true belief and as-Suddī says that it means Islam. Ibn ‘Abbās, Sa’īd ibn Jubayr and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘It is ‘lā ilāha illa-llāh.’ All these understandings actually amount to the same thing.

**which will never give way.**

Mujāhid said, ‘This should be understood alongside Allah’s statement that “He never changes a people’s state unless they change what is in themselves.” (13:11)’ In other words, He will not remove the designation of believer from them until they actually disbelieve. The word for ‘give way’ (infiṣām) means to break, but not completely. Qaṣm is completely breaking. Al-Jawharī said, ‘Faṣm is breaking, but not completely.’ The verb is used of rain stopping and a fever ending. When rejection of falsehood and faith in Allah are part of what the tongue articulates and the heart believes, Allah hears what is said and knows what is believed.

257 Allah is the Protector of those who believe. He brings them out of the darkness into the light. But those who disbelieve have false gods as protectors. They take them from the light into the darkness. Those are the Companions of the Fire remaining in it timelessly, for
ever.

The word ‘wali’ (Protector) signifies the One who helps His believing slaves as al-Khaṭṭābī said. Qatādah said that the darkness mentioned in the āyah refers to misguidance and light to guidance. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and ar-Rabī’ said much the same thing. Mujāhid and ʿAbdah ibn Abī Lubābah said that this was revealed about some people who believed in ʿIsā 亮眼. When Muhammad 亮眼 came, they rejected him. That was their being taken out of the light into the darkness. Ibn ʿAṭiyyah said, ‘The one with this belief who had a stronger light in it left it for darkness. The wording of the āyah has no need of specification. It applies to every disbelieving community who had partial belief, like the Arabs had. That is because Allah is the Protector of those of them who believed and He brought them out of the darkness of disbelief into the light of belief. Those who disbelieved after the coming of the sent Prophet 亮眼 were misguided by their shayṭāns. It is as if Shayṭān brought them out of faith when they were ready to enter it and so they will enter the Fire for their disbelief. That is justice and Allah is not asked about what He does.’ Al-Ḥasan recited ‘ṭawāghīt’ in the plural which means shayṭāns. Allah knows best.

258 What about the one who argued with Ibrāhīm about his Lord, on the basis that Allah had given him sovereignty? Ibrāhīm said, ‘My Lord is He who gives life and causes to die.’ He said, ‘I too give life and cause to die.’ Ibrāhīm said, ‘Allah makes the sun come from the East. Make it come from the West.’ And the one who was an unbeliever was dumbfounded. Allah does not guide
wrongdoing people.

The expression ‘What about?’ (a lam tara) literally means ‘Have you seen?’ and is frequently used in Arabic to introduce something surprising or astonishing. The ‘one’ referred to in the āyah is generally taken to be Nimrod, Numrūdh ibn Kūsh ibn Kan‘ān ibn Sām ibn Nūḥ, the king of his time and the one who built the fire into which Ibrāhīm was thrown and who was killed by a gnat. This is the position of Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid, Qatādah, ar-Rabī’, as-Suddī, Ibn Isḥāq, Zayd ibn Aslam and others. He was destroyed when he tried to fight against Allah. Allah sent a great cloud of gnats against him and they covered the sun and consumed his army until only their bones were left. One entered his brain and ate it until it became the size of a mouse. The dearest of people to him after that was the one who hit his head with a hammer! He suffered for forty days.

Ibn Jurayj said that he was the first king in the earth. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah says that this is rejected. Qatādah said that he was the first to become a tyrant; he was the one who built the tower at Babel. It is said that he was king of the entire earth and was one of two unbelieving kings. The second king was Nebuchadnezzar. It is also said that the one who argued with Ibrāhīm was Numrūdh ibn Fālikh ibn ‘Ābir ibn Shālikh ibn Arfakhshand ibn Sām. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah related all of that. As-Suhaylī related that he was Numrūdh ibn Kan‘ān ibn Ḥām ibn Nūḥ. He was king over the black people. He was appointed by aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk who was known as al-Azdahāq. His name was Bīwarāsb ibn Andarāst and he was the king of all regions. He is the one who was killed by Afīdūn ibn Athfiyān.

Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk was a tyrant whose kingdom lasted a thousand years. He was the first to crucify people and the first to cut off hands and feet. Nimrod had a son called Kush, or a similar name, and a son called Nimrod the younger. Nimrod the younger ruled for a year and Nimrod the elder ruled for four hundred years, as they say.

There are two versions of how the debate reported in this āyah
occurred. One is that the people went out to a festival they used to observe and Ibrāhīm went to their idols and broke them. When they returned, he said to them, ‘Do you worship something you have carved?’ They asked, ‘Who do you worship?’ He said, ‘I worship my Lord who gives life and causes to die.’ It is also said that Nimrod had complete control of the food stores of his people and, when they wanted to eat, they had to buy food from him. When they went to him, they prostrated to him. Ibrāhīm entered and did not prostrate. He asked him, ‘Why do you not prostrate to me?’ He replied, ‘I prostrate only to my Lord.’ Nimrod demanded, ‘Who is your Lord?’ Ibrāhīm answered, ‘My Lord is He Who gives life and causes to die.’

Zayd ibn Aslam said, ‘This Nimrod had control of all the provisions of his people. When people came, he demanded, “Who is your Lord and God?” “You,” they answered. “Give them provision,” he said. Ibrāhīm came to seek provision and he asked him the same question. Ibrāhīm replied, “My Lord is He who gives life and causes to die.” When he heard this, Nimrod said, “I give life and cause to die.” Then Ibrāhīm asked him the question about the sun and he was dumbfounded. He said, “Do not give him provision.” Ibrāhīm returned to his people with nothing. He passed by a heap of fine sand and said to himself. “If I fill my sacks with this, when I enter the children will be happy until I can see to them.” He did that and when he reached his house, the children were happy and began to play on the sacks. He fell asleep from exhaustion and his wife said, “I will prepare some food for him and he will find it ready when he wakes up.” She opened one of the sacks and found it to be the finest flour and baked it. When he rose, she put it before him. He asked, “Where is this from?” She said, “From the flour you brought.” Ibrāhīm knew that Allah had done that.’

Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah mentioned that Abū Ṣāliḥ said, ‘The Prophet Ibrāhīm went to obtain food and was unable to obtain it. He passed by some red sand and took some of it and returned to his
family. They asked, ‘What is this?’ He answered, ‘Red wheat.’ They opened it and found that it was red wheat. When any of it was planted, it produced ears that were full of grain from bottom to top.

Ar-Rabī’ and others said about this story that, when Nimrod said, ‘I give life and cause to die,’ he summoned two men. He killed one and released the other. He said, ‘I gave life to that one and made that one die.’ Then Ibrāhīm countered that with the question about the sun which dumbfounded him. It is related in a hadith that Allah said, ‘The Final Hour will not come until I bring the sun from the west so that it is known that I have the power to do that.’ Then Nimrod commanded Ibrāhīm to be thrown into the fire. That is what tyrants do. When they are opposed in something and unable to offer proof of their position, they inflict punishment. Allah saved Ibrāhīm from the fire as we will see later.

As-Suddī said, ‘When Ibrāhīm emerged from the fire, they brought him to the King. He had not been in his presence before that time. He spoke to him and asked, “Who is your Lord?” He replied, “My Lord is the One who gives life and causes to die.” Nimrod said, “I give life and cause to die. I will take four people and put them in a room and will not give them food or water until they are starving. I will bring them out and feed two and they will live and not feed two who will die.” Then Ibrāhīm countered with the question about the sun and he was dumbfounded.’

Legists have mentioned about this āyah that, when Ibrāhīm described his Lord with bringing to life and making die, it was a statement that could be taken literally or metaphorically. It should be pointed out that Ibrāhīm meant essential qualities in the first instance while Nimrod took what he said metaphorically and misrepresented it to his people. So Ibrāhīm left the argument and moved to an example which cannot be made metaphorical. ‘And the one who was an unbeliever was dumbfounded.’ The king could not argue and refute the second example nor could he say, ‘I am the one who
brings it from the east’ because intelligent people would reject that.

This āyah indicates the permissibility of giving an unbeliever the title of ‘king’ when he has kingdom, might and elevation in this world. It also is an affirmation of the use of evidential argument in establishing the proof of a matter and this is often found in the Sunnah and Qur‘an. Allah says: ‘Produce your evidence if you speak the truth,’ (2:111) and: ‘Have you authority to say this?’ (10:68), meaning any evidence. Allah also described how Ibrāhīm argued with his people and refuted their worship of idols as we find in Sūrat al-Anbiyā’ and elsewhere. He says in the story of Nūḥ: ‘They said, “Nūḥ, you have argued with us and argued much…”’ (11:32) until he said, ‘I am innocent of the crimes which you commit.’ (11:36) Mūsā also argued with Pharaoh as seen in many āyahs.

All of this is instruction by Allah through question and answer and debate in the dīn because that shows the difference between truth and falsehood by the demonstration of the proof of the truth and the invalidity of false evidence. The Prophet ☪ debated with the People of the Book and called on them to invoke Allah against themselves after the argument as will be dealt with in Āli ‘Imrān. Ādam and Mūsā argued and Ādam won the argument. The Companions of the Messenger of Allah ☪ argued with one another on the Day of the Verandah. They pushed one another, made affirmations and debated until the truth came from its people and allegiance was given to Abū Bakr. They also debated about the people of the Riddah. There are very many instances of that. The words of Allah: ‘You are a people arguing about something of which you have knowledge’ (3:66) are evidence that arguing about something with knowledge is permitted for those who reflect. Al-Muzanī, the follower of ash-Shāfi‘ī, said, ‘Among the conditions of debate is that Allah should be intended by it and that what is clear should be accepted from it.’ It is said that debating is not sound unless those who are debating are close or equal in respect of their level of knowledge of the dīn,
intelligence, understanding and fairness. Otherness it is merely quarrelling and arrogance.

‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib recited ‘a lam tar’ with the jussive, but the majority vowel the rā’ and the yā’ is elided in the jussive. ‘An ātāhu-l-lāhu-l-mulka’ is in the position of the accusative, meaning ‘because Allah had given him’ or ‘for the sake of what Allah had given him.’ Most recite ‘ana uḥyī,’ discarding the alif after the nūn of ‘anā’ (I) in the connection, while Nāfi‘ and Ibn Abī Uways keep it because of meeting with the hamzah, as is the case throughout the Qur’an except in 7:188 where it is discarded as is done by the other reciters since that is rare. It only occurs three times in the Qur’an. It acts like that which does not have hamzah after it because of its rareness and so the alif is elided in the connection.

Grammarians said that in the case when the pronoun of the speaker has a hamzah and a nūn, as when you say ‘ana’ or ‘anah’, the alif and the hā’ are to make the vowelling clear in the stop. When the word is connected to something, they are dropped because the word to which it is connected takes the place of the alif. It is rare to say ‘anā fa’altu’ except in poetry. An-Naḥḥās said that the fact that Nāfi‘ kept the alif and recited ‘anā uḥyī’ has no logic. Makkī said that the Basrans consider the alif to be redundant and believe that the name is implied by the hamzah and nūn and the alif is added for strengthening. It is said that it is added by the stop to make the vowel of the nūn clear. According to the Kufans, the noun is ‘anā’ in full. Nāfi‘ confirms the alif in the root according to their position, and those who elide it do so to lighten it, and because it is indicated by the fāṭḥah (a).

Al-Jawharī said, ‘As for saying ana (I) it is a metonymic noun and is for the first person alone. It only has the fāṭḥah (a) invariably to distinguish it from an (that) which is a preposition that puts the verb in the subjunctive case, and the final alif is to make clear the vowelling when there is a stop. If it occurs in the middle of speech it
is dropped except when there is poor usage of language.

The word ‘dumbfounded’ (bahita and buhita) is used when someone is stopped and falls silent out of confusion. An-Naḥḥās and others said that. Āt-Ṭabārī said that some of the Arabs said that it is bahata. Ibn Jinnī said that Abū Ḥaywah recited ‘bahuta’ which is a dialectical form of buhita. Ibn as-Samayfa‘ recited ‘bahata’ meaning, ‘Ibrāhim stunned the one who disbelieved.’ He said that it is permitted for bahata to be a dialectical variant of bahuta. Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Akhfash related ‘bahita’ like khariqa and dahisha and said that most of them have bahuta. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that some people interpret the reading ‘bahata’ to mean ‘insulted and ejected’ when he was stopped and had no further device to use.

259 Or the one who passed by a town which had fallen into ruin? He asked, ‘How can Allah restore this to life when it has died?’ Allah caused him to die a hundred years, then brought him back to life. Then He asked, ‘How long have you been here?’ He replied, ‘I have been here a day or part of a day.’ He said, ‘Not so! You have been here a hundred years. Look at your food and drink – it has not gone bad – and look at your donkey so We can make you a Sign for all mankind. Look at the bones – how We raise them up and clothe them in flesh.’ When it had become clear to him, he said, ‘Now I know that Allah has power over all things.’
Or the one who passed by a town which had fallen into ruin?

‘Aw’ (or) is a conjunction which has its normal meaning here. According to al-Kisā’ī and al-Farrā’ it refers back to the ‘What about…?’ in the previous āyah. Al-Mubarrad said that it means: ‘Have you not looked at the one who argued with Ibrāhīm about his Lord? Have you not seen who he is? Like the one who passed by a town…’ The words imply that. Abū Sufyān ibn Ḥusayn recited ‘or’ as ‘a wa’ with a fāṭḥah on the wāw as a conjunction to which the interrogative alif is added, and it indicates confirmation. A ‘qaryah’ (town) is called that because people gather (qarā) in it. The verb is used for water collecting in a place.

Sulaymān ibn Buraydah, Nājiyyah ibn Ka‘b, Qatādah, Ibn ‘Abbās, ar-Rabī‘, ‘Ikrimah and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘The one who passed by the town was ‘Uzayr.’ Wahb ibn Munabbih, ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Ubayd ibn ‘Umayr and ‘Abdullāh ibn Bakr ibn Muḍar said that it was Irmiyā’ (said by some to be Jeremiah), who was a Prophet. Ibn Isḥāq said that Irmiyā’ is al-Khiḍr. An-Naqqāsh related that from Wahb ibn Munabbih. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is as you see, although one name is the same as the other because al-Khiḍr was a contemporary of Mūsā. The one who passed by the town was one of the clan of Hārūn some time later according to what Wahb ibn Munabbih related.’

If al-Khiḍr is Irmiyā’, it is not improbably that al-Khiḍr has been alive from the time of Mūsā until now according to the sound position on that, which will be talked about in Sūrat al-Kahf. If he died before this story, then the position of Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah is sound. Allah knows best.

An-Naḥḥās and Makkī related from Mujāhid that he was an unnamed man of the tribe of Israel. An-Naqqāsh said that it is said that he was the servant of Lūṭ. As-Suhaylī related that al-Qutaybī has one statement saying that it is Shu‘ayb and the one who restored it to life after it was ruined was Kūshik al-Fārisī. The town is
Jerusalem as stated by Wahb ibn Munabbih, Qatādah, ar-Rabī‘ ibn Anas and others. He said that he came from Egypt and that his food and drink – referred to in the āyah – consisted of green figs, grapes and a pot of wine. It is also said that it was juice and it is said that it was a small amount of water.

It was Nebuchadnezzar who destroyed the town. He was the governor of Iraq for Lohorasp and then Gushtasp, the son of Lohorasp, who was the father of Isfandiyar. An-Naqqāsh related that it is ‘the Overturned Cities’. Abū Ṣāliḥ transmitted from Ibn ‘Abbās that Nebuchadnezzar attacked the tribe of Israel and captured many of them and took them to Babylon. ‘Uzayr ibn Sharkhiyā was one of them. He was one of the scholars of the Israelites. He went out one day for something he needed to Dayr Hizqal on the banks of the Tigris. He stopped under the shade of a tree. He tied his donkey up in the shade of the tree and then went around the town and did not see anyone living there. It was fallen down and deserted. He said, ‘How can Allah restore this to life when it has died?’

It is said that it was the town from which thousands fled out of fear of death referred to earlier in 2:243. Ibn Zayd said that. Ibn Zayd said that it was those people who left their homes in thousands in fear of death and Allah told them, ‘Die!’ A man passed by their decayed bones and stopped to look and said, ‘How can Allah restore this to life when it has died?’ Allah caused him to die a hundred years. Ibn ‘Atiyyah, however, says that this position of Ibn Zayd is contrary to the words of the āyah since the āyah mentions a town fallen into ruin with no one in it. So it indicates the town. Its being brought to life was by flourishing and the re-establishment of buildings and houses.

Wahb ibn Munabbih, Qatādah, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, ar-Rabī‘ and ‘Ikrimah said that the town was Jerusalem when Nebuchadnezzar of Babylon destroyed it. There is a long account about the history of the tribe of Israel and when Irmīyā‘ or ‘Uzayr stopped at the town which was a
great hill in the middle of Jerusalem brought about when Nebuchadnezzar had commanded his army to move the earth to it until he made it like a mountain. Irmīyā’ saw that the walls of the houses were collapsed onto their roofs and said, ‘How will Allah bring this to life after its death?’

In the expression ‘fallen into ruin’ (khāwiyatun ‘alā ‘urūshihā) the word ‘urūsh means roofs of houses and anything that is set up for the sake of shade or shelter. Part of the usage of ‘arīsh is a trellis as in 16:68. As-Suddī said that the roofs fell in and the walls fell on top of them. Aṭ-Ṭabarī prefers it. Others said that it simply means that the place was uninhabited with the houses still standing and the expression simply means ‘empty’. The root of the verb is khalw. ‘Empty’ can also mean ‘collapsed’. Khawā’ also describes a stomach empty of food. The verb is used of a woman whose womb becomes empty after giving birth. Khawī describes easy ground. Form II of the verb describes a camel kneeling with its belly off the ground and it also describes a man in prostration.

He asked, ‘How can Allah restore this to life when it has died?’

This means: ‘by what means and method?’ The literal meaning of the expression is about bringing the town back to life by restoring its inhabitants and prosperity, as one uses the expression today for empty cities which are unlikely to be re-inhabited. So it means: ‘How will this flourish after it has fallen into ruin?’ It is as if this was a question expressing regret when the man who was standing reflected on his city where his family had lived and which he loved. Allah made a greater response than the asker had intended by his question: actually bringing the dead to life. Aṭ-Ṭabarī related that one of them said, ‘This statement implies doubt about Allah’s power to bring things to life. This is an example he made to himself.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that the question does not imply doubt about the power of Allah Almighty to bring the town to life and make it flourish. The doubt comes from being ignorant in another way. It is
not correct to interpret the āyah as expressing doubt.

Allah caused him to die a hundred years then brought him back to life.

‘Hundred’ is in the accusative as an adverb. ‘Ām means a year, and one says ‘sinwan ‘uwwam’ for stress. We see this in poetry. It is implied that it is the plural of ‘ā’im although it alone is mentioned because it is not a noun, but rather stress, as al-Jawharī said. An-Naqqāsh said that ‘ām is a verbal noun like ‘awm, which means swimming. A year (‘ām) is called that because it is the amount of time it takes for the sun to ‘swim’ (‘āma) around the celestial sphere. So ‘awm is like sabḥ. Allah says: ‘…each one is swimming (yasbaḥūna) in a sphere.’ (36:40) Ibn ʿAṭiyyah said, ‘This is the idea behind what an-Naqqāsh said.’ The literal meaning of this āyah is to make someone die by removing their rūḥ from their body.

In the story behind this āyah, it is related that Allah sent a king to refurbish it and renew it so that it was complete by the time the speaker was revived. It is said that seventy years after his death Allah sent a Persian king called Kushk [Cyrus] who refurbished it in thirty years. Baʿatha is to bring back to life.

Then He asked, ‘How long have you been here?’

There is disagreement about the asker here. It is said that it was Allah Almighty. It is said that the man heard an unseen voice. It is said that it was Jibrīl or a Prophet or a believing man of the people who saw him. The most apparent position is that it was Allah because of the rest of the āyah. Allah knows best.

He replied, ‘I have been here a day or part of a day.’

This is what he thought and so he was not lying when he said it. It is similar to the answer the People of the Cave gave in 18:19 when they said that they had been there for a day or part of a day when in fact they had been there for 309 years. They were not lying, but expressing what they thought to be the case. It is as if they were saying, ‘What we think in our opinion is that we have been here for
a day or part of a day.’ That is similar to what the Prophet said in the story about Dhu-l-Yadayn in respect of the prayer: ‘I did not shorten and I did not forget.’ Some people have said that it was an actual lie but he was not punished for it. A lie is to report something to be other than what it actually is. That is the same in both knowledge and ignorance. This is clear in respect of fundamental principles. On this basis it is permitted to say that the Prophets are not protected from reporting something to be other than what it actually is when that is not intentional, just as they are not protected from oversight and forgetfulness. This is connected to this āyah, but the first view is sounder.

Ibn Jurayj, Qatādah and ar-Rabī’ said that Allah made him die in the morning and then raised him up before sunset so that he thought that it was the same day. When he saw the remaining sun, he was afraid that he had lied in saying, ‘a day,’ so he added ‘or part of a day.’ He was told that he had been there a hundred years and he saw how the town was flourishing and its trees and buildings as evidence of that.

**Look at your food and drink — it has not gone bad —**

It is said that his food and drink consisted of green figs which he had gathered from the trees of the town he passed by. Ibn Mas‘ūd recited ‘hādhā ta‘āmuka wa sharābuka’ (this is your food and drink) Ṭalḥah ibn Muṣarrif and others recite ‘unẓūr li-ṭa‘āmika wa-sh-sharābika li-mi’ati sannah (Look at your food and drink for a hundred years.)’ Most recite ‘yatasannah’ with the ‘ḥā’ at the end while the two brothers [Ḥamzah and al-Kisā’ī] elide it. There is no disagreement that one stops at the ‘ḥā’. Ṭalḥah ibn Muṣarrif also recited ‘lam yassanna’ and ‘wa-nẓur’ (with wāw not fā’), assimilating the tā’ into the sīn. According to the recitation of the majority, the ḥā’ is part of the root and the ḍammah is elided for the jussive. It comes from ‘sannah’ (year), meaning that it has not been altered by the years.

Al-Jawharī says that sannah is the singular of sunūn or sinīn. Two
things are said about what it is missing. The first is that it is a \textit{wāw} and the other is that it is \textit{hā’} and that its root is \textit{sanhah}, like \textit{jabhah} because it is from the expression \textit{sanahat} and \textit{tasannahat} used for palm-trees which have lasted for years. A palm-tree that is \textit{sannā’} bears fruit some years and not other years. It is also called \textit{sanhā’}. One of the Anṣār said:

It is not a tree that is \textit{sanhā’} or propped up, 
but \textit{‘ārīyah} loans for years (\textit{sanīn}) of drought.

\textit{Asnahtu} means ‘I stayed with’ as does \textit{tasannaytu}. You hire someone for a year (\textit{musānāh} and \textit{musānahah}). The diminutive is \textit{sunayyah} and \textit{sunayyah}. An-Naḥḥās said, ‘If someone recites “\textit{lam yatasanna}” he says \textit{sunayyah} for the diminutive and the \textit{alif} is elided for the jussive and stops on the \textit{hā’} and says ‘\textit{yatasannah}’, the \textit{hā’} being for making the vowel clear.’

Al-Mahdawī said, ‘It is possible that its root is from \textit{sānaytu} \textit{musānah}, i.e. “I hired him year by year’ or from \textit{sānahtu} with a \textit{hā’}. If it is from \textit{sānaytu}, its root is \textit{yatasannā} and the \textit{alif} has been omitted for the jussive. It has a \textit{wāw} in the root as indicated by \textit{sanawāt} and the \textit{hā’} in it is for silence. If it is from \textit{sānahtu}, then the \textit{hā’} is the \textit{lām} of the verb and according to this, the root of \textit{sanah} is \textit{sanhah}. According to the first, it is \textit{sanawah}. It is also said that it is derived from \textit{asina}, the verb for water becoming brackish, but this would oblige an extra \textit{alif} in the word.’

Abū ‘Amr ash-Shaybānī said that it came from Allah’s words: ‘\textit{fetid} (\textit{masnūn}) \textit{black mud’}. (15:26) What it means is that it has not changed. Az-Zajjāj said. ‘That is not the case because “\textit{masnūn}” does not mean “changed”, but poured out on the surface of the earth.’ Mujāhid said that it means ‘it has not become foul’. An-Naḥḥās said that the soundest of what is said about this is that it is from \textit{sannah}, and that it has not been changed by the years. It is possible that it comes from the meaning ‘drought’ as Allah says: ‘\textit{We seized Pharaoh’s people with years of drought (\textit{sinīn})}’ (7:130) and the words of the Prophet \(\mathbb{S}\), ‘O
Allah, impose on them years of drought like those of Yūsuf.’ So it means ‘your food has not been changed by drying up’ or ‘it has not been changed by the passage of years,’ and so has remained fresh.

– and look at your donkey

Wahb ibn Munabbih and others said, ‘Look at how We re-connect its bones and bring it to life bit by bit.’ It is related that Allah brought it to life by re-connecting its bones and then clothing them in flesh until the donkey was completely restored. Then an angel came and breathed the spirit into it and the donkey stood up and brayed. This is what most commentators say. Wahb and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk also said that it can mean: look at your donkey standing, untouched after a hundred years, and the bones that he looked at were the actual bones after Allah brought its eyes and head to life while the rest of the body was dead. They said that Allah made people’s eyes blind to Irmīyā’ and his donkey for this period of time.

so We can make you a Sign for all mankind.

Al-Farrā’ said that putting the wāw before the word here indicates that it is a precondition for what is after it. It is a sign of resurrection after death. It can also indicate an interpolation. He was still the age he had been when he died and his sons and grandsons were old men. ‘Ikrimah said that he was forty when he died. ‘Alī related that ‘Uzayr’s wife was pregnant when he left and that he was fifty when Allah made him die for a hundred years. Then he was brought back to life and returned to his family after his resurrection still fifty years old. He had a son who was a hundred years old and his son’s son was fifty years old. Ibn ‘Abbās related, ‘When Allah brought ‘Uzayr to life and he mounted his donkey and rode to his home, he did not recognise people nor did they recognise him. He found an old blind woman in his house who was the mother of the household. This woman had been twenty years old when ‘Uzayr left. He asked her, “Is this Uzayr’s house?” She said, “Yes!” and wept and then said, “Uzayr left us in such and such a year.” He said, “I am ‘Uzayr.” She
stated, “‘Uzayr has been gone for a hundred years.” He replied, “Allah made me die for a hundred years and then brought me to life again.” She said, “‘Uzayr was someone whose supplication for the sick and afflicted was answered. Ask Allah to restore my sight to me.” He prayed to Allah and wiped her eyes with his hand and she was healed where she was, as if she had been released. She said, “I testify that you are ‘Uzayr!” She went to the assembly of the tribe of Israel, which included a son of ‘Uzayr’s, who was one hundred and twenty-eight years old, and his grandsons who were old men. She said, “People! By Allah, this is ‘Uzayr!” His son went to him with the people and said, “My father had a black mole like the crescent moon between his shoulders.” He saw it and knew that it was ‘Uzayr.’

It is said that when he came he found that everyone he had known had died. He was a sign for those of his people who were alive since they had heard about his state and were certain. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The greatest sign is in him being made to die for this period and then brought to life after that. His entire business is a sign throughout time and there is no need to specify which of the details are correct.’

Look at the bones — how We raise them up and clothe them in flesh.’

‘Raise them up’ is read as nunshizuḥā by the Kufans and Ibn ‘Āmir and as nunshiruhā by everyone else although Abān related from ‘Āṣim that it is ‘nanshuruhā’ as did Ibn ‘Abbās, al-Ḥasan and Abū Ḥaywah. In either case it means to bring to life. It is known linguistically that Allah brings the dead to life (anshara) and they are revived (nasharū). Allah says: ‘When He wishes, He brings him to life.’ (80:22) It is like a garment being unfolded (nashara). The verb is used for being resurrected after death and the noun is nushūr. Al-Aʿshā said:

Until, when people see it, they say, ‘Wonder! The resurrected (nāshīr) dead!’

It is as if death rolls up the bones and limbs, and revivification and
joining the limbs together is like spreading them out and opening them up (nashara) again. If it is read nashaza it means an elevated place and the verb means to raise. A poet said:

You see the young fox in it.

As if a majestic fortress rose high (nashz).

Makkī says, ‘It means: “Look at the bones and how We raise them up and put them together in order to bring them to life” because nashz means elevating. Part of that is describing a disobedient wife as nushūz because she puts herself above agreeing with her husband. It is also used in the words of the Almighty: ‘When you are told: “Make room (fa-nshuzū) in the gathering,” then make room.”’ (58:11) This means: get up and press together. When it is read with rā’, then it means to bring to life. Bones do not come to life on their own until they are connected to one another. The zāyy is more appropriate in this context since it means to join together rather than bring to life. That which is described as being brought back to life is the man rather than the bones on their own. One does say, ‘This bone is alive.’ So it means: ‘Look at the bones and how We raise them from their place on the ground to the body so that it can be brought to life.’ An-Nakha’ī recited ‘nanshuzuhā’ and that is related from Ibn ‘Abbās and Qatādah. Kuswah is clothing that covers a person. The flesh is likened to it. An-Nābighah uses it as a metaphor for Islam:

‘So that I was clothed in a shirt from Islam.’

When it had become clear to him, he said, ‘Now I know that Allah has power over all things.’

It is related that Allah brought some of him back to life and then he saw how Allah brought the rest of his body to life. Qatādah said, ‘He looked at how the bones are connected to one another because the first part of him Allah brought back to life was his head and then he was told to look. At that point he said, ‘I know,’ meaning ‘I know this.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī said that it means: when it was clear to his own eyes
what he denied of Allah’s power before he saw that. Then he said, ‘I
know.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that this is an error because it demands
something not obliged by the words. His explanation is based on an
aberrant view and weak probability. This is what I think because
there is no confirmation that he denied before that as at-Ṭabarî said.
What he said was prompted by reflection, as a believing person
might say when he sees something unusual of Allah’s power, ‘There
is no god but Allah’ and the like. Abû ‘Alî said that it means: ‘I know
this sort of knowledge which I did not know previously.’

We already mentioned this idea from Qatādah. That is what
Makkî said: ‘He reported about himself when he witnessed the
power of Allah to bring the dead to life and had certainty of that by
actually witnessing its occurrence. He affirmed that he truly knew
that Allah has power over all things. In other words he is saying, “I
now know by eye-witnessing this sort of knowledge which I did not
know before.”’ This is based on the reading of ‘a’lamu’ with the alif
disconnected which is the reading of most reciters. Ḥamzah and al-
Kisā’î connect the alif (i’lam) which has two possible meanings. One
is that the angel told him, ‘Know’ and the other is that he put
himself in the position of a separate speaker. So it means: ‘When it
was clear to him, he said to himself, “Soul, know with this definitive
knowledge which you did not know by eye-witnessing.”’ Abû ‘Alî
composed something about this understanding:

Bid farewell to Hurayrah. The caravan is leaving.

Your bleary eyes have not closed in the night.

Makkî said, ‘It is unlikely that it is a command from Allah to know
because He had demonstrated His power to him and shown him
something that is certainly sound and affirms His power. So there is
no point in Allah commanding that. He is commanding himself to
do that. That is an excellent possibility.’ The mode (ḥarf) of ‘Abdullâh
indicates that it is a command from Allah to know, meaning that this
knowledge is necessary because of what you have seen and are
certain about. His mode has ‘qīla i‘lam’ (It was said, ‘Know…’). That also agrees with the command before it: ‘Look at your food,’ ‘Look at your donkey,’ and ‘look at the bones.’ So the same is true in the case of ‘Know that Allah…’. Ibn ‘Abbās used to recite it in that way and said, ‘Who is better: him or Ibrāhīm, since he was told, “Know that Allah is Almighty, All-Wise”? This makes it clear that it is part of the words of Allah to him when he witnessed the bringing of everything back to life.

When Ibrāhīm said, ‘My Lord, show me how You bring the dead to life.’ He asked, ‘Do you not then believe?’ He replied, ‘Indeed I do! But so that my heart may be at peace.’ He said, ‘Take four birds and train them to yourself. Then put a part of them on each mountain and call to them; they will come rushing to you. Know that Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.’

People disagree about Ibrāhīm’s request and whether it arose out of doubt or not. The majority say that Ibrāhīm did not doubt that Allah could bring the dead to life but simply wanted to see it happen with his own eyes. That is because people have a natural desire for direct proof of what they are told. That is why the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘A report about something is not the same as actually seeing it.’ Ibn ‘Abbās related that and no one else related it. Abū ‘Umar said that. Al-Akhfash said, ‘He did not mean the seeing of the heart. He meant the seeing of the eye.’ Al-Ḥasan, Qatādah, Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr and ar-Rabī’ said that Ibrāhīm was asking in order to add certainty to his certainty. Ibn ‘Atīyyah said, ‘Aṭ-Ṭabarī has a chapter in his
Tafsīr: “Others said that he asked his Lord for that because he doubted the power of Allah.” He included in this section from Ibn ‘Abbās: “I consider this the most hopeful of āyahs.”” It is mentioned that ‘Aṭā’ ibn Abī Rabāḥ said, ‘Something that enters the hearts of ordinary people entered Ibrāhīm’s heart and he said, “My Lord, show me how You bring the dead to life.”’ He mentioned the hadith of Abū Hurayrah in which the Messenger of Allah ☪️ said, ‘We are more entitled to doubt than Ibrāhīm.’ Then at-Ṭabarī preferred this view.

The hadith from Abū Hurayrah was transmitted by al-Bukhārī and Muslim in which the Messenger of Allah ☪️ said, ‘We are more entitled to doubt than Ibrāhīm. He said, “Lord, show me how You bring the dead to life,” and He asked, “Do you not then believe?” He said, “Yes, but so that my heart will be at rest.” Allah had mercy on Lūṭ. He took refuge in a strong pillar. If I had remained imprisoned for as long as Yūsuf, I would have answered the invitation.’ This is mentioned by at-Ṭabarī, but Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah says that his interpretation [about the permissibility of doubt] is rejected.

As for what Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘I consider this the most hopeful of āyahs,’ that is because it contains boldness (idlāl) to Allah Almighty and asking for revivification in this world and there is no suspicion concerning it. It is permitted to say, ‘I consider this the most hopeful of āyahs since Allah asked, “Do you not believe?” which shows that faith is enough and there is no need for delving and investigation.’ ‘Aṭā’a’s statement can refer to direct witnessing of bringing the dead to life, and the meaning of the hadith is: ‘If he had doubted, then we would have been more entitled to doubt. We do not doubt, and so it is more likely that Ibrāhīm did not doubt either.’

The hadith is, in fact, negating any doubt on the part of Ibrāhīm. It is related that the Prophet ☪️ said about it, ‘That is pure faith.’ It concerns passing thoughts which do not last. Doubt means to hesitate between two matters without preferring one to the other.
The Khalīl was not subject to that. Bringing the dead to life was affirmed when he heard it. Ibrāhīm knew it, as he said, ‘My Lord gives life and causes to die,’ earlier in this sūrah. Doubt is far from someone whose feet are firm in faith, so how much more must that be the case with someone whose rank is that of a Prophet and Friend. It is agreed that Prophets are protected from major wrong actions and minor vile ones by consensus.

If you reflect on the request he made and all the words of the āyah, you will find that they do not imply doubt. It is a question of ‘how’. It is a matter of the manner of the occurrence of a phenomenon which exists and is affirmed by the asker. It is like the questions, ‘How does Zayd know?’, ‘How is cloth woven?’ and other such things. When you say, ‘How is your garment?’ and ‘How is Zayd?’ it is a question about a state. ‘How’ can apply to something which is understood as when you say, ‘Be however you wish.’ It is also like the words of al-Bukhārī, ‘How the beginning of the revelation was’. The ‘how’ in this āyah is asking about the manner of bringing to life. Bringing to life is confirmed. However, we find some of those who deny the existence of something refer to that denial by asking about the state of that thing which the person knows not to be sound, indicating that they find that thing itself not to be sound. That is like when someone claims, ‘I will lift up this mountain!’ and the person who denies him says, ‘How could you possibly lift it up?’ Here the expression is used metaphorically with the aim of denial. It is a disputatious remark and is as if he were saying, ‘Supposing that you can raise it, tell me how you are going to do that!’ Since the words used by Ibrāhīm also involve this metaphorical usage, Allah absolved him of doing that and had Ibrāhīm make the reality clear by asking him, ‘Do you not then believe?’ He replied, ‘Indeed I do.’ So the business was complete and he was shown to be free of any doubt. Then he said that the reason for his question was to have his heart be at peace.
What Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah mentioned is conclusive. Doubt of this sort is not permissible for the Prophets. It constitutes disbelief. The Prophets agree about Resurrection. Allah reported that Shayṭān has no way against His Prophets and awliyā’. He says: ‘You have no authority over any of My slaves.’ *(15:42)* The accursed one said, ‘only Your slaves among them who are sincere.’ If he has no power over them, how could he make them doubt? He was asking about how the parts of the dead are gathered together after they have been separated and how their sinews and skins are reconstituted after they have fallen apart. He wanted to move from the knowledge of certainty to the eye-witnessing of certainty. His words, ‘*show me how,*’ are asking for direct witnessing of the manner in which it is achieved. Some of the people who deal in meanings say that Ibrāhīm wanted his Lord to show him how He brings the hearts to life, but this is false and rejected because of the following explanation. Al-Māwardī mentioned it. The *alif* in *(a wa lam) believe*’ is not the interrogative *alif*, but the *alif* of affirmation. 

**He replied, ‘Indeed I do! But so that my heart may be at peace.’**

‘I make this request to You so that my heart will be tranquil through seeing the difference between what is known by intellectual proof and what is known by direct experience.’ The tranquillity of the heart consists in balance and stillness. The tranquillity of the limbs is known, as in *rukū‘* when they are still, as the Prophet ** taught: ‘Then bow until you are still in bowing.’ The tranquillity of the heart occurs when someone’s thoughts have been stilled concerning the thing believed. Reflecting about the manner in which revivification occurs is not forbidden, just as we still reflect on it today when there are lessons to be learned from it. Ibrāhīm wanted to actually see it take place and witness the manner in which it occurs. At-Ṭabarī said that this means that he wanted to have certainty. The same was related from Saʿīd ibn Jubayr. It is also related from him that it was about increasing his certainty. Ibrāhīm
and Qatādah said that.

Some of them said that it is to further increase faith. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah points out that this is nonsensical since certainty is indivisible. As-Suddī and Ibn Jubayr said that it means: ‘Do you not believe that you are My Khalīl?’ He replied, ‘Yes, but so that my heart may be at peace about it.’ It is said that he prayed to be shown how the dead are brought back to life so that he would know whether Allah would answer His prayer. So Allah said to him, ‘Do you not believe that I answer your prayer?’ He replied, ‘Yes, but so that my heart may be at peace about Your answering my prayer.’

There is disagreement about what moved him to do this. It is said that Allah promised to make him His Khalīl and he wanted a sign of that. As-Sā’ib ibn Yazīd said that. It is said that it was the words of Nimrod, ‘I give life and cause to die,’ which made him ask. Al-Ḥasan said that he saw a riding animal which wild beasts and snakes had eaten and asked the question. It was half on the land and half in the sea. When he saw how it was split up, he wanted to know how it would be gathered back together so that his heart would be at peace by seeing how the parts would be rejoined when he saw how they were separated.

He said, ‘Take four birds and train them to yourself. Then put a part of them on each mountain and call to them; they will come rushing to you.

It is said that they were a cock, a peacock, a dove and a crow. Ibn Ishāq mentioned that from some scholars. Mujāhid, Ibn Jurayj, ‘Aṭā’ ibn Yasār and Ibn Zayd said that. Ibn ‘Abbās said it was a crane instead of a crow, and elsewhere an eagle in place of the dove.

Ibrāhīm took these birds as he was commanded and then slaughtered them and then cut them into small pieces. He mixed their flesh together with the blood and feathers so that they were all jumbled together and then put parts of that mixture on each mountain. He stood where he could see those pieces while he kept
the heads of the birds in his hand. Then he said, ‘Come by Allah’s permission,’ and those parts flew and the blood flew to the blood and feathers to feathers until they were as they had been at first but headless. Then he repeated the call and they ran to him. The verb *sa'y* is not used when a bird flies except metaphorically. An-Naḥḥās said that. Ibrāhīm pointed at each of them which was without a head. When he pointed with its head, it came close until each bird joined its head and they flew away by Allah’s permission. Az-Zajjāj said that it means: ‘put a piece of each on each mountain.’ Abū Bakr from ‘Āṣim and Abū Ja‘far recited ‘*jużu*’. Abū Ja‘far recited “*juzz*” while the rest have ‘*juẓ*’. They are different dialects. It means a portion.

The words “*ṣurhunna ilayka*” (train them to yourself) may mean make them incline to you but are also said to mean ‘cut them up’. Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid, Abū ‘Abīdah and al-Anbārī said that. Ibn Ishāq said that. Abu-l-Aswad ad-Du‘alī said that its means ‘cutting’ in Syriac. Tawbah ibn al-Ḥumayyir said in a description:

> When I tugged the rope, the thongs of the saddle-girth creaked with the ends of sticks, its thongs being strong.
> The ropes were close to me when I reached them
> when I stood, and my rising almost cut them (*yaṣūruhā*).

*Sāwr* is cutting. Ad-Ḍaḥḥāk, ‘Ikrimah, and Ibn ‘Abbās in another transmission, said that it means ‘cut’ in Nabatean. It is said that it means ‘to make them incline to you’, i.e. bring them to you and gather them to you. A man who is described as ‘*ašwar*’ has his neck bent. The word also means to incline to in the sense of yearning for. A woman is described as *ṣawrā* and the plural is *ṣūr*, like *aswad* and *sūd*. A poet said:

> Allah knows that in my turning
> To my neighbours on the day of separation, I yearn (*ṣūr*).

If it means ‘cut’, ‘*to*’ is connected to ‘*take*’ and there is no need for
implying something elided. If it means ‘incline to’, it is connected to ‘ṣurhunna’ and there is something elided: ‘make them incline to you and then cut them up.’

There are five readings here, two of which are from the seven readings: ‘ṣurhunna’ and ‘ṣirhunna’. Some people recite ‘ṣurrahunna’ as if He were saying, ‘Bind them.’ Connected to that meaning is the purse (ṣurrah) for dinars. Some people recite ‘ṣirrahunna’, meaning ‘make them call out’ from the verb ṣarra for the creaking of a door and the noise of a pen. An-Naqqāsh related it. Ibn Jinnī said that it is a rare reading and that the form ‘yafīlu’ in doubled transitive verbs is rare. Normally the middle letter has a ẓammah. Ibn Jinnī said, ‘As for the reading of ‘Ikrimah with a ẓammah on the ẓād, it is possible for the rā’ to have a ẓammah, fatḥah or kasrah, but what is proper is to have a ẓammah because of the ẓammah on the hā’ after it. The fifth reading is ‘ṣarrihinna’ which al-Mahdawī and others related from ‘Ikrimah. It means: ‘restrain them’ from ṣarrā, yuṣarrī. Connected to that meaning is a sheep which is muṣarrāh, whose milk is left to collect in the udder.

Here al-Māwardī interjects an objection. Why should Ibrāhīm be given a response when asking for the Signs of the Next World when that was denied to Mūsā when he asked, ‘Lord, show yourself to me so that I may look at You!’ (7:143)? There are two answers to this. One is that what Mūsā asked for does not correspond with continuing responsibility while what Ibrāhīm asked for is particular and continued responsibility corresponds with it. The second is that the circumstances were different. It may be proper for something to be granted at certain times but denied at other times regarding that for which there is no permission. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Allah commanded Ibrāhīm to do this before he had children and before the Scrolls were sent down to him.’ Allah knows best.
261 The metaphor of those who spend their wealth in the Way of Allah is that of a grain which produces seven ears; in every ear there are a hundred grains. Allah gives such multiplied increase to whoever He wills. Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.

After Allah has given evidence in support of fighting in the Way of Allah, He encourages jihād and confirms that those who do jihād after this evidence, which only a Prophet could have brought them, will have an immense reward for it. It is reported by al-Bustī in his sound Musnad from Ibn ‘Umar, ‘When this āyah was revealed, the Messenger of Allah سّaid, “Lord, give my community even more,” and then Allah revealed: “Is there anyone who will make Allah a generous loan so that He can multiply it for him many times over?” (2:245) The Messenger of Allah سّaid, “Lord, give my community even more than that,” and Allah revealed: “The steadfast will be paid their wages in full without any reckoning” (39:10).”

This āyah stresses the nobility of spending in the Way of Allah and its excellence and encourages people to do that. There is an elision in the Arabic, which implies, ‘The metaphor of the “spending” of those who spend...’ or ‘The metaphor of those who spend their wealth is like a farmer who plants his crop of grain in the earth...’ meaning that it produces seven ears in each of which there is a hundred grains. So He likens the one who gives ṣadaqah to a cultivator and likens the ṣadaqah to grain set aside for sowing, and so Allah gives him for every ṣadaqah of his seven hundred good deeds.

The metaphor makes it clear that a good action is worth seven hundred good deeds. ‘Allah gives such multiplied increase to whomever He wills’ means that it can amount to even more than seven hundred.
So the person who gives ṣadaqah is like a farmer. If he is intelligent in his work and the seed is good and the earth is fertile, the crop will be abundant. The same applies if the one who gives ṣadaqah is righteous and the wealth is good and he spends it in a place where the reward will be great. Some say that seven hundredfold is the maximum possible increase.

It is related that this āyah was revealed about ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān and ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn ‘Awf on the occasion when the Messenger of Allah encouraged people to give ṣadaqah when he was going on the Tabūk expedition. ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān brought him four thousand and said, ‘Messenger of Allah, I have eight thousand. I have kept four thousand for myself and my dependants and I am lending four thousand to my Lord.’ The Messenger of Allah said, ‘May Allah bless you in what you have kept and what you have given.’ ‘Uthmān said, ‘Messenger of Allah, I will kit out all those who have no equipment,’ and this was revealed about them.

It is said that it was revealed about voluntary ṣadaqah. It is also said that it was revealed before the Āyah of Zakat and then abrogated by the Āyah of Zakat. There is no need to claim abrogation because spending in the Way of Allah is recommended at every time. The ways of spending are numerous, and the greatest of them is for jihād to raise high the word of Allah.

The type of grain referred to is not specified. The word used is ḥabbah and can designate all grains that are cultivated and stored as food. Wheat, however, is the most famous of them. Part of that is the words of al-Mutalammis [Jarīr ibn ‘Abd al-Masīḥ]:

I wish that I could always eat the wheat (ḥabb) of Iraq.

The wheat which is eaten in the town is worms.

Ḥabbat al-gālib is one’s beloved or its fruit. Ḥibbah are seeds of plants that are not foodstuffs. We find in the hadith of intercession: ‘They will grow as a seed (ḥibbah) grows in what the flood has carried.’
plural is ḥibab. Hubbah is love as is ḥibb, which also means ‘beloved’.

Sunbulah (ear) comes from the verb, asbala, to put forth ears [of grain], and they are released as a curtain is let down (isbāl). It is said that it means that covered grain appears in it as a thing is covered when a curtain is dropped over it. The plural of sunbulah is sanābil. It is said that what is meant are ears of millet which has that number in its ears. However, this is baseless because the ears of millet have many times more than this number as we can see.

Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘The ears of wheat have a hundred grains. As for other grains, they have more than that. The example, however, merely uses this number.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī said about this āyah that it is a hundred grains if that exists. Otherwise, it is an estimation. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that it means that every ear has a hundred grains. Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘What aṭ-Ṭabarī said is more or less the same as aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said.’ Abū ‘Amr ad-Dānī said that some recite ‘mi’ata’ in the accusative, implying, ‘It produces a hundred grains.’ Yaʿqūb al-Ḥaḍramī said that some recite ‘mi’ata’ in the accusative, implying, ‘It produces a hundred grains,’ just as some recite ‘punishment’ in the accusative in 67:6, meaning ‘We have prepared for those who disbelieve the punishment of Hell.’ Abū ‘Amr, Ḥamzah and al-Kisāʾī recite ‘anbatas-sab’ with the tā’ assimilated into the sīn because they are both letters with soft articulation and sometimes replace one another. The rest have them as distinct because they are two words.

The Qur’an reports that a good action of any type is worth ten like it. This āyah tells us that spending in jihād is worth seven hundred. Scholars disagree about the meanings of ‘Allah gives such multiplied increase to whomever He wills.’ One group say that it is simply a reference to the seven hundred fold mentioned and does not indicate a greater increase than that. Another group of scholars says that it is to inform us that Allah can multiply it more than seven hundred times if He wishes. I consider this to be the soundest because of hadiths which mention that possibility.
Ibn Mājah related from Hārūn ibn ‘Abdullāh al-Ḥammāl from Ibn Abī Fudayk from al-Khalīl ibn ‘Abī Ṭālib, Abu-d-Dardā’, ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr, Abū Umāmah al-Bāhilī, ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr, Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh and ‘Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘If someone sends support for the Cause of Allah while he remains in his house, he will have seven hundred dirhams for every dirham. If someone goes on an expedition in the Cause of Allah and spends on it, he will have 700,000 dirhams for every dirham.’ Then he recited this āyah: ‘Allah gives multiplied increase to whomever He wills.’ It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the multiplication reaches a million for whomever Allah wishes. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This does not have a verified isnād.’

This āyah contains evidence that agriculture is one of the highest professions adopted by people and is a legitimate way of earning a living. This is why Allah uses it for metaphors. We read in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that the Prophet said, ‘There is no Muslim who plants a seedling or cultivates a crop from which birds, humans or animals eat without that being ṣadaqah for them.’ Hishām ibn ‘Urwah related from his father that ‘Ā’ishah reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Cling to cultivation in the hidden places of the earth.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it. The Prophet said about palm trees, ‘They are firm in muddy conditions and give food in drought.’ This is praise for them. Agriculture is one of the communal (kifāyah) obligations and so a ruler must compel people to do it. This includes planting trees. ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Abd al-Malik met Ibn Shihāb az-Zuhrī and said, ‘Direct me to property I can apply myself to.’ Ibn Shihāb said:

I say to ‘Abdullāh on the day I meet him,
when the camels are saddled for the east,
‘Follow the plants of the earth and leave their owners.
Perhaps one day you will receive an answer and be provided for.
You will be given vast recurring wealth
when the waters of the earth gush forth.’

It is related that al-Mu'taḍid said, ‘I saw ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib in a dream handing me a spade and he said, “Take it. It is the key to the treasures of the earth.”

Those who spend their wealth in the Way of Allah, and then do not follow what they have spent by demands for gratitude or insulting words will have their reward with their Lord. They will feel no fear and will know no sorrow.

This was revealed about ʿUthmān ibn ʿAffān. ʿAbd ar-Raḥmān ibn Samurah said, ‘Uthmān brought a thousand dinars for the Army of Hardship and put it in the lap of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. I saw him put his hand in it and turn it about. He said, “It does matter what ‘Uthmān does after today. O Allah, do not forget this day for ‘Uthmān!”’ Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī said, ‘I saw the Prophet ﷺ raise his hands to pray for ‘Uthmān, saying, “My Lord, ‘Uthmān! I am pleased with ‘Uthmān, so be pleased with him.” After dawn, this āyah was revealed.’

Spending in the Way of Allah in general was mentioned in the previous āyah and then it is made clear in this āyah that judgment about it and reward for it are for the one who does not follow up what he gives with demands for gratitude or insult of any kind. For such an action would invalidate the ṣadaqah as Allah tells us in the next āyah. You must desire the face of Allah and His reward by spending and not hope for any kind of return for it from the one to whom you give it. Allah Almighty says: ‘We do not want any repayment from you or any thanks.’ (76:9) If you desire something in exchange for it
from the person you give it to then it is not for the Face of Allah. This is the case when someone follows up his spending with demands and insult. The same is also true when someone has to spend to avert harm since the one on whom he spent will owe him a favour or some similar feeling of indebtedness. He does not desire to please Allah when he does this. Allah only accepts what is given for the sake of Allah and when most of the aim is to seek that which is with Allah. It is as it is related that a desert Arab came to ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and said:

“Umar! Charity is rewarded with the Garden. Clothe my little daughters and their mother! Be a protection for us against time! I ask you by Allah to do it!”

‘Umar said, ‘And if I do not do it, what then?’ He replied: ‘Then, Abū Ḥafṣ, I will go.’ He said, ‘And if you go, what then?’ He said:

‘You will be questioned about my state on the day when there are gifts, In a place of standing when one will be questioned about it, and will either go to the Fire or to the Garden.’

‘Umar wept until his beard was wet and then said, ‘Lad, give him this shirt of mine because of that Day, not because of his poem! By Allah, I do not own any other shirt!’

Al-Māwardī said, ‘When the gift is like this, free of seeking recompense or gratitude, and free of seeking indebtedness or publicity, that is more noble for the giver and more pleasant for the one who accepts it. As for someone who gives while seeking recompense for his gift and demanding gratitude and praise, he is someone showing off, seeking reputation. These cases entail censure which negates the generosity. If someone seeks something, he is a trader looking for a profit who does not deserve praise.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said that the āyah: ‘Do not give out of a desire for gain’ (74:6) means: ‘Do
not give a gift whereby you seek one better than it.’ Ibn Zayd believed that this āyah was about those who do not go out in jihād, but give while they remain at home. The āyah before it is about those who go out themselves. He said, ‘That is why there is a precondition for these people, but not for the first ones.’ Ibn ‘Atīyyah said, ‘This statement is debatable because its arbitrary nature is evident.’

and then do not follow what they have spent by demands for gratitude or insulting words

The expression ‘demands for gratitude’ (manna) means to mention the blessing by enumerating it and rebuking the person by it, as when you say ‘I was good to you’, ‘I restored you’, ‘After all I have done for you’ and other such things. Some say that manna means to speak about what you gave so that the recipient hears about it and to insult him. Mann is one of the great wrong actions. That is confirmed in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim and elsewhere. Someone who does that is one of the three whom Allah will not look at and whom He will not purify on the Day of Rising, and they will have a painful punishment. An-Nasā’ī related from Ibn ‘Umar that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘There are three who will not enter the Garden: the one who disobeys his parents, a habitual drinker, and someone who demands gratitude for his gift.’ One of the paths of transmission in Muslim has: ‘someone who only gives something in order to receive gratitude for it.’

The expression ‘adhā’ (insulting words) means insulting and complaining and is more general than manna which is mentioned because it frequently occurs. Ibn Zayd said, ‘If you think that your greeting will be onerous for someone on whom you have spent desiring the Face of Allah, then do not greet him.’ A woman asked him, ‘Abu Usāmah, show me a man who will truly go out in the Way of Allah. They only go out for what they can get. I have arrows and a quiver.’ He said, ‘May Allah not bless your arrows and quiver! You have harmed them even before giving to them!’ Our scholars have
said that if someone spends in the Way of Allah and does not follow it by demands for gratitude or insulting words such as ‘How strongly you entreat!’, ‘May Allah deliver us from you!’ and the like, Allah has guaranteed him the reward, which is the Garden, removed fear of what is to come from Him after his death, and sorrow for what he missed of this world because he delights in His Hereafter.

They will feel no fear and will know no sorrow.

They will feel no fear for the future after death and no sorrow for what has passed of this world because they will delight in the Next World. This āyah also contains evidence for the excellence of the rich over the poor as will come later, Allah willing.

263 Correct and courteous words accompanied by forgiveness are better than ṣadaqah followed by insulting words. Allah is Rich Beyond Need, All-Forbearing.

Correct and courteous words

It means that correct words are more appropriate as an-Naḥḥās and al-Mahdawī said. An-Naḥḥās said, based on an elided inceptive, that it can mean: ‘That which you are commanded is to use correct and courteous words.’ Words which are described as ‘ma’rūf’ include making supplication, putting people at their ease and giving them hope for what is with Allah. That is better than ṣadaqah which is merely outward with no inward dimension, because correct words will have a reward while outward ṣadaqah may have no reward. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘A good word is ṣadaqah, and an aspect of correct behaviour is to meet your brother with a cheerful face.’ Muslim transmitted it. One should meet the beggar with a smile and welcome him so that he will be thankful if you give to him and excuse you if you do not. One of the sages said, ‘Meet the one with a
need with a smile. Even if you miss his gratitude you will not miss his pardon.’ Ibn Lankak related that Abū Bakr ibn Durayd went to a government official for something he needed and his request was not granted. The official showed some vexation, so he said:

Do not be vexed by someone who makes a request.
It is a good thing to be seen as someone to whom requests are made.
Do not show the face of rejection to a hopeful face.
Your might continues when you are seen as a source of hope.
You meet the noble and show joy.
You meet the blameworthy and your frown is a guide.
Know that soon you will be reported,
So be a report that gives delight.

In the hadith of ‘Umar we find that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘When a beggar asks of you, do not interrupt his request until he finishes. Then turn to him with gravity and leniency, or spend a little, or give a good reply. One who is neither human nor jinn will come to you to see what you do with what Allah has given you.’

Its proof is the hadith about the leper, the person with scabies and the blind man which Muslim and others transmitted. In that account an angel took the form of a leper, a bald man and a blind man to test the individual concerned. Bishr ibn al-Ḥārith said, ‘I saw ‘Alī in a dream and said, “Amīr al-Mu’minin! Tell me something by which Allah will benefit me!” he answered, “How excellent is the kindness of the Prophets to the poor out of desire for the reward of Allah Almighty, and better still is the poor escaping the rich by putting their trust in what Allah has promised.” I said, “Amīr al-Mu’minin! Tell me more!” He recited:

“You were dead and then became alive,
and you soon will be dead again.
Ruin a house in the abode that is transient.
Build a house in the abode that will abide!”

accompanied by forgiveness

This can refer to disregarding the defects and bad state of the needy. This is like the case of the desert Arab who begged from people with eloquent language. Someone asked him, ‘Where are you from?’ He answered, ‘O Allah, forgiveness! It is an evil earning that it is denied because of lineage!’ It is also said that its meaning is that to excuse a beggar when he is insistent, rude and harsh is better than giving him ṣadaqah with demands of gratitude and insults. An-Naqqāsh said something to that effect. An-Nahḥās said, ‘This is a problem explained by the desert Arab.’

The words ‘better than ṣadaqah’ mean, and Allah knows best, that an action that leads to forgiveness is better than ṣadaqah followed by insulting words. It can be like your words, ‘Allah’s graciousness to you is greater than ṣadaqah by which gratitude is intended.’ So Allah’s forgiveness is better than this sort of ṣadaqah.

Allah is Rich Beyond Need, All-Forbearing.

Allah is Rich beyond need of the ṣadaqah of his slaves. He orders them to do it so that He can reward them for it. An aspect of His Forbearance is that He does not hasten the punishment to the one who insults when he gives ṣadaqah.

264 You who believe! do not nullify your ṣadaqah by demands for gratitude or insulting words, like him who spends his wealth, showing off to people and not believing in Allah and the Last Day. His likeness is that of a smooth rock coated with soil, which, when heavy rain falls on it, is left stripped bare. They have no power
over anything they have earned. Allah does not guide disbelieving people.

You who believe! do not nullify your ṣadaqah by demands for gratitude or insulting words,

This tells us that Allah does not accept ṣadaqah which is marred in this way and that the reward for it is made null and void. What is referred to is ṣadaqah by which gratitude and insults are meant. It is an article of faith that, in general, evil deeds do not invalidate good deeds or cancel them out. Demands for gratitude and insults do not invalidate other ṣadaqah which has been made without them. The majority of scholars say that it is only the ṣadaqah Allah knows has been used to impose gratitude or used as an insult that is not accepted. It is said that Allah appoints an angel over the giver who does not register that. This is good.

The Arabs say of someone who demands gratitude, that he has ‘a black hand’. When he gives without being asked, he has ‘a white hand’. If someone gives after being asked, he has ‘a green hand’. One of the eloquent said, ‘Whoever expects gratitude for charity has lost gratitude. If someone admires his deeds, his reward is lost.’ A poet said:

I borrowed from a friend of mine.
I was slow in repaying him and he was hostile to me.
When he was certain that time was fighting me,
    he showed regret in his dealing with me.

Someone else said:

You corrupted the good that you gave by seeking thanks.
    When a generous person gives, he does not seek thanks.

Abū Bakr al-Warrāq spoke well when he said:

The very best of good at every time and moment
    is a gift which is free of seeking gratitude.
Ibn Sīrīn heard one man say to another, ‘I did this and that for you!’ He told him, ‘Be quiet! There is no good in charity which is counted.’ The Prophet ⲡ said, ‘Beware of demanding gratitude for charity. It invalidates thankfulness and erases the reward.’ Then he recited this āyah.

Our scholars say that, on the basis of this āyah, Mālik disliked a man giving his obligatory zakāt to his relatives in case he might be praised in return for it or they might feel the need to show gratitude to him and recompense him for it. Then it would not be sincerely for the Face of Allah. He preferred it to be given to non-relatives and also preferred that someone other than the giver should undertake to distribute it, if there is no ruler to do so, to prevent the danger of demands for gratitude or insults, and that of praise, or recompense by service from the recipient. This is not the case with ṣadaqah because, even its if reward is nullified, he is safe from any threat and is just like someone who has not done anything. When the obligatory is nullified, he is threatened about something he has failed to do.

**like him who spends his wealth, showing off to people and not believing in Allah and the Last Day.**

Allah likens someone who demands gratitude and inflicts insults through his ṣadaqah to someone who spends to show off to people, not for the Face of Allah, and to an unbeliever who spends so that people will say that he is generous and praise him for it.

**His likeness is that of a smooth rock coated with soil, which, when heavy rain falls on it, is left stripped bare.**

Then this person is likened to a smooth rock covered with soil. One would think, to look at it, that it is fertile, good earth but then the rain comes and washes it away and all that is left is bare rock. That is a metaphor for someone who gives in order to show off. His intention of seeking gratitude, insult and showing off will be disclosed in the Next World and the act of ṣadaqah will be null and void, in the same way that the heavy rain exposes the smooth stone.
Ṣafwān is a large smooth stone. It is said that what is meant is that any person who spends to show off is, like an unbeliever, not rewarded because he does not intend the Face of Allah by his act so as to merit the reward, even if he gives multiple times, and so the excellence of the action is voided. It is said that the reward is nullified from the moment he demands gratitude or behaves insultingly. What was before that is written for him and multiplied. Then the insult stops the multiplication because ṣadaqah grows and grows until it is as big as a mountain. When it leaves his hand sincerely in the prescribed manner, it is multiplied, but when gratitude it sought by it or an insult made, it stops there and the multiplication is cut off. The first position, however, is more evident, and Allah knows best.

Ṣafwān is the plural and ṣafwānah is the singular. Al-Akhfash said that. Some have said that ṣafwān is a singular. Al-Kisā’ī said that ṣafwān is a singular and its plural is ṣīfīwān, ṣufiyy or ṣifīyy. Al-Mubarrad, however, denied that and said that ṣifīyy is the plural of ṣafā. From this comes ṣafwā’ and ṣafā (stones). Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab and az-Zuhrī recited it as ṣafawān, which is a dialectical usage. Qutrūb recited ṣifwān. An-Naḥḥās said that ṣafwān and ṣifwān can be either plural or singular, although it is more likely to be singular since Allah uses the singular hā’ in referring to it. It is still permitted to mention the plural, but a thing is only removed from its normal place by a definitive proof. As for what al-Kisā’ī related about the plural, it is not sound based on true investigation, but ṣifwān is the plural of ṣafā which means ṣafwān. This is like waral and wirlān, akh and ikhwān, karā and kirwān.

Wābil is heavy rain. The verb wabala is used when it rains heavily. The earth which receives it is described as mawbūlah. Corroborating that meaning are Allah’s words: ‘We seized him with terrible severity (wabīl).’ (73:16) A blow or a punishment described as wābil is severe. Ṣald is smooth stone. Al-Kisā’ī said that the verb is ṣalida. Ṣald is any
part of the earth on which no plants grow. It describes the forehead where no hair grows. An-Naqqāsh said that *aṣlad* means ‘stripped’ in the dialect of Hudhayl.

**They have no power**

This refers to those who show off, unbelievers and those who demand gratitude.

**over anything they have earned.**

This means that they will not reap the reward of their spending. What they give is simply considered as something they spend for their own needs since it is for other than Allah. Spending is called ‘earning’ because they intend earning by it. It is said that this is a metaphor for the nullifying of the reward of those who show off in their giving and the voiding of the excellence of the giving of those who look for gratitude or who cause harm by it. Al-Māwardī mentioned that.

> وَمَّثَّلُ الْذَّئِبَ الَّذِيْنِ يَبْيِعُونَ أَفْوَاهُمْ إِبْنَيَّةً مَّيْتَاتَ أَلْلَهَ وَنَتْبِيْعُهُمْ فِي أُفُوْسُهُمْ<

> يُصِبْهُمَا وَأَيْلًا فَطَلَّ وَاللَّهُ يَتَسْمَأُونَ بِصَبْرٍ

> 265 The metaphor of those who spend their wealth, desiring the pleasure of Allah and firmness for themselves, is that of a garden on a hillside. When heavy rain falls on it, it doubles its produce; and if heavy rain does not fall, there is dew. Allah sees what you do.

The metaphor of those who spend their wealth, desiring the pleasure of Allah and firmness for themselves,

*Ibtighā*’ (desiring) is a causative object and ‘*tathbīt*’ (firmness) is conjoined to it. Makkī said that both ‘*tathbīt*’ (firmness) and ‘desiring’ are causative objects. Ibn ‘Atiyyah says that it is not, because spending does not ‘make firm’ and so *tathbīt* cannot be a causative object and, in fact, *ibtighā*’ (desiring) is a verbal noun used adverbially. Allah mentions the *ṣadaqah* of those who gain nothing
from their ṣadaqah and forbade the believers to vitiate their ṣadaqah like that in any way. He mentions the spending of the people whose ṣadaqah is pure since it is given in keeping with the commands of the Sharī’ah.

The word ibtighā’ means ‘seeking’ and ‘marḍāt’ (pleasure) is the verbal noun from the verb raḍā, to please. It is said that the word tathbīt means that they confirm where they should give their ṣadaqah. Mujāhid and al-Ḥasan said that. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘When a man wants to give ṣadaqah, he “confirms” it by examining himself. If it is truly for Allah, he should give it. If it is mixed with doubt, he should refrain from doing so.’ It is said that it means to confirm it and be certain about it, as Ibn ʿAbbās said. Ibn ʿAbbās and Qatādah also said that it means examining their own motives. Ash-Shaʿbī, as-Suddī, Qatādah, Ibn Zayd, Abū Ṣāliḥ and others said that it means to make certain, in other words they should look into themselves and confirm that their spending is out of obedience to Allah. These three positions are more correct than that of al-Ḥasan and Mujāhid who both believed that ‘tathbīt’ is a verbal noun not based on another verbal noun. Ibn ʿAṭiyyah said that this is only allowed when mentioning the verbal noun and it is made clear by a prior use of verb as we see elsewhere in the Qur’an as in 71:17 and 73:8. If there is no mention of the verb first, then you cannot use the verbal noun with other than its meaning. Ibn ʿAṭiyyah said, ‘This is the pathway taken in Arabic as far as I know.’ An-Naḥḥās said, ‘If it had been as Mujāhid says, it would be tathabbut from tathabbata. The statement of Qatādah about it being examination is not known, although it can be meant that their selves are firm and examined. This is unlikely.’ The position of ash-Shaʿbī is good: it means making it firm in themselves that they are spending that to obey Allah. One uses the verb thabbata to refer to someone verifying his resolve and strengthening his opinion. So here they are certain of Allah’s promise to make them firm in that. It is also said that it means to
affirm that Allah makes them firm in themselves that they will have the reward for that, which is not the case with a hypocrite who does not expect a reward.

**is that of a garden on a hillside.**

A ‘jannah’ (garden) is a piece of land with trees which shade it, taken from the linguistic root which means ‘to cover and conceal’. The word ‘rubwah’ (hillside) indicates a slightly elevated place which usually has a thick layer of good earth and is very fertile, which is why it is mentioned. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘Riyāḍ al-Ḥazan is not being referred to here as aṭ-Ṭabarī claims. Rather those are the meadows ascribed to Najd because they are the best meadows of Tihāmah. The plants of Najd are fragrant and are called abrad and araqq. Najd is called Ḥazan (sorrow). Sometimes the air of Tihāmah is only good at night. That is why the desert Arabs say, ‘My spouse is like the night of Tihāmah.’ As-Suddī said that it is at a rabāwah, and that means the lower ground.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is an undetermined expression because rubwah is derived from rabā, which is to grow.’

What as-Suddī said is of no consequence because the Arabic root r-b-w means ‘increase’ in Arabic. It is used for asthma (rabw), when the breath is short, and it is also used generally for being short of breath. Al-Farrā’ mentions about ‘He seized them in an ever-tightening grip (rābiyah)’ (69:9) that it means ‘increasing’. Arbā is to give more. The verb is also used for growing up among a people.

Al-Khalīl also says that rubwah is an elevated place which Allah singled out because the water does not flood such a place as frequently happens with flash floods in Arab lands. So it is a situation that they like. Ibn ‘Abbās said that it is an elevated place through which rivers do not flow because Allah mentioned heavy rain in a manner that indicates that there is no running water there. It does not mean there cannot be running water because elsewhere Allah mentions, ‘a mountainside (rabwah) where there was a meadow and a
What is known in Arabic is that it is an elevated place, whether or not there is flowing water there.

‘Rubwah’ (hillside) has five dialectal forms. ‘Rubwah’ is the qirā’ah of Ibn Kathīr, Ḥamzah, al-Kisā‘ī, Nāfi‘ and Abū ‘Amr. ‘Āṣim, Ibn ‘Āmir and al-Ḥasan have ‘rabwah’. ‘Ribwah’ is the reading of Ibn ‘Abbās and Abū Ishāq as-Sabī‘ī. ‘Rabāwah’ is the reading of Abū Ja‘far an Abū ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān. This form is found in a poem. ‘Ribāwah’ is the reading of al-Ashhab al-‘Aqīlī. Al-Farrā’ said that one says rabāwah and ribāwah and all are from rābiyah and the verb is rabā‘, yarbū.

When heavy rain falls on it, it doubles its produce; and if heavy rain does not fall, there is dew.

‘It’ is the hillside. Wābil is heavy rain. A poet said:

“There are none of the meadows of Ḥazan with green grass
on which heavy rain (wābil) falls.

Ukul (produce) is the fruit which is eaten. The same word is used in 14:25. It is also used for anything that is eaten. Uklah is a morsel. The hadith in Muslim describes the reward for every morsel a husband puts in his wife’s mouth using the word ‘uklah’. Here it is ascribed to the garden to make it specific, like the saddle of a horse or the door of a house.

Nāfi‘, Ibn Kathīr and Abū ‘Amr recite ‘uklāhā’. That is the case with all that is attributed to something feminine. ‘Āṣim, Ibn ‘Āmir, Ḥamzah and al-Kisā‘ī distinguish it when it is ascribed to something masculine, as in 6:141 (ukuluhu), and when it is not ascribed as in 36:16 (ukulin). Abū ‘Āṣim makes that heavy while the other two lighten it [of the middle vowel]. ‘Āṣim, Ibn ‘Āmir, Ḥamzah and al-Kisā‘ī recite it with full pronunciation in all we mentioned. It is also said that ukl and ukul mean the same.

The verb ‘double’ means that it gives twice the amount of fruit produced by other land. One of the scholars said that means it bears
fruit twice in a year. The first view is the more frequently cited one, meaning that it produces in one year what other lands produce in two years.

Mentioning dew continues the praise of the land because dew is enough moisture for it on account of its excellent soil and it still produces double. That is because of the fine nature and good quality of the soil. Al-Mubarrad and others said that it implies that dew is enough for it. Az-Zajjāj says that it is the dew that forms on it. The word for ‘dew’ (ṭall) can mean drizzle or light rain which is very fine. Ibn ‘Abbās and others said that and it is a well-known meaning for the word. Others, including Mujāhid, said that it means dew here. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah says that it is metaphorical. An-Naḥḥās said that linguists say the verbs are wabala and awbala, and ṭalla and aṭalla. According to aṣ-Ṣiḥāḥ: ‘Ṭall is the weakest form of rain and the plural of ṭilāl.’ Al-Māwardī said, ‘Crops watered by dew are weaker than those watered by rain and are less plentiful, but even if they are less, they are more cohesive and beneficial.’ Some of them said that there is a change in the normal order and it means: like a garden on a hillside watered by heavy rain, and if heavy rain does not fall on it, then there is dew and it produces its produce twice over, meaning that the leaves of the garden are green and it produces a bumper crop.

The first interpretation is more correct and there is no need for a change in order. So the Almighty likens the growth of the spending of those sincere people whose ṣadaqah Allah causes to grow, in the same way that a foal or young camel grows, like the plants in a garden on such a hillside grow. It is not like smooth stone whose earth is stripped from it so that it remains bare. Muslim and others transmitted from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ☪ said, ‘No one gives a rightfully earned date as ṣadaqah without Allah taking it in His right hand and making it grow as one of you would raise a foal or young camel, until it is like a mountain or larger still.’ It is also
transmitted in the *Muwattā’*.

**Allah sees what you do.**

This is both a promise and a threat. Az-Zuhrī recited this with a *yā’* (they do) as if it means all people or only the hypocrites. In that case, it is a pure threat.

266 Would any of you like to have a garden of dates and grapes, with rivers flowing underneath and containing all kinds of fruits, then to be stricken with old age and have children who are weak, and then for a fierce whirlwind containing fire to come and strike it so that it goes up in flames? In this way Allah makes His Signs clear to you, so that hopefully you will reflect.

**Would any of you like to have a garden of dates and grapes, with rivers flowing underneath**

Aṭ-Ṭabarī related from as-Suddī that this āyah is a metaphor of spending for the sake of showing off to others. It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās: ‘This is an example Allah made of those who show off by their actions which will then be nullified on the Day of Rising when they will be most in need of them. They are like a man who has a garden and children who cannot help him. He becomes old and then a firestorm strikes his garden and burns it up, leaving him absolutely destitute.’ It is related that Ibn Zayd recited: ‘You who believe! do not nullify your ṣadaqah by demands for gratitude or insulting words’ (2:264) and then said, ‘Then Allah made an example of that and said: ‘Would any of you like…’

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is clearer than what aṭ-Ṭabarī preferred. This āyah is not another example of spending to show off because of
the context in which it occurs. As for the meaning in this context, it is a metaphor of the state of every hypocrite or unbeliever who does an action which he supposes to be good. Then comes the moment of need and he finds it was nothing.’

It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās that it is a metaphor for those who act for the sake of other than Allah, and the hypocrites and unbelievers, as will come, even though we find something else stated in Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī. Al-Bukhārī transmitted from ‘Ubayd ibn ‘Umayr that one day ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb asked the Companions of the Prophet, quoting this āyah, ‘About what was this āyah related?’ They replied, ‘Allah and His Messenger know best.’ ‘Umar became angry and said, ‘Say: “We know” or “We do not know!”’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘I know something about it, Amīr al-Mu’minīn.’ He said, ‘Nephew, speak and do not undervalue yourself.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘It is a metaphor about action.’ ‘Umar asked, ‘What action?’ Ibn ‘Abbās replied, ‘The action of a rich man who works in obedience to Allah and then Allah sends a shayṭān to him and he does acts of disobedience until his good actions are burned up.’ One variant has, ‘When his life is over and term is near, he seals it with the actions of the wretched.’ ‘Umar was pleased with that interpretation.

Ibn Abī Mulaykah related this āyah and said, ‘This is a metaphor of a human being who does righteous actions until the end of his life when he needs his actions and then does bad actions.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is speculative. The āyah can be taken to mean all that its words convey.’ Mujāhid, Qatādah, ar-Rabī‘ and others said the like of that. Palm trees and grapes are mentioned because of their honour and excellence over other trees. Al-Ḥasan recited ‘jannāt’ in the plural.

**containing all kinds of fruits**

This means that it does not lack any kind of fruit.

**and then for a fierce whirlwind containing fire to come and strike it**

Al-Ḥasan says that this means a wind which is intensely cold. Az-
Zajjāj said that it is the strong wind which throws the soil into the sky like pillars, which is called a whirlwind. Al-Jawharī said that a ‘whirlwind’ (Zawba‘ah) is one of the leaders of the jinn which is why that is the name for a whirlwind. It is also said that it is a wind that raises a cloud containing thunder and lightning. Al-Mahdawī said that it is called (i‘ṣār) because it wraps around like a garment is wrung (‘uṣira). Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that this is weak.

I say that it is sound because it is physically witnessed. It rises like a twisting pillar. It is said that the wind is called i‘ṣār because it presses the clouds, and the clouds are pressed (mu‘ṣirāt) either because they carry [rain], like a young girl who is ready to menstruate but has not yet done so, or because they are pressed by the winds. Ibn Sīdah said that some people say that mu‘ṣirāt are the winds rather than the clouds. Ibn Zayd said that i‘ṣār is a fierce wind and strong simoom. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘That is either in intense heat or intense cold. All of that is from the exhaling of and breathing of Hell as we find in the words of the Prophet ☪: “When it is very hot, wait for the prayer until it cools. Intense heat is from the exhaling of Hell,” and “The Fire complained to its Lord…”’

It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās and others that this is a metaphor made by Allah of unbelievers and hypocrites, like a man who plants a garden which has an abundance of fruits and then becomes old and has weak children, boys and girls, and his livelihood and that of his children comes from that garden. Then Allah sends a wind containing fire on the garden which burns it up. He lacks the strength to rework the garden and his sons have no wealth with which to help their father. That is also the case with unbelievers and hypocrites when they come to Allah Almighty on the Day of Rising. They will not have another chance to be revived and go back a second time, just as the man with the garden cannot replant his garden a second time. Nothing can help him at that time of his old age and the weakness of his children.
In this way Allah makes His Signs clear to you, so that hopefully you will reflect.

It means: ‘so that you will refer to My immensity and lordship and not take other than Me as protectors.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said: ‘…so that you might reflect on the vanishing and annihilation of this world and the coming and everlasting nature of the Next World.’

267 You who believe! give away some of the good things you have earned and some of what the earth produces for you. Do not have recourse to bad things when you give, things you would only take with your eyes tight shut! Know that Allah is Rich Beyond Need, Praiseworthy.

You who believe! give away some of the good things you have earned

This is addressed to the entire Community of Muhammad ﷺ. Scholars disagree about what is meant by giving here. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, ‘Abīdah as-Salmānī and Ibn Sirīn said that it refers to the obligatory zakāt. In the āyah Allah forbids people to use bad things to pay it rather than good. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah stated that the position of al-Barā’ ibn ‘Āzib, al-Ḥasan and Qatādah is that the āyah is about voluntary ṣadaqah. They recommended that people should only give good property. The āyah is general to both ideas but, in the case of zakāt, it is connected to an actual command, and, as the command is mandatory, it means that it is, therefore, forbidden to pay zakāt with bad property. That command is particular to the obligatory duty.

As for voluntary giving, a person can give a little, and so give what is less in value. A dirham is better than a date. The people who believe that it is a recommendation say that the imperative is as
suitable for the recommendation as it is for the command. It is as
forbidden to give bad quality in voluntary charity as it is in what is
obligatory. Allah is more entitled to receive the best. Al-Barā’ related
that a man hung up a bunch of poor quality dates and the
Messenger of Allah ﷺ saw it and said, ‘An evil hanging,’ and the āyah was revealed. At-Tirmidhī transmitted the full report. The
command, according to this, is a recommendation to only give what
is good. Most commentators state that ‘good things’ means things of
high quality and which are favoured by people. Ibn Zayd said that it
means from what is lawful earning.

Earning can be by physical toil, which is working for a wage, the
rulings governing which will be dealt with later, or by trade, which is
buying and selling. Inheritance is included in this because, although
the heir did not earn it, someone else did. Sahl ibn ‘Abdullāh
mentioned that Ibn al-Mubārak was asked about a man who wants
to earn and intends by his earning to maintain his ties of kinship, do
jihād, do good deeds and to engage in the pitfalls of earning for this
reason. He said, ‘If he has enough livelihood to spare himself from
asking people, then leaving this is better because when he seeks the
lawful and spends it in a lawful way, he will be asked about it and his
earning and his spending. Leaving that is zuhd, for zuhd consists in
leaving what is ḥalāl.’

Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘According to this āyah, it is permitted
for a parent to consume some of their child’s earnings. That is
because the Prophet ﷺ said, “Your children are part of your good
earning. Eat from the property of your children with good cheer.”

and some of what the earth produces for you.

This includes plants, mineral wealth and treasure. These three
categories are included in this phrase. As for plants, ad-Dāraquṭnī
related that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘The Sunnah of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ is that there is no zakāt on less than five wasqs.’ A wasq is sixty ṣā’s, so
that makes three hundred ṣā’s of wheat, barley, dates and raisins.
There is no zakāt on vegetables produced by the earth. Some of the Ḥanafīs argue by the words here that the ruling is general to both a little and a lot of all varieties of what the earth produces and believe, by the literal understanding, that zakāt on vegetables is, therefore, mandatory. This will be fully dealt with in Sūrat al-An’ām (6).

As for minerals, the Imams related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘There is no penalty for a wound inflicted by a dumb beast. There is no penalty for an injury due to a well and no penalty for an injury due to a mine. There is a fifth due on treasure.’ Our scholars say that since the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘A fifth due on treasure,’ that indicates that the ruling for minerals is not the same as that for treasure because the Prophet ﷺ distinguished between minerals and treasure. Allah knows best.

The linguistic root of ‘rikāz’ (buried treasure) is something that is ‘placed’ (irtakaza) in the earth: like gold, silver and gems. That is what most of the fuqahā’ say because they maintain that what is rare of minerals found embedded in the earth obtained without work, effort or toil, that there is a fifth due on it because it is rikāz. It is related from Mālik that the ruling of the rare case when minerals are extracted without work is the same as that when work is required to extract minerals in the form of buried treasure. The first view mentioned is his final position, and the majority of fuqahā’ give that ruling.

‘Abdullāh ibn Sa‘īd ibn Abī Sa‘īd al-Maqburī related from his father from his grandfather that Abū Hurayrah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ was asked about rikāz and said, “It is the gold which Allah created in the earth on the day when He created the heavens and the earth.”’ ‘Abdullāh ibn Sa‘īd said that the hadith is matrūk (not acted upon). Ibn Abī Ḥātim mentioned that. It is related by another path from Abū Hurayrah which is not sound and which was mentioned by ad-Dāraquṭnī. There is no disagreement that things buried in the time of Jāhiliyyah are considered as treasure. If
it was buried during the time of Islam, it is classified as a find.

There is disagreement about the discovery of buried treasure. Mālik says, ‘Buried treasure that was buried during the Jāhiliyyah and is found in the land of the Arabs or the wilderness in territory owned by the Muslims without fighting belongs to the one who finds it and he owes a fifth on it. What is found in Muslim territory is like a find. What is found in land taken by conquest belongs to the group who conquered it rather than just the one who found it. What is found in lands obtained by treaty belongs to the people of that land rather than people in general. The finder has nothing of it unless he is one of the people of that abode. In that case, it belongs to him rather than them.’ It is also said that it belongs to the people with the treaty. Ismā‘īl said that the ruling of buried treasure is the same as that of booty which is that it is property belonging to an unbeliever that a Muslim has found. Therefore he is in the position of someone who fights and seizes property: so he has four-fifths of it.

Ibn al-Qāsim said, ‘Mālik used to say that there is a fifth due on goods, gems, iron, lead and the like that are found as buried treasure. Then he retracted that and said, “I do not think that there is anything due on it.” His final position expressed to us was that there was a fifth due on it.’ That is sound based on the general meaning of the hadith and it is also the position of most fuqahā’.

Abū Ḥanīfah and Muḥammad said that buried treasure found in a house belongs to the owner of the house rather than the finder and there is a fifth due on it. Abū Yūsuf disagreed with him and said that it belongs to the finder rather than the owner of the house. The position of ath-Thawrī is that when it is found in the wilderness, it all belongs to the finder and there is a fifth due on it. They do not distinguish between land taken by fighting and land obtained by treaty. They also think that the ruling is the same in Arab or other lands. They believe that it is permitted for its finder to keep the fifth for himself if he is needy, and he can also give it to the poor. Some of
the people of Madīnah and followers of Mālik do not make a distinction regarding any of that. They said that it is the same whether the buried treasure is found in land taken by fighting or land obtained by treaty, Arab land or abode of war, when no one has ownership and no one claims it: it goes to the finder and a fifth is due on it, based on the general wording of the hadith. That is the view of al-Layth, ‘Abdullāh ibn Nāfī’, ash-Shāfi‘ī and most scholars.

There is disagreement about ores that are found and then mined. Mālik and his people say that there is nothing due on gold or silver that is mined until it reaches twenty mithqāls of gold or five ūqiyahs of silver. When it reaches that amount, then zakāt is owed on it. Anything that exceeds that is accounted according to that as long as the mine is producing. When it stops and then there is another lode that produces, zakāt then begins there anew.

They consider buried treasure to be like a crop from which zakāt is taken immediately and is not delayed for a year. Saḥnūn said about a man who has mines that what is found in one mine is not added to another and zakāt is only taken from two hundred dirhams or twenty dinars on each mine. Muḥammad ibn Maslamah said that they are added together and zakāt is paid on the total as is the case with crops. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people said that a mine is like buried treasure. Any gold or silver after the fifth has been paid is considered according to each of them. If someone obtains the amount on which zakāt is obliged, he pays zakāt on it when he still possesses the niṣāb after a full year. This is when he does not already have gold or silver on which zakāt is owed. If he already has that on which zakāt is obliged, then the new gold or silver is added to that and he pays zakāt on it. They believe that that is the case with every profit which is added to the niṣāb in the year in the same category: zakāt is paid based on the year of the principal. That is the view of ath-Thawrī.

Al-Muzanī mentioned that ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘My position regarding it is that it is what is produced from mines.’ Al-Muzanī said, ‘What is
most appropriate is that the principle is that what mines produce is
profit on which zakāt is paid a year after it is produced.’ Al-Layth ibn
Sa‘d said, ‘Any gold and silver which mines produce is in the
position of profit on which a new year begins.’ That is the view of
ash-Shāfi‘ī in what al-Muzanī deduced from his school. That is the
position of Dāwūd and his people when a year has passed over it in
the sound possession of its owner based on the words of the Prophet
ﷺ: ‘If someone obtains property, there is no zakāt on it until he has
had it for a year.’ At-Tirmidhī and ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it.

They also argue by what ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn An‘am related from
Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī when the Prophet ﷺ gave some of those whose
hearts were to be reconciled some good ore which ‘Alī had sent from
Yemen. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘Those whose hearts are to be reconciled
have a right to zakāt. By that it is clear that the custom on mines is
the custom of zakāt.’ The argument of Mālik is based on the hadith
of Rabī‘ah ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān which states that the Prophet ﷺ
granted to Bilāl ibn al-Ḥārith the mines of al-Qabaliyyah which
were in a region of al-Fur‘. Up until today those mines only have
zakāt taken from them. The isnād of this hadith is broken and the
people of hadith do not take something like that as being
authoritative, but it was the normative practice which was followed
in Madīnah. Ad-Darāwardī related it from Rabī‘ah ibn al-Ḥārith
ibn Bilāl al-Muzanī from his father. Al-Bazzar mentioned it. Kathīr
ibn ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr ibn ‘Awf related from his father from his
grandfather from the Prophet ﷺ that he gave a grant of the mines of
al-Qabaliyyah, above and below ground, to Bilāl ibn al-Ḥārith. This
is the ruling on what the earth produces. In an-Naḥl we will deal with
what the sea produces since it is the partner of the earth. In al-
Anbiyā’ we will discuss the meaning of the hadith which says: ‘There
is no penalty for a wound inflicted by a dumb beast.’

**Do not have recourse to bad things when you give,**

‘Tayammamū’ means to aim for something. The āyah indicates that
earnings can be good or bad. In an-Nasā’ī it is related from Abū Umāmah ibn Sahl ibn Ḥunayf that these words refer to bad quality dates. The Messenger of Allah forbade this type of dates being taken in payment of zakāt. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related Abū Umāmah ibn Sahl ibn Ḥunayf that his father said, ‘The Messenger of Allah commanded that sadagah be given, and a man brought bunches of bad quality dates. The Messenger of Allah said, ‘Who has brought this?’ Normally no one brought anything without it being attributed to him. Then it was revealed: ‘Do not have recourse to bad things when you give.’ He said, ‘The Prophet forbade that ju’rūr and ḥubayq (inferior quality dates) be taken in payment of zakāt.’ Az-Zuhrī said that they are two types of dates in Madīnah. At-Tirmidhī transmitted it from al-Barā’ and said that it is sound.

Aṭ-Ṭabarī and an-Naḥḥās related that ‘Abdullāh recited ‘ta’ammamū’. It is a dialectical form. Muslim ibn Jundub recited ‘tuyammimū’. Ibn Kathīr recited ‘ittayammamū’ with a double tā’. There are various dialectical forms of the word: ‘amamtu’ and ‘ammamtu’, ‘yammamtu’ and ‘tayammamtu’. Abū Amr related that Ibn Mas‘ūd recited ‘walā tu’ammimū’ with a hamzah.

Al-Jurjānī said in Naẓm al-Qur’ān that a group of people said that the words end at ‘bad things’ and then a new sentence begins which describes them: ‘you give things you would only take with your eyes tight shut.’ It is as if the meaning is to blame and censure people. The pronoun in ‘minhu’ refers to the bad things. He said that another group say the speech is connected to ‘minhu’ and so the pronoun refers to ‘you have earned’.

**things you would only take with your eyes tight shut!**

This refers to things you would only take in payment from people if you were making things easy for them and forgoing your rights: they are things you dislike and are not pleased with. So when a debt to Allah is involved, do not give what you would not be pleased to take for yourselves. Al-Barā’, Ibn ‘Abbās and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk stated that. Al-
Hasan said that the meaning is things you would not take, if you found them being sold in the market, unless their price was reduced. Something similar is also related from Ali. Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘These two statements are similar inasmuch as they are both about zakāt.’

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘If the āyah had been about obligatory zakāt, Allah would not say, “you would only take”, because bad or defective things are not permitted to be taken in zakāt in any case, not with the eyes shut or by overlooking them. Such things can be taken while lowering the eyes in supererogatory ṣadaqah.’ Al-Barā’ ibn ‘Āzib also said that it means: ‘You would not take it if you had been given it except with your eyes shut,’ meaning that you would be embarrassed before the giver and would accept it from him although you have no need of it and consider it to be of no value.’ Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘This is consonant with the āyah being about voluntary charity.’ Ibn Zayd said, ‘You would not take the unlawful unless you shut your eyes to its disliked nature.’

The majority recitation is ‘tughmiḍū’ from the verb aghmaḍa which is used for when a man affects to be easy about something and overlooks in it and is satisfied to take only part of his due. Part of that is what at-Ṭīrimmāḥ said:

People did not wake us for the witr and humility.

They are content with closing their eyes to it.

It is possible that it comes from shutting the eyes (taghmīd) because someone who wants to be patient with something disliked closes his eyes. He said:

How many things will you show me

which I disregard (ughanniḍu) even though I am not blind to them!

This is ignoring what is disliked. An-Naqqāsh mentioned this meaning for the āyah and Makkī indicated it. The Arabs say, ‘aghmaḍa-r-rajulu’ when a man ignores something. This sort of
derivation is frequent. Az-Zuhrī recited ‘taghmidū’ and also ‘tughammidū’. The first means: ‘you ignore the offer from the seller so that he lowers it for you.’ The second, which is the reading of Qatādah according to what an-Naḥḥās mentioned, means: ‘you take it for less’. Abū ‘Amr ad-Dānī said that the meaning of both readings of az-Zuhrī is that ‘you take it for less.’ Makkī related from al-Ḥasan ‘tughammaḍū’ and Qatādah also recited ‘tughmaḍū’. Abū ‘Amr ad-Dānī said that it means ‘unless it is reduced for you.’ An-Naḥḥās related it from Qatādah himself.

Ibn Jinnī said, ‘It means that you find that you have been unclear about the interpretation of the business or your tolerance, and you have proceeded in a manner that is not normally anticipated. This is as you say, “I praised the man,” meaning that you found him to be praised.’

Ibn ‘Aṭīyyah said, ‘The majority reading is based on overlooking and lowering the eye because āghmaḍa is in the position of ghammaḍa. So it means that you overlook the interpretation in taking it, either because it is unlawful, as Ibn Zayd says, or because it is a gift or taken for a debt, according to another.’ Al-Mahdawī says that it means: you close your eyes to taking it. Al-Jawharī says that the verb refers to acting in an easy way in buying and selling. It is as if you wanted more than it because of its poor quality or a reduction in its price.

**Know that Allah is Rich Beyond Need, Praiseworthy.**

Allah tells us about His attribute of absolute wealth, meaning that He has no need of our ṣadaqah. So whoever tries to draw near and seeks a reward should do that with something that has a value and importance. He is advancing something for himself. Allah is Praiseworthy in every state. Az-Zajjāj points out that He does not command people to give ṣadaqah when they are poor, but He tests them. So He is Praiseworthy in that as in all His blessings.
268 Shayṭān promises you poverty and commands you to avarice. Allah promises you forgiveness from Him and abundance. Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.

Shayṭān promises you poverty and commands you to avarice.

The derivation of the word Shayṭān has already been mentioned in the commentary on 2:14. The expression ‘promises you poverty’ here means ‘threatens you with poverty’, making you afraid of it so that you do not spend. This āyah is connected to the one before it. Shayṭān has a way of hindering someone from spending in the Way of Allah. He also commands ‘avarice’ (fahshā’), which refers to acts of self-gratification and spending on them. It is said that it means: ‘Do not give ṣadaqah; rather disobey and cut people off.’ ‘Faqr’ (poverty) is also recited as ‘fuqr’ in one dialect. Al-Jawharī said that fuqr is a dialectical usage.

Allah promises you forgiveness from Him and abundance.

In Arabic when the word wa‘ada is used undefined, then it is about good. When it is limited by something, then it can mean to promise good or to threaten evil, as is also the case with bishārah. This āyah contains both meanings. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘This āyah contains two things from Allah and two things from Shayṭān. At-Tirmidhī reports from Ibn Maš‘ūd that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Shayṭān has a touch he uses on the son of Ādam and the angel has a touch. The touch of Shayṭān has the effect of threatening evil and denying the truth. The touch of the angel has the effect of promising good and confirming the truth. Anyone who experiences that should know that it is from Allah. Anyone who experiences other than that should seek refuge with Allah from Shayṭān.’ Then he recited this āyah. He said that it is a sound ḥasan hadith. Outside of the Qur’ān, it is
permitted to have ‘commands avarice’ without a bā’.

The word ‘forgiveness’ (maghfirah) indicates Allah’s veiling of the wrong actions of His slaves in this world and the Next. ‘Fadl’ (abundance) here means provision in this world and expansion and blessing in the Next. Both are promised by Allah.

An-Naqqāsh mentioned that some people use this āyah to claim that poverty is better than wealth because Shayṭān distances the human being from what is good and does that by alarming them about poverty. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘There is no definitive evidence in the āyah. In fact, this idea is strongly contradicted.’ It is related in the Torah: ‘My servant spends from My provision and I grant him My bounty. My hand is stretched out over every outstretched hand.’ It is confirmed in the Qur’ān where He says: ‘Anything you expend will be replaced by Him. He is the best of Providers.’ (34:39) Ibn ‘Abbās mentioned it.

Allah gives from His vast wealth and knows where to bestow it. He knows the Unseen and the visible. They are two of His names which we mentioned in Kitāb al-Asnā.

269 He gives wisdom to whomever He wills and he who has been given wisdom has been given great good. But no one pays heed but people of intelligence.

He gives wisdom to whomever He wills.

Allah gives it to whomever He wishes of His slaves. Scholars disagree about the meaning of the word ‘ḥikmah’ (wisdom) here. As-Suddī said that it refers to Prophethood. Ibn ‘Abbās said that it means understanding of the Qur’ān: its fiqh, abrogations, āyahs of judgment, ambiguous āyahs, unusual expressions, and changes in the normal order of words. Qatādah and Mujāhid also said that it means understanding of the Qur’ān. Mujāhid said that it means
being correct in word and deed. Ibn Zayd said, ‘Wisdom is understanding the \textit{dīn}.’ Mālik ibn Anas said, ‘Wisdom is recognition of the \textit{dīn} of Allah, understanding it, and putting it into practice.’ Ibn al-Qāsim related that he said, ‘Wisdom is reflecting on the commands of Allah and following them.’ He also said, ‘Wisdom is obeying Allah and understanding the \textit{dīn} and acting on it.’ Ar-Rabī‘ ibn Anas said, ‘Wisdom is humility.’ Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘ī said, ‘Wisdom is understanding the Qur’an.’ Zayd ibn Aslam also said that. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘Wisdom is scrupulousness.’

Most of these ideas are close in meaning as \textit{ḥikmah} (wisdom) is a verbal noun which comes from \textit{iḥkām}, which means perfection in word or deed. All the things mentioned above are wisdom. The Book of Allah is wisdom. The Sunnah of His Prophet \textit{ﷺ} is wisdom. All that is mentioned of excellence is wisdom. The basic meaning of \textit{ḥikmah} is something which prevents foolishness. It is said that it is knowledge because it prevents foolishness and, by it, one knows how to prevent foolishness, which is every ugly action. The same is true for the Qur’an, intelligence and understanding. Al-Bukharī has the hadith: ‘When Allah desires good for a person, He gives him understanding in the \textit{dīn}.’ Here He says: ‘\textit{He who has been given wisdom has been given much good.}’

The fact that Allah repeats the word ‘wisdom’ here rather than using a pronoun the second time is to show concern for it to indicate its honour and excellence as was already explained in 2:59. Abū Muḥammad ad-Dārimī mentioned in his \textit{Musnad} from Marwān ibn Muḥammad from Rifdah al-Ghassānī that Thābit ibn ‘Ajlān al-Anṣārī said, ‘It was said that Allah desired to punish the people of the earth, but when he heard a teacher teaching wisdom to children, He averted that from them.’ Marwān said that the wisdom was the Qur’an.

Anyone who is given wisdom and the Qur’an has been given the best of what can be given of all the knowledge of the books of the
early people and others because He said about those: ‘You have only
been given a little knowledge.’ (17:85) This is called ‘great good’ because
the Qur’an is the all-inclusive Book. One of the sages said, ‘Whoever
is given knowledge and the Qur’an should value himself properly
and not humble himself to the people of this world for the sake of
worldly things. What he has been given is better than what the
people of this world have been given because Allah called this world
“a little good” and called knowledge and the Qur’an “great good”.

Most recite ‘he who is given’ as ‘man yu’ta’ in the passive form of the
verb. Az-Zuhrī and Ya’qūb recite ‘man yu’ti’ meaning ‘he to whom
Allah gives’. Albāb means intelligence. The singular is lubb.

270 Whatever amount you spend or vow you make,
Allah knows it. The wrongdoers have no helpers.

Vows were part of the culture of the Arabs and they used to make
them a lot. Allah mentions two types of vows: those a man makes
voluntarily, and those he makes after obliging himself to do so. This
āyah is both a promise and threat, telling us that those with sincere
intention will be rewarded. Anyone who spends to show off or for
any other reason like inducing gratitude or as an insult is a
wrongdoer. His action is worthless and he gains no benefit from it.

The phrase ‘knows it’ means that Allah takes account of it,
according to Mujāhid. The pronoun is singular although it mentions
two things. An-Naḥḥās says that it implies: ‘Whatever amount you
spend, Allah knows it. Whatever vow you make, Allah knows it.’ So
there is elision. It is possible that what is implied is: ‘whatever you
spend, Allah knows it’ and the hā’ (it) refers to mā (what), and ‘vow you
make’ is added to it. Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘The pronoun is singular in
“knows it” when two things have been mentioned since He means
what was mentioned or stated.’
This is good. All that was mentioned is implied, even if it is a lot. The reality of a vow is that you say that it is whatever acts of worship a responsible person has made mandatory for himself which were not mandatory or necessary for him. You say, ‘The man took a vow to do such-and-such’ when he obliges himself to do it. The present tense can be yandhuru or yandhiru. Its rulings will be explained elsewhere, Allah willing.

271 If you make your ṣadaqah public, that is good. But if you conceal it and give it to the poor, that is better for you, and We will erase some of your bad actions from you. Allah is aware of what you do.

Commentators believe that this āyah is about voluntary ṣadaqah because concealment of it is better than making it public. This is the case with all acts of worship: concealment is better in respect of voluntary acts because it precludes showing off. That does not, however, apply to obligatory zakāt. Al-Ḥasan said that making zakāt public is better but that concealing voluntary acts of giving is better because it is closer to what Allah desires with respect to that. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Secret giving when it is voluntary is seventy times better than public giving. In the case of obligatory zakāt, its public payment is better than paying it secretly by up to twenty-five times according to some. It is the same with all obligations and supererogatory actions.’ He said that that is the case in all obligatory and voluntary actions in all matters.

Such a position is not taken on the basis of mere opinion. In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The best prayer a man can perform is the one he does in his house except for the obligatory prayer.’ That is because there is no showing off in the obligatory, while
supererogatory prayers are subject to that. An-Nasāʿī reported from ‘Uqbah ibn Āmir that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Someone who recites the Qur’an aloud is like the one who gives ṣadaqah publicly. Someone who recites the Qur’an silently is like someone who gives ṣadaqah secretly.’ We find in a hadith: ‘Secret ṣadaqah extinguishes the wrath of the Lord.’

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘There is no preference in sound hadith for public zakāt over that given secretly nor for secret zakāt over that given publicly, but there is a firm consensus regarding that. As for voluntary ṣadaqah, the Qur’an clearly states that it is better given secretly than publicly. Our scholars say that this is generally the case. The truth about this matter is that the state of giving ṣadaqah varies according to the circumstances of the giver, the recipient and those who witness it. The public giver has the benefit of demonstrating the Sunnah and the reward for acting as a model for others.’

This refers to someone whose state is strong and intention good and who is safe from showing off. As for someone whose state falls below this, doing it secretly is better for him. As for the recipient, when giving is done secretly, he is kept safe from people’s disdain or from the claim that he took what he did not need and failed to be abstinent. Generally speaking, keeping ṣadaqah secret from people is better than making it known to them since they might attack the giver for showing off and the taker for being able to do without it. On the positive side, doing it publicly encourages people to give ṣadaqah. But this is rare today.

Yazīd ibn Abī Ḥabīb said, ‘This āyah was revealed about the giving of ṣadaqah to the Jews and Christians. It was as if Allah was commanding that a portion of zakāt should be secret.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that this position is rejected, especially by the righteous Salaf. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said that the consensus is that doing what is obligatory is better.

Aṭ-Ṭabarī mentioned that this āyah indicates that it is generally
better to conceal zakāt. It is the right of the poor and it is better for the owner of property to distribute it himself. This is one of the two positions of ash-Shāfi‘ī. In his other position, he stated that what is meant by ṣadaqah here is the voluntary ṣadaqah rather than obligatory zakāt. It is more appropriate to perform the obligatory publicly so that there will be no suspicion. That is why it is said, ‘It is better to do the nāfilah prayers alone, but praying in the group removes suspicion about whether someone is fulfilling the obligatory prayer or not.’

Al-Mahdawī said, ‘What is meant by the āyah is both the obligation of zakāt and what is voluntary. Concealment was better in the time of the Prophet ﷺ. Then people’s suspicions arose after that and so scholars recommended making what is obligatory public so that no suspicion would remain.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah remarked, ‘This position is contrary to the traditions (āthār). In our time it seems that it is recommended to conceal obligatory zakāt. Those who refuse to do it are numerous and paying it publicly opens a person to the accusation of showing off.’ Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘It is permitted for the āyah to mean both obligatory and voluntary ṣadaqah because He mentioned the hidden and praises it, and the public and praises it, and so it can be directed to both of them.’ An-Naqqāsh said that this āyah was abrogated by Allah’s words: ‘Those who give away their wealth, night and day, secretly and openly.’ (2:274) So, in the āyah public ṣadaqah is praised and then the ruling is given that when it is given secretly it is better. That is why one of the sages said, ‘When you give charity, conceal it. When you are given it, then make it known.’ Di‘bil al-Khuzā‘ī said:

When they take revenge, they make it public.
When they bestow, they conceal that.

Sahl ibn Hārūn said:
When one day you go to a friend to ask of him,
he gives you what he owns and apologises.

He conceals his action while Allah makes it known.

When you give what is beautiful, it shows.

Al-ʿAbbās ibn ʿAbd al-Muṭṭalib said, ‘A charitable act is only complete when it has three characteristics: hastening it, thinking it small, and concealing it. When you hasten it, you make it more welcome. When you consider it small, you make it great. When you conceal it, you perfect it.’ A poet said:

I consider your charity to be greater
when it is concealed and small in your sight.
You forget it as if you had not given it,
while it is famous among people.

There are different readings of the phrase ‘that is good’. Abū ‘Amr, Nāfi‘ in the transmission of Warsh, ʿĀṣim in the transmission of Ḥaʃ and Ibn Kathīr recite ‘niʿimmā’, and Abū ‘Amr (again), Nāfi‘ in other than the transmission of Warsh, ʿĀṣim in the transmission of Abū Bakr and al-Mufaḍḍal recite ‘niʿmā’. Al-Aʿmash, Ibn ʿĀmir, Ḥamzah, and al-Kisāʿī recite ‘naʿimmā’. Outside of the Qur’an we find: ‘niʿma mā’. An-Naḥḥās said that it is connected in the script and so assimilation is necessary.

There are four dialectical forms of niʿma. The root is naʿima, but there is also niʿima where the nūn has a kasrah because the ʿayn does, naʿma (where the root is naʿima and the kasrah has been elided because of being heavy), and niʿma, which is the most eloquent. The root of them is naʿima. It is used for every praise, and lightened and the kasrah of the ʿayn changed and the ʿayn becomes silent. Two things are implied by ‘fa-niʿimmā hiya’. One is that it is based on the dialectical form niʿima, and the other is that is based on the good dialect and the root is niʿma and the ʿayn has a kasrah because of two silent letters meeting. What Abū ‘Amr and Nāfi‘ relate about the ʿayn being silent is impossible. It is related that Muḥammad ibn Yazīd
said, ‘As for a sukūn on the ‘ayn and double mīm, no one is able to pronounce it. It tries to combine two silent letters and vowel them which does not happen.’ Abū ‘Alī said, ‘The statements of those who give the ‘ayn a sukūn are not proper because it is combining two silent letters, the first of them is not a letter of extension and softness. That is permitted by grammarians when the first letter has extension since the extension replaces the vowel, as in dābbah and dawāl.’ Perhaps Abū ‘Amr concealed the vowel and sneaks it in as he does in ‘bāri’ikum’ and ‘ya’murukum’ and someone listening thought that it was a sukūn because of the subtness of that to the ear. Abū ‘Alī said, ‘As for the one who recites ‘ni’immā’, he brings the word according to its root.’ He also said that the mā is in the accusative and ‘hiya’ explains the implied subject before it is mentioned. It implies: ‘Making it public is good,’ and ‘making public’ is singled out for praise even though the mudāf is elided and replaced by the mudāf ilayh.

The following words: ‘That is better for you’, lead you to the fact that concealing is better. The pronoun in ‘that’ refers to concealing, not to ṣadaqah. ‘If you conceal it’ is a precondition which is why the nūn of the verb is omitted. ‘Give it’ is added to it. Its apodosis is: ‘That is better for you’.

The word ‘erase’ is subject to various readings. Abū ‘Āmir, Ibn Kathīr, ‘Āṣim in the transmission of Abū Bakr, Qatādah and Ibn Abī Ishāq have nukaffiru (We will erase). Nāfi’, Ḥamzah, and al-Kisā’ī have nukaffir (We will erase) with a sukūn on the rā’. The same is related from ‘Āṣim. Al-Ḥusayn ibn ‘Alī al-Ju‘fī from al-A’mash has yukaffira (it will erase). Ibn ‘Āmir has yukaffiru (it will erase) and Ḥafs related that from ‘Āṣim. It is also related from al-Ḥasan as it is related from him as ‘yukaffir’. Ibn ‘Abbās recited ‘tukaffir’. ‘Ikrimah recited ‘tukaffar’. Al-Mahdawī related that Ibn Hurmuz recited ‘tukaffir,’ and it is related that ‘Ikrimah and Sahr ibn Ḥawshab recited ‘tukaffira’. The clearest reading is ‘yukaffiru’, and this is what al-Khalīl and Sībawayh said. An-Naḥḥās said that Sībawayh said, ‘It
is proper with the dammah and good because the words after the fā’ act normally without the apodosis. The jussive is permitted when it is applied to the meaning because it means: if you conceal it and give it to the poor, that is better for you and Allah will erase bad actions from you. Abū Ḥātim said that al-A‘mash recited ‘yukaffir’ without the preceding wāw. An-Naḥḥās said, ‘What Abū Ḥātim related from al-A‘mash without the preceding wāw is jussive based on the appositive. It is as if it were in place of the fā’. What is related from ‘Āṣim, “yukaffiru” means “Allah will erase”.’ This is the position of Abū ‘Ubayd. Abū Ḥātim says that it means, ‘the giving will erase’. The reading of Ibn ‘Abbās with a tā’ means ‘ṣadaqah will erase’.

Generally speaking, the readings with nūn in the first person plural, is the Divine ‘We’, and those with a tā’ in the third person feminine refer to ṣadaqah, except for what is related from ‘Ikrimah with a fatḥah on the fā’ where it refers to bad actions. If it is with the yā’ of the third person masculine, then it is Allah Who does the erasing and the concealed giving is also that which erases as we mentioned. Makkī mentioned that.

There are two reasons for the verb having a dammah at the end. One is that it is the predicate of an implied inceptive and so implies: ‘We will erase’ or ‘it (ṣadaqah) will erase’ or ‘Allah will erase’. The second is that there is a stop and a new sentence and the conjunctive wāw is not for sharing, but conjoins one sentence to another. We have already mentioned the meaning of the recitation with the sukūn. As for the nāṣb (with fatḥah) ‘and nukaffira (We shall erase)’, that is weak, and is based on the ellipsis of an (that), which is possible but unlikely. Al-Mahdawī said, ‘It resembles the nāṣb in the apodosis of the interrogative since the apodosis which the thing necessitates is is based on the existence of something else, which is also the case with the interrogative.’ The sukūn on the rā’ is the purest of these recitations, linguistically speaking, because it notifies us that the erasure is comprised in the recompense, and the fact that it is
stipulated if concealment occurs. As for with the ḍammah, this sense is not in it.

This is contrary to what al-Khalīl and Sībawayh chose. In the words ‘some of your bad actions’ (min sayyiʿātikum) the ‘min’ (some) is partitive. ʿAṭ-Ṭabarī reports that some have said that it is redundant, but Ibn ʿAṭiyyah says that is a mistake on their part. ‘Allah is aware of what you do’ is both a threat and a promise.

[Arabic text]

272 You are not responsible for their guidance, but Allah guides whomever He wills. Whatever good you give away is to your own benefit, when you give desiring only the Face of Allah. Whatever good you give away will be repaid to you in full. You will not be wronged.

You are not responsible for their guidance but Allah guides whomever He wills.

These words are connected to the mention of ṣadaqah. It is as if Allah were making it clear that it is permitted to give ṣadaqah to the idolaters. There is a mursal hadith related by Saʿīd ibn Jubayr where the Prophet said that the reason for the revelation of this āyah was that the Muslims used to give ṣadaqah to the poor among the dhimmīs. When there were a lot of poor Muslims, the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Only give ṣadaqah to the people of your own dīn.’ Then this āyah was revealed to make it clear that it is permitted to give ṣadaqah to those who are not part of the dīn of Islam. An-Naqqāṣh mentioned that the Prophet was given zakāt and a Jew came and said, ‘Give to me.’ The Prophet answered, ‘There is no share in the zakāt of the Muslims for you.’ The Jew went away and this āyah was revealed. The Messenger of Allah called for him and gave some to him. Then that was abrogated by the āyah of zakāt.
Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Some of the Anṣār had relatives in the Jewish tribes of the Banū Qurayzah and the Banu-n-Naḍīr. They used to not give ṣadaqah to them out of the desire that they would become Muslim if they were needy and the āyah was revealed because of them.’

One of the commentators related that Asmā’ bint Abī Bakr wanted to give ṣadaqah to her grandfather, Abū Quḥāfah, and then did not do so because he was an unbeliever, and the āyah was revealed about that. Aṭ-Ṭabarī related that the aim of the Prophet in not giving ṣadaqah was so that they would become Muslims and enter into the dīn and for that reason Allah said: ‘You are not responsible for their guidance.’ It is also said that this is not connected to the āyah before it but is totally a new statement and so it is apparently about ṣadaqah and giving it to the unbelievers.

Our scholars say that the ṣadaqah which non-Muslims are permitted according to these traditions is voluntary ṣadaqah. As for zakāt, it is not permitted to give it to an unbeliever since the Prophet said, ‘I was commanded to take ṣadaqah (meaning here zakāt) from your rich and give it to your poor.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘The consensus among all the scholars I learned from is that a dhimmī is not given any of the zakāt.’ Then he mentioned a group who said something different to that. Al-Mahdawī said that this āyah is an allowance for the Muslims to give some of the zakāt to their relatives who are unbelievers. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is rejected by consensus, and Allah knows best.’ Abū Ḥanīfah said that zakāt al-fiṭr can be given to them, but Ibn al-'Arabī says that this is baseless and weak. Our evidence is that zakāt on livestock and money is an obligatory purification and may not be given to unbelievers. The Prophet said, ‘Spare them from having to beg on this day,’ meaning ‘Īd al-Fiṭr.

We reply that this is the case because the Muslims are busy with the ʿĪd and the ʿĪd prayer, while this is not the case with the idolaters.
It is permitted to give it to non-Muslims if one holds the position that it is a sunnah to do so. It is one of our positions, and it is also the position of Abū Ḥanīfah, as we mentioned. It is adopting the general meaning of the āyah about kindness, feeding people and general ṣadaqah. Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘This ruling is conceivable for the Muslims when dealing with the dhimmīs and enslaved ḥarbīs.’

In the Qur’an we read: ‘They give food, despite their love for it, to the poor and orphans and captives.’ (76:8) A captive in the Abode of Islam can only be an idolater. The Almighty says: ‘Allah does not forbid you from being good to those who have not fought you in the dīn or driven you from your homes, or from being just to them.’ (60:8). So the literal meaning of these āyahs allows ṣadaqah to be given to non-Muslims. The Prophet ﷺ, however, excepted zakāt from that, saying, ‘Take ṣadaqah from their rich and give it to their poor,’ and scholars agree on that. So non-Muslims can be given voluntary ṣadaqah if they are needy, and Allah knows best.

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘There is no disagreement that zakāt al-fitr can be given to disobedient Muslims, unless they have abandoned the pillars of Islam: prayer and fasting. Then it may not be given to them until they repent. Zakat is given to other disobedient Muslims because they are included under the general category of “Muslims”.’ We find in Ṣaḥīh Muslim that a man gave ṣadaqah to a wealthy man, a thief and a prostitute, and his ṣadaqah was accepted.

but Allah guides whoever He wills.

This refutes the Qadariyyah and groups of the Muʿtazilites as we have already explained (2:5).

Whatever good you give away is to your own benefit

The word ‘good’ in this āyah refers to wealth because it is accompanied by the words ‘give away’. The context indicates that it is wealth. In other contexts, the word ‘good’ can have other meanings as in 99:7. This negates the statement of ‘Ikrimah that every ‘good’ in the Book of Allah is wealth. It is related that one of
the scholars used to give away a lot in charity and he swore that he had not done good to anyone. He was asked about that and said, ‘I did that for myself and he recited, “Whatever good you give away is to your own benefit.”’

when you give desiring only the Face of Allah.

Then Allah made it clear that the only spending which is accepted is that by which the Face of Allah is sought. It is also said that it is testimony by Allah for the Companions that they sought the Face of Allah when they spent. This is preference and praise of them. According to the first view, it is a precondition for them, and the precondition applies to others in the community. The Messenger of Allah said to Saʿd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ, ‘You do not spend anything by which you desire the Face of Allah without being rewarded for it, even a morsel you place in your wife’s mouth.’ So the reward for spending is given in full to those who spend and none of it is stinted as that stinting would be an injustice to them.

273 It is for the poor who are held back in the Way of Allah, unable to travel in the land. The ignorant consider them rich because of their reticence. You will know them by their mark. They do not ask from people insistenty.

Whatever good you give away, Allah knows it.

It is for the poor

The words ‘for the poor’ are connected to ‘whatever good you give away’ in the previous āyah. It is said that it implies that spending or ṣadaqah is for the poor alone. As-Suddī, Mujāhid and others said that what is meant by poor in this instance are the poor Muhājirūn of Quraysh and others. Then the āyah is extended so that it is applied to all who
are considered poor throughout time. The poor Muhājirūn are singled out because they were those who were poor at that time. They were the People of the Ṣuffah, who numbered about four hundred men. That was because the poor used to come to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. They had neither family nor property and so a verandah (ṣuffah) was built for them in the mosque of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ which is why they were called the People of the Ṣuffah.

Abū Dharr said, ‘I was one of the people of the Ṣuffah. In the evening, we presented ourselves at the door of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and he would order each of us to go with a man [as his guest for supper] until there remained ten or less of the people of the Ṣuffah and the Prophet ﷺ would bring us his supper and we would eat with him. When we finished, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Sleep in the mosque.”’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted that al-Barā’ ibn ‘Āzib quoted the āyah: ‘Do not have recourse to bad things when you give’ (2:267) and said, ‘It was revealed about us, the Anṣār. We owned some palm trees. A man would bring dates from his trees according to whether its fruits were a lot or a little. A man would bring a branch or two of dates and hang them in the mosque. The people of the Ṣuffah did not have any food, so when one of them was hungry, he would go to the bunch and hit it with his stick and some dates would fall and he would eat. People who did not truly desire to do good would bring branches of poor dates or broken ones and hang them in the mosque. Then Allah Almighty revealed: “O you who believe, spend of the good things...” (2:267). This means: “If one of you had been given the like of what he gave, you would only take it with your eyes closed due to embarrassment.” After that a man would only bring good things.’ This is a sound gharīb ḥasan hadith.

According to our scholars the people in the mosque were impoverished and they were in the mosque out of necessity and ate from ṣadaqah due to their compelling need. When Allah gave victory
to the Muslims, they were relieved from that and went out and then had property of their own.

**who are held back in the Way of Allah, unable to travel in the land.**

Allah then described the states of those poor Muhājirūn in a manner which obliges compassion for them. These words indicate the fact that they were restricted in their ability to move. Qatādah and Ibn Zayd said that this means they were kept from going about to earn a living due to fear of the enemy. This is why Allah says that they are unable to travel because every direction was full of unbelievers. This was at the beginning of Islam. So their excuse kept them from earning and *jihād*, and the unbelievers’ dislike of them kept them from going out in trade, so they remained poor. It is also said that it means they held themselves back from *jihād*, but the first interpretation is more likely, and Allah knows best.

**The ignorant consider them rich because of their reticence.**

This means that they have withdrawn and do not ask, and rely on Allah, so that someone who did not know would suppose them to be rich. This shows that the ascription of poverty can be applied to someone who has clothes of some value and that does not prevent *zakāt* being given to him. In fact Allah commands it to be given to these people. They were among the Muhājirūn who fought with the Messenger of Allah ☪ and were not ill or blind. ‘Reticence’ (*ta‘affuf*) in this context means to refrain from begging and put oneself above it. This is how it is explained by Qatādah and others. There are two readings of ‘consider them’: ‘*yahsabuhum*’ and ‘*yahsibuhum*’. Abū ‘Alī considers ‘*yahsabuhum*’ to be better fitting but the other reading is also good.

**You will know them by their mark.**

This is evidence that the distinguishing marks of Islam have a legal bearing in respect of those on whom they appear, so that if we find a corpse in the Abode of Islam who is wearing a Christian belt and is not circumcised, it is not buried in the graveyard of the Muslims.
Connected to that are His words: ‘...you would know them by their mark and know them by their ambivalent speech.’ (47:30) That takes precedence over the normal ruling of most scholars that a dead person is deemed to belong to the *dīn* of the place where he is found. It also indicates the permissibility of giving *zakāt* to someone who has fine clothes and adornment. Scholars agree on that. Then they disagree about the amount he may take. Abū Ḥanīfah says that it is the same amount on which *zakāt* is obliged to be paid, ash-Shāfi‘ī says that it is food for a year, and Mālik says it is forty dirhams. Ash-Shāfi‘ī also said that *zakāt* should not be given to someone who is earning.

Scholars disagree about what the ‘*sīmā*’ (mark) referred to is. Mujāhid says that it is humility and humbleness. As-Suddī said that it is the sign of poverty and need which can be seen in their faces. Ibn Zayd said that it is their tattered clothes. Some people, including Makkī, said that it is the mark of prostration, and Ibn ʿĀṭiyah says that this is better. That is because they were unoccupied and trusted in Allah and had no work except the prayer and so they had the mark of prostration on them.

This mark, which is the mark of prostration, was shared by all the Companions as Allah says at the end of *Sūrat al-Fatḥ*: ‘Their mark is on their faces, the traces of prostration.’ (48:29) So in this respect there can have been no difference between them and others. So the only thing that the ‘mark’ can be is the effect of poverty and need, or an extra large mark of prostration. They were recognised by the sallowness of their faces from praying at night and fasting in the day, and Allah knows best. As for humility, its place is the heart, and rich and poor share in it. All that remains is what we preferred. Allah is the One Who gives success.

**They do not ask from people insistently.**

The word ‘*ilhāf*’ (insistently) is a verbal noun derived from *lihāf* (blanket). It is called that because it covers all manner of asking in the same way that a blanket covers everything; an insistent beggar is
one who begs from everyone and pesters them with his asking. Part of that is what Ibn Aḥmar said:

It continued to surround them with its shivering,
and cover them (yalḥafuhunna) with a thick thin blanket.

He describes a male ostrich protecting the eggs with its wings which become like a blanket which is thin in spite of its thickness.

An-Nasāʾī and Muslim reported from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The very poor man is not the one who is turned away by a date or two, or a bite or two; the very poor man is the one who does not ask. Recite if you like: “They do not ask from people insistently.”’

Scholars disagree about the meaning of this and take two positions. Some people, including at-Ṭabarī and az-Zajjāj, say that the meaning is: ‘They do not beg at all.’ This would mean that they completely refrain from asking. This is the position of the majority of commentators, and refraining from asking is their firm quality. They do not ask people either insistently or not insistently. Other people say that what is meant is the lack of asking insistently, meaning that they ask, but not insistently. This is easy to understand.

In any case the āyah calls attention to the bad state of those who do ask people insistently. The Imams related from Muʿāwiyyah ibn Abī Sufyān that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Do not be insistent in asking. By Allah, if any of you may ask me for something and get what he wants from me even though I dislike him doing it, he will not be blessed in what I give him.’

In the Muwaṭṭā’ we read from Zayd ibn Aslam from ‘Aṭā’ ibn Ṭasār that a man of the Banū Asad said, ‘My family and I dismounted to rest at Baqī’ al-Gharqad. My family said to me, “Go to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and ask him for something to eat,” and they began to mention what they needed. I went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and found that a man was asking for something, and the
Messenger of Allah was saying, “I do not have anything to give you.” The man turned away from him in anger, saying, “By my life! You give to those you wish to give to!” The Messenger of Allah remarked, “He is angry with me because I do not have anything to give him. Whoever asks you for something while he has an āqiyah or its equivalent has asked insistently.” The man continued, ‘I told myself that a camel we had was worth more than an āqiyah. (Mālik explained that an āqiyah was forty dirhams.) So I returned without having asked him for anything, and the Messenger of Allah sent me barley and raisins later on. He gave us from his share until Allah, the Mighty, the Majestic, gave us relief.’

Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘That is how Mālik related it and it is corroborated by Hishām ibn Saʿd and others. It is a sound hadith. The ruling on a Companion who is not named is not the same as the ruling on others who are not named. Scholars say that is because there is no impairment of them as a rule and integrity is established for them.’ This hadith indicates that it is disliked for anyone who has an āqiyah of silver to beg. Anyone who begs when he has that amount of silver, or what is equivalent to it, is considered to be insistent. I do not know of anyone who possesses knowledge of the dīn who does not dislike someone begging when they have this amount of silver or its equivalent in gold according to the literal meaning of this hadith. They are permitted to take what comes to them without asking, provided it is not zakāt. There is disagreement if it is zakāt as will be explained later.

Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘One of the best things related from the fuqahā’ regarding begging and dislike of it, and the position of those who possess scrupulousness regarding it, is what al-Athram related from Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal when he was asked about when begging becomes lawful. He said, “When a person does not have enough for lunch and supper. This is based on the hadith of Sahl ibn al-Ḥanẓaliyyah.” Ibn Ḥanbal was then asked, “And if he is compelled
to ask?” He replied, “It is permitted if he is in pressing need.” He was asked, “And if he refrains?” He replied, “That is better for him.” Then he added, “I do not think that anyone will die of hunger. Allah will bring him his provision.” Then he mentioned the hadith of Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī: “Whoever abstains, Allah will make him abstinent.”

In the hadith of Abū Dharr, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Be abstinent.’ Abū Bakr said, ‘I heard him being asked about a man who does not have anything: should he beg or eat carrion? He replied, “Would he eat carrion when there is someone he can ask? This is abhorrent.”’ He said that he heard him being asked whether a man should beg on behalf of another man. He said that he should not, but can make an allusion. It is like what the Prophet ﷺ said when people came barefooted, naked, wearing stripped cloaks. He said, ‘Give ṣadaqah.’ He did not say, ‘Give to them.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘The Prophet ﷺ said, “Intercede and you will be rewarded.” That has the general meaning of asking on behalf of someone else.’ Allah knows best.

Abū Bakr said, ‘It was said that a man was mentioned to Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and he asked, “Is he in need?” He said, “This is an allusion and there is nothing wrong with it. Asking is to say, ‘Give to him.’”’ Then he added, “I do not like a person asking for himself, so what then is the case with him asking for another? I prefer making an allusion here.”

It is reported by Abū Dāwūd, an-Nasāʿī and others that a Persian asked the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, ‘Should I beg, Messenger of Allah?’ He said, ‘No, but if you must, then ask of the righteous.’ So he permitted asking the people of excellence and righteousness when that is necessary. Ibrāhīm ibn Adham said, ‘Asking for your needs from people is a veil between you and Allah Almighty. Place your need with the One who controls harm and benefit. Take refuge in Allah Almighty and Allah will spare you from others and you will
live in happiness.’

If something comes to someone without their asking for it, they should accept it and not reject it. It is provision which Allah has brought. Mālik reported from Zayd ibn Aslam from ‘Aṭā’ ibn Yasār that the Messenger of Allah sent a gift to ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and ‘Umar returned it. The Messenger of Allah asked, ‘Why did you return it?’ He replied, ‘Messenger of Allah, did you not tell us that it is better for us not to take anything from anyone?’ The Messenger of Allah said, ‘That is by asking. Provision which Allah gives you is not the same as asking.’ ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said, ‘By the One in whose hand my self is, I will not ask anything from anyone, and anything that comes to me without my asking for it, I will accept.’ This is a definitive text.

Muslim transmitted in his Ṣahīḥ, an-Nasā‘ī in the Sunan, as well as others that Ibn ‘Umar said that he heard ‘Umar say, ‘The Prophet gave me a gift and I said, “Give it to someone who is more in need of it than I am.” Once he gave me something and I said, “Give it to someone who is more in need of it than I am.” The Messenger of Allah said, “Take any wealth that comes to you without your looking for it or asking for it. Take it as long as you yourself do not pursue it.”’ An-Nasā‘ī added, ‘Take it and either enrich yourself with it or give it away as ṣadaqah.’ Muslim related from ‘Abdullāh ibn as-Sa‘dī al-Mālikī that ‘Umar said, ‘The Messenger of Allah said to me, “When you are given something without asking, then eat and give ṣadaqah.”’ This is sound and mursal from Mālik.

Al-Athram said that he heard Abū ‘Abdullāh Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal being asked about the words of the Prophet, ‘what comes to you without you asking or looking for it’ and what ‘looking for it’ mean. He said, ‘It is that you look towards the thing and think in your heart, “Perhaps it will be sent to me.”’ He was asked, ‘Even if one makes no allusion to it?’ ‘Yes,’ he answered, ‘because it is in the heart.’ He was told, ‘This is harsh!’ He answered, ‘Even if it is harsh,
it is like that’ He was asked, ‘And if a man does not normally send me anything but it occurs to my heart, “Perhaps he will send something to me”? ’ He answered, ‘This is looking for something. If it comes to you without you thinking about it and without it occurring to your heart, then it is something in which there is no looking for it.’

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Ishrāf (looking for) linguistically is raising one’s head towards something that one desires and alluding to obtaining it. What Aḥmad said about the interpretation of ishrāf is a restriction which I find unreasonable because Allah has overlooked for the people of this community things that their selves say to them as long as it is not articulated on the tongue and acted on by a limb. As for thoughts in the heart that involve disobedience to Allah, except for outright unbelief, they are of no consequence, provided, of course, that they are not acted upon. The consensus is that the thoughts of the self are overlooked.’

Insistence in asking and being importunate in it when a person is wealthy is forbidden and not lawful. The Messenger of Allah said, ‘Anyone who asks people for an increase over what he has is asking for a hot ember. He can either seek to be independent or he is seeking increase.’ Abū Hurayrah related it and Muslim transmitted it. Ibn ‘Umar reported that the Prophet said, ‘One of you will continue to beg until he meets Allah without a single piece of flesh on his face.’ Muslim also related it.

If the asker is needy, there is no harm in him asking three times, informing people of his state and apologising. But it is best to leave it. If the one asked knows of his need and is able to give him what he asks, he is obliged to give. If he does not know it, he gives to him out of fear that he is speaking the truth and that rejecting him will not be profitable.

Regarding someone who needs something to keep up appearances, such as having a good garment to wear on the ‘Īd and Jumu‘ah, Ibn
al-‘Arabī said, ‘While I was in the mosque of the Caliph in Baghdad, I heard a man say, “This is your brother who attends Jumu’ah and he has no other garment with which to support the sunnah of Jumu’ah.” The following Jumu’ah I saw him in another garment and was told, “Abu-ṭ-Ṭāhir al-Burnusī gave it to him.”’

الذين يَبْنِئُونَ أَمْوَاهُم بِالْبَيْتِ وَالْمَهْدِرِ يَسْرًا وَعَلَّمَيْنِهِمْ فَلْتَعْلَمَهُمْ
أَجْرُهُمُ الْإِيَّامَ زِيَادًا وَلَاْحَوَفْ عَلَيْهِمْ وَلَا هَمْ بِخَزْوَةٍ

274 Those who give away their wealth by night and day, secretly and openly, will have their reward with their Lord. They will feel no fear and will know no sorrow.

It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās, Abū Dharr, Abū Umāmah, Abu-d-Dardā’, ‘Abdullāh ibn Bishr al-Ghāfiqī and al-Awzā‘ī that this āyah was revealed about the fodder of horses which are used for jihād in the Way of Allah. In the Ṭabaqāt, Ibn Sa‘d mentioned from Muḥammad ibn Shu‘ayb ibn Shābūr from Sa‘īd ibn Sinān from Yazīd ibn ‘Abdullāh from his father that his grandfather ‘Arīb reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ was asked about: ‘Those who give away their wealth by night and day, secretly and openly, will have their reward with their Lord. They will feel no fear and will know no sorrow.’ He said, ‘They are the owners of horses.’ By means of the same isnād, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ is reported as saying, ‘The one who spends on horses is like someone who stretches out his hand with ṣadaqah without closing it again. Their urine and droppings will be like fragrant musk on the Day of Rising.’

Ibn ‘Abbās also reported that this āyah was revealed about ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib who had four dirhams and gave away one at night, one in the day, and one secretly and one openly. ‘Abd ar-Razzāq mentioned it from ‘Abd al-Wahhāb ibn Mujāhid from his father from Ibn ‘Abbās. Ibn Jurayj said that it was revealed about a man who did that but he did not name ‘Alī or anyone else. Qatādah said that this āyah was revealed about those who spend without being either
extravagant or tightfisted. The \( fā' \) is added in ‘lahum’ because the words have the meaning of an apodosis.

275 Those who practise usury will not rise from the grave except as someone driven mad by Shayṭān’s touch. That is because they say, ‘Trade is the same as usury.’ But Allah has permitted trade and He has forbidden usury. Whoever is given a warning by his Lord and then desists, can keep what he received in the past and his affair is Allah’s concern. But all who return to it will be the Companions of the Fire, remaining in it timelessly, for ever. 276 Allah obliterates usury but makes \( ṣadāqah \) grow in value! Allah does not love any persistently ungrateful wrongdoer. 277 Those who believe and do right actions and establish the prayer and pay \( zakāt \), will have their reward with their Lord. They will feel no fear and will know no sorrow. 278 You who believe! be fearful of Allah and forgo any remaining usury if you are believers. 279 If you do not, know that it means war from Allah and His Messenger. But if you sincerely repent you may have your capital, without
wronging and without being wronged.

These āyahs contain the ruling on usury and the permissibility of contracts in trade and a threat to those who consider usury lawful and persist in it. Many points emerge from them.

**Those who practise usury**

The word ‘practise’ (literally ‘eat’) means here ‘take’. That is because they take it with the intention of consuming it. Linguistically ‘ribā’ (usury) means ‘increase’ in general. Part of the usage of the verb is found in the hadith: ‘By Allah, we did not take a morsel but that it grew (rabā) in size.’ This refers to food for whose blessing the Prophet (ﷺ) prayed. Muslim transmitted it. Then the Sharī‘ah limited this general usage to certain specific practices. Sometimes the word is applied to unlawful earning, as when Allah Almighty says about the Jews: ‘…because of their practising usury when they were forbidden to do it.’ (4:160) What is meant by usury here is not the kind which is unlawful for us. What is meant is unlawful property, as when Allah says: ‘They are people who listen to lies and consume ill-gotten gains’ (5:42), meaning unlawful wealth in the form of bribes and what they consider lawful of the property of non-jews when they say: ‘We are under no obligation where the gentiles are concerned.’ (3:75) So according to this, the prohibition against usury includes all unlawful wealth whatever way it is earned.

The usury known in the Sharī‘ah takes two forms: the usury entailed by delay and the usury entailed by disparity in contracts and foodstuffs as will be explained. The Arabs had a practice whereby they would say to a debtor, ‘Will you settle now or pay more later?’ The debtor could then increase the amount of money he owed, and the creditor would wait for it. This is forbidden by the consensus of the Community. Most prohibited sales are forbidden because of the idea of increase either in money or in benefit to one of the parties incurred by delay and the like. Some forbidden sales do not have increase in them, like selling fruit before it is ripe or
selling after the *adhān* has been called for *Jumu‘ah*, and the one who does it is said metaphorically to be practising usury.

The Imams relate from Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘Gold for gold, silver for silver, wheat for wheat, barley for barley, dates for dates and salt for salt, like for like, hand to hand. Anyone who increases or asks for increase practises usury. The taker and the giver are the same in respect of that.’ In the hadith of ‘Ubādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit we find, ‘When the categories are different, sell however you wish when it is hand to hand.’

Abū Dāwūd related from ‘Ubādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘Gold for gold – ore and coins – silver for silver – ore and coins – wheat for wheat – *mudd* for *mudd* – barley for barley – *mudd* for *mudd* – dates for dates – *mudd* for *mudd* – and salt for salt – *mudd* for *mudd*. Anyone who increases or asks for increase practises usury. There is no harm in selling gold for silver when the amount of silver is more, provided it is hand to hand, and no delay is involved. There is no harm in selling wheat for barley when the amount of barley is more, provided it is hand to hand, and no delay is involved.’

Scholars agree that taking this position is following the Sunnah and that is the position of the majority of the Muslim *fuqahā’* except in the case of wheat and barley. Mālik considers them one category and does not permit two of them for one. That is also the position of al-Layth, al-Awzā‘ī, and a large number of the scholars of Madīnah and Syria. Mālik adds sult-barley to them. Al-Layth considers them as one category and Ibn Wahb also holds that view.

The Sunnah is confirmed and there is no position other than it. The Prophet ☪ said, ‘When these categories are different, sell however you wish when it is hand to hand,’ and he said, ‘Wheat for wheat and barley for barley’ which indicates that they are two different categories, just as wheat differs from dates, and because their attributes differ and they have different names. One does not
consider plants which the Sharī’ah does not consider. They are different. This is the position of ash-Shāfi’ī, Abū Ḥanīfah, ath-Thawrī and the people who base their position on the hadith.

Muʿāwiyah ibn Abī Sufyān believed that the prohibition related from the Prophet was about minted dirhams and dinars, not gold and silver ore for coins nor about things made from gold and silver. It is said that this was his position until an incident occurred to him with ʿUbādah which is transmitted by Muslim and others: ‘We went on a sortie when Muʿāwiyah was in command of the people and took a lot of booty. Part of our booty consisted of a silver vessel. Muʿāwiyah ordered a man to sell it against the people’s stipends. People argued about that. ʿUbādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit heard about it, went along and said, “I heard the Messenger of Allah forbid selling gold for gold, silver for silver, wheat for wheat, barley for barley, dates for dates, and salt for salt except like for like, item for item. Anyone who increases or asks for increase practises usury.” The people returned what they had been given. Muʿāwiyah heard about that and stood up and said, “What is the matter with men who relate hadiths from the Messenger of Allah when we saw him and were his Companions and did not hear it from him!” ʿUbādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit stood up and repeated the story. He said, “We will relate what we heard from the Messenger of Allah even if Muʿāwiyah dislikes it! – or ‘in spite of him’. I do not care. I accompanied him in his army on a dark night.” Ḥammād said this or something similar to it.

Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘It is related that the story concerned Abu-d-Dardā’ with Muʿāwiyah. It is possible that that occurred to both of them together but the hadith on the custom is recorded from ʿUbādah. It is what scholars rely on regarding the subject of usury. There is no disagreement that what Muʿāwiyah did is not permitted and it is not denied that Abu-d-Dardā’ and ʿUbādah knew something that was unknown to Muʿāwiyah. They were respected
men among the *fuqahā*’ of the Companions and two of their great men. Certain things were unknown to Abū Bakr and ʿUmar while they were known to lesser men and the same holds true for Muʿāwiyah. It is also possible that his position was that of Ibn ʿAbbās who was an ocean of knowledge but did not see anything wrong in two dirhams for one until Abū Saʿīd dissuaded him from it. The incident of Muʿāwiyah and ʿUbādah took place while he was governor for ʿUmar. Qabīṣah ibn Dhuʿayb said, “Ubādah objected to something Muʿāwiyah did and said, “I will not live in the same land as you!” and went back to Madīnah. ʿUmar asked him, “What has brought you here?” and he told him. He said, “Go back to your place. May Allah make ugly a land which does not contain you and those like you.” He wrote to Muʿāwiyah, “You have no authority over him.”

The Imams (and the wording is that of ad-Dāraquṭnī) related from ʿAlī that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Dinar for dinar and dirham for dirham with no disparity between them. Anyone who needs silver should buy it with gold. If he needs gold, he should buy it with silver, thus and thus.’ Scholars say that this indicates the genus of the coins. Silver is both white and black and gold is red and yellow. None of that can be exchanged except like for like, same for same, in every case. This is the position of the majority of the people of knowledge. The transmission from Mālik about minor coins of base metal (fulūs) varies. He at times compared them to dirhams since they are used to pay for things, while at other times he forbade doing that since they are not currency in every land and vary from one land to another.

There is no consideration given to one view which is related from several Mālikīs which some relate from Mālik, that when a merchant is preparing to leave and requires minted dirhams or dinars, he can take his silver or gold bullion to the mint and tell the minter, ‘Take this silver or gold of mine and take out the fee for your work and
give me minted dinars for my gold or minted dirhams for my silver because I am preparing to leave and I fear I will miss the people I am travelling with,’ and that that is permitted due to necessity, and it is something which some people have to do. Ibn al-‘Arabī related it in what he took from Mālik about someone other than a merchant and said that Mālik lightened the usual ruling in that case. The form of this transaction is that he sells his silver which weighs a hundred dirhams, adding five extra as the fee for minting, for a hundred. This is pure usury. A transaction which would be permissible would be if he told him, ‘Mint this for me,’ and then separately gives him the wage for it. When he mints it, he takes the whole amount from him and pays him the wage for doing it.

What Mālik did first is what he did last. Mālik looked at the outcome and on that basis made the judgement for the present circumstances. The rest of the fuqahā’ reject it. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Mālik’s argument concerning it is clear.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘This is the same usury which the Messenger of Allah forbade when he said, “Anyone who increases or asks for increase practises usury.”’ Ibn Wahb denied that this was Mālik’s position and denied it himself. Al-Abharī claimed that it was out of compassion in seeking trade and so that the market was not missed, and the ruling of usury is only applicable against someone who desires an increase and intends that. Al-Abharī forgets Mālik’s basic principle of cutting off the means to wrong (sadd adh-dharā’ī), including his position about someone who sells a garment, which he has no intention of rebuying, on credit and then finds it being sold in the market: he is not permitted to buy it for less than the amount he sold it for, even if he did not intend or desire that originally. Such examples are numerous. If usury only existed in a transaction when someone actually intended it, it would only be made unlawful for the fuqahā’ [who would possess the necessary perspicacity to recognize it in the transaction]. ‘Umar said, ‘No one should trade in our market except
someone with *fiqh*. Otherwise he will practise usury.’ This is clear to anyone who is fair and inspired to right guidance.

Mālik went to great lengths to prevent forbidden increase, and so he considered something merely suspect to be the same as actual usury. He forbade exchanging a dinar and dirham for a dinar and dirham in order to block the means and to cut off suspicion, since they would not be exchanged except with some increase in mind. The reason for prohibiting that is the impossibility of making an equal distribution. It is exchanging gold and silver for gold, and it is evident that the intrinsic disparity in such an exchange is forbidden. Furthermore, he forbade exchanging a dinar of fine gold and a dinar of base gold [for two dinars of medium quality, because it is as if he considered the medium quality dinar] to correspond to the good quality one and considered the poor quality one negligible. This demonstrates the acuteness of his investigation into the matter and indicates that that transmission about paying extra for minting should be rejected and is not sound, and Allah knows best.

Al-Khaṭṭābī said, ‘Bullion is pieces of gold and silver before they are minted.’ *ʿAyn* (specie) are minted dirhams and dinars. The Messenger of Allah forbade selling a *mithqāl* of gold coins for slightly over a *mithqāl* of unminted gold bullion, and he forbade a disparity between minted silver and unminted silver. He said, ‘Bullion and coins have the same ruling.’

Scholars agree that dates for dates can only be like for like. They disagree about selling one date for two dates and one grain of wheat for two. Ash-Shāfi‘ī, ʿAḥmad, Ishāq, and ath-Thawrī forbid it. That is analogous to the position of Mālik. It is sound because if there is usury in a lot of something, then a little of it is included in the ruling by analogy. Those who permit that argue that when only one or two dates are consumed, they have no price because they cannot be weighed or measured and therefore disparity is permitted.

Know that the issues concerning this subject are numerous and its
secondary rulings widespread. A measure of that can be gained by looking at what each of the scholars consider to be the legal reason (‘illah) behind the prohibition of usury. Abū Ḥanīfah said that the reason is because it is a weighed or measured category. So in his view all that is subject to measurement or weight consists of one category. It is not permitted to sell some of it for the same category with disparity or with delay. So he forbids selling dirt for dirt with disparity because it can be measured, but permits one loaf of bread for two loaves because he does not think it falls under measurement which is its basis and so he removes it from a genus in which usury can exist.

Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that the reason is that it is a category of food. This is his position in his new school. So he does not permit selling flour for bread or bread for bread with disparity or delay, whether the bread is leavened or unleavened. He thinks that it is not permitted to sell one egg for two, one pomegranate for two, or one melon for two melons, either hand to hand or with delay because all of those are consumable foods. In his old school, he said that the reason was that the prohibition was based on its being weighed or measured.

What the Mālikīs say about this matter varies. The best of what is said about it is that foodstuffs which can be stored form a general category, like wheat, barley, dates, and salt for which there is a text, as well as similar items like rice, millet, sorghum, sesame, and legumes like broad beans, lentils, cowpeas, and chickpeas. The same holds for conserved meats, milks, vinegars, oils and fruits like grapes, raisins, and olives. There is disagreement about figs. Honey and sugar are added to them. Usury can occur with all of those things with delay, but disparity is permitted in them by the words of the Prophet  , ‘When these categories are different, sell however you wish if it is hand to hand.’ There is no usury in respect of fresh fruits which are perishable, like apples, melons, pomegranates, pears, cucumbers, aubergines and other vegetables. Mālik said, ‘It is not
permitted to sell eggs for eggs with disparity because they can be stored.’ He permits like for like. Muḥammad ibn ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam said, ‘It is permitted to sell one egg for two or more because they are not stored.’ That is the position of al-Awzā’ī.

Grammarians disagree about the word ‘ribā’ (usury). The Basrans say that its root has a wāw because the dual is ribawān. Sībawayh said that. The Kufans say that it is written with a yā’ and the dual has a yā’ because of the kasrah at the beginning of the word. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘I have not seen an error worse than this or uglier than this! They are not satisfied with just an error in script; they even err in the dual!’ in spite of the fact that they recite: “What you give with usurious intent, aiming to get back a greater amount from people’s wealth, does not become greater (yarbū with wāw) with Allah.” (30:39) Muḥammad ibn Yazīd said that ‘ribā’ is written with a wāw in the muṣḥaf to distinguish it from zinā.

will not rise (from the grave) except as someone driven mad by Shayṭān’s touch.

‘Lā yaqūmūna’ (they will not rise) here refers to rising from the grave according to Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid, Ibn Jubayr, Qatādah, ar-Rabī’, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, as-Suddī, and Ibn Zayd. One of them said, ‘Allah appoints a shayṭān to choke him.’ They all said, ‘He will be raised like a madman to punish him and make him hated by all the people in the Gathering.’ This agreed-upon interpretation is strengthened by the reading of Ibn Masʿūd which is: ‘They will not rise on the Day of Rising except as someone rises...’

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The words of the āyah contain a simile for the state of someone who engages in trade in this world with avarice and greed, comparing him to a madman, because greed and desire affect him to the extent that his limbs become disordered. This is like when you say, “He’s mad!” about someone walking quickly whose movements are addled, either by anxiety or something else.’ The
poet al-A‘shā likened the movements of a camel to madness. However, the reading of Ibn Mas‘ūd and the mutually supporting positions of commentators make this interpretation weak.

‘Driven mad’ (yatakhabbatuhu) is Form V of the verb khabaṭa. Allah will make this disorder a sign of practising usury. That is because He enlarges the usury in their bellies and it makes them heavy, so when they rise from their graves they will stand and then fall over. It is said that they will be raised on the Day of Rising with their bellies inflated like pregnant women and whenever they stand, they will fall down and people will walk over them. Some scholars say that that is the sign by which they will be known on the Day of Rising and then punishment comes after that. The image of ‘eating’ is used here for the practice of usury because consumption is the greatest goal of a person in property, and it also indicates greed, which is the severest form of avarice. So one of the consequences of earning takes the place of all earning, and clothing, dwelling, storing and spending on dependants is all included in ‘eating’ (akl), which is here translated as ‘practise’.

This āyah contains evidence against those who deny that epilepsy is from the jinn and claim that it is natural and that Shayṭān does not move inside a person nor touch him. The refutation of this has already been discussed earlier. An-Nasā‘ī related that Abu-l-Yasār said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ used to make the following supplication: “O Allah, I seek refuge with You from falling from a high place, being crushed by a falling building, drowning, and burning. I seek refuge with You from being touched by Shayṭān at the time of death. I seek refuge with you from being killed in Your cause while retreating. I seek refuge with You from dying from a sting.”’ It is related from Muḥammad ibn al-Muthannā from Abū Dāwūd from Hammām from Qatādah from Anas that the Prophet ﷺ used to say, ‘O Allah, I seek refuge with You from madness, leprosy, white leprosy, and evil diseases.’ Mass means madness. One
says that a man is ‘touched’ (mussa, alisa, mamsūs and malʾūs).

That is the form usury will take in the Next World. It is related in the hadith of the Night Journey: ‘I was taken by Jibrīl and passed by some men each of whom had a belly like a great house. They were in the path of the people of Pharaoh. The people of Pharaoh are exposed to the Fire morning and evening. They advance like camels maddened by thirst, trampling on stones and trees, not hearing or understanding. When the people with those bellies are aware of them, they stand up, but their bellies make them fall. When any of them stands up, his belly pulls him over and he falls. They cannot leave and then the people of Pharaoh envelop them, trampling them front and back. That is their punishment in the Interspace between this world and the Next. The people of Pharaoh are saying, “O Allah, let the Final Hour never come!” Allah Almighty says: “On the Day the Hour takes place: ‘Admit Pharaoh’s people to the harshest punishment!’” (40:46) I said, “Jibrīl, who are they?” He answered, “They are those who consumed usury who will only rise as someone driven mad by Shayṭān’s touch.”’ Mass is insanity.

That is because they say, ‘Trade is the same as usury.’

With all commentators this refers to the unbelievers and they are told to keep that which they received in the past. This is not what is said to a disobedient believer. He should cancel his sale and cancel what he did when he was ignorant of the prohibition. That is why the Prophet ☦ said, ‘If anyone does an action which is not part of our business, it is rejected.’ The threat implicit in this āyah includes those who are disobedient.

They say that the increase at the end of the term is based on the price at the beginning of the contract. This is because of the way that the Arabs understood usury. When a debt was due, they would tell the debtor, ‘Either you pay it or you increase it,’ i.e. the amount of the debt. Allah says that that is unlawful and refutes them by saying: ‘But Allah has permitted trade and He has forbidden usury.’ It is clear
that when the terms ends and someone cannot pay it, he is given a
deferral. This usury is what the Prophet ﷺ cancelled when he stated
on the Day of ‘Arafah, ‘All usury is cancelled, and the first usury I
cancel is our usury, that of ‘Abbās ibn ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib. It is all
cancelled.’ He began with his uncle and singled him out. This is one
of the customs which demonstrates justice in a ruler: to impose
justice on himself and those close to him, and then on people in
general.

**But Allah has permitted trade and He has forbidden usury.**

This is one of the generally applicable (‘āmm) āyahs in the Qur’an. The definite article before the word ‘trade’ (al-bay‘) is to indicate the
genus, not to make it definite, since there is no prior mentioned
trade to which it can refer. So trade is general, and then the usurious
transactions we have mentioned and other things which are
mentioned as being forbidden are specified. Such things include
wine, carrion, a transaction known as ḥabal al-ḥabalah and other
things confirmed in the Sunnah and by the consensus of the
Community. This is similar to *kill the idolaters*’ (10:5) and other literal
statements which are necessarily generally applicable but which are
then made specific. This is the position of most of the fuqahā’. Some
say that this āyah is included in the undefined (mujmal) parts of the
Qur’an which, in turn, is further described by what is lawful and
unlawful in sales, and so it is not possible to use it to make a sale
lawful or unlawful unless that is accompanied by some clarification
from the Sunnah of the Prophet ﷺ. It indicates the permissibility of
sales in general, not particular transactions. This is the difference
between ‘āmm and mujmal. The ‘āmm indicates the permissibility of
sales in general when there is no evidence of a specific factor making
them prohibited. The mujmal does not indicate the permissibility of
the specific unless there is definite evidence of permissibility. The
first is sounder, and Allah knows best.

Linguistically ‘bay‘ (trade) is a verbal noun of the verb ‘bā‘a’ (to
sell), meaning to give goods and take something in return. It stipulates a seller, who is the owner or one who acts on his behalf, and a buyer, who is the one who pays the price, and the goods which are paid for. So the pillars of the sale are four: seller, buyer, price, and thing paid for. Then among the Arabs the reciprocal transaction varies according to those it is connected to. If it is in exchange for ownership, it is called a sale. If it is in exchange for the use of allowing sex, it is called marriage (nikāḥ). If it is in exchange for another use, it is called hire. If it is coin for coin, it is money-changing. If it is a deferred debt, it is an advance (salam). This will be explained in the Āyah of Debt. The ruling on hire will be dealt with in al-Qaṣaṣ. The ruling on dower in marriage will be dealt with in an-Nisā’.

The sale involves a verbal offer and verbal acceptance, however it is expressed. In Arabic, this involves the expression using the past tense, which is actual, and the future tense, which is an allusion. The sale can also be made binding by a commonly understood allusion by which ownership is transferred. This is as when someone says, ‘I have sold you these goods for ten dirhams,’ and the buyer says, ‘I have bought them.’ Or the buyer says, ‘I have bought it’ and the buyer says, ‘I have sold it to you.’ Or the seller says, ‘I will sell them to you for ten dirhams,’ and the buyer says, ‘I will buy them’ or ‘I have bought them.’ The same is true if he says, ‘Take this for ten,’ or ‘You will be blessed with them for ten,’ or ‘I have handed them over’ when both parties intend a sale. All of this is a binding sale. If the seller says, ‘I have sold them to you for ten,’ and then retracts that before the buyer accepts, he cannot retract until he hears the buyer either accept or reject that because he has obliged that on himself and said it and because the contract is not complete.

If the seller then says, ‘I was joking,’ what is transmitted about that varies. Sometimes it is said that the sale is binding and one does not pay attention to his statement, and sometimes it is said that one
looks at the value of the goods. If the price is similar to its value, then the sale is binding. If there is a great disparity, like selling a slave for a dirham or a house for a dinar, it is known that he did not intend a sale by that and was joking. So it is not binding.

A usurious contract is void and is never permitted since the Imams related from Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī: ‘Bilāl brought some Barni dates and the Messenger of Allah ﷺ asked him, “Where are these from?” Bilāl said, “From dates which we consider low quality. I exchanged two ṣā’īs of them for a ṣā’ī to feed the Prophet ﷺ.” The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Oh! usury itself! Do not do it, but if you want to buy dates, sell them in another sale and then buy them.”’ In one variant, ‘This is usury. Return them. Then sell our dates and buy for us from the price.’ The words ‘return them’ indicate that a usurious contract is void and not valid in any way. That is the position of the majority, except for Abū Ḥanīfah who said, ‘A usurious sale is permitted in as far as it is a sale, but forbidden since it entails usury. If the usury is removed, then the sale is permitted.’ If this had been the case, then the Messenger of Allah ﷺ would not have voided the sale and would have merely commanded that the extra amount be returned and validated the transaction of the ṣā’ī:

Any sale which is clearly ḥarām is null and void and so the buyer must return the goods themselves. If they have been lost while in his possession, he must return the price paid. This is the case with real estate, goods and animals. Mālik said that what is unclearly unlawful is returned, whether or not it has gone.

**Whoever is given a warning by his Lord**

Ja‘far aṣ-Ṣādiq said, ‘Allah forbade usury so that people would lend to one another.’ Ibn Maṣ‘ūd reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘A loan is worth twice what ṣadaqah is worth.’ Some people said that Allah forbade it because it destroys wealth and thereby destroys people.

‘Ā’ishah recited this āyah when she was told about what Zayd ibn
Arqam had done. Ad-Daraqūṭnī reported from al-‘Āliyyah bint Anfa': ‘Umm Muḥibbah and I went to Makkah and we visited ’A’ishah and greeted her. She asked, “Who are you?” We said, “We are from the people of Kufa.” It was as if she turned away from us and Umm Muḥibbah said to her, “Umm al-Mu’mīnīn, I had a slave-girl and I sold her to Zayd ibn Arqam al-Ansārī for eight hundred dirhams against his stipend. He wanted to sell her and then I bought her for six hundred dirhams cash.” She turned to us and said, “Evil is what you sold and what you bought! Tell Zayd that he has nullified his jihād with the Messenger of Allah unless he repents!” She asked her, “What do you think of the transaction if I only take the capital from him?” She recited: “Whoever is given a warning by his Lord and then desists, may keep what he received in the past.” Al-‘Āliyyah was the wife of Abū Isḥāq al-Ḥamdānī al-Kūfī as-Sabī‘ī, Umm Yūnus ibn Abī Isḥāq.

Mālik relates this hadith in the transmission of Ibn Wahb regarding credit sales. If something leads to falling into the forbidden, then it is forbidden, even if it is outwardly a permissible sale. In this principle, Mālik differed from other fuqahā’ who said, ‘Rulings are based on the outward, not a supposition.’ Our evidence is the position of ‘blocking the means’ (sadd adh-dharā‘ī). If the transaction is safe, we deduce its soundness, as already stated. This hadith is a definitive text. What ‘Ā’ishah said for Zayd was only to deter him, since such things are not a matter of opinion. Nullification of actions can only be known by revelation.

We read in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim from an-Nu’mān ibn Bashīr that the Messenger of Allah stated, ‘The ḥalāl is clear and the ḥarām is clear. But between the two there are doubtful things about which most people have no knowledge. Whoever exercises caution with regard to what is doubtful, shows prudence in respect of his dīn and his honour. Whoever gets involved in the doubtful things is like a herdsman who grazes his animals near a private preserve (ḥimā). He
is bound to enter it. Every king has a private preserve and the private preserve of Allah on His earth are the things that He has made forbidden.’

The legal sense of this, in terms of evidence, is that he forbade doing doubtful things out of the fear of falling into the forbidden and it is that which constitutes the legal principle of ‘blocking the means’ (sad adh-dharā‘ī). The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘One of the major wrong actions is for a man to abuse his parents.’ They said, ‘O Messenger of Allah, is it possible for a man to abuse his parents?’ He replied, ‘He may curse another man’s father who in turn curses his father, and curse his mother and he in turn curses his mother.’ So he made all allusion to cursing parents in general like cursing one’s own parents. The Prophet ﷺ, however, did curse the Jews when they consumed the price they obtained for selling what they were forbidden to eat.

In a letter, Abū Bakr said, ‘There must be no joining together of animals that are kept separately nor separation of animals that are kept together out of the fear of having to pay zakāt on them.’ Ibn ‘Abbās forbade dirhams for dirhams when combining them would lead to an offence. Scholars agree that it is forbidden to combine a sale and a loan, that a little wine is harām, even if it does not intoxicate, that being alone with an unrelated woman is forbidden, even if a man is impotent, that it is forbidden to look at the face of a young woman, and several other things which are known to be definite. It is confirmed that the Shari‘ah has forbidden them because they constitute the means to forbidden things. So it is more fitting that the potential causes of usury be defended against and the means to it blocked. If someone allows those means, he should allow digging wells and setting up ropes in situations where they might lead to the death of Muslim men and women. No one allows that.

There is also agreement that the ‘īnah sale is forbidden, and Allah is the One who grants success. Abū ‘Ubayd al-Ḥarawī explained an
‘înah sale as being ‘a transaction in which someone sells goods to a man for a known price for a known term and then buys them back from him for less than the price for which he sold them.’ He said, ‘If he buys goods from someone else in the presence of the one who seeks the ‘înah for a known price and then takes possession of them and then sells them to the one who seeks the ‘înah for a higher price for a set term, and then the buyer buys them from the first seller for cash for a lower price, this is also ‘înah, but less disliked than the first, and some permit it.’ It is called ‘înah because of the presence of cash for the one with the ‘înah, ‘ayn meaning ‘ready money’.

Abū Dāwūd reports that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah ☪ say, “When you sell by ‘înah, follow the tails of cattle, are content to be farmers and abandon jihād, then Allah will impose abasement on you which will not be removed from you until you return to your dīn.”’ Abū ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān al-Khurāsānī says that the isnād of this hadith contains someone who is not well-known. Abū ‘Ubayd al-Harawī explained the ‘înah sale and said, ‘It is that you sell some goods to a man for a known price due at a specified term and then you buy them from him for a lesser price than the price for which you sold them.’ He said, ‘If, in the presence of someone who desires an ‘înah, someone buys goods from someone else for a known price and takes possession of them and then sells them to the one who desires an ‘înah for a greater price than that for which he purchased them with a known period of credit, and then the buyer sells them to the first seller for cash less than the price, that too is an ‘înah, but it is less disliked than the first kind. Some people permit it. It is called an ‘înah because of the presence of cash. That is because of the presence of ready money and the buyer. He bought it in order to sell it for ready money which he receives immediately.’

Our scholars say that if someone sells goods for a price on credit and then buys them for the same sort of payment for which he sold them, he must buy them for cash or with a term less than the term
for which he sold to them or for a longer term for the same price, or
greater or less. These are three possible situations. In the first and
second cases, the transaction is permitted if the price paid is the
same or greater, but it is not permitted if the price is less, according
to ‘Ā’ishah’s hadith. As for the third case, which is to sell them for a
longer term, if he buys the goods themselves or more, it is permitted
to do that for the same price or less, but not for more. If he buys
some of it, it is only permitted for the same price, not more or less.
Our scholars have specified seventy-seven points on this topic, but
the nub of them is what we have mentioned.

**He can keep what he received in the past**

This refers to past usury and makes clear that there will be no
consequences in this world or the Next. As-Suddî and others said
that. This is the judgment of Allah for the unbelievers of Quraysh
and Thaqîf and others who became Muslim who used to deal in
usurious transactions before they were Muslim.

**and his affair is Allah’s concern.**

There are four interpretations of the pronoun ‘his’ here. One is
that it is really ‘its’ and refers to usury, meaning the business of usury
is subject to Allah’s command in making it unlawful or not. The
second is that the pronoun refers to ‘what was in the past’ i.e. it is up
to Allah to pardon that and remove its consequences. The third is
that the pronoun refers to the usurer, meaning that his affair is up to
Allah in making him firm in giving up usury or returning him to
indulging in it. An-Naḥḥâs preferred this position, saying that it is
clear and good, i.e. his business is up to Allah in the future. If He
wishes, He makes him firm in standing by the prohibition, and if He
wishes, returning him to usurious transactions. The fourth is that the
pronoun refers to what is forbidden (i.e. ‘its’ affair), but means to
allow him to hope for the best.

**But all who return to it**

This means that they revert to usury before they die. Sufyân said
that. Another said, ‘Whoever reverts and says that trade is like usury has disbelieved.’ Ibn ‘Āṭiyyah said, ‘We believe that the āyah is about the unbelievers, so being forever in the Fire is literal. In respect of the disobedient Muslim, it is metaphorical.’

Allah obliterates usury

In this world: meaning that He removes blessing (barakah) from usury, even if it is a lot. Ibn Mas‘ūd related that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Even if usury is a lot, its end is little.’ It is said that it means in the Next World. Regarding these words, Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Neither ṣadaqah, ḥajj, jihād nor gifts to relatives are accepted from a usurer.’ Maḥaqa (obliterating) has the meaning of ‘to decrease and vanish.’ The waning (maḥāq) of the moon is derived from the same root.

but makes ṣadaqah grow in value!

This means that He increases it in blessing in this world and makes its reward many times more in the Next World. In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim: ‘When someone gives ṣadaqah, Allah makes it grow, even if it is only a morsel of food, like one of you would raise his colt or foal until it comes on the Day of Rising the size of Uḥud.’

Allah does not love any persistently ungrateful wrongdoer.

An ungrateful person is also called a wrongdoer for emphasis. It is said that it is to remove any confusion about the word ‘ungrateful’ (kaffār) since the word can be used for a farmer who covers up the grain in the earth. Ibn Fūrak said that.

Those who believe and do right actions and establish the prayer and pay zakāt, will have their reward with their Lord. They will feel no fear and will know no sorrow.

Here Allah singles out the prayer and zakāt, even though they are no more than a component part of righteous deeds, to honour them and highlight their value since they are the best of actions: the prayer with respect to the body and the zakāt with respect to property.

You who believe! be fearful of Allah and forgo any remaining usury
Its outward meaning is that any uncollected usury is void, even if it was contracted before the revelation of the āyah of prohibition. It is said that this āyah was revealed because of Thaqīf. They made a treaty with the Prophet ♦ that they would claim the usury which people owed them while the usury they owed others would be cancelled. When the terms of their usury were due, they sent to Makkah to settle them. The debts were against the Banū ‘Abdah, who are the Banū ‘Amr ibn ‘Umayr of Thaqīf, and the Banū al-Mughīrah of Makhzūm. The Banū Mughīrah said, ‘We will not give you anything. Usury has been abolished.’ They went to ‘Attāb ibn Usayd and he wrote about it to the Messenger of Allah ♦ and the āyah was revealed. The Messenger of Allah then wrote it to ‘Attāb, and Thaqīf learned of it and refrained. This is the reason for the revelation of the āyah as was reported by Ibn Isḥāq, Ibn Jurayj, as-Suddī and others. It means: place a screen between you and the punishment of Allah by abandoning any outstanding usury.

if you are believers.

This is a condition applying to Thaqīf because it was when they first became Muslim. We can suppose that, if the āyah is about those who affirm belief, it is a metaphorical condition, as you might say to someone who wants to establish himself, ‘If you are a man, then do such-and-such.’ An-Naqqāsh related Muqātil ibn Sulaymān said that the word ‘if’ here means ‘when’. Ibn ‘Atiyyah said that this is not known linguistically. Ibn Fūrak said that it is impossible that it means the Prophets before Muḥammad ♦. ‘Forgo any remaining ribā if you believe’ in Muḥammad ♦ since the first command is only of use if you believe. This is rejected by what is reported about the reason for the revelation of the āyah.

If you do not, know that it means war from Allah and His Messenger.

This is a threat to anyone who does not abandon usury. War calls for killing. Ibn ‘Abbās said that on the Day of Rising it will be said to the practiser of usury, ‘Take up your weapons for war.’ Ibn ‘Abbās
also said, ‘If someone insists on usury and does not desist, it is a duty for the leader of the Muslims to ask him to repent. If he does not desist, he strikes off his head.’ Qatādah said, ‘Allah threatened the people of usury with killing and made them lawful for killing wherever they are found.’ It is said that the meaning is: ‘If you do not desist, you are at war with Allah and His Messenger,’ meaning you are their enemies. Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘If the people of a land think usury to be lawful, they are apostates and the ruling regarding them is the same as that of the people of apostasy, and it is permitted for the ruler to fight them. Do you not see that Allah Almighty has announced that when He says: “…know that it means war from Allah and His Messenger”? Abū Bakr recited it from ‘Āṣim as ‘ādhīnū’, meaning ‘inform others’. Ibn Bukayr narrated, ‘A man came to Mālik ibn Anas and said, “Abū Abdullāh, I saw a drunkard, who was a chronic drinker, who was trying to catch the moon. I said, ‘My wife is divorced if there is anything worse than wine which enters a man’s belly.’” He said, “Go away while I investigate your problem.” He came back to him the following day and he said the same thing. He came back the day after that and Mālik told him, ‘Your wife is divorced. I have examined the Book of Allah and the Sunnah of His Prophet and I did not see anything worse than usury because Allah has proclaimed war on account of it.”’

This āyah indicates that practising usury and making use of it is one of the major wrong actions. There is no disagreement about that as we will make clear. It is related that the Prophet said, ‘A time will come upon people when there will not be anyone left who does not consume usury, and even one who does not consume it will be touched by its dust.’” Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that ‘Abdullāh ibn al-Ḥanẓalah reported that the Prophet said, ‘A dirham of usury is worse in the sight of Allah than thirty-six wrongful acts of fornication.’ It is related that he said, ‘Usury has ninety-nine
gates, the least of which is like a man having sex with his mother.’

Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘Those who take usury, pay it, its witnesses and scribes if they know of it, are cursed on the tongue of Muḥammad ﷺ.’ Al-Bukhārī related that Abū Juḥayfah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ forbade the price of blood, the price of dogs, and the earnings of prostitutes, and cursed those who consume usury and those who pay it, the tattooer and women who are tattooed.’ In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Abū Hurayrah reported, ‘Avoid the seven deadly wrong actions.’ Usury was one of them. In Abū Dāwūd from Ibn Mas‘ūd we find: ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ cursed those who take usury, those who pay it, its scribes and its witnesses.’

**But if you sincerely repent, you may have your capital, without wronging and without being wronged.**

Abū Dāwūd related that the father of Sulaymān ibn ‘Amr said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say in the Farewell Hajj, “Every usurious transaction of the Jāhiliyyah is cancelled. You have your capital, not wronging or being wronged.”’ So when there is repentance, Allah returns to usurers their capital and tells them not to wrong others by taking any more usury and that they will not ‘be wronged’ by their capital being retained causing them to lose it. It is possible that ‘not being wronged’ refers to procrastination because the procrastination of the wealthy is wrongdoing. The meaning is that the capital debt is settled straight away while any usury is removed. This is the sunnah of righteousness, and is the closest thing to righteousness. Do you not see that when the Prophet ﷺ indicated to Ka‘b ibn Mālik to reduce the debt of Ibn Abī Ḥadrad by half, to which Ka‘b agreed, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ told the other, ‘Get up and pay it.’ Scholars agree that the command referred to settling the debt. Reconciliation will be dealt with in *an-Nisā*’, Allah willing.

**But if you sincerely repent you may have your capital,**

This is to stress the invalidation of any usurious increase that is still outstanding, but permits receiving the capital in which there is no
usury. Some scholars use this as evidence that anything that happens to the sale goods before they are received, which would oblige the prohibition of the contract, invalidates the contract, as when a Muslim buys game and then the buyer or seller assumes *ihram* before it is collected: the sale is forbidden because something has occurred which forbids the sale. Allah has invalidated what has not been collected because something has occurred which makes it forbidden before it has been collected. If it has already been collected before that happens, the sale is not affected. This is the school of Abū Ḥanīfah and the position of the Shafi’is. It is used as evidence that the destruction of the goods before they are collected, and while they are still in the possession of the seller, obliges the cancellation of the contract, although, according to Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, some early scholars disagreed with this position.

This is in keeping with the position of those who say that a basic contract in which there is usury is permitted but then becomes invalidated by the ruling of Islam before it can be collected. As for those who forbid the usurious contract at its source, these words are not sound. That is because usury is forbidden in all religions, and what was done in the Jāhiliyyah was the custom of the idolaters, and the usury they took is the same as what they had gained by usurpation and looting, and so what they did is not considered.

According to this, it is not correct to call witnesses to any contract involving usury. The laws of the Prophets before us forbid usury, and this is well known and mentioned in the Book of Allah, as He relates about the Jews: ‘because of their practising usury when they were forbidden to do it.’ (4:161) Allah mentions in the story of Shu‘ayb that his people rejected that and said: ‘Do your prayers instruct you that we should abandon what our fathers worshipped or stop doing what we want to with our wealth?’ (11:87) So it is not valid to use testimony as evidence (i.e. for the validity of such contracts).

Some of the scrupulous who go to excess believe that when lawful
property is mixed with the unlawful in such a way that it cannot really be separated, and then someone removes the unlawful amount mixed with it, what remains is not lawful or good, because the lawful might have been removed and the unlawful left. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘This is excess in the dīn. With respect to things that cannot be easily differentiated, what is desired is the value, not the thing itself. If it is destroyed, then its equivalent replaces it. Mixing is tantamount to destruction as the individual item cannot be differentiated.’ Allah knows best.

Our scholars say that the way to repent of unlawful property in one’s possession, if it is usury, is to return it to the person from whom it was taken and to seek him out if he is not present. If you despair of finding him, then you should give that amount away as ṣadaqah. If you took something through injustice, you should follow the same procedure in dealing with the one you wronged. If the matter is unclear to you, and you do not know how much is lawful and how much is unlawful, you should examine the amount and return an amount sufficient to preclude any doubt that any of the unlawful remains in your possession. You should return that to those you know you wronged and from whom you took usury. If you despair of finding them, you must give ṣadaqah on their behalf. If your wrongs take up all you have and you know that you are obliged to pay what you can never do because it is so great, then your repentance is to give all of your possessions either to the poor or for the best interests of the Muslims so that there only remains in your possession the minimum clothing necessary for the prayer and covering your private parts and food for the day.

In the eyes of most scholars there is a difference between this and someone who is bankrupt, because the one who is bankrupt did not take people’s property through transgression. They are the ones who go to him and so he is left with what will cover him in his normal dress. Abū ‘Ubayd and others, however, think that the ruling is the
same and a bankrupt is only left with what will be enough for the prayer and to cover his private parts. Whenever he obtains anything, it is taken from him and he only keeps what we mentioned until he and those who know his state know that he has settled what he owes.

This āyah is a threat from Allah about usury, and a similar threat about sharecropping (mukhābarah) is reported from the Prophet . Abū Dāwūd reported from Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn from Abū Rajāʾ from Ibn Khaytham from Abu-z-Zubayr that Jarīr ibn ʿAbdullah said, ‘Allah and His Messenger announce war against someone who does not abandon mukhābarah.’ This is evidence for the prohibition of sharecropping, which is working land for a half, third or quarter of its produce. It is also called muzāraʿah. All the Mālikīs, ash-Shāfiʿī, Abū Ḥanīfah and their followers and Dāwūd agree that it is not permitted to rent land for a third, a fourth, or part of its produce because that is unknown, although ash-Shāfiʿī and his people and Abū Ḥanīfah say that it is permitted to rent land for food when what it produces is known, as it is known that the Prophet said, ‘As for something known and guaranteed, there is no harm in it.’ Muslim transmitted it. That is the position of Muḥammad ibn ʿAbd al-Ḥakam, while Mālik and his adherents forbid it.

That is also based on what Muslim related that Rāfiʿ ibn Khadīj said: ‘In the time of the Messenger of Allah we used to let out the land for cultivation and we would rent it in return for a third, a fourth and a specified amount of food. One day one of my uncles came to us and said, “The Messenger of Allah has forbidden us something which used to contain benefit for us, but obeying Allah and His Messenger is more beneficial for us. He forbade us to rent out the land for cultivation in return for a third, a fourth and a specified amount of food. He commanded the owner of the land to cultivate it or give it for cultivation.”’ He disliked renting it and the like of that.

It is agreed that it is not permitted to rent land in exchange for any
type of food or drink because that would be tantamount to selling food for food on credit. Therefore renting land for any of what it produces is forbidden, even if that is not normally eaten or drunk, except in the case of wood, reeds and firewood, as they fall under the category of *muzābanah* in their view. This is recorded from Mālik and his adherents. Ibn Saḥnūn mentioned from al-Mughīrah ibn ʿAbd ar-Raḥmān al-Makhzūmī al-Madanī that ‘There is no harm in renting land for food which is not produced from it.’ It is also related from al-Mughīrah that it is not permitted, as the rest of the Mālikīs say.

Ibn Ḥabīb mentioned that Ibn Kinānah used to say, ‘Land may not be rented for anything connected to any plants that it produces. There is nothing wrong in renting it for something else, whether it is something that it is eaten or not, and whether or not it is produced from it.’ Yahyā ibn Yaḥyā stated that. He said that it is one of the positions of Mālik. He said that Ibn Nāfi‘ said, ‘There is nothing wrong in renting land for anything, food or anything else, whether or not it is produced from it, except for wheat and similar grains. That is the *muḥāqalah* that is forbidden.’

Mālik said in the *Muwaṭṭā’*: ‘As for a man who gives his uncultivated land for a third or a fourth of what comes out of it, that is an uncertain transaction because crops may be scant on one occasion and plentiful at another time. The crops may perish completely and the owner of the land will have abandoned a set rent which it would have been good for him to rent the land for. He takes an uncertain amount and does not know whether or not it will be satisfactory. It is like a man having someone travel for him for a set amount and then saying, “Shall I give you a tenth of the profit of the journey as your wage?” This is not lawful and must not be done.’ Mālik said, ‘A man must not hire out himself or his land or his ship or his animal unless it is for a known set amount.’ That is also the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī and Abū Ḥanīfah and his people.
Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, al-Layth, ath-Thawrī, al-Awzā‘ī, al-Ḥasan ibn Ḥayy, Abū Yūsuf and Muḥammad said that there is nothing wrong in giving a man land in exchange for a part of what it produces, like a third or a fourth. That is the position of Ibn ‘Umar and Ṭāwus. In their argument, they cited the story of Khaybar and the fact that the Messenger of Allah employed its people for half of what the land produced and [half] of the fruit. Aḥmad said, ‘The hadith of Rāfi‘ ibn Khadīj about forbidding renting fields has confused wording and is not sound. It is more fitting to take the story of Khaybar which is a sound hadith.’

One group of the Tābi‘ūn and those after them allow giving a man a ship or a mount, as land is given, in exchange for a share of what Allah gives the person when working with it. They consider that the basis in that is the qirāḍ which is agreed about. It will be dealt with later in al-Muzzamml (73:20), Allah willing. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said about the position of Ibn ‘Umar, ‘We used to practise sharecropping (mukhābarah) and did not see any harm in that until Rāfi‘ ibn Khadīj informed us that the Messenger of Allah had forbidden it.’ It means that they used to rent land in exchange for part of what is produced. He said that that was abrogated by the sunnah of Khaybar.

Part of what verifies the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī that it was abrogated is what the imams related from Jābir. Ad-Dāraquṭnī reports it as: ‘The Prophet forbade muḥāqalah, muzābanah, mukhābarah and selling fruits before their condition is known.’ Abū Dāwūd related that Zayd ibn Thābit said, ‘The Messenger of Allah forbade the mukhābarah and I asked, “What is the mukhābarah?” He answered, “That you take land in exchange for a half, third or fourth [of what is produces].”’

Most recite ‘mā baqiya’ with the yā’ vowelled. Al-Ḥasan gives it a sukūn. This is frequently seen in poetry. [OMITTED] The reason is that the yā’ is like the alif and just as the vowel does not reach the alif,
that is also the case with the ɣā’. Al-Ḥasan recite ‘mā baqā with alif which is the dialect of Ṭayy’ who use jarāh for jārīyah and nāṣāh for nāṣīyah.

Abu-s-Sammāl among the reciters recites ‘min ar-ribū’. Abu-l-Fatḥ ‘Uthmān ibn Jinnī said, ‘This variant is aberrant for two reasons. One is leaving the kasrah for the dammah, and the other is having the wāw after dannah at the end of the word.’ Al-Mahdawī said that the reason is that it intensifies the alif and takes it towards the wāw which the alif replaces. It should not be taken in other than this way since there is no noun with a silent wāw at the end preceded by a dammah. Al-Kisā‘ī and Ḥamzah use imālah in ribā because of the place of the kasrah on the ɣā’. The rest make it emphatic by the fatḥah on the bā‘.

Abū Bakr from ‘Āṣim and Ḥamzah recite ‘fādhinū’, meaning ‘announce to others’ and the object is elided. The rest recite ‘fadhānū’, meaning ‘have notice’. It is like ‘I have a knowledge (innī ɣlā ɣlm). Abū ‘Ubayd related it from al-Aṣma‘ī. Linguists related that it is said, ‘adhintu bihi idhnan,’ ‘I know it.’ Ibn ‘Abbās and other commentators say that it means: ‘be certain of war from Allah Almighty,’ and it has the meaning of authorisation. Abū ‘Alī and others preferred the reading with maddah. He said, ‘because when they are commanded to inform others who do not cease doing that, they have to know it themselves.’ He said that their informing them contains their own knowledge while their knowledge does not entail informing others. Aṭ-Ṭabarî preferred the reading without maddah because it is specific to them. According to the reading with maddah, they were commanded to inform others.

All the reciters recite ‘lā taẓlimūna and ‘walā tuẓlamūna’. Al-Mufaḍḍal related from ‘Āṣim ‘lā tuẓlamūna walā taẓlimūna’ which is a reversal. Abū ‘Alī said, ‘The majority reading is preferred because it is in harmony with ‘if you repent’ in the two verbs depending on the subject.
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280 If someone is in difficult circumstances, there should be a deferral until things are easier. But making a free gift of it would be better for you if you only knew.

If someone is in difficult circumstances,

After Allah has decreed that usurers should receive their capital back from those to whom they lent it, He decrees that the one experiencing hardship should be granted a delay until a time when things are easier for him. The reason for the revelation of this āyah is that when Thaqif asked for the property which was owed to them, the Banu-l-Mughīrah complained of hardship and said, ‘We have nothing,’ and asked for a delay until the time when their fruits would be ready for harvesting. Then this āyah was revealed.

The words of this āyah as well as Allah’s words: ‘If you repent you may have your capital’ (2:279) indicate that it is affirmed that someone owed a debt can ask for it from the debtor and is permitted to take property without his consent. This indicates that when a debtor refuses to settle the debt when he is able to do so, he is a wrongdoer. Allah Almighty says: ‘you may have your capital’ and so enabled people to seek their capital when it is not impossible for their debtor to pay it.

Al-Mahdawī and some scholars said. ‘This āyah abrogates what was done in the Jāhiliyyah by way of selling people as slaves who were unable to pay their debts.’ Makkī related that the Prophet also instructed people to follow that practice at the beginning of Islam. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘If it is really confirmed that the Prophet did that, then it was abrogated.’ Aṭ-Ṭaḥāwī said, ‘A free man would be sold for a debt at the beginning of Islam, if he did not have enough property to settle his debts, until Allah abrogated that practice by His words: ‘If someone is in difficult circumstances, there should be a deferral
Their evidence is a hadith related by ad-Dāraquṭnī from Muslim ibn Khālid az-Zanjī from Zayd ibn Aslam from Ibn al-Baylamānī that Surrāq said, ‘I owed a man some money – or a debt – and he took me to the Messenger of Allah and I did not have any money and so he bought me from him – or I was bought for him.’ Al-Bazzār transmitted it with this isnād. Muslim ibn Khālid az-Zanjī and ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn al-Baylamānī are not used as authoritative.

**there should be a deferral until things are easier.**

These words are general to all people. Anyone who is in difficulty is given a deferral. This is the position of Abu Hurayrah, al-Ḥasan and most of the fiqhā. An-Naḥḥās said, ‘The best of what is said on this āyah is the position of ‘Aṭā’, ad-Ḍaḥḥāk and ar-Rabī‘ ibn Khaytham. They said that the deferral applies to everyone who is in difficulty both in respect of usury and in respect of all other debts. This combines all the positions because it can be an abrogation revealed about usury and then become another more general ruling.’ Ibn ‘Abbās and Shurayḥ said that it is specific to usury and there is no deferral in other debts and dealings. A debtor must pay people what he owes or be imprisoned until he pays it. That is the position of Ibrāhīm [an-Nakha‘i]. Their evidence is: ‘Allah commands you to return to their owners the things you hold on trust.’ (4:58) Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘This position applies when is there is no pressing poverty. If there is poverty, the ruling is that a deferral should be imposed.’

If someone has a lot of debts and his creditors ask for their money, the judge can divest him of all his property and leave him with just his bare needs. Ibn Nāfi‘ related from Mālik that he is only left with what will conceal his private parts. The well-known position is that a debtor is left his normal clothing without excess and his cloak is not removed if he normally wears it, and the clothing of his wife is left. There is disagreement about selling his books if he is a scholar. He is not left a house or servant or Friday clothes unless they are
inexpensive and, in such a case, it is forbidden to imprison him. The basis for that is: ‘If someone is in difficult circumstances, there should be a deferral until things are easier.’

The Imams related that Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī said, ‘A man experienced loss in the time of the Messenger of Allah in respect of the produce which he sold and his debts mounted up. The Messenger of Allah said, “Give ṣadaqah to him.” The people gave him ṣadaqah but that did not amount to enough to settle his debt. So the Messenger of Allah told his creditors, “Take what you find and you may only have that.”’ In Abū Dāwūd we find: ‘The Messenger of Allah did not allow creditors more than the seizure of a debtor’s property.’ This is a definitive text. The Messenger of Allah did not order debtors to be imprisoned or kept in confinement. According to Shurayḥ, the man concerned was Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal. This differs from the position of Abū Ḥānīfah who said that he is kept in close confinement if there is a possibility that he might have money. He is not forced to work as we mentioned. Success is by Allah.

A bankrupt person should be imprisoned, according to Malik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah and others, until it becomes clear that he has no property. Mālik says that he should not be imprisoned if it is not suspected that he has hidden property and it is clear that he does not have anything. He should not be imprisoned if his difficulties are evident as we mentioned. If the property of a bankrupt man is collected, and then destroyed before it reaches its owner and can be sold, the bankrupt man is liable and the debt remains. If the qāḍī sells the property and keeps the price, and then the money is lost before the creditors get possession of it, they are responsible and the bankrupt man is free of further liability. Muḥammad ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam, however, says that the debtor is always liable until the money reaches the creditors.

‘Difficulties’ (‘usrah) refer to constricted circumstances due to lack of
money. The name of the Army of Hardship (Jaysh al-‘Usrah) is taken from it. *Naẓirah* means a deferral. *Maysarah* is a verbal noun meaning ‘ease’. The copy of the Qur’an of Ubayy ibn Ka‘b has ‘dhā ‘usratin’ which would mean: ‘If the person who is the object of the demand is in hardship’. Al-A‘mash recited ‘mu‘siran’. Abū ‘Amr ad-Dānī said that Āḥmad ibn Mūsā said that that is how it is in the copy of the Qur’an of Ubayy ibn Ka‘b. An-Naḥḥās, Makkī and an-Naqqāsh said, ‘According to this, the words of the āyah apply especially to the people who practice usury. If it is recited with “dhū”, it is general to all of those who owe a debt.’ Al-Mahdawī said that ‘Uthmān’s copy of the Qur’an had ‘fa-in’, but al-Mu‘tamir related that ‘Uthmān’s copy had ‘wa-in’ with ‘dhā’, which an-Naḥḥās mentioned.

Most recite ‘deferral’ as ‘naẓirah’ while Mujāhid, Abū Rajā’ and al-Hasan recited ‘nazra’, which is a dialect of Tamīm. They say ‘karm’ for ‘karam’ and ‘kabd’ for ‘kabid’. Nāfi‘ alone reads ‘until things are easier’ as *maysurah* while the others read it as *maysarah*. An-Naḥḥās related from Mujāhid and ‘Aṭā‘ ‘nāẓirhu’ as a command and ‘maysurihī’. Abū Ḥātim said that the reading of nāẓiratun is not permitted. Rather it is in *an-Naml* (27:35) because the woman said it about herself, from the verb nazara, meaning she is waiting. Here in *al-Baqarah*, it is about deferral in respect of a debt, as we also see in 15:36. Abū Ishāq az-Zajjāj permits that and says that it is a verbal noun as seen with other verbs throughout the Qur’an.

But making a free gift of it would be better for you if you only knew.

Here Allah recommends that *ṣadaqah* be given to a person faced with difficulties and makes that better than granting a deferral. As-Suddī, Ibn Zayd and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that. Aṭ-Ṭabarī and others said that the meaning of the āyah is, ‘That you make it a free gift to both rich and poor is better for you.’ The sound position is the first one. There is nothing about the rich in the āyah.

Aṭ-Ṭaḥāwī mentioned that Buraydah ibn al-Khaṣīb reported that the Messenger of Allahﷺ said, ‘If someone grants time to a person
in difficulties, he is considered to have given ṣadaqah on every day [of the deferral].’ He said, ‘It is ṣadaqah for every day until the debt is due. When he defers it after it is due, he is considered to have given the same amount in ṣadaqah for every day.’ Muslim reported that Abū Mas‘ūd narrated that the Messenger of Allah  said, ‘A man among those before you underwent the reckoning and no good at all was found for him except that, being a wealthy man, he used to do business with people and used to order his employees to make allowances for anyone in difficulties. So Allah, the Mighty and Exalted, said, “We have more right to do that than you,” and he was pardoned.’

It is related from Abū Qatādah that he looked for a debtor of his who was trying to hide and found him. The man said, ‘I am in difficulties.’ ‘By Allah?’ Abu Qatadah asked. ‘By Allah.’ he said. Abū Qatādah said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah  say, “Whoever wants Allah to save him from the calamity of the Day of Rising should give more time to someone in financial difficulties or absolve him.”’ We find in a long hadith that Abu-l-Yasār Ka‘b ibn ‘Amr heard the Messenger of Allah  say, ‘If someone gives more time to someone in difficulties or reduces the amount he owes, Allah will shade him in His shade.’ There are many hadiths which encourage doing that. The hadith reported by Abū Qatādah indicates that if the creditor knows that the debtor is in difficulty, or even suspects it, it is forbidden for him to demand payment from him, even if that has not been proven before a judge. A delay is granted to the one in difficulty until a time when he is in better circumstances. Reducing it is to cancel responsibility for the debt. Both ideas are included in the example of Abu-l-Yasār with his debtor when he said, ‘Pay it if you find the means to do so. Otherwise you are free.’
281 Be fearful of a Day when you will be returned to Allah. Then every self will be paid in full for what it earned. They will not be wronged.

It is said that this āyah was revealed nine days before the death of the Prophet ﷺ and that nothing else was revealed after it. Ibn Jurayj said that. Ibn Jubayr and Muqātil said it was seven days before his death. Three days is also mentioned. It is related that it was three hours before his death, and the Prophet ﷺ ordered, ‘Put it between the āyahs of usury and the āyah of the debt.’ Makkī reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Jibrīl came to me and said put it after āyah 280.’

It is related from Ubayy ibn Ka‘b, Ibn ‘Abbās and Qatādah that the last āyah to be revealed was: ‘A Messenger has come to you from yourselves.’ (9:128). The first position is more commonly reported, sounder and better known. It is related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The last āyah to be revealed was: “Be fearful of a Day when you will be returned to Allah. Then every self will be paid in full for what it earned. They will not be wronged.” Jibrīl told the Prophet. “Muḥammad! Put it at the end of āyah: 280 of al-Baqarah.”’ Abū Bakr al-Anbārī mentioned in Kitāb ar-Radd that the position of Ibn ‘Umar was that this was the last āyah to be revealed and the Prophet ﷺ lived twenty-one days after it. This will be dealt with in 110:1.

The āyah is a warning to all people and a command encouraging every human being. ‘Day’ is in the accusative as the object, not as an adverb, and ‘when you will be returned to Allah’ describes it. Abū ‘Amr recited ‘returned’ as ‘tarjī‘ūna’ ‘you will return’, also see in 88:25, which reflects the reading of Ubayy (taṣīrūna) instead of ‘turja‘ūna’ as in 8:62 and 18:36 which the rest have and which reflects the meaning of ‘Abdullāh (turaddūna). Al-Ḥasan recited ‘yurja‘ūna’ which means ‘all people will be returned’. Ibn Jinnī said, ‘It is as if Allah is showing kindness to the believers by directly addressing them when He mentions the return, since that is what awakens the hearts. He makes a grammatical change to the third person, which is also out of
kindness to them.’

The majority of scholars say that the day referred to is the Day of Rising and Reckoning, while some people say that it is the day a person dies. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that the first is sounder because of the context.

There is an implied elision: ‘you will be returned to the judgment and decision of Allah.’ ‘They’ here means ‘all people’. This āyah conveys to us that reward or punishment is connected to actions and so it refutes the Jabriyyah, as we already mentioned.

282 You who believe! when you take on a debt for a specified period, write it down. A writer should write it down between you justly. No writer should refuse to write; as Allah has taught him, so he should write. The one incurring the debt should dictate and should be fearful of Allah his Lord and not reduce it in any way. If the person incurring the debt is incompetent or weak or unable to dictate, then his guardian should dictate for
him justly. Two men among you should act as witnesses. But if there are not two men, then a man and two women with whom you are satisfied as witnesses; then if one of them is confused, the other can remind her. Witnesses should not refuse when they are called upon. Do not think it too trivial to write down, whether small or large, with the date that it falls due. Doing that is more just in Allah’s sight and more helpful when bearing witness and more likely to eliminate any doubt – unless it is an immediate transaction hand to hand, taken and given without delay. There is nothing wrong in your not writing that down. Call witnesses when you trade. Neither writer nor witness should be put under pressure. If you do that, it is deviancy on your part. Be fearful of Allah and Allah will give you knowledge. Allah has knowledge of all things.

You who believe! when you take on a debt

Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab said, ‘I heard that the closest āyah in time in relation to the Āyat al-Kursī was the āyah of the Debt.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘This āyah was revealed specifically about the salam sale,’ meaning the fact that the people of Madīnah used the salam sale was the reason for the revelation of the āyah. Then it applied to all those with mutual debt obligations. Ibn Khuwayzimandād said that the āyah contains thirty rulings. Some of our scholars use it as evidence for the permission to put a term on loans according to what Mālik said, since there is no difference between a loan and any other contract which involves debts. The Shāfiʿīs disagree with that and say that the āyah does contain permission for delay on all debts. The command is for there to be witnesses if the debt has a set term. Then there is other evidence for the permissibility or prohibition of deferment with respect to debts.
The word ‘dayn’ (debt) is stress, and it is the correct term for any transaction in which one person is owed cash and the other is granted a delay. The word ‘ayn in Arabic refers to what is immediate and dayn refers to what is absent. A poet says:

She promised us nabīdh for two dirhams.

Immediate wine is not a debt.

Another said:
The fates take me wherever they wish,
wherever they desire to put me in the grave,
Or they kindle wood and fire.

That death is then cash and not a debt.

Allah made this clear by saying ‘for a specified period’.

**for a specified period**

Ibn al-Mundhir said that this indicates that a salam sale without a known term is not permitted. The Sunnah of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ indicates something similar to the Book of Allah. It is confirmed that when the Messenger of Allah ﷺ came to Madīnah they used to give advances against fruit for two or three years ahead. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Whoever gives an advance on dates, should give an advance for a known measure and known weight for a known term.’ Ibn ‘Abbas related it and al-Bukhārī, Muslim and others transmitted it.

Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘The people of the Jāhiliyyah used to sell meat to one another for the habal al-ḥabalah (the offspring of a pregnant camel).’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ forbade them to do that. All scholars agree that the permitted salam sale is when a man gives an advance to his companion of known defined food with a known measure for a known term in known dinars or dirhams and the transaction is made before they leave the place. Our scholars say that the date set for when a salam becomes due can be the harvest, cutting of the fruit, or the festivals of Nayrūz or Mihrajān [New Year
or the autumn equinox] since that is a particular time.

Our scholars define the *salam* sale as being a known sale on credit for goods which are defined for ready money or what has the same ruling for a known term. It must be known and anything unknown must be avoided. For instance, in Madīnah at the time the Prophet ﷺ came to them they used to give credit and make a loan on the basis of the expected fruits of the date-palms, and the Prophet ﷺ forbade them to do that because of the uncertainty inherent in it, since the trees might not produce anything.

‘Defined’ means in general, not in detail, as the sale can be for dates, garments or fish while their category or specific description is not mentioned. ‘Ready money’ is specified to avoid a debt in exchange for a debt. ‘What has the same ruling’ is to exclude two or three days in which it is permitted to delay the capital of the *salam*. We believe that it is permitted to delay it for that amount with or without the precondition of its proximity, but it is not permitted to stipulate that. Ash-Shāfi’ī and al-Kūfī do not permit a delay in the capital of the *salam* after the time of the contract and separation. They thought that that was like money-changing. Our evidence is that the two areas are different because of their particular description. Money-changing has a narrow remit but many preconditions which differs from the *salam*. There are more doubtful elements in transactions. Allah knows best. ‘A known term’ avoids the immediate *salam* which is not permitted according to the well-known position. Making the term known avoids having an unknown term which is what they used to do in the Jāhiliyyah.

*Salaf* and *salam* have the same meaning and they are both found in hadiths, however the term *salaf* can be used for a loan (*qard*). It is agreed that the *salam* is one of the permissible business transactions, except for the Prophet’s prohibition of selling what you do not have. There is an allowance in the *salam*, because when the *salam* is a known sale which is a debt, it is the sale of something absent which is
required by necessity on the part of each of those involved in the transaction. If the owner of the capital needs to buy fruit and the one with the fruit needs the price before they are clear in order to spend it on them, it becomes evident that the salam sale is a necessary benefit. Fuqahā’ call it ‘the sale of the needy’. If it was permitted immediately, this wisdom would be invalidated and the benefit removed and the exception about selling what is not in your possession would be pointless. Allah knows best.

There are nine preconditions of the salam which are both agreed upon and disputed. Six concern the object of the salam and three concern the capital. The object of the salam is that it is a debt which is owed, it is described, it is a specific amount, it is due in the future, the time when it is due is known and that it will exist when that time arrives. The three which concern the capital which are agreed upon is that it is known category, amount and cash. These are agreed upon with the exception of cash.

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘As for the first condition, that it is a debt which is owed, there is no lack of clarity that what is meant is that it is something for which one is liable because it is a debt transaction. If it had not been for that, the person concerned would not have entered a debt and people would not have been directed to it in profit and kindness.’ People agree on this position although Mālik said that a person is only permitted a salam on something specific with two conditions: one is that it is in a secure town and the other that it has begun to be taken, like milk from a sheep and fresh dates from a palm tree. He is the only one who stated that.

These two questions are sound in evidence because a specific article is forbidden in a salam out of fear of muzābanah and uncertainty so that it will not be difficult in the place. When that place is safe, and it is usually not difficult for it to exist in that place, then it is permitted, since there is no certainty of the guarantee of ends in legal questions. There must be the possibility of a small risk.
That is frequently seen in secondary issues in the books of legal issues.

As for a *salam* in respect of milk and fresh dates which have started to be taken, it is a Madinan question about which the people of Madīnah agreed. It is based on the principle of public welfare because a person needs to take milk and dates on a daily basis and it is hard to take them every day anew because the cash may not be present and the prices fluctuate. Those who own palm trees and milk need cash because they have goods that are not exchanged. Then when both parties share in the need, there is an allowance for them to engage in this transaction, based on it being analogous with ʿārīyahs and other basic needs and benefits.

The second condition is that it is described, and that is agreed upon as is the third condition. How it is measured can be in one of three ways: volume, weight or number. That is based on custom, either what is known by the people or what is known in the *Sharī‘ah*.

There is disagreement about the fourth condition, which is that it is due in the future. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that an immediate *salam* is permitted. Most scholars forbid it. Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘The Mālikīs are unsettled about the length of the term, even referring it to a day, so that some of our scholars actually say that an immediate *salam* is permitted. What is sound is that there must be a term because sales are one of two sorts: immediate, which is the basis, or deferred. If it is immediate and there is no *salam* in it, then it is selling what is not in your possession. There must be a term so every contract has its description and preconditions and so that the rulings of the *Sharī‘ah* are in their proper places and definitions. According to our scholars, its definition varies according to its market. Allah says: ‘a specified period’ and the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘a known term.’ That requires no discussion.

That which our scholars permit in respect of the immediate *salam* is about things that have different prices in different towns. So a *salam*
between them is permitted in one, two or three days. If it is in the same town, then that is not the case because the price will be the same. Allah knows best.

The fifth condition is that it be a known term and there is disagreement in the community about that. Since Allah and His Prophet have described that. Only Mālik among all the fuqahā’ of the cities permits selling until harvest and cutting because he saw that it was known. This was already discussed in 2:189.

The sixth condition is that it exists in the place. There is no disagreement in the community about that either. If the object of the sale ceases to exist in the place where the term is by something coming from Allah, then all scholars say that the sale is void.

It is not a precondition of the salam that the one who takes is the owner of the goods. There was some disagreement about this among the Salaf based on what al-Bukhārī related that Muḥammad ibn al-Mujālid said, “ʿAbdullāh ibn Shaddād and Abū Burdah sent me to ʿAbdullāh ibn Abī Awfā and told me, “Ask him whether in the time of the Prophet, the Companions of the Prophet used to make advance payment for wheat.” ʿAbdullāh said, “We used to make advance payments to the peasants of the people of Syria for wheat, barley, and oil for a specified measure and for a specified time.” I asked, “To the person who possessed the crop?” He answered, “We did not ask about that.” Then they sent me to ʿAbd ar-Raḥmān ibn Abzā and I asked him. He answered, “The Companions of the Prophet used to make advance payments in the time of the Prophet and we did not ask them whether they had the crops or not.”

Abū Ḥanīfah stipulated the existence of the object of the salam from the time of the contract to the time of the end of the term set, fearing that it would be demanded and not be present and that would amount to risk. The other fuqahā’ differed from him and said that what one considers is its existence at the end of the term. The
Kufans and ath-Thawrī stipulated that the place of taking possession be mentioned in respect of things that have to be transported with effort. They said that the *salam* is unsound if the place of taking possession is not mentioned. Al-Awzā’ī said that it is disliked. We believe that if it is not mentioned, then the contract is not unsound, and the place of taking possession is specified. Ahmād, Ishāq and a group of the people of hadith said that, based on the hadith of Ibn ‘Abbās in which he did not mention the place where the *salam* was taken. If that had been one of the preconditions, then the Prophet ☪ would have made it clear as he made the measure, weight and term clear. That is like what Ibn Abī Awfā said.

Abū Dāwūd related from Sa’d (aṭ-Ṭā’ī) from ‘Aṭiyyah ibn Sa’d from Abū Sa’īd al-Khudrī that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘If someone pays in advance, he must not transfer what he has paid for to someone else before he receives it.’ Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ḥaqq ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘He is al-‘Awfī, and no one considers his hadiths as authoritative, even if esteemed people related from him.’ Mālik said, ‘The business with us is that if someone buys food for a known price to be delivered at a stated date, and, when the date comes, the buyer does not find that the seller has what will satisfy what he paid for, then it is revoked. He should only take from him gold or silver or the exact price that he paid him. He should not buy anything from him for that price until he has taken that from him. That is because if he were to take other than the price which he paid or exchanged it for goods other than the food that he purchased from him, then it is selling food before it is received in full.’ Mālik said, ‘The Prophet ☪ forbade selling food before it was received in full.’

*write it down.*

‘Write down the amount and the term.’ It is said that Allah commands writing, but what is meant is writing and witnessing, because writing without witnesses does not constitute proper
evidence. It is said that He commands us to write so that we will not forget. Abū Dāwūd aṭ-Ṭayālīsī reports in his *Sunan* that Ibn ‘Abbās said that the Messenger of Allah said about the words of Allah: ‘*when you take on a debt...*’: ‘The first disavowal of Ādam occurred in this manner. When Allah showed him his descendants, he saw a radiant man, shining with light, and asked, “O Lord, who is this?” The answer was, “This is your descendant, Dāwūd.” He asked, “O Lord, how long will he live?” “Sixty years,” was the reply. He said, “O Lord, increase his life!” “No,” He replied, “unless you give him some of your life span.” He asked, “What is my life span?” “A thousand years,” He replied He said, “I have given him forty years.” So Allah wrote it for him and the angels testified to it. When the angels came to him as he was dying, he said, “I still have forty years!” They replied, “You gave them to your descendant Dāwūd.” “I did not give anyone anything!” he said. So Allah produced the document and the angels testified to it.’ One version has: ‘Dāwūd lived a hundred years and Ādam’s life was a thousand years.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it. Allah’s words: ‘*write it down*’ are a clear indication that its entire description is recorded in a manner that will remove any dispute between those involved for the judge who gives a ruling when the case is presented to him. Allah knows best.

Some people believe that writing accounts is obligatory for owners and obliged by this *āyah*, be it a sale or a loan, so that there will be no forgetfulness or disavowal. At-Ṭabarī prefers that. Ibn Jurayj said, ‘The one who borrows should write it down and the one who lends should act as witness.’ Ash-Sha‘bī said, ‘They used to think that Allah’s words: “If you leave things on trust with one another” (2:283) were abrogated by the command to write.’ Something similar is related by Ibn Jurayj. Ibn Zayd also said that and he reported it from Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī. Ar-Rabī‘ believed that this was obligatory by these words and then the ruling was lightened by His words: ‘*If you leave things on trust with one another.*’ Most say that the command to write is a
recommendation to preserve property and remove uncertainty. If the debtor is trustworthy, writing the debt down does not harm him. If he is other than that, writing will put his debt in order and satisfy the need of the one with the right. One of them said, ‘If you testify, it is decisive. If you trust, there is free disposal.’ Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘This is a sound view and there is no abrogation with respect to this because Allah recommended writing in what a man gives and leaves. His recommendation is to promote people’s caution.

A writer should write it down between you justly.

‘Aṭā’ and others said that it is obligatory for a scribe to write, when he is the only available scribe. Ash-Sha’bī said that. So when he is the only available scribe, he is obliged to write it down. As-Suddī said that it is obligatory when he is unoccupied. The lām is elided from the first and kept in the second because the second is absent and the first is addressed to the person, and so it is kept.

The word ‘justly’ means ‘with trust and fairness’. He should not write more or less than the amount. The words ‘between you’ are used because both sides are involved. That is because the one owed the debt is likely to be suspected in writing down the debt he is owed and the same suspicion exists in the case of the debtor. Therefore, Allah prescribed a scribe from other than those involved who should write justly and should not be moved by favouritism for either party. It is said that when people transact with one another, so that one is not isolated from another, and some write down debts and some do not, Allah commanded that the writer should write it down justly.

The bā’ connected to ‘justly’ is connected to ‘write’, not to ‘writer’ because what is obliged is that he write the document justly, not that he is just in himself. A child, a slave and someone in care can write it down when they understand it. In the case of those appointed as scribes, it is not permitted for guardians to abandon them except for reputable witnesses with whom they are pleased. Mālik said that, based on this āyah, documents should only be written down by
people who are known for being fair and trustworthy. According to this view, the bā’ is connected to ‘writer’.

**No writer should refuse to write.**

The scribe is forbidden to refuse when called upon to fulfil his function. People disagree about a scribe’s obligation to write or witness’s to bear witness. Āṭ-Ṭabarī and ar-Rabī‘ said that it is obligatory for a scribe to write when he is ordered to do so. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘It is obligatory for him in a situation in which it is not possible to obtain another scribe. In that case the person with the debt would be harmed if the scribe refused. When that is the case, it is obligatory for him. If it is possible to obtain another scribe who is able to do it, then he does not have to. As-Suddī said, ‘It is obligatory for him when he is free to do it.’

Al-Mahdawī said that ar-Rabī‘ and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk that ‘refuse’ is abrogated by Allah’s words: ‘Neither writer nor witness should be put under pressure.’ This position is based on the opinion of those who think that writing was obligatory for anyone chosen by the two people involved in the transaction. A scribe was not permitted to refuse until that understanding was abrogated by the words: ‘Neither writer nor witness should be put under pressure.’ This is unlikely. It is not confirmed that it was ever obligatory on anyone whom the people in the transaction wanted, whoever that was. If the writing had been an obligation, it would not be valid to be paid for it, because being paid for performing obligatory actions is invalid, and there is no disagreement among scholars that it is permitted to receive a wage for writing out documents.

**as Allah has taught him, he should write.**

The kāf in ‘kamā’ is connected to ‘write’ meaning ‘write as Allah has taught him.’ It is possible that it is connected to idea in ‘refuse’, meaning ‘As Allah has blessed with knowing how to write, he should not refuse and should be gracious in the same way that Allah has been gracious to him.’
The one incurring the debt should dictate

The one taking on the debt acknowledges his liability verbally so that it is known what he owes. The verb in Arabic for ‘dictate’ is *amalla* and *amlā*. These are two dialectical forms. *Amalla* is the dialect of the people of the Hijaz and the Banū Asad while Tamīm have *amlā*. Both are found in the Qur’an. The witnessing of the debt is because of the affirmation made by the one incurring it. Allah commanded the scribe to have fear of Allah and not reduce the amount owed. *Bakhs* is reduction. This is also found in His words: ‘*It is not lawful for them to conceal what Allah has created in their wombs.*’ (2:228)

If the person incurring the debt is incompetent or weak

Some people say that this means young, but that is an error. An adult can also be an incompetent. The word ‘weak’ here implies an adult without intelligence.

or unable to dictate,

Allah puts people who incur debts into four categories: an independent person who can dictate for himself and three others who cannot dictate. They are always in existence. Their rights are also taken care of in matters other than business dealings, such as inheritance when it is divided up, as well as other things. They are the incompetent, the weak and those unable to dictate. An incompetent (*safīh*) is someone with deficient sense regarding property, and it is not proper for him to give or take for himself. He is like the *safīh* garment, which is one that is lightly woven. Someone with a vile tongue is called a fool (*safīh*) because foul language almost only occurs in ignorant people and people of defective intellect. Arabs sometimes use the word *safīh* for feebleness of the intellect and sometimes for weakness of the body. A poet says:

We fear that our understanding will be deficient (*tasfaha*) and the time will be unknown for the sensible.
Dhu-r-Rummah said:

They walk as they are shaken (tasaffahat) by winds,

Their tops shaken by the movement of the winds.

It is said that \( \textit{du'f} \) is physical weakness and \( \textit{da'f} \) is mental weakness. It is also said that they are two dialectical forms with the same meaning. The first view is sounder. It is based on what Abū Dāwūd related from Anas ibn Mālik that a man in the time of the Prophet used to trade and he had some weakness (\( \textit{da'f} \)) in his intellect. His family went to the Prophet of Allah and said, ‘Prophet of Allah, stop so-and-so from trading. He trades and there is some weakness in his mind.’ So the Prophet summoned him and forbade him to sell. He said, ‘Messenger of Allah, I cannot bear going for any time without trading.’ The Messenger of Allah said. ‘If you will not stop trading, then say, “This for that, and no cheating.”’ Abū ‘Īsā Muḥammad ibn ‘Īsā as-Sulamī at-Tirmidhī transmitted it from Anas and said it is \( \textit{ṣaḥīḥ} \). He mentioned weakness in his intellect. Al-Bukhārī transmitted it with the words: ‘When you buy something, say, “No cheating” and you have the option to return any goods you buy for three days.’"

This man was Ḥabbān ibn Munqidh al-Anṣārī, the father of Yaḥyā and Wāsi’. It is also said that it was Munqidh, the grandfather of Yaḥyā and Wāsi’, the Shaykh of Mālik and father of Ḥabbān. He reached the age of 130. In one of his expeditions with the Prophet, he received a head wound which muddled his intellect and speech. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related that Ḥabbān ibn Munqidh was a weak man and also blind. He suffered a head wound and the Messenger of Allah gave him an option of three days in respect of his purchases. He found it hard to speak and the Messenger of Allah said to him, ‘Buy and say, “No cheating.”’ He could be heard saying, ‘No cheating. No cheating.’ He transmitted it from Ibn ‘Amr. Scholars disagree about someone who is cheated in their dealings
due to lack of experience and lack of intelligence and whether they
should be debarred from trading based on this āyah and on the
hadith. Aḥmad and Isḥāq said that they should be debarred, while
others said that they should not be. Both positions are found in the
School. What is sound is the first position based on this āyah and the
words in the hadith, ‘Prophet of Allah, stop so-and-so from trading’
when he did not debar because he said, ‘Messenger of Allah, I
cannot bear going for any time without trading.’ So he allowed him
to buy and made it specific to him because someone who is cheated
in sales should be debarred, especially if his mind is disordered.

Part of what indicates that it is a particular ruling is what
Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq related from Muḥammad ibn Yaḥyā ibn Ḥabbān who said, ‘He was my grandfather, Munqīdh ibn Ṭāmīr. He
suffered a head wound and his language was broken and his mind
disordered. He would not stop trading and continued to be cheated.
He went to the Messenger of Allah Ⓑ and mentioned that to him
and he said, “When you buy, say, ‘No cheating.’ Then you have the
option of retraction for three days for the goods you buy. If you are
pleased, keep them. If you are angry, return them to their owner.”
He lived a very long life to the age of 130. He was alive in the time
of ῤUthmān ibn ʿAffān when the people spread out and were
numerous. He would buy goods in the market and return them
when he was badly cheated. They would criticise him, saying, “You
bought them!” He would reply, “I have the option. If I am satisfied, I
keep them and if I am angry, I return them. The Messenger of Allah Ⓑ
granted me an option of three days.” He would return the goods
to their owner on the following day or the day after and would be
told, “By Allah, I do not accept them. You took my goods and gave
me dirhams.” His answer was, “The Messenger of Allah Ⓑ gave me
an option of three days.” One of the Companions of the Messenger
of Allah Ⓑ would pass by and say to the merchant, “Bother you! He
spoke the truth! The Messenger of Allah Ⓑ gave him an option for
three days!” Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it and Abū ‘Umar mentioned it in *al-Isti‘āb*. He said that al-Bukhārī mentioned it in his *History* from ‘Ayyāsh ibn al-Walīd from ‘Abd al-A‘lā from Ibn Isḥāq.

The ‘weak’ are those who have some defect in their mind and so cannot dictate, either because of a stammer, muteness or ignorance of the language. Their guardian is their father or a trustee. Those who cannot dictate are children and their guardian is their trustee or father. If someone is ill, he has an agent. As for the dumb, they can be one of the weak or, more appropriately, one who cannot dictate. These are distinct categories that will be dealt with in *an-Nīsā’*.

then his guardian should dictate for him justly.

At-Ṭabarī believed that the pronoun ‘him’ refers to the ‘right’, (meaning the guardian entitled to the right) and has an isnād to that effect from ar-Rabī‘ and Ibn ‘Abbās. It is also said that it refers to the one who owes the debt, which is correct, and what is reported from Ibn ‘Abbās is not sound. How could it be considered evidence if the one responsible for dictating the debt owed by an incompetent is the one owed the money! This is something which is not part of the Sharī‘ah unless the reason that the person is unable to dictate is that illness or great age make his words incomprehensible or he is mute. In such a case the one owed the debt can dictate while the one who is unable to do so listens and when it is finished, affirms it. But this is not what the āyah is about. This is only valid for someone who cannot dictate due to illness.

When Allah says: ‘the one incurring the debt should dictate,’ that indicates that he is trusted in what he says. That demands accepting the statement of the one who left a pledge along with his oath when there is a disagreement between him and the broker about the amount of the debt when the pledge exists. This is when the pledger says, ‘I pledged fifty’ and the broker claims that it is a hundred. One takes the statement of the pledger when the pledge exists. That is the school of most of the fuqahā': Sufyān ath-Thawrī, ash-Shāfi‘ī,
Ahmad, Ishāq and the People of Opinion. Ibn al-Mundhir preferred it because the broker claims the extra. The Prophet ☪️ said, ‘The claimant provides evidence and the one against whom the claim is made takes an oath.’ Mālik said, ‘One takes the word of the broker about the value of the pledge and he is not believed about more than that.’ It is as if he thought that pledge and his oath is a witness on behalf of the broker, but Allah’s words: ‘the one incurring the debt should dictate,’ refute him. The one incurring the debt is the pledger. This question will be dealt with.

If someone says that Allah Almighty has put the pledge in place of witnesses and writing when testimony indicates the truthfulness of what is testified to between him and the value of the pledge and, when it reaches its value, there is no document about the increase, then the answer to him is that it does not indicate that its value must be that of the debt. The pledge for a thing can be more or less than its value. The broker is believed when he swears an oath to the value of the debt up to the point where it is equal to the value of the pledge. The custom is not like that. It may be that the debt is less than the pledge. That is common, and so there is no point to this position.

If it is confirmed that what is meant by the ‘guardian’ here is a faqīḥ, then the text indicates that the affirmation of the faqīḥ regarding the matter is accepted when he takes an oath because when he dictated it, he carried out the instruction.

The dealings of a debarred incompetent without the permission of his guardian are null and void by consensus and do not ever effect anything. There is disagreement about the dealings of an incompetent who is not debarred. That will be dealt with in Sūrat an-Nisā’.

**Two men among you should act as witnesses.**

They are called upon to act as witnesses. People disagree about whether this is obligatory or merely recommended. The sound
position is that it is recommended as will be explained. Allah regulates testimony by His wisdom in financial and physical matters and other ḥudūd and makes two witnesses necessary in each case except where fornication is concerned as will be explained in Sūrat an-Nisā’. The linguistic form of ‘witness’ or ‘shahīd’ is an intensive form and that indicates that he may be called on to testify several times. This is also an indication of integrity. Allah knows best.

The word ‘men’ (rījāl) excludes unbelievers, children and women. The expression could include slaves but Mujāhid said that it means free men, which Qādī Abū Ishāq prefers. Scholars disagree about the testimony of slaves. Shurayḥ, ‘Uthmān al-Battī, Aḥmad, Ishāq and Abū Thawr said that a slave’s testimony is allowed when he possesses integrity. They rely on the meaning of the āyah. Mālik, Abū Ḥanīfah, ash-Shāfi‘ī and most scholars say that a slave’s testimony is not permitted and they rely in that on the defect inherent in slavery. Ash-Sha‘bī and an-Nakha‘ī allow it in minor matters. The sound position is that of the majority because Allah says: ‘You who believe! when you take on a debt…’ and the address continues to ‘two men among you’. So the apparent meaning of the address is that it is dealing with those who contract debts and slaves do not have that capacity without their masters’ permission.

If they say that the specificity of the beginning of the āyah does not prevent it from being general at the end, they are told that it is specific by His words: ‘Witnesses should not refuse when they are called upon…’ as will be explained. The words ‘your men’ also indicate that people who are blind can act as witnesses when they have definite knowledge about what they are testifying to, as is related from Ibn ‘Abbās: ‘The Messenger of Allah ☪ was asked about testimony and said, “You can see this sun. So testify when you have the equivalent certainty about the matter or do not testify.”’ This indicates that a witness should actually see what he testifies to, and that testimony on the basis of logical deduction, which might be wrong, is not
permitted. For instance, a blind man is permitted to have intercourse with his wife when he recognises her voice because it is permitted to engage in intercourse on the basis of the probability that it is lawful. If a woman is brought to him and he is told, ‘This is your wife,’ and he does not recognise her, he is permitted to have intercourse with her. He can accept a gift which comes to him by the statement of a messenger and he can testify to what he has been told.

If, however, someone informs him from Zayd about a confirmation, sale, slander or usurpation, he is not permitted to testify to what he was told because testimony requires certainty. It is permitted in other things to accept probability. That is why ash-Shāfiʿī, Ibn Abī Laylā and Abū Yūsuf said that if he knew of that before he went blind, then he is permitted to testify after he has become blind. The blindness may intervene between that to which he witnessed, as is the case with absence or death. This is the position of all of those scholars. That which prevents the testimony of a blind person in what he could have seen is of no consequence. His testimony is valid in respect of what is confirmed by extensive reports as when he reports about a ruling of the Messenger which has multiple transmissions. Some scholars accept the testimony of a blind person on the basis of hearing the voice because he thought that deduction by that rises to the level of certainty. The similarity in voices is like the similarity of forms and colours. This is weak since it would require a sighted person to rely on the voice.

The position of Malik regarding the testimony of the blind on the basis of hearing a voice is that it is permitted in divorce and other things when he recognises the voice. Ibn al-Qāsim said, ‘I asked Mālik, “A man hears his neighbour through a wall without seeing him and hears him divorce his wife. Can he testify to it if he recognises his voice?” Mālik answered, “His testimony is allowed.”’ That position was also related from ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad, Shurayḥ al-Kindī, ash-Sha‘bī, ‘Aṭā’ ibn Abī Rabāḥ,
But if there are not two men, then a man and two women

This means that if the claimant does not bring two men, then a man and two women suffice. This is the position of the majority. There is some elision and it means: ‘then a man and two women should take their place.’ Outside of the Qur’an, it might be in the accusative, namely ‘call on a man and two women to testify.’ Some say that the testimony of women is only permissible when there are no other men at all. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that this is weak and does not accord with the words of the āyah. The clear position is that of the majority, which is that if the person with the debt neglects to call two men, or does that intentionally for some reason, he calls a man and two women instead. In this āyah Allah has permitted the testimony of two women with a man when there are other men available. Allah does not mention this anywhere else. According to the majority, this is particular to property matters and on condition that there is a man with them. It is for property rather than other matters because Allah gives many means for confirming the ownership of property since there are many means of obtaining it and property is frequently subject to dispute. Sometimes confirmation is by writing, sometimes by witnessing, sometimes by pledges and sometimes by guarantee, and the testimony of women with men is part of that.

No intelligent person should suppose that Allah’s words ‘when you take on a debt’ include the debt of the dower with severance or the settlement of blood money owed for deliberate killing. Those cases are not about testimony to a debt, but testimony to other things. Scholars do permit the testimony of women alone regarding things which only they can know, as is also the case with the testimony of children, when it is necessary, about injuries. However, scholars also disagree about the testimony of children in such cases. Mālik allowed it as long as the children have not separated and do not disagree. The testimony of less than two of them is not permitted in
the case of a child for an adult or an adult for a child. ‘Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr gave judgment regarding injuries in such cases, and Mālik said, ‘It is the agreed practice here.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah and the Ḥanafīs did not permit it because they are not ‘men’ nor ‘those with whom you are satisfied’ nor ‘two upright men from among yourselves’. (65:2) These qualities are not found in a child.

Since Allah made the testimony of two women equal to that of one man, their ruling must be the same as his ruling. As we and ash-Shāfi‘ī require that oaths are witnessed, it is obligatory for an oath to be witnessed by two men because this equivalence is undefined. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people disagree about this, and do not think that an oath needs a witness. They said, ‘Allah Almighty places oaths in various categories and numbers them. He does not mention the need for a witness in any of them. Therefore it is not permitted to make a judgment contingent on that because it is a category additional to those Allah has specified. This is an addition to the text and it is abrogation.’ Among those who take this position are ath-Thawrī, al-Awzā‘ī, ‘Aṭā’, al-Ḥakam ibn ‘Uyaynah and a group.

Some of them said that judging by an oath with a witness is abrogated by the Qur’an. ‘Aṭā’ claimed that the first to give judgment based on it was ‘Abd al-Malik ibn Marwān. Al-Ḥakam said, ‘Judging by an oath and a witness is an innovation, and the first to judge in this way was Mu‘āwiyah.’ All of this is an error and supposition and does not help in the face of the truth. Those who deny and are ignorant are not like those who affirm and know. Allah’s words: ‘Two men among you should act as witnesses…’ do not refute the Messenger of Allah giving judgment with an oath and a witness, nor that it is not used to obtain rights, nor that it is only obliged by what Allah mentions and nothing else. If that were the case, it would be nullified by the refusal of the defendant to swear if the plaintiff swears. If that is the case, then the consensus is that he would be entitled to the money, and that is not what the Book of
Allah says. This definitively refutes them.

Mālik said, ‘Part of the argument of those who say that is that he is told, “Do you think that if a man claimed that another man owed him money, the defendant would not swear that he did not owe it?” If he swears that, then the right will be nullified for him. If he refuses to swear and the one who demanded the debt swears that his right is true and confirms that his companion owes him that, this is something in which no one disagrees about in any land. So on what basis does he claim this and where does he find it in the Book of Allah? Whoever affirms, affirms by an oath with a witness.’

Our scholars said that, in view of the fame and soundness of the hadiths, the wonder is that they have said that those who act on it are innovating and revoke their ruling and disparage their opinion. This is also in spite of the fact that it was the practice of the four Caliphs, Ubayy ibn Ka‘b, Mu‘āwiyyah, Shurayḥ, and ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz. He wrote to his governors to do that: Iyās ibn Mu‘āwiyyah, Abū Salamah ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān, Abu-z-Zinād and Rabī‘ah. That is why Mālik said, ‘The past practice of the Sunnah is enough for that. Do you see that these men cancelled their rulings and judged them to be innovators? This is indeed grave negligence and an incorrect reflection. The imams related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Prophet gave judgment based on an oath with a witness.’ ‘Amr ibn Dīnār said it is particular to property.

Sayf ibn Sulaymān related it from Qays ibn Sa‘d ibn Dīnar from Ibn ‘Abbās. Abū ‘Umar said that this is the soundest isnād of this hadith. No one attacks its isnād and there is no disagreement among the people who know the hadith that its men are trustworthy. Yāḥyā al-Qaṭṭān said, ‘Sayf ibn Sulaymān is confirmed. I do not know of anyone with a better memory than him.’ An-Nasā‘ī said, ‘This is an excellent isnād. Sayf is trustworthy and Qays is trustworthy.’ Muslim transmitted this hadith of Ibn ‘Abbās. Abū Bakr al-Bazzār said, ‘Sayf ibn Sulaymān and Qays ibn Sa‘d are trustworthy. Those after have
None of the Companions objected to an oath with a witness. Rather it is transmitted from them that it was their position. That was also the position of most of the people of knowledge in Madīnah. There is disagreement about it reported from ‘Urwah ibn az-Zubayr and Ibn Shihāb. Ma‘mar said, ‘I asked az-Zuhrī about an oath with a witness and he said, “This is something which people have innovated. There must be two witnesses.”’ It is related from him that he was the first to give judgment based on a witness and oath. That is what was stated by Mālik and his people, ash-Shāfi‘ī and his followers, Aḥmad, Isḥāq, Abū ‘Ubayd, Abū Thawr, Dāwūd ibn ‘Alī, and a group of the people of tradition. I believe that it is not permitted to oppose it because of the multiple reports about it from the Prophet and the normative practice of the people of Madīnah generation after generation.

Mālik said, ‘Judgment is given on the basis of an oath with a witness in all lands.’ In his Muwaṭṭā’ he does not justify a question by other than it. There is no disagreement reported from him about giving a ruling based on an oath with a witness. The same is true about all of his companions in Madīnah, Egypt and elsewhere. The Mālikīs in all lands know of only this in their School with the exception of those of us in Andalusia. Yaḥyā ibn Yaḥyā claimed that he did not see al-Layth giving a fatwa by it nor believing in it. Yaḥyā differed from Mālik in that as well as differing from the Sunnah and the normative practice in the Abode of Hijrah.

The oath with a witness is an additional ruling articulated on the tongue of the Messenger of Allah, in the same way that he forbade being married to a woman while also being married to her paternal or maternal aunt, even though Allah says: ‘Apart from that He has made all other women lawful for you’ (4:24) and like his forbidding eating the flesh of domestic donkeys and all beasts of prey with fangs.
although Allah says: ‘Say: “I do not find…”’ (6:145). It is also like wiping over leather socks when the Qur’an states that one washes or wipes feet. There are many examples of this. If it were permitted to say that the Qur’an abrogates the ruling of the Messenger of Allah about an oath with a witness, it would be permitted to say that the words of the Qur’an: ‘Allah has permitted trade and He has forbidden usury’ (2:274) and ‘only by means of mutually agreed trade’ (4:29) abrogate the muţābanah, a sale involving risk, selling what has not yet been created, and other forbidden sales. No one is allowed to do this because the Sunnah clarifies the Book.

If it is said that what comes in the hadith is a judgment about something specific and is not universal, we say that those are words that undermine this principle. So it is as if one is saying that the Messenger of Allah made it obligatory to rule by an oath with a witness. What supports this interpretation is what Abū Dāwūd related from Ibn ‘Abbās: ‘The Messenger of Allah gave judgment based on a witness and an oath in respect of rights.’ Through analogy and investigation, we find that an oath is stronger than two women because they have no way to be part of the li‘ān process while the oath is a part of it. When the Sunnah is sound, it is mandatory to take it and the Sunnah does not require corroboration because whoever opposes it is overcome by it. Success is by Allah.

When it is confirmed that a ruling is given on the basis of an oath with a witness, Qāḍī Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Wahhāb said, ‘That is about property rather than physical rights based on the consensus about that among all who say that a ruling is given on the basis of an oath with a witness,’ He added, ‘That is because property rights are less important than physical rights. This is indicated by the fact that women’s testimony is accepted in them.’ The position of Mālik varies about deliberate wounding and whether retaliation for it can be obliged by a witness and an oath. There are two transmissions from him. One is that it obliges choosing between retaliation and
Mālik stated in the *Muwaṭṭāʾ*; ‘This procedure pertains to property cases in particular.’ ‘Amr ibn Dīnār said that. Al-Māzirī said, ‘There is no dispute that it is accepted in matters which purely concern property. There is no disagreement that it does not occur in what is simple marriage and divorce.’

If the testimony is about something which is not property, but which will lead to property, like testifying to a will and marriage after death, so that by its affirmation one only seeks property, there is disagreement about accepting it. Those who consider the property accept it and those who consider the circumstances do not accept it. Al-Mahdawi says that the testimony of women in cases involving ḥudūd is not permitted according to the position of most of the fuqahāʾ and most preclude it in marriage and divorce as well. That is the position of Mālik, ash-Shāfiʿī and others. They may testify about property but not about other things and so they do not testify against the testimony of others, even if there is a man with them. Judgment is, however, given on the basis of the testimony of two of them in all matters in which only women are present, like childbirth, the first cry of a newborn and the like. All of this is the school of Mālik, although there is some disagreement within it.

**with whom you are satisfied as witnesses**

This describes both the man and the two women. Ibn Bukayr and others said that this is addressed to judges. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is not a good interpretation. The āyah is addressed to all people, but someone confused by the context might think it referred to judges alone. This usage is frequent in the Book of Allah. Although the address is directed to some in particular, it is in fact universal.’

These words indicate that there are witnesses who are not considered to be satisfactory. Those are people who are not considered to be of sufficient integrity. That is, of course, in addition

---

blood money, and the other is that it does not oblige anything because that is a physical right. He said that that is what is sound.
to being Muslim. This is the position of the majority. Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘Every Muslim who displays Islam and is free of impiety is considered to have integrity, even if his state is unknown.’ Shurayḥ, ‘Uthmān al-Battī and Abū Thawr said that they are the Muslims of integrity, even if they are slaves.

So they make the ruling universal and that obliges accepting the testimony of a bedouin against a townsperson when he is someone with whom they are satisfied and who possesses integrity. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and those with him accept that, since he is one of our men and the people of our dīn. The fact that he is from the desert is like him being from another country. Undefined terms in the Qur’an indicate the acceptance of the testimony of men of integrity and that Bedouin and townspeople are the same in that respect. Allah says: ‘…with whom you are satisfied as witnesses’ and ‘…call two upright men from among yourselves as witnesses.’ (65:2) Therefore ‘from among yourselves’ is addressed to the Muslims as a whole.

This demands absolutely that the meaning of integrity is necessarily additional to Islam because the attribute is additional to what is primarily described. The same is true of ‘with whom you are satisfied.’ This differs from what Abū Ḥanīfah said. It is not known whether one is satisfied with someone unless his state is reported. Therefore it necessarily follows that outward Islam is not, by itself, sufficient. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal and Mālik, as transmitted by Ibn Wahb from him, believed that Bedouin should not testify against townspeople based on the hadith of Abū Hurayrah in which the Prophet ﷺ said: ‘It is not permitted for a Bedouin to testify against a townsperson.’ What is sound is that his testimony is permitted when he has integrity and people are satisfied with him, as will be dealt with in an-Nīsā’ and at-Tawbah. The hadith of Abū Hurayrah does not distinguish between a townsperson who is resident or one who is travelling. When he is travelling, then there is no disagreement that his testimony is accepted.
Our scholars say that integrity entails uprightness in religious matters, which includes avoiding major wrong actions and maintaining noble character and abandoning minor wrong actions, and being clearly trustworthy and not gullible. It is said that it is having a clear conscience and upright behaviour in the judgment of the one who assesses him. These ideas are similar.

Since giving testimony is a really important matter and has a lofty rank, entailing, as it does, the acceptance of the statement of one person over that of another, Allah Almighty stipulated for it the need for integrity and being considered satisfactory. Whoever judges that a witness possesses singular qualities and virtues, making him superior to others, stipulates that that prerogative accords him being singled out for giving testimony and the validity of judgment made on the evidence entailed by his testimony. This is the clearest proof of the permissibility of *ijtihād* and deduction based on indications and signs which our scholars believe contain hidden meanings and rulings. This will be further discussed in *Sūrat Yūsuf*, Allah willing. It indicates entrusting the matter to the *ijtihād* of judges. Sometimes a judge might discern lack of attention or doubt in the witness and therefore reject his testimony.

Abū Ḥanīfah says that outward affirmation of Islam is sufficient for someone to qualify as a witness in matters involving property but not in cases involving the ḥudūd. This is wrong and misses the point because property is one of people’s rights. So, as Ibn al-ʿArabī said, someone’s outward Islam is not sufficient. Since Allah stipulated being satisfactory and possessing integrity in incurring debts as we have explained, it is more proper that He also stipulated that with respect to marriage, differing from Abū Ḥanīfah who says that a marriage may be contracted even if the witnesses are impious. So he denies to marriage the safeguards demanded in the case of property when they are even more necessary in the case of marriage because of its connection to lawfulness, sanctity, the ḥadd and lineage.
The position of Abū Ḥanīfah with regard to this matter is very weak indeed since Allah stipulated being satisfactory and possessing integrity and that cannot be known merely from the fact that someone is Muslim. It is known by investigating his circumstances so that one is not deceived by him merely saying, ‘I am a Muslim.’ It may well be the case that he has inside him that which would cause his testimony to be rejected. It like Allah’s words: ‘Among the people there is someone whose words about the life of this world excite your admiration and he calls Allah to witness what is in his heart … Allah does not love corruption.’ (2:204-205) He also says: ‘When you see them, their outward form appeals to you.’ (63:4)

then if one of them is confused, the other can remind her.

Abū ‘Ubayd says that the expression ‘is confused’ (dalla lit. strays) here means ‘forgets’. Straying from testimony happens by forgetting part of it and remembering another part so that a person is confused about it. That is not the case when someone forgets it all. They are not called ‘confused’. Ḥamzah recites ‘in’ whose apodosis is in ‘fā’ connected to ‘tudhakkira’. If it is read as ‘an’, it is the object and the regent in it is elided. The reading of the community of ‘tudhkira’ is added to the verb with a fathah. Ibn Kathīr and Abū ‘Amr have ‘tudhkira’ which means: one woman can make another equivalent to a male in witnessing since the testimony of a woman is half that of man. When both of them testify, as a whole they become like the testimony of a male. Sufyān ibn ‘Uyaynah and Abū ‘Amr ibn al-‘Alā’ said that. That is unlikely because the only thing opposite to ḏalāl when it means ‘forgetfulness’ is ‘reminding’ and that is found in the majority reading which is Form II, meaning to call attention when she forgets.

Witnesses should not refuse when they are called upon.

Al-Ḥasan says that this phrase deals with two issues. You should not refuse when you are asked to be a witness and you should not refuse to attest to the thing you are asked to bear witness to. Ibn
‘Abbās said that. Qatādah, ar-Rabī‘ and Ibn ‘Abbās said it means that people should not refuse to convey what they witnessed and confirm it in writing. Mujāhid said, ‘This means when you are called to give testimony and are in possession of the evidence.’ An-Naqqāsh reports that this is how the Prophet explained the āyah. Mujāhid said, ‘When you are first called to testify, if you wish, then go, and if you do not wish, do not.’ Abū Miljaz, ‘Aṭā’, Ibrāhīm, Ibn Jubayr, as-Suddī, Ibn Zayd and others all said that.

According to this, it is not obliged for witnesses to attend when summoned to witness two people who made a contract, but they must attend in the case of two people who contracted a debt if they were present at the transaction. When they were witnesses to the original contract and are asked to affirm their testimony to the document, they must do so. This is the situation which is referred to in this āyah. What is involved is the confirmation of testimony already given. If the testimony is confirmed, then they are called upon to reconfirm it in the presence of the judge. So the being ‘called upon’ refers to attending the court.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The āyah, as al-Hasan said, combines two recommended matters. The Muslims are encouraged to help their brothers. When there are many witnesses and there is confidence that the person will not be deprived of his right, then it is merely recommended for the one summoned, and he can fail to attend for any excuse whatsoever. If he fails to attend without any excuse, there is no wrong action or reward for him. If it is necessary and there is the slightest risk that someone will be deprived of his right, the recommendation is strengthened to the point that is close to an obligation. If a witness knows that someone will be completely deprived of his right by his failing to testify, then it is obligatory for him to testify, especially if he is summoned to do so. This is even more emphatic because it is a yoke on his neck and a trust which he must fulfil.'
This āyah provides evidence that it is permitted for a ruler to provide witnesses for people and to pay them from the treasury and for them to have no other work except attending to the preservation of people’s rights. Otherwise those rights might be lost and rendered null and void. Then the meaning would imply: ‘Witnesses should not refuse to testify when people demand what they are entitled to.’ Allah knows best. If it is said that this refers to testifying in exchange for a wage, we reply that it is simple testimony from people who have their needs fulfilled by the treasury. That is like paying judges and guardians and for all the acts of public welfare which help the Muslims. This is part of it, and Allah knows best. The Almighty says: ‘Those who collect it’ (9:60), giving a share of the zakāt to those who collect it.

This also indicates that a witness is someone who comes before a judge. This is the basis of the Sharī‘ah and is acted on in every age and is understood by every nation. When this is confirmed, then a slave is outside of the bulk of witnesses, which is made specific by the words, ‘your men’, because a slave cannot necessarily respond and it may not be possible for him to come, because he is not independent and only acts with the permission of someone else. Therefore, he falls below the level of giving testimony just as he also cannot reach the degree of being a guardian. He similarly is not required to attend Jumu‘ah, go on jihād and perform ḥajj as will be explained.

Our scholars say that this applies when one is summoned to give testimony. As for the case when he acts as a witness for a man who does not know about him and who will benefit from it, some people say that it is recommended because Allah says: ‘Witnesses should not refuse when they are called upon.’ So Allah obliges the duty to be carried out when one is called upon, and if he is not called upon, it is still recommended since the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The best witness is the one who brings his testimony before he is asked for it.’ The imams related it. What is sound is that doing it is an obligation, even if he is
not asked, as long as there is fear that someone’s right will be lost or ignored, or it is about a divorce or an emancipation against someone who continues to enjoy his wife or employ that slave, and other such things. A person who is a witness to any of that must confirm that testimony, and his testimony is not dependent on him being asked so that the right is lost. That is why the Almighty says: ‘…they should carry out the witnessing for Allah’ (65:2) and ‘…only those who bore witness to the truth and have full knowledge.’ (43:86) We also have the sound hadith in which the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Help your brother, wronging or wronged.’ It is incumbent to help him by giving testimony to make him ashamed to deny what he owes.

There is no ambiguity about the fact that if someone is obliged to give testimony regarding one of the matters we have mentioned and then does not testify, it impairs the witness and testimony. There is no distinction between the rights of Allah and the rights of human beings. This is the position of Ibn al-Qāsim and others. Some of them believe that if that testimony is about a human right, it only invalidates that particular testimony and it is not valid for him to give it afterwards. The first is the sound position because that which obliges its invalidation is his impiety in not performing what he was obliged to do without having any excuse for not doing it. Impiety (fisq) removes the qualification to be a witness in general. This is clearer.

There is no contradiction between the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘The best witness is the one who brings his testimony before being asked for it’ and his words in the hadith of ‘Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn, ‘The best of you is my generation, then the one after them, and then the one after them.’ ‘Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn said, ‘I do not know whether he said “then the one after them” two or three times.’ The hadith continues: ‘Then after them there will come a people who give testimony when they are not asked to, who are treacherous and not trustworthy, who make vows but do not fulfil them, and plumpness
will appear among them.’ They transmitted both hadiths as sound.

This hadith can be taken in three ways. One is that it means a false witness who testifies to what he was not asked to testify to, meaning that he was not bound to do it. Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah mentioned that ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb gave an address at al-Jabiya and said, ‘The Messenger of Allah 🷲 stood among you as I am standing among you and said, “People! Have fear of Allah in respect of my Companions, then those who follow them, and then those who follow them. Then lies and false testimony will spread.”’ The second is that it means that greed impels him to perform his testimony, which is why he hastens to testify before he is asked. This is a rejected testimony. It indicates that the witness is overcome by passion. The third is what Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘ī said. He related various paths of transmission of this hadith: ‘When we were boys, they used to forbid us to make contracts and act as witnesses.’

Do not think it too trivial to write down, whether small or large, with the date that it falls due.

The verb *sa‘ama* means to become weary of doing something. Al-Akhfash said that and that it is as a poet said:

I was weary of the burdens of life.

Whoever lives for eighty years is weary, and I do not care!

This is in order to avert the danger of tedium which may come about through the constant repetition of contracting debts as it is feared that people may become bored with writing them down. One of them may say, ‘This is so little that it does not need to be written down’, so it is stressed for both small and large amounts. Our scholars said that it excludes what is about a *qīrāṭ* or the like since it is insignificant and a person does not affirm or deny it.

Doing that is more just in Allah’s sight

This means writing down both small and large transactions with witnesses. ‘*Aqwam*’ (more just) here means ‘more correct and more
likely to protect the rights of those concerned’. It is also more likely to remove doubts and uncertainty.

**and more helpful when bearing witness**

This is evidence that if a witness sees the contractual document but does not remember giving the testimony, he should not confirm it since there is some doubt about it. He should only testify to what he is sure about and may say, for instance, ‘This is my handwriting, but I do not remember writing it.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘Most trustworthy scholars forbid a witness to testify to his writing when he does not remember giving the testimony.’ Malik found evidence for the permissibility of doing that in Allah’s words: ‘*We can do no more than to testify to what we know.*’ (12:81). Some scholars say that since Allah ascribed writing to justice, he can testify to his handwriting, even if he does not remember writing it. Ibn al-Mubārak mentioned from Ma‘mar from Ibn Ṭāwus from his father about a man who testified to a testimony that he had forgotten. He said, ‘There is nothing wrong in him testifying if he finds his mark or his handwriting on the document.’ Ibn al-Mubārak said, ‘I find this very good.’ There are also reports from the Messenger of Allah that he gave judgment about more than one matter by evidence and testimony. What is reported from the Messengers before him indicate the soundness of this position. Allah knows best. This will be further explained in *al-Aḥqāf*, Allah willing.

**unless it is an immediate transaction hand to hand,**

This means that it takes place on the spot. ‘Āṣim alone recites ‘*tijāratan*’ in the accusative as the predicate of *kāna*. The noun is elided and so it implies: ‘Unless the transaction is a cash transaction’. That is how Makkī and Abū ‘Alī al-Fārisī assessed it. That is because Allah knew that it would be difficult for them. Therefore, He stated that it can be left and removed anything wrong in doing that in respect of every cash transaction. That is in respect of small things like food and the like, not when it is something great
like property. As-Suddī and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘This is what is done hand to hand.’

**taken and given without delay.**

The transaction is achieved by each party taking their goods or cash and separating. When it is a question of land or buildings or a lot of animals, so that the conclusion of the contract is not subject to the two parties separating, then it is recommended that it be written down because a change in circumstances might occur in that instance. When the exchange is clearly made and they part, each with his goods, it is very unusual for there to be a dispute unless it is for concealed reasons. The *Sharī‘ah* recommends this procedure as being in people’s best interests in the case of credit sales whether the goods are absent or not, by means of writing, testimony and pledge. Ash-Shāfi‘ī says: ‘There are three forms of sale: selling with a document and witnesses, selling with a pledge, and selling on trust.’ When Ibn ‘Umar sold for cash, he called for witnesses and when he sold on credit, he wrote it down.

**Call witnesses when you trade.**

Aṭ-Ṭabarī says that this means that you should call witnesses to both small and large transactions. People disagree about whether that is obligatory or recommended. Abū Mūsā al-Ashʿarī, Ibn ‘Umar, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, Jābir ibn Zayd, Mujāhid, Dāwūd ibn ‘Alī and his son Abū Bakr say that it is obligatory. One of the strongest in respect of that position was ‘Aṭā’. He said, ‘I call witnesses when I sell and buy for a dirham, or half a dirham, or a third or less. Allah says: “Call witnesses when you trade.”’ Ibrāhīm said, ‘I call witnesses when I sell and buy, even for a bunch of onions.’ One of those who believed it to be obligatory and preferred that was aṭ-Ṭabarī. He said, ‘It is not lawful for a Muslim to buy and sell without calling witnesses. Otherwise he opposes the Book of Allah. If it is on credit, he must write it down and have witnesses if a scribe is at hand.’
Ash-Sha‘bī and al-Ḥasan believe that the āyah is just recommendation and guidance and not a definite injunction. That is reported to be the position of Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, and the People of Opinion. Ibn al-‘Arabī claimed that this is the position of all and he said that it is sound. No one related that it is mandatory except aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk. He said, ‘The Prophet ﷺ bought a slave and wrote: “In the Name of Allah. The All-Merciful, Most Merciful. This is what Muḥammad, Messenger of Allah, has purchased from al-‘Addā’ ibn Khālid, a sale from one Muslim to another, with no hidden defect, no taint and no wickedness (ghā’ilah) [i.e. in the slave sold.]”’ He also bought without witnesses and left his armour in pawn to a Jew without witnesses being present. If having witnesses had been mandatory, it would have been obligatory when leaving a pledge out of fear of dispute.

We mentioned the view of it being obligatory from other than aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, namely the hadith of al-‘Addā’ in ad-Dāraquṭnī and Abū Dāwūd. He became Muslim after the Conquest of Makkah and Ḥunayn. He is the one who said, ‘In the battle of Ḥunayn, we fought the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and Allah did not give us victory or help us.’ Then he became Muslim and was a good Muslim. Abū ‘Umar mentioned him and mentioned this hadith. He said at the end of it, ‘Al-Aṣmā‘ī said, “I asked Sa‘īd ibn Abī ‘Arūbah about wickedness (ghā’ilah) and he said, ‘Running away, stealing and fornication.’ I asked him about badness (khibthah) and he said, ‘Selling those who have a treaty with the Muslims.’”’

Imam Abū Muḥammad ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The obligation in respect of that is undecided and the details are very difficult indeed. When it is something frequent, it may be that the merchant wants to seek friendship by not having witnesses or it may be the custom in some lands. He may be shy about a scholar or important esteemed man and so does not ask him to ask as a witness. All of that is part of trust, so the command to have witnesses remains a recommendation
since it contains benefit in most cases as long as there is no excuse to prevent it.’

Al-Mahdawī, an-Naḥḥās and Makkī report that some people say that Allah’s words: *‘Call witnesses when you trade’* were abrogated by: *‘If you leave things on trust with one another.’* (2:283) An-Naḥḥās reported from Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī that the second āyah abrogated the one before it. An-Naḥḥās said that this is the position of al-Ḥasan, al-Ḥakam, and ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Zayd. Aṭ-Ṭabarī disagrees, saying that is illogical, and says that the second āyah refers to someone who cannot find a scribe. Allah says: *‘If you are on a journey and cannot find a writer, something can be left as a security. If you leave things on trust with one another…’* It means if he does not ask him for a deposit. He said that if were possible for this to abrogate the first, then Allah’s words: *‘If you are ill or on a journey, or any of you have come from the lavatory’* (4:43) could abrogate His words: *‘You who believe, when you get up for the prayer.’* (5:6) Similarly, it would be possible for Allah’s words: *‘Anyone who cannot find the means should fast two consecutive months’* (4:92) to abrogate His words: *‘free a believing slave.’* (4:92)

One scholar said that it is not clear that the phrase: *‘If you leave things on trust with one another’* (2:293) was revealed later than the beginning of the āyah which contains the command to have witnesses. Rather it was revealed at the same time. It is not permitted for the abrogating and abrogated to come together at the same time. He said that it is related that when it was said to Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘The Āyah of Debt was abrogated,’ he said, ‘No, by Allah, the Āyah of Debt is one of judgment and there is no abrogation in it.’ He said, ‘Witnessing was instituted to bring about peace of mind. That is as Allah made different methods to secure debts. They include writing, pledges and witnesses. There is no disagreement among the people of the cities that the pledge is prescribed as a recommendation, not an obligation. It is known from that that the same must be true of witnesses. People continue to trade, resident
and on journeys, on land and sea, on the flat and on mountains, without witnesses while people know that and do not object to it. If witnesses had been obligatory, they would have objected to the one who abandoned it.’

All of this is good deduction. Better than it is what comes from the clear Sunnah about not having witnesses. It is in what ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted, namely that Ṭāriq ibn ‘Abdullāh al-Muḥāribī said, ‘We came in a group from Rabadhah and south of Rabadhah and camped near Madīnah. We had a woman in a sedan with us. While we were sitting down, a man wearing two white garments came to us and greeted us. We returned the greeting. He asked, “From where have you people come?” We answered, “From Rabadhah and south of Rabadhah.” We had a red camel with us and he asked, “Will you sell me this camel of yours?” “Yes,” we answered. “For how much?” he asked. We told him for a certain number of ṣā‘s of dates. He did not ask us to reduce it at all, but said, “I have taken it.” Then he took the camel’s head and entered Madīnah and was hidden from us. We criticised one another and said, “You gave your camel to someone you do not know!” The woman said, “Do not blame one another. I saw the face of a man who would not deceive you. I have not seen a man with a face more like the full moon than his.” In the evening, a man came to us and said, “Peace be upon you. I am the messenger of the Messenger of Allah ☪ to you. He commands you to eat from this until you are full and to measure until you have full measure.” We ate until we were full and took full measure.’ Az-Zuhrī mentioned the hadith from ‘Umārah ibn Khuzaymah from his uncle, who was one of the Companions of the Prophet, that the Prophet ☪ bought a horse from a Bedouin. We find in it: ‘The bedouin began to say, “Bring a witness who will testify that I sold it to you!” Khuzaymah ibn Thābit said, “I testify that you sold it.” The Prophet ☪ turned to Khuzaymah and said, “On what basis do you bear witness?” He answered, “By affirming that you speak the
truth, Messenger of Allah!” The Messenger of Allah ☪ made Khuzaymah’s testimony equal to the testimony of two men.’ An-Nasā’ī and other transmitted it.

**Neither writer nor witness should be put under pressure.**

One meaning of this is that a scribe should not write anything other than what has been dictated to him nor should the witness add to his testimony nor decrease it. Al-Ḥasan, Qatādah, Tāwus, Ibn Zayd and others said that. It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid and ‘Aţā’ that the āyah means that the scribe should not be prevented from writing nor the witness from testifying.

Mujāhid, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, Tāwus, and as-Suddī said – and the same thing is also related from Ibn ‘Abbās – that the āyah means that the witness should not be summoned to testify or the scribe to write when they are busy and they should not be made to come when they have an excuse nor should they be abused. They should not be told, ‘You have disobeyed Allah’s command!’ or similar things so as to put them under pressure. Allah forbade this because, if it was applied, it would distract them from their dīn and livelihood. The form of the verb ‘yuḍārru’ which involves two people demands these ideas.

**If you do that, it is deviancy on your part,**

If someone testifies to what is not the truth or changes things when writing it down, he is called a deviant. This is even more appropriate in the case of someone who asks a witness to testify when is busy.

If you do put them under pressure it is disobedience to Allah, as Sufyān ath-Thawrī said. The scribe and witness disobey by adding or decreasing. That is a type of prevarication which harms property and people and invalidates their rights. Forcing the witness or scribe when they are busy is also disobedience and incorrect behaviour since it is disobeying Allah’s command. ‘Your part’ implies that deviancy will occur from you.

**Be fearful of Allah and Allah will give you knowledge.**

This is a promise from Allah that He will teach those who fear
Him, meaning that He will put a light in their hearts by which they will understand what comes to them. He may put discrimination in their hearts, so that they will be able to distinguish between truth and falsehood. Allah says, ‘O you who believe, if you fear Allah, he will give you discrimination.’ (8:29) Allah knows best.

If you are on a journey and cannot find a writer, something can be left as a security. If you leave things on trust with one another the one who is trusted must deliver up his trust and be fearful of Allah his Lord. Do not conceal testimony. If someone does conceal it, his heart commits a crime. Allah knows what you do.

If you are on a journey and cannot find a writer,

After Allah has mentioned the recommendation to testify and write for the benefit of preserving property and the dīn, He follows that by mentioning the excuses which may prevent people from writing and enjoins them to leave a pledge in such cases. He clarifies what constitutes a valid excuse by mentioning a journey, which is the usual reason, especially since there were a lot of expeditions at that time. But included in that are all valid excuses. There are often times when a person is resident and cannot make use of a scribe, as occurs when people are at work or during the night. Another instance is when there is fear for the loss of what the debtor owes and the lender seeks a pledge from him for that reason. The Prophet ﷺ left his armour in pledge with a Jew whom he asked for an advance of barley. He said, ‘Muhammad wants to take away my property.’ The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘He lies. I am the one who is trustworthy on earth and trustworthy in heaven. If you trust me, I will pay. Take my
armour to him.’ He died with his armour still in pledge.

Most scholars say that a pledge should be left when travelling, going by the text of the Revelation. It is confirmed while a person is resident by the *sunnah* of the Messenger ﷺ. This is sound. We already explained its permissibility when resident from the idea in the *āyah* since excuses arise when someone is a resident. Excuses arise when one is resident and its prohibition while a person is a resident is only related by Mujāhid, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and Dāwūd who hold to the *āyah*. There is no argument in that because even though the wording is that of a precondition, what is meant is most circumstances. The fact that in the *āyah* the pledge is on a journey does not prevent it being used in other cases. As we stated, we find in the two *Ṣaḥīḥ* collections and elsewhere from ‘Ā’ishah that the Prophet ﷺ purchased food from a Jew on credit and left his iron armour as a pledge. An-Nasā’ī transmitted that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ưởng died while his armour was in pledge with a Jew for thirty *sā’s* of barley for his family.’

Most recite ‘writer *(kātib)*’, meaning a man who can write, while Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, ‘Ikrimah, and Abu-l-‘Ālīyah recited ‘*kitāb*’ (writing). Abū Bakr al-Anbārī said, ‘Mujāhid explained it as meaning, ‘If you do not find ink,’ meaning with the scribes. Ibn ‘Abbās related ‘*kuttāb* (scribes)’. An-Naḥḥās said that it is an aberrant reading, and the common people do not have it. Rarely is something outside of the common recitation other than attacked. The context of the words indicates ‘writer’. Before this Allah said: ‘*A writer should write it down between you justly.*’ *Kuttāb* demands a plural, but Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that ‘*kuttāb*’ is good because every occurrence has a writer and so the plural is used, even if there are not ‘writers’ present. An-Naḥḥās and Makkī said that it is the plural of *kātib*. Al-Mahdawī related that Abū’ l-‘Ālīyah recited ‘*kutub*’, the plural of ‘*kitāb*’ (book) since there are different occurrences. Makkī said that it means ‘when inkwell, pen and paper are not available.’ The lack of a
writer is also the lack of the implement. It also demands the lack of writing. So both readings are good except from the aspect of the written word.

**something can be left as a security.**

Instead of *rihān* (security), Abū ‘Amr and Ibn Kathīr recite ‘*ruhun*’, and ‘*ruhn*’ is also related from him. At-Ẓabarī said that some people take *ruhun* to be the plural of *rihān*. Az-Zajjāj related that from al-Farrā’. Al-Mahdawī said that ‘security’ is an inceptive whose predicate is elided. It means: ‘something left as security is sufficient for that.’ An-Naḥḥās said that ‘Āṣim ibn Abi-n-Nujūd recited ‘*ruhn*’ and that is related from the people of Makkah. *Rihān* is like *baghl* and *bīghlān* and *kabsh* and *kibāsh*. *Ruhun* is the plural of *rihān* like *kutub* and *kitāb*. It is also said that it is the plural of ‘*rahn*’ like *saqf* and *suqaf*, *ḥalq* and *ḥuluq*, and the like. The second *ḍammah* in *ruhn* has been elided because it is heavy. [BIT MORE OMITTED]

The meaning of ‘leaving a security’ is that an item be kept by the lender as a guarantee against what is owed so that the amount can be paid in full from selling the security or renting it out, if the debt cannot be collected from the debtor. That is how scholars define it. The root of the verb (*rahana*) means ‘to remain or last’. Ibn Sayyidah says that *rahana* means to make something last. It is as a poet says:

* Bread and meat make them last.
* The pot of coffee is poured.

Al-Jawharī said that *rahana* means to make to make last. *Arhana* is used to make food and drink last. That which is *rāhin* continues and is fixed. *Rāhin* also describes a thin camel and thin people. He said:

* Do you see my body is lean and has become emaciated (*rahan*)?
* The glory of men is not in plumpness.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that *rahn* is the security which is deposited. One of them said that the verb *arhana* is used for that. Abū ‘Alī said that *arhana* means to pay an excessive price either in a loan or a sale. Abū
Zayd also said that it is to buy for an excessive price. He says about a she-camel:

An ‘Īdiyyah camel for excessive (urhinat) dinars.

‘Īd is a sub-tribe of Mahrah and their camels are described as noble.

Az-Zajjāj said that one says about a security (rahn), rahanat and arhanat. Ibn al-Aʿrābī and al-Akhfash said that. ‘Abdullāh ibn Himā as-Salūlī said:

When I feared their talons,

I was saved and gave them your property as security (arhantuhum).

Thaʿlab said that all transmitters say that it can be rahantu, except for al-Aṣmaʿī who related arhantu as adding a future verb to a past verb. He said that it is like their words, ‘I stood and slapped his face.’ It is a good position because it is the wāw of the ḥāl in the sentence and so ‘slap’ is a ḥāl modifying the first verb and it means ‘I stood, slapping his face.’ [OMISSION]

Ibn as-Sikkīt said that the verb means to make an advance. A murtahin is someone who takes a pledge (rahn). The thing is called marhūn. The dual is rahīnah. Rāhana is to make a wager (murāhanah) with someone, and ‘arhantu bihi waladī’ means ‘I made my children a stake for it.’ Rahīnah is the single of rahāʿin. All of that comes from al-Jawharī.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that there is no disagreement about its use in loans and sales, and then the verbal noun is used for the object.

Abū ‘Alī said that since the word means to be fixed and constant, the pledge is void according to the fuqahā’ the moment it goes from the possession of the one who takes it to the one who pledged it. This is what we believe. If the pledge is taken from the possession of the one who accepted it and returned to the pledger by the choice of the one who has taken it on pledge, the pledge then becomes invalid.
Abū Ḥanīfah says that, although he adds that if it is returned to his keeping, it is not invalid. Ash-Shāfi‘ī also says that it is not invalidated. Our proof is that He says, ‘taken’. When it leaves the possession of the one who took it, then that term cannot be applied to it and it is not sound to base a ruling on it. This is clear.

If the pledge is verbal and not actually taken, no ruling applies since the āyah says ‘taken’. Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that Allah only gives a ruling to a pledge which is ‘taken’. Without that, there is no ruling. This is very literal. The Mālikīs say that the pledge is binding by the contract and the pledger must hand over the pledge to the keeping of the person who takes it by the words of the Almighty: ‘Fulfil your contracts.’ (5:1, 17:34). This is a contract. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The believers abide by their conditions,’ and this is a condition. We believe that taking possession of the pledge is a precondition for its being operative.

The word ‘taken’ (maqbūḍah) implies that the person accepting the pledge or his agent must clearly take it. They disagree about a third party with integrity taking it and whether that effects it or not. Mālik and all his people and most other scholars say that the taking by any just person constitutes ‘taking’, while Ibn Abī Laylā, Qatādah, al-Ḥakam, and ‘Atā’ said that it does not, and that it is only ‘taken’ when it is actually in the possession of the person accepting the pledge. They see it as an act of worship. The position of the majority is sounder because, if a just person takes it, it is still taken. If the pledge is in the possession of a just person who took it and he loses it, the pledgee is not liable since there is nothing in his possession for which he is liable.

Our scholars have said that the literal and non-specific nature of the word ‘taken’ demands that it is permitted to have a pledge for shared property. This differs from Abū Ḥanīfah and his people who do not permit a pledge for a third of a house, or half of a slave or a sword. Then they said that if a man owes something to two men, in
which they are partners, it is permitted to give them land as a pledge for that when they both take it. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘This permits a pledge for shared property because each of them is pledged half of a house.’ Ibn al-Mundhir further says, ‘A pledge of something jointly owned is permitted, just as it is permitted to sell it.’

Our scholars have said that it is permitted to leave a pledge of liability because it is ‘taken’. Others forbid this. An example of that is two men who do business together and one owes a debt to the other and his pledge is his debt which he owes. Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘It is permitted to use as a pledge any goods which can be sold. This is why we permitted a pledge of liability because it is permitted to sell it and because it is property for which there is a receipt. It is analogous to available merchandise.’ Those who forbid that say that it is forbidden because it cannot be properly taken and being taken is a precondition for the pledge to be binding because the right must be received in full at the place, and it must be able to be paid in full financially and that that is not conceivable with a debt.

Al-Bukhārī related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘A mount may be ridden when it is a pledge because of its upkeep. Its milk may be drunk when it is a pledge because of its upkeep. Someone who rides it or milks it must pay for its upkeep.’ Abū Dāwūd transmitted it with a different word for ‘drink’. Al-Khaṭṭābī said, ‘These are unclear words and it is not clear who is the one riding and milking and whether it is the pledger or the pledgee or the just purpose who has the pledge in his possession.’

The explanation of that is found in two hadiths, and it is because of them that scholars disagree about it. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When a riding animal is a pledge, then the pledgee must fodder it and may drink the milk. The one who drinks it is responsible for its upkeep.’ This is transmitted from Aḥmad ibn ‘Alī ibn al-ʿAlā’ from Ziyād ibn Ayyūb from
Hushaym from Zakariyyā from ash-Sha‘bī from Abū Hurayrah. It is the position of Aḥmad and Isḥāq that the pledgee can use the pledge for milk and riding according to the cost of its upkeep. Abū Thawr said, ‘If it is the pledger that pays for its upkeep, then the pledgee cannot use it. If the pledger does not pay its upkeep and it remains in the possession of the pledgee and he pays for its upkeep, then he can ride it and he may also make use of a [pledged] slave.’ Al-Awzā‘ī and al-Layth said that.

The second hadith was also transmitted by ad-Dāraquṭnī, but there are things said about its isnād. It is related from Ismā‘īl ibn ‘Ayyāsh from Ibn Abī Dhi‘b from az-Zuhrī from al-Maqburī from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The pledge does not become forfeit. He has its gain and bears its loss.’ That is the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī, ash-Sha‘bī and Ibn Sīrīn, and it is the position of Mālik and his people. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘The pledger makes use of the pledge and must pay for its upkeep. The pledgee does not use any of the pledge except for preserving the security.’ Al-Khaṭṭābī said, ‘That is the soundest and most fitting position as evidenced by the words of the Prophet ﷺ.’

Aṭ-Ṭaḥāwī said, ‘That was at a time when ribā was still permitted, when it was not forbidden to have an interest-bearing loan or an unequal exchange of goods. Ribā was forbidden after that.’ The community agree that it is not permitted for the pledger to have sex with his slave-girl who has been given as a pledge nor may he use her as a servant. Ash-Sha‘bī said that he may not use any of the pledge. Ash-Sha‘bī transmitted this hadith but gave a fatwa which differed from it! That is not permitted for him until it is abrogated. Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said that there is a consensus that the pledger can milk and ride the pledge. If the pledgee milks the pledge, it is either with or without the permission of the pledger. If it is without his permission, we find in a hadith that Ibn ‘Umar related from the Prophet ﷺ: ‘No one should milk an animal without permission.’
This refutes him and demands that it be abrogated. If it is with permission, then it is one of the fundamental principles agreed upon about forbidding what is unknown and risky, selling what is not in your possession and selling what has not yet be created. That also refutes him. That was before the revelation of the prohibition of *ribā*. Allah knows best.

Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘There are two situations that can arise when a pledgee stipulates the use of the pledge. If it is part of a loan, then it is not permitted. If it is part of a sale or hire, then it is permitted because he becomes someone who sells the merchandise for the price that was mentioned as well as the use of the pledge for a known period. So it is like a sale and hire. In the case of a loan, it would turn it into an interest-bearing loan. That is because the loan is a good action. If there is a benefit from it, then it becomes an increase and that is *ribā*.’

It is not permitted to take a pledge as forfeit. That is when the pledgee stipulates that he has a right to it if there is no payment at the end of the term. This was part of the action of the Jāhiliyyah. The Prophet 
 declared it void when he said, ‘The pledge does not become forfeit.’ ‘A pledge being forfeit’ is when it is in the possession of the pledgee when it is not redeemed.

Zuhayr said:

She separated herself with a pledge which was not redeemed

On the day of farewell, and so the pledge became forfeit.

Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Sufyān ibn ‘Uaynah from Ziyād ibn Sa‘d from az-Zuhri from Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah 
 said, ‘The pledge does not become forfeit. He has its gain and bears its loss.’ Ziyād ibn Sa‘d is one of the trustworthy keepers. This is a good isnād. Mālik transmitted from Ibn Shihāb in a mursal transmission from Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab that the Messenger of Allah 
 said, ‘A pledge does not become forfeit.’
Abū ‘Umar said, ‘As far as I know, that is how it is related by all of those who relate the *Muwattā‘* from Mālik except for Ma‘n ibn ‘Īsā.’

He connected its transmission, and Ma‘n is trustworthy, although I fear that the error in it is from ‘Alī ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥamīd al-Ghaḍārī from Mujāhid ibn Mūsā from Ma‘n ibn ‘Īsā. In it he added from ‘Abdullāḥ ‘Amrūs from al-Abharī with his isnād, ‘He has its gain and bears its loss.’ Transmitters disagree about this addition. Ibn Abī Laylā, Ma‘mar and others removed it.

Ibn Wahb related it and said, ‘Yūnus said that Ibn Shihāb said, “Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab used to say, ‘The pledge belongs to the one who pledged it. He has its gain and bears its loss.’” Ibn Shihāb reported that this is part of what Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab said, not what the Prophet said. However, Ma‘mar did mention it *marfū‘* from Ibn Shihāb. Ma‘mar is the firmest of those who relate from Ibn Shihāb. ‘Alī corroborated it *marfū‘* from Yahyā ibn Abī Unaysah, who is not strong. The basis of this hadith with the people of knowledge of transmission is that it *mursal*. Although it is connected by various paths, they find fault in them. Furthermore, no one makes this hadith *marfū‘*, but they disagree about its interpretation and meaning.

Ad-Dāraquṭnī also related it *marfū‘* from Ismā‘īl ibn ‘Ayyāsh from Ibn Abī Dh‘īb from az-Zuhrī from Sa‘īd from Abū Hurayrah. Abū ‘Umar said that Ismā‘īl did not hear it from Ibn Abī Dh‘īb, but rather heard it from ‘Abbād ibn Kathīr from Ibn Abī Dh‘īb. We consider ‘Abbād to be weak and he is not used as an authority. We also believe that the hadiths of Ismā‘īl are not accepted when they are reported from people other than those of his land. When he relates from Syrians, his hadiths are in order. When he relates from Madinans and others, there are many errors and much confusion in his hadiths.

Growth in the pledge is part of it when it is not distinct, like clarified butter, or progeny, like infants of animals, and fruit. This
includes palm shoots and other sorts of produce, fruits, milk and wool. They are not included as part of the pledge unless that is stipulated. The difference is that infants follow mothers in zakāt, but that is not the case with wool, milk and the fruit of trees because they do not follow their sources in zakāt and do not have the same form or the same idea. They have their own ruling which is not the same ruling as their source. This is not the case with infants and progeny. Allah knows best what is correct regarding that.

It is permitted for someone whose debts encompass all his property to leave a pledge, as long as he has not been declared bankrupt. The pledgee is more entitled to the pledge than the other creditors. Mālik and a group of people stated that. Something different is also related from Mālik which was stated by ‘Abd al-‘Azīz ibn Abī Salamah: it is that the rest of the creditors are included with him in that. That is of no consequence because the transactions of someone who has not been debarred are sound in all circumstances, whether buying or selling. The creditors dealt with him in buying and selling and deciding. The position of Mālik does not differ in this area. The same is true of the pledge, and Allah knows best.

**If you leave things on trust with one another the one who is trusted must deliver up his trust**

This is an order from Allah to hand over what was left in trust and not to procrastinate. The command here is mandatory and is connected to the consensus that it is mandatory to pay debts. The judge can confirm that and creditors can compel him to pay it. Furthermore there are sound hadiths which make another person’s property unlawful to him. The word for ‘amānah’ (leave on trust) is a verbal noun meaning something for which one is responsible. ‘His’ refers to the one who owes the debt [and left the pledge].

**and be fearful of Allah his Lord.**

He must not conceal anything that rightfully belongs to someone else.
Do not conceal testimony.

This forbids a witness from causing harm by hiding the fact of his testimony. The prohibition is mandatory and a threat accompanies it. The prohibition applies to when the witness fears that the right will be lost. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘A witness must testify when he is called upon to testify and report when he is asked to report.’ If there are several witnesses, it is a fārd kifāyah that they testify. When two have satisfied it, the obligation falls from the rest of them. If they do not do it, then it is incumbent on the remaining witness to go and do it so that there is affirmation. This is known when he is called upon to do it when he says, ‘Ensure my right by conveying the testimony you have.’ Then it becomes incumbent on him to do it.

If someone does conceal it, his heart commits a crime.

The heart is mentioned since concealment is an action and the heart is that piece of flesh by whose soundness the entire body is sound, as the Prophet ﷺ said. One part of it designates the whole of it. This was mentioned at the beginning of the sūrah. At-Ṭabarī said, ‘When a witness resolves not to convey his testimony and abandons performing it with the tongue, then he commits a sin in both ways.’ ‘His heart commits a crime’ is metaphorical. It stresses the real nature of what occurs and indicates the threat. That is part of eloquence and fine use of language in expressing the meaning. It is said that the crime of the heart is the reason for its transformation. When Allah transforms the heart, He makes the person a hypocrite and seals it. We seek refuge from Allah. This was already mentioned at the beginning of the sūrah.

Know that Allah’s commands concerning testimony and writing are intended to rectify discord and to avoid disputes which might lead to conflict, thereby preventing Shayṭān from enticing people to deny others their rights and exceed what the Sharī‘ah has defined for them, or not to confine themselves to the amount they are owed. It is for this reason that the Sharī‘ah forbids unknown sales which would
lead to disagreement and conflict and bring about mutual rancour and separation. One aspect of this is Allah’s prohibition of gambling and games of chance and drinking wine. Allah says: ‘Shayṭān wants to stir up enmity and hatred between you by means of wine and gambling.’ (5:91) Whoever is guided by Allah in respect of His commands and restraints, obtains the good of this world and the dīn. Allah Almighty says: ‘But if they had done what they were urged to do, it would have been better for them.’ (4:66)

Al-Bukhārī related from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet said, ‘If someone takes something from another intending to repay it, Allah will repay it for him, and if someone takes it intending to destroy it, Allah will destroy him.’ An-Nasā’ī reported that Maymūnah, the wife of the Prophet, used to have a lot of debts, and she was asked, ‘Umm al-Mu’minin, do you incur debts when you have nothing with which to pay them?’ She replied, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah say, “If anyone takes on a debt intending to pay it, Allah will help him with it.”’

Aṭ-Tahāwī, Abū Ja’far aṭ-Ṭabarī and al-Ḥārith ibn Abī Usāmah in his Musnad reported from ‘Uqbah ibn ‘Āmir that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Do not frighten people when they are secure.’ They asked ‘Messenger of Allah, how can that happen?’ ‘By debts,’ he replied.

Al-Bukhārī reported from Anas that the Prophet said in a supplication, ‘O Allah, I seek refuge with You from worry, sorrow, incapacity, laziness, cowardice, miserliness, heavy debts and the oppression of men.’ Scholars say that heavy debts are those which the debtor can find no way to pay. The word for ‘heavy’ is taken from the Arabic expressions ‘ḥiml muḍli’, an overburdening load, and ‘dābah muḍli’, an animal unable to bear its load. The author of al-‘Ayn said that.

The Prophet said, ‘A debt is the disgrace of the dīn.’ It is related that he said, ‘Debts are worry by night and abasement by day.’ Our
scholars say that debts are disgrace and abasement because they preoccupy the heart and mind, and one is always concerned about paying them. There is abasement to the creditor when he meets him and he continues to endure the burden of the creditor’s favour to him by delaying paying it to the end of its term. He may promise to pay and then put it off, or the creditor may abuse him because of it and he will lie, or he may give him an oath and then break it, and other such things. This is why the Prophet sought refuge from it. It was said to the Messenger of Allah, ‘How often you seek refuge from debts!’ He said, ‘When a man is in debt, he will speak and lie and promise and break his promise.’ Furthermore, sometimes someone may die without paying the debt and then be left in pledge for it, for the Prophet said, ‘The soul of the believer is pledged in the grave for his debts until they are paid.’ All of these things are disgrace in the dīn which removes its beauty and perfection. Allah knows best.

When Allah commands people to write down debts, to bear witness and to take pledges, that constitutes a clear text about preserving property and making it grow, refuting ignorant false Sufis and the riffraff who follow them who do not agree with that. They abandon all their wealth and do not leave enough for themselves and their families. Then, when they and their families are in need, they either turn to the generosity of brothers or friends, or take from the wealthy and unjust. This is blameworthy and forbidden. Abu-l-Faraj al-Jawzī said, ‘I do not wonder at those who practise asceticism when they are lacking in knowledge. I do wonder at how people who have knowledge and intellect encourage this and command it when it is contrary to the Sharī’ah and logic.’ Al-Muḥāsibī talked a lot about this and Abū Ḥāmid al-Ghazālī praised it as well. I think al-Muḥāsibī has more of an excuse than Abū Ḥāmid because Abū Ḥāmid had more fiqh, although his entry into taṣawwuf obliged him to support what he had entered into.
Al-Muḥāsibī said, ‘I heard that when ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn ‘Awf died, some of the Companions of Messenger of Allah ❁ said, “We fear for ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān because of what he left.” Ka‘b said, “Glory be to Allah! What do you fear for ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān? He earned well, spent well and left well.” That reached Abū Dharr who went out angrily after Ka‘b. He picked up the jawbone of a camel and then went to look for Ka‘b. Ka‘b was told, “Abū Dharr is looking for you.” So he ran to ‘Uthmān asking him for his help and telling him the story. Abū Dharr tracked down Ka‘b until he came to ‘Uthmān’s house. When he entered, Ka‘b got up and sat down behind ‘Uthmān, fleeing from Abū Dharr. Abū Dharr said to him, “Son of a Jewish woman, do you claim that there is no harm in what ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān left! The Messenger of Allah ❁ went out one day and said, ‘The ones with the most will be the ones with the least on the Day of Rising except for those who say such-and-such.’”’ Al-Muḥāsibī said, ‘In spite of his excellence, ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān will still be made to stand in the courtyard on the Day of Rising because of his lawful earnings which he used for the sake of abstinence and acts of charity, and so he will be prevented from hastening to the Garden with the poor and will be have to crawl in behind them.’

Al-Ghazālī mentioned this and supported it by the hadith of Tha‘labah who was given money and then refused to pay zakāt. He said, ‘If anyone examines the states of the Prophets and awliyā’ and their statements, he will not doubt that the absence of wealth is better than its existence, even if it is directed towards good ends, since the least of the consequences of wealth is that attending to it distracts the himmah (aspiration) from remembrance of Allah. So a murīd must leave his property so that he only has what he needs and not have a dirham to which his heart turns so that he is veiled from Allah.’

Al-Jawzī said, ‘All of this is contrary to the Sharī‘ah and intelligence, and is poor understanding of what is desired in respect of property.
Allah honoured and esteemed it and commanded it to be preserved since He made it the support of the human being and that which is the support of the noble human being is also noble. The Almighty says: “Do not hand over to the simple-minded any property of theirs for which Allah has made you responsible.” (4:5). He forbade property to be surrendered to someone without good sense and says: “If you perceive that they have sound judgment hand over their property to them.” (4:6) The Prophet forbade squandering property and told Sa‘d, “It is better to leave rich heirs than to leave them poor, begging from other people,” and he said, “Nothing helped me like the property of Abū Bakr.” He told ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ, “The best of property is the good property of a righteous man.” He prayed for Anas, “O Allah, make his property and children plentiful and bless him in it.” Ka‘b said, “One thing I repent of is that I divested myself of my property as sadaqah for Allah and His Messenger.” He said, “Keep part of your property for yourself. That will be better for you.”

Al-Jawzī continued, “These hadiths are found in the sound collections and are contrary to what false Sufis believe about a lot of wealth being a veil and a punishment and that having it is contrary to reliance on Allah. It cannot be denied that its temptation is something to be feared, that a lot of people avoid it out of fear of that, that amassing it properly rarely occurs, that the safety of the heart from temptation by it is rare and that it is unusual for a heart to be busy with it while still remembering the Next World. This is why its temptation is feared. As for earning property, when someone confines himself to what will suffice him of what is lawful, that is necessary. As for someone who intends to amass it and have a lot of the lawful, one looks to his intention. If his intention is to boast, that is evil. If he intends a modest income for himself and his family, to save up for changes of fortune and bad times, and intends to expand things for his brothers, enrich the poor and do righteous actions, his intention will be rewarded, and his amassing it with this intention is
better than many acts of obedience.

The intention of many of the Companions was to amass sound wealth for good ends and so they encouraged it and asked for more. When the Prophet ﷺ gave az-Zubayr a land grant, he summoned his horse and ran his horse until it stopped, and then threw his whip and he said, "Give him up to where his whip lands." Sa`īd ibn ‘Ubādah said in his supplication, “O Allah, give me expansion.” The brothers of Yūsuf said, “We can get an extra load.” (12:65) Shu‘ayb said to Mūsā, “If you complete ten, then that is up to you.” (28:27) When Ayyūb was healed and golden locusts began to fall on him, he began to collect them in his garment and collected a lot, he was asked, “Are you not full?” He said, “Lord, does a poor man have his fill of Your bounty?”

This is something embedded in human nature. The words of al-Muḥāsibī are erroneous and indicate lack of knowledge. What he mentioned about Ka‘b and Abū Dharr is impossible and forged by the ignorant. Its lack of soundness is concealed because of their attachment to the People [i.e. Sufis]. Its isnād is not firm. The truth of the matter is that Abū Dharr died in 25 AH and ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn ‘Awf died in 32 AH so he lived seven years after Abū Dharr. The actual words of the account indicate that it is forged. How could the Companions say, “We fear for ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān?” Is there not a consensus that it is permitted to amass lawful wealth, so what is the sense of fearing for someone because of something which is allowed? Would the Shari‘ah permit something and then punish someone for it? This is poor understanding.

How would Abū Dharr disapprove of ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān when ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān was far more excellent than him? Is its attribution to ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān alone evidence that he did not follow the path of the Companions? Ṭalḥah left three thousand measures and every measure weighed three hundredweight. Az-Zubayr left two hundred and fifty thousand. Ibn Mas‘ūd left seventy thousand. Many of the
Companions amassed wealth and left it and no one objected to that. As for the words “‘Abd ar-Raḥmān will crawl on the Day of Rising,” this is proof that the transmitter does not know the hadith. I seek refuge with Allah from ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān crawling on the Day of Rising! Do you think that one of the ten promised the Garden, one of the people present at the Battle of Badr, and one of the people of the Shūrā, would have to crawl?

‘Then it was ‘Umārah ibn Zādhān who related the hadith and al-Bukhārī said that his hadiths are shaky. Aḥmad said that he reported many denounced hadiths from Anas. Abū Ḥātim ar-Rāzī said that he is not authoritative. Ad-Dāraquṭnī said that he is weak.

‘The statement, “It is better to abandon lawful wealth than to amass it” is not true. When the intention is sound, then amassing it is better without any disagreement among the scholars. Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab said, “There is no good in one who does not seek wealth with which to pay his debts and protect his reputation. If he dies, he leaves inheritance for those after him.” He himself left four hundred dinars. Sufyān left two hundred. He used to say, “Wealth in this time is an armour.” The Salaf continued to praise wealth and collect it for recommended things and to help the poor. Some people shun it since they prefer to devote themselves to worship. They have strong aspiration and are content with only a little. If someone says this, then this small amount is better for him than approaching the business, but he is close to the level of sin.’

Part of what indicates that preserving property and caring for it is correct is that one can fight for it and over it. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘If someone is killed in defence of his property, he is a martyr.’ This will be mentioned further in Sūrat al-Māʿidah, Allah willing.
284 Everything in the heavens and everything in the earth belongs to Allah. Whether you divulge what is in yourselves or keep it hidden, Allah will still call you to account for it. He forgives whomever He wills and He punishes whomever He wills. Allah has power over all things.

Whether you divulge what is in yourselves or keep it hidden, Allah will still call you to account for it.

People disagree about this statement and there are five different positions about it:

– One group say that it is abrogated. Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn Mas‘ūd, ‘Ā’ishah, Abū Hurayrah, ash-Sha‘bī, ‘Aṭā’, Muḥammad ibn Sīrīn, Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b, Mūsā ibn ‘Ubaydah and a group of Companions and Tābi‘ūn said that. This degree of accountability remained until Allah revealed: ‘Allah does not impose on any self any more than it can bear.’ (2:286) We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘When the āyah was revealed: “Whether you divulge what is in yourselves or keep it hidden, Allah will still call you to account for it,” something of [fear of that] entered their hearts that had never entered them before. The Prophet ☦ said, “Say: ‘We hear and obey and submit.’” So Allah cast faith into their hearts and then Allah Almighty revealed: “Allah does not impose on any self any more than it can bear. For it, is what it has earned, and against it is what it has merited. Our Lord, do not take us to task if we forget or make a mistake!” and He said, “I have done it.” “Our Lord, do not place on us a load like the one You place on those before us!” and He said, “I have done it.” “Our Lord, do not place on us a load we have not the strength to bear! And pardon us, and forgive us and have mercy on us. You are our Master, so help us against the people of the believers.” (2:286) He said, “I have done it.”’ One variant has: ‘When they did that, Allah abrogated it for them and then revealed: “Allah does not impose on any self any more than it can bear.”’

– Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Ikrimah, ash-Sha‘bī and Mujāhid said that it is an
āyah of judgment specifically about the testimony referred to earlier, which it is forbidden to conceal and for which the person will be called to account. Allah informs us in this āyah that a person will be called to account for what he conceals inside himself.

– The āyah is about doubt and certainty which comes to the heart. Mujāhid said that.

– It is a general ruling and not abrogated. Allah will call His creatures to account for their actions and for things they did not do, which were settled in themselves and which they concealed, desired and intended. He will forgive the believers and punish the people of disbelief and hypocrisy for that. Aṭ-Tabarī mentioned this position, and related something similar from Ibn ‘Abbās. It is related from ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭalḥah that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘It was not abrogated. But when Allah gathers the creatures, He will say, “I will tell you what you concealed in yourselves.” He will tell the believers and then forgive them. As for the people of doubt and uncertainty, He will tell them of the denial they concealed. That is what He means when He says: “He forgives whomever He wills and He punishes whomever He wills,” and the meaning of His words: “He will take you to task for the intention your hearts have made” (2:225) referring to doubt and hypocrisy.’

Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘On the Day of Rising Allah will tell each person what he was concealing so that he knows that he does not have to fear on account of it.’ In a report we read, ‘Allah Almighty will say on the Day of Rising: “This is the Day on which secrets are revealed and consciences probed. My scribes only record your actions which appear on your limbs and tongues. I know what they do not know and know what they do not report or write down. I will inform you of that and reckon you for it and then I will forgive whoever I wish and punish whomever I wish.”’ So He will forgive the believers and punish the unbelievers.’

This is the soundest position on this matter. It is indicated by the hadith in which the Prophet 🕙 said, ‘Allah will pardon My
Community for what their selves suggest to them when they do not articulate that nor act on it.’ We say that this refers to the judgments of this world, like divorce, emancipation and sales whose rulings are not binding as long as they are not spoken. That which it mentioned in the āyah is what is between the servant and Allah in the Next World.

- Al-Hasan said that the āyah is one whose ruling is not abrogated. Aṭ-Ṭabarī mentioned that other scholars said things similar to what has been quoted from Ibn ‘Abbās, although they added that the punishment, repaying people for what occurs within their selves and is accompanied by thought, is manifested through the misfortunes that befall them in this world, its pains and all the things which are disliked in it. Something similar to this is reported in a chain of transmission from ‘Ā’ishah. That is the fifth view. Aṭ-Ṭabarī preferred that it is a judgment whose ruling is not abrogated. Ibn ‘Aṭā’ said, ‘This [that it is not abrogated] is correct. Allah’s words: “Whether you divulge what is in yourselves or keep it hidden...” refer to things which are within your capacity and ability accompanied by conviction and thought, since the words of the āyah include thoughts which worried the Companions and the Prophet ☪ and so Allah explained to them what He meant by revealing another āyah and stipulated that the ruling is that a person is only obliged to do what he is capable of doing. It is not possible to repel thoughts. They predominate and they are not part of what people are held accountable for. This explanation gave them relief and alleviated their anxiety.’

The rest of the āyah is one of judgment and is not abrogated. One thing which refutes the claim of abrogation is that the āyah is a report, not an order or instruction, and abrogation does not occur in respect of reports. If someone believes that there is abrogation, it can only be in the ruling which was connected to the Companions when they were alarmed by the āyah. That was that the Prophet ☪ said,
‘Say, “We hear and obey.”’ That conveys the command to be firm in this and cling to Allah and see the kindness of Allah in His forgiveness. If this is the case, the ruling can be abrogated and the āyah is similar to Allah’s words: ‘If there are twenty of you who are steadfast, they will overcome two hundred.’ (8:65) This is also in the form of a report, but the meaning demands: ‘Hold to this, be firm on it and steadfast accordingly.’ Then it was abrogated after that. As far as I know, the consensus of the people is that this āyah about jihād was abrogated by a hundred being required to be firm against two hundred. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that this āyah in al-Baqarah is the most similar thing to it. It is said that there is allusion and specification in the words and that the words imply: Allah will call you to account for that if He wishes. According to this, there is no abrogation.

An-Naḥḥās said, ‘Part of the best of what is said about this āyah, and most similar to the literal meaning, is what Ibn ‘Abbās said: it is universal and undefined. Then one adds the hadith of Ibn ‘Umar about intimate conversation which al-Bukhārī, Muslim and others transmitted. In Muslim’s variant, he said, “I heard the Messenger of Allah ❞ say, ‘On the Day of Rising, the believer will draw near to his Almighty Lord until He puts His veil over him and will have to admit to his wrong actions. He will say, ‘Do you admit to this?’ He will reply, ‘O Lord, I admit to them.’ Allah will say, ‘I concealed them for you in the world and today I forgive you for them.’ He will be given the page containing his good deeds. There will be an announcement about unbelievers and hypocrites in front of people: ‘These people lied about Allah.’”’

It is said that the āyah was revealed about those who took the unbelievers as friends rather than the believers. It means: ‘O believers, if you make known or conceal what is in yourselves about taking the unbelievers as protectors and friends, Allah will take you to account for it.’ Al-Wāqidī and Muqāṭil said that. For evidence of this, they recite Allah’s words in Āl ‘Imrân: ‘Say: “Whether you conceal
what is in your breasts or make it known [in taking the unbelievers as friends], Allah knows it.” (3:29) It is also indicated by the āyah before it: ‘The believers should not take unbelievers as friends rather than believers.’ (2:28)

This is unlikely because the context of the āyah does not demand it. It will be explained in Āl ‘Imrān, Allah willing. Sufyān ibn ‘Uyaynah said, ‘I heard that the Prophets used to bring this āyah to their people.’

**He forgives whomever He wills and He punishes whomever He wills.**

Ibn Kathīr, Nāfi’. Abū ‘Amr, Hamzah and al-Kisā‘ī recited in the apocopate form, yaghfir – yu‘adhdhib, while Ibn ‘Āmir and ‘Āṣim read it yaghfiru – yu‘adhdhibu. It is related that Ibn ‘Abbās, al-A‘raj, Abūl-Āfīyah, and ‘Āṣim al-Jahḍarī recited them as yaghfirā – yu‘adhdhibā, implying an elided ‘an’. The truth is that it is added to the meaning as is seen in 2:285. Adding to the word is better because of the resemblance.

An-Naḥḥās said that it is related from Ṭalḥah ibn Muṣarrif without the fā’ before ‘He forgives’ as an appositive. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that it is recited that way by al-Ju‘fī and Khallād. It is related that it is like that in the copy of the Qur’an of Ibn Mas‘ūd. Ibn Jinnī said that it is an appositive for ‘call you to account’ and it explains the calling to account. [ILLUSTRATIVE POEM OMITTED] An-Naḥḥās said that it is better than the jussive.
285 The Messenger believes in what has been sent down to him from his Lord, and so do the believers. Each one believes in Allah and His angels and His Books and His Messengers. We do not differentiate between any of His Messengers. They say, ‘We hear and we obey. Forgive us, our Lord! You are our journey’s end.’ 286 Allah does not impose on any self any more than it can bear. For it is what it has earned; against it, what it has merited. Our Lord, do not take us to task if we forget or make a mistake! Our Lord, do not place on us a load like the one You placed on those before us! Our Lord, do not place on us a load we have not the strength to bear! And pardon us; and forgive us; and have mercy on us. You are our Master, so help us against the people of the unbelievers.

The Messenger believes in what has been sent down to him from his Lord.

It is related from al-Hasan, Mujāhid and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk that this āyah is about the Night Journey (al-Miʿrāj). The same tafsīr is transmitted from Ibn ‘Abbās. Some of them say that the entire Qurʾan was brought down to Muḥammad by Jibrīl except for this one āyah. One of them said, ‘It is what he heard during the Miʿrāj.’ Others say that it was not about the Miʿrāj because the Night Journey occurred in Makkah while this entire sūrah is Madinan.

As for those who say that this āyah is about the Night Journey, they say, ‘When the Prophet ascended and reached a high place in the heavens, Jibrīl accompanied him until he reached the Lote Tree of the Furthest Limit. Then Jibrīl said to him, “I cannot go beyond this place. No one except you has been commanded to go beyond it.”’ So the Prophet went beyond the Lote Tree until he reached what Allah wished. Jibrīl had indicated that the Prophet should greet
his Lord and so he said. “Greetings are for Allah and prayers and good words.” Allah said, “Peace be upon you, O Prophet and the mercy of Allah and His blessings.” The Prophet ☪️ desired that his Community should have a share of the greeting and so he said. “Peace be upon us and on the righteous slaves of Allah.” Jibrīl and all the people of the heavens said, “I bear witness that there is no god except Allah alone without partner and I bear witness that Muḥammad is His slave and Messenger,” and then Allah Almighty said: “The Messenger believes…”, meaning that he is thankful and that the Messenger affirms “what has been sent down to him from his Lord.” The Prophet ☪️ wanted his Community to share in the honour and said, “And so do the believers. Each one believes in Allah and His angels and His Books and His Messengers. We do not differentiate between any of His Messengers.” This means that they say, ‘We believe in all the Messengers and do not disbelieve in any of them and do not differentiate between any of them as the Jews and Christians did.’

So his Lord said to him, ‘How do they accept the āyahs We have revealed?’ That is inherent in His words: ‘Whether you divulge what is in yourselves.’ The Messenger of Allah ☪️ said, ‘They say, “We hear and obey. Forgive us, our Lord! You are our journey’s end.”’ That means the source to which they will return. Then Allah said: ‘Allah does not impose on any self any more than it can bear,’ i.e. more than it is capable of. ‘For it, what it has earned’ of good and ‘against it what it has merited’ of evil. At that point Jibrīl said, ‘Ask and it will be given to you.’ So the Prophet ☪️ said, ‘Our Lord, do not take us to task if we forget’ and do something in ignorance ‘or make a mistake’ in what we do deliberately. It is said that we do things both forgetfully and in error. Jibrīl said, ‘If you are granted that, then error and forgetfulness will be removed from your community. Ask for something else. He said ☪️, ‘Our Lord, do not place on us a load like the one You placed on those before us!’ That was when good things were made unlawful for them because of their wrongdoing. When they sinned at night, they found it written on
their doors. Fifty prayers were imposed on them and then Allah lightened it for this community and reduced it after fifty prayers were imposed. Then he said ﷺ, ‘Our Lord, do not place on us a load we have not the strength to bear!’ He was saying, ‘Do not burden us with actions which we will not be able to do and so incur punishment.’ It is said that it means: ‘What is hard for us.’ That is because if they had been commanded to perform fifty prayers, they would have been able to do that, but it would have been very difficult for them and they would not have been able to persevere in it. ‘And pardon us’ and spare us from being transmogrified ‘and forgive us’ and do not let us be swallowed up by the earth ‘and have mercy on us’ and do not bombard us. ‘You are our Master’ and Protector and Preserver, ‘so help us against the people of the unbelievers.’ His supplication was answered.

In defining the extent of Allah’s help, the Prophet ﷺ reported, ‘I was helped by terror going before me for the distance of a month.’ It is said that when those going on a military expedition leave their houses with a sincere intention and beat the drums, terror and awe falls into the hearts of the unbelievers the distance of a month away, whether they know that they have set out or not. When the Prophet ﷺ returned from his Night Journey, Allah revealed these āyāhs so that he could inform his Community of these things.

There is another aspect to the interpretation of this āyah. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘In this sūrah, after Allah has mentioned the obligation of the prayer and zakāt and clarified the principles of hajj, the ruling of menstruation, divorce, īlā’, the stories of the Prophets and clarified the ruling on usury, He mentions His own worth by saying: “Everything in the heavens and everything in the earth belongs to Allah.” Then He mentions that all this is affirmed by the Prophet ﷺ and the believers: “The Messenger believes in what has been sent down to him from his Lord.” This means that the Messenger affirms all of these things which were mentioned as do all of the believers who affirm Allah, His angels, His Books and His Messengers.’
It is said that the reason for its revelation was the āyah before it. When the former āyah was revealed to the Prophet ﷺ, it was hard on the Companions of the Messenger of Allah, so they went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and then knelt and said, ‘Messenger of Allah, we have been given as obligations actions we can do: prayer, fasting, jihād and sadaqah, but in this āyah Allah has revealed something that it is impossible for us to do.’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Do you mean to say what the people of the two Books before you said: “We hear and we disobey”? Say: “We hear and we obey. Forgive us, our Lord! You are our journey’s end.”’ When the people recited it, their tongues were humbled and then Allah revealed this āyah: ‘The Messenger believes in what has been sent down to him from his Lord…’ When they said that, Allah abrogated the āyah and revealed: ‘Allah does not impose on any self any more than it can bear. For it, is what it has earned, and against it, is what it has merited. Our Lord, do not take us to task if we forget or make a mistake!’ He said, ‘Yes.’ ‘Our Lord, do not place on us a load like the one You placed on those before us!’ He said, ‘Yes.’ ‘Our Lord, do not place on us a load we have not the strength to bear!’ He said, ‘Yes.’ ‘And pardon us, and forgive us, and have mercy on us. You are our Master, so help us against the people of the unbelievers.’ He said, ‘Yes.’ Muslim transmitted this from Abū Hurayah.

Our scholars said that the fact that the first variant has ‘I have done it’ while this one has ‘Yes’ indicates the hadith was transmitted by its meaning. When the matter was confirmed by their saying ‘We hear and obey,’ Allah praised them in this āyah and removed from them the hardship they experienced about thoughts which occur to them. This favour is the fruit of obedience and devotion to Allah. What happened to the tribe of Israel was the opposite of that. They were censured and made to endure the hardships of abasement, wretchedness and exile because they said, ‘We hear and we disobey.’ Such is the result of disobedience and recalcitrance towards Allah. We seek refuge with Allah from His vengeance by His grace and
The Prophet was told, ‘The house of Thābit ibn Qays is adorned with lamps every night.’ He replied, ‘Perhaps he is reciting Sūrat al-Baqarah.’ Thābit was told about this and said, ‘I recite: “The Messenger believes...” from Sūrat al-Baqarah.’ It was revealed when the Companions of the Prophet were experiencing hardship because Allah threatened to call them to account for what their selves concealed. They complained about that to the Prophet and he said, ‘Perhaps you say, “We hear and we disobey” as the tribe of Israel said?’ They said, ‘We hear and we obey!’ and so Allah revealed this āyah to him. The Prophet said, ‘They should believe.’

‘Believe’ means to affirm, as has already been mentioned. That which was sent down is the Qur’ān. The reading of Ibn Mas‘ūd has the word ‘believe’ after ‘believers’: ‘and the believers believe...’ Nāfī, Ibn Kathīr, ‘Āṣim in the transmission of Abū Bakr and Ibn ‘Āmir recite ‘kutubihi’ (books) in the plural and recite ‘kitābihi’ in the singular in at-Taḥrīm. Here and in at-Taḥrīm Abū ‘Amr recites ‘kutubihi’ in the plural. Ḥamzah and al-Kisā’ī recite ‘kitābihi’ in the singular in both places. If it is in the plural, it means all Books. If it is in the singular, it is the verbal noun which includes all that is written which is sent down from Allah. If it is recited in the singular, it can mean all Books and kitāb is a generic noun. So both readings are the same. Allah says: ‘Then Allah sent out Prophets bringing good news and giving warning, and with them He sent down the Book (kitāb).’ (2:213)

Most recite ‘Messengers’ as ‘rusulihi’ as well as ‘rusulinā’, ‘rusulikum’ and ‘rusuluka’, except for Abū ‘Amr from whom ‘ruslihi’ is related. He relates ‘ruslinā’, ‘ruslikum’ but both ‘rusuluka’ and ‘rusluka’. Abū ‘Alī said that if someone recites ‘rusulikum’, it is the root of the word. If it is rصل, then it is as a lightening occurs in singular words like ‘unq and tumb, and then it is more proper in the plural which is heavier. Makkī said something to that effect.
Most people recite ‘differentiate’ with the nūn of the first person plural. It means: ‘They say, “We do not differentiate.”’ So ‘they say’ is elided. This usage is seen in other āyahs like 13:23 and 3:191. Saʿīd ibn Jubayr, Yahyā ibn Yaʿmur, Abū Zurʿah ibn Amr ibn Jarīr and Yaʿqūb recited it in the third person with yā’. This refers back to ‘each’ ( kull). Hārūn said that the mode ( ḥarf ) of Ibn Masʿūd has ‘yufarriquna’.

‘One’ ( aḥad ) is in the singular rather than the plural because ‘aḥad’ can be used of both the singular and the plural as we see elsewhere, as in 69:47. It is an adjective of one, while the plural is meant. The Prophet ꙥ said, ‘Booty was not made to anyone ( aḥad ),’ meaning to any of the rulers but you. Ruʿbah said:

The affairs of people become your dīn.

They do not fear anyone ( aḥad ) but you.

This āyah shows that the believers are not like the Jews and Christians who believe in some of the revelation and reject some of it.

They say, ‘We hear and we obey.’

This suggests some elision such as ‘We hear as those who accept hear.’ It is said that ‘hear’ means ‘accept’ as in the prayer when it is said, ‘Allah hears whoever praises Him,’ and so there is no elision. This entails praise of the speaker. Obedience is acceptance of the command.

Forgive us, our Lord!

The word ‘ghufrān’ ( forgive ) is a verbal noun and the object of it is implied, so what is literally ‘Your forgiveness’ means ‘grant us Your forgiveness,’ as az-Zajjāj said. Others say that it means, ‘We ask (or I ask) for Your forgiveness.’

You are our journey’s end.’

This is an affirmation of the Resurrection and the fact that we will stand before Allah. It is related that when this āyah was revealed,
Jibrīl told the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Allah has allowed praise of you and your community. Ask and you will be given,’ and the following āyah was what he asked.

**Allah does not impose on any self any more than it can bear.**

Imposition implies a command to do something which is difficult and entails hardship. Al-Jawharī related that. The word ‘wus’ (bear) denotes capacity and effort. This is a definite report and it is a declaration from Allah that, from the moment of the revelation of the āyah, He has not imposed on His slaves any kind of worship, demanded of the hearts or the limbs, which is not within the capacity of the one on whom it is imposed. Through this āyah, anxiety was removed from the Muslims with regard to the matter concerning their thoughts. There is something related from Abū Hurayrah which conveys the meaning of this āyah. He said, ‘I would not wish to be anyone whose mother bore him except for Ja‘far ibn Abī Ṭālib. I followed him one day when I was hungry. When he reached his house, all he found in it was a churn for butter in which only a few traces remained. He broke it up between us and we began licking what was on it. He said:

“Allah does not impose on any self more than it can bear,
and a hand can only be generous with what it has.”

People disagree about whether it is permissible for a ruling about worldly matters to be imposed on someone when it is not within his capacity to carry it out. They do, however, agree that there is no imposition in the dīn which is beyond a person’s capacity. This āyah informs us that such an imposition does not occur in the Sharī‘ah. Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Ash‘arī and a group of mutakallimūn say that it is logically permissible for someone to be charged with doing something he is not capable of doing, and that does not detract at all from the tenets of belief (‘aqīdah) in the Sharī‘ah. That sort of command can be meant to be a punishment for the person on
whom it is imposed, as when someone who made images is ordered
to create a barley-seed on the Day of Judgment. Those who say that
it is permitted disagree about whether it occurred in respect of the
Message of Muḥammad ☦ or not.

One group say that such an imposition occurred in the case of Abū Lahab, because he was obliged to believe in all of the Sharī‘ah and
yet part of the revelation was that he would never believe because
the judgment on him was that his hands would be ruined and he
would burn in the Fire. It was announced that he would not believe
and so he was charged with believing something which he could not
believe. Another group says that such an imposition does not occur
at all and report that there is a consensus on that. They say the
words: ‘He will bum in a Flaming Fire’ (111:3) mean ‘He will be
brought to it.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah related that.

The word ‘yukallifu’ (impose) is a transitive verb with two objects
one of which is elided so that ‘worship’ or ‘something’ is implied. By
Allah’s kindness and blessing to us, even though He imposed on us
what is hard and difficult – such as odds of one against ten and
hijrah, which entails leaving one’s homeland and separation from
family, homeland and traditions – Allah did not impose on us
burdensome hardships or painful matters, such as those He imposed
on those before us which involved killing themselves and cutting out
the patch soiled by urine on clothes and skins. Rather He made
things easy for us and reduced the burden and the chains which He
had placed on those before us. To Allah belongs praise, grace, favour
and blessing.

For it is what it has earned; against it, what it has merited.

The words refer to good actions and bad actions, according to as-
Suddī. The majority of scholars do not disagree with that. Ibn
‘Aṭiyyah stated that. It is similar to Allah’s words: ‘No bearer of a burden
can bear the burden of another’ (6:164) and ‘What each self earns is for itself
alone.’ (6:164) Thoughts and their like are not part of what a human
being earns. The expression for good actions is in the words ‘for it’ since a person rejoices in earning them and adds them to his property. Bad actions are ‘against it’ since they are weights and burdens and hard to bear, as one says, ‘I have (lit. for me) property,’ and ‘There is a debt against me.’ The root kasaba, which has the basic meaning of acquisition, is repeated and the meaning differs according to the form the word takes [kasabat = earned, iktasabat = merited]. Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘It is clear to me regarding this that good actions are part of what is acquired without being a burden since their acquirer is following the path of Allah’s command and His Sharī‘ah. Evil deeds are acquired since their acquirer burdens himself through them by rending the veil which Allah has forbidden. So the āyah utilises both usages.’

This āyah indicates that it is valid to call people’s actions ‘acquisitions’. People do not create them. If one were to say that a person created his actions, that is simply metaphorical. Al-Mahdawi and others said that it is said that this āyah means that no one is taken to task for the actions of another. Ibn ‘Atiyyah said, ‘This is sound in itself, but it is not an aspect of this āyah.’

At-Ṭabarī said that the āyah is evidence that someone who kills someone else by crushing, choking or drowning is personally responsible in respect of retaliation or blood money as opposed to someone whose blood money is the responsibility of their clan (‘āqilah). That differs from the literal words of the āyah. It indicates that the fact that there is no retaliation against a father does not demand that it is also cancelled for someone who is jointly responsible. It indicates the obligation of the ḥadd against the ‘āqilah when they enable someone who is insane. Qāḍī Abū Bakr ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Our scholars mentioned that this āyah is about retaliation being mandatory against the partner of a father, differing from Abū Ḥanīfah, and against someone who shares in accidental homicide, differing from ash-Shāfi‘ī and Abū Ḥanīfah, because each
of them has merited killing. They said that joint participation by someone on whom retaliation is not obliged is not an argument for averting what is averted by doubt.'

**Our Lord, do not take us to task if we forget or make a mistake!**

This āyah means: ‘Pardon our wrong actions if we forget and make a mistake or simply forget or simply make a mistake,’ as the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Error and forgetfulness have been removed from my Community and from what they are forced to do,’ i.e. its being a wrong action in those cases. There is no disagreement that the wrong action is cancelled out. There is disagreement about the rulings connected to that action. Does that cancellation of the action mean that the action has no legal consequences or does it still entail all its legal consequences? There is disagreement about that, and the sound position is that it varies according to the circumstances. It is agreed that some consequences are not cancelled, like penalties, blood money and obligatory prayers, and others are agreed to be cancelled, like retaliation and speaking words which can be considered to be disbelief. There is disagreement about a third category, like eating out of forgetfulness in Ramadan or breaking an oath out of forgetfulness.

**Our Lord, do not place on us a load we have not the strength to bear!**

This ‘load’ is a weighty burden. Mālik and ar-Rabi‘ said that the word ‘iṣr’ (load) denotes a difficult, onerous thing. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said that it refers to stringent commands and what was hard for the tribe of Israel regarding urine and the like. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘They endured hard things.’ This is similar to what the others said. An-Nābighah said:

You who protected the side of the mountain from the descent of their riders,

bearing the burden (iṣr) for them after they recognised it.

‘Aṭā’ said that it refers to being turned into pigs and monkeys. Ibn
Zayd also said that. He also said that it means wrong actions for which there is no repentance or expiation.

The word linguistically means ‘charge or undertaking’, as when Allah says: ‘Do you agree and undertake my charge (iṣrī) on that condition?’ (3:81) Another form of the word means constriction, wrong action and burdensomeness. The word iṣār denotes a short rope which is used to bind loads and the like. The verb means ‘to confine.’ According to al-Jawharī, place of confinement is called a maʿṣīr.

Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘It is possible to infer from the literal meaning of this āyah that it refers to the burden which opponents claim that every act of worship entails. That resembles the words of Allah: “He has not placed any constraint on you in the dīn,” (22:78) and the words of the Prophet ﷺ: “The dīn is ease, so make things easy. Do not make things difficult.”’ O Allah, be hard on those who are hard on the Community of Muḥammad ﷺ! Aṭ-Ṭabarī said something similar and said that it is used as an argument for lack of injury and constriction.

Qatādah said that Allah’s words: ‘Our Lord, do not place on us a load we have not the strength to bear,’ mean, ‘Do not make things hard for us as You made them hard for those before us.’ Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘Do not impose on us actions which we cannot do.’ Ibn Zayd said the same. Ibn Jurayj said, ‘Do not turn us into apes or pigs.’ Sallām ibn Shābūr said, “What we do not have the strength to bear” refers to lust.’ An-Naqqāsh related it from Mujāhid and ‘Aṭā’. It is related that Abu-d-Dardā’ used to say in his supplication, ‘I seek refuge with You from lust against which one cannot resist.’ As-Suddī said, ‘It is the strengthening of the shackles which were on the tribe of Israel.’

And pardon us; and forgive us; and have mercy on us. You are our Master, so help us against the people of the unbelievers.

‘And pardon us’ for our wrong actions. One pardons a wrong action by overlooking it and not punishing for it. ‘And forgive us’, meaning conceal our wrong actions. Ghafir is covering and concealing. ‘Have
mercy on us’ means ‘Bestow Your mercy on us.’ ‘You are our Master’ means ‘You are our Protector and Helper.’ This is instructing people on how best to make supplication.

It is related that when Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal finished reciting this sūrah, he said, ‘Āmīn.’ Ibn ‘Aṭīyyah said, ‘It is thought that he related that from the Prophet ﷺ. If that is the case, it is completion. If it is based on analogy with the Sūrah of Praise (the Fātiḥah) since that is a supplication and this is a supplication, that is good. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said, “I do not think anyone with intelligence, who has found Islam, will sleep until he has recited both of them.”’

Muslim related this idea from Abū Mas‘ūd al-Anṣārī who said that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘If someone recites these two āyahs at the end of al-Baqarah in the night, they will spare him,’ meaning from rising at night to pray.

It is also related from Ibn ‘Umar that he heard the Prophet ﷺ say, ‘Allah revealed to me two āyahs from the treasures of the Garden. He sealed Sūrat al-Baqarah with them. The All-Merciful wrote them with His hand a thousand years before He created creation. If someone reads them after ‘Ishā’ twice, they will spare him from rising at night to pray: “The Messenger believes…” to the end of the sūrah.’ It is said that they spare him the evil of Shayṭān so that he does not have any power over him.

Abū ‘Amr ad-Dānī related from Ḥudhayfah ibn al-Yamān that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Allah Almighty wrote a Book a thousand years before He created the heavens and the earth. He sent down from it these three āyahs which conclude al-Baqarah. If someone recites them in his house, Shayṭān will not approach his house for three nights.’ It is related that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘I was given these āyahs at the end of Sūrat al-Baqarah from the treasure under the Throne. No Prophet before me was given them.’ This is sound.
3. Sūrah Āl ʿImrān
The Family of ʿImrān 1 – 95

1 Alif Lām Mīm. 2. Allah, there is no god but Him, the Living, the Self-Sustaining.

There is a consensus that this sūrah is Madinan. An-Naqqāsh related that its name in the Torah was ‘Ṭaybah’ [‘Good’]. Al-Ḥasan, ‘Amr ibn ‘Ubayd, ‘Āṣim ibn Abī an-Nujūd, and Abū Ja‘far ar-Ru‘āsī recited it with a break between Mīm and Allāh and so one stops at Mīm, just as they also stop at the names of the numbers even if they are connected. Al-Akhfash Sa‘īd said that it is permitted to read it with a kasrah on the mīm since two silent letters meet, but az-Zajjāj says that this is a mistake and the Arabs do not do that because it is heavy. An-Naḥḥās says that the first reading is the common one and the early grammarians discussed it. Sībawayh believed that Mīm has a fatḥah because of he meeting of two silent letters. They preferred for it to have a fatḥah so that one does not join a kasrah, a yā’, and the kasrah before it.

Al-Kisā‘ī said when the letters of the alphabet meet, the connective alif is elided and takes on the vowel of the alif in the following word and so you say, ‘Alif Lām Mīma-llāh’, ‘Alif Lām Mīmu-dhkur’ and ‘Alif Lām Mīmi-qtarabat.’ Al-Farrā’ said that the root is ‘Alif Lām Mīm. Allāh’ as ar-Ru‘asī said. The vowel of the hamzah is put on the mīm. ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb recited ‘al-Ḥayyu-l-Qayyām’. Khārijah said that the copy of the Qur’an of ‘Abdullāh has ‘al-Ḥayyu-l-Qayyūm’.

What scholars said about the letters themselves at the beginning of the sūrahs was already discussed in al-Baqarah. As ‘Allah, there is no god but Him, the Living, the Self-Sustaining’ is an independent sentence, all the views about the letters are possible.
An-Nasā’ī related that ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb prayed the ‘Ishā’ prayer, beginning with Āl ‘Imrān and recited a hundred āyahs in the first rak‘ah and a hundred in the second. Our scholars say that one should not recite an entire sūrah in two rak‘ahs, but it is allowed if someone does so. In al-Majmū‘ah, Mālik said that there is no harm in it and it is not a significant matter. The sound position is that it is allowed. The Prophet π recited al-A‘rāf in Maghrib and divided it between the two rak‘ahs. An-Nasā’ī transmitted that. Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ḥaqq said that it is sound.

There are various reports about the excellence of this sūrah. One report states that it is protection against snakes and a treasure for the destitute, and that it will argue in the Next World on behalf of the one who recites it. If someone recites the end of it in the night, it will be recorded as if he has prayed the entire night. Other things are said as well. Abū Muḥammad ad-Dārimī mentioned in his Musnad from Abū ‘Ubayd al-Qāsim ibn Salām from ‘Ubaydullāh al-Ashja‘ī from Miṣ‘ar from Jābir, before what happened to him had happened, from ash-Sha‘bī that ‘Abdullāh said, ‘Āl ‘Imrān is an excellent treasure for someone destitute when he stands in prayer reciting it in the last part of the night.’ Muḥammad ibn Sa‘īd related from ‘Abd as-Salām from al-Jurayrī that Abu-s-Salīl said, ‘A man was the target of a blood vendetta and took refuge in the wadi of Majānannah, a wadi which no one went into without being bitten by a snake. There were two monks at the edge of the wadi. In the evening, one of them said to his companion, “By Allah, the man is destroyed!” So he began to recite Sūrah Āl ‘Imrān. They remarked: “He recites Sūrat Ṭaybah. Perhaps he will be saved.” He was safe in the morning.’

It is reported that Makḥūl said, ‘If someone recites Sūrah Āl ‘Imrān on Friday, the angels pray for him until nightfall.’ ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān said, ‘If anyone recites the end of Sūrah Āl ‘Imrān in the night, it will be written for him that he prayed for the whole night.’ Ibn
Lahī‘ah is in its path of transmission. Muslim transmitted from an-Nawwās ibn Sam‘ān al-Kilābī: ‘I heard the Prophet say, “The Qur’an will be brought on the Day of Rising along with the people who acted by it, preceded by Sūrat al-Baqarah and Āl ‘Imrān.” The Messenger of Allah made three metaphors for these surahs which I have not forgotten: they were like two dark clouds or like canopies with light in them or like two flocks of birds in rows, interceding for the one who recited them.’ It is also transmitted that Abū Umāmah said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah say, “Recite the Qur’an. It will come on the Day of Rising to intercede for people who recite it. Recite the two brilliant ones: al-Baqarah and Āl ‘Imrān. They will come on the Day of Rising like two clouds or two canopies, or like two flocks of birds in ranks, interceding for the one who recited them. Recite Sūrah al-Baqarah. Using it is a blessing and leaving it is regret, and the sorcerers cannot breach it.”’ Mu‘āwiyah said, ‘I heard that “baṭalah” are sorcerers.’

There are three statements about why al-Baqarah and Āl ‘Imrān are called ‘the two brilliant ones’ (zahrāwayn). The first is because they are two lights, derived from the essence of the brilliance of flowers. They guide the one who recites them by their brilliant lights, i.e. their meanings. The second is because their recitation will bring great light on the Day of Rising. The third is that they are called that because they are partners in containing the Greatest Name of Allah, as Abū Dāwūd and others mentioned from Asmā’ bint Yazīd, who reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘The Greatest Name of Allah is found in these two āyahs: “Your God is one God. There is no god but Him, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful,” (2:163) and that which is in Āl ‘Imrān is “Allah, there is no god but Him, the Living, the Self-Sustaining.”’ Ibn Mājah transmitted it.

Clouds (ghamām) are clouds which are piled up and give shade to one’s head. That shade is also called ẓullah. It means that the person who recites them is in the shade of the reward for them, in the same
way that it is said, ‘A man is in the shade of his ṣadaqah.’ ‘Interceding’ in the hadith means that Allah will create angels who will intercede for them to receive the reward for reciting them, as we find in the hadith: ‘If someone recites ‘Allah bears witness that there is no god but Him…’ (3:18), Allah will create seventy angels who will ask forgiveness for him until the Day of Rising.’ ‘With light in them’ [in the hadith] is sharq, which calls attention to the light because when he said ‘two dark clouds’, it might be imagined to refer to darkness. He negated that by mentioning the light in them. Their being ‘dark’ means that they are dense and so come between those under them and the heat of the sun and its intense rays. Allah knows best.

The reason for the revelation of the beginning of sūrah was the arrival of the delegation from Najrān according to Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq. The Christians of Najrān came to the Messenger of Allah in Madīna in a delegation of sixty riders, including fourteen of their nobles. Among the fourteen were three who were in charge of their affair: the ‘Āqib – the leader of the people and the one who decided their policy – whose name was ‘Abd al-Masīḥ; the Sayyid – the administrator of their affairs and the one who saw to their transport and arrangements – whose name is al-Ayham; and Abū Ḥārithah ibn ‘Alqamah of Banū Bakr ibn Wā’il – their bishop and scholar. They went to the Messenger of Allah after the ‘Aṣr prayer. They were wearing silken shawls, cloaks and mantles. The Companions said, ‘We have not seen any delegation with such beauty and majesty.’ The time of their prayer came and they stood in the mosque of the Prophet and prayed to the east. The Prophet said, ‘Let them be.’ They stayed for some days debating with the Messenger of Allah about ‘Īsā, claiming that he was the son of God and other atrocious, confused statements. The Messenger of Allah answered them with cutting arguments but they did not see. The first eighty āyahs or so of this sūrah were revealed about
them, up to the point when the Prophet invited them to perform the mutual curse. The full story can be found in Ibn Isḥāq and elsewhere.

3 He has sent down the Book to you with truth, confirming what was there before it. And He sent down the Torah and the Gospel, previously, as guidance for mankind, and He has sent down the Furqān. Those who reject Allah’s Signs will have a terrible punishment. Allah is Almighty, Exactor of Revenge.

The Book is the Qur’an. ‘Truth’ is said to mean speaking the truth or the overpowering argument. The Qur’an was sent down in instalments, bit by bit, which is why Allah uses the form ‘tanzīl’ (form II), denoting that it occurred time after time. The Torah and Gospel were sent down in one go which is why the form ‘anzala’ (form IV) of the verb is used. The bā’ that is connected to ‘truth’ is adverbial, modifying ‘Book’ and is connected to something elided, meaning ‘bringing the truth’. It is not connected to ‘send down’.

‘What was there before it’ means Revealed Books. Torah means ‘light’. It is derived from striking (warā or wariya) a flint-stone and its root is ‘tawraya’, on the measure of taf’alah or taf’ilah. Al-Khalīl said that its root is faw‘alah and the root is warayah and the first wāw has become a tā’. This happens in other Arabic words. It is also said that it is derived from tarwiyah, which is alluding to one thing and concealing something else. Most of the Torah consists of allusions and indications rather than explicit clarification, as an historical account. The majority take the first view, as the Almighty says: ‘We gave to Musā and Hārūn the Furqān and a shining Light and a Reminder for those who are godfearing.’ (21:48) He means the Torah.
The root of Injīl (Gospel) is the form ʾifīl derived from najl, the plural of which is anājīl. The root of the Torah is based on concealing (tawārī) and the Injīl is a source of knowledge and wisdom. The word nājilān is used for parents, since they are a person’s root. Najala is used for extracting something and so knowledge and wisdom can be extracted from the Injīl. Najl is used for a child and descendants since they are brought forth as is said:

To the clan whose ancestor did not bequeath blame
to their children. All are stations and they have progeny (najl).

Najl is also used for water which oozes out of the ground. It is used for swampland and it there is the opening (nijāl) from which water oozes. So it is called Injīl because by it Allah brings forth that which teaches the truth. Najlā’ also describes a wide opening in a spring and a spear thrust that makes a wide wound. It is said:

Often a blow with a sharp sword
Is between being open and wide (najlā’).

So the Injīl is called that because it is a source of expansion and light for them. Tanājala means fighting together and so the people fight about the Injīl from which it takes its name. Shamir related that one of them said that Injīl is a term describing every book full of comprehensive writing. It is said that the verb najala means to act and make. It is said that it is from a Syriac word, evangelion, as ath-Tha’labī said.

Al-Jawharī said, ‘The Injīl is the Scripture of Īsā. The word can be masculine or feminine. If it is feminine, it means ‘page’ and if it is masculine, it means the Book.’ Others have said that the Qur’an is also called an ‘Injīl’ as we find in the story of Mūsā who said, ‘O Lord, I see in the Tablets that there are people with “Gospels” (anājīl) in their hearts. Make them my community!’ Allah Almighty told him, ‘That is the community of Aḥmad.’ The word ‘Gospels’ (anājīl) therefore meant the Qur’an.
Al-Ḥasan recited ‘al-Anjīl’ but the rest have Injīl. There are two dialectical forms. It is possible that it is named that because of the manner in which the Arabs arabicise foreign nouns.

‘Previously’ means before the Qur’ān. Ibn Fūrak says that ‘guidance for mankind’ implies godfearing people as indicated by ‘guidance for the godfearing’ (2:3). So the general term is, in fact, specific. ‘Furqān’ here is the Qur’ān.

5 Allah – Him from Whom nothing is hidden, either on earth or in heaven.

This is a report about Allah’s knowledge of all things in detail, and examples of this are numerous in the Qur’ān. He knows what was, what is and will be, and what is not. How could ‘Īsā be divine or a son of God when many things were hidden from him?

6 It is He who forms you in the womb however He wills.

There is no god but Him, the Almighty, the All-Wise.

It is He who forms you in the womb however He wills.

Here Allah reports about the forming of the human being in the womb. The root of the word for womb (riḥm) is mercy (raḥmah) because it is part of mutual mercy between people. Form (ṣūrah) is derived from šāra, which is going to something to which one inclines. So a form inclines to a shape or likeness.

This āyah exalts Allah and contains a refutation of the Christians of Najrān and that ‘Īsā was one of those who create form. This cannot be denied by anyone with intelligence. The Almighty indicates the explanation of formation in the womb in Sūrat al-Ḥajj and Sūrat al-Muʾminūn. The Prophet ﷺ explained it in the hadith of Ibn Masʿūd.
as will be explained, Allah willing. It also refutes the scientists who claim that natural development is an autonomous process. This refutation of them was discussed previously in the commentary on the Āyah of Taḥwīd. In his Musnad, Muḥammad ibn Sanjar reports the following hadith: ‘Allah Almighty creates the bones and cartilage of the foetus from the sperm of the man and its fat and flesh and from the liquid of the woman.’ This is evidence that the child is a combination of the sperm of the man and the liquid of the mother, as we read explicitly stated in the following āyah: ‘O mankind, We created you from a male and female.’ (49:13)

We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim from Thawbān that a Jew came to the Prophet and said, ‘I came to ask you about something which none of the people of the earth know except a Prophet or a couple of men.’ He asked, ‘Will it help you if I tell you?’ He answered, ‘I will listen with my ears.’ He asked him about the genesis of children and the Prophet said, ‘The emission of the man is white and that of the woman is yellow. When they combine and the sperm of the man dominates that of the woman, it is a male by Allah’s permission, and if the liquid of the woman dominates that of the man it is female with Allah’s permission.’ More of this will be dealt with in Sūrat ash-Shurā, Allah willing.

‘However He wills’ means the child may be beautiful or ugly, black or white, tall or short, happy or wretched, sound or defective, and so forth, to the point of wretchedness and happiness. It is reported that reciters gathered around Ibrāhīm ibn Adham to listen to the hadiths which he had. He told them, ‘I am distracted from you by four things and so I am not free to transmit hadiths.’ He was asked, ‘What are they?’ He answered, ‘One is that I reflect on the day of the covenant when Allah said, “Those are in the Garden and I do not care, and those are in the Fire and I do not care.”’ I do not know which of the two groups I will be among on that day. The second is that when I was formed in the womb, the angel entrusted with the
wombs said, “O Lord, wretched or happy?” and I do not know what the answer that was given. The third is that when the Angel of Death takes my soul, he will ask, “O Lord, with disbelief or faith?” and I do not know what the answer will be. The fourth is that Allah says: “Keep yourselves apart today, you evildoers.” (36:59) and I do not know in which of the two groups I will be in.’

There is no god but Him, the Almighty, the All-Wise.

There is no creator or fashioner but Him. That indicates His Oneness; so how can ‘Īsā be a creator when he is created? ‘The Almighty’ is the One who cannot be overcome and ‘the All-Wise’ possesses wisdom or is perfect in what He does. This applies to formation.

7 It is He who sent down the Book to you from Him: āyahs containing clear judgments – they are the core of the Book – and others which are open to interpretation. Those with deviation in their hearts follow what is open to interpretation in it, desiring conflict, seeking its inner meaning. No one knows its inner meaning but Allah. Those firmly rooted in knowledge say, ‘We believe in it. All of it is from our Lord.’ But only people of intelligence pay heed.

It is He who sent down the Book to you from Him:

Muslim transmitted that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘The Prophet recited, “It is He who sent down the Book to you from Him... (to the end of the āyah).”’ Then the Messenger of Allah said, “When you see those who follow what is open to interpretation in it, they are the ones whom Allah has named, so beware of them.”’ Abū Ghālib said, ‘I was
walking with Abū Umāmah while he was riding his donkey. When we reached the road to the mosque of Damascus, there were some heads set up. He asked, “Whose heads are these?” The reply was, “Those are the leaders of the Khārijītes who were brought from Iraq.” Abū Umāmah said, “The dogs of the Fire! The dogs of the Fire! The worst to be killed under heaven! Bliss to those who killed them and those they killed!” He repeated that three times and then wept. I asked, “Why are you weeping, Abū Umāmah?” He replied, “Out of compassion for them. They were among the people of Islam and then they left it.” Then he recited, “Do not be like those who split up and differed after the Clear Signs came to them.” (3:105) I asked, “Abū Umāmah, are they them?” “Yes,” he replied. I asked, “Is that something you say from your opinion or something you heard from the Messenger of Allah?” He replied, “Then I would indeed be bold! I would indeed be bold! Rather I heard it from the Messenger of Allah more than once, twice, three times, four times, five times, six times, or seven times.” He put his fingers in his ears. “Otherwise we are deaf!” He said that three times and then he said, “I heard the Messenger of Allah say, ‘The tribe of Israel split up into seventy-one sects, one of which will be in the Garden and the rest in the Fire, and this Community will have one more than them. One will be in the Garden and the rest in the Fire.’”

āyahs containing clear judgments – they are the core of the Book – and others which are open to interpretation.

Scholars disagree about the muḥkamāt and the mutashābihāt verses. There are numerous positions regarding this point. The position taken by Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh and followed by ash-Sha‘bī, Sufyān ath-Thawrī and others is that the muḥkamāt are those āyahs of the Qur’an whose interpretation is known and whose meaning and explanation is understood, while there is no way to the knowledge of the mutashābihāt verses and knowledge of them is the sole prerogative of
Allah rather than His creation. That is things like the time of the Final Hour, the emergence of Ya‘jūj and Ma‘jūj, the Dajjāl and ‘Īsā and the letters at the beginnings of the sūrahs.

This is the best that I have heard about the mutashābihāt. It was already mentioned at the beginning of Sūrat al-Baqarah that ar-Rabī‘ ibn Khaytham said, ‘Allah Almighty revealed this Qur’an and He kept the knowledge of whatever He wishes for Himself…’ Abū ‘Uthmān said that muḥkam is the Fātihah of the Book and no prayer is allowed without it. Muḥammad ibn al-Faḍl said that it is Sūrat al-Ikhlāṣ because there is only tawḥīd in it.

It is said that the whole Qur’an is muḥkam since Allah says: ‘A Book whose verses are perfectly constructed’ (11:1) and it is said that it is all mutashābih since He says: ‘a mutashābih Book.’ (39:23) This statement has nothing to do with the meaning of this āyah. The first āyah refers to the construction and order and that it is truly from Allah. The meaning of the second is that its parts resemble one another and confirm one another. That is not what is meant here. In this āyah the word mutashābih means containing probability and that whose meaning is ambivalent, as when the Jews said, ‘Cows are all much the same for us.’ (2:70) It is possible that it means different species of cows.

What is meant by muḥkam is the opposite of this. It is that in which there is no ambiguity and that which can only have one meaning. It is also said that the mutashābih can have several meanings. When the possibilities are restricted to one meaning and the rest are invalid, then it is muḥkam. The muḥkam is always a fundamental from which there are branches, and the mutashābih is a branch. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The muḥkamat are exemplified in the words of Allah in Sūrat al-An‘ām: “Say: ‘Come and I will recite to you what your Lord has made unlawful for you’…” (6:151-153) and in Al-Isrā’: “Your Lord has decreed: that you should worship none but Him, and that you should show kindness to your parents…” (17:23)’ Ibn ‘Atīyyah remarked, ‘This is what I consider to be examples of the muḥkamat.’
Ibn ‘Abbās also said, ‘The muḥkamāt verses are those which abrogate, state the ḥarām, the obligations, and what is believed and what is acted upon. The mutashābihāt are those which are abrogated and which are advanced and deferred, examples, oaths, and what is believed but not acted upon.’ Ibn Mas‘ūd and others said that the muḥkamāt are abrogating and mutashābihāt are abrogated. That was stated by Qatādah, ar-Rabī‘ and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk. Muḥammad ibn Ja‘far ibn az-Zubayr said, ‘The muḥkamāt are those which contain the decisive argument of the Lord, the protection of His slaves, and refute opponents and falsehood. They cannot be altered nor twisted from their place. The mutashābihāt can be diverted, twisted and interpreted. Allah tests the slaves through them.’ Mujāhid and Ibn Ishāq said that. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is the best statement about this āyah.’

An-Naḥḥās said, ‘The best that is said about this is that the muḥkamāt are self-evident and do not require reference to anything else, such as: “And no one is comparable to Him” (112:4) and “But I am Ever-Forgiving to anyone who turns in repentance.” (20:82) The mutashābihāt are āyahs like: “Truly Allah forgives all wrong actions” (39:53), which refers to His words: “I am Ever-Forgiving to anyone who turns in repentance” (20:82) and “Allah does not forgive partners being attributed to Him.” (4:48).’ What an-Naḥḥās said clarifies what Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah preferred.

Linguistically, muḥkam is a passive participle from aḥkama. Iḥkām is exactitude. There is no doubt about that whose meaning is clear and in which there is no uncertainty or vacillation. That is due to the clarity of its individual words and perfect structure which otherwise might result in ambiguity. Allah knows best.

Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘There are various aspects to the mutashābih. That to which a ruling is connected and on which scholars disagree is which āyah abrogates the other. An example of this is found in the words of ‘Alī and Ibn ‘Abbās about a pregnant
woman whose husband dies: they said that she observes the shorter of the two terms. ‘Umar, Zayd ibn Thābit, Ibn Mas‘ūd and others said that it ends when she gives birth. They said, “The Lesser Sūrah of Women (65:4) abrogated the period of four months and ten days.” Ibn ‘Abbās and ‘Ali said that it did not. That was like their disagreement about a bequest to an heir and whether or not it was abrogated. That is when there is a conflict between two āyahs about which of them is advanced when abrogation is not known and its preconditions are not met. An example of that is: “Apart from that He has made all other women lawful for you.” (4:24) That would include combining it with slaves, while the words of Allah: “Not marrying two sisters at the same time except for what took place in the past” (4:23) forbid it. The same principle applies when there is an apparent conflict between hadiths or analogies. That is considered to be mutashābih. Not part of mutashābih, however, is reciting an āyah with two readings. Reciting both is like acting by all that they entail as in 5:6.’

We find in al-Bukhārī that Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said, ‘A man said to Ibn ‘Abbās, “I find things in the Qur’an which seem contradictory to me.” He asked, “What are they?” He said: “‘That Day there will be no family ties between them and they will not be able to question one another’ (23:101) and ‘They will confront each other, questioning one another.’ (37:27) ‘They will not be able to hide a single circumstance from Allah’ (4:42) and ‘They say, “By Allah, our Lord, We were not idolaters.”’ (6:23) and in this āyah they are hiding something. In an-Nāzi‘āt: ‘He built heaven … After that He smoothed out the earth.’ (79: 27-30). So Allah mentions the creation of the heaven before the earth. Then He says, ‘Do you reject Him who created the earth in two days … Then He turned to heaven.’ (41:9-11) Here He mentions the creation of the earth before heaven. And He says: ‘Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful’ (4:100), ‘Allah is Almighty, All-Wise’ (4:158) and ‘Allah is All-Hearing, All-Seeing.’ (4:134) and He uses the past tense.”

‘Ibn ‘Abbās replied, “No family ties between them’ refers to the first
blast. Then there will be the second blast and all those who are in the heavens and the earth will swoon except for whomever Allah wishes. There will be no ties between them then and they will not to able to question one another. Then there will be another blast and they will begin to question one another. As for the words: ‘We were not idolaters’ and ‘They will not be able to hide a single circumstance from Allah,’ Allah will forgive the people of sincerity for their wrong actions and the idolaters will say, ‘Let us say, “We were not idolaters.”’ So Allah will seal their mouths and their limbs will say what they did. Then it will be known that not a single circumstance will be hidden from Allah. Then those who rejected will wish that they had been Muslims. Allah created the earth in two days and then turned to heaven and arranged it into seven heavens in two days. Then He smoothed out the earth and brought forth water and plants from it and created in it mountains, trees and hills and what is between them in another two days. That is His words, ‘After that He smoothed out the earth.’ So He created the earth and what is in it in four days and the heaven in two days. His words: ‘Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful’ mean Himself. He was, and continues to be, like that. Allah did not intend anything except that He did exactly what He desired. Woe to you! The Qur’an is not contradictory! It is all from Allah.”

Those with deviation in their hearts

In the phrase ‘those with deviation in their hearts’ the word zaygh means inclination, as when the sun inclines or the eyes incline. It is used for when someone deviates by abandoning the goal they were aiming for, as when Allah says: ‘So when they deviated, Allah made their hearts deviate (azāgha).’ (61:5) This ãyah embraces every group: unbelievers, zindîqs, the ignorant and those involved in innovation. At the time it was revealed, it was specifically referring to the Christians of Najrân. Qatādah said about this, ‘If this is not the Ḥarūriyyah and other Khārijites, I do not know who it is.’ This interpretation is reported
from Abū Umāmah which is ُmarfuʿ: It is enough for you.

follow what is open to interpretation in it, desiring conflict, seeking
its inner meaning.

Our shaykh, Abu-l-ʿAbbās, said, ‘Those who follow the mutashābihāt
follow them and collect them, seeking to create doubt about the
Qur’an and to misguide the common people, as was done by the
zindīqs and Qarmatians who attacked the Qur’an, or seeking to
believe in the literal sense of the mutashābihāt, as was done by the
anthropomorphists who took literally those words in the Qur’an and
Sunnah that are apparently anthropomorphist, to such an extent
that they actually believed that the Creator had a physical body and
form, a face, eye, hand, side, foot and finger. Allah is exalted above
any such ascription! Or they follow it and begin to interpret it and
explain its meanings, or do what Ṣabīgh did when he asked ʿUmar a
lot of questions. So there are four categories of people listed here.

There is no doubt about the disbelief of the first group, and Allah
judged that they should be killed without being asked to repent.

The sound position about the second group is that they are
unbelievers since there is no difference between them and those who
worship idols and forms. They are asked to repent. If they do not
repent, they are killed as is done with apostates.

In the case of the third group, there is disagreement about the
permissibility of doing that in accordance with the disagreement
about the permissibility of interpreting such āyahs. It is known that
the position of the early generations was not to undertake
interpretation (taʿwīl) while at the same time they absolutely stated
that the literal meaning was impossible. They said, ‘Leave it as it has
come.’ Some believed that interpretation was possible and could be
applied to a sound meaning linguistically without making that
definitive in any way.

The judgment regarding the fourth group is to strongly discipline
them, as was done by ʿUmar to Ṣabīgh. Abū Bakr al-Anbārī said,
‘The imāms of the early generations used to punish those who asked about the explanation of the obscure letters in the Qur’ān because, if the one who asked meant by his question to perpetuate innovation and cause sedition, then he deserves reproach and a strong punishment. If it this was not his aim, he merits rebuke for committing a wrong action since at the time it provided atheistic hypocrites with a means to achieve their desire to weaken the Muslims through doubt and misguidance by diverting the Qur’ān from the paths of revelation and true interpretation.’

Referring to that is what Qāḍī Ismā‘īl ibn Iṣḥāq reported from Sulaymān ibn Ḥarb ibn Zayd from Yazīd ibn Ḥāzim from Sulaymān ibn Yasār that Ṣabīgh ibn ‘Isl came to Madīnah and began to ask about the mutashābih parts of the Qur’ān and other things. ‘Umar heard about that and sent for him. He was brought and ‘Umar had prepared for him some palm branches. When he got there, ‘Umar asked, ‘Who are you?’ He replied, ‘I am the slave of Allah, Ṣabīgh.’ ‘Umar said, ‘And I am the slave of Allah, ‘Umar.’ Then he went to him and hit his head with a branch and split it, and he continued to beat him until the blood ran down his face. He said, ‘Enough, Amīr al-Mu’mīnīn! By Allah, what I found in my head has gone!’ There are various transmissions about how he was disciplined and that will be mentioned in Sūrat adh-Dhāriyāt. Then Allah inspired him to repent and cast that into his heart and he repented.

The meaning of ‘seeking conflict’ is to seek out and convey the unclear and uncertain matters to the believers so that they become disunited and people turn to deviation. Az-Zajjāj said that ‘seeking its inner meaning’ means to seek the explanation of people’s resurrection and revivification, and Allah informs us that the interpretation of that and its time are only known by Him. The evidence for that is the words of the Almighty: ‘What are they waiting for but its fulfilment (ta’wīlahu)? The Day its fulfilment occurs (i.e. the Day when they see the resurrection, gathering and punishment they were promised), those
who forgot it before will say, “The Messengers of our Lord came with the Truth.”” (7:53) It means: ‘We have been shown the true interpretation of what the Messengers told us’ He said, ‘So the reciter stops at the words of Allah: “No one knows its inner meaning but Allah,” in other words no one knows about the Resurrection but Allah alone.’

No one knows its inner meaning but Allah.

It is said that a group of Jews, including Ḥuyayy ibn Aḥṭab, came to the Messenger of Allah and said, ‘We heard that “Alif-Lām-Mīm” has been revealed to you. If you are speaking the truth, the domain of your kingdom will last seventy-one years because in the reckoning of the letters, alif is 1, lām is 30 and mīm is 40.’ Then: ‘No one knows its inner meaning but Allah’ was revealed. Ta‘wīl can mean explanation and it can mean something refers back to. It is derived from the verb āla, meaning ‘to refer back to’. Some fuqahā’ define ta‘wīl as the production of a probable meaning of a literal expression when there is other evidence to support that departure from its normal meaning. Tafsīr is the explanation of the expression itself and ta‘wīl is to explain the meaning. An example of this is ‘rayb’ in 2:2. The tafsīr is that it means ‘doubt’ and its ta‘wīl is that it means ‘no doubt in the believers’ or ‘it is true in itself and does not admit of any doubt. “Doubt” describes the doubter.’

Those firmly rooted in knowledge

Scholars disagree about this and whether it is a new sentence or connected to what is before it, in which case the wāw is connective. Most say that it is a new sentence. This is what Ibn ‘Umar, Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Ā’ishah, Urwah ibn az-Zubayr, ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz and others said. It is the position of al-Kisā‘ī, al-Akhfash, al-Farrā’, Abū ‘Ubayd and others. Abū Nuhayk al-Asadī said, ‘They used to connect this āyah to what is before it, but it is separate. The knowledge of those firmly rooted in knowledge only reaches their words, ‘We believe in it. All of it is from our Lord.’ ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz said something similar. Aṭ-Ṭabarī related the like of it from
Yūnus ibn Ashhab from Mālik ibn Anas. According to this, ‘they say’ is the predicate of ‘those firmly rooted’.

Al-Khaṭṭābī said, ‘Allah has divided the āyāhs of His Book one is commanded to believe in and affirm into two parts: muḥkam and mutashābih. He reported that the mutashābihāt of the Book are those whose interpretation Allah alone knows; no one else knows their interpretation. Then Allah praised those who are firmly rooted in knowledge since they say, “We believe in it.” If it had not been for the soundness of their faith, they would not deserve praise.’ The position of most scholars is to have a full stop in this āyah after Allah’s words: ‘except Allah.’ That is related from Ibn Masʿūd, Ubayy ibn Kaʿb, Ibn ‘Abbās and ‘Ā’ishah. It is related that Mujāhid said that the words ‘those firmly rooted in knowledge’ are connected to what is before it and mean that they too know it.

One of the linguists used this as evidence and said that the meaning is: ‘Those firmly rooted in knowledge know it, saying, “We believe.”’ making ‘they say’ an adverb. Most linguistic scholars, however, deny that and think that it is unlikely because the Arabs do not elide both the verb and the object, and do not use an adverb in the form of a ḥāl without the verb. If that had been permitted, it would be permitted to say, ‘Abdullāh riding,’ meaning ‘Abdullāh came riding.’ That is permitted when the verb is mentioned and is used adverbially. What most scholars say – confirmed by the schools of the grammarians – is more appropriate than the isolated statement of Mujāhid. It is also not permitted for Allah to negate something in respect of His creation and then affirm it for Himself and then be a partner in that. Do you not see that Allah says: ‘Say: “No one in the heavens and the earth knows the Unseen except Allah”’ (2:65), ‘He alone will reveal it at its proper time’ (7:187) and ‘All things are passing except His Face’ (28:88). All of this is part of what only Allah knows and no one else shares it with Him. That is like His words: ‘No one knows its inner meaning except Allah.’ If the wāw connected to ‘firmly
rooted’ had been for the order, there would be no point in the words: ‘All of it is from our Lord.’ Allah knows best.

What al-Khaṭṭābī said about not taking the view of Mujāhid – that ‘firmly rooted’ is added to the name of Allah and they are included in knowledge of the mutashābihāt – is related from Ibn ‘Abbās. In Mujahid’s view in addition to their knowledge of it, they say, ‘We believe in it.’ Ar-Rabī’, Muḥammad ibn Ja‘far ibn az-Zubayr, al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad and others also said that. According to that ‘say’ is an adverb. [POEM TO ILLUSTRATE} Those who take this view argue that Allah praised them for being firm in knowledge, so how could He praise them for being ignorant? Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘I am one of those who know its interpretation.’ Mujāhid recited this āyah and also said, ‘I am one of those who know its interpretation.’ The Imam of the Ḥaramayn, Abu-l-Mu‘ālī, related that from him.

Some scholars, however, refer this back to the first statement and say, ‘It implies that what is meant by stopping at “except Allah” means that no one knows the interpretation of the mutashābihāt except Allah, while those firmly rooted in knowledge know some of it and say, “We believe in it. All is from our Lord” by the proofs which are set up in the verses which are muḥkam, and those who refer to them are made firm. When they know the interpretation of some of them but not others, they say, “We believe in it. All of it is from our Lord, and our knowledge does not encompass the hidden things in His sound Sharī‘ah. Its knowledge is with Allah.”’ If someone says, ‘Some explanation is obscure even for those “firmly rooted”, so that Ibn ‘Abbās said, “I do not know what is meant by al-awwāh or ghislīn,”’ the answer is ‘This is not necessary because Ibn ‘Abbās learned it after that and explained it.’ The answer is more definitive than that. That is because Allah did not say that everyone ‘firmly rooted’ must have this knowledge. If one person does not know it, another can teach him.

Ibn Fūrak preferred that the ‘firmly rooted’ know the interpretation
and are firm in that. The Prophetﷺ said to Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘O Allah, give him understanding in the dīn and teach him interpretation (ta’wīl),’ i.e. ‘teach him the meanings of Your Book.’ If you look at it in this way, the stop could be after ‘firmly rooted in knowledge.’ Shaykh Abu-l-‘Abbās Aḥmad ibn ‘Umar said, ‘This is sound. Calling them “firmly rooted” demands that they know more than the muḥkam which is known equally by all of those who understand the words of the Arabs. In what way would they be “firmly rooted” if they only knew what everyone knows?’

There are different categories of mutashābihāt. Some are definitively only known by Allah, such as the matter of the rūḥ and the Last Hour, and no one is given knowledge of these things, not Ibn ‘Abbās or anyone else. As for those which can have several senses linguistically and different forms in Arabic, their correct interpretation can be known and one can remove incorrect interpretations, such as Allah’s words about ‘Īsā being, ‘a spirit from Him,’ (4:181) and similar things. No one should be called ‘firmly rooted’ unless they know a lot of this type of interpretation according to what they are enabled to discover. As for those who say that the mutashābih things are abrogated, on that basis it is correct to include the ‘firmly rooted’ in the knowledge of interpretation, but it is not valid to consider the mutashābihāt to be only of this category.

Rusūkh is firmness in a thing. That which is firm is described as ‘rāsikh.’ Its root in physical bodies is the firm rootedness of mountains and trees in the earth. A poet said:

Love was firm in my heart for Laylā.
Its signs refuse to alter.

In the same way, faith can be firmly rooted in the heart. The verb is rasakha, yarsakhu. Some said that a pool is firm (rasakha) when its water settles. Ibn Fāris related that. It is a word which has opposite meanings. Rasakha, raṣakha, raṣuna and rasaba are all confirmed. The
Prophet ﷺ was asked about those ‘firmly rooted in knowledge’ and said that it is: ‘…whoever fulfils his oath, speaks the truth and whose heart is straight.’ If it is asked how there can be something mutashābih in the Qur’an when Allah says: ‘We have sent down the Reminder to you so that you can make clear to mankind what has been sent down to them’ (16:44) and how it can be that He did not make all of it clear, the answer is that there is wisdom in that – and Allah knows best – in displaying the superiority of scholars. If all of it had been clear, their superiority in relation to one another would not appear. That is how it is done when someone makes a classification: he makes some of it clear and some of it unclear, and a place is left for experience because when the existence of something is of no importance, its radiance is little. Allah knows best.

All of it is from our Lord.’

This refers to the Book of Allah, and all its parts, both those that are clear and those that are open to interpretation.

But only people of intelligence pay heed

and say this and believe and stop where it stops and do not follow the mutashābihāt. Lubb is intelligence and the lubb of anything is its core which is why it is used to denote the intellect. ‘Ūlū’ is the plural of dhū.

8 ‘Our Lord, do not make our hearts swerve aside after You have guided us. And give us mercy from You. You are the Ever-Giving.

‘Our Lord, do not make our hearts swerve aside’

Something is elided and ‘they say’ is implied here. This is talking about about those firmly rooted in knowledge. It is possible that it means: ‘Say, “O Muḥammad.”’ It is said that the swerving of the heart is towards corruption and inclination away from the dīn. Do
they fear that, when they have been guided, Allah will turn them to corruption? The answer is that they asked, after Allah had guided them, for Him not to test them by actions which would be burdensome for them which they would be unable to do like those referred to in His words: ‘If We had directed them kill themselves or leave their homes.’ (4:64) Ibn Kaysān said, ‘They asked not to swerve lest Allah would make their hearts swerve as in His words: “So when they deviated, Allah made their hearts deviate” (61:5), in other words, “Make us firm in the guidance You have granted to us. Otherwise we might swerve and deserve our hearts to swerve.”’

It is said that this is separate from what came before it. That is because after Allah mentioned the people who swerve, He taught His slaves to call on Him so that they would not be among the censured party who are mentioned: the people who do swerve. We find in the Miṣbaḥ that Abū ‘Abdullāh aṣ-Ṣanābiḥī is reported to have said, ‘I arrived in Madīnah during the caliphate of Abū Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīq and I prayed maghrib behind him. He recited the Umm al-Qur’ān and two of the shorter sūrah from the Mufassal in the first two rak‘ahs. Then he stood up in the third and I drew so near to him that my clothes were almost touching his clothes. I heard him reciting the Umm al-Qur’ān and this āyah: “Our Lord, do not make our hearts swerve aside after You have guided us, and give us mercy from You. You are Ever-Giving.”’ Scholars say that his recitation of this āyah was a kind of qunūt and supplication since that was at the time of the Riddah. Qunūt is permitted in maghrib according to a group of people of knowledge, and it is also permitted in every prayer when a terrible matter comes upon the Muslims which alarms them and because of which they fear for themselves.

At-Tirmidhī related that Shahr ibn Ḥawshab said, ‘I asked Umm Salamah, “Umm al-Mu’mīnīn, what was the most frequent supplication used by the Messenger of Allah ﷺ when he was with you?” She replied, “His most frequent supplication was, ‘O
Overturner of hearts, make my heart firm in your dīn.’ I said, ‘Messenger of Allah, the supplication you use the most is "O Overturner of hearts, make my heart firm in your dīn!"’ He said, ‘Umm Salamah, there is no human being whose heart is not between two of Allah’s fingers. If He wishes, He makes him go straight, and, if he wishes, He makes him swerve.”’ Mu‘ādh recited, “Our Lord, do not make our hearts swerve aside after You have guided us.” He said that it is a ḥasan hadith. This is evidence against the Mu‘tazilites who say that Allah does not misguide His slaves. If there had not been swerving away from Him, it would not be permitted ask Him for what is not possible in respect of Him to be repelled. Abū Wāqid and al-Jarrāḥ recited ‘tazigh’ with the action attributed to the hearts. This is desire for Allah. Both readings mean that swerving is created by Allah and He makes them swerve.

And give us mercy from You.

This means ‘directly from You and Your presence,’ as a favour from You, not on account of anything that has come from us or any action of ours. This involves submission and casting off. There are four dialectical forms of ‘ladun’: ladun, which is the most eloquent; ladu; laduni, and ladna. Some false Sufis and esoteric zindiqs grab on to this āyah and others like it and say: ‘You see, knowledge is what Allah gives direct without any need for acquisition or studying of books. Pages are but a veil.’ This is refuted as will be explained elsewhere. The āyah means: ‘Give us a blessing which issues from Your mercy’ because mercy is one of the attributes of the Essence and so a direct gift of it is inconceivable. The verb for give is wahaba, yahabu. The root is yahibu. It is an error if someone says that the root is yawhaha.

9 Our Lord, You are the Gatherer of mankind to a Day of which there is no doubt. Allah will not break His
promise.’

It means: ‘You are the Resurrecter and Reviver of them after they have been dispersed.’ It is affirmation of the resurrection on the Day of Rising. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘This is the interpretation which the ‘firmly rooted’ know and affirm. Those who follow what is open to interpretation about the business of the resurrection disagree about it to the point that they end up denying it.’ ‘Rayb’ is doubt and was discussed in Sūrat al-Baqarah. Mi‘ād is the form mif‘āl from wa‘d.

10 As for those who disbelieve, their wealth and children will not help them against Allah in any way. They are fuel for the Fire,

The meaning is clear: neither their wealth nor children will avail them against Allah’s punishment in any way. As-Sulamī recited ‘yughnā’ (rather than ‘tughnā’) because of the sentence structure indicating something coming between the noun and the verb. Al-Ḥasan recited ‘yughnī’ in order to make it lighter. The ‘min’ means ‘in the face of’ (‘inda) according to Abū ‘Ubaydah.

They are fuel for the Fire.

‘Waqūd’ (fuel) is a name for firewood. This was already mentioned in Sūrat al-Baqarah. Al-Ḥasan, Mujāhid and Ṭalḥah ibn Muṣarrif read it as wuqūd which is a verbal noun, meaning ‘fuel for the burning of the Fire’. In Arabic, wāw can have the vowel ḍammah. Wuqūd is the verbal noun for the burning of a fire from the verb waqada.

Ibn al-Mubārak transmitted from the hadith of al-‘Abbās ibn ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘This dīn will be victorious until the seas are crossed and you plunge into the sea on horses in the way of Allah Almighty. Then there will come some people who recite the Qur’ān and when they recite it, they will say,
“Who knows the most recitation among us? Which of us has the most knowledge?” He turned to his Companions and asked, “Do you think that there is any good in those people?” “No,” they answered. He said, “Those are from you and they are from this community, and they are fuel for the Fire.”

11 as was the case with the people of Pharaoh and those before them. They denied Our Signs so Allah seized them for their wrong actions. Allah is fierce in retribution.

Da‘b designates ‘custom, habit and business.’ The verb da‘aba yad‘abu means to exert oneself and toil, and Form IV means to pursue a journey with energy. It is used for making a camel travel hard. Dā‘ibān means night and day. Abū Ḥātim said, ‘I heard Ya‘qūb say, “ka-da‘ab” with a fatḥah on the hamzah. He asked me, a young boy at that time, “On what basis is it permitted to say ‘ka-da‘ab’?” I told him, “I think that it comes from the verb da‘iba, yad‘abu, da‘b.” He accepted that from me and was surprised at my ability in spite of my youth. I do not know whether it is said or not.’ An-Naḥḥās said that this is an error and that one never says ‘da‘iba’, but rather ‘da‘aba’. That is what the grammarians have said, including al-Farrā’ who related it in Kitāb al-Maṣādir. Da‘abah is also permitted because it contains a throat letter. There is disagreement about the kāf. It is said that it is in the nominative, implying, ‘Their custom is like that of the people of Pharaoh,’ meaning that ‘what the unbelievers are doing to you is like what the people of Pharaoh did to Mūsā.’ Al-Farrā’ said that the meaning is: ‘The Arabs have disbelieved like the people of Pharaoh disbelieved.’

An-Naḥḥās said that ‘like’ cannot be connected to ‘disbelieve’ because ‘disbelieve’ is in the connective. It is said that it is connected
to ‘seized them’, in other words, ‘Allah seized them like He seized the people of Pharaoh.’ It is also said that it is connected to the phrase ‘their wealth and children will not help them’, meaning that wealth will not help them like wealth and children did not help the people of Pharaoh. This is a rebuttal directed at those who failed to go out to perform jihād, claiming that they were distracted by wealth and family. It can also refer to them being fuel for the Fire and the similarity lies in burning.

This idea is supported by the āyah: ‘A most evil torment engulfed Pharaoh’s people – the Fire, morning and night, to which they are exposed; and on the Day the Hour takes place: “Admit Pharaoh’s people to the harshest punishment!”’ (40:45-46) The first position is the most likely and more than one scholar has said that. Ibn ‘Arafah said that the expression ‘…the case with the people of Pharaoh’ means ‘the custom of the people of Pharaoh’. He said, ‘Those unbelievers made a habit of abandoning and harassing the Prophet just as the habit of the people of Pharaoh was to harass the Prophets.’ Al-Azharī said that it means that. The words in al-Anfāl: ‘Such was the case with Pharaoh’s people’ (8:52), mean what happened to the people of Pharaoh. It means that they will be repaid with killing and capture in the same way that the people of Pharaoh were repaid with drowning and destruction.

‘Our Signs’ can refer to the āyahs recited in the Qur’an or the signs which are set up in creation as evidence of His Oneness.

12 Say to those who disbelieve: ‘You will be overwhelmed and crowded into Hell. What an evil resting-place!’

This refers to the Jews. Muḥammad ibn Ishāq said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah defeated Quraysh at Badr and came back to Madīnah, he gathered the Jews and said, “Company of Jews! Be
warned by Allah of what happened to Quraysh at Badr before the same thing as befell them befalls you. You know that I am a sent Prophet. You will find that in your Book and in Allah’s covenant with you.” They said, “Muḥammad, do not be deluded by the fact that you killed some foolish people who have no knowledge of war and grasped your opportunity to defeat them! By Allah, if you fight us, you will find out that we are the true people!” Then Allah revealed this.’

Another variant reads it with ُّا instead of َٰ, meaning ‘They (Quraysh) will be overwhelmed.’ ‘You will be crowded into Hell’ means in the Next World. This is transmitted from ‘Ikrimah and Saʿīd ibn Jubayr from Ibn ʿAbbās. In Abū Ṣāliḥ’s variant from him is that it was revealed when the Jews were happy with what happened in the Battle of Uḥud. This coincides with the reading of Nāfi‘ who has a ُّا referring to Quraysh in both verbs: ‘They will be overwhelmed and crowded.’

**What an evil resting-place!**

This means Hell, taking the َّه literally. Mujāhid said, ‘It means: “what you have prepared for yourselves is evil,”’ as if the meaning was ‘Evil are your actions which have led you to Hell.’

13 There was a sign for you in the two parties which met face to face, one party fighting in the Way of Allah and the other unbelievers. You saw them as twice their number with your own eyes. Allah reinforces with His help whoever He wills. There is instruction in that for people of insight.

**There was a sign for you in the two parties**

The reason that the verb is َٰ and not َٰ is that ‘sign’ (َّه) is
not a true feminine. It is said that it refers to the clarification, in other words ‘you have clarification,’ indicating the meaning rather than the actual words. It is like the words of Imru’ al-Qays:

White, beautiful, tender,
Like the shoot of a bean-tree breaking out with leaves.

He did not use the feminine form of ‘breaking out’ because it refers to the stalk. Al-Farrā’ said that it is masculine because of the adjective. When the adjective comes between the noun and the verb, then the verb is masculine. This usage was mentioned in al-Baqarah (2:180).

The two parties referred to are the Muslims and the idolaters at the Battle of Badr. Most recite ‘fi’atun’ in the nominative, meaning one of the parties. Al-Ḥasan and Mujāhid recite it in the genitive and ‘the other’ is an appositive. Ibn Abī ‘Ablah recite both in the accusative, and Ahmad ibn Yaḥyā said that it is permitted as an adverbial ḫāl, meaning the two different parties meet: one believing and one disbelieving.

A group of people is called a fi’ah (party) because one returns (yufā’) to it in times of difficulty. Az-Zajjāj that said fi’ah is a group, taken from ‘fa’w’, which means to cleave the head with the sword. The verb is used for cleaving through a person. There is no disagreement that this refers to the two groups in the Battle of Badr, but there is disagreement about who is addressed here. It is said that it might be the believers that are addressed and it might be the unbelievers. It is also possible that it is the Jews of Madīnah who are being addressed. Each view has those who espouse it. If it is addressed to the believers, it is meant to strengthen their souls and encourage them to advance against twice their number as indeed happened.

You saw them as twice their number with your own eyes.

Abū ‘Alī said that the seeing here is actually seeing with the eyes. Makkī and al-Mahdawī said that it what the eye sees. Nāfi‘ reads it with a tā’ as ‘You saw them’ while the rest read it with a yā’: ‘They
saw them.’ The majority believe that the subject in ‘you see them’ is the believers and ‘them’ refers to the unbelievers. Abū ‘Amr disliked reciting it with ُتاء and said, ‘If it had been like that, it would have been twice their number.’ An-Naḥḥās said, ‘That is not necessary, but it can be twice the number of your companions.’ Makkī said that ‘you saw’ is addressed to the Muslims and ‘them’ refers to the idolaters. This would mean that it would have to be ‘twice your number’ and that is not permitted because it differs from the script, but the words can move from the second person to the third person as in other places in the Qur’an like 10:22 and 30:39 in which Allah returns to the third person. So the ‘them’ can refer to the idolaters, i.e. ‘O believers, you see the idolaters as twice their number.’ This is an unlikely meaning because Allah would not make the idolaters multiply in the eyes of the Muslims. Rather He tells us that He reduces their number in the eyes of the believers, and so the meaning would be: ‘O believers, you saw the idolaters as twice your number when they were three times your number.’ So Allah lessened them in the eyes of the Muslims and showed them to be only twice their number to strengthen their spirits. They knew that a hundred of them would overcome two hundred of the unbelievers. He lessened the number of the Muslims in the eyes of the idolaters so that they would be bold and the judgment of Allah would be carried out on them.

It is possible that the pronoun ‘their’ refers to the Muslims, in other words you, the Muslims, saw yourselves as twice your number. Allah did that to strengthen their spirits in the encounter. The first view is more likely. That is indicated by the words of Allah: ‘Remember when Allah showed you them in your dream as only a few’ (8:43) and ‘Remember when Allah made you see them as few when you met them.’ (8:44) It is related that Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘I asked a man beside me, “Do you see seventy?” He said, “I think that there are a hundred.” When we took captives, they told us that they were a thousand.’
Aṭ-Ṭabarī reported that some people said, ‘Allah made the number of believers increase in the eyes of the unbelievers so that they were twice their size.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī thought this weak. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘That is rejected for several reasons. Rather Allah made the idolaters seem less in the eyes of the believers as was stated.’ According to this interpretation, ‘You saw’ refers to the unbelievers, i.e. ‘You, O unbelievers, saw the Muslims as twice their number.’ Al-Farrā’ said that it means: ‘You saw them as twice your number when they were three times your number.’ This is unlikely and not known linguistically. Az-Zajjāj says that it is an error because we understand the ‘like’ of a thing to be the same size as it and ‘twice’ to be two times as many.

Ibn Kaysān said, ‘Al-Farrā’ explains it in the following manner: ‘It is as when you say when you have a slave, “He needs another one like him.” You need him and one like him and you say, “I need two like him.” So you need three. The meaning is different to what you say and to the language. So in this case al-Farrā’ is saying that the number of the idolaters was three times that of the Muslims in the Battle of Badr. He imagined that it was not permitted for them to see them except as the same number as them. This is highly unlikely and meaningless. Allah made them see them as other than their actual number for two reasons. One is that their best interests lay in that because the hearts of the believers were strengthened by it. The other is that it was a Sign for the Prophet ﷺ. The Battle of Badr will be mentioned later, Allah willing.

If it is recited with yā’, Ibn Kaysān said that ‘them’ refers to ‘the unbelievers’ and ‘their number’ refers to ‘the party fighting in the Way of Allah’ as indicated by the context. That is confirmed by the words: ‘Allah reinforces with His help whomever He wills.’ This, as we said, would mean that the idolaters were three times the number of the Muslims and appeared as only twice their number in their eyes. He said that the seeing refers to the Jews. Makkī said that the seeing
refers to the party fighting in the Way of Allah and the group seen were the unbelievers. This means that the party fighting in the Way of Allah saw the unbelievers as twice the number of the believers while the unbelievers were actually three times their number, but Allah made them appear as less to them and ‘you’ is addressed to the Jews. Ibn ‘Abbās and Ṭalḥah recited ‘yurawnahum’ and as-Sulamī has it with a tā’ and dammah in the passive.

14 To mankind the love of worldly appetites is painted in glowing colours: women and children, and heaped-up mounds of gold and silver, and grazing horses with fine markings, and livestock and fertile farmland. All that is merely the enjoyment of the life of this world. The best homecoming is in the presence of Allah.

To mankind the love of worldly appetites is painted in glowing colours:

‘Painted in glowing colours’ means ‘adorned’, and people disagree about who is the adorner is. One group say that it is Allah who adorns, and that is the apparent meaning of what ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said, and which al-Bukhārī mentioned. We read in the Revelation: ‘We made everything on the earth an adornment for it.’ (18:7) When ‘Umar said, ‘Now, O Lord, when You have adorned it for us!’ there was revealed: ‘Say, “Shall I tell you of something better than that?”’ (3:15)

Another group said, ‘The adorner is Shayṭān.’ This is the apparent position of al-Ḥasan. He said, ‘Who then makes it seem attractive? No one is stronger in censure of it than its Creator.’ So the adornment of Allah is by bringing into existence and preparation for use and producing natures disposed to these things. The adornment
of Shayṭān is by whispering, deceit and making it seem good to them to take in an improper way. This āyah, according to both views, is a warning for all people. That contains rebuke for the contemporaries of Muḥammad ﷺ: the Jews and others.

Most recite ‘zuʾyīn’ in the passive tense with ‘love’ in the nominative. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and Mujāhid recited ‘zayyāna’ with ‘love’ in the accusative. The vowel on ‘appetites’ is different when it is a noun or an adjective. Shahawāt is the plural of shahwah. A man who is shahwān is greedy for something. Something which is shahīy is desirable. Following appetites is ruin and obeying them is destruction. We find in Sahīh Muslim that the Prophet ﷺ said: ‘The Garden is surrounded by disliked things and the Fire is surrounded by appetites.’ Anas related it from the Prophet ﷺ. The point of this illustration is that the Garden is only obtained by crossing the deserts of disliked things and putting up with them. One is only saved from the Fire by abandoning one’s appetites and weaning the self from them. It is related that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The Path of the Garden is sorrow on a hill and the Path of the Fire is easy on the flat.’ It means that the Path to the Garden is difficult to travel since it goes by the tops of the hills and the Path to the Fire is easy without hardness and exposure.

women

He begins with women because men’s lower selves often look longingly at them. They are the snares of shayṭān and temptation for men. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘I have not left after me any trial greater for men than women.’ Al-Buhkārī and Muslim transmitted it. The trial of women is greater than all other things.

It is said that there are two kinds of trial in women and only one in children. As for the two in women, one is that it can lead to severing of kinship because the woman may order her husband to cut himself off from his mother and sisters. The second is the trial of amassing both lawful and unlawful property. As for children, the single trial is
amassing wealth for them. ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Do not put your women in upper rooms nor teach them writing.’ The Messenger of Allah cautioned them in this way because to put them in upper rooms is to be on the look out for men and there is no protection or concealment for them in that because they are close to men and constitute a trial and temptation for them, and because they are created from men, and so they desire men. Man has lust created in him and she is the focus of it. Therefore, neither of them is safe from the other. The comment about teaching writing is on account of temptation. The Prophet is reported by Abū Hurayrah as saying, ‘You should have a woman with religion. May your hands be dusty.’ Muslim transmitted it from Abū Hurayrah. We find in the Sunan of Ibn Mājah that Ibn ‘Umar reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Do not marry women for their beauty. Their beauty might ruin you. Do not marry them for their wealth. Their wealth might make you oppress them. Marry them for their dīn. A black slavegirl with religion would be better for you even if she has a split nose.’

and children

Banūn is the plural of ibn (son). Allah reported that Nūḥ said, ‘My son is part of my family.’ The diminutive is bunayy as Luqmān said. It is reported that the Prophet asked al-Ash’ath ibn Qays. ‘Do you have any children by Ḥamzah’s daughter?’ He answered, ‘Yes, and I wish that had a bowl of food which I could give her from those that remain of the Banū Jabalah.’ The Prophet said, ‘If you say that, they are the fruit of the hearts and delight of the eyes. They are also a source of cowardice, miserliness and sorrow.’

and heaped-up mounds of gold and silver

Qanāṭir is the plural of qintār. It is a large heap of money as Allah says: ‘…and have given your original wife a large amount.’ (4:20) It is said that it is the name of a measure, like ṭl and rub. It is also used when something reaches that weight, meaning that it is equal to it. The
verb is used for a man who has a lot of wealth such that it reaches that level. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘Qintār is derived from the verb meaning to make something firm. A bridge is called qantarah because it is firmly made. So it is as if the qintār were a contract of property.’ Ṭarafah said:

Like the bridge of the Romans, her lord swears

that she will be surrounded until it is strengthened with bricks.

Scholars disagree about exactly how much it is and there are several positions regarding it. Ubayy ibn Ka‘b related that Prophet ﷺ said, ‘A qintār is 1200 ʿuqiyahs.’ Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal, ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr, Abū Hurayrah and a group of scholars said that, and Ibn ‘Atiyyah said that it is the soundest position, but the qintār varies in different lands according to the size of the ʿuqiyah. It is said to be 12,000 ʿuqiyahs. In his sound Musnad, al-Bustī transmitted from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘A qintār is 12,000 ʿuqiyahs. It is better than what is between heaven and the earth.’ Abū Hurayrah also took that view. We find in the Musnad of Abū Muḥammad ad-Dārimī that Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī said, ‘Whoever recites ten ʿāyahs in the night will be written among those who remember. Whoever recites a hundred ʿāyahs will be written among the obedient. Whoever recites from five hundred to a thousand ʿāyahs will have the reward of a qintār in the morning.’ He was asked, ‘What is the qintār?’ and he replied, ‘Enough gold to fill a bull’s hide.’ It is mawqūf. Abū Naḍrah al-ʿAbdī said that.

It is said to be derived from Syriac. An-Naqqāsh said that al-Kalbī said that it is Greek. Ibn ‘Abbās, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and al-Ḥasan said that is 1200 mithqāls of silver. Al-Ḥasan says that that is marfu‘. Ibn ‘Abbās also said that it is 12,000 dirhams of silver and 1000 dinars of gold, which is the blood money for a Muslim man. That is reported from al-Ḥasan and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk. Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab said that it is 80,000. Qatādah said it is 100 rīṭl of gold or 80,000 dirhams of
silver. Abū Ḥamzah ath-Thumālī said that the qinṭār in North Africa and Andalusia is 80,000 mithqāls of gold or silver. As-Suddī said that it is 4000 mithqāls. Mujāhid said that is 70,000 mithqāls. That is related from Ibn ‘Umar. Makkī related one view that a qinṭār is forty ūqiyyahs of gold or silver. Ibn Sīdah said that in al-Muḥkam. He said, ‘The qinṭār is a thousand mithqāls.’ Ar-Rabī’ ibn Anas said, ‘A qinṭār is a lot of wealth piled up.’ This was known among the Arabs. Part of it is the above-mentioned āyah: ‘…and have given your original wife a large amount.’ (4:20) Part of that is the hadith: ‘Ṣafwān ibn Umayyah had a qinṭār in the Jāhiliyyah as did his father.’ This refers to his wealth. Al-Ḥakam said that it is what is between heaven and earth.

‘Heaped up’: there is disagreement about the meaning of muqanṭarah. Aṭ-Ṭabarī and others said that it means multiplied, as if a qinṭār is 3 and muqanṭarah is 9. It is related that al-Farrā’ said that it is the plural of the plural and so it is 9. As-Suddī said that it means minted (i.e. dirhams and dinars.) Makkī says that it means ‘complete’. Al-Harawī said that the form is a verbal usage. One of them said that qanṭarah is used for a bridge because the density of its structure. Ibn Kaysān and al-Farrā’ said that it is not less than nine qinṭārs. It is said that it indicates the presence of ready and available wealth. We find in the Ṣaḥīḥ of al-Bustī from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Anyone who prays at night with ten āyahs will not be written among the heedless. Anyone who prays at night with a hundred will be written among the obedient. Anyone who prays at night with a thousand will be written among the muqanṭirīn.’

‘Gold and silver’: dhahab (gold) is a feminine noun. Its plurals are dhihāb and dhuhūb. Dhahaba describes someone taking a good direction (madhhab). Dhahab is also a measure used in Yemen. The verb dhahiba describes a man who sees a gold mine and is astonished (dhahiba). Fīḍdah (silver) is well known and its plural is fidād. ‘Dhahab’ is derived from dhahāb (departure) and silver (fidād) from infaḍda, which means ‘to be scattered’. The derivation makes one aware of the fact
that they will disappear and are not firm as we see in the world. How excellent is what one of them said about this:

‘The Fire’ is the last thing that the dinar says.
‘Worry’ is the end of the dirham.
Even if he is wary, between them a man has his heart tormented by the Fire and worry.

**grazing horses with fine markings,**

The word for horses is *khayl.* Ibn Kaysan said that he was told by Abū ‘Ubaydah that the singular is *khā‘il.* A horse is called that because it is proud (*ikhtāla*) in its gait. Others said that it is a collective noun without a singular and one horse is ‘*faras*’. We find in a hadith from ‘Alī that the Prophet ﷺ said: ‘Allah created the horse from the wind which is why it flies without wings.’ Wahb ibn Munabbih said, ‘He created it from the south wind.’ Wahb said, ‘There is no glorification, *takbīr* or *shahādah* said by the owner of a horse but that it hears it and answers with the like of it.’ More mention of horses will come in *Sūrat al-Anfāl.* It is reported in a tradition, ‘Allah showed Ādam all the animals and he was told, “Choose one of them.” He chose the horse. He was told, “You have chosen your might.”’ This is the source of the name *khayl.* They are also called *khayl* because they are marked with might. If anyone rides one, he is exalted by Allah’s gift to him and by it is arrogant (*ikhtāla*) towards the enemies of Allah. A horse is called ‘*faras*’ because covers (*iftarasā*) the distance of the air like a lion and captures it. It crosses the distance with its hooves as if it swallowed it up. It is called an Arabian horse because it was brought after Ādam to Ismā‘īl as a reward for raising the foundations of the House. Ismā‘īl was an Arab. It was a gift from Allah to him and so the horse was called ‘Arabian’.

The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Shayṭān will not enter a house with a noble horse in it.’ A horse is called ‘noble’ when it is free of defects. Abū
Qatādah said that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The best of horses are black ones with white blazes and a white spot on the nose, then black ones with white blazes and white legs, except on an offside one. If it is not black, then a bay one with these markings.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it from Abū Qatādah. It is reported in the Musnad of ad-Dārimī that a man said, ‘Messenger of Allah, I want to buy a horse. What sort should I buy?’ He answered ﷺ, ‘Buy a black one with a white spot on the nose and white legs, except on an offside one, or a bay with these markings. You will get booty and be safe.’ An-Nasā’î reported from Anas: ‘There was nothing which the Messenger of Allah ﷺ loved more, after women, than horses.’ The imams related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘There are three kinds of horses: those which are a reward for a man, those which are a protection for a man and those which contain a burden for a man.’ It is so famous that it need not be mentioned. The rulings concerning horses will be mentioned adequately in al-Anfāl and an-Nāḥl.

‘With fine markings’ (musawwamah) means grazing in the meadows and pasture according to Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr. One uses sāma to mean camels and sheep grazing where they like. The verb from which the noun is taken means to release animals to graze and so they are called ‘musawwamah’. We find in the Sunan of Ibn Mājah that ‘Alī said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ forbade letting animals graze (sawm) before sunrise and to slaughter animals with milk.’ ‘Sawm’ means grazing here. Allah says: ‘…among which you graze (tusīmūna) your herds.’ (16:10) Al-Akhṭal said:

Someone like Ibn Baz‘ah or another like him,
more fitting for is the son of the camel herder.

Sawām are any grazing animals. It is also said that it means made ready for jihād. Ibn Zayd said that.

Mujāhid said that it means ‘marked and beautiful.’ ‘Ikrimah said,
‘They are marked by beauty,’ and an-Naḥḥās preferred that, taking it from the words ‘a handsome (wasīm) man’. Ibn ‘Abbās said that it means marked with colours, (like blazes) on their faces. It then comes from sīmā which is a sign. This is what al-Kisā’ī and Abu ‘Ubaydah said. All of this is allowed by the term: they are freely grazing, ready for war and marked so that they are recognised from other horses when grazing. Abū Zayd said that the basis for that is putting a piece of wool or a marker to distinguish them from other horses which are grazing. The linguist Ibn Fāris said in al-Mujmal that they are released with riders on them. Al-Muʿarrij said that it means branded. Al-Mubarrad said that they are known in the lands. Ibn Kaysān said that it means piebald. All of the ideas are similar in respect of demarcation.

and livestock

Anʿām it is used for camels and all grazing animals according to Ibn Kaysān whereas naʿām is only for camels. Al-Farrāʾ said that the word is masculine rather than feminine while al-Harawī says that it can be either. Anʿām are livestock: camels, cattle, sheep and goats. Naʿām are just camels. Ibn Mājah reports from ‘Urwa al-Bāriqī: ‘Camels are might for their people, sheep are blessing and good is tied up in the forelocks of horses until the Day of Rising.’ Ibn ‘Umar reports that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Sheep are among the animals of the Garden.’ Abū Hurayrah said: ‘The Messenger of Allah instructed the rich to have sheep and the poor to have chickens.’ He said that when the rich have chickens Allah gives permission for the destruction of the cities. Umm Hāniʾ reported that the Prophet said to her, ‘Keep sheep. There is blessing in them.’ He transmitted it from Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah from Wakīʾ from Hisham ibn ‘Urwah from his father from Umm Hāniʾ with a sound isnād.

fertile farmland.

Ḥarth is a word used for all land that is tilled. It is a verbal noun
used for designation. Ḥaratha is the verb used when someone ploughs the land for farming. Ḥirāthah is used for the cultivation of grains, gardens and other types of agriculture. Using it the hadith states: ‘Cultivate for this world as if you were going to live forever.’ We find in a hadith from ‘Abdullāh: ‘Cultivate this Qur’an,’ i.e. study it thoroughly, and Ibn al-Aʿrabī said that ḥarth is studying in depth. Another hadith states: ‘The truest of names is al-Ḥārith’, because the one who cultivates earns. Iḥtirāth means to acquire wealth. Miḥrāth is kindling for a fire and ḥarāth is the thread of the bow-string. Aḥratha is to make a camel lean. We find in the hadith of Muʿāwiya: ‘What did your watering camels do?’ The answer was, ‘We made them lean on the Day of Badr.’ Abū ‘Ubayd said that it means ‘we made them lean’.

We find in Ṣaḥīḥ al-Bukhārī that Abū Umāmah al-Bāhilī said that he saw a ploughshare and some agricultural implements and remarked, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah say, “Whenever this enters a house abasement enters it.”’ It is said that abasement is what adheres to those involved agricultural labour on account of the duties due on the land which are demanded by rulers. Al-Muhallab said, ‘The meaning of this hadith, and Allah knows best, is to encourage elevated circumstances and seeking one’s provision from the noblest of crafts. That is because the Messenger of Allah feared that his community would become preoccupied with farming and abandon riding horses and doing jihād in the Way of Allah because they were too occupied with agriculture, and then those nations who ride horses and live off what they bring them would conquer them. Therefore, he encouraged people to gain their livelihood from jihād rather than gravitating to cultivation of the earth and humble occupations. Do you not see that ‘Umar said, ‘Imitate the people of Maʿd (who were energetic) and wear coarse clothing. Cut off stirrups and leap onto horses. Then the camel-herders will not defeat you.’ He commanded them to keep horses
and train their bodies by leaping onto them. We find in the two Ṣahīḥ collections from Anas ibn Mālik, however, that the Prophet said, ‘If any Muslim plants a plant or sows a crop and a bird, person or animal eat from it, it is sadaqah for him.’

Scholars say that Allah mentioned four types of wealth, and each type of wealth is the source of wealth for a certain group of people. Gold and silver enrich merchants. Fine horses are the wealth of kings. Flocks are the wealth of the people of the desert, and farmland is the wealth of the people of estates. So the trial of each group lies in the category by which he enriches himself. Women and children apply to all off them.

All that is merely the enjoyment of the life of this world.

This means that what they enjoy in it will vanish and not remain. Consequently one should be abstinent in this world and desire the Next World. Ibn Mājah and others reported from Ibn ‘Umar that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘This world is enjoyment, and the best of its enjoyment is a righteous woman.’ We find in a hadith: ‘Make do with little of this world and Allah will love you,’ referring to enjoying rank and wealth beyond what is absolutely necessary. The Prophet said, ‘The son of Ādam only has a right to these three things: a house in which to live, a garment with which to clothe his nakedness, and plain bread and water.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it from al-Miqdām ibn Maʿdikarīb. Sahl ibn ‘Abdullāh was asked, ‘What makes it easy for a person to leave this world and all appetites?’ He replied, ‘His busying himself with that he is commanded to do.’

The best homecoming is in the presence of Allah.

Maʿāb is a place of return from the verb āba, yaʿūbu. A poet said:

Everyone absent returns
but the one absent through death does not return.

The root of māb is maʿwab and the vowel of the wāw has been
changed into a *hamzah* and the *alif* has replaced the *wāw* as in the word *maqāl*. The entire *āyah* is meant to show the smallness and insignificance of this world and to encourage hope for a good return to Allah in the Next World.

15 Say, ‘Shall I tell you of something better than that?’
The godfearing will have Gardens with their Lord, with rivers flowing under them, remaining in them timelessly, for ever, and purified wives, and the Pleasure of Allah.

**Allah sees His slaves:**

The question ends at ‘that’. ‘The godfearing’ is an advanced predicate and ‘Gardens’ is in the nominative by the inceptive. It is said that it ends at ‘with their Lord’ and ‘Gardens’ is in the nominative by an implied inceptive. It implies: ‘those are Gardens.’ By this interpretation ‘Gardens’ can be in the genitive instead of ‘better’. That is not permitted in the first interpretation.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This *āyah* and the one before it are like the words of the Prophet ☪: “A woman can be married for four reasons: her wealth, her lineage, her beauty, and her religion. Seek the one with religion. May your hands be in the dust!” Muslim and others transmitted it. “Seek the one with religion” is an illustration of this *āyah*. Allah mentioned this *āyah* as solace for lack of this world and to strengthen the souls in abandoning it.’ The meaning of the words in this *āyah* were already discussed in *al-Baqarah*.

*Riḍwān* (pleasure) is a verbal noun derived from *ridā*. That is because when the people of the Garden enter it, Allah will say to them, ‘Do you want me to give you more?’ and they will answer, ‘Our Lord, what is better than this?’ He will say, ‘My pleasure so that I will never be angry with you again.’ Muslim transmitted it.
‘Allah sees His slaves’ is a promise and a threat.

16 those who say, ‘Our Lord, we believe, so forgive us our wrong actions and safeguard us from the punishment of the Fire.’ 17 The steadfast, the truthful, the obedient, the givers, and those who seek forgiveness before dawn.

‘Those’ is an appositive for the prior ‘godfearing’. It can be in the nominative, meaning, ‘they are those,’ or in the accusative for praise. ‘Our Lord’ means ‘O Our Lord’. ‘We believe’ is ‘We assent and confirm’. Then there is a prayer for forgiveness.

The ‘steadfast’ are those who refrain from disobedience and their lower appetites. It is also said that it refers to being steadfast in acts of obedience. The ‘truthful’ are those who are true in both word and deed. The ‘qānitīn’ are the obedient. The ‘givers’ are those who spend in the Way of Allah. This was discussed in full in Sūrat al-Baqarah.

In this āyāh Allah Almighty explains the states of the godfearing who are promised the Garden. There is disagreement about the meaning of ‘those who seek forgiveness before dawn.’ Anas ibn Mālik said that they are those who ask for forgiveness. Qatādah said that they are simply those who pray at that time. There is no contradiction here. Both groups both pray and ask for forgiveness. Dawn is singled out for mention because it is a time when the prayer is most likely to be accepted and a time when it is answered. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said in the explanation of the words of the Almighty reporting from Ya’qūb to his sons: ‘I will ask my Lord for forgiveness for you’ (12:98), that he delayed that until dawn. At-Tirmidhī transmitted it.

The Prophet ﷺ asked Jibrīl, ‘Which part of the night is best for being heard?’ He replied, ‘I do not know, but the Throne trembles at
‘Dawn’ is either saḥar or saḥr. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘Saḥar is the time when the night retreats until the second dawn rises.’ Ibn Zayd said that it is the last sixth of last night.

The soundest of what is related by the Imāms is from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Allah descends to the lowest heaven every night when the first third of the night has passed and says, “I am the King. I am the King. Who is there who calls on Me so that I can answer him? Who is there who asks me so that I can give to him? Who is there who asks My forgiveness so that I can forgive him?” That continues until dawn rises.’ (Muslim) There is disagreement about its interpretation. The best of what is said on it is what has come in an-Nasā‘ī from Abū Hurayrah and Abū Sa‘īd: ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Allah waits until the end of the first half of the night and then commands a caller who says, ‘Is there a caller who can be answered? Is there someone who asks for forgiveness so that he can be forgiven?’”’ Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ḥaqq says that it is sound and removes any ambiguity and clarifies any possibility. There is something elided after ‘first half of the night’ which is ‘an angel descends’. Success is by Allah. We dealt with this in Kitāb al-Asnā.

Additional point: Asking forgiveness is recommended and Allah praises those who ask forgiveness in this āyah and others, as in: ‘They would seek forgiveness before dawn.’ (51:18) Anas ibn Mālik said, ‘We were instructed to ask forgiveness seventy times at dawn.’ Sufyān ath-Thawrī said, ‘I have heard that in the first part of the night a caller calls for the obedient to stand, and they stand and pray until dawn. At dawn there is a call: “Where are those who ask for forgiveness?” and so those people ask for forgiveness. Other people rise and pray and join them. When dawn has entered, there is another call: “Will the heedless not rise?” and they rise from their beds like the dead are brought out of their graves.’

It is related that Anas said that he heard the Prophet ﷺ say, ‘Allah
said, “I intended to punish the people of the earth and when I looked at those making My houses flourish, those who love one another for My sake, those who pray **tahajjud** at night and those who ask for forgiveness at dawn, I averted the punishment from them.”’

Makhūl said, ‘When there are fifteen men in a community asking forgiveness of Allah every day twenty-five times, Allah will not seize that community with a general punishment.’ Abū Nu‘aym mentioned that in *al-Ḥilyah*. Nāfi‘ said, ‘Ibn ‘Umar used to pray at night. He would ask, “Nāfi‘, is it dawn yet?” “No,” I would answer. He would continue to pray and then ask that question. When I said, “Yes,” he would sit asking for forgiveness.’ Ibrāhīm ibn Ḥāṭib related that his father said, ‘I heard a man in the corner of the mosque at dawn say, “O Lord! You commanded me and I obeyed. This is dawn, so forgive me.” I looked and it was Ibn Mas‘ūd.’

All the reports indicate that asking forgiveness should be done with the tongue and presence of the heart, and it does not mean, as Ibn Zayd said, those who pray the **Ṣubḥ** prayer in the group. Allah knows best. Luqmān said to his son, ‘My son, do not let the cock be cleverer than you, calling at dawn while you are still asleep.’

The preferred form of asking forgiveness is reported by al-Bukhārī via Shaddād ibn Aws from the Prophet ☪: ‘The best way to ask forgiveness is to say, “O Allah, You are my Lord. There is no god but You. You created me and I am Your slave. I comply with Your covenant and Your promise as much as I can. I seek refuge with you from the evil of what I have done. I acknowledge my sin, so forgive me. No one can forgive sins but You alone.”’ He continued, ‘Anyone who says this during the day having confidence in it and dies on that day before evening will be among the people of the Garden. Anyone who says it during the night having confidence in it and dies before morning will be among the people of the Garden.’ It is only in his Collection.

Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ghanī ibn Sa‘īd related from Ibn Lahī‘ah
from Abū Ṣakhr from Abū Mu‘āwiyah from Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr from aṣ-Ṣahbā’ al-Bakrī from ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib that the Messenger of Allah 

took ‘Alī’s hand and said, ‘Shall I teach you some words which, if you say them and your sins are as many as the tracks of ants, or the tracks of tiny ants, Allah will forgive you for them and you will be completely forgiven. They are: “O Allah, there is no god but You. Glory be to You. I have done evil and wronged myself, so forgive me. None can forgive wrong actions but You alone.”’

18 Allah bears witness that there is no god but Him, as do the angels and the people of knowledge, upholding justice. There is no god but Him, the Almighty, the All-Wise.

Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said, ‘There were three hundred and sixty idols around the Ka‘bah, and when this āyah was revealed, they fell down in prostration.’ Al-Kalbī said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah 

appeared in Madīnah, two of the rabbis of Syria travelled to him and when they saw Madīnah, one of them said to the other, “This city closely resembles the description of the city of the Prophet who will come at the end of time!” When they went to the Prophet 

, they recognised him by his description and asked him, “Are you Muḥammad?” “Yes,” he replied. “You are Aḥmad?” they asked, and he said, “Yes.” They said, “We ask you about the clear testimony. If you tell it to us, we will believe in you and affirm you.” The Messenger of Allah 

said to them, “Ask me.” They said, “Tell us the greatest testimony in the Book of Allah.” So Allah Almighty revealed to His Prophet 

, “Allah bears witness that there is no god but Him, as do the angels and the people of knowledge, upholding justice,” and the two men became Muslim and affirmed the Messenger of Allah 

.’

It is said that ‘the people of knowledge’ means the Prophets. Ibn
Kaysān said, ‘It is the Muhājirūn and Anṣār.’ Muqātil said, ‘It is the believers of the People of the Book,’ and as-Suddī and al-Kalbī said that it is all believers. That is most likely because it is general.

This āyah is evidence of the excellence of knowledge and the nobility and excellence of scholars. If anyone had been nobler than scholars, Allah would have connected them to His name and the name of his angels, as He did scholars. He said to His Prophet ☪ about the excellence of knowledge: ‘Say: “Lord, increase me in knowledge.”’ (20:114) If there had been anything nobler than knowledge, then Allah would have commanded His Prophet ☪ to ask for increase in it. The Prophet ☪ said, ‘Scholars are the heirs of the Prophets,’ and ‘Scholars are the trustees of Allah over His creation.’ This honour for scholars is immense and their place in the dīn is important.

Ḥāfiẓ Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ghanī transmitted from Barakah ibn Nashīṭ, who is ‘Ankal ibn Ḥakārik, while the commentary is that of Barakah ibn Nashīṭ who was a ḥāfiẓ, from ‘Umar ibn al-Mu’ammil from Muḥammad ibn Abi-l-Khaṣīb from ‘Ankal from Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq from Sharīk from Abū Ishāq from al-Barā’ that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘The people of knowledge are the heirs of the Prophets. The people of the heavens love them and the fish in the sea ask for their forgiveness from the time they die up until the Day of Rising.’ There is also a hadith about this from Abu-d-Dardā’ that Abū Dāwūd transmitted.

Ghālib al-Qaṭṭān reported, ‘I came to Kufa to trade and camped near al-A’ postpone and I used to go and visit him. On the night when I wanted to go to Basra he was standing doing tahajjud reciting this āyah: “Allah bears witness that there is no god but Him…(to) Islam.” (3:18-19) Al-A’ postpone said, “I testify to what Allah testified and I entrust to Allah this shahādah, and it is in Allah’s custody for me. The dīn with Allah is Islam.” He repeated that several times. I went to him and said good-bye and then said, “I heard you recite this āyah. What
have you heard about it? I have been with you for a year and you did not convey it to me.” He said, “By Allah, I will tell it to you in a year’s time.” I got up and wrote that day on his door. When a year had passed, I said, “Abū Muḥammad, the year has passed.” He said, “Abū Wā’il reported to me from ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The one who has it will be brought on the Day of Rising and Allah will say, “My slave entrusted it to me and I am more entitled to fulfil it. Admit My slave to the Garden.”’”

Abu-l-Faraj al-Jawzī said that Ghālib is Ghālib ibn Khaṭṭāf al-Qaṭṭān. He related this hadith from al-A’mash and it is mu’ḍal (perplexing). Ibn ‘Adī said that it is weak. Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal said that Ghālib ibn Khaṭṭāf al-Qaṭṭān is very trustworthy. Ibn Ma‘īn said that he is trustworthy and Abū Ḥātim is righteous and truthful. You have enough proof of his integrity and trustworthiness in the fact that both al-Bukhārī and Muslim transmit from him in their books.

A hadith is reported from Anas in which the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘If someone recites: “Allah bears witness that there is no god but Him, as do the angels and the people of knowledge, upholding justice. There is no god but Him, the Almighty, the All-Wise” when he goes to sleep, Allah will create 70,000 angels asking forgiveness for him until the Day of Rising.’ It is said that whoever affirms this testimony firmly in his heart has established justice. It is related that Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said, ‘There were three hundred and sixty idols around the Ka‘bah. Each sub-tribe of the Arabs had one or two idols. When this āyah was revealed, the idols fell in prostration to Allah.’

**Allah bears witness that there is no god but Him,**

‘Allah bears witness’ means ‘explains and informs.’ Someone testifies before a judge when he makes it clear and informs about someone who owes something and who is owed it. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘The witness is the one who informs about the thing and makes it clear.
So Allah directs us to His Oneness by what He created and made clear. Abū ‘Ubaydah said that it means, ‘Allah decides,’ i.e. informs. Ibn ‘Atīyyah, however, says that this is rejected for various reasons.

Al-Kisā’ī recited ‘annahu’ and later ‘anna’d-dīn’. Al-Mubarrad said, ‘What it implied is: “The dīn with Allah is Islam which is that there is no god but Allah.”’ A bā’ which would normally be in the sentence (bi-anna) is elided. Islam explains the meaning of tawḥīd. According to al-Kisā’ī, Ibn ‘Abbās recited ‘innahu, anna’d-dīn’, implying ‘Allah bears witness that the dīn in the sight of Allah is Islam.’ Then he begins a new sentence. Abū ʿl-Muhallab, a reciter, recited ‘shuhadā’a lillāh’ in the accusative for the ḥāl, but ‘shuhadā’r’ is also reported from him. Shu‘bah related from ‘Āṣim from Zirr that Ubayy related that the Prophet used to recite, ‘The dīn with Allah is the Ḥanīfiyyah, not Judaism, Christianity or Magianism.’ Abū Bakr al-Anbārī said, ‘It is evident to anyone with discrimination that these words spoken by the Prophet are explanation and some of those who transmitted the hadith included it in the Qur’ān.’

‘Upholding’ is in the accusative for the ḥāl which reinforces His Name in ‘Allah bears witness’ or ‘but Him’. Al-Farrā’ said that it is in the accusative for the break and so it is like the root, al-qā‘im. When the alif-lām is cut off, then it is in the accusative. The reading of ‘Abdullah has ‘al-qā‘imu bi‘l-qisṭ’ as an adjective. Qisṭ is justice.

‘There is no god but Him’ is repeated because the first is in the position of a claim and testimony and the second is in the position of a ruling. Ja‘far aṣ-Ṣādiq said, ‘The first is a description and tawḥīd and the second is the notice and information, i.e. “Say: ‘There is no god but Allah, the Almighty, the All-Wise.’”’

١٩ The dīn with Allah is Islam. Those given the Book
only differed after knowledge had come to them, envying one another. As for those who reject Allah’s Signs, Allah is swift at reckoning.

The dīn with Allah is Islam.

In this āyah ‘dīn’ means obedience and religion (millah). Islam means faith and acts of obedience. Abu-l-ʿĀliyah said that and most mutakallimūn believe that. The basis for the different names for faith (īmān) and Islam is based on the hadith of Jibrīl. They can be used as synonyms, and so each of them is used for the other as we see in the hadith about the delegation of ‘Abd al-Qays in which he commanded them to believe in Allah alone. He asked, ‘Do you know what faith is?’ They answered, ‘Allah and His Messenger know best.’ He said, ‘It is to testify that there is no god but Allah and that Muḥammad is the Messenger of Allah, perform the prayer, pay ṣakāt, fast Ramadān and to pay a fifth of booty.’ It is the same when he says, ‘There are about seventy branches of faith: the least of which is to remove something harmful from the road and the highest of which is the words: “There is no god but Allah.”’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it. Muslim added, ‘Modesty is a branch of faith.’ They also blend together, so that one is used and the other is meant, just as this āyah includes affirmation and actions, and as the Prophet said, ‘Faith is affirmation with the heart, expression on the tongue and implementation of the pillars.’ Ibn Mājah transmitted it. It has already been mentioned. What is true is the first statement where the basic facts and the Sharī’ah are concerned. Anything further than that is just elaboration and Allah knows best.

Those given the Book only differed after knowledge had come to them.

Allah reports about the disagreement of the People of the Book and that it occurred when they already had knowledge of the truth and that it was due to envy and seeking this world. Ibn ‘Umar and others said that. There is change in the normal linguistic order here.
Al-Akhfash said that means: those who were given the Book only differed out of envy of one another after knowledge had come to them.

Muḥammad ibn Ja’far ibn az-Zubayr said, ‘It is the Christians who are being referred to in this āyah. It is to rebuke the Christians of Najrān.’ Ar-Rabī’ ibn Anas said, ‘What is meant are the Jews.’ The expression ‘those given the Book’ includes both Jews and Christians. They only differed about the Prophethood of Muḥammad after knowledge had come to them,’ meaning the clarification of his description and Prophethood in their Books. It is also said that it means: ‘those who were given the Gospel only differed about ‘Īsā, and split into sects, after the knowledge had reached them that Allah is one and ‘Īsā is the slave of Allah and His Messenger.’

‘Baghyan’ is in the accusative for the direct object or as a ḥāl modifying ‘those’. Allah knows best.

20 If they argue with you, say, ‘I have submitted myself completely to Allah, and so have all who follow me.’ Say to those given the Book and those who have no Book, ‘Have you become Muslim?’ If they become Muslim, they have been guided. If they turn away, you are only responsible for transmission. Allah sees His slaves.

If they argue with you, say, ‘I have submitted myself (lit. my face) completely to Allah’

This means: ‘If they argue using false statements and errors, then base yourself on what you are duty bound to believe and convey, and Allah will help you.’ ‘My face’ means ‘myself’ as in the hadith, ‘I (literally “my face”) have prostrated to the One who created me and formed me.’ It is said that here ‘face’ means intention. This was
already discussed in full in *al-Baqarah*. The first is more likely. ‘Face’ is used to designate the entire Essence since it is the noblest part of the person and the focus of the senses. A poet said:

I have submitted myself (‘my face’) to the One to Whom the clouds bearing rain submit.

Proficient *mutakallimūn* say that His words ‘the Face of your Lord will remain’ (55:27) mean the Essence. It is said that it is action by which His pleasure (face) is intended.

In ‘who follow me’ the ‘who’ is in the position of the nominative, added to the ‘I’ in ‘I have submitted’, meaning ‘those who follow me have submitted’. It is possible that it is added to the nominative pronoun without stressing the gap between them. Nāfi‘, Abū ‘Amr and Ya‘qūb keep the *yā‘* in ‘follow me’ as in the root. The rest elide it, following the written Qur’an where it is written without a *yā‘*.

**Say to those given the Book and those who have no Book, ‘Have you become Muslim?’**

‘*Those given the Book*’ means the Jews and Christians and ‘*those who have no Book*’ means those who have no Scripture, in other words the Arab idolaters. ‘Have you become Muslim?’ is a question which needs affirmation and also implies a command, meaning, ‘Become Muslim.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī and others said that. Az-Zajjāj says that it is a threat, and this is good because the meaning then is: ‘Have you become Muslim or not?’ The expression is then used in the past tense to stress the report about them being guided. It is said that the words ‘you are only responsible for transmission’ were abrogated by *jihād*. Ibn ‘Aṭīyyah said that this requires having knowledge of the history of its revelation. As for the apparent meaning of the revelation of these āyahs about the delegation of Najrān, the meaning is: ‘You must convey what has been revealed to you about fighting and other things.’
21 As for those who reject Allah’s Signs, and kill the Prophets without any right to do so, and kill those who command justice, give them news of a painful punishment. 22 They are the ones whose actions come to nothing in this world or the Next World. They will have no helpers.

As for those who reject Allah’s Signs and kill the Prophets

Abu-l-‘Abbās al-Mubarrad said, ‘Some of the tribe of Israel had Prophets come to them and call them to Allah, but they killed them. Some believers came afterwards and commanded them to submit and they killed them too. It was about them that this āyah was revealed.’ So Ma‘qil ibn Abī Miskīn said, ‘The Prophets used to come to the tribe of Israel without a Book and they killed them. Some people who followed them stood and commanded justice and were killed.’ Ibn Mas‘ūd reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Evil are people who kill other people who command to justice. Evil are people who do not command the right and forbid the wrong. Evil are people among whom a believer has to dissemble.’ Abū ‘Ubaydah ibn al-Jarrāḥ reported that the Prophet said, ‘The tribe of Israel killed forty-three Prophets at the beginning of the day in one hour; one hundred and twelve of the worshippers of the tribe of Israel rose and commanded the right and forbade the wrong and they killed them all at the end of the day. They are mentioned in this āyah.’ Al-Mahdawī and others mentioned this. Shu‘bah related from Abū Ishāq from Abū ‘Ubaydah that ‘Abdullāh said, ‘The tribe of Israel used to kill seventy Prophets on a single day and then set up their vegetable market at the end of the day. If someone says that
those who were being warned about this did not actually kill a Prophet, the answer is that they agreed with those who did the killing and so they were in the same position as them. They also sought the Prophet and his Companions and wanted to kill them. Allah says about them: “When those who disbelieve were plotting against you to imprison you or kill you.” (8:30)

This āyah indicates that commanding the right and forbidding the wrong was mandatory for past nations. It is the lesson of the Message and the caliphal task of Prophethood. Al-Ḥasan reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Whoever commands the right or forbids the wrong is Allah’s caliph on His earth and the caliph of His Messenger and the caliph of his Book.’ Durrah bint Abī Lahab said, ‘A man came to the Prophet when he was on the minbar and asked, “Who is the best of people, Messenger of Allah?” He replied, “The one who commands the right and forbids the wrong, has the most fear of Allah, and maintains his ties of kinship.”’

We read in the Revelation: ‘The men and women of the hypocrites are as bad as one another. They command what is wrong and forbid what is right.’ (9:67) Then Allah says: ‘The men and women of the believers are friends of one another. They command what is right and forbid what is wrong.’ (9:71) Allah made the fact of commanding the right and forbidding the wrong the measure of the difference between the believers and the hypocrites. This indicates that the most specific of the believer’s qualities is commanding the right and forbidding the wrong, and their summit is calling to Islam and fighting for it. Commanding the right is not suitable for everyone. The ruler undertakes it since he establishes the ħudūd and he punishes according to his opinion and imprisons, exiles or banishes. In every town he sets up a strong righteous man with knowledge and trustworthiness and commands him to see that the ħudūd are carried out, but without excess. Allah says: ‘…those who, if We establish them firmly on the earth, will establish the prayer and pay zakāt, and command the right and forbid the wrong.’ (22:41)
The people of the Sunnah say that it is not a precondition for the one who forbids the wrong to be upright, as opposed to innovators who say, ‘Only the upright can do it.’ This is discarded because justice is only found in a few people while commanding the right and forbidding the wrong is general to all people. If they counter with the words of Allah: ‘Do you order people to devoutness and forget yourselves?’ (2:44) and: ‘It is abhorrent to Allah that you should say what you do not do’ (61:3) and the like, they are told, ‘Censure here is about committing what is forbidden, not forbidding the wrong. There is no doubt that the prohibition is uglier when it comes from someone who does what is prohibited than from someone who does not do it.’ That is why he will go around in Hell like a donkey around a millstone as we explained in al-Baqarah (2:44).

The Muslims agree about what Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr mentioned: that when there is something wrong, it is an obligation on everyone who is able to do it to change it. If changing it is only a matter of censure which does not lead to harm that should not prevent them from changing it. If someone cannot change it physically, then they should do so with their tongue. If they cannot do that, then with their heart if they can do no more than that. If someone objects with their heart, they have done what they must do if they cannot do more than that.

The hadiths from the Prophet very often stress commanding the right and forbidding the wrong, but that is limited to one’s ability. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘If someone speaks to a believer, he hopes for a good result. If he speaks to someone ignorant, it is to teach him. If someone draws his sword or whip and says, “Fear me! Fear me!” then you should have nothing to do with him.’ Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘It is enough for a man, when he sees something objectionable which he cannot change, that Allah knows that he dislikes it in his heart.’ Ibn Lahī‘ah related from al-A‘raj from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘It is not lawful for a believer to humble
himself.’ They asked, ‘Messenger of Allah, what is humbling oneself?’ He replied, ‘Exposing himself to affliction which he cannot bear.’ Ibn Mājah transmitted this from ‘Alī ibn Zayd ibn Jid‘ān from al-Ḥasan ibn Jundub from Ḥudhayfah from the Prophet ☪. Something can be said about both transmissions.

It is related that one of the Companions said, ‘When a man sees something wrong which he cannot do anything about, he should say three times, “O Allah, this is wrong.” Then he has done what he must.’ Ibn al-‘Arabī claimed that if someone hopes to remove a wrong but fears that if he does that he will be beaten or killed, most scholars say that he is permitted to embark on that in the face of that uncertainty. If he does not hope to remove it, then what is the point? Then he added, ‘What I believe is that if someone is sincere in his intention, he should embark on doing it without caring about the consequences.’ This differs from the consensus which Abū ʿUmar mentioned. This āyah indicates that it is permitted to command the good and forbid the wrong even if one fears being killed. The Almighty says: ‘Command what is right and forbid what is wrong and be steadfast in the face of all that happens to you.’ (31:17) This alludes to being harmed.

The Imāms relate that Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah ☪ say, “Whoever of you sees something wrong should change it with his hand; if he cannot, then with his tongue; if he cannot, then with his heart, and that is the weakest form of belief.”’

Scholars say that changing with the hand is for the rulers, with the tongue for the scholars and with the heart for the weak, i.e. common people. If the wrong can be removed with the tongue by forbidding it, then one should do that. If the only way to achieve it is through punishment and killing, it should be done. If it can be removed without killing, then it is not permissible to kill. This is taken from the words of the Almighty: ‘Fight the attackers until they revert to Allah’s
command.’ (49:9) That is the basis for what scholars say about when a person defends himself against someone who attacks their person, or property, or the person and property of someone else: someone may do that and nothing can be held against him. If Zayd sees ‘Umar trying to take Bakr’s property, he must defend it if the owner is unable to do so or is not happy about it. It is said that the people of any town containing the following four individuals are protected from affliction: a just ruler who does not wrong, a scholar who is on the path of guidance, shaykhs who command the right and forbid the wrong and encourage seeking knowledge and the Qur’an, and women who are covered and do not display themselves in the way they used to in the Jāhiliyyah.

Anas ibn Mālik said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ☪ was asked, “When will we abandon commanding the right and forbidding the wrong?” He replied, “When there appears among you what appeared among earlier communities.” We asked, “Messenger of Allah, what appeared among the nations before us?” He replied, “When power is in the hands of your young people, lewdness in your great people, and knowledge in your base people.”’ Zayd said that this means that knowledge is with the impious. Ibn Mājah transmitted it. More about this will come in al-Mā‘idah, Allah willing. The meaning of the expression ‘come to nothing’ was mentioned in al-Baqarah.

23 Do you not see those who have been given a portion of the Book being invited to let Allah’s Book be the judge between them? But then a group of them turn away.

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘This āyah was revealed because the Messenger of Allah ☪ entered the Jewish study house where there was a group of
Jews and called them to Allah. Nu‘aym ibn ‘Umar and al-Ḥārith ibn Zayd asked him, “What religion are you following, Muhammad?” The Prophet ☪ replied, “I follow the religion of Ibrāhīm.” They said, “Ibrahīm was a Jew.” The Prophet ☪ said, “Bring the Torah. It is between us and you.” They refused and this āyah was revealed.’

An-Naqqāsh mentioned that it was revealed because a group of Jews denied the Prophethood of Muḥammad ☪. The Prophet ☪ told them, ‘Bring the Torah. There is a description of me in it.’ They refused. Most recite ‘judge’ as ‘yaḥkuma’ while Abū Ja‘far Yazīd ibn al-Qa‘qā‘ recited ‘yuḥkama’. The first reading is better because of what is in 45:29.

This āyah contains proof of the obligation of someone summoned to present his case before the judge because he has been called to the Book of Allah. If he does not do it, he opposes what is specifically incumbent on him in terms of restraining people by discipline. This occurs with us in Andalusia and the Maghrib, but not in Egypt. This ruling is explained in Sūrat an-Nūr: ‘When they are summoned to Allah and His Messenger so that he can judge between them, a group of them immediately turn away … No, it is simply that they are wrongdoers.’ (24:48-50)

Az-Zahrāwī reported from al-Ḥasan that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘If someone’s opponent calls him to a Muslim judge and he does not respond, he is a wrongdoer with no right.’ Ibn al-‘Arabī said that this hadith is false but the words ‘he is a wrongdoer’ are sound but the words ‘with no right’ are not sound. It is possible that it means he is based on something that is not right. Ibn Khuwayzimandād al-Mālikī said, ‘It is mandatory for everyone who is summoned to the assembly of the judge to respond as long as he does not know the judge to be impious or known to have enmity towards the claimant or defendant.’

It contains evidence that the laws of the Sharī‘ahs that came before us are binding on us except for what we know to be abrogated, and it is mandatory for us to judge by the laws of the Prophets before us
as will be clarified. We do not read the Torah or act on what is in it because it is not in the possession of those who are trustworthy regarding it and because they have changed it. If we know that something in it has not been altered or changed, then it would be permitted for us to recite it. It is related that ‘Umar said to Ka‘b, ‘If you know that it is the Torah which was revealed to Mūsā ibn ‘Imrān, I will recite it.’ He knew what had not been changed in it and that is why he called them to judge by it. It is said that the āyah was revealed about that and Allah knows best.

24 That is because they say, ‘The Fire will only touch us for a number of days.’ Their inventions have deluded them in their dīn.

This indicates their turning away, turning their backs and being deluded in what they said, as in their words, ‘We are Allah’s children and His loved ones.’ (5:18) The meaning of the expression ‘a number of days’ was discussed in al-Baqarah.

25 But how will it be when We gather them all together for a Day about which there is no doubt? Every self will be paid in full for what it earned. They will not be wronged.

This is addressed to the Prophet ﷺ and his community by way of restraint and wonder: ‘How will they be, or what will they do, when they are gathered on the Day of Rising and the falseness of those lies they told in this world is unmasked and they are repaid for what they earned by their disbelief, audacity and wrongdoing?’ The lām connected to ‘Day’ means ‘on (a Day)’ according to al-Kisā‘ī. The Basrans say that it means ‘for the reckoning of a Day’. Aṭ-Ṭabarī
said: ‘for what will happen on a Day.’

26 Say, ‘O Allah! Master of the Kingdom! You give sovereignty to whomever You will You take sovereignty from whomever You will. You exalt whomever You will You abase whomever You will. All good is in Your hands. You have power over all things.

‘Abū Bakr reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When Allah wanted to reveal the Fātihah of the Book, the Āyat al-Kursī, and “Say, ‘O Allah! Master of the Kingdom …’ to ‘… without any reckoning,’” they were suspended from the Throne and there was no veil between them and Allah. They said, “Our Lord, do not send us down to the abode of wrongdoing and to those who disobey You.” Allah said, “By My Sight and My Majesty, no one will recite you after every prayer, but that I will make him dwell in the Preserve of Sanctity for doing that and look at him with My hidden Eye seventy times day and grant seventy of his needs every day, the least of which is forgiveness, and give him refuge from every enemy and help him, and nothing but death keeps him from entering the Garden.”

Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal said, ‘One day I was prevented from being with the Prophet ﷺ and did not pray Jumu‘ah with him. He asked, “Mu‘ādh! What kept you from the Jumu‘ah prayer?” I answered, “Messenger of Allah, I owe the Jew, Yuḥannā ibn Bārā, an āqiyyah of gold and he is waiting for me at my door. I feared he would keep me from you.” He said, “Mu‘ādh, do you want Allah to settle your debt for you?” “Yes,” I replied. He said, “Say every day: ‘Say, “O Allah! Master of the Kingdom …to… without any reckoning” (3:27), You are the All-Merciful and Most Merciful to this world and the Next. You give of them to whomever You wish and deny them to whomever You wish. Settle My debt for me!” Even if you owe the like of this world
in gold, Allah will settle it for you.”

Ḥāfiẓ Abū Nuʿaym transmitted that ‘Aṭā’ al-Khurasānī reported that Muʿādh ibn Jabal said, ‘The Messenger of Allah taught me some āyahs of the Qur’an – or words – which are such that there is no Muslim on the earth who uses them for supplication when he is distressed by debt, but that Allah will settle it for him and alleviate his worry. I reckon that he mentioned it from the Prophet.’ It is a gharīb hadith of ‘Aṭā’ who has it mursal from Muʿādh.

Ibn ‘Abbās and Anas ibn Mālik said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah conquered Makkah and promised his community the kingdoms of Persia and Byzantium, the hypocrites and Jews said, “Unlikely! Unlikely! How can Muḥammad lay claim to Persia and Byzantium! They are too mighty and unassailable for that! Are not Makkah and Madīnah enough for Muḥammad so that now he desires the kingdoms of Persia and Byzantium?” Then Allah revealed this āyah.’

It is said that it was revealed to refute the falsehood of the Christians of Najrān when they said that ‘Īsā was God. That is because it is evident to every person with a sound nature that ‘Īsā did not possess any of these qualities. Ibn Isḥāq said, and Allah knows best, that this āyah is about their obstinacy and disbelief and that ‘Īsā was given Signs from Allah as proof of his Prophethood that took the form of bringing the dead to life and other things while Allah alone is the One who does these things as He says: ‘You give sovereignty to whomever You will. You take sovereignty from whomever You will. You exalt whomever You will. You abase whomever You will,’ and ‘You merge the night into the day. You merge the day into the night. You bring the living from the dead. You bring out the dead from the living. You provide for whomever You will without any reckoning.’ If ‘Īsā had been God, he would have done that and that would have been considered a sign.

Say, ‘O Allah! Master of the Kingdom!

Grammarians disagree about ‘Allahumma’ while they agree that the
"hā’ has a dammah, the mim is double with a fathah and that it is vocative. It is also found with a single mim as we see in a poem of al-A‘shā. Al-Khalīl, Sībawayh and all the Basrans say that the root is: ‘Yā ‘llāhu’ and when the verb is used without the vocative particle ‘yā’, they replace it with this doubled mim. These two mimis replace the two letters yā-alif. The dammah on the hā’ is the dammah of the noun which is in the singular vocative. Al-Farrā’ and the Kufans believe that the root is ‘Yā ‘llāhu ummanā bi-khayr’ (O Allah, lead us in good) and there is elision and the two words mixed together. The dammah on the hā’ is the dammah of ‘ummanā’ and when the hamzah is elided, then the vowelling moves. An-Naḥḥās says that according to the Basrans this is a grave error and that the best position on that is what al-Khalīl and Sībawayh said. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘It is impossible to omit the dammah, which indicates the singular vocative, and the dammah of ‘umm’ to be put onto the name of Allah. This is denial of the name of Allah Almighty.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is an error on the part of az-Zajjāj. He claimed that he had never heard, “Yā Allāhu umm” and that the Arabs do not say, “Ya-llahumma.”’

The Kufans say that the vocative particle is added to ‘Allahumma’ as ‘Yā Allahumma’ and quote poems illustrating that. [Poetry] They state that if the mim had replaced the vocative particle, they would not be together. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘This is aberrant and it is not known who said it. One does not abandon what is in the Book of Allah and all the collection of the Arabs.’ [POETRY] The Kufans said that a single mim is added to some words, but not a double mim.

Some grammarians say that what the Kufans said is an error because if it had been as they said, it would be necessary to only say ‘Allahumma’ alone because there is a supplication with it, but you say, ‘Allahumma-r-Razzāq’. If it had been as they claim, then the two would have been separated.

An-Naḍr ibn Shumayl said, ‘Whoever says, “Allahumma” has called on Allah with all His Names.’ Al-Ḥasan said, ““Allahumma” is a
Qatādah said, ‘I heard that the Prophet asked Allah to give his community the kingdom of Persia and Allah revealed this āyah.’ Muqātil said, ‘The Prophet asked Allah to give him the kingdoms of Persia and Byzantium as part of his community and Allah taught him to make this supplication.’

‘Master’ is a second vocative according to Sībawayh. It is like Allah’s words: ‘Say: “O Allah, Originator of the heavens and the earth.”’ (39:46) He says that it cannot describe ‘Allahumma,’ but Muḥammad ibn Yazīd and Ibrāhīm ibn as-Sarī az-Zajjāj disagreed and said that in syntax it is an adjective of the name of Allah and the same is true of 39:46.

The word ‘mulk’ here means Prophethood according to Mujāhid. It is said it means victory, and it is said that it means wealth and slaves. Az-Zajjāj said that it refers to the master of people and what they own. It is said that it means the Master of this world and the Next. It is also said that sovereignty means faith and Islam, meaning, ‘You give it to whomever You wish.’ The same is true of what follows as there is some natural elision in the words. [Poetry] Az-Zajjāj says that it means that Allah does whatever He wishes with people.

**You exalt whomever You will. You abase whomever You will.**

‘Exalt’ means to make high, overcoming and conquering as in the phrase: ‘He got the better of me (‘azzanī) with his words.’ (38:23) ‘Abase’ is to cause to be overcome, overpowered and conquered. Ṭarafah said:

A man slow in noble affairs, quick in what is unseemly, humiliated in the gathering of the men, an outcast.

**All good is in Your hands.**

This means that all good and evil is in Your hands, and there is some elision in the words. It is said that ‘good’ is singled out because it is what people supplicate for and desire. An-Naqqāsh said that it means victory and booty.
The people of indications said that Abū Jahl was very wealthy but was cast into the well at Badr. The poor – Ṣuhayb, Bilāl and Khabbāb – had no wealth; their wealth was faith. ‘Say: “O Allah! Master of the Kingdom! You give sovereignty to whomever You will.”’ The Messenger was an orphan in the care of Abū Ṭālib in the beginning and then he later called to the bodies thrown into the pit: ‘O ‘Utbah! O Shaybah! “You exalt whomever You will and You abase whomever You will.”’ He was referring to Ṣuhayb and Bilāl. ‘Do not believe that We denied you in this world because We hate you.’

**You have power over all things.**

His blessing is universal and He gives blessing to whomever He wishes.

27 You merge the night into the day. You merge the day into the night. You bring out the living from the dead. You bring out the dead from the living. You provide for whoever You will without any reckoning.’

Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid, al-Ḥasan, Qatāda and as-Suddī said that ‘merging the day into the night…’ means ‘We put the decrease of one into the lengthening of the other and so if the night has fifteen hours, which is longer, the day has nine hours, which is shorter.’ ‘Merge the day into the night’ is the same. That is what al-Kalbī said, and it is related from Ibn Mas‘ūd. It is also possible that the words simply mean that the night and day follow one another. One goes and then the other comes.

Commentators disagree about the meaning of ‘You bring out the living from the dead.’ Al-Ḥasan said that it means: ‘bring the believer out from the unbeliever and the unbeliever from the believer.’ Something similar is related from Salmān al-Fārisī. Ma‘mar related from az-Zuhrī that the Prophet ﷺ went to his wives and found a
woman of beautiful appearance there. He asked, ‘Who is this?’ They answered, ‘One of your aunts.’ He asked, ‘Who is she?’ They replied, ‘Khālidah bint al-Aswād ibn ‘Abd Yaghūth.’ The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Glory be to the One who brings the living from the dead!’ She was a righteous woman and her father was an unbeliever.

What is meant by this is the death of the heart of the unbeliever and life of the heart of the believer, and so life and death are used metaphorically. Some scholars believe that life and death here are real. ‘Ikrimah said, ‘It is the emergence of the live chick from the egg which is dead, and the emergence of the egg, which is dead, from the live chicken.’ Ibn Mas‘ūd said that it is the sperm, which is dead, that emerges from the man who is alive, and the man who emerges living from it when it itself is dead. ‘Ikrimah and as-Suddī also said, ‘It is the grain from ear and the ear from the grain. The kernel emerges from the palm tree and the palm tree emerges from the kernel. Life is likened to the palm and the ear.’ ‘You provide for whoever You will’ means without constriction or stinting, as in ‘He gives without reckoning.’

28 The believers should not take unbelievers as friends rather than believers. Anyone who does that has nothing to do with Allah at all – unless it is because you are afraid of them. Allah advises you to be afraid of Him. Allah is the final destination.

The believers should not take unbelievers as friends rather than believers.

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Allah forbade the believers to be friendly towards the unbelievers and take them as friends.’ This accords with His words: ‘Do not take any outside yourselves as intimates.’ (3:118) The words:
‘He has nothing to do with Allah’ means that he is not part of the party of Allah or among His friends. It is like the words in Surah Yusuf: ‘… ask the town’ (12:82). Then Allah makes an exception:

**unless it is because you are afraid of them.**

Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal and Mujāhid said, ‘This is taqiyyah in the Path of Islam before the Muslims were strong. Today, however, Allah has made Islam strong so that there is no need to dissemble before the enemy.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘It is that someone says something with his tongue while his heart is in fact at peace in faith. Such a person should not be killed and does not commit a wrong action.’ Al-Hasan said, ‘Taqiyyah is permissible for human beings until the Day of Rising but taqiyyah may not be used as a justification for killing’ Jābir ibn Zayd, Mujāhid and ad-Ḍaḥḥāk recited ‘taqiyyah’ rather than ‘tuqāh’.

It is said that if a believer is abiding among the unbelievers, he can flatter them with his tongue, if he fears for himself, as long as his heart is at peace with faith. Taqiyyah is only lawful when there is fear of being killed, amputation or great injury. If someone is forcibly compelled to disbelief, the sound position is that he can be firm and refuse to articulate disbelief, but it is permitted for him to do that as will come in an-Naḥl, Allah willing. Ḥamzah and al-Kisā‘ī pronounce ‘tuqāh’ with imālah while the rest make it emphatic. The root of ‘tuqāh’ is ‘wuqayah’ on the measure fu‘alah and the wāw has been changed into a tā‘ and the yā’ into an alif.

Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk reported from Ibn ‘Abbās that this āyah was revealed about ‘Ubādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit al-Anṣārī, who was a godfearing man who had been at the Battle of Badr. He had an alliance with the Jews. When the Prophet went out on the Day of the Parties, ‘Ubādah said, ‘Prophet of Allah, I have five hundred men of the Jews with me. I thought that they should come out with me and I can use them to defeat the enemy.’ Allah revealed, ‘The believers should not take…’ It is also said that it was revealed about ‘Ammār ibn Yāsir
when he said some of what the unbelievers forced him to say as will be mentioned in an-Nahl.

**Allah advises you to beware of Him.**

Az-Zajjāj said, ‘Allah cautions you about Himself.’” The Almighty says, quoting the words of Sayyidina ‘Isa: ‘You know what is in my self but I do not know what is in Your Self.’ (5:116) It means: ‘You know what I have and what my reality is but I do not know what You have and what Your reality is.’ Others say that it means to be afraid of His punishment. ‘You know what is in my self’ is what is concealed of me. ‘Allah is the final destination’ means that the end will be Allah’s repayment of one’s actions. This affirms the Resurrection.

29 Say, ‘Whether you conceal what is in your breasts or make it known, Allah knows it. He knows what is in the heavens and what is on earth. Allah has power over all things.’

He knows the secrets in the hearts and what they contain as well as what is in the heavens and the earth. He is the Knower of the unseen worlds and nothing whatsoever is hidden from Him.

30 On the Day that each self finds the good it did, and the evil it did, present there in front of it, it will wish there were an age between it and then. Allah advises you to beware of Him. Allah is Ever-Gentle with His slaves.

The word *Day* is in the accusative as it is governed by the verb in ‘Allah advises you’ while some say it is connected to ‘Allah is the final destination’ or to ‘Allah has power over all things.’ It is possible for it to be
a stop and imply an elided ‘Remember the day…’ It is like Allah’s words: ‘Allah is Almighty, Exactor of Revenge. On the Day the earth is changed.’ (14:47-48) The word ‘present’ is a ḥāl modifying an elided pronoun from the connective mā. It implies: ‘On the Day each self finds the good it did present.’ This is on the basis that the verb wajada means ‘to find’, as one finds a lost camel. The second mā is added to the first, and the words ‘it will wish’ is a ḥāl modifying the second mā.

If you make ‘tajid’ mean ‘you know’, then ‘present’ is the second object, and ‘wish’ is also in the position of a second object. It would imply: On the Day that each self knows the repayment for what it did present before it.’ It is permitted for the second mā to be in the nominative by the inceptive and ‘wish’ to be in the nominative as the predicate of the inceptive and it is not permitted then for mā to mean repayment because ‘wish’ is then nominative. If it had been in the past tense, it could be repayment and the words would mean: ‘Any evil you did, you will wish that there had been an age between it and that,’ in other words like the distance between the east and west. When the future has mā as a precondition, it is only in the jussive. It would imply the elision of a fā’, and would imply: whatever evil it did, then it would wish. Abū ‘Alī said, ‘In my view it is analogous to what al-Farrā’ said about His words, exalted is He, “If you obeyed them you would then be idolators” (Sūrat al-An‘ām 6:121) that it is based on the elision of the fā’.’

‘Amad’ (age) is the end and the plural is āmād. ‘One says, ‘He overcame the leader (istawla-l-amad).’ An-Nābighah said:

Except one like you or one you precede

as the steed comes first when overcoming the leader.

Amad also means anger, and the verb amida is to be angry.
31 Say, ‘If you love Allah, then follow me and Allah will love you and forgive you for your wrong actions. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.’

*Ḫubb* and *maḥabbah* mean ‘love’ as does ‘ḥibb’, which can also mean ‘beloved’ like *khidn* and *khadīn*. Both *aḥabba* and *ḥabba* are verbs meaning ‘to love’. When one says *aḥabba hu* the one loved is *muḥabb* and when one says *ḥabbahu, yaḥibbuhu* the one loved is *maḥbūb*. Al-Jawhari said that this is aberrant because a passive participle does not have a kasrah on the middle letter. Abu-l-Fath said, ‘The root in it is *ḥabuba* and the *bā’ is silent and elided into the second. Ibn ad-Dahhān Sa’īd said that there are two dialectical usages of *ḥabba*: *ḥabba* and *aḥabba*. The evidence that here it is *aḥabba* is that the same form is also used in 5:54 and 3:31. *Ḥabba* relates to *fa’ula* because of the saying *ḥabīb* and to *fa’ila* because of the saying *maḥbūb*. There is no active participle of *ḥabba* and so one never says, ‘I am a ḥābb.’ The passive participle of the IV form only occurs rarely, such as his words:

…with me he has the rank of the honoured beloved (*muḥabb*).

Abu Zayd cited *ḥababtuhu uḥibbuhu* and recited:

For by Allah if not for his dates I would not have loved (*ḥababtuhu*) him

and he was not less than ‘Uwayf and Hāshim.

And he recited:

By your life, I and the demands of a great metropolis

are like the one who grows in distance because of what he loves (*ḥabba*).

Al-Aṣma’ī cited *fatḥah* on the letter of the present/future along with *yā’* alone (*yaḥabbū*).

*Ḫubb* is also the name for a jar in Persian which has been arabicised. Its plural is ǧibāḥ and ǧibbah. Al-Jawhari said that.
The āyah was revealed about the delegation of Najrān when they claimed that what they alleged about ‘Īsā was out of love for Allah. Al-Ḥasan and Ibn Jurayj said that it was revealed about some of the People of the Book who said, ‘We are those who love our Lord.’ It is related that the Muslims then said, ‘O Messenger of Allah, by Allah, we love our Lord,’ and so Allah revealed this.

Ibn ‘Arafah said, ‘Love (mahabbah) with the Arabs means desire for the thing while aiming for it.’ Al-Azharī said, ‘A person’s love for Allah and His Messenger is to obey them and follow their commands. Allah says: “If you love Allah, then follow me.”’ Allah’s love for the slave is shown by His blessing them with forgiveness. When Allah says: “Allah does not love the unbelievers,” (3:32) He means that He will not forgive them.’

Sahl ibn ‘Abdullāh said, ‘The sign of love of Allah is love of the Qur’an. The sign of love of the Qur’an is love of the Prophet ♦. The sign of love of the Prophet ♦ is love of the Sunnah. The sign of love of Allah, love of the Qur’an, love of the Prophet and love of Sunnah is love of the Next World. The sign of love of the Next World is that one loves oneself. The sign of love of oneself is to hate this world. The sign of hatred of this world is to only take from it enough provision to suffice your needs.’

It is related from Abu-d-Dardā’ that the Messenger of Allah ♦ said about: ‘Say, “If you love Allah, then follow me and Allah will love you”’: that it constituted piety, taqwā, humility and abasement of the self. Abū ‘Abdullāh at-Tirmidhī transmitted it.

It is related that the Prophet ♦ said, ‘Anyone who wants Allah to love him must speak the truth, hand over trusts to their owners and not harm his neighbour.’ We find in Ṣahīḥ Muslim that Abū Hurayrah reported that the Messenger of Allah ♦ said, ‘When Allah loves a person, He calls out to Jibrīl and says, “I love so-and-so, so love him,” and so Jibril loves him. Then a call goes out among the people of heaven, “Allah loves so-and-so, so love him!”’ and the
people of heaven love him. Then acceptance is placed in the earth for him. When He hates a person, He calls out to Jibrīl and says, “I hate so-and-so, so hate him.” So Jibrīl hates him. Then a call goes out among the people of heaven, “Allah hates so-and-so, so hate him!” and they hate him. Then hatred is placed in the earth for him.’ More of this will be mentioned in Sūrat Maryam, Allah willing.

Abū Rajā’ al-‘Uṭāridī recited ‘yahbubkum’. ‘Forgive you’ is added to ‘love you’. Maḥbūb related that Abū ‘Amr ibn al-‘Alā’ elided the rā’ at the end of ‘yaghfir’ into the lām of ‘lakum’. An-Naḥḥās stated that al-Khalīl and Sībawayh do not permit the rā’ to be elided into the lām. Abū ‘Amr is too esteemed to err about such matters. Perhaps he lowered the vowel as he does in many places.

32 Say, ‘Obey Allah and the Messenger.’ Then if they turn away, Allah does not love the unbelievers.

Part of the clarification of this will come in an-Nisā’. The phrase: ‘…if they turn away’ is a precondition but not inflected because it is in the past tense. It implies: if they turn to their disbelief and turn away from obeying Allah and His Messenger. The clause: ‘Allah does not love the unbelievers’ means that He is not pleased with their actions and will not forgive them.

He said ‘fa-inna-llāha’ and not ‘innahu’ because when the Arabs exalt something, they mention it again as Sībuwayh quotes:

I do not see death. Nothing gets ahead of death.

Death disturbs both the wealthy and poor.

33 Allah chose Ādam and Nūḥ and the family of Ibrāhīm and the family of ‘Imrān over all other beings –

Allah chose Ādam and Nūḥ
‘Iṣṭafā’ means ‘to choose’. This implies: ‘Allah chose their dīn,’ which is the dīn of Islam. Az-Zajjāj says, ‘He chose them for Prophethood over the people of their times.’

It is said that the name ‘Nūḥ’ is derived from nāḥa (to mourn). It is a foreign name but is inflected normally because it has three letters. He was the Shaykh of the Messengers and the first Messenger whom Allah sent to the people of the earth after Ādam. He prohibited marriage with daughters, sisters, aunts and all close relatives. Some historians said that Idrīs was before him, but that is weak as will be explained in Sūrat al-Aʿrāf.

and the family of Ibrāhīm and the family of ‘Imrān over all other beings

The meaning of ‘āl’ (family) was discussed in al-Baqarah. We find in al-Bukhārī that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The family of Ibrāhīm and the family of ‘Imrān include the believers of the family of Ibrāhīm, the family of ‘Imrān, the family of Yāsīn and the family of Muḥammad. Allah says: “The people with the strongest claim to Ibrāhīm are those who followed him and this Prophet and those who believe.” (3:68)’ It is said that the family of Ibrāhīm is Ismāʿīl, Isḥāq, Yaʿqūb and the Tribes, and that Muḥammad was part of the family of Ibrāhīm. It is said that the family of Ibrāhīm was just himself and the same is true of the family of ‘Imrān. Affirming this is the words of the Almighty: ‘…certain relics left by the families of Mūsā and Hārūn.’ (2:248) We also find in a hadith, ‘I was given one of the flutes of the family of Dāwūd.’ A poet said:

Do not weep for a dead person after the death of the one loved by ‘Alī, ‘Abbās and the family of Abū Bakr.

Another said:

He suffers from the mention of Laylā’s family (āl), as a healthy person suffers from a sting.

He means the one who remembers Laylā herself.
It is said that the family of ‘Imrān is the family of Ibrāhīm, and it is said that it means Īsā because his mother was the daughter of ‘Imrān. It is said that it was ‘Imrān himself. Muqātil said that ‘Imrān was the father of Mūsā and Hārūn, and his name was ‘Imrān ibn Yaḥṣur ibn Qāhāt ibn Lāwī ibn Ya‘qūb. Al-Kalbī says that ‘Imrān was the father of Maryam, and he was a descendant of Sulaymān. As-Suhaylī says that he was ‘Imrān ibn Mātān whose wife was Ḥannah. He singled out these Prophets for mention because the Prophets and Messengers are according to their genus and their genus is from their offspring. ‘Imrān is not declined because of the ‘ān’ at the end of it.

The phrase ‘over all other beings’ refers to the people of their time according to commentators. Abū ‘Abdullāh Muḥammad ibn ‘Alī al-Tirmidhī al-Ḥakīm says that it means all creatures. It is said that it means all creatures until the Day the Trumpet is sounded. That is because the Prophets and Messengers are the elect of creation. Muḥammad ﷺ exceeds the rank of being chosen because he is also a beloved and a mercy. The Almighty says: ‘We only sent you as a mercy to the worlds.’ (21:107) The other Messengers are created for mercy while the character of Muḥammad ﷺ himself was mercy. That is why he was security for creatures: when Allah sent him, creation was safe from the punishment until the time the Trumpet is sounded. The other Prophets did not have this position. That is why the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘I am a guiding mercy.’ So he said that he himself was mercy for creation from Allah and from Allah for creation.

It is said that Ādam was chosen by Allah for five things. The first was his creation by the Hand of Allah in the best form. The second was that He taught him all the names. The third was the command to the angels to prostrate to him. The fourth was that He let him live in the Garden. The fifth is that He made him the father of mankind. Allah chose Nūḥ for five things. The first was that He made him the father of mankind because all the rest were drowned and only
his descendants remained. The second was his long life. It is said, ‘Bliss to the one who lives a long life and has good actions.’ The third that He answered his prayer about the unbelievers and believers. The fourth is that He carried him in the ship. The fifth is that he was the first to abrogate laws, because before this it was not forbidden to marry aunts.

Allah also chose Ibrāhīm for five things. The first was that He made him the father of the Prophets because it is related that a thousand Prophets descended from him. The second is that He took him as a friend. The third is that He saved him from the Fire. The fourth is that He made him an imam for people. The fifth is that He tested him with instructions and gave him success in carrying them out.

Since Mūsā and Hārūn were the sons of ‘Imrān, Allah chose them since He sent manna and quail down for his people, which no other Prophet had. If it is the father of Maryam, He chose Maryam to bear Īsā without a father which happened to no one else in the world. Allah knows best.

34 descendants one of the other. Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

The meaning of ‘descendants’ was already discussed in al-Baqarah. It is in the accusative for the ḥāl as al-Akhfash said, meaning descendants from the descendants of others. The Kufans say that there is a stop. Az-Zajjāj says that it is an appositive, i.e. He chose some of their descendants from others.

The words ‘one of the other’ can either refer to actual descent or to the fact that they help one another. We see the same usage in 9:67 were the hypocrites assist one another in misguidance as al-Ḥasan and Qatādah said. It is also said that it refers to being selected and chosen and given Prophethood. It is said that it is actual physical
descendants of one another, but this is the weakest view.

35 Remember when the wife of ‘Imrān said, ‘My Lord, I have pledged to You what is in my womb, devoting it to Your service. Please accept my prayer. You are the All-Hearing, the All-Knowing.’ 36 When she gave birth, she said, ‘My Lord! I have given birth to a girl’ – and Allah knew very well what she had given birth to, male and female are not the same – ‘and I have named her Maryam and placed her and her children in Your safekeeping from the accursed Shayṭān.’

Remember when the wife of ‘Imrān said.

Abū ‘Ubaydah said that the ‘when’ is redundant. Muḥammad ibn Yazīd said: ‘It implies “remember when”.’ Az-Zajjāj said, ‘The meaning is: “He chose the family of ‘Imrān when the wife of ‘Imrān said…”’ She was Ḥannah bint Fāqūd ibn Qunbul, the mother of Maryam and grandmother of ‘Īsā. It is not an Arabic name and Ḥannah is not known in Arabic as a woman’s name. However, we do find Abū Ḥannah al-Badrī, but it is said that his name is Abū Ḥabbah, and that is sounder. His name was ‘Āmir. Dayr Ḥannah is in Syria and there is another place called that which Abū Nuwās mentions in a poem.

Ḥabbah is frequently used as a name in Arabic. That includes Abū Ḥabbah al-Anṣārī, and Abu-s-Sanābil ibn Ba’kak mentions Ḥabbah in the hadith of Subay‘ah. Khannah is only known in the daughter of Qāḍī Yaḥyā ibn Aktham. She was the mother of Muḥammad ibn Naṣr. Jannah is only known in Abū Jannah, the maternal uncle of Dhu-r-Rummah the poet. All of that is in the book of Ibn Mākūlā.
My Lord, I have pledged to You what is in my womb, devoting it to Your service.

The meaning of nadhr (pledge, vow) was already discussed. A vow is something which a person imposes on himself which he was not obliged to do. It is said that when she was pregnant the mother of Maryam said, ‘If Allah saves me and I give birth to what is in my womb, I will devote him to Him.’ ‘Laka’ means ‘to Your service.’ ‘Muḥarrar’ is an adverbial ḥāl or an adjective of something elided. It means: ‘I have pledged to You what is in my womb as a boy devoted to You.’

The first is more consistent with the commentary, context and syntax. In respect of syntax, it is putting the adjective in place of what is described which is not permitted in certain places while it is permitted metaphorically in other places. In respect of commentary, it is said that the reason for what ‘Imrān’s wife said was that she was old and had not given birth. They were the people of a house devoted to Allah and one day she was sitting in the shade of a tree and saw a bird feeding its young and she felt tender and yearned for a child because of seeing that bird. She prayed to Allah to give her a child and she vowed that she would give up the child to serve Him, meaning that he would be a servant of the temple devoted to worship of Allah. That was permitted in their law, and the children were bound to fulfil the vow of their parents. She bore a girl, and a girl was not fitting for temple service either because of being subject to menstruation and the impurity involved or because of not being cut out for mixing with men. She had hoped that it would be a boy that she could devote to His service.

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘There is no disagreement that ‘Imrān’s wife did not impose an actual vow on her pregnancy because she was free. There is no disagreement that a person cannot make a vow on behalf of their child, whatever their circumstances. If the one making a vow is a slave, nothing he says in that respect is affirmed. If he is
free, it is not sound that he should dictate his child’s future. The same is true of a woman. So in what way was it a vow? Allah knows best, but people desire a child for company, help and solace, so this woman asked for a child for company and solace. When Allah granted her that, she vowed to abandon her share of the child’s company. That was based on serving Allah. This is the vow of the free pious ones. She wanted him to be devoted from both aspects, free from the servitude of this world and being occupied with it. One of the Sufis said to his mother, “Mother, let me belong to Allah, to worship Him and learn knowledge.” She said, “Yes.” So he set out until he had an insight and then he returned to her and knocked on the door. She said, “Who is it?” He told her, “Your son, so-and-so.” She answered, “We left you to Allah and will not return to you.”

Muḥarrar (devoting) is derived from ḥurriyah (freedom), the opposite of slavehood. From this root comes tahrīr (editing) of a book, which is to purify it of confusion and unsoundness. Khaṣīf related from ‘Ikrimah and Mujāhid that muḥarrar is someone who is sincerely devoted to Allah with nothing of this world sullying him. This is a known linguistic usage. Someone who is sincere is called ‘ḥurr’ and ‘muḥarrar’ which both have the same meaning.

**When she gave birth, she said, ‘My Lord! I have given birth to a girl.’**

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘She said this because only males are accepted in fulfilment of the vow she had made and Allah had accepted Maryam.’ It is said she raised her until she was grown up and then sent her. Ashhab related that from Mālik. It is said that she put her in her wrapping and sent her to the temple and fulfilled her vow straightaway. Perhaps they did not have segregation then as was also the case at the beginning of Islam. Al-Bukhārī and Muslim reported that a black woman used to sweep the mosque in the time of the Prophet ﷺ and then died.

**Allah knew very well what she had given birth to.**

If it is read as waḍa’tu (‘I have given birth to’), it is connected to the
rest of her words. That is the reading of Abū Bakr and Ibn ‘Āmir. It contains the idea of submission to Allah, humility and to put Him above having anything hidden from Him. It is not a report because Allah’s knowledge of everything is confirmed in the heart of the believer. She said that to exalt Allah. In the reading of the majority (waḍa’at), it is part of the words of Allah. It implies that it is after: ‘I have placed her and her children in Your safekeeping from the accursed Shayṭān.’ Allah knew very well what she had given birth to. Al-Mahdawī said that. Makkī said that it is information from Allah to us by way of confirmation. Allah knew what Maryam had given birth to, whether or not she said it. This is strengthened by the fact that, if it is part of the words of Maryam, it is like the words, ‘You know best what I have given birth to’ because she called to Him at the beginning of her words: ‘My Lord, I have given birth to a girl.’ It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās as ‘waḍaʿti (‘You have given birth to’), and so this was said to her.

**male and female are not the same**

Some of the Shāfiʿīs use this as evidence that if a woman obeys her husband and has intercourse in the daytime in Ramaḍān, they are not the same with regard to the obligation of kaffārah. Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘This is foolishness. This is a report about a Law before you and they do not say that. This righteous woman intended to clarify her situation. She had vowed her son to the service of the temple. When she found it was a girl, she excused herself to her Lord for things being different to what she had intended.’

‘I have named her Maryam’

An-Naḥḥās said that ‘Maryam’ is not inflected because it is a foreign noun which means ‘servant of the Lord’ in their language. The words: ‘her children’ refer to ‘Īsā; the word ‘dhurriyah’ can be used for both the plural and singular. We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘Every child who is born is pricked by Shayṭān on the day he is born and so it cries out
— except for Maryam and her son.’ Then Abū Hurayrah added, ‘Recite, if you like: ‘I have placed her and her children in Your safekeeping.’”

Our scholars said, ‘The point of this hadith is that Allah answered the supplication of Maryam’s mother. Shayṭān pricks all of the children of Ādam, even the Prophets and awliyā’, except for Maryam and her son. A veil was put between them and the prick hit the veil but none of it pierced through it.’ Our scholars observed, ‘Even if that was the case, it is false that only the two of them are singled out for it, nor does this oblige that the prick of Shayṭān causes misguidance and error in the person pricked. That is a false supposition. Shayṭān often presented forms of corruption and error to the Prophets and awliyā’, but Allah protected them from what Shayṭān cast as He says: “You have no authority over any of My slaves.” (15:42) Moreover, each of the descendants of Ādam has a companion from the shayṭāns assigned to him as was stated by the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. So even if Maryam and her son were protected from the prick, they were not protected from Shayṭān clinging and being attached to them. Allah knows best.

37 Her Lord accepted her with approval and made her grow in health and beauty. And Zakariyyā became her guardian. Every time Zakariyyā visited her in the Upper Room, he found food with her. He said, ‘Maryam, how did you come by this?’ She said, ‘It is from Allah. Allah provides for whoever He wills without any reckoning.’ 38 Then and there Zakariyyā called on his Lord and said, ‘O Lord, grant me by Your favour an upright child. You are
the Hearer of Prayer.’

Her Lord accepted her with approval and made her grow in health and beauty.

This means ‘He let her travel the path of the fortunate’ as Ibn ‘Abbās said. Some people say that it refers to caring for her upbringing. Al-Ḥasan said that it means He did not punish her for a moment, night or day.

The phrase: ‘He made her grow in health and beauty’ means that Allah made her physique proportionate without lack or excess. In a single day, she grew the amount a child would normally grow in a year. ‘Qabūl’ (accepted) and ‘nabāt’ (grow) are verbal nouns other than the ones that would normally be used with a verb in Forms IV and V.

‘Qabūl’ and ‘nabāt’ are verbal nouns other than the ones that would normally be used with a verb in Forms IV and V. [Illustrative poems omitted] The copy of the Qur’an of Ibn Masʿūd has ‘anzala-l-malāʾikata tanzīlan’ because nazzala and anzala mean the same. Al-Muḥāṭḍal said that it means, ‘He made her grow beautifully.’ It is more fitting to take the meaning we mentioned. The root of approval (qabūl) is qubūl because it is a verbal noun like dukhūl and khurūj, and the fatḥah is used in few letters, like walūʾ and wazūʾ. It is only these three. Abū ‘Amr, al-Kisāʾī and the imams said that. Az-Zajjāj allowed qubūl based on the root.

Zakariyyā became her guardian.

He adopted her. Abū ‘Ubaydah said that he was responsible for her care. The Kufan reading is ‘kaffala’ (Form II) which is transitive, taking two objects, and means: ‘Zakariyyā was made her guardian,’ by Allah who decreed that and made it easy for him. Ubayy’s copy of the Qur’an has the verb in Form IV (akfalahā) which has a similar meaning to Form II. It is also transitive, and the two verbs before it are also in Form IV. So Allah is speaking about Himself and what he did with her. That is why it is in Form II.

The rest read it as kafala, ascribing the action to Zakariyyā and so Allah informs us that Zakariyyā undertook her care and guardianship as indicated by His words: ‘…which of them would be the
guardian of Maryam’ (3:44). Makkī said that it is preferred because Form II derives from Form I because when when Allah made Zakariyyā her guardian, he became her guardian at Allah’s command and because what he did was by Allah’s will and decree. So the two readings are intermeshed.

Hārūn ibn Mūsā ibn Kathīr and Abū ‘Abdullāh al-Muzanī related ‘kafilahā’. Al-Akhfash said that one can say kafala, yakfalu, and kafila, yakfalu, and I have not heard kafula although it has been mentioned.

Ḥafṣ, Ḥamzah and al-Kisā‘ī recite Zakariyyā without maddah or hamzah while the rest have a maddah and hamzah as Zakariyā’. The people of Hijaz say Zakariyyā’. The people of Najd elide the alif and say ‘Zakariyy’. There are four dialectical usages. Abū Ḥātim said ‘Zakariyyu’ without inflection because it is a foreign name. This is an error because a name with a yā’ in it like this is inflected, like kursiyy and Yaḥyā. If it is read with a maddah and short, it is not inflected because there is a feminine alif in it, it is foreign and definite.

Every time Zakariyyā visited her in the Upper Room, he found food with her.

The word miḥrāb linguistically denotes the noblest place in an assembly, and it will be dealt with more fully in Sūrah Maryam. Tradition tells us that she was in an upper room and that Zakariyyā visited her by way of a ladder. ‘Adī ibn Zayd said:

When I came to the lady of the miḥrāb,
I only reached her by climbing a ladder.

Abū Ṣāliḥ related from Ibn ‘Abbās that ‘Imrān’s wife became pregnant when she was old and made a vow that the child would be devoted to the temple. ‘Imrān said to her, ‘What on earth have you done? What if it is a girl?’ They were both distressed about that. Then ‘Imrān died while Ḥannah was pregnant and she bore a girl and Allah accepted her. Only boys were devoted to the temple, so
the rabbis used their reeds, with which wrote they down the Revelation, to draw lots for her care. Zakariyyā became her guardian and found a place for her. When she was older, he arranged an upper room for her which was reached by a ladder. He hired a wet-nurse for her and she used to lock the door and only Zakariyyā went to her until she was an adult. When she menstruated, he moved her to his house and she stayed with her aunt, the wife of Zakariyyā, as al-Kalbī says. Muqātil says that her sister was the wife of Zakariyyā. When she was pure and had purified herself, he took her back to the room. Some of them say that she did not menstruate but was free from that.

When Zakariyyā visited her, he found her with winter fruit in the summer and summer fruit in the winter. He said: ‘Maryam, how did you come by this?’ She answered, ‘It is from Allah.’ That made Zakariyyā yearn for a child and he said, ‘The One who brings her this can also give me a child.’

The preposition ‘annā’ means ‘from where’ as Abū ‘Ubaydah said. An-Naḥḥās said, ‘This is somewhat negligent because ‘ayna’ asks about place and ‘annā’ asks about direction and method. It means: ‘From where and how did this come to you?’ Al-Kumayyāt distinguished between them:

How (annā) and from where (ayna) did rapture affect you
when there is no youthful passion or doubt?

As for the words: ‘Allah provides…’ It is possible that Maryam said this or that it is Allah speaking. That was the reason for the supplication of Zakariyyā to ask for a child.

**Then and there Zakariyyā called on his Lord.**

‘There’ (hunālika) can be used for time and place although its basic use is in reference to place. Al-Mufaqḍal ibn Salamah said that hunālika is about time and hunāka is about place, but one can be used in place of the other.
grant me by Your favour an upright child.

The word ‘hab’ means ‘give’. Dhurriyyah can be singular or plural, male or female. Here it is singular. This is indicated by Allah’s words: ‘...give me an heir (walî) from You’ (19:5) where He uses the singular, not the plural awliyâ’. ‘Ṭayyibah’ is in the feminine because of ‘dhurriyah’. This sort of usage is seen in:

Your father another khalifah bore him
And you are a khalifah with that perfection.

Where khalifah is referred to with a feminine verb (waladat).

It is related from Anas that the Prophet said, ‘If a man dies leaving righteous offspring, Allah will give him the same reward for their actions without that diminishing their rewards at all.’ The derivation of dhurriyyah was discussed in al-Baqarah. ‘Ṭayyibah’ here means righteous and blessed. The words: ‘You are the Hearer of prayer’ means ‘You accept the prayer.’ We find confirmation of that in the expression: ‘Allah hears whoever praises Him.’

This āyah is evidence that one can ask for a child. It is the sunnah of the Messengers and those who are true. The Almighty says: ‘We sent Messengers before you and gave them wives and children too.’ (11:38) We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that Sa’d ibn Abī Waqqāṣ said that ‘Uthmān ibn Maz‘un wanted to be celibate and the Messenger of Allah forbade it. He said, ‘If he had permitted it, we would have castrated ourselves.’ Ibn Mājah transmitted from ‘Ā’ishah that the Messenger of Allah said: ‘Marriage is part of my Sunnah, and whoever does not act by my Sunnah is not with me. Marry. I will have more numbers than other nations by you. If someone is wealthy, he should marry. If he has no wealth, he should fast. It is a shield.’ This is a refutation of those who say that someone who wants a child is stupid. Allah reports that Ibrāhīm said: ‘Make me highly esteemed among the later peoples’ (26:84) and He says: ‘Those who say, ‘Our Lord, give us joy in our wives and children.’ (25:74)
Al-Bukhārī has a chapter on asking for children. The Prophet asked Abū Ṭalḥah when his son died, ‘Did you make love to your wife in the night?’ ‘Yes,’ he answered. He said, ‘May Allah bless you in the result of your night.’ She became pregnant. We find in al-Bukhārī that Sufyān said that a man of the Anṣār said, ‘I saw nine children, all of whom recited the Qur’an.’ There is also a chapter on ‘Supplication for many children with blessing.’ Anas ibn Mālik said that Umm Sulaym said, ‘Messenger of Allah, pray to Allah for your servant Anas.’ He said, ‘O Allah, give him much wealth and many children and bless him in what You give him.’ The Prophet said, ‘O Allah, forgive Abū Salamah, elevate his rank among the guided and give him successors among his descendants.’ Al-Bukhārī and Muslim transmitted it. The Prophet said, ‘Marry a loving fertile woman. I will have the largest of the nations by you.’ Abū Dāwūd transmitted it.

There are many reports like this which encourage people to seek to have children since a person hopes to gain benefit by that in his life and after his death. The Prophet said, ‘When one of you dies, his actions come to an end except for three,’ and he mentioned ‘a righteous child who prays for him.’ If there had only been this hadith, that would have been enough.

Since this is established, it is obligatory for the human being to make supplication to his Creator to guide his children and spouse to success, guidance, righteousness and chastity and that they help him in his dīn and in worldly things so that he has great benefit from them in this world and the Hereafter. Do you not see that Allah says: ‘…make him, my Lord, pleasing to You’ (19:6) and ‘…give us joy in our wives and children.’ (25:74) The Messenger of Allah prayed for Anas: ‘O Allah, give him much wealth and many children and bless him in it.’ Al-Bukhārī and Muslim transmitted this. That is enough.
39 The angels called out to him while he was standing in prayer in the Upper Room: ‘Allah gives you the good news of Yaḥyā, who will come to confirm a Word from Allah, and will be a leader and a celibate, a Prophet and one of the righteous.’

The angels called out to him

Ḥamzah and al-Kisāʾī recited ‘fa-nādāhu’ with the alif for the masculine and imālah because its root is a yā’. Ibn ʿAbbās and Ibn Masʿūd read it with an alif and Abū ʿUbayd preferred it. It is related from Jarīr that Mughīrah ibn Ibrāhīm said that ‘Abdullāh [ibn Masʿūd] used the masculine for the angels throughout the Qurʾān. Abū ʿUbayd said, ‘We think that he preferred that in order to be different from the idolaters who said that the angels were the daughters of Allah.’

An-Naḥḥās said, ‘This argument is worthless because the Arabs use both feminine and masculine verbs with “men” as well as with “women”, so how can that be used as an argument in the Qurʾān? If it had been permitted to use this in the Qurʾān as an argument against them, it would have been permitted for them to use in argument the words of Allah: “When the angels said (qālat).” The argument against them is: “Were they present at their creation (hum)?” (43:19) So how can they say that they are female when it is known that this is mere opinion and whim?” ‘Nādāhu’ is permitted in the masculine plural and nādāthu is permitted because ‘group’ is feminine.

Makkī said, ‘Malāʾikah (angels) is a broken plural for sentient beings and the feminine is used as it is for those who are not sentient. Using the feminine hiya, you say, “They (hiya) are men. They are palm stumps. They are camels.” You use the feminine and say, “The Bedouins said.” This is strengthened by its usage here and elsewhere
in the Qur’an (6:93, 13:23) So both masculine and feminine are good.

As-Suddî said that it was Jibrîl alone, and that is found in the reading of Ibn Mas‘ûd. It says in an-Nahl: ‘He sends down angels with the Rûḥ’ (16:2) and He means Jibrîl and the Rûḥ is the revelation. It is permitted in Arabic to use the plural for a single person. We see that in the Revelation (3:173) where the plural refers to Nu‘aym ibn Mas‘ûd. It is also said that all the angels called to him. That is what is most apparent. It means that the call came from them.

while he was standing in prayer in the Upper Room: ‘Allah gives you the good news of Yaḥyā

‘Anna-llâh’ means ‘bi-anna-llâh’. Hamzah and al-Kisâ‘î read ‘inna,’ meaning ‘The angels say that Allah….’ The people of Madînah read ‘yubashhiruka’ while Ḥamzah reads ‘yabshuruka’. The same is true of Ḥumayd ibn al-Qays al-Makkî who has ‘yubshiruka’. The meaning is the same. They are three dialectal possibilities with the same meaning. The evidence for the first is the majority recitation that this is in the past tense or a command. There are many examples of this in the Qur’an. The second reading which is that of ‘Abdullâh ibn Mas‘ûd which is from bashara, yabshuru, which is the dialect of Tihâmah. [Poetry] The third is from abshara, yubshiru. [Poetry]

The name of Yaḥyâ in the first Book was Ḥayâ. Sârah was given her name by Ibrâhîm. In Arabic it means, ‘does not give birth’. When he was given the good news of Ishâq, he called her Sârah and Jibrîl gave her that name. She asked, ‘Ibrâhîm, why did you omit a letter of my name [i.e. Sarai].’ Ibrâhîm asked Jibrîl about that and he said, ‘That letter will be added to the name of a descendant of hers among the best of Prophets. His name is Ḥayâ and he will be called Yaḥyâ.’ An-Naqqâsh mentioned it. Qatâdah said that he was called Yaḥyâ because Allah gave him life with faith and Prophethood. Some of them said that he is called that because Allah revived people through his guidance. Muqâtîl said that it is derived
from the name of Allah, *al-Hayy* (the Living). It is said that it was because he revived the relatives of his mother.

**who will come to confirm a Word from Allah.**

The ‘Word’ is ‘Īsā according to most commentators. ‘Īsā is called a ‘Word’ because he was brought into existence by the word of Allah ‘Be’ without a father. Abu-s-Sammāl al-ʿAdawī recited ‘*kilmah*’ throughout the Qurʾan which is a classical dialectal form. It is said that he is called a Word because people are guided by him as they are guided by the words of Allah. Abū ‘Ubaydah said, ‘a Word from Allah’ is ‘a Book from Allah’. The Arabs call a qaṣīdah (ode) a ‘word’. Many other things are said, but the first is the best known among most scholars.

Yaḥyā was the first to believe in and affirm ‘Īsā. He was three years older than ‘Īsā, or six months is also said. They were cousins. When Zakariyyā heard his testimony, he went to him and embraced him while he was wrapped in a cloth. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘When Maryam was pregnant with ‘Īṣā, her sister was also pregnant with Yaḥyā. She visited her sister and said, “Maryam! Do you know that I am pregnant?” Maryam replied, “Do you know that I am also pregnant?” She said to her, “I felt what is in my womb prostrating to what is in your womb.”’ As-Suddī said that is acknowledgement of His words: ‘…confirm a Word from Allah.’

A ‘leader’ (*sayyid*) is one who leads his people and whose word is accepted. Its root is *saywid*. This indicates the permission to call a human being ‘*sayyid*’ as one can also use ‘*azīz* (mighty) or *karīm* (generous) for someone. Indeed, it is related that the Prophet ﷺ said to the tribe of Qurayzah, ‘Rise for your *sayyid*.’

We find in al-Bukhārī and Muslim that the Prophet ﷺ said about al-Ḥasan, ‘This grandson of mine is a leader and perhaps, by him, Allah will put things right between two great parties of Muslims,’ and that took place. When ʿAlī was slain, more than 40,000 gave allegiance to him, including many who had not joined his father and
those who broke their allegiance with him. For about seven months he was Caliph in Iraq and the lands beyond Khorasan. Then he went to Mu‘āwiya with the people of the Hijaz and Iraq while Mu‘āwiya went to him with the people of Syria. When the groups saw one another at a place called Maskin in Sawad in the region of Anbar, al-Ḥasan did not want to fight because he knew that neither side would be victorious until many of the other side had been slain and in that way the Muslims would be destroyed. He handed over authority to Mu‘āwiya with certain stipulations that he made, one of which was that he would have authority after Mu‘āwiya died. Mu‘āwiya was bound by all of that and the words of the Prophet ☪ were verified: ‘This son of mine is a leader…’ There is no greater leader than one made a leader by Allah and His Messenger.

Qatādah said that ‘sayyid’ means a leader in knowledge and worship. Ibn Jubayr and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘In knowledge and fear of Allah.’ Mujāhid said, ‘a noble leader.’ Ibn Zayd said, ‘One who is not overcome by anger.’ Az-Zajjāj said, ‘One who is above his peers in every type of good.’ This is comprehensive. Al-Kisā‘ī said, ‘A sayyid among goats is one advanced in years.’ A hadith has, ‘…a two-year-old sheep is better than a sayyid goat.’ [Poetry]

‘Celibate’ (ḥaṣūr) is derived from ḥasr, meaning confinement. The verbs ḥaṣara and aḥṣara means ‘to confine’. Ibn Mayyādah says:

The shunning of Laylā was not that she kept herself far away
From you and work did not detain (aḥṣaratka) you.

A she-camel that is ḥaṣūr has a narrow opening to a teat. A man who is ḥaṣūr does not go to women, as if he was held back from them. Ḥaṣūr and ḥāṣir are used for a man who is confined to bed and does not go out. One says, ‘The people drank, but so-and-so was niggardly (ḥuṣira) with them.’ [Poetry] We find in the Revelation: ‘We have made Hell a prison (ḥaṣir) for the unbelievers.’ (17:8) Ḥaṣūr is where the king sits because he is veiled from others. [Poetry] Yaḥyā was celibate because he did not approach women, as if he was kept from
what men normally do, as Ibn Mas'ūd and others said, the form fa‘ūl often having a passive meaning, as halūb means ‘milked’. [Poetry] Ibn Mas'ūd, Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn Jubayr, Qatādah, ‘Aṭā, and others said that it means he does not approach women although he is able to do so.

This is the soundest of the various views for two reasons. The first is that it is praise for him, and praise is given for an acquired trait which is not generally innate. The second is because it expresses an active choice, meaning that he restrained himself from his appetites. [POEM ON VERB FORM] This might have been part of his Divine Law. Our Law, however, stipulates marriage as was already mentioned. Ibn ‘Abbās, Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that ḥaṣūr refers to someone who has been castrated. Abū Ṣāliḥ related that Abū Hurayrah said that he heard the Messenger of Allah say, ‘Every human being will meet Allah with a sin that he has committed, for which He will either punish him or show mercy to him, except for Yaḥyā the son of Zakariyyā. He was a leader, celibate, a Prophet and one of the righteous.’ It is said that it means he kept himself from disobeying Allah.

As for the expression ‘one of the righteous,’ az-Zajjāj said that the righteous person is the one who practises what Allah has imposed on him and gives people their rights.

40 He said, ‘My Lord, how can I possibly have a son when I have reached old age and my wife is barren?’ He said, ‘It will be so. Allah does whatever He wills.’

It is said that ‘Lord’ here means Jibrīl, and he asked Jibrīl how he could have a son. This is the view of al-Kalbī. Some say that he means Allah. ‘Annā’ means ‘how’. There are two points contained in this question. One is that he asked how he could have a son when he
and his wife were of an age when they could not conceive, and the second is that he was asking if he would be given a son from his barren wife or from another woman. It is said that he meant: ‘How can this be the case when I and my wife are in this state’ out of humility.

It is related that the time between his supplication and the good news was forty years, and he was ninety when he received the good news and his wife was a similar age. Ibn ‘Abbās and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that he was 120 and his wife was ninety, since the word, ‘barren’, means too old to conceive. ‘Āqir (barren) can describe a man or woman. The verb is ‘aqara. ‘Uqr and ‘aqārah mean ‘barrenness. It is said that someone is ‘barren’ because it wounds (‘aqara) lineage. Barrenness (‘uqr) in a woman occurs when she is too old to conceive. ‘Āqir is used for a large tract of sand where nothing grows. ‘Uqr refers to the bride-price given to a woman when intercourse with her is a result of dubiousness (or likeness (i.e. to his wife)). It is also used for a cock’s egg. ‘Uqr is a hearth. The ‘uqr of a fire is the middle of it and the ‘aqr of a basin is the end of it where camels stand when they arrive. It is a word with multiple meanings.

The ‘kāf’ in ‘kadḥālika’ means: ‘Allah does whatever He wishes like that.’ Ghulām (son) is derived from ghulmah, which is intense desire for intercourse. [Poetry] It denotes a youth whose moustache has started to grow, or it is used for a boy up to the age of seventeen. The plurals are ghilmah and ghilmān. Ghaylmān is a youth or a girl as well as being a male tortoise. The verb ightilama describes the sea when its waves are clashing.

41 He said, ‘My Lord, appoint a Sign for me.’ He said, ‘Your Sign is that you will not speak to people for three days, except by gesture. Remember your Lord much
and glorify Him in the evening and after dawn.’

He said, ‘My Lord, appoint a Sign for me.’

When he was given the good news of a son and did not think that it was not within Allah’s power to bring that about, he asked for a sign by which to recognise the soundness of the matter and that it was truly from Allah, and so Allah punished him by afflicting him with the inability to speak to people after the angels had spoken to him. Most commentators have said, ‘It was not an illness or muteness’ which would be a form of punishment. Ibn Zayd said, ‘When Zakariyyā’s wife became pregnant with Yahyā, he could not speak to anyone. Although he recited the Qur’an and invoked Allah, he was unable to converse with anyone.’

except by gesture.

Linguistically, *ramz* (gesture) means to indicate with the lips, but it is also used for indication with the eyebrows, eyes and hands. Its basis is movement. It is said he sought that sign for increased reassurance, meaning, ‘Complete the blessing by giving me a sign so that the sign will be an increase of blessing and honour.’ Then he was told, ‘Your Sign is that you will not speak to people for three days,’ meaning that he would be unable to speak for three days. This is evidence that this was said to him after the angels gave him the good news. The words: ‘I created you before, when you were nothing.’ (19:9) mean: ‘I brought you into existence by My power. In the same way I will bring a son into existence for you.’ An-Naḥḥās preferred this view. He said, ‘Qatādah’s statement about Zakariyyā being punished by not being able to speak is rejected because Allah did not inform us that he had sinned nor did He forbid him to do that. His words means: “Give me a sign to indicate the existence of a child since that is hidden from me.”’

‘Ramz’ is in the accusative as a separated exception according to al-Akhfash, Al-Kisāʾī says that the verb is *ramaza*, *yarmuzu* and *yarmizu*. It is also recited as ‘ramazan’ and ‘rumuzan’ whose singular is *rumzah*. 
This āyah is evidence for a gesture being able to take the place of words, which is found a lot in the Sunnah. The strongest example is found in the ruling of the Prophet ☪ in the case of the black woman when he asked her, ‘Where is Allah?’ and she indicated heaven with her head. He said, ‘Free her. She is a believer.’ So this shows that Islam can be confirmed by gesture, and it is the basis of religion by which blood and life are protected and the Garden and salvation from the Fire are merited. The judgment is that her belief was confirmed in the same way that it would have been if she had expressed it verbally. Therefore gesture must be similarly admissible in every other area of the dīn. This is the view of most fiqahā’.

Ibn al-Qāsim related from Mālik that when a mute person makes a gesture indicating divorce, it is binding. In the case of a man who becomes ill and his tongue is disabled, ash-Shāfi‘ī said that he is like a mute person in respect of taking a wife back and divorce. Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘It is permitted if the gesture is well known. If it is not, then it is void.’ That judgment is not based on analogy, but on īstiḥsān. Analogy would entail it being invalid because he does not speak nor is his gesture understood. Abu-l-Ḥasan ibn Baṭṭāl said, ‘In saying this, Abū Ḥanīfah referred to the fact that he did not know of any sunnah which permitted gestures in different rulings in the dīn.’ Al-Bukhārī attempted to deal with this matter in his chapter, ‘Chapter of gestures in divorce and other matters.’ ‘Aṭā’ said that the words: ‘You will not speak to people’ meant that he should fast for three days because when they fasted they only spoke by gesture. This, however, is unlikely, and Allah knows best.

Some people say that it is permissible for the Qur’ān to be abrogated by the Sunnah. Zakariyyā was forbidden to speak when he was able to do so, and this was abrogated by the words of the Prophet ☪, ‘There is no silence in the day until night.’ Most scholars say that it is not abrogated because Zakariyyā was prevented from speaking by some disability which kept him from
having the power to speak even though he was healthy. That is what most scholars say. Many scholars believe that the hadith means that there should be no silence where remembrance of Allah is concerned. As for prattle, there is no benefit in it and silence in respect of that is good.

**Remember your Lord much and glorify Him in the evening and after dawn.**

He commands him to not abandon *dhikr* in spite of his tongue being restrained according to the first view. The meaning of *dhikr* was already discussed in *al-Baqarah*.

Muḥammad ibn Kaʾb al-Quraẓī said, ‘If anyone had had a licence to abandon *dhikr*, it would have been Zakariyyā since Allah says: “You will not speak to people for three days, except by gesture. Remember your Lord much…” and there might also have been a licence in battle but Allah says: “When you meet a troop, stand form and remember Allah repeatedly.”’ (8:45) Aṭ-Ṭabarī mentioned it.

The word ‘*glorify*’ here means ‘pray’ as the prayer is called glorification since it disconnects Allah from evil. ‘*Evening*’ (*‘ashiyy*) is the plural of *‘ashiyyah*. It is also said that it is a singular. Mujāhid said that it is the time from after midday until sunset. In the *Muwaṭṭā’*, al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad said, ‘None of the people I met prayed *Ẓuhr* until well after noon.’ *Ibkār* is from dawn until mid-morning.

42 And when the angels said, ‘Maryam, Allah has chosen you and purified you. He has chosen you over all other women.

**Maryam, Allah has chosen you and purified you.**

The words ‘*purified you*’ refer to purification from disbelief, as Mujāhid and al-Ḥasan said. Az-Zajjāj said it means of all impurities: menstruation, lochia and other things. Allah ‘*chose her*’ to give birth
to ‘Īsā over all the women of her time. Al-Hasan, Ibn Jurayj and others say that it means over all women until the Trumpet is sounded, and that is sound as we will explain. That is the position of az-Zajjāj and others.

The word ‘chosen’ is repeated because the first time it means chosen for worship and the second chosen to bear ‘Īsā. Muslim reports that Abū Mūsā said that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘There are many perfect men, but the only perfect women are Maryam daughter of ‘Imrān, and Āsiyah, the wife of Pharaoh. ‘Ā’ishah is preferred to other women like tharīd over other types of food.’ Our scholars said that ‘perfect’ means complete. The perfection of each thing is according to what it is. Absolute perfection belongs only to Allah. There is no doubt that the most perfect human beings are the Prophets, then the awliyā’, then the truly sincere, then the martyrs and then the righteous. If this is confirmed, then it is said that the perfection mentioned in the hadith means Prophethood which would necessitate that Maryam and Āsiyyah were Prophets, and that is indeed said. The sound position is that Maryam was a Prophet because Allah gave Revelation to her by means of an angel in the same way that He gave Revelation to the other Prophets. This will be further discussed in Sūrat Maryam. As for Āsiyyah, there is no clear evidence of her Prophethood, but of her ṣiddiqiyyah and excellence, as will be explained in at-Taḥrīm.

It is related by sound paths from Abu Hurayrah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The best of the women of this world are four: Maryam bint ‘Imrān, ‘Āsiyyah bint Muzaḥam, Khadijah bint Khuwaylid and Fāṭimah bint Muḥammad.’ Ibn ‘Abbās reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The best of the women of the Garden are: Khadijah bint Khuwaylid, Fāṭimah bint Muḥammad, Maryam bint ‘Imrān and ‘Āsiyyah bint Muzaḥam, the wife of Pharaoh.’ Another path has: ‘After Maryam, the mistress of the women of the people of the Garden will be Fāṭimah and Khadijah.’
The apparent meaning of the Qur’an and the hadiths demands that Maryam is the best of all the women of the world from Ḥawwā’ to the last woman alive when the Final Hour comes. The angels conveyed to her Revelation from Allah containing responsibility, information and good news, as was conveyed to other Prophets, and so she is a Prophet, and a Prophet is better than a *walī*. Therefore she is better than all other women, first and last. Next in excellence is Fāṭimah and then Khadijah according to what is related by Ibn ‘Abbās whereby the Messenger of Allah said: ‘The mistress of the women of the world is Fāṭimah, then Khadijah and then Āsiyyah.’ This is a good hadith which gives rises to ambiguity.

Allah singled out Maryam for things He did not give to any other woman: the Spirit of Absolute Purity spoke to her, purified her, blew into her shirt and approached her for that breath. That happened to no other woman. She believed the words of her Lord and did not ask for any sign as proof of the good news as Zakariyyā had done. That is why Allah called her *ṣiddīqah* in the Revelation when He says ‘a woman of truth’ (5:75) and: ‘She confirmed the words of her Lord and His Books and was one of the obedient’ (66:12). Allah testified that she was a woman of truth and affirmed the words of the good news and testified that she was one of the obedient.

The good news was received by Zakariyyā and he then considered his old age and the barrenness of his wife and asked for a sign. Maryam received the good news of a boy and then considered her virginity and not being touched by a mortal. She was told, ‘That is how it is,’ and she said nothing more and affirmed the words of her Lord and did not ask for any sign as proof. What other woman has possessed these qualities? This is why it is related that she will be among the forerunners to the Garden with the Messengers. The Prophet said, ‘If I were to take an oath, I would carry it out. Only about a dozen men will enter the Garden before the forerunners of my community. They include Ibrāhīm, Ismā‘īl, Isḥāq, Ya‘qūb, the
It is incumbent on someone who follows outward knowledge, and takes outward matters as proof of inward matters, to acknowledge the words of the Messenger of Allah ☪, ‘I am the master of the children of Ādam, and it is no boast’ and, ‘On the Day of Rising the Banner of Praise will be in my hands and the keys of honour will be in my hands. I will be the first to speak, the first to intercede, the first to give good news and the first… and the first…’ He only gained this leadership over the Messengers in this world by something immense inwardly. That is also the case with Maryam. She only obtained Allah’s testimony in Revelation to her being a woman of truth and affirmation in words by her rank of proximity. Those who say that she was not a Prophet said that her seeing of the angel Jibrīl was the same as his being seen by the Companions in the form of Diḥyah al-Kalbī when he asked about Islam and faith. That did not make the Companions Prophets. The first view is clearer and more frequently taken. Allah knows best.

43 Maryam, obey your Lord and prostrate and bow with those who bow.’

This means to stand a long time in prayer, as Mujāhid said. Qatādah said that it is to be constant in obedience. The meaning of qunūt has already been discussed. Al-Awzā‘ī said, ‘When the angels said that to her, she stood in the prayer until her feet were swollen and ran with blood and pus.’ Prostration is mentioned before bowing because the conjunction ‘wāw’ does not necessarily imply sequence in time. The disagreement about this was mentioned in al-Baqarah (2:158). It is also said that that was the order in their religion (that prostration preceded bowing). ‘Bow with those who bow’ means to pray like them, even if she did not pray with them. It is said that it
means the group prayer. This was also already discussed in *al-Baqarah*.

44 This is news from the Unseen which We reveal to you. You were not with them when they cast their reeds to see which of them would be the guardian of Maryam. You were not with them when they quarrelled.

This is news from the Unseen which We reveal to you.

This is referring to what Allah mentioned about Zakariyyā, Yaḥyā and Maryam. The words: ‘We reveal to you’ are evidence of the Prophethood of Muḥammad since he was reporting about Zakariyyā and Maryam without having read the previous Books and the People of the Book confirmed it. ‘This’ alludes back to what has just been mentioned. Revelation (*waḥy*) is sending the Message to the Prophet and can mean inspiration and indication and the like. Its linguistic root means ‘to inform in secret’ which is why inspiration is called *waḥy*. Usage of it is found in the āyah: ‘When I inspired the Disciples...’ (5:111) and: ‘Your Lord revealed to the bees.’ (16:68) It is said that the words: ‘inspired the Disciples’ means ‘commanded them.’ The verb is *waḥā* and *awhā* and *ramā* and *armā* which mean the same. Al-‘Ajjāj said:

He revealed to it to remain stable and it remained.

*Waḥy* can also mean speed as we see in the hadith: ‘Speed. Speed. (*al-waḥy. al-waḥy.*)’ Ibn Fāris says that it means indication, writing and the message and all that entails informing whatever it is. *Waḥiyy* is quick and *waḥā* is voice.

You were not with them when they cast their reeds to see which of them would be the guardian of Maryam.

‘You, Muḥammad, were not in their presence.’ Reeds (*aqlām*), the
plural of *qalam*, are so-called because they have been cut (*qalamā*). It is said that it refers to their arrows. It is also said that it was the reeds with which they wrote the Torah, which is more excellent, since Allah forbade drawing arrows in 5:3, saying that it is ‘deviance’, although doing that is permissible in a different way to the way they were used in the Jāhiliyyah for gambling.

Zakariyyā said, ‘I am more entitled to care for her because I am married to her maternal aunt.’ He was married to Ashya‘ bint Fāqūd, the sister of Ḥannah bint Fāqūd, the mother of Maryam. The tribe of Israel said, ‘We are more entitled. She is the daughter of our scholar.’ So they drew lots. Each one brought his reed and they put them into a river and the one whose reed remained would be the guardian. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The reeds were carried away and that of Zakariyyā rose to the top.’ It was a sign for him because he was a Prophet at whose hands Signs appeared. Something else is also said in respect of the grammar of the sentence which gives the meaning: ‘they were looking to see which of them would be the guardian.’

Some of our scholars use this as evidence that drawing lots is a permissible practice. It is a principle in our *Sharī’ah* for all of those who desire fairness in dividing things up. It is a *sunnah* according to the majority of *fuqahā’,* when the evidence is equal on both sides, in order to be fair, to calm hearts and to remove suspicion with respect to the allotting. No one is preferred over the other when that for which lots are drawn is the same type of thing, following the Book and the *Sunnah*. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people reject drawing lots and reject the hadiths about it and claim that there is no sense to it because it is like drawing arrows which Allah has forbidden. Ibn al-Mundhir related that Abū Ḥanīfah permitted it, saying, ‘Lots are not proper by analogy, but we abandon analogy in that case and take the traditions and the *Sunnah*.’

Abū ‘Ubayd points out, ‘Three Prophets made use of the practice
of drawing lots: Yūnus, Zakariyyā, and our Prophet, Muḥammad ﷺ. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘The allowance for employing the practice of drawing lots is like a consensus among the people of knowledge when deciding how things should be divided between partners and there is no sense in rejecting it.’ At the end of the chapter on testimony, al-Bukhārī has ‘Chapter on drawing lots in difficult cases and the words of Allah: ‘…when they cast their reeds.’’” He includes the hadith of an-Nuʿmān ibn Bashīr: ‘The example of someone who thinks little of the limits of Allah and falls into them is like some people who draw lots on a boat…’ This hadith will be discussed in al-Anfāl and in az-Zukhruf. Al-Bukhārī also has the hadith of Umm al-Alā’ when the Anṣār drew lots for the lodging of the Muhājirūn, and the lot of ‘Uthmān ibn Maẓ‘ūn went to them, and the hadith of ‘Ā’ishah which says: ‘When the Messenger of Allah ﷺ wanted to travel, he would draw lots between his wives, and he would travel with whichever wife had her lot drawn.’

The transmission from Mālik varies about someone drawing lots to determine which wife goes on a journey with him. Sometimes he says that one draws lots based on the hadith and sometimes he says that he should travel with the one who is most fitted to that journey. There is the hadith of Abū Hurayrah in which the Messenger of Allah ﷺ says, ‘If people knew what a reward there was for the call and the first row, and could find nothing but drawing lots for it, they would draw lots.’ There are many hadiths regarding this matter and the methodology of drawing of lots is described in the books of fiqh. Abū Ḥanīfah argues that the discussion of lots in the case of Zakariyyā and the wives of the Prophet ﷺ was in a context when they would in fact consent without lots being drawn and so it was permitted. Ibn al-ʿArabī says that this is weak because the point of drawing lots is to extract a hidden ruling in a case where there is a dispute. That is not the case when there is mutual consent. It is not valid for anyone to say that lots take the place of mutual consent.
Lots are never drawn when there is mutual consent. It is always when there is a dispute and begrudging.

According to ash-Shāfi‘ī and those who take his position, the description of the methodology of drawing lots is that small pieces of equal size are cut and on each piece the name of the person with a share is written. Then they are placed inside equal-sized nutshells without cracks and left to dry a little. Then a man who was not present puts them in a cloth and covers them with it. Then they are extracted from that. When the name of a man comes out, he is given the portion drawn for him.

The āyah also indicates that a maternal aunt is more entitled to guardianship than all other relatives except the grandmother. The Prophet judged that custody of the daughter of Ḥamzah should go to Ja‘far who was married to her maternal aunt, saying, ‘The maternal aunt is in the position of the mother.’ This was already mentioned in al-Baqarah.

Abū Dāwūd transmitted that ‘Alī said, ‘Zayd ibn Ḥārithah went to Makkah and brought Ḥamzah’s daughter. Ja‘far said, “I will take her. I am more entitled to my uncle’s daughter and I am married to her maternal aunt. A maternal aunt is [like the] mother.” ‘Alī said, “I am more entitled to my uncle’s daughter. I am married to the daughter of the Messenger of Allah and so I am more entitled to her.” Zayd said, “I am more entitled to her. I went to her, travelled with her and brought her.” The Messenger of Allah came out and the story was told to him. He said, “I judge that the girl should be with Ja‘far. She will be with her maternal aunt and the maternal aunt is like the mother.”’ Ibn Abī Khaythamah mentioned that Zayd ibn Ḥārithah was the executor of Ḥamzah. So according to this, the maternal aunt has more entitlement than the executor. When the cousin is her husband, that does not prevent the maternal aunt from taking custody, even if he is not a mahram of the girl.
45 When the angels said, ‘Maryam, Allah gives you good news of a Word from Him. His name is the Messiah, ‘Īsā, son of Maryam, of high esteem in this world and the Next, and one of those brought near. 46 He will speak to people in the cradle, and also when fully grown, and will be one of the righteous.’

This āyah indicates the Prophethood of Maryam. The ‘When’ is either connected to ‘quarrelled’ or to ‘you were not with them.’ Abu-s-Sammāl recitation of ‘bi-kalmati’ was already mentioned. ‘His name’ uses the masculine, not the feminine pronoun (for ‘word’) because ‘word’ here refers to ‘son’. Messiah (Masīḥ) is a title of ‘Īsā, and means ‘truthful’ according to Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘ī. It is said to be an arabicised word and its root has a shīn. So it has various meanings. Ibn Fāris says that masīḥ is sweat and also means truthful. A dirham which is masīḥ is smooth, without engraving. Mash means sexual intercourse. A place which is described as amsah is bare. Masḥā’ is a human with thin thighs and buttocks. Someone is described as having traits (mashahi) of beauty. Masā’ih are good bows, the singular being masīḥah. [Poetry]

They disagree about the origin of the term masīḥ in respect of ‘Īsā. It is said that he brushed through (masahā) the earth, in that he came to it but did not remain. Ibn ‘Abbās said that he did not wipe over (masahā) anyone with a defect without that healing him, which is why he was called Masīḥ. According to this it has the meaning of an active participle. It is said that that was because he was anointed (masīḥ) with the oil of blessings. The Prophets used to be anointed with it and it had a fragrant scent. When he was anointed with it, it was known that he was a Prophet. It is said that it was because
beauty touched him and showed on him. It is said that he was called that because he was cleansed with purity from wrong actions.

Abu-l-Haytham said, ‘Masīḥ is the opposite of masīkh. It is said that Allah smoothed (masaḥa) the creation of a person with good blessed character and transformed (masakha) someone’s creation into something ugly and blameworthy.’ Ibn al-A’rabī said, ‘The Masīḥ is truthful and the Maskhīh is one-eyed which is why he is the Dajjāl.’ Abū ‘Ubayd said, ‘The root of masīh in Hebrew is mashiḥā and has been Arabicised.’ The Dajjāl is a called a Messiah because one of his eyes is smoothed over (mamsūḥ). Some people call him Mashīkh rather than Masīḥ although the first is better known. He is called that because he will travel (yasīhu) around in the land and will enter all towns except for Makkah, Madīnah and Jerusalem. The Dajjāl will cause trial (miḥnah) in the land while the son of Maryam brought a gift (minḥah). A poet said:

The Messiah (Masīḥ) will kill the Messiah (Mashīkh).

We find in Saḥīḥ Muslim from Anas ibn Mālik that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘There is no land where the Dajjāl will not go except for Makkah and Madīnah.’ The hadith of ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr has ‘except the Ka’bah and Jerusalem.’ Abū Ja’far aṭ-Ṭabarī mentioned it. Abū Ja’far aṭ-Ṭaḥāwī added, ‘the mosque of aṭ-Ṭūr’. He related it from Junādah ibn Abī Umayyah from one of the Companions of the Prophet from the Prophet ﷺ.

We find in the hadith of Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah from Samurah ibn Jundub that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘He will be victorious over the entire world except for the Ḥaram and Jerusalem. He will lay siege to the believers in Jerusalem.’ We find in Saḥīḥ Muslim: ‘When things are like that, Allah will send the Messiah, son of Maryam and he will descend at the white minaret in the east of Damascus, wearing two yellow garments with his hands on the wings of two angels. When he bows his head, it will seem as if drops are falling from his head.
When he raises his head, drops like pearls will fall. Any unbeliever who catches the scent of his breath will die, and his breath will go as far as he can see. He will search for him [the Dajjāl] and will catch him at the gate of Ludd and kill him.’

Finally it is said that there is no derivation for ‘Messiah’ because Allah named him that and so ‘Īsā and Masīḥ are interchangeable. ‘Īsā is a foreign name which is why it is not inflected. If it is Arabicised, it is not inflected in the definite or indefinite because it ends with an *alif*. They would say that it is from the verb ‘āsa, yaʿūsu, which is to manage.

**of high esteem in this world and the Next, and one of those brought near.**

*Wajīh (of high esteem)* means honoured with high rank and value. According to al-Akhfash, it is in the accusative for the ḥāl. The plural is *wujahāʾ* or *wijahāʾ*. ‘One of those brought near’ means brought near to Allah and is added to the words ‘of high esteem’.

**He will speak to people in the cradle, and also when fully grown, and will be one of the righteous,**

‘*Cradle* (mahd) is a place where a child lies during the time of his infancy. The verb means to arrange a matter and smooth it. We find in the Revelation: ‘…making the way easy (yamhadūna) for themselves.’ (30:44) The verb *imtihada* (Form VIII) is used with something high, like a camel’s hump. The word ‘*kahl* (fully grown) refers to the period between being a boy and being an old man. The term *kahlah* is used for a woman. Form VIII of the verb is used for a meadow when it is in full bloom. It is said that he spoke to people in the cradle as a sign, and spoke to them when fully grown with Revelation and the Message.

Abu-l-Abbās said, ‘He spoke to them in the cradle to prove his mother’s innocence. He said, “I am the slave of Allah.”’ (19:30)’ The expression ‘*when fully grown*’ is said to refer to the fact that when Allah makes him descend from heaven, he will be thirty-three. He will be
fully grown and will say to them, ‘I am the slave of Allah’ as he said in the cradle. So both ages are signs and proofs.

Al-Mahdawī said, ‘The point of the āyah is that Allah informs them that ‘Īsā will speak to them in the cradle and live until he is fully grown. That is because the norm was that someone who spoke in the cradle did not survive for long.’ Az-Zajjāj said that it means ‘he will speak to them when fully grown.’ Al-Farrā’ and al-Akhfash said that it is added to ‘of high esteem’. It is said that it means that he will speak to people as a child and when fully grown.

Ibn Jurayj related that Mujāhid said that the word ‘kahl’ means being forbearing, but an-Nahhās said that this is not recognised as a linguistic usage. Those who study language say that it means the age of forty. Some of them say that one is a child until the age of sixteen, a youth until the age of thirty-two and then mature at thirty-three, as al-Akhfash said. The words among ‘among the righteous’ is supplementary to ‘of high esteem’ and means ‘among the righteous worshippers.’

Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah mentioned from ‘Abdullāh ibn Idrīs from Ḥuṣayn that Hilāl ibn Yasāf said, ‘Only three people spoke in the cradle: ‘Īsā, the child in the story of Yūsuf, and the child in the story of Jurayj.’ We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet said, ‘Only three spoke in the cradle: ‘Īsā ibn Maryam, the child in the story of Jurayj, and the infant who was being suckled by his mother when a tyrant [passed].’

It was reported from Ṣuhayb in the story of the story of the Trench: ‘A woman was brought to be thrown in the fire on account of her faith with an infant.’ It has in a version other than that in Muslim: ‘She held back from being thrown into it and the child said, “Mother, be steadfast! You are following the truth!”’

Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘Six spoke in the cradle: the witness for [the Prophet] Yūsuf, the child of the hairdresser of the wife of Pharaoh, ‘Īsā, Yaḥyā, the child in the story of Jurayj, and child in the story of
the tyrant.’ He did not mention the Trench. If that is included, it is seven. There is no contradiction between this and the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Only three spoke in the cradle.’ He reported about what he knew that had been revealed to him at that time. Then later Allah informed him about whatever further He wished and he reported that.

The story about Yūsuf will be dealt with later, Allah willing. The story about Jurayj, the one about the tyrant, and the story about the Trench are found in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim. The story of the Trench will be found in Sūrat al-Burūj, Allah willing.

As for the story of the hairdresser of Pharaoh’s wife, al-Bayhaqī mentioned from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When I was taken on the Night Journey, a pleasant fragrance reached me and I asked, “What is this fragrance?” They said, “It is the fragrance of the hairdresser of Pharaoh’s daughter and her children. [While she was combing her hair], the comb fell from her and she said, ‘In the Name of Allah.’” Pharaoh’s daughter asked, ‘My father?’ She answered, ‘My Lord, your Lord and your father’s Lord.’ She said, ‘Do you have a Lord other than my father?’ She replied, ‘Yes, my Lord, your Lord and your father’s Lord is Allah.’ He summoned her and demanded, ‘Do you have a Lord other than me?’ ‘Yes,’ she answered, ‘my Lord and your Lord is Allah.’ He ordered a hollow brass cow to be heated up and then commanded that she be thrown into it. She said, ‘I have something to request of you.’ ‘What is it?’ he asked, She said, ‘Put my bones and those of my children in the same place.’ He said, ‘I will grant that to you because of what I owe you.’ He gave the command and they were thrown one by one into it until it reached the suckling babe. It said, ‘Mother! Do not hold back! We are following the truth.’”’ Then he said, ‘Four spoke when they were infants: this one, the witness of Yūsuf, the child with Jurayj and ‘Īsā ibn Maryam.’
47 She said, ‘My lord! How can I have a son when no man has ever touched me?’ He said, ‘It will be so.’ Allah creates whatever He wills. When He decides on something, He just says to it, ‘Be!’ and it is.

She addressed Jibrīl because he is the one who appeared to her as the messenger of her Lord saying, ‘I am your Lord’s messenger to give you a pure son.’ When she heard that, she asked about how that would occur without sexual intercourse, noting that the normal means of conception was through sexual intercourse either in marriage or fornication. Stressing that, her words are reported in Sūrat Maryam: ‘I am not an unchaste woman’ (19:20) because the words ‘no man has ever touched me’ include both the lawful and the unlawful. It is said that she did not think that anything was impossible for Allah’s power, but she wanted to know how that would occur: by a future husband or by Allah’s direct creation. When Jibrīl said, ‘It will be so! Your Lord says, “That is easy for Me to do”’ (19:9), he breathed into the collar and sleeve of her shift, as Ibn Jurayj says.

Ibn ‘Abbās said that Jibrīl touched the sleeve of her shift with his finger and breathed into it and she conceived immediately. Various other things are said as well, and this will be discussed in Sūrat Maryam, Allah willing.

One of them said that Jibrīl breathed into her womb and it attached itself. One of them said that it is not permitted that the creation be from the breath of Jibrīl because then the child would be part angel and part human, but rather the reason for that is that when Allah Almighty created Ādam and took the covenant from his progeny, he put some of the fluid in the loins of fathers and some in the wombs of mothers. When the two fluids meet, a child is produced. Allah gave Maryam both fluids: some in her womb and
some in her spine. Jibrīl breathed into her to stimulate her desire because as long as a woman does not have her desire ignited, she does not become pregnant. When that happened by Jibrīl’s breath, the fluid in her spine descended into her womb and the two fluids mixed and the foetus was attached. That is why Allah then says: ‘When He decides on something,’ meaning when He wants to create something. This was discussed in al-Baqarah.

48 He will teach him the Book and Wisdom, and the Torah and the Gospel, 49 as a Messenger to the tribe of Israel, saying: ‘I have brought you a Sign from your Lord. I will create the shape of a bird out of clay for you and then breathe into it and it will be a bird by Allah’s permission. I will heal the blind and the leper, and bring the dead to life, by Allah’s permission. I will tell you what you eat and what you store up in your homes. There is a Sign for you in that if you are believers.

He will teach him the Book and Wisdom,

Ibn Jurayj said that the Book refers to writing and script. It is said that the Book is other than the Torah and the Gospel which Allah taught ‘Īsā.

as a Messenger to the tribe of Israel, saying:

‘As a Messenger’ either means, ‘We will make him a Messenger’ or ‘He will speak to them as a Messenger.’ It is also said that it is joined to the words ‘of high esteem.’ Al-Akhfash said, ‘If you wish, you can make the wāw before Messenger interpolated while the word
“Messenger” is a ḥāl modifying ḥā’ (him). It implies: “He will teach him the Book as a Messenger.” We find in a long hadith reported by Abū Dharr: ‘The first of the Prophets of the tribe of Israel was Mūsā and the last was ‘Īsā.’

I will create the shape of a bird out of clay for you and then breathe into it and it will be a bird by Allah’s permission.

It means: ‘I will form and fashion it for you.’ Al-A’raj and Abū Ja‘far recited ‘shape’ as ‘ka-hayyati’ while the rest have it as ‘ka-hay‘ati’ with a hamzah. The word ‘ṭayr’ (bird) can be masculine or plural. ‘Breathe into it’ means into part of it or into the clay. It became a bird. ‘Bird’ is read as ṭā‘ir or ṭayr.

Wahb said, ‘It flew as long as the people were looking at it. When they stopped looking at it, it fell down dead so as to distinguish the action of creation from the action of Allah.’ It is said that he only created a bat which has the full form of the bird so as to be more extensive in power because it has teats, teeth and ears. It menstruates, becomes pure and gives birth.’

It is said that they asked for the creation of a bat because it is the most wondrous of all creation. Among its wonders is that it is flesh and blood, flies without feathers, reproduces as mammals reproduce, without eggs like other birds, and it produces milk. It is not seen in the light of day or in darkest night, but at sunset and dawn. It laughs like a human being and menstruates like a human being. It is said that the people asked him for a sign out of their obstinacy, saying, ‘Create a bat for us and put a spirit into it if you are telling the truth.’ So he took some clay and fashioned a bat from it and then breathed into it and it flew in the sky. The fashioning and breathing was from ‘Īsā and the creation was from Allah, in the same way that the breathing [into Maryam] was from Jibrīl, and the creation was from Allah.

I will heal the blind and the leper, and bring the dead to life, by Allah’s permission.
Ibn ‘Abbās and Abū ‘Ubaydah said that akmah is someone who is born blind. Ibn Fāris also said that. Suwayd said:

His eyes were blind (akmah) so that they were white.

Mujāhid said that akmah is the one who can see in the day but not at night. ‘Ikrimah said that akmah means someone who is dim-sighted, but linguistically it refers to blindness. The verb is kamiha, yakmahu, kamah. Form II is also used to mean to make blind.

A leper (abraṣ) is someone suffering from white leprosy which is a whiteness that shows on the skin. Abras also means the moon. ‘Sāmm abras’ is an albino. These two ailments are mentioned because medicine was important in the time of ‘Īsā and so Allah showed them that type of miracle.

He brought four individuals back to life: a friend of his, the son of the old woman, the daughter of a tax-collector, and Sām ibn Nūḥ. Allah knows best. The friend had died some days before and He prayed to Allah and he rose by Allah’s permission, lived and had a child. The son of the old woman had passed by him being carried on a bed and he prayed to Allah and he got up, wrapped in his shroud, and carried the bed on his shoulder and returned to his family. He went to the daughter of the tax-collector in the night and prayed to Allah for her. She lived and had children.

When they saw that, they said, ‘He has brought back to life those who have recently died. Perhaps they were not dead but in a stupor.’ So he brought to life Sām ibn Nūḥ. He said, ‘Show me his grave.’ He went out with the people to his grave and he prayed to Allah and he came out of his grave, white-haired. ‘Īsā asked him, ‘Why is your hair white when there was no white hair in your time?’ He answered, ‘Spirit of Allah, you summoned me and I heard a voice saying, “Respond to the Spirit of Allah!” I thought that the Day of Rising had come and terror of that turned my hair white.’ He asked him about the wresting of the soul at death. He said, ‘Spirit of Allah, the bitterness of the wrestling has not left my throat.’ He had died
more than four thousand years earlier. He told the people, ‘Affirm him. He is a Prophet.’ Some people believed him and said that he was a Prophet and some said that it was sorcery.

It is related from Ismā‘īl ibn ʿAyyāsh from Muḥammad ibn Ṭalḥah from a man that when ‘Īsā wanted to bring the dead to life, he would pray two rak‘ahs and recite Surat al-Mulk (67) in the first and Surat as-Sajdah (32) in the second. When he finished, he praised Allah and then invoked his seven names: ‘O Ancient! O Hidden! O Constantly Abiding! O Unique! O Odd! O One! O Everlasting Sustainer!’ Al-Bayhaqī mentioned it and said that its isnād is not strong.

I will tell you what you eat and what you store up in your homes.

That is because when he brought the dead back to life, they asked for another sign and said, ‘Tell us what we eat in our houses and store up for the tomorrow.’ He told them in detail: ‘You, you ate such-and-such, and you, you ate such-and-such and stored up such-and-such.’ Mujāhid, az-Zuhri and as-Sakhtiyānī recited ‘mā tadhkharūna’. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr and others said, ‘He used to tell the children in the school what they stored up until their fathers forbade them to sit with him.’ Qatādah said, ‘He told them what they ate from the table and what they secretly stored from it.’

50 I come confirming the Torah I find already there, and to make lawful for you some of what was previously forbidden to you. I have brought you a Sign from your Lord. So have taqwā of Allah and obey me. 51 Allah is my Lord and your Lord so worship Him. That is a straight path.’

The word ‘confirming’ is an adverbial ḥāl joined to ‘Messenger’.
‘What I find already there’ is what came before him. It is said that ‘Īsā made lawful to them what they had been forbidden in the Torah because of their wrong actions, such as eating fat and animals with claws. It is said that he made lawful for them what their rabbis had forbidden them that was not actually forbidden in the Torah. Abū ‘Ubaydah said that ‘some’ can mean ‘all’. [Poetry] This is wrong theoretically and linguistically and wrong in the context because ‘Īsā allowed them certain foods that Mūsā had forbidden them, such as eating fat and others things, but did not make lawful for them killing, stealing or fornication. The evidence for this is what is related from Qatādah: “Īsā brought what was more lenient than Mūsā because Mūsā brought the prohibition of camels and fat and ‘Īsā made some of that lawful.’

An-Nakha’ī recited ‘haruma’. ‘Some’ means ‘all’ which something is added to it which indicates it as we see in the words of the poet:
You have destroyed Abū Mundhir. Let some of us remain.
Inside you some evil is easier than other kinds.
In the words ‘I have brought you a Sign from your Lord’ the singular for ‘sign’ is used although they are several, and so it is generic. They are all proofs of his Prophethood.

52 When ‘Īsā sensed unbelief on their part, he said, ‘Who will be my helpers to Allah?’ The disciples said, ‘We are Allah’s helpers. We believe in Allah. Bear witness that we are Muslims.

When ‘Īsā sensed unbelief on their part,
This means on the part of the tribe of Israel. The word ‘sensed’ here means knew and found, as az-Zajjāj said. Abū ‘Ubaydah says that it means ‘recognised’. The root of that is knowing about the existence of the thing by touching it, so ‘sensing’ is knowledge of the thing.
Allah says: ‘Do you see (sense) a trace of any one of them?’ (19:98) The word ‘ḥass’ means killing. Allah says: ‘…when you were slaughtering them (taḥussūnahum) by His permission.’ (3:152) There is also the hadith about the locusts: ‘The cold killed (ḥassahu) them.’ ‘Unbelief’ here means disbelief in Allah. It is said that it means hearing words of disbelief from them. Al-Farrā’ said, ‘They wanted to kill him.’

he said, ‘Who will be my helpers to Allah?’

This is asking for help against them. As-Suddī, ath-Thawrī and others said, ‘The meaning is “with Allah”.’ Allah knows best. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘It means, “Who will be my helpers on the Path to Allah” because he called them to Allah.’ It is said that it means, ‘Who will add their help to that of Allah?’ These are two excellent views. He asked for help to defend his people and make the Call to Islam public. Al-Ḥasan and Mujāhid said that. This is the custom of Allah for His Prophets and awliyā’. Lūṭ said: ‘If only I had the strength to combat you or could take refuge in some powerful support’ (11:80). He meant a clan and companions to help him.

The disciples said, ‘We are Allah’s helpers. We believe in Allah.

The helpers are those who help Allah’s Prophet and His dīn. The ‘disciples’ are the companions of ‘Īsā, who were twelve men according to al-Kalbī and Abū Rawq. There is disagreement about why they were called ‘ḥawwāriyyūn’. Ibn ‘Abbās said that they were called that because of the whiteness of their garments and that they were fishermen. Ibn Abī Najīḥ and Ibn Arṭāh said that they were fullers and were called that because of the whiteness of their garments. ‘Aṭā’ said, ‘Maryam exposed ‘Īsā to various types of work. The last of these was that of the disciples who were fullers and dyers. ‘Īsā’s teacher wanted to travel and he told ‘Īsā, ‘I have many garments of different colours. I have taught you how to dye, so dye them.’ ‘Īsā heated one pot and put all the garments in it and said, ‘By Allah’s permission, be what I want from you.’ The fuller arrived while all the garments were in the pot. When he saw it, he said, ‘You
have ruined them!’ Then ‘Īsā brought a red garment, a yellow one, a green one, and other colours and his dye was written on each of them. The fuller was astonished and realised that that was from Allah. He summoned the people to him and they believed in him. So they are the Disciples.

Qatādah and ad-Ḍaḥḥāk said they were called that because they were the elite companions of the Prophet because of the purity of their hearts. It is said that they were kings and each king prepared food and invited people to come to it. ‘Īsā was seated at a bowl whose contents did not decrease. The king asked him, ‘Who are you?’ ‘Īsa son of Maryam,’ he answered. He said, ‘I leave you this kingdom of mine and will follow you.’ He went with those with him and they were the disciples. Ibn ‘Awn said that.

The root of ḥawar linguistically is whiteness. The verb ḥawwara is used for bleaching a garment. Ḥuwārā is white food. The verb ihwara means to become white. A bowl that is muḥawwarah is whitened. Ḥawārī also means ‘helper’ as the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Every Prophet has a helper, and my helper is az-Zubayr.’ Ḥawāriyyāt means women because of their whiteness. [Poetry]

53 Our Lord, we believe in what You have sent down and have followed the Messenger, so write us down among the witnesses.’

It means that they say: ‘We believe in what is in Your Book and what has appeared of Your judgment.’ The Messenger is ‘Īsā. ‘The witnesses’ are the community of Muhammad ﷺ as Ibn ‘Abbas stated. It means: ‘Confirm our names with their names and place us among them.’ It is also said that it means: ‘Write us down with those who testify that Your Prophets told the truth.’
54 They plotted and Allah plotted. But Allah is the best of plotters.

The people who ‘plotted’ were the unbelievers of the tribe of Israel in whom he sensed unbelief, in other words they plotted to kill him. That was when ‘Īsā and his mother were expelled by his people from among them and he returned to them with the Disciples. He proclaimed the call among them and they wanted to kill him and plotted to assassinate him. That was their plotting. The plotting of Allah is when He draws on His slaves from where they do not know, as al-Farrā’ and others said. Ibn ‘Abbās said: ‘Whenever they commit an error, He renews blessing for them.’ Az-Zajjāj said, ‘It is Allah’s repaying them for their plotting. He named it by the original action which led to it.’ We have examples of that in 2:15 and 4:142. This was already discussed in al-Baqarah.

The linguistic root of makr (plot) is using stratagems and deceit. Makr is a plump shank and a type of garment. Makr is a type of plant. It is said that it is russet as Ibn Fāris said.

It is said that Allah’s plotting was casting the shape of ‘Īsā on someone else while raising ‘Īsā to Him when the Jews agreed to kill him. They entered the house and he fled from them and Jibrīl took him up from the window to heaven. Their ruler told a vile man among them called Yahūdhā, ‘Go in and kill him. He entered by the window and did not find ‘Īsā there. Allah then made him resemble ‘Īsā. When he came out looking like ‘Īsā’, they seized him, killed him and crucified him. Then they said, ‘His face is like that of ‘Īsā, but his body is like that of our fellow! If this is our fellow, then where is ‘Īsā? If this is ‘Īsā, where is our fellow?’ They began to fight among themselves and killed one another. This is what is meant by the āyah although other things are said as well.

Mākir is an active participle from makara, yamkuru. Some scholars
consider ‘the Best of plotters’ to be one of the names of Allah Almighty and say in supplication, ‘O Best of plotters, plot for me and not against me.’ The Prophetﷺ used to say in his supplication, ‘O Allah, plot for me and not against me!’ We have mentioned this in Kitāb al-Asnā. Allah knows best.

55 When Allah said, “Īsā, I will take you back and raise you up to Me and purify you of those who disbelieve. And I will place the people who follow you above those who disbelieve until the Day of Rising. Then you will all return to Me, and I will judge between you regarding the things about which you differed.

When Allah said, “Īsā, I will take you back and raise you up to Me

The order here is not sequential as is stated by a group of people with grasp of meanings, including ad-Ḍahḥāk and al-Farrā’. They say that the wāw does not entail time sequence. It means: ‘I will raise you to Me, purify you of those who disbelieve and make you die after you descend from heaven’ as in His words: ‘And were it not for a prior word from your Lord, and a specified term, it would inevitably have already taken place.’ (20:129) A poet said:

O palm tree from a root,
May the mercy and peace of Allah be on you!

And the order of the words is reversed.
Al-Ḥasan and Ibn Jurayj said that ‘take back’ means to take and raise to heaven without him dying. You use the same verb for taking property from a person. Wahb ibn Munabbih said, ‘Allah made Īsā die for three hours and then raised him to heaven.’ This, however, is unlikely because of the sound reports from the Prophetﷺ about his
descent and killing of the Dajjāl as we explained in *Kitāb at-Tadhkirah*. Ibn Zayd said that ‘take back’ and ‘raise’ mean the same and he has not yet died. Ibn Abī Ṭalḥah related from Ibn ‘Abbās that it means: ‘make you die’. Ar-Rabī’ ibn Anas said that it is the ‘death’ of sleep. The Almighty says: ‘It is He who takes you back to Himself at night.’ (6:60) This means that He makes you sleep because sleep is the brother of death. The Prophet ﷺ was asked, ‘Is there sleep in the Garden?’ ‘No,’ he answered, ‘sleep is the brother of death and there is no death in the Garden.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted it. The sound position is that Allah raised him to heaven without that being through death or sleep, as was stated by al-Ḥasan and Ibn Zayd. Aṭ-Ṭabarī preferred it and it is sound from Ibn ‘Abbās. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that.

Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘The story is that ‘Īsā gathered the Disciples in a room. There were twelve of them. The Messiah entered by a niche in the room and Iblīs informed all the Jews about that. About four thousand of them met and attacked the door of the room. The Messiah said to the Disciples, “Which of you will go out and be killed and be with me in Paradise?” One man said, “I will, Prophet of Allah.” He gave him a woollen shirt and turban and handed him his staff and he was made to look like ‘Īsā. He went out to the Jews and they crucified and killed him. Allah robed the Messiah in feathers, clothed him in light and cut him off from the pleasure of food and drink, and he flew with the angels.’

Abū Bakr ibn Abī Shaybah mentioned from Abū Muʿāwiyah from al-A‘mash from al-Minhāl from Saʿīd ibn Jubayr that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘When Allah Almighty wanted to raise ‘Īsā to heaven, he came out to his twelve Companions from part of the room with his head dripping with water. He said to them, “One of you will deny me twelve times after he has believed in me.” Then he asked, “Which of you will take on my resemblance and be killed in my place and be with me in my degree?” One of their youngest stood and said, “I
will!” ‘Īsā said, “Sit down.” Then he repeated the request and the young man stood and said, “I will!” ‘Īsā said, “Sit down.” Then he repeated the request yet again and the young man stood and said, “I will!” He said, “Yes then. It will be you.” So Allah made him resemble ‘Īsā.’ Allah Almighty raised ‘Īsā to heaven from an aperture in the room. Some of the Jews came, looking for him, and seized the one who looked like him and killed him and then crucified him. One of them denied him twelve times after having believed in him. They split into three groups. One group said, “God was among us for as long as he wished and then ascended to heaven.” They are the Jacobites. Another group said, “The son of God was among us for as long as he wished and then Allah raised him to heaven.” They are the Nestorians. Another group said, “The slave of Allah and His Messenger was among us for as long as he wished and then Allah raised him to Him.” They are the Muslims. The two unbelieving groups fought the Muslim group and killed them. Islam remained extinct until Allah sent Muḥammad ﷺ. Allah revealed: “One faction of the tribe of Israel believed and the other disbelieved. So We supported those who believed…” (61:14) This means that their forefathers believed in the time of ‘Īsā and “…against their enemy” means by making their dīn triumph over that of the unbelievers “…and they became victorious.”

We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘By Allah, the son of Maryam will descend as a just judge and will break the crosses, kill the pigs and remove the jīz̄yah, and there will be so much wealth that no one will have to strive for it. Rancour, mutual hatred and mutual envy will be removed. People will be invited to receive wealth and no one will accept it.’ He also related that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘By the One Who has my soul in His hand, the son of Maryam will say the talbiyah for ḥajj or ‘umrah or both at the ravine of ar-Rawḥā’.’

He will not bring a new Law and so abrogate our Sharī‘ah, but he
will renew what has fallen into misuse of it. It is as we find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘How will you be when the son of Maryam descends among you and your imam is from you?’ Ibn Abī Dhi‘ib said, ‘Do you know what is meant by “your imam is from you?”’ The transmitter asked him to tell him and he said, ‘He will lead you by the Book of your Almighty Lord and the Sunnah of your Prophet ﷺ.’ We further clarified this in Kitāb at-Tadhkirah.

The root of ‘mutawaffika’ is ‘mutawaffiyuka’ and the ḍammah is elided because it is heavy. It is the predicate of inna, and ‘raise you’ is added to it as is ‘purify you’ and ‘place’. ‘Jā’ilu’ is permitted to be ‘jā’ilun’. It is said that there is a complete stop at ‘purify you of those who disbelieve.’ An-Naḥḥās said that it is a good position.

The you in ‘the people who follow you’ refers to Muḥammad ﷺ. The words ‘…above those who believe’ means by the proof and establishing the evidence. ‘The people who follow you’ is addressed to Muḥammad ﷺ, although ad-Ḍaḥḥāk and Muḥammad ibn Abān say that the Disciples are meant. Allah knows best.

فَأَلَّا أَلَّاهُ الَّذِينَ كَفَرُوا فَأَلِمُوهُمْ عَذَاءًا بَعِيدًا إِلَّا أَلَا لَّهُمْ عَذَابًا أَخْرَجًا وَأَلَّا أَلَّاهُ الَّذِينَ اسْتَعْتُوا وَعَمِلُوا الأَنفُسَ
ْفِيْنَ مُغْفِرَةً عَلَيْهِمْ وَلَهُمْ دُنِىَاءٌ وَالْآَخَرَةُ
ْفِيْنَ مُكَأَثِرُ مِنْ أَجْرِهِمْ وَلَهُمْ جَزَاءُ الْمُتَّقِينَ يُحْيِي مُتُوبًا وَلِيُؤْضِبُ أَبْيَاضَ الْحَدَّاثَاتِ وَلِيُؤْضِبُ أَجْرَ الْأَبْرَارِ
ْفِيْنَ مَعَ أَلَا لَّهُمُ الْعَذَابُ الْخَلِيْلِ وَالْأَمْرُ

56 As for those who disbelieve, I will punish them with a harsh punishment in this world and the Next World. They will have no helpers.’ 57 As for those who believe and do right actions, We will pay them their wages in full. Allah does not love wrongdoers. 58 That is what We recite to you of the Signs and the wise Reminder.

They will be punished in this world by killing, crucifixion, capture and the payment of jīzyah, and in the Next World by the Fire.
59 The likeness of ‘Īsā in Allah’s sight is the same as Ādam. He created him from earth and then He said to him, ‘Be!’ and he was. 60 It is the truth from your Lord so do not be among the doubters.

This is evidence for the validity of using analogy. The comparison between ‘Īsā and Ādam was based on the fact that, like Ādam, ‘Īsā was created without a father not that he was created from dust. The one resembles the other, even if there is a great difference between them, in that single attribute. Ādam was created from dust and ‘Īsā was not, and there is a difference between them in this respect. But the similarity between them was that they were both created without a father and because the basis of their creation was dust. Ādam was not created from dust itself, but the dust became mud and then clay, and then he was created from that. Similarly, ‘Īsā went from one state to another and then became a human being without a father.

This āyah was revealed about the delegation of Najrān when they denied the words of the Prophet ﷺ: “‘Īsā is the slave of Allah and His Word.’ They said, ‘Show us a slave created without a father!’ The Prophet ﷺ replied, ‘Ādam. Who was his father? Do you then wonder at ‘Īsā not having a father? Ādam had neither father nor mother.’ That is referred to by the words of Allah: ‘Every time they come to you with a difficult point, We bring you the truth and the best of explanations.’ (25:33)

It is also related that when the Prophet ﷺ invited them to Islam, they said, ‘We were Muslims before you,’ he said, ‘You lie. Three things keep you from Islam: your statement that Allah has had a son, your eating pork and your bowing to the cross.’ They asked, ‘So who was ‘Isā’s father?’ Then Allah revealed this āyah up until ‘upon the liars.’ (3:61)
When he invited them to mutually curse one another, they said to one other, ‘If you do it, the wadi will be filled with fire against you.’ They asked, ‘Do you offer us something else?’ He said, ‘It is either Islam, jizyah, or war.’ They agreed to pay jizyah.

The words end at ‘Adam’. The verb ‘was (yakūn)’ is in the future tense while the past tense is meant as is understood from the meaning. Al-Farrā’ said that ‘It is the truth from your Lord’ is in the nominative by an implied ‘It is’. It is a new sentence whose predicate is ‘from your Lord’. It is said that it is an active participle, i.e. ‘The truth has come to you.’

**Do not be among the doubters**

This is addressed to the Prophet 🕋, but what is meant is his community because he had no doubts about the story of ‘Īsā.

61 If anyone argues with you about him after the knowledge that has come to you, say, ‘Come then! Let us summon our sons and your sons, our women and your women, ourselves and yourselves. Then let us make earnest supplication and call down the curse of Allah upon the liars.’

If anyone argues with you about him after the knowledge that has come to you,

‘If, Muḥammad, anyone argues with you and debates with you about ‘Īsā after you know that he is the slave and Messenger of Allah.’

say, ‘Come then! Let us summon our sons and your sons, our women and your women, ourselves and yourselves. Then let us make earnest supplication and call down the curse of Allah upon the liars.’
The form ‘tā‘alaw’ was used for someone respected and with a high position and then was used to ask anyone to come forward. It will be further explained in al-A‘nām. This shows that the term ‘sons’ can refer to grandsons since the Prophet brought al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn with Fāṭimah walking behind and ‘Alī behind her. He said to them, ‘If I supplicate, then say, “Āmīn.”’ Ibtihāl is intense supplication as Ibn ‘Abbās said. Abū ‘Ubaydah and al-Kisā’ī said that it is to curse one another. The root of ibtihāl is striving in supplication with curses and other things. Labīd said:

Time looked at the mature masters of his people and strove (ibtihala) [to destroy them].

Bahl means cursing, and a small amount water. Abhala (Form IV) is to leave someone to himself or to his own will. Form I is used in the same way. Ibn ‘Abbās said that it is about the delegation of Najrān. Their leaders were the ‘Āqib (leader), the Sayyid (master) and Ibn Ḥarīth.

This āyah is one of those informing about the Prophethood of Muḥammad because when he invited them to mutual cursing, they refused and were content to pay the jizyah after their leader told them if they engaged in mutual cursing, the wadi would have been filled with fire against them and that Muḥammad is a Prophet with a Message. He told them that they knew that he had brought definitive information deciding what is true about ‘Īsā. So they did not accept the mutual curse and went to their land having agreed to pay the jizyah: every year a thousand fine robes in Ṣafar and a thousand in Rajab. The Messenger of Allah made peace with them on that basis.

Most scholars said that what the Prophet said about al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn, ‘…let us summon our sons and your sons’ and about al-Ḥasan, ‘This son of mine is a master’, are specifically for al-Ḥasan and al-Ḥusayn: the Prophet called them his sons rather than
anyone else since he said, ‘Every cause and lineage will be cut off on the Day of Rising except for my lineage and cause.’ That is why some of the people of ash-Shāfi‘ī say about someone who makes a bequest to the child of a certain person who had no children, but had grandchildren from a son and from a daughter, that the bequest goes to the child of the son, but not of the daughter. That is the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī. This will be further dealt with in al-An‘ām and az-Zukhruf, Allah willing.

62 This is the true account: there is no other god besides Allah. Allah – He is the Almighty, the All-Wise.

63 And if they turn away, Allah knows the corrupters. ‘This’ indicates the Qur’an and the stories it contains. It is called an ‘account’ because the meanings follow one another in it, as qāṣṣā can also mean ‘to follow’. In the phrase ‘there is no other god besides Allah,’ ‘min’ is added for stress. The Almighty (al-‘Azīz) is the One Who cannot be overcome and the All-Wise (al-Ḥakīm) is the One with wisdom. This has already been discussed.

64 Say, ‘People of the Book! come to a proposition which is the same for us and you – that we should worship none but Allah and not associate any partners with Him and not take one another as lords besides Allah.’ If they turn away, say, ‘Bear witness that we are Muslims.’
According to al-Ḥasan, Ibn Zayd and as-Suddī, this is addressed to the people of Najrān. According to Qatādah, Ibn Jurayj and others, it is addressed to the Jews of Madīnah. They are addressed in that way because they turned their rabbis into Lords in the way they obeyed them. It is also said that it is addressed to both the Jews and the Christians. The Prophet ﷺ also quoted this āyah in his letter to Heraclius. He said, ‘In the Name of Allah, the All-Merciful, Most Merciful. From Muḥammad, the Messenger of Allah, to Heraclius, ruler of Byzantium. Peace be upon whomever follows the guidance. I invite you to Islam. Become Muslim and you will be safe. Become Muslim and Allah will double your reward. If you turn away, then you bear the sin of your subjects. “People of the Book! come to a proposition which is the same for us and you – that we should worship none but Allah and not associate any partners with Him and not take one another as lords besides Allah.’ If they turn away, say, ‘Bear witness that we are Muslims.”’

The word sawā’ means justice and fairness. Qatādah said that. Zuhayr said:

Show me a course of action with no unfairness in it,

in which we are equal.

Al-Farrā’ said, ‘Both siwā and suwā have the meaning of equality.’ It is used in 20:58. He said that the reading of ‘Abdullāh has ‘adl’ instead of ‘sawā’. The reading of Qa‘nab has ‘kilmah’. It means: ‘Respond to what we invite you to. It is a just, upright proposition which does not deviate at all from the truth,’ which He, ta‘ālā, explained by his words: ‘that we should worship none but Allah.’

and not take one another as lords besides Allah

‘An’ [collected to allā] is in the genitive for the appositive for ‘proposition’ or in the nominative by an implied inchoative. It means: ‘We only worship Allah’ or it is explanative without any place. [OMISSION] Al-Kisā‘ī and al-Farrā’ said that the phrase ‘not associate any partners with Him and not take’ is in the jussive, imagining
that there is no ‘an’ at the beginning of the words.

It means, ‘We do not follow others in their making anything lawful or unlawful except regarding what Allah Himself has made lawful.’ It is similar to Allah’s words: ‘They have taken their rabbis and monks as lords besides Allah.’ (9:31) It means: ‘They raised them to the station of their Lord by accepting their making things ḥarām or ḥalāl which Allah had not.’ This indicates the falsity of the position of pure istiḥsān which is not based on any legal proof. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘It is like the rulings by istiḥsān of Abū Ḥanīfah in the determinations he made without any clear reliance on a source.’ It also refutes the Rāfidite Shi‘ites who say that one must accept the position of the Imām without any legal clarification: he can make lawful what Allah has made unlawful without explaining what he has relied on in the Sharī‘ah in doing that. Arbāb (lords) is the plural of rabb. The word ‘besides’ (dūn) means ‘other than’.

If they turn away, say, ‘Bear witness that we are Muslims.’

‘If they turn away’ from what they are being called to, ‘say, “Bear witness that we are Muslims,”’ meaning, ‘we are ascribed to the dīn of Islam, obeying its judgments and acknowledging that Allah has given us favours and blessings in respect of that without taking anyone else as a Lord, not ‘Īsā, ‘Uzayr or the angels, because they are temporal beings just as we are. We do not accept any of the prohibitions made by the monks prohibiting things which Allah has not prohibited to us. If we did that, we would be accepting them as lords.’ ‘Ikrimah said that the meaning of ‘take’ here is ‘prostrate to’. It was already mentioned that prostration to people had existed until the time of the Prophet ﷺ and then the Prophet forbade Mu‘ādh to prostrate to him as was explained in al-Baqarah. Anas ibn Mālik said, ‘We asked, “Messenger of Allah, shall we bow to each other?” “No,” he answered. We asked, “Shall we embrace one another?” “No,” he replied, “shake hands.”’ Ibn Mājah transmitted it in the Sunan. This will be further dealt with in Sūrat Yūsuf, as will touching a copy of the
Qur’an without being pure in al-Wāqi‘ah.

65 ‘People of the Book! why do you argue concerning Ibrāhīm when the Torah and Gospel were only sent down after him? Why do you not use your intellect?

The root of ‘lima’ (why) is ‘limā’ and the final alif has been elided to differentiate between the interrogative and the report. These āyahs were revealed because of the claim of each group of the Jews and Christians that Ibrāhīm was following their religion. Allah said that they were lying because Christianity and Judaism only emerged after him. Az-Zajjāj says that this āyah is the clearest argument against the Jews and the Christians since both the Torah and Gospel were revealed after Ibrāhīm and the name of their religions is not found in either Scripture while the name Islam is found in every Scripture. It is said that there were a thousand years between Ibrāhīm and Mūsā and a thousand between Mūsā and ‘Īsā. The words ‘Why do you not use your intellect?’ refutes their argument and invalidates their position. Allah knows best.

66 You are people arguing about something of which you have knowledge. Why do you argue about something of which you have no knowledge? Allah knows; you do not know.’

You are people arguing about something of which you have knowledge.

This means about Muḥammad ﷺ because they knew him from his description in their Books and then they used a false argument.

Why do you argue about something of which you have no
knowledge?

This refers to their spurious claim about Ibrāhīm being a Jew or a Christian. The root of ‘ḥā antum’ is ‘a antum’ and the first ḥā’ replaces the hamzah because they are sisters as Abū ‘Amr ibn al-‘Alā’ and al-Akhfash said. An-Naḥḥās said that this is a good position. Qunbul reads from Ibn Kathīr: ‘ḥa’ntum’. The best view is that the ḥā’ replaces the hamzah. It is possible that the ḥā is to call attention to it, and it is added to ‘antum’ and the alif is elided because it is used so frequently. There are two dialectal forms for ʿḥā’ulā’ː: extended and short. [Omission]

This āyah contains evidence for the prohibition of someone arguing about something about which he has no knowledge and the prohibition of the one with no accurate information. The command to debate is for those with knowledge and certainty, as Allah says: ‘Argue with them in the best way.’ (16:125)

It is reported that a man came to the Prophet to disown his son and said, ‘Messenger of Allah, my wife has given birth to a black boy.’ The Messenger of Allah asked, ‘Do you have camels?’ ‘Yes,’ he replied. He asked, ‘What is their colour?’ ‘Red,’ he said. He asked, ‘Are there any ash-coloured ones among them?’ ‘Yes,’ he replied. ‘Where does that come from?’ he asked. He said, ‘Because of a throw-back.’ The Messenger of Allah said, ‘This child may be a throw-back.’ This is reality of debate and the end of how the Messenger of Allah was making guidance clear.

67 Ibrāhīm was neither a Jew nor a Christian, but a man of pure natural belief – a Muslim. He was not one of the idolaters.

Allah put him above their false claims and made it clear that he was following the Islamic Ḥanīfiyyah religion and was not an
idolater. A *hanīf* is someone who unifies Allah, makes ḥajj, sacrifices, circumcises and faces *qiblah*. The derivation of *Hanīf* was mentioned in *al-Baqarah*. Linguistically a Muslim is someone who submits to the command of Allah and obeys Him. The meaning of Islam was also adequately discussed in *al-Baqarah*.

68 The people with the strongest claim to Ibrāhīm are those who followed him and this Prophet and those who believe. Allah is the Protector of the believers.

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The leaders of the Jews said, “By Allah, Muhammad, you know that we are the people most entitled to the religion of Ibrāhīm, more than you or any others. He was a Jew and you only envy us.” Then Allah revealed this āyah.’ ‘Awlā’ means ‘more entitled’. It is said that it means with help and assistance. It is also said that it means with the proof. ‘Those who followed him’ are those following his religion and Sunnah. ‘This Prophet’ is singular to show esteem for him. A similar example of using the singular for this purpose is in 55:68, and this was already discussed extensively in *al-Baqarah*.

*Wali* means helper. Ibn Mas‘ūd reported that the Prophet said, ‘Every Prophet has protectors among the Prophets and my protector among them is my forefather and the Friend of my Lord.’ Then he recited this.

69 A group of the People of the Book would love to misguide you. They only misguide themselves but they are not aware of it.

This was revealed about Mu‘ādh ibn Jabal, Ḥudhayfah ibn al-
Yaman, and ‘Ammār ibn Yāsir when the Jews of the Banū an-Naḍīr, Qurayẓah and Qaynuqā‘ invited them to their religion. This āyah is similar to: ‘Many of the People of the Book would love it if they could make you revert to being unbelievers after you have believed, showing their innate envy.’ (2:109). ‘Min’ is either partitive, meaning ‘some of them’, or it is generic meaning ‘all’.

The words ‘…to misguide you’ means they want you to disobey by turning from Islam and opposing it. Ibn Jarīr said that it means ‘to destroy you.’ An example of that is the words of al-Akhṭal:

You were the mote in a disturbed frothing wave
which the flood casts aside and is completely lost (dalla ḍalālan).

The words ‘…they are not aware’ mean that they will not misguide them, or that they do not know the soundness of Islam, which they should learn because its proofs are evident and arguments radiant. Allah knows best.

70 People of the Book! why do you reject Allah’s Signs when you yourselves are there as witnesses?

In other words, when you are witnessing the soundest of the signs which you find in your Books, as Qatādah and as-Suddī said. It is also said that it means: ‘When you are witnessing something identical to the signs of the Prophets which you affirm.’

71 People of the Book! why do you mix truth with falsehood and knowingly conceal the truth?

Mixing is muddling. This was mentioned in al-Baqarah as well as the meaning of the previous āyah and the one before it. ‘Conceal’ can also be ‘taktumū’ as the answer to the question, and ‘knowingly’
(literally ‘and you know’) is a sentence in the position of a ḥāl.

72 A group of the People of the Book say, ‘At the beginning of the day, you should claim to believe in what was sent down to those who believe, and then at the end of the day, you should reject it, so that hopefully they will revert.

This was revealed about Ka‘b ibn al-Ashraf, Mālik ibn aṣ-Ṣayf and others. They said to the lowly among their people, ‘Believe in what was revealed to those who believe at the beginning of the day.’ ‘Wajh’ is used for beginning because it is its best part and the first of what is confronted. A poet said:

Luminous in the beginning (wajh) of the day,
like an ocean pearl, slipped from its string.

Another said:

Whoever is happy at the killing of Mālik should go to our women at the beginning (wajh) of the day.

The position of Qatādah is that they did that to make the Muslims uncertain. The word tā’ifah means a group. The word is derived from the noun ṭāfa and it is used in the singular.

The āyah means: The Jews said to one another, ‘Display belief in Muḥammad at the beginning of the day and then reject him at the end of it. If you do that, doubt will appear in those who follow him in his religion, and they will revert from his religion to your religion. They will say, “The People of the Book must have better knowledge of it than we do.”’ It is said that the meaning is: ‘Believe in his prayer towards Jerusalem at the beginning of the day, for it is the truth, and reject his other prayer at the end of the day towards the Ka‘bah. Hopefully they will revert to your qiblah.’ Ibn ‘Abbās and others said
that. Muqātil said that it means that they came to Muḥammad ﷺ at the beginning of the day and then came away from him and told the lowly ones, ‘It is true. Follow him.’ Then they added, ‘Until we look in the Torah.’ Then they returned at the end of that day and said, ‘We looked in the Torah and it is not him.’ They desired to confuse the lowly by that and make them doubt.

73 Do not trust anyone except for those who follow your dīn.’ Say, ‘Allah’s guidance is true guidance. But you think it is impossible for anyone to be given the same as you were given, or to argue with you before your Lord.’ Say, ‘All favour is in Allah’s Hand and He gives it to whomever He wills. Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.

Do not trust anyone except for those who follow your dīn.’

This is a prohibition. This is something the Jews said to one another; their leaders said it to their lesser people. As-Suddī said, ‘It is what the Jews of Khaybar said to the Jews of Madīnah.’

This āyah is the most problematic (ashkāl) in the sūrah. It is related from al-Ḥasan and Mujāhid that the meaning is: ‘Do not believe anyone except those who follow your dīn and do not believe that they will argue with you before your Lord because they have no proof. You have a sounder dīn than them.’ The phrase ‘or to argue’ is in the position of the genitive and means ‘by their arguing with you’. It means: ‘Do not affirm them. They have no argument that anyone else has been given anything like we have been given such as the Torah, the manna and quails, the parting of the sea and other similar signs and marks of distinction.’

Allah’s guidance is true guidance.
This is interposed between the two statements.

**But you think it is impossible for anyone to be given the same as you were given, or to argue with you before your Lord.’**

Al-Akhfash said that import of ‘to be given’ is: ‘Only believe those who follow your religion and do not believe that anyone will be given the like of what you were given and do not believe that they can argue with you.’ It is said that it means that the Jewish scholars said: ‘Do not believe that anyone but those who follow your religion will be given the like of what you were given.’ The stress here is their denial that anyone could be given the like of what they were given since the Jewish scholars told them, ‘Do not believe that anyone but those who follow your religion will be given the like of what you have been given,’ stressing the denial of their statement. That is because the Jewish scholars told them, ‘Do not believe that anyone but those who follow your religion will be given the like of what you were given,’ meaning that no one else will be given the like of what you have been given. So the wording is according to the order. ‘An’ is in the position of the nominative and it implies: ‘to affirm or confirm that anyone can be given the like of what you were given,’ ‘An’ can be in the position of the accusative with a verb implied, which is stronger in Arabic because it is more proper to have a question with a verb. It implies: ‘Do you affirm that someone can be given?’ or disseminate or mention that?

Ibn Kathīr, Ibn Muḥayṣin and Ḥumayd recited it with maddah. Abū Ḥātim said that ‘ān’ means ‘a li’an’ and the lām of the genitive has been elided to lighten the word and the maddah has been replaced as we see in 69:14.

According to this reading, it is possible that the words ‘argue with you’ refers to the believers and ‘aw’ means ‘an’ because they are particles of uncertainty and repayment and can be used in place of each other. In that case the āyah implies: ‘O believers, if they argue with you before your Lord, then say, “O Muḥammad, the true
guidance is that of Allah and we follow it.”’

If it is read without *maddah*, the first negative indicates their denial when they say, ‘Do not believe.’ So it means that the Jewish scholars said to them, ‘Do not believe that anyone will be given the like of what you have been given, they have neither faith nor proof.’ So it is added to the idea of knowledge, wisdom, the Book, the argument, manna and quails, splitting the sea and other qualities and miracles. It means: ‘Only you have these things, so do not believe anyone other than those who follow your religion will bring the like of what you have been given.’

There is a change in the normal word order according to this reading and the *lām* is extra. Ibn Jurayj said that it means: ‘Only believe those who follow your *dīn*, disliking anyone to be given the like of what you have been given.’ Yet another says that it means: ‘Do not speak about what your Books contain of Muḥammad’s description to anyone except those who follow your religion so that it will not be a way for the idolaters to come to believe him.’

Al-Farrā’ said that it is possible that the words of the Jews stop at ‘your *dīn*’ and then Allah says to Muḥammad ﷺ, ‘Say: “Allah’s guidance is true guidance,”’ i.e. the true clarification is that of Allah. Then ‘But you think it is impossible for anyone to be given the same as you were given’ is to convey the idea that no one will be given the like of what you were given. [Omission]

It is also possible that the entire *āyah* is addressed to the believers to make their hearts firm and focus their inner eyes so that they do not fall into doubt when the Jews try to confuse them and tell lies about their *dīn*. It would then mean: ‘Do not believe, believers, other than those follow your *dīn*. Do not believe that they can be given the like of what you have been given of excellence and the *dīn*, and do not believe that those who oppose you can argue with you in the presence of your Lord. Guidance is Allah’s guidance and all favour is in His Hand.’
Aḍ-Daḥḥāk said, ‘The Jews said, “We will argue with those who oppose our religion in the presence of our Lord,” and Allah made it clear that they will be refuted and punished, and that the believers will be the victors and debate with their opponents on the Day of Rising.’ We find in a report that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘The Jews and Christians will argue with us before our Lord, and will say, “You gave us one reward and gave them two rewards!”’ Allah will say, “Were you wronged in your rights at all?” “No,” they will reply. He will say, “That is My bounty which I give to whomever I will.”

Our scholars say that if they had known that that was by the grace of Allah, they would not argue with you in the presence of our Lord. So Allah informed His Prophet, ‘They will argue with you on the Day of Rising in the presence of your Lord.’ Then He said, ‘Now tell them: “All favour is in Allah’s Hand and He gives it to whomever He wills. Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.”’ Ibn Kathīr recites ‘ān yu’tā’ with maddah for the interrogative. [Poetry] The rest have it without maddah. Saʿīd ibn Jubayr recited ‘in yu’tā’ with a negative meaning, and it is part of the words of Allah as al-Farrā’ stated. It means: ‘Say, Muḥammad, “Allah’s guidance is true guidance. If anyone is given the like of what you have been given or argues with you before your Lord falsely (meaning the Jews) who say, “We are better than you.”’” [GRAMMATICAL POINTS OMITTED] Al-Ḥasan recited ‘an yuṭiya’ meaning, ‘that anyone one gives someone the like of what you are given’ and the object is elided.

Two things are said about ‘Say, “Allah’s guidance is true guidance.”’ One is that guidance (hudā) is guiding to good and direction (dalāla) is directing to Allah by the Hand of Allah which He gives to His Prophets. So do not deny He can give to other than you the like of what He gave you. If they deny that, say: ‘All favour is in Allah’s Hand and He gives it to whomever He wills.’ The second point is that the guidance is Allah’s guidance which He gives to the believers in
affirming Muḥammad ﷺ, and nothing else. Some of the people of subtle indications say about this āyah, ‘Only keep the company of those who agree with you in your states and paths.’ Allah knows best.

74 He picks out for His mercy whoever He wills. Allah’s favour is indeed immense.’

The word ‘mercy’ here refers to Prophethood and guidance, as al-Ḥasan, Mujāhid and others said. Ibn Jurayj said that it means Islam and the Qur’ān. Abū ‘Uthmān said, ‘It is the most beautiful statement since through it, the one with hope hopes and the one with fear fears.

75 Among the People of the Book there are some who, if you entrust them with a pile of gold, will return it to you. But there are others among them who, if you entrust them with just a single dinar, will not return it to you, unless you stay standing over them. That is because they say, ‘We are under no obligation where the gentiles are concerned.’ They tell a lie against Allah and they know it.

Among the People of the Book there are some

This refers to Jews such as ‘Abdullāh ibn Salām. The ‘others among them’ refers to Jews such as Finḥāṣ ibn ‘Āzūrā’. A man entrusted him with a dinar and then he cheated him. It is also said that it refers to Ka‘b ibn al-Ashraf and his people.
Ibn Waththāb and al-Ashhab al-‘Uqaylī recited ‘in tīmnahu’ following the dialect of those who recite ‘nīsta‘īn’. It is the dialect of Bakr and Tamīm. Nāfi‘ and al-Kisā’ī recite ‘yu‘ddihī’ with a yā‘. Abū ‘Ubayd said that Abū ‘Amr, al-A‘mash, ‘Āṣim and Ḥamzah in the transmission of Abū Bakr agree that there is a stop on the hā‘ and recite ‘yu‘ddih’. An-Naḥḥās said that the sukūn on the hā‘ is only permitted in poetry by some grammarians. Some of them do not permit it at all. He thought that it was an error on the part of those who recite it, thinking that the apocative is reflected on the hā‘. Abū ‘Amr is too esteemed to think something like that. What is sound is that he has a kasrah on the hā‘ which is the reading of Yazīd ibn al-Qa‘qā‘. Al-Farrā‘ said, ‘The position of some Arabs is that the hā‘ is in the jussive when there is a vowel preceding it.’ [POETRY OMITTED] It is said that there can be a sukūn on the hā‘ here because it is in the jussive and it is a faded hā‘. Abu-l-Mundhīr Sallām and az-Zuhrī recite ‘yu‘addihu’ with a dammah on the hā‘ and without a wāw. Qatādah, ̱umayd and Mujāhid recite ‘yu‘addihū’ with a wāw. [MORE ON THIS]

Allah is saying that the People of the Book include both those who are treacherous and those who are trustworthy. The believers cannot distinguish between them and so they should avoid all of them. The People of the Book are singled out for mention, even if some of the believers are like that, because treachery is more frequent among them, and so the words are about what predominates, and Allah knows best.

Qinṭār was already explained. A dinar weighs 24 qīrāṭs, and the qīrāṭ is three medium grains of barley and so it is 72 grains. That is agreed upon. If someone is mindful when it is a lot and discharges it, then it is more likely that he will be the same with a little. If someone is treacherous about a little or refuses to give it, that will be more likely when there is a lot. This is the clearest evidence of the principle of what is implied by the words, and there is great
disagreement about it among scholars of legal principles.

Allah mentioned two groups: those who pay readily and those who only pay when they are forced to do so. There are also people who do not pay even if you stand over them. Allah mentioned both groups because they are usual while the third is rare. The words deal with what is usual. Tālḥah ibn Muṣarraf, Abū ‘Abd ar-Rahmān as-Sulamī and others recited ‘dimtu’ which is the dialect of Azd as-Sarāh.

Abū Ḣanīfah used the words, ‘unless you stay standing over them’ as evidence for staying close to debtors while the other scholars reject that. It was discussed in al-Baqarah. Some of our Baghdādī scholars used this as evidence for imprisoning debtors since if a debtor can be pursued and kept from transacting, it is also permitted to imprison him.

It is said that the meaning is ‘You confront him and intimidate him and make him embarrassed.’ Modesty is in the eyes. Do you not see what Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Do not seek something you need from the blind. Modesty is in the eyes. If you seek something you need from your brother, look at him directly in the face so that he is embarrassed and gives it.’ It is said that ‘standing’ is sticking to him. It is also said that ‘standing’ refers to constant asking, not physical standing.

The root of dinar is dinnār and one of the nūns has been changed into a yā’ to lighten the word because it is so frequently used. That is indicated by the plural, danānīr, and the diminutive dunaynīr.

Trusts are of immense importance in the dīn. Part of what shows this is that trusts and kinship will stand at the sides of the Sirāṭ, as is stated in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, and only someone who was conscientious about both of them will be able to cross it. Muslim related that Ḥudhayfah said that the Prophet ἡ told them about the removal of trust and said, ‘A man will go to sleep and trustworthiness will be taken from his heart and just its trace will remain.’ That was
mentioned in full in *al-Baqarah*.

In Ibn Mājah, Ibn ‘Umar reported that the Prophet ☪ said, ‘When Allah Almighty wants to destroy someone, He strips away modesty from him. When modesty is stripped from him, you only meet him as hateful and hated. When you only meet him as hateful and hated, trustworthiness is stripped from him. When trustworthiness is stripped from him, you only meet him as treacherous and perfidious. When you only meet him as treacherous and perfidious, then mercy is stripped from him. When mercy is stripped from him, you only meet him as accursed and cursed. When you only meet him as accursed and cursed, then the halter of Islam is removed from him.’ The Prophet ☪ said, ‘Return the trust to the one who entrusted it to you and do not betray the one who betrays you.’ Allah knows best.

There is no granting of legal integrity to the People of the Book as a whole, nor to some of them, on the basis of this āyah, contrary to what some believe about that, because there are impious Muslims to whom trust is given, and who are entrusted with a lot of wealth, and that is not considered to be a reason for deeming them to be upright. The method of establishing legal integrity and witnessing is not satisfied by merely discharging a trust in property in transactions and deposits. Do you not see their words: ‘*We are under no obligation where the gentiles are concerned*’ (3:75)? How can one consider as just someone who believes that our property and honour are fair game? If that had been enough to establish their legal integrity, their testimony against Muslims would have been allowed.

**That is because they say, ‘*We are under no obligation where the gentiles are concerned.*’**

The subject of ‘*they say*’ is the Jews. It is said that when the Jews gave allegiance to the Muslims, they said, ‘*We are under no obligation where the gentiles are concerned,*’ in other words, ‘There is no barrier stopping us from wronging them since they differ from us.’ They
claimed that that is in their Book. Allah says that they are lying and refuted them, saying that, on the contrary, they should be punished for their lies and thinking it to be lawful to take other people’s property. Abū Isḥāq az-Zajjāj says, ‘The sentence ends with ‘No’ and ‘The truth is, if people honour their contracts…’ is a new sentence.

It is said that the Jews used to contract debts with the Arabs and when those with the rights asked for their rights, the Jews said, ‘You have “no way against us” because you left your dīn and so your debt is cancelled for us.’ They claimed that it was the ruling of the Torah and here Allah refutes them, saying that it is not as they say. Allah told them, ‘No,’ refuting their claim that: ‘We are under no obligation where the gentiles are concerned.’ Then He says: ‘The truth is, if people honour their contracts and are godfearing,’ fearing shirk, they are not among the liars, but Allah and His Messenger love them.

A man said to Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘We deliberately take a portion of the property of the people of the dhimmah in the form of a chicken or a sheep and we say, “There is no harm in that.”’ He said, ‘This is like what the People of the Book said: “We are under no obligation where the Gentiles are concerned.”’ If they have paid the jīzyah, their property is not lawful for you except with their consent.’ ‘Abd ar-Razzāq mentioned it from Ma‘mar from Abū Isḥāq al-Hamdānī from Ṣa‘ṣa‘ah.

They tell a lie against Allah and they know it.

This indicates that an unbeliever is not worthy of having his testimony accepted because Allah has described him as being a liar. It also refutes the unbelievers who make ḥalāl and ḥarām other than what Allah has made ḥalāl and ḥarām, and put that in their Sharī’ah. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘From this is deduced a refutation of those who give judgment based on the principle of istiḥsān without any proof. I do not know of any of the people of the qiblah who say this.’ We find in a tradition: ‘When this āyah was revealed, the Prophet ﷺ said, “There is nothing in the Jāhiliyyah which is not under my feet except for trusts. They must be discharged to both the pious and impious.”’
No, the truth is, if people honour their contracts and are godfearing, Allah loves the godfearing.

These are those who fear Allah and do not lie and do not make ḥalāl what has made ḥarām. Allah loves those people. The meaning of that has already been discussed. The personal pronoun hā’ connected to ‘contract’ can refer to Allah, or it is possible that it is refers to those who honour, and fear disbelief, treachery and breaking contracts. ‘Ahd (contract) is a verbal noun.

Those who sell Allah’s contract and their own oaths for a paltry price, such people will have no portion in the Next World and on the Day of Rising Allah will not speak to them or look at them or purify them. They will have a painful punishment.

The Imāms related that al-Ash‘ath ibn Qays said, ‘There was some land that was shared between me and a Jewish man, and he disavowed me, so I took him to the Prophet. The Messenger of Allah asked me, “Do you have a clear proof?” I answered, “No.” He said to the Jew, “Swear.” I said, “Messenger of Allah, then he will swear and make off with my property.” Then Allah revealed: “Those who sell Allah’s contract and their own oaths for a paltry price…”’

The Imāms also related from Abū Umāmah that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘If anyone takes the right of a Muslim by his oath, Allah will make the Fire obligatory for him and deny him the Garden.’ A man said to him, ‘Even if it is something insignificant, Messenger of Allah?’ He said, ‘Even if it is only an arak stick.’ Allah not looking at them on the Day of Rising was dealt with in al-
Baqarah.

This āyah and the hadiths indicate that the outward judgment of a judge does not make property lawful inwardly if the one who is given the judgment knows it to be false. The Imāms related from Umm Salamah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Litigants come to me and it may be that one of them is more eloquent in his argument than the other and so, because of that, I give judgment about some right, which actually belongs to his brother, in his favour. By doing that I cut out for him a piece of the Fire which he will bring on the Day of Rising, so he should not take it.’

There is no disagreement about this among the Imāms. Abū Ḥanīfah is excessive, saying, ‘The judgment of a judge based on false testimony allows marriage for the one who was forbidden it,’ as was mentioned in al-Baqarah. He claimed that if there are two false witnesses that a man has divorced his wife and the judge decides based on their testimony, she is lawful for the one who marries her knowing that to be false. This is abhorrent and not in keeping with explicit hadiths. It protects property and does not consider it to be lawful based on false rulings. Would property be protected, but not sexual relations? The falsity of this will be dealt with in the āyah about the liʿān. Allah knows best.

78 Among them is a group who distort the Book with their tongues so that you think it is from the Book when it is not from the Book. They say, ‘It is from Allah,’ but it is not from Allah. They tell a lie against Allah and they know it.

This means a group of the Jews. Abū Jaʿfar and Shaybah recited ‘yulūwūna’ indicating frequency. It means that they alter words and
make them mean other than what is intended. The root of layy is to incline. One can incline with the hand or with the head. Allah says elsewhere: ‘twisting them with their tongues’ (4:46) which means displaying obstinacy about the truth and turning away from it to something else. The same verb used in the phrase: ‘...refusing to turn back for anyone’ (3:153) means to turn to him when they were climbing. One says lawā ‘alayhi, meaning to turn towards and stand. Layy is bending. The verb is lawā, yalwī, layy and liyān. [Poetry] We find in hadith, ‘The twisting of the finder exposes his honour and exposes him to punishment.’ Alsinah (tongues) is the plural of lisān if it is masculine, and if it is feminine it is alsun.

79 It is not right for any human being that Allah should give him the Book and judgment and Prophethood, and then that he should say to people, ‘Be worshippers of me rather than Allah.’ Rather he will say, ‘Be people of the Lord because of your knowledge of the Book and because you study.’

‘Mā kāna’ means ‘he should not’. We see this usage in 4:92, 19:35, and 24:16. ‘Bashar’ (human being) can be used for both the plural and the singular because it is a verbal noun. Here it is Īsā who is indicated according to aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and as-Suddī, and the Book is the Qur’an, and judgment is knowledge and understanding. It is also said that it is judgments, meaning that Allah does not permit lying to be part of Prophethood. If a human being were to do that, Allah would strip him of the signs of Prophethood. It is, however, possible for a Prophet to say to people, ‘Be people of the Lord.’ This āyah was revealed about the Christians of Najrān. As we said, it is related that the cause of the revelation of the entire sūrah up to āyah 121 was the
arrival of the Christians of Najrān, but the Jews are mixed with them because they denied and were obstinate like them.

*Rabbāniyyūn* is connected to the word ‘Lord’ (*rabb*). A *rabbānī* is the one who instructs (*rabbā*) people with a little knowledge before it becomes great. It is as if he were imitating the Lord in making matters easy. That idea is related from Ibn ‘Abbās. Some have said that root is ‘rabbīyy’ and the *alif-nūn* are added for stress.

Al-Mubarrad said, ‘The *rabbāniyyūn* are the masters of knowledge, and the singular is *rabbān*. It it comes from a root meaning “to put right”.’ It means that they manage the affairs of people and put them right. The *alif* and *nūn* is for stress as in words like *rayyān* and ‘َاَشَāَن’. Then the *dammah* of the ascription is added to it as is seen in other words. A poet said:

If I am a pledgee in the air, I am put there
by the *rabbānī* hadith scholars.

‘*Rabbānī*’ means a scholar with knowledge of the *dīn* of the Lord who acts on his knowledge, because if he does not act on it, then he is not a scholar. This idea was discussed in *al-Baqarah*.

Abū Razīn says that it means ‘wise scholars’. Shu‘bāh related from ‘Āṣim from Zirr that ‘Abdullāh ibn Maṣ‘ūd is reported as saying that it means the wise among the scholars, and Ibn Jubayr says that it is godfearing sages. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘No one should abandon the memorisation of the Qur’an,’ and quoted this verse. Ibn Zayd said that it refers to rulers and rabbis. Mujāhid said that they are higher than the rabbis. An-Nahhās approves of this, saying that *aḥbār* are rabbis and a *rabbānī* is the one who also has insight into political matters.

The Arabs use the verb *rabba* for putting people’s affairs right and attending to them. Such a person is *rābb* and *rabbānī*. Abū ‘Ubaydah said, ‘I heard a scholar say “The *rabbānī* is the one who knows the lawful and unlawful, commands and prohibitions, and knows the news of the community, past and present.”’
When Ibn ‘Abbās died, Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥanafiyyah said, ‘Today the rabbānī of this community has died.’ It is related that the Prophet said, ‘There is no believer, male or female, free or slave, but that it is their duty to Allah Almighty to learn the Qur’ān and understanding in the dīn.’ Then he recited: ‘Be people of the Lord…’ Ibn ‘Abbās related it.

because of your knowledge of the Book and because you study.’

The people of Madīnah and Abū ‘Amr read ta‘lamūna, ‘what you know’ which corresponds with ‘study’. Abū Ḥātim preferred this reading. Abū ‘Amr said that it is confirmed by ‘study’ (tadrusūna) in Form I, not ‘tudarrisūna’ meaning ‘teach’. Ibn ‘Āmir and the people of Kufa read it ‘tu’allengūna’, meaning ‘teach’. Abū ‘Ubayd preferred it because it combines the two meanings. Makkī said that Form II is more eloquent because every teacher has knowledge, but not everyone with knowledge is a teacher. Form II indicates both whereas Form I only indicates knowledge. So it is more emphatic and conveys greater censure. The reading with Form I finds evidence in the words of Ibn Mas‘ūd who said that rabbāniyyūn means the wise among the scholars. So it is unlikely that one would say: ‘be wise scholars because of what you teach.’ Al-Ḥasan said, ‘Be wise scholars by your knowledge.’ Abū Ḥaywah recited ‘tudrisūna’ from Form IV and Mujāhid recited ‘ta‘allengūna’.

80 He would never command you to take the angels and Prophets as Lords. Would He command you to disbelieve after being Muslim?

Ibn ‘Āmir, ‘Āṣim and Ḥamzah recited ‘lā ya’murakum’ as joined to the previous ‘give.’ This is strengthened because the Jews asked the Prophet, ‘Do you want us to take you as a Lord, Muḥammad?’ Then Allah revealed the two verses. ‘He’ here can refer to ‘a human
being’: ‘No human being would command,’ meaning ‘Īsā or ‘Uzayr. The rest recite it as ‘lā ya’murukum’ as a new sentence separate from the previous words. So the pronoun refers to Allah: ‘Allah would never command…’ This is strengthened by the reading in the copy of the Qur’an of ‘Abdullah: ‘lan ya’murukum’ which indicates a new sentence and the pronoun refers to Allah. Makkī mentioned it. Sībuwayh and az-Zajjāj said that. Ibn Jurayj and a group said: Muḥammad ⲧ ⲧ would not command you (lā ya’murukum). This is the reading of Abū ‘Amr, al-Kisā’ī and the people of the two ʻHarams.

He would not command taking the angels and Prophets as Lords. The Christians esteem Prophets and angels to the extent that they turn them into Lords.

**Would He command you to disbelieve after being Muslim?**

This expresses denial and astonishment. Allah forbade the Prophets from making people slaves so that they would deify them. But people are obliged to respect them. It is confirmed that the Prophet ⲧ ⲧ said, ‘None of you should say, “my slave” (‘abdī) or “my slavegirl” (amatī), but he should say, ‘my lad’ or ‘my girl. None of you should say “my lord”, but should say “my master”.’ We do find in the Revelation in *Surah Yusuf*: ‘Mention me when you are with your Lord.’ (12:42) This usage will be explained there, Allah willing.

81 Remember when Allah made a covenant with the Prophets: ‘Now that I have given you a share of the Book and Wisdom, and then a messenger comes to you confirming what is with you, you must believe in him and help him.’ He asked, ‘Do you agree and undertake my commission on that condition?’ They replied, ‘We
agree.’ He said, ‘Bear witness, then. I am with you as one of the witnesses.’

Remember when Allah made a covenant with the Prophets:

It is said that Allah made a covenant with the Prophets that they should affirm one another and command belief in one another. That is the meaning of helping by confirmation. This is the position of Saʿīd ibn Jubayr, Qatādah, Tāwus, as-Suddī and al-Ḥasan. It is the literal meaning of the āyah. Tāwus said, ‘Allah made a covenant with the first of the Prophets to believe in what the last brings.’ Ibn Masʿūd recited, ‘When Allah made a covenant with those who were given the Book.’ Al-Kisāʿī said, ‘It is possible that it means: when He made a covenant with those who were with the Prophets.’ The Basrans said, ‘When Allah made a covenant with the Prophets, He made one with those who were with them because they followed them and affirmed them.’ So mā in limā means ‘which’. Sībawayh said, ‘I asked al-Khalīl ibn Aḥmad about “When Allah made a covenant…” and he said, “when” means “which”.’ An-Naḥḥās said, ‘According to al-Khalīl’s view, it implies “to that which I gave you” and the pronoun hā’ is elided because of the length of the word. ‘Min’ clarifies the genus. This is like the position of al-Akhfash. Al-Mahdawī said that ‘comes to you’ and what follows it is a sentence added to a connective and they refer to something described which is elided. It implies: ‘Then a Messenger comes to you, confirming it.’

Then a Messenger comes to you confirming what is with you, you must believe in him and help him.’

Here the Messenger is Muḥammad ⲟ according to ‘Alī and Ibn ‘Abbās. Even though the indefinite is used, it indicates someone specific, a usage also seen in 16:112-113. So Allah made a covenant with all the Prophets to believe in Muḥammad ⲟ and help him if they were to meet him. He commanded them to take on that contract on behalf of their communities.

The lām connected to ‘you must believe’ is the apodosis of the oath.
which is taking the covenant since it is like an oath. It is like saying, ‘I took your covenant that you should do such-and-such.’ It is as if you were asking for an oath. The oath and its apodosis are separated by a particle which is ‘limā’ in the reading of Ibn Kathīr as will come. Those who read it as ‘lamā’ make it accept the oath, which is making the covenant. The lām connected to the words ‘you must believe’ is the apodosis of an elided oath, implying, ‘By Allah, you must believe!’

Al-Mubarrad and az-Zajjāj said that ‘mā’ is a precondition to which the lām of realisation is added as it is added to in. It means ‘I have given you both of them’ and mā is in the accusative and ‘I have given’ is jussive. ‘Comes to you’ is added to it and the lām is the apodosis of the repayment as we also see in 16:86. Al-Kisā‘ī said that ‘believe in it’ is the support of the oath and it is connected by the first lām.

The people of Kufa recite ‘limā’ which means ‘which’ and is connected to ‘take’, meaning that Allah made a covenant with them because of what He gave them of the Book and Wisdom. Then a Messenger comes to them confirming what is with them so that they believe in him after the covenant, because taking the covenant has the meaning of making someone swear an oath. An-Naḥḥās said that Abū ‘Ubaydah said that this is a good position and the meaning is: ‘When Allah made a covenant with those who were given the Book: “You should believe in him since I have told you about him in the Torah.”’

It is also said that there is some elision in the words and it means: ‘When Allah made a covenant with the Prophets: “Teach people what has come to you of the Book and Wisdom and to oblige people to believe.”’ This elision is indicated by ‘Do you undertake My commission on that condition?’ It is also said that ‘limā’ means ‘after’, meaning ‘after what I have given you of the Book and Wisdom.’

Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr reads ‘lammā’ (‘when I have given’). It is possible that the root is ‘lamā’ and ‘min’ is added according to the view of
those who think that its addition is mandatory. The nun has changed into a mīm and there are three mīms, one of which is elided. The people of Madīnah recite ‘We have given you’ (ātaynākum) whereas the others have ‘I have given’ (ātaytukum) in the singular. Not all Prophets were given the Book, only some, but the generality takes precedence here and it applies to the Prophets who were not given the Book because they were given wisdom and Prophethood. Those not given a Book are commanded to take the Book of those before them and so they are included in the description of those who were given the Book.

He asked, ‘Do you agree and undertake my commission on that condition?’

The verb ‘agree’ is derived from iqra‘ (affirm). The ‘commission’ (īṣr and aṣr) is the contract. Linguistically it means a burden. It is called that because it involves prohibition and making things difficult.

He said, ‘Bear witness, then.’

The verb ‘bear witness’ means ‘know’, as Ibn ‘Abbās said. Az-Zajjaj said that it means ‘make clear’ because the witness is the one who verifies the claim of the claimant. It is said that it means: ‘Bear witness against yourselves and your followers.’ Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab said, ‘Allah says to the angels: bear witness against them.’

82 Any who turn away after that are deviators.

Any from the nations of the Prophets who turn away from faith after taking the covenant. Those are the one who have left faith. Someone who is fāsiq is someone who goes out.
83 Is it other than the dīn of Allah that you desire, when everything in the heavens and earth, willingly or unwillingly, submits to Him and to Him you will be returned? 84 Say, ‘We believe in Allah and what has been sent down to us and what was sent down to Ibrāhīm, Ismā‘īl and Isḥāq and Ya‘qūb and the Tribes, and what Mūsā and ʿĪsā and all the Prophets were given by their Lord. We do not differentiate between any of them. We are Muslims submitted to Him.’

Is it other than the dīn of Allah that you desire.

Al-Kalbī said that Ka‘b ibn al-Ashraf and his people took an argument they were having with the Christians to the Prophet ✪ and asked, ‘Which of us is more entitled to the dīn of Ibrāhīm?’ He replied, ‘Neither of the parties have his dīn.’ They said, ‘We are not satisfied with your verdict and we will not take your dīn.’ Then this was revealed. Abū ‘Amr reads it with yā‘ (they desire, they return) and with tā‘ (you desire, you return). He said the first is specific and the second general and so there is a distinction. Ḥafṣ and others read them both in the third person and the rest with tā‘ on both as second person. Allah knows best.

willingly or unwillingly, submits to Him and to Him you will be returned?

The words ‘submits to Him’ mean surrenders, obeys, is humble and subjected. Every creature is obedient and submits because it is created in a form that it cannot depart from. Qatādah said, ‘The believer submits willingly and the unbeliever, at death, unwillingly
and that does not help him, since the Almighty says: “But when they saw Our violent force, their faith was of no use to them.” (40:85) Mujāhid said, ‘The submission of the unbeliever is proved unwilling by his prostrating to other than Allah while his shadow prostrates to Allah. Allah says: “Do they not see the things Allah has created, casting their shadows to the right and the left, prostrating themselves before Allah in complete humility?” (16:48) and: “Everyone in heaven or earth prostrates to Allah, willingly or unwillingly.” (13:15) It is said that the meaning is that Allah created creation as He wills: some of them handsome, some ugly, tall and short, healthy and sick, but all have no choice but to obey. The healthy obeys and loves that, and the sick obeys and submits even if he dislikes that. Willingly is submitting with ease and unwillingly is with hardship and rejection on the part of the self. ‘Taw’ and ‘karh’ (willingly and unwillingly) are two verbal nouns used adverbially.

Anas ibn Mālik reported that the Messenger of Allah said about this, ‘The angels obey Him in heaven and the Anṣār and ‘Abd al-Qays on the earth.’ The Prophet also said, ‘Do not curse my Companions. My Companions have submitted out of fear of Allah while other people submit out of fear of the sword.’ ‘Ikrimah said that ‘willingly’ implies without need of proof and ‘unwillingly’ means compelled by the evidence of tawḥīd. This is indicated by Allah’s words: ‘If you asked them who created them, they would say, “Allah!”’ (43:87) and: ‘If you ask them, “Who created the heavens and the earth and made the sun and moon subservient?” they will say, “Allah.”’ (29:63) Al-Ḥasan said, ‘It is general, but its meaning is specific.’ He also said that the sentence ends at ‘everything in the heavens submits to Him,’ and then Allah says: ‘and the earth, willingly or unwillingly.’ He said that the unwilling are the hypocrites whose knowledge does not benefit them. Mujāhid said that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘When someone’s animal is difficult or baulks, recite this āyah in its ear.’
85 If anyone desires anything other than Islam as a dīn, it will not be accepted from him, and in the Next World he will be among the losers.

Mujāhid and as-Suddī said, ‘This āyah was revealed about al-Ḥārith ibn Suwayd, the brother of al-Ḥulās ibn Suwayd. He was one of the Anṣār. He apostatised from Islam along with twelve men, and they joined the unbelievers in Makkah and this āyah was revealed about them. Then he sent to his brother desiring to repent.’ That was related from Ibn ‘Abbās and others. Ibn ‘Abbās said he became Muslim after this was revealed.

and in the Next World he will be among the losers

Hishām said that it means that he will be one of the losers in the Next World. Were it not for that, there would be a separation between the connection and what is connected to. This was already discussed in al-Baqarah (2:130).

86 How can Allah guide a people who have disbelieved after having believed? They bore witness that the Messenger was true and that the Clear Signs had come to them. Allah does not guide people who are wrongdoers.

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘A man of the Anṣār became Muslim and then apostatised, became an idolater and then regretted it. He sent to his people to ask the Prophet if he could repent. His people went to the Messenger of Allah and asked, “Is it possible for him to repent?” Then it was revealed: ‘How can Allah guide a people who have disbelieved after having believed…’ (3:86-89) He sent to him and he became Muslim.’ An-Nasā’ī transmitted it. One variant reports that
a man of the Anṣār became Muslim and then apostatised and joined the idolaters and Allah revealed this. His people sent those āyahs to him and when he had read them, he said, ‘By Allah, my people would not lie to me about the Messenger of Allah ☪ and the Messenger of Allah ☪ would not lie about Allah, and Allah Almighty is the most truthful of the three.’ So he repented and returned. The Messenger of Allah ☪ accepted that from him and let him be.

Al-Ḥasan says that it was revealed about the Jews because they were given the good news of the Prophet ☪ and prayed for him against the unbelievers. Then when he was sent, they were stubborn and rejected and so Allah revealed, ‘The repayment of such people …’

It is said that the word ‘how’ introduces a question which implies denial, meaning ‘Allah will not guide...’. It is the same as Allah’s words: ‘How could any of the idolaters possibly have a treaty with Allah and with His Messenger?’ (9:7) which means that they do not have a treaty.

A poet says:

How can I sleep on a bed
When there are people all over it?

**Allah does not guide people who are wrongdoers.**

The literal meaning of the āyah would be that someone who disbelieves after becoming Muslim cannot be guided by Allah and Allah does not guide wrongdoers. We know, however, that many apostates became Muslims and were guided by Allah and many wrongdoers repented of the injustice they inflicted. Therefore, it actually means: ‘Allah does not guide them as long as they remain in their disbelief and injustice and do not accept Islam.’ When they become Muslim and repent, Allah gives them success in that, and Allah knows best.
87 The repayment of such people is that Allah’s curse is on them and that of the angels and of all mankind. 88 They will be under it for ever. Their punishment will not be lightened. They will be granted no reprieve. 89 Except for those who, after that, repent and put things right. Truly Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

This is when they remain with their disbelief. The meaning of the curse was mentioned in *al-Baqarah*. They will not be granted a delay. ‘Those who repent’ refers to al-Ḥārith ibn Suwayd, as we already stated. Included in the exception are all those who return sincerely to Islam.

90 Those who disbelieve after having believed and then increase in their unbelief, their repentance will not be accepted. They are the misguided.

Qatādah, ‘Aṭā’ al-Khurasānī and al-Ḥasan said that this was revealed about the Jews who rejected ‘Īsā and the Gospel and then further disbelieved in Muḥammad and the Qur’ān. Abu-l-‘Āliyah said, ‘It was revealed about the Jews and Christians who rejected Muḥammad after their belief in his attribute and description. They ‘increase in their unbelief’ by remaining in their unbelief, or by the wrong actions they acquired. This last is what aṭ-Ṭabarī prefers. He believes that it is about the Jews.

Their repentance will not be accepted.

This is problematic since Allah says, ‘It is He Who accepts repentance from His slaves and pardons evil acts.’ (42:25) It is said to mean: ‘Their
repentance will not be accepted at the point of death.’ An-Naḥḥās said this is a good position, as Allah says: ‘There is no repentance for people who persist in doing evil until death appears to them and who then say, “Now I repent.”’ (4:18) It is related from al-Hasan, Qatādah and ‘Aṭā, and the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Allah accepts the repentance of the slave as long as he is not in his death throes.’ This will be discussed in an-Nisā’.

It is said that the words ‘their repentance will not be accepted’ refer to the good they may have done before they disbelieved because their disbelief cancels those actions. It is said that it means when they turn from their disbelief to another disbelief, but their repentance will be accepted when they return to Islam.

Quṭrub said that this āyah was revealed about some of the people of Makkah. They said, ‘We will wait for death to befall Muḥammad. If it seems good to us to return to our people, we will do so,’ and Allah revealed this, meaning that their repentance will not be accepted while they remain in disbelief. It is not accepted because their resolve is not genuine. Allah accepts all repentance when resolve is genuine.

91 As for those who disbelieve and die unbelievers, the whole earth filled with gold would not be accepted from any of them if they were to offer it as a ransom. They will have a painful punishment. They will have no helpers.

The word mil’ designates the amount which will fill a thing and mal’ is the verbal noun for filling a thing. The wāw before ‘if they were to offer’ is interpolated and redundant. The āyah means: the whole earth filled with gold would not be accepted from anyone if he were to try and ransom himself with it. Some grammarians, however, say that the wāw is not interpolated because it indicates a meaning which is:
‘the whole earth filled with gold would not be accepted from anyone at all, even if he were to try and ransom himself with it.’

According to al-Farrā’, ‘gold’ is in the accusative for explanation. [EXPLANATION] Al-Kisā’ī said that it is in the accusative by an implied ‘min’, i.e. ‘min dhahab’. We see this in 5:95.

We find in Bukhārī and Muslim that Anas ibn Mālik reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘An unbeliever will be brought on the Day of Rising and will be told, “Do you think that if you had the entire earth filled with gold, you could ransom yourself with it?” “Yes,” he will reply. He will be told, “Less than that was asked of you.”’

92 You will not attain true goodness until you give of what you love. Whatever you give away, Allah knows it.

You will not attain true goodness until you give of what you love.

The Imāms related that Anas said, ‘When this āyah was revealed, Abū Ṭalḥah said, “Our Lord asks us for our property and I testify to you, Messenger of Allah, that I have made my land over to Allah!”’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, “Give it to your relatives, Ḩassān ibn Thābit and Ubayy ibn Ka‘b.”’ We find in al-Muwaffāq, ‘The property I love the best is Bayruḥā.’ It faced the mosque. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ used to enter it and drink from its good water.

This āyah is evidence for taking the literal and general meaning of words. The Companions did not understand any further meaning than that of the actual words (faḥwā al-khiṭāb) when the āyah was revealed. When Abū Ṭalḥah heard the words, ‘You will not attain true goodness until you give,’ he did not need to wait until it clarified how Allah wishes His slaves to spend by another āyah or sunnah which elucidated that. They loved many things. It is similar to what Zayd ibn Ḥārithah did. He used to love a horse of his called Sabal and said, ‘O Allah! You know that I have no property which I love
more than this horse of mine!’ He took it to the Prophet  and said, ‘Take it in the way of Allah.’ He  said to Usāma ibn Zayd, ‘Take it.’ Zayd felt disturbed by that, so the Messenger of Allah  said, ‘Allah has accepted it from you.’ Asad ibn Mūsā mentioned it.

Ibn ‘Umar freed Nāfī‘. ‘Abdullāh ibn Ja‘far gave a thousand dinars. Ṣafiyyah bint Abī ‘Ubaydah said, ‘I think that was his interpretation of the words of Allah: ‘You will not attain true goodness until you give of what you love.’”

Shibl related from Abū Najīḥ that Mujāhid said that ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb wrote to Abū Mūsā al-Ash‘arī asking him to buy a slavegirl from the captives of Jalūlā’ for him when Ctesiphon was conquered. Sa‘d ibn Abī Waqqāṣ said, “Umar summoned her and liked her. He said, ‘Allah says: ‘You will not attain true goodness until you give of what you love.’” He freed her.

Ath-Thawrī related that he heard that the umm walad of ar-Rabī‘ ibn Khaytham said, ‘When a beggar came to him, he told me to give sugar to the asker. He loved sugar.’ Sufyān said that he was interpreting the words of this āyah.

It is reported that ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz bought some bags of sugar and gave them away as ṣadaqah. He was asked, ‘Why didn’t you give their value?’ He replied, ‘Because I love sugar and want to give of what I love.’ Al-Ḥasan said, ‘You will not obtain what you love except by abandoning what you desire and you will not get what you hope for except by steadfastness in enduring what you dislike.’

There is disagreement about the interpretation of the word birr (true goodness). It is said that it means the Garden, as is reported by Ibn Mas‘ūd, Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Aṭā‘, Mujāhid, ‘Amr ibn Maymūn and as-Suddī. It implies: ‘You will not obtain the reward of birr until you give of what you love.’ Nawāl [which comes from the root, ‘to obtain’] is giving. It means: ‘You will not reach the Garden and be given it until you spend of what you love.’
It is also said that *birr* means righteous action, as we see in the sound hadith, ‘You must give *ṣadaqah*. It leads to *birr* and *birr* leads to the Garden.’ This was already mentioned in *al-Baqarah*. ‘Āṭiyah al-‘Awfī said, ‘It means obedience.’ ‘Āṭā’ said, ‘You will not obtain the honour of the *dīn* and *taqwā* until you give *ṣadaqah* while you are healthy and greedy, hoping for life and fearing poverty.’

Al-Ḥasan said that the word ‘*you give*’ here indicates obligatory *zakāt*. Mujāhid and al-Kalbī said that it was abrogated by the Āyah of Zakāt. It is said that it means: ‘until you give of what you love in the way of good in *ṣadaqah* and other acts of obedience.’ This is a more general understanding.

An-Nasā‘ī reported that Ṣa‘ṣa‘ah ibn Mu‘āwiyah said, ‘I met Abū Dharr and said, “Relate to me!” He said, “All right. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘There is no Muslim who spends two types of all his property in the Way of Allah without the chamberlains of the Garden greeting him, calling him to what he has.’” I asked, “How is that?” He said, “If it is a camel, then it is two camels, or if a cow, then two cows.”’

Abū Bakr al-Warrāq said, ‘This āyah is used as evidence for *futwawwah*, implying that Allah is saying that you will not obtain My goodness to you except by being good to your brothers and spending on them from your property and rank. When you do that, then you will obtain My goodness and kindness.’ Mujāhid said, ‘This is like His words: “They give food, despite their love of it, to the poor.”’ (76:8)’ and: ‘Whatever you give away, Allah knows it’ and will repay you for it.

\[
\text{كل أطعم كان جالا لله إسراء يبل إلا ما حارمه إسراء أو على نفسه.}
\]

\[
\text{من قبل أن تتزى القرباء فلما قاتلو بأوقية قاتلوها إن كنت تترفع عينين.}
\]

\[
\text{فَقَمْ إِفْتَرَى عَلَى أَئِنْ أَلْهَعَ دِبَّ مَنِبَ عَلَى ذ先进ك فَأَوْلَٰٓيَنَّ همّ الظَّالِمُونَ.}
\]

93 All food was lawful for the tribe of Israel except what Israel made unlawful for himself before the Torah was sent down. Say, ‘Bring the Torah and read it out if you
are telling the truth.’ 94 So any who, after this, invent a lie against Allah are indeed wrongdoers.

Israel is Ya‘qūb. In at-Tirmidhī, Ibn ‘Abbās reported that the Jews said to the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Tell us what Israel made unlawful for himself.’ He said, ‘He lived in the desert and suffered from sciatica and did not find anything which he liked to eat except camel flesh and milk. That is what he made unlawful.’ They said, ‘You spoke the truth.’ It is said that he swore that if he were free of it he would leave the food and drink he loved most, and that was camel flesh and milk.

Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid, Qatādah and as-Suddī said, ‘When he was fleeing from his brother Esau, Ya‘qūb came from Harran making for Jerusalem. He was a strong and violent man. On the way he encountered an angel and thought he was a thief and he started to fight him. The angel touched Ya‘qūb’s thigh and climbed to heaven while Ya‘qūb was looking at him and suddenly sciatica erupted [in his leg]. He had great suffering from it and could not sleep for the pain. He spent the night crying out and swore that if Allah healed him, he would not eat sinew or any food containing sinew, and so he forbade it to himself. After that his sons used to remove sinews from meat. The reason that the angel touched Ya‘qūb was that he had vowed that if Allah were to give him twelve sons and he were to reach Jerusalem sound, he would sacrifice the last of them. Aḍ-Ḍahhāk said that that was to bring him out of his vow.

They disagree about whether Ya‘qūb’s making things unlawful was by his own ījtihād or by permission from Allah. The first is the sound position because Allah ascribed that to him. When a Prophet uses his ījtihād in something, his followers are obliged to follow it because Allah has confirmed him in that, in the same way that they must follow him when he receives revelation. The same is true of what he gives permission for and uses his ījtihād to decide. He must use his ījtihād when he is able to do that. If he did not have permission to
make a thing unlawful, he would not embark on making anything lawful or unlawful. In a sound transmission, our Prophet made honey unlawful to himself and his slavegirl Māriah. Allah did not confirm the prohibition and revealed: ‘Why do you make unlawful what Allah has made lawful for you?’ (66:1) This will be dealt with in at-Tahrīm. At-Ṭabarānī said, ‘It is possible to say that the generality of His words in 66:1 indicate that it is not specific to Māriah. Ash-Shāfi‘ī related that kaffārah is obliged for that without the meaning being understood. He made it specific to the text. Abū Ḥanīfah thought that was a principle for forbidding anything permissible and took it to be like an oath.’

Say, ‘Bring the Torah and read it out if you are telling the truth.’

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘When Ya‘qūb had sciatica, the doctors told him to avoid camel flesh and so he forbade it for himself. The Jews said, “We forbid camel meat for ourselves because Ya‘qūb forbade it and Allah sent down its prohibition in the Torah.” So Allah revealed this āyah.’ Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘Allah called them liars and refuted them, saying, “Muḥammad, say: ‘Bring the Torah and read it out if you are telling the truth.’ (3:93)” The Almighty said: “So any who, after this, invent a lie against Allah are indeed wrongdoers.”’

Az-Zajjāj said, ‘This āyah contains the greatest proof of the Prophethood of Muḥammad, our Prophet. He reports that it is not in their Book and commands them to bring the Torah which they refused to do, showing by that that they knew that what he said was by revelation.’

‘Aṭiyyah al-‘Awfī said, ‘That was forbidden them because Ya‘qūb forbade it to them. That was when Ya‘qūb suffered from sciatica and said, “By Allah, if Allah heals me of it, none of my children will ever eat it!”’ [‘Irq an-nasā is sciatica and ‘irq is sinew or sciatic vein.] Al-Kalbī said, ‘Allah did not forbid it in the Torah. He forbade it after the Torah had been revealed because of their wrongdoing and disbelief. When the tribe of Israel committed a major wrong action,
Allah forbade them good food or poured out punishment on them, which is death. That is referred to in the words of the Almighty: “Because of wrongdoing on the part of the Jews, We made unlawful for them some good things which had previously been lawful for them” (4:160) and: “We made unlawful for the Jews every animal with an undivided hoof…” (6:146)

Ibn Mājah has a chapter in his Sunan: ‘Treating Sciatica’ in which Hishām ibn ‘Ammār and Rāshid ibn Sa‘īd ar-Ramlī related from al-Walīd ibn Muslim from Hishām ibn Hishām that Anas ibn Sīrīn heard Anas ibn Mālik say that he heard the Messenger of Allah say, ‘The cure for sciatica lies in melting the tail of a Bedouin’s sheep which should then be divided into three parts. One part should be drunk each day on an empty stomach.’ Ath-Tha‘labī also transmitted in his Tafsīr from Anas ibn Mālik who said that the Messenger of Allah said about sciatica: ‘Take the tail of medium sized Bedouin ram, cut it into small pieces, remove its liquid, divide it into three parts and then ingest one part on an empty stomach for three days.’ Anas said, ‘I told this to more than a hundred people and they were healed by Allah’s permission.’ Shu‘bah said that a Shaykh related to him in the time of al-Ḥajjāj ibn Yūsuf about sciatica, ‘I swear to you by Allah Most High, if you do not stop, I will cauterise you with fire or shave you with a razor!’ Shu‘bah said, ‘I tested it. You say it and wipe over the site.’

95 Say, ‘Allah speaks the truth, so follow the religion of Ibrāhīm, a man of pure natural belief. He was not one of the idolaters.’

In other words, ‘O Muḥammad, Allah speaks the truth: that is not forbidden in the Torah.’ Then He commands him to follow his dīn. He refuted their false claim about him being an idolater.
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**Glossary**

**Abū Ḫātim**: Sahl ibn Muḥammad al-Jushanī as-Sijistānī, d. 255/869, a prominent Basran philologist.

**Abū Ishāq**: Ibrāhīm ibn as-Sarī az-Zajjāj, author of I‘rab al-Qur’ān.

**Abū Ja‘far**: at-Ṭabarī.

**Abū ‘Ubayd**: al-Qāsim ibn Sallām al-Harawī or al-Baghdādī, d. 224/838.

**Abū ‘Ubaydah**: Ma‘mar ibn al-Muthanna at-Taymī, d. 209/824, author of Majāz al-Qur’ān, the first book on the linguistic analysis of the Qur’ān.

**Āmīn**: ‘Ameen’, a compound of verb and noun meaning ‘Answer our prayer’ or ‘So be it’.

**Amīr al-Mu‘minīn**: ‘the Commander of the Believers’, the caliph.

**‘āmm**: generally applicable, used in reference to a Qur’anic ruling.

**Anṣār**: the “Helpers”, the people of Madīnah who welcomed and aided the Prophet ﷺ.

**‘aqīdah**: creed, dogma or tent of faith firmly based on how things are.

**‘āqilah**: the paternal kinsmen of an offender who are liable for the payment of blood money.

**‘arīyah**: a kind of sale by which the owner of an ‘arīyah is allowed to sell fresh dates while they are still on the palms by means of estimation, in exchange for dried plucked dates.

**‘Aṣr**: the mid-afternoon prayer.

**athar**: pl. āthār, lit. impact, trace, vestige; synonym of khabar, but usually reserved for deeds and precedents of the Companions.

**awliyā’**: the plural of wali.

**āyah**: a verse of the Qur’ān.
Āyat al-Kursī: the Throne Verse, 2:255.
‘ayn: ready money, cash.
Banū: lit. sons, meaning a tribe or clan.
Baqī‘ al-Gharqad: the cemetery of Madīnah.
Bilqīs: the Queen of Saba’ or Sheba.
Dajjāl: the false Messiah whose appearance marks the imminent end of the world. The root in Arabic means ‘to deceive, cheat, take in’.
ḍammah: the Arabic vowel ‘u’.
Dāwūd: the Prophet David.
dhimmah: obligation or contract, in particular a treaty of protection for non-Muslims living in Muslim territory.
dhimmī: a non-Muslim living under the protection of Muslim rule.
Dhu-l-Ḥijjah: the twelfth month of the Muslim calendar, the month of the hajj.
Dhu-l-Qa‘dah: the eleventh month of the Muslim calendar.
dīn: the life-transaction, lit. the debt between two parties, in this usage between the Creator and created.
Fajr: the dawn prayer.
faqīh: pl. fuqahā’, a man learned in knowledge of fiqh who by virtue of his knowledge can give a legal judgment.
fard kifāyah: a collective obligation, something which is obligatory for the community as a whole and is satisfied if one adult performs it.
fat-ḥah: the Arabic vowel ‘a’.
Fātiḥah: “the Opener,” the first sūrah of the Qur’ān.
fatwā: an authoritative statement on a point of law.
fiqh: the science of the application of the Sharī‘ah. A practitioner or expert in fiqh is called a faqīh.
fisq: deviant behaviour, leaving the correct way or abandoning the truth, disobeying Allah, immoral behavior.
fuqahā’: plural of faqīh.
Furqān: discrimination, that which separates truth from falsehood.
Futuwwah: chivalry, placing others above one’s self, as manifested in generosity, altruism, self-denial, and indulgence for people’s shortcomings.
Gharīb: a hadith which has a single reporter at some stage of the isnād.
Ḥabal al-Ḥabalah: a forbidden business transaction in which a man buys the unborn offspring of a female animal.
Ḥadd: Allah’s boundary limits for the lawful and unlawful. The ḥadd punishments are specific fixed penalties laid down by Allah for specified crimes.
Ḥadīth: reported speech of the Prophet ﷺ.
Ḥāfiz: pl. ḥuffāẓ, someone who has memorised the Qur’an.
Hajj: the annual pilgrimage to Makka which is one of the five pillars of Islam.
Ḥāl: In Arabic grammar, a circumstantial adverb in the accusative case which describes something happening at the same time as the action or event mentioned in the main clause.
Ḥalāl: lawful in the Sharī‘ah.
Hamzah: the character in Arabic which designates a glottal stop.
Harām: unlawful in the Sharī‘ah.
Ḥaram: Sacred Precinct, a protected area in which certain behaviour is forbidden and other behaviour necessary. The area around the Ka‘bah in Makkah is a ḥaram, and the area around the Prophet’s Mosque in Madīnah is a ḥaram. They are referred to together as al-Ḥaramayn, ‘the two Ḥarams’.
Ḥarbī: a belligerent.
Ḥarf: pl. aḥruf, one of the seven modes or manners of recitation in which the Qur’ān was revealed.
Hārūn: the Prophet Aaron, the brother of Mūsā.
ḥasan: good, excellent, often used to describe a hadith which is reliable, but which is not as well authenticated as one which is šaḥīḥ.

Hijrah: emigration in the way of Allah. Islamic dating begins with the Hijrah of the Prophet Muḥammad ﷺ from Makkah to Madīnah in 622 AD.

ḥimā: a place of pasturage and water prohibited to the public. It was used for animals paid as zakat and mounts for jihad.

ḥubayq: a small, poor quality date.

Hūd: the Prophet sent to the people of ‘Ād.

ḥudūd: plural of ḥadd.

Iblīs: the personal name of the Devil. He is also called Shayṭān or the ‘enemy of Allah’.

Ibrāhīm: the Prophet Abraham.

‘Īd: a festival, either the festival at the end of Ramadan or at the time of the Hajj.

idāfah: a possessive construction in Arabic in which the first noun is indefinite and the second usually definite. It is used to indicate possession. The first word is termed ‘muḍāf’ and the second is ‘muḍāf ilayhi’.

ijtihād: to exercise personal judgment in legal matters.

īlā’: a vow by a husband to abstain from sexual relations with his wife. If four months pass, it is considered to be a divorce.

imālah: a vowel shift in Arabic where an open vowel rises, ā towards ī, and short a towards i.

imām: Muslim religious or political leader; leader of Muslim congregational worship.

īmān: belief, faith.

‘īnah: a transaction in which the price in paid in advance based on the description of the goods purchased.

‘Īsā: the Prophet Jesus.

‘Ishā’: the obligatory evening prayer.

Is-ḥāq: the Prophet Isaac.
Ismā‘īl: the Prophet Ishmael.

isnād: a hadith’s chain of transmission from individual to individual.

Jāḥiliyyah: the Time of Ignorance before the coming of Islam.

Jalūlä’: a major battle between the Sassanids and the Muslims soon after the conquest of Ctesiphon which was an overwhelming victory for the Muslim forces.

Jibrīl: the angel Gabriel.

jihad: struggle, particularly fighting in the way of Allah to establish Islam.

jinn: inhabitants of the heavens and the earth made of smokeless fire who are usually invisible.

jizyah: a protection tax payable by non-Muslims living under Muslim rule as a tribute to the Muslim ruler.

Jumāda-l-Ākhir: the sixth month of the Muslim calendar.

Jumāda-l-Ulā: the fifth month of the Muslim calendar.

Jumu‘ah: the day of gathering, Friday, and particularly the Jumu‘ah prayer which is performed instead of Ẓuhr by those who attend it.

ju‘rūr: a bad kind of date, also called ‘rat’s dung’, very small and with a bad smell.

Ka‘bah: the cube-shaped building at the centre of the Ḥaram in Makkah, originally built by the Prophet Ibrāhīm ( Penalty). Also known as the House of Allah.

kaффārah: atonement, prescribed way of making amends for wrong actions, especially intentionally missed obligatory actions.

kalām: ‘theology’ and dogmatics. Kalām starts with the revealed tradition and employs rationalistic methods in order to understand it and resolve contradictions.

Kalb: an Arab Bedouin tribe in northwestern Arabia, Syria and Mesopotamia.

kasrah: the Arabic vowel i.
Khalīl: “Friend”, a title of the Prophet Ibrāhīm ﷺ.

Khārijites: the earliest sect, who separated themselves from the body of the Muslims and declared war on all those who disagreed with them, stating that a wrong action turns a Muslim into an unbeliever.

Khaybar: Jewish colony to the north of Madinah which was laid siege to and captured by the Muslims in the seventh year after the Hijrah because of the Jews’ continual treachery.

al-Khidr: or al-Khādir, “the green one.” whose journey with Mūsā is mentioned in the Qur’an 18:65. He may or may not be a Prophet, and often appears to people.

Khorasan: Persian province southeast of the Caspian Sea; a centre of many dissident movements in early Islamic history.

kufr: disbelief, to cover up the truth, to reject Allah and refuse to believe that Muhammad ﷺ is His Messenger.

kunyah: a respectful but intimate way of addressing people as “the father of so-and-so” or “the mother of so-and-so.”

Lā ilāha illā-llāh: ‘There is no god but Allah.’

li‘ān: mutual cursing, a form of divorce in which the husband and wife take oaths when he accuses her of adultery and she denies it.

Lūt: the Prophet Lot.

maddah: prolongation. There are three letters which are subject to prolongation in recitation of the Qur’an: alif, wāw and yā’.

Maghrib: the sunset prayer; also the western part of Muslim lands. Today it means Morocco.

mahram: a male relative with whom marriage is forbidden.

marfū‘: ‘elevated’, a narration from the Prophet ﷺ mentioned by a Companion, e.g. “The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said...”

Maryam: Mary, the mother of ‘Īsā.

Masjid al-Ḥarām: the great mosque in Makkah where the Ka‘bah is situated.

mawqūf: ‘stopped’, a narration from a Companion without
mentioning the Prophet 

Mi‘rāj: the ascension of the Prophet Muḥammad  from Jerusalem through the seven heavens.

mithqāl: pl. mathāqīl, ‘miskal’, the weight of one dinar, the equivalent of 72 grains of barley (equals 4.4 grams).

mu‘dal: ‘perplexing’, in hadith, one missing one or two links in the isnād.

mudd: a measure of volume. approximately a double-handed scoop.

muftī: someone qualified to give a legal opinion or fatwā.

Muhājirūn: Companions of the Messenger of Allah  who accepted Islam in Makkah and made hijrah to Madīnah.

muḥāqalah: a forbidden sale in which, for instance, unharvested wheat is bartered for harvested wheat, or land is rented for wheat, or wheat for seeds.

Muhārram: the first month of the Muslim lunar year.

muḥkam: perspicuous, a word or text conveying a firm and unequivocal meaning.

mujmal: ambivalent, requires details and explanation, ambiguous, referring to a category of unclear words.

mukhābarah: see muzāra‘ah.

mursal: a hadith where a man in the generation after the Companions quotes directly from the Prophet  without mentioning the Companion from whom he got it.

Mūsā: the Prophet Moses.

mutakallimūn: those who study the science of kalām, the science of investigating theological doctrine.

mutashābih: intricate, unintelligible, referring to a word or text whose meaning is not totally clear.

Mu‘tazilite: someone who adheres to the school of the Mu‘tazilah which is rationalist in its approach to existence. Originally they held that anyone who commits a sin is neither a believer nor an
unbeliever. They also held the Qur’an to be created.

**muzābanah**: a forbidden sale in which something whose number, weight, or measure is known is sold for something whose number, weight or measure is not known.

**muzāra‘ah**: farming partnership, in which someone allows his land to be cultivated in exchange for a portion of the produce.

**An-Naḍīr**: a Jewish tribe in Madīnah.

**nāfilah**: (plural nawāfil): supererogatory act of worship.

**Najd**: the region around Riyadh in Saudi Arabia.

**Najrān**: a region in the southern Arabian peninsula which borders Yemen.

**Nūḥ**: the Prophet Noah.

**People of the Book**: principally the Jews and Christians whose religions are based on the Divine Books revealed to Mūsā and ‘Īsā; a term also used to refer to any other group who claim to be following a Book revealed prior to the Qur’an.

**People of Hadith**: ‘the adherents of Hadith’, the movement who considered only the Qur’an and hadith to be valid sources of fiqh.

**People of Opinion (ra‘y)**: a term used to describe those who use personal opinion to deduce judgement. It was a term used particularly to describe the early Ḥanafīs.

**qāḍī**: a judge, qualified to judge all matters in accordance with the Sharī‘ah and to dispense and enforce legal punishments.

**Qaynuqā‘**: one of the Jewish tribes of Madīnah.

**qiblah**: the direction faced in the prayer which is towards the Ka‘bah in Makkah.

**qinṭār**: pl. qanṭāṭīr, a relatively large measure for food grains, approx., 45 kgs.

**qīrāṭ**: pl. qarārīṭ, a measure of weight with various meanings, either a twelfth of a dirham or a huge weight like that of Mount Uhud.

**Quraysh**: one of the great tribes of Arabia. The Prophet Muḥammad ﷺ belonged to this tribe, which had great powers
spiritually and financially both before and after Islam came. Someone from this tribe is called Qurashī.

**Qurayţah**: one of the Jewish tribes of Madīnah.

**Rabī’ al-Awwal**: the third month of the Muslim calendar.

**Rabī’ al-Ākhir**: the fourth month of the Muslim calendar.

**Rajab**: the seventh month of the Muslim calendar.

**Rafidites**: the Rawāfiḍ, a group of the Shi‘ah known for rejecting Abū Bakr and ‘Umar as well as ‘Uthmān. It is a nickname, meaning “deserters”.

**rak‘ah**: a unit of the prayer consisting of a series of standings, bowing, prostrations and sittings.

**Ramadan**: the month of fasting, the ninth month in the Muslim lunar calendar.

**ar-Rawḥā’**: a place 14 km from Madīnah.

**ribā**: usury.

**Riddah**: the defection of various Arab tribes after the death of the Prophet which brought about the Riddah War.

**rukū‘**: the bowing position in the prayer.

**ṣā‘**: a measure of volume equal to four *mudds*.

**Sacred Months**: the months of Rajab, Dhu-l-Qa‘dah, Dhu-l-Hiijjah and Muḥarram in which fighting was forbidden.

**ṣadaqah**: charitable giving in the Cause of Allah.

**sadd adh-dharā‘i‘**: the blocking of a means which might lead to undesired consequences.

**Ṣafā and Marwah**: two hills close to the Ka‘bah.

**Ṣafar**: the second month of the Muslim lunar calendar.

**ṣahīḥ**: healthy and sound with no defects, used to describe an authentic hadith.

**Ṣaḥīḥ**: “the Sound”, the title of the hadith collections of al-Bukhārī and Muslim.

**Salaf**: the early generations of the Muslims.

**salaf**: loan, advance payment.
**salām**: the expression, ‘as-salāmu ‘alaykum,’ or ‘Peace be upon you,’ used as a greeting and to end the prayer.

**salam**: a sale in which the price is paid at once for goods to be delivered later; ownership in the goods passes at the time the contract is made.

**Sha‘bān**: the eighth month in the Muslim calendar

**shahādah**: bearing witness, particularly bearing witness that there is no god but Allah and that Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah. It is one of the pillars of Islam. It is also used to describe legal testimony in a court of law.

**Sharī‘ah**: The legal modality of a people based on the revelation of their Prophet. The final Sharī‘ah is that of Islam.

**Shawwāl**: the tenth month of the Muslim calendar.

**Shayṭān**: devil, particularly Iblīs, one of the jinn.

**shirk**: the unforgivable wrong action of worshipping something or someone other than Allah or associating something or someone as a partner with Him.

**Sīrah**: biography, particularly biography of the Prophet ﷺ.

**Ṣubḥ**: dawn prayer

**Ṣuffah**: a verandah attached to the Prophet’s Mosque where the poor Muslims used to sleep.

**Sulaymān**: the Prophet Solomon.

**sunan**: plural of sunnah.

**Sunnah**: the customary practice of a person or group of people. It has come to refer almost exclusively to the practice of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ.

**sūrah**: a chapter of the Qur’an.

**Tābi‘ūn**: the second generation of the early Muslims who did not meet the Prophet Muhammad ﷺ but learned the dīn of Islam from his Companions.

**Tabūk**: a town in northern Arabia close to Jordan.

**tafṣīr**: commentary or explanation of the meanings of the Qur‘an.
tahajjud: voluntary prayers performed at night after ‘Ishā’.
Ṭa‘īf: a walled town south of Makkah known for its fertility. It was the home of the tribe of Thaqīf.
talbiyah: saying ‘Labbayk’ (‘At Your service’) during the hajj.
Tamīm: one of the largest of the Arab tribes, located in Najd.
tanwīn: nunation.
taqiyyah: concealment of one’s views to escape persecution.
taqwā: awe or fear of Allah, which inspires a person to be on guard against wrong action and eager for actions which please Him.
taṣawwuf: Sufism.
tashbīh: comparing or connecting Allah to created things, or making Allah resemble created things.
tawḥīd: the doctrine of Divine Unity.
ta‘wil: allegorical interpretation.
Thaqīf: a tribe based in the town of Ta‘īf, a branch of the tribe of Hawāzin.
Tihāmah: the Red Sea coastal plain of Arabia.
Uḥud: a mountain just outside of Madīnah where five years after the Hijrah, the Muslims lost a battle against the Makkan idolaters. Many great Companions, and in particular Ḥamzah, the uncle of the Prophet, were killed in this battle.
Umm al-Mu‘minīn: literally ‘Mother of the Believers’, an honorary title given to the wives of the Prophet.
Umm al-Qur’ān: literally ‘the Mother of the Qur’an’, the opening sūrah of the Qur’an, al-Fātiḥah.
umm walad: a slavegirl who has had a child by her master.
Ummah: the body of Muslims as one distinct Community.
‘umrah: the lesser pilgrimage to the Ka‘bah in Makkah performed at any time of the year.
ūqiyyah: unit of measurement equal to a 12th of a ratl.
Verandah: as-Saqīfah, a roofed porch where the Muslims in Madīnah met after the death of the Prophet ﷺ to choose the first
caliph.

walī: pl. awliyā’, someone who is a ‘friend’ of Allah, thus possessing the quality of wilāyah. Also a relative who acts as a guardian.

wasq: a measure of volume equal to sixty šā’s.

Yaḥyā: the Prophet John the Baptist, the son of Zakariyyā.

Ya’jūj and Ma’jūj: the people of Gog and Magog who are to burst forth at the end of time.

Yaʿqūb: the Prophet Jacob, also called Isrāʿīl (Israel).

Yūnus: the Prophet Jonah.

Yūsuf: the Prophet Joseph.

Zakariyyā: the Prophet Zachariah, the father of Yaḥyā, John the Baptist, and guardian of Maryam.

zakat: a wealth tax, one of the five pillars of Islam.

zakat al-fiṭr: a small obligatory head-tax imposed on every Muslim who has the means for himself and his dependants. It is paid at the end of Ramadan.

zindīq: a term used to describe a heretic whose teaching is a danger to the community or state.

Ẓuhr: the midday prayer.
1. Translator’s note
2. 2. Sūrat al-Baqarah – The Cow 254 – 286
   1. 254 You who believe! give away some of what We have provided for you before a Day arrives on which there is no trading, no close friendship and no intercession. It is the unbelievers who are the wrongdoers.

   1. 255 Allah, there is no god but Him, the Living, the Self-Sustaining. He is not subject to drowsiness or sleep. Everything in the heavens and the earth belongs to Him. Who can intercede with Him except by His permission? He knows what is before them and what is behind them but they cannot grasp any of His knowledge save what He wills. His Footstool encompasses the heavens and the earth and their preservation does not tire Him. He is the Most High, the Magnificent.

   2. 256 There is no compulsion where the din is concerned. Right guidance has become clearly distinct from error. Anyone who rejects false gods and believes in Allah has grasped the Firmest Handhold, which will never give way. Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

   3. 257 Allah is the Protector of those who believe. He brings them out of the darkness into the light. But those who disbelieve have false gods as protectors. They take them from the light into the darkness. Those are the Companions of the Fire remaining in it timelessly, for ever.
4. **258** What about the one who argued with Ibrāhīm about his Lord, on the basis that Allah had given him sovereignty? Ibrāhīm said, ‘My Lord is He who gives life and causes to die.’ He said, ‘I too give life and cause to die.’ Ibrāhīm said, ‘Allah makes the sun come from the East. Make it come from the West.’ And the one who was an unbeliever was dumbfounded. Allah does not guide wrongdoing people.

5. **259** Or the one who passed by a town which had fallen into ruin? He asked, ‘How can Allah restore this to life when it has died?’ Allah caused him to die a hundred years, then brought him back to life. Then He asked, ‘How long have you been here?’ He replied, ‘I have been here a day or part of a day.’ He said, ‘Not so! You have been here a hundred years. Look at your food and drink – it has not gone bad — and look at your donkey so We can make you a Sign for all mankind. Look at the bones – how We raise them up and clothe them in flesh.’ When it had become clear to him, he said, ‘Now I know that Allah has power over all things.’

6. **260** When Ibrāhīm said, ‘My Lord, show me how You bring the dead to life.’ He asked, ‘Do you not then believe?’ He replied, ‘Indeed I do! But so that my heart may be at peace.’ He said, ‘Take four birds and train them to yourself. Then put a part of them on each mountain and call to them; they will come rushing to you. Know that Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.’

7. **261** The metaphor of those who spend their wealth in the Way of Allah is that of a grain which produces
seven ears; in every ear there are a hundred grains. Allah gives such multiplied increase to whoever He wills. Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.

8. **262** Those who spend their wealth in the Way of Allah, and then do not follow what they have spent by demands for gratitude or insulting words will have their reward with their Lord. They will feel no fear and will know no sorrow.

9. **263** Correct and courteous words accompanied by forgiveness are better than ṣadaqah followed by insulting words. Allah is Rich Beyond Need, All-Forbearing.

10. **264** You who believe! do not nullify your ṣadaqah by demands for gratitude or insulting words, like him who spends his wealth, showing off to people and not believing in Allah and the Last Day. His likeness is that of a smooth rock coated with soil, which, when heavy rain falls on it, is left stripped bare. They have no power over anything they have earned. Allah does not guide disbelieving people.

11. **265** The metaphor of those who spend their wealth, desiring the pleasure of Allah and firmness for themselves, is that of a garden on a hillside. When heavy rain falls on it, it doubles its produce; and if heavy rain does not fall, there is dew. Allah sees what you do.

12. **266** Would any of you like to have a garden of dates and grapes, with rivers flowing underneath and containing all kinds of fruits, then to be stricken with old age and have children who are weak, and then for a fierce whirlwind containing fire to come and strike it so that it goes up in flames? In this way
Allah makes His Signs clear to you, so that hopefully you will reflect.

13. 267 You who believe! give away some of the good things you have earned and some of what the earth produces for you. Do not have recourse to bad things when you give, things you would only take with your eyes tight shut! Know that Allah is Rich Beyond Need, Praiseworthy.

14. 268 Shayṭān promises you poverty and commands you to avarice. Allah promises you forgiveness from Him and abundance. Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.

15. 269 He gives wisdom to whomever He wills and he who has been given wisdom has been given great good. But no one pays heed but people of intelligence.

16. 270 Whatever amount you spend or vow you make, Allah knows it. The wrongdoers have no helpers.

17. 271 If you make your ṣadaqah public, that is good. But if you conceal it and give it to the poor, that is better for you, and We will erase some of your bad actions from you. Allah is aware of what you do.

18. 272 You are not responsible for their guidance, but Allah guides whomever He wills. Whatever good you give away is to your own benefit, when you give desiring only the Face of Allah. Whatever good you give away will be repaid to you in full. You will not be wronged.

19. 273 It is for the poor who are held back in the Way of Allah, unable to travel in the land. The ignorant consider them rich because of their reticence. You will know them by their mark. They do not ask from
people insistently. Whatever good you give away, Allah knows it.

20. **274** Those who give away their wealth by night and day, secretly and openly, will have their reward with their Lord. They will feel no fear and will know no sorrow.

21. **275** Those who practise usury will not rise from the grave except as someone driven mad by Shayṭān’s touch. That is because they say, ‘Trade is the same as usury.’ But Allah has permitted trade and He has forbidden usury. Whoever is given a warning by his Lord and then desists, can keep what he received in the past and his affair is Allah’s concern. But all who return to it will be the Companions of the Fire, remaining in it timelessly, for ever. **276** Allah obliterates usury but makes ṣadaqah grow in value! Allah does not love any persistently ungrateful wrongdoer. **277** Those who believe and do right actions and establish the prayer and pay zakāt, will have their reward with their Lord. They will feel no fear and will know no sorrow. **278** You who believe! be fearful of Allah and forgo any remaining usury if you are believers. **279** If you do not, know that it means war from Allah and His Messenger. But if you sincerely repent you may have your capital, without wronging and without being wronged.

22. **280** If someone is in difficult circumstances, there should be a deferral until things are easier. But making a free gift of it would be better for you if you only knew.

23. **281** Be fearful of a Day when you will be returned to Allah. Then every self will be paid in full for what it
earned. They will not be wronged.

24. 282 You who believe! when you take on a debt for a specified period, write it down. A writer should write it down between you justly. No writer should refuse to write; as Allah has taught him, so he should write. The one incurring the debt should dictate and should be fearful of Allah his Lord and not reduce it in any way. If the person incurring the debt is incompetent or weak or unable to dictate, then his guardian should dictate for him justly. Two men among you should act as witnesses. But if there are not two men, then a man and two women with whom you are satisfied as witnesses; then if one of them is confused, the other can remind her. Witnesses should not refuse when they are called upon. Do not think it too trivial to write down, whether small or large, with the date that it falls due. Doing that is more just in Allah’s sight and more helpful when bearing witness and more likely to eliminate any doubt – unless it is an immediate transaction hand to hand, taken and given without delay. There is nothing wrong in your not writing that down. Call witnesses when you trade. Neither writer nor witness should be put under pressure. If you do that, it is deviancy on your part. Be fearful of Allah and Allah will give you knowledge. Allah has knowledge of all things.

25. 283 If you are on a journey and cannot find a writer, something can be left as a security. If you leave things on trust with one another the one who is trusted must deliver up his trust and be fearful of Allah his Lord. Do not conceal testimony. If
someone does conceal it, his heart commits a crime. Allah knows what you do.

26. **284** Everything in the heavens and everything in the earth belongs to Allah. Whether you divulge what is in yourselves or keep it hidden, Allah will still call you to account for it. He forgives whomever He wills and He punishes whomever He wills. Allah has power over all things.

27. **285** The Messenger believes in what has been sent down to him from his Lord, and so do the believers. Each one believes in Allah and His angels and His Books and His Messengers. We do not differentiate between any of His Messengers. They say, ‘We hear and we obey. Forgive us, our Lord! You are our journey’s end.’ **286** Allah does not impose on any self any more than it can bear. For it is what it has earned; against it, what it has merited. Our Lord, do not take us to task if we forget or make a mistake! Our Lord, do not place on us a load like the one You placed on those before us! Our Lord, do not place on us a load we have not the strength to bear! And pardon us; and forgive us; and have mercy on us. You are our Master, so help us against the people of the unbelievers.

   1. **1 Alif Lām Mīm. 2. Allah, there is no god but Him, the Living, the Self-Sustaining.**
   1. **3 He has sent down the Book to you with truth, confirming what was there before it. And He sent down the Torah and the Gospel, 4 previously, as guidance for mankind, and He has sent down the Furqān. Those who reject Allah’s Signs will have a**
terrible punishment. Allah is Almighty, Exactor of Revenge.

2. 5 Allah – Him from Whom nothing is hidden, either on earth or in heaven.

3. 6 It is He who forms you in the womb however He wills. There is no god but Him, the Almighty, the All-Wise.

4. 7 It is He who sent down the Book to you from Him: āyahs containing clear judgments – they are the core of the Book – and others which are open to interpretation. Those with deviation in their hearts follow what is open to interpretation in it, desiring conflict, seeking its inner meaning. No one knows its inner meaning but Allah. Those firmly rooted in knowledge say, ‘We believe in it. All of it is from our Lord.’ But only people of intelligence pay heed.

5. 8 ‘Our Lord, do not make our hearts swerve aside after You have guided us. And give us mercy from You. You are the Ever-Giving.

6. 9 Our Lord, You are the Gatherer of mankind to a Day of which there is no doubt. Allah will not break His promise.’

7. 10 As for those who disbelieve, their wealth and children will not help them against Allah in any way. They are fuel for the Fire,

8. 11 as was the case with the people of Pharaoh and those before them. They denied Our Signs so Allah seized them for their wrong actions. Allah is fierce in retribution.

9. 12 Say to those who disbelieve: ‘You will be overwhelmed and crowded into Hell. What an evil resting-place!’
10. There was a sign for you in the two parties which met face to face, one party fighting in the Way of Allah and the other unbelievers. You saw them as twice their number with your own eyes. Allah reinforces with His help whoever He wills. There is instruction in that for people of insight.

11. To mankind the love of worldly appetites is painted in glowing colours: women and children, and heaped-up mounds of gold and silver, and grazing horses with fine markings, and livestock and fertile farmland. All that is merely the enjoyment of the life of this world. The best homecoming is in the presence of Allah.

12. Say, ‘Shall I tell you of something better than that?’ The godfearing will have Gardens with their Lord, with rivers flowing under them, remaining in them timelessly, for ever, and purified wives, and the Pleasure of Allah. Allah sees His slaves:

13. those who say, ‘Our Lord, we believe, so forgive us our wrong actions and safeguard us from the punishment of the Fire.’

14. Allah bears witness that there is no god but Him, as do the angels and the people of knowledge, upholding justice. There is no god but Him, the Almighty, the All-Wise.

15. The dīn with Allah is Islam. Those given the Book only differed after knowledge had come to them, envying one another. As for those who reject Allah’s Signs, Allah is swift at reckoning.
16. If they argue with you, say, ‘I have submitted myself completely to Allah, and so have all who follow me.’ Say to those given the Book and those who have no Book, ‘Have you become Muslim?’ If they become Muslim, they have been guided. If they turn away, you are only responsible for transmission. Allah sees His slaves.

17. As for those who reject Allah’s Signs, and kill the Prophets without any right to do so, and kill those who command justice, give them news of a painful punishment. They are the ones whose actions come to nothing in this world or the Next World. They will have no helpers.

18. Do you not see those who have been given a portion of the Book being invited to let Allah’s Book be the judge between them? But then a group of them turn away.

19. That is because they say, ‘The Fire will only touch us for a number of days.’ Their inventions have deluded them in their dīn.

20. But how will it be when We gather them all together for a Day about which there is no doubt? Every self will be paid in full for what it earned. They will not be wronged.

21. Say, ‘O Allah! Master of the Kingdom! You give sovereignty to whomever You will You take sovereignty from whomever You will. You exalt whomever You will You abase whomever You will. All good is in Your hands. You have power over all things.

22. You merge the night into the day. You merge the day into the night. You bring out the living from the
dead. You bring out the dead from the living. You provide for whoever You will without any reckoning.’

23. The believers should not take unbelievers as friends rather than believers. Anyone who does that has nothing to do with Allah at all – unless it is because you are afraid of them. Allah advises you to be afraid of Him. Allah is the final destination.

24. Say, ‘Whether you conceal what is in your breasts or make it known, Allah knows it. He knows what is in the heavens and what is on earth. Allah has power over all things.’

25. On the Day that each self finds the good it did, and the evil it did, present there in front of it, it will wish there were an age between it and then. Allah advises you to beware of Him. Allah is Ever-Gentle with His slaves.

26. Say, ‘If you love Allah, then follow me and Allah will love you and forgive you for your wrong actions. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.’

27. Say, ‘Obey Allah and the Messenger.’ Then if they turn away, Allah does not love the unbelievers.

28. Allah chose Ādam and Nūh and the family of Ibrāhīm and the family of ‘Imrān over all other beings –

29. descendants one of the other. Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

30. Remember when the wife of ‘Imrān said, ‘My Lord, I have pledged to You what is in my womb, devoting it to Your service. Please accept my prayer. You are the All-Hearing, the All-Knowing.’

31. When she gave birth, she said, ‘My Lord! I have
given birth to a girl’ – and Allah knew very well what she had given birth to, male and female are not the same – ‘and I have named her Maryam and placed her and her children in Your safekeeping from the accursed Shaytān.’

31. Her Lord accepted her with approval and made her grow in health and beauty. And Zakariyyā became her guardian. Every time Zakariyyā visited her in the Upper Room, he found food with her. He said, ‘Maryam, how did you come by this?’ She said, ‘It is from Allah. Allah provides for whoever He wills without any reckoning.’ 38 Then and there Zakariyyā called on his Lord and said, ‘O Lord, grant me by Your favour an upright child. You are the Hearer of Prayer.’

32. The angels called out to him while he was standing in prayer in the Upper Room: ‘Allah gives you the good news of Yahyā, who will come to confirm a Word from Allah, and will be a leader and a celibate, a Prophet and one of the righteous.’

33. He said, ‘My Lord, how can I possibly have a son when I have reached old age and my wife is barren?’ He said, ‘It will be so. Allah does whatever He wills.’

34. He said, ‘My Lord, appoint a Sign for me.’ He said, ‘Your Sign is that you will not speak to people for three days, except by gesture. Remember your Lord much and glorify Him in the evening and after dawn.’

35. And when the angels said, ‘Maryam, Allah has chosen you and purified you. He has chosen you over all other women.'
36. Maryam, obey your Lord and prostrate and bow with those who bow.’

37. This is news from the Unseen which We reveal to you. You were not with them when they cast their reeds to see which of them would be the guardian of Maryam. You were not with them when they quarrelled.

38. When the angels said, ‘Maryam, Allah gives you good news of a Word from Him. His name is the Messiah, ‘Īsā, son of Maryam, of high esteem in this world and the Next, and one of those brought near. He will speak to people in the cradle, and also when fully grown, and will be one of the righteous.’

39. She said, ‘My lord! How can I have a son when no man has ever touched me?’ He said, ‘It will be so.’ Allah creates whatever He wills. When He decides on something, He just says to it, ‘Be!’ and it is.

40. He will teach him the Book and Wisdom, and the Torah and the Gospel, as a Messenger to the tribe of Israel, saying: ‘I have brought you a Sign from your Lord. I will create the shape of a bird out of clay for you and then breathe into it and it will be a bird by Allah’s permission. I will heal the blind and the leper, and bring the dead to life, by Allah’s permission. I will tell you what you eat and what you store up in your homes. There is a Sign for you in that if you are believers.

41. I come confirming the Torah I find already there, and to make lawful for you some of what was previously forbidden to you. I have brought you a Sign from your Lord. So have taqwā of Allah and
obey me. 51 Allah is my Lord and your Lord so worship Him. That is a straight path.’

42. 52 When ‘Īsā sensed unbelief on their part, he said, ‘Who will be my helpers to Allah?’ The disciples said, ‘We are Allah’s helpers. We believe in Allah. Bear witness that we are Muslims.

43. 53 Our Lord, we believe in what You have sent down and have followed the Messenger, so write us down among the witnesses.’

44. 54 They plotted and Allah plotted. But Allah is the best of plotters.

45. 55 When Allah said, ‘‘Īsā, I will take you back and raise you up to Me and purify you of those who disbelieve. And I will place the people who follow you above those who disbelieve until the Day of Rising. Then you will all return to Me, and I will judge between you regarding the things about which you differed.

46. 56 As for those who disbelieve, I will punish them with a harsh punishment in this world and the Next World. They will have no helpers.’ 57 As for those who believe and do right actions, We will pay them their wages in full. Allah does not love wrongdoers.

58 That is what We recite to you of the Signs and the wise Reminder.

47. 59 The likeness of ‘Īsā in Allah’s sight is the same as Ādam. He created him from earth and then He said to him, ‘Be!’ and he was. 60 It is the truth from your Lord so do not be among the doubters.

48. 61 If anyone argues with you about him after the knowledge that has come to you, say, ‘Come then! Let us summon our sons and your sons, our women
and your women, ourselves and yourselves. Then let us make earnest supplication and call down the curse of Allah upon the liars.’

49. 62 This is the true account: there is no other god besides Allah. Allah – He is the Almighty, the All-Wise. 63 And if they turn away, Allah knows the corrupters.

50. 64 Say, ‘People of the Book! come to a proposition which is the same for us and you – that we should worship none but Allah and not associate any partners with Him and not take one another as lords besides Allah.’ If they turn away, say, ‘Bear witness that we are Muslims.’

51. 65 ‘People of the Book! why do you argue concerning Ibrāhīm when the Torah and Gospel were only sent down after him? Why do you not use your intellect?

52. 66 You are people arguing about something of which you have knowledge. Why do you argue about something of which you have no knowledge? Allah knows; you do not know.’

53. 67 Ibrāhīm was neither a Jew nor a Christian, but a man of pure natural belief – a Muslim. He was not one of the idolaters.

54. 68 The people with the strongest claim to Ibrāhīm are those who followed him and this Prophet and those who believe. Allah is the Protector of the believers.

55. 69 A group of the People of the Book would love to misguide you. They only misguide themselves but they are not aware of it.
56. **70 People of the Book! why do you reject Allah’s Signs when you yourselves are there as witnesses?**

57. **71 People of the Book! why do you mix truth with falsehood and knowingly conceal the truth?**

58. **72 A group of the People of the Book say, ‘At the beginning of the day, you should claim to believe in what was sent down to those who believe, and then at the end of the day, you should reject it, so that hopefully they will revert.**

59. **73 Do not trust anyone except for those who follow your din.’ Say, ‘Allah’s guidance is true guidance. But you think it is impossible for anyone to be given the same as you were given, or to argue with you before your Lord.’ Say, ‘All favour is in Allah’s Hand and He gives it to whomever He wills. Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.’

60. **74 He picks out for His mercy whoever He wills. Allah’s favour is indeed immense.’

61. **75 Among the People of the Book there are some who, if you entrust them with a pile of gold, will return it to you. But there are others among them who, if you entrust them with just a single dinar, will not return it to you, unless you stay standing over them. That is because they say, ‘We are under no obligation where the gentiles are concerned.’ They tell a lie against Allah and they know it.**

62. **76 No, the truth is, if people honour their contracts and are godfearing, Allah loves the godfearing.**

63. **77 Those who sell Allah’s contract and their own oaths for a paltry price, such people will have no portion in the Next World and on the Day of Rising**
Allah will not speak to them or look at them or purify them. They will have a painful punishment.

64. **78 Among them is a group who distort the Book with their tongues so that you think it is from the Book when it is not from the Book. They say, ‘It is from Allah,’ but it is not from Allah. They tell a lie against Allah and they know it.**

65. **79 It is not right for any human being that Allah should give him the Book and judgment and Prophethood, and then that he should say to people, ‘Be worshippers of me rather than Allah.’ Rather he will say, ‘Be people of the Lord because of your knowledge of the Book and because you study.’**

66. **80 He would never command you to take the angels and Prophets as Lords. Would He command you to disbelieve after being Muslim?**

67. **81 Remember when Allah made a covenant with the Prophets: ‘Now that I have given you a share of the Book and Wisdom, and then a messenger comes to you confirming what is with you, you must believe in him and help him.’ He asked, ‘Do you agree and undertake my commission on that condition?’ They replied, ‘We agree.’ He said, ‘Bear witness, then. I am with you as one of the witnesses.’**

68. **82 Any who turn away after that are deviators.**

69. **83 Is it other than the dīn of Allah that you desire, when everything in the heavens and earth, willingly or unwillingly, submits to Him and to Him you will be returned? 84 Say, ‘We believe in Allah and what has been sent down to us and what was sent down to Ibrāhīm, Ismā‘īl and Isḥāq and Ya‘qūb and the Tribes, and what Mūsā and ‘Īsā and all the Prophets**
were given by their Lord. We do not differentiate between any of them. We are Muslims submitted to Him.’

70. 85 If anyone desires anything other than Islam as a dīn, it will not be accepted from him, and in the Next World he will be among the losers.

71. 86 How can Allah guide a people who have disbelieved after having believed? They bore witness that the Messenger was true and that the Clear Signs had come to them. Allah does not guide people who are wrongdoers.

72. 87 The repayment of such people is that Allah’s curse is on them and that of the angels and of all mankind. 88 They will be under it for ever. Their punishment will not be lightened. They will be granted no reprieve. 89 Except for those who, after that, repent and put things right. Truly Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

73. 90 Those who disbelieve after having believed and then increase in their unbelief, their repentance will not be accepted. They are the misguided.

74. 91 As for those who disbelieve and die unbelievers, the whole earth filled with gold would not be accepted from any of them if they were to offer it as a ransom. They will have a painful punishment. They will have no helpers.

75. 92 You will not attain true goodness until you give of what you love. Whatever you give away, Allah knows it.

76. 93 All food was lawful for the tribe of Israel except what Israel made unlawful for himself before the Torah was sent down. Say, ‘Bring the Torah and read
it out if you are telling the truth.’ 94 So any who, after this, invent a lie against Allah are indeed wrongdoers.

77. 95 Say, ‘Allah speaks the truth, so follow the religion of Ibrāhīm, a man of pure natural belief. He was not one of the idolaters.’
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254 You who believe! give away some of what We have provided for you before a Day arrives on which there is no trading, no close friendship and no intercession. It is the unbelievers who are the wrongdoers.

255 Allah, there is no god but Him, the Living, the Self-Sustaining. He is not subject to drowsiness or sleep. Everything in the heavens and the earth belongs to Him. Who can intercede with Him except by His permission? He knows what is before them and what is behind them but they cannot grasp any of His knowledge save what He wills. His Footstool encompasses the heavens and the earth and their preservation does not tire Him. He is the Most High, the Magnificent.

256 There is no compulsion where the dīn is concerned. Right guidance has become clearly distinct from error. Anyone who rejects false gods and believes in Allah has grasped the Firmest Handhold, which will never give way. Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

257 Allah is the Protector of those who believe. He brings them out of the darkness into the light. But those who disbelieve have false gods as protectors. They take them from the light into the darkness. Those are the Companions of the Fire remaining in it timelessly, for ever.

258 What about the one who argued with Ibrāhīm about
his Lord, on the basis that Allah had given him sovereignty? Ibrāhīm said, ‘My Lord is He who gives life and causes to die.’ He said, ‘I too give life and cause to die.’ Ibrāhīm said, ‘Allah makes the sun come from the East. Make it come from the West.’ And the one who was an unbeliever was dumbfounded. Allah does not guide wrongdoing people.

259 Or the one who passed by a town which had fallen into ruin? He asked, ‘How can Allah restore this to life when it has died?’ Allah caused him to die a hundred years, then brought him back to life. Then He asked, ‘How long have you been here?’ He replied, ‘I have been here a day or part of a day.’ He said, ‘Not so! You have been here a hundred years. Look at your food and drink – it has not gone bad — and look at your donkey so We can make you a Sign for all mankind. Look at the bones – how We raise them up and clothe them in flesh.’ When it had become clear to him, he said, ’Now I know that Allah has power over all things.’

260 When Ibrāhīm said, ‘My Lord, show me how You bring the dead to life.’ He asked, ‘Do you not then believe?’ He replied, ‘Indeed I do! But so that my heart may be at peace.’ He said, ‘Take four birds and train them to yourself. Then put a part of them on each mountain and call to them; they will come rushing to you. Know that Allah is Almighty, All-Wise.’

261 The metaphor of those who spend their wealth in the Way of Allah is that of a grain which produces seven ears; in every ear there are a hundred grains. Allah gives such multiplied increase to whoever He wills. Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.
262 Those who spend their wealth in the Way of Allah, and then do not follow what they have spent by demands for gratitude or insulting words will have their reward with their Lord. They will feel no fear and will know no sorrow.

263 Correct and courteous words accompanied by forgiveness are better than ṣadaqah followed by insulting words. Allah is Rich Beyond Need, All-Forbearing.

264 You who believe! do not nullify your ṣadaqah by demands for gratitude or insulting words, like him who spends his wealth, showing off to people and not believing in Allah and the Last Day. His likeness is that of a smooth rock coated with soil, which, when heavy rain falls on it, is left stripped bare. They have no power over anything they have earned. Allah does not guide disbelieving people.

265 The metaphor of those who spend their wealth, desiring the pleasure of Allah and firmness for themselves, is that of a garden on a hillside. When heavy rain falls on it, it doubles its produce; and if heavy rain does not fall, there is dew. Allah sees what you do.

266 Would any of you like to have a garden of dates and grapes, with rivers flowing underneath and containing all kinds of fruits, then to be stricken with old age and have children who are weak, and then for a fierce whirlwind containing fire to come and strike it so that it goes up in flames? In this way Allah makes His Signs clear to you, so that hopefully you will reflect.

267 You who believe! give away some of the good things you have earned and some of what the earth produces for you. Do not have recourse to bad things when you give,
things you would only take with your eyes tight shut! Know that Allah is Rich Beyond Need, Praiseworthy.

268 Shayṭān promises you poverty and commands you to avarice. Allah promises you forgiveness from Him and abundance. Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.

269 He gives wisdom to whomever He wills and he who has been given wisdom has been given great good. But no one pays heed but people of intelligence.

270 Whatever amount you spend or vow you make, Allah knows it. The wrongdoers have no helpers.

271 If you make your ṣadaqah public, that is good. But if you conceal it and give it to the poor, that is better for you, and We will erase some of your bad actions from you. Allah is aware of what you do.

272 You are not responsible for their guidance, but Allah guides whomever He wills. Whatever good you give away is to your own benefit, when you give desiring only the Face of Allah. Whatever good you give away will be repaid to you in full. You will not be wronged.

273 It is for the poor who are held back in the Way of Allah, unable to travel in the land. The ignorant consider them rich because of their reticence. You will know them by their mark. They do not ask from people insistently. Whatever good you give away, Allah knows it.

274 Those who give away their wealth by night and day, secretly and openly, will have their reward with their Lord. They will feel no fear and will know no sorrow.

275 Those who practise usury will not rise from the grave except as someone driven mad by Shayṭān’s touch. That is because they say, ‘Trade is the same as usury.’ But Allah has permitted trade and He has forbidden usury.
Whoever is given a warning by his Lord and then desists, can keep what he received in the past and his affair is Allah’s concern. But all who return to it will be the Companions of the Fire, remaining in it timelessly, for ever. 276 Allah obliterates usury but makes ṣadaqah grow in value! Allah does not love any persistently ungrateful wrongdoer. 277 Those who believe and do right actions and establish the prayer and pay zakāt, will have their reward with their Lord. They will feel no fear and will know no sorrow. 278 You who believe! be fearful of Allah and forgo any remaining usury if you are believers. 279 If you do not, know that it means war from Allah and His Messenger. But if you sincerely repent you may have your capital, without wronging and without being wronged. 280 If someone is in difficult circumstances, there should be a deferral until things are easier. But making a free gift of it would be better for you if you only knew.

281 Be fearful of a Day when you will be returned to Allah. Then every self will be paid in full for what it earned. They will not be wronged.

282 You who believe! when you take on a debt for a specified period, write it down. A writer should write it down between you justly. No writer should refuse to write; as Allah has taught him, so he should write. The one incurring the debt should dictate and should be fearful of Allah his Lord and not reduce it in any way. If the person incurring the debt is incompetent or weak or unable to dictate, then his guardian should dictate for him justly. Two men among you should act as witnesses. But if there are not two men, then a man and two women with whom you are satisfied as witnesses; then if one of them is confused, the other can remind her. Witnesses
should not refuse when they are called upon. Do not think it too trivial to write down, whether small or large, with the date that it falls due. Doing that is more just in Allah’s sight and more helpful when bearing witness and more likely to eliminate any doubt – unless it is an immediate transaction hand to hand, taken and given without delay. There is nothing wrong in your not writing that down. Call witnesses when you trade. Neither writer nor witness should be put under pressure. If you do that, it is deviancy on your part. Be fearful of Allah and Allah will give you knowledge. Allah has knowledge of all things.

283 If you are on a journey and cannot find a writer, something can be left as a security. If you leave things on trust with one another the one who is trusted must deliver up his trust and be fearful of Allah his Lord. Do not conceal testimony. If someone does conceal it, his heart commits a crime. Allah knows what you do.

284 Everything in the heavens and everything in the earth belongs to Allah. Whether you divulge what is in yourselves or keep it hidden, Allah will still call you to account for it. He forgives whomever He wills and He punishes whomever He wills. Allah has power over all things.

285 The Messenger believes in what has been sent down to him from his Lord, and so do the believers. Each one believes in Allah and His angels and His Books and His Messengers. We do not differentiate between any of His Messengers. They say, ‘We hear and we obey. Forgive us, our Lord! You are our journey’s end.’ 286 Allah does not impose on any self any more than it can bear. For it is what it has earned; against it, what it has merited. Our
Lord, do not take us to task if we forget or make a mistake! Our Lord, do not place on us a load like the one You placed on those before us! Our Lord, do not place on us a load we have not the strength to bear! And pardon us; and forgive us; and have mercy on us. You are our Master, so help us against the people of the unbelievers.


1 Alif Lām Mīm. 2. Allah, there is no god but Him, the Living, the Self-Sustaining.

3 He has sent down the Book to you with truth, confirming what was there before it. And He sent down the Torah and the Gospel, 4 previously, as guidance for mankind, and He has sent down the Furqān. Those who reject Allah’s Signs will have a terrible punishment. Allah is Almighty, Exactor of Revenge.

5 Allah – Him from Whom nothing is hidden, either on earth or in heaven.

6 It is He who forms you in the womb however He wills. There is no god but Him, the Almighty, the All-Wise.

7 It is He who sent down the Book to you from Him: āyahs containing clear judgments – they are the core of the Book – and others which are open to interpretation. Those with deviation in their hearts follow what is open to interpretation in it, desiring conflict, seeking its inner meaning. No one knows its inner meaning but Allah. Those firmly rooted in knowledge say, ‘We believe in it. All of it is from our Lord.’ But only people of intelligence pay heed.

8 ‘Our Lord, do not make our hearts swerve aside after You have guided us. And give us mercy from You. You are the Ever-Giving.
9 Our Lord, You are the Gatherer of mankind to a Day of which there is no doubt. Allah will not break His promise.’

10 As for those who disbelieve, their wealth and children will not help them against Allah in any way. They are fuel for the Fire,

11 as was the case with the people of Pharaoh and those before them. They denied Our Signs so Allah seized them for their wrong actions. Allah is fierce in retribution.

12 Say to those who disbelieve: ‘You will be overwhelmed and crowded into Hell. What an evil resting-place!’

13 There was a sign for you in the two parties which met face to face, one party fighting in the Way of Allah and the other unbelievers. You saw them as twice their number with your own eyes. Allah reinforces with His help whoever He wills. There is instruction in that for people of insight.

14 To mankind the love of worldly appetites is painted in glowing colours: women and children, and heaped-up mounds of gold and silver, and grazing horses with fine markings, and livestock and fertile farmland. All that is merely the enjoyment of the life of this world. The best homecoming is in the presence of Allah.

15 Say, ‘Shall I tell you of something better than that?’ The godfearing will have Gardens with their Lord, with rivers flowing under them, remaining in them timelessly, for ever, and purified wives, and the Pleasure of Allah. Allah sees His slaves:

16 those who say, ‘Our Lord, we believe, so forgive us our wrong actions and safeguard us from the punishment of
the Fire.’ 17 The steadfast, the truthful, the obedient, the givers, and those who seek forgiveness before dawn.

18 Allah bears witness that there is no god but Him, as do the angels and the people of knowledge, upholding justice. There is no god but Him, the Almighty, the All-Wise.

19 The din with Allah is Islam. Those given the Book only differed after knowledge had come to them, envying one another. As for those who reject Allah’s Signs, Allah is swift at reckoning.

20 If they argue with you, say, ‘I have submitted myself completely to Allah, and so have all who follow me.’ Say to those given the Book and those who have no Book, ‘Have you become Muslim?’ If they become Muslim, they have been guided. If they turn away, you are only responsible for transmission. Allah sees His slaves.

21 As for those who reject Allah’s Signs, and kill the Prophets without any right to do so, and kill those who command justice, give them news of a painful punishment. 22 They are the ones whose actions come to nothing in this world or the Next World. They will have no helpers.

23 Do you not see those who have been given a portion of the Book being invited to let Allah’s Book be the judge between them? But then a group of them turn away.

24 That is because they say, ‘The Fire will only touch us for a number of days.’ Their inventions have deluded them in their din.

25 But how will it be when We gather them all together for a Day about which there is no doubt? Every self will
be paid in full for what it earned. They will not be wronged.

26 Say, ‘O Allah! Master of the Kingdom! You give sovereignty to whomever You will You take sovereignty from whomever You will. You exalt whomever You will You abase whomever You will. All good is in Your hands. You have power over all things.

27 You merge the night into the day. You merge the day into the night. You bring out the living from the dead. You bring out the dead from the living. You provide for whoever You will without any reckoning.’

28 The believers should not take unbelievers as friends rather than believers. Anyone who does that has nothing to do with Allah at all – unless it is because you are afraid of them. Allah advises you to be afraid of Him. Allah is the final destination.

29 Say, ‘Whether you conceal what is in your breasts or make it known, Allah knows it. He knows what is in the heavens and what is on earth. Allah has power over all things.’

30 On the Day that each self finds the good it did, and the evil it did, present there in front of it, it will wish there were an age between it and then. Allah advises you to beware of Him. Allah is Ever-Gentle with His slaves.

31 Say, ‘If you love Allah, then follow me and Allah will love you and forgive you for your wrong actions. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.’

32 Say, ‘Obey Allah and the Messenger.’ Then if they turn away, Allah does not love the unbelievers.

33 Allah chose Ādam and Nūḥ and the family of Ibrāhīm and the family of ‘Imrān over all other beings –
34 descendants one of the other. Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

35 Remember when the wife of ‘Imrān said, ‘My Lord, I have pledged to You what is in my womb, devoting it to Your service. Please accept my prayer. You are the All-Hearing, the All-Knowing.’ 36 When she gave birth, she said, ‘My Lord! I have given birth to a girl’ – and Allah knew very well what she had given birth to, male and female are not the same – ‘and I have named her Maryam and placed her and her children in Your safekeeping from the accursed Shayṭān.’

37 Her Lord accepted her with approval and made her grow in health and beauty. And Zakariyyā became her guardian. Every time Zakariyyā visited her in the Upper Room, he found food with her. He said, ‘Maryam, how did you come by this?’ She said, ‘It is from Allah. Allah provides for whoever He wills without any reckoning.’ 38 Then and there Zakariyyā called on his Lord and said, ‘O Lord, grant me by Your favour an upright child. You are the Hearer of Prayer.’

39 The angels called out to him while he was standing in prayer in the Upper Room: ‘Allah gives you the good news of Yaḥyā, who will come to confirm a Word from Allah, and will be a leader and a celibate, a Prophet and one of the righteous.’

40 He said, ‘My Lord, how can I possibly have a son when I have reached old age and my wife is barren?’ He said, ‘It will be so. Allah does whatever He wills.’

41 He said, ‘My Lord, appoint a Sign for me.’ He said, ‘Your Sign is that you will not speak to people for three
days, except by gesture. Remember your Lord much and glorify Him in the evening and after dawn.’

42 And when the angels said, ‘Maryam, Allah has chosen you and purified you. He has chosen you over all other women.

43 Maryam, obey your Lord and prostrate and bow with those who bow.’

44 This is news from the Unseen which We reveal to you. You were not with them when they cast their reeds to see which of them would be the guardian of Maryam. You were not with them when they quarrelled.

45 When the angels said, ‘Maryam, Allah gives you good news of a Word from Him. His name is the Messiah, ‘Īsā, son of Maryam, of high esteem in this world and the Next, and one of those brought near. 46 He will speak to people in the cradle, and also when fully grown, and will be one of the righteous.’

47 She said, ‘My lord! How can I have a son when no man has ever touched me?’ He said, ‘It will be so.’ Allah creates whatever He wills. When He decides on something, He just says to it, ‘Be!’ and it is.

48 He will teach him the Book and Wisdom, and the Torah and the Gospel, 49 as a Messenger to the tribe of Israel, saying: ‘I have brought you a Sign from your Lord. I will create the shape of a bird out of clay for you and then breathe into it and it will be a bird by Allah’s permission. I will heal the blind and the leper, and bring the dead to life, by Allah’s permission. I will tell you what you eat and what you store up in your homes. There is a Sign for you in that if you are believers.

50 I come confirming the Torah I find already there, and
to make lawful for you some of what was previously forbidden to you. I have brought you a Sign from your Lord. So have taqwā of Allah and obey me. 51 Allah is my Lord and your Lord so worship Him. That is a straight path.’

52 When ‘Īsā sensed unbelief on their part, he said, ‘Who will be my helpers to Allah?’ The disciples said, ‘We are Allah’s helpers. We believe in Allah. Bear witness that we are Muslims.

53 Our Lord, we believe in what You have sent down and have followed the Messenger, so write us down among the witnesses.’

54 They plotted and Allah plotted. But Allah is the best of plotters.

55 When Allah said, “‘Īsā, I will take you back and raise you up to Me and purify you of those who disbelieve. And I will place the people who follow you above those who disbelieve until the Day of Rising. Then you will all return to Me, and I will judge between you regarding the things about which you differed.

56 As for those who disbelieve, I will punish them with a harsh punishment in this world and the Next World. They will have no helpers.’ 57 As for those who believe and do right actions, We will pay them their wages in full. Allah does not love wrongdoers. 58 That is what We recite to you of the Signs and the wise Reminder.

59 The likeness of ‘Īsā in Allah’s sight is the same as Ādam. He created him from earth and then He said to him, ‘Be!’ and he was. 60 It is the truth from your Lord so do not be among the doubters.

61 If anyone argues with you about him after the
knowledge that has come to you, say, ‘Come then! Let us summon our sons and your sons, our women and your women, ourselves and yourselves. Then let us make earnest supplication and call down the curse of Allah upon the liars.’

62 This is the true account: there is no other god besides Allah. Allah – He is the Almighty, the All-Wise. 63 And if they turn away, Allah knows the corrupters.

64 Say, ‘People of the Book! come to a proposition which is the same for us and you – that we should worship none but Allah and not associate any partners with Him and not take one another as lords besides Allah.’ If they turn away, say, ‘Bear witness that we are Muslims.’

65 ‘People of the Book! why do you argue concerning Ibrāhīm when the Torah and Gospel were only sent down after him? Why do you not use your intellect?

66 You are people arguing about something of which you have knowledge. Why do you argue about something of which you have no knowledge? Allah knows; you do not know.’

67 Ibrāhīm was neither a Jew nor a Christian, but a man of pure natural belief – a Muslim. He was not one of the idolaters.

68 The people with the strongest claim to Ibrāhīm are those who followed him and this Prophet and those who believe. Allah is the Protector of the believers.

69 A group of the People of the Book would love to misguide you. They only misguide themselves but they are not aware of it.

70 People of the Book! why do you reject Allah’s Signs when you yourselves are there as witnesses?
71 People of the Book! why do you mix truth with falsehood and knowingly conceal the truth?

72 A group of the People of the Book say, ‘At the beginning of the day, you should claim to believe in what was sent down to those who believe, and then at the end of the day, you should reject it, so that hopefully they will revert.

73 Do not trust anyone except for those who follow your dīn.’ Say, ‘Allah’s guidance is true guidance. But you think it is impossible for anyone to be given the same as you were given, or to argue with you before your Lord.’ Say, ‘All favours are in Allah’s Hand and He gives it to whomever He wills. Allah is All-Encompassing, All-Knowing.

74 He picks out for His mercy whoever He wills. Allah’s favour is indeed immense.’

75 Among the People of the Book there are some who, if you entrust them with a pile of gold, will return it to you. But there are others among them who, if you entrust them with just a single dinar, will not return it to you, unless you stay standing over them. That is because they say, ‘We are under no obligation where the gentiles are concerned.’ They tell a lie against Allah and they know it.

76 No, the truth is, if people honour their contracts and are godfearing, Allah loves the godfearing.

77 Those who sell Allah’s contract and their own oaths for a paltry price, such people will have no portion in the Next World and on the Day of Rising Allah will not speak to them or look at them or purify them. They will have a painful punishment.

78 Among them is a group who distort the Book with their tongues so that you think it is from the Book when
it is not from the Book. They say, ‘It is from Allah,’ but it is not from Allah. They tell a lie against Allah and they know it.

79 It is not right for any human being that Allah should give him the Book and judgment and Prophethood, and then that he should say to people, ‘Be worshippers of me rather than Allah.’ Rather he will say, ‘Be people of the Lord because of your knowledge of the Book and because you study.’

80 He would never command you to take the angels and Prophets as Lords. Would He command you to disbelieve after being Muslim?

81 Remember when Allah made a covenant with the Prophets: ‘Now that I have given you a share of the Book and Wisdom, and then a messenger comes to you confirming what is with you, you must believe in him and help him.’ He asked, ‘Do you agree and undertake my commission on that condition?’ They replied, ‘We agree.’ He said, ‘Bear witness, then. I am with you as one of the witnesses.’

82 Any who turn away after that are deviators.

83 Is it other than the dīn of Allah that you desire, when everything in the heavens and earth, willingly or unwillingly, submits to Him and to Him you will be returned? 84 Say, ‘We believe in Allah and what has been sent down to us and what was sent down to Ibrāhīm, Ismā‘īl and Ishāq and Ya‘qūb and the Tribes, and what Mūsā and ‘Īsā and all the Prophets were given by their Lord. We do not differentiate between any of them. We are Muslims submitted to Him.’

85 If anyone desires anything other than Islam as a dīn, it...
will not be accepted from him, and in the Next World he will be among the losers.

86 How can Allah guide a people who have disbelieved after having believed? They bore witness that the Messenger was true and that the Clear Signs had come to them. Allah does not guide people who are wrongdoers.

87 The repayment of such people is that Allah’s curse is on them and that of the angels and of all mankind. 88 They will be under it for ever. Their punishment will not be lightened. They will be granted no reprieve. 89 Except for those who, after that, repent and put things right. Truly Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

90 Those who disbelieve after having believed and then increase in their unbelief, their repentance will not be accepted. They are the misguided.

91 As for those who disbelieve and die unbelievers, the whole earth filled with gold would not be accepted from any of them if they were to offer it as a ransom. They will have a painful punishment. They will have no helpers.

92 You will not attain true goodness until you give of what you love. Whatever you give away, Allah knows it.

93 All food was lawful for the tribe of Israel except what Israel made unlawful for himself before the Torah was sent down. Say, ‘Bring the Torah and read it out if you are telling the truth.’ 94 So any who, after this, invent a lie against Allah are indeed wrongdoers.

95 Say, ‘Allah speaks the truth, so follow the religion of Ibrāhīm, a man of pure natural belief. He was not one of the idolaters.’
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Arabic Letter</th>
<th>English Transliteration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ا</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ب</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ت</td>
<td>t</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ث</td>
<td>th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ج</td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ح</td>
<td>h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>خ</td>
<td>kh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>د</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ذ</td>
<td>dh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ر</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ز</td>
<td>z</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>س</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ش</td>
<td>sh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ص</td>
<td>š</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Long vowel
- ا | ā
- و | ū
- ي | ĭ

Short vowel
- a [fathah]
- u [dammah]
- i [kasrah]
TRANSLATOR’S NOTE

The Arabic for the َāyats is from the Algerian State edition of the ِriwāyah of Imam Warsh from the qirā’ah of Imam Nāfi’ of Madina, whose recitation is one of the ten mutawātir recitations that are mass-transmitted from the time of the Prophet ﷺ.

There are minor omissions in the text. Some poems have been omitted which the author quotes to illustrate a point of grammatical usage or as an example of orthography or the usage of a word, often a derivative of the root of the word used in the َāyah, but not the actual word used. Often it is difficult to convey the sense in English. Occasionally the author explores a grammatical matter or a tangential issue, and some of these may have been shortened. English grammatical terms used to translate Arabic grammatical terms do not have exactly the same meaning, sometimes rendering a precise translation of them problematic and often obscure.

The end of a juz’ may vary by an َāyah or two in order to preserve relevant passages.

96 The first House established for mankind was that at Bakkah, a place of blessing and a guidance for all beings. 97 In it are Clear Signs – the Maqām of Ibrāhīm. All who enter it are safe. Ḥajj to the House is a duty owed to Allah by all mankind – those who can find a way to do it. But if anyone disbelieves, Allah is Rich Beyond Need of any being.

It is confirmed in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that Abū Dharr said, ‘I asked the Messenger of Allah about the first mosque established on the earth and he answered, “The Masjid al-ʻHarām.” I asked, “And then?” He said, “Al-Aqsa.” I asked, “How long was there between them?” “Forty years,” he replied, “and then the whole earth became a mosque for you, so pray wherever you are when the time for prayer comes.”’

Mujāhid and Qatādah said, ‘There was no house before it.’ ‘Alī said, ‘There were many houses before it. What is meant is that it is the first house established for worship.’ Mujāhid said, ‘The Muslims and Jews boasted and the Jews said, “The temple of Jerusalem is better and greater than the Kaʻbah because it was the place of the emigration of Prophets and is in the Holy Land.” The Muslims said, “The Kaʻbah is better.” Allah then revealed this āyah.’ How the House was built has already been dealt with in al-Baqarah. Mujāhid said, ‘Allah created the site of this house two thousand years before
He created any of the earth, and its foundations are in the seventh and lowest earth. As for Al-Aqsā, Sulaymān built it.’ An-Nasā’ī transmitted this with a sound isnād from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When Sulaymān ibn Dāwūd built the temple in Jerusalem, he asked Allah for three things. He asked Allah for judgment corresponding to His judgment and he was given it. He asked Allah for a kingdom which no one after him would have and he was given that. He asked Allah, when he finished building the temple, that anyone who approached it for the sole reason of praying in it would have his errors fall from him so that he would be like he was on the day he was born, and this was granted.’ There is some equivocation between the two hadiths because there was a long period of time between Ibrāhīm and Sulaymān. Historians say that there was more than a thousand years between them. It is said that Ibrāhīm and Sulaymān were the predecessors for what others built after them.

It is related that Ādam was the first to build the House, as was already mentioned. Then it is possible that one of his sons built al-Aqsā forty years later. It is also possible that the angels built it with Allah’s permission. All of this is possible, and Allah knows best. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said, ‘Allah commanded the angels to build a house on the earth and to do tawāf of it before Ādam was created. Then Ādam built what he built of it and did tawāf of it and then the Prophets after him, and then Ibrāhīm completed its construction.’

...that at Bakkah,

Bakkah is the actual site of the House and Makkah is the rest of the town according to Mālik ibn Anas. Muḥammad ibn Shihāb said that Bakkah is the mosque and Makkah is all the Ḥaram, including the houses. Mujāhid said that Bakkah is Makkah. The bā’ is replaced by the mīm as done in the word for ‘sticking’: lāzim for lāzib. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and al-Mu’arrij said that.

Then it is also said that Bakkah is derived from the word bakk,
which means crowding and Bakkah takes its name from the fact that the people crowd together in it during ṭawāf. Bakka means ‘to throttle’ and it is said that it is called that because it crushes the necks of any tyrants if they act unjustly towards it. ‘Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr said, ‘No tyrant has ever directed evil at it without Allah breaking his neck.’

It is said that the name Makkah comes from its lack of water, and it is said that it is because it sucks (makka) the marrow from the bones through the hardship involved in reaching it. The verb makka is used for a foal suckling from its mother. A poet said:

It suckled (makkat) and no milk remained inside her.

It is said that it is called that because it sucks the one who does injustice in it, in other words destroys him. It is said that it is called that because people used to whistle (makkā) and laugh in it as in Allah’s words: ‘Their prayer at the House is nothing but whistling and clapping.’ (8:35)

**a place of blessing and a guidance for all beings.**

Allah made it blessed by multiplying the reward of action in it. Barakah is abundant good. It is in the accusative for the ḥāl modifying what is implied by ‘established’, or an adverb of Bakkah. It is a guidance for all.

**In it are Clear Signs – the Maqām of Ibrāhīm**

The people of Makkah, Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid and Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr read this as ‘a Clear Sign’ in the singular, meaning a Sign of tawḥīd, referring to the Maqām of Ibrāhīm alone. They said, ‘The mark of his feet in the Maqām is a Clear Sign.’ Mujāhid explained it as referring to the entire Ḥaram and believed that its Signs include Ṣafā and Marwah, the Corner and the Maqām. The others read it in the plural, meaning the Maqām of Ibrāhīm, the Black Stone, the Ḥaṭīm, Zamzam and all the sacred hallmarks. Abū Ja‘far an-Nahḥās said the reading with the plural is clearer because the Signs certainly
include Ṣafā and Marwah.

Another sign is that the birds do not fly over it when they are healthy and another sign is that when someone is hunting and the game enters the Ḥaram, he leaves it be. Another sign is that when there is abundant rain at the Yemeni Corner, there is plenty in Yemen, and when it is at the Syrian corner, there is plenty in Syria. When rain covers all of the House, there is plenty in all lands. Another aspect of it is that the jamrahs, in spite of what is added to them, remain the same size.

The word Maqām comes from the verb qāma, to stand, and so it is the place where one stands. The Maqām was dealt with in al-Baqarah along with the disagreement about it. It implies: ‘one of them is the Maqām of Ibrāhīm’, as al-Akhfash said. It is related that Muḥammad ibn Yazīd said that ‘Maqām’ is an appositive for ‘Signs’. A third view is that it means: ‘They are the Maqām of Ibrāhīm’ based on a known Arabic usage. [POEM] Abu-l-‘Abbās said that the word ‘maqām’ actually indicates the plural ‘maqāmāt’ because it is a verbal noun. We see in the Qur’an: ‘Allah has sealed up their hearts and hearing’ (92:8) where ‘hearing’ is in the singular. This is strengthened by the hadith which states: ‘Ḥajj is all the Maqām of Ibrāhīm.’

All who enter it are safe.

Qatādah said, ‘That is also one of the signs of the Ḥaram.’ An-Naḥḥās says that is a good opinion because people are swept away all around it and no tyrant reaches it. They reached Jerusalem and destroyed it but never reached the Ḥaram.’ Allah says: ‘Do you not see what your Lord did with the Companions of the Elephant?’ (105:1) One of the people of meanings said, ‘The form of the āyah is a report while its meaning is a command. It means: “Give security to whoever enters it.”’ The same usage is seen in 2:197. Along these lines, an-Nu‘mān ibn Thābit said, ‘Anyone who commits a wrong action and merits a hadd punishment and then takes refuge in the Haram is safe,’ based on this āyah. Allah made security mandatory for those who
enter it. That is related from a group of the early generations, including Ibn Abbās and others.

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘There is weakness in the statements of all who say this for two reasons. One is that they do not understand that the āyah is about the past and does not involve the establishment of a future ruling. The second is that they do not acknowledge that its security has been violated and killing and fighting occurred after that. What Allah states cannot occur differently to that statement. So that indicates that this must have been referring to the past.’ Abū Ḥanīfah disagreed and said, ‘Anyone who seeks refuge in the Ḥaram is not given food or water nor is any business done with him nor is he spoken to until he leaves.’ His being forced to leave is not consonant with security. It is related that he said, ‘Retaliation for limbs takes place in the Ḥaram. There is also no security in this case.’ The majority of scholars say that ḥudūd punishments may be carried out in the Ḥaram. It is reported that the Prophet ordered the killing of Ibn Khaṭal while he was clinging to the drapes of the Ka‘bah.

Ath-Thawrī related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘If someone commits a crime that merits a ḥadd punishment in the Ḥaram, it is carried out on him. If he commits it outside of the Ḥaram and then seeks refuge in it, he is not spoken to nor is business carried out with him until he leaves the Ḥaram and then the ḥadd is carried out on him.’ This is the position of ash-Sha‘bī. This is also the argument of Kufans. Ibn ‘Abbās understands that this is what the āyah means. He was the scholar of the Community.

What is sound is that by saying that Allah is referring to the many blessings granted to anyone who is there, whoever they are, as Allah also says: ‘Do they not see that We have established a safe haven while people all round them are violently dispossessed?’ (29:67) In the Jāhiliyyah, those who entered it and sought sanctuary were safe from attack and killing as will be explained in al-Mā‘idah. Qatādah said, ‘In the
Jāhiliyyah, whoever entered it was safe.’

This is good. It is related that an atheist said to one of the scholars, ‘Does the Qur’an not say: “All who enter it are safe”? We have entered it and we have done such-and-such. Is not the one who enters it safe?’ He said to him, ‘Are you not one of the Arabs? What does someone mean when he says that whoever enters his house is safe? Does he not say to those who obey him, “Leave him be. I have granted him security.” Will he leave him be?’ ‘Yes, of course,’ he replied. He said, ‘That is how it is with His words: “All who enter it are safe”’ Yaḥyā ibn Jaʿdah said that it means he is safe from the Fire.

This is not universal because we find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim in the hadith of intercession reported by Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī: ‘By the One Who has my soul in his hand, none of you can be more insistent in asking Allah for his rights than the believers on the Day of Rising who will ask Allah for their brothers who are in the Fire. They will say, “Our Lord, they used to fast with us, pray and perform ḥajj!” They will be told, “Bring out those you recognise.”’ The one who enters it is safe from the Fire by performing the practices connected with it, respecting it, acknowledging its right, and seeking to draw near to Allah Almighty.

Jaʿfar aṣ-Ṣādiq said, ‘Whoever enters it with purity, as the Prophets and awliyā’ entered it, is safe from Allah’s punishment.’ This is what is meant by his words ☝️, ‘Anyone who makes ḥajj to this House and does not engage in sexual activity or wrongdoing emerges from his errors like the day his mother bore him. An accepted ḥajj has no reward but the Garden.’ Al-Ḥasan said, ‘An accepted ḥajj is that he returns abstinent in this world, desiring the Next World.’ He recited:

O Ka‘bah of Allah! The supplication of the one who seeks refuge is the supplication of one calling, in need.

He has bade farewell to those he loves and his home and come hovering between fear and hope.
If Allah generously accepts his striving, he is saved. Otherwise he is not saved.

You are one of those whose intercession is hoped for. Be kind to Wāsid ibn Ḥajjāj!

It is also said that it means whoever entered it in the year of the Fulfilled ‘Umrah with Muḥammad is safe. The evidence is found in the words of the Almighty: ‘You will enter the Masjid al-Ḥarām in safety, Allah willing.’ (48:27)

It is said that the word ‘man’ (tr. as ‘all’) here refers to the non-sentient and the āyah is about the safety of game. This is odd. We see in the Revelation: ‘Some of them (minhum) go on their bellies.’ (24:45)

**Ḥajj to the House is a duty owed to Allah by all mankind**

In the phrase ‘owed to Allah’ Allah uses the lām of the obligation and then reinforces it with “ala’ which is the strongest preposition in Arabic for making something obligatory. Allah uses the most comprehensive of the expressions of obligation to stress the right it has over us and the esteem owed to it. There is no disagreement about its obligatory nature. It is one of the pillars of Islam and is only obliged once in a lifetime. Some people said that it is obliged once every five years and relate about that a hadith which they trace back to the Prophet. The hadith is false and not sound. The consensus invalidates their position.

‘Abd ar-Razzāq related from Sufyān ath-Thawrī from al-‘Alā’ ibn al-Musayyab from his father from Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī that the Prophet said, ‘The Lord says, “I have expanded my slave’s provision and yet he does not visit me in Ḥarām every four years.”’ It is famous from al-‘Alā’ ibn al-Musayyab ibn Rāfiʿ al-Kāhilī al-Kūfī from the children of hadith scholars. More than one related it from him. They include those who said ‘every five years’. Some said: from al-‘Alā’ from Yūnus ibn Khabbāb from Abū Saʿīd. There is disagreement about all of that.
The atheists deny the ḥajj and say that it involves removing garments, which is contrary to modesty, running, which is contrary to gravity, and stoning the jamrahs for no purpose, which is contrary to logic. They say that all these actions are baseless because they do not recognise their wisdom or cause. They are ignorant of the fact that it is not necessary for the Lord to make His slave understand all that He commands him to do nor to acquaint him with the point of the actions He requires of him. It is incumbent on him to obey, and he must obey without seeking benefit nor asking about the goal. This is the meaning of what the Prophet ☪ used to say in his talbīyah: ‘At Your service, truly, truly in worship and slavehood. At Your service, God of the Truth.’

The imāms related that Abū Hurayrah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ☪ addressed us and said, “People! The Ḥajj has been made obligatory for you, so perform it!” A man asked, “Every year, Messenger of Allah?” He was silent until the man had asked that three times. Then the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, “If I had said yes, it would have become obligatory and you would not be able to do it.” Then he said, “Leave me with what I have left you. Those before you were destroyed for asking too many questions and differing from their Prophets. If I command you to do something, do what you can of it. If I forbid you from doing something, then leave it.”’ (Muslim)

This hadith makes it clear that when an obligation is directed to those responsible for doing it, it is enough that they do it once and it does need to be repeated. This differs from the position of Abū Ishāq al-Isfarāyīnī. It is confirmed that his Companions said to the Prophet ☪, ‘Messenger of Allah, is this ḥajj of ours for just this year or for all time?’ He answered, ‘It is for all time.’ This is a text refuting those who say that it is obligatory once every five years. The ḥajj was well known among the Arabs. It was part of what they desired because of its markets, social acceptability and being part of the Ḥanīfīyyah. When Islam came, they were told to do what they
knew and to hold to what they recognised. The Prophetﷺ performed ḥajj before the obligatory ḥajj. He had stood at ‘Arafah and did not change the Law of Ibrāhīm that had been changed by Quraysh when they stood at the Mash‘ar al-Ḥarām, saying, ‘We are the people of the Ḥaram and do not leave it. We are the Ḥums.’ This was already explained in al-Baqarah.

One of the oddest claims that I have seen made is that the Prophetﷺ performed ḥajj twice before the Hijrah and by that the obligation was cancelled for him because he had responded to the summons of Ibrāhīm when it was said: ‘Announce the Ḥajj to mankind.’ (22:27) Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘This is unlikely. When it was announced as part of his Sharī‘ah: “Ḥajj to the House is a duty owed to Allah by all mankind,” then it must also have been a duty for him according to that injunction. If it is said that it is only addressed to those who have not yet performed ḥajj, that is arbitrary and specific and there is no evidence for it. If someone claims that those who performed ḥajj in the religion of Ibrāhīm are not addressed by this, it is extremely unlikely.’

The Book and the Sunnah indicate that the ḥajj should be performed in a person’s own good time, not immediately. That is the final position in the school of Mālik as Ibn Khuwayzimandād mentioned, and it is the position of ash-Shāfi‘i, Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan ash-Shaybānī, and Abū Yūsuf in one transmission. Some later Baghdadi Mālikīs believed that the obligation is immediate and it is not permitted to delay it when the ability to perform it exists. That is the position of Dāwūd. The sound view is the first one because Allah says in Sūrat al-Ḥajj: ‘Announce the Ḥajj to mankind’ (22:27) and al-Ḥajj is Makkan. He says: ‘Ḥajj to the House is a duty owed to Allah by people.’ This sūrah was revealed in the year of Uḥud in Madīnah in 3 AH and the Prophetﷺ did not perform ḥajj until 10 AH.

As for the Sunnah, there is the hadith of Ḍimām ibn Tha‘labā as-Sa‘dī of the Banū Sa‘d ibn Bakr who came to the Prophetﷺ and
asked him about Islam and he mentioned the *shahādah*, prayer, *zakāt*, fasting and *ḥajj*. Ibn ‘Abbās, Abū Hurayrah and Anas related it. There is a lot of mention of the *ḥajj* in the Sunnah. It is clearly obligatory. The hadith of Anas is better and more complete. There is disagreement about the date of this hadith. It is said that is 5 AH, 7 AH and 9 AH. Ibn Hishām mentioned from Abū ‘Ubaydah al-Wāqidī that it was in the year of the Ditch after the Confederates left.

Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘Part of the evidence that the *ḥajj* can be delayed is the consensus of scholars that if someone able to go on *ḥajj* delays it for a year or two, and then performs the *ḥajj* some years after his ability to perform it exists, then he has performed the obligatory *ḥajj* in its time. All agree that he is not like someone who misses the prayer until its time has passed and makes it up after its time, or someone who misses fasting Ramaḍān due to illness or travel and then makes it up, or like someone who invalidates his *ḥajj* and then makes it up. It is agreed that if someone performs the *ḥajj* years after he is able to do so, he is not said to be “making it up”. From this we know that there is wide scope for the time of *ḥajj* and that it is not immediate.’

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘All who say that *ḥajj* can be delayed put no time limit on that except what is related from Saḥnūn who was asked about a man who had the wherewithal to make *ḥajj* and delayed it for some years while he was able to do it and whether that meant that he became *fāsiq* by delaying the *ḥajj*, causing his testimony to be rejected. He said it did not, even if that delay was up to sixty years. If it was more than sixty years, he was considered *fāsiq* and his testimony rejected.’ So he made sixty years the limit. Limits are only stipulated in the Sharī‘ah by someone who can legislate.

Ibn al-Khuwayzimandād related this from Ibn al-Qāsim. Ibn al-Qāsim and others said, ‘If he delays it for sixty years, his character is not impaired. If he delays it beyond sixty years, his character is
impaired because the Prophet محمد ﷺ said, “The lifespan of my community is between sixty and seventy. Few exceed it.” It is as if the requirement is made urgent for him in these ten years.

Abu ‘Umar said, ‘Some people, like Saḥnūn, cite as evidence the words of the Prophet محمد ﷺ: “The lifespan of my community is between sixty and seventy. Few exceed that.” There is no proof in that because the words deal with the majority of the ages of the community, if the hadith is sound. It contains evidence for scope for this up to the age of seventy because that is still within the lifespan of the majority. It is not proper to call someone of good character and trustworthiness impious through such a weak interpretation.’ Success is by Allah.

Scholars agree that all are addressed in general. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Even if people disagree about the application of general terms, they agree that this āyah applies to all people, male and female, except children, who are excluded by the consensus that they are excluded from the obligations of responsibility. The same applies to slaves who are also not included. They are excluded from it by the words: “those who can find a way to do it.” A slave lacks the ability because his master can prevent him from doing it by his right of ownership and Allah put the right of the master before His right out of kindness to His slaves. There is no disagreement about this either in the community as a whole or between the imams. We do not hasten to affirm what we do not actually know. The only evidence regarding it is the accepted consensus.’ Ibn al-Mundhir said that most of the people of knowledge agree, with the exception of the odd person whose disagreement is not considered, that if a child or slave has made ḥajj and then the child becomes an adult or the slave is freed, they still owe the ḥajj if they can find a way to do it.

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Dāwūd disagreed with most of the fuqahā’ of the cities and leaders of tradition about slaves and said that they are required to perform ḥajj. According to the majority of scholars,
however, slaves are not among those addressed by this command. That is because they do not possess freedom of action and cannot perform *ḥajj* without their master’s permission. That is the same as slaves not being addressed by the requirement to attend Jumu‘ah in 62:9. It is the position of most scholars except for rare exceptions. Slaves are also excluded from the obligation of giving testimony in 2:282. They are not included in that. It is also possible that children are excluded from the command to perform *ḥajj* in this āyah even though they are included in “mankind” since the pen [recording responsibility] has been lifted from them. Women are excluded from the words: “*You who believe, when the prayer is called…*”, despite the fact that they are among those who believe, just as slaves are excluded from this. That is the position of the *fuqahā’* of the Hijaz, Iraq, Syria and the Maghrib. It is not permitted for them to deviate in the interpretation of the Book.’

If it is asked, ‘If the slave lives in the vicinity of the Masjid al-Ḥarām and his master gives him permission, why is it not necessary for him to perform *ḥajj*?’ The answer is that this question is based on consensus and it may be that there is no reason for that. But since it is confirmed that this ruling is based on consensus, we use it as evidence for the fact that any *ḥajj* he performs while still a slave is not counted as the obligatory *ḥajj*. It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the Prophet ☪ said, ‘If a child performs *ḥajj* and then reaches adulthood, he must perform another *ḥajj*. If a desert Arab performs *ḥajj* and then emigrates, he must perform another *ḥajj*. If a slave performs *ḥajj* and then is freed, he must perform another *ḥajj*.’

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Some of our scholars are careless and have said, “The *ḥajj* is not confirmed for a slave, even if his master has given permission, because originally he was an unbeliever and the *ḥajj* of an unbeliever is not counted. When he became a slave perpetually, he was not instructed to perform *ḥajj*.” This is unsound in three ways. The first is that we believe that the secondary rulings of the
Sharī‘ah are also directed at unbelievers, and there is no disagreement that that is the view of Mālik. The second is that all acts of worship are obliged for him with respect to the prayer and fasting while he is a slave. If he had done them while an unbeliever, they would not be counted. The third is that unbelief is removed by Islam, so its ruling must also be removed.’ Success is by Allah.

**those who can find a way to do it.**

Ad-Dāraquṭnī reported that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘It was asked, “Messenger of Allah, should ḥajj be done every year?” “Rather it is one ḥajj,” he replied. He was asked, “What is ‘a way’” He said, “Provision and a mount.”’ That is related from Anas, Ibn Mas‘ūd, Ibn ‘Umar, Jābir, ‘Ā’ishah, and ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb from his father from his grandfather. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib related that the Prophet said: ‘Ḥajj to the House is a duty owed to Allah by all mankind – those who can find a way to do it.’ He said that he was asked about that and the Prophet said that it meant, ‘That you have the use of a camel.’

Ibn Mājah also transmitted the hadith of Ibn ‘Umar in the *Sunan* as did Abū ‘Īsā at-Tirmidhī in the *Jāmi‘*. He said that it is a good hadith. According to the people of knowledge, the practice is that when a man has provision and transport, he must perform ḥajj. Things are said by the people of hadith about the memory of Ibrāhīm ibn Yazīd al-Khūzī al-Makkī. They transmitted from Wakī‘ and ad-Dāraquṭnī from Sufyān ibn Sa‘īd from Ibrāhīm ibn Yazīd from Muḥammad ibn ‘Abbād that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘A man went to the Prophet and asked, “Messenger of Allah, what makes ḥajj mandatory?” He answered, “Provision and a mount.” He asked, “Messenger of Allah, what is the state of the ḥājjī?” He replied, “Dishevelled, ill-smelling.” Another rose and asked, “What is ḥajj?” He answered, “Clamour and shedding blood.”’ Wakī‘ said that ‘clamour’ is raising the voice with the *talbīyah* and ‘shedding blood’ is making the sacrifices.

Among those who said that provision and a mount are
preconditions for the *ḥajj* were ʿUmar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, his son ʿAbdullāh, ʿAbdullāh ibn ʿAbbās, al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, Saʿīd ibn Jubayr, ʿAṭā’ and Mujāhid. That is the position of ash-Shāfiʿī, ath-Thawrī, Abū Ḥanīfah and his people, Aḥmad, Isḥāq, ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz ibn Abī Salamah, and Ibn Ḥabīb. ʿAbdūs mentioned something similar from Saḥnūn.

Ash-Shāfiʿī says, ‘Ability has two aspects. One is that a person is physically able to do it and has enough money to achieve the *ḥajj*. The second is that someone is disabled in his body and unable to ride, but is able to have someone perform *ḥajj* on his behalf for a wage or without a wage. This will be explained. The one who is physically able is obliged by Allah’s Book to perform the *ḥajj* by Allah’s words: “those who can find a way to do it.” The one who is financially able to do it is obliged to perform the *ḥajj* by the Sunnah on the basis of the hadith related about the Khathʿamī woman. As for the one who is able to do it himself, he is the strong person who incurs, in riding a mount, no hardship beyond his ability to bear it. If this is the case at a time when he possesses provision and a mount, he is obliged to make *ḥajj* himself. If he lacks provision and transport, or either one of them, then the obligation of *ḥajj* is cancelled for him. If someone is able to walk and has provision or the ability to earn provision on the way through their skill, such as leather work, cupping or a similar trade, it is recommended that they make *ḥajj* on foot, whether man or woman.’ Ash-Shāfiʿī added, ‘The man has less of an excuse than a woman because he is stronger.’ They consider this to be recommended, not mandatory. If the only way he is able to get provision is by begging from people on the way, it is disliked for him to perform *ḥajj* because he becomes a burden on people.

Mālik ibn Anas said, ‘If he is both able to walk and also has provision, he must perform *ḥajj*. If he has no mount but is able to walk, there is some question. If he possesses the necessary provision, he is obliged to perform *ḥajj*. If he does not possess it, but is able to
obtain what he needs on the way, there is also some question about that. For those who do not themselves work, it is not obliged, but in the case of those who earn their living by a trade or craft, it is obliged. It is the same if it is someone’s custom to beg. He must perform ḥajj.’ Mālik made it obligatory for someone able to walk, even if he does not have provision or mount. That is the position of ‘Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr, ash-Sha‘bī and ‘Ikrimah.

Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘If a person is strong, young and healthy but has no money, he should hire himself out in exchange for food or its equivalent until he completes his ḥajj.’ Muqātil said to him, ‘So Allah has obliged people to walk to the House?’ He answered, ‘If someone were to have a legacy for him in Makkah, would he then abandon it? No, he would go to it, even crawling. It is on that basis that the ḥajj is obliged for him.’

These scholars cite as evidence the words of the Almighty: ‘Announce the ḥajj to mankind. They will come to you on foot.’ (22:27) They said, ‘That is because the ḥajj is one of the physical acts of worship which are individual obligations. For that reason neither provision nor a mount are obligatory preconditions for its being obligatory, any more than is the case with the prayer and fasting.’ They said that if the hadith of al-Khūzī about provision and a mount is sound, then we would apply it to all people, most of whom live at a great distance. The generality of the words which apply to the most usual circumstances occurs frequently in the Sharī‘ah, the words of the Arabs and their poetry.

Ibn Wahb, Ibn al-Qāsim and Ashhab reported that Mālik was asked about this ʿāyah and said, ‘With respect to that people are judged according to their ability, wealth and resilience.’ Ashhab asked Mālik, ‘Is it a matter of provision and a mount?’ ‘No,’ he replied, ‘By Allah, it is only according to the ability of people. Someone may have provision and a mount and still not be able to go whereas another may be able to go on foot.’
If someone has the ability and sets out to perform the obligatory ḥajj, and then something stops him, such as a creditor who prevents him from going until he settles his debt, there is no disagreement about that. If someone has a family who need support, he is not obliged to perform ḥajj until they have sufficient to maintain them for the time he is absent, because maintenance is an immediate obligation while ḥajj can be done later, and so the family is put first. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘It is enough of a wrong action for a man to let those he feeds perish.’

The same applies if someone fears his parents will perish and there are none to attend to them. There is no way for him to perform ḥajj. If they forbid him because of over-fondness and loneliness, however, he should not pay any attention to that. A woman can be prevented by her husband, but it is also said that he cannot prevent her. What is sound is that he can stop her from going, especially since we say that it is not necessary to perform ḥajj immediately.

The sea does not annul the obligation to do ḥajj when it is generally considered safe, as we mentioned in al-Baqarah, when someone knows he will not be adversely affected. If there is real probability of shipwreck or sea-sickness or inability to do the prayer, then people should not go. If there is no place to prostrate because of the crowd and narrowness of the place, Mālik said, ‘If someone cannot bow and prostrate except on their brother’s back, they should not go.’ Then he said, ‘Is someone going to embark when they are not going to be able to pray! Woe to anyone who abandons the prayer!’

The obligation of Ḥajj is cancelled if, on the route, there is an enemy taking lives or someone demanding money, if it is an unspecified or exorbitant amount. There is disagreement on whether the obligation is cancelled when the amount demanded is not exorbitant. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘He should not pay even a single grain, and the obligation of ḥajj is cancelled.’ Ḥajj is obligatory for someone whose usual income is gained through begging and thinks
that it is probable he will find someone to give what he needs, as we mentioned when we discussed possessing the means.

If the impediments preventing someone going on ḥajj are removed and he has insufficient ready funds to make ḥajj but possesses saleable goods, he must sell some of his goods to give himself enough money to fulfill the obligation. Ibn al-Qāsim was asked about a man who had a water-skin and nothing else. Should he sell it to enable himself to go on ḥajj and leave his children with nothing to live on? He said, ‘Yes, he must do that and leave his children dependent on ṣadaqah.’ The first position, however, is the correct one, based on the hadith: ‘It is enough of a wrong action for a man to let those he feeds perish.’ That is the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī. The apparent position of his school is that ḥajj is only mandatory for someone with no family or children if he has sufficient money to cover the entire journey. One of them said that the return journey is not taken into account because the fact that someone has no wife or dependants there means that it is no great hardship for him to stay in other than his homeland and so, in fact, all lands can be his homeland. The first position is more correct because a person feels alienated when parted from his homeland. Do you not see that when an unmarried man commits fornication, he is flogged and exiled from his home, whether he has family there or not.

In al-Umm, ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘If someone has a residence, a servant and can provide maintenance for his family during his absence, then he is obliged to perform ḥajj.’ The apparent meaning of this is that he considers the expense of ḥajj to be over and above that of a servant and a residence because he mentions that before maintenance of the family. It is as if he was saying that the obligation to go on ḥajj only comes into force after all these have been taken into account. His people said that he is obliged to sell the house and servant and rent a house and servant for his family. If he has goods, he should trade in them and earn a sufficient profit to support his
dependants during the whole of his absence. If he has to spend from the goods themselves, so that the profits from them are reduced and there is not enough to cover his costs, is he then obliged to perform ḥajj from the sale of the goods or not? There are two views. The first is the view of the majority, and it is sound and well known, which is that there is no disagreement that if someone has an estate whose revenue covers his needs, he must sell that estate to enable him to perform ḥajj, and so the same must be true of goods. Ibn Shurayḥ said, ‘That is not the case. The goods should not be sold and the person does not perform ḥajj from their proceeds because the ḥajj is not obligatory if a person’s sufficient needs are not met. This discussion is about ability both physically and in terms of wealth.’

As for someone who is ill and sickly (maʿḍūb), ‘aḍb is cutting and that term is used of a sword. It is as if someone who reaches the point where they cannot stay on a mount or be firm on it is the same as someone whose limbs are severed and thus unable to do anything. Scholars disagree about the ruling governing such a person since there is a consensus that they are not obliged to travel on ḥajj on the basis that the ḥajj is only obligatory for someone who is able to do it. Someone ill or sickly does not have the ability to do it. Mālik said, ‘When someone is sickly, the obligation of ḥajj is completely cancelled for him. No one should perform ḥajj on his behalf, even if he is in a position to enable them to do so, either with or without a wage. The ḥajj is not an obligation for him. If someone becomes chronically ill, the obligation of ḥajj is cancelled for him. It is not permitted for anyone to perform ḥajj on his behalf in any case. If he states in his will that someone should perform ḥajj on his behalf, that is taken from the third of the estate [allowed to be left as bequests] and it is a voluntary action.’ His evidence is Allah’s words: ‘Man will have nothing but what he strives for.’ (53:39) He reported that he only has that for which he strives, so if someone says that he is striving on behalf of someone else, that is contrary to the literal meaning of the
āyah and to Allah’s words: ‘Hadj to the house is a duty owed to Allah by all mankind…’ This person lacked the ability because the ḥajj is enjoined on those responsible for reaching the House themselves and because it is an act of worship which cannot be delegated when the ability is lacking, just as is the case with the prayer.

Muhammad ibn al-Munkadir related from Jābir that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘By means of one ḥajj, Allah will admit three to the Garden: the deceased, the one who takes on ḥajj for him and the one who carries that out.’ Abu-l-Qāsim Sulaymān ibn Aḥmad ad-Dāraquṭnī transmitted this from ‘Amr ibn Ḥuṣayn as-Sadūsī from Abū Ma’ṣhar from Muḥammad ibn al-Munkadir. The name of Abū Ma’ṣhar was Najīḥ. They considered him to be weak.

Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘Regarding someone who is chronically ill, very sickly or very old, who finds someone able to perform the ḥajj on his behalf, he possesses a certain type of ability. There are two forms of it. One is that he is able to pay someone to perform ḥajj on his behalf. That person performs his obligatory ḥajj. This is the view of ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib. It is related that he told a very old man who had not performed ḥajj, ‘Equip a man to perform ḥajj on your behalf.’ This position was taken by ath-Thawrī, Abū Ḥanīfah and his people, Ibn al-Mubārak, Aḥmad and Isḥāq. The second is that he is able to find someone to pay on his behalf and represent him in performing ḥajj on his behalf. According to ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad and Ibn Rāhawayh, ḥajj is also an obligation for such a person. Abū Ḥanīfah said that the ḥajj is not obligatory for them. Ash-Shāfi‘ī cited as evidence what Ibn ‘Abbās related about the woman of Khath‘ām who asked the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Messenger of Allah, Allah has obliged His slaves to perform ḥajj. My father is a very old man who cannot remain firm on a mount. Can I perform ḥajj on his behalf?’ ‘Yes,’ he answered. That was during the Farewell Ḥajj. One variant has: ‘He cannot remain upright on a camel.’ The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Perform ḥajj for him. Do you think that if your father owed a debt,
you would not settle it for him?’ ‘Yes,’ she answered. He said, ‘It is more fitting to settle a debt owed to Allah.’ So the Prophet said that his obligation to do hajj was fulfilled by his daughter voluntarily performing it and spending on it herself on his behalf. His indication that a father’s obligation to do hajj could be fulfilled by the voluntary action of his daughter, suggests that it is even more appropriate to for someone to fulfil their hajj obligation by hiring someone to do it on their behalf on the basis of their ability to pay for it from their own wealth. As for someone having to give out wealth beyond what they are able to afford, what is sound is that it is not obliged for them to do so.

Our scholars have said the aim of the hadith about the Khathʻamī woman is not to make hajj obligatory in that situation. Its aim is to encourage being good to parents and seeing to their best interests in this world and the Next and to accrue benefit for them naturally and in the Sharī‘ah. When he saw in the woman reaction and obedience and true filial piety and eagerness to bring her father good and reward, and her sorrow at his missing the blessing of hajj, he responded to that in the same way that he said to another woman who said, ‘My mother made a vow to perform hajj but did not perform hajj before her death. Can I perform hajj on her behalf?’ ‘Perform hajj on her behalf. Do you think that if your mother owed a debt you would not pay it?’ ‘Yes,’ she answered. This indicates that it is about obedience and bringing good and blessings to dead people. Do you not see that he likened the hajj to a debt?

The consensus is that if someone dies while owing a debt, his relative is not obliged to settle it from his money. He may do that voluntarily and thereby settle the debt for him. Part of the evidence in this hadith that it was not an obligation for her father is this woman’s explicit statement: ‘he was unable.’ Hajj is not obligatory for someone who is unable. This is a clear statement denying the obligation and preventing the duty. So it is not permitted for what is
definitely negative at the beginning of the hadith to become affirmative by supposition at the end of it. This is confirmed by the words: ‘it is more fitting to settle Allah’s debt.’ Taking this literally, it is not a consensus. It is, in fact, more fitting to settle a debt to a person and the consensus is that one begins with that on account of the poverty of the human being and the wealth of Allah Almighty. Ibn al-ʿArabī said that.

Abū ʿUmar ibn ʿAbd al-Barr mentioned that the hadith of the Khathʿamī woman, in the view of Mālik and his people, was specific to her. Others said that there is some disturbance in it. Ibn Wahb and Abū Muṣʿab said that it is specific to the right of the child. Ibn Habīb said, ‘The indulgence comes about the ḥajj on behalf of an elderly person. There is no encouragement to do it if he has not yet performed ḥajj or, for someone who has died without performing ḥajj, that his child should perform it on his behalf, even if he has not left instructions about that and, Allah willing, it satisfies it. This discussion is about those who are sickly and the like. The hadith of the Khathʿamī woman was transmitted by the imams. It refutes the statement of al-Hasan that a woman is not permitted to perform ḥajj on behalf of a man.’

Scholars agree that if someone does not have adequate provision for the journey, they are not obliged to perform ḥajj. Even if a non-relative gives them money with which to make ḥajj, there is consensus that they do not have to accept it since a favour is attached to it. If a man gives his father money, ash-Shāfiʿī said that he must accept it because a man’s son is part of his earning and there is no favour attached to that. Mālik and Abū Ḥanīfah said that he is not obliged to accept it because it entails a lowering of respect for his parents. He can satisfy it when they have died. Allah knows best.

But if anyone disbelieves, Allah is Rich Beyond Need of any being.

Ibn ʿAbbās and others said, ‘This refers to anyone who rejects the
obligatory nature of *ḥajj* and does not think that it is obligatory.’ Al- Ḥasan al-Baṣrī and others said, ‘Anyone who abandons the *ḥajj* when he is able to do it is an unbeliever.’ At-Tirmidhī related from al- Ḥārith that ‘Alī reported that the Messenger of Allah ✪ said, ‘When someone possesses provision and a mount with which to reach the House of Allah and does not perform *ḥajj*, he can die a Jew or a Christian. That is because Allah says in His Book: “*Ḥajj to the House is a duty owed to Allah by all mankind, those who can find a way to do it.*”’ Abū ‘Īsā said, ‘This hadith, however, is *gharīb*. We only know it from this path. Its *isnād* is questionable. Hilāl ibn ‘Abdullāh is unknown and al-Ḥārith is considered to be weak.’ Something similar is related from Abū Umāmah and ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb.

It is related from ‘Abd Khayr ibn Yazīd from ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib that the Messenger of Allah ✪ said in a *khūtbah* of his, ‘O people! Allah has obliged *ḥajj* on those of you who are able to find a way to it. If someone does not do it, he can die however he wishes. If he wishes, as a Jew, a Christian or a Magian, unless he is excused by reason of illness, or a tyrant. He has no portion in my intercession nor will he come to My Basin.’

Ibn ‘Abbās said that the Messenger of Allah ✪ said, ‘If someone has enough money to enable him to perform *ḥajj* but he does not perform *ḥajj*, or property on which *zakāt* is due but he does not pay *zakāt*, he will be questioned at death and the Resurrection.’ It was said, ‘Ibn ‘Abbās, we think that this is about the unbelievers!’ He said, ‘I recite to you the Qur’an: “O you who believe! do not let your wealth or your children divert you from the remembrance of Allah. Whoever does that is lost. Give from what We have provided for you before death comes to one of you and he says, ‘My Lord, if only You would give me a little more time so that I might give ṣadaqah and be one of the righteous!’”’ (63:9-10) Al-Ḥasan ibn Ṣāliḥ said in his commentary, ‘and give *zakāt* and perform *ḥajj*.’

It is reported that a man asked the Prophet ✪ about this āyah and he said, ‘Anyone who performs *ḥajj* not hoping for a reward, or
remains behind not fearing punishment, has disbelieved in Him.’ Qatādah related that al-Ḥasan said that ‘Umar said, ‘I thought about sending some men to the cities to look into those who had wealth but did not perform ḥajj and imposing the jizyah on them. That is on account of Allah’s words: “But if anyone disbelieves, Allah is Rich Beyond Need of any being.”’ This is to demonstrate severity. That is why our scholars have said that the āyah implies that if someone dies without performing ḥajj when he was able to do so, the threat is aimed at him directly. It cannot be satisfied by someone performing ḥajj on his behalf because a ḥajj performed by someone else does not remove the obligation to do ḥajj from him and so the threat is not removed from him. Allah knows best. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said, ‘If my neighbour dies and possesses wealth, but has not performed ḥajj, I will not pray over him.’

98 Say, ‘People of the Book, why do you reject Allah’s Signs when Allah is witness of everything you do?’ 99 Say, ‘People of the Book, why do you bar those who believe from the Way of Allah, desiring to make it crooked, when you yourselves are witnesses to it? Allah is not unaware of what you do.’

‘Why do you bar from the Way of Allah?’

It means to turn people away from the dīn of Allah. Al-Ḥasan recited ‘tuṣiddūna’ [instead of ‘taṣuddūna’]. They are two dialectical forms from ṣadda, aṣadda. ‘Crookedness’ denotes bias and deviation in the dīn, words and actions, and what will divert someone from the straight path or what is upright, as Abū ‘Ubaydah and others said. We see the same meaning in His words: ‘they will follow the Summoner who has no crookedness in him at all.’ (20:108) It means that they will not
deviate if they respond to his summons. The verb ‘āja means to stop
in a place and stand. ‘Ā’īj is someone standing. [POEM] A man who
is a’raj has bad character and ‘awaj is clear bad character. In respect
of a horse, ‘āj refers to the curving of the sinews in the back leg. It is
praised. The term a’awjīyah was used of certain horses in the
Jāhilyyah whose legs were far apart.

you yourselves are witnesses to it.

It means: you understand it. It is said: ‘You witness that it is written
in the Torah that the dīn of Allah that is accepted is Islam alone by
reason of the fact that it contains the description of Muḥammad ﷺ.

100 You who believe! if you obey a group of those given
the Book, they will make you revert to unbelievers after
you have believed.

This was revealed about a Jew who wanted to rekindle the civil war
between Aws and Khazraj after it had been stopped by the Prophet
ﷺ. He sat among them and recited some poetry to them which one
of the tribes had recited about their wars. The other tribe said, ‘But
our poet said this and that about such-and-such a day.’ Some of that
provoked them and they said, ‘Come on! Let us start the war again!’
Aws shouted, ‘People of Aws!’ and Khazraj shouted, ‘People of
Khazraj!’ They met and took up their weapons and formed ranks
for fighting and then this āyah was revealed. The Prophet ﷺ came
and stood between the ranks and recited it in a loud voice. When
they heard his voice, they listened to him, and when he finished,
they threw their weapons aside and embraced one another, weeping.
‘Ikrimah, Ibn Zayd and Ibn ‘Abbās reported the story.

The one who did this was Shās ibn Qays the Jew. He introduced
into Aws and Khazraj someone who would recall to them the wars
that had taken place between them. The Prophet ﷺ came and
reminded them and people recognised that it was a provocation from Shayṭān and a machination on the part of their enemy. So they threw down their weapons, wept and embraced one another and then left with the Prophet in obedience to him. Then Allah revealed this. ‘You who believe’ are Aws and Khazraj and the words ‘a group of those given the Book’ refer to Shās and his people.

**they will make you revert to unbelievers after you have believed.**

Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh said, ‘There was no arrival that day more hateful to us than that of the Messenger of Allah. Then he indicated to us with his hand and we refrained and Allah Almighty made peace between us. Then there was no one we loved more than the Messenger of Allah. I have not experienced a day whose start was uglier or more repulsive and whose end had a greater reward than that day.’

![Verse 101](https://example.com/verse_101.png)

101 How can you disbelieve, when Allah’s Signs are recited to you and the Messenger is there among you?

**Whoever holds fast to Allah has been guided to a straight path.**

The Almighty asks this with amazement. The words ‘Allah’s Signs’ refer to the Qur’an and ‘the Messenger’ is Muḥammad. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘There was fighting and bad feeling between Aws and Khazraj in the Jāhiliyyah. They mentioned what had happened between them and it provoked them to pick up swords against one another. They went to the Prophet and mentioned that to him and he went to them. Then this āyah was revealed.’

The āyah includes those who did not see the Prophet because the establishment of his Sunnah took the place of actually seeing him. Az-Zajjāj says that it is possible that this āyah was directed only to the Companions of Muḥammad because the Messenger of
Allah was among them and they saw him. It is also possible that this is addressed to the entire Community because His traces and signs and the Qur’an which he was given are among us in the place of the Messenger, even if we did not see him.

Qatādah said, ‘There are two clear signs in this āyah: the Book of Allah and the Prophet of Allah. The Prophet of Allah has passed, and the Book of Allah remains among them as a mercy and a blessing. It contains its lawful and unlawful, and obedience and disobedience.’

The phrase ‘Whoever holds fast’ means someone who is patient and holds to Allah’s dīn and obeys Him. Then he is given success and rightly guided. Ibn Jurayj says that it means he believes in Him. It is said that it means, ‘Whoever holds to the rope of Allah,’ which is the Qur’an. The verbs aʿṣama and iʿtaṣama mean to hold to something to the exclusion of anything else. Anyone who clings to something is called ‘muʿṣim’ and ‘muʿtaṣim’. Someone who defends something is called ‘āṣim. Al-Farazdaq said:

I am the son of the defenders (ʿāṣimīn) of the Banū Tamīm.

[POEMS] Food is described as defending (ʿaṣama) someone from being hungry. The Arabs say, ‘the food kept him (ʿaṣama) from being hungry. That is why they call pottage (sawīq) ‘Abū ʿĀṣim.’ Aḥmad ibn Yaḥyā said, ‘The Arabs called bread ‘āṣim and jābir, and they also called it ‘āmir. [POEMS]

102 You who believe! have taqwā of Allah with the taqwā due to Him and do not die except as Muslims.

An-Naḥḥās related from Murrah ibn ‘Abdullāh that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘The taqwā due to Him is that He be obeyed and not disobeyed, remembered and not forgotten, thanked and not shown ingratitude.’ Ibn ʿAbbās said, ‘It means not disobeying Him
for the blink of an eye.’ The commentators mentioned that when this āyah was revealed, they asked, ‘Messenger of Allah, who is strong enough for this?’ It was hard for them and then Allah revealed: ‘So show fear of Allah as much as you are able to’ (64:16), and this āyah was abrogated as Qatādah, ar-Rabī’ and Ibn Zayd said. Muqātil said, ‘Nothing in Āl ʿImrān is abrogated except this āyah.’

It is also said that the words: ‘So show fear of Allah as much as you are able to’ is clarification of this āyah. The meaning is: ‘Fear Allah with the taqwā due to Him as much you are able to.’ This is more correct because when there is a choice between reconciling and abrogation, reconciling is more appropriate. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭalḥah reported that Ibn ʿAbbās said, ‘The words of Allah: “You who believe, have taqwā of Allah with the taqwā due to Him,” are not abrogated. “The taqwā due to Him” is that one fights to the utmost in the Way of Allah and does not fear the blame of any blamer for Allah’s sake, and establishes justice, even against oneself and one’s children.’ An-Naḥḥās said, ‘Whenever an obligation is mentioned in an āyah, it is mandatory for the Muslims to implement it and there is no abrogation in it.’ This was already mentioned in al-Baqarah.

103 Hold fast to the rope of Allah all together, and do not separate. Remember Allah’s blessing to you when you were enemies and He joined your hearts together so that you became brothers by His blessing. You were on the very brink of a pit of the Fire and He rescued you from it. In this way Allah makes His Signs clear to you, so that hopefully you will be guided.

Hold fast to the rope of Allah all together, and do not separate.

‘Hold fast’ (iʿtaṣimū) comes from ʿīṣmah, which is defence, and it is
also used for the guards (*badhraqa*) of a caravan. That is because they organise those who would defend it against those who would harm it. Ibn Khāluwayh said that *badhraqa* is not Arabic, but a Persian word that has been Arabicised.

‘*Habl*’ (rope) is a word with multiple meanings. Its linguistic root is the means by one which one reaches a need and desire. It is a ligament in the neck and a long track of sand. That usage is found in hadith. It is a halter and a covenant. Al-A`shā said:

> When the covenants (*hībāl*) of the tribe seceded it,
> they took their contracts from another for you.

He means security. It is also cunning, and *hībālah* is a snare.

[POEM]

None of these meanings is meant in the *āyah* except that of ‘covenant’, as Ibn `Abbās said. Ibn Mas`ūd said that the rope of Allah is the Qur’an. ‘Alī and Abū Sa`īd al-Khudrī related this from the Prophet ﷺ, and the same is reported from Mujāhid and Qatādah. Abū Mu`āqiyah related from al-Hīrī from Abu-l-Aḥwaṣ from ‘Abdullāh that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘This Qur’an is the rope of Allah.’

Taqiyy ibn Mukhallad related from Yaḥyā ibn al-Ḥamīd from Hushaym from al-Awwām ibn Ḥawshab from ash-Sha`bī that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas`ūd said that it refers to the Community. It is related from him and others by various paths of transmission. All the meanings are similar because Allah commanded friendship and forbade separation. Separation is destruction and the group is salvation. Ibn al-Mubarāk said:

> The community is the rope of Allah, so hold fast to
> the firmest handhold of it for the one who draws near.

*and do not separate.*

This means in respect of your *dīn*, in the way the Jews and Christians separated in their respective religions, as Ibn Mas`ūd and
others said. It is permitted for it to mean: ‘Do not separate by following your individual passions and desires. Be brothers in the dīn of Allah.’ So that should prevent them cutting off one another and plotting against one another. This is indicated by what follows it:

**Remember Allah’s blessing to you when you were enemies and He joined your hearts together so that you became brothers by His blessing.**

There is no evidence in the āyah for the prohibition of disagreement concerning secondary rulings. That is not true disagreement. The disagreement intended here is that which makes harmony and joining together impossible. As for rulings in matters of ījtihād, disagreement regarding them is due to the deduction of precepts and fine meanings of the Sharī‘ah. The Companions continued to disagree in respect of judgments about different matters. In spite of that, they were in complete harmony. The Messenger of Allah said, ‘The disagreements of my Community are a mercy.’ Allah is forbidding any sort of disagreement that results in discord.

At-Tirmidhī reported from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet said, ‘The Jews divided into seventy-one or seventy-two sects and the Christians into the like of that. My community will divide into seventy-three sects.’ At-Tirmidhī said that it is a sound hadith. Ibn ‘Umar also transmitted that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘What happened to the tribe of Israel will happen to my community, step by step, so that if one of them were to come to his mother openly, my community would also have those who do that. The tribe of Israel divided into seventy-two sects and my community will divide into seventy-three. All of them will be in the Fire except for one.’ ‘Who are they, Messenger of Allah?’ they asked. He replied, ‘What I and My Companions follow.’ He transmitted it from ‘Abdullāh ibn Ziyād al-Ifrīqi from ‘Abdullāh ibn Yazīd from Ibn ‘Umar. He said, ‘This hadith is ḥasan gharīb. We only know it from this path.’ Abū ‘Umar
said, ‘Abdullāh al-Ifrīqī is trustworthy. His people trusted him and praised him while others said that he was weak.’

In his *Sunan* Abū Dāwūd transmitted from Muʿāwiyah ibn Abī Sufyān that the Prophet said, ‘Before you the People of the Book divided into seventy-two sects and this community will divide into seventy-three. Seventy-two will be in the Fire and one in the Garden: it is the *Jamaʿāh*. Some people will emerge from my Community and those passions will move them as rabies moves its sufferer. There is no vein or joint of them which that will not enter.’

In the *Sunan* of Ibn Mājah, Anas ibn Mālik reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Anyone who leaves this world sincerely believing in Allah alone and being sincere in worshipping Him with no partner, establishing the prayer and paying the *zakāt*, dies with Allah pleased with him.’ Anas said, ‘It is the *dīn* of Allah which the Messengers brought and conveyed from their Lord before the muddling of hadiths and different sects.’ The confirmation of that is at the end of what Allah revealed. He says: “If they repent…” in other words ‘if they abandon idols and worship of them’, “…and establish the prayer and pay *zakāt*, they are your brothers in the *dīn*.” (9:11) It is transmitted from Naṣr ibn ‘Alī al-Jahḍamī from Abū Aḥmad from Abū Jaʿfar ar-Rāzī from ar-Rabīʿ ibn Anas from Anas.

Abu-l-Faraj al-Jawzī said, ‘If it is said that these sects are known, the answer is that we know the differences and the roots of sects and each of the sects is sub-divided into further sects, even if we do not know all the names of those sects and schools. It is clear to us that the roots of sects are the Ḥarūriyyah (Khārijites), the Qadariyyah, the Jahmiyyah, the Murjiʿites, the Rāfiḍites and the Jabriyyah.’ One of the people of knowledge said, ‘There are six sects that form the basis of these misguided sects and each of them divided into twelve sub-sects so they became seventy-two.’

There are twelve sects of the Ḥarūriyyah. The first are the Azraqiyyah who say, ‘We do not know anyone to be a believer,’ and
they say that all the people of the *qiblah* are unbelievers except for those who espouse the same view as them. There are the Ibāḍiyyah who say, ‘Whoever takes our view is a believer and whoever turns away from it is a hypocrite.’ There are the Tha‘labiyyah who say that Allah does not decree; the Khāzimiyyah who say that they do not know what faith is and all creatures are excused; the Khalafiyyah who claim that anyone who abandons *jihād*, male or female, is an unbeliever; and the Kūziyyah who said that no one should touch another person because he does not know whether he is pure or impure and should not eat with him until he repents and has a *ghusl*. There are the Kanziyyah who say that no one is allowed to give another person his wealth because he might not deserve it and so he should store it (*kanz*) in the earth until the people of the truth appear. There are the Shamrākhiyyah who say there is nothing wrong in touching women who are not relatives. There are the Akhnasiyyah who said that the dead find neither good nor evil after death. There are the Ḥakamiyyah who say that anyone who asks for the judgment of a creature is an unbeliever. There are the Mu‘taziliyyah [of the Ḥarūriyyah] who say that the business of ʿAlī and Mu‘āwiyyah is unclear and so they are free of both parties. And there are the Maymūniyyah who say that there is no ruler except with the consent of those we love.

There are also twelve sects of Qadariyyah. There are the Aḥmariyyah who stipulate about Allah’s justice that He must give people charge of their affairs and come between them and acts of disobedience. There are the Thanawiyyah who claim that good is from Allah and evil is from Shayṭān. There are the Mu‘tazilites who say that the Qur’an is created and deny the Attributes of Divine Lordship. There are the Kaysāniyyah who say, ‘We do not know whether actions are from Allah or from people. We do not know whether people will be rewarded or punished.’ There are the Shayṭāniyyah who say that Allah did not create Shayṭān. There are
the Sharīkiyyah who say that all evil actions are decreed except for disbelief. There are the Wahmiyyah who say that the actions and words of people have no essence and they do neither good nor evil actions. There are the Zabriyyah who say that it is a duty to act by every revealed Book, whether abrogated or abrogating. There are the Mas'adiyyah who claim that if someone disobeys and then repents, his repentance is not accepted. There are the Nākithiyyah who claim that someone who breaks his allegiance to the Messenger of Allah does not sin. There are the Qāsiṭiyyah who [preferred seeking the world to doing-without, and the Naẓẓāmiyyah who] followed Ibrāhīm ibn an-Naẓẓām in saying that someone who claims that Allah is a thing is an unbeliever.

The Jahmiyyah likewise make up twelve sects. There are the Muʿattilah who claim that everything a human being thinks of is created and if someone claims that Allah can be seen, he is an unbeliever. There are Marīsiyyah who say that most of the attributes of Allah are created. There are the Multaziqah who say that the Creator is everywhere. There are the Wāridiyyah who say that no one who recognises his Lord will enter the Fire and no one who enters it will ever emerge from it. There are the Zanādiqah who say that no one can establish a Lord for himself because affirmation can only occur after perception by the senses and what is not perceived cannot be confirmed. There are the Ḥarqiyyah who claim that the Fire will burn the unbeliever once and he will remain burned forever but not feel the heat of the Fire. There are the Makhlūqiyyah who claim that the Qurʾan is created. There are the Fāniyyah who claim that the Fire and Garden will vanish. Some of them say that they are not created. There are the Qabriyyah who deny the punishment of the grave and intercession. There are the Lazfiyyah who say that our articulation of the Qurʾan is created.

The twelve sects of the Murjiʿah are the Tārikiyyah who say that Allah did not impose any obligation on His creation other than
believing in Him and whoever believes in Him can do whatever he wishes. There are the Sā‘ibiyah who say that Allah left His creation to do whatever they wish. There are the Rājiyyah who say that someone obedient is not called obedient nor someone disobedient called disobedient because we do not know what Allah has in store for him. There are the Sālibiyyah who say that obedience is not part of faith. There are the Bahīshiyyah who say that faith is knowledge and whoever does not know the truth from the false or the lawful from the unlawful is an unbeliever. The ‘Amaliyyah say that faith is action. The Manqūshiyyah say that faith does not increase or decrease. The Mustathniyyah say that ‘if Allah wills’ is part of faith. The Mushabbihah say that His sight is like our sight and His hand like our hands. The Ḥashawiyyah said that ruling of all hadiths is the same and someone who omits the nāfilah is like someone who omits the obligatory. The Zāhiriyyah forbid analogy. The Biḍ‘iyah were the first to innovate these things in this community.

The Rāfiḍites also have twelve sects. There are the ‘Alawiyyah who say that the Message was really sent to ‘Alī but Jibrīl made a mistake. The Amriyyah said that ‘Alī and Muḥammad shared in Prophethood. The Shi‘ah said that ‘Alī was the executor of the Messenger of Allah and his heir after him and the community fell into disbelief by giving allegiance to someone else. The Isḥāqiyyah said that Prophethood will continue until the Day of Rising and whoever has the knowledge of the People of the House is a Prophet. The Nāwūsiyyah say that ‘Alī is the best of the community and anyone who prefers someone to him has disbelieved. The Imāmiyyah said that it is not possible for this world to be without an imām from the descendants of Ḥusayn. The imām is taught by Jibrīl and if he dies, another takes his place. The Zaydiyyah said that all the descendants of Ḥusayn have the right to be imāms in the prayer. If one of them is present, the prayer is not permitted behind someone else. It makes no difference whether they are pious or
impious. The ‘Abbāsiyyah claimed that al-ʿAbbās was the most entitled to be caliph. The Tanāsukhiyyah believed in transmigration of souls. If someone was a good-doer, his soul leaves and enters into someone who will have a happy life. The Raj‘iyyah claim that ‘Alī and his companions will return to this world and take revenge on their enemies. The Lā‘inah curse ‘Uthmān, Ṭalḥah, az-Zubayr, Mu‘āwiyyah, Abū Mūsā, ʿĀ’ishah and others. The Mutarabbīṣah resemble those performing the ḥajj practices and in every age set up a man whom they put in charge, claiming that he is the mahdī of the community. When he dies, they set up another.

The Jabriyyah have twelve sects. There are the Muḍṭariyyah who say that the human being has no action: it is Allah who does everything. The Af‘āliyyah say that we have actions but no capacity to do them. We are like beasts led by ropes. The Mafrūghiyyah said that all things were created in the past and now nothing is created. The Najjāriyyah claimed that Allah Almighty punishes people for His actions, not for their actions. The Mannāniyyah said that you are responsible for what occurs to your heart, so do whatever you deem to be good. The Kasbiyyah said that the slave of Allah earns neither reward nor punishment. The Sābiqiyyah said you may act as you wish. The fortunate will not be harmed by their wrong actions and the wretched will not be helped by their piety. The Ḥibbiyyah said that if someone drinks a cup of the love of Allah, the pillars of worship are cancelled for him. The Khawfiyyah said that if someone loves Allah, he cannot fear Him because the lover does not fear his Beloved. The Fikriyyah said that if someone increases his knowledge, worship is no longer binding on him. The Khashabiyyah said that this world is shared equally among people and there is no superiority between them in their legacy from their father Ādam. The Manniyyah say that we have no action, only ability.

Further discussion of sects in this Community will come at the end of Sūrat al-An‘ām Allah willing.
Ibn ʿAbbās said to Simāk al-Ḥanafī: ‘Ḥanafī, the Community (Jamāʿah)! The Community! Past nations were destroyed when they split into sects. Did you not hear the words of the Almighty, “Hold fast to the rope of Allah altogether and do not separate”?

Abū Hurayrah reports in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Allah is pleased with three things for you and dislikes three things for you. He is pleased that you worship Him and do not associate anything with Him, that you hold all together to the rope of Allah, and that you do not split up. He dislikes three things for you: chitchat, asking too many questions and wasting wealth.’ So Allah obliged us to hold to His Book and the Sunnah of His Prophet and to consult them in cases of disagreement. He commanded us to join together in holding fast to the Book and Sunnah in terms of belief and action. That is the means to unity and the remedy for disunity and assures the best interests of of the community in this world and the dīn and safety from discord. He commanded togetherness and forbade us falling into the schisms which have occurred to the people of the two Books. This is the complete sense of the āyah and is evidence for the validity of consensus as one of the fundamental principles of fiqh. Allah knows best.

Remember Allah’s blessing to you when you were enemies

Allah commanded them to remember His blessings, the greatest of which is Islam and following His Prophet. By it enmity and division are removed and love and harmony are brought about. It is Aws and Khazraj who are meant although the āyah is of general import.

so that you became brothers by His blessing.

This means you became brothers in the dīn by the blessing of Islam. In the Qur’an asbaḥa always means ‘become’ as is seen elsewhere. Ikhwān (brothers) is the plural of akh. Someone is called a ‘brother’ because he aims to follow the path of his brother.

You were on the very brink of a pit of the Fire
'Shafā’ is the edge of anything. Allah also uses the term in ‘on the brink (shafā’) of a crumbling precipice.’ (9:109) A poet said:

We dug (ḥafarnā) [the well] Sajlah for the ḥājjīs,

growing above its edge (shifāhā) is a plant.

The verb ashfā ‘alā means to be on the brink of something. The verb is used of a sick person on the brink of death. All that remains of it is shafā, a little. Ibn as-Sikkīt said, ‘It is said of a dying man or waning moon or setting sun, “Only a little (shafā) remains of it.”’

Al-‘Ajjāj said:

Many an elevated place is high for the one who ascends it.

I ascended it when the sun had set or was close (shafā) to it.

‘Had set’ is ‘bilā shafā’ and ‘bi-shafā means that a little remains of it. It is a weak verb with yā’. One dialect has it as being one of those words with a wāw. An-Naḥḥās said, ‘The root of shafā is shafawa which is why it is written with an alif and without imālah.’ Al-Akhfash said, ‘When imālah is not permitted in it, it is known that there was originally a wāw there. Imālah comes from the yā’. The dual is shafawān.’

Al-Mahdawī said that being on the brink of a fire is a metaphor for them leaving disbelief for belief.

104 Let there be a community among you who call to the good, and enjoin the right, and forbid the wrong. They are the ones who have success.

We have already discussed what enjoining the right and forbidding the wrong entails. ‘Min’ (among) here is partitive. It means that those who enjoin must be people with knowledge, and not all people have knowledge. It is also said that it is generic, and the command is general for everyone. The first position is sounder and indicates that
enjoining the right and forbidding the wrong is a *fard kifāyah*. Allah made it specific when He says: ‘*Those who, if We establish them firmly on the earth, will establish the prayer…*’ (22:41). This is not all people. Ibn az-Zubayr recited: ‘Let there be a community among you who call to the good, and enjoin the right and forbid the wrong, and seek Allah’s help in what befalls them.’ Abū Bakr al-Anbārī said, ‘This addition is, in fact, commentary on the part of Ibn az-Zubayr. It is an error on the part of some transmitters to add it to the words of the Qur’an. It indicates the soundness of the description in the hadith related from my father from Ḥasan ibn ‘Arafah from Wakī‘ from Abū ‘Āṣim from Abū ‘Awn from Şubayḥ who said that he heard ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān recite, “Let there be a community among you who call to the good, and enjoin the right and forbid the wrong, and seek Allah’s help in what befalls them.” No intelligent person doubts the fact that ‘Uthmān did not consider this addition to be part of the Qur’an since he did not include it in the text of his copy of the Qur’an when he was the leader of the Muslims. He mentioned it as an admonition and to stress the prior words of the Lord.’

105 Do not be like those who split up and differed after the Clear Signs came to them. They will have a terrible punishment

This means the Jews and the Christians, according to most commentators. One of them said that it refers to the innovators of this community. Abū Umāmah, reciting this āyah, said that it is about the Ḥārūriyyah. Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh said that it means the Jews and Christians. The verb ‘came’ is in the masculine for the plural. If the verb had been in the feminine, it would refer to the group.
106 on the Day when faces are whitened and faces are blackened. As for those whose faces are blackened:

‘What! Did you disbelieve after having believed? Taste the punishment for your unbelief!’ 107 As for those whose faces are whitened, they are in Allah’s mercy, remaining in it timelessly, for ever.

On the Day when faces are whitened and faces are blackened.

This is a reference to the Day of Rising when people are resurrected from their graves. The faces of the believers will be whitened and those of the unbelievers blackened. It is said that that occurs when the books are read out. When the book of the believer is read out and he sees his good actions in it, he will rejoice and his face will be brightened. When the unbeliever and hypocrite read their books and see their evil deeds, their faces will be darkened. It is said that this occurs when the scales are set up. It is said that it is when the Almighty says: ‘Keep yourselves apart today, O evildoers.’ (36:59)

It is also said that it happens on the Day of Rising when every group is commanded to join what they worshipped. When they do that they will be wretched and their faces darkened. The believers, People of the Book and hypocrites will stay where they are. Allah will ask the believers, ‘Who is your Lord?’ and they will reply, ‘Our Lord is Allah Almighty.’ He will ask them, ‘Will you recognise Him if you see Him?’ They will reply, ‘Glory be to Him! When He shows Himself, we will recognise Him.’ They will see Allah in the way He wishes them to and the believers will prostrate and their faces will become as white as snow. The hypocrites and People of Book will be unable to prostrate and they will be miserable and their faces dark. The whitening of faces is their shining with bliss and the darkening
is their being covered by the painful punishment.

It is also read as ‘tibyaddu’ and ‘tiswaddu’ which is the dialect of Tamīm. Yayhā ibn Waththāb recited it this way. Az-Zuhrī recited ‘tabyāḍḍu’ and ‘taswāddu.’ It is also permitted for the tā’ to have a kasrah and to have ‘yabyaḍḍu’ in the masculine plural. The whitening of the faces is their shining with blessing and their darkening is from the painful punishment that oppresses them.

There is disagreement about who specifically is being referred to here. Ibn ‘Abbās said that the faces of the people of the Sunnah are white and those of innovation dark. This statement of Ibn ‘Abbās is related by Mālik ibn Sulaymān al-Harawī, the brother of Ghassān, from Mālik ibn Anas from Nāfī’ from Ibn ‘Umar who reported that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said about these words: ‘It means that the faces of the people of the Sunnah will be whitened and the faces of the people of innovation will be blackened.’ Abū Bakr Aḥmad ibn ‘Alī ibn Thābit al-Khaṭīb mentioned it. It is said that this is munkar from Mālik.

‘Aṭā’ said, ‘The faces of the Muhājirūn and Anṣār will be whitened and the faces of the Banū Qurayṣah and an-Naḍīr blackened.’ Ubayyy ibn Ka‘b said, ‘Those whose faces are blackened are the unbelievers and they will be asked, “Do you disbelieve after your affirmation of belief when you were brought forth from the back of Ādam like atoms?”’ This is what aṭ-Ṭabarī preferred. Al-Ḥasan says that the āyah is about the hypocrites. Qatādah says that it is about the apostates. ‘Ikrimah said, ‘They are the people from the People of the Book who confirmed their Prophets and affirmed Muḥammad ☪ before he was sent and then, when he was sent, rejected him. That is what is meant by the words of the Almighty: “What! Did you disbelieve after having believed?”’ That is preferred by az-Zajjāj.

Mālik ibn Anas said, ‘It is about the people of sects.’ Abū Umāmah al-Bāhilī reported that it is about the Khārijites. Another report says that it is about the Qadariyyah. At-Tirmidhī reported that Abū
Ghālib said, ‘Abū Umāmah saw heads set up at the gate of Damascus. He remarked, ‘The dogs of the Fire! The worst to be slain under heaven and the best is the one they killed.’ Then he recited the āyah: “On the Day when faces are whitened and faces are blackened….” I said to Abū Umāmah, “Did you hear that from the Messenger of Allah 🙆?” He answered, “If I had not heard it from the Messenger of Allah 🙆 once, two times, three times (and he went on to ‘seven times’), I would not have related it to you.”” He said that it is a ḥasan hadith.

We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Bukhārī that Sahl ibn Sa’d said, ‘The Messenger of Allah 🙆 said, “I will reach the Basin before you. Whoever passes by me will drink, and whoever drinks will never be thirsty again. Some people will come whom I recognise and who recognise me but a barrier will be put between me and them.”” Abū Ḥāzim said, ‘An-Nu’mān ibn Abī Ṭayyāsh asked, “Is that how you heard it from Sahl ibn Sa’d?” “Yes,” I answered. He said, “I testify that I heard it from Abū Sa’īd al-Khudrī and he added, ‘I will say, “They are from me!” It will be said, “You do not know what they innovated after you.” I will say, “Away! Away with those who altered things after me!”’”

Abū Hurayrah related that the Messenger of Allah 🙆 said, ‘On the Day of Rising, a group of my Companions will come to the Basin and be kept away from it. I will say, “O Lord, my Companions!” He will say, “You do not know what they innovated after you! They turned their backs in retreat.”’

There are many hadiths that talk about this. Anyone who alters, changes or innovates in the dīn of Allah anything not pleasing to Allah and for which Allah has not given permission will be among those who are driven far from the Basin and whose faces are blackened. Those who are driven farthest away are those who opposed the Muslim Community and caused splits among them, such as the Kharijites and their different sects, the Rāfiḍites with their clear misguidance, and the Muʿtazilites with their various sects.
All of these altered and innovated. That is also the case with the extravagant wrongdoers who commit injustice and tyranny and blot out the truth and kill and humiliate its people, as well as those who publicly commit major wrong actions and who treat acts of disobedience lightly, and the group of the people of deviation, sects and innovations. It is feared that all of those are meant by the āyah. As we made clear, only a denying unbeliever who does not have the weight of a mustard seed of faith in his heart will remain in the Fire forever. Ibn al-Qāsim said, ‘There may be from other than the people of sects, those who are worse than the people of sects.’ It used to be said, ‘Full sincerity is avoiding acts of disobedience.’

**As for those whose faces are blackened,**

There is some elision here, like ‘They were asked, “What! Did you disbelieve after having believed?”’ on the Day of the Covenant when they said, ‘Yes, indeed.’ It is also said that these are the Jews who believed in Muḥammad ﷺ before he was sent and rejected him after he was sent. Abu-l-‘Āliyah said that this is directed at the hypocrites: ‘Do you reject secretly after affirming openly?’ The people who know Arabic agree that there must be a fā’ in the apodosis of ammā since it means: ‘As for Zayd, he is happy.’

Those whose faces are whitened are those who obeyed Allah and fulfilled His covenant. *In Allah’s mercy* is in His Garden and the Abode of His generosity. May Allah place us among them and make us avoid the paths of innovation and misguidance and guide us to the path of those who believed and did good deeds! Amīn.

١٠٨ These are Allah’s Signs which We recite to you with truth. Allah desires no wrong for any being. ١٠٩ Everything in the heavens and everything in the earth belongs to Allah. All matters return to Allah.
'Allah’s Signs’ are the Qur’an. ‘We recite to you’ means ‘Jibrīl brought them down to you and recited them to you.’ ‘Truth’ is truthfulness. Az-Zajjāj said that the words ‘These are Allah’s signs’ mean that they are the proofs and evidence of Allah. It is said that ‘tilka’, which normally means ‘those’, here means ‘these’, but when they came to an end, it becomes as if they are far and so ‘those’ is used. Also, ‘Allah’s Signs’ can be an appositive for ‘these’ rather than an adjective. ‘Allah desires no wrong for any being’ means that He will not punish them without wrong action on their part.

Everything in the heavens and everything in the earth belongs to Allah.

Al-Mahdawī says that the manner in which this is connected to what is before it is that, after Allah mentions the states of the believers and unbelievers and the fact that He does not desire wrong for any being, He then mentions the vast extent of His power and His absolute freedom from any hint of injustice, since everything in the heavens and the earth is in His hand. It is also said that it is the beginning of a new sentence: Allah is making it clear to His slaves that everything in the heavens and the earth belongs to Him so that they should ask of Him and worship Him and not worship other than Him.

110 You are the best nation ever to be produced before mankind. You enjoin the right, forbid the wrong and believe in Allah. If the People of the Book were to believe, it would be better for them. Some of them are believers but most of them are deviators.

You are the best nation ever to be produced before mankind.

At-Tirmidhī related from Bahz ibn Ḥakīm that his grandfather
heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say about these words: ‘You are the culmination of seventy communities. You are the best and noblest of them in the sight of Allah.’ He said that it is a ḥasan hadith.

Abū Hurayrah said, ‘We are the best of people for people. We drag them in chains to Islam.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘They are those who emigrated from Makkah to Madīnah and were present at Badr and Ḥudaybīyah.’ ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said, ‘Whoever does what they did is like them.’

It is said that it is the Community of Muḥammad ﷺ, specifically the righteous among them and the people of excellence. They will be the witnesses against other people on the Day of Rising as mentioned in al-Baqarah. Mujāhid said that this is according to the preconditions mentioned in the āyah. It is said that it means: ‘You are in the Preserved Tablet.’ It is said, ‘Since you believed, you were made the best nation.’ It is said that it is good news for the Prophet ﷺ and His community. Al-Afkhash points out that it means the best people of a dīn. [POETRY]

It is said that the verb ‘kāna’ here is in the perfect tense and it means: ‘You have been created and brought into existence’ and ‘the best nation’ is descriptive. It is also said that ‘kāna’ is redundant and it simply means: ‘you are the best nation.’ Similar examples of this usage are found in 19:29 and 2:86.

Sufyān related from Maysarah al-Ashjaʿī from Abū Ḥāzim that Abū Hurayrah said about this āyah, ‘You drag people in chains to Islam.’ An-Naḥḥās said, ‘According to this, it implies; “you are the best nation for people.”’ Mujāhid said, ‘You are the best nation when you enjoin the right and forbid the wrong.’ It is also said that the nation of Muḥammad ﷺ will become the best nation because the Muslims will be the most numerous and enjoining the right and forbidding the wrong is widespread among them. It is also said that it is the Companions of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ who are being referred to as he said, ‘The best of people are my generation…’,
meaning those among whom he was sent.

Since it is confirmed in Revelation that this nation is the best of nations and the Imāms report that the Prophetﷺ said, ‘The best of my community are my generation, then the one after them and then the one after them,’ this indicates that those at the beginning of this community are better than those after them, and the majority of scholars believe that. Those who were Companions of the Prophetﷺ and saw him, even once, are better than those who came after him. No action is equivalent to that of the company of the Prophetﷺ.

Abū Umar Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr believed that it was possible for there to exist after the Companions those who were better, owing to the fact that the statement of the Prophetﷺ was not universal, since a generation inevitably contains both the excellent and less excellent. His generation contained hypocrites who opposed faith and people of major wrong actions who had the ḥudūd carried out on them. He said to them, ‘What do you say about a thief, a drinker and a fornicator?’ He said about those in his generation, ‘Do not curse my Companions.’ He said to Khālid ibn al-Walīd about ‘Ammār, ‘Do not insult someone who is better than you.’

Abū Umāmah reported that the Prophetﷺ said, ‘Bliss to the one who sees me and believes in me, and bliss seven times over to the one who did not see me but believes in me.’ We find in the Musnad of Abū Dāwūd aṭ-Ṭayālīsī from Muḥammad ibn Abī Ḥumayd from Zayd ibn Aslam from his father that ‘Umar said, ‘I was sitting with the Prophetﷺ when he asked, “Do you know which of creation are best in faith?” We replied, “The angels.” He said, “That is true of them, but other than them.” We said, “The Prophets.” He said, “It is true of them, but others.” Then the Messenger of Allahﷺ went on, “The best of creatures in faith are a people in the loins of men who will believe without seeing me. They will find a page and act by what is in it. They are the best of creatures in faith.”’ Ṣāliḥ ibn
Jubayr related that Abū Jum‘ah said, ‘We asked, “Messenger of Allah, is there anyone better than us?” “Yes,” he replied, “A people will come after you who will find a Book between two covers and believe in what is in it and believe in me without seeing me.”’ Abū ‘Umar said that Abū Jum‘ah was a Companion and his name was Ḥabīb ibn Sibā‘. Ṣāliḥ ibn Jubayr was one of the trustworthy Tābi‘ūn.

Abū Tha‘labah al-Khashanī related that the Prophet said, ‘Ahead of you lie days requiring steadfastness, and steadfastness in those days will be like grasping live coals. The one who acts in those days will have the reward of fifty men who do the same action as he does now.’ It was asked, “Messenger of Allah, of their men?” He replied, “Rather of your men.”’ Abū ‘Umar said that some transmitters omit ‘Rather your men’. ‘Umar said in explanation of this āyah, ‘Any who does the like of your actions is like you.’ The hadiths are not contradictory because the first is particular, and success is by Allah.

It is said about what is conveyed by the hadiths regarding this matter that his generation is preferred because they were strangers in respect of their faith because of the great number of unbelievers around them, their steadfastness in the face of the persecution they faced and their holding to their dīn. As for the later members of this community, they will be strangers when they establish the dīn and hold to it and are steadfast in obeying their Lord at the time of the appearance of evil, impiety, bloodshed, acts of disobedience and major wrong actions, and then, at that time, their actions will be pure just as the actions of the first ones were pure. Part of what attests to this are the words of the Prophet: ‘Islam began as a stranger and will become as it began. Blessed be the strangers!’ There is also the hadith reported by Abu Tha‘labah that the Prophet said, ‘My Community is like the rain. It is not known whether the beginning or end of it is best.’ Abū Dāwūd aṭ-Ṭayālisī
and Abū ʿĪsā at-Tirmidhī mentioned it. Hishām ibn ʿUbaydullāh ar-
Rāzī mentioned from Mālik from az-Zuhrī that Anas said that the
Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘The metaphor of my Community is like
the rain. It is not known whether the beginning or end of it is best.’
Ad-Dāraquṭnī mentioned it from Mālik. Abū ʿUmar said that there
is no disagreement that Hishām ibn ʿUbaydullāh is trustworthy.

It is related that when ‘Umar ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz was appointed
caliph, he wrote to Sālim ibn ʿAbdullāh, ‘Write to me informing me
of the behaviour of ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb so I can act on it.’ Sālim
wrote to him, ‘If you act on the behaviour of ‘Umar, then you are
better than ‘Umar because your time is not like the time of ‘Umar
and your men are not like the men of ‘Umar.’ He wrote to the
fuqahā’ of his time and they wrote to him the same as Sālim told him.

Some counter the hadith, ‘The best of people are my generation,’
with the words of the Prophet ☪, ‘The best of people is the one who
has a long life and good actions and the worst of people is the one
who has a long life and bad actions.’ Abū ʿUmar said that these
hadiths mean that the first and the last are equal in faith and
righteous action in a corrupt time when the people of knowledge
and the dīn are few and there is a lot of corruption and bloodshed,
and when the believers are abased and the deviants exalted and the
dīn becomes a stranger again in the same way it began as a stranger,
and the one who stands by it is like someone who holds on to hot
coals. The two are equal, except for the people of Badr and al-
Ḥudaybīyah. If someone reflects on the reports regarding this
matter, what is correct will be clear to him. Allah gives His bounty to
whomever He will.

**You enjoin the right and forbid the wrong**

This is praise for this community when they understand this and
act by it. If they do not, praise is removed from them and censure
attached to them. That is a reason for their destruction. We have
already discussed what is meant by enjoining the right and
forbidding the wrong at the beginning of this sūrah.

**If the People of the Book were to believe, it would be better for them.**

It would be better for the People of the Book to believe in the Prophet ﷺ. Then Allah tells us that some of them are believers and some are deviants, but that the majority are deviants.

111 They will not harm you except with abusive words. If they fight you, they will turn their backs on you. Then they will not be helped.

This means that they deny you with their twisting and lies. They will not be victorious, as al-Ḥasan and Qatādah said. The exception here can be connective, meaning they will not harm you except for a little. So ‘*abusive words*’ is a verbal noun. The āyah is a promise from Allah to His Messenger ﷺ and to the believers that the People of the Book will not overcome them and they will be helped against them and not be uprooted or harmed by their lies and twisting and that the ultimate victory will be for the believers. It is also said that the exception is one of separation, and the meaning is that they will not harm you at all, but you hear from them what vexes you. Muqātil said, ‘The leaders of the Jews, Ka‘b, ‘Adī, an-Nu‘mān, Abū Rāfī’, Abū Yāsir, Kinānah and Ibn Ṣūriyā went to those of their people who were believers, such as ‘Abdullāh ibn Salām and his people, and abused them for becoming Muslims, and Allah revealed this.’ It means verbal abuse. ‘*If they fight you…*’ refers to the fact that they will be defeated. ‘*Then they will not be helped*’ is a new sentence. This āyah contains a miracle for the Prophet ﷺ because those Jews who fought him did turn their backs.
112 They will be plunged into abasement wherever they are found, unless they have a treaty with Allah and with the people. They have brought down anger from Allah upon themselves, and they have been plunged into destitution. That was because they rejected Allah’s Signs and killed the Prophets without any right to do so. That was because they disobeyed and went beyond the limits. 113 They are not all the same. There is a community among the People of the Book who are upright. They recite Allah’s Signs throughout the night, and they prostrate. 114 They believe in Allah and the Last Day, and enjoin the right and forbid the wrong, and compete in doing good. They are among the righteous. 115 You will not be denied the reward for any good thing you do. Allah knows those who have taqwā.

They will be plunged into abasement wherever they are found,

This refers to the Jews. ‘Wherever they are found’ means wherever they are encountered. This abasement was already mentioned in al-Baqarah. Then follows an absolute exception: ‘unless they have a treaty (literally, rope) with Allah and the people’. A treaty (rope) with the people means the dhimmah status which they have. ‘The people’ here means Muḥammad ﷺ and the believers to whom they pay the jizyah and so they are protected. There is some elision here, meaning ‘They are
They have brought down anger from Allah upon themselves,

‘Brought down’ either means ‘returned’ or ‘endured’. The linguistic root means that it is obliged for them, and this was discussed in *al-Baqarah*. Then Allah tells about the reason for that, and this was also discussed in *al-Baqarah*.

They are not all the same.

This is the conclusion of the statement. Ibn Mas‘ūd said that it means that the People of the Book and the community of Muḥammad ☪ are not the same. It is said that it means: the believers and unbelievers of the People of the Book are not the same. Abū Khaythamah Zuhayr ibn Ḥarb related from Hāshim ibn al-Qāsim from Shaybān from ‘Āṣim from Zirr that Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘One night the Messenger of Allah ☪ was late for the ‘Ishā’ prayer and then he came out into the mosque while the people were waiting for the prayer. He said, “There is no one among the people of the religions who remembers Allah Almighty at this hour except you.” Then this āyah was revealed.’ Ibn Wahb related the like of it.

Ibn ‘Abbās said that: “There is a community among the People of the Book who are upright. They recite Allah’s Signs throughout the night, and they prostrate” refers to those who believed with the Prophet ☪.

Ibn Ishāq reported from Ibn ‘Abbās that when ‘Abdullāh ibn Salām, Tha‘labah ibn Sa‘yah, Usayd ibn Sa‘yah, Usayd ibn ‘Ubayd and others of the Jews became Muslim and affirmed and desired Islam and were firm in it, the rabbis of the Jews and the people of disbelief said, ‘Only the worst of us believe in Muḥammad and follow him. If they had been among the best of us, they would not have left the religion of their fathers for another.’ Then Allah revealed this.

Al-Afkhash said that it implies that some of the People of the Book have a good path. He recited:

Does someone with a community sin when he obeys?
It is said that there is some elision and it means: ‘There is a community among the People of the Book who are upright and another which is not upright.’ It is like the words of Abū Dhu’ayb:

I disobeyed. My heart belongs to her. I obey its command and do not know whether seeking her is right guidance [or error].

Al-Farrā’ says that the noun ‘community’ is in the nominative agreeing with the adjective ‘same’ [which is in the nominative] and so it implies: ‘A group among the People of the Book who are upright, reciting the signs of Allah are not the same as a group who disbelieve.’ An-Naḥḥās says that this statement is incorrect for several reasons grammatically. One is that the word ‘community’ is in the nominative because of the adjective ‘same’ and so it does not refer to a noun which has no action on it. Because of this it is in the nominative by something which does not act on the verb and implies what is not needed. That is because the unbelieving [group] was already mentioned and so there is no need for that implication. Abū ‘Ubaydah said, ‘This is like their words, “The fleas ate me” and “your companions left.”’ An-Naḥḥās said, ‘This is an error because they were already mentioned, and in the case of “The fleas ate me” [which is literally “they ate me, the fleas”] because they were already mentioned.’

They recite Allah’s Signs throughout the night, and they prostrate.

The word ānā’ ‘throughout’ means its hours. Its singular is inan, anan or inyin. The word ‘prostrate’ here means doing the whole prayer. Al-Farrā’ and az-Zajjāj said that it necessarily means that because there is no recitation in rukū‘ and sujūd. It is like Allah’s words: ‘they prostrate to Him,’ meaning that they do the prayer. We find in al-Furqān: ‘When they are told to prostrate to the All-Merciful…’ (25:60) and elsewhere: ‘Prostrate before Allah and worship Him.’ (53:62) It is also said that it just means normal prostration, but the reason for its revelation refutes that. What is meant is the ‘Ishā’ prayer, as we mentioned from Ibn
Mas‘ūd. The idolaters went to sleep when it became dark, while the people of tawḥīd stood before Allah in the ‘Ishā’ prayer reciting the Signs of Allah. Do you not see that when Allah mentions their standing, He is including their prostration? Ath-Thawrī said that it is prayer done between Maghrib and ‘Ishā’. It is also said that it refers to night prayers. It is related that a man of the Banū Shaybah who used to study the Divine Books said, ‘We find among the words of the Lord: “Does a camel herder or shepherd reckon that when night covers him and he is helpless that he is like someone who stands and prostrates in prayer at the end of the night?”’

They believe in Allah and the Last Day, and enjoin the right and forbid the wrong, and compete in doing good. They are among the righteous.

‘They believe’ here means they affirm Allah and affirm what Muḥammad ☪️ says. It is said that the phrase ‘enjoin the right’ has a general meaning and it is also said that it means to command that the Prophet ☪️ be followed and that the phrase ‘forbid the wrong’ means to forbid the bad and to forbid opposing him. The words ‘compete in doing good’ mean they do good actions and hasten to them without finding them burdensome since they know the value of their reward. It is said that it means that they hasten to act before they miss the time prescribed for that action. ‘They are among the righteous,’ means they will be with the righteous, who are the Companions of Muḥammad ☪️, in the Garden.

You will not be denied the reward for any good thing you do.

Al-A‘mash, Ibn Waththāb, Ḥamzah, al-Kisā‘ī, Ḥafṣ, and Khalaf read this as ‘They will not ... they do,’ reporting about the upright community. That is the recitation of Ibn ‘Abbās and it is preferred by Abū ‘Ubayd. The rest read it as ‘You,’ as direct address following from ‘you are the best nation...’ That is preferred by Abū Ḥātim. Abū ‘Amr reads it both ways. The āyah means: even if you deny the reward for any good you do, you will be thanked for it and rewarded
As for those who disbelieve, their wealth and children will not help them against Allah in any way.

They are the Companions of the Fire, remaining in it timelessly, forever.

Muqātil said, ‘After Allah mentions the believers of the People of the Book, He then mentions the unbelievers among them.’ Al-Kalbī said, ‘He made this an inceptive and He says, “Those who disbelieve will not be helped by a lot of wealth or a lot of children against the punishment of Allah.”’ He singles out children here because they are their closest relatives. The rest of this has already been mentioned.

The metaphor of what they spend in their life in this world is that of a wind with an icy bite to it which strikes the crops of a people who have wronged themselves and destroys them. Allah did not wrong them; rather it was themselves they were wronging.

The mā (what) here can act like a verbal noun or it can mean ‘which’ and what it refers to is elided. It is like ‘a wind that blows’ which is a blowing wind. Ibn ‘Abbās says that the word ṣīr means intense cold. It is said that its root is ṣārīr, which is a screeching sound, and so it is the sound of a strong wind. Az-Zajjāj says that it is the sound of the flames of the fire in that wind. This was mentioned in al-Baqarah. In a hadith it says that locusts which have been killed by ṣīr are forbidden. So the āyāh means: the metaphor of the spending of the unbelievers, in its falsity, disappearance and lack of benefit, is like crops hit by a cold wind or by a fire which burns
them up and destroys them. So their owners have no benefit at all from the crops after having had high hopes for their use and benefit.

Allah did not wrong them by that, but they wronged themselves by disbelief and disobedience and denying the right of Allah. It is said that they wronged themselves by cultivating outside the proper time of cultivation and in other than its proper place and so Allah punished them for putting the thing in other than its proper place, as al-Mahdawi stated.

118 You who believe, do not take any outside yourselves as intimates. They will do anything to harm you. They love what causes you distress. Hatred has appeared out of their mouths, but what their breasts hide is far worse. We have made the Signs clear to you if you use your intellect.

Allah is emphasising the blameworthy nature of relying on unbelievers. This is connected to His previous words: ‘...if you obey a group of those given the Book.’ (3:100). The word biṭānah (intimates) is a verbal noun used for both singular and plural. The biṭānah of a man are his closest companions who have deep knowledge of his affairs. It is derived from baṭn, the opposite of outward. A poet said:

Those are my closest friends and inner circle.

They, rather than any relative, are my weak spot.

In this āyah Allah forbade the believers to take the unbelievers, Jews and people of sects as intimates, to consult them for their opinions and to rely on them in their affairs. It is said that you should not converse with anyone who is opposed to your school and dīn. A poet says:
Do not ask about a man. Ask about his close companion.

Every close companion follows the one he is close to.

We find in the Sunan of Abū Dāwūd that Abū Hurayrah reported that the Prophet said, ‘A man follows the religion of his close friend, so each of you should be very careful about whom he takes as a close friend.’ It is related that Abū Mas‘ūd said, ‘Reckon people by their brethren.’ Then Allah clarified the reason why connection is forbidden.

They will do anything to harm you.

This means to corrupt you, meaning that they will spare no effort to corrupt you. Even if they do not fight you openly, they will not stop striving to deceive and betray you, as will be explained.

Abū Umāmah said that this refers to the Khārijites. It is related that Abū Mūsā al-Ash‘arī employed a dhimmī as a scribe and ‘Umar wrote to him to reprimand him and recited this āyah to him. Abū Mūsā came to ‘Umar with the accounts and presented them to ‘Umar who liked them. A letter came to Abū Mūsā and he said, ‘Where is your scribe so that he can read this letter to the people?’ He replied, ‘He does not enter the mosque.’ He asked, ‘Is he in janābah?’ ‘He is a Christian,’ he answered. He rebuked him and said, ‘Do not bring them near. Put them far away. Do not honour them. Allah has abased them. Do not trust them when Allah has said that they are treacherous.’ ‘Umar said, ‘Do not employ the People of the Book. They think bribes are lawful. Seek help in your affairs with those who fear Allah.’ ‘Umar was told, ‘There is a man among the Christians of Hira and no one writes better than he does. Should he not write for you?’ He replied, ‘I will not take staff from anyone other than the believers.’ So it is not permitted to employ the dhimmīs as scribes nor put them in charge of other matters of buying and selling.

Circumstances have changed at this time as the People of the Book have been taken as scribes and trustees and entrusted with those
roles by wealthy ignorant governors and amirs. Al-Bukhārī reported that Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī transmitted that the Prophet Ⓓ said, ‘Allah did not send a Prophet nor appoint a caliph without him having two counsellors, one counsellor commanding the right and encouraging it, and one counsellor commanding the wrong and encouraging it. The one protected is the one that Allah protects.’ Anas ibn Mālik reported that the Prophet Ⓓ said, ‘Do not seek light from the fire of the idolaters nor engrave an Arab on your signet-rings.’ Al-Ḥasan ibn Abi-l-Ḥasan explained it as meaning, ‘Do not consult the idolaters in any of your affairs and do not engrave Muḥammad on your rings.’ Al-Ḥasan said, ‘The confirmation of that is found in the Book of Allah: “You who believe! do not take any outside yourselves as intimates.”’

The expression ‘outside yourselves’ means from other than yourselves with regard to conduct and good position. It means that they will not fall short in anything designed to corrupt you. The verb alā means ‘to fall short’. The phrase ‘lā ālū juhdan’ means ‘I did not omit any effort,’ i.e. ‘I did not fall short.’ The verbal usage ‘alawtu uluwwan’ means ‘I fell short.’ Imru’u-l-Qays said:

As long as a man still has a spark of life

to reach the end of matters and does not fall short (āl)

‘Khabāl’ or khabl is corruption. That can be in respect of actions, bodies and minds. Khabl is a wound which renders a limb unsound. Khabl is the handicapping of limbs, as it refers to disorder. A man who is described as khabil or mukhabbal is dim-witted or mad. A person can become disordered (khabala) by love. Aws said:

Son of Lubaynā, you have no hand

but a hand whose strength is disordered (makhbūlah).

Al-Farrā’ said:

Ibn Saʿd gave a glance and prepared for it.
Your companions and mount are disordered (khabāl).

The word ‘khabāl’ is in the accusative on account of being the second object, as the verb ‘falling short’ is transitive, taking two objects. If you wish, it can be a verbal noun, or it can be genitive. The word mā in ‘love what causes you’ acts as a verbal noun. They love what is hard for you. ‘Anat is hardship and it was already mentioned in al-Baqarah.

**Hatred has appeared out of their mouths.**

This means: enmity and denial of you have appeared from their mouths. Hatred is the opposite of love. Baghḍā is a feminine verbal noun. Mouths are mentioned rather than tongues to indicate their bragging and prattle. This is greater than the concealed hatred that shows in their eyes. In this sense, the Prophet forbade a man to speak openly about his brother’s honour. The verb used in the hadith means to open the mouth. A donkey opens its mouth when it brays (shahy) as horses do when they neigh. It describes horses with their mouths open. This hadith is not understood to be evidence for it being permitted to attack someone’s reputation by whispering. Scholars agree that it is forbidden as the revelation says: ‘Do not backbite one another.’ (49:12) The Prophet said, ‘Your blood, your property and your honour is forbidden to you.’ Mentioning ‘shaḥw’ alludes to bragging and presumptuousness. Know that.

This āyah provides evidence that the testimony of an enemy against his enemy is not permitted. That is the position of the people of Madīnah and the people of the Hijaz. It is related from Abū Ḥanīfah that it is permitted. Ibn Baṭṭāl and Ibn Sha’bān said, ‘Scholars agree that it is not permitted for someone to testify against his enemy, even if he is reputable. Enmity removes fairness, so how much more is that the case with the enmity of the unbeliever?’

**But what their breasts hide is far worse.**

This reports that their concealed enmity is worse than their open enmity. ‘Abdullāh ibn Masʿūd recited ‘badā’ (appeared) in the
masculine because *baghda‘* and *baghd* mean the same.

119 There you are, loving them when they do not love you, even though you believe in all the Books. When they meet you, they say, ‘We believe.’ But when they leave they bite their fingers out of rage against you. Say, ‘Die in your rage.’ Allah knows what your hearts contain.

There you are, loving them when they do not love you,

This refers to the hypocrites. The evidence for that is the words of the Almighty: ‘When they meet you, they say, “We believe.”’ Abu-l-‘Āliyah and Muqātil stated that.

Love here means true friendship, in other words, ‘You, Muslims, offer them true friendship but they do not offer you true friendship because of their hypocrisy.’ It is said that it means: ‘You desire Islam for them while they desire unbelief for you.’ It is said that what is meant are the Jews. The majority say that.

*Kitāb* (Book) is generic. Ibn ‘Abbās says that it means ‘Books’. The Jews believe in some, as the Almighty says: ‘When they are told, “Believe in what Allah has sent down,” they say, “We believe in what was sent down to us.’ And they reject anything beyond that.”’ (2:91)

When they meet you, they say, ‘We believe.’

They say that they believe in Muḥammad ﷺ and that he is the Messenger of Allah.

But when they leave they bite their fingers out of rage against you.

“*But when they leave*” and are among themselves, they bite the ends of their fingers in exasperated hatred against you and say to one another, ‘Do you not see that these people are victorious and numerous?’ Biting the fingers is due to the frustration of not being able to act on the rage they feel. It is as Abū Ṭālib said:
They bite their fingers in anger after you leave.

Someone else said:

May Allah make their rage last long! When they see me,
they bite the ends of their fingers out of rage.

The verb used is ‘aḍḍa. ‘Uḍḍ is the fodder of animals in the cities. It is like dregs and crushed date-stones. Form IV of the verb is used for feeding camels this kind of fodder and a plump camel is called ‘uḍḍāḍi. ‘Iḍḍ is a cunning man who has many schemes. Biting the fingers is the action of someone who is angry and cannot act on his anger or someone who has something happen to him that he cannot change. This biting (‘aḍḍ) with the teeth is like biting the hand when someone has missed something or gnashing the teeth in regret. It is like other things that one does like counting pebbles and drawing lines in the sand when grieved. It is written with a ḍād. ‘Aẓẓ with a ẓā’ refers to the hardness of time as in the poem:

The hardness of time, Ibn Marwan, does not leave any wealth other than worn out and empty.

Anāmil (fingers) is the plural of anmalah or anmulah. When Abu-l-Jawzā’ recited this āyah, he said that it referred to the Ibāḍīyyah. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This description applies to many of the people of innovation among mankind until the Day of Rising.’

Say, ‘Die in your rage.’ Allah knows what your hearts contain.

If it is asked how did they not die since when Allah says to a thing, ‘Be!’ it is, there are two answers. One is made by aṭ-Ṭabarī and many commentators who say that it is a supplication against them, with the meaning: ‘Say to them, “May Allah make your rage last until you die.”’ This would make it a directive to pray against them. The second is that Allah is telling them that they will not obtain what they hope for. Death will occur before that happens. According to this the meaning of supplication is removed and the meaning of harsh rebuke remains. This idea is found in the words of Musāfīr ibn
Abī ‘Amr:

We grow in our desires
and gouge out the eye of the envier.

It is similar in implication to Allah’s words: ‘Anyone who thinks that Allah will not help him in this world and the Next should stretch a rope up to the ceiling and then hang himself.’ (22:15)

120 If something good happens to you, it galls them. If something bad strikes you, they rejoice at it. But if you are steadfast and godfearing, their scheming will not harm you in any way. Allah encompasses what they do.

If something good happens to you, it galls them.

As-Sulamī recited it with yā’ (yamsaskum rather than tamsaskum) and the rest with tā’. The expression is general to all that is good and bad. What the commentators mention of fertility and drought, the cohesion of the believers and disunity and other things are examples, not disagreement.

The meaning of the āyah is: ‘Anyone who has this description of intense enmity, rancour and joy at hardships befalling the believers, is not worthy to be your intimate, especially in the weighty matter of jihād which is the basis of this world and the Next.’ How excellent are the words:

It is hoped that every enmity will be mended except for the one who envies you out of envy.

But if you are steadfast and godfearing, their scheming will not harm you in any way.

‘But if you are steadfast’ in the face of their attempts to harm you and in remaining obedient to Allah and taking the believers as friends. The verb for ‘harm’ is ḍāra, yaḍūru. Allah’s precondition for negating
their harm is steadfastness and taqwā. This is meant to console the believers and strengthen their souls.

The Makkans and Madinans and Abū ‘Amr recited ‘yaḍirkum’ from ḍāra as we mentioned. Part of that is the expression, ‘lā ḍayr’, ‘no causing of harm’. Al-Kisā‘ī related that he heard ‘dāra, yaḍūru’ and allowed ‘yaḍurkum’ and claimed that the copy of the Qur’an of Ubayy ibn Ka‘b had ‘yaḍurkum’. The Kufan reading is yaḍurrukum from ċarra, which also means to harm. It can also be in the nominative by an implied fā’. It means: ‘it will not harm you’. [POEM] This is the position of al-Kisā‘ī and al-Farrā’. Or it can be in the nominative with the intention of advancement. Sībuwayh composed:

If your brother is felled, you will be felled.

It means: it will not harm you if you are steadfast and godfearing.

It can be in the jussive with a dammah on the rā’ because of the meeting of two silent letters, following the dammah. The same is true of those who put a fatha on the rā’ if the verb is in the jussive and the fatha is because of the meeting of two silent letters since the fatha is light. Abū Zayd related it from al-Mufaḍḍal from ‘Āṣim. Al-Mahdawī related it. An-Naḥḥās related, ‘Al-Mufaḍḍal aḍ-Ḍabbī related from ‘Āsīm: “yaḍurrikum” with a kasrah on the rā’ because of the meeting of two silent letters.’

121 Remember when you left your family early in the day
to install the believers in their battle stations. Allah is

All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

The regent in ‘when’ is implied: ‘Remember when you left early in the day.’ It means, ‘You went out in the morning.’ ‘Your family’ refers to ‘your house with ‘Ā’ishah’. This refers to the battle of Uḥud and the entire āyah was revealed about it. Mujāhid, al-Ḥasan, Muqāṭīl
and al-Kalbī, however, say that it is about the Battle of the Ditch, and it is also reported that al-Ḥasan said that it is the Battle of Badr that is being referred to.

Most, however, say that it is about Uḥud, as is indicated by the words in the following āyah: ‘And remember when two of your clans were on the point of losing heart.’ This happened at Uḥud. The idolaters moved towards Madīnah with 3000 men to take their revenge for Badr. They camped at Uḥud on the side of a wadi on a canal facing Madīnah on Wednesday, 12 Shawwāl 3 AH at the beginning of the thirty-first month of the Hijrah. They remained there during Thursday while the Prophet was in Madīnah. The Messenger of Allah dreamt that the blade of his sword was dented and some cows of his were slaughtered and that he put his hand in a strong coat of mail. He interpreted it as meaning that a group of his Companions would be killed and that a man of his house would be struck down and that the coat of mail was Madīnah. This is found in Muslim. All of that is according to what is known about the battle of Uḥud.

The root of ‘install’ (tabawwu‘) is to take positions, as when one moves into a house to live in it. Using the same verb, the Prophet said, ‘Let anyone who tells a lie about me take his seat in the Fire.’ Here the verb means to place them in their military positions.

Al-Bayhaqī reports that Anas said that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘I dreamt that I was following a ram and the handle of my sword broke. I interpreted it to mean that I would kill the ram of the people and the breaking of the handle of the sword to be the killing of a man of my family.’ Ḥamzah was killed and the Messenger of Allah killed Ṭalḥah, the banner-bearer.

Mūsā ibn ‘Uqbah mentioned that Ibn Shihāb reported, ‘The banner-bearer of the Muhājirūn was one of the Companions of the Messenger of Allah. He said, “I am Āṣim (the protector), Allah willing, of what is with me!” Ṭalḥah ibn ‘Uthmān said to him, “Will
you, ‘Āṣim, come forth to individual combat?’ “Yes,” he replied. That man went forth and struck the head of Ṭalḥah until the sword went through his beard and killed him. So the banner-bearer was killed as in the dream of the Messenger of Allah ☪ about the ram.’

122 And remember when two of your clans were on the point of losing heart and Allah was their Protector. Let the believers put their trust in Allah.

The agent in ‘when’ is ‘to install’ or ‘All-Hearing, All-Knowing.’ The two clans are the Banū Salimah of Khazraj and the Banū Ḥārithah of Aws. They were the two flanks of the army in the Battle of Uḥud. ‘Losing heart’ means to lose courage. We find in al-Bukhārī that Jābir reported that when this was revealed, he said, ‘We are the two clans, the Banū Ḥārithah and Banū Salimah. We do not wish that it had not been revealed because Allah says: “Allah was their Protector.”’ It is said that they were the Banū al-Ḥārith, Banū al-Khazraj and Banū an-Nabīt. An-Nabīt was ‘Amr ibn Mālik of Aws. ‘Losing heart’ alludes to cowardice. That is its linguistic meaning.

After they went out and ‘Abdullāh ibn Ubayy went back with the hypocrites who were with him, the two clans were on the point of losing heart, but Allah preserved their hearts and they did not turn back. That is known from the words of Allah: ‘Allah was their Protector,’ in other words the Protector of their hearts from following up on this thought. It is said that they wanted not to go out and that was a small wrong action for them. It is said that it was a suggestion of the self, something that occurred to their minds, and so Allah acquainted His Prophet ☪ with it and their insight was increased. They did not succumb to that weakness; Allah protected them from it; so they censured one another and went with the Prophet ☪.

The Messenger of Allah ☪ proceeded until he was looking down
on the idolaters. He set out from Madīnah with a thousand men. ‘Abdullāh ibn Abī Salūl went back with three hundred men in anger since in his opinion it was better to remain and fight in Madīnah when the enemy came to them. His opinion coincided with that of the Messenger of Allah ☪ but most of the Anṣār rejected it. The Messenger of Allah ☪ went out with the Muslims and those of them whom Allah honoured with martyrdom were martyred. Mālik said, ‘Four Muhājirūn were killed at Uḥud and seventy Anṣār.’

Maqāʾūd are positions, like mawāqif, and it is used for firm positions, especially that of archers. This is the story of Uḥud in brief, and its details will be sufficiently dealt with.

Accompanying the idolaters were a hundred cavalry under the command of Khālid ibn al-Walīd while the Muslims did not have a single horse. In the battle the Messenger of Allah ☪ was wounded on his face, his lower right incisor was broken with a stone and his helmet cut into his head. May He reward him on behalf of his community and the dīn for the staunchest steadfastness that any Prophet could have had. Those who did that to the Prophet ☪ were ‘Amr ibn Qamī’ah al-Laythī and ‘Utbah ibn Abī Waqqāṣ. It is said that ‘Abdullāh ibn Shihāb, the grandfather of the famous faqīh, Muḥammad ibn Muslim ibn Shihāb, was the one who inflicted the face injury on the Prophet ☪. Al-Wāqīḍī said, ‘What is established with us is that the one who shot at the face of the Prophet ☪ was Ibn Qamī’ah and the one who broke the incisor was ‘Utbah ibn Abī Waqqāṣ.’

Al-Wāqīḍī said with his isnād that Nāfi’ ibn Jubayr said, ‘I heard a man of the Muhājirūn say, “I was present at Uḥud and saw arrows coming from every side and the Messenger of Allah ☪ was in the midst of them and they were all diverted from him. I saw ‘Abdullāh ibn Shihāb az-Zuhrī saying on that day, ‘Direct me to Muḥammad! Direct me to Muḥammad! I will not survive if he survives!’ The Messenger of Allah ☪ was actually beside him without anyone else
with him. Then he passed by him. Ṣafwān rebuked him and he replied, ‘By Allah, I did not see him! I swear by Allah, he is protected from us! Four of us went out, having promised each other and made a pact that we would kill him, but we were unable to do that.’

Stones were thrown at the Messenger of Allah until he fell into a ditch which had been dug by Abū ʿĀmir ar-Rāhib to trap the Muslims. The Prophet fell on his side and Ṭalḥah helped him to stand up again. Mālik ibn Sinān, the father of Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī, sucked the blood from the wound of the Messenger of Allah and two rings of his helmet were stuck in his face. Abū ʿUbaydah ibn al-Jarrāḥ extracted them using his front teeth and his two front teeth fell out, so he had no front teeth.”

Ḥamzah was killed in this battle by Waḥshī, a slave of Jubayr ibn Muṭʿim. Jubayr had told him, ‘If you kill Muḥammad, we will give you the reins of horses. If you kill ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib, we will give you a hundred camels. If you kill Ḥamzah, you are free.’ Waḥshī said, ‘As for Muḥammad, he is protected by Allah and no one will reach him. As for ʿAlī, everyone he fights is killed. Ḥamzah is a brave man but perhaps I might get lucky and kill him.’ Hind said when he was getting ready or passed by him, ‘Heal me and heal yourself, Abū Dasamah!’ Waḥshī hid behind a rock while Ḥamzah was attacking some of the idolaters. When he came back from his sortie and passed Waḥshī, he threw a javelin at him and it struck him and he fell down dead. Hind cut out Ḥamzah’s liver and chewed it but could not swallow it and threw it away. She went up on a high rock and shouted some verses at the top of her voice. She said:

We have paid you back for the day of Badr!
A war following a war is frenzied.
I could not bear the loss of ‘Utbah
nor my brother, his uncle and my first-born.
I have satisfaction and have fulfilled my vow.
   Waḥshī, you slaked the rancour in my breast.

I will thank Waḥshī for all my life,
   even until my bones have rotted in the grave!

Hind bint Uthāthah ibn ‘Abbād ibn al-Muṭṭalib answered her:

You were disgraced at Badr and after Badr,
   daughter of a slanderer, great only in disbelief.

Allah brought against you in the dawn
   tall, radiant men from Hāshim,

Each slashing with a sharp sword:
   Ḥamzah my lion and ‘Alī my falcon.

When Shaybah and your father desired treachery towards me,
   We dyed their breasts with blood.

Your evil vow was the worst of vows.

‘Abdullāh ibn Rawāḥah said while he was weeping for Ḥamzah:

My eye wept, and it was right to weep,
   although weeping and lamentation is of no use,

for the lion of Allah on the morning that they said,
   ‘Is that slain man Ḥamzah?’

All the Muslims were distressed about him there.
   The Messenger was distressed by it.

O Abū Ya‘lā, your pillars are smashed,
   you, the glorious, pious, generous.

Allah’s peace be upon you in the Gardens,
   enjoying an everlasting bliss.

O Hāshim, the best of men, be steadfast.
   All your deeds are good and fine.
The Messenger of Allah is noble and patient.
   He speaks by Allah’s command when he speaks.

Who will inform Lu’ayy for me?
   After today fortunes will change.

Before today, they knew and tasted
   Our fighting in which rancour was slaked.

You have forgotten our blows at the pool of Badr
   when quick death came to you in the morning,

The mourning when Abū Jahl lay fallen,
   the vultures wheeling over him.

‘Utbah and his son fell together
   as well as Shaybah, bitten by the polished sword.

We left Umayyah flat out
   with a spear in his belly.

Ask the skulls of the Banū Rabī‘ah.
   There are notches in our swords from them.

So Hind, do not gloat about Ḥamzah,
   Your might is abased.

Weep, Hind, and do not tire.
   Shed tears as one bereaved for her child.

There is also an elegy for him by his sister, Ṣafiyyah which is mentioned in the Sīrah. May Allah be pleased with all of them.

Let the believers put their trust in Allah.

This contains clarification of the meaning of trust or reliance (tawakkul). Linguistically tawakkul means to display incapacity and rely on others, meaning literally to lean on someone else. Scholars disagree about the reality of tawakkul. Sahl ibn ‘Abdullāh was asked about it and said, ‘One group say that it is contentment with Allah’s guarantee and having no expectation from created beings.’ Others
have said, ‘It is abandoning means and relying on the Causer of means. If the means distracts someone from the Causer, it strips them of the name of trust.’ Sahl also said, ‘Whoever says that trust entails abandoning means has attacked the Sunnah of the Messenger of Allah ☪ because Allah says: “So make full use of any booty you have taken which is lawful and good.”’ (8:69) Booty is earning. The Almighty also says: “So strike their necks and strike all their finger joints!” (8:12) This is action.’ The Prophet ☪ said, ‘Allah loves the person with a profession.’

Someone else said, ‘This is the position of the common fuqahā’: trust in Allah is trusting in Allah and believing that His decree will be carried out, and that it is necessary to follow the Sunnah of His Prophet ☪ in striving with the means of obtaining food and drink, and defence against the enemy and preparing weapons and using what the usual sunnah of Allah demands. This is also the position of recognised Sufis, but in their view the term of ‘tawakkul’ is not merited if someone puts their confidence in these means and their hearts turn to them. That is because the means do not in themselves bring benefit nor avert harm. Rather the cause and the result are the action of Allah alone. All is from Him and by His will. When someone relies on those means, the name ‘trusting’ is stripped from him.

Those who trust have two states. One is the state of the one who is firm in trust and does not turn to any of those means with his heart and he is only occupied with the ruling of the matter. The second is the state of the one who is not firm, and he is the one who turns at times to those means, sometimes without averting them from himself by scholarly reasoning, definitive evidence and experience. So he remains like that until Allah raises him by His generosity to the station of those with firm trust and joins him to the degrees of those with direct knowledge of Allah.
Allah helped you at Badr when you were weak so have taqwa of Allah, so that hopefully you will be thankful. 124 And when you said to the believers, ‘Is it not enough for you that your Lord reinforced you with three thousand angels, sent down?’ 125 Yes indeed! But if you are steadfast and are godfearing and they come upon you suddenly, your Lord will reinforce you with five thousand angels, clearly identified.

Allah helped you at Badr when you were weak

The battle of Badr took place on the Friday 17th Ramaḍān eighteen months after the Hijrah. Badr is the name of some wells there from which the place takes its name. Ash-Sha‘bī said that the water belonged to a man of Juhaynah called Badr, but the first derivation is more frequently cited. Al-Wāqidī and others say that it is the name of the place and its origin is not transmitted. This will be further dealt with in al-Anfāl.

The weakness referred to is about the Muslims being few in number and that is because they numbered three hundred and thirteen or fourteen while their enemy were between nine hundred and a thousand strong. The word, adhilla, the plural of dhallīl, literally means ‘abased’, but the use of abasement here is metaphorical. In themselves they were nothing but mighty, but in relation to their enemy and to all the unbelievers on the earth it seemed that they were in a state of abasement and that they would be overcome.

Naṣr is help. Allah helped them at Badr and killed the leaders of the idolaters. On that day Islam was firmly established. It was the first
battle which the Prophet 🙆‍♂️ fought. We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that Buraydah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah 🙆‍♂️ went on seventeen expeditions and he fought in eight of them.’ Abū Ishāq said, ‘I met Zayd ibn Arqam and asked him, “How many expeditions did the Messenger of Allah 🙆‍♂️ go on?” “Nineteen,” he answered. I asked, “How many times did you go with him?” “Seventeen,” was the answer. I asked, “What was the first expedition he went on?” “Al-‘Usayr or al-‘Ushayr,” he said.’ All of this disagreement is found in histories and Prophetic biographies.

Muḥammad ibn Sa‘d said in the Ṭabaqāt: ‘The Messenger of Allah 🙆‍♂️ went on twenty-seven expeditions (ghazwah) himself and sent out fifty-six expeditions (sariyyah). (One variant has forty-six.) Those the Messenger of Allah 🙆‍♂️ personally fought in are: Badr, Uḥud, al-Muraysī’, the Ditch, Qurayẓah, the Conquest of Makkah, Ḥunayn and Ṭā‘īf.’ Ibn Sa‘d stated: ‘This is what there is consensus on. Some transmissions state that he fought against the Banu-n-Naḍīr, and at Wadi al-Qurā in the return from Khaybar and al-Ghābah.’

If this is confirmed, we say that Zayd and Buraydah each reported about what they knew or witnessed. Zayd said, ‘The first expedition he 🙆‍♂️ took part in was Dhāt al-‘Usayrah.’ This differs from what historians and biographers say. Muḥammad ibn Sa‘d said that there were three expeditions before al-‘Ushayrah, meaning that he 🙆‍♂️ took part in them personally.

Ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said in Kītāb ad-Durar on expeditions: ‘The first expedition that the Messenger of Allah 🙆‍♂️ himself made was the Waddān expedition in Ṣafar. He arrived in Madīnah on the 12th of Rabī‘ al-Awwal and remained there for the rest of the month and for the entire year until Ṣafar 2 AH. Then he went out in Ṣafar, appointing Sa‘d ibn ‘Ubādah over Madīnah, and reached Waddān. He made peace with the Banū Ḍamrah and then returned to Madīnah and there was no fighting. That is the expedition which is called al-Abwā’. Then he remained in Madīnah until Rabī‘ al-Ākhir
of that year. Then he went out, appointing as-Sā‘ib ibn ‘Uthmān ibn Maẓ‘ūn over Madīnah, until he reached Buwāṭī in the neighbourhood of Raḍwā. He returned to Madīnah without any fighting and remained there for the rest of Rabī‘ al-Ākhir and part of Jumāda-l-Ūlā. He then went out on an expedition and appointed Abū Salamah ibn ‘Abd al-Asad over Madīnah and took the route to al-‘Usayrah.’

Ibn Isḥāq mentioned that ‘Ammār ibn Yāsir said, ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and I were comrades in the ‘Usayrah expedition in the valley of Yanbu‘. When the Messenger of Allah halted there, we stayed there for a month and there he concluded a truce with the Banū Mudlij and their allies among the Banū Ḏamrah and then left them. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said to me, “Abu-l-Yaqẓān, do you want to go to those men and see what they are doing?” There was a group of the Banū Mudlij working on a well of theirs. We went and watched them for a while and then felt sleepy. So we went to a group of palm-trees in a cleared part of the ground and slept in the soft dust. By Allah, we were only awoken by the Messenger of Allah nudging us with his foot. We sat up, covered in dust, and the Messenger of Allah said to ‘Alī, “What are you doing, Abū Turāb (‘father of dust’)?” We told him what we were doing. He said, “Shall I tell you of the two most wretched of men?” “Yes indeed, Messenger of Allah,” we answered. He said, “Uḥaymir of Thamūd who slaughtered the She-camel, and the one who will strike you, ‘Alī, here,” and the Messenger of Allah put his hand on his head, “until this is soaked with it,” and he put his hand on his beard.’ Abū ‘Umar said, ‘He stayed there for the rest of Jumāda-l-Ūlā and some nights of Jumāda-l-Ākhirah. He left the Banū Mudlij and returned without any fighting.’

After that, a few days later, the first expedition to Badr took place. There is no uncertainty about this on the part of historians and biographers. Zayd ibn Arqam reported about what he knew. Allah
knows best. He said that the name was al-‘Ushayr or al-‘Usayr to which a hā’ was added and so it becomes al-‘Ushayrah. After that came the great expedition of Badr. Badr was the greatest of encounters in excellence for those who attended it. In it, according to the scholars, Allah helped His Prophet and the believers with His angels, as is indicated by the literal words of the āyah. That did not happen at Uhud. Those who state that it refers to the Battle of Uhud make the words: ‘Allah helped you at Badr ... you will be thankful’ an interpolation. This is the position of ‘Āmir ash-Sha’bī but some people disagree with that. There are many reports which state that the angels were present at Badr and fought there.

Confirming that is what is stated by Abū Usayd Mālik ibn Rabī’ah who was present at Badr: ‘If I were with you today at Badr and had my sight back, I would show you the ravine from which the angels emerged. I have no doubt about it whatsoever.’ ‘Aqīl related it from az-Zuhrī from Abū Ḥāzim Salamah ibn Dīnār. Abū Ḥātim said, ‘Only this one hadith is known from Abū Ḥāzim. It is said that Abū Usayd was the last of the people of Badr to die. Abū ‘Umar mentioned him in al-Isti’āb and elsewhere.’

In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim we find that ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb said, ‘On the Day of Badr, the Messenger of Allah looked at the idolaters who numbered one thousand while his Companions were only three hundred and thirteen in number. The Prophet faced the qiblah and raised his hands and began to speak to his Lord, “O Allah, fulfil what You promised me! O Allah, bring what You promised me! O Allah, if You destroy this band of the people of Islam, You will not be worshipped on the earth!” He continued to speak to his Lord with his hands raised until the cloak fell from his shoulders. Abū Bakr came to him, picked up his cloak and put it back on his shoulders and then held him from behind and said, “Prophet of Allah, you have entreated your Lord enough. He will fulfil His promise to you.” So Allah revealed: “Remember when you called on your
Lord for help and He responded to you: ‘I will reinforce you with a thousand angels riding rank after rank.’” (8:9) So Allah reinforced him with angels.’

Abū Zumayl related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘While a man of the Muslims was chasing an idolater ahead of him, he heard the crack of a whip above him and the sound of a horseman saying, “Forward, Ḥayzūm!” He looked at the idolater and he fell down. He looked at him and his nose had been struck and his head cut by the whip. The Anṣārī told the Messenger of Allah ☪ about that and he said, “You spoke the truth. That was from the help of the third heaven.” On that day they killed seventy and captured seventy.’

More about this will come in al-Anfāl, Allah willing. The Sunnah and Qur’an support what the majority say about the help of the angels. Praise be to Allah. Khārijah ibn Ibrāhīm related that his father said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ☪ asked Jibrīl, “In the battle of Badr which angel said, ‘Forward, Ḥayzūm?’” Jibrīl answered, “Muḥammad, I do not know all of the people of heaven!”’

‘Alī told the people, ‘While I was drawing water from the well of Badr, a strong wind came whose like I had never seen and then went. Then another strong wind came whose like I had never seen except for the wind before it. (I think he said, ‘Then a strong wind came.’) The first wind was Jibrīl who descended with a thousand angels to the Messenger of Allah ☪. The second wind was Mikā‘īl who descended with a thousand angels to the right of the Messenger of Allah ☪. Abū Bakr was on his right. The third wind was Isrāfīl who descended with a thousand angels to the left of the Messenger of Allah ☪. I was on the left.’

Sahl ibn Ḥunayf said, ‘In the Battle of Badr, one of us would point with his sword at the head of an idolater and his head would fall from his body before he reached him.’ Ar-Rabī’ ibn Anas said, ‘In the Battle of Badr, the people recognized those slain by the angels by the blows on their necks and their fingertips, which were as if fire
had burned them.’ Al-Bayhaqī mentioned all of this. Some of them said that the angels fought and the sign of their striking the unbelievers was evident because every place they struck caught fire. Abū Jahl said to Ibn Mas‘ūd, ‘You have killed me? I have only been killed by someone so fast that I could not reach the hoof of his horse with my steed if I tried.’ The benefit of the great number of angels was to give tranquillity to the hearts of the believers and Allah Almighty appointed those angels to fight until the Day of Rising. Every Muslim army that is steadfast and anticipating, the angels come to them and fight with them.

Ibn ‘Abbās and Mujāhid said, ‘The angels only fought in the Battle of Badr. They were present at other battles, but did not fight. They were reinforcements.’ Some of them said, ‘The reason why there was a large number of angels present was that those who were fighting that day made much supplication and glorification.’ According to this view, the angels did not fight on that day but were present by the supplication to be firm. The first view is more frequently held.

Qatādah said, ‘In the Battle of Badr, Allah reinforced them with a thousand and then three thousand and then it became five thousand.’ That is borne out by His words: ‘Remember when you called on your Lord for help and He responded to you: “I will reinforce you with a thousand angels riding rank after rank” (8:9), and ‘Is it not enough that your Lord reinforced you with three thousand angels, sent down?’ (3:124), and ‘Yes indeed! But if you are steadfast and godfearing and they come upon you suddenly, your Lord will reinforce you with five thousand angels, clearly identified.’ (3:125) On the Day of Badr the believers were steadfast and were godfearing and so Allah reinforced them with five thousand angels as He promised. This was all in the Battle of Badr. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘Those five thousand will reinforce the believers until the Day of Rising.’

Ash-Sha‘bī said, ‘On the day of the Battle of Badr, the Prophet and his Companions heard that Kurz ibn Jābir al-Muḥāribī wanted
to reinforce the idolaters and that was hard for the Prophet 🙏 and the Muslims. Therefore, Allah revealed this āyah. Kurz heard about the defeat and did not reinforce them, but returned. So Allah also did not reinforce them with five thousand. They were reinforced with a thousand.’ It is said that Allah promised the believers on the Day of Badr that if they were steadfast in obeying Him and feared and avoided what He prohibited, He would help them in all their wars. They were not steadfast and did not fear forbidden things except in the Battle of the Confederates and so He reinforced them when they laid siege to Qurayţah.

It is said that this is about Uḥud: Allah promised them help if they were steadfast, but they were not steadfast and so He did not reinforce them with a single angel. If He had reinforced them, they would not have been defeated. ‘Ikrimah and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that.

It is said that it is confirmed that Saʿd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ said, ‘I saw two men to the right and left of the Messenger of Allah 🙏 in the Battle of Badr who were wearing white clothing and fighting fiercely. I never saw them before that nor after that.’ It is said that perhaps this is particular to the Prophet 🙏 and he had two angels who fought with him, and that was not reinforcements for the Companions. Allah knows best.

The descent of the angels was one of the means of help which the Lord does not need. The creature needs it. The heart should be connected to Allah and trust in Him. He is the Helper with or without means: ‘His command when He desires a thing is simply to say to it, “Be!” and it is.’ (36:82). But He reports about that so that creation will obey what they are commanded to follow in respect of those secondary means that have been followed in the past: ‘You will not find any alteration in the pattern of Allah.’ (33:62) That does not detract from trust. It refutes the one who says, ‘Secondary means are for the weak, not the strong.’ The Prophet 🙏 and his Companions were strong and others were weak. This is clear.
The verb *madda* (reinforce) is the form used for evil and *amadda* for good. This was already mentioned in *al-Baqarah*. ‘Sent down’ is recited by Abū Ḥaywah as ‘*munzalīna*’, meaning that they bring down help. Ibn ‘Āmir recited ‘*munazzalīna*’, indicating its frequency.

The words end with ‘*Yes indeed!*’ and then there is a new sentence. ‘If you are steadfast’ means against the enemy, and ‘are godfearing’ is added to it, meaning fear to disobey Him. The apodosis of the precondition is ‘reinforce you’

**But if you are steadfast and godfearing and they come upon you suddenly,**

The meaning of ‘*min fawrihim*’ is ‘in a headlong manner,’ according to ‘Ikrimah, Qatādah, al-Ḥasan, ar-Rabī’, as-Suddī and Ibn Zayd. It is said by Mujāhid and aḍ-Ḍahhāk that it means ‘out of their anger’. They were angry about Badr on the Day of Uḥud. The root of *fawr* is to aim for something and take it seriously. *Fawr* means boiling, and so describes vehement anger. It is used to describe a boiling pot as in 10:40. A poet said:

> Their pots boiled over us and we made them continue.

**Your Lord will reinforce you with five thousand angels, clearly identified.**

‘*Clearly identified*’ means they were marked with signs. Ibn ‘Āmir, Ḥamzah, al-Kisāʾī read it in the active tense (*musawwimīn*), which would mean, ‘they clearly identified themselves with signs’. Abū ‘Amr, Ibn Kathīr and ‘Āṣim prefer the passive, implying known by a sign and making their horses known. Aṭ-Ṭabarī and others preferred this reading. Most commentators said that it refers to their releasing their horses in the attack. Al-Mahdawī said that Allah released them against the unbelievers. Ibn Fūrak said that.

Based on the first reading, there is disagreement about the mark of the angels. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and Ibn ‘Abbās and others said that they wore white turbans with tails. Al-Bayhaqī mentioned it from
Ibn ‘Abbās and al-Mahdawī related it from az-Zajjāj. The exception was Jibrīl who had a yellow turban like that of az-Zubayr ibn al-‘Awwām. Ibn Ishāq said that. Ar-Rabī‘ said that their sign was that they were on piebald horses. Al-Bayhaqī mentioned that Suhayl ibn ‘Amr said, ‘In the Battle of Badr, I saw white men on piebald horses between heaven and earth with distinguishing marks, killing and taking captives.’ So ‘clearly identified’ indicates the piebald horses, not the marks. Allah knows best.

Mujāhid said that their horses had short tails and manes, and their forelocks and tails were marked with wool. Ibn ‘Abbās also said that at Badr the angels were marked by white wool in the forelocks and tails of their horses. ‘Abbād ibn [Ḥamzah ibn] ‘Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr, Hishām ibn ‘Urwah, and al-Kalbī said that the angels descended in the mien of az-Zubayr, wearing yellow turbans with the tails between their shoulders. That was stated by ‘Abdullāh and ‘Urwah, the sons of az-Zubayr. ‘Abdullāh said, ‘Az-Zubayr had a yellow turban.’

The āyah is evidence for adopting a sign and identification mark for tribes and squadrons, which the ruler appoints for them, so that each is distinct from the others in fighting, and for the excellence of piebald horses since the angels rode them. Perhaps they descended on them with horses like that of al-Miqdād, which was piebald. They had no other horses and they descended on these horses in honour of al-Miqdād in the same way that Jibrīl wore a yellow turban in honour of az-Zubayr. Allah knows best.

The āyah also is evidence for wearing wool, which was worn by the Prophets and righteous. Abū Dāwūd and Ibn Mājah related from Abū Burdah from his father: ‘My father said to me, “I wish that you could have seen us with the Messenger of Allah when we were hit by the rain; I think that we smelled like goats.”’ The Prophet wore a Greek woollen jubbah with narrow sleeves. The imams related that. Yūnus also wore one. This will be discussed in an-Naḥl.
willing.

As for what Mujāhid mentioned about their horses having cropped tails and manes, it is unlikely. We find in the Musannaf of Abū Dāwūd that ‘Uqbah ibn ‘Abd as-Sulamī heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘Do not cut short the forelocks, manes or tails of horses. Their tails keep the flies away, their manes keep them warm, and good is tied to their forelocks.’ There must be some doubt about what Mujāhid said because according to that the horses of the angels were like that. Allah knows best.

The āyah also indicates the excellence of white and yellow as colours since the angels wore them. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘If someone wears yellow sandals, his needs are fulfilled.’ The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Wear white garments. They are the best of your garments. Shroud your dead in them. Turbans are the crowns of the Arabs and their dress.’ Rukānah, who is the one who wrestled with the Prophet ﷺ and the Prophet ﷺ threw him down, said, ‘The difference between us and the idolaters are the turbans over our caps.’ Abū Dāwūd transmitted it. Al-Bukhārī said that its isnād is unknown and it is not known who listened to whom.

126 Allah only did this for it to be good news for you and so that your hearts might be set at rest by it (help comes from no one but Allah, the Almighty, the All-Wise) 127 and so that He might cut off a group of those who disbelieve or crush them and they might be turned back in defeat.

The word ‘this’ refers to their being reinforced by the angels, the promise, or reinforcements. That is indicated by the previous words ‘reinforce you’, the clear identification, the sending down or the
number, which may have been as many as five thousand. The lām connected to ‘set at rest’ is the lām of becoming, meaning ‘so that your hearts might be put at rest by it, He made…’ A similar usage is seen in 41:12. The phrase ‘Help comes from no one but Allah,’ means for the believers. The unbelievers are not included in that because any victory they gain is full of disappointment and loss and has a bad outcome.

**And so that He might cut off a group of those who disbelieve or crush them and they might be turned back in defeat.**

Allah cuts them off by killing them. The thrust of the sentence is: ‘Allah helped you at Badr so that He might cut off…’ It is also said that the meaning is: ‘Help is only from Allah so that He might cut off…’ It could also be connected to the idea of help, meaning, ‘He helped you so that He might cut off…’ It is a reference to the idolaters who were killed at Badr, according to al-Ḥasan and others. As-Suddī said that it refers to the idolaters killed at Uḥud, who numbered eighteen.

The meaning of ‘crush’ is to make them sad. Someone who is ‘makbūt’ is sad. It is related that the Prophet went to Abū Ṭalḥah and saw that his son was sad (makbūt). He asked, ‘What is wrong with him?’ He answered, ‘His sparrow has died.’ According to those who study language, the root of ‘crush them’ (yakbitahum) is ‘yakbidahum’ to cause sorrow and exasperation in their livers (akbād), and the dāl has been replaced by a tā’ as we see in sabara and sabada (shave). The verb kabata is used for diverting and humbling the enemy, and kabada is to afflict him in his liver. One refers to sorrow or enmity ‘burning’ one’s liver. The Arabs call an enemy someone with ‘a black liver’ because when livers are burned by enmity, they become black. Al-A‘shā said:

> What you suffered from the arrival of a people who are the enemy with blackened livers

The phrase ‘turned back in defeat’ (khāba, yakhību) means with
disappointed hopes. *Khā’ib* is someone whose hopes are cut off. *Khayyāb* is a steel which does not produce fire.

128 You have no part in the affair. Either He will turn towards them or He will punish them, for they are wrongdoers. 129 Everything in the heavens and everything in the earth belongs to Allah. He forgives whoever He wills and punishes whoever He wills. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

You have no part in the affair.

It is confirmed in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that the Prophet’s incisor was broken at Uḥud and his head cut so that it bled. He said, ‘How can a people prosper when they injure the head of their Prophet and break his tooth while he is calling them to Allah Almighty?’ and Allah revealed this.

Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘The Prophet wanted to invoke against the unbelievers and Allah sent down this. It is said that he asked for permission to call for their extermination, but when the āyah was revealed, he knew that some of them would become Muslim, and so many of them did, including Khālid ibn al-Walid, ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ, ‘Ikrimah ibn Abī Jahl, and others.’ At-Tirmidhī related that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘The Prophet made invocation against four people and Allah revealed: “You have no part in the affair.” Allah guided them to Islam.’ He said that it is a sound gharīb ḥasan hadith.

It is said that the phrase ‘either He will turn towards them’ is added to ‘cut off a group’. It means: ‘so that He might kill a group of them or sadden them with defeat or turn to them or punish them.’ ‘Aw’ here can mean ‘until’.

Our scholars said that the words of the Prophet refer to finding
it unlikely that those who did that to him would prosper. The words of Allah: ‘You have no part in the affair’ bring close what he had thought unlikely and desiring their Islam. When he desired that, he said, ‘O Allah, forgive my people. They do not know.’ We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘It is as if I could see the Messenger of Allah recounting that the people of one of the Prophets struck and wounded him, and he said, as he wiped the blood from his face, “O Allah, forgive my people. They do not know.”’ They said to him, “You should curse them!” He said, “I was not sent as a curser. I was sent as a caller and a mercy. O Allah, forgive my people! They do not know.”’

Our scholars said that the one reported about in the hadith of Ibn Mas‘ūd is the Prophet. He is, in fact, relating about himself as proven by the fact that it is explicitly reported that when the teeth of the Prophet were broken and his face injured in the Battle of Uḥud, that was very hard for his Companions to bear. They said, ‘You should curse them!’ He answered, ‘I was not sent as a curser. I was sent as a caller and a mercy. O Allah, forgive my people! They do not know.’ It was as if that had been revealed to him before that happened to him at Uḥud. The Prophet did not make that specific. When that occurred to him, then it was clear that it was about him as we mentioned.

It is also made clear by what ‘Umar said to him: ‘May my mother and father be your ransom, Messenger of Allah! Nūḥ invoked against his people. He said, “My Lord, do not leave a single one of the unbelievers on earth!” (71:26) If you were to invoke against us in the same way, we would be destroyed to the last one of us. Your back has been trodden on, your face bloodied and your teeth broken, but you refuse to speak other than good!’ He answered, ‘Lord, forgive my people! They do not know.’

[One variant of the account has that] ‘Allah was very angry with people who broke the teeth of their Prophet,’ meaning with the
actual person who did that. We have mentioned his name along with the disagreement about who it was. We said that the statement became specific because a group of those who were present at Uḥud later became good Muslims.

The Kufans claim that this āyah abrogates the qunūt which the Prophet used to perform in the rukū‘ of the last rak‘ah of Ṣubḥ. They use as evidence the hadith of Ibn ‘Umar who heard the Prophet say in the Fajr prayer after raising his head from rukū‘, ‘O Allah, our Lord and praise is yours in the last.’ Then he said, ‘O Allah, curse so-and-so and so-and-so.’ Allah revealed: ‘You have no part in the affair.’ Al-Bukhārī transmitted it. Muslim reported it from Abū Hurayrah in a more complete form. This is not abrogation. Allah is informing His Prophet that the business is not up to him and that he only knows of the Unseen what Allah acquaints him with and that the entire affair belongs to Allah. He will turn to those He wishes and punish those He wishes. It implies: ‘You have no part in the affair. Everything in the heavens and in the earth belongs to Allah, both you and them. He forgives whomever He wishes and turns to whomever He wishes.’ There is no abrogation, and Allah knows best. His words explain that all matters are by the decision and decree of Allah. This also refutes the Qadariyyah and others in their views about Allah’s decree.

Scholars disagree about the qunūt in Fajr and other prayers. The Kufans forbid it in Fajr and other prayers, and that is the position of al-Layth and Yaḥyā ibn Yahyā al-Laythī al-Andalūsī, the companion of Mālik. Ash-Sha‘bī disliked it. It says in the Muwaṭṭā’ about Ibn ‘Umar, ‘He did not do the qunūt in any prayer.’ An-Nasā‘ī related from Qutaybah from Khalaf that Abū Mālik al-Ashjā’ī reported that his father said, ‘I prayed behind the Prophet and he did not do the qunūt. I prayed behind Abū Bakr and he did not do the qunūt. I prayed behind ‘Umar and he did not do the qunūt. I prayed behind ‘Alī and he
did not do the *qunūt.*’ Then he said, ‘My son, it is an innovation.’

It is said that the *qunūt* is always done in *Fajr* and other prayers when a disaster befalls the Muslims. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and at-Ṭabarī said that. It is said that it is recommended in *Fajr* and that is reported from ash-Shāfi‘ī. Al-Ḥasan and Saḥnūn said that it is sunnah. That is demanded by the transmission of ‘Alī ibn Ziyād from Mālik that the one who deliberately omits it must repeat it. At-Ṭabarī related the consensus that missing it out does not invalidate the prayer. Al-Ḥasan said that one does the *sujūd* of forgetfulness for it and that is one of the positions of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Sa‘īd ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz that the one who forgets the *qunūt* in *Ṣubḥ* should do the *sujūd* of forgetfulness.

Mālik preferred it to be done before *rukū‘,* which is the position of Ishāq. It is also related from Mālik that it can be done after *rukū‘.* That is related from the four caliphs and it is also the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, and Ishāq. It is related from a group of Companions that there is a choice regarding that. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related with a sound isnād that Anas said, ‘The Messenger of Allah continued to do the *qunūt* in the morning prayer until he left this world.’ Abū Dāwūd mentioned in *al-Marāsīl* that Khālid ibn Abī ‘Imrān said, ‘While the Prophet was invoking against Muḍar, Jibrīl came to him and indicated to him that he should be silent and so he was. He said, “Muḥammad, Allah has not sent you as an abuser or curser. He sent you as a mercy. He did not send you as a punishment: ‘You have no part in the affair. Either He will turn towards them or He will punish them, for they are wrongdoing.”’ Then he taught him this *qunūt*: ‘O Allah! Truly we seek Your help and Your forgiveness and believe in You and praise You for all good. We thank You and are not ungrateful to You and submit ourselves to you and surrender and abandon all who reject You. O Allah You alone we worship. We pray and prostrate to You. We strive and struggle in Your Way. We hope for Your mercy and fear Your harsh punishment. Certainly Your
punishment encircles the unbelievers.’

130 You who believe, do not feed on usury, multiplied and then remultiplied. Have taqwā of Allah so that hopefully you will be successful. 131 Have fear of the Fire which has been prepared for the unbelievers. 132 Obey Allah and the Messenger so that hopefully you will gain mercy.

You who believe, do not feed on usury, multiplied and then remultiplied.

This is a prohibition against consuming usury interpolated into the story of Uḥud. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘I do not recall anything transmitted about that.’ Mujāhid said, ‘They used to sell on credit and, when the term came, they would increase the price for a delay and so Allah revealed: “You who believe, do not feed on usury multiplied and then remultiplied.”’

Usury is singled out among acts of disobedience because it is that against which Allah has declared war when He says: ‘If you do not, know that it means war from Allah and His Messenger.’ (2:279). War allows killing, and so it is as if He were saying, ‘If you do not fear usury, you will be defeated and killed.’ So he commanded them to give up usury because they used to practise it, and Allah knows best.

‘Multiplied’ is in the accusative for the ḥāl, and ‘remultiplied’ is recited as ‘muḍa‘afah’ as well as ‘muḍā‘afah’. It indicates that they increased the usury time after time. The usury practised by the Arabs was that they increased the debt. The one owed the money would say, ‘Will you settle or increase it?’ as we mentioned in al-Baqarah. This went on year after year and the expression stresses the ugliness of what they were doing. That is why Allah mentions that it was being
‘remultiplied’.

**Have taqwā of Allah so that hopefully you will be successful.**

Have fear Him regarding any wealth gained from usury and do not consume it.

**Have fear of the Fire which has been prepared for the unbelievers.**

Then He alarms them by saying: ‘Have fear of the Fire.’ Most commentators say that this is a threat directed at those who allow usury. Whoever allows usury disbelieves and is called an unbeliever. It is said that it means: fear the action which will strip faith from you and make the Fire mandatory for you, because usury is one of the wrong actions which make it inevitable that a person will be stripped of their faith and causes one to fear for them.

Another such action is lack of respect for one’s parents. A tradition has come that a man called ‘Alqamah who was disobedient to his parents was dying. He was told, ‘Say: “There is no god but Allah,”’ and he was unable to do that until his mother came and was pleased with him. Other things are cutting off relatives, usury, and treachery in respect of a trust. Abū Bakr al-Warrāq reported that Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘It is that which most removes faith from a person when he dies.’ Abū Bakr said, ‘We looked at wrong actions which remove faith and we did not find anything quicker in doing that than wronging people.’

This āyah indicates that the Fire is already created, which refutes the Jahmites who said that it is non-existent and not prepared.

**Obey Allah and the Messenger so that hopefully you will gain mercy.**

The instruction ‘Obey Allah’ means you should obey Allah in respect the obligations of the din ‘and the Messenger’ in respect of sunnahs. It is said that it specifies obedience to Allah in respect of forbidding usury and to the Messenger in respect of the prohibition which he conveyed. ‘So that hopefully you will gain mercy’ means so that Allah will be merciful to you.
133 Race each other to forgiveness from your Lord and a Garden as wide as the heavens and the earth, prepared for the godfearing:

Race each other to forgiveness from your Lord

Nafi‘ and Ibn ‘Amir read it without wāw (and) before it, as in the copies of the Qur’an of the people of Madīnah and Syria. The rest of the seven have a wāw (and) before it. Abū ‘Alī said that both are correct and well-known. If it is read with a wāw, it is adding a sentence to the sentence before it. If it is read without the wāw, it is because the second sentence is related to the first, but has no need of being joined to it by ‘and’. ‘Race each other’ is the verbal noun form of Form III.

There is some elision in the āyah, meaning: ‘Race to that which will make forgiveness mandatory,’ which is obedience. Anas ibn Mālik and Makḥūl said that it means racing to the takbīr al-iḥrām. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said that it means racing to the performance of the obligations. ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān said that means racing to sincerity. Al-Kalbī said that it means racing to repentance from usury. It is said it means racing to steadfastness in fighting. Other things are said as well. The āyah is general and means the same as the earlier āyah: ‘Race each other to the good.’ (2:148)

a Garden as wide as the heavens and the earth.

The muḍāf is elided in this sentence. [POEM] Scholars disagree about its interpretation. Ibn ‘Abbās said that the heavens and the earth are connected to one another as when a garment is opened up and it is clear that its parts are connected. That is the breadth of the Garden and only Allah knows how high it is. That is what the majority say and it is not denied. We find in a hadith reported by Abū Dharr from the Prophet ☦: ‘The seven heavens and seven earths in comparison to the Footstool are only like some dirhams
cast into a desert, and the Footstool in comparison to the Throne is only like a ring cast into a desert.’ These things are much greater than the heavens and the earth, and the power of Allah is greater than all that.

Al-Kalbī said, ‘There are four Gardens: the garden of ‘Adn, the Garden of Refuge, the Garden of Firdaws, and the Garden of Bliss. The dimensions of each Garden is the same as the heavens and the earth if they were all joined together.’ Ismā‘īl as-Suddī said, ‘If the heavens and the earth were broken into mustard seeds, each mustard seed would be a garden whose breadth was like that of the heaven and the earth.’ We find in the Ṣaḥīḥ: ‘The least of the people of the Garden in rank will be the one who wishes and wishes until wishes are no more. Allah Almighty says, “You will have that and ten like it.”’ Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī related it, and Muslim and others transmitted it.

Ya‘lā ibn Abī Murrah said, ‘In Homs I met at-Tanūkhī, the emissary of Heraclius to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. He was a very old man. He said, “I brought the letter of Heraclius to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. He handed the letter to a man on his left. I asked, ‘Who is your companion who is reading?’ ‘Mu‘āwiyah,’ they replied. The letter I was sent with said: ‘You have written inviting me to a Garden whose breadth is like that of the heaven and the earth. Where is the Fire?’ The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Glory be to Allah! Where is the night when the day comes?’”’

‘Umar al-Fārūq used this argument against the Jews when they said to him, ‘I think that you say, “A Garden whose breadth is like that of the heaven and the earth.”’ So where is the Fire?’ They told him, ‘You have taken this from what is in the Torah.’

Breadth is used instead of height because height is usually greater than width. When height is mentioned, it does not indicate the extent of the breadth. Az-Zuhrī says, ‘No one knows its height except Allah.’ This is like Allah’s words: ‘They will be reclining on
couches lined with rich brocade.’ (55:54) He described the lining as being the most excellent that is possible since it is known that the outside of something is always better than its lining. The Arabs say, ‘a wide land’ and ‘a wide wilderness’. A poet says:

It is as the lands of Allah which, although they are wide,

are like the palm of the snatcher of a fearful fugitive.

People also say that this is simply a metaphorical usage in Arabic. Since the Garden has the greatest possible dimensions in respect of space and breadth, it is good to refer to it as ‘the breadth of the heavens and the earth.’ It like referring to a man by saying, ‘This one is an ocean’ and to a large animal by saying, ‘This one is a mountain.’ The āyah does not intend to define its breadth, but it means that it is the widest thing you could possibly imagine.

**prepared for the godfearing.**

Most scholars say that the Garden is already created since Allah uses the word, ‘prepared’. We also have the evidence provided by the hadiths about the Night Journey and other sound hadiths. The Mu’tazilites claim that neither the Garden nor the Fire is created now and that Allah will create them when He rolls up this world. He will start with the creation of the Garden and the Fire in whatever way He wishes because they are the Abode of Repayment in respect of both reward and punishment. They say that they will be created after the acknowledgment of responsibility at the moment of repayment and that, just as the responsibility and repayment are not combined in this world, they are not combined in the Next World either.

Ibn Fūrak said, ‘What is in the Garden will increase on the Day of Rising.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is a reference to what Mundhir ibn Saʿīd and others say about the Garden not being created yet.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah also said, ‘The words “What is in the Garden will increase on the Day of Rising” indicate that it already exists, but it needs addition for the increase to occur.’
Ibn ‘Aṭīyyah spoke the truth. He said, ‘In respect of the Footstool, the seven heavens and the seven earths are like a dirham thrown into a wilderness on earth. In respect of the Throne, the Footstool is like a ring cast into a wilderness. The Garden is now as it will be in the Next World. Its breadth is like the breadth of the heavens and the earth. According to what is related in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, the Throne is its ceiling. It is known that the ceiling contains what is under it and more. If all created things are like a ring in comparison to it, who is capable of estimating its dimensions? Only Allah, its Creator whose power has no end and the vastness of whose kingdom is without limit knows its height and breadth. Glory be to Him and exalted is He!’

134 those who give in times of both ease and hardship, those who control their rage and pardon other people – Allah loves the good-doers – those who give in times of both ease and hardship,

This is one of the qualities of the godfearing for whom the Garden is prepared. The literal meaning of the āyah is that it is praise for doing acts that are recommended. Ibn ‘Abbās, al-Kalbī and Muqātil said that sarrā’ is ease and ḍarrā’ is difficulty. ‘Ubayd ibn ‘Umayr and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that they mean comfort and hardship. It is said that they mean health and illness. Ease and hardship can also refer to health and illness, or life and bequests after death. It is also said to refer to weddings and wedding feasts and to calamities and tragedies. It is said to mean spending which delights you, like spending on your children and relatives on the one hand, and giving to your enemies on the other. Or it can refer to hospitality and gifts on the one hand, and to what is spent on those who cause harm on the other. The āyah is universal.
those who control their rage.

Controlling rage is to retract it into yourself. It is said that it refers to someone who does not display his rage when he is able to inflict it on his enemy. The verb is also used in irrigation when the onward flow is blocked so that the water builds up. Kāzāmah is something that blocks the flow of water. From it comes the word kīzām which is a stopper for a bottle or waterskin. When the cud is stopped before it reaches an animal’s mouth, the verb for that is kaẓama, as az-Zajjāj said. One describes a male camel and she-camel in that way. [POEM] It is said that that happens to the camel in a state of alarm when it refuses to move. The word akẓūm is used of a man filled with sorrow and grief. We find in the Revelation: ‘His eyes turned white from sorrow, hidden within him (kaẓīm). ’ (12:84), ‘His face darkens and he is furious (kaẓīm)’ (16:58) and ‘when he called out in absolute despair (makẓūm).’ (68:48)

Ghayż (rage) is the source of anger and they often go together. The difference between them is that rage does not show on the limbs while anger shows through action. That is why anger is ascribed to Allah when it designates His actions against those with whom He is angry. Some people explain rage as being anger, but this is not good. Allah knows best.

pardon other people

Pardoning people is the most majestic form of good action when a person pardons another when he sees he is in the right. When someone deserves punishment and is spared, he has been pardoned. There is disagreement about the identity of the ‘people’ referred to here. Abu-l-‘Āliyah, al-Kalbī and az-Zajjāj said that it means slaves. Ibn ʿAṭiyyah says that this is good as an example since they are servants and often commit wrong action and power over them is easy to have and they are easy to punish.

It is reported that one day, when he had guests, the slavegirl of Mihrān ibn Maymūn brought in a bowl of hot broth. She stumbled
and the broth went over him. He wanted to beat her and the girl said, ‘My master, implement the words of Allah: “those who control their rage.”’ He answered, ‘I have done it.’ She said, ‘And act by what follows it: “pardon other people.”’ He stated, ‘I have pardoned you.’ The slave-girl then said: ‘Allah loves the good-doers.’ He said, ‘I will act well by you. You are free for the sake of Allah.’ Something similar is related from al-Aḥnaf ibn Qays.

Zayd ibn Aslam said that what is meant is pardoning their wrongdoing and evil. This is general and it is the literal meaning of the āyah. Muqātil ibn Ḥayyān said about this āyah, ‘We heard that the Messenger of Allah said about it, “There are only a few of those among my community; only someone protected by Allah. They were numerous in the nations of the past.”’ Allah praises those who forgive when they are angry when He says: ‘When they are angry, they forgive’ (42:38). Here He praises those who control their rage. He says that He loves them for acting well in that respect.

There are many hadiths reported about controlling rage, pardoning people and controlling oneself when angry. It is one of the greatest acts of worship and jihād of the nafs. The Prophet said, ‘The strong man is not the one who throws people down in wrestling. The strong man is the one who has control of himself when he is angry.’ And he said, ‘There is nothing which one can swallow better and with a greater reward than swallowing one’s rage for the sake of Allah.’ Anas reported that a man asked, ‘Messenger of Allah, what is the strongest thing of all?’ ‘The anger of Allah,’ he replied. He asked, ‘And what will save a person from the anger of Allah?’ ‘Not getting angry,’ he replied. Al-ʿArjī said:

When you are angry, be dignified and control your rage.

Then you will see what you say and hear.

It is enough of an honour that you are patient for a time:

Allah will be pleased with you and you will be elevated.
‘Urwah ibn az-Zubayr said about pardon:

People will not achieve glory, even if they are noble,
until they are humble even though they are mighty against people.

They are insulted and you see their colours shining.

It is not pardon due to abasement, but rather the pardon of honour.

Abū Dāwūd and at-Tirmidhī related from Mu‘ādh ibn Anas al-Juhanī that the Prophet said, ‘If anyone controls his rage when he is able to act on it, Allah will summon him in front of creatures and give him a choice to have whichever of the hourīs he wishes.’ He said that it is a ḥasan gharīb hadith. Anas reported that the Prophet said, ‘On the Day of Rising, a caller will call out, “Whoever is due a wage from Allah should enter the Garden.”’ It will be asked, “Who is due a wage from Allah?” The reply will come, “Those who pardoned others will stand and enter the Garden without any reckoning.”

Ibn al-Mubārak said, ‘I was sitting with Maṣūr when he ordered a man to be killed. I said, “Amīr al-Mu’minīn! The Messenger of Allah said, ‘On the Day of Rising a caller will call out before Allah, “Whoever has a hold on Allah should come forward.” None will come forward except the one who pardoned a wrong action.”’ He ordered that he be let go.’

Allah loves the good-doers

This means that He will reward them for their doing good. Sarī as-Saqāṭī said, ‘Doing good is to do good at the moment when it is possible. Not every moment has good-doing possible in it.’ A poet said:

Hasten to do good when you are able to do so.

You will not be able to do so all the time.
Abu-l-‘Abbās al-Jummānī said:

Not every moment and time
    Provides scope for acts of charity.

When you are able, then make haste
    out of fear of the time when it is not possible.

All of this was already discussed in al-Baqarah.

135 those who, when they act indecently or wrong themselves, remember Allah and ask forgiveness for their bad actions (and who can forgive bad actions except Allah?) and do not knowingly persist in what they were doing.

Those who, when they act indecently or wrong themselves,

In this āyah Allah speaks of a group who are lesser than the first group but connects them with them out His mercy and favour. They are those who repent. ‘Aṭā’ related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘This āyah was revealed about Nabhān at-Tammār. His kunyah was Abū Muqbil. A beautiful woman came to him to sell him some dates. He pulled her to him and kissed her and then regretted doing that. He went to the Prophet and mentioned it and this āyah was revealed.’

In the Musnad of Abū Dāwūd at-Ṭayālīsī, ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib and Abū Bakr reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘There is no one who commits a wrong action and prays two rak‘ahs and then asks Allah for forgiveness without Allah forgiving him.’ Then he recited this āyah and the āyah: ‘Anyone who does evil or wrongs himself…’ (4:110) At-Tirmidhī transmitted it and said that it is a hasan hadith.

The āyah is general. It was sent down about a particular occasion but then applies to all who have done that or worse. It is said that
the reason that this āyah was revealed was that a Thaqafī man went on an expedition and left an Anṣārī friend of his to look after his family. That man betrayed him by attempting to force himself on his wife. She defended herself and he only kissed her hand. He regretted doing that and went out wandering about the place in regret and repentance. The Thaqafī came and his wife told him what his friend had done. He went out after him and took him to Abū Bakr and ‘Umar hoping that there would be some relief for him but they just rebuked him. He went to the Prophet ﷺ and told him what he had done and then this āyah was revealed. It is more fitting that it is general because of the hadith.

Ibn Mas‘ūd reported that the Companions said, ‘Messenger of Allah, the tribe of Israel is more honoured by Allah than we are. When one of them committed a wrong action, in the morning his punishment was written on the door of his house. (One variant has ‘the expiation of his wrong action was written on the lintel of his house.’): “Cut off your nose. Cut off your ear,” and so on.’ Then Allah revealed this āyah as expansion, mercy and replacement for that which was done among the tribe of Israel. It is related that Iblîs wept when this āyah was revealed.

The word ‘fāḥishah’ (indecency) is used for all types of disobedience but is often specific to fornication so that Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh and as-Suddî said that this āyah is about fornication. In the words ‘or wrong themselves’, it is said that ‘or’ here means ‘and’ what is meant are actions less than the major wrong actions.

**remember Allah and ask forgiveness for their bad actions**

‘Remember Allah’ here means accompanied by fear of His punishment and shame before Him. Aḍ-Daḥḥāk said, ‘They remember the Great Day of Presentation before Allah, and it is said that they realise in themselves that Allah will question them about what they did,’ as is also stated by al-Kalbî and Muqāṭîl. Muqāṭîl also says that they remember Allah on the tongue when they commit
wrong actions.

The phrase ‘ask forgiveness for their bad actions,’ means that they seek forgiveness because of their wrong actions and this applies to every expression or supplication of this sort. We already mentioned at the beginning of this sūrah the ‘master of asking forgiveness’ and that its time is before dawn. Asking forgiveness is a tremendous matter and its reward huge, so that at-Tirmidhī reported that the Prophet 🙆️‍♂️ said, ‘If anyone says, “I ask forgiveness of Allah, and there is no god but Him, the Living, the-Self-Sustaining and I turn to Him in repentance,” he will be forgiven, even if he had fled from the heat of battle.’ Abū Hurayrah said, ‘I did not see anyone who asked for forgiveness more than the Messenger of Allah 🙆️‍♂️.’ Makḥūl said, ‘I did not see anyone who asked for forgiveness more than Abū Hurayrah.’ Makḥūl used also to often pray for forgiveness.

Our scholars said that the form of asking forgiveness which is desired is one that removes persistence in the wrong action and whose effect is confirmed in the heart, not just the expression on the tongue. As for someone who says, ‘I ask Allah’s forgiveness’ with his tongue while persisting in the disobedience he is asking forgiveness for, he must ask forgiveness for doing that, and his minor wrong action is joined to the major ones. Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī said, ‘Our asking forgiveness requires asking forgiveness.’ This was in his time, so what is it like in our time when a person is seen to be bent on doing wrong and eager for it while the prayer beads are in his hand and he is claiming that he is asking for Allah’s forgiveness for his wrong actions? That is nothing but mockery on his part. Allah says: ‘Do not make a mockery of Allah’s signs.’ (2:231)

**Who can forgive bad actions except Allah?**

This means that there is no one who forgives disobedience nor removes its punishment except Allah.

**and do not knowingly persist in what they were doing.**

‘Do not persist’ means that they should not remain fixed and resolved
on continuing what they were doing. Mujāhid said, ‘They do not continue in it.’ Ma‘bad ibn Ṣubayḥ said, ‘I prayed behind ‘Uthmān with ‘Alī at my side. He turned to us and said, “I prayed without wuḍū’.” Then he left and did wuḍū’ and prayed.’

Persisting is to resolve on a matter with the heart and not refrain from it. The verb is used for tying up dīrhams in a purse. Al-Ḥaṭī‘ah said when describing horses:

Faces frowning, not curried, brave.

When they are asked to gallop with whips, they persist.

They are firm in running. Qatādah said that ‘persisting’ is being obdurate in disobeying Allah. A poet said:

He persists at night when his deeds are hidden.

Woe! Everyone with an obdurate heart is a cheat.

Sahl ibn ‘Abdullāh said, ‘The ignorant man is dead. The forgetful man is asleep. The rebellious man is drunk. The persistent man is destroyed. Persistence lies in procrastination. Procrastination is to say, “I will repent tomorrow.” This is the claim of the nafs. How can it repent tomorrow when it has no control over it!’ Someone else said, ‘Persistence is to intend not to repent. When someone intends to repent, their persistence ceases.’ Sahl’s version is better. It is reported that the Prophet said ﷺ, ‘There is no repentance when there is persistence [in the action].’

Our scholars say that what brings about repentance and not persisting is constant reflection on the Book of Allah, the Almighty, Ever-Forgiving, and what He has mentioned of the details of the Garden promised for the obedient and what He has described of the punishment of the Fire threatened for the disobedient. A person continues doing that until his fear and hope are strong and he calls on Allah with the awe and longing that are the fruits of hope and fear. He fears the punishment and hopes for the reward. It is Allah Who gives success in doing what is correct. It is said that what brings
it about is a Divine awakening by which Allah alerts those for whom He desires happiness to the ugliness and harm of wrong actions since they are a deadly poison.

I say that this does not exactly accord with the expression, but does accord with the meaning. A person does not reflect on the promise and threat of Allah unless He alerts him to it. When, by success granted by Allah, someone looks at himself and finds himself filled with the wrong actions he has done and the evils he has committed, and regrets his excess and abandons it out of fear of Allah’s punishment, then Allah affirms that he repents. If he does not do that, he persists in disobedience. Sahl ibn ‘Abdullāh said, ‘The sign of true repentance is that the wrong action distracts him from food and drink, as happened with the three who stayed behind [the Tabūk expedition].’

There are various things said about the word ‘knowingly’. It is said that it means that they remember their wrong actions and repent of them. An-Naḥḥās says that this is a good understanding. It is said that it means that they know that Allah will punish persistence in wrongdoing. ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Ubayd ibn ‘Umayr said that it means that they know that if they repent, Allah will turn to them. It is said that it means that they know that if they ask for forgiveness, Allah will forgive them. It is said that they know what is forbidden for them. That was stated by Ibn Isḥāq. Ibn ‘Abbās, al-Ḥasan, Muqātil and al-Kalbī said that it means that they know that persistence is harmful and that it is better to leave it than to persist in it. Al-Ḥasan ibn al-Faḍl said that it means that they know that they have a Lord who forgives wrong actions.

This is taken from the hadith of Abū Hurayrah in which the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Someone committed a wrong action and said, “O Allah, forgive me my wrong action!”’ Allah, Blessed and Exalted, said, “My slave committed a wrong action and then acknowledged that he had a Lord who forgives wrong action and punishes wrong
action.” That person again committed a wrong action and said, “O Lord, forgive me my wrong action!” Allah, Blessed and Exalted, said, “My slave committed a wrong action and then acknowledged that he had a Lord who forgives wrong action and punishes wrong action.” Then the same person again committed a wrong action and said, “O Lord, forgive me my wrong action!” Allah, Blessed and Exalted, said, “My slave committed a wrong action and then acknowledged that he had a Lord who forgives wrong action and punishes wrong action. I have forgiven My slave so let him do what he likes.”’ Muslim transmitted it.

This is evidence for the validity of repentance after breaking it by repeating the wrong action because the first repentance was obedience. Then it was broken and another new act of repentance is required. Returning to wrong action is uglier than doing it the first time because undoing repentance is ascribed to the second wrong action. Returning to repentance is better than doing it the first time because it entails clinging to the Gate of the Generous because none but Him can forgive wrong actions.

His words at the end of the hadith, ‘Let him do what he likes,’ is a command which actually means honour according to one view. It is like Allah’s words: ‘Enter it in peace.’ (15:46) The end of the words is a report about the state of the person who is told that he has been forgiven his earlier wrong actions and, Allah willing, is protected in his future affairs.

The āyah indicates the immense benefit of acknowledging one’s wrong actions and asking for forgiveness for them. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘When someone acknowledges his wrong action and then repents to Allah, Allah turns to him.’ It is transmitted in both Sahīh collections. Someone said:

A lad is entitled to pardon when he admits to
the wrong actions he committed.

Someone else said:
Admit your wrong action and then ask to have it excused.

Denial of the wrong action means there are two wrong actions.

We find in another hadith in *Ṣaḥīh Muslim* that Abū Hurayrah reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘By the One in Whose hand my soul is, if you had not sinned, Allah would have removed you and brought other people who did commit wrong actions and asked for the forgiveness of Allah Almighty so that He could forgive them.’ This is the benefit which comes from the names of Allah, the Ever-Forgiving (*al-Ghafūr*) and the One Who Turns to His slaves (*at-Tawwāb*), as we explained in *Kitāb al-Asnā*.

The wrong actions for which one can repent are either *kufr* or something else. The repentance of the unbeliever is to believe while regretting his past disbelief. Faith on its own does not constitute repentance. Repentance for anything other than *kufr* is either on account of a right due to Allah or a right due to others. In the case of the right of Allah, it is enough repentance to abandon not observing it, although in some cases the Sharīʿah is not satisfied with simple abandonment but requires the action to be made up, like the prayer and fasting, and in other cases expiation is necessary, such as for breaking oaths and *ẓihār*. Where the rights of other people are concerned, they must be fulfilled to those to whom they are owed. If they are not found, then one gives *ṣadaqah* on their behalf. If someone finds no way to come up with what he owes due to hardship, then it is hoped that Allah will pardon him. His bounty is freely given. How many He has provided surety against consequences and changed their evil deeds into good deeds. This will be explained later.

If someone does not remember his wrong action and recognise it, he does not have to repent for it specifically, but when he remembers a wrong action, he must repent of it. The interpretation of many people of what our Shaykh Abū Muḥammad ʿAbd al-Muʿṭī al-Iskandarānī mentioned from al-Muḥāsibī, namely that repentance
for a category of actions is not valid, means that regret for them as a whole is not sufficient. A person has to repent of each transgression by his limb or heart specifically. People thought that that was his position but it is not what he meant and his words do not demand that understanding. The ruling is that when someone recognises the ruling of actions, and the disobedience he has done, then it is valid for him to repent of all of them that he acknowledges. If he does not recognise that the action was in disobedience to Allah, then he cannot repent of it, either as a whole or in particular. That is like when a man is involved in one of the categories of usury without knowing that it is usury. When he hears the words of Allah: ‘You who believe, have taqwā of Allah and forgo any remaining usury if you are believers. If you do not, know that it means war from Allah and from His Messenger’ (2:2778-279), he finds the threat terrible but thinks that he is safe from usury. When he learns the reality of usury now and thinks about what he has done in the past and knows that he has been involved in a lot of usury in the past, then it is sound for him to repent of all of it now and he does not have to specify each instance of it.

That is true of all the wrong actions and evil deeds someone has done, such as slander, tale-bearing and other forbidden actions which they did not know were forbidden. When they understand the import of their past words, then they can repent of all of that and regret being remiss in what was due to Allah. When they ask the one they wronged to release them and he releases them overall and is content with forgoing his right, that is permitted because it comes under the legal principle of giving something which is unknown. This happens in spite of a people’s greed and avarice in seeking their due, so how is it then with the Most Generous of the generous Who bounteously bestows acts in which Allah is obeyed and gives the means to do them and pardons disobedience, both small and great?

Our Shaykh said that this is what the imam means and it is that
which his words indicate for the one who studies it. What someone thinks about regret only being valid for the actual action done or movement made or a specific silence would be attributing responsibility for something no one is actually capable of undertaking and that is not prescribed in the Sharī‘ah, even if it is logically possible. The person would have to know exactly how many sips of wine he had taken, exactly how many movements he had made when fornicating, and exactly how many steps he had taken towards the ḥarām. No one is able to do that and therefore repent in an exactly specific way. More concerning the rulings and preconditions of repentance will be dealt with in an-Nisā’ and elsewhere, Allah willing.

**and do not knowingly persist in what they were doing.**

This is clear evidence for what Qāḍī Abū Bakr ibn at-Ṭayyib said, ‘A person is punished for any disobedience he prepares for consciously and resolves on with his heart.’ We find in revelation: ‘Those who desire to profane it with wrongdoing, We will let them taste a painful punishment.’ (22:25). Allah says: ‘In the morning it was like burnt land stripped bare.’ (68:30) The people referred to here were punished before their action because of their resolve as will be explained in the appropriate place. In a hadith in al-Bukhārī we find, ‘When two Muslims clash with their swords, then both the killer and killed are in the Fire.’ They asked, ‘Messenger of Allah, we can understand this with regard to the killer, but what about the murdered man?’ He said, ‘He also was eager to kill his companion.’ So threat is directed at the desire, which is the resolve and unsheathing of weapons.

Evidence of this is also found what at-Tirmidhī related in a sound marfū‘ report from Abū Kabshah al-Anmārī: ‘This world consists of four types of people. There are those whom Allah provides with money and knowledge and they are fearful of their Lord in respect of it and maintain ties of kinship with it and acknowledge the right of Allah over it. Such a person is in the best position. There are
those whom Allah provides with knowledge but does not provide with money. They have a sincere intention and say, “If I had money, I would have acted as so-and-so acted.” Such a person is rewarded according to his intention, so the reward of both types is the same. There are those whom Allah provides with money but does not provide with knowledge, so they proceed haphazardly with their money without any knowledge. Such a person is not fearful of his Lord in respect of it nor does he maintain ties of kinship with it nor acknowledge the right of Allah over it. This person is in the worst position. Then there are those whom Allah does not provide with either money nor knowledge, so such a person says, “If I had money, I would have acted as so-and-so acted.” He is repaid according to his intention, so the burden of sin of both of them is the same.’

This is the position of the Qāḍī and it is that of the generality of the early generations and people of knowledge among the ḥadith scholars and mutakallimūn. One pays no attention to any disagreement about this on the part of those who say that a person is not taken to task for what he only intends to do and mentally prepares for.

There is no contrary evidence in the words of the Prophet ﷺ: ‘If someone intends to commit an evil action and does not do it, it is not recorded for him. If he does it, one wrong action is recorded.’ That is because ‘if he does not do it’ means that he does not resolve on doing it as indicated by what we mentioned. ‘If he does it’ means that he actually does it or resolves to do it. Success is by Allah.

136 Their recompense is forgiveness from their Lord, and Gardens with rivers flowing under them, remaining in them timelessly, for ever. How excellent is the reward of those who act!
After forgiving wrong actions, Allah is generous towards those who are sincere in repentance and do not persist in wrong action. This can also be connected to the story of Uhud and refer to those who fled, but then turned in repentance and did not persist. Allah will forgive them.

137 Whole societies have passed away before your time, so travel about the earth and see the final fate of the deniers.

This is solace from Allah for the believers. Sunan here is the plural of sunnah, which is the Straight Path. A person who is on a straight path does not incline to any lower desires. Al-Hudhalī said:

Do not be alarmed by a sunnah that you are travelling on.

The first to be pleased with a sunnah is the one who travels on it.

Sunnah also means a leader or imām who is followed, and one says, ‘So-and-so is an excellent sunnah’ when people imitate him in good. It is also used for a bad leader in the same way. Labīd said:

There is a group whose fathers are their sunnah.

Each group of people have a sunnah and their leader.

Sunnah also refers to a nation. Sunnahs are nations. The poem goes:

People have not seen any virtue like their virtue
and have not seen their like in past nations (sunan).

Az-Zajjāj says that what is meant are the people of sunnahs. There is elision. Ibn Zayd said it means ‘likes’. ‘Aṭā’ said that it means laws. Mujāhid says that it refers to those nations like ‘Ād and Thamūd who were destroyed before you.

The ‘final fate’ is the end of the business and refers to the Battle of Uhud. Allah is saying, ‘I give them a delay and a respite and draw
them on until the book reaches its term,’ meaning the victory of Allah and the believers, and the destruction of the enemy who are unbelievers.

138 This is a clear explanation for all mankind, and guidance and admonition for those who are godfearing.

This means the Qur’an, as al-Ḥasan and others said. It is said that it indicates the previous āyah: ‘Whole societies have passed away before your time’ and is a threat.

139 Do not give up and do not be downhearted. You shall be uppermost if you are believers.

Allah comforted and consoled them for the killing and wounding they suffered at Uḥud and encouraged them to fight their enemy and forbade them to be feeble and lose heart. The phrase ‘Do not give up’ means ‘Do not be weak and cowardly, Companions of Muḥammad, about fighting your enemies because of what befell you.’ ‘Do not be downhearted’ for your losses or the defeat. ‘You shall be uppermost’ means: ‘You will have the victory in the end if “you believe” in the truth of My promise.’ It is said that ‘if’ (in) here means ‘when’.

Ibn ʿAbbās said, ‘The Companions of the Messenger of Allah were defeated at Uḥud and while they were in that condition, Khālid ibn al-Walīd came with his cavalry and wanted to look down on them from the mountain. The Prophet said, “O Allah, do not let them be above us! O Allah, we have no strength except by You, O Allah, only this group worship you in the land,” and Allah revealed this āyah. A group of Muslims archers went up the mountain and shot at the horses of the idolaters until they routed them.’ That is what His words, ‘You shall be the uppermost’ are referring
The āyah means that after Uḥud they will always overcome their enemies. Indeed after that no army went out during the time of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ without being victorious, and in every battle after the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, where even one of the Companions was present, they had victory. All these lands were conquered during the time of the Companions of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. When they came to an end, no land was conquered as they had conquered them all in their time.

This āyah is conclusive evidence of the excellence of this community because they are addressed directly in the same way that Allah addressed His Prophets. Allah said to Mūsā: ‘You will be uppermost.’ (20:68) He told this community: ‘You shall be the uppermost.’ This is derived from His Name, al-ʿAʾlā (Most High).

140 If you have received a wound, they have already received a similar wound. We deal out such days to people turn by turn, so that Allah will know those who believe and can gather martyrs from among you – Allah does not love wrongdoers –

If you have received a wound, they have already received a similar wound.

‘Wound’ is read both as qarḥ and qurḥ. According to al-Kisāʾī and al-Akhfash, they are two dialectical forms. Al-Farrāʾ, however, said that qarḥ means a wound and qurḥ means pain. It means: If you were wounded at Uḥud, they were wounded at Badr. Muḥammad ibn as-Samayfaʿ recited ‘qarḥ’.

We deal out such days to people turn by turn,

This is said to be in war: sometimes to the believers so that Allah
gives victory to His dīn, and sometimes to the unbelievers when the believers disobey, in order to test them and purify them of their wrong actions. When they do not disobey Allah, the party of Allah will be the victors. It is said that it refers to the alternation of joy and sorrow, health and illness, wealth and poverty. ‘Dawlah’ is to come around again. A poet said:

One day is for us and one day is against us.
One day of delay and one day of victory.

so that Allah will know those who believe

This alternation is to set apart the believer from the hypocrite and distinguish between them as is clear in Allah’s words: ‘What assailed you on the day the two armies met was by Allah’s permission, so that He would know the believers, and so that He would know the hypocrites.’ (3:166-167) It is said that it is in order to know the steadfastness of the believers for which they will be rewarded just as He informed them that they are responsible.

and can gather martyrs from among you.

This means to honour you with martyrdom, with the implication that some people will be slain so that they can be witnesses against people by their actions. It is said, ‘This is why he is called a martyr (shahīd).’ It is also said to be because the Garden bears witness for him, and it is said that their spirits are present in the Abode of Peace because they are alive in the presence of their Lord while the souls of others have not yet reached the Garden. So shahīd in fact means shāhid, or present in the Garden. This is sound, as will come later.

The virtue of martyrdom is immense. Enough for you regarding that are the words of the Almighty: ‘Allah buys from the believers their selves…’ (9:111) and ‘O you who believe! shall I direct you to a transaction which will save you from a painful punishment? It is to believe in Allah and His Messenger and do jihad in the Way of Allah with your wealth and your selves … That is the Great Victory.’ (61:10-12)
We find in the *Ṣaḥīḥ* of al-Bustī from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘What the martyr experiences from being killed is no more than one of you experiences from a wound.’ An-Nasā‘ī related from Rāshid ibn Sa‘d from one of the Companions of the Prophet that a man said, ‘Messenger of Allah, why is the martyr excused from what other believers are tested with in their graves?’ He replied, ‘The flash of the sword at his head is his test.’

We find in al-Bukhārī: ‘Those Muslims killed in the Battle of Uḥud included Ḥamzah, al-Yamān, an-Naḍr ibn Anas and Muḥammad ibn ‘Umayr.’ ‘Amr ibn ‘Alī related from Mu‘ādh ibn Hishām from his father that Qatādah said, ‘We do not know of any tribe of the Arabs with more martyrs and mightier on the Day of Rising than the Anṣār.’ Qatādah said, ‘Anas ibn Mālik related to us that seventy were killed in the Battle of Uḥud, seventy at Bīr Ma‘ūnah and seventy in the Battle of Yamāmah.’ He added, ‘Bīr Ma‘ūnah was in the time of the Prophet and the Battle of Yamāmah was in the time of Abū Bakr against Musaylimah the Liar.’

Anas said, ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib was brought to the Prophet with over sixty wounds from stabbings, blows or thrusts. The Prophet began to pass his hand over him and they were healed by Allah’s permission as if they had not been there.’

The words ‘gather martyrs from among you’ indicate that Allah’s Will is not the same as His Command, which is the position of the people of the Sunnah. Allah forbade the unbelievers to kill the believers – Ḥamzah and others – while He willed that they be killed. He forbade Ādam to eat from the tree while He willed that he would do so. He ordered Iblīs to prostrate but did not will that he do so and so Iblīs refused. That is indicated by His words: ‘but Allah was averse to their setting out so He held them back.’ (9:46) He commanded all of them to do jihād but created laziness and the reasons that stop people from doing it.
It is reported that ʿAlī ibn Abī Ṭālib said, ʿJibrīl came to the Prophet at Badr and said to him, “Give your Companions a choice regarding the captives. They can either kill them or let them be ransomed, but if they do that the like number of them will be killed next year.” They said, “The ransom and let us be killed.” At-Tirmidhī related it and said that it is a hasan hadith. Allah fulfilled His promise by the martyrdom of His friends after He had given them a choice and they chose being killed.

**Allah does not love wrongdoers**

This means the idolaters. Even if they receive injury from the believers, Allah does not love the unbelievers, but if He allows pain to be afflicted on the believers, it is a sign that He loves them.

141 and so that Allah can purge those who believe and wipe out the unbelievers.

There are three things said about this. One is that the word ‘purge’ means ‘to test’. The second is that it means ‘to purge’ them of their wrong actions, which would apply some elision. Al-Farrā’ said that. The third is that the meaning is ‘to purify’. Al-Khalīl says the verb is used for a rope which is smoothed. Part of that usage is: ‘O Allah, purge us of our wrong actions,’ meaning to save us from being punished for them.

Abū Isḥāq az-Zajjāj said, ‘I read out to Muḥammad ibn Zayd that al-Khalīl said that purging is delivering.’ It means that He tests the believers to make them firm and purify them of their wrong actions. He will wipe out the unbelievers by destruction.

142 Or did you imagine that you were going to enter the Garden without Allah knowing those among you who
had struggled and knowing the steadfast?

‘Am’ can mean ‘indeed’ or it is said that the mīm is extra. It means: ‘Did you suppose, you who were defeated at Uḥud, that you would enter the Garden as those who entered who were killed and endured the pain of wounds and killing without proceeding on their path and being steadfast as they were steadfast?’ The words ‘without Allah knowing those among you who had struggled,’ refer to knowledge of witnessing so that there is repayment. It means: without knowing those who did not struggle so that He knows that from you.

In the phrase ‘knowing the steadfast,’ the verb has a fatḥah (ya‘lama) because of an implied ‘an’ according to al-Khalīl. Al-Ḥasan and Yaḥyā ibn Ya‘mar recited ‘ya‘lami’ in the jussive case based on the context. It can also be recited as ‘ya‘lamu’ as a separate sentence, and this is the reading of ‘Abd al-Wārith from Abū ‘Amr. The wāw means ‘so that’.

143 You were longing for death before you met it. Now you have seen it with your own eyes.

‘You were longing for death,’ in other words martyrdom, before you met it. It is before killing or before meeting the causes of death. That is because many of those who had not been at Badr used to wish for a day of fighting. Then they were defeated at Uḥud. Some of them remained firm until they were killed, including Anas ibn an-Naḍr. When the Muslims withdrew, he said, ‘O Allah, I am free of what those have done!’ He joined the fight and exclaimed, ‘It is the fragrance of the Garden that I sense!’ He fought until he was martyred. Anas said, ‘We only recognised him by his finger tips. He had about eighty wounds.’ It is about him and those like him that Allah revealed: ‘men who have been true to the contract they have made with Allah.’ (33:23)

The āyah censures those who were defeated, especially those who
encouraged the Prophet ﷺ to leave Madīnah. So this refers to those Muslims who wished for martyrdom based on standing firm and being steadfast in jihad, not for the unbelievers to kill them, because such a wish would be disobedience and disbelief, which one cannot long for. So this question on the part of the Muslims is asking for Allah to grant them martyrdom as well as asking for steadfastness in jihad, even if it results in being killed.

‘Now you have seen it with your own eyes.’ Al-Akhfash said that this is repetition for the sake of stress. It is said that it means: ‘while you are looking with no defect in your eyes’ which is an expression used in Arabic for actually seeing something. This conveys stress. Some of them said that it means: “You were looking at Muḥammad ﷺ. So why were you defeated?”

144 Muḥammad is only a Messenger and he has been preceded by other Messengers. If he were to die or be killed, would you turn on your heels? Those who turn on their heels do not harm Allah in any way. Allah will recompense the thankful.

This was revealed because of the defeat of the Muslims at Uḥud when Shayṭān shouted, ‘Muḥammad has been killed!’ Ṭāḥiyyah al-ʿAwfī said, ‘Some people said, “Muḥammad has been struck down, so surrender to them. They are your brothers.” Others said, “If Muḥammad had been struck down, should you not continue as your Prophet did until you join him!”’ So Allah revealed this āyah about that along with the āyahs after it up to āyah 148.’

The mā in the sentence is negative. Ibn ʿAbbās recited ‘Messengers’ without the definite article. Allah informs us in this āyah that the Messengers do not remain among their people forever and that He
desires us to hold to what the Messengers brought, even if they die or are killed. He honoured and chose two names for His Prophet which are derived from His Name. These names are Muḥammad and Aḥmad. This is used in Arabic for someone with many praiseworthy qualities. A poet said:

To the noble glorious praised (muḥammad) chief.

This was discussed in al-Fātiḥah. ‘Abbās ibn Mīrđās said:

O Seal of the Prophets, you are sent with good! Every guidance to the Path is your guidance.

Allah built love for you for His creation and named you Muḥammad.

This āyah is the end of the censure of those defeated and conveys that they will not be defeated, even if Muḥammad is killed. Prophethood is not endangered by death. Allah knows best.

This āyah is the clearest evidence of the courage and boldness of Abū Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīq. Courage and boldness are defined by the firmness of the heart in the face of affliction, and there could be no calamity greater than the death of the Prophet as we explained in al-Baqarah, and at that time his courage and knowledge became clear. People, including ‘Umar, said, ‘The Messenger of Allah is not dead!’ ‘Uthmān was mute and ‘Alī hid and things were unsettled. Then the Śiddīq removed the confusion with this āyah when he came from his house in Ṣunḥ. The hadith is found in al-Bukhārī.

We find in the Sunan of Ibn Mājah that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah died while Abū Bakr was with his wife, the daughter of Khārijah, at al-‘Awālī, people began to say, “The Prophet has not died. It is just what happens when he is overcome by the revelation!” Abū Bakr came, uncovered his face, kissed him between the eyes and said twice, “You are too noble for Allah to make you die twice! By Allah, the Messenger of Allah’
has died.” ‘Umar was in a corner of the mosque, saying, “By Allah, the Messenger of Allah ☦ has not died and will not die until he cuts off the hands and feet of many of the hypocrites!” Abū Bakr rose and went up the minbar and said, “Whoever worships Allah, Allah is alive and will never die. Whoever worships Muḥammad, Muḥammad has died. ‘Muḥammad is only a Messenger and he has been preceded by other Messengers. If he were to die or be killed, would you turn on your heels? Those who turn on their heels do not harm Allah in any way. Allah will recompense the thankful.’” ‘Umar said, “It was as if I had not read it until that day.” He retracted what he had been saying.’

This is what Abū Naṣr ‘Ubaydullāh al-Wā’ilī mentioned in Kitāb al-Ibānah. He said that Anas ibn Mālik heard ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb give allegiance to Abū Bakr in the mosque of the Messenger of Allah ☦. He sat on the minbar of the Messenger of Allah ☦ to testify before Abū Bakr. He said, ‘Yesterday I said something to you, and it is not as I said. By Allah, I did not find what I said in a Book revealed by Allah nor in anything given to me by the Messenger of Allah ☦, but I hoped that the Messenger of Allah ☦ would live so that he could direct us – so that he would be the last of us to die – and Allah chose for His Messenger what is with Him instead of what is with us. This is the Book by which Allah guided His Messenger. Take it and you will be guided by what the Messenger of Allah ☦ was guided by.’

Abū Naṣr al-Wā’ilī said, ‘The statement that he made and then retracted was: “The Messenger of Allah ☦ has not died and will not die until he cuts off the hands and feet of many of the hypocrites!” That was due to the immensity of what had happened and his fear of civil unrest and the appearance of hypocrites. When he saw the strength of the greater certainty of Abū Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīq when he spoke the words of Allah: “Every self will taste death” (3:185), and: “You will die and they too will die” (39:30) and what he said, he came to his senses and was firm. He said, “It was as if I had never heard the āyah
before Abū Bakr spoke.” People went out and about in the streets of Madīnah repeating it as if it had only been revealed on that day. There is no dispute about the fact that he died on a Monday, at the same time he entered Madīnah on his Hijrah, when it was well into morning, and was buried on Tuesday or Wednesday night.’ Ṣafiyyah bint ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib composed an elegy for the Messenger of Allah:

O Messenger of Allah! You were our hope!
   You were good to us and not harsh.

You were merciful, a guide and a teacher:
   Today, everyone who weeps must weep for you.

By your life, I do not weep for the Prophet because of his loss,
   but out of fear that bloodshed will come.

It is as if it comes on my heart when Muḥammad is mentioned
   and the burning brands I fear after the Prophet.

May Allah, the Lord of Muḥammad,
   bless a grave in Yathrib again.

May my mother, maternal aunt, uncle, fathers
   and my life and property be the ransom of the Messenger of Allah!

You spoke the truth, and conveyed the Message truthfully.
   You died a firm staff, bright and clear.

If the Lord of mankind had made our Prophet live
   we would have been fortunate, but His command is carried out.

May the greeting of people be to you from Allah
   and may He admit you in the Gardens of Eden in pleasure.

I see Ḥasan as his orphan and legacy,
   a young man weeping and praying for his grandfather today.
Why was the burial of the Messenger of Allah ♂️ delayed when he had said to the people of a house who delayed burying their dead, ‘Hurry to bury your corpse. Do not delay it’?

There are three points in the answer. The first is what we mentioned about the lack of agreement over his death. The second was because they did not know where to bury him. Some people said that it should be in al-Baqī’ and others said in the mosque. Some people said that he should be kept until he could be carried to his ancestor Ibrāhīm, until the greatest scholar said, ‘A Prophet is only buried where he dies.’’ Ibn Mājah, the Muwaṭṭā’ and other sources mentioned it. The third is that they were preoccupied with the dispute which occurred between the Muhājirūn and the Anṣār about allegiance and waited until the business was settled, unity restored, and the situation was secure, and they gave their allegiance to Abū Bakr. Then they gave him a second allegiance on the following day in a group and with their assent. By him Allah delivered them from the catastrophe of the Riddah and the dīn was established. Praise be to Allah, the Lord of the worlds. Then they went back to the Prophet ♂️ and looked into burying him and washed and shrouded him. Allah knows best.

They disagree about whether the funeral prayer was performed for him or not. Some say that it was and some said that it was not but that each person stood to make supplication because he was too elevated to have someone pray over him. Ibn al-‘Arabī said that this is weak because the Sunnah is that there is a prayer in the janāzah as there is a prayer over him in supplication when someone says, ‘O Allah, bless Muḥammad until the Day of Rising,’ and that benefits us.

It is said that the prayer was not said over him because there was no imām. This is weak because whoever establishes the obligatory prayer is their imām. It is also said that people prayed individually because each of them wanted his blessing individually and not
subject to anyone else. Allah knows best whether that is sound.

Ibn Mājah transmitted with a *hasan isnād* which is sound from Ibn ‘Abbās: ‘When we finished preparing him on Tuesday, he was placed on the bed in his room and then the people came in and prayed over the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, and when the men had finished, the women came in. When the women were finished, the children came in. None of the people acted as imām over the Messenger of Allah ﷺ.’ It is transmitted from Naṣr ibn ‘Alī al-Jahḍamī from Wahb ibn Jarīr from his father from Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq. He said that Ḥusayn ibn ‘Abdullāh related it from ‘Ikrimah from Ibn ‘Abbās.

Concerning the change of circumstance after the death of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, Anas said, ‘On the day when the Messenger of Allah ﷺ entered Madīnah, every part of it was illuminated. On the day he died, every part was cast into darkness. We had not shaken off the dust from our hands after burying the Messenger of Allah ﷺ until we doubted our own hearts.’ Ibn Mājah transmitted it. Muḥammad ibn Bashshār related from ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Mahdī from Sufyān from ‘Abdullāh ibn Dīnār that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘We used to be careful about our words and delighting with our wives in the time of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ fearing that the Qur’ān would be revealed about us. When the Messenger of Allah ﷺ died, we spoke.’

Umm Salamah bint Abī Umayyah, the wife of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, said, ‘In the time of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ, when people stood to pray, their eyes would not leave the spot where their feet were. When the Messenger of Allah ﷺ died and Abū Bakr was in charge and people stood to pray, their eyes would not leave the place of their brow. When Abū Bakr died and ‘Umar was in charge, when people stood to pray, their eyes would not leave the place of the qiblah. When ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān was in charge, the fitnah came and then people would look to the right or the left in the prayer.’

*If he were to die or be killed, would you turn on your heels?*
This is a metaphor for reverting to being unbelievers after faith, according to Qatādah and others. It is said to mean to return to being what they were before. It is said that this refers to actually and not metaphorically being routed. It is said that it means you would behave like apostates.

**Those who turn on their heels do not harm Allah in any way.**

They will harm themselves and expose themselves to punishment for opposition. Obedience does not help Allah and disobedience does not harm Him. He is free of need of any sort.

**Allah will recompense the thankful.**

Those who were steadfast, fought, and were martyred. This is a promise connected to a threat.

145 No self can die except with Allah’s permission, at a predetermined time. If anyone desires the reward of this world, We will give him some of it. If anyone desires the reward of the Next World, We will give him some of it. We will recompense the thankful.

No self can die except with Allah’s permission, at a predetermined time.

This is encouragement to perform *jihād* and information that death is necessary and that every human being will die, slain or not slain, when the time written for him to die comes. ‘*Mu’ajjal*’ means at a certain term. ‘With Allah’s permission’ means according to His decision and decree. ‘*Kitāb*’ here means written by Allah. ‘A predetermined time’ is the time in which Allah knows that the spirit of the person will leave his body. When someone is killed, we know that that is his time and it is not correct to say, ‘If he had not been killed, he would have lived.’ The evidence for this is found in the words of the Almighty:
‘When their time comes, they cannot delay it a single hour or bring it forward’ (7:34), ‘Allah’s appointed time is certainly coming’ (29:5), and ‘There is a prescribed limit to every term.’ (13:38) The Mu‘tazilites claim that the term can be moved forward and back, and that if someone is murdered, he died before his time because the killer is responsible and must pay blood money. Here in this āyah the Almighty makes it clear that no self can die before its time. This will be further discussed in al-‘ārāf, Allah willing. The evidence for this is found in the books of knowledge as will be explained in Ṭaha (20:52).

If anyone desires the reward of this world, We will give him some of it.

This means booty. This was revealed about those who left their post to get booty. It is said that it is general to all who desire this world rather than the Next World. It means: ‘We will give him what is allotted for him of it.’ We find in the Revelation: ‘As for anyone who desires this fleeting existence, We hasten in it whatever We will.’ (17:18)

If anyone desires the reward of the Next World, We will give him some of it.

This means We will give him the reward of his actions, as Allah can multiply the reward for whomever He wishes. It is said that it refers to ‘Abdullāh ibn Jubayr and those who remained at their posts until they were killed.

We will recompense the thankful.

We will give them the eternal reward for not fleeing. It is said that it means provision in this world, so that the thankful person does not imagine that he has been deprived of what was allotted him which the unbelievers obtained.
146 Many a Prophet has been killed, when there were many thousands with him! They did not give up in the face of what assailed them in the Way of Allah, nor did they weaken, nor did they yield. Allah loves the steadfast. 147 All they said was, ‘Our Lord, forgive us our wrong actions and any excesses we went to in what we did and make our feet firm and help us against these unbelieving people.’

Many a Prophet has been killed.

Az-Zuhri said, ‘Shayṭān shouted at Uḥud, “Muḥammad has been killed!” and a group of the Muslims were routed.’ Kaʿb ibn Mālik said, ‘I was the first to recognise the Prophet ٱلسّلَّمُ ٱلَّذِي ٱلْمَلَأِيُّ ٱلْهَيَابُ ٱلْمُرْتُوبُ. I saw his eyes shining under the helmet. I called out in my loudest voice, “This is the Messenger of Allah!” He indicated for me to be silent, and then Allah revealed: “Many a Prophet has been killed when there were many thousands with him!…”’

‘Ka-ayyin’ means ‘how many?’ Al-Khalil and Sibwayh said that it is ‘ayy’ with the kāf of resemblance added to it and so it is indeclinable with it and means: ‘how many?’ In the copy of the Qur’an it has a nūn because it is a word that has been moved from its basic meaning and its expression has changed because the meaning has changed and then it has been used frequently and there is elision in it.

There are four dialectical forms of the word. Ibn Kathīr recited ‘kāʾin’, like ‘kāʾin’, as an active participle, its root being kay’ where the yā’ has been changed into an alif as happens with yay’as and thus
some say yā’as with ya’s. [POEMS] Ibn Muḥayṣin recited ‘ka’īn’ with a hamzah and the alif shortened, like ka’īn, which is from kā’īn and the alif has been elided. He also has ‘ka’īn’ like ka’īn and it is a reversal of kay’ and it has been lightened. The rest have ‘ka’īyin’ like ka’īyin. It is the root. [POEMS] A fifth version is ‘ka’īn’ like kay’īn. It is as if lightened from kayyi’ and reversed. [MORE DISCUSSION] An-Nahḥās said that Abū ‘Amr stopped at ‘ka’ayy’ without a nūn because it is tanwīn. Sawrah ibn al-Mubārak related that from al-Kisā’ī. The rest stop with a nūn following the script of the Qur’an.

The āyah is meant to encourage the believers and is a command to follow those before them who followed the Prophets. It means: many Prophets were killed while there were devout people with them, or many Prophets were killed and their communities did not apostasise. Two things are said here. The first was said by al-Ḥasan and Sa’īd ibn Jubayr. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘No Prophet has ever been killed in battle,’ while Ibn Jubayr said, ‘We have not heard of any Prophet being killed in battle.’ The second is transmitted from Qatādah and ‘Ikrimah and then one is permitted to stop after ‘killed’. This is according to the reading of Nāfi’, Ibn Kathīr, Abū ‘Amr, and Ya‘qūb (which is qutila, killed.) It is the reading of Ibn ‘Abbās, and Abū Ḥātim preferred it.

There are two aspects to it. One is that ‘killed’ refers to the Prophet ☞ alone and the words end at ‘killed’ and there is some elision in the words, meaning that there were many with him, and it is as you would say, ‘In spite of the huge army with him, the general was killed.’ ‘I went out and there were goods with me.’ The second is that killing affected the Prophet ☞ and the many with him, and the words mean, ‘some of those with him were killed.’ The Arabs say, ‘We killed Banū Tamīm and Banū Sulaym,’ when some of them were killed. So ‘they did not give up’ refers to those with him. I think that this is more appropriate to the context in which the āyah was revealed. The Prophet ☞ was not killed while some of his
Companions were killed.

The Kufans and Ibn ʿĀmir read qātala (How many a Prophet has fought). That is the reading of Ibn Masʿūd and Abū ʿUbayd preferred it. Allah’s praise of those who fight includes those who are killed, but praise of those who were killed does not include those who fought. So ‘fought’ is more universal.

Many thousands is read as ribbiyyūna, rubbiyyūna and rabbīyyūna. Most read it as ribbiyyūna. ʿAlī read it as rubbiyyūna and Ibn ʿAbbās as rabbīyyūna. Ribbiyyūna refers to many groups, according to Mujāhid, Qatādah, ʿAbd-Dāḥhāk and ʿIkrimah. The singular is ribbiyy or rubbiyy. ʿAbdullāh ibn Masʿūd says that it means many thousands. Ibn Zayd said that it means followers. The first is better known linguistically. Ribbah and rubbah is a bag in which arrows are collected. Rabāb is a confederation of tribes. Abān ibn Thaʿlab said that ribbiyy means ten thousand. Al-Ḥasan said that they are steadfast scholars. Ibn ʿAbbās, Qatādah, ar-Rabīʿ and as-Suddī said that it is a large group. Hassān said:

When a company withdraw from the truth,
we attack them in a large company (ribbiyy).

Az-Zajjāj said that the two readings with ḍammah refers to large groups. It is said that it is ten thousand. It is related from Ibn ʿAbbās that rabbīyyūna with fathah is derived from rabb (Lord). Al-Khalīl said that it is a singular for those who are steadfast with the Prophets. They are the rabbāniyūn who are attributed to devotion, worship and recognition of Allah’s lordship. Allah knows best.

They did not give up in the face of what assailed them in the Way of Allah.

The verb ‘give up’ means to weaken. It was already mentioned. Wahn is the shattering of resolve by fear. Al-Ḥasan and Abu-s-Sammāl recited ‘wahunū’ instead of ‘wahanū’. Abū Zayd said that they are two dialectical usages. The verb is wahana, and awhana, Form IV,
is to weaken. Wāhinah is the shortest rib and wahan camels are bulky. Wahn is also a time in the middle of the night. It means they did not become weak when their Prophet was killed or their comrades were slain. It means: ‘the rest of them did not become weak.’ The muḍāf is elided.

**nor did they weaken, nor did they yield.**

‘They did not weaken’ in the face of the enemy and ‘they did not yield,’ because of what they suffered in jihād. Istikānah is humility and abasement. Its root is istakanū on the measure of Form VIII and the fathah of the kāf is filled and an alif results from it. For those who make it come from kawn it is Form X. The first is more in keeping with the meaning of the āyah. It has been recited as ‘famā wahnū wamā ḍa‘fū’. Al-Kisā’ī related ‘ḍa‘fū’.

Then Allah reports that after some of them were killed or their Prophet was killed, they were steadfast and did not flee and remained steady in the face of death. They asked for forgiveness so that their death would be accompanied by repentance for their wrong actions if they were given martyrdom. They asked for firmness so that they would not be routed and for help against the enemy. Feet are singled out for firmness because they are what one stands on. He is saying, ‘Did you do and say the like of that, Companions of Muḥammad?’ He answered their supplication and granted them help, victory and booty in this world and forgiveness in the Next World when they go there. That is how Allah treats His sincere servants who are true in repentance, support His dīn and are firm in meeting His enemy by His true promise and true words. **Allah loves the steadfast.**

He means here those who are steadfast in jihād.

**All they said was, ‘Our Lord, forgive us our wrong actions and any excesses**

Some recite ‘mā kāna qawluhum’ in the nominative, making ‘qawl’ the noun of kāna. So it means: ‘All that they say is’. For those who
read it in the accusative, \textit{qawel} is the predicate of \textit{kāna} whose meaning is ‘all they said’.

\textit{Wrong actions}’ are minor wrong actions and ‘\textit{excesses}’ are major wrong actions. Excess (\textit{isrāf}) describes being excessive in something and exceeding the limits. We find in \textit{Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim} that Abū Mūsā al-Ash‘arī reported that the Prophet used to make this supplication: ‘O Allah, forgive my error, ignorance and excess in my business and what you know better than I do.’ So a person must use the supplications found in the Book of Allah and sound \textit{Sunnah} and leave others based on their own choice. Allah has chosen for His Prophet and His friends and instructed them how they should make supplication.

\begin{quote}
قياسًا إلـ٢٠٩ أن درّبَتُهُمُ اللَّهُ جَوَابًا لَّدُنَى وَجَوَابًا للدُّنْيا وَلَهُ تَحْبُبُ الْفَضُّلِينَ

148 So Allah gave them the reward of this world and the best reward of the Next World. Allah loves good-doers.

The reward of this world is help and victory over one’s enemies. ‘\textit{The best reward of the Next World}’ is the Garden. Al-Jaḥdarī recited ‘\textit{fa-athābahum}’ from \textit{thawāb} (reward).

149 You who believe! if you obey those who disbelieve, they will turn you round on your heels and you will be transformed into losers. 150 No, Allah is your Protector. And He is the best of helpers.

When Allah commanded people to follow in the footsteps of the helpers of the earlier Prophets, He also warned them against obeying the unbelievers, meaning the Arab idolaters, Abū Sufyān and his people. It is said that it means the Jews and Christians. ‘Alī said that it is in reference to what the hypocrites said to the believers
when they suffered that defeat: ‘Return to your father’s religion.’

‘They will turn you round on your heels,’ making you revert to disbelief. Then you will return defeated. Allah is the One who will help and preserve you if you obey Him. It is recited as ‘balū-lāha’ in the accusative, implying ‘Rather, obey Allah, your Master.’

151 We will cast terror into the hearts of those who disbelieve because they have associated others with Allah for which He has not sent down any authority.

Their shelter will be the Fire. How evil is the abode of the wrongdoers!

This echoes Allah’s words: ‘He cast terror into their hearts.’ (59:2) ‘Terror’ is recited with two syllables as ru‘ub (rather than ‘ru‘b’) by Ibn ‘Āmir and al-Kisā‘ī. These are two dialectical forms. Ru‘b means fear, a verbal noun from ra‘aba. Someone terrified is described as mar‘ūb. It is possible that ru‘b is a verbal noun and ru‘ub a simple noun. The root of ru‘b (terror) comes from filling, and the verb is used for the filling of a watering-trough or of a torrent filling a valley. It means: ‘We will fill the hearts of the idolaters with fear and alarm.’ As-Sakhtiyānī recited it with yā‘, ‘sa-yulqī’ (‘He will cast’) while the rest use the Divine nūn (‘We will cast’).

As-Suddī and others said, ‘When Abū Sufyān and the idolaters left for Makkah after Uḥud, they went part of the way and then regretted doing that. They said, “What we have done is bad! We should have killed them until none of them was left except the odd runaway. Let us go back and wipe them out!” When they resolved on that, Allah cast terror into their hearts so that they did not do it.’

‘Casting’ is used for the actual throwing down of physical objects as in 7:150 where it refers to the Tablets, in 26:44 where it refers to
ropes and staffs, and in 36:45 where it is used for a staff. It is used metaphorically in this āyah as it is in 20:39 in reference to love.

Because they have associated others with Allah for which He has not sent down any authority

This is the reason Allah cast terror into their hearts. *Shirk* is to make someone else a partner. The phrase ‘for which He has not sent down any authority’ is further explanation. ‘Sulṭān’ is supporting proof, clarification and excuse. This is why the ruler is called a ‘sultan’ because he is the proof of Allah on the earth. It is also said that *sulṭān* is derived from *saliṭ*, the oil used in a lamp, which is from sesame seed. Imru’u-l-Qays said:

He tipped the sesame oil (*saliṭ*) with the twisted wicks.

So the sultan gives light by giving victory to the truth and curbing the false. *Saliṭ* is also used for a sharp edge and so it refers to force (*salāṭah*). The root of *sulṭān* is strength because by it he overcomes and the *nūn* is additional to the root. *Salīṭah* is used for a sharp-tongued woman and for a man with an eloquent tongue. He means that Allah has given no authority for the worship of idols in any religion and it is not logical to permit that. Then He says where their final resting place will be: the Fire, and then blames them. *Mathwā* is the place in which one resides, derived from the verb * thawā*. *Ma’wā* is any sort of shelter to which one resorts either in the night or the day.

152 Allah fulfilled His promise to you when you were slaughtering them by His permission. But then you
faltered, disputing the command, and disobeyed after 
He showed you what you love. Among you are those 
who want this world and among you are those who want 
the Next World. Then He turned you from them in order 
to test you – but He has pardoned you. Allah shows 
favour to the believers.

Muhammad ibn Ka‘b al-Quraẓī said, ‘When the Messenger of 
Allah returned to Madina from Uḥud after they had suffered, 
they said to one another, “How did this happen to us when Allah 
has promised us victory?” Then this was revealed. That is because 
they killed the banner-bearer of the idolaters and the seven after him 
who held the banner. Victory was going to the Muslims but, when 
they were distracted by booty, some of the archers left their positions 
for booty and that led to the rout.’

Al-Bukhārī reports that al-Barā‘ ibn ‘Āzib said, ‘In the Battle of 
Uḥud when we met the idolaters, the Messenger of Allah positioned the archers and put ‘Abdullāh ibn Jubayr in charge of 
them, saying: “Do not leave your position. Even if you see that we 
have defeated them, do not leave. If you see that they have defeated 
us, do not help us against them.” When we met them, the Muslims 
defeated them so that we saw the women running for the mountain, 
their garments raised and anklets showing. The [archers] began to 
say, “Booty! Booty!” ‘Abdullāh said, “The Prophet made you 
promise that you would not leave.” They left, became confused, and 
seventy were struck down. Abū Sufyān ibn Ḥarb looked down and 
asked, “Is Muḥammad among the people?” He said, “Do not answer him.” Then he asked, “Is the son of Abū Quḥāfah among 
the people?” He said, “Do not answer him.” Then he said, “Is the 
son of al-Khaṭṭāb among the people?” Then he said, “Those have 
been killed. If they had been alive, they would have answered.” 
‘Umar could not restrain himself and said, “By Allah, you have lied, 
enemy of Allah! All of those you numbered are among the living!
That which will vex you remains!” Abū Sufyān said twice, “Hubal be high!” The Prophet said, “Answer him!” They said, “What shall we say?” He said, “Say: ‘Allah is higher and more Majestic.’” Abū Sufyān said, “We have al-‘Uzzā and you have no ‘Uzzā.” The Prophet said, “Answer him!” They asked, “What shall we say?” He said, “Say: ‘Allah is our Protector and you have no Protector.”’ Abū Sufyān said, “A day which is in return for the day of Badr. Victory in war goes in turns. You will find some of the people mutilated. I did not order it, but it does not disturb me.”

It is related from Saʿd ibn Abī Waqqāṣ in al-Bukhārī and Muslim: ‘I saw the Messenger of Allah on the Day of Uḥud and two men were with him fighting for him as fiercely as possible.’ One transmission from Saʿd has: ‘They were wearing white clothes. I never saw them before or after.’ He meant that they were Jibrīl and Mikāʿīl. Another variant has: ‘They were fighting for the Messenger of Allah as fiercely as possible. I never saw them before or after.’ Mujāhid, however, says that the angels did not fight with them that day and that the only day they fought with them was Badr. Al-Bayhaqī says that Mujāhid means that they left when the people disobeyed the Messenger and were not steadfast in what they had been commanded to do.

‘Urwh ibn az-Zubayr said, ‘If they were steadfast and godfearing, Allah Almighty promised that He would reinforce them with five thousand angels, clearly identified, and He did that. When they disobeyed the command of the Messenger and abandoned their positions and the archers, out of desire for this world, failed to follow the instruction that the Messenger of Allah had given them about not leaving their positions, the reinforcement of the angels was taken from them. Allah revealed: “Allah fulfilled His promise to you when you were slaughtering them by His permission.” So Allah was true to His promise and showed them victory. When they disobeyed, He punished them with affliction.’
‘Umayr ibn Ishāq said, ‘In the Battle of Uḥud, they left the Messenger of Allah exposed while Sa‘d was shooting from in front of him with a lad handing him arrows. Whenever one arrow was gone, he brought him another. He said “Shoot, Abū Ishāq!” When they finished, they looked to see where the young man was and did not see or recognise him.’

Muhammad ibn Ka‘b said, ‘When the banner-bearer of the idolaters was killed and their banner fell, ‘Amrah bint ‘Alqamah al-Ḥārithah raised it up. Ḥassān said about that:

Had it not been for the Hārithī woman,
They would have been sold in the markets like chattels.

‘Taḥussūnahum’ means to kill and eradicate them. A poet said:

We slaughtered (ḥasasnāhum) them with swords
and their remnants were scattered and separated.

Jarīr said:

The swords slaughter (taḥussuhum) them utterly
and their remnants took flight and scattered.

Abū ‘Ubayd said that hass is eradication by killing. Locusts are described as ‘maḥsūs’ when they are killed by cold. Cold is a killer which burns off (maḥassah) plants. A year that is ḥasūs has a drought that consumes everything. Ru’bah said:

We suffered a severe (ḥasūs) year
that consumed and left everything dry after it was green.

Its root is ḥiss which is perceiving something by touch (ḥāssah). Hassa is to remove his senses by killing.

‘With His permission’ means with His knowledge, or according to His decree and command.

But then you faltered, disputing the command,
You lost courage and weakened. The verb is fashila, yafshalu, and
verbal noun *fashil* and *fashl*. The apodosis of ‘ḥattā’ is elided. This sort of form is permissible and is, in fact, seen elsewhere in the Qur’an as in 6:25. Al-Farrā’ said that the apodosis is ‘disputing’ and the *wāw* is redundant as we see elsewhere. [OMISSION] Some people said that the *wāw* before ‘disobeyed’ is redundant, meaning ‘then you faltered and disputed, disobeying.’ On this basis, there is a change in the word order here; it means ‘when you disputed and disobeyed, then you faltered.’

Abū ‘Alī said that the apodosis can be ‘*He turned you from them*’ and ‘then’ is redundant. It implies: ‘until when you faltered, disputed and disobeyed, *He turned you from them.*’ [ILLUSTRATIVE POEM] Al-Akhfash permitted it to be redundant as in the case in 9:118.

It is said that ‘ḥattā’ means ‘*ilā*’ (to) and then it has no apodosis, i.e. ‘Allah fulfilled His promise to you until you faltered,’ meaning that the promise was contingent on remaining firm. ‘Disputing’, or disagreeing, refers to the archers arguing with one another when some wanted to go after booty and others said that they would remain firm following the command of the Prophet 🕔.

**and disobeyed after He showed you what you love.**

They disobeyed the command of the Messenger 🕔 to remain firm. The words ‘*after He showed you what you love*’ refer to the victory which was going to the Muslims at the beginning of Uḥud when the banner-bearer of the idolaters fell. When he fell, the Prophet 🕔 and his Companions spread out in separate squadrons and began to slaughter the enemy. The enemy cavalry charged three times but the barrage of arrows drove them back. When the fifty archers saw that victory had gone to their brothers, some of them said, ‘Why are we standing here when Allah has defeated the enemy?’ So they left their positions that they had promised the Messenger of Allah 🕔 they would not leave. They disputed, faltered and disobeyed the Messenger and then the cavalry attacked and killed them. The words of the *āyah* express rebuke for them. The manner of the
rebuke is that they saw the beginning of a victory, but they must know that complete victory lies in standing firm, not in being routed.

**Among you are those who want this world and among you are those who want the Next World.**

Then He explains the cause of the dispute: ‘*this world*’ here means booty. Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘None of us was aware that any of the Companions of the Prophet Ⓗ desired this world and its goods until the Day of Uḥud.’ Those who wanted the Next World were those who stayed firm in their position and did not dispute the command of their Prophet Ⓗ with their commander, ‘Abdullāh ibn Jubayr. Khālid ibn al-Walīd and ‘Ikrimah ibn Abī Jahl, who were still idolaters then, attacked him and killed those who had remained with him. May Allah have mercy on them.

The blame lies with those who retreated, not with those who stood firm. Those who stood firm won the reward. This is because it is said that when general punishment descends on a people in which the righteous and children are destroyed, the punishment does not actually happen to them. It was the means to their gaining their reward. Allah knows best.

**Then He turned you from them in order to test you**

This means: ‘after He had let you overpower them, He turned you from them in flight.’ That indicates that both disobedience and disagreement are created by Allah. The Mu‘tazilites say that it means: ‘then you turned,’ and it is connected to the terror of the Muslims which Allah removed from the hearts of the unbelievers to test them. Al-Qushayrī points out that this would not help because removing terror from the hearts of the unbelievers so that they would disdain the Muslims is ugly and not permitted, and would make the *āyah* meaningless. It is said that ‘*He turned you from them*’ means that ‘He did not oblige you to pursue them.’

**He has pardoned you. Allah shows favour to the believers.**
He did not eradicate you after your disobedience and opposition. It is said that this is addressed to everyone, and it is said that it is only addressed to the archers who disobeyed, which an-Nahṣaš prefers. Most commentators say that it is like Allah’s words: ‘*Then He pardoned you.*’ (2:52) Allah shows favour by pardon and forgiveness.

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Prophet ﷺ was not helped in any place as he was helped at Uhud.’ He [‘Ubaydullāh, the transmitter] said, ‘We do not acknowledge that.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Book of Allah stands between me and those who deny that. Allah Almighty says about the Battle of Uhud: “*Allah fulfilled His promise to you when you were slaughtering them by His permission. But then you faltered, disputing the command, and disobeyed after He showed you what you love. Among you are those who want this world and among you are those who want the Next World. Then He turned you from them in order to test you – but He has pardoned you. Allah shows favour to the believers.*” (3:152) He means the archers. The Prophet ﷺ had put them in a position and told them, “Guard our backs. If you see us being killed, do not help us. If you see us taking booty, do not join us.”

‘When it looked as if the Messenger of Allah ﷺ had won and they had exposed the camp of the idolaters, the archers all turned away and went to loot the camp, joining the ranks of the Companions of the Prophet ﷺ and becoming mixed up with them (and he intertwined the fingers of his hands). After the archers deserted their position, the enemy cavalry attacked the Companions of the Prophet ﷺ from that position. They fought one another in a confused melée and many Muslims were slain.

‘At the beginning of the day, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and his Companions were in the ascendancy to the point that seven or nine standard-bearers of the idolaters were struck down. The Muslims charged towards the mountain but did not reach the place that people call “the Cave” which is under the hollowed-out rock. Then Shayṭān shouted out: “Muḥammad has been killed!” People were in
no doubt at that moment that it was it true and we continued to think the Messenger of Allah 🥇 had been killed until he appeared to us between two men of Sa’d. We recognised him by the way he walked. We were so happy that it was as if what had happened to us had not happened. He came towards us, saying, “Allah will be very angry with a people who have bloodied the face of their Prophet!”

Ka‘b ibn Mālik said, ‘I was the first Muslim to recognise the Messenger of Allah 🥇. I recognised him by his eyes shining from under his helmet. I called out in my loudest voice, “Company of Muslims! This is the Messenger of Allah 🥇 coming!” He indicated that I should be quiet.’

153 Remember when you were scrambling up the slope, refusing to turn back for anyone, and the Messenger was calling to you from the rear. Allah rewarded you with one distress in return for another so you would not feel grief for what escaped you or what assailed you. Allah is aware of what you do.

Remember when you were scrambling up the slope, refusing to turn back for anyone,

‘When’ can be connected to ‘He pardoned you.’ Most recite ‘scrambling up’ as ‘tuṣ‘idūna’. Abū Rajā’ al-‘Uṭāridī, Abū ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān as-Sulamī, al-Hasan and Qatādah recite ‘taṣ‘adūna’, meaning climbing the mountain. Ibn Muḥayṣin and Shibl recited both verbs with yā’ in the third person. Al-Ḥasan recited ‘talūna’ with one wāw. Abū Bakr ibn ‘Ayyāsh related from ‘Āṣim ‘tulūna’ with a ḍammah on the tā’ which is an aberrant dialect. An-Naḥḥās said that.

Abū Ḥātim said that aṣ‘ada is to travel forward and ṣa‘ida is to ascend a mountain or something else. Iṣ‘ād is travelling on level land,
the bottom of valleys, and ravines. Ṣu‘ūd is an elevation on a mountain, plateau, steps and stairs. It is possible that they were on an elevated place on the mountain after climbing up from the valley and so both readings convey the meaning.

Qatādah and ar-Rabī‘ said, ‘They climbed up the valley in the Battle of Uḥud. Ibn ‘Abbās said that they climbed at Uḥud while they were fleeing. Both of the readings are correct as those who retreated on that day climbed and were made to climb. Allah knows best.

Al-Qutabī and al-Mubarrad said that Form IV is used when the distance is far and so it as if the distance is far on the ground just as it is in climbing. A poet said:

O those who ask where she went (aṣ‘adat),
she has a promised rendezvous in the valley of Yathrib.

Al-Farrā’ said that iṣ‘ād is to begin a journey and inḥidār is to return from it. One can say, ‘We “climbed”’ from Baghdad to Makkah and to Khorasan and similar places when you set out for them and began the journey, and inḥadara is to return. Abū ‘Ubaydah said:

You wept for the departure (iṣ‘ād).

Today you have been sent and the camel driver shouts.

Al-Mufaḍḍal said that ṣa‘ida, aṣ‘ada and ṣa‘‘ada mean the same. ‘Refusing to turn back’ means to ascend and continue without looking at one another in the flight. Someone simply going up can turn his head or his animal.

‘For anyone’ refers to Muḥammad according to al-Kalbī. ‘Ukhrā’ means ‘behind them’ Al-Bukhārī says that it is the feminine of ‘ākhir’. ‘Amr ibn Khālid related from Zuhayr that Abū Isḥāq heard al-Barā’ ibn Āzib say, ‘On the day of the Battle of Uḥud, the Prophet put ‘Abdullāh ibn Jubayr in charge of the men on foot. Then they were routed and the Messenger of Allah was calling to them from behind them. The Prophet was left with only twelve men.’ Ibn
‘Abbās and others said that he called out, ‘Servants of Allah! Return!’ while they were fleeing. He was calling to change what was objectionable. It is impossible that he would see something objectionable, in this case the rout, and not forbid it. According to this, the rout was an act that amounted to disobeying Allah, but this is not the case as will be explained, Allah willing.

Allah rewarded you with one distress in return for another.

_Ghamm_ (grief) linguistically comes from ‘to cover’, as when the day becomes dark or the crescent moon is covered so that it cannot be seen. So one says that something grieves (_ghamma_) a person.

Mujāhid, Qatādah and others said that the first grief was killing and wounding, and the second was the alarm at the killing of the Prophet ༽ when shayṭān shouted that out. It is said that the first grief was on account of the victory and booty that eluded them, and the second was on account of the killing and defeat they suffered. It is said that the first was the defeat and the second was Abū Sufyān and Khālid looking down on them, which grieved the Muslims since they thought that they would attack and kill them. This made them forget what had afflicted them. That is when the Prophet ༽ said, ‘O Allah, do not let them be over us.’

The _bā_’ in ‘bi-ghamm’ has the meaning of ‘_alā_. It means that they grieved the Prophet ༽ by opposing him and Allah repaid them for that by their distress over what afflicted some of them. Al-Ḥasan said that the first grief was Uḥud in return for what happened to the idolaters at Badr. ‘Distress’ is called a reward as the repayment for a wrong action is called a wrong action. It is said that Allah gave them success in spite of their wrong action and so they were distracted by that from what afflicted them.

So you would not feel grief for what escaped you or what assailed you.

This is connected to ‘_He pardoned you_’ or to ‘_Allah rewarded you_’. It means: ‘This is sorrow after sorrow so that you would not grieve
over the booty you missed or your defeat.’ The first view is better. It can also mean: ‘so that you would not be sad about what missed you and your punishment for disobeying the Messenger of Allah ﷺ.’ The same usage is found in 7:12 and 58:29. It is said that it means a succession of sorrows so that after this you will not be distracted by booty. His words: ‘Allah is aware of what you do’ is a threat.

154 Then He sent down to you, after the distress, security, restful sleep overtaking a group of you, whereas another group became prey to anxious thoughts, thinking other than the truth about Allah – thoughts belonging to the Time of Ignorance – saying, ‘Do we have any say in the affair at all?’ Say, ‘The affair belongs entirely to Allah.’ They are concealing things inside themselves which they do not disclose to you, saying, ‘If we had only had a say in the affair, none of us would have been killed here in this place.’ Say, ‘Even if you had been inside your homes, those people for whom being killed was decreed would have gone out to their place of death.’ So that Allah might test what is in your breasts and purge what is in your hearts. Allah knows the contents of your hearts.

Then He sent down to you, after the distress, security

It is said that amn and amānah mean the same. It is also said that amānah is security when there is cause for fear and amn is when there
is no cause for fear. It is in the accusative by the effect of ‘sent down’ and ‘sleep’ is an appositive for it. It is also said that it is in the accusative as the object as if He were saying, ‘He sent down sleep on you for security.’ Ibn Muḥaysin recited ‘amnah’. After the sorrows the believers experienced at Uḥud, Allah granted them sleep and most of them fell asleep. Those who feel secure sleep and fearful people do not sleep. In al-Bukhari, Anas reported that Abū Ṭalḥah said, ‘We were overcome with slumber when we were in the lines on the Day of Uḥud.’ He said, ‘My sword would fall from my hand and I would pick it up, and it would fall from my hand I would pick it up again.’

‘Overtaking’ is read both as taghshā and yaghshā. Yaghshā refers to sleep and taghshā to security.

Another group became prey to anxious thoughts, thinking other than the truth about Allah – thoughts belonging to the Time of Ignorance

Ṭā’ifah (group) can be applied to both one person and to a group. Here it refers to the hypocrites: Mu‘attab ibn Qushayr and his companions. They went out hoping for booty and fearing the believers. They did not sleep and regretted taking part in the expedition and said all sorts of things.

‘Qad ahammathum anfusuhum’ means that it impelled them to worry. Hamm is anything someone is concerned about. The verb ahamma is used for something being of concern to someone. Something that is muhimm is significant. ‘Ahamma’ is used when something causes you disquiet and hamma is to concern you. The wāw before ‘group’ makes it adverbial and so means ‘when they became prey’. They thought that the business of Muhammad was false and that he would not win. The phrase ‘thoughts belonging to the Time of Ignorance’ has an omission: ‘thinking the thoughts of the people of the Time of Ignorance.’

‘Do we have any say in the affair at all?’

This is a question which indicates denial, and implies ‘We did not
have any say in the business about going out. We were forced to go out.’ This is indicated by what Allah reports that they said. Az-Zubayr said, ‘On that day sleep was released on us and while sleep was overcoming me, I heard Mu‘attab ibn Qushayr say, ‘If we had only had a say in the affair, none of us would have been killed here in this place.’” It is said that it means that he says, ‘We do not have any of the victory which Muḥammad promised us.’ Allah knows best.

**Say, ‘The affair belongs entirely to Allah.’**

Abū ‘Amr and Ya‘qūb recited ‘kulluhu’ in the nominative for the inceptive whose predicate is ‘to Allah’ while the rest have ‘kullahu’ in the accusative, as you say, ‘The business is all Allah’s’ for stress. This is stress on its universality and total encompassment. This encompassing meaning is only stress. It is also said that it is an adjective modifying ‘affair’. Al-Akhfash said that it is an appositive, meaning ‘Success is in Allah’s hand. He gives success to whomever He wishes and disappoints whomever He wishes.’ Juwaybir said from aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk from Ibn ‘Abbās that the words ‘thoughts belonging to the Time of Ignorance’ allude to denial of the Decree and that is what they were saying, and so Allah replied with this āyah, stating that the Decree, both good and evil, belongs to Allah.

**They are concealing things inside themselves which they do not disclose to you, saying, ‘If we had only had a say in the affair, none of us would have been killed.’**

What they are concealing is *shirk*, disbelief and denial. They did not show this to you. They said, ‘Our tribes would not have been killed.’ It is said that the hypocrites said, ‘If we had known, we would not have gone out to fight the people of Makkah and our leaders would not have been killed.’ Allah then refutes them:

**Say: ‘Even if you had been inside your homes, those people for whom being killed was decreed would have gone out to their place of death.’**

The time when people will die is written on the Preserved Tablet.
‘Madāji’ means the places where they will be struck down. It is also said that ‘killing’ here means ‘fighting’, because fighting leads to death. Abū Ḥaywah recited ‘burriza’ meaning ‘made to go out’. It is said: ‘If you had failed to go out, hypocrites, you would have been brought out to another place in which you would have fallen so that Allah might test what is in your breasts and show it to the believers.’

**So that Allah might test what is in your breasts and purge what is in your hearts.**

The wāw in the beginning is interpolated as in 6:75. The verb is elided with the lām which indicates ‘in order to be’. This implies: ‘He obliged fighting for you and did not give you victory at Uḥud in order to test what is in your breasts and to purge your evil deeds when you return and are sincere.’ It is said that ‘test’ is to put through a trial in order to test. It is said that it is so that you will witness what is invisible of His knowledge. It is said that there is some elision and it implies: ‘so that He might test the friends of Allah.’

**Allah knows the contents of your hearts.**

He knows the good and evil they contain. It is said that the contents of the hearts are the hearts themselves.

**إِنَّ آلِدَةَ تَوَلَّوْا هُزَّكُروُمَ إِنَّمَا أَنْبِعَتْ لَهُمُ الْمَكْسِبُونَ يَغْضُبُونَ مَا كَسَبُوْاْ وَلَقَدْ عَفَّ عَنْهُمَّ إِنَّ اللهَ عَفَوُّ خَلْيَمُ**

155 Those of you who turned their backs on the day the two armies clashed – it was Shayṭān who made them slip for what they had done. But Allah has pardoned them. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, All-Forbearing.

This refers to those who turned their backs on the idolaters at Uḥud, as ‘Umar and others said. As-Suddī said that it means those who fled to Madīnah when defeated rather than those who went up the mountain. It is also said to refer to certain people who remained behind the Prophet for three days when they were defeated and
then left.

The meaning of ‘made them slip’ means to induce them to slip by reminding them of their previous errors. They disliked staying firm because they might be killed. That is what is meant by the words ‘for what they had done’. It is said that it means to move them to slip. It is Form X from zallah, which is an error. It is said that the verbs zalla and azalla mean the same. Then it is said that they disliked fighting before sincere repentance. This is the first position. The second is that their repentance is for their disobeying the Prophet by abandoning their position for booty.

Al-Ḥasan said that the words ‘what they had done’ refer to their acceptance of the whispering of Iblis. Al-Kalbī said, ‘Shayṭān made their actions seem good to them.’ It is also said that their retreat was not disobedience because, when they heard that the Prophet had been killed, they wanted to fortify Madīnah and cut off what the enemy wanted to do to them. It is possible that they did not hear the call of the Prophet because of their terror. It is also possible to say that the numbers of the enemy were more than twice their number because they were 700 and the enemy were 3000 so in theory retreat in such circumstances was permissible, but to retreat from the Prophet was an error which is not permitted. Perhaps they imagined that the Prophet had retreated to the mountain. The best view is the first one.

In general, if the affair is taken to be a definite wrong action, Allah pardoned it. If it is taken to be a permissible retreat, then the āyah is about those who retreated a great distance, more than the permitted amount. Abu-l-Layth Naṣr ibn Muḥammad ibn Ibrāhīm as-Samarqandī mentioned from al-Khalīl ibn Aḥmad from as-Sarrāj from Qutaybah from Abū Bakr ibn Ghaylān from Jarīr that ‘Uthmān and ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn ‘Awf had words, and ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn ‘Awf said to him, ‘Do you abuse me when I was present at Badr and you were not. I gave allegiance under the Tree and you
did not, and you were one of those who turned in retreat on the day of the Meeting (meaning Uḥud)?’ ‘Uthmān responded to him: ‘As for what you said about being present at Badr while I was not, I was not absent from anything where the Messenger of Allah was present except when the daughter of the Messenger of Allah was ill and I was tending to her. The Messenger of Allah gave me one of the shares of the Muslims. As for the Pledge of the Tree, the Messenger of Allah had sent me as an envoy to Makkah and the Messenger of Allah put his right hand on his left and said, “This is for ‘Uthmān.” The right hand and left hand of the Messenger of Allah are better for me than my own right hand and left hand. As for the day of the Meeting, Allah has said, “Allah has pardoned them,” so I am among those Allah has pardoned.’ ‘Uthmān defeated ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān in that argument.

This idea is also validated by Ibn ‘Umar as we find in Ṣaḥīḥ Bukhārī. ‘Abdān reported from Abū Ḥamzah that ‘Uthmān ibn Mawhab said, ‘A man came and made the ḥajj to the House. He saw some people sitting there and asked, “Who are those people?” They answered, “They are Quraysh.” He inquired, “Who is that old man among them?” They replied, “‘Abdullah ibn ‘Umar.” He said, “If I ask you about something, will you answer me?” He continued, “I entreat you by the sanctity of this House, do you know that ‘Uthmān fled on the Day of Uḥud?” He answered, “Yes.” He said, “Do you know that he was absent from Badr and not present at it?” He replied, “Yes.” He said, “Do you know that he was absent from the Pledge of Riḍwān and not present at it?” He answered, “Yes.” The man said, “Allah is greater!” Ibn ‘Umar said, “Let me now explain to you what you asked me about. As for his fleeing at Uḥud, I testify that Allah pardoned him. As for being absent from Badr, he was married to the daughter of the Messenger of Allah who was ill and the Messenger of Allah said to him, ‘You will have the reward and share of a man who was present at Badr.’ As for his
being absent from the Pledge of Riḍwān, if there had been anyone dearer than ‘Uthmān in the valley of Makkah, he would have sent him in his place. The Messenger of Allah � dara sent ‘Uthmān and the Pledge of Riḍwān took place after ‘Uthmān had gone to Makkah. Therefore the Messenger of Allah � dara said of his right hand, ‘This is the hand of ‘Uthmān,’ and struck it against his other hand and said, ‘This is for ‘Uthmān.’” Ibn ‘Umar said to him, “Take this with you now.”

This āyah is like Allah’s turning to Ādam and the words of the Prophet ♂, ‘Ādam overcame Mūsā in a argument.’ That was when Mūsā criticised Ādam for being the cause, when he ate from the tree, of him and his descendants leaving the Garden. Ādam replied, ‘Do you blame me for something which Allah decreed for me forty years before I was created? And then He turned to me. Whoever He turns to incurs no wrong action and whoever has no wrong action is not subject to blame.’ That is the case with those whom Allah pardons. This is a report from Allah and His report is true. Others who commit wrong action and repent hope for His mercy and fear His punishment. They fear that their repentance might not be accepted. Even if it is accepted, their fear dominates them since they do not definitively know that.

156 You who believe! do not be like those who disbelieve and say of their brothers, when they are going on journeys or military expeditions, ‘If they had only been with us, they would not have died or been killed,’ so that Allah can make that anguish for them in their hearts. It is Allah Who gives life and causes to die.
Allah sees what you do.

The words ‘do not be like those who disbelieve’ refer to the hypocrites. ‘Their brothers’ are their brothers in hypocrisy or in lineage. They said this about the expedition which the Prophet sent to Bi‘r Ma‘ūnah. The Muslims were forbidden to say what the hypocrites said. The words ‘when they are going’ refer to what was already mentioned because the words have the meaning of a precondition since ‘those’ was indefinite and not determined and so ‘idha’ is in the position of ‘idh’ as the past tense in the apodosis takes the place of the future.

The verb ‘going’ means travelling for trade or something else in the course of which they die. Ghuzzā (expeditions) is the plural of ghāzi. It is a broken plural and does not change whatever case it is in. The plural is like rāki‘, rukka‘, ṣā‘im, ṣuwwam, nā‘im, muwwam, shāhid, shuhhad, and ghā‘ib, ghuyyub. The plural can be ghuzāh like quḍāh, and ghuzzā with maddah, like ḍurrāb and ṣuwwām. It is said that ghaziyy is the plural of ghuzāh. It is related that az-Zuhrī recited ‘ghuzzā’. A woman whose husband is on an expedition is called mughziyah. A donkey which is late in reproducing is a mughziyah. The verb aghzat describes a she-camel which is hard to impregnate. Ghazw is aspiring for a thing and maghzā is the goal. That which has to do with an expedition is ‘ghazawī’.

so that Allah can make that anguish for them in their hearts

This refers to their thoughts and words. The lām is connected to His words, ‘they said’. This makes their statement that if they had not gone out, they would not have been killed, a cause of regret for them. Ḥasrah is regret. It is concern for what was missed and could not be obtained. A poet said:

O my anguish that I have not obtained my goal with her.

I did not enjoy proximity or nearness.

It is said that it is connected to something elided and means: ‘Do
not be like them so that Allah makes that statement a regret because those words display their hypocrisy. It is also said that it means: ‘Do not believe them nor pay any attention to that,’ and that caused anguish in their hearts. It is said that their anguish and disgrace will be on the Day of Rising because of the honour and blessing the believers will enjoy.

**It is Allah Who gives life and causes to die.**

He decrees those who go out to fight and remain alive and those who stay behind and die among their families.

**Allah sees what you do.**

It is recited as both *ta’malūna* (what you do) and *ya’malūna* (what they do). Then He reports that being killed in the Way of Allah and death in it is better than the entire world.

157 If you are killed in the Way of Allah or if you die, forgiveness and mercy from Allah are better than anything you can acquire. 158 If you die or you are killed, it is to Allah that you will be gathered.

The apodosis here is elided and there is no need for it because of the apodosis of the oath in forgiveness. It is more fitting for there to be no need for it with the apodosis of the oath because it has the beginning of the words and means ‘He will forgive you.’

The word ‘*die’* is read as *mittum* with the people of the Hijaz, as in *nimtum*, from the verb *māta yamātu*, like *kiftu yakhāfu*. Lower Muḍar say *muttum*, like *ṣumtum*, from *māta yamūtu*, *kāna yakūnu*, and *qāla yaqūlu*. This is the position of the Kufans and it is good.

The phrase ‘it is to Allah that you will be gathered’ is a warning. By saying this, Allah warns them: ‘Do not flee from the battle and from what you have been commanded to do. Rather flee from His punishment which is painful. You will return to Him and no one but
He has the power to harm or benefit you.’ Allah knows best.

فِيْمَا جَعَلْنَا إِلَيْكُمْ وَلَوْ كُتِبَ فَإِنَّ اللَّهَ عَزِيزٌ حَكِيمٌ

159 It is a mercy from Allah that you were gentle with them. If you had been rough or hard of heart, they would have scattered from around you. So pardon them and ask forgiveness for them, and consult with them about the matter. Then when you have reached a firm decision, put your trust in Allah. Allah loves those who put their trust in Him.

It is a mercy from Allah that you were gentle with them.

The use of ‘mā’ in ‘it is a mercy’ is connective and used for stress as is the case elsewhere in the Qur’an (4:155, 38:11). It is not redundant in general although Sibawayh applies the idea of redundancy to it since its governing function is removed.

The meaning of the āyah is that when the Prophet was kind to those who had retreated at Uḥud and did not rebuke them, Allah made it clear that it was by Allah’s success that he did that.

It is also said that ‘mā’ is interrogative, meaning, ‘By what mercy from Allah were you gentle to them?’ and expresses wonder. This is unlikely. If that were the case, mā would be written without the alif. The verb for being gentle is lāna yalīnu.

If you had been rough or hard of heart, they would have scattered from around you.

The word ‘rough’ (fazz) implies coarseness and roughness, from the verb faţza. The plural is afţāz. The Prophet was not rough or harsh nor did he shout in markets. The expression ‘hard of heart’ refers to scowling, lack of indulgence, and lack of compassion and mercy. Al-Mufaḍḍal said about a reminder:
He is not rough (faẓz) with the lowly.
   They aim for his gifts, but he is easy.

He is rough (faẓz) with his enemies who are cautious of him,
   as his attack is death, and its recipient is cut.

Another said:
I will die of deprivation in my house
   While others die of surfeit.

This world is generous to the ignorant
   and harsh and rough (faẓz) to those of intelligence.

Hardness of the heart is expressed through the frowning of the face, lack of reaction to the desires of others, and lack of compassion and mercy. A poet said:

He weeps for us, but we do not weep for anyone.
   Our livers are harder than those of camels.

The verb ‘scattered’ means split up. You separate (Form I) people and they are split up. The root of the word faḍḍ means to break and snap. An illustration of that is the words of Abu-n-Najm describing camels:

Early to water, not bare.
   The pebbles scatter from them on the high plateau.

The root of faḍḍ has a kasrah. That can be seen from the expression: ‘lā yafḍuḍu-llāhu fāk’ (May Allah not break your teeth).
   The meaning is: ‘O Muḥammad, were it not for your kindness, their shame and awe of being near to you would have prevented them from coming back to you after they had retreated and abandoned you.’

So pardon them and ask forgiveness for them and consult with them about the matter.
   Scholars say that Allah commanded His Prophetﷺ to do these
things in a specific order. First He commanded him to pardon them for what was due to him in particular. Once he had done this, He commanded him to ask forgiveness for what they owed to Allah. Then when they were in this degree they were worthy of being consulted about matters.

Linguists say that consultation (\textit{istishārah}) is derived from a verb which means ‘to train an animal to run and other things.’ 

\textit{Mishwār} is a place where animals are made to run. \textit{Mashūr} and \textit{mushtār} are those who gather honey from its hives. ‘Adī ibn Zayd said:

The Shaykh gave him permission to listen and for hadiths like white honey (\textit{mushār}).

Ibn ‘Atīyyah said, ‘\textit{Shūrā} (consultation) is one of the principles of the Shari‘ah and a firmly established ruling. Anyone who does not consult the people of knowledge and \textit{dīn} should be dismissed. There is no disagreement concerning that. Allah praises the believers when He says: “They manage their affairs by mutual consultation.” (42:38) A bedouin said, “I have never been cheated, allowing my people to be cheated.” “How is that?” he was asked. He replied, “I do not do anything until I consult them.”’ Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘It is mandatory for rulers to consult scholars about things they do not know and matters of the \textit{dīn} which are unclear to them, as it is also mandatory for army leaders in matters connected to warfare, for important people in matters connected to public welfare, and for notable scholars, ministers and governors in matters connected to the best interests of the land and its government.’ It used to be said, ‘The one who consults never regrets it,’ as it also said, ‘The one who prides himself on his own opinion is misguided.’

\textbf{Consult with them about the matter.}

This indicates the permissibility of \textit{ijtihād} in deciding matters and taking other people’s opinions into account when that is allowed by revelation. Allah permitted His Messenger (ﷺ) to do that. The people
of interpretation disagree about the meaning of Allah commanding His Prophet to consult his Companions. One group say that it was simply about military tactics, to encourage them, and raise their standing and draw them to their din. Allah has no need of anyone’s opinion concerning His revelation. This is related from Qatādah, ar-Rabī‘, Ibn Isḥāq and ash-Shāfi‘ī. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that it is on the same line as the words, ‘Consult the virgin’ which is meant to set her heart at ease, but it is not that it is actually mandatory.

Muqātil, Qatādah and ar-Rabī‘ said that when the Arab leaders were not consulted, it was upsetting for them, and so Allah commanded His Prophet to consult them about the matter out of kindness to them, to assuage their rancour and to elevate them. When he consulted them, they recognised the honour he showed them.

Others say that this is about things concerning which there is no revelation. Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘Allah did not command his Prophet to consult out of any need for their opinion, but to instruct them in the virtue of consultation and so that his community would follow it after him.’ The reading if Ibn ‘Abbās has ‘fī ba‘ḍi-l-amr’ (some of the matter). Excellent are the words of a poet:

Consult your friend regarding what is hidden and abstruse.
Accept the advice of a gracious counselor.

Allah instructed His Prophet to do that
when He said, “Consult with them” and “trust”.

It is reported in the Musannaf of Abū Dāwūd from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘The one consulted is trusted.’

Scholars say that if someone is consulted about legal rulings, he should have knowledge of the din. That is rarely found in other than someone of intelligence. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘The din of a person is not complete as long as his intellect is not complete.’ When someone like
this is consulted and strives in righteousness and makes an effort and there is an error in what he indicates, then he is not blameworthy. Al-Khaṭṭābī and others said that.

The description of the one consulted in matters of this world should be that he is intelligent, experienced and friendly to the one who consults him. He said:

Consult your truthful friend about any unclear, hidden matter.

Another said:

If the door to a matter is difficult of access for you,

then consult someone intelligent and do not disobey him.

*Shūrā* is a blessing. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The one who consults does not regret and the one who does *istikhārah* is not disappointed.’ Sahl ibn Sa‘d as-Sā‘idī reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘No one is ever made wretched by consultation nor made happy by being satisfied with his own opinion.’ One of them said, ‘Consult someone who is experienced in matters. He will give you his opinion based on what usually happens to him, and you take it free of charge.’ ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb made the appointment of a *khalīfah*, which is the greatest of matters, a matter of counsel.

Al-Bukhārī said, ‘The rulers after the Prophet ﷺ used to consult the trustworthy people of knowledge in allowable matters in order to adopt the easiest of them.’ Sufyān ath-Thawrī said, ‘Let the people you consult be people of *taqwā* and trust and those who fear Allah Almighty.’ Al-Ḥasan said, ‘By Allah, a people do not consult one another without being guided to the best of what is with them.’ It is reported from ‘Alī that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘There are no people who have a council and someone named Aḥmad or Muḥammad attends it and they admit him to it, but that it is good for them.’

*Shūrā* is based on differences of opinion and the person who consults is looking into those differences and trying to ascertain
which is the closest possible position to the Book and the Sunnah. When Allah guides him to whatever He wishes, then he should resolve firmly on it and carry it out, trusting in it, since this is the aim of *ijtihād*. This is what Allah commanded the Prophet ☪ to do in this āyah.

**Then when you have reached a firm decision, put your trust in Allah.**

Qatādah said, ‘Allah Almighty commanded His Prophet ☪ that, when he reached a firm decision, he should act on it and trust in Allah, not in the advice he had been given. Resolving on a firm decision is the correct thing to do. Following opinion rather than firm resolve is only based on the decision of those who strive among the bold Arabs. It is as is said:

“When he aspires, he has resolve firmly before him and sets aside any mention of outcomes.

He only consults himself in his opinion and is only content with handle of the sword as companion.”’

An-Naqqāsh said, ‘Resolve is the intention to carry something out. Ḥazm (determination) is putting a ḥā’ in place of the ‘āyn (‘āzm) in resolve. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘This is an error. ‘Azm is the intention to carry out something. Allah says: “Consult with them about the matter. Then when you have reached a firm decision…”’ Consultation and that which has the same meaning amounts to resolve. The Arabs say, ‘I will be firm if I resolve.’

Ja‘far aṣ-Ṣādiq and Jābir ibn Zayd recited ‘azamtu’, ‘When I have decided,’ attributing the resolve to Allah Himself by His guidance and granting success as when He said: ‘You did not throw when you threw. It was Allah who threw.’ (8:17) Thus the words mean: ‘When I have resolved for you, given you success and guided you, then put your trust in Allah.’ The rest recite ‘azaymta’, meaning ‘when you have decided.’

Al-Muhallab said, ‘The Prophet ☪ accepted this and said, “It is
not proper for a Prophet who has donned armour on behalf of His community to take it off until Allah decides.” It means that when he has made a decision, he should not turn away from it because that would be contrary to the trust in Allah which Allah stipulated should accompany resolve. He put on his armour when it was suggested by those whom Allah would honour with martyrdom to go forth with him. They were the righteous believers who had missed Badr. They said, “Messenger of Allah, lead us out against our enemies!”

‘The Prophet ☪ had indicated that they should stay and that was also the view of ‘Abdullāh ibn Ubayy. He said, “Messenger of Allah, stay and do not lead the people out to them. If they stay, they will stay in a bad situation. If they come against us in Madīnah, we will fight them in the courtyards and alleyways and the women and children will throw stones at them from the walls. By Allah, we have never fought an enemy in this city without defeating them and we have never gone out to an enemy without their defeating us.” Others rejected this view and kept urging the people and calling for battle. The Prophet ☪ prayed Jumu‘ah and went into his house afterwards and donned his armour. Then those people regretted that and said, “We forced the Messenger of Allah.” When he came out to them in his armour, they said, “Messenger of Allah, stay if you wish. We do not want to force you.” Then the Prophet ☪ said, “It is not proper for a Prophet who has donned armour on behalf of His community to take it off until he fights.”’

**Allah loves those who put their trust in Him.**

*Tawakkul* is reliance on Allah while displaying lack of power. The noun is *tuklān*. One says, ‘*ittakaltu ‘alayhi*’ (I relied on him). Its root is ‘*iwtakaltu*’ and the *wāw* has been changed to a *yā*’ because of the *kasrah* before it. Then the *tā*’ has replaced it and assimilated into the *tā*’ of Form VIII. One also uses Form II and says, ‘*wakkaltu bi*.’ The noun is *wikālah* or *wakālah*.

Scholars disagree about *tawakkul*. A group of Sufis say that the only
ones entitled to it are those whose hearts are not mixed with fear of other than Allah, not of wild animals or anything else, and until they give up seeking for provision since Allah has guaranteed it.

The position of most fuqahā’ was already mentioned (3:122). It is sound as we made clear. Mūsā and Hārūn experienced fear since Allah said to them: ‘Have no fear,’ (20:67-68) and Ibrāhīm is mentioned as fearing the angels when they came to him. (11:70) If Ibrāhīm the Friend and Mūsā the One-spoken-to, can show fear, that is enough evidence for us, and it is more likely that others will be fearful. This idea will be further explained.

إِنْ تَعْرَضْكُمُ اللَّهُ فَلَا عَابِثَ لَكُمْ وَإِنْ يُعْدَدَ لَكُمْ فَقَنِّ ذَا أَلْيَةَ بَصِيرُكُمْ

160 If Allah helps you, no one can vanquish you. If He forsakes you, who can help you after that? So the believers should put their trust in Allah.

If you rely on Him and trust in Him, He will help you and defend you against your enemies and you will not be overcome. If He were to forsake you, then no one could help you. The one forsaken is the one who is not helped as He says: ‘If He forsakes you…’ Forsaking (khidhlān) is absence of help and someone who is makhdūl is abandoned and of no concern. A gazelle which abandons (khadhalat) its fellow females and stands over its calf in the field is called khadhūl. Tārafah said:

Isolated (khadhūl), grazing in a dense grove,

Eating the edges of the fruit of the arak, adorned.

He also said:

She looked at you with the eye of a girl.

She has left (khadhalat) her fellow does for her calf.

It is said that there is some reversal because she is makhdhūlah when she is forsaken. Ṭakhādhala describes legs when they become weak.
[Al-A‘shā] said:

The leg is weak (*khadhūl*) without being lame. A leg that is *khadhulah* is one that continues to be weak. Allah knows best.

وُمَا كَانَ لَيْكَ حَيْثُ أَنْ يُقَلُّ وَمَنْ يُقِلُّ فَيْنَ تَ أَيْضَ أَنْ يُقِلُّ وَمَنْ أَلْقَى ﺧَالَةً فَيْنَ طَلُبَ في

161 No Prophet would ever be guilty of misappropriation. Those who misappropriate will arrive on the Day of Rising with what they have misappropriated. Then every self will be paid in full for what it earned. They will not be wronged.

When the archers left their positions at Uḥud out of fear that the other Muslims would seize all the booty and not leave anything for them, Allah made it clear that the Prophet ﷺ would not be unjust in the distribution and they did not have the right to loot.

Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘The reason is that on one of his expeditions the Messenger of Allah ﷺ sent out scouts and they took booty before they came to him. He divided the spoils among the people, but not the scouts and Allah revealed in criticism: “No Prophet would ever be guilty of misappropriation,” by allotting to some and not others.’ Something similar is related from Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Ikrimah, Ibn Jubayr and others. They said that it was revealed because a piece of red velvet was missing from the booty at Badr. One of those with the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Perhaps the Prophet ﷺ took it,’ and this was revealed. Abū Dāwūd and at-Tirmidhī transmitted it. He said that it is a *gharīb ḥasan* hadith. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘It is said that this was spoken by the believers, who saw no harm in that. It is said that it was the hypocrites. It is also related that it was a sword which was missing.’ This is based on reading: ‘yaghulla’.

Abū Ṣakhr related from Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b: “No Prophet would ever be guilty of misappropriation” means that no Prophet would conceal something of the Book of Allah.’ It is said that the *lām* is one that
indicates a transfer. It is also recited as *yughalla*. Ibn as-Sikkīt said, ‘We have only heard *ghalla* used about booty. And it is recited as “*yaghulla*” and “*yughalla*”. The first means to act falsely and the second is that acting thus is falsely attributed to him. The verb means to take something secretly.’

Ibn ‘Arafah said that misappropriation is called *ghulūl* because the hands are prevented (*maghlūlah*) from doing that. Abū ‘Ubayd said that *ghulūl* is only used in reference to booty. He said that he did not think that it is used for treachery or malice. It is, however, clear that *aghalla* is part of treachery, *ghalla yaghillu* is part of malice, and *ghalla yaghillu* is part of misappropriation of booty. The verb is also used of a camel that cannot quench its thirst. It is also used for being treacherous as in the poem by an-Namir:

May Allah repay Ḥamzah ibn Nawfal from us with the repayment of a traitor (*mughill*) false to his trust.

We find in a hadith, ‘There should be no treachery (*ighlāl*) and no theft.’ It is said, ‘No bribery.’ Shurayḥ said, ‘There is no liability for a borrower unless he is treacherous (*mughill*).’ The Prophet said, ‘There are three to whom the heart of a believer is not treacherous…’ If the word is read with a *fatḥah*, then it is about rancour.

The verb *ghalla* can be either transitive or intransitive. ‘*Ghalla-l-maʃəwiz*’ means ‘he entered the midst of the deserts.’ The verb is used for water running between trees. Linguistically, it is to take something from the booty while concealing it from one’s companions. The verb *taghalghala* is used for water running in between the trees. *Ghalal* is the water flowing at the roots of trees because it is concealed by the trees. It is as is said:

The torrents played with it and its water disappeared amid the trees, stopping at the roots of the castor-oil plant.

*Ghilālah* is an undergarment. *Ghāll* is depressed land with trees in it.
Places where the trees called Salam and Ṭalḥ grow are called ghāll. It is also the name of a plant. The plural is ghullān.

Some people say that ‘yughalla’ means that a ghāll exists. According to this interpretation, the reading is based on the meaning of ‘yaghulla’. According to most scholars, the meaning of ‘yughalla’ is that no one should accuse him of misappropriation in the booty.

The āyah forbids people to misappropriate booty and threatens those who do that. That should not be done to anyone, and it is worse still when applied to the Prophet ﷺ. He is singled out for mention because treachery towards him is a greater sin because wrong actions in his presence are magnified since it is mandatory to respect him. The rulers follow the command of the Prophet ﷺ and so they have their portion of respect. It is said that it means that no Prophet has ever misappropriated and so what is meant by the sentence is not a prohibition, but a report.

**Those who misappropriate will arrive on the Day of Rising with what they have misappropriated.**

He will bear it on his back and shoulder, being punished by carrying it and its weight, terrified by its voice and displaying his treachery before witnesses. This is the disgrace which Allah will inflict on the misappropriator similar to what He will do to the traitor who will have a banner set up behind him stating the extent of his treachery. Allah assigned punishments according to what human beings know and understand. Do you not see what a poet said?

Do you name me? Woe to you! Have you heard of the banner of treachery regarding her raised in the gathering?

The Arabs used to raise banners because of treachery and similarly a criminal would be paraded with his crime.

In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Abū Hurayrah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ
stood among us one day and mentioned *ghulūl* and how terrible it was and then said, “I should not like to see any of you come on the Day of Resurrection, carrying round his neck a camel that will be grunting. Such a man will say, ‘Messenger of Allah, help me!’ I will say, ‘I can do nothing for you. I conveyed the Message to you.’ I should not like to see any of you come on the Day of Resurrection, carrying round his neck a horse that will be neighing. Such a man will say, ‘Messenger of Allah, help me!’ I will say, ‘I can do nothing for you. I conveyed the Message to you.’ I should not like to see any of you come on the Day of Resurrection, carrying round his neck a sheep that will be bleating. Such a man will say, ‘Messenger of Allah, help me!’ I will say, ‘I can do nothing for you. I conveyed the Message to you.’ I should not like to see any of you come on the Day of Resurrection, carrying round his neck a soul that will be shouting. Such a man will say, ‘Messenger of Allah, help me!’ I will say, ‘I can do nothing for you. I conveyed the Message to you.’ I should not like to see any of you come on the Day of Resurrection, carrying round his neck rags that will be fluttering. Such a man will say, ‘Messenger of Allah, help me!’ I will say, ‘I can do nothing for you. I conveyed the Message to you.’ I should not like to see any of you come on the Day of Resurrection, carrying round his neck gold and silver. Such a man will say, ‘Messenger of Allah, help me!’ I will say, ‘I can do nothing for you. I conveyed the Message to you.’”

Abū Dāwūd related that Samurah ibn Jundub said, ‘When the Messenger of Allah ﷺ gained some booty, he commanded Bilāl to make an announcement among the people and they would bring their booty, and then he would take the fifth and divide it. A day after the announcement a man brought a halter of hair and said, “Messenger of Allah, this is part of what we got in the booty.” He asked, “Did you hear Bilāl call out three times?” “Yes,” he replied. He asked, “What kept you from bringing it?” He made some excuse and the Prophet ﷺ said, “No, you will bring it on the Day of Rising.
I will not accept it from you.”

Some scholars say that it means that the person will be given the burden of that on the Day of Rising. It is as Allah says in another āyah: ‘They will bear their burdens on their backs. How evil is what they bear!’ (8:30) It is said that the report conveys the great seriousness of the matter. It means that on the Day of Rising a person will come and Allah will make his situation known, just as it would be known if he were carrying a grunting camel or a neighing horse on his back. This is making it metaphorical and not actual. When, however, words can be actual or metaphorical, the actual is the basis, as we read in the books of fundamental principles. The Prophet ﷺ was informing about the reality. [As the proverb goes,] ‘There is no perfume after ‘Arūs,’ [meaning that there is no glossing after the fact.]

It is said that if someone misappropriates something in this world, its form will appear to him on the Day of Rising in the Fire, and he will be told to go down and get it. When he goes down to it, it will continue to drop until it reaches the bottom of Hell. It will continue like that for as long as Allah wishes. It is said the words ‘arrive with what he has misappropriated’ means that that treachery and misappropriation will testify against him on the Day of Rising.

Scholars say that ghulūl is one of the great wrong actions on the strength of this āyah and the hadith already mentioned by Abū Hurayrah about a person carrying it on their neck. The Prophet ﷺ also said about Mid‘am, ‘No! By the One who has my self in his hand! The cloak which he took from the spoils on the Day of Khaybar before they were distributed will blaze with fire on him.’ When the people heard that, a man brought a sandal-strap – or two sandal- straps – to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ. The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘A sandal-strap – or two sandal-straPs – of fire!’ That is transmitted in the Muwatṭā’. The fact that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘By the One Who has my self in His hand’ and did not pray over the
one who committed *ghulūl* is evidence of its gravity. It is one of the rights of people for which there must be retaliation in good and bad actions. Then the person is left to Allah’s will. His words, ‘A sandal-strap – or two sandal-straps – of fire’ is like his words ☞, ‘Bring the needle and thread.’ This indicates that it is not lawful to take anything, great or small, from the booty before it is distributed.

The only exceptions that are agreed upon is food eaten, firewood and game caught in enemy territory. Az-Zuhrī reported that food can only be taken in enemy territory with the permission of the leader. This is baseless since traditions contradict it. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘When the Companions of the Messenger of Allah ☞ conquered a city or fortress, they ate from the pottage, flour, ghee and honey.’ Ibrāhīm said that they may eat and fodder animals in enemy territory before the fifth is taken. ‘Aṭā’ also said that people on an expedition can eat ghee, honey and food they take and the rest must be handed over to the leader. This is the position of the majority of scholars.

This hadith is evidence for the fact that the baggage of the misappropriator is not burned because the Messenger of Allah ☞ did not burn the baggage of the man who had taken the cloak nor that of the other man over whom he did not pray. If he had burned it, that would have been transmitted as an obligation. As for the hadith related from ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb saying that the Prophet ☞ said, ‘If you find a man who has misappropriated, burn his baggage and beat him,’ which is reported by Abū Dāwūd and at-Tirmidhī from Ṣāliḥ ibn Muḥammad ibn Zā’idah, it is weak and not used as evidence. At-Tirmidhī said, ‘I asked Muḥammad (meaning al-Bukhārī) about this hadith and he said, ‘This is related by Ṣāliḥ ibn Muḥammad who is Abū Wāqīd al-Laythī. His hadiths are *munkar*.’

Abū Dāwūd also related that he said, ‘We went on an expedition with al-Walīd ibn Hishām and were accompanied by Sālim ibn
‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar and ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz. A man took some goods from the booty and Ibn Hishām commanded that his baggage be burned. He was paraded about and not given a share of the booty.’ Abū Dāwūd said, ‘This is the sounder of the two hadiths.’

It is related from ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb from his father from his grandfather that the Messenger of Allah, Abū Bakr and ‘Umar burned the baggage of misappropriators and beat them. Abū Dāwūd said, “Alī ibn Baḥr added in it from al-Walīd (whom I have not heard of), “they denied him his share.”” Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Some of those who related this hadith said, “His head is cut off and his baggage is burned.”’ This hadith goes back to Ṣāliḥ ibn Muḥammad who is not considered to be authoritative. It is confirmed that the Prophet said, ‘A Muslim’s blood is only lawful for one of three reasons.’ So, on this basis, there can be no execution for stealing from the booty.

Ibn Jurayj related from Abu-z-Zubayr from Jābir that the Prophet said, ‘There is no hand amputation in the case of someone who is treacherous, someone who loots or someone who snatches.’ This contradicts the hadith of Ṣāliḥ ibn Muḥammad and has a stronger isnād. A misappropriator is treacherous both linguistically and in the Sharī‘ah. If amputation is forbidden, then it is even more appropriate that execution would be as well. Aṭ-Ṭaḥāwī said, ‘If this hadith of Ṣāliḥ is sound, then it may have been at a time when there were punishments for crimes of property, as with the person who refused to pay zakāt indicated by the words: ‘I will take it along with half of his property, one of the firm commands of Allah Almighty.’ It is as Abū Hurayrah said about a lost camel that was concealed: ‘Its indemnity is owed and its like along with it.’ It is as ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ said about hanging dates: ‘Its indemnity is twice the amount and some lashes as a deterring punishment.’ All of this is abrogated. Allah knows best.

If a man commits this crime and the object is found, it is taken
from him and he is disciplined and punished. Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah and their people, and al-Layth say that his baggage is not burned. Ash-Shāfi‘ī, al-Layth and Dāwūd say that if he knows of the prohibition, he is punished. Al-Awzā‘ī said that all his baggage is burned except for his weapons, the clothes he is wearing and his saddle, but his mount is not taken from him and what he stole is not burned. This is the position of Aḥmad and Isḥāq. Al-Ḥasan said that but excluded animals or a copy of the Qur’an.

Ibn Khuwayzimandād said that it is reported that Abū Bakr and ʿUmar burned the baggage of people who misappropriated and beat them. Ibn ʿAbd al-Barr said that those who said that the saddle and baggage of misappropriators are burned include Makḥūl and Saʿīd ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz. Their evidence is the hadith reported, but in our view a hadith does not oblige violation of vested rights nor carrying out a judgment when there are stronger traditions than it. What Mālik and his followers believed regarding this question is sounder in respect of investigation and sound tradition. Allah knows best.

Mālik’s school does not differ about physical punishment. As for property, it is said that what when a dhimmī sells wine to a Muslim, the wine he sold to a Muslim is poured out and the price taken from the dhimmī as a punishment so that he does not sell wine to other Muslims. Thus financial penalties are permitted. ʿUmar poured out milk diluted with water.

Scholars agree that misappropriators must return all they took to the one in charge of the division before people separate if they can do that. If they do it, that is their repentance and requital of their wrong action. They disagree about what they do if the army has separated and they cannot reach the leader. A group of the people of knowledge say that they must give a fifth to the ruler and the rest as ṣadaqah. This is the position of az-Zuhrī, Mālik, al-Awzā‘ī, al-Layth and ath-Thawrī. It is also related from ʿUbādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit, Muʿāwiyah and al-Ḥasan al- Баṣrī and is similar to the position of
Ibn Mas‘ūd and Ibn ‘Abbās because they thought that they should give it away as ṣadaqah, and that is also the school of Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal. Ash-Shāfi‘ī says that they cannot give away someone else’s property as ṣadaqah.’

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘I consider this to be the case when the owners or the heirs no longer exist. If none of them exist, then ash-Shāfi‘ī does not dislike ṣadaqah in that case, Allah willing. They agree that a found object can be given as ṣadaqah after it has been announced and not claimed. If the owner arrives after that, then he has a choice between a reward or liability. The same principle applies to misappropriated property. Success is by Allah.

The fact that there is liability for misappropriation indicates that those who take booty share equally in the booty and it is not lawful for anyone to be preferred in part of it over someone else. There is agreement that if someone steals some of it, he should be disciplined, as we already stated.

If someone has sexual intercourse with a slavegirl or steals a share, scholars disagree about carrying out the ḥadd punishment on him. One group think that there should be no amputation in this case.

Gifts to governors are equivalent to misappropriation. The disgrace of that in the Next World will be the same as that of the misappropriator. In the Sunan, Abū Dāwūd, and Muslim in the Ṣaḥīḥ, report from Abū Ḥumayd as-Sā‘īdī that: ‘The Prophet appointed a man called Ibn al-Lutbiyyah from Azd to collect the zakāt. When he arrived back, he said, “This is for you and this was given as a gift to me.” The Prophet stood on the minbar, praised and glorified Allah and then said, “I appointed one of your men to be in charge of an activity for which Allah has made me responsible, and then he came and said, ‘This is for you and this was given as a gift to me.’ Why did he not sit in the house of his father or mother until his gift came to him if he is speaking the truth? By Allah, none of you will take anything without right but that he will meet Allah
Almighty carrying it on the Day of Rising, a camel which is grumbling, or a cow which is mooing, or a sheep which is bleating.” Then he raised his hands until the whites of his armpits could be seen, and said, “O Allah! Have I conveyed it?” three times.’ Abū Dāwūd reported from Buraydah that the Prophet said, ‘If we appoint someone to a post and we pay him a wage, anything he takes after that is ghulūl.’

It is also related that Abū Mas‘ūd al-Anṣārī said, ‘The Messenger of Allah sent me as a collector and said, “Go, Abū Mas‘ūd, and I should not like to see you come on the Day of Rising with one of the zakāt camels grumbling on your back, saying, ‘You have misappropriated me.’” When I did not go, he said, “I will not force you.”’

These hadiths specify what Abū Dāwūd related from al-Mustawrid ibn Shaddād who said, ‘I heard the Messenger of Allah say, “Whoever is an agent for us should acquire a wife. If he does not have a servant, he should acquire a servant. If he does not have a house, he should acquire a house.”’ Abū Bakr said, ‘I was told that the Prophet said, “Whoever takes other than that is a thieving misappropriator.”’

Another aspect of ghulūl is keeping back books from their people, and other things are included as well. Az-Zuhrī said, ‘Beware of ghulūl in books.’ He was asked what that was and replied, ‘Keeping them from their people.’ It is said that it can mean to conceal from people something of revelation out of desire, fear or flattery. That is when people disliked something in the Qur’an that criticised their religion and abused their gods. They asked for it to be concealed. Muḥammad ibn Bashshār said that. What we began with is the position of the majority.
162 Is someone who pursues the pleasure of Allah the same as someone who incurs displeasure from Allah and whose refuge is Hell? What an evil destination! 163
They have different ranks with Allah. Allah sees what they do.

The one who follows the pleasure of Allah is the one who abandons ghulūl and is steadfast in jihād. The one who incurs His displeasure is the one who espouses disbelief, ghulūl, or not following the Prophet in battle. Such a person will go to the Fire if he does not repent or Allah does not pardon him. It is an evil place to return to. ‘Pleasure’ is read as both riḍwān and ruḍwān, like ‘udwān and ‘idwān.

They have different ranks with Allah.

The one who gains Allah’s pleasure is not like the one who incurs His displeasure. It is said that their ranks vary with Allah. Whoever gains His pleasure will have honour and immense reward and the one who incurs His displeasure will have abasement and painful punishment. It means that they will have different ranks, or be arranged in different ranks, or will be in different ranks, or will possess different ranks.

The People of the Fire also have different ranks, as in the words, ‘I found him in the depths of the Fire and brought him out to the shallows.’ A believer is not the same as an unbeliever in rank, and then the believers differ from one another, some having higher ranks than others, as is the case with the unbelievers. Darajah is a rank. Darj is to go through rank after rank. The stations in the Fire are referred to as ‘darakāt’ as Allah says: ‘The hypocrites are in the lowest level of the Fire.’ (4:145) Those who do not misappropriate will have ranks (darajāt) in the Garden, and those who do so will have levels (darakāt) in the Fire. Abū ʿUbaydah said that Hell has levels (adrāk), each of which is a darak or dark. That refers to descending levels while darajāt refers to ascending ones.
164 Allah showed great kindness to the believers when He sent a Messenger to them from among themselves to recite His Signs to them and purify them and teach them the Book and Wisdom, even though before that they were clearly misguided.

Allah made it clear that He has given them a great favour by sending Muḥammad ﷺ to them. Several things are said about the meaning of the kindness (minnah) referred to here. One is that 'from among themselves,' means he is a human being like them. Since he showed definitive evidence and is a human being like them, they knew that that was from Allah. It is said that it is because he is one of them and so they are honoured by him ﷺ and that is the favour. It is said that he is one of them so that they know his condition and nothing of his path is hidden from them. Since his place was among them, it would be more fitting for them to fight for him and not retreat from him. There is an irregular reading which has ‘min anfasihim’, meaning ‘from the noblest of them’ because he is from the Banū Hāshim and the Banū Hāshim are the best of Quraysh, and Quraysh are the best of the Arabs, and the Arabs are better than anyone else.

It is said that although ‘believers’ is general, its meaning here is particular to the Arabs because there is no Arab tribe which he is not descended from and they have lineage related to him, except for the Banū Taghlib who were Christians until Allah purified them of that. This interpretation is further elaborated by Allah’s words: ‘It is He who raised up among the unlettered people a Messenger from them.’ (62:2)

Abū Muḥammad ‘Abd al-Ghanī mentioned from Abū Aḥmad al-Baṣrī from Qāḍī Abū Bakr Aḥmad ibn ‘Alī ibn Sa‘d al-Marwazī
from Yaḥyā ibn Maʿīn from Hishām ibn Yūsuf from ‘Abdullāh ibn Sulaymān an-Nawfalī from az-Zuhrī from ‘Urwah that ‘Āʾishah said, ‘Allah showed great kindness to the believers when He sent a Messenger to them from among themselves.’ She said, ‘This is particular to the Arabs.’ Others have said that Allah means all believers here and ‘from among themselves’ means that he is one of them and a human being like them, but he was singled out for the Revelation. This is the meaning of Allah’s words: ‘A Messenger has come to you from among yourselves.’ (9:128) The believers are singled out because they benefit from it. The favour to them is therefore greater.

‘Yatlū’ is in the accusative to describe the Messenger. It means that he recites. Tilāwah is recitation. ‘Before that’ means that before Muḥammad they were in clear misguidance. It is said that ‘in’ means mā and the lām in the predicate means ‘illā’. It is like the phrase ‘even though before this you were astray’ (2:198), meaning that previously they were only among those who were misguided. This is the school of the Kufans. The meaning of this āyah was already discussed in al-Baqarah.

165 Why is it that when a calamity happens to you, when you have already inflicted twice as much, you say, ‘How could this possibly happen?’ Say, ‘It has come from your own selves.’ Allah has power over all things.

The alif at the beginning denotes a question and the wāw adds it to what comes before it. The ‘calamity’ referred to is their defeat at Uḥud and the words ‘you already inflicted twice as much’ refer to Badr. There they killed seventy and took seventy captive. A captive is like someone killed because he can be killed by his captor. You defeated them at Badr and at the beginning of Uḥud and killed about twenty of them then. You killed them in two battles and they afflicted you at
Uḥud.

You say, ‘How could this possibly happen?’

‘How could we suffer this defeat and killing when we are fighting in the Way of Allah and are Muslims, and the Prophet and revelation are among us, and they are idolaters?’

Say, ‘It has come from your own selves.’

This refers to the disobedience of the archers. There are no people who obey their Prophet in war without being victorious because when they obey, they are the Party of Allah, and the Party of Allah are necessarily the victors.

Qatādah and ar-Rabī’ ibn Anas said, ‘Allah means their asking the Prophet to go out when he wanted to remain in Madīnah and the interpretation of the dream of armour being a fortress.’

‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālīb said, ‘It is their choosing ransom at Badr instead of killing.’ They were told, ‘If you let the captives be ransomed, an equal number of you will be killed.’ The Prophet said about the captives at Badr, ‘You can kill them if you wish or, if you wish, let them be ransomed and enjoy the ransom, and then a like number of you will be martyred.’ The last of the seventy was Thābit ibn Qays who was in the Battle of Yamāmah. According to the first two views, ‘from your own selves’ refers to your wrong actions. According to the last view, it is your choice.

ومَا أَصْبَحْكُمْ أَتْفَوَاتُ مَنْ أَتَجَهْنَ أَفَانُونَ أَلْحَمْرُ أَلْحَمْرُ أَلْحَمْرُ
تَنَافَوْا وَقُلْ لَمَّا نَفَء مُتَأَلِّهَا فَنُفِيَ الْأَمْرُ لِلَّهِ أَوَ إِذْ فَعَلْتُمْ فَ لَوْ لَمْ تُسْنَأَ
فَيَأْفَوْا مَا لَيْسَ فَ قَلْبِهَا أَلْحَمُ بَعْضُ مَنْ تَحْيَبُهُمْ يَكْتَمُونَ
يَأْفَوْهُمْ مَا لَيْسَ فَ قَلْبِهَا أَلْحَمُ بَعْضُ مَنْ تَحْيَبُهُمْ يَكْتَمُونَ

166 What assailed you on the day the two armies met was by Allah’s permission, so that He would know the believers, 167 and so that He would know the hypocrites. They were told, ‘Come and fight in the Way of Allah or at least help defend us.’ They said, ‘If we
knew that there would be a fight, we would certainly follow you.’ They were closer to unbelief that day than to belief, saying with their mouths what was not in their hearts. And Allah knows best what they are hiding.

What assailed you on the day the two armies met was by Allah’s permission, so that He would know the believers

The ‘day’ here is Uḥud, and it refers to the killing, wounding and defeat that took place there. ‘By Allah’s permission’ means ‘with His knowledge’ or ‘by His decision and decree’. Al-Qaffāl said, ‘By leaving you and them, not that He willed that.’ This is the Mu’tazilite interpretation. The ḥā’ is added because mā means ‘which’ here. That which assailed them when the two armies met was by Allah’s permission, and so it is like a precondition as Sībawayh said.

so that He would know the believers and so that He would know the hypocrites.

‘Knowing’ the believers and the hypocrites means to distinguish between them or to reveal them. It is said that it means to show the faith of the believers by their firmness in fighting and to show the disbelief of hypocrites by showing their abuse so that they will know that.

They were told, ‘Come and fight in the Way of Allah or at least help defend us.’

‘They were told’: the hypocrites here mean ‘Abdullāh ibn Ubayy and his people who ceased to help the Prophet ﷺ. They numbered 300. ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr ibn Ḥarām al-Anṣārī went after them and said to them, ‘Fear Allah and do not abandon your Prophet! Fight in the Way of Allah or defend!’ Ibn Ubayy said to him, ‘I do not think that there will be fighting. If we knew that there would be fighting, we would be with you.’ When ‘Abdullāh despaired of them, he said, ‘Go, enemies of Allah. Allah and His Messenger have no need of you!’ He went with the Prophet ﷺ and was martyred.
People disagree about the meaning of ‘defend’ here. As-Suddî, Ibn Jurayj and others said, ‘Make our numbers seem larger even if you do not fight with us.’ That is defence against the enemy and deterrence. Making numbers seem larger deters the enemy.

Anas ibn Mālik said, ‘At the battle of al-Qādisiyyah, I saw ‘Abdullâh ibn Umm Maktûm wearing armour whose ends were dragging. He had a black banner in his hand. He was asked, “Did not Allah excuse you [from fighting]?” [He was blind.] He said, “Indeed, but I want to increase the numbers of the believers with myself.”’ It is related that he said, ‘So how is my increasing the numbers in the Cause of Allah!’ Abū ‘Awn al-Anṣârî said, ‘The meaning of “defend” is “to take up position (rābitū)”’. This is close to the first meaning as a murâbiṭ must be a defender because if it had not been for the stationing of the murâbitūn at the borders, the enemy would have entered.

Some commentators believe that the words of ‘Abdullâh ibn ‘Amr, ‘Defend’ were a summons to fight because he called them to fight in the Way of Allah and so that the word of Allah be uppermost. When he saw that they were not following that, he turned his face from them to shame them and show his disdain. Do you not see that Quzmân said, ‘By Allah, I only fought for the honour of my people!’ And do you not see that one of the Anṣâr said on the day of the battle of Uḥud when he saw that Quraysh had released their animals in the fields of the canal, ‘Are we going to let them graze in the fields of the Banū Qaylah without us fighting?’ That means: ‘You are not fighting for the Cause of Allah. You are just fighting for yourselves and your territory.’

**They were closer to unbelief that day than to belief.**

Their state was evident and the veils covering them ripped apart and their hypocrisy disclosed to those who thought they were Muslims. So they were closer to disbelief in their outward state, even though they were actually unbelievers anyway.
The words ‘saying with their mouths what was not in their hearts’ means that they were making a show of faith but concealing disbelief. The word ‘mouths’ stresses that.

168 They are those who said of their brothers, when they themselves had stayed behind, ‘If they had only obeyed us, they would not have been killed.’ Say, ‘Then ward off death from yourselves if you are telling the truth.’

They are those who said of their brothers, when they themselves had stayed behind,

‘They are those who said of their brothers,’ means ‘on behalf of their brothers’. They were the martyrs of Khazraj who had been killed. They were their brothers in lineage and proximity, but not in the din. They said of these martyrs, ‘If they had stayed in Madinah, they would not have been killed.’ It is said that ‘Abdullāh ibn Ubayy and his fellow hypocrites said about them: ‘If those who were killed had obeyed us, they would not have been killed.’ So ‘obeyed us’ means by not going out against Quraysh. ‘They stayed behind’ means ‘They said this and sat by themselves, not going out on jihād.’ Allah then answered them.

Say, ‘Then ward off death from yourselves if you are telling the truth.’

This means: ‘Say to them, Muḥammad: “If you are speaking the truth, then avert death from yourselves.”’ The word dar’ means defence. This makes it clear that there is no defence against the Decree. A person who is killed can only be killed at the end of his life-span. What Allah knows and reports will be must happen. It is said that on that day seventy hypocrites died. Abu-l-Layth as-Samarqandī said, ‘I heard one of the commentators in Samarqand say, “On the day this āyah was revealed, seventy hypocrites died.”’
Do not suppose that those killed in the Way of Allah are dead. No indeed! They are alive and well provided for in the very presence of their Lord, delighting in the favour Allah has bestowed on them, rejoicing over those they left behind who have not yet joined them, feeling no fear and knowing no sorrow,

When Allah made it clear that what happened at Uḥud was a test to distinguish the hypocrites from the truthful, He made it clear that the one who did not flee and was killed enjoyed honour and life with Him. The āyah is about the martyrs of Uḥud. It is also said that it is about martyrs of Bi‘r Ma‘ūnah or that it is general to all martyrs.

We find in the Muṣannaf of Abū Dāwūd, that Ibn ‘Abbās reported that the Messenger of Allah ☪️ said, ‘When your brothers were struck down at Uḥud, Allah placed their spirits in the mouths of green birds which go to the rivers of the Garden, eating from their fruits and returning to gold lamps hanging in the shadow of the Throne. When they found their delightful food and drink and resting-places, they said, “Who will convey to our brothers from us that we are alive and well provided for in the Garden so that they do not turn from doing jihād and do not flinch in battle?” Allah said, “I will convey it for you.” and then Allah revealed, “Do not suppose…””

Baqī ibn Mukhallad related that Jābir said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ☪️ met me and said, “Jābir, why do I see you downcast and worried?” I replied, “Messenger of Allah, my father was martyred and left dependents and he had debts.” He said, “Shall I give you the good news about what your father has received from Allah?” “Yes, indeed,” I replied. He said, “Allah has given life to your father and spoken to him directly – and He speaks to no one except from
behind a veil – and said to him, ‘My slave, wish and I will give to you.’ He said, ‘Lord, return me to the world so that I can be killed for You a second time.’ So the Lord said, ‘It has already been decided by Me that people do not return to it.’ He said, ‘Lord, then convey to those after me.’ So Allah revealed, ‘Do not suppose…’” It is transmitted by Ibn Mājah in the Sunan and at-Tirmidhī in his Jāmi‘ and he said that it is a hasan gharīb hadith.

Wakī‘ related from Sālim ibn al-Afṭas that Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said, ‘When Ḥamzah ibn ‘Abd al-Muṭṭalib and Muṣ‘ab ibn ‘Umayr were struck down and saw the good they had been given, they said, “Would that our brothers knew the good with which we have been provided so that it would increase their desire for jihād!” Allah Almighty said, “I will convey it to them from you,” and He revealed this.’

Abu-ḍ-Ḍuḥā said, ‘This āyah was revealed about the people of Uḥud in particular.’ The first hadith indicates that this view is sound. Some of them said that it was revealed about the martyrs of Badr. They were fourteen men: eight of the Anṣār and six of the Muhājirūn. It is also said that it was revealed about the martyrs of the Bi‘r Ma‘ūnah whose story is well known. Muḥammad ibn Isḥāq and others mentioned it. Others said that when the relatives of the martyrs obtained blessings and happiness, they were filled with regret and said, ‘We are in blessing and happiness while our fathers, sons and brothers are in the grave.’ So Allah revealed this āyah to console them and tell them about the state of those who had been killed.

Generally speaking, even though it is possible for revelation to have a specific cause, Allah reports in it that martyrs are alive in the Garden and provided for, and it is not impossible that, while they have died and their bodies are in the earth, their spirits are still alive, like those of all the believers. They are given provision in the Garden at the moment of their death and so it is as if their life in this world
was continuing.

Scholars disagree about this. The majority take the position we mentioned and say that their life is real. Some say that their spirits are returned to them in their graves and they enjoy bliss, in the same way that the unbelievers are revived in their graves and are punished.

Mujāhid said, ‘They are provided with the fruits of the Garden,’ in other words they experience its scent while not actually being in it. Other people say that the ayah is metaphorical and it means that Allah has judged that they will be blessed in the Garden and so it is praise for them. That is like when you say, ‘So-and-so is not dead,’ meaning that his renown lives on. It is said:

The death of someone godfearing is life without annihilation.

Some people die among people and yet they remain alive.

It means that they continue to be remembered with excellent praise.

Others say that their spirits are in the mouths of green birds and they are provided for in the Garden, eating and enjoying bliss. This is the sound position because the sound transmission about it is that it is actual and the hadith of Ibn ‘Abbās removes any dispute. The same is true of the hadith of Ibn Mas‘ūd that Muslim transmitted. We have further explained the states of the dead and the Next World in Kitāb at-Tadhkirah. Praise be to Allah.

There we mentioned how the martyrs are and the fact that they have different states. As for the interpretation of the martyrs being ‘alive’ meaning that they will be brought back to life here, this is unlikely and is refuted by the Qur’an and Sunnah. Allah’s word ‘alive’ indicates their everlasting life and only those who are alive are provided for. It is said that every year the reward of an expedition is written for them and they share in the reward of every jihād after them until the Day of Rising because they made jihād a sunnah. It is like Allah’s words: ‘So We decreed for the tribe of Israel that if someone kills
another person…” (5:32) That will be dealt with in that sūrah, Allah willing.

It is said that their spirits bow and prostrate under the Throne until the Day of Rising just as the souls of living believers who spend the night in wuḍū’ also do. It is said that it is said because a martyr does not decay in the grave and the earth does not consume him. We mentioned this idea in al-Tadhkirah. The earth does not consume Prophets, martyrs, scholars, mu’adhdhins whose wage is with Allah and those who know the Qur’an.

Since a martyr is adjudged to be alive, there is no prayer said over him, as is the ruling of someone physically alive. Scholars disagree about washing martyrs and praying over them. Mālik, ash-Shāfi’ī Abū Ḥanīfah and ath-Thawrī believe that all martyrs should be washed and prayed over, except for those killed in actual battle against the enemy, based on the hadith of Jābir in which the Prophet said, ‘Bury them in their blood,’ i.e. at Uḥud they were not washed. Al-Bukhārī related it.

Abū Dāwūd related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘The Messenger of Allah commanded that those killed at Uḥud should have their weapons and armour removed from them and be buried in their blood and clothing.’ That is the position of Aḥmad, Ishāq, al-Awzā’ī, Dāwūd, a group of the fuqahā’ and People of Hadith of the cities and Ibn ‘Ulayyah. Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab and al-Ḥasan said that they should be washed. One of them said that the martyrs of Uḥud were not washed because they were so many and the situation distracted them from doing that.

Abū ‘Umar says that no one takes the position of Sa‘īd and al-Ḥasan among the fuqahā’ except for ‘Ubaydollāh ibn al-Ḥasan al-‘Anbārī. What they mentioned about them being distracted from doing it is not a valid reason because each of them had relatives who could wash them and see to them. The reason – and Allah knows best – is, as in the hadith: ‘It will be like the scent of musk on the
Day of Rising.’ So it is clear that the reason is not as some of them said about them being distracted from doing it and there is no place for analogy and investigation in this matter. It is simply a question of following the tradition about those killed at Uḥud not being washed.

One of the later scholars who took the position of al-Ḥasan argued, using the words of the Prophet about the martyrs of Uḥud, ‘I will be a witness for these people on the Day of Rising.’ He said that this indicates that they are special and others do not share with them in that. Abū ʿUmar said that this is aberrant and the position that they are not washed is more appropriate since that was confirmed from the Prophet about those killed at Uḥud and others. Abū Dāwūd related that Jābir said, ‘A man was hit by an arrow in his chest or his throat and died. He was buried in his clothes as he was. He said, “We are with the Messenger of Allah.”’

As for praying over them, scholars also disagree about that. Mālik, al-Layth, ash-Shāfiʿī, Aḥmad and Dāwūd believe that the prayer should not be performed over them, based on the hadith of Jābir which states that two of the dead of Uḥud were buried in the same cloth. It was asked, ‘Which of them knew the most Qur’an?’ One of them was indicated and he was put first in the grave. Then he said, ‘I will be a witness for these people on the Day of Rising.’ He commanded that they should be buried in their blood and not washed or prayed over. The fuqahāʾ of Kufa, Basra and Syria say that the prayer is said over them and they use as evidence mursal hadiths about the Prophet performing the prayer over Ḥamzah. Scholars agree, however, that if someone is removed alive from the battlefield and does not die in the battle, but dies later, the prayer is performed over him, as ‘Umar did.

They disagree about someone killed unjustly, like those killed by Khārijites, highwaymen and the like. Abū Ḥanīfah and ath-Thawrī said that anyone killed unjustly is not washed, but the prayer is said over him and over every martyr, and that is the position of most
Iraqis, and they cite hadiths in support of this. They related by various paths that Zayd ibn Ṣuhān, who was killed in the Battle of the Camel, said, ‘Do not remove my garment nor wash away my blood.’

It is confirmed that ‘Ammār ibn Yāsir said something similar. ‘Ammār was killed at the Battle of Ṣiffīn and ‘Alī did not wash him. Ash-Shāfi‘ī has two positions: one is that they are washed like all the dead except those who are actually killed in battle. This is the position of Mālik. Mālik said that those killed by the unbelievers who die in battle are not washed. The one killed by unbelievers outside of battle is washed and prayed over, and this is the position of Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal. The other position of ash-Shāfi‘ī is that those killed by rebels are not washed. The position of Mālik is sounder. Washing the dead is confirmed by consensus and transmitted from Kufa. So it is mandatory to wash every dead person except for those excluded by consensus or a firm sunnah. Success is by Allah.

If the enemy surprises people in their camp and some of them are killed, is the ruling about them the same as someone killed in battle or the normal ruling of someone who has died? This question arose for us in Cordoba when the enemy attacked by surprise on the third morning of Ramadan 627 AH. Some were killed and some were captured. My father was among those who were killed. I asked our Shaykh, Abu Ja‘far Aḥmad, known as Abū Ḥujjah, about this. He said, ‘Wash him and pray over him. Your father was not killed in battle in the ranks.’ Then I asked our Shaykh Rabī‘ ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Aḥmad ibn Rabī‘ ibn Ubayy and he said that his ruling is that of someone killed in battle. Then I asked the Qāḍī Abu-l-Ḥasan ‘Alī ibn Qīṭrāl, while a group of fuqahā’ were around him. They said, ‘Wash him, shroud him and pray over him.’ I did that. After that I found the question in at-Ṭabaṣṣur by Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Lakhmī and others. If I had found that before, I would not have washed him and I would have buried him in his blood in his clothes.
This āyah indicates the immense reward for being killed in the Way of Allah and martyrdom in respect of it so that it expiates wrong actions, as the Prophet ﷺ stated: ‘Being killed in the way of Allah expiates everything except debt. That is what Jibrīl told me.’ Our scholars say that the mention of debts alludes also to other rights for which one is responsible, like usurpation, taking property wrongfully, murder and deliberate injury and other matters which entail responsibility. None of that is forgiven by jihād, and there is requital in respect of all of that in the form of good actions and bad actions, as reported in the sound Sunnah.

‘Abdullāh ibn Unays reported that he heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘Allah will gather the slaves – or people (Hammām is unsure of the word used) – naked, uncircumcised, bare.’ He was asked what that was and he answered, ‘They will have nothing with them. A voice will call to them which will be heard by all, far and near: “I am the King. I am the Judge. None of the people of the Garden should enter the Garden while one of the people of the Fire is seeking requital of an injustice from him, and none of the people of the Fire should enter the Fire while one of the people of the Garden is seeking requital of an injustice from him, even a slap.”’ They asked, ‘How will we be able to do that when we will come barefoot, naked and uncircumcised?’ He replied, ‘It will be with good actions and wrong actions.’ Al-Ḥārith ibn Usāmah transmitted it.

It is also reported in Muslim from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Do you know who is the true bankrupt?’ They replied, ‘The bankrupt is the person who has neither money nor goods.’ He said, ‘The bankrupt person of my community is the one who performs the prayer, fasts and pays zakāt, but insults this person, slanders that one, consumes the property of this person, sheds the blood of that one, and strikes this one. Some will be given some of his good deeds and others will be given some
of his good deeds. If his good deeds are exhausted before he has given everyone their due, some of their wrong actions will be taken and cast on him and then he will be cast into the Fire.’ He also said, ‘By the One who has my self in His hand, if a man is killed in the Way of Allah and then is brought to life and killed again and then brought to life and killed again, and owes a debt, he will not enter the Garden until his debt is settled.’ Abū Hurayrah reported that the Prophet said, ‘The soul of the believer is suspended as long as he owes a debt.’ Aḥmad ibn Zuhayr said, ‘Yahyā ibn Ma‘īn was asked about this hadith and said that it is sound.

If it is said, ‘This indicates that some martyrs will not enter the Garden when they are killed and their spirits are not in the mouths of birds as was mentioned and they are not in their graves, so where then are they?’ We reply that it is reported that the Prophet said, ‘The spirits of martyrs are at a river at the door of the Garden called Bāriq. Their provision is brought out to them from the Garden morning and evening.’ Perhaps it is them, and Allah knows best. This is like what Imām Abū Muḥammad ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said. He said, ‘Those have different degrees and states, but all of them are provided for.’

Imām Abū ‘Abdullāh Muḥammad ibn Yazīd ibn Mājah al-Qazwīnī has in his Sunan from Sulaym ibn ‘Āmir who heard Abū Umāmah say that he heard the Messenger of Allah say, ‘A martyr at sea is like two martyrs on land and someone who suffers from seasickness is like someone stained in his own blood on land. The time between one wave and the next is like being cut off from this world in obeying Allah. Allah Almighty has appointed the Angel of Death to take all souls except for those of martyrs at sea: Allah Almighty Himself sees to taking their souls. The martyr on land is forgiven all wrong actions except for debts while the martyr at sea is forgiven all wrong actions and debts as well.’

The debt which holds a person back from the Garden, and Allah
knows best, is when he left enough to settle the debt but did not mention it in his will, or which he could have paid but did not, or which he incurred in extravagance and stupidity and died before repaying. As for someone who incurred the debt out of his obligation to support his dependents or because of hardship, and died without leaving enough to pay it, Allah will not hold him back from the Garden, Allah willing, because it is the duty of the ruler to pay his debt for him, either from the zakāt, from the share of the debtors, or from the booty. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘If anyone leaves a debt or loss, it is up to Allah and His Messenger. Whoever leaves property, it is for his heirs.’ We have further explained this in a chapter in Kitāb at-Tadhkirah. Praise be to Allah.

**well provided for in the presence of their Lord, delighting in the favour Allah has bestowed on them,**

There is an elision here which implies, ‘in the honour of their Lord’. The word ‘inda’ necessarily indicates proximity. Here it denotes honour. The verb ‘provided for’ has its normal meaning. Some people say that the life referred to is that of being remembered and they are given beautiful praise. The first view is that it is actual and real.

It is said that when the spirits rove in that state, they perceive the scents, fragrance, blessing and delight of the Garden appropriate for spirits, but which act as provision and stimulation for them. As for physical pleasures, when those spirits are returned to their bodies, they will enjoy the full bliss prepared for them. This is a sound statement and it is a sort of metaphor. It agrees with what we have reported. Allah grants success.

The verb ‘delighting’ is a ḥāl ‘circumstantial expression’ of what is implied in ‘well-provided for’. It is also possible that ‘delighting’ is an adjective stemming from the earlier word ‘alive’. ‘Delight’ is joy and the ‘favour’ is the bliss mentioned in this āyah. Ibn as-Samayfa‘ recited ‘fāriḥīna’ with an alif. They are two dialectical forms, like farih and
fārih, ḥadhir and ḥādhir, ṭami‘ and ṭāmi‘, and bakhil and bākhil. An-Naḥḥās said that it is permitted to be in the nominative outside of the Qur’an as an adjective of ‘alive’.

**rejoicing over those they left behind who have not yet joined them.**

Those who have not joined them in favour, even though they have favour. The word ‘rejoicing’ comes from basharah (skin) because when a man is happy, the effect of joy shows in his face. As-Suddī said, ‘Martyrs will be given a book which mentions those of their brothers they have preceded and they will be delighted as people in this world rejoice when someone who has been away returns.’

Qatādah, Ibn Jurayj, ar-Rabī‘ and others said that they rejoice by saying, ‘Our brothers we left in this world are fighting in the Way of Allah with their Lord. They will be martyred and obtain an honour like ours.’ So they delight in that.

It is said that the indication of the good news for those who have not yet joined them refers to all the believers, but when they see the reward of Allah there is certainty that the dīn of Islam is the Truth for which Allah has rewarded them and so they rejoice in the favour that Allah has given them. They rejoice for the believers because ‘they will feel no fear and know no sorrow’. Az-Zajjāj and Ibn Fūrak believed this.

171 rejoicing in blessings and favour from Allah and that Allah does not let the wage of the believers go to waste.

They are rejoicing in obtaining the Garden from Allah or in forgiveness from Allah. ‘Favour’ is extra clarification since favour is a part of blessing and indicates its great expanse and that it is not like the blessings of this world. It is said that favour comes after blessing by way of stress. At-Tirmidhī reports from al-Miqdām ibn Ma‘dīkarib that the Messenger of Allah � amore said, ‘The martyr has six distinguishing features with Allah. [Some variants have seven.] Allah
forgives him immediately, he sees his seat in the Garden, he is protected from the punishment of the grave, he is safe from the greatest fear, a ruby crown of gravity will be placed on his head which is better than this world and everything in it, he will be married to seventy-two houris, and he will intercede for seventy of his relatives.’ This is a sound ḥasan gharīb hadith. This is the explanation of blessing and favour, and there are many traditions about that.

Mujāhid said, ‘Swords are the keys to the Garden.’ It is related that the Messenger of Allah  said, ‘Allah Almighty honoured the martyrs with five marks of honour with which He did not honour any of the Prophets nor me. One of them is that all Prophets have their souls taken by the Angel of Death who is the one who will take my soul. Allah is the One who takes the souls of the martyrs by His power however He wishes and the Angel of Death has no power over their souls. The second is that all the Prophets are washed after death and I will be washed after death. The martyrs are not washed and they have no need for the water of this world. The third is that all the Prophets are shrouded and I will be shrouded. The martyrs are not shrouded, but buried in their clothes. The fourth is that when the Prophets die, they are called dead and when I die, I will be called dead. The martyrs are not called dead. The fifth is that the Prophets are given their intercession on the Day of Rising and my intercession will be on the Day of Rising. The martyrs intercede every day for those they intercede for.’

Al-Kisā’ī recited ‘inna-llāha’ and the rest have it as ‘anna’. If someone recites it as ‘anna’, it means ‘rejoicing in blessing from Allah and rejoicing in the fact that Allah will not let the wage of the believers go to waste.’ If it is recited with ‘inna’, then it is for the inceptive. Its proof is in the reading of Ibn Mas‘ūd: ‘Allahu’.
Those who did good and were godfearing, among those who responded to Allah and the Messenger after the wound had been inflicted, will have an immense reward:

‘Those’ is in the nominative for the inceptive whose predicate is ‘after the wound had been inflicted’. It can also be in the position of the genitive as an appositive for ‘believers’ or for ‘who have not yet joined them’. ‘Istajābū’ means ‘respond’, and the sīn and tā’ are redundant.

In the two Ṣaḥīḥ Collections, ‘Urwah ibn az-Zubayr said, “Ā’ishah said to me, “Your father is one of those who responded to Allah and the Messenger after they had been wounded.” ‘Ā’ishah said, “Nephew, your fathers (meaning az-Zubayr and Abū Bakr) are among those who responded to Allah and the Messenger after they were wounded.” She continued, “When the idolaters left Uḥud and the Prophet and his Companions had suffered the injuries they suffered, they feared that they would return, so he said, ‘Who will volunteer to go to those so that they know that we have strength?’ Abū Bakr and az-Zubayr went out with seventy men after the people. They heard them and departed by the favour of Allah.”

‘Ā’ishah indicated what happened in the expedition of Ḥamrā’ al-Asad which is about eight miles from Madīnah. That was on the Sunday, the second day after Uḥud. The Messenger of Allah called on the people to pursue the idolaters. He said, ‘Only those who were with us yesterday will go with us.’ So two hundred of the believers went with him. We find in al-Bukhārī: ‘He asked, “Who will go after them?” He selected seventy men from them. Abū Bakr and az-Zubayr were among them. They reached Ḥamrā’ al-Asad, meaning to frighten the enemy. Some of them were seriously wounded and could not walk or ride, and were carried. All of that was to obey the command of the Messenger of Allah and out of desire for jihād.’
It is said that the āyah was revealed about two men of the Banū ‘Abd al-Ashhal who were seriously wounded, one leaning on the other. They went out with the Prophet ﷺ. When they reached Ḥamrā’ al-Asad, Nu‘aym ibn Mas‘ūd met them and told them that Abū Su‘yān ibn Ḥarb and the Quraysh with him had gathered under their banner to return to Madīnah and eradicate its people. They said what Allah tells us: ‘Allah is enough for us and the Best of Guardians.’ When Quraysh gathered like that, Ma‘bad al-Khuzā‘ī went to them. Khuzā‘ah were allies of the Prophet ﷺ. When he saw the state of the Companions of the Prophet ﷺ and saw the resolve of Quraysh to return and eradicate the people of Madīnah, he was alarmed by that and his faithfulness to the Prophet ﷺ and His Companions led him to alarm Quraysh, so he said to them, ‘I have left Muḥammad and his Companions at Ḥamrā’ al-Asad in a large army. He has gathered those who stayed behind and they are coming against you. Save yourselves! Save yourselves! I forbid you to do that! By Allah, what I saw of them led me to compose some verses of poetry!’ ‘What are they?’ he was asked. He said:

My horse almost fell at the rumble
when the earth streamed with swarms of steeds.

Galloping with noble lions astride them,
not feeble in the fight, firm in the saddle, fully armed.

I continued to run, thinking the ground itself was moving,
when they brought a leader who is never deserted.

I said, ‘Woe to Ibn Ḥarb in your encounter
when the land is surging with horses!’

I warn the people of the Ḥaram clearly,
speaking to every wise and sensible man among them,

Of the army of Aḥmad, not some contemptible troop,
and my warning should not be described as hearsay.
That made Abū Sufyan and those with him turn back. Allah cast fear into their hearts and they returned to Makkah swiftly in fear, and the Prophet returned victorious to Madīnah with his Companions as Allah says: ‘So they returned with blessings and bounty from Allah and no evil touched them.’ That means that there was no fighting or terror.

Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh asked for permission from the Prophet to go out with him and he gave him permission. Allah had told them of the immense reward that this group would have, and the Messenger of Allah said, ‘It refers to this expedition.’ This is the commentary of the majority on this āyah.

Mujāhid and ‘Ikrimah have an aberrant view and say that this āyah is connected to the two after it and it is about the Prophet going out to Lesser Badr. He went out for the appointment he made with Abū Sufyan at Uḥud when he said, ‘Our meeting is Badr next year.’ The Prophet said, ‘Say: “Yes.”’ So the Prophet set out for Badr where there was a great market and gave his Companions some dirhams. He approached Badr and Nuʿaym ibn Masʿūd al-Ashjāʿī came to him and told him that Quraysh had gathered and were coming to fight them. The Muslims were alarmed at that, but they said: ‘Allah is enough for us and the best of Guardians.’ They were determined and went to Badr and did not find anyone except the market. They bought some condiments and traded with their dirhams and returned safely and with a profit, as Allah says: ‘They returned with blessings and bounty from Allah.’ (3:174) This means the profit from their trade. Allah knows best.

173 those to whom people said, ‘The people have gathered against you, so fear them.’ But that merely increased their belief and they said, ‘Allah is enough for
There is disagreement about who is meant by ‘those to whom people said’. Mujāhid, Muqātil, ‘Ikrimah and al-Kalbī said that this refers to Nu‘aym ibn Mas‘ūd al-Ashjā’ī. The expression is general but its meaning is particular. A similar usage is seen in ‘Do they in fact envy other people’ (4:54) when Muḥammad is meant. As-Suddī said that it was a bedouin who was given a wage for doing that.

Ibn Isḥāq and a group said that ‘people’ here refers to a troop of the ‘Abd al-Qays who passed by Abū Sufyān who sent them to the Muslims to impede them. It is said that the ‘people’ referred to are the hypocrites. As-Suddī said, ‘When the Prophet and his Companions prepared to go out to Lesser Badr for the appointment with Abū Sufyān, the hypocrites came to them and said, ‘We are your friends. We forbade you to go out to them and you disobeyed us and they fought you and won. If you go to them, none of you will return.’ They replied, ‘Allah is enough for us and the Best of Guardians.’

Abū Ma’shar said, ‘Some of Hudhayl came to Madīnah from Tihāmah and the Companions asked them about Abū Sufyān and they said. “They have gathered many troops against you, so fear them and be on guard against them. You have no power to resist them.”’ According to these statements, the word ‘people’ means troops. Allah knows best.

**But that merely increased their belief**

The words of the people increased their belief, meaning their confirmation and certainty in their din, helping them in strength, boldness, and readiness. So according to this, the increase of belief lies in actions. People disagree about increase and decrease in belief. Scholars disagree about the increase and decrease in actions, taking different positions. One creedal position regarding this is that belief itself is one thing and affirmation (taṣdīq) is another thing. Together they form a single whole which does not increase once it is obtained, and of which nothing remains when it vanishes. So there is only
increase and decrease in things connected to it rather than belief itself.

Another group of scholars believe that belief increases and decreases according to the actions which issue from it. This applies especially to many of the scholars who apply the term ‘belief’ to acts of obedience, going by the words of the Prophet ☪: ‘Belief has over seventy branches. The best of which is the words: “There is no god but Allah,” and the least of which is removing an obstruction from the road.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it. Muslim added, ‘Modesty is a branch of belief.’ We find in the hadith of ‘Alī, ‘Belief appears like a white spot in the heart. Whenever belief increases, the spot increases.’ Al-Aṣma‘ī said that the word for ‘spot’, ‘lumẓah’, is like a speck which is white. For instance a horse is ‘almaz’ when it has some whiteness on its lower lip. Hadith scholars have ‘lamẓah’, but in Arabic it is lumẓah.

This is evidence against those who deny that belief increases and decreases. Do you not see that he said, ‘Whenever belief increases, the spot increases until the entire heart is white’? It is the same with hypocrisy. It appears as a black spot in the heart. Whenever hypocrisy increases, the heart blackens until it becomes entirely black.

Some of them said that faith is non-essential, not being fixed for two moments at a time, and it comes to the Prophets and righteous in an uninterrupted sequence. It increases by the frequency of its arrival in the heart of the believer and the constancy of its presence there. It decreases by consecutive periods of negligence in the heart of the believer. Abu-l-Ma‘ālī indicated this. This understanding is found in the hadith of intercession, transmitted by Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī in Muslim: ‘The believers say, “Our Lord, they used to fast with us and pray and make hajj” They will be told, “Go and bring out those you know. It is forbidden for the Fire to touch their faces.” They will bring out many people, some of whom are in the Fire up
to the middle of their thighs and some who are in it up to their knees. Then they will say, “Our Lord, not one of those You commanded us to bring out remains in it.” He will say, “Return and take out of it anyone in whose heart you find good equal to the weight of one dinar.” They will bring out many people and then they will say, “Our Lord, we have not left in it anyone You commanded us to bring out.” Then He will say, “Return and take out of it anyone in whose heart you find good equal to the weight of half a dinar.” They will bring out many people and then they will say, “Our Lord, we have not left in it anyone You commanded us to bring out.” Then He will say, “Return and take out of it anyone in whose heart you find even an atom’s weight of good.”

It is said that what is meant in the hadith are actions of the heart, such as intention, sincerity, fear, counsel and the like. That is called ‘belief’ since they are located in belief or indicate it. This is the linguistic usage in Arabic. They call something by the name of the thing that it is near it or is a result of it. The evidence for this interpretation is what the Shāfi‘īs say in reference to the time after all those with an atom’s weight of good are removed from the Fire, when [as it says in the hadith] they said, ‘We have not left any good in it at all,’ even though Allah will later remove from it a lot of people who simply said, ‘There is no god but Allah.’ They are believers, and if they had not been believers, He would not have removed them from it.

If the first thing on which a likeness is based does not exist, then it cannot be subject to increase or decrease. That [increase/decrease] is determined in respect of movement. When Allah created a single knowledge and then created a similar knowledge or knowledges of known things along with it, then the first knowledge is increased. If Allah makes those similar knowledges cease to exist, then knowledge decreases, meaning that increase is removed. That is also the case when He creates a movement and creates concomitant movements.
Some scholars believe that the increase and decrease of belief is by way of proofs and so as a person increases in proofs they are said to increase in belief. That is why, according to one position, the Prophets are superior to others. They know about belief from many more angles than most people do. This position, however, does not follow what the āyah implies since it is not conceivable that there be an increase in belief through proofs in this instance.

Some people believe that belief increased through the revelation of obligations and reports in the period of the Prophet ﷺ and in learning of them after having been ignorant of them. This does constitute a kind of increase of belief and would make increase metaphorical. From this point of view, decrease is inconceivable, and this is in respect of knowledge. Allah knows best.

**Allah is enough for us and the Best of Guardians.**

‘Enough’ (ḥasb) is derived from ḥsāb which is sufficiency. A poet says:

She killed our house with curds and ghee.

It is enough for you in being sated and quenched.

Al-Bukhārī reported from Ibn ‘Abbās that this is also what Ibrāhīm said when he was thrown into the fire and Muḥammad ﷺ said it when people told him, ‘The people have gathered against you.’ Allah knows best.

174 So they returned with blessings and bounty from Allah and no evil touched them. They pursued the pleasure of Allah. Allah’s favour is indeed immense.

Our scholars say that when they entrusted their affairs to Allah and relied with their hearts on Him, He repaid them with four things: blessing, favour, averting evil and following His pleasure, and so He was pleased with them and they with Him.
175 It was only Shayṭān frightening you through his friends. But do not fear them – fear Me if you are believers.

Ibn ‘Abbās and others said, ‘The meaning is “by means of his friends”, in other words he frightens the believers by means of the unbelievers.’ The genitive particle is omitted and the verb connected to the noun which is why it is in the accusative, as we also see in 18:2. He alarmed the believers by means of the unbelievers. As-Suddī and al-Ḥasan said, ‘He frightened them through the hypocrites to make them not fight the idolaters.’ As for the friends of Allah, they are not frightened when he tries to alarm them.

It is said that what is meant is that a human shayṭān, either Nu‘aym ibn Mas‘ūd or someone else, alarmed them with the number of the unbelievers. ‘But do not fear them,’ means do not fear the unbelievers mentioned earlier, or it refers to the friends if you say that it means that Shayṭān makes his friends alarm you.

Allah says ‘fear Me’ in respect of doing what He commands if you believe in His promise. The word ‘fear’ (khawf) in Arabic means dread. Khāwafahu fa-khāfahu is to vie to see which of then would have a greater fear of the other. Khawfā’ is a waterless desert and a camel which is khawfa’ is one with mange. Khāfah is like a leather bag used for collecting honey.

Sahl ibn ‘Abdullāh said, ‘Some of the truly sincere gathered to Ibrāhīm the Friend and asked, “What is fear?” He replied, “Not feeling safe until you reach the place of safety.”’ Sahl also said, ‘Whenever ar-Rabī’ ibn Khaytham passed by Bukayr, he used to faint. ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib was told about that and said, “When that happens to him, inform me.” That happened and they told him and he went to his hand inside his shirt and found his heart beating rapidly. He said, “I testify that this is the most fearful of the people of
your time.’”

The one who fears Allah fears that He will punish him, either in this world or the Next. That is why it is said that the fearful person is not the one who weeps and wipes his eyes; the fearful person is rather the one who abandons that for which he fears he will be punished. Allah has made it obligatory on His slaves to fear Him. He says: ‘Fear Me if you are believers.’ He praises the believers for having fear: ‘They fear their Lord above them.’ (16:50) The people of subtle indications say many things about fear which connect with what we have mentioned. Abū ‘Alī ad-Daqqāq said, ‘I visited Abū Bakr ibn Fūrak when he was ill. When he saw me, he wept and I said to him, “May Allah restore you and heal you.” He said to him, “Do you think that I am afraid of death? I am afraid of what comes after death.”’

We find in the Sunan of Ibn Mājah that Abū Dharr reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘I see what you do not see and I hear what you do not hear. Heaven moans and it has the right to moan. There is no place in it the width of four fingers without an angel placing his brow on it, prostrating to Allah Almighty. By Allah, if you knew what I know, you would laugh little and weep much and you would not enjoy women on your beds and you would go out to the streets seeking the help of Allah Almighty. I wish that I were a felled tree.’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it and said that it is a ḥasan gharīb hadith. It is related by another path that ‘I wish that I were a felled tree’ were Abu Dharr’s words. Allah knows best.

176 Do not let those who rush headlong into unbelief sadden you. They do not harm Allah in any way. Allah desires to assign no portion to them in the Next World. They will have a terrible punishment.
Do not let those who rush headlong into unbelief sadden you.

These are people who became Muslim and then apostatised out of fear of the idolaters. The Prophet ﷺ was saddened about them and Allah revealed this. Al-Kalbī said that it means the hypocrites and Jewish leaders who concealed the description of Prophet ﷺ in their Books and so this was revealed. It is said that it refers to the People of the Book when they did not believe. That was hard on the Messenger of Allah ﷺ because the people looked to them and said that they were the People of the Book and that if he what he said had been true, they would have followed him. So this was revealed.

Nāfi‘ reads this as ‘yuḥzinuka’ (Form IV, to make sad) as he does throughout the Qur’an except in al-Anbiyā’ (21:103) where he has ‘yahżunuka’. Abū Ja‘far has the opposite. Ibn Muḥayṣin recited in all ‘yuḥzinuka’ while the rest read it ‘yahżunika’. They are two dialects: ḥazana, yahżunu, and aḥzana, which is a rare dialectical form, but, according to an-Naḥḥās, the first is the more eloquent of the two. A poet said about aḥzana:

My companions have gone, and the houses sadden me.

Most recite ‘rush’ as ‘yusāriʿūna’ and Ṭalḥah recited ‘yusriʿūna’.

Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that they are the unbelievers of Quraysh and others say that they are the hypocrites. It is said that it is those mentioned before, and it is said that it is all unbelievers and their rushing into disbelief to work against Muḥammad ﷺ.

Al-Qushayrī said, ‘Sorrow over the disbelief of the unbeliever is a type of obedience, but the Prophet ﷺ had excessive sorrow over the disbelief of his people and was forbidden that: ‘So do not let yourself waste away out of regret for them.’’ (35:8) and ‘Perhaps you may destroy yourself with grief, chasing after them, if they do not believe in these words.’ (18:6)

They do not harm Allah in any way.

They will not diminish the kingdom of Allah and His power in any
way by their disbelief. It is related by Abū Dharr that the Prophet ﷺ said in what He related from what Allah the Blessed and Almighty said: ‘O My slaves! I have forbidden injustice to Myself and I have made it unlawful between you, so do not wrong one another. O My slaves! All of you are misguided except those that I guide, so seek My guidance and I will guide you. O My slaves! All of you are hungry except those that I feed so ask me for food and I will feed you. O My slaves! All of you are naked except those that I clothe so ask me for clothing and I will clothe you. O My slaves! You make mistakes by night and by day and I forgive all wrong actions so ask for My forgiveness and I will forgive you. O My slaves! You will never attain to My harm so as to be able to harm Me and you will never attain to My benefit so as to be able benefit Me. O My slaves! If the first and last of you, all the jinn and all the men among you, possessed the heart of the most godfearing man among you, that would not increase My kingdom in any way. O My slaves! If the first and last of you, all the jinn and all the men among you, possessed the heart of the most evil man among you, that would not decrease My kingdom in any way. O My slaves! If the first and last of you, all the jinn and all the men among you, were to stand in a single place and ask of Me, I could give to every man what he asks without that decreasing what I have any more than a needle when it enters the sea. O My slaves! It is your actions for which I call you to account and then repay you in full. So anyone who finds good should praise Allah and anyone who finds something else should blame none but himself.’ It is transmitted by Muslim in the Ṣaḥīḥ, at-Tirmidhī and others. It is an immense hadith. It is said that the meaning is: ‘You will not harm the friends of Allah when they relinquish their help since Allah is their Helper.’

Allah desires to assign no portion to them in the Next World.

Ḥazz (portion) is a share and fortune. You say that someone is more fortunate (aḥazz) than another and he is mahzūz or fortunate. The
plural of ḥaẓẓ is aḥāẓẓ. Abū Zayd said that a man who is described as ḥaẓīẓ is fortunate since he has a portion of provision. The verb ḥaẓẓa is to be fortunate in something. Sometimes the plural is aḥuẓẓ. Allah means that He will not assign them a portion in the Garden. This is a text which illustrates that good and evil are subject to Allah’s will.

177 Those who sell belief for unbelief do not harm Allah in any way.

Those who sell belief for disbelief were already mentioned in al-Baqarah. ‘They do not harm Allah in any way’ is repetition for stress. It is said that part of bad management is to exchange belief for disbelief and sell the one for the other. ‘Shay’ is in the accusative in both places since it is in the position of a verbal noun. It is as if Allah were saying: they will not harm Allah either a little or a lot. It can also be in the accusative by the elision of an implied bā’, as if He were saying: it will not harm Allah at all.

178 Those who disbelieve should not imagine that the extra time We grant to them is good for them. We only allow them more time so they will increase in evildoing.

They will have a humiliating punishment.

This refers to His granting them a long life and luxury. It means: ‘Those who alarm the Muslims should not imagine that Allah does not have the power to destroy them. They are given a long life so that they can disobey Allah, not because it is good for them.’ It is said to mean: ‘The extra time We have given them in the form of the victory at Uḥud is not good for them. That is just in order to increase their punishment.’

It is related that Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘There is no one, either good or
bad, but that death is not better for him. If he is good, Allah says: “What is with Allah is better for those who are truly good” (3:198), and if he is bad, Allah says: “We only allow them more time so they will increase in evildoing.”

Ibn ‘Āmir and ‘Āṣim read ‘imagine’ as ‘yaḥsibanna’ whereas Ḥamzah reads it as ‘taḥsabanna’ and the rest read it as ‘yaḥsabanna’. If it is read with yā’, ‘those’ is the subject and it means, ‘the unbelievers should not imagine,’ and ‘the extra time We grant to them’ takes the place of two objects and ‘mā’ means ‘which’ and the pronoun is elided. ‘Better’ is the predicate of ‘anna’, and it is also possible to assume that mā’ and the verb are verbal nouns and implies: ‘those who disbelieve should not imagine that Our granting them a deferral is good for them’.

If it is read with a tā’, then the subject is the second person, who is Muḥammad ﷺ, and ‘those’ is in the accusative based on the first object of ‘imagine’ and what follows it is an appositive for ‘those’ and takes the place of two objects as it would do if it were an appositive. It is not proper for ‘an’ and what follows it to be a second object of ‘imagine’ because the second object in this area is the first in meaning because ‘ḥasiba’ and its sisters are added to the inchoative and the predicate, meaning ‘you should not imagine that the extra time We grant them is good.’ This is the view of az-Zajjāj.

Abū ‘Alī said, ‘If this is sound, then “good” would be in the accusative because “anna” would become an appositive for “those who disbelieve”, and so it would be as if Allah were saying, “Do not imagine that the deferral of those who disbelieve is good for them.” So His word “good” is the second object of “imagine”. It is not permitted to recite it with tā’ unless it is “inna” with a kasrah and “good” is in the accusative. That is not related from Ḥamzah. The reading from Ḥamzah has a tā’ and so this reading is not sound.’

Al-Farrā’ and al-Kisā’ī said, ‘The reading of Ḥamzah is permitted for repetition. It implies: “Do not imagine that those who disbelieve,
do not imagine that the deferral We grant them is good.” So “anna” takes the place of two objects by the second “imagine”. It acts as a second object of the first “imagine”.’ Al-Qushayrī said, ‘This is close to what az-Zajjāj mentioned about the claim of the appositive, and the reading is sound and Abū ‘Alī aimed to be harsh to az-Zajjāj.’ An-Nahḥās said, ‘Abū Ḥātim claimed the recitation by Ḥamzah here and in 3:180 is poor Arabic. This is not permitted and a group corroborate him in that.’

Yahyā ibn Waththāb recited ‘innamā’ and ‘inna’, both with a kasrah. Abū Ja’far said, ‘Yaḥyā’s reading is good.’ Abū Ḥātim said, ‘I heard al-Akhfash mentioned that the kasrah of “inna” is used by the Qadariyyah as an argument and they make it entail a change in word order: “Those who disbelieve should not imagine that We give them more time so that they can increase in evildoing. Giving them a deferral is good for them.”’ He said, ‘I saw in a copy of the Qur’an in the General Mosque in which a mode (ḥarf) had been added which said: “innāmā numlī lahum [li-yazdādū] imānan.” Ya‘qūb al-Qāri’ looked at it and the incorrect usage was clear and he scratched it out.’

This āyah is a text invalidating the position of the Qadariyyah because it reports that Allah extends their lives so that their unbelief will increase by their committing acts of disobedience to Allah and this occurs sequentially in the heart as is clear in respect of its opposite which is belief. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘There is no one who is pious or impious but that death is better for him.’ Then he recited: ‘We only allow them more time so that they will increase in evildoing’ and he recited: ‘What is with Allah is better for those who are truly good.’ (3:198) Razīn transmitted it.
179 Allah will only leave the believers in the position you now are in so that He can sift out the rotten from the good. Allah has not given you access to the Unseen. But Allah chooses those of His Messengers whom He wills. So believe in Allah and His Messengers. If you believe and are godfearing you will have an immense reward.

Allah will only leave the believers in the position you now are in so that He can sift out the rotten from the good. Allah has not given you access to the Unseen.

Abu-l-‘Ālīyah said, ‘The believers asked to be given a sign by which a believer could be distinguished from a hypocrite and so Allah revealed: “Allah will only leave the believers in the position you now are in …”’ There is disagreement about who is addressed by this āyah. Ibn ‘Abbās, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, Muqātil, al-Kalbī and most commentators say that it is addressed to the unbelievers and hypocrites, implying, ‘Allah would not leave the believers in the position you are in of disbelief, hypocrisy and enmity to the Prophet ﷺ.’

Al-Kalbī said, ‘Some Quraysh from the people of Makkah said to the Prophet ﷺ, “You claim that one of our men is in the Fire. If he leaves our dīn and follows yours, you say that he is one of the people of the Garden. So tell us who this one is. Tell us which of us will come to you and who will not come.”’ So Allah revealed: “Allah will only leave the believers in the position you now are in” of disbelief and hypocrisy “so that He can sift out the rotten from the good.”’

It is said that it is addressed to the idolaters and that what is meant by the ‘believers’ are those still to be born who will believe, meaning that Allah would not leave children who will believe in the shirk you...
esposte until He has distinguished between you and them. If this is true, then ‘Allah has not given’ is a new sentence. That is the position of Ibn ‘Abbās and most commentators.

It is said that it is addressed to the believers, i.e. ‘Allah would not leave you, O believers, in the position you are in where the believer is muddled with the hypocrites until he sifts you out through trial and responsibility so that you will recognise the rotten hypocrite from the good believer.’ The two groups were sifted at Uḥud. This is the position of most of the people of meanings.

This is addressed to the believers, meaning, ‘Allah would not single out the hypocrites for you so that you recognise them, but He helps you in that through responsibility and trial.’ That was made clear at Uḥud. The hypocrites stayed behind and displayed malice. ‘You did not know this Unseen reality before this happened. Now Allah has acquainted Muḥammad ☪ with that.’

It is said that the words ‘give you access’ mean Allah would not inform you of what will happen with them, and so this would be connected to what was before and is not a new sentence. That is because when the unbelievers said, ‘Why has nothing been revealed to us?’ Allah said: ‘Allah has not given you access to the Unseen,’ in other words to the one whom [they think] deserves Prophethood so that revelation would be by their choice.

Allah chooses whomever He wishes to receive access to His Unseen. The verb for ‘giving access’ is ṭala‘a and ʿiṭṭala‘a which is transitive. The verb used for ‘sifting out’ is recited as ‘yumayyizu’ from Form II. It is also recited like that in al-Anfāl and it is the reading of Ḥamzah. The rest have yamīzu from Form I of māza, yamīzu. One says, ‘miztu’ to separate one part of a thing from another, with the verbal noun mayz, and Form II with the verbal noun tamyīz. Abū Muʿādh said, ‘“Miztu’ash-shay” means “I divided it into two.” When it is into several parts, then you say, “mayyaztuhā tamyīzan”. Similarly when you make one into two, you say, “faraqtu baynahumā”. An
example of that is the parting (faraq) of the hair. When you split something into several things, you say, “farraqtuhu tafriqan.” An aspect of it is sifting (imtaza) people from one another. We also see its use in the āyah: ‘It all but bursts with rage’ (67:8): ‘yakādu yatamayyaza,’ meaning almost bursts apart. We also see in a report: ‘If someone removes (māza) something harmful from the road, it is ṣadaqah for him.’

So believe in Allah and His Messengers.

It is said that when the unbelievers asked the Messenger of Allah ☪ to make it clear to them who were believers, Allah revealed this. It means: ‘Do not be occupied with what does not concern you. Be occupied with what concerns you, which is belief.’ ‘Believe’ means to affirm the truth, not to seek for the Unseen. ‘The immense reward’ is the Garden.

It is reported that an astrologer was with al-Ḥajjāj ibn Yusūf and al-Ḥajjāj took some pebbles in his hand and, knowing how many they were, asked the astrologer, ‘How many pebbles are in my hand?’ He guessed and was right. Al-Ḥajjāj ignored him and took some pebbles which he had not counted and asked the astrologer, ‘How many are in my hand?’ He guessed and was wrong. Then he guessed again and was wrong. He said, ‘Governor, I think that you do not know how many are in your hand.’ ‘No, I don’t’ he replied and then asked, ‘So what is the difference between this and that?’ He said, ‘You counted the first one and so it was not an unseen matter. I guessed and was right. The number this time was unknown, and so it was unseen matter and only Allah Almighty knows the Unseen.’ This will be further discussed in al-An‘ām.

180 Those who are tight-fisted with the bounty Allah has
given them should not suppose that that is better for them. No indeed, it is worse for them! What they were tight-fisted with will be hung around their necks on the Day of Rising. Allah is the inheritor of the heavens and the earth and Allah is aware of what you do.

Those who are tight-fisted with the bounty Allah has given them should not suppose that that is better for them.

‘Those’ is in the nominative and the first object is elided. Al-Khalīl, Sībawayh and al-Farrā’ said that it means: ‘They think miserliness is better for them,’ meaning: ‘The tight-fisted should not suppose that miserliness is better for them.’ There is elision because ‘miserliness’ is indicated. This is common usage in Arabic. [POEM] As for the reading of Ḥamzah, it is very unlikely according to an-Naḥḥās. It is also possible that it means ‘Do not suppose the miserliness of the tight-fisted is better for them.’ Az-Zajjāj said, ‘It is like “Ask the town” (12:82).’ The pronoun ‘huwa’ in ‘it is better for them’ is separating according to the Basrans but is a disconnected pronoun according to the Kufans. An-Naḥḥās said that in Arabic it can be an inceptive and predicate.

It is worse for them. What they were tight-fisted with will be hung around their necks on the Day of Rising.

Miserliness is worse for them. The sīn of the future tense in ‘will be hung’ is a threat. Al-Mubarrad said that. This āyah was revealed about miserliness in respect of wealth and spending in the Way of Allah and not paying the obligatory zakāt. This is like Allah’s words: ‘They do not spend it in the way of Allah.’ (9:34) A group of interpreters, including Ibn Mas‘ūd, Ibn ‘Abbās, Abū Wā’il, Abū Mālik, as-Suddī and ash-Sha’bī, said, ‘The meaning of “what they were tight-fisted with will be hung around their necks” is that which is reported in hadith from Abū Hurayrah in which the Prophet ﷺ said, “If someone is given wealth by Allah and does not pay the zakāt due on it, on the Day of Rising it will take on the likeness of [a poisonous snake] with two
spots which will coil about his neck under his ears on the Day of Rising, saying, ‘I am your wealth. I am your treasure.’” Then he recited this āyah. An-Nasā’ī transmitted it.

Ibn Mājah transmitted from Ibn Mas‘ūd that the Messenger of Allah  said, ‘If someone does not pay the zakāt due on his wealth, on the Day of Rising it will take on the likeness of [a poisonous snake] with two spots which will coil about his neck.’ He said, ‘Then the Prophet  recited an āyah confirming this in the Book of Allah Almighty: “Those who are tight-fisted with the bounty Allah has given them should not suppose that that is better for them.”’ It is reported from the Prophet : ‘There is no one who has a relative who comes to him and asks him for some of the surplus wealth he has and then he is miserly towards him, but that on the Day of Rising a serpent of fire will be brought to him, smacking its lips, and wrapped around his neck.’

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘This āyah was revealed about the People of the Book and their miserliness in clarifying what they knew about Muḥammad .’ Mujāhid and a group of the people of knowledge said that. According to this interpretation, the words ‘hung about their necks’ indicate the punishment for their miserliness. It comes from ṭāqah (ability) as the Almighty says: ‘For those who are able to fast’ (2:184), but this is not part of ability. Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘ī said that on the Day of Rising Allah will give them a collar of fire. This coincides with the first interpretation which is the view of as-Suddī. It is said that their actions will cling to them as a collar clings to the neck. The ring of a dove is used metaphorically to denote this adhesion. Allah Almighty says: ‘We have hastened the destiny of every man around his neck.’ (17:13) An example illustrating this is found in the words of ‘Abdullāh ibn Jaḥsh to Abū Sufyān:

Convey to Abū Sufyān that he will come to regret the outcome of a matter.

You sold the house of your cousin to settle the debt you owed.
Your ally by Allah, the Lord of people, swears a strong oath:
Take it! Take it! Your treachery will cling to you like the ring of a dove!

This follows the second interpretation. Linguistically, *bukhl* (miserliness) is that person withholds a right he owes to another. The one who withholds what he is not obliged to give is not a miser because he is not censured for that. The people of the Hijaz say: *yabhulūna, bakhulū* while the rest of the Arabs say: *yabkhalūna, bakhilū*. An-Naḥḥās related that.

An example of the result of miserliness is what is related of the Prophet ﷺ saying to the Anṣār, ‘Who is your master?’ They answered, ‘Al-Jadd ibn Qays in spite of his miserliness.’ He said, ‘What illness could be worse than miserliness?’ ‘How is that, Messenger of Allah?’ they asked. He said ﷺ, ‘A people lived by the coast and, because of their miserliness, disliked guests staying there. They said, “Let our men be far from the women so that the men can use the distance of the women as an excuse for not receiving guests and the women can use the distance of the men as an excuse.” They did that for a long time and so the men became involved with one another and the women with one another.’ Al-Māwardī mentioned it in *Kitāb adab ad-dunyā wa’d-dīn*. Allah knows best.

There is disagreement about whether miserliness (*bukhl*) and avarice (*shaḥḥ*) are the same thing. It is said that miserliness is refusing to give what you have and avarice is desiring to obtain what you do not have. Avarice is miserliness coupled with greed. That is sound based on what Muslim transmits from Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Beware of injustice. Injustice will be darkness on the Day of Rising. Beware of avarice. Avarice destroyed those before you and prompted them to shed each other’s blood and make lawful what was unlawful.’ This refutes the idea of miserliness being refusing to give what is obligatory and avarice being refusing to give what is recommended, because if avarice had
been refusing to give what is recommended, it would not have merited such a severe threat and strong censure about being the destruction of this world and the Next. This is supported by what an-Nasā’ī reported from Abū Hurayrah who reported that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Dust in the Way of Allah will never be joined with the smoke of Hellfire in the nostrils of a Muslim man. Avarice and belief are never joined together in the heart of a Muslim man.’ This indicates that avarice is more strongly censured than miserliness although there is something reported which indicates that they are the same: the words of the Prophet ﷺ who was asked, ‘Is the believer miserly?’ ‘No,’ he replied. Al-Māwardī related in K̲itāb adab ad-dunyā wa’d-dīn that the Prophet ﷺ asked the Anṣār, ‘Who is your master?’ They answered, ‘Al-Jadd ibn Qays in spite of his miserliness.’

**Allah is the inheritor of the heavens and the earth.**

Allah tells us about His going on and the eternal nature of His Kingdom and that He is after time as He was before time, free of any need of the universe. He will inherit the earth after the annihilation of His creation and departure of their kingdoms when there is no one left to claim their wealth. This is a reference to customary practice in human inheritance and this is not what actually happens because the heir is the one who inherits what he did not own before whereas Allah is, in any case, the Owner of the heavens and the earth and what is between them, the heavens and what is in them, and the earth and what is in it. Property and wealth are in reality merely loaned to their owners in this world and, when they die, return to their original Owner. It is also like the āyah: *It is We who will inherit the earth and all those on it.* (19:40) In both āyahs Allah Almighty commanded His servants to spend and not to be miserly before they die and leave that to be inherited by Allah Almighty. They only benefit from what they spend.
Allah has heard the words of those who say, ‘Allah is poor and we are rich.’ We will write down what they said and their killing of the Prophets without any right to do so and We will say, ‘Taste the punishment of the Burning.’ That is on account of what you did. Allah does not wrong His slaves.

Allah has heard the words of those who say, ‘Allah is poor and we are rich.’

Allah mentions here the ugly words of the unbelievers, especially the Jews. Commentators say that when Allah revealed: ‘Is there anyone who will make Allah a generous loan?’ (2:245), some of the Jews, including Ḥuŷayy ibn Akhtāb according to al-Ḥasan, and Finḥāṣ ibn ‘Āzūrā’ according to ‘Ikrimah and others, said, ‘Allah is poor and we are rich since He borrows from us.’ They said this to confuse those who were weak, not because they believed it, because they were People of the Book. But in saying this they asserted disbelief because they wanted to cause doubt in the weak among them and among the believers and to deny the Prophet. ‘He is poor according to what Muḥammad says, since He borrows from us.’

We will write down what they said

This means ‘We will repay them for it.’ It is said, ‘We will record it in the records of their deeds,’ in other words command the Guardian Angels to confirm what they said on the Day of Rising when they read what is in these books so that it will be evidence against them. It is like Allah’s words: ‘We are writing it down.’ (21:94) It is said that what is meant by writing is remembering, meaning ‘We will remember what they said and repay them.’ The mā in ‘mā qālū’ is in the accusative by the action of ‘write’. Al-A‘mash and Ḥamzah
recite ‘sa-yuktабu’ (‘what they said will be written down’) and so mā is a passive subject. Ḥāmzah thought that based on the reading of Ibn Mas‘ūd: ‘yuqālu’ (it will be said).

**their killing of the Prophets without any right to do so.**

We will also record their killing of the Prophets, in other words their approval of their being killed. What is being referred to is their ancestors killing of the Prophets, but they were pleased with that and so it was also ascribed to them. A man commended the killing of ‘Uthmān in the presence of ash-Sha‘bī and ash-Sha‘bī told him, ‘You have shared in his murder.’ He made approval tantamount to actual killing.

This is a very serious matter since approval of disobedience is also disobedience. Abū Dāwūd reported from al-‘Urs ibn ‘Umayra al-Kindī that the Prophet said, ‘When you do something wrong on the earth, those who witness but dislike it – or object to it – are like the one who is absent from it. Those who are absent from it but approve of it are like those who are present.’ This is a text. The meaning of the expression ‘without any right’ was discussed in *al-Baqarah*.

**We will say, ‘Taste the punishment of the Burning.’**

This will be said to them in Hellfire, or at their deaths, or at the Reckoning. The words are spoken by Allah or by the angels. The reading of Ibn Mas‘ūd is ‘yuqālu’. ‘Burnings’ is a name for the blazing of the Fire. The ‘Fire’ includes that which is actually blazing and that which is not.

**That is on account of what you did. (lit. ‘what your hands sent ahead)***

That punishment is for your prior wrong actions. The use of the word ‘hands’ here indicates their personally undertaking the action since it ascribes it directly to the person doing it. An action can be ascribed to a person when he orders it to be done as in 28:4. The root of ‘aydīkum’ is ‘aydyukum’ and the ḍammah is elided because it is heavy. Allah knows best.
183 Those who say, ‘Allah has made a contract with us that we should not believe in any Messenger until he brings us a sacrifice consumed by fire.’ Say, ‘Messengers came to you before me with the Clear Signs and with what you say. So why did you kill them if you are telling the truth?’ 184 If they deny you, Messengers before you were also denied, who brought the Clear Signs and written texts and the illuminating Book.

Those who say, ‘Allah has made a contract with us that we should not believe in any Messenger until he brings us a sacrifice consumed by fire.’

‘Those’ is in the genitive as an appositive for ‘those who say’ in the previous āyah or as an adjective of ‘slaves’ or the predicate of an inceptive meaning: ‘they are those who say’.

Al-Kalbī and others said that it was revealed about Ka‘b ibn al-Ashraf, Mālik ibn aṣ-Ṣayf, Wahb ibn Yahūdhā, Finḥāṣ ibn ‘Āzūrā and a group who came to the Prophet ♨ and said to him, ‘So you claim that Allah has sent you to us when He revealed to us a Book in which He made a contract with us that we should not believe in any Messenger until he brings us a sacrifice consumed by fire. If you bring that, then we will believe you.’ Then Allah revealed this. It is said that this is in the Torah, but the Word was complete when both the Messiah and Muḥammad came to them. When they came to them, then they should believe in them without such a sacrifice.

It is said that the matter of that kind of sacrifice was established until it was abrogated by ‘Īsā. Among them, a Prophet used to
sacrifice and pray. A roaring smokeless white fire would descend and consume the sacrifice. This is what the Jews claimed, while concealing its abrogation. In holding to this, they were harassing the Prophet ﷺ. The miracles of the Prophet ﷺ were a definitive proof to invalidate their claim. It was the same with the miracles of ﴾Îsā. If his truthfulness is mandatory, then it is mandatory to affirm him. Then Allah established the proof against them.

Say, ‘Messengers came to you before me with the Clear Signs and with what you say. So why did you kill them if you are telling the truth?’

This is addressed to Muḥammad and ‘to you’ refers to the Jews. The ‘Clear Signs’ the Prophets brought them were the sacrifices, but in spite of that they killed many of them, such as Zakariyyā, Yahyā, Shu‘ayb, and the rest of the Prophets who were killed and not believed in. That, of course, refers to what their ancestors did.

This is the āyah which ash-Sha‘bī recited and used as evidence against the one who commended the murder of ‘Uthmān as we stated. Allah calls these Jews ‘killers’ because they approved of what their ancestors had done, even though there was about seven hundred years between them. ‘Qurbān’ (sacrifice) is a practice by which one draws near to Allah, such as ṣadaqah and other righteous actions. It has the form fu‘lān from the basis of qurbah. It can be a noun like sulṭān and burhān (proof), or a verbal noun like ‘udwān (aggression) and khusrān (loss). ﴾Īsā ibn ‘Umar used to recite ‘qurubān’, following the ẓammah on the qāf as the plural of ẓulmah is ẓulumāt and that of ḥujrah is ḥujurāt.

If they deny you, Messengers before you were also denied, who brought the Clear Signs and written texts and the Illuminating Book.

‘Clear Signs’ are proofs. ‘Zubur’ are written texts. Mazbūrah means ‘written’. ‘Zubur’ is the plural of zabūr which is a book. The root of zabara means ‘to write’. Every zabūr is a book. Imru‘u-l-Qays said:

Whose are the remnants I see which grieve me,
like the writing of a book (zabūr) on Yamānī bark?

‘I recognize my tazbirah’, refers to handwriting. It is also said that it is derived from zabr, meaning to chide. The verb zabara can also mean to encircle a well with stones.

Ibn ‘Āmir recited ‘bi-z-zuburiwa bi-l-kitāb’ with two extra bā’s in both words. It is like that in the Syrian copies of the Qur’an.

‘The Illuminating Book’ is a book that is clear in itself and also makes things clear, from the verb anāra, yunīru. Form II and Form X are used as well as Form IV to mean the same. Each of them is intransitive and transitive. Allah combines zubur and kitāb which mean the same. We have already mentioned the root meaning of kitāb.

185 Every self will taste death. You will be paid your wages in full on the Day of Rising. Anyone who is distanced from the Fire and admitted to the Garden has triumphed. The life of this world is just the enjoyment of delusion.

Allah told us about the misers and their disbelief when they said, ‘Allah is poor and we are rich,’ and then commanded the believers to be steadfast in the face of their injury. In the next āyah He tells them: ‘You will be tested,’ and thus makes it clear that this world will end and not continue. The duration of this world is brief and the Day of Rising is the Day of Repayment.

**Every self will taste death.**

Everyone will taste death because it is unavoidable for the living as Umayyah ibn Abī’ṣ-Ṣalt said:

Whoever does not die in the bloom of life dies decrepit.

Death is a cup from which everyone must drink.
Another said:

Death is a door which everyone must enter.

Would that I knew which Abode lies beyond the door!

The general reading is ‘dhā‘iqatu-l-mawti’ with ḍā‘fah while al-A‘mash and Ibn Abī Isḥāq read ‘dhā‘iqatun al-mawta’ with tanwīn and ‘mawt’ in the accusative. They said that that is because it has not been tasted yet. That is because there are two types of active participle. One indicates the past and the other the future. If it is the first, then it is only in ḍā‘fah with what follows it, as when you say, ‘This one hit Zayd yesterday and killed Bakr yesterday’ because it acts as an inert noun, which is knowledge like ‘The slave of Zayd’ and ‘the companion of Bakr.’ [POEM] If it is the second, then it is permitted to have the genitive, accusative and tanwīn because it acts like a present verb. If the verb is not transitive, it is not transitive, like ‘qā‘umun Zaydun’. If it is transitive, you make it transitive and it takes the accusative like ‘Zaydun ḥārib ‘Amran.’ It is also permitted to elide the tanwīn and the ḍā‘fah is lighter. [POEM] We also see the same usage in 39:38.

Know that death has causes and signs. One of the signs of the death of the believers is the sweat of the brow. An-Nasā‘ī reported that Buraydah heard the Messenger of Allah say, ‘The believer dies with sweat on his brow.’ We explained it in at-Tadhkirah.

When he is dying, he is told to say the shahādah since the Prophet said, ‘Instruct your dying to say, “There is no god but Allah” so that it is his last words and his life is sealed with the shahādah. It should not be repeated to him so that he does not become irritated.

It is recommended to recite Sūrat Yāsīn based on the words of the Prophet, ‘Recite Yāsīn over your dying.’ Abū Dāwūd transmitted it. Al-Ajurī mentioned in the Book of Advice from Umm ad-Dardā’ that the Prophet said, ‘There is no dying person who has Sūrat Yāsīn recited to him without death being easy for him.’ In death the
eyes follow the spirit, as is reported in Sahih Muslim. Acts of worship and responsibility are removed. Rulings are then addressed to the living, some of which are not properly esteemed. His righteous brothers are informed about his death. Some people dislike that and say that it is tantamount to a death announcement which is disliked. The first view is sounder and we have elucidated it elsewhere. Another thing that is done is preparation of the dead person by washing and burial of them before any putrefaction occurs. The Prophet said to some people who delayed burying their dead, ‘Hurry to bury your dead’ and he said, ‘Hurry to perform the funeral prayer.’

It is sunnah for the Muslims to wash all their dead except for martyrs. It is said that it is mandatory to wash them as well as Qadi ‘Abd al-Wahhab said. The first is the position of the Book. There are scholars who hold both views. The reason for the disagreement is what the Prophet said to Umm ‘Atiyah about washing his daughter Zaynab, as in Muslim, or Umm Kulthum as in Abu Dawud: ‘Wash her three or five or more if you think that is necessary.’ That is the basis of scholars for washing the dead. It is said that what is meant is the command to wash and it is said that what is meant is clarification of the ruling about washing and that it is mandatory. It is said that what is meant is instructing how that washing should be done and there is nothing in it which indicates that it is mandatory. They said that this is indicated by his words, ‘if you think’. This would imply that the literal meaning is not a mandatory command because it is left to their view. They are told, ‘This is highly unlikely because the words “if you think” refer to a command, not to understanding. There is merely choice concerning the number of times.’

There is no disagreement that washing the dead is prescribed and acting on it is the Shari‘ah. It is not abandoned. It is done like the ghusl for janabah. The dead person is not washed more than seven
times by consensus as Abū ‘Umar related. If something emerges from the corpse after the seventh time, only the place of emergence is washed. The ruling is the same as that of someone in janābah when he breaks wudū after his ghusl.

The dead person is shrouded after they are washed. Shrouding is mandatory according to the generality of the Muslims. If they have money, the cost of the shroud is taken from the main part of their wealth according to most scholars, except for Ṭāwus who says that it is taken from the remaining third of their wealth, large or small. If the deceased was someone who had to be supported in his life – by a master, if it is a slave, or by a father, husband or son in other cases – there is agreement that, in the case of a slave, the master must pay, but there is disagreement about the husband, father and son. If there is no one, then it is up to the Muslim Treasury or the community of Muslims as a whole to provide what is needed.

The specific individual obligation is that the private parts must be covered. If more is available, but not enough to cover the entire body, then the head and face are covered as well to honour the face and cover the good features which will change. The basis for this is the story of Muṣ'ab ibn ‘Umayr. He left a mantle after the Battle of Uḥud. When his head was covered, his feet showed, and when his feet were covered, his head showed. The Messenger of Allah said, ‘Put it over his head and cover his feet with idhkhir-herb.’ Muslim transmitted it.

Most scholars recommend an odd number of cloths in shrouding, but all of them agree that there is no definitive number. White is recommended. The Messenger of Allah said, ‘Wear white. It is the best of your garments. Shroud your dead in it.’ Abū Dāwud transmitted it. The Prophet was shrouded in three white Saḥūlī cotton cloths. It is permitted to use other than white for shrouds unless it is silk.

The stinginess of heirs regarding shrouding is settled for them by
stipulating the same sort of clothing used for *Jumu‘ah* and for Īds. The Prophet ☪ said, ‘When one of you shrouds his brother, he should shroud him well.’ Muslim transmitted it. That is unless the dead person has left instructions to use something inferior to that. If he leaves instructions for something more extravagant, it is said that such excess is void, and it is also said that it is paid for from the third he is allowed to use for legacies. The first view is sounder since Allah says: ‘*Do not be extravagant.*’ (6:141) Abū Bakr said, ‘It is for pus.’

When the washing and shrouding is finished, the deceased is placed on their bier and the men carry him. The ruling is to carry the bier quickly since the Prophet ☪ said, ‘Go briskly with the bier. If the dead is righteous, it is good that you are bringing him to. If he is other than that, it is evil that you are removing from your shoulders.’ It is not as the ignorant do today by walking slowly and stopping time after time, and reciting the Qur’an with melodies and other things which are not lawful to do as the Egyptians do with their dead.

An-Nasā‘ī related from Muḥammad ibn ‘Abd al-A‘lā from Khālid from ‘Uyaynah ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥman that his father, ‘Abd ar-Raḥman, said, ‘I saw the funeral of ‘Abd ar-Raḥman ibn Samurah. Ziyād was walking in front of the bier. Some men from family and clients of ‘Abd ar-Raḥman began to get in front of the bier and walk backwards, saying, “Slowly! Slowly! May Allah bless you!” They went at a snail’s pace until we were on part of the Mirbad road where we met Abū Bakrah on a mule. When he saw what they were doing, he attacked them with his mule and brought his whip down on them. He said, “Stop it! By the One who honoured the face of Abu-l-Qāsim ☪, when we were with the Messenger of Allah ☪, we went very quickly with it!” The people were glad.’ Abū Mājidah related that Ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘We asked our Prophet ☪ about how to walk with the bier and he said, “Less than trotting. If it is good, he is hastened to it. If it is other than that, then may the people of the
Fire be far away!”

Abū Umar said, ‘The majority of scholars agree that what is meant by going quickly is faster than sedately. They preferred going quickly to going slowly. It is disliked to go so quickly as to impose difficulty on any weak people who are following.’ Ibrāhīm an-Nakhaʿī said, ‘Go slightly slowly but do not creep slowly like the Jews and Christians.’ Some people interpreted the ‘going quickly’ mentioned in the hadith of Abū Hurayah as hastening the burial, not the manner of walking. That is of no import because of what we have mentioned. Success is by Allah.

The prayer over the deceased is a general obligation like jīhād. This is the common position of the schools of the scholars, Mālik and others, since the Prophet said about the Negus, ‘Stand and do the prayer for him.’ Aṣbagh, however, said that it is sunnah and related that from Mālik. This will be further discussed in at-Tawbah.

It is mandatory to bury the deceased in the earth and cover them since the Almighty says: ‘Then Allah sent a crow which scratched at the earth to show him how to conceal his brother’s corpse.’ (5:31) The ruling concerning digging the grave, what is recommended in it and how to place the dead in it will be mentioned in another place. The ruling concerning building a mosque over graves will be mentioned in al-Kahf.

These are rulings about the dead and what is obliged for the living. ‘Aīshah said that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Do not curse the dead. They have gone ahead to what they sent forward.’ Muslim transmitted it. We find in the Sunan of an-Nasāʾī: ‘Someone who had died was spoken ill of in the presence of the Prophet and he said, “Only speak well of the dead.”’

**You will be paid your wages in full on the Day of Rising.**

The wage of the believer is the reward and the wage of the unbeliever is punishment. Blessing and trial in this world are not counted as a reward and payment because they are subject to
annihilation.

**Anyone who is distanced from the Fire and admitted to the Garden has triumphed.**

He has *triumphed* because he has obtained what he hoped for and was saved from what he feared. Al-A‘mash related from Zayd ibn Wahb from ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn ‘Abd Rabb al-Ka‘bah from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr that the Prophet said, ‘Anyone who desires to be far from the Fire and to enter the Garden should have death come to him while he is testifying that there is no god but Allah and Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah, and he should give people what he must give them.’ Abū Hurayrah related that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘A whip-sized space in the Garden is better than this world and everything in it. Recite if you wish: “Anyone who is distanced from the Fire and admitted to the Garden has triumphed.”’

**The life of this world is just the enjoyment of delusion.**

This means that it deludes and deceives the believer so that he thinks it will last for a long time while in fact it is quickly passing. Enjoyment refers to articles that are enjoyed and used, such as an axe, pot and bowl. Then it is removed and does not last. That is what most commentators say. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘It is like the green of plants and the games of girls which have no result.’ Qatādah said, ‘It is abandoned enjoyment which is about to vanish. So a person must take what he can from this enjoyment by obeying Allah.’ A poet spoke excellent words about this:

It is the abode of harm and annoyance,
the abode of passing away and the abode of vicissitudes.

Even if you were to obtain it lock, stock and barrel,
you would still die without getting what you desire of it.

*Ghurūr* is delusion while *gharār* is shayṭān who deludes people by making them hope and giving them false promises. Ibn ‘Arafah said, ‘Delusion is things you see that have an outward appearance that
you love while their inward is disliked or unknown.’ Shayṭān is the Deluder because he moves people to what their lower selves love while what is behind it is harmful for them. Illustrating this is a sale that is ‘gharār’ (entailing risk). Outwardly it is uncertain and what is inside it is unknown.

186 You will be tested in your wealth and in yourselves and you will hear many abusive words from those given the Book before you and from those who are idolaters. But if you are steadfast and godfearing, that is the most resolute course to take.

This is addressed to the Prophet ﷺ and His Community. It means: ‘You will be tested and tried in your wealth by hardships and loss, through spending in the Cause of Allah and all the obligations of the Sharī‘ah.’ Testing in selves is through death, illness and loss of loved ones. He mentions wealth first because there are many afflictions in it.

In connection with the verb ‘you may hear’, if it is asked why the wāw is kept in ‘la-tublawūnna’ (tested) when it is elided in ‘wala-tasma‘unna’, the answer is that the wāw in ‘la-tublawūnna’ has a fatḥah before it and so it takes a vowel because of two silent letters meeting, and the dammah is singled out because it is the wāw of the plural and it is not permitted to elide it because nothing before it indicates it. It is elided in ‘wala-tasma‘unna’ because what is before it indicates it. It is not permitted for the wāw to have a hamzah in ‘la-tublawūnna’ because its vowel is accidental [not permanent].’ An-Naḥḥās and others said that. One says for the masculine singular ‘la-tublayanna’, for the dual ‘la-tublayānna’ and for the plural ‘la-tublawunna’.

The reason for this āyah being revealed was that Abū Bakr heard a
A Jew says, ‘Allah is poor and we are rich’ to refute the Qur’an and make light of it when Allah revealed: *Is there anyone who will make Allah a generous loan?* (2:245) He slapped him and the Jew complained about him to the Prophet and this was revealed. ‘Ikrimah said that the speaker was Finḥāṣ.

Az-Zuhri said that it was revealed because of Ka‘b ibn al-Ashraf, a poet, who used to satirise the Prophet and His Companions; the Qurayshī unbelievers had incited him to do so. He abused the Muslim women to the point that eventually the Messenger of Allah sent Muḥammad ibn Maslamah and his comrades to kill him. This is known in the *Sīrah* and sound reports. Other things are said as well.

When the Prophet came to Madīnah, there were Jews and idolaters there, and he and his Companions endured much verbal abuse. The *Sahih* collections report that once, when the Prophet was on a donkey, he passed by Ibn Ubayy and called him to Allah. He retorted, ‘If what you say is true, do not annoy us with it in our gatherings! Return to your mount and just speak to whoever comes to you.’ Ibn Ubayy covered his nose so that the dust raised by the donkey would not touch him. Ibn Rawāḥah exclaimed, ‘Indeed, Messenger of Allah! Bring it to us in our gatherings. We like that!’ The idolaters around Ibn Ubayy and the Muslims abused one another. The Prophet kept on calming them down until they were quiet. Then he went to Sa‘d ibn ‘Ubādah to visit him since he was ill and said, ‘Did you hear what so-and-so said?’ Sa‘d said, ‘Pardon him and overlook it. By the One who sent down the Book on you, Allah has brought us the truth which He sent down on you at the very time that the people of this little town had agreed to crown him and bind his head with a (royal) turban. When Allah stopped that happening by the truth which Allah gave you, he was vexed on that account. That is why he did what you saw.’ The Messenger of Allah pardoned him and then this was revealed.
It is said that this was before fighting was revealed and Allah recommended His slaves have steadfastness and taqwa and reported that that is the most resolute course to take. This is found in al-Bukhārī. ‘The most resolute course to take’ is the strongest and firmest.

It more evident that it is not abrogated. Argument should always be conducted in the best way and courtesy is always recommended. The Prophet used to make peace with the Jews, flatter them and overlook the hypocrites. This is clear.

187 Allah made a covenant with those given the Book:

‘You must make it clear to people and not conceal it.’

But they toss it in disdain behind their backs and sell it for a paltry price. What an evil sale they make!

Allah made a covenant with those given the Book.

This is connected to the previous mention of the Jews. They were commanded to believe in Muḥammad and to make his business clear, but they concealed it. So the āyah rebukes them. Then this is also a general report to them and others.

Al-Ḥasan and Qatādah said, ‘This is about everyone who is given knowledge of the Book. Whoever knows something should teach it. Beware of concealing knowledge. That is destruction.’ Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b said, ‘It is not lawful for a scholar to remain silent with his knowledge nor for someone ignorant to remain silent about his ignorance. Allah says: “Allah made a covenant with those given the Book” and “Ask the People of the Reminder if you do not know.” (16:42)’

Abū Hurayrah said, ‘If Allah had not criticised the People of the Book for not doing so, I would not have transmitted anything to you.’ Then he recited this āyah. Al-Ḥasan ibn ‘Umārah said, ‘I went to az-Zuhrī after he had stopped transmitting hadith and I found him at his door. I said, “Will you transmit to me?” He replied, “Do
you not know that I have stopped transmitting hadith?” I said, “You will relate to me or I will relate to you.” He said, “Relate to me.” I said, “Al-Ḥakam ibn ‘Uyaynah related to me from Yaḥyā ibn al-Jazzār that he heard ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib say, ‘Allah did not impose on the ignorant to learn until he imposed on scholars to teach.’” Then he related forty hadiths to me.’

**You must make it clear to people and not conceal it.**

It is said that the masculine pronoun ‘it’ can refer to Muḥammad (meaning ‘You must make ‘him’ clear’), and it is said that it refers to the Book. This would include clarifying the actions of the Prophet because he is mentioned in the Book. ‘Not conceal it’ is grammatically adverbial. Abū ‘Amr, ‘Āṣim in the variant of Abū Bakr and the people of Makkah have ‘li-tubayyinunnahu’ in the second person while the rest have it with yā’ (li-yubayyinunnahu) because they are not present. (“It must be made clear.”)

Ibn ‘Abbās recited ‘mithāqa’n-nabiyyina’ (a covenant with the Prophets), and so His words, ‘toss it’ refer to the people to whom the Prophets made things clear. The reading of Ibn Mas‘ūd is ‘la-tubayyinūnahu’ without the heavy nūn.

**But they toss it in disdain behind their backs and sell it for a paltry price.**

The word nabdh means casting aside as in: ‘something to cast disdainfully behind your backs’ (11:92), and it was explained in al-Baqarah. The expression ‘behind their backs’ stresses the tossing aside, as we see in ‘cast disdainfully behind your backs.’ (11:92).

188 Those who exult in what they have done and love to be praised for what they have not done should not suppose that they have escaped the punishment. They will have a painful punishment.
That is because they stayed behind the expeditions and brought the Prophet their excuses. It is confirmed in the Sahih collections from Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī that when the Messenger of Allah went on an expedition, some of the hypocrites stayed behind and exulted in doing that. When the Prophet returned, they offered their excuses and oaths and wanted to be praised for what they had done, and this was revealed.

Also in the two Sahih collections, Marwān said to his doorman, ‘Go to Rāfi’ ibn ‘Abbās and tell him, “If every man of us who exults in what he had done and loves to be praised for what he has not done is going to be punished, then we will all be punished.”’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, “What do you have to do with this āyah! This āyah was revealed about the People of the Book.” Then he recited: “Allah made a covenant with those given the Book. You must make it clear to people and not conceal it” and “Those who exult in what they have done and love to be praised for what they have not done.” Ibn ‘Abbās said, “The Prophet asked them about something and they concealed it from him and told him something else. They left and reported what they had told him about what he asked them and wanted to be praised for that and exulted at their concealment of what he had asked them about.”

Muḥammad ibn Kaʿb al-Quraẓī said, ‘It was revealed about some scholars of the tribe of Israel who concealed the truth and gave to kings knowledge which supported their falsehoods. “They sold it for a small price,” in other words, for what the kings of this world gave them. So Allah said to His Prophet: “Those who exult in what they have done …” and told him that they would have painful punishment for their corrupting the dīn for the slaves of Allah.’

Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘The Jews used to say to the kings, “We find in our Book that Allah will send a Prophet at the end of time to seal Prophethood.”’ Then when Allah sent him and the kings asked them, “Is this the one you find in their Book?”, the Jews, desiring the wealth of the kings, replied, “It is not him.” So the kings gave them
towards treasures. Then Allah told them: “Those who exult in what they have done…” by way of lying to the kings to take the goods of this world “…should not suppose…” The first hadith is contrary to the second one. It is possible that there were two reasons for its revelation since they occurred at the same time and it answers both. Allah knows best.

**they love to be praised for what they have not done**

They seek praise. Marwân’s words, ‘If every man of us who exults in what he has done…’ indicate that the generality has a specific form and ‘those’ is part of it. This is definite in the case of those who understand that from the Qur’an and Sunnah.

If the āyah is about the People of the Book and not about the hypocrites who stayed behind, it is because they said, ‘We are following the dīn of Ibrâhîm’ but they were not following his dīn, and they used to say, ‘We are the people of prayer, fasting and Scripture,’ desiring to be praised for that.

Nâfi’, Ibn ‘Āmir, Ibn Kathîr and Abû ‘Amr read it as ‘They should not suppose’ (yaḥsabanna or yaḥsibanna), meaning ‘those who exult should not suppose that they have escaped the punishment.’ It is said that the first object is elided, which is ‘themselves’ and the second is ‘escaped’. The Kufans read it with tā’ meaning, ‘You should not suppose (taḥsabanna), O Muḥammad, that those who exult have escaped the punishment.’ The second ‘suppose’ is for stress. Its first object is ‘hum’ and the second is elided. The fā’ at the beginning is a conjunction or redundant in replacing the second object by the first.

Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥâk and ‘Īsâ ibn ‘Umar recite it with a tā’ and a dammah on the bā’: taḥsabunnahum. So it is addressed to Muḥammad and his Companions. Mujâhid, Ibn Kathîr, Abû ‘Amr and Yaḥyâ ibn Ya‘mur recite it with a yâ’ and a dammah on the bā’, reporting about those who exult, i.e. ‘those who exult should not suppose’. ‘Escape’ is the second object and ‘suppose’ is for stress. It is said that ‘those’ is the subject of ‘suppose’ and its two objects are elided… (more
grammatical discussion).

Most of the seven readers and others recite ‘atāw’ with a short alif, indicating the lying and concealment they have done.’ Marwān ibn al-Ḥakam, al-A‘mash and Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘ī recite ‘atāw’ with maddah which means ‘give’. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr recited ‘ūtū’ without naming the subject, i.e. ‘they are given’.

Mafāzah (escape) is deliverance. It is form maf‘alah from the verb fāza, yafūzu to be saved. It means that they will not be saved (fā‘izūn). A place of fear is called mafāzah for luck. Al-Aṣmā‘ī said that. It is said that it is because of it is a place where the desert is crossed (tafwīz) where destruction is likely. The Arabs use ‘fawwaza’ for a man when he dies. Tha‘lab said, ‘I related to Ibn al-A‘rābī what al-Aṣmā‘ī had said and he said, “He erred. Abu-l-Makārim said that it is called mafāzah because the one who crosses it wins (fāza).”’ Al-Aṣma‘ī said that someone who has been stung is called ‘healthy’ for luck. Ibn al-A‘rābī said that it is because he will recover when he is afflicted. It is said that one should not suppose that they are in a place far from the punishment because success is a long way away from what is disliked. Allah knows best.

189 The kingdom of the heavens and earth belongs to Allah. Allah has power over all things.

This is an argument against those who say, ‘Allah is poor and we are rich’ and refutes them. It is said that it means: ‘Do not suppose that those who exult will be saved from the punishment. Everything belongs to Allah and is subject to His power.’ So it is added to the first statement. It means that they will not be saved from His punishment. He will seize them whenever He wishes. The expression ‘Allah has power over all things’ was explained in al-Baqarah.
In the creation of the heavens and the earth, and the alternation of night and day, there are Signs for people with intelligence: 191 those who remember Allah, standing, sitting and lying on their sides, and reflect on the creation of the heavens and the earth: ‘Our Lord, You have not created this for nothing. Glory be to You! So safeguard us from the punishment of the Fire. 192 Our Lord, those You cast into the Fire, You have indeed disgraced. The wrongdoers will have no helpers. 193 Our Lord, we heard a caller calling us to belief: ‘Believe in your Lord!’’, and we believed. Our Lord, forgive us our wrong actions, erase our bad actions from us and take us back to You with those who are truly good. 194 Our
Lord, give us what You promised us through Your Messengers, and do not disgrace us on the Day of Rising. You do not break Your promise.’ 195 Their Lord responds to them: ‘I will not let the deeds of any doer among you go to waste, male or female – you are both the same in that respect. Those who made hijrah and were driven from their homes and suffered harm in My Way and fought and were killed, I will erase their bad actions from them and admit them into Gardens with rivers flowing under them, as a reward from Allah. The best of all rewards is with Allah.’ 196 Do not be deceived by the fact that those who disbelieve move freely about the earth. 197 A brief enjoyment; then their shelter will be Hell. What an evil resting-place! 198 But those who have taqwā of their Lord will have Gardens with rivers flowing under them, remaining in them timelessly, forever: hospitality from Allah. What is with Allah is better for those who are truly good. 199 Among the people of the Book there are some who believe in Allah and in what has been sent down to you and what was sent down to them, and who are humble before Allah. They do not sell Allah’s Signs for a paltry price. Such people will have their reward with their Lord. And Allah is swift at reckoning. 200 You who believe, be steadfast; be supreme in steadfastness; be firm on the battlefield; and have taqwa of Allah; so that hopefully you will be successful.

In the creation of the heavens and the earth

This was already discussed in al-Baqarah. Allah concludes this sūrah with the command to look and deduce from His signs since they only come from the Living, Self-Subsistent, All-Powerful, Pure,
Peace, who is free from the needs of created existence, so that their faith is based on certainty, not blind imitation.

**Signs for people with intelligence**

This means those who use their intellects to reflect on evidence. It is related that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘When this āyah was revealed to the Prophet ﷺ, he rose and prayed. Then Bilāl came to give the adhān for the prayer and he recited it weeping. He said, “Messenger of Allah, why do you weep when Allah has forgiven you your past and any future errors?” He answered, “Bilāl, am I not a grateful slave?” Then Allah revealed this and he ﷺ said, “Woe to those who recite it without reflecting on it!”’

Scholars say that it is recommended for someone who wakes up from sleep to wipe his face and recite these ten āyahs in imitation of the Prophet ﷺ. That is confirmed in the Ṣaḥīḥ collections and elsewhere. Then he should pray what is prescribed for him and combine reflection and action, and the best action will be made clear in this āyah. It is related from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ used to recite these ten āyahs every night. Abū Naṣr al-Wā’ilī as-Sijistānī transmitted it in Kīlāb al-Inābah from Sulaymān ibn Mūsā from Muẓāhir ibn Aslam al-Makhzūmī from al-Maqbuṟī from Abū Hurayrah. It was already mentioned at the beginning of the sūrah that ‘Uthmān said, ‘If someone recites the end of Āl ‘Imrān in the night, prayer during the whole night will be recorded for him.’

**Those who remember Allah, standing, sitting and lying on their sides.**

Allah mentioned the three postures which human beings necessarily adopt in most of their affairs and so it is as if it covers all their time. ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ used to remember Allah at all times.’ Muslim transmitted it. That would include when he was in the lavatory and other places.

Scholars disagree about this. ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr, Ibn Sīrīn and an-
Nakha‘ī allow it, and Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Aṭā‘ and ash-Sha‘bī dislike it. The first position is sounder because of the general nature of the text of the āyah and hadith. An-Nakha‘ī said, ‘There is nothing wrong in remembering Allah in the lavatory. It is taken up,’ meaning recorded by the angels and written in their books. The evidence for that is Allah’s words: ‘He does not utter a single word, without a watcher by him, pen in hand’ (50:18) and: ‘Standing over you are guardians, noble, recording.’ (82:10) That is because Allah commanded His slaves to remember Him in every state without exception. He says: ‘Remember Allah much’ (33:41), ‘Remember Me – I will remember you’ (2:152) and ‘We will not let the wage of good-doers go to waste.’ (18:30) So it is universal. The person who remembers Allah Almighty in all his states is rewarded, Allah willing.

Abū Nu‘aym mentioned from Abū Bakr ibn Mālik from ‘Abdullāh ibn Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal from his father from Wākī‘ from Sufyān from ‘Aṭā‘ ibn Abī Marwān from his father that Ka‘b al-Aḥbar said, ‘Mūsā ✡ said, “O Lord, are You near so that I can speak intimately with You, or far, so that I must call out to You?” He said, “Mūsā, I sit with the one who remembers me.” He said, “O Lord, what if we are in a situation in which we consider You too majestic and great to be remembered there?” “And what is what?” He asked. He said, “In a state of major impurity (janābah) or in the lavatory.” He said, “Mūsā, remember Me in every situation.”’

The dislike of that is either because of thinking that remembering Allah is too great to be done in places where He should not be remembered, as it is disliked to recite the Qur’an in the bath-house, or because it forces the noble scribes to remain in a place of filth and impurity to record what was said. Allah knows best.

‘Standing, sitting’ is in the accusative for the ḥāl and ‘on their sides’ is also in the accusative for the ḥāl and means lying down, as in Allah’s words: ‘he calls on Us, lying on his side or sitting down or standing up.’ (10:12) This means that he calls on Him lying on his side.
A group of commentators, al-Ḥasan and others, think that the words from ‘those who remember Allah’ are about the prayer, meaning that people must not fail to do it and should perform it in whatever state is possible. If someone has an excuse, then he can pray sitting down or lying down. According to Ibn Masʿūd, that is reflected in this āyah as will be made clear.

If the āyah is about the prayer, the fiqh is that a person prays it standing. If he cannot do that, then sitting, and if he cannot manage that, then lying down. This is as it is confirmed from ‘Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn that Abu-l-Bawāsīr asked the Prophet ﷺ about the prayer. He said, ‘Pray standing. If you cannot, then sitting. If you cannot, then lying down.’ The imāms transmitted it. The Prophet ﷺ prayed nāfilah prayers sitting down a year before he died as we find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim. An-Nasāʾī related that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘I saw the Messenger of Allah ﷺ praying cross-legged.’ Abū ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān said, ‘I do not know of anyone who relates this hadith other than Abū Dāwud al-Ḥafarī, who is trustworthy. I can only think that this hadith is an error.’ Allah knows best.

Scholars disagree about how a person who is ill should pray and how they should sit. Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam related from Mālik that they sit cross-legged in the standing portions. Al-Buwayṭī mentioned that from ash-Shāfiʿī. When they want to prostrate, they do as much as they are able to. That is also the position of ath-Thawrī, al-Layth, Alḥmad, Iṣḥāq, Abū Yūsuf and Muḥammad. In the transmission of al-Muzanī, ash-Shāfiʿī said that they should sit in the prayer as they normally would in the tashahhud. This is related from Mālik and his people. The first is the well-known position and it is the apparent view of the Mudawwanah. Abū Ḥanīfah and Zafar said that they sit as he would for tashahhud and that is how they do rukūʿ and prostration as well.

If they cannot sit, then they can choose whether to pray on their side or their back. This is the position in the Mudawwanah. Ibn
Ḩabīb related from Ibn al-Qāsim that they should pray on their backs. If they cannot do that, then on their right side, and finally on their left side. The book of Ibn al-Mawwāz has the opposite: they should pray on their right side. If they cannot do that, then on their left side. And if they cannot do that, then on their backs. Saḥnūn said that they should pray on their right side, in the same way as people are placed in their grave, then on their back, then on their left side. Mālik and Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘When someone prays lying down, their feet should be towards qiblah.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī and ath-Thawrī said that they pray on their side with their face towards qiblah.

If someone regains their strength after illness during the prayer, Ibn al-Qāsim said that they should stand for the rest of the prayer and build on what they have done. That is the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī, Zafar and aṭ-Ṭabarī. Abū Ḥanīfah and his two companions said that if someone prays one rak‘ah lying down and then recovers, they should start the prayer again. If they were sitting and bow and prostrate and then recover, they build on what they have done according to Abū Ḥanīfah, but not according to Muḥammad. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people said that if someone starts the prayer standing and reaches a point where they can only indicate the movements, they build on what they have done. That is related from Abū Yūsuf. Mālik said about a sick person who cannot bow or prostrate, but is able to stand and sit, that they pray standing and indicate bowing, and when they want to prostrate, they should sit and indicate prostration. That is the position of Abū Yūsuf and analogous to the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people said that they should pray sitting.

As for the prayer of a healthy person not standing, it is related from the hadith of ‘Imrān ibn Ḥuṣayn that the prayer of a healthy person lying down is worth half that of one sitting down. Abū ‘Umar said that the majority of the people of knowledge do not permit nāfilah
prayers lying down when someone is able to sit or stand. It is a hadith which is only related by Ḣusayn the Teacher, who is Ḣusayn ibn Dhakwān, from ʿAbdullāh ibn Buraydah from ʿImrān ibn Ḥuṣayn. There is disagreement about its isnād as well as its text from Ḣusayn which means that one should hesitate about it. If it is sound, I do not know its true import. If one of the people of knowledge permits the performance of nāfīlah prayers while lying down for someone able to sit or stand, then that is the meaning of this addition in the report. It is an argument for those who believe that to be the case. If they agree that it is disliked to perform nāfīlah prayers while lying down in the case of someone able to sit or stand, then this hadith of Ḣusayn is either an error or abrogated.

It is said that what is meant by the āyah is that those who deduce evidence from the creation of the heaven and the earth say that that which changes must have a Changer, and that Changer must have the power to achieve it in perfection. He can send Messengers. If He sends a Messenger whose truthfulness is indicated by a single miracle, then no one has an excuse. Those people remember Allah in every state. Allah knows best.

reflect on the creation of the heavens and the earth.

We have explained the meaning of ‘remember’, which is either with the tongue or in prayer. Then Allah adds another form of worship to it: reflection on the power of Allah and His creation and the lessons to be gained from that so as to increase insight.

Every thing contains a sign of Him which indicates that He is One.

It is said that ‘reflect’ is added to the ḥāl. It is also said that it is separate. The first view is more likely.

Reflection is that the heart returns to something. One says tafakkara, and a man who is fikkīr reflects often. The Prophet  passed by some people who were reflecting on Allah and said, ‘Reflect on
creation. Do not reflect on the Creator. You will not be able to value Him properly.’ Reflection, consideration and expanding the mind is with respect to creatures, as Allah says here. It is related that Sufyān ath-Thawrī prayed two rak‘ahs at the Maqām and then raised his head towards heaven. When he saw the stars, he fainted. He used to urinate blood on account of the depth of his sorrow and reflection.

Abū Hurayrah reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Once, while a man was lying on his bed, he lifted his head, looked at the stars and the sky and said, “I testify that you have a Lord and a Creator. O Allah, forgive me.” Allah looked at him and forgave him.’ He ﷺ said, ‘There is no act of worship like reflection.’ It is related that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘An hour of reflection is better than a year of worship.’ Ibn al-Qāsim reported that Mālik said, ‘Umm ’d-Dardā’ was asked, “What did Abu-d-Dardā’ mostly do?” She said, “He mostly reflected.”’ He was asked, ‘Do you think that reflection is best of actions?’ ‘Yes,’ he replied, ‘It is certainty.’ Ibn al-Musayyab was asked about prayers between Zuhr and ‘Aṣr and he said, ‘This is not worship. Worship is scrupulousness about what Allah has forbidden and reflecting on the command of Allah.’ Al-Ḥasan said, ‘Reflecting for an hour is better than praying all night.’ Ibn ‘Abbās and Abu-d-Dardā’ said that. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘Reflection is the mirror of the believer in which he sees his good actions and bad actions reflected.’

Part of that on which one should reflect are the terrors of the Next World, the Gathering, the Garden and its bliss, and the Fire and its punishment. It is related that Abū Sulaymān ad-Dārānī took a mug of water to do wuḍū’ for the night prayer. He had a guest. The guest saw him put a finger in the handle of the mug and then he stopped, reflecting, until dawn. He said to him, ‘What is this, Abū Sulaymān?’ He said, ‘When I put my finger in the mug’s handle, I thought about the words of Allah Almighty: “When they have shackles and chains around their necks and are dragged along the ground”’ (40:71), and I
reflected on my state and how I would meet the shackles when they are placed on my neck on the Day of Rising. I continued doing that until morning.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah observed, ‘This is extreme fear, and the best of matters is the middle one. The scholars of this community do not commend this course. Studying knowledge of the Book of Allah and the meanings of the Sunna of the Messenger of Allah for someone who understands and hopes to benefit is better than this.’

Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Scholars disagree about which of the two actions is better: reflection or the prayer. The Sufis believe that reflection is better. It results in gnosis, which is the best of stations. The fuqahā’ believe that the prayer is better since its encouragement is reported in hadiths.’ We find in the two Ṣaḥīḥ collections that Ibn ‘Abbās spent the night with his aunt, Maymūnah. He said, ‘The Messenger of Allah got up and wiped the sleep from his face. Then he recited the final ten āyahs of Sūrat Āl ‘Imrān. He went to a waterskin which was hanging and did wuḍū’ quickly and then prayed thirteen rak‘ahs.’ Look at how he combined the two: he reflected on creatures and then turned to the prayer. This is the sunnah on which he relied. As for the method of those Sufis who say you should reflect day and night, month after month without break, that is far from what is correct, inappropriate and not following the sunnah.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘My father, may Allah be pleased with him, reported to me that one of the scholars of the east said, ‘I used to spend the night in Al-Aqdām Mosque in Egypt. I prayed ‘Ishā’ and saw a man lying down wrapped up in a garment in his cloak until morning. We prayed that night and stayed awake. When the iqāmah for the Subh prayer was given, he got up and faced the qiblah and prayed with the people. I thought that he was terribly impudent to pray without doing wuḍū’. When the prayer was over, he went out and I followed him to admonish him. When I was close to him, I heard him recite:

‘An enwrapped body is absent present,
a heart which is aware, a silent invoker.

Constricted in the unseen worlds, expanded –
that is the state of the gnostic invoker.

I spent the night as the brother of thought,
and so for the whole of the night he is awake asleep.”

Then I knew that he was one of those who worship by reflection
and I left him.’

**Our Lord, You have not created this for nothing. Glory be to You!**

They say, ‘You did not create this in vain or as a jest. You created
this as evidence of Your wisdom and power.’ The *bāṭil* is that which
vanishes and departs. Labīd said:

Everything except Allah is false.

The word ‘for nothing’ is in the accusative because it is the adjective
of an elided verbal noun, meaning ‘a vain creation’. It is said that it
is in the accusative based on the removal of the genitive, meaning
‘You did not create it for what is in vain. It is said that it is based on
a second object and ‘created’ means ‘make.’

As for the expression ‘Glory be to You!’ Mūsā ibn Ṭalḥa reports that
the Messenger of Allah محمد ﷺ was asked about the meaning of ‘Glory
be to Allah.’ He said, ‘It means: to free Allah from every kind of
evil.’ This was already explained adequately in *al-Baqarah*.

**So safeguard us from the punishment of the Fire.**

‘Protect us from its punishment.’ This has already been discussed.

**Our Lord, those You cast into the Fire, You have indeed disgraced.**

It is said that they are disgraced and sent far away. Al-Mufaḍḍal
said that it means that they are destroyed, and he recited:

Allah disgraces those who worship the cross
and bear the habits of monks.

It is said to imply distance and hatred. The verb means to put far
away and hate. Ibn as-Sakīt said that *khīzīy* is when someone falls into
trial. The people of threat hold to this āyah and say, ‘Anyone who enters the Fire cannot be a believer because Allah would not disgrace the believers (cf. 66:8).’ This is refuted since there are proofs that someone who commits a major wrong action is still a believer as we will mention.

What is meant here then is those who will be in the Fire forever, as Anas ibn Mālik said. Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab said that the āyah deals with those who will never leave the Fire and that is why He says: ‘The wrongdoers will have no helpers.’ These are the unbelievers.

The people of meanings said that disgrace can mean shame, and one says khaziya, yakhzā, khazāyah for being ashamed, and the shamed is khazyān. Dhu-r-Rummah said:

Shame reached him in his excursion from ropes, disordered by anger.

So the believers will be ashamed about entering the Fire with the people of other religions until they are brought out from it. Disgrace for the unbelievers is their destruction in it without death. The believers will die and leave it, as is confirmed in the sound sunnah reported from Abū Sa‘īd al-Khudrī in Muslim.

Our Lord, we heard a caller calling us to belief: ‘Believe in your Lord!’ and we believed.

That is Muḥammad ☦. Ibn Mas‘ūd, Ibn ‘Abbās and most commentators said that. Qatādah and Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b al-Quraẓī said, ‘It is the Qur’an.’ Not all of them heard the Messenger of Allah ☦. The evidence for this is the fact that Allah reports that the believing jinn said: ‘We have heard a most amazing Recitation. It leads to right guidance.’ (72:1-2) The first group answered that if someone hears the Qur’an, it is as if he has met the Prophet ☦. This is a sound concept. ‘An’ in ‘Believe in’ is in the accusative by the elided genitive participle, i.e. ‘bi-anna āminū’. There is a change in the normal order and it means: ‘We heard a caller to belief calling.’ Abū
‘Ubaydah said that. The lām in the āyah means ‘ilā’ (to) as is found frequently in other āyahs like 99:5 and 7:43. It is also said that it means ‘for the sake of’, meaning ‘so that we believe’.

**Our Lord, forgive us our wrong actions and erase our bad actions from us.**

The repetition is for stress in the supplication, as both expressions have the same meaning of covering. In the words ‘Take us back to You with those who are truly good’ the ‘truly good’ are the Prophets. The root of barr has to do with amplitude, and the one who is ‘truly good’ (barr) is the one who goes to great lengths in obeying Allah and receives ample mercy from Allah.

**Our Lord, give us what You promised us through Your Messengers.**

This means on the tongues of Your Messengers, like ‘ask the town’ (12:82). Al-A‘mash and az-Zuhī recited ‘ruslika’ with lightening. It is said that it is a reference to the Prophets and angels asking for forgiveness for the believers and the angels asking forgiveness for those on the earth. There is also Nūḥ’s supplication for the believers, that of Ibrāhīm, and the Prophet praying for forgiveness for his community.

**and do not disgrace us on the Day of Rising.**

This means ‘Do not punish or destroy us or disgrace us. Do not abase us, distance us from You or hate us on the Day of Rising.’

**You do not break Your promise.**

There are three aspects to the meaning of Allah not breaking His promise. The first is that Allah has promised the Garden to those who believe and they asked to be among those who were promised that without disgrace and punishment. The second is that they asked this as an act of worship and humility. Supplication is the core of worship. This is like Allah’s words: ‘Say: “Lord, judge us with truth!”’ (21:112) when He only ever judges with the truth. The third is that they asked to be given their promised victory against their enemy immediately because it directly refers to the Companions of the
Prophet and they asked for that in order to exalt the dīn. Allah knows best.

Anas ibn Mālik reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘When Allah promises someone a reward for an action, He makes him accomplish it as mercy from Him. If he promises punishment for an action, then the person has a choice regarding it.’ The Arabs censure failing to keep a promise but praise failing to follow through on a threat. One of them said:

My nephew does not fear my attack as long as I live and so does not hide out of fear of the threatener.

When I threaten or promise, I do not follow through on my threat but I fulfil my promise.

Their Lord responds to them:

He answers them. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘They continue to say, “Our Lord, Our Lord,” until He answers them.’ Ja‘far aṣ-Ṣādiq said, ‘If someone says five times, “Our Lord,” Allah will save him from what he fears and give him what he wants.’ He was asked, ‘How is that?’ He replied, ‘Recite if you wish, “those who remember Allah” to “You do not break Your promise.”’ (3:191-194)

Their Lord responds to them: ‘I will not let the deeds of any doer among you go to waste, male or female –

Īsā ibn ‘Umar recited ‘inniti’ instead of ‘anniti’. Al-Ḥakīm Abū ‘Abdullāh related that Umm Salamah said, ‘Messenger of Allah, why do I not hear Allah mention women in the hijrah at all?’ Then Allah revealed: ‘Their Lord responds to them “I will not let the deeds of any doer among you go to waste, male or female.”’ At-Tirmidhī transmitted it.

‘Min’ in the sentence is for stress because it is preceded by a negative particle. The Kufans, however, say that it is explanatory and cannot be elided because it is included for the meaning which is not sound without it. It can be elided when it is stress for denial.

you are both the same in that respect.
This is an inceptive and predicate. It means: your dīn is the same. It is said that you (meaning male and female) are both the same with respect to reward, judgments, help and the like. Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘Your men are like your women in respect of obedience, and your women are like your men in respect of obedience.’ This is similar to the phrase: ‘The men and women of the believers are friends of one another.’ (9:71) When it is said, ‘So-and-so is from me (minnī),’ it means: ‘He has my position and my character.’

Those who made hijrah and were driven from their homes and suffered harm in My Way and fought and were killed.

‘Those who made hijrah’ are those who left their homes and came to Madīnah ‘and were driven from their homes’ in obedience to Allah Almighty. ‘They fought’ My enemies and ‘were killed’ in My Way (qātalū wa qutilū). Ibn Kathīr and Ibn ‘Āmir have ‘qatalū wa qattalū’ which is for stress. Al-Aʿmash recited ‘qutilū wa qatalū,’ meaning ‘fight My enemies and are killed in My path.’

It is said that the word ‘qad’ is elided in the words, meaning ‘They were killed and they fought (qad qātilū).’ [POEM ON USAGE] It is said: those of them who remained fought. The Arabs say, ‘We fought the Banū Tamīm and some of them were killed.’ Imruʿu-l-Qays said:

If you kill us, we will kill you.

‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-ʿAzīz recited ‘qatalū wa qutilū’ without alif.

I will erase their bad actions from them

I will veil them from them in the Next World and will not rebuke them on account of them nor punish them for them because the reward is affirmed for those people. According to the Basrans, ‘thawāb’ is a verbal noun of stress because the words ‘I will admit them into Gardens with rivers flowing under them’ mean, ‘I will give them a reward.’ Al-Kisāʾī said that it is accusative for the disjunctive. Al-Farrāʾ said that it is explanatory. They will be given an excellent recompense. [GRAMMATICAL EXEGESIS]
Do not be deceived by the fact that those who disbelieve move freely about the earth.

It is said that this is addressed to the Prophet while the Community is meant. It is said that it is addressed to all. That is because the Muslims said, ‘The unbelievers trade, have wealth and are able to travel about in the land while we are dying from hunger,’ and so it was revealed: ‘Do not be deceived by the apparent safety of the unbelievers in their moving about in their journeys.’

A brief enjoyment; then their shelter will be Hell.

The words ‘A brief enjoyment’ mean that they will only move about freely for a brief time and the benefit of that is only a very temporary one. Ya‘qūb recited ‘yaghurranaka’. [POEM] It is similar to: ‘Do not let their free movement about the earth deceive you.’ (40:4) It is called ‘brief’ because it is passing. Everything which is passing, even if it is a lot, is actually little. We find in the Ṣaḥīḥ of at-Tirmidhī that al-Mustawrid reported that the Prophet said, ‘This world in comparison with the Next World is like putting your finger in the sea and seeing what comes back on it.”

What an evil resting-place!

The ‘resting-place’ is evil for them because of their unbelief. It is what Allah has prepared for them in the Fire. Some find evidence in this āyah and ones like it: ‘Those who disbelieve should not imagine that the extra time We grant to them is good for them.’ (3:178). ‘I will give them more time. My strategy is sure.’ (7:183) ‘Do they imagine that, in the wealth and children We extend to them…’ (23:55) and ‘We will lead them, step by step, into destruction from where they do not know.’ (7:182), and say that these āyahs are evidence that the unbelievers are not in fact blessed in this world because the reality of blessing is free from the taint of both immediate and later harm. The blessing of the unbelievers is mixed with pain and punishment. So it is like someone who is given honey mixed with poison. Even if he enjoys eating it, it is not called a blessing because it will kill him. A group of scholars believe this. It is
the position of Abu-l-Ḥasan al-Ashʿarī.

A group of them, including Qādī Abū Bakr, the Sword of the Sunnah and Tongue of the Ummah, believe that Allah actually does bless them in this world. They say, ‘The root of blessing (niʿmah) is naʿmah, which is a comfortable life,’ and we find this linguistically used in the Qurʾān: ‘What comfort and ease (naʿmah) they delighted in!’ (44:27) One says that the flour is fine (nāʾim) when it is very finely milled. This is sound and there is further evidence for it in that Allah obliges the unbelievers to be grateful as is it obliged on all of those subject to responsibility. He says: ‘Remember the blessings of Allah’ (7:74) and ‘thank Allah.’ (2:182) Thankfulness is only for blessing. He says: ‘And do good as Allah has been good to you’ (28:77), and this was addressed to Qārūn. He says: ‘Allah makes a likeness of a city which was safe and at peace’ (16:112). So Allah reports that He blessed them with worldly blessings and they were ungrateful for them. He says: ‘They acknowledge Allah’s blessing and then deny it’ (16:83) and ‘Mankind! remember Allah’s blessing upon you.’ (35:3) This is universal and includes the unbelievers and others.

When poisoned food is offered to someone, that is a kindness to him in that situation, since they are not made to swallow pure poison, but it is mixed with something sweet. So it is not unlikely for it to be said, ‘He has blessed him.’ If this is the case, then there are two types of blessings: a beneficial blessing and an averting blessing. A beneficial blessing is any kind of pleasure someone is given. An averting blessing is one that averts from them any type of disaster. According to this view, Allah does give blessings to the unbelievers. They do not disagree that Allah does not give them the blessing of the dīn. Praise be to Allah.

**But those who have taqwā of their Lord will have gardens with rivers flowing under them**

This concludes the negation of the unbelievers and their benefit of moving freely about the land. It is, however, the godfearing who will
have the greatest and everlasting benefit. The word ‘But’ is in the position of the nominative by the inceptive. Yazīd ibn al-Qa‘qā‘ recited ‘lakinna’.

**hospitality from Allah.**

*Nuzul* is like *thawāb* according to the Basrans. Al-Kisā‘ī said that it is a verbal noun, and al-Farrā’ said that it is explanatory. Al-Ḥasan and an-Nakha‘ī recited ‘nuzl’, finding two *dammahs* heavy. The rest have it as *nuzul*. The meaning of *nuzul* is hospitality prepared for a guest. *Nazīl* is a guest. A poet says:

> The guest of a people has the greatest right
> and the right of Allah in respect of the guest’s right.

The plural is *anzāl*. The portion of a guest (nazīl) is brought together. *Nuzl* is a lot of food served to the guest as is *nazal*.

Perhaps the specific meaning of hospitality here, and Allah knows best, is what appears in *Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim* from Thawbān, the client of the Messenger of Allah (g), in the story about a rabbi who asked the Prophet (g), ‘Where will people be on the day the earth is changed to other than the earth and heavens?’ He replied (g), ‘They will be in the darkness before the bridge.’ He asked, ‘Who will be the first person to cross?’ He replied (g), ‘The poor Muhājirūn.’ The Jew asked, ‘What will be their hospitality they enter the Garden?’ He answered (g), ‘The lobe of the liver of the whale.’ He asked, ‘And what will be their food after it?’ He replied (g), ‘The oxen of the Garden will be slaughtered for them and they will eat from the best of them.’ He asked, ‘What will be their drink?’ He replied (g), ‘It will be from a spring there called Salsabīl.’

Linguists say that *tuḥfah* (hospitality) in the hadith are fruits that one presents (*athafa*) and *ṭuḥaf* is the best of something. This corresponds to what we mentioned about hospitality. Allah knows best. ‘The lobe of the liver’ is a piece like a finger. Al-Harawī said that ‘hospitality from Allah’ means a reward. It is said that it means provision.
The expression ‘What is with Allah is better for those who are truly good,’ means that it is better than what the unbelievers have in this world. Allah knows best.

Among the people of the Book there are some who believe in Allah and in what has been sent down to you and what was sent down to them,

Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh, Anas, Ibn ‘Abbās, Qatādah and al-Ḥasan said that this was revealed about the Negus. That was because when he died, Jibrīl announced his death to the Messenger of Allah and the Prophet told his Companions, ‘Stand and pray for your brother the Negus.’ They remarked to one another, ‘He commands us to pray for one of the Abyssinian barbarians!’ Then Allah revealed this.

Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said that the words ‘what has been sent down to you’ refer to the Qur’an and the words ‘and what was sent down to them’ refer to the Torah and Gospel. We find in the Revelation: ‘They will be given their reward twice over.’ (28:54) We find in Ṣaḥīh Muslim, ‘Three will be given their reward twice over.’ A man of the People of the Book is mentioned who believes in his own Prophet and then meets the Prophet and believes in him, follows him and affirms him. He will have two rewards. This was already mentioned in al-Baqarah. Mujāhid, Ibn Jurayj and Ibn Zayd said that it was revealed about all the believers of the People of the Book. It is general and the Negus is one of them. His name was Aṣḥamah which means gift.

and who are humble before Allah.

This means humble and abased. It is in the accusative for the ḥāl modifying what is implied in ‘believe’, and it is said that it is modifying the pronoun in ‘to them’ or ‘to you’. The āyah contains clarification.

You who believe, be steadfast.

Allah ends the sūrah with the directives that this tenth āyah contains which include victory over the enemy in this world and success in
obtaining the bliss of the Next World. So He encourages steadfastness in obedience and against one’s appetites. Ṣabr means constraint, and was already discussed in *al-Baqarah*.

**Be supreme in steadfastness.**

This is ṣābara, and it is said that it means steadfastness against the enemy, as Zayd ibn Aslam stated. Al-Ḥasan said that it is being steadfast in the five prayers. It is said that it is being constant in opposing the appetites of the *nafṣ*. ‘Āṭā’ and al-Quraẓī said, ‘Wait patiently for what you are promised,’ in other words do not despair, but wait for relief. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Waiting for relief with patience is worship.’ Abū ʿUmar preferred this. The first is the position of the majority. ‘Antarah said:

I have not seen any living person as steadfast we are,

Nor any who struggled against the like of those we struggled against.

He means that they were steadfast against the enemy in war as we were steadfast, and displayed neither cowardice nor treachery.

Struggling (*mukāfajah*) is face-to-face combat. That is why they disagree about the meaning of ‘rābiṭū’ in ‘be firm on the battlefield.’ Most of the Community say that it means: ‘line up horses against the enemy,’ implying link them up as your enemies do, and that is seen in the words of the Almighty: ‘with all the firepower and cavalry you can muster.’ (8:60)

In the *Muwaṭṭā*’ Zayd ibn Aslam reports: ‘Ubayda ibn al-Jarrāḥ wrote to ʿUmar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb mentioning to him the great array of Byzantine troops and the anxiety they were causing him. ʿUmar wrote to him, “Whatever hardship befalls a believing slave, Allah will make an opening for him after it, and a hardship will not overcome two eases. Allah says in His Book: ‘You who believe, be steadfast; be supreme in steadfastness; be firm on the battlefield; and have taqwa of Allah; so that hopefully you will be successful.’”’
Abū Salamah ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān said, ‘This āyah is about waiting for the prayer after the prayer. There was no expedition in the time of the Messenger of Allah ✨ in which horses were tied up.’ Abū ‘Abdullāh al-Ḥakam related it in his Sahīḥ. Abū Salamah used as evidence the words of Prophet ✨: ‘Shall I direct you to something by which Allah will wipe out wrong actions and raise up degrees? Doing wudu’ thoroughly, even in times of difficulty, taking many steps to the mosque and waiting for the prayer after praying the previous one. That is ribāṭ,’ three times. Mālik related it.

Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘The sound position is that ribāṭ is perseverance in the Way of Allah.’ Its root meaning is the tying up of horses. Then anyone who devoted himself to defending one of the frontiers of Islam was called a murābiṭ, whether he was mounted or on foot. It is derived from rabṭ (tying up). The Prophet ✨ said, ‘That is your ribāṭ.’ It resembles ribāṭ in the Way of Allah. This sort of usage is common as in the hadith: ‘The strong person is not the one who throws people down. The strong person is the one who controls himself when he is angry.’

The first, which is the linguistic meaning, is not contestable. Al-Khalīl ibn Aḥmad, one of the imāms of linguistics, says, ‘Ribāṭ is clinging to the frontiers and being constant in the prayer.’ Therefore, waiting for the prayer is a true linguistic meaning of ribāṭ, as the Prophet ✨ said. Moreover, there is what ash-Shaybānī observed about it. Water can be described as ‘mutarābiṭ,’ meaning remaining in one place and not leaving it. Ibn Fāris related it. That is demanded by the transitive nature of ribāṭ linguistically. Murābiṭah in Arabic denotes a contract regarding something, so that it does not peter out, and so it refers to something in which one is steadfast. So the heart is bound by good intention and the body by obedience. One of the most important aspects of this is lining up horses in the Way of Allah, as in the text of the Qur’an, ‘with cavalry’ (8:60), but it also includes binding the self to performing the prayers as the Prophet ✨
said, as related by Abu Hurayrah, Jābir and ‘Alī.

According to the fuqahā’, a murābiṭ in the Way of Allah is someone who goes to one of the frontiers to bind himself there for a time. Muḥammad ibn al-Mawwāz says that. As for those who live at the frontiers who prosper and earn there, they are not murābiṭūn even if they are defenders. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that.

Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘There are two situations with respect to ribāṭ. One is that someone is secure and defended at the frontier. In that case it is permitted to live there with one’s wife and children. If it is not safe, a man is permitted to stay there himself, if he is one of the people of fighting, but may not move his wife and children there to expose them to the enemy, since they might be captured and enslaved.’ Allah knows best.

Many hadiths have come about the excellence of ribāṭ. They include what al-Bukhārī reported from Sahl ibn Sa‘d as-Sā‘īdī in which the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Manning the frontier (ribāṭ) for one day in the way of Allah is better than this world and everything in it.’ In Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim, Salmān reported that he heard the Messenger of Allah ﷺ say, ‘Fighting on the front line (ribāṭ) for a day and a night is better than fasting and praying at night for a month. If a man dies doing it, the actions which he used normally to do go on accruing for him and his provision is bestowed on him and he will be safe from the trials of the grave.’ Abū Dāwūd reports from Faḍālah ibn ‘Ubayd that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘The actions of every dead person are sealed except for the murābiṭ in the Way of Allah. His actions will go on increasing until the Day of Rising and he will be safe from the trials of the grave.’

These hadiths provide evidence that ribāṭ is the best of actions whose reward continues after death, as in the hadith reported from al-‘Alā’ ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān from his father from Abū Hurayrah in which the Prophet ﷺ said: ‘When a man dies, his actions are cut off except for three: an on-going ṣadaqah, knowledge which brought
benefit and a virtuous son who makes supplication for him.’ This is a sound hadith in the transmission of Muslim. On-going ṣadaqah, beneficial knowledge, and a righteous son who makes supplication for his parents end when the ṣadaqah runs out, the knowledge is lost or the son dies, but the reward of ribāṭ goes on being multiplied until the Day of Rising because the only meaning of growth is multiplication. It does not depend on a cause so that it would cease when that cause disappears. Instead, it is a favour from Allah that will continue until the Day of Rising.

This is due to the fact that no actions of piety are possible except when there is security from the enemy and the territory of the dīn and hallmarks of Islam are protected. For this reason ribāṭ continues to enjoy a reward which comes from all other righteous actions that are performed. Ibn Mājah transmitted with a sound isnād from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘If someone dies as a murābiṭ in the Cause of Allah, he will be given the reward for the righteous actions he did, given his provision, and receive security from the Tempter and Allah will resurrect him on the Day of Rising safe from alarm.’ This hadith has a second qualification, which is death while a murābiṭ. Allah knows best.

It is related that ‘Uthmān ibn ‘Affān heard the Messenger of Allah say, ‘Fighting on the frontier (ribāṭ) in the way of Allah is better than a thousand years of fasting and prayer at night.’ Ubayy ibn Ka‘b reported that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Ribāṭ for a day in the Way of Allah during Ramaḍān in the exposed areas of the Muslims, in anticipation of the reward, has a greater reward with Allah and repayment from Him than the worship of fasting and night prayers for a thousand years. If Allah returns him safe to his family, no evil deed will be written against him for a thousand years, his good actions are written for him, and the reward of ribāṭ will continue for him until the Day of Rising.’ This hadith indicates that ribāṭ in the month of Ramaḍān will bring him an abiding reward,
even if he does not die while engaged in it. Allah knows best. Anas ibn Mālik said that he heard the Messenger of Allah say, ‘A night spent on guard in the Way of Allah is better than a man praying and fasting in his family for a thousand years. The year is three hundred and sixty days, and every day is like a thousand years.’

It is reported that waiting for the prayer after the prayer is ribāṭ. So the one who waits for the prayer also has that excellence, Allah willing. Al-Ḥāfiẓ Abū Nu‘aym related from Sulaymān ibn Aḥmad from Ḥajjāj ibn al-Minhāl, and from Abū Bakr ibn Mālik from ‘Abdullāh ibn Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal from his father from al-Ḥusayn ibn Mūsā from Ḥammād ibn Salamah from Thābit al-Bunānī from ‘Uthmān ibn Ayyūb al-Azdī from Nawf al-Bikālī that ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr said, ‘The Prophet prayed maghrib one day and we prayed with him. Some people left and some stayed behind. The Messenger of Allah came before the people had called the ‘Ishā’ prayer. He came to the people who were there, raised his fingers indicating twenty-seven, and pointed to the sky. His garment exposed his knees while he was saying, “Good news, company of Muslims! Your Lord has opened one of the gates of heaven to boast of you to the angels, saying, ‘Look at My slaves who have finished one obligation and are waiting for another.’”’  Ḥammād ibn Salamah related from ‘Alī ibn Zayd from Muṭarrif ibn ‘Abdullāh from both Nawf and ‘Abdullāh, the sons of ‘Amr, from Nawf from the Torah, and ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr related it from the Prophet.

Have taqwā of Allah.

Allah never commands jihād without emphasising the need for taqwā.

so that hopefully you will be successful.

So that you will have the success you hope for. Here ‘la‘alla’ means ‘so that’. Success is going-on. All of this was discussed fully in al-Baqarah. Praise be to Allah.
4. **Sūrat an-Nisā’ – Women**

This is a Madinan sūrah except for one āyah which was revealed in Makkah in the year of the Conquest about ‘Uthmān ibn Ṭalḥah al-Ḥajabī: ‘Allah commands you to return to their owners the things you hold on trust.’ (4:58). An-Naqqāsh said that it was said that it was revealed during the Prophet’s hijrah from Makkah to Madīnah. Some people say that since Allah says, ‘O mankind’ it means that it was revealed in Makkah. ‘Alqamah and others said that, and so it seems that the beginning is Makkān and what is revealed after the Hijrah is Madinan. An-Naḥḥās said that it is all Makkān.

The first position is sounder because we find in **Ṣaḥīḥ Bukhārī** that ‘Ā’ishah said, ‘Sūrat an-Nisā’ was only revealed while I was with the Messenger of Allah ﷺ,’ meaning married to him. There is no disagreement that Prophet ﷺ married ‘Ā’ishah in Madīnah. Whoever examines its rulings will realise that there is no doubt that it is Madinan. As for the expression ‘O mankind’ making it Makkān, this is not sound. **Al-Baqarah** is Madinan, but ‘O mankind’ occurs twice in it. Allah knows best.

1 O mankind, have taqwā of your Lord who created you from a single self and created its mate from it and then disseminated many men and women from the two of them. Have taqwā of Allah in whose name you make demands on one another and also in respect of your families. Allah watches over you continually.

O mankind, have taqwā of your Lord who created you

We already discussed the derivation of the terms ‘mankind’, ‘taqwā’, ‘Lord’, ‘creation’, ‘mate’ and ‘dissemination’ in **al-Baqarah**.
The āyah calls attention to the Creator.

The adjective ‘single’ (wāḥidah) is in the feminine, because the word nafs is feminine, even if it denotes the masculine. Linguistically, one could use the masculine since Ādam is meant, as Mujāhid and Qatādah said, and that is found in the reading of Ibn Abī ‘Ablah which has ‘wāḥid’. The verb ‘baththa’ (disseminate) means to separate and spread out over the earth, as we see in ‘spread-out rugs’ (88:16). ‘The two of them’ are Ādam and Ḥawwā’. Mujāhid said that Ḥawwā’ was created from the rib of Ādam, as in the hadith, ‘Woman was created from a crooked rib’ as we mentioned in al-Baqarah.

and then disseminated many men and women from the two of them.

Their descendants are of two categories. This means that the hermaphrodite is not a separate category, but has an actuality that refers to both categories. Such a person is a human being and must be connected to one sex or another as was already mentioned in al-Baqarah.

Have taqwā of Allah in whose name you make demands on one another and also in respect of your families

The repetition of ‘have taqwa’ is for stress and notification to the selves of those who are commanded. The word ‘whose’ is in the accusative as an adjective and ‘families’ is added. The sentence means: ‘Fear Allah lest you disobey Him and fear cutting off kin.’

The people of Madīnah read this as ‘tassā’alūna’, assimilating the tā’ into the sīn while the Kufans read it ‘tasā’alūna’ because the meaning is known. Ibrāhīm an-Nakha‘ī, Qatādah, al-A‘mash and Ḥamzah read ‘al-arḥāmi’ in the genitive. Grammarians have discussed this. The leaders of the Basrans said that it is ungrammatical and it is not lawful to recite it like that. The Kufans said that it is ugly and do not add anything to this without mentioning the reason for it. An-Naḥḥās said, ‘as far as I know.’ Sībawayh said, ‘It is not added to something implied in the genitive because it is in the position of tanwīn which is not added to it.’
One group say that it is added to something indicated. They used to make demands in that way. A man would say, ‘I ask you by Allah and kinship.’ That is how it is explained by al-Ḥasan, an-Nakhaʿī and Mujāhid. It is a sound position with respect to the matter as will be explained.

Some people, including az-Zajjāj, said that it is weak. They said, ‘It is ugly to add the substantive noun to the pronoun in the genitive unless what is in the genitive is in the substantive form, as in Allah’s words: ‘We caused the earth to swallow both him and his house.’ (28:81) It is ugly to say, ‘I passed by him and Zayd(in).’ Az-Zajjāj said that al-Māzinī said that it is because the coupled word and its antecedent are equal partners and either of them can take the place of the other.’ As it is not permitted to say, ‘I passed by Zayd and you (ka),’ it is not permitted to say, ‘I passed by you (bika) and Zayd.’ Sībawayh said that he considers it ugly and only permitted in poetry. [POEMS]

In Kitāb at-tadhkirah al-mahdiyyah, al-Fārisī says that Abu-l-Abbās al-Mubbarad said, ‘If you pray behind an imam who recites “mā antum bi-musrikhiyy” (14:22) and this as “tasā’alūna bihi wa-l-arḥāmi”, take your sandals and leave.’

Az-Zajjāj said, ‘In addition to being weak and ugly in Arabic, the reading of Ḥamzah is an serious error in respect of the principles of the dīn because the Prophet ❝ said, “Do not swear by your fathers.” If it is not permitted to swear by other than Allah, how can it be permitted to swear by kinship? I also saw that Ismāʿīl ibn Isḥāq believed that swearing by other than Allah is something terrible and that one should only swear by Allah.’

An-Naḥḥās said, ‘Some of them say that “al-arḥāmi” as an oath is an error both in grammar and meaning because the hadith from the Prophet ❝ indicates that it should be in the accusative (al-arḥāma), and it is related that Jarīr said, “We were with the Prophet ❝ when some people of Muḍar came who were naked and barefoot. I saw
the expression on the face of the Messenger of Allah change because of what he saw of their dire poverty. Then he prayed Zuhr and addressed the people and quoted this āyah.” Then he said, “One man gave a dinar in sadaqah, another a dirham and yet another a ṣā‘ of dates.” So the reason that it is in the accusative is because it is encouraging maintaining ties of kinship. It is also sound that the Prophet said, ‘Whoever swears should swear by Allah or be silent.’ This refutes the one who says: ‘I ask you by Allah and my kin.’ Abū Ishāq said that it means ‘by which you demand your rights.’ There is also no sense to the genitive here. This is what I know about the position of language scholars who forbid reciting it as ‘al-arḥāmi’ in the genitive. Ibn ‘Atiyyah preferred that.

Imām Abū Naṣr ‘Abd ar-Raḥīm ibn ‘Abd al-Karīm al-Qushayrī rejected that, saying, ‘Such words are rejected by the imams of the din because the readings of the imams of recitation are confirmed from the Prophet by multiple transmissions which are known. Whoever rejects that, rejects the Prophet and finds the recitation ugly. This is a position which one should be aware of and wary about. One should not follow the imams of language and grammar in it. Arabic is learned from the Prophet and no one doubts his eloquence.’

As for what is mentioned about the hadith, it is debatable because the Prophet said to Abu-l-‘Usharā’, “By your father, stabbing it in the thigh is sufficient.” It is prohibited to swear an oath by other than Allah. This is entreating someone by the right of kinship, and it is not forbidden.’

Al-Qushayrī said, ‘It is said that this is an adjuration by kinship, meaning “Have taqwā of Allah and the right of kinship.” It is like when you say, “Do that, by your father’s right.” Revelation has, “By the star,” ‘By the Mount,” “By the fig” and so forth. This is unnatural.’ In fact, this is not unnatural, because it is not unlikely that ‘al-arḥām’ is like this. Swearing by that is as Allah swears by that
which He has created and which indicates His oneness and power for stress. Allah knows best. Allah can swear by whatever He wishes, forbid whatever He wishes and allow whatever He wishes. So this can be an oath, as the Arabs swear by kinship. It is sound that the bā’ is meant and elided as we see in poems [POEMS]

‘Abdullāh ibn Yazīd recited ‘al-arḥāmu’ in the nominative as the inceptive and the predicate is implied. It implies: ‘And relatives are family with whom ties are maintained.’ It is also possible that it is instigation because the Arabs put that in the nominative. [POEM] It is also said that it is in the accusative added to ‘bihi’ because its position is accusative. [POEM] They used to say, ‘I ask you by Allah and by kinship.’ What is most apparent is that it is in the accusative by an implied verb as we already mentioned.

The religion agrees that it is mandatory to maintain ties of kinship and that it is forbidden to cut them off. It is confirmed that when Asmā’ asked the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Shall I give to my mother?’ he replied, ‘Yes, give to your mother,’ and he commanded her to maintain her tie of kinship although her mother was an unbeliever. So it includes within the favour even giving to an unbeliever. This is such that Abū Ḥanīfah and his people said that relatives inherit from one another if there are no paternal kin (‘asabah) or prescribed shares.

One should buy and free relatives who are slaves. That is supported by what Abū Dāwud related that the Prophet ﷺ said: ‘If someone comes into possession of a mahram relative, then he is free.’ That is the position of most of the people of knowledge, and is related from ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd and it is not known that any of the Companions objected to it. It is the position of al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, Jābir ibn Zayd, ‘Aṭā’, ash-Sha‘bī and az-Zuhrī. That is the view taken by ath-Thawrī, Aḥmad and Ishāq. Our scholars have three positions. One is that it is specific to fathers and grandfathers. The second that it applies to both sides, meaning siblings, and the third is like what Abū Ḥanīfah says about it
applying to any relatives. Ash-Shāfī‘ī said that someone is only obliged to free children and parents, but not siblings or any other relatives.

The sound position is the first one based on the hadith which we mentioned and which was transmitted by at-Tirmidhī and an-Nasā‘ī. The best of its paths is that which is in an-Nasā‘ī which he related from Ḍamrah from Sufyān from ‘Abdullāh ibn Dīnār from Ibn ‘Umar that the Messenger of Allah (ﷺ) said, ‘If someone comes into possession of a mahram relative, he must free him.’ This is a firm hadith transmitted from one reputable transmitter to another and there is no criticism of it by any of the imams for any reason which would demand that it be abandoned, other than the fact that an-Nasā‘ī said at the end of it that it is a munkar hadith. Others said that he alone has Ḍamrah and this is the meaning of munkar and shādhdh in the terminology of hadith scholars. Ḍamrah is reputable and trustworthy. When someone trustworthy is the only one with a hadith, that does not impair it. Allah knows best. They disagree about whether this applies to milk relatives. Most say that it does not and the hadith does not demand that. Qāḍī Sharīk said that he must emancipate them.

The literalists and some mutakallimūn believe that a son is not forced to free his father if he owns him. Their argument is based on the hadith in which the Prophet (ﷺ) said, ‘A child cannot repay his parent unless he finds him a slave and buys him and sets him free.’ They said that if it is valid to buy him, ownership is confirmed and an owner has free disposal of his property.

This is ignorance of the goals (maqāṣid) of the Sharī‘ah on their part. Allah Almighty says: ‘you should show kindness to your parents.’ (17:23) So He connects worship of Him to kindness to parents in respect of obligation. It is not part of kindness for a son to allow his parent to remain his property and to be subject to his authority. Therefore he is obliged to free him either because of his ownership
and acting by the hadith, or for the sake of being kind to him, acting by the āyah. According to the majority, the hadith applies when the son has the means to free his father by buying him and the Sharī'ah applies emancipation to him as coming from him.

The disagreement of scholars is about who is set free when he or she is owned. The reason for the first position is what we mentioned from the Book and the Sunnah. The reason for the second view is the connection of close kinship to the father which is mentioned in the hadith, not the closeness of a man to his son so that it is applied to the father. A brother is close to him in that respect because of the connection by paternity. He says, ‘I am your father’s son.’ The reason for third view is connected to the hadith of Ḍamrah. Allah knows best.

Al-arḥām: raḥm is the name for all relatives without difference between maḥram or non-maḥram. Abū Ḥanīfah thinks that the maḥram relationship prevents taking a gift back, while it is permitted to take it back in the case of cousins even if severance exists when there is kinship. That is why inheritance, guardianship and other rulings are connected to it. The quality of being maḥram is additional to the text of the Book, and is not relied on. They also think that it is abrogated, especially when it indicates the cause of severance. It is allowed in respect of cousins. Allah knows best.

Allah watches over you continually.

He is Watcher, meaning Guardian, according to Ibn ʿAbbās and Mujāhid. Ibn Zayd says a Knower. It is said that Raqīb means Guardian, and it is one of the attributes of Allah Almighty. Ar-Raqīb is the One who guards and watches. The verb is raqaba. Marqab is a high place which overlooks the area where the Watcher is. Raqīb is also the third share of the seven parts, and it is said that it is a type of snake. So it is a word with different meanings, and Allah knows best.
Give orphans their property, and do not substitute bad things for good. Do not assimilate their property into your own. Doing that is a serious crime.

‘Orphans’ means those who were orphans. It is like Allah’s words: ‘The magicians threw themselves down in prostration’ (7:120) when there was no longer any magic in them when they prostrated. Similarly, although an adult is not actually considered ‘an orphan’, the Prophet was nevertheless called ‘the orphan of Abū Ṭālib’ based on what had previously been the case. The verb ‘ātū’ means ‘give’ and ‘ītā’ is giving. ‘Atw’ is a gift. Abū Zayd said that a bribe is a gift (itāwah). The word ‘orphan’ only applies to someone who has not yet reached maturity as mentioned in al-Baqarah.

This āyah is addressed to guardians and executors. According to Muqātil and al-Kalbī it was revealed about a man of Ghaṭafān who had a lot of property belonging to a nephew who was an orphan. When the orphan came of age, he asked for his property and the uncle refused to hand it over, and this was revealed. The uncle said, ‘We seek refuge with Allah from serious crime!’ and he returned the property. The Prophet said, ‘Whoever is protected from the avarice of his nafs and returns it, will alight in his house,’ referring to the Garden. When the lad took the money, he spent it in the Way of Allah, and the Prophet said, ‘The wage is confirmed and the burden remains.’ He was asked, ‘How is that, the Messenger of Allah?’ He said, ‘The wage is established for the lad and the sin remains for his father,’ because he was an idolater.

There are two aspects to giving orphans their property. One is that their guardian gives them their food and clothing as long he is in that position, since that is not possible for someone who does not have full control, such as a child or an adult fool. The second is to give them money when they are capable. That is when they are tested and sensible. So the term ‘orphan’ is metaphorical since it no
longer applies to someone like that. It means the one who was an orphan. It is continuing to keep the name as in the words: ‘The magicians fell down in prostration’ (26:46), which means those who had been magicians, and just as the Prophet was called ‘the orphan of Abū Ṭālib’. When a guardian realises that his charges are properly responsible, it is forbidden for him to withhold their money. Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘When someone is twenty-five, they are given their money in any case.’

Allah did not mention in this āyah about being aware of sensibleness (rushd). He mentioned it later: ‘Keep a close check on orphans until they reach a marriageable age, then if you perceive that they have sound judgment, hand over their property to them.’ (4:6) Abū Bakr ar-Rāzī al-Ḥanafi says in Aḥkām al-Qur’an: ‘Since good judgment is not made a condition in one place, while it is in another, it should not be applied to both situations. So when someone is twenty-five and is a fool, and good sense is not discerned in him, his money must still be given to him. If he is younger than that, then it is not mandatory, based on the two āyahs.’ Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘It is possible that someone can be a grandfather before they reach sound judgment. If they can be a grandfather, how is it sound to give them money on account of being an orphan and in the name of an orphan? Is that not extremely unlikely?’

Ibn ‘Arabī refuted this as being baseless, especially on the basis that he sees that such payments cannot be confirmed by analogy. They must be derived from texts and no texts exist regarding this matter. What the scholars say about being debarred will be discussed later, Allah willing.

Do not substitute bad things for good.

So do not exchange a fat sheep of the orphan’s property for a thin one, nor a good dirham for an adulterated one. In the Jāhiliyyah, due to lack of dīn, people did not refrain from consuming the property of orphans. They would take what was good from the
property of orphans and replace it with bad property of their own and say, ‘A name for a name and capital for capital.’ Allah forbade that. This is the position of Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, az-Zuhrī, as-Suddī, and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk. It is the literal meaning of the āyah.

It is said that the meaning is: ‘Do not consume the property of orphans which is unlawful for you and therefore bad, claiming that it is good and your own property.’ Mujāhid, Abū Ṣāliḥ and Bādhān said, ‘Do not hasten to consume any bad things in their property and claim it is good and lawful provision.’

Ibn Zayd that in the Jāhiliyyah they did not allow women and children to inherit. The eldest would take the legacy. ‘Aṭā’ said, ‘Do not take profit from an orphan in your care when he is young and naïve.’ These two positions are outside the apparent meaning of the āyah. The verb tabaddala is to exchange one thing for another in its place. The grammatical term appositive (badal) comes from this verb.

**Do not assimilate their property into your own.**

Mujāhid said, ‘This āyah forbids mixing property when spending. The Arabs used to mix their expenses with those of orphans and then were forbidden to do that. Then it was abrogated by the āyah in al-Baqarah: ‘If you mix your property with theirs, they are your brothers.’ (2:220) Ibn Fūrak said that al-Ḥasan said, ‘People interpret this āyah as being a prohibition against mixing property, and so they avoided it and then the āyah in al-Baqarah made things easier for them.’

A group of later scholars said that ‘ilā’ means ‘with’. It is like His words, ‘be helpers of (ilā) Allah’. (61:14). [POEM] Others say that it has its normal meaning of ‘to’ which conveys ascription, implying, ‘Do not add their property to yours in consumption.’ So they were forbidden to think of the property of orphans as being like their own property over whose use and consumption they had full control.

**Doing that is a serious crime.**

The word ‘that’ refers to assimilating property. Consuming the property of orphans is great wrong action, according to Ibn ‘Abbās,
al-ハウスان and others. ‘Crime’ (حَب) is derived from the verb حَبَا, meaning to commit a wrong action. The root of the verb comes from chiding a camel. A sin is called حَب because a person is chided about it and encouraged to refrain from it. One says in supplication, ‘O Allah, forgive my sin (حَب)!’

 حوَبَة is also a need as we see in the supplication, ‘I present my need (حوَبَة) to You!’ حوَب is alienation, as we see from the words of the Prophet صلى الله عليه وسلم, ‘Umm Ya‘قوب’s divorce is on account of alienation.’ There are a couple of dialectal forms: حَب, which is the common reading and the dialect of Hijaz; حَوَب, as decided by al-ハウスان which al-Akhfash says is the dialect of تَميم and Muqâtil says is the dialect of Abyssinia. حوَب is a verbal noun as is حَيَبَة. Ubayy ibn Ka‘b recited ‘حوَب’ as a verbal noun. حوَب is a wide place and also means water. One says, ‘Alḥaqa-للَّهُ بَيْنِي حوَب’ (May Allah bring poverty on him). حَبَة is a state. The origin of the يَا is وَ. Form V of the verb تَحَوَّبَة, means to worship and remove حَب from oneself. The verb also means expressing sorrow and crying out loudly, as when chiding. تَحَوَّبَة is also to cry out from pain. _Tabayl said:

Taste as we tasted a morning burned
by rage in our livers and grief (تَحَوَّبَة).’

وإن خضتم ألا تخسفوا بِنَفْسِكُمْ فَلَكُمَا طَابَتْ لَكُمُ الْحُبَّ
مَنْ أَنْتُمْ ظَلَّتْ ضِحْيَةٌ وَرَأَبَتْ افْتُلِحَّ فَإِنَّ خَطْبَتْ ألا تُخَفْ مَنْ أَنْتُمْ أَنْتُمَا نُفَاعَةٌ
أَوْهَا مُلْكَتُ أَنْتُمَا فَإِنَّمَا ذَلِكَ أَنْتُمَا نُفَاعَةٌ ألا تُعْلَوْا

3 If you are afraid of not behaving justly towards orphans, then marry other permissible women, two, three or four. But if you are afraid of not treating them equally, then only one, or those you own as slaves. That makes it more likely that you will not be unfair.

If you are afraid of not behaving justly towards orphans,

If you are afraid that you will not be fair in respect of their dowries
and in spending on them, then marry other women. The Imāms agree that ‘Urwah ibn az-Zubayr reported that ‘Ā’ishah said about this āyah, ‘Nephew, this refers to an orphan girl who is in the care of her guardian and is his partner in his property; he likes both her property and her beauty and so he wants to marry her without being fair with respect to her bride-price, not giving her the like of what someone else would give her. They were forbidden to marry them unless they were fair to them and gave them the highest customary bride-price. They were commanded to marry women other than them who were lawful to them.’

Ibn Khuwayzimandād said, ‘This is why it is permitted for an executor to buy and sell using the property of the orphan as long as it does not entail gifts. A person can examine what his guardian buys or sells for him, and the ruler can oversee that. No one can overseen a father as long as there is not gift giving in which case, that should be overseen by the ruler.’ This was discussed in al-Baqarah.

Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, al-Ḥasan and others say that the āyah abrogates what existed in the Jāhiliyyah and the beginning of Islam, when a man would marry however many free women he wished. This āyah restricts him to four. Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn Jubayr and others said that it means: if you fear you will not be fair to orphans, then also fear about women, because they used to refrain from injustice to orphans, but not women.

Khawf (fear) is one of the words that can have opposite meanings. ‘Be afraid’ can mean ‘feel certain’ or ‘think it probable’. For that reason scholars disagree about the meaning of ‘fear’ in this context. Abū ‘Ubaydah said that it means if you are certain. Others say that it means you think it probable, and Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that this is what skilled scholars prefer, and so it has the normal meaning of thinking probable rather than of being certain. So it means if someone thinks it probable he will not be fair to the orphan girl, he should turn away from marrying her.
The verb *aqsaṭa* means ‘to be fair’. *Qasaṭa* means to act unjustly and wrong someone. The Almighty says: ‘The unjust (*qāsiṭūn*) will be fuel for the Fire.’ (72:15) The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Those who are just (*muqsiṭūn*) in the din will be on minbars of light on the Day of Rising.’ Ibn Waththāb and an-Nakha‘ī recited ‘taqsiṭū’ from *qasaṭa* with the implied additional ‘lā’. It is as if Allah were saying, ‘If you do, you will be unfair.’

*then marry other permissible women, two, three or four.*

How can *mā* be used for human beings when it is normally used for non-sentient things? There are five answers to this objection. One is that *man* and *mā* are interchangeable as we see in other āyahs like 91:5 and 24:45. Here it is used for women, who are sentient beings. Ibn Abī ‘Ablah recited ‘*man*’. The second is the view of the Basrans that *mā* can be used in an adjectival way for anything. So it means to marry lawful women. What Allah forbade is not good (*ṭayyib*). The third is the idea that *mā* here is adverbial, meaning ‘as long as you think it good to marry.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah says that this is weak. The fourth is al-Farrā’s view that it is used as a verbal noun, but an-Naḥḥās said, ‘This is very unlikely indeed. It is not sound. Marry a good woman.’ Al-Jawharī said, ‘The verb for to be good is *ṭāba*, yaṭību, ṭaybah, tatyāb. The fifth is that what is meant here is the contract, i.e. ‘marry in a wholesome manner.’ The reading of Ibn Abī ‘Ablah rejects these three latter views.

Abū ‘Amr ibn al-‘Alā’ related that when the people of Makkah heard thunder, they would say, ‘Glory be to the One (*mā*) whom the clouds glorify!’ using *mā*. This is also seen in other similar expressions. All of those concerned with knowledge agree that Allah’s words: ‘If you are afraid of not behaving justly towards orphans’ does not convey the idea that someone who does not fear being unfair towards orphans should marry more than someone who is afraid of being unfair. It indicates that the āyah was revealed in response to those who feared that and that its ruling is more universal than that.
Abū Ḥanīfah connects this āyah to the permission to marry an orphan girl before she comes of age. He says that she is only an orphan before she comes of age since, once she was of age, she would be able to agree to a lowering of her dowry and is no longer called ‘orphan’. There is no consensus on this. Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī and the main body of scholars believe that marriage is not permitted until she comes of age and gives her consent, as Allah says: ‘They will ask you for a fatwā about women.’ (4:127). The word ‘women’ (nisā’) is used for adult women as ‘rijāl’ is used for adult men. Neither ‘man’ nor ‘woman’ is used of a child. ‘Women’ is used with ‘orphans’ in that āyah and means ‘orphans’ is in this āyah as Ā’ishah said. Adult women orphans are included in the āyah. An adult orphan woman may only be married with her permission and a child may not be married because she cannot give her permission.

This is like what is related from by ad-Dāraquṭnī from Muḥammad ibn Ishāq from Nāfi‘ that Ibn ‘Umar said, ‘My uncle, Qudāmah ibn Maz‘ūn, married me to his niece, the daughter of his brother, ‘Uthmān ibn Maz‘ūn. Al-Mughīrah ibn Shu‘bah went to her mother and desired her on account of her wealth and proposed to her. The case was presented to the Prophet ﷺ. Qudāmah said, “Messenger of Allah, she is my niece and I am her father’s executor and I have not short-changed her. I gave her in marriage to one whose excellence and kinship I know.” The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said to him, “She is an orphan, and an orphan is more entitled to dispose of her own affair.” She was removed from me and al-Mughīrah ibn Shu‘bah married her.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī said, ‘Muḥammad ibn Ishāq did not hear it from Nāfi‘, but heard it from ‘Umar ibn Ḥusayn from Nāfi‘.’

Ibn Abī Dhi‘b related from ‘Umar ibn Ḥusayn from Nāfi‘ from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar that he married the daughter of his maternal uncle, ‘Uthmān ibn Maz‘ūn, and her mother went to the Messenger of Allah ﷺ and said, ‘My daughter dislikes that,’ and the Messenger
of Allah commanded that she be separated from him. He said, ‘Do not give an orphan in marriage until you ask for her consent. If she is silent, that constitutes her permission.’ After ‘ Abdullāh she was married to al-Mughīrah ibn Shu‘bah.

This refutes the position of Abū Ḥanīfah that a girl does not require a walī when she reaches adulthood, based on his position that a walī is not a precondition for a valid marriage. This was already discussed in al-Baqarah. There is no sense in their claim that this hadith is based on her not being an adult since he said, ‘with her permission’ without mentioning her being an orphan. Allah knows best.

‘Ā‘ishah’s explanation of this the āyah provides understanding of what Mālik said about appropriate dowries and his referring to it when the dowry is disordered and cheating occurs regarding its amount. The appropriate dowry must be known for every group of people according to their circumstances. Mālik said, ‘People have marriage customs which they know and recognise,’ referring to dowries and equivalence.

Mālik was asked about a man who gave his wealthy daughter in marriage to a poor cousin of his despite her mother’s objection. He said, ‘I do not think that she has any say regarding that. She can argue about it until it is evident that that is the view that the father has taken and then the mother’s objection is ignored.’ It is permitted for a girl who is not an orphan to be married with the minimum dowry for a woman of her class because the āyah is about orphans. This leads us to understand that it is different for those who are not orphans.

When an orphan girl comes of age and her guardian is fair in respect of her dowry, he can marry her as was explained by ‘Ā‘ishah. Abū Ḥanīfah, al-Awzā‘ī, ath-Thawrī and Abū Thawr said that, and among the Tābi‘ūn, al-Ḥasan and Rabī‘a said it as did al-Layth. Zafar and ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘It is not permitted to marry her without
the permission of the ruler or unless the marriage is authorised by another relative who is closer to her or has the same level of kinship. As for him being both the husband and the one who gives in marriage, that is not allowed. Evidence for this is found in the hadith of the Prophet ﷺ, “There is no marriage without a wālī and two just witnesses.” So there are necessarily a certain number of people: the one who marries, the one who gives in marriage and the witnesses. If someone combines two roles, then one of the number is lacking.’ A third view is that she entrusts her affair to a man to give her in marriage. This is related from al-Mughīrah ibn Shu‘bah. It is the position of Aḥmad, and Ibn al-Mundhir mentioned it.

‘Permissible women’ means those who are lawful for you, as al-Ḥasan, Ibn Jubayr and others said. It is sufficient in this respect to mention those whom one is permitted to marry because there are many categories of women who are forbidden. Ibn Abī Isḥāq, al-Jaḥdarī and Ḥamzah recited ‘ṭāba’ with imālahā. We find in the Qur’an of Ubayy ‘ṭība’ with yā’. This is evidence of imālah.

Using the word ‘women’ indicates that it is adult women who are meant. The root of nisā’ is niswah and niswah has no singular. The noun for one woman is ‘imra’ah’. ‘Two, three or four’ is an appositive for mā. It is indefinite and undeprecated and so it is an equivalent in description as Abū ‘Alī said. Aṭ-Ṭabarī said that they are definite like a noun because the alif-lām is added. It is in the position of ‘Umar in being definite. Al-Kūfī said that. Az-Zajjāj said that this is an error.

It is said that it is not inflected because it diverts from the expression. Uḥād diverts from wāḥid wāḥid, mathnā from ithnayn ithnayn, thulāth from thalāthah, thalātah, rubā’ from arba‘ah arba‘ah. There are two dialectical forms of each: fu‘āl and maf‘al. It is said: uḥād and mawḥad, thunā’ and mathnā, thulāth and mathlath, and rubā’ and marba‘. That continues to ma‘shar and ‘ushār. Abū Isḥāq ath-Tha‘labī related a third dialectical possibility: uḥud, thunā, thuluth and ruba‘, like ‘Umar and Zufar. That is how an-Nakha‘ī recites it in this āyah. Al-
Mahdawī related from an-Nakha‘ī and Ibn Waththāb ‘thulāth and rubā‘ without alif in rubā‘. It is shortened from rubā‘. [POEM] Ath-Tha‘labī said, ‘This form does not go beyond four except in a verse of al-Kumayt where he uses “ushār” for “ashrah.”’

Ibn ad-Dahhān said, ‘Some of them stop on what is heard, which is uḥād to rubā‘. The verse is not considered since it is aberrant. Abū ‘Amr ibn al-Ḥājib said, ‘It is said: uḥād and mawḥad, thunā‘ and mathnā, thulāth and mathlath, and rubā‘ and marba’. Is it said going up to nine or not? There is disagreement about that, and the soundest view is that it is not confirmed.’ Al-Bukhārī has a text about that in the Ṣaḥīḥ.

That diverts from its meaning. It is not used in a place where the numbers are used unless it is diverted. You say, ‘Two and three came to me’ as ‘ithnān and thalāthah’ and it is not permitted to say, ‘mathnā and thulāth’ unless it is preceded by a plural. Where that happens it is used as a ḥāl as here in the āyah. It is an adjective. These numbers being used adjectivally is clear in His words in 35:1 which describe wings. It is in the indefinite. [POEM+B\IT MORE ON THIS]

Know that this does not indicate that nine is permissible as is said by someone with little grasp of the Book and the Sunnah. He turns away from the position of the early members of this Community. If someone says that the ‘wāw’ is used to add the numbers up and supports that by saying that the Prophet ⚫ had nine wives and was married to all of them, this amounts to ignorance. The Rāfi‘īites and some of the literalists take this position and make mathnā the same as ‘two’ (ithnayn) and do the same with thulāth and rubā‘. Some of the literalists have an even more repugnant position and say that it is permitted to have eighteen, taking the view that the form gives repetition and the wāw addition. So mathnā is two-two, and the same with the rest of the numbers. This is all ignorance of the language and the Sunnah and is contrary to the consensus of the community since it is not heard that any of the Companions or Tābī‘ūn married
more than four.

It is transmitted by Mālik in the *Muwattā‘* and by an-Nasā‘ī and ad-Dāraquṭnī that the Prophet said to Ghaylān ibn Umayyah ath-Thaqafī, when he became Muslim and had eleven wives, ‘Choose four of them and divorce the rest.’ Abū Dāwud reported that al-Ḥārith ibn Qays said, ‘I became Muslim and had eight wives. I mentioned that to the Prophet and he said, “Choose four of them.”’ Muqātil said, ‘Al-Ḥārith ibn Qays had eight free wives. When this āyah was revealed, the Messenger of Allah commanded him to divorce four and keep four.’ He is sometimes called Qays ibn al-Ḥārith, but what is correct is that he was al-Ḥārith ibn Qays al-Asadī as Abū Dāwud said. That is also how it is related by Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan in his *as-Siyar al-Kabīr*: it is Ḥārith ibn Qays. He is known by the *fuqahā‘*. As for what the Prophet was permitted in that regard, that was one of his special prerogatives and will be dealt with in *Sūrat al-Aḥzāb*.

We have already mentioned their statement that the *wāw* is combining and what was said about that. Allah Almighty addressed the Arabs with the most eloquent language. The Arabs would not abandon saying ‘nine’ for saying ‘two and three and four.’ So it is ugly for someone to say, ‘Give him four, six, eight’ rather than ‘eighteen’. The *wāw* in this place is an appositive which means: ‘marry three rather than two’ and ‘four rather than three’. That is why they are joined with *wāw* and not ‘*aw*’ (or). If He had said ‘*aw*’, it would be permitted for the one with two not to have three or the one with three not to have four.

As for their statement that *mathnā* demands *ithnān*, *thulāth* demands *thalāthah* and *rabā‘* demands *arba‘ah*, this is arbitrary and scholars of language do not agree with them. It is ignorance on their part. Others are also ignorant of the fact that *mathnā* demands two-two, *thulāth* three-three, and *rabā‘* four-four. They do not know that *ithnayn-ithnayn*, *thalāth-thalāth*, and *arba‘ah-arba‘ah* is confined to
numbers, and mathnā, thulāth and rubā‘ are different. For Arabs, the diverted numbers have a further meaning that is not in the root. That is because when one says, ‘The horses came mathnā’ by that you mean two by two, in other words that they came in pairs. Al-Jawhari said, ‘The same is true of all diverted numbers.’

Someone else said that if you describe people coming mathnā, thulāth or uhād or ‘ushār, it means they came to you, two by two, three by three, one by one or ten by ten. This meaning is not in the root because when you say that people came to me three (thalāthah) by three or ten (‘ashrah) by ten, you count the number of the people with your words, thalāthah and ‘ashrah. When you say rubā‘ and thunā’, you do not count their number. You mean that they came to you four by four or two by two. The numbers, large or small, make no difference in this context. So their confining each form to less than what it demands is arbitrary.

Muslim scholars disagree about someone who marries a fifth wife when already married to four. Mālik and ash-Shāfi‘ī said that the ḥadd is inflicted on him if he did it knowingly. That is the position of Abū Thawr. Az-Zuhrī said that he is stoned if he did that knowingly, but if he was ignorant of the prohibition, he receives the lesser ḥadd, which is flogging. The woman receives her dowry and they are divorced and may not ever re-marry. One group said that there is no ḥadd punishment in respect of any of that. That is the view of An-Nu‘mān.

Ya‘qūb and Muḥammad said that there is a ḥadd for a forbidden woman, but no other marriage: that is like when someone marries a Magian, marries five women in one contract, contracts a mutʿah (temporary marriage), marries without witnesses, or marries a slave-girl without the permission of her owner. Abū Thawr said, ‘When he knows that that it is not lawful, he receives the ḥadd punishment for any of those things, except for a marriage without witnesses [and marrying a Magian].’
A third view is that of an-Nakha‘ī who said that if someone marries a fifth woman deliberately before the end of the ʿiddah of one of his four wives, he is given a hundred lashes, but not exiled. These are the fatwas of our scholars about marrying a fifth wife according to what Ibn al-Mundhir mentioned. The same holds true for more than that.

Az-Zubayr ibn Bakkār related from Ibrāhīm al-Ḥizāmī that Muḥammad ibn Ma‘n al-Ghifārī said, ‘A woman came to ʿUmar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and said, “Amīr al-Mu‘minīn, my husband fasts in the day and prays at night and I do not like to complain when he is obeying Allah.” He said to her, “Your husband is an excellent husband.” She kept repeating it and he gave the same reply. Ka‘b al-Asadī said to him, “Amīr al-Mu‘minīn, this woman is complaining about her husband’s distancing her from his bed.” ʿUmar said, “As you have understood her words, decide between them.” Ka‘b said, “Bring your husband.” He was brought and told that his wife had complained about him. He asked, “Is it about food or drink?” “No,” he said. The woman said:

“O Qāḍī who is wisely guided,  
the mosque has diverted my friend from my bed.  
His worship has made him ascetic regarding my bed.  
Therefore, Ka‘b, make a decision and do not hesitate.  
He does not sleep day or night,  
so I do not praise him respecting women.”

Her husband said:

“I have been made ascetic in her bed and cavorting.  
I am a man who has been distracted by what has been revealed in Sūrat an-Nāhīl and the Seven Long Ones.  
The Book of Allah contains that which alarms and causes fear.”

Ka‘b said:
“O man, she has a right which you owe her. Her share is one fourth for the person who understands.

Give her that and abandon your defects.”

Then he said, “Allah Almighty has made two, three and four women lawful for you. So that would be three nights and days in which you can worship your Lord.” ‘Umar said, “By Allah, I do not know which of the things you did is more extraordinary! Is it your grasp of their situation or your ruling regarding them? Go – I have appointed you Qāḍī of Basra.”

Abū Hudbah Ibrāhīm ibn Hudbah related that Anas ibn Mālik said, ‘A woman went to the Prophet to ask for help with her husband. She said, “I do not have what women normally have. My husband fasts constantly.” He said, “You have a day and he has a day. One day for worship and one day for the wife.”’

But if you are afraid of not treating them equally, then only one,

Aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and others said that this refers to inclination, love, sexual intercourse, company and division between multiple wives. So more than one is forbidden if that will lead to loss of fairness in division and keeping company. That indicates the mandatory nature of good company, and Allah knows best.

It is recited as ‘wāḥidatun’ in the nominative which implies, ‘One is enough.’ It is also recited in the accusative which implies the verb: ‘marry one’.

or those you own as slaves.

This means slave-girls. It indicates that if a man fears that he will not be fair to one, then he should have slave-girls. This provides evidence that the right to sexual intercourse with the slave-girl is by virtue of ownership and is not part of marital division because the words of the āyah makes all ownership the same. That negates any right of a slave-girl to sexual intercourse or to a share in the division of time. Nonetheless, it is a duty for the owner to be a good owner and to be kind to slaves.
Allah ascribes ownership to the right hand (literally ‘what your right hands own’) for praise. The right hand is singled out for good qualities. Do you not see that it is the spending hand? It is as the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘until his left hand does not know what his right hand spends.’ It is the hand used for making contracts. The right hand receives the banners of glory as he says:

When a banner for glory is raised,
the Arabs take it with the right hand.

**That makes it more likely that you will not be unfair.**

That is closer to not inclining away from the truth and being unfair, as Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid and others said. The verb ‘āla is used of someone who is unfair and biased. They say that the arrow deviated (‘āla) from the target. Ibn ‘Umar said that it is used for being unfair in weight or measure. A poet said:

They said, ‘We have followed the Messenger of Allah.’
Then they discard the Messenger’s words and are unfair (‘ālū) in the balance.

Abū Ṭālib said:

A true balance does not cheat a single grain.
It itself bears witness that it is not unfair (‘ā’il).

The verb can also mean ‘to become poor’ as Allah says, *‘If you fear impoverishment* (‘aylah).’ (9:27) A poet says:

A poor man does not know when he will become wealthy
and the wealthy does not know when he will become indigent (ya‘īlu).

The singular for indigent is ‘ā’îl and the plural is ‘aylah. ‘Aylah and ‘ālah mean poverty. The verb can also mean to impose a burden and to make something momentous.

Ash-Shāfi‘ī said that the āyah means: ‘it is more likely that you will
not have a large number of dependants,’ which is another meaning of the verb, but ath-Tha‘labī says that no one else says this. The verb for that *a‘āla* (Form IV).

Ibn al-‘Arabī claims that there are only seven meanings for *‘āla* and no more. It can mean: to incline towards, to increase, to be unjust, to become poor, to burden (as Ibn Darīd says), to undertake the burden of dependants, as the Prophet says, ‘begin with dependants’, and to overpower, as one says, “*īla ṣabriḥī*, ‘his patience was overcome’. One uses the verb *a‘āla* to describe a man with many dependants. Using *‘āla* to describe having many dependants is not sound.

As for the statement of ath-Tha‘labī that no one else says that, ad-Dāraquṭnī reported it in his *Sunan* from Zayd ibn Aslam. It is the position of Jābir ibn Zayd. These are two imams from among the Muslim scholars and imams who preceded ash-Shāfi‘ī. As for what Ibn al-‘Arabī mentioned about their number and lack of soundness, that itself is not sound. We mentioned that a matter can be ‘momentous’ (*‘āla*), and al-Jawharī related that. Al-Harawī said in *Gharīb*: ‘Abū Bakr said, ‘It is said that a man acts unjustly in the land.’ Al-Aḥmar said that the verb can mean that something renders a person powerless. Al-Kisā‘ī, Abū ‘Umar ad-Dūrī and Ibn al-A‘rābī mentioned the meaning of having numerous dependants. Abu-l-Ḥasan ‘Alī ibn Ḥamzah al-Kisā‘ī said that the Arabs used *‘āla* and *a‘lā* for having numerous dependants. Abū Ḥātim said, ‘Ash-Shāfi‘ī had greater knowledge of the Arabic language than us. Perhaps it is a dialect.’ Ath-Tha‘labī the commentator related that his teacher Abu-l-Qāsim ibn Ḥabīb said, ‘I asked Abū ‘Umar ad-Dūrī about this. He is an unimpeachable master of language. He said that it is a dialect of Ḥimyar and quoted a verse for evidence.’ [POEM] Abū ‘Amr ibn al-‘Alā’ said, ‘There are so many prominent Arabs that I fear to take ungrammatical Arabic.’ Ṭalḥab ibn Muṣarrīf recited ‘*allā ta‘īlū*’ which is the evidence of ash-Shāfi‘ī.

Ibn ‘Atīyyah said, ‘Az-Zajjāj and others rejected the interpretation
that ‘āla is from ‘iyāl since Allah Almighty allowed many concubines and that entails many dependants. So how can it be closer to not having many dependants?’ This objection is not valid because concubines are part of property which can be disposed of by selling. Those dependants (‘iyāl) which diminish are free women with obligatory rights. Ibn al-A‘rābī related that the Arabs use the verb ‘āla of a man with many dependants.

This āyah is connected to someone who permits a slave to marry four wives since Allah did not specify in the āyah whether the stipulation applies to slaves or just free men. This is the position of Dāwud and at-Ṭabarī, and it is known from Mālik, and his final position is what is in the Muwaṭṭā’. It is also related from Ibn al-Qāsim and Ashhab. Ibn al-Mawwāz mentioned that Ibn Wahb reported that Mālik said that a slave may only marry two wives, and that is position of al-Layth.

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Ḥanīfah and their people, ath-Thawrī and al-Layth ibn Sa‘d said that a slave may not marry more than two wives. Aḥmad and Ishāq said that. It is related that ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭalib and ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn ‘Awf said that a slave may not marry more than two. I do not know of anyone among the Companions who disagreed with that. It is the position of ash-Sha‘bī, ‘Aṭā’, Ibn Sīrīn, al-Ḥakam, Ibrāhīm and Ḥammād.’ The argument for this position is a sound analogy with divorce and hadd punishment. All say that his hadd is half that of a free man, his divorce is twice, his īlā’ is two months and the like of similar rulings. Therefore it is not unlikely for there to be a reduction in respect of marrying four wives. Allah knows best.

4 Give women their dowries as an outright gift. But if they are happy to give you some of it, consume it with
pleasure and goodwill.

Give women their dowries as an outright gift.

Ṣaduqāt (dowries) is the plural of ṣaduqah. Al-Akhfash said, ‘The Banū Tamīm say “ṣudgah” and “ṣudgāt”. The dāl can have a fathah or a sukūn.’ Al-Māzinī said that it is called ṣidāq, not ṣadāq. Ya‘qūb and Ahmad ibn Yahyā said that an-Naḥḥās said that it is ṣadāq.

Husbands are addressed in this āyah, according to Qatādah, Ibn Zayd and Ibn Jurayj. Allah commanded them to give their dowries as gifts to their spouses. It is said that it is addressed to guardians, according to Abū Ṣāliḥ. The guardian would take the woman’s dowry and not give her any of it. They were forbidden to do that and commanded to hand it over to them. We find in the transmission of al-Kalbī: ‘In the Jāhiliyyah, when a guardian gave a woman in marriage and she was with him in the same clan, he would not give her any of her dowry. If she was outside it, he would take her on a camel to her husband and not give her anything except that camel and so this āyah was revealed: “Give women their dowries as an outright gift.”’ Al-Mu‘tamir ibn Sulaymān reported that his father said, ‘A Ḥaḍramawtī claimed that what was meant by the āyah was those who practised the shighār marriage (exchanging women without dowries) and they were commanded to give them dowries. The first view is the more likely. The pronouns are the same and refer to husbands. They are those who are meant in these āyāhs. It is mandatory for the pronouns to be in harmony.

This āyah indicates that a dowry is mandatory for a woman, and it is agreed upon and there is no disagreement except for what is related from one of the people of knowledge among the people of Iraq, that when a master marries his slave to his slave-girl, then there is no dowry obliged. This is not right because this āyah is not restricted in its command here and elsewhere (4:25). Scholars agree that there is no maximum to the dowry and disagree about its minimum, as will be dealt with later. (4:20)

‘An outright gift’ is niḥlah or nuḥlah. They are two dialectical forms. Its root means a gift. Ṣadāq is a gift from Allah to the woman and it is said that niḥlah is given willingly with no dispute on the part of the husband. Qatādah said that ‘niḥlah’ means ‘mandatory’. Ibn Jurayj and Ibn Zayd said, ‘a specified obligation’. Abū ‘Ubayd said that it must be known and specified. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘a religious obligation’. Niḥlah also means a religion. You say, ‘This is his religion (niḥlah).’ This is good inasmuch as it is addressed to the guardians who used to take it in the Jāhiliyyah so that a woman said about her husband:

He does not take the gift from his daughters.

She meant that he did not do what others did. Allah wrested it from them and commanded that it be given to women.

‘Niḥlah’ is in the accusative as a ḥāl modifying an implied verb. It implies: ‘Give them a gift.’ It is said that it is in the accusative for explanation, and it is said that it is a verbal noun in the position of a ḥāl.

**But if they are happy to give you some of it,**

This is addressed to husbands. The fact that it is general is evidence that it is permitted for a woman, virgin or non-virgin, to give her dowry to her husband, and that is the position of the majority of the fuqaha’. Mālik forbids a gift from a virgin to her husband and assigns that to the guardian even though she is the owner.

Al-Farrā’ claimed that it is addressed to the guardians because they would take the dowry and not give the woman any of it. They are not permitted to do that unless the woman is happy about it. The first position is sounder because the guardians were not mentioned.

The pronoun ‘it’ refers to the dowry. That is what ‘Ikrimah and others said. The reason that the āyah was revealed was that it was mentioned that some people avoided taking any of what they had
given their wives. Then this was revealed.

Scholars agree that when a woman who has charge of herself gives her dowry to husband, that is carried out and she cannot take it back – except for Shurayh who thinks she can. He used this āyah as evidence and said that if she asks for it back, then she is not happy about it. Ibn ‘Arabī says that this is baseless because she was happy when it happened and it is like consuming something. Once it is consumed, it is gone. ‘Make use of’ is literally ‘eat’, but does not mean actual ‘eating’, but alludes to making something lawful. This is clear.

If a woman stipulates as a condition in the marriage contract that her husband may not marry another wife and for that provision she reduces some of her dowry, and then he does marry another wife, according to Ibn al-Qāsim she has nothing because it was an unacceptable condition, as occurred when the owners of Barīrah stipulated that they would have her walā’ if ‘Ā’ishah bought and freed her. The Prophet ﷺ validated the contract but not the condition. Here the reduction of the dowry is sound but not it being connected to not marrying another wife. Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam said if the proper dowry for a woman of her class, or more than that, remains, then she does not demand anything from him. If she reduces something of her proper dowry and he marries another wife, then she can demand the full dowry of a woman of her class from him because he agreed to the stipulation and took recompense for it. Therefore, it is mandatory for it to be taken from him and he must fulfill it since the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Believers abide by their conditions.’

This āyah is evidence that emancipation is not considered to be a dowry because it is not wealth since the wife cannot give it nor the husband consume it. This is the position of Mālik, Abū Ḥanīfah, Zafar, ash-Shaybānī and ash-Shāfi‘ī. Ahmad ibn Ḥanbal, Ishaq and Ya‘qub say that it can be a dowry, based on the hadith related by the
imams about Ṣafiyyah when the Prophet ﷺ freed her and made her being freed her dowry. It is related from Anas that he did that. He is also the one who relates the hadith about Ṣafiyyah. The first group say that there is no argument in the hadith about Ṣafiyyah because the Prophet ﷺ had the prerogative to marry without a dowry. He wanted to marry Zaynab and she was unlawful to Zayd. He married her without a wali or a dowry. Therefore, this is not evidence. Allah knows best.

It is said that the word 'nafsan’ is in the accusative for clarification. Sībawayh and the Kufans do not permit putting something that is in the accusative for clarification first. Al-Māzinī and Abu-l-'Abbās al-Mubarrad permit that when the regent is a verb. [POEM] An example of this in the Revelation is the phrase: ‘with downcast eyes, emerging’ (54:7). The people of Sībawayh said that ‘nafsan’ is in the accusative by an implied verb. It implies: ‘I mean personally.’ It is not in the accusative for distinction. If that is the case, there is no argument regarding it. [POEM] All agree that it is not permitted to put that which is distinguishing first when the regent is not inflected.

consume it with pleasure and goodwill.

What is meant by the verb ‘kulū’ here is not literally ‘eating’, but permission for whatever manner it is consumed. That is what is meant by His words in the following āyah: ‘People who consume the property or orphans wrongfully’ (4:10). What is meant is not actually eating, although eating is the most complete form of enjoyment of wealth which can be designated by the word ‘eating’. It is similar to Allah’s words: ‘When you are called to the prayer on the Day of Jumu‘ah, hasten to the remembrance of Allah and abandon trade.’ (62:9) It is not just trade that is being indicated here; what is meant is anything that distracts one from remembering Allah, such as marriage and other things. Trade is mentioned because it is the principal thing that distracts one from remembering Allah in this context.

The words ‘pleasure and goodwill’ are in the accusative as an
adverbial ḥāl describing ‘it’. It is said that it describes something elided, such as a pleasant consumption with goodwill. Hana’a, yahna’u is used for food and drink delighting a person. Something agreeable is described as hani’. Han’ua is used for being wholesome. The verbal noun is han‘ or hin‘. All that is consumed without difficulty or toil is with pleasure (hanī‘). Hanī‘ is an active participle from hanu‘a like zarīf from zarf. The verb is hanī‘a, yahna’u with the noun hanī‘. One says of food being enjoyed and digested, ‘hana’a and marā‘a’. If hana‘a is not mentioned but amrā‘a is, then it means ‘digested’. Abu-l-‘Abbās said that Ibn al-A‘rābī had the verb with a middle kasrah, but it is uncommon. It is said that hanī‘ means with no sin and marī‘ means with no illness. Kuthayyir said:

May you enjoy it (hanī’an marī’an) without an illness mixed in, for a might which does not make our honour fair game.

A man visited ‘Alqamah while he was eating something which his wife had given him from her dowry. He said to him, ‘Eat from that which is pleasure and good will.’

It is said that hanī‘ means that which good and allowed and which does not disturb anything and marī‘ is that which has a praised outcome and will not injure or harm. It is also said: ‘they do not fear any demand for it in this world or come-back in the Next World.’ This is indicated by what Ibn ‘Abbās reported that the Prophet said about this: ‘It is when she gives her husband a gift voluntarily without compulsion. It does not imply any power over you and Allah will not take you to task for it in the Next World.’ It is related that ‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib said, ‘When one of you has an illness, he should ask his wife for a dirham of her dowry and buy honey with it and drink it with rainwater and by that Allah will join for him what is pleasure and goodwill with blessed water.’ Allah knows best.

ولَا يَثْوَىٰ أَلسْنَهَا إِلَّا وَقُرْرَةَ كَأَنَّهُ كَأَنَّهُ حُسْنٌ لِلسَّمَعِ كَأَنَّهُ مَعْوِهُمْ.
فَهِيِّا وَأَسْتَوْهُمْ وَقُرْرَةَ أَهْمَدُ تَوَلَّا مَعْوِهُمْ.•
5 Do not hand over to the incompetent any property of theirs for which Allah has made you responsible, but provide for them and clothe them out of it, and speak to them correctly and courteously.

Do not hand over to the incompetent any property of theirs

When Allah commanded that orphans be given their property, and dowries be given to wives, He made it clear that it is not permitted to give minors or the incompetent their property. The āyah indicates affirmation of the need to have an executor, guardian, and custodian for orphans.

The people of knowledge agree that it is permitted to give the role of guardianship to a free, trustworthy, upright Muslim. They disagree about free women, but most say that it is permitted. Aḥmad deduces evidence in the fact that 'Umar made Ḥafṣah an executrix. It is related that 'Aṭā' ibn Abī Rabāḥ said about a man who made his wife his executrix, ‘A woman may not be an executrix. If someone does that, the duty is transferred to a man of her people.’

They also disagree about slaves having the right of guardianship. Ash-Shāfī‘ī, Abū Thawr, Muḥammad and Ya’qūb forbade it, and Mālik, al-Awzā‘ī and Ibn ‘Abd al-Ḥakam allowed it. It is the position of an-Nakha‘ī who made his slave an executor. This was already adequately discussed in al-Baqarah.

The linguistic meaning of ‘incompetent’ was mentioned in al-Baqarah. Scholars disagree about who the incompetent are. Sālim al-Afṭas reported from Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr that they are orphans who are not given their property. An-Naḥḥās said that this is the best of what has been said about this āyah. Ismā‘īl ibn Abī Khālid related that Abū Mālik said that they are young children who are not given their wealth lest they waste it and remain penniless. Sufyān related from Ḥumayd al-A‘raj that Mujāhid said that they are women. An-Nahḥās and others said that this is not sound, even linguistically, as the word sufahā’ is used and the Arabs use safā‘ih or safīhāt for
women. It is said: ‘Do not give your wealth in a qirāḍ or to an agent who is not good in commerce.’ It is related that ‘Umar said, ‘Whoever does not understand should not trade in our market.’ This is based on Allah’s words: ‘Do not hand over to the incompetent any property of theirs.’ This means those who are ignorant of rulings, and it is said that means the unbelievers, which is why scholars dislike Muslims entrusting a dhimmī with buying and selling or giving him a qirāḍ investment.

Abū Mūsā al-Ashʿarī said, ‘The incompetent are those who must be declared legally incompetent.’ This is general. Ibn Khuwayzīmandād said, ‘As for debarring the incompetent from competence, there are different cases. Some are debarred because of youth, some due to lack of good sense owing to insanity or something else, and some because of poor management of property. As for someone who is unconscious, Mālik recommended that he not be declared incompetent because that quickly departs.’

Legal incompetence is sometimes for the sake of a person himself and sometimes for the rights of others. We have mentioned those under debarment for their own sake. Those debarred for the sake of others are slaves, those in debt, those who are ill, in respect of two-thirds of their property, bankrupts, wives in respect of their husbands’ entitlements, and a virgin in respect of herself. As for children and the insane, there is no disagreement about their limitation. As for an adult, in their case it is because they do not manage their property well and are not safe from ruining their property and so they resemble a child. There is a disagreement about this that will be dealt with. There is no difference between someone destroying his property through acts of disobedience, pious deeds or permitted ones. Our people disagree if they consume their property through pious deeds. Some debar them and some do not. There is no disagreement about slaves.

What a debtor has is taken from him and given to his creditors by
the consensus of the Companions. ‘Umar did that to Usayfī of Juhaynah and Mālik mentioned it in the *Muwattā’.*

As long as a virgin is secluded she is limited in her ability to transact because she is not considered good at overseeing her affairs until she marries, mixes with people and goes out, so that she is able to discern what is harmful from what is beneficial. As for someone ignorant of legal rulings, even he is not restricted with regard to making his property grow or lack of management, he is not given his property because of his ignorance of what constitutes valid and invalid sales and what is lawful and unlawful. In the case of a woman with a husband, the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘A wife is only permitted to dispose of a third of her property.’

In the case of someone who is ignorant of legal rulings, even if he is competent with regard to growing his wealth and managing it, property is not given to him since he is ignorant of what is sound and unsound in transactions or what is unlawful and unlawful. The same applies to a *dhimmī* both on account of his ignorance of correct sales and because it is feared that he will engage in usury, and Allah knows best.

Scholars disagree about the reason for the ascription of property to ‘you’ when it actually belongs to the incompetent. [The Arabic is literally ‘your property’.] It is said that it is ascribed to them because it is in their possession and they oversee it and so the ascription is expanded, as when Allah says: ‘greet one another’ (24:61) [The Arabic is literally ‘yourselves’] and: ‘kill yourselves’ (2:54).

It is said that it is ascribed to them because it is the same sort of property as theirs. Property is shared between creatures and moves from one hand to another and from one owner to another, meaning that it is theirs when they need it, like your property which guards your honour, protects you and exalts your worth and by which you support yourselves.

A second position is articulated by Abū Mūsā al-Ashʿarī, Ibn
‘Abbās, al-Ḥasan and Qatādah. It is that what is meant by the property of those addressed is actual. Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘Do not give the property on which your livelihood depends to your wife and child and thus remain poor, waiting for them and what they have. You should be the one who spends on them.’ According to this, the ‘incompetent’ are women and young children. This is transmitted along with the position of Mujāhid and Abū Mālik about the incompetent.

The āyah indicates that it is permitted to limit the competence of the incompetent by the command of Allah to do that here. Allah also says: ‘If the person incurring the debt is incompetent or weak.’ (2:282) So guardianship is confirmed for the incompetent as it is for the weak. Weakness refers to children and lack of competence to adults. That is because ‘incompetent’ is a word entailing blame, and there is no blame for what someone has not earned. The pen does not record against someone who is not an adult, so they have no blame. Al-Khaṭṭābī said that.

Scholars disagree about the actions of the incompetent when they are considered to be legally incompetent. Mālik and all his people except for Ibn al-Qāsim say that the dealings and commands of the incompetent are permitted until the ruler stops them. That is the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī and Abū Yūsuf. Ibn al-Qāsim said that their dealings are not permitted, even if the ruler does not stop them. Asbagh says that if someone’s incompetence is clear, his dealings are rejected, but if it is not evident, then his dealings are not rejected until the ruler bars him. Saḥnūn uses as evidence Mālik words, ‘If the dealings of the incompetent had been rejected before they were deemed incompetent, there would be no need for the ruler to do that to anyone.’

There is disagreement about limiting the competence of an adult. Mālik and the majority of fuqahā’ say that it may be done but Abū Ḥanīfah said that a sane adult cannot be declared legally
incompetent unless he ruins his property. If that is the case, he is not
given his property until he is twenty-five. When he is twenty-five, it is
given to him in any case, whether he spoils it or not because it was
kept from him for twelve years. Then he could have had a child six
months later and become a father and a grandfather. He said, ‘I am
embarrassed to debar someone who might be a grandfather.’ It is
said that he said about the period of debarring property, that it is
when it reaches the point of being spoiled, in which case it can be
forbidden to hand over property out of caution.

All of this is weak both in terms of reason and tradition. Ad-
Dāraquṭnī related from Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn al-Ḥasan aṣ-
Ṣawwāf from Ḥāmid ibn Shu‘ayb from Shurayḥ ibn Yūnus from
Ya‘qūb ibn Ibrāhīm, who is Qāḍī Abū Yūsuf, from Hishām ibn
‘Urwah from his father that ‘Abdullāh ibn Ja‘far went to az-Zubayr
and said, ‘I have purchased such-and-such goods. ‘Alī wants to go to
the Amīr al-Mu‘minīn to ask him to debar me with respect to it!’ Az-
Zubayr said, ‘I am your partner in the transaction.’ ‘Alī went to
‘Uthmān and said, ‘Ibn Ja‘far purchased such-and-such goods.
Debar him with respect to them.’ Az-Zubayr said, ‘I am his partner
in the transaction.’ ‘Uthmān said, ‘How can I debar a man in a sale
when az-Zubayr is his partner in it?’ Ya‘qūb said, ‘I adopt debarring
and think it is correct. I invalidate the sale and purchase of someone
debarred. I allow what he bought or sold before he was debarred.’
Ya‘qūb ibn Ibrāhīm said, ‘Abū Ḥanīfah does not debar or adopt
limitation.’ The statement of ‘Uthmān, ‘How can I debar a man’, is
evidence that it is permitted to debar an adult. ‘Abdullāh ibn Ja‘far
was born in Abyssinia and was the first child born in Islam. He
came to the Prophet with his father in the year of Khaybar and
listened to him and memorised from him. Khaybar was in 5 AH.
This refutes the view of Abū Ḥanīfah. His argument will be dealt
with, Allah willing.

for which Allah has made you responsible,
This means your livelihood and good state of your dīn. There are three dialectical forms of ‘which’: allatī, allati (with kāsra) and allat (wih sukūn). There are also three dialectical forms of the dual: allatāna, allatā (with the nūn elided), and allatānni. The plural will be dealt with in its place in this sūrah, Allah willing.

‘Qiyām’ and ‘qiwām’ both mean to attend to the best interests of someone. It is said that qiyām is attending to the family and qiwām is attending to the house. He is he one who puts it right. It is qiwām, the wāw has replaced the yā’. The people of Madīnah read it as ‘qiym’ without alif. Al-Kisā’ī and al-Farrā’ said that ‘qiym’ and ‘qiwām’ both mean ‘qiyām’. They believe that it is in the accusative through being a verbal noun. It means: ‘Do not hand over to the incompetent their property which you put in order and are responsible for putting in order.’ Al-Akhfash says that it means to manage their affairs, believing that it is plural. The Basrans say that qiyam is a plural of qīmah, like dīmah and diyam, meaning that Allah has acquainted you with the value of things.’ Abū ‘Alī said that this view is wrong and said that it is a verbal noun like qiyām and qiwām. Its root is qiwam, but it is irregular in becoming a yā’ as we see in jiyyād as the plural of jawād, and the like. Qiwam, qiwām an qiyām mean being in firm is rectifying the state of something and being constant in that.

Al-Ḥasan and an-Nakha‘ī recited ‘allātī’ as the plural of ‘allatī’. The common reading is ‘allatī’. Al-Farrā’ said that in Arabic one usually uses allawātī for women and allatī for property as well as other things than property. An-Naḥḥās mentioned it.

but provide for them and clothe them out of it.

This means: ‘Appoint for them out of it or allot them out of it.’ This is about those that a man is obliged to support and clothe: his wife or young children. It is evidence that it is mandatory for a father to maintain his child and a husband to maintain his wife. Al-Bukhārī reports from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘The
best ʿṣadaqah is that given by the wealthy. The upper hand is better than the lower hand. Begin with your immediate dependents. A woman says, “Either feed me or divorce me.” A slave says, “Feed me and employ me.” A child says, “Feed me. Who will you leave me to?” They said, ‘Abū Hurayrah, did you hear this from the Messenger of Allah ☦?’ ‘No,’ he said, ‘this is from the bag of Abū Hurayrah!’ Al-Muhallab said, ‘Maintenance of one’s wife and children is mandatory by consensus. This hadith is evidence for that.’

Ibn al-Mundhir said that there is disagreement about the age at which the maintenance of children ends when they have no money or work. One group say that a father should support male children until they reach puberty and girls until they marry and the marriage has been consummated. If a daughter is divorced after consummation or she is widowed, the father does not have to support her. If she is divorced before consummation, he must continue to support her.

Maintenance of grandchildren is not obligatory. This is the position of Mālik. One group say that a grandfather should maintain his grandchildren until puberty or menstruation and then does not have to maintain them after that unless they are chronically ill, and that male and female are the same in that respect if they have no property. That also applies to great grandchildren and so on, if they do not have a father who is able to provide for them. This is the position of ash-Shāfiʿī.

One group oblige maintenance for all children, including adult men and women, when they do not have adequate maintenance. This is based on the literal meaning of the words of the Prophet ☦ to Hind: ‘Take what is sufficient for you and your children in a reasonable manner.’ We also find a hadith reported by Abū Hurayrah in which a child says, ‘Feed me. Who will you leave me to?’ It indicates that anyone who has no ability or profession may say
that. However, someone who has reached puberty should not say that because they can work for themselves and earn, as indicated by the words of the Almighty: ‘until they reach a marriageable age’ (4:6), and He set the age of marriage as a limit for that.

The words, ‘A woman says, “Either feed me or divorce me”’ refute those who say that there is no divorce on account of poverty and that the woman should be patient. Maintenance is a husband’s responsibility by the ruling of a judge. This is the position of ‘Aṭā’ and az-Zuhrī. The Kufans believe that, holding to the words of the Almighty: ‘If someone is in difficult circumstances, there should be a deferral until things are easier.’ (2:280) They said, ‘Therefore it is obliged for her to wait until her husband is wealthier.’ Allah says: ‘Marry off those among you who are unmarried.’ (24:22) They said, ‘Here the Almighty recommends marrying off the poor, and so poverty cannot be considered a reason for divorce, since it does not forbid marriage.’ They have no proof in this āyah as will be explained in the proper place. The hadith is the text for the dispute.

It is said that this is addressed to the guardians of orphans, authorising them to spend some of their wealth which is under their supervision as we already mentioned concerning the ascription of wealth. A guardian spends on the orphan according to his wealth and circumstances. If he is young and has much wealth, he procures a wet-nurse and carers for him and spends generously on him. If he is old, he allots him fine clothes, delicious food and servants. If he is less well off than that, he spends accordingly. If he has still less than that, he must provide basic food and clothing according to need. If orphans are poor without any wealth, then the ruler must support them from the Treasury. If the ruler does not do it, then the Muslims must do it based on whoever is closer to the orphan concerned. His mother is closest to him and she must nurse him and support him. Neither she nor anyone else can demand to be paid back for that. This was already mentioned in al-Baqarah (2:233).
speak to them correctly and courteously.

This means with gentle words and promises of care. There is disagreement about *ma‘rūf*. It is said that it means to pray for them, using such words as: ‘May Allah bless you and protect you,’ or saying, ‘I will look after you,’ and similar things. It is said that it means to promise them good, telling them, ‘When you are mature, we will give you your property’ or a parent telling his child, ‘My wealth will go to you and you, Allah willing, will own it when you are mature and know how to deal with it.’

وَبَشِّرُوا الْمَدْيِنِينَ حَتَّىٰ إِذَا بَشَرُّوا الْمَدْيِنِينَ إِذَا أَنْصِرْتُمْ فَبَشِّرُوا وَأَلْقُوا مِنْهُمْ رَفَعًا فَإِذَا قَفَّوا إِلَيْهِمْ أَوْفُوهُمْ وَلَا كَأْتُوهُمْ إِلَى سَوَاءٍ أَرَا أَنْ يَنَبُثْبُوا أَوْ نَصَبَ بَيْنَكُمْ قَلْبًا مُّنْطَقُهُ وَلَا نَزْعُجَ بَيْنَكُمْ قَلْبًا مُّنْطَقُهُ وَلَا نَزْعُجَ بَيْنَكُمْ قَلْبًا مُّنْطَقُهُ وَلَا نَزْعُجَ بَيْنَكُمْ قَلْبًا مُّنْطَقُهُ وَلَا نَزْعُجَ بَيْنَكُمْ قَلْبًا مُّنْطَقُهُ

6 Keep a close check on orphans until they reach a marriageable age, then if you perceive that they have sound judgment hand over their property to them. Do not consume it extravagantly and precipitately before they come of age. Those who are wealthy should abstain from it altogether. Those who are poor should use it sensibly and correctly. When you hand over their property to them ensure that there are witnesses on their behalf. Allah suffices as a Reckoner.

Keep a close check on orphans until they reach a marriageable age,

This means to test and ascertain their state. This is addressed to everyone in explaining how to give them their property. It is said that it was revealed about Thābit ibn Rifā‘ah and his uncle. Rifā‘ah died leaving a child who was a minor. His uncle Thābit went to the Prophet ﷺ and said, ‘My nephew is an orphan in my care. What is lawful for me of his property and when should I hand it over to him?’ So Allah revealed this āyah.
Scholars disagree about the meaning of ‘keeping a close check’. It is said that a guardian should assess the character of the orphan in his care and listen to his desires, thereby obtaining knowledge of his excellence and of his ability or inability to deal with his property in his own best interests. If he is good in this respect, scholars and others say that there is no harm in giving him some of his money which he can dispose of. If it grows and he attends to it well, he passes the test and then the guardian must hand over all of his property to him. If he is a poor manager, then the guardian should keep hold of his property. No one says that if the child is tested and found to have good judgment, guardianship is automatically removed and his guardian must give him his money and allow him to deal with it since the Almighty says: ‘until they reach a marriageable age.’

A group of fuqahā’ say that the child must either be a boy or girl. If it is a boy, he is tested by being allowed to spend on the household for a month, or is given a small amount to deal with to see if he can manage it well. Nonetheless, he is overseen while doing that to ensure he does not misspend it. If he does misspend it, his guardian is not liable. When the guardian sees that he is able to act correctly, he should hand over his property to him in the presence of witnesses. If it is a girl, her guardian should give her what would normally be given to the lady of the house to manage, for instance, the spinning process and oversee the spinners in terms of buying and paying for cotton and completing the spinning process in full. If he sees that she has sound judgment, he should give her her property. Otherwise orphans should remain in care until their good judgment is ascertained. Al-Ḥasan, Mujāhid and others said that they should be tested in respect of their minds, their dīn and their ability to make their property grow.

The term ‘marriageable age’ means puberty since Allah says: ‘Once your children have reached puberty.’ (24:59) It means the age of puberty
and marriage. There are five signs of puberty. Three are common to men and women and two apply to women alone, namely, menstruation and pregnancy. Scholars do not disagree that menstruation or pregnancy indicates puberty and then the obligations and rulings dependent on that are obliged for her. They disagree about the other three. As for growth of hair and age, al-Awzā‘ī, ash-Shāfi‘ī and Ibn Ḥanbal say that for a boy it is the age is fifteen if he has not had a wet dream. That is the position of Ibn Wahb, Asbagh, ‘Abd al-Malik ibn Mājishūn, ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz and a group of the people of Madīnah. Ibn al-‘Arabī also preferred that. The ḥudūd and obligations are obliged in their view on someone of this age. Asbagh ibn al-Faraj says, ‘What we say is that the age at which the ḥudūd and obligations of the dīn are imposed is fifteen. I prefer that and recommended it because it is the age at which one can participate in jihād.’ His evidence is the account of Ibn ‘Umar when he presented himself for the Battle of the Ditch. He was fifteen and was allowed to participate but he had not been allowed to participate at Uḥud when he was fourteen. Muslim transmitted it.

Abū ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-Barr said, ‘This is when the date of someone’s birth is known. If his time of birth and age is unknown, or he denies it, then one acts according to what Nāfi‘ related from Aslam from ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb. He wrote to his generals: “Only impose the jizyah on those who have started to shave.”’ ‘Uthmān said about a boy who had stolen: ‘See if his pubic hair has begun to grow. If it has, then cut off his hand.’ ‘Aṭiyyah al-Quraẓī said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ☀ dealt with the Banū Qurayẓah and, going by the judgment of Sa‘d ibn Mu‘ādh, killed all of them who had pubic hair and excused those whose pubic hair had not yet begun to grow. I was one of those in that category and he left me.’

Mālik, Abū Ḥanīfah and others said that there is no judgment against anyone who has not had a wet dream until they reach the age at which someone must have reached puberty. That is
considered to be seventeen. Then the hadd punishment is imposed on them. Mālik once said that it is when the voice breaks and the nose is fully formed. In another variant from Abū Ḥanīfah, the age is nineteen, and that is what is best known. He said about a girl that she reaches puberty at seventeen, but there is some debate about this. Al-Lu’lu’ī said that the age is eighteen. Dāwud said that he does not reach the age of puberty if he does not have a wet dream, even if he is forty.

As for the growth of pubic hair, some say that that indicates puberty. That is related from Ibn al-Qāsim and Sālim. Mālik said that once and it is one of the positions of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Aḥmad, Isḥāq and Abū Thawr also said that. It is said that it denotes puberty and it was a judgment used in regard to the unbelievers: those with pubic hair were killed and those without made captives. Ash-Shāfi‘ī said this in another position which is based on the hadith of ‘Aṭīyyah al-Qurazī. A little fluff is not considered sufficient, proper hair growth is needed. Ibn al-Qāsim said, ‘I heard Mālik say, “What is acted on with us is based on the hadith of ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, who said: ‘If the razor has been passed over him, I will impose the hadd punishment on him.’”’ Asbagh said, ‘Ibn al-Qāsim said to me, “I prefer that the hadd punishment should only be carried out on someone when there is both hair growth and puberty.”’ Abū Ḥanīfah said that the growth of hair is means nothing and is not a sign of puberty nor proof of it.

Az-Zuhrī and ‘Aṭā’ said that no hadd punishment can be imposed on anyone who has not reached puberty, and that is the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī. Mālik inclined to that view once and it is held by some of his followers. Its literal meaning implies not paying attention to hair growth or age. Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘If the hadith of Ibn ‘Umar is not a proof of age, then every age they mention is a false claim. The age at which the Messenger of Allah  allowed him to go is more appropriate than the age that he considered insufficient and for
which there is no evidence in the Sharī‘ah. Similarly the Messenger of Allah considered hair growth in respect of the Banū Qurayţah. Who will excuse someone for abandoning two matters which the Messenger of Allah took into consideration and consider what the Messenger of Allah did not consider and Allah did not appoint in the Sharī‘ah.’

Ibn al-‘Arabi says this in the context of this ayah, but the reverse in Sūrat al-Anfāl since he does not base himself on the hadith of Ibn ‘Umar, but interprets it as our scholars do. What differentiates between those who are able to fight and receive a share and those who cannot and do not receive a share is the age of fifteen. Those younger are dependents. That is what ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz understood from the hadith. Allah knows best.

*then if you perceive that they have sound judgment hand over their property to them*

Ānasa means to see, perceive and know. It is also used in 28:29 with that meaning. Az-Zuhrī said, ‘The Arabs say, ‘Go and look (asta’nis) if you see anyone.’ [POEM] One says ānastu, aḥsastu and wajadtu mean the same. The root is to perceive.

Most recite ‘rushd’ (sound judgment) while as-Sulamî, Īsā, ath-Thaqafî and Ibn Mas‘ūd recited ‘rashad’. They are two dialectical possibilities. Scholars disagree about the interpretation of ‘rushd’. Al-Ḥasan, Qatādah and others said that it refers to soundness in both mind and dīn. Ibn ‘Abbās, as-Suddī, and ath-Thawrī said that it is about soundness of mind and preservation of property. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr and ash-Sha‘bī said, ‘It is when a man trims his beard and shows his good sense. One does not give an orphan his property, even if he is an old man, until one is discerns his good sense.’ That is like what aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said: ‘An orphan is not given his property, even if he is a hundred years old, until it is known that he can deal properly with it.’ Mujāhid said that rushd only applies to soundness of mind.
Most scholars believe that *rushd* only exists after puberty and if an orphan still shows no sound judgment after puberty, even if they are old, the status of legal incompetence is not removed from them. That is the position of Mālik and others. Abū Ḥanīfah says that an adult free man cannot be declared legally incompetent after reaching puberty, even if he is the most impious and spendthrift of people, as long as he is sane. Zufar ibn al-Hudhayl also said that, and it is the position of an-Nakhāʾī. Their evidence for that is what Qatādah related from Anas about Ḥabbān ibn Munqīdhi. He used to sell and he was unguarded in his contracts: It was asked, ‘Messenger of Allah, should he be debarred? There is weakness in his contracts.’ The Prophet summoned him and said, ‘Do not sell.’ He said, ‘I cannot bear not to.’ He told him, ‘Then when you sell, say, “No cheating,” and you have an option to retract for three days.’ They said that because people asked for him to be declared legally incompetent due to the way he was being cheated in transactions and the Prophet did not do that, that it confirms that making adult people legally incompetent is not permitted. There is no proof in that because it was a special case as we explained in *al-Baqarah*. Other people are not subject to it.

Ash-Shāfiʿī says that if someone ruins his property and his *dīn*, or his property but not his *dīn*, he should be declared legally incompetent. If he ruins his *dīn* and puts his property in order, there are two possibilities. One is that he is declared legally incompetent, which is what is preferred by al-ʿAbbās ibn Shurayḥ. The other is that he is not, and that is preferred by Ishāq al-Marwazi, and it is the most apparent position of the school of ash-Shāfiʿī. Ath-Thaʿlabī said, ‘What we mentioned about making a simpleton legally incompetent was the position of ʿUthmān, ʿAlī, az-Zubayr, ʿĀʾishah, Ibn ʿAbbās, and ʿAbdullāh ibn Jaʿfar among the Companions, and Shurayḥ among the Tābiʿūn. Among the *fiqahāʾ*, it is the position of Mālik and the people of Madīnah, al-Awzāʾī and the people of
Syria, Abū Yūsuf, Muḥammad, Aḥmad, Ishāq and Abū Thawr. Ath-Thaʻlabī said, ‘Our fellows claim that there is consensus in respect of it.’

The upshot of all this is that you should know that there are two preconditions for handing over property: ascertainment of good judgment and puberty. If there is one but not the other, it is not permitted to hand property over. That is the testing ordered by the āyah. It is transmitted by Ibn al-Qāsim, Ashhab, and Ibn Wahb from Mālik. It is the position of the fuqahā’ with the exception of Abū Ḥanīfah, Zafar and an-Nakha‘ī, who remove this stipulation when the person concerned reaches the age of twenty-five. Abū Ḥanīfah said that that is because he might be a grandfather. This indicates the weakness of his position, and the argument of Abū Bakr ar-Rāzī in Aḥkām al-Qur‘ān, which makes use of it, is weak regarding the two āyahs, as was already mentioned. It comes under the principle of the unrestricted (muṭlaq) and restricted (muqayyad), and the people of usūl agree that the unrestricted returns to what is restricted. What is the point of saying he might be a grandfather when he is not one? However, in the case of a girl, our scholars stipulate consummation of marriage as well as puberty.

Then there is the test of good judgment. Abū Ḥanīfah and ash-Shāfi‘ī thought that both male and female should be tested, whereas our scholars differentiate between them and say that girls differ from boys since they are confined and not concerned with business and do not go out because they are unmarried. That is why one waits for a girl to be married and so all the goals are focused in that. A male is different in that he deals with matters and meets with people from the beginning of his development until he reaches puberty and so he has experience and his mind is completely formed at puberty. Therefore, the goal is achieved in his respect. What ash-Shāfi‘ī said is more correct. Sexual intercourse with actual penetration cannot be said to increase her good judgment when she is already aware of
all her affairs and goals and does not squander her money.

Then our scholars continue and add, ‘Her marriage with her husband must be consummated and followed by a lapse of time in which in which she gains practical experience.’ Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘Our scholars have many positions about how long that should be. Some say five, six or seven years for a woman with a father. They set one year after consummation for an orphan girl without a father or guardian and make it perpetual for one with a guardian until her good judgment is confirmed. There is no evidence for any of this. The stipulation of years in respect of a woman with a father is harsh and, even harsher than it, is a year for an orphan. And as for the continuation of denial of legal competence for a woman with a guardian until her good judgment is clear, the guardian brings her out of it or a judge does so. So it goes by the literal text of the Qur’an. It is all subject to this āyah.’ ‘Good judgment’ is considered, but ascertainment of it varies according to different circumstances of the one ascertaining it. He recognises it and avoids being arbitrary when there is no evidence for it.

They disagree about the actions of a woman with a father during that period. It is said that what she does can be revoked as long as she is considered legally incompetent but that what she does after that is allowed. Some say that what she does in that period is subject to being revoked unless it is clear that it is correct, and what she does after that is assumed to be carried out unless it is clearly a matter of incompetence.

They disagree about giving property to a person who is legally incompetent and whether that requires the permission of the ruler. One group say that the case must be presented to the ruler and the person’s good judgment confirmed with him, and then they may be given their property. Another group say that the matter is left to the discretion of the guardian without having to be taken before the ruler. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said that the correct position is that the guardians
of our time must present the case to the ruler and confirm the person’s good judgment in his presence. That is because guardians may contrive to have a child deemed to be competent when someone who is incompetent would not be responsible for his dealings because of his incompetence and lack of good judgment at that time.

When property is handed over on the basis of a person having good judgment and then they revert to incompetence by displaying extravagance and lack of management, we think that they are again deemed to be legally incompetent. That is one of two positions of ash-Shāfi’ī. Abū Ḥanīfah disagrees on the basis that the person concerned is an adult, and his responsibility in cases of retaliation and ḥudūd is accepted. Our evidence is the words in the āyah: ‘Do not hand over to the incompetent any property of theirs for which Allah has made you responsible’ (4:5) and ‘If the person incurring the debt is incompetent or weak or unable to dictate, then his guardian should dictate to him justly.’ (2:282) Allah did not make a distinction between the person who is legally incompetent being incompetent or having that happen to him in general.

It is permitted for guardians to deal with an orphan’s property as a father would – with respect to trade and buying and selling. He must pay the zakāt due on their property, be it on money, crops, livestock or fitrah, and any fines for damages, maintenance of parents and all statutory rights. They are permitted to arrange a marriage for them, pay the dowry for them, buy them a slavegirl and attend to what is generally considered their best interests. If they settle some of their debts and leave others, that is permitted. If the remainder of their property is used up, the remaining creditors can do nothing against the guardian or those whose debts have been settled. If the creditors take all of an orphan’s property and then other creditors come and he knew about that debt, or the deceased had acknowledged the debt, then the guardian is liable and he can go back to the first
creditors to demand a redistribution. If he did not know about that, and the deceased had not acknowledged it, then the guardian owes nothing. If he pays a creditor without witnesses, he is liable. If there were witnesses and a long time has passed and the witnesses have died, he owes nothing. This was already discussed in *al-Baqarah* (2:220) in the rulings concerning the executor with respect to spending and other things.

**Do not consume it extravagantly and precipitately before they come of age.**

This does not mean that consuming it without extravagance is permitted. What is meant is: ‘Do not consume it. That is extravagance.’ Allah forbade guardians to consume orphans’ property other than what is permissible and necessary as will be explained. *Isrāf* is extravagance and excessiveness. It was already mentioned in *Āl ‘Imrān*. *Saraf* is error in spending as the poet said:

*They gave a hundred camels, eight men driving them.*

Their gift contained no favour requiring recompense nor error in the gift.

It means that they did not miss the proper amount for the gift. Another said:

*Their speaker spoke when the horses trampled them,*

‘You overdid it.’ We answered, ‘We are extravagant.’

*An-Naḍr* ibn Shumayl said that *saraf* is squandering and negligence. *Isrāf* will be further explained in *al-An‘ām*, Allah willing.

‘**Precipitately**’ means before they grow up and become adult. It means to rush to something. It means: ‘Do not avail yourself of the property of the one who is legally incompetent and in your care and consume it, saying, “I will take it before he is an adult so that he will not have sound judgment and take it.”’ *Ibn ‘Abbās* and others said that.

**Those who are wealthy should abstain from it altogether.**
Allah makes it clear what is lawful for them of this property and commanded that someone who is wealthy should refrain from it while He permits a poor guardian to consume from the property of the charge in a correct manner. *Iffah* (the root of *ista'ffa*) means to abstain from what is not lawful or proper. To abstain from something is to abandon doing it. Allah says: ‘*Those who cannot find the means to marry should be abstinent (la-yasta'fif).*’ (24:33) *Iffah* is to refrain from everything that is not lawful and which one should not do. Abū Dāwud related from Ḥusayn al-Mu‘allim from ‘Amr ibn Shu‘ayb from his father from his grandfather that a man went to the Prophet ﷺ and said, ‘I am poor and have nothing and I am also the guardian of an orphan.’ He said, ‘Use your orphan’s property but not extravagantly or precipitately or in an attempt to enrich yourself.’

**Those who are poor should use it sensibly and correctly.**

Scholars disagree about who is addressed by this āyah. We find in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim that ‘Ā’ishah said about the phrase ‘*Those who are poor should use it sensibly and correctly*’: ‘It was revealed about an orphan’s guardian who maintains him and attends him. When he is in need, he can use some of it.’ One variant has, ‘Correctly according to the amount of his property.’

Some say that what is meant is the orphan himself – when he is wealthy, he is generous to his guardian and refrains from his property. If he is poor, he spends on him accordingly. That was stated by Rabī‘ah and Yaḥyā ibn Sa‘īd. The first is the sound position of the majority because the orphan is not instructed to spend because he is a child and legally incompetent. Allah knows best.

Most disagree about what consuming correctly is. Some say that it is borrowing when in need and settling the debt when wealthy. That was stated by ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Abīdah, Ibn Jubayr, Ash-Sha‘bī, Mujāhid and Abu-l-‘Alīyah. It is the position of
al-Awzā‘ī. A guardian should not borrow more than he needs. ‘Umar said, ‘In relation to the property of Allah I put myself in the position of a guardian in relation to an orphan’s property. If I am wealthy, I abstain. If I am in need, I consume correctly. Then when I am wealthy again, I repay it.’ ‘Abdullāh ibn al-Mubārak related from Abu-l-‘Āliyah that the words ‘those who are poor should use it sensibly and correctly’ refer to taking a loan. Then he recited the rest of the āyah.

A second position related by Ibrāhīm, ‘Aṭā’, al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, an-Nakha’ī, and Qatādah is that a poor guardian does not have to pay back what he uses when it is consumed correctly because that is the right of supervision. The fuqahā’ take that position. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘It is food from Allah for him.’ That consists of consuming what will satisfy his hunger and wearing what will clothe his nakedness. He may not wear fine cotton or robes. The proof of the soundness of this position is the consensus of the Community that a ruler who oversees the Muslims is not indebted for what he consumes correctly because Allah Almighty has allotted him his share in the property of Allah. They have no argument in the words of ‘Umar, ‘When I am wealthy, I repay it,’ even if it is sound.

It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās, Abu-l-‘Āliyah, and ash-Sha’bī that consuming correctly refers to things like using milk from herds, employing slaves and riding animals when the capital is not harmed, applying tar to mangy animals, looking for lost animals, plastering water-basins and harvesting dates. As for the sources of wealth and capital, a guardian may not take that. This is all transmitted with the position of the fuqahā’ that a guardian may take a wage for his work. A group said that and that that is what is correct and he does not have to pay it back. Taking more than that is forbidden.

Al-Ḥasan ibn Ṣāliḥ ibn Ḥayy made a distinction between a guardian appointed by the father and a guardian appointed by a judge. A guardian appointed by the father can consume correctly while one appointed by a judge is not permitted to touch the
property in any way. This is a third position.

There is a fourth position related from Mujāhid who said that a guardian may not take a loan or anything else and he believes that the āyah is abrogated by the words of Allah: ‘O you who believe, do not consume one another’s property by false means, but only by means of mutually agreed trade.’ (4:29) This is not commerce. Zayd ibn Aslam said that the āyah is abrogated by Allah’s words: ‘People who consume the property of orphans wrongfully’ (4:10). Bishr ibn al-Walīd reported that Abū Yūsuf said, ‘I do not know. Perhaps this āyah was abrogated by: “O you who believe, do not consume one another’s property by false means, but only by means of mutually agreed trade.”’ (4:29)

A fifth position distinguishes between being at home and being on a journey. On this understanding a guardian is barred from using an orphan’s property when he is resident within in a city, but when he needs to travel for the orphan’s sake, he can take what he needs but should not trade. Abū Ḥanīfah and his people said that.

A sixth position espoused by Abū Qilābah is that he may consume in a correct manner from any income. As for the capital, he may not take any of it, as a loan or otherwise.

A seventh position, related by ‘Ikrimah from Ibn ‘Abbās, is that he may use the property when he is compelled by dire need. Ash-Sha‘bī said, ‘Then it is like blood and pork which someone in dire need may consume.’ An-Naḥḥās said that this makes no sense because in extreme need the same ruling applies to all property, not just the orphan’s.

Ibn ‘Abbās and an-Nakha‘ī also said that what is meant is the guardian consuming correctly from his own property so that he has no need of the orphan’s property. Someone wealthy refrains because of his wealth and someone poor stints himself so that he has no need of the orphan’s property. This is the best of what is related about the commentary on the āyah because other people’s property is sacrosanct. None of it is open to use unless there is an absolute
pressing need.

At-Ṭabarī preferred this position in *Aḥkām al-Qur‘ān*. He said, ‘Some of the early people imagined that the ruling of this āyah is that a guardian has general permission to consume the property of a child to an extent which does not reach extravagance. That is contrary to what Allah Almighty commands when He says: “Do not consume one another’s property by false means, but only by means of mutually agreed trade.” This is not possible in respect of an orphan’s property. Allah’s words: “Those who are wealthy should abstain from it altogether,” refer to consuming his own property rather than that of the orphan. It means: “Do not consume orphans’ property together with your own property,” in other words confine yourself to using your own property. This is indicated by the words of Allah: “Do not assimilate their property into your own. Doing that is a serious crime.” (4:2) And it is made clear by His words: “Those who are wealthy should abstain from it altogether. Those who are poor should use it sensibly and correctly.” It is confined to sufficiency so that he does not need to consume the orphan’s property. This is the full meaning of the āyah.’

We found that āyahs of judgment forbid the consumption of another person’s property without their consent, especially that of an orphan. We found that this āyah is susceptible to various interpretations. It can make specific what is obliged by the āyahs of judgment. Those who support the position of the early people say that qāḍīs take a wage because of their work for the Muslims. Is not a guardian in the same position when he works for an orphan? So why would he not take a wage for the work he does? They should be told that they must know that none of the early people permitted a guardian to take any of a child’s property when the guardian is wealthy. This is not the case with a qāḍī. That is the difference between the two cases. Furthermore, the position taken by the fuqahā’, qāḍīs and caliphs was that attending to the affairs of Islam does not entitle them to your property. Allah assigned unclaimed lost
property to various categories, one of which are qādīs. A guardian would be taking for his work some of the property of a particular person without their consent. His work is unknown and his wage unknown. That is far from constituting entitlement.

Our Shaykh, Imām Abu-l-'Abbās said, ‘If the estate of the orphan is very large and requires a lot of attention on the part of the guardian, which distracts him from his needs and concerns, then a wage for his work is allotted to him. If it is insignificant and does not distract him from his needs and concerns, he should not consume any of it, although it is recommended for him to have a small drink of milk and eat a little food and ghee, without causing harm or taking a lot of it. That is according to custom.’ Our Shaykh said, ‘The mention of a wage and taking a small amount of dates and milk is confined to an appropriate amount. That is a correct application of the āyah.’ Allah knows best. It is better, however, to be cautious.

As for what a qādī takes for dividing inheritance and is called a fee, I do not know of any justification for it and it is not lawful. That falls under the generality of Allah’s words: ‘People who consume the property of orphans wrongfully consume nothing in their bellies except fire.’ (4:10)

When you hand over their property to them ensure that there are witnesses on their behalf.

Allah commands the presence of witnesses to ensure the preservation of the property and remove any suspicion. A group of scholars say that this testimony is recommended and that the statement of a guardian is accepted because he is a trustee. Another group say that it is obligatory, which conforms with the literal meaning of the āyah, and that the guardian is not a trustee, making his statement accepted on that basis. He is in the position of an agent (wakīl) when he claims that he has returned what he was given or entrusted with and he is a trustee of the father: his statement is not accepted against another’s. Do you not see that if an agent
claims that he gave Zayd what he was commanded to, based on his integrity, his statement requires confirmatory evidence? The same applies to guardians.

‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and Ibn Jubayr said that there should be witnesses to an affluent guardian repaying what he borrowed of an orphan’s property in a state of need. ‘Abīdah said, ‘The āyah is evidence that it is obligatory for a guardian to repay what he consumes. It means: when you borrow or consume, call witnesses to confirm your debt.’

The sound position is that the expression is general and includes this and other things. What is meant is having witnesses to anything you spend so that if there is a dispute, there can then be clear evidence. Any property which is taken on trust with witnesses is only discharged when there are witnesses to its return, based on the words of Allah. When it was given without witnesses, then there is no need for witnesses as to its return. Allah knows best.

As a guardian must preserve an orphan’s property and make it productive, so he must also care for the child physically. Property is preserved by keeping safe and the body is cared for by teaching. This was discussed in al-Baqarah. It is related that a man asked the Prophet ﷺ, ‘I have an orphan in my care. Can I consume some of his property?’ He answered, ‘Yes, but not in order to enrich yourself or to protect your property with his.’ He said, ‘Messenger of Allah, can I beat him?’ He answered, ‘Only as you would your own child.’ Ibn al-‘Arabī said, ‘It has no confirmed isnād, but there is no one who inclines away from it.’

Allah suffices as a Reckoner.

Allah is a sufficient reckoner of our actions and repays us for them. This is a threat to anyone who refuses to discharge a right. The bā’ is redundant and is in the position of the nominative.
7 Men receive a share of what their parents and relatives leave and women receive a share of what their parents and relatives leave, a fixed share, no matter whether it is a little or a lot.

After mentioning orphans, Allah then deals with inheritance. The āyah was revealed about Aws ibn Thābit al-Anṣārī. He died and left a wife called Umm Kuḥḥah and three daughters by her. Two men, Suwayd and ‘Arfajah, who were the sons of the uncle of the deceased and his executors, came and took his property without giving his wife and daughters anything. In the Jāhiliyyah women and children, even boys, did not inherit. They said, ‘It is only given when someone can fight on horseback, use a spear or a sword and get booty.’ Umm Kuḥḥah mentioned that to the Messenger of Allah and he summoned them. They said, ‘Messenger of Allah, her children do not ride a horse, carry a sword nor wound the enemy.’ He said, ‘Leave me until I see what Allah tells me about them.’ Then this was revealed to refute them, invalidate their statement and remove their ignorance. Young heirs are more entitled to the property than adults since they are unable to transact and attend to their own affairs and so the ruling was reversed and the assumptions of the two men refuted. They erred in their ideas and conduct.

Our scholars say that there are three benefits in this āyah. One is clarification of the cause of inheritance, which is kinship. The second is the general nature of kinship, close and distant. The third is the general statement about the obligatory shares, which is further clarified in the Āyat of Inheritance. This āyah prepares the way for the ruling and nullifies the false view.

It is confirmed that when Abū Talḥah gave his property at Bayruḥā’ as ṣadaqah and mentioned that to the Prophet, he told him, ‘Give it to your poor relatives,’ and he gave it to Ḥassān and
Ubayy. Anas said, ‘They were closer to him than me.’ Abū Dāwud said that he heard Muḥammad ibn ‘Abdullāh al-Anṣārī say, ‘Abū Talḥah al-Anṣārī was Zayd ibn Sahl ibn al-Aswad ibn Ḥarām ibn ‘Amr ibn Zayd Manāh ibn ‘Amr ibn Mālik ibn an-Najjār. He and Ḥassān ibn Thābit ibn al-Mundhir ibn Ḥarām shared a common great-grandfather: Ḥarām. Ubayy was Ubayy ibn Ka'b ibn Ways ibn ‘Ubayd ibn Zayd ibn Mu‘āwiyah ibn ‘Amr ibn Mālik ibn an-Najjār.’ Al-Anṣārī said, ‘There were six ancestors between Abū Talḥah and Ubayy.’ He said that ‘Amr ibn Mālik was a common ancestor of Ḥassān, Ubayy ibn Ka'b and Abū Talḥah. Abū ‘Umar said, ‘This demands that kinship goes as far as this level. What is closer than that is even more properly referred to as kinship.

**a fixed share, no matter whether it is a little or a lot.**

Allah affirmed that daughters have a share in inheritance but did not specify how much. So the Prophet sent word to Suwayd and ‘Arfajah not to divide up the property of Aws. Allah had allotted Aws’s daughters a share but had not revealed the amount. So they were to wait until Allah revealed that. When āyāhs 11-13 were revealed, he told them, ‘Give Umm Kuḥṭah an eighth of what Aws left and give his daughters two-thirds and you have the rest.’

Our scholars use this as evidence for including in the division things which would be altered by the shares such as bath-houses, threshing floors and houses, whose benefits would be nullified if the shares in them were confirmed. Mālik said, ‘They are divided, even if none of them has a useful share since Allah says: “a fixed share, no matter whether it is a little or a lot.”’ That is the position of Ibn Kinānah, and ash-Shāfi‘ī states that and it is similar to the position of Abu Ḥanīfah. Abu Ḥanīfah said about a small house shared between two people, when one of them asks for the division and his fellow inheritor refuses, that it is divided.

Ibn Abī Laylā said, ‘If there are those whose share is not useful, there is no division.’ Any division which causes harm to one person
rather than another is not executed. That is the position of Abū Thawr. Ibn al-Mundhir said that is the sounder of the two views. Ibn al-ʻArabī mentioned this as being related by Ibn al-Qāsim from Mālik. Ibn al-Qāsim said, ‘I think that houses, dwellings and bath-houses that cannot be divided up, and other things whose division would cause harm and are not used when divided, should be sold and there is no pre-emption in it since the Prophet said, “There is pre-emption in all undemarcated property. When there are clear boundaries, there is no pre-emption.”’ This is the evidence provided by the hadīth.

Part of the argument for this position is what ad-Dāraquṭnī reports from Ibn Jurayj from Siddīq ibn Mūsā from Muḥammad ibn Abī Bakr from his father, Abū Bakr, that the Prophet said, ‘There is no division for the people of inheritance except in respect of things that will support division.’ Abū ‘Ubayd said, ‘This is when a man dies and leaves something which, if divided among his heirs, will entail harm to all or some.’ He said that such things are not divided. Examples might be a gem, a bath-house, a shawl and similar things. Allah says: ‘…making sure that no one’s rights are prejudiced.’ (4:12) So He rejects causing harm. Similarly the Prophet said, ‘There is no harm nor repayment of harm.’

While the āyah does not specify division, it does insist on the obligation of the share and portion to both adult and child, whether it is a little or a lot, to refute the practice of the Jāhiliyyah. Allah says: ‘Men have a portion’ and ‘women have a portion’ (4:32), and this is very clear. Extracting the portion is based on another argument, and that is that the heir says, ‘I am entitled to a share by the words of Allah, so give it to me.’ His co-inheritor says, ‘As for it belonging only to you, that is not possible because that would lead to harm to both you and me by destroying the property, changing its condition and lowering its value.’ So something else is preferred [in this instance]. What is most apparent is that the [physical division to
obtain the] share is cancelled when doing so would invalidate benefit and depreciate the value of property. Allah is the One Who grants success.

‘A fixed share’ is similar to the words, ‘a mandatory portion’ and ‘necessary right’. It is a noun with the meaning of a verbal noun which is why it is in the accusative. Az-Zajjāj said that it is in the accusative for the ḥāl and means: ‘Those have shares in the obligatory dividing up.’ Al-Akhfāsh said, ‘Allah appoints that as a share for them.’ Mafrūd is decreed and mandatory.

8 If other relatives or orphans or poor people attend the sharing-out, provide for them out of it and speak to them correctly and courteously.

Allah makes it clear here that if someone not entitled to any inheritance attends the division, and is a relative, orphan, or poor person who does not inherit, they should be honoured and not deprived if there is a lot of wealth, and given an apology if it is land or only a little which does not allow for gifts. There is, however, a great reward for a gift from a little, one dirham takes precedence over 100,000.

The āyah, according to this position, is one of judgment. Ibn ʿAbbās said that, and a group of the Tābiʿūn followed his opinion: ‘Urwah ibn az-Zubayr and others. Abū Mūsā al-Ashʿarī commanded it. It is related from Ibn ʿAbbās that it is abrogated by the words of Allah: ‘Allah instructs you regarding your children: A male receives the same as the share of two females.’ (4:11) Saʿīd ibn Musayyab said that it was abrogated by the Āyat of Inheritance and bequest. Among those who said that it was abrogated were Abū Mālik, ʿIkrimah and aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk.

The first is sounder. It explains the entitlement of heirs to their shares and recommends sharing with those who are present who
have no share. Ibn Jubayr said, ‘People waste this āyah.’ Al-Hasan said, ‘Rather people are avaricious.’ In al-Bukhārī Ibn ‘Abbās says about this āyah: ‘It is one of judgment and not abrogated.’ One variant has: ‘Some people claim that this āyah is abrogated. No, by Allah, its not abrogated! But it is one of the things that are made light of.’

There are two categories of guardians (walī): those who inherit, and those are the ones who give, and those who do not, and this is the one who speaks correctly and says, ‘I do not have anything to give you.’

Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘During the division to heirs, the believers are commanded to give to relatives, orphans and poor from the bequest. If there is no bequest, they are given to from the inheritance.’ An-Naḥḥās said, ‘This is the best of what is said about the āyah: that it is recommendation and encouragement to do good and to thank Allah.’

One group said, ‘This giving is mandatory as an obligation. The heirs should give to these categories what they are happy to give, such as tools, used garments and small things.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah and al-Qushayrī related this position. The sound position is, however, that it is recommended because, if it had been an obligation, it would be an entitlement to what is left and the sharing out of the legacy would be by one aspect that is known and another that is unknown, and that is contrary to wisdom and would cause division and dissension.

One party believes that those addressed by the āyah are those who divide their property in a will, not the heirs. That is related from Ibn ‘Abbās, Saʿīd ibn al-Musayyab and Ibn Zayd. If a sick person wants to divide his property by a will, and there are those present who do not inherit, he should not deprive them. This, and Allah knows best, was revealed when a will was obligatory and the āyah of inheritance had not yet been revealed. The sound view is the first one. It is relied on.
When the heir is a child who is not able to dispose of his property, one group say that the guardian of the child should give some of the property as is fitting. It is said that he should not give but should say to those who are present, ‘I cannot do anything with this property. It belongs to an orphan. When he comes of age, inform him of your right.’ This is the commonly accepted position, and this is also when the testator does not make any bequest to him. If he does make a bequest, then he is given what he left him.

‘Abīdah and Muhammad ibn Sirin thought that the providing referred to in this āyah means to prepare food for them to eat, and they did that by slaughtering a sheep from the estate. ‘Abīdah said, ‘If it had not been for this āyah, I would have done this from my own property.’ Qatādah reported that Yaḥyā ibn Yaʿmar said, ‘Three verses of judgment have been abandoned by people. This āyah, the āyah of asking permission before entering: “O you who believe! Those you own as slaves should ask your permission…” (24:58), and the instruction in: “O mankind! We created you from a male and female…”’

The pronoun in ‘out of it (minhu)’ refers to the division (qismah) since it has the meaning of property and inheritance. We also see it in the phrase ‘produced it (hā) from his brother’s bag’ (12:76), in reference to ṣuwā‘ (goblet) which is masculine. Another example of that usage is seen in the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Fear the supplication (daʿwah) of the wronged. There is no veil between it (baynahu) and Allah.’ So it is in the masculine with the meaning of ‘duʿā’. That is also like his words ﷺ to Suwayd ibn Ṭāriq al-Juʿfī when he asked him about wine, ‘It is not a remedy, but rather an illness,’ and he repeated the pronoun meaning an alcoholic drink. There are many examples of this.

The verb for ‘share out’ is qāsama, taqāsama and iqtasama. The noun is qismah which is feminine, and qasm is the verbal noun. ‘I divided (qasamtu) and the thing was divided (inqasama). The place is maqsim, like majlis. Time divided them (taqassama) and so they were divided
(taqassamū). Taqsīm is separation. Allah knows best.

Speak to them correctly and courteously.

Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr said that what should be said is, ‘Take, may you be blessed in it.’ It is said to be that a person says when they give, ‘I wish it could be more.’ It is said that there is no need for an excuse when giving, but if the distributor does not give anything to them, he should speak courteously and offer some excuse.

9 People should show concern in the same way that they would fear for small children if they were to die leaving them behind. They should have taqwā of Allah and say words that are appropriate.

People should show concern in the same way that they would fear for small children if they were to die leaving them behind.

The alif is omitted from ‘show concern’ (wa-l-yakhsha) to indicate the imperative. Sībawayh said that it is not permitted to imply the imperative lām, based on analogy with the genitive particles except for the demands of poetry. The Kufans permit it. [POEM] The object of yakhsha is elided since the words indicate it. ‘Fear’ is the apodosis of ‘law’ and implies: ‘If they would leave them behind, they would fear.’ It is permitted to elide the lām in the apodosis of ‘law’.

Scholars disagree about the interpretation of this āyah. One group say that this is admonition to guardians, meaning ‘Behave towards orphans as you would want your children to be treated after your death.’ Ibn ‘Abbās said that. This is why Allah says: ‘People who consume the property of orphans wrongfully…’ (4:10)

Another group say that what is meant is all people. They are commanded to fear Allah in dealing with orphans and other people’s children, even if they are not in their care. This instruction is reinforced by calling their attention to the way each of them would
want his child to be treated after his death. Related to this is what ash-Shaybānī said: ‘We were at Constantinople with the army of Maslamah ibn ‘Abd al-Malik, and one day we sat in a group of the people of knowledge, including Ibn ad-Daylamī. We discussed the terrors at the end of time and I said to him, “Abū Bishr! I do not want to have a child.” He said to me, “It is not up to you. There is no soul which Allah has decreed will emerge from a man but that it will emerge, whether he wants that or not. But if you want to be reassured about them, fear Allah in the way you treat others.”’ Then he recited the āyah. One variant has: ‘Shall I direct you to something by doing which Allah will deliver you from it, and if you leave a child or children, Allah will preserve them for you?’ ‘Yes, indeed!’ was the reply, and he recited this āyah.

This understanding is related by Muḥammad ibn Ka‘b al-Quraẓī from Abū Hurayrah who said that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Whoever gives good ṣadaqah will cross the Sirāṭ. If anyone looks after the needs of a widow, Allah will replace him in those he leaves behind.’

A third position is taken by a group of commentators. This is when those present with a man who is dying at the time he is making his will say, ‘Allah will provide for your child. Look to yourself. Bequeath your property in the Way of Allah. Give ṣadaqah and set slaves free,’ until he has given the bulk of his property away, and that harms his heirs. People were forbidden to do that. So it is as if the āyah was saying to them, ‘As you would fear for your heirs and descendants after you, so fear for the heirs of others and do not impel someone to squander his property.’ Ibn ‘Abbās, Qatādah, as-Suddī, Ibn Jubayr, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥak and Jubayr said this. Sa‘īd ibn Jubayr related that Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘When a man attends the making of a will, he should not say, “Will away your property. Allah will provide for your child.” Rather he should say, “Advance for yourself and leave something for your child.” That is the import of Allah’s words: “They should have taqwā of Allah.”’
Miqsam and Ḥaḍramī said, ‘It was revealed about the opposite of this. It is that those present tell the dying person, “Keep it for your heirs and leave it to your children. There is no one more entitled to your property than your children.” They prevent him from making bequests, and that harms relatives and those entitled to a bequest. They are told, “As you fear for your descendants and want people to be good to them, so speak correctly to the poor and orphans and fear Allah in respect of harming them.”’

Both these last two views are based on the time when making a will was obligatory before the ʿAyat of Inheritance was revealed, as Saʿīd ibn Jubayr and Ibn al-Musayyab said. Ibn ʿAṭiyyah said, ‘These two views are not mutually exclusive. Rather people fall into two categories. One view is proper for one of them and the other view for the second category. That is that if a man is leaving his heirs independently wealthy, then it is good to recommend him to make bequests and it is possible for him to send ahead [as sadaqa] something for himself. But if he is leaving weak, neglected, poor heirs, then it is good to recommend that he leave what he has to them and be cautious. His reward in doing that is like his reward in respect of giving to the poor. What one takes account of is weakness and one must make it one’s guiding principle.’

This distinction is sound since the Prophetﷺ said to Saʿd, ‘It is better for you to leave your heirs wealthy than to leave them poor and dependent on people.’ If someone has no children, or he is independently wealthy, inheriting his wealth from his father, then he is its trustee. In such a case, when a person has old wealth in his possession such that he will not spend it on those after him in a proper manner, then it is a burden for him.

**and say words that are appropriate.**

‘Appropriate’ (sadīd) means ‘just and correct words.’ This means: ‘Direct the ill person to pay the obligatory dues from his property and then to will to his relatives an amount which will not harm his
young heirs.’ It is said that it means: Speak fair words to the dying, which entails instructing them to say, ‘There is no god but Allah.’ You do not command him to say that, but say it yourself in such a way that the person can hear it. The Prophet said, ‘Encourage your dying to say: “There is no god but Allah.”’ He did not say, ‘Command them’ because such a command might anger the person, making him refuse. It is said that what is meant is the orphan: ‘Do not chide him or make light of him.’
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10 People who consume the property of orphans wrongfully consume nothing in their bellies except fire.

They will roast in a Searing Blaze.

People who consume the property of orphans wrongfully

It is related that this was revealed about a man of Ghaṭafān called Mirthad ibn Zayd. He was in charge of the property of his nephew, who was an orphan, and consumed it. Then Allah revealed this about him. Muqātal ibn Ḥayyān said that. That is why a group said that what is meant here are trustees who consume what they are not permitted to consume of the property of orphans in their care. Ibn Zayd said, ‘It was revealed about the unbelievers who did not allow women or children to inherit.’

Consuming in all its aspects is called ‘eating’ because it uses property up, and ‘bellies’ are mentioned to make their wrongdoing clear and show the ugliness of doing this which is contrary to noble character. What is consumed is called fire because that is what it leads to. That usage is the same as that found in Allah’s words: ‘I dreamt that I was pressing wine’ (12:36) which means, in this context, grapes. It is said that ‘fire’ means ‘the unlawful’ here because the unlawful makes the Fire mandatory. That is why Allah called it this.

Abū Saʿīd al-Khudrī said, ‘The Prophet related about his Night
Journey, “I saw some people with lips like those of camels. Someone would take hold of their lips and put a rock of fire in their mouths which then emerged from their rear. I asked, ‘Jibrīl, who are they?’ He replied, ‘They are those who wrongfully consumed the property of orphans.’”

The Book and Sunnah indicate that consuming the property of orphans is one of the major wrong actions. The Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Avoid the seven deadly ones,’ and he mentioned consuming orphans’ property among them.

**They will roast in a Searing Blaze.**

Ibn Ṭāʾīm and ‘Āṣim in the transmission of Ibn ‘Abbās recited ‘ṣa-yuṣlāna’ in the passive instead of ‘ṣa-yaṣlana’ from the verb aṣlā, to roast. Allah says: ‘I will roast (ṣa-uṣlīhi) him in Saqar.’ (74:26) Abū Ḥaywah recited ‘ṣa-yuṣallawna’ in Form II as we see in 69:31. That can mean time after time. Taṣallā is to warm with fire. A poet said:

> You warmed the heat of their war
> as someone chilled is warmed from the cold

The rest recite ‘ṣa-yaṣlana’ from ṣalā with the nouns ṣallā and ṣilā’. Allah says: ‘in which only the most wretched with roast.’ (92:15) Ṣalā’ is to heat by proximity to the fire or actually bring in direct contact with it. Saʾīr are burning coals.

This is one of the āyahs of threat but there is no evidence in it for anyone who says that wrong actions make someone an unbeliever. What the people of the Sunnah believe is that the threat will be carried out on some rebellious Muslims who will be burned and die, as opposed to the people of the Fire who do not die and do not live. This seems to be an attempt to combine the Book and the Sunnah since there is some disparity. By His will Allah will remove from the Fire whomever He wills. Allah says: ‘Allah does not forgive partners being attributed to Him but He forgives whomever He wills for anything apart from that.’ (4:47) That is the upshot with everything said to you on this
Muslim related in his Sahīh from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘As for the people of the Fire who are truly its people, they will not die in it or live, but some people will be burned by the Fire for their wrong actions (or errors). Allah will make them die until they are charcoal and then permission for intercession will be granted. They will be brought in groups and cast up on the banks of the rivers of the Garden. Then it will be said, “People of the Garden, pour on them!” They will pour [water] on them and they will grow like seeds grow in what is carried by the flood.” A man from among the people said, ‘It seems that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ has herded animals in the desert.’
11 Allah instructs you regarding your children: A male receives the same as the share of two females. If there are more than two daughters they receive two-thirds of what you leave. If she is one on her own she receives a half. Each of your parents receives a sixth of what you leave if you have children. If you are childless and your heirs are your parents your mother receives a third. If you have brothers or sisters your mother receives a sixth, after any bequest you make or any debts. With regard to your fathers and your sons, you do not know which of them is going to benefit you more. These are obligatory shares from Allah. Allah is All-Knowing, All-Wise.

12 You receive half of what your wives leave if they are childless. If they have children you receive a quarter of what they leave after any bequest they make or any debts. They receive a quarter of what you leave if you are childless. If you have children they receive an eighth of what you leave after any bequest you make or any debts. If a man or woman has no direct heirs, but has a brother or sister, each of them receives a sixth. If
there are more than that they share in a third after any bequest you make or any debts, making sure that no one’s rights are prejudiced. This is an instruction from Allah. Allah is All-Knowing, All-Forbearing. 13 These are Allah’s limits. As for those who obey Allah and His Messenger, We will admit them into Gardens with rivers flowing under them, remaining in them timelessly, for ever. That is the Great Victory. 14 As for those who disobey Allah and His Messenger and overstep His limits, We will admit them into a Fire, remaining in it timelessly, for ever. They will have a humiliating punishment.

Allah instructs you regarding your children:

In this āyah Allah clarifies what is undefined in His words: ‘Men have a portion…’ (4:32) This indicates that it is permitted to delay clarification of a matter beyond the moment of the question about it. This āyah is one of the pillars of the dīn and underpinnings of judgments and one of the matrix āyahs of the Qur’an. Shares of inheritance are so important that they constitute a third or a half of knowledge. It is the first knowledge which will be taken from people and forgotten. Ad-Dāraquṭnī reported from Abū Hurayrah that the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Learn the shares of inheritance and teach them to people. It is half of knowledge and the first thing which will be forgotten. It is the first thing which will be removed from my community.’ He also related from ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘Learn the Qur’an and teach it to people. Learn the shares of inheritance and teach them to people. Learn knowledge and teach it to people. I am a man who will be taken. Knowledge will be taken and seditions will appear. It will be such that two will dispute their share and not find anyone to decide between them.’

This being confirmed, know that the knowledge of shares of
inheritance constituted the bulk of the knowledge of the Companions and was an important topic of discussion, but people have lost it. Muṭarrif related from Mālik that ‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd said, ‘If someone does not learn the shares, divorce and ḥajj, how does he differ from the people of the desert?’ Ibn Wahb reported that Mālik said, ‘I heard Rabī’ah say, “If someone learns the shares of inheritance without learning them from the Qur’an, he is quick to forget them.”’ Mālik added, ‘He spoke the truth.’ Abū Dāwūd and ad-Dāraquṭnī related from ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Amr ibn al-‘Āṣ that the Messenger of Allah ☪ said, ‘Knowledge consists of three things, and what is other than them is extra: an āyah of judgment, a confirmed sunnah and a just share.’ Abū Sulaymān al-Khaṭṭābī said, ‘The āyah of judgment is the Book of Allah, and mastery is stipulated in it because some āyahs are abrogated and not acted on and one must act on what supersedes them. A confirmed sunnah is what is established as coming from the Messenger of Allah ☪. A just share can be interpreted in two ways. One is that someone is just in the division and fair in the portions and shares mentioned in the Book and Sunnah. The other is that it is derived from the Book and Sunnah and what is like them.’

‘Ikrimah related: ‘Ibn ‘Abbās sent to Zayd ibn Thābit to ask him about a woman who died leaving a husband and parents. He said, “The husband receives half, and the mother has a third of what is left.” He asked, “Do you find it in the Book or is that your opinion?” He replied, “It is my opinion. I do not prefer a mother to a father.”’ Abū Sulaymān said, ‘This is part of balancing the share when there is no text concerning it. It is based on the interpretation of the text: “If your heirs are your parents, your mother receives a third.”’ When the share of a mother is a third, the rest, which is two-thirds, goes to the father. The half left of the property after the husband’s share is analogous to property when there is no child or anyone with a share along with the parents. So the remaining half is divided into three: one share for
the mother and two shares for the father. This is a fairer division than giving the mother a third of all the property and the father what remains, which is a sixth, thereby preferring her to him, which is not the basic rule in inheritance. It is fairer than what Ibn ‘Abbās believed about giving a full third to the mother and thereby diminishing the right of the father to a sixth. Most fuqahā’ abandon his position for that of Zayd.

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Abbās said about a case when there is a husband and both parents that the husband receives a half, the mother a third of the total and the father receives the rest. He said that in the case where there is a wife and both parents that the wife receives a quarter, the mother a third of the total and the father receives the rest. This was the position of Qāḍī Shurayḥ, Muḥammad ibn Sīrīn and Dāwud ibn ‘Alī, and a group, including Abu-l-Ḥasan Muḥammad ibn ‘Abdullāh al-Faraḍī al-Miṣrī, known as Ibn al-Labbān, about both cases. He claimed that it is analogous to the view of ‘Alī about a mushtarakah case. He said elsewhere that he related it from ‘Alī.

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘What is well known and famous from ‘Alī, Zayd, ‘Abdullāh and the rest of the Companions and most scholars is what Mālik wrote. Part of their argument against Ibn ‘Abbās is that when the parents are among the heirs, others do not share with them. The mother has a third and the father two-thirds. That is the same when they share in the half left by a husband: she has a third and he has two-thirds of it. This is sound in investigation and analogy.’

There are various transmissions about the reason for the revelation of the Āyah of Shares of Inheritance. At-Tirmidhī, Abū Dāwūd, Ibn Mājah, and ad-Dāraquṭnī reported from Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh that the wife of Sa’d ibn ar-Rabī’ said, ‘Messenger of Allah, Sa’d has died and left two daughters and a brother. His brother came and took what Sa’d left. Women are married on account of their property.’ He did not answer her in that gathering. Then she came to him and
said, ‘Messenger of Allah, what about the daughters of Sa‘d?’ He said, ‘Summon his brother to me.’ He came to him and the Prophet 
worshipped to him, ‘Give his daughters two-thirds, his wife an eighth, and you have the rest.’ That is how Abū Dāwud has it. At-Tirmidhī and others have: ‘The Āyah of Inheritance was revealed,’ and he said that this is a sound hadith.

Jābir also reports, ‘The Messenger of Allah and Abū Bakr came walking to visit me among the Banū Salimah when I was ill and unconscious. He performed ṭuḍū’ and then poured his ṭuḍū’ water over me. I regained consciousness and said, “Messenger of Allah, who will inherit from me as I have no direct heirs?” Then the Āyahs of the Shares of Inheritance were revealed.’ It is in the Ṣaḥīḥ Collections. At-Tirmidhī transmitted it and has in it: ‘I said, “Prophet of Allah, how should I distribute my wealth among my children?” He did not give me any answer at all. Then it was revealed: “Allah instructs you regarding your children…”’ He said that it is a sound ḥasan hadith.

We find in al-Bukhārī from Ibn ‘Abbās that the āyah was revealed concerning the property of children and the bequest to the parents. That was abrogated by these āyahs. Muqātil and al-Kalbī said that it was revealed about Umm Kuḥḥah, as we mentioned earlier. As-Suddī said that it was revealed because of the daughter of ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān ibn Thābit, the brother of Ḥassān ibn Thābit. It is said that in the Jāhiliyyah they only allowed those who could fight in battle to inherit and then this was revealed to make it clear that every child and old person had a share. It may have been an answer to all the cases mentioned which is why its revelation was delayed. Allah knows best.

Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘There are some traditions related about not allowing children to inherit, which remained at the beginning of Islam until they were abrogated by this āyah. We do not believe that that was part of the Sharī‘ah. Indeed, the opposite is established.
This āyah was revealed about the heirs of Sa‘d ibn ar-Rabī‘ or the heirs of Thābit ibn Qays ibn Shammās. The first is sounder according to the people of transmission. The Messenger of Allah cancelled inheritance for the paternal uncle. If that had been confirmed before in our Sharī‘ah, he would not have cancelled it. It was also not confirmed in our Sharī‘ah that a child was not given a share until he could fight on horseback and defend the tribe.’

That is what Qāḍī Abū Bakr ibn al-‘Arabī said: ‘The revelation of this āyah indicates that it was based on something new. In the beginning of Islam, there was no law based on how property was inherited in the Jāhiliyyah. It was silent about it which amounts to affirmation because if there had been a confirmed law about it, then the Prophet would not have judged that the uncle of the two girls had to return any of their property because, when rulings have been delivered and then abrogation comes afterwards, that has an effect in the future and does not undo what happened in the past. Injustice was removed.’

The Shāfi‘īs say that the words of Allah: ‘Allah instructs you regarding your children…’ is literally about children. As for the grandchildren, they are included by extension. When someone swears that he has no children and he does have a grandchild, he does not break his oath. When he makes a bequest to a certain child, that does not include that child’s child. Abū Ḥanīfah said that the grandchild is included when the child no longer exists.

Ibn al-Mundhir said that according to the literal meaning of the āyah, it would give obligatory inheritance rights to all children, believers or unbelievers. It is confirmed that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘An unbeliever does not inherit from a Muslim.’ It is known that it means only some children because a Muslim does not inherit from an unbeliever or vice versa based on the literal meaning of the hadith.

When Allah says: ‘your children,’ He includes in that captives in the
hands of the unbelievers. They inherit as long as they are known to be Muslim and alive. That is the position of all the people of knowledge except an-Nakha‘ī who says that captives do not inherit. If someone is not known to be alive, their ruling is that of someone absent. The legacy of the Prophet is not included in the generality because he said, ‘We do not leave inheritance. What we leave is ṣadaqah.’ That will be explained in Sūrat Maryam, Allah willing. Similarly, the ruling does not include someone who murders his father, grandfather, brother or uncle by the consensus of the Community. A murderer does not inherit anything from the property of the one he killed nor any of his blood-money as was explained in al-Baqarah.

If the killing is accidental, the killer does not inherit from the blood-money, but does inherit from the estate, according to Mālik, but not according to ash-Shāfi‘i, Ahmad, Sufyān and the People of Opinion as already explained in al-Baqarah. The position of Mālik is sounder. That is the position of Ishāq and Abū Thawr as well as Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, ‘Aṭā’ ibn Rabāḥ, Mujāhid, az-Zuhārī, al-Awzā‘ī, and Ibn al-Mundhir, because the inheritance of the heir is confirmed by Allah in His Book and there is no exception to it except by Sunnah or consensus. Any disagreement regarding it is referred to the apparent meaning of the āyāhs which deal with inheritance.

Know that in the beginning of Islam entitlement to inheritance came by various means, among them alliance, emigration and treaty. Then all that was abrogated in this sūrah when Allah says: ‘We have appointed heirs for everything.’ (4:33)

Scholars agree that when there is someone who has a fixed share along with the children of the deceased person, he is given it. In respect of the rest of the property a male has the portion of two females since the Prophet said, ‘Give the shares to their people.’ This means the shares designated in the Book of Allah, which are
six: a half, a quarter, an eighth, two-thirds, a third and a sixth. Five have a half share: the daughter, son’s daughter, full sister, paternal sister and husband. All of that is when they have no one to exclude them. A quarter is for the husband when there is exclusion, and for wives when there is no exclusion. An eighth is for wives when there is exclusion. Four have two-thirds: two or more daughters, a son’s daughters, full sisters or paternal sisters. All of that is when there is no exclusion.

A third is for the mother when there are no children or the children of the son, and fewer than two or more brothers and sisters, and for two or more the mother’s children. This is a third of the total property. As for the third of what remains, that is for the mother in the case of a spouse and both parents. The mother has a third of what remains, as has already been explained. In the case of a grandfather when there are brothers, when there is someone with a share with them, he has a third of what remains. Seven have a sixth: parents and a grandfather when there is a child and grandchild, grandmother, or grandmothers when there are more than one, granddaughters by a son when there is a daughter, paternal sisters when there are full sisters, and a child, male or female, of the mother. All these shares are taken from the Book of Allah except for the grandmother which is taken from the Sunnah.

There are three things which make these shares obligatory: established lineage, contracted marriage, and the walā’ of emancipation. The three can be combined, as when someone is the husband of a woman, her emancipator (mawłā) and a son of her paternal uncle. There can also be only two of them, as when someone is a woman’s husband and her emancipator (mawłā), or her husband and the son of her paternal uncle. Then he inherits by two paths and has all the property if he is the only heir: half by being her husband and half on the basis of the walā’ or by lineage. A similar case is when a woman is a man’s daughter and his emancipator
(mawlā); she also receives all of the estate when she is the only heir: half by lineage and half by the wala’.

Inheritance only takes place after any debts have been paid and any bequests made. When someone dies, specific rights are paid from his estate, then what is needed for his shroud and burial, then his debts in order, then the third for bequests and what is like that in order, and the rest is inheritance for the heirs, who are seventeen in total. Ten are men: son, grandson – however far removed – father, grandfather – however far removed – brother, brother’s son, paternal uncle, and his son, husband, and male mawlā. The seven women are: daughter, son’s daughter – however far removed – mother, grandmother – however far removed – sister, wife, and female mawlā. An excellent man put them in order, saying:

If you want to count all the heirs, both male and female,

They are ten men and seven women.

I have counted them in order: the son, the son’s son, the paternal uncle’s son,

The father who is in the rank, the grandfather, before the close brother,

The son of the closest brother, indeed, the uncle, the husband,

the mawlā and then the mother.

The son’s daughter after her, the daughter, the wife, the grandmother

and the sister.

And the female mawlā. Take that number exactly.

‘Your children’ includes all your children, whether present or in the womb, near or far removed, male and female, except for unbelievers. Some say that it is actually about the near, and by extension about the far removed. Some say that it is actually about all of them because it comes from ‘birth’, although they inherit according to nearness. Allah says: ‘O sons of Ādam’ (7:26) and the
Prophet ☪ said, ‘I am the master of the sons of Ādam.’ He ☪ also said, ‘Sons of Ismā‘īl, shoot! Your father was an archer.’

However, it is generally applied to those who are specifically close to one in reality. If there is a direct male child, then the son’s son gets nothing. This is agreed on by the people of knowledge. If there is no male child, but there are male grandchildren, one begins with the actual daughters and they are given the two-thirds and then the remaining third is given to the grandchildren if they are equal in closeness to the deceased, or if the male is further removed than the daughters, since the male has the share of two females. This is the position of Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī, and the People of Opinion. It is stated by the majority of the people of knowledge among the Companions, Tābi‘ūn and those after them except for something which is related from Ibn Mas‘ūd who said that if there is a male grandchild opposite a granddaughter, he precludes her. If he is further away in lineage, he does not. In that he took account of the words of Allah: ‘If there are more than two daughters they receive two-thirds of what you leave.’ Even if there are many, He did not assign them more than two-thirds.

Ibn al-‘Arabī also gave an opinion based on this distinction from Ibn Mas‘ūd. Ibn al-Mundhir and al-Bājī mentioned that he said that what is left after the daughters inherit goes to the sons of the son rather than the daughters of the son, and there is no distinction. Ibn al-Mundhir related it from Abū Thawr. Abū ‘Umar related the like of it and said, ‘Ibn Mas‘ūd disagreed with that and said, ‘When daughters take the two-thirds, the remaining third goes to the sons of the son rather than their sisters and rather than those closer and further than them of the daughters of the son.’ This is the position of Abū Thawr and Dāwud ibn ‘Alī. The like of it is related from ‘Alqamah. The argument of those who take this position is found in the hadith of Ibn ‘Abbās who reported that the Prophet ☪ said, ‘Divide the property between the people of obligatory shares according to the Book of Allah. What remains of the shares goes to
the closest male relative.’ Al-Bukhārī, Muslim and others transmitted it.

Part of the majority argument are the words of Allah: ‘Allah instructs you regarding your children: a male receives the same as the share of two females’ because the child of a child is also considered a child. From the standpoint of reflection and analogy, whoever is deemed to be ‘aṣabah in his degree in respect of the entire property must also be ‘aṣabah in any excess property, like immediate children. Therefore, it is mandatory that the son’s son shares with the son of his sister, as the direct son shares with his sister. As for the argument of Abū Thawr and Dāwud that as the son’s daughter does not inherit anything of the excess after the two-thirds, she is alone and so her brother does not make her one of the ‘aṣabah, the answer is that when her brother is with her, she is strengthened by him and becomes one of the ‘aṣabah with him. The apparent meaning of: ‘Allah instructs you regarding your children’ is that she is one of the children.

If there are more than two daughters they receive two-thirds of what you leave.

Allah obliged a half share for one daughter, and two-thirds for more than two, and so, if there are just two, they are not given a specified share in the Book. Scholars discussed the evidence about whether they are given two-thirds. It is said that this is the consensus but that is rejected because the sound view from Ibn ‘Abbās is that two daughters are given a half because of this āyah. It is said that they are given two-thirds by analogy with two sisters as Allah says at the end of the sūrah: ‘…he has a sister and she has a half of what he leaves’ (4:186) and ‘…if they are two, they have two-thirds of what he leaves.’ So two daughters are connected to two sisters in sharing in two-thirds, and if there are more sisters than two, they are connected to the daughters and share in two-thirds. This is countered by the fact that it is a text about sisters.
It is said that the āyah indicates that two daughters receive two-thirds. That is since when there is one with her brother, she has a third when she is alone, we know that two have two-thirds. This is the position of Qāḍī Ismā‘īl and Abu-l-‘Abbās al-Mubarrad. An-Naḥḥās says that this argument is erroneous because the disagreement is about two daughters, not one. His opponents would say, ‘Then if there are daughters and a son, the daughters receive a half.’ This is evidence that this is their share.

It is said that the word ‘fawq’ (more) is redundant, and the actual meaning is ‘if they are two.’ Grammatically, this is like Allah’s words: ‘Strike (above) the necks’ (6:12), which actually means ‘the necks’ themselves. This position is refuted by an-Naḥḥās and Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah because it is not permitted for prepositions and nouns to be meaningless in Arabic. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘That is because His words, “Strike (above) the necks” is a matter of eloquence and “fawq” here is not redundant. Rather it makes the meaning precise because striking the neck must be above the neck joint and below the brain as Darīd ibn aṣ-Ṣimah said, “Lower than the brain and above the joint. That is how I strike the necks of heroes.”’

The strongest argument for two daughters receiving two-thirds is the sound hadith related about the reason for its revelation. The dialect of the people of the Hijaz and the Banū Asad is thuluth and rubu‘ up to ten. The dialect of the Banū Tamīm and Rabī‘ah is thulth, and so on up to ten. [BIT MORE]

**If she is one on her own she receives a half.**

Nāfi‘ and the people of Madīnah read the word ‘one’ (wāḥidatun) in the nominative meaning ‘it has happened and occurred’ and so it is a full kāna. [POEM] The rest read it as ‘wāḥidatan’ in the accusative. An-Naḥḥās said that it is a good reading, meaning if she is left [as a sole daughter] or born [as a sole daughter].

If there are daughters of the son with daughters and the daughters are two or more, they exclude the daughters of the son from
inheriting because they have no access to inheritance by any share other than that of the two-thirds. If there is no daughter, then the daughter or daughters of the son inherit with the daughter to complete the two-thirds. They take the place of the other daughters, just as the sons of son take the place of the sons in exclusion and inheritance. In the absence of any of them who are entitled to the sixth, it then goes to the son’s daughter. She is more entitled to the sixth than the full sister according to the majority of *fuqahā‘* among the Companions and Tābi‘ūn, except for what is related from Abū Mūsā and Sulaymān ibn Abī Rabī‘ah that the daughter has a half, the sister the other half, and the son’s daughter nothing.

There is a sound transmission from Abū Mūsā that he retracted that. We find in al-Bukhārī from Ādam from Shu‘bah from Abū Qays that Huzayl ibn Shuraḥbīl said, ‘Abū Mūsā was asked about a daughter, a son’s daughter and a sister. He said, “A daughter receives a half. A sister receives a half. If you go to Ibn Mas‘ūd, he will corroborate me.” Ibn Mas‘ūd was asked and was told of what Abū Mūsā said. He said, “I would then be misguided and not one of the guided. I give the decision which the Prophet gave. A daughter has a half and a daughter of a son has a sixth which completes two-thirds, and what is left is for the sister.” Then I went to Abū Mūsā and told him what Ibn Mas‘ūd had said, and he said, “Do not ask me as long as this scholar is among you.”’

If there is a son with the son’s daughter or daughters who is at the same level as them or less in the ‘*aṣabah*, then the second half is shared between them, the male having the share of two females, differing from Ibn Mas‘ūd. Then the immediate daughters, or immediate daughters and sons’ daughters take the full two-thirds. The same is said about full sisters and paternal siblings: the paternal sister and mother have a half, and the rest goes to the brothers and sisters as long as the individual share is not more than a sixth. If it is more than a sixth, then they are given the full two-thirds, but no
more than that. Abū Thawr said that.

If a man dies and leaves a pregnant wife, the property is held in hand until the sex of the child is clear. The people of knowledge agree that when a man dies while his wife is pregnant, the child in her womb inherits and is inherited from if it is born alive and cries. They all say that if it is born dead, it does not inherit. If it is born alive and does not cry, one group say that it has no inheritance, even if it moves or sneezes. This is the position of Mālik, al-Qāsim ibn Muḥammad, Ibn Sīrīn, ash-Sha‘bī, az-Zuhrī and Qatādah. Another group said, ‘If life is recognised by movement, crying, suckling, or breathing, then the rulings concerning it follow those of the living.’ That is the view of ash-Shāfi‘ī, Sufyan ath-Thawrī and al-Awzā‘ī. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘What ash-Shāfi‘ī said is open to debate although the report in fact precludes it. The Prophet said, “There is no child born but that Shayṭān pricks it and so it cries at the prick of Shayṭān except for the son of Maryam and his mother.”’ This is a report, and there is no abrogation in respect of a report.

If one of the children is a hermaphrodite, scholars agree that the inheritance is determined by how the child urinates. If it is like a male, then he receives the inheritance of a male. If it is like a female, then it is the inheritance of a female. Ibn al-Mundhir said that he did not record anything about this from Mālik. Ibn al-Qāsim said that he was too in awe of Mālik to ask him about it. If the individual urinates in both ways, then one considers how urination begins. Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab, Aḥmad and Isḥāq said that. That is also related from the People of Opinion. Qatādah related that Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab said that a hermaphrodite inherits according to how they urinate, and that if they urinate in both ways, it is according to which manner is first.

If they urinate in both ways at the same time, then it is half that of a male and half that of a female. Yaʿqūb and Muḥammad said that they inherit according to which manner produces the most urine.
That is related from al-Awzā‘ī. An-Nu‘mān said, ‘When a baby urinates in both ways together, it is problematic. I do not know which of them predominates.’ It is related that he hesitated about a person when they are like that. It is related that he said, ‘When things are unclear, they are given the smaller of the two shares.’

Yaḥyā ibn Ādam said, ‘When someone urinates like a man and menstruates like a woman, they inherit according to their manner of urination because we find in a tradition, “He inherits according to his urination.”’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘When someone urinates from both places and one has no precedence over the other, then they are problematic and receive the inheritance of a female. The rest is held between them and the other heirs until the business is clear or they come to an agreement.’ Abū Thawr said that. Ash-Sha‘bī said, ‘Such a person is given half the inheritance of a male and half that of a female.’ Al-Awzā‘ī said that, and it is the position of the Māliki school.

Ibn Shās said in Jawāhir ath-Thamīnah ‘alā madhhab Mālik ‘ālim al-Madīnah: ‘Someone is considered to be a hermaphrodite when they have two sets of genitals – those of a female and those of a male – and urinate from both. Ruling about them is given on the basis of how they urinate. If they urinate in both ways, then it is more frequent of them. When they are both equal, one considers which starts first. If they both start at the same time, one considers the growth of a beard or size of breasts and whether they are like a woman’s breasts. If someone has both, then one considers their state at puberty. If they menstruate, then the ruling is given based on that. If they only have a wet dream, then the ruling is given based on that. If both exist, then they are problematic. The same is true if someone has no genitalia and nothing specific designating either a male or a female but has a site by which they urinate. In that case one waits until puberty to see if any distinguishing sign appears. If it does not, they are problematic. Then we judge by that and they inherit half
the share of a male and half that of a female.’
This is what has been mentioned about the signs of a problematic
hermaphrodite. We indicated the sign in _al-Baqarah_ and the
beginning of this _sūrah_: one considers the ribs. That is related from
‘Alī and judgment is given on that basis. One of the excellent
scholars wrote some verses on the ruling of the hermaphrodite. They
begin:

One considers circumstances: breasts, beard and urination.
He says in it:
If his circumstances are equal and unclear, and signs are
ambivalent,
Then his share of the inheritance of a relative is six-eighths of a
share.
This is what he is entitled to because of the lack of clarity,
and there is the abstention that is in it.
He should not marry as long as he lives in this world nor be given
in marriage.
Then he does not have dependants nor bringing up young as
men do.
All that I have mentioned in poetry was stated by the leaders of
scholars.
Some people refuse to speak about it, and there is no blame in
that,
Because of atrocities that may arise in discussion, and clear
repulsiveness.
The ruling of the pleasing imam, ‘Alī, was already given on it
About if the person is lacking a rib. Men must follow that
In inheritance, marriage, _ihram_ in _ḥajj_, prayer and rulings.
If she has a rib more than men, then she is female
Because women have a rib more than men. So take advantage of that.

It was missing from Ādam before on account of the creation of Ḥawwā’.

This is a true statement.

There is evidence for that in what the Messenger, peace be upon him, said.

Abu-l-Walīd ibn Rushd said, ‘Someone problematic in this way may be neither a husband nor a wife, nor a mother nor a father. It is said that they may have children from the womb and children from the back [i.e. sperm].’ Ibn Rushd said, ‘If this is sound, then the person inherits from their son by sperm with the full share of a father and from their son by the womb with the full share of a mother. This is unlikely, and Allah knows best.’ We find in the Sunan of ad-Dāraquṭnī that Abū Hāni‘ Umar ibn Bashīr said, ‘Āmir ash-Sha‘bī was asked about a child who is neither male nor female who did not have what either a male or female have, and urine and faeces came from his navel. He was asked about its inheritance and ‘Āmir said that it is half the share of a male and half the share of a female.’

Each of your parents receives a sixth of what you leave if you have children.

‘Parents’ here means the parents of the deceased. This alludes to something not mentioned which is permitted linguistically. ‘Sixth’ is in the nominative by the inceptive and what is before it is its predicate. The same is true of ‘third,’ ‘half’, fourth, etc.

The word ‘parents’ is dual: the father and mother (ābah). The expression umm spares the need for ābah. Some Arabs prefer one to the other since it is easy and famous. That is heard in sound names, like calling the parents al-abwān, calling the sun and moon ‘two moons’ and night and day al-malawān. ‘The two ‘Umars’ is used for
Abū Bakr and ‘Umar. They make the moon dominate the sun because it is easy to remember and ‘Umar dominate Abū Bakr because ‘Umar ruled for a long time and his time was famous. Whoever claims that it means ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb and ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz has nothing to stand on because they spoke of ‘the two ‘Umars’ before they saw ‘Umar ibn ‘Abd al-‘Azīz. Ash-Shajarī said that.

The word ‘parents’ does not include grandparents here, however distant, since it is in the dual whereas further descendants are included in the word ‘children’. The fact that the word ‘parents’ is dual means cannot be universal and plural. This is not the case with ‘children’. This is proven by Allah’s words: ‘If you are childless and your heirs are your parents your mother receives a third.’ The grandmother does not receive a third by consensus and so she is definitely not part of this.

There is, however, disagreement about the grandfather. Among those who said that he is a father and excludes the brothers was Abū Bakr aṣ-Ṣiddīq. While he was alive, none of the Companions disagreed with him regarding that. They did disagree after his death. Among those who said that the grandfather was included under the word ‘father’ were Ibn ‘Abbās, ‘Abdullāh ibn az-Zubayr, ‘Ā’ishah, Mu’ādh ibn Jabal, Ubayy ibn Ka‘b, Abu-d-Dardā’ and Abū Hurayrah. All of them made the grandfather like the father in the father’s absence and made him exclude all brothers who do not inherit anything when he is present. That was the view of ‘Aṭā’, Tāwus, al-Ḥasan and Qatādah. Abū Ḥanīfah, Abū Thawr and Isḥāq also believed that. Their argument is found in the words of the Almighty: ‘the religion of your father Ibrāhīm’ (22:78) and ‘O sons of Ādam’ (7:26) as well as the words of the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Shoot, sons of Ismā‘īl. Your father was an archer.’

‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib, Zayd and Ibn Mas‘ūd believed that the grandfather inherits with the brothers and there is no decrease in the
third with the full brothers or the father except with those with stipulated shares. That is the position of Mālik, al-Awzā’ī, Abū Yūsuf and ash-Shāfi‘ī. ‘Alī made the brothers and grandfather share in a sixth and the sixth did not reduce any of the obligatory shares of others. That is the position of Ibn Abī Laylā and a group. Scholars agree that the grandfather does not inherit when the father is alive, and the son does not exclude his father. They put the grandfather in the same position as the father in respect of exclusion and inheritance when the deceased does not have a father closer than him.

Most believe that the grandfather cancels brothers in inheritance, except for what is related from ash-Sha‘bī from ‘Alī that he is treated like the sons of the brothers in the division. The argument of the majority is that he is a male who does not make his sister one of the ‘ayabah and so the grandfather does not have a share like the paternal uncle and son of the paternal uncle.

Ash-Sha‘bī said that the first grandfather to inherit in Islam was ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb. A son of ‘Āṣim ibn ‘Umar died, leaving two brothers. ‘Umar wanted to claim his property and consulted ‘Alī and Zayd about that. They told him a parable about it and he said, ‘Were it not that I see that you two agree, I would not think that he was my son nor I was his father.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī related from Zayd ibn Thābit that ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb asked permission to visit him one day and he gave it. His head was in the hand of a girl of his who was combing it. He removed his head and ‘Umar told him, ‘Let her comb you.’ I said, ‘Amīr al-Mu’minīn, if you were to send for me, I would come to you.’ ‘Umar said, ‘I have need of you. I came to you to look into the position of a grandfather.’ Zayd said, ‘No, by Allah! What do you say about it?’ ‘Umar said, ‘There is no revelation so that we could modify it one way or another. It is something based on opinion. If your opinion agrees with me I will follow it. Otherwise there is no going against you in it.’
Zayd refused to give an opinion and ‘Umar left angry, exclaiming, ‘I came to you thinking that you would relieve me!’ Then he went to him again at the same time that he had come to him the first time and kept at him until he said, ‘I will write something for you.’ So he wrote it for him on a piece of hide and he made a parable for him. The parable was a tree which grows on one trunk and branches issue from it. Then the branches produce other branches. The trunk waters the branch. If the first branch is cut off, the water reverts to another branch. If the second branch is cut off, the water reverts to the first. He took it and ‘Umar addressed the people and then read what was written on the piece of hide to them. Then he said, ‘Zayd ibn Thābit has stated a position about the grandfather and I have carried it out.’ He said that ‘Umar was the first grandfather and wanted to take all the property of his son’s son rather than his brothers. So ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb divided that.

The people of knowledge agree that the grandmother has a sixth if the deceased has no mother. They agree that a mother excludes both her mother and the father’s mother. They agree that the father does not exclude the mother’s mother. They disagree about the inheritance of the grandmother when her son is alive. Some say that the grandmother does not inherit when her son is alive. That is related from Zayd ibn Thābit, ‘Uthmān and ‘Alī. It was the position of Mālik, ath-Thawrī, al-Awzā’ī, Abū Thawr and the People of Opinion. One group said, ‘The grandmother inherits with her son.’ That is related from ‘Umar, Ibn Mas‘ūd, ‘Uthmān, ‘Alī and Abū Mūsā al-Ash‘arī, and is the position of Shurayḥ, Jābir ibn Zayd, ‘Ubaydullāh ibn al-Hasan, Shurayk, Aḥmad, Ishāq and Ibn al-Mundhir. He said, ‘As the grandfather is only excluded by the father, so the grandmother is only excluded by the mother.’ At-Tirmidhī related from ‘Abdullāh about the grandmother with the son that she was the first grandmother to whom the Messenger of Allah ﷺ gave a sixth with her son while her son was alive. Allah knows best.
Scholars disagree about the inheritance of grandmothers. Mālik said only two grandmothers inherit: the mother’s mother and the father’s mother and their mothers. That is what is related by Abū Thawr from ash-Shāfi‘ī, and a group of Tābi‘ūn said that. If there is only one, she has a sixth. If there are more and have the same closeness, the sixth is shared between them. All of this is agreed upon. If the one on the mother’s side is closer, she alone has a sixth. If the one on the father’s side is closer, it is shared between her and the one on the side of the mother, however far removed. Only one grandmother inherits on the mother’s side and the grandmother of the mother of the father does not inherit. This is the position of Zayd ibn Thābit. It is the most confirmed of what is related on that. It is the position of Mālik and the people of Madīnah.

It is said that the grandmothers are also mothers. If there are several, the sixth is for the closer of them, as is the case when there are several fathers [including grandfathers]. The closest of them is the one most entitled to the inheritance. The same hold true for sons, brothers, sons of brothers and sons of uncles when there are several: the closest of them is the most entitled to inherit. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘This is the sounder view, and is my position.’

Al-Awzā‘ī makes three grandmothers inherit: one from the mother’s side and two from the father’s side. That is the position of Ahmad ibn Ḥanbal. Ad-Dāraquṭnī related it from the Prophet as mursal. The opposite of that is related from Zayd ibn Thābit: three grandmothers inherit: two from the side of the mother and one from the father. ‘Alī has the same position as Zayd. They both give the sixth to the closest of them, on the side of the mother or father and no one shares with her in it unless they are of the same level of closeness. That is the position of ath-Thawrī, Abū Ḥanīfah and his people, and Abū Thawr.

‘Abdullāh ibn Mas‘ūd and Ibn ‘Abbās, however, make the four grandmothers inherit. That is the position of al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī,
Muḥammad ibn Sīrīn and Jābir ibn Zayd. Ibn al-Mundhir said, ‘Any grandmother connected to the deceased who has a father in her lineage between two mothers does not inherit.’ The position of each of them by the people of knowledge.

Allah allotted a sixth to each of the parents of someone with children, and the male and female child are the same in that respect. If a man dies leaving a son and both parents, each of the parents has a sixth and the rest goes to the son. If he leaves a daughter and two parents, the daughter has half and the parents two-sixths and what remains goes to the ‘aṣabah, the paternal relations, since the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘What is left of the shares is for the male relatives of a man.’ The father has rights on two sides: paternal lineage and obligatory share.

**If you are childless and your heirs are your parents your mother receives a third.**

When the parents are the heirs, the mother takes a third, as is indicated by Allah’s words, and the rest, which is two-thirds, goes to the father. It is as when you tell two men, ‘This property is shared between you,’ and then you tell one of them, ‘You have a third of it.’ Your words have stipulated that the other has two-thirds. The strength of His words indicate that that the two are alone among people entitled to shares. There is no disagreement about this. According to this, two-thirds is a share prescribed for the father and not inasmuch as he is ‘aṣabah. Ibn al-‘Arabī mentioned that the point is to prefer the mother with a third in the absence of male children. The mother is confirmed over the share because of kinship.

This is contradictory. That exists when he is alive, so why is he denied the sixth? That which is clear is that a father is denied the sixth while he is alive out of kindness to the child and guarding his property since part of his property may be removed unjustly or that is an act of worship. That is the most appropriate thing to say. Allah is the One Who gives success.
The addition of the *wāw* in ‘*and your heirs*’ makes it clear that this is a continuing firm command. The position of the parents when they are the only heirs is like the position of two children: the male receives twice the share of a female. The father has two shares: the normal share and that of ‘*aṣabah*’ when he excludes the brothers as the child does. This is a balanced rulings and its wisdom is clear. Allah knows best.

The people of Kufa recite ‘*li-immihi*’ which is a dialect related by Sibawayh. Al-Kisā’ī said that it is a dialect of many of Hawāzin and Hudhayl. That is because when there is a *lām* with a *kasrah* and it is connected to a letter, they dislike having a *ḍammah* after a *kasrah* because there is no *fi‘ula* in words. If someone has a *ḍammah*, he takes the root. It is also because the *lām* is separate and added to the noun. An-Naḥḥās said all of that.

**If you have brothers or sisters your mother receives a sixth,**

Brothers reduce the share of the mother from a third to a sixth. This is partial exclusion, whether the brothers are full or half brothers. They have no share. It is related from Ibn ‘Abbās that the sixth which the brothers exclude the mother from is for the brothers. He also related the like of the position of the people that it is for the father. Qatādah said that the father takes it rather than them because he provides for them and attends to their marriage and maintenance.

The people of knowledge agree that two or more siblings, male or female, full or half, reduce a mother from a third to a sixth except what is related from Ibn ‘Abbās that two brothers have the same ruling as one and less than three do not exclude the mother.

Some people believe that sisters do not reduce a mother from a third to a sixth because the Book of Allah speaks about brothers, and the strength of the inheritance of the female is not like the strength of the inheritance of the male. Aṭ-Ṭabarī says that what their position would mean is that the sisters are not included with the
brothers, as sons do not include daughters. That would necessitate
that a mother with one brother and a sister is not reduced from a
third to a sixth, which is counter to the consensus of the Muslims. If
they are meant by the āyah with the brothers, they are also meant
when they are on their own.

All of them deduce that the minimum plural is two because it is
adding one to another like it. Therefore, it must be a plural.
Furthermore, the Prophet ﷺ said, ‘Two or more is a group.’ It is
related that Sībawayh said, ‘I asked al-Khalīl about what is the best
way to take the words in the dual and he said, “Two is a plural.”’
The words of the poet are sound:

Two distant barren deserts
    like the backs [plural] of two shields.

Al-Akhfash said:

When the two women brought us the news
    They [plural] said, ‘The business is famous among us.’

Another said:

They give the greeting to wealthy people
    and are miserly with greeting the poor.

Is not death the same between them [dual]
    when they die [plural] and go to the graves?

There was a disagreement about that between ‘Uthmān and Ibn
‘Abbās. ‘Uthmān said to him, ‘Your people (meaning Quraysh)
exclude her, and they are the people of eloquence and fine rhetoric.’

Some say that the minimum is three: Ibn Mas‘ūd, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū
Ḥanīfah and others. Allah knows best.

After any bequest you make or any debts.

Ibn Kathīr, Abū ‘Amr, Ibn ‘Āmir and ‘Āṣim recite yuṣā and the rest
have it as yuṣī. The readings from ‘Āṣim vary. Abū ‘Ubayd and Abū
Ḥātim prefer the kasrah because the deceased was mentioned before
Al-Akhfash said: ‘The confirmation of that is His words “yūṣīna” and “tūṣīna”.’

It may be asked, ‘What is the wisdom in mentioning bequests before debts when debts take priority by consensus?’ At-Tirmidhī related from al-Ḥārith that someone said to ‘Alī, ‘The Prophet_paid debts before bequests and confirmed bequests after debts while this mentions bequests before debts.’ He said, ‘The action regarding this according to most of the people of knowledge is that one begins with debts before bequests.’ Ad-Dāraquṭnī reported from ‘Āṣim ibn Ṭamrah from ‘Alī that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Debts come before bequests, and an heir receives no bequest.’ Abū Isḥāq al-Hamdānī related it from both of them.

There are five aspects to the answer to this. The first is that what is intended is to put these two categories before the shares of inheritance and no particular order is proposed by it. That is why bequests are put first. The second is that since a bequest is less necessary than a debt, it is put first out of concern for it, as the Almighty said about His Book: ‘which does not pass over any action, small or great.’ (18:49) The third is that bequests are put first because they often exist and so it is like it is necessary for every dead person as the Shari‘ah has a text on it, and debts are mentioned afterwards because they are rarer and may or may not exist. So Allah begins first with what is necessary. This is strengthened by ‘or’. If there had been an order involved, He would have used the conjunction ‘and’. The fourth is that bequests are put first because they are the portion of the wretched and weak, and debts last because it is the portion of the creditor who will seek it with strength and force. The fifth is that it is because a bequest is from himself that it is put first, while a debt is confirmed, whether mentioned or not.

Since this is confirmed, ash-Shāfī‘ī added as a corollary to that the putting of debts of zakāt and hajj before the inheritance. He said, ‘When a man has been lax about his zakāt, that must be taken from
his capital.’ This is evident because it is one of the religious rights and must be paid after death, like the rights of human beings, especially since zakāt is given to human beings. Abū Ḥanīfah and Mālik said, ‘If someone puts it in the will, it is paid from the third. If they are silent about it, nothing is paid.’ They said, ‘Because that might entail leaving heirs poor since the entire estate could be used up, leaving the heirs with nothing.’

With regard to your fathers and your sons, you do not know which of them is going to benefit you more.

This is in the nominative by the inceptive whose predicate is elided. ‘Your’ implies: ‘They are the ones who are given shares.’ It is said that the benefit is in this world through supplication and ṣadaqah, as is the tradition, ‘A man is elevated by the supplication of his child after him.’ We find in a sound hadīth: ‘When a man dies, his actions are cut off except for three.’ Among them he mentioned a righteous child who makes supplication for him. It is said that it is in the Next World and the son may be better and can intercede for his father, as Ibn ‘Abbās and al-Ḥasan stated. Some commentators say that if the son has a higher degree than his father in the Hereafter, he asks Allah to raise him to him, and the same applies to the father. This will be explained in at-Ṭūr. It is said that it is in both this world and the Next. Ibn Zayd said that the expression implies that.

These are the obligatory shares from Allah.

‘Obligatory shares’ is the object of ‘instructs you’ or it is in the accusative because it is a verbal noun. This informs people that they are spared the effort of making bequests to relatives when they are joined in lineage, meaning that fathers and sons benefit one another in this world by means of mutual help and solace and in the Next World by means of intercession. As that is confirmed about fathers and sons, it is confirmed about all relatives.

If the division had been entrusted to individual discretion, that would oblige investigating the wealth of each and then there would
no precision since the matter varies. Therefore, the Lord makes it clear that what is best for the person is that the amounts of inheritance are not left to their discretion. So Allah clarified legal amounts.

‘Allah is All-Knowing’ of the division of the shares and ‘All-Wise’ in judging their division and making it clear to people. Az-Zajjāj said, ‘He knows things before He created them and is wise in what He decrees for them and decides about them.’ Some said that Allah was and is. The report in the past regarding Him is the same as that in the future tense. The school of Sībuwayh is that they saw wisdom and knowledge and so they were told that Allah was like that and remains like that.

**You receive half of what your wives leave if they are childless.**

This is addressed to men. Here the word ‘childless’ refers to not having children themselves or, therefore, grandchildren, however far removed, male or female, one or more, by consensus. Scholars agree that the husband receives a half when there are no children or grandchildren. If there are children, then he receives a quarter. When there are no children, the wife inherits a quarter from her husband, and an eighth if there are children. They agree that the ruling of one, two, three or four wives is out of the quarter if there are no children and the eighth if there are. They share in that because Allah did not distinguish between one or all as He did between one daughter and one sister and the ruling of all of them.

**If a man or woman has no direct heirs**

The word *kalālah* (tr. ‘has no direct heirs’) is a verbal noun derived from *takallala* in reference to lineage, meaning encompassed. Crown (İklîl) comes from it as it encompasses the head. İklîl is also one of the stations of the moon which is encompassed by the moon. The technical term *kalālah* is used when a man dies and has no children or parents, as is said by Abū Bakr, ‘Umar, ‘Alî and most of the people of knowledge.
Yaḥyā ibn Ādam mentioned from Sharīk, and Zuhayr and Abu-l-Ahwāṣ from Abū Isḥāq that Sulaymān ibn ‘Ubayd said, ‘I have not seen anyone who did not agree that the person who dies without father or child is kalālah.’ That is what was stated by the author of Kitāb al-ʿAyn, Abū Manṣūr al-Lughawī, Ibn ‘Arafah, al-Qutabī, Abū ‘Ubayd and Ibn al-Anbārī. The father and child are the two ends of lineage and ‘surround’ him. A meadow is ‘crowned’ (mukallalah) when it is surrounded in blossoms. They said:

His dwelling is a crowned meadow
    Covered in Ayhuqān and sweet trefoil.

He means two plants. Imru ’l-Qays said:

Companion! you see lightning and I will show you its flash
    like the clap of hands in the surrounding (mukallalah) clouds.

Relatives are called ‘kalālah’ because they ‘surround’ him on all sides, they not being from him nor him from them. Their surrounding him is that they are connected to him, as a desert Arab would say, ‘My wealth is great and the kalālah with loose relationship will inherit from me. Al-Farazdaq said:

You did not inherit the staff of majesty through kalālah,
    but from the two sons of Manāf, ‘Abd Shams and Hāshim.

Another said:

A man’s father gives him protection,
    but the client of kalālah is not roused to anger.

It is also said that kalālah (not having direct heirs) is derived from kalāl, which is fatigue. So it is as inheritance goes to the heir from a distance and with toil. Al-A‘shā uses it to mean exhaustion

Abū Ḥātim and al-Athram reported that Abū ‘Abīdah said, ‘Kalālah refers to the one who dies with no father, son or brother. The Arabs called him kalālah.’ Abū ‘Umar says that mentioning the brother is a mistake on his part. No one else mentions him as part of kalālah. It is
also reported from ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb that it only applies to someone with no children. That was also related from Abū Bakr, and then they both retracted it. Ibn Zayd said, ‘Kalālah describes both the living and the dead.’ ‘Aṭā’ said that kalālah is property. Ibn al-‘Arabī said that that is an unusual view with no sense whatsoever. I say that it has a meaning which was already explained by the syntax. It is reported from Ibn al-A‘rabī that kalālah are the distant sons of the paternal uncle. As-Suddī said that it is the deceased. He also mentioned the view of the majority.

These statements make its aspects clear through the syntax. Some Kufans recite ‘yūrithu kalālatan’. Al-Ḥasan and Ayyūb recite ‘yūrithu’ while there is disagreement from the two of them. According to these two readings, the kalālah is only the heirs or the property. That is what is related by the people who deal with meanings. The first is from warratha and the second from awratha and kalālah is the object and ‘kāna’ means ‘occurred’.

If someone recites ‘yūrithu’, he makes kalālah mean property. It implies: ‘He inherits the legacy of the kalālah, and so it is an adjective of an elided verbal noun. Kalālah can also be a name for the heirs and the predicate of kāna. So it would imply: ‘with kalālah’. It can also be complete with the meaning of ‘occurred’ and ‘yūrithu’ is an adjective of ‘man’ and ‘man’ is in the nominative by ‘kāna’, and kalālah is in the accusative for explanation or for the ḥāl on the basis that the kalālah is the deceased. It implies: a man allows the relatives surrounding the deceased to inherit.

Allah mentions kalālah in His Book in two places: here and at the end of the sūrah, and in neither place does He mention any heirs except brothers. As for this āyah, scholars agree that the brothers in it are the mother’s brothers since the Almighty says: ‘If there are more than that they share in a third.’ Sa‘d ibn Abī Waqqāṣ recited, ‘and he has a brother or sister by his mother.’ There is no disagreement among the people of knowledge that the brothers of the father or mother
are not the heirs. There is consensus that the brothers mentioned at
the end of the sūrah are the siblings of the deceased by both the
father and mother or by just the father going by Allah’s words: ‘If
there are brothers and sisters, the males receive the share of two females.’ (2:176)
There is no disagreement that the inheritance of maternal siblings is
not like that. So the two āyahs together indicate that all siblings are
kalālah. Ash-Sha‘bī said, ‘Kalālah designates heirs other than children
and parents: siblings and others of the ‘aṣabah.’ That is like what ‘Alī,
Ibn Mas‘ūd, Zayd and Ibn ‘Abbās said. It is the first view with which
we began.

Aṭ-Ṭabarī said, ‘What is correct is that kalālah are those other than
parents and children who inherit from the deceased based on the
sound report of Jābir who said, “I said, ‘Messenger of Allah, the
kalālah inherit from me. Shall I will away all of my property?’ ‘No,’
he answered.’”

Linguists say that a male is described as kalālah and a female also as
kalālah and there is no plural or dual because it is a verbal noun like
wakālah, dalālah, samāḥah and shajā‘ah. In ‘lahu akh’ the singular
pronoun is repeated and not ‘lahumā’ in the dual. It was already
mentioned that the custom in Arabic about men and women is that
when the two names have been mentioned and then they are
reported about and both have the same ruling, sometimes it is
attributed to one of them and sometimes to both of them. You say,
‘Whoever has a slave and slavegirl should be good to him, to her, to
both and to them,’ using any of the four pronouns. Allah says: ‘Seek
help in steadfastness and the prayer. It (innahā) is very hard.’ (2:45) Allah
also says: ‘Whether rich or poor, Allah is well able to look after them (bihimā)’
(4:135) in the dual. Al-Farrā; and others said that it would be
permitted to say ‘bihim’ [in the plural].

A woman is called ‘imra‘ah’ which is the root. The root of akh
(brother) is akhw as is indicated in the dual, akhwān. It is elided from
it and changed. Al-Farrā’ said that the beginning of ukht (sister) has a
ḍammah because of the elision of the wāw and there is a kasrah at the beginning of bint (daughter) because of the elision of the yā’. This elision and weakness are not based on any reference.

If there are more than that they share in a third.

This sharing demands equality between a male and female, even if they are many. When they take via the mother, there is no preference for male over female. This is consensus of the scholars. There is no place in the shares in which men and women are equal except in the inheritance of the siblings of the mother.

If a woman dies and leaves a husband, mother and brother by her mother, the husband has a half, the mother a third, and the brother a sixth. If she leaves two brothers and sisters, then the husband has a half, the mother a sixth, and brothers and sisters a third and that completes the sharing out. This is the position of most of the Companions because they reduce the mother by the brother and sister from a third to a sixth. Ibn ‘Abbās does not think that there is adjustment, even if the mother is given a third. Adjustment (‘awl) is mentioned elsewhere rather than here. If she leaves a husband and brothers by the mother and a brother by the father and mother, the husband gets a half and the brothers by the mother a third, and the rest goes to the full brothers.

This is in the case of those who do not have a named share. The rest goes to the paternal relatives (‘aṣabah). If she leaves six brothers, this is the Ḥimāriyyah case and it is also called the shared case (mushtarikah). Some people said that the maternal brothers have a third, the husband half, and the mother a sixth, and the full siblings and paternal siblings are dropped. That is related from ‘Alī, Ibn Maṣ‘ūd, Abū Mūsā, ash-Sha’bī, Shurayk, and Yahyā ibn Ādam. That is the position of Aḥmad ibn Ḥanbal, and Ibn al-Mundhir preferred it, because the husband, mother and maternal brothers have named shares and nothing remains for the ‘aṣabah. Some people said that the mother is one and that leaves their father like a
donkey! They made them share the third. That is why this case is called the *mushtarikah* or Ḥimāriyyah. This is related from ‘Umar, ‘Uthmān, Ibn Mas‘ūd, Zayd ibn Thābit, Masrūq and Shurayh. It was stated by Mālik, ash-Shāfi‘ī and Ishāq. This case is not right when the deceased is a man.

This is the sum of the knowledge of obligatory shares which the *āyah* contains. Allah is the One Who gives success to guidance.

In the Jāhiliyyah, inheritance was based on maleness and strength. Men rather women inherited, and then Allah nullified this by His words: ‘*men have a portion*’ and ‘*women have a portion*’ (4:32) as was already mentioned. Also in the Jāhiliyyah and at the beginning of Islam, inheritance was by alliance. Allah says: ‘If you have a bond with people’ (4:33). Then after alliance, there was alliance by hijrah. Allah says: ‘As those who believe but have not made hijrah, you are not in any way responsible for their protection until they make hijrah.’ (8:72)

Then there was the position about relatives and their inheritance. In *Sūrat an-Nūr* we will discuss the inheritance of the child of a *li‘ān*, a bastard and a *mukātib*. Most scholars say that if someone who has been captured by the enemy is known to be alive, his inheritance is confirmed because he is part of the sum of Muslims subject to the rulings of Islam, although it is related that Sa‘ïd ibn al-Musayyab said that someone held by the enemy does not inherit. The inheritance of an apostate was mentioned in *Sūrat al-Baqarah*.

**making sure that no one’s rights are prejudiced.**

This is in respect of the bequests, in other words without that harming the heirs. For instance, it is not appropriate for someone to put a debt in the will, that he does not owe, in order to harm the heirs. Causing harm refers to bequests and debts. In bequests, it is to make bequests of more than a third or to make a bequest to an heir. If it is more than a third, it is rejected unless the heirs allow it because it is denying them their rights, not a right of Allah. A bequest to an heir reverts to the general inheritance. Scholars agree
that a bequest to an heir is not permitted. This was already mentioned in *al-Baqarah*. As for claiming a debt, it is affirmation in a situation in which it is not permitted, as when someone affirms in his final illness that he owes it to his heirs or a close friend. We do not think that that is permitted.

It is related that al-Ḥasan recited ‘ghayra muḍārrī waṣīyatin’ based on *idāfah*. An-Naḥḥās said, ‘Some linguistic scholars claim that this is ungrammatical because the noun of the active participle is not put into *idāfah* with a verbal noun. The reading is good based on an elision. It means: not prejudicing the one with a will,’ i.e. not harming his heirs in their inheritance by it.

Scholars agree that affirming a debt to other than a relative in a final illness is allowed when someone did not have the debt while healthy. If there is clear evidence of a debt being incurred while he was healthy and he affirms a debt owed to a non-relative, one group say that one begins with debts incurred while he was healthy. This is the view of an-Nakha’ī and the Kufans. They said, ‘When the person settles them, the people who have been confirmed while he was ill take shares. Another group says that they are both the same when the creditor is not an heir. This is the position of ash-Shāfi‘ī, Abū Thawr, and Abū ‘Ubayd. Abū ‘Ubayd mentioned that it is the position of the people of Madīnah. He related that from al-Ḥasan.

The different aspects of harm in respect of bequests were already discussed in *al-Baqarah*. It is reported by Abū Dāwud from Sahr ibn Ḥawshab (who is attacked) from Abū Hurayrah that the Messenger of Allahﷺ said, ‘A man or woman may obey Allah for sixty years and then they are dying and they cause harm in their will and so the Fire becomes mandatory from them.’ Then Abū Hurayrah would recite this āyah.

Ibn ‘Abbās said that causing harm by one’s will is one of the great wrong actions, and he related that from the Prophetﷺ. The well-known position of the school of Mālik and Ibn al-Qāsim is that the
harm of someone who makes a will cannot exceed the third because that is his right to dispose of as he wishes. There is also a position in the school which is that harm is rejected. Success is by Allah.

**Allah is All-Knowing, All-Forbearing.**

He knows the people of inheritance and is forbearing to the people of ignorance among you. Some people recite Ḥakīm (wise) instead of Ḥalīm (All-Forbearing), meaning that He is wise regarding the division of the legacy and wills.

**These are Allah’s limits.**

‘Those’ means ‘these’, i.e. these are the rulings of Allah which He has made clear to you so that you know them and act by them.

**As for those who obey Allah and His Messenger**

They obey Him in respect of the division of inheritance and affirm it as Allah has commanded them. The sentence: ‘We will admit them into Gardens with rivers flowing under them’ is in the position of the accusative as an adjective of ‘Gardens’.

**As for those who disobey Allah and His Messenger and overstep His limits,**

They disobey Him in respect of the division of inheritance and do not distribute it and do not act by it. They ‘overstep His limits’ by opposing His command. If disobedience here means disbelief, then it is in the Fire forever. If it means a major wrong action and exceeding Allah’s commands, then it is a metaphor for a certain period, and that is a usage of khalada as one might say, ‘May Allah make his kingdom endure forever!’ Zuhayr said:

Nothing is forever except the firm mountains.

This has been discussed elsewhere.

Nāfi‘ reads this as ‘We will admit’ (nudkhilhu) with the Divine ‘We’ in the two places while the rest read it as ‘He will admit’ (yudkhilhu) because Allah was already mentioned. It means: Allah will admit him.
15 If any of your women commit fornication, four of you must be witnesses against them. If they bear witness, detain them in their homes until death releases them or Allah ordains another procedure for their case.

In this surah, Allah mentions being good to women and giving them their dowries and He also mentions their inheritance together with that of the men. Then He mentions being harsh to them in the case of any fornication they may commit so that it might not be imagined that a woman be allowed to be lax where chasteness is concerned.

Allātī is the plural of allatī and it is an undefined feminine noun which is definite. It is not permitted to remove the alif-lām from it to make it indefinite and it is only complete when connected. As we already mentioned, it has three dialectical forms and a plural: allātī without the yā’ but with the kasrāh, allā’ī with a hamzah and keeping the yā’, and allā with the hamzah elided. When you make the plural plural, you use allawātī for allātī, allawā’ī for allā’ī. It is related from them as allawātī without the yā’ but with the kasrāh. Ibn ash-Shajarī said that. [POEMS WITH FURTHER GRAMMATICAL EXAMPLES] Fāḥishah here means fornication. Fāḥishah is an ugly action, and it is a verbal noun like ‘āqibah and ‘āfiyah. Ibn Mas’ūd recited ‘bi-l-fāḥishati’.

‘Your women’ means Muslim women, not those related by lineage. It explains the state of the believing women. The same usage is seen in 2:282. That is because an unbelieving woman might be connected to women of the Muslims by lineage but would not not be subject to this ruling.

four of you must be witnesses against them.

This means four Muslims. Allah requires four witnesses to
fornication in order to make it hard for the claimant and to veil people. Requiring four reputable witnesses to fornication is a firm ruling in both the Gospel and the Qur’an. Allah says: ‘But those who make accusations against chaste women and then do not produce four witnesses: flog them with eighty lashes.’ (24:4) Here He mentions four.

Abū Dāwūd reports from Jābir ibn ‘Abdullāh: ‘The Jews brought a man and woman of theirs who had committed fornication. The Prophet said, “Fetch me the most knowledgeable two men among you.” They brought him the sons of Ṣūriyā and he asked, “What do you find in the Torah about the business of these two?” They said, “We find in the Torah that if four testify that they saw his penis in her vagina like a stick in a kohl bottle, then they are stoned.” He asked, “What keeps you from stoning them?” They replied, “Our authority has gone and we dislike killing.” So the Messenger of Allah summoned the witnesses and they came and testified that they saw his penis in her vagina like a stick in a kohl bottle, and the Messenger of Allah commanded that they be stoned.’

Some people say, ‘The witnesses in fornication are four so that there are two witnesses against each of the two parties, as is the case in all rights, since a right is taken from each of them.’ This is weak. The oath deals with property and suspicion in the qasamah, and it does not apply here. The witnesses must be male since He says, ‘minkum’, and there is no disagreement in the Community about that, and they must be of good character because Allah stipulates good character in sales and retraction, and this is a greater matter so it is more fitting here. This is part of applying the general to the restricted in evidence as mentioned in the fundamental principles of fiqh. They cannot be dhimmīs, although judgment can be made against dhimmīs. That will be discussed in al-Mā‘īdhah. Abū Ḥanīfah added that ‘four of you’ is about the husband when he is one of the witnesses in slander and does not carry out the li‘ān. This will be explained in an-Nūr.
If they bear witness, detain them in their homes

This was the first of the punishments for fornication. It was at the beginning of Islam. ‘Ubādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit, al-Ḥasan and Mujāhid said that. That lasted until it was abrogated by the punishment after it and then that was abrogated by the āyah in an-Nūr and stoning for someone previously married. One group said that the corporal punishment was first and then was abrogated by detaining but the order in recitation is different. Ibn Fūrak mentioned that. Detaining used to be in houses at the beginning of Islam before there were a lot of criminals. When they were a lot and their strength was feared, a prison was constructed for them. Ibn al-ʿArabī said that.

Scholars disagree about whether prison is a ḥadd punishment or threatening with a ḥadd. One position is that it is threatening a ḥadd and the second that that is an actual ḥadd. Ibn ‘Abbās and al-Ḥasan said that. Ibn Zayd said that they were forbidden to marry until they died as a punishment for them when they had unlawful sexual intercourse. This indicates that it was a ḥadd punishment and indeed more severe. However, that ruling ended with the punishment prescribed in the other āyah, according to the difference in the two interpretations about which was first. Both had a term in the words of the Prophet ⧫ in the hadith of ‘Ubādah ibn aṣ-Ṣāmit: ‘Take from me. Take from me. Allah has made a way for them: a hundred lashes and exile for a year for a virgin and a hundred lashes and stoning for someone previously married.’ This is like Allah’s words: ‘Fulfil the fast until the night appears.’ (2:187) When night comes, the ruling of fasting is removed; it is not abrogated. This is what is stated by accurate later scholars from among those who study fundamentals. Abrogation occurs when there are two contradictory positions which cannot be combined. It is possible to combine imprisonment and ignominy, and flogging and stoning.

Some scholars said that abuse and ignominy remain with flogging because they are not contradictory and can both be combined
against the same person. There is consensus that imprisonment is abrogated. It is permissible to apply abrogation to the like of it. Allah knows best.

16 If two men commit a like abomination, punish them. If they repent and reform, leave them alone. Allah is Ever-Returning, Most Merciful.

‘Two’ (alladhānī): it is the dual of alladhī. By analogy, one would say, ‘alladhayānī’ like raḥayānī, muṣṭafayānī and shajayānī. Sibawayh said that the yā’ is elided in order to differentiate between declinable nouns with nunciation and demonstrative pronouns. Abū ‘Alī said that the yā’ is elided for lightening when one is safe from confusion in alladhānī because the nūn is not elided, although the nūn of the dual in declinable nouns is elide when it is in ḯāfah in raḥayā, and muṣṭafayā. If the yā’ had been elided, it would have resembled the singular with two.

Ibn Kathīr recited ‘alladhānni’ with a doubled nūn which is the dialect of Quraysh. The reason is that the doubling takes the place of the alif of dhā as will be explained in al-Qaṣaṣ. (28:32) There is another dialect which has ‘alladhā’ with the nūn elided. This is the view of the Kufans. The Basrans said that the nūn is elided because of the length of the word when connected. Similar is the reading of ‘hadhānni’ and ‘fa-dhānnaka burhānānī’. The rest have ‘alladhanī’. Abū ‘Amr has the aberrant ‘fa-dhānnika’.

‘Two’ is in the nominative for the inceptive. Sibawayh says that it means: ‘in what is recited to you: if two men among you commit it (meaning fornication).’ The fā’ is added to ‘punish them’ because the words have the meaning of a command because when ‘alladhī’ is connected to a verb, it is possible for it to have the meaning of a precondition when nothing specific is applied to it. When a
precondition is possible and nothing is defined, then it acts as a
precondition and the \( fā' \) is added, and what is implied before it does
not act on it, as the precondition is not affected by [what is implied
or clearly stated before it. Then it is unlikely that what is implied
before it acts on ‘those’, then the implication is not good.] The
accusative is permitted when a verb is implied, and that is preferred
when the words convey the meaning of a command or prohibition,
like: ‘Those who are with you: honour them.’

\textbf{punish them.}

Qatādah and as-Suddī said that it means to rebuke and reproach.
A group said that it is castigation and being harsh without reproach.
Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘It is attacking with the tongue and hitting with
sandals.’ An-Naḥḥās said that some people claim it is abrogated. Ibn
Abī Najīḥ related that Mujāhid said that this and the previous \( āyah \)
existed at the beginning and then were abrogated by the \( āyah \) in \textit{an-
Nūr}. An-Naḥḥās said that it more fitting that it is not abrogated and
it is obliged to punish them with rebuke, saying, ‘You committed
this, sinned and opposed Allah’s command.’

Scholars disagree about the interpretation of the prepositions ‘they’
(fem.) in the previous \( āyah \) and ‘two’ here. Mujāhid and others said
that the first \( āyah \) is about women in general, \textit{muḥṣanāt} or not. The
second is about men in particular, and the dual makes the category
of men clear, \textit{muḥṣan} or not. The punishment of women is
imprisonment and that of men is castigation. This is what the words
demand and the words deal in full with the types of fornication.
That is reinforced by what His words in the first, ‘your women’ and
‘you’ in the second. An-Naḥḥās preferred that and related it from Ibn
‘Abbās.

As-Suddī, Qatādah and others said, ‘The first is about \textit{muḥṣanāt}
women, and so includes men who are \textit{muḥṣan}, and the second is
about men and women who are virgins.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said,
‘According to this, the meaning is complete, although the expression
of the āyah is unsettled about it.’ Aṭ-Ṭabarī preferred that but an-
Naḥḥās rejected it, saying that it is unlikely that the feminine
preposition would dominate the masculine.
It is said that a fornicatress is imprisoned rather than the man, but
they are both castigated. Qatādah said, ‘A woman is imprisoned and
both are castigated. This is because a man needs to earn and work.’
Scholars disagree about the position according to the hadith of
‘Ubādah which clarifies the rulings of fornication as we made clear.
‘Alī ibn Abī Ṭālib’s position, in which there is no dispute, was in
agreement with it. He flogged Shurāḥah al-Hamdāniyyah with a
hundred lashes and stoned her afterwards. He said, ‘I flogged her by
the Book of Allah and stoned her by the sunnah of the Messenger of
Allah ☪.’ Al-Ḥasan al-Baṣrī, al-Ḥasan ibn Ṣāliḥ ibn Ḥayy and Isḥāq
said that.
A group of scholars said, ‘Someone previously married is stoned
without being flogged.’ This is related from ‘Umar and is the
position of az-Zuhrī, an-Nakha‘ī, Mālik, ath-Thawrī, al-Awzā‘ī, ash-
Shāfi‘ī, the People of Opinion, Aḥmad and Abū Thawr, holding to
the fact that the Prophet ☪ stoned Mā‘īz and the Ghāmidiyah
woman without flogging them, and to what the Prophet ☪ said to
Unays, ‘Go to this woman and if she confesses, stone her.’ He did
not mention flogging. If it had been prescribed, he would not have
been silent about it. The response to that was that he was silent
about it because it is confirmed by the Book of Allah. It is not
impossible for him to have been silent about it since it was well
known and there is a text on it in the Qur’an since Allah says: ‘A
woman and a man who commit fornication: flog both of them with a hundred
lashes.’ (24:2) This includes all fornicators. Allah knows best. This
explains what ‘Alī did by adopting it from the caliphs and no one
objected to it. The answer to this is that he acted by the abrogated
and left the abrogating. This is clear.
They disagree about the exile of unmarried people in addition to
flogging. The position of the majority is that they are exiled as well. The Rāshidūn caliphs, Abū Bakr, ‘Umar, ‘Uthmān and ‘Alī, said that. It is the position of ‘Aṭā’ Ẓāwus, Sufyān, Mālik, Ibn Abī Laylā, ash-Shāfi‘ī, Aḥmad, Isḥāq and Abū Thawr. Ḥammād ibn Abī Sulaymān, Abū Ḥanīfah and Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan ash-Shaybānī said that it is abandoned. The evidence of the majority is the hadith of ‘Ubādah and that of Abū Hurayrah and Zayd ibn Khālid in which the Prophet said, ‘By the One who has my soul in His hand, I will decide between you two by the Book of Allah. Your sheep and slave-girl should be returned to you.’ He flogged his son with a hundred and exiled him for a year. The imams transmitted it.

Those who do not think that there should be exile use the hadith of Abū Hurayrah about the slave-girl in which flogging rather than exile is mentioned. ‘Abd ar-Razzāq mentioned from Ma‘mar from az-Zuhrī that Sa‘īd ibn al-Musayyab said, “Umar exiled Rabī‘ah ibn Abī Umayyah ibn Khalaf to Khaybar for drinking wine where he joined Heraclius and became a Christian. ‘Umar said, “I will not exile a Muslim after this.”” They said, ‘If exile had been a hadd punishment of Allah, ‘Umar would never have abandoned it. The text which is in the Book is flogging and increase beyond the text is abrogated.’

In reply to this, the hadith of Abū Hurayrah is about slave-girls, not free people. There is a sound report that ‘Abdullāh ibn ‘Umar beat his slave-girl for fornication and exiled her. As for the hadith of ‘Umar, he meant for wine, and Allah knows best, since Nāfi‘ related from Ibn ‘Umar that the Prophet flogged and exiled as did Abū Bakr and ‘Umar. At-Tirmidhī in the Jāmi‘ and an-Nasā‘ī in the Sunan transmitted it from Abū Kurayb Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥalā’ al-Hamdānī from ‘Abdullāh ibn Idrīs from ‘Ubaydullāh ibn ‘Umar from Nāfi‘. Ad-Dāraquṭnī said that ‘Abdullāh ibn Idrīs alone had it and the only reliable person who has it from him is Abū Kurayb.
Exile is reported in a sound transmission from the Prophet ﷺ, so no one can debate its validity. Whoever differs from the Sunnah argues with it. Success is by Allah.

What they said about increase over the text being abrogated is not sound. There can be an additional ruling to the basic one. There are many instances of this about things which are not in the Qur’an. This was discussed in al-Baqarah.

Those who disagree about exile do not do so about exiling free males. They disagree about exiling slaves or slave-girls. Ibn ʿUmar was one of those who thought that they should be exiled: he flogged a slave-girl for fornication and exiled her to Fadak. It is the position of ash-Shāfiʿī, Abū Thawr, ath-Thawrī, at-Ṭabarī and Dāwud. They disagree about ash-Shāfiʿī’s position about exiling slaves. Sometimes he said that exiling slaves is subject to doing an istikhārah, sometimes he said that it is for half a year, and sometimes he said that it is a year to a different town, as at-Ṭabarī said.

They have two positions about exiling slave-girls. Mālik said that men should be exiled but not women or slaves. The one who is exiled is detained in the place to which they are exiled, as from Egypt to the Hijaz, Shaghb, Aswan and the like, and from Madīnah to Khaybar and Fadak. That is what ʿUmar ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz did, and ʿAli exiled people from Kufa to Basra. Ash-Shāfiʿī said that the minimum is the journey of a day and a night.

Ibn al-ʿArabī said, ‘The basis of exile is that the tribe of Ismāʿīl agreed that someone who committed something wrong in the Ḥaram should be exiled from it and so that became a sunnah in their dīn. That is why the sunnah of the people was that when someone committed something wrong, they were exiled. That continued in the Jāhiliyyah until Islam came and then it was confirmed only in the case of fornication.’

Those who did not think that exile applied to slaves used the hadīth of Abū Hurayrah as evidence. That is also because exile then
becomes a punishment for the owner who is denied the use of the slave during the period of exile. That is not in keeping with the usage of the Shari‘ah. None but the perpetrator should be punished. Allah knows best. Furthermore, Jumu‘ah, ḥajj and jihad which are Allah’s right are cancelled for him because of his master. The same is true of exile. Allah knows best.

When a woman is exiled, that could be a cause for her falling into the very thing she was expelled for, which is fornication. Exile might act as a cause of her being exposed and possibly ruin her. That was the reason for not letting her leave her house and for her praying in it being better. This restricts the generality of the hadith about exile to the aspect of welfare that is witnessed in it. It is a topic of disagreement among those who deal with uṣūl and thinkers. One group took an aberrant view and said that flogging and stoning are combined in the case of an old man while a young man is flogged. This is based on holding to the word ‘old man’ in the hadith of Zayd ibn Thābit who heard the Messenger of Allah say, ‘When an old man and old woman fornicate, stone them completely.’ An-Nasā‘ī transmitted it. This is unsound because in another hadith he called him ‘previously married (thayyib).’

If they repent and reform, leave them alone.

‘If they repent’ of fornication and ‘reform’ with respect to what they do afterwards, ‘leave them alone,’ meaning do not castigate them. That was before the revelation of the ḥadd punishments. When the ḥudūd were revealed, this āyah was abrogated. What is meant by ‘leave them alone’ is not emigration but being shunned and left alone. That is abasement for them because of their disobedience and because of the ignorance in the other āyah. Allah is Ever-Turning means that He makes His slaves turn back from acts of disobedience.
17 Allah only accepts the repentance of those who do evil in ignorance and then quickly repent after doing it. Allah turns towards such people. Allah is All-Knowing, All-Wise. 18 There is no repentance for people who persist in doing evil until death comes to them and who then say, ‘Now I repent,’ nor for people who die unbelievers. We have prepared for them a painful punishment.

Allah only accepts the repentance of those who do evil in ignorance

This āyah is general to all who commit a wrong action. It is also said that it only applies to those who commit do evil in ignorance. Tawbah (repentance), however, is for everyone who does a wrong action. The Community agree that tawbah is obligatory for the believers since the Almighty says: ‘Turn to Allah, every one of you, O believers.’ (24:31) It rectifies a wrong action even if he does another one of a different type, which differs from the Mu‘tazilites who say that someone who does any other wrong action has not truly repented. There is no difference between one act of disobedience and another. This is the school of the people of the Sunnah.

When a person repents, Allah can accept it if he wishes or not accept it. It is not logically obligatory for Allah to accept repentance, as opponents state, because a precondition of an obligator is that it is necessarily higher than the rank of the object of the obligation. Allah created creation and is its King and the One who imposes responsibility and so cannot be described as there being anything obligatory on Him. He is exalted above that. Nonetheless He states
that He fulfils His promise to accept repentance from those of His slaves who disobey when He says: ‘It is He Who accepts repentance from His slaves and pardons evil acts’ (42:25) and: ‘Do they not know that Allah accepts repentance from His slaves’ (9:104) and: ‘But I am Ever-Forgiving to anyone who repents.’ (20:82).

So He speaks about things which He makes obligatory on Himself while the belief is that nothing is logically obliged for him. As for what the words say, their literal expression indicates that He accepts the repentance of the one who repents. Abu-l-Ma‘ālī and others said that the literal accords probability; it is not an absolute statement that Allah will accept repentance. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘Abū ‘l-Ma‘ālī and others were opposed in their espousal of this meaning. If we assume that someone repents with sincerity and with all the preconditions necessary for it, according to Abu-l-Ma‘ālī, it is only probable that his repentance be accepted. Others say that it is certain that Allah will accept it since He has reported this about Himself.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘My father inclined to this position and gave it predominance. It is also my position. Allah is too merciful to His slaves to break this promise to the one who repents, as He says: “It is He Who accepts repentance from His slaves” (42:25) and: “But I am Ever-Forgiving” (20:82).’

Since this is confirmed, know that this means that there is elision here and it is not taken literally. It means: ‘It is from the bounty and mercy of Allah to His slaves.’ This is similar to what the Prophet ﷺ said to Mu‘ādh, ‘Do you know the right that Allah’s slaves have over Him?’ He replied, ‘Allah and His Messenger know best.’ He said, ‘That He admits them to the Garden.’ This all means ‘by His favour and mercy,’ and it is a promise. The evidence is in His words: ‘Allah has prescribed for himself mercy’ (6:12), meaning He has promised it.

It is also said that the preposition ‘alā here means ‘with’. The meaning is the same. It means that Allah does not fail in His promise to accept repentance when four conditions are fulfilled:
regret in the heart, immediately abandoning the action, resolve not to return to the like of it, and that it arises from shame before Allah, not other people. If one of these conditions is lacking, then repentance is not sound. It is said that another of its conditions is admission of the wrong action and a lot of asking forgiveness. Many of the ideas and rulings about repentance were mentioned in Āl īmān.

There is no disagreement that repentance does not rescind a ḥadd punishment. That is why our scholars said that if a male or female thief or slanderer repent but evidence against them is established, then the ḥadd punishment must still be carried out on them.

It is also said that the preposition ‘alā here means ‘from’, meaning that tawbah comes from Allah to those. Abū Bakr ibn ‘Abdūs said that. Allah knows best. Sincere repentance and the things for which repentance should be made will be discussed in at-Taḥrīm.

In the phrases ‘of those who do evil in ignorance’ and in ‘If anyone among you does evil out of ignorance’ (6:54) the word ‘evil’ includes both disbelief and disobedience. Anyone who disobeys his Lord is ignorant until he ceases his disobedience. Qatādah said, ‘The Companions of the Prophet ☪ agreed that every act of disobedience is ignorance, whether intentional or out of ignorance.’ Ibn ‘Abbās, Qatādah, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, Mujāhid and as-Suddī said that. It is related that aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and Mujāhid said that the ignorance meant here is intentional. ‘Ikrimah said, ‘All the matters of this world are ignorance,’ meaning in particular what is not obedience to Allah. This refers to the worlds of the Almighty: ‘The life of this world is merely a game and diversion.’ (47:36) Az-Zajjāj said that ‘ignorance’ here is their choosing passing pleasure over the lasting pleasure. It is said that ‘ignorance’ means not knowing the manner of punishment. Ibn Fūrak mentioned that, but Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said it is weak and refuted.

and then quickly repent after doing it.

Ibn ‘Abbās and as-Suddī said that this means before a person’s final
illness. It is related that aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk said, ‘All that occurs before death is quick.’ Abū Miljaz, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk, ‘Ikrimah, Ibn Zayd and others said that it means before seeing the angels and drivers and before a person is overpowered. Maḥmūd al-Warrāq said some excellent verses on this:

Before you die and before tongues are stopped,  
send ahead hopeful repentance.  

Hasten with it before breath is stopped.  

It is a treasure and booty for the penitent good-doer.

Our scholars say that repentance is sound at this moment because hope still remains, and regret and resolve to reform is sound. At-Tirmidhī related from Ibn ‘Umar from the Prophet ﷺ, ‘Allah accepts the repentance of a person as long it is before the death rattle.’ He said that this is a ḥasan gharīb hadith. That is as long as his spirit has not reached his throat. So the position is where the rattle or gargling takes place as al-Harawī said.

It is also said that it means people should repent soon after the wrong action without persisting in it. It is better to do so when one is still healthy since there is then hope of performing righteous actions. The furthest distance is death. It is as is said:

[They say, ‘You are not far away’ as they are burying me.]  
Where is distance except where I am.

Ṣāliḥ al-Murrī related that al-Ḥasan said, ‘Whoever faults his brother for a wrong action for which he repents to Allah, Allah will test him by it.’ Al-Ḥasan said, ‘When he fell Iblīs said, “By Your might, I will not leave the son of Ādam as long as his spirit is in his body.” Allah replied, “By My might, I will not veil repentance from the son of Ādam as long as he has not made his death rattle.”’

There is no repentance for people who persist in doing evil until death comes to them
Allah precludes those who are dying and despairing of life from being included under the judgment of repentance, as, for instance, was the case of Pharaoh when he was drowning. The faith he displayed did not help him. Repentance at that moment is of no use because it takes place after the removal of responsibility. That is what Ibn ‘Abbās, Ibn Zayd and the majority of commentators say.

As for the unbelievers who die in their disbelief, there is no possibility of repentance for them in the Next World, as Allah indicates to them when he says: ‘We have prepared for them a painful punishment,’ meaning for all eternity. Although the words indicate everyone, the punishment for the disobedient Muslim is not eternal. The same applies to all wrong actions short of disbelief. It means that repentance is possible for anyone who does evil deeds short of unbelief and then repents at death, but not for someone who is an unbeliever who repents on the Day of Rising. It is said that the evil here is unbelief and so it means: ‘There is no repentance for the unbelievers who repent at the time of death nor for those who die while they are unbelievers.’

Abu-l-Ālīyah said, ‘The first āyah was revealed about the believers: “Allah only accepts the repentance,” and the second is about the hypocrites: “There is no repentance…”’, meaning there is no acceptance of repentance from those who persist in their actions until they are dying and see the Angel of Death.’ Then his words, ‘Now I repent’ do not constitute repentance. The unbelievers will have a painful abiding punishment.

19 You who believe! it is not lawful for you to inherit women by force. Nor may you treat them harshly so that
you can make off with part of what you have given them, unless they commit an act of flagrant indecency. Live together with them correctly and courteously. If you dislike them, it may well be that you dislike something in which Allah has placed a lot of good.

**it is not lawful for you to inherit women by force.**

This is connected to what was already mentioned about wives. What is meant is to prevent any injustice or harm to them. It is addressed to guardians. ‘An’ is in the nominative by ‘not lawful’, in other words, ‘it is not lawful for you to inherit women.’ ‘Karh’ is a verbal noun in the position of a ḥāl.

Various things are said about the reason for the revelation of this āyah. Al-Bukhārī reported about it from Ibn ‘Abbās: ‘When a man died, his guardians were entitled to his wife. If one of them wished, he could marry her, or give her in marriage or not give her in marriage. They were more entitled to her than her own family and so this āyah was revealed about that.’ Abū Dāwud transmitted it. Az-Zuhrī and Abū Mijlaz said, ‘Their custom when a man died was that when his son by another woman or the closest of his paternal relatives cast his garment on the wife, he became more entitled to her than herself and her guardians. He could marry her without any dowry other than the one she had been given by the deceased or marry her to another and take her dowry and not give her anything. If he wished, he could leave her in limbo until she ransomed herself with what she had inherited or until she died. So Allah revealed this.’ It means: ‘It is not lawful for you to inherit women from their husbands and become their husbands.’ It is said that if the heir arrived first and threw a garment on her, he was more entitled to her. If she reached her family first, then she was entitled to herself. As-Suddī said that.

It is said that if an old woman was married to a man and he desired a young woman and disliked leaving the old one because of
her wealth, he would keep her and not go near her until she ransomed herself from him by her property or died so that he would inherit her wealth. This āyah was revealed and the husband ordered to divorce her if he disliked her company and not to keep her forcibly. That is the meaning of this āyah. What is meant by the āyah is to annul what they did in the Jāhiliyyah and not to treat women like property which could be inherited by men.

‘Force’ is read as karh by all except Ḥamzah and al-Kisā’ī who read it ‘kurh’. They are two dialectical forms. Al-Qutabī said that karh means force and kurh means hardship. It is said that it means doing that willingly or unwillingly.

The āyah is addressed to guardians. It is also said that it is addressed to the husbands of women when they retain them while at the same time treating them badly out of desire for their inheritance or wanting them to ransom themselves with some of their dowries. This is sounder, and preferred by Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah. The proof of that is: ‘unless they commit an act of flagrant indecency.’ If they do that, then the guardian cannot keep them in order to exhaust their property: that is the consensus in the community. That is for the husband as will be explained further on.

nor may you treat them harshly

The meaning of ‘aḍl, which is prevention, was explained in al-Baqarah.

unless they commit an act of flagrant indecency.

People disagree about what is meant by this. Al-Ḥasan said, ‘It refers to fornication. If a virgin commits fornication, she is given a hundred lashes and exiled for a year and returns to her husband what she took from him.’ Abū Qilābah said, ‘If a man’s wife commits fornication, there is nothing wrong in harming her and constricting her until she ransoms herself.’ As-Suddī said, ‘If they do that, take their dowries.’ Ibn Sīrīn and Abū Qilābah said, ‘It is not lawful to take ransom from her unless he finds a man on her belly.'
Allah says: “unless they commit an act of flagrant indecency.”’ Ibn Masʿūd, Ibn ‘Abbās, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and Qatādah said a flagrant act of indecency in this āyah means hatred and recalcitrance. They said, ‘When she refuses to fulfil her duties, her husband can take her property, and this is the school of Mālik.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘I do not have any text from him about the “act of flagrant indecency” referred to in the āyah.’

Some people said that fāḥishah here means foul language and bad company in respect of word and action. This is recalcitrance (nushūz). Some of the people of knowledge permit taking money from a disobedient wife in a khul‘ although he cannot exceed what he gave her since Allah says: ‘to make off with part of what you have given them.’ Mālik and another group of the people of knowledge said that a husband can take everything that a disobedient wife owns. Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah said, ‘Fornication is harder for the husband than disobedience and harm. Every fāḥishah renders taking property lawful.’

Abū ‘Umar said, ‘I consider the statement of Ibn Sīrīn and Abū Qilābah to be nothing because fāḥishah can be foul language and harm. Someone who uses foul language is called fāḥish. If an act of fāḥishah is committed, the husband can pronounce a liʿān or simply divorce her. But he is not allowed to harm her to make her ransom herself from him with her money. I do not know of anyone who says that he can harm her and be bad to her so that she demands a khul‘ if he finds that she has fornicated – other than Abā Qilābah. Allah knows best.’

Allah says in Surah al-Baqarah: ‘If you fear that they will not remain within Allah’s limits’ (2:229). This means that in the event that there is no likelihood of the the couple maintaining good companionship and the husband respecting his wife’s rights over him and the wife respecting her husband’s rights over her: ‘There is nothing wrong in the wife ransoming herself.’ Allah also says: ‘If they are happy to give you some of
it, make use of it with pleasure and goodwill.’ (4:4) These āyahs give us the basic principles underlying this matter. Ibn ‘Atā’ al-Khurāsānī said, ‘It used to be that if a man’s wife committed adultery, he would take from her what he had given her and throw her out. That was abrogated by the ḥudūd.’ A fourth position is that this means: ‘unless they fornicate. If they do so, they are detained in their houses.’ So this refers to the time before the abrogation and this is the same idea as the position of Ibn ‘Aṭiyyah. It is weak.

If we take the position that it is guardians who are addressed, then its fiqh is that, if it is proven that the guardian is unduly harsh, the Qāḍī investigates the business of a woman and her husband and he should only look at her as a father would at his daughters. If there is benefit in his preventing her marriage, he is not opposed in doing that. That is in relation to one or more marriage proposals. If it is proven that he is simply preventing her from marrying, there are two positions on it in the school of Mālik. One is that he is like all guardians and the Qāḍī can marry off any of his daughters he wishes to, and the other is that he is not opposed in what he does.

The words ‘Nor treat them harshly’ can be jussive as a prohibition, and the wāw is then conjunctive, adding a sentence composed of words disconnected from the first sentence. It can also be in the accusative as joined to ‘inherit and so the wāw is shared, adding one verb to another. Ibn Mas‘ūd recited ‘walā ta‘ḍulūhunna’, a reading which strengthens the possibility of the accusative and the fact that it is a statement about ‘aḍl not being permitted.

Nāfi‘ and Abū ‘Amr read ‘clear’ as ‘mubayyinah’ and ‘mubayyanāt’ in 24:34 and 46. Ibn ‘Abbās reads ‘mubīnah’ from Form IV which is used for the thing being clear itself and for making it clear. All of these are eloquent dialectical usages.

**Live together with them correctly and courteously**

This means according to what Allah has commanded in terms of keeping good company. It is addressed to everyone since all keep
company, whether they are husbands or guardians. The command, however, is directed particularly to husbands, as in Allah’s words: ‘retain them with correctness and courtesy.’ (2:229) It means to give a wife full rights of dowry and maintenance and not to frown at her for no reason and to be cheerful in speech, not rude or harsh or showing inclination for another woman. ‘Living together’ refers to mixing together and socialising. Illustrating that are the words of Ṭarafah:

If the tract she travels in is sometimes far,
Perhaps the promise of the lover will be intimate.

He makes the lover like an associate and company. ‘Āshara, mu‘āsharah, is to consort with as ta‘āshara describes people mixing together as does a‘tashara.

Allah commands people to keep good company with women when a contract is made with them so that there is familiarity between them and perfect companionship. It is more calming for the self and better for life. This is a duty for the husband, but he is not obliged to make it up. One of them said, ‘He should beautify himself for her as she does for him.’ Yaḥyā ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān al-Ḥanẓalī said, ‘I went to Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥanafiyyah and he came out to me wearing a red mantle with perfume dripping from his beard. “What is this?” I asked. He replied, “This is a cloak which my wife put on me and she put perfume on me as well. They want of us what we want of them.”’ Ibn ‘Abbās said, ‘I want to adorn myself for my wife as I want my wife to adorn herself for me.’ Ibn ‘Aṭiyah said, ‘For the meaning of the āyah, look at the words of the Prophet ﷺ, “Enjoy her even if there is some crookedness in her;” meaning do not show bad companionship towards her even if she is crooked. That will produce opposition and end in a split. It is the reason for khulʿ.’

Scholars use the words as evidence that when one servant is not enough for a woman, her husband should provide her with servants commensurate with her social standing, as, for instance, in the case of the daughter of a caliph or king and those like them for whom
one servant is not enough. That is living together correctly. Ash-Shāfi‘ī and Abū Ḥanīfah said, ‘He is only obliged to provide one servant. That will spare her serving herself. There is no woman in the world for whom one servant is not adequate. This is like someone who has a number of horses. He only gets the share of one horse because he can only fight on one horse.’ Our scholars said, ‘This is wrong because daughters of kings, who have many servants, are not satisfied by one servant because they need to have their clothes washed, their beds made and others things which require more than one.’ This is clear and Allah knows best.

If you dislike them, it may well be that you dislike something in which Allah has placed a lot of good.

This dislike may come about because of ugliness or bad character that did not involve their committing an act of indecency or disobedience. In that case putting up with it is recommended. Perhaps the reason for that is that through her Allah will provide him with righteous children. This is indicated by something in Ṣaḥīḥ Muslim from Abū Hurayrah who reported that the Messenger of Allah ﷺ said, ‘A believing man should not hate a believing woman. If he dislikes something in her character, he should be pleased with some other – or another – trait of hers.’ It is said that it means that he should not hate her completely which might move him to divorce her. He should forgive her bad because of her good and overlook what he dislikes on account of what he likes. Makḥūl said, ‘I heard Ibn ‘Umar say, “A man does the istikhārah, asking for good from Allah and He chooses for him, and then he is angry with his Lord. It is not long before he sees that in the end it is better for him.”’

Ibn al-‘Arabī mentioned from Abu-l-Qāsim in Mahdia from Abu-l-Qāsim as-Sayūrī that Abū Bakr ibn ‘Abd ar-Raḥmān said, ‘Shaykh Abū Muḥammad ibn Abī Zayd had position and recognition with regard to knowledge and the dīn. He had a wife who was a bad companion and she was lax with respect to his rights and injured
him with her tongue. People spoke to him about her and he was criticised for being patient with her. He used to say, “I am a man to whom Allah has given full blessing in the health of my body, recognition and what I own. Perhaps she was sent as a punishment for my wrong actions and I fear that if I divorce her a worse punishment may descend on me.”

Our scholars said, ‘This is evidence for the dislike of divorce even though it is permitted.’ It is related that the Prophet said, ‘Allah does not dislike anything He has allowed except for divorce and eating. Allah dislikes full intestines.’

20 If you desire to exchange one wife for another and have given your original wife a large amount, do not take any of it. Would you take it by means of slander and outright crime? 21 How could you take it when you have been intimate with one another and they have made a binding contract with you?

Since the previous āyah dealt with the ruling of divorce instigated by the wife, and the husband taking property from her, Allah follows that by mentioning divorce instigated by the man. It is clear that He means divorce without there being disobedience or bad companionship and so he cannot ask her for any property.

Scholars disagree about what happens when a couple want to divorce and one of them displays bad companionship and disobedience. Mālik said that the husband can take from his wife if she instigates the divorce but does not mention [whether he can] when he instigates it. Most scholars say that that is not permitted to take money unless the disobedience is hers alone and she asks him
for divorce.

**and have given your original wife a large amount,**

This āyah indicates the permission to give a large amount as a dowry because Allah would only use something permissible as an example. ‘Umar said in a speech, ‘Do not be excessive in the amount of a woman’s dowry. If she is noble in this world or in taqwā of Allah, the Messenger of Allah would have been more entitled to that than you, and none of his wives or daughters was given a dowry of more than twelve ūqiyyahs.’ A woman got up and said, “Umar! Allah gives to us and you forbid us! Does not Allah say: “… and have given your original wife a large amount do not take any of it”? ‘Umar said, ‘The woman is right and ‘Umar was wrong.’ In one variant, “Umar bowed his head and said, “Everyone has more understanding of fiqh than you, ‘Umar!”’ Another has, ‘The woman is right and the man is wrong. Allah is the One from whom help is sought.’ He dropped his objection.

Abū Ḥātim al-Bustī transmitted with a sound isnād that Abu-l-‘Ajfā’ as-Sulamī said, ‘Umar said in a speech to the people…’ as far as ‘more than twelve ūqiyyahs.’ He did not mention the woman standing up to address him. Ibn Mājah transmitted it in his Sunan from Abu-l-‘Ajfā’ and added after mentioning the ūqiyyahs continued, ‘A man may feel so burdened by his wife’s dowry that this engenders his animosity towards her and he says, “You cost me everything I own (lit. the strap of the water-skin or the sweat of the water-skin)!” I was an Arab man and did not know what was meant by the strap of the water-skin or the sweat of the water-skin.’ Al-Jawhari said that ‘strap (‘alaq) of a water-skin’ is a dialectical form of the sweat (‘araq) of a waterskin.’ Someone else said that ‘alaq is the string which ties a water-skin. So he is saying, ‘You have cost me everything, even the string that ties the water-skin.’ ‘Araq is the liquid in a waterskin. One uses the expression in saying, ‘I tired myself out for us and imposed on myself until I sweated like a water-skin,’ meaning its flow. It is
said that they used to provision themselves with water and tied it to camels to carry, and it was heavy on their backs. This explains both expressions.

Al-Aṣmaʿī said, ‘The sweat of a water-skin is an expression which conveys hardship. I do not know its origin.’ Al-Aṣmaʿī further said, ‘I heard Ibn Abī Ṭarafah, one of the most eloquent men I have seen, say, “I heard our shaykhs say, ‘I have met the sweat of the water-skin from so-and-so,’ and he meant hardship.”’ He quoted to me from Ibn Alḥmar:

It is not counted an insult, when her pardon

Is the sweat of the water-skin on a tired camel.

Abū ‘Ubayd said, ‘He means that he hears a word which angers him and is not an insult and the person is punished by it and for him it is like the sweat of a water-skin. He uses a different word for water-skin for the sake of the poem. Then he said ‘a tired camel’. It is as if it means that the water-skins are hung on the camel in their journeys. This idea is like what al-Farrāʾ related. He claimed that in the desert on their journeys they stocked themselves with water and they would hand it on the camels and take it in turns. That entailed toil and hardship on the back. Al-Farrāʾ used this explanation in hanging water-skins with lām.

Some people say that it does not give permission to have excessive dowries because Allah uses the amount of a ‘qinṭār’ simply as an example, as if he saying, ‘Even if you were to give this large amount which no one is given.’ This is like the words of the Prophet ☪, ‘Anyone who builds a mosque, even one the size of the nest of a sand grouse, Allah will build a house for him in the Garden.’ It is known that there is no mosque the size of the nest of a sand grouse.

When Ibn Abī Ḥadrad came to the Prophet ☪ to ask for his help with paying a dowry, the Prophet ☪ asked him about it and he said that it was two hundred. The Prophet ☪ became angry and said, ‘It
is as if you are cutting gold and silver from surface of al-Ḥarrah or a mountain.’ Certain people deduced from this that it is forbidden to give excessive dowries. This is not necessary. The Prophet ﷺ objected to this man, not as an objection to a large amount of dowry, but because he was poor in that state and needed to beg and ask for help. This is agreed to be disliked. ‘Umar gave Umm Kulthūm bint ‘Alī, the daughter of Fāṭimah, a dowry of 40,000 dirhams.

Abū Dāwud reported from ‘Uqbah ibn ‘Amr that the Prophet ﷺ asked a man, ‘Do you want me to marry you to so-and-so?’ ‘Yes,’ he replied. He said to the woman, ‘Do you want me to marry you to so-and-so?’ ‘Yes,’ she replied. So he married them to one another. The man consummated the marriage without allotting her a dowry and did not give her anything. He was one of those who had been at al-Ḥudaybīyah and had a share at Khaybar. When he died, he said, ‘The Messenger of Allah ﷺ married me to so-and-so and I did not allot her a dowry or give her anything. I testify that I have given her my share at Khaybar as her dowry.’ She took his share and sold it for 100,000.

Scholars agree that there is no limit on the maximum amount for a dowry because of this āyah. They disagree about its minimum. That will be discussed in āyah 24. The definition of qinṭār was mentioned in Āl ‘Imrān.

Ibn Muḥayṣin recited ‘ātaytumuhdāhunna’ with the alif connected to ‘iḥdāhunna’. It is a dialectical usage. [POEMS]

**do not take any of it.**

Bakr ibn ‘Abdullāh al-Muzanī said, ‘The husband used not to take anything from the wife divorced by a khul‘ according to the words of Allah: “do not take.” Then it was abrogated by the āyah in al-Baqarah.’ Ibn Zayd and others said that it is abrogated by the words in al-Baqarah: ‘It is not lawful for you to keep anything you have given them.’ (2:229)

The sound position is that these āyahs are both āyahs of judgment and there is no abrogation or abrogated in them. They support one
another. ʿAt-Ṭabarī says that it is an āyah of judgment and there is no sense to the words of Bakr. If you wish, it is about gifts, and the Prophet 🕌 allowed Thābit to take from his wife what he had given her.

**How could you take it when you have been intimate with one another?**

The reason for the prohibition against taking it back is that they have been intimate together. Someone said that ‘ifḍa’ (being intimate) is being with her under the same blanket, whether or not sexual intercourse took place. Al-Harawī related that and that is the position of al-Kalbī. Al-Farrā’ said that it refers to when a man has been alone with his wife and has had sexual intercourse with her. Ibn ‘Abbās, Mujāhid, as-Suddī and others said that in this āyah it means sexual intercourse. Ibn ‘Abbās said that Allah is noble and uses an allusion. The linguistic root of ifḍa’ is mixing together. A mixture is called ‘faḍā’. A poet said:

I said to her, ‘My aunt, you can have my she-camel.

It makes a mixture (faḍā) in my bag with raisins.

People who described as faʾwda are mixed together without a leader. Taking the meaning to be ‘being alone together’, even if there is no sexual intercourse, does that mean that the dowry is confirmed by that or not? Our scholars disagree about it, taking four positions: that it is confirmed by the simple fact of being alone together; that it is only confirmed by sexual intercourse; that it is confirmed by being alone in the house where a wife is given to her husband; and that there is a difference between her room and his room.

The sound position is that it is confirmed by simply being alone together. That is the position of Abū Ḥanīfah and his people. They say, ‘When he has been properly alone with her, the full dowry is obliged as well as ʿiddah, whether or not he consummates the marriage.’ That is based on what ad-Dāraquṭnī related from
Thawbān that the Messenger of Allah said, ‘Anyone who removes the veil of a woman and looks at her must pay the dowry.’ ‘Umar said, ‘When he closes the door, lowers the curtain and sees her private parts, payment of the dowry is obliged, she must observe ‘iddah and she inherits.’ ‘Alī said, ‘When he closes the door, lowers the curtain and sees her private parts, the payment of the dowry is obliged.’ Mālik said, ‘When he stays a long time with her, like a year, and they agree that there was no touching, and she asks for her full dowry, she has it.’ Ash-Shāfi‘ī said, ‘She has no ‘iddah and receives half the dowry.’ This was discussed in al-Baqarah.

**they have made a binding contract with you**

There are three things said about this. It is said that it is what is meant by the words of the Prophet, ‘Fear Allah regarding women. You take them as a trust of Allah and you are allowed access to their private parts by the Word of Allah.’ ‘Ikrimah and ar-Rabī‘ said that. The second is that it refers to the words of the Almighty: ‘Wives may be retained with correctness and courtesy or released with good will.’ (2:229). Al-Ḥasan, Ibn Sīrīn, Qatādah, aḍ-Ḍaḥḥāk and as-Suddī said that. The third is that what is meant is a verbal contract of marriage where a man says, ‘I have married’ and ‘I have the marriage contract.’ Mujāhid and Ibn Zayd said that. Some people said that it is a child. Allah knows best.

22 Do not marry any women whom your fathers married – except for what may have already taken place. That is an indecent act, a loathsome thing and an evil path.

**Do not marry any women whom your fathers married**

It is said that people used to marry their father’s wife with her permission after Allah revealed: ‘it is not lawful for you to inherit women by force.’ When this was revealed, it became unlawful in all cases,
whether or not the marriage had been consummated, as the meaning of the term nikāḥ includes both the contract and sexual intercourse. When a father marries a woman or, indeed, has sexual intercourse with her outside marriage, she is unlawful to his son as will be explained.

In the words ‘whom your fathers married’, it said that ‘mā’ (tr. ‘whom’) here means women. It is said that it means the contract, in other words that such a marriage is void and contrary to the dīn of Allah since Allah has given judgment regarding the manner of marriage and detailed its preconditions. That is what aṭ-Ṭabarī prefers. ‘Min’ is connected to ‘marry’ and ‘mā nakaḥa’ is a verbal noun. He said that if it had meant ‘Do not marry women whom your fathers have married,’ it would be obliged to have “who” (man) and not “what” (mā). According to this, the prohibition is about marriage in the unsound manner of your fathers. The first is sounder, because ‘mā’ (what) can mean ‘man’ (who), and the Companions took it to mean that. From it is deduced the prohibition against sons marrying their fathers’ wives.

The custom of some Arab tribes was that a man’s son would marry his father’s wife. That was a binding practice among the Anṣār and permitted among Quraysh with mutual consent. ‘Amr ibn Umayyah married his father’s wife after his death and she bore him Musāfir and Abū Mu‘ayṭ, and she had Abu-l-‘Īṣ and others from Umayyah. The sons of Umayyah were both the brothers and uncles of Musāfir and Abū Mu‘ayṭ.

Another example of it is Ṣafwān ibn Umayyah ibn Khalaf who married his father’s wife, Fākhitah bint al-Aswad ibn al-Muṭṭallib ibn Asad. Umayyah was killed while married to her. Another example was Manẓūr ibn Zabbān who married Mulaykah bint Khārijah who had been married to his father, Zabbān ibn Zayyār. Another example is Ḥiṣn ibn Abī Qays who married his father’s wife, Kubaysha bint Ma’n and al-Aswad ibn Khalaf who also
married his father’s wife.

Al-Ash‘at ibn Sawwār said, ‘Abū Qays died. He was one of the righteous men of the Anṣār. His son Qays proposed to his father’s wife. She said, “I think of you as a son. I will go to the Messenger of Allah to consult him about it,” and she went to him and told him and Allah revealed this āyah.’

Some Arabs used to marry their daughters. One of them was Ḥājib ibn Zurārah who became a Magian and did this. That was mentioned by an-Naḍr ibn Shumayl in Kitāb al-Mathālib. Allah forbade the believers to follow this custom of their fathers.

except for what may have already taken place.

This is what has passed and gone. Salaf are your forefathers and relatives. This is an absolute exception, meaning ‘avoid and leave what happened before.’ It is said that ‘except’ means ‘after’, i.e. after what already happened. This usage is seen in Allah’s words: ‘They will not taste any death there – other (illā) than the first one.’ (44:56) This means ‘after the first death’. It is said that it means ‘not what has already taken place’ as in the words: ‘A believer should never kill another believer unless (illā) it is by mistake,’ (4:92) meaning not by mistake either. It is said that there is a change of order in the āyah and it means: ‘Do not marry women your fathers married. It is indecent and an evil path, except for what already happened.’

It is said that the āyah contains an implication which means: if you do that, you will be punished for it, except for what was in the past.

That is an indecent act, a loathsome thing and an evil path.

This is a resulting grave censure which indicates that the forbidden action is very foul indeed. Abu-l-‘Abbās said, ‘I asked Ibn al-A‘rabī about what a ‘loathsome marriage’ is. He answered, “It is that a man marries his father’s wife when he divorces her or leaves her a widow. Such a man is called ‘ḍayzan’.”

Ibn ‘Arafah said, ‘When a man married his father’s wife and she bore him a child, the child was called maqtiyy.’ The root of maqt
means to be hateful from the verb, *maqata*, to hate. So the Arabs called a man who marries his father’s wife ‘*maqit*’ (hated). Allah called this marriage ‘*maqt*’ (hateful and loathsome) since hatred is attached to the one who does it.

It is said that the meaning of the prohibition is forbidding a man from having sexual intercourse with any woman with whom his father had sexual intercourse, except for what happened in the past in the Jāhiliyyah in respect of women their fathers had fornicated with and were not actually married to. A son is permitted to marry a woman with whom his father fornicated and to have lawful sex in marriage with her. Ibn Zayd said that. He believes that the exception is connected and that it is a basic principle that fornication does not make someone unlawful as will be explained. Allah knows best.
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Abū Ḥātim: Sahl ibn Muḥammad al-Jushanī as-Sijjistānī, d. 255/869, a prominent Basran philologist.

Abū Isḥāq: Ibrāhīm ibn as-Sarī az-Zajjāj, author of Iʿrab al-Qurʿān.

Abū Jaʿfar: at-Ṭabarī.

Abū ʿUbayd: al-Qāsim ibn Sallām al-Harawī or al-Baghdādī, d. 224/838.

Abū ʿUbaydah: Maʿmar ibn al-Muthanna at-Taymī, d. 209/824, author of Majāz al-Qurʿān, the first book on the linguistic analysis of the Qurʾān.

adhān: the call to prayer.

ʿAdn: Eden, part of Paradise.

Amīr al-Muʾminīn: ‘the Commander of the Believers’, the caliph.

Anṣār: the “Helpers”, the people of Madīnah who welcomed and aided the Prophet ﷺ.

ʿArafah: a plain 15 miles to the east of Makkah. One of the essential rites of the ḥajj is to stand on ‘Arafah on the 9th of Dhu-l-Ḥijjah.

ʿaṣabah: male relatives on the father’s side.

ʿAṣr: the mid-afternoon prayer.

ʿawl: adjustment, accommodation by reducing inheritance shares when the percentage due to shares
exceeds the total estate.

**Aws**: along with Khazraj, one of the two major tribes of Madīnah.

**āyah**: a verse of the Qur’an.

**Ayyūb**: the Prophet Job.

**Badr**: a place near the coast, about 95 miles south of Madīnah where, in 2 AH in the first battle fought by the newly established Muslim community, the 313 outnumbered Muslims led by the Messenger of Allah overwhelmingly defeated 1000 Makkan idolaters.

**Banū**: lit. sons, meaning a tribe or clan.

**Baqī‘ al-Gharqad**: the cemetery of Madīnah.

**Bi‘r Ma‘ūnah**: site of an expedition four months after the Battle of Uḥud where a delegation of Muslims were attacked and killed.

**Burāq**: the mount on which the Prophet made the Night Journey.

**Buwāṭ**: a place near Mt. Juhaynah near Raḍwā on the caravan route to Syria. The Prophet led a raid there in 2/623.

**Dajjāl**: the false Messiah whose appearance marks the imminent end of the world. The root in Arabic means ‘to deceive, cheat, take in’.

**ḍammah**: the Arabic vowel ‘u’.

**Ḍamrah**: a tribe who lived about eighty miles from Madīnah. They entered into a treaty with the Prophet in 2/632. They were a clan of the Banū Kinānah.

**Dāwud**: the Prophet David.

**dhimmah**: obligation or contract, in particular a treaty of
protection for non-Muslims living in Muslim territory.

**dhimmī**: a non-Muslim living under the protection of Muslim rule.

**Dhu-l-Ḥijjah**: the twelfth month of the Muslim calendar, the month of the hajj.

**Dhu-l-Qa‘dah**: the eleventh month of the Muslim calendar.

**dīn**: the life-transaction, lit. the debt between two parties, in this usage between the Creator and created.

**Ditch**: the Battle of the Ditch (or Trench), which took place in 5/627 in which the combined forces of Quraysh and their allies unsuccessfully laid siege to Madīnah for thirty days.

**Fajr**: the dawn prayer.

**farḍ**: an obligatory act of worship or practice of the dīn as defined by the Sharī‘ah.

**farḍ kifāyah**: a collective obligation, something which is obligatory for the community as a whole and is satisfied if one adult performs it.

**Fadak**: a small, rich oasis in the north of the Hijaz near Khaybar.

**al-Fārūq**: a name for the second caliph, ‘Umar ibn al-Khaṭṭāb, It means someone who makes a distinction between truth and falsehood, or between cases.

**faqīh**: pl. fuqahā’, a man learned in knowledge of fiqḥ who by virtue of his knowledge can give a legal judgment.

**fāsiq**: someone not meeting the legal requirements of righteousness. The evidence of such a person is inadmissible in the court.

**fatḥah**: the Arabic vowel ‘a’.

**Fātiḥah**: “the Opener,” the first sūrah of the Qur’an.

**fatwā**: an authoritative statement on a point of law.

**fiqḥ**: the science of the application of the Sharī‘ah. A practitioner or expert in fiqḥ is called a faqīh.

**Firdaws**: Paradise.
fitnah: civil strife, sedition, schism, trial, temptation, also shirk see below.

fitrah: the natural form on which man was created.

fuqahā’: plural of faqīh.

al-Ghābah: a plain a few miles outside of Madīnah in the direction of Syria where an expedition took place in 6/627.

gharīb: a hadith which has a single reporter at some stage of the isnād.

Ghaṭafān: a very large tribal grouping who lived east of Madīnah and Makkah in the land between the Hijaz and the Shammar mountains.

ghazwah: a military expedition.

ghulūl: stealing from the war booty before it has been distributed.

ghusl: major ablution of the whole body with water required to regain purity after menstruation, lochia and sexual intercourse.

ḥadd: pl. ḥudūd, Allah’s boundary limits for the lawful and unlawful. The ḥadd punishments are specific fixed penalties laid down by Allah for specified crimes.

hadith: reported speech of the Prophet 🌿.

ḥāfiz: pl. ḥuffāẓ, someone who has memorised the Qur’an. In the sciences of hadith it is someone who has memorised 100,000 hadith both in their texts and their isnāds.

hajj: the annual pilgrimage to Makkah which is one of the five pillars of Islam.

ḥāl: In Arabic grammar, a circumstantial adverb in the accusative case which describes something happening at the same time as the action or event mentioned in the main clause.

Hamrā’ al-Asad: an expedition in 3/625 when the Muslims were returning to Madīnah from Uḥud. It is eight miles from Madīnah.

Ḥanīfiyyah: the religion of the Prophet Ibrāhīm, the primordial religion of tawḥīd and sincerity to Allah.

Ḥaram: Sacred Precinct, a protected area in which certain
behavior is forbidden and other behaviour necessary. The area around the Ka‘bah in Makkah is a Ḥaram, and the area around the Prophet’s Mosque in Madīnah is a Ḥaram. They are referred to together as al-Ḥaramayn, ‘the two Ḥarams’.

**Hārūn**: the Prophet Aaron, the brother of Mūsā.

**Ḥarūriyyah**: the first Khārijites who separated themselves from ‘Alī and based themselves at Ḥarūrā’, a town two miles from Kufa.

**ḥasan**: good, excellent, often used to describe a hadith which is reliable, but which is not as well authenticated as one which is ṣaḥīḥ.

**Ḥāṭim**: the Hijr of the Ka‘bah, or the wall of the Ḥijr over which is the spout (Mīzāb).

**Hawāzin**: one of the large Arab tribes in the Hijaz who were part of the Qays tribal grouping.

**Hawwā’**: Eve, the first woman.

**Ḥijaz**: the region along the western seaboard of Arabia in which Makkah, Madīnah, Jidda and Ta’if are situated.

**al-Ḥijr**: the unroofed portion of the Ka‘bah which at present is in the form of a semi-circular compound towards the north of the Ka‘bah.

**Ḥijrah**: emigration in the way of Allah. Islamic dating begins with the Hijrah of the Prophet Muḥammad ﷺ from Makkah to Madīnah in 622 AD.

**Ḥimāriyyah**: see mushtarikah.

**Hubal**: pre-Islamic idol worshipped by Quraysh at the Ka‘bah.

**Hūd**: the Prophet sent to the people of ‘Ād.

**Ḥudaybīyah**: a well-known place ten miles from Makkah on the way to Jiddah where the Homage of ar-Riḍwān took place.

**Hudhayl**: a tribe which lived in the hills between Makka and Ṭā’if and were linked genealogically with Quraysh.

**ḥudūd**: plural of ḥadd.

**Ḥunayn**: a valley between Makkah and Ta’if where the battle took place between the Prophet ﷺ and Thaqīf pagans in 8/630.
**Iblīs:** the personal name of the Devil. He is also called Shayṭān or the ‘enemy of Allah’.

**Ibrāhīm:** the Prophet Abraham.

‘Īd: a festival, either the festival at the end of Ramadan or at the time of the Hajj.

**idāfah:** a possessive construction in Arabic in which the first noun is indefinite and the second usually definite. It is used to indicate possession. The first word is called ‘muḍāf’ and the second is ‘muḍāf ilayhi’.

‘iddah: a period after divorce or the death of her husband for which a woman must wait before re-marrying.

**idhkhir:** a kind of sweet rush well known for its good smell and found in the Hijaz.

**Idrīs:** a Prophet, possibly Enoch.

**ihlām:** the conditions of clothing and behaviour adopted by someone on ḥajj or ‘umrah.

**ijtihād:** to exercise personal judgment in legal matters.

**īlā:** a vow by a husband to abstain from sexual relations with his wife. If four months pass, it is considered a divorce.

**imam:** Muslim religious or political leader; leader of Muslim congregational worship.

**īmān:** belief, faith.

‘Īsā: the Prophet Jesus.

‘Ishā’: the obligatory evening prayer.

**Ishāq:** the Prophet Isaac.

**isnād:** a hadith’s chain of transmission from individual to individual.

**Isrāfīl:** the archangel who will blow the Trumpet which announces the end of the world.

**istikhārah:** a prayer performed by someone who has not decided what to do in a matter hoping to be inspired to do the right thing.

**Jabriyyah:** pre-determinism, the name given to those who, in
opposition to the Qadariyyah, deny the freedom of the will, and on this point make no distinction between man and inanimate nature, inasmuch as his actions are subordinate to the compulsion (jabr) of God. Thus everything has been pre-determined and man has no responsibility whatsoever for his actions.

Jāhiliyyah: the Time of Ignorance before the coming of Islam.

Jahmites: followers of Jahm ibn Ṣafwān who claimed, among other things, that human beings are forced to do what they do without any volition on their part.

Jamāʿah: the main body of the Muslim community; also the Group Prayer.

jamrah: lit. a small walled place, but in this usage a stone-built pillar. There are three jamrahs at Minā. One of the rites of ḥajj is to stone them.

janābah: major ritual impurity requiring a ghusl: brought about by sexual intercourse, sexual discharge, menstruation, childbirth.

Jibrīl: the angel Gabriel.

jihad: struggle, particularly fighting in the way of Allah to establish Islam.

jinn: inhabitants of the heavens and the earth made of smokeless fire who are usually invisible.

jizyah: a protection tax payable by non-Muslims living under Muslim rule as a tribute to the Muslim ruler.

Juhaynah: a large nomadic tribe from the Hijaz whose territory covered the routes between Syria and Makkah.

Jumāda-l-Ākhir: the sixth month of the Muslim calendar.

Jumāda-l-Ulā: the fifth month of the Muslim calendar.

Jumuʿah: the day of gathering, Friday, and particularly the Jumuʿah prayer which is performed instead of Zuhr by those who attend it.

Kaʿbah: the cube-shaped building at the centre of the Ḥaram in Makkah, originally built by the Prophet Ibrāhīm. Also known as the
House of Allah.

kalālah: someone who dies without direct heirs.

kasrah: the Arabic vowel (i).

khalifāh: caliphate.

Khandaq: Arabic for Ditch, Battle of, see above.

Khārijites: the earliest sect, who separated themselves from the body of the Muslims and declared war on all those who disagreed with them, stating that a wrong action turns a Muslim into an unbeliever.

Khaybar: Jewish colony to the north of Madina which was laid siege to and captured by the Muslims in the seventh year after the Hijra.

Khazraj: along with Aws, one of the two major tribes of Madīnah.

khaṭīb: an orator, someone who delivers the khuṭbah.

Khorasan: Persian province southeast of the Caspian Sea; a centre of many dissident movements in early Islamic history.

khul‘: a form of divorce initiated by the wife from her husband by giving him a certain compensation, or by returning back the dowry (mahr) which he gave her.

khuṭbah: a speech, and in particular a standing speech given by the imam before the Jumu‘ah prayer and after the two ‘Īd prayers.

Khuzā‘ah: an Azdī tribe who were concentrated around Makkah.

kufr: disbelief, to cover up the truth, to reject Allah and refuse to believe that Muhammad ﷺ is His Messenger.

kunyah: a respectful but intimate way of addressing people as “the father of so-and-so” or “the mother of so-and-so.”

li‘ān: mutual cursing, a form of divorce in which the husband and wife take oaths when he accuses her of adultery and she denies it.

Maghrib: the sunset prayer; also the western part of Muslim lands. Today it means Morocco.

mahram: a male relative with whom marriage is forbidden.

Maqām of Ibrāhīm: the place of the stone on which the Prophet
Ibrāhīm stood while he and Ismā‘īl were building the Ka‘bah, which marks the place of the two rak‘ah prayer following tawāf of the Ka‘bah.

**maqāsid:** the goals or higher objectives of the Sharī‘ah: preservation of the dīn, life, lineage, intellect and property.

**marfū‘:** ‘elevated’, a narration from the Prophet mentioned by a Companion, e.g. “The Messenger of Allah said...”

**Maryam:** Mary, the mother of ‘Īsā.

**Mash‘ar al-Ḥarām:** a venerated place in the valley of Muzdalifah where it is a sunnah to stop.

**Masjid al-Ḥarām:** the great mosque in Makkah.

**mawlā:** a person with whom a tie of walā’ has been established, usually by having been a slave and then set free.

**mawqūf:** ‘stopped’, a narration from a Companion without mentioning the Prophet.

**mu‘ādhdhin:** someone who calls the adhān or call to prayer.

**muḍāf:** see iḍāfah.

**mu‘ḍal:** ‘perplexing’, in hadith, one missing one or two links in the isnād.

**mudd:** a measure of volume. approximately a double-handed scoop.

**Mudlij:** an Arab tribe which was a branch of Kinānah.

**mufti:** someone qualified to give a legal opinion or fatwā.

**Muhājirūn:** Companions of the Messenger of Allah who accepted Islam in Makkah and made hijrah to Madīnah.

**Muharram:** the first month of the Muslim lunar year.

**muḥṣan:** someone who has been in a valid marriage. The feminine is muḥṣanah.

**munkar:** “denounced”, a narration reported by a weak reporter which goes against another authentic hadith.

**muqayyad:** a term used in fiqh meaning restricted, qualified, conditional in respect of a ruling.
murābiṭ: one who is garrisoned defending the frontier, someone living in a ribāṭ.

Al-Muraysī‘: a battle between the Prophet and the Banu-I-Muṣṭaliq in 6/627.

Murji’ites: the opponents of the Kharijites. They held that it is faith and not actions which are important. They also had a political position which suspends judgment on a person guilty of major sins.

mursal: a hadith where a man in the generation after the Companions quotes directly from the Prophet without mentioning the Companion from whom he got it.

Mūsā: the Prophet Moses.

Musaylimah: the false prophet of the Banū Ḥanīfah in Najd.

mushtarikah: ‘shared’, also called Ḥimāriyyah, a case in inheritance where male and female siblings have equal shares and the husband a half and mother a sixth.

musnad: a collection of hadiths arranged according to the first authority in its isnād; also a hadith which can be traced back through an unbroken isnād to the Prophet.

mutakallimūn: those who study the science of kalām, the science of investigating theological doctrine.

Mu‘tazilite: someone who adheres to the school of the Mu‘tazilah which is rationalist in its approach to existence. Originally they held that anyone who commits a sin is neither a believer nor an unbeliever. They also held the Qur‘an to be created.

muṭlaq: in fiqh, unrestricted, qualified or limited in its application. When it is qualified, it becomes muqayyad.

An-Naḍīr: a Jewish tribe in Madīnah.

nāfilah: (plural nawāfil): supererogatory act of worship.

Nūḥ: the Prophet Noah.

People of the Book: principally the Jews and Christians whose religions are based on the Divine Books revealed to Mūsā and ‘Īsā; a term also used to refer to any other group who claim to be following
a Book revealed prior to the Qur’an.

**People of Hadith**: ‘the adherents of Hadith’, the movement who considered only the Qur’an and hadith to be valid sources of *fiqh*.

**People of Opinion (ra‘y)**: a term used to describe those who use personal opinion to deduce judgment. It was a term used particularly to describe the early Ḥanafīs.

**Qadariyyah**: sect who said that people have power (*qadar*) over their actions and hence free will.

**qādī**: a judge, qualified to judge all matters in accordance with the Sharī‘ah and to dispense and enforce legal punishments.

**Qādisīyah**: a decisive four day battle fought against the Persians in Iraq in 15/636.

**Qārūn**: the Biblical Korah who was famed for his incredible wealth and became arrogant on account of it. The earth swallowed him up.

**qiblah**: the direction faced in the prayer which is towards the Ka‘bah in Makkah.

**qinṭār**: plural *qanāṭīr*, a relatively large measure for food grains, approx. 45 kgs.

**qirāḍ**: wealth put by an investor in the trust of an agent for use for commercial purposes, the agent receiving no wage, but taking a designated share of the profits after the capital has been repaid.

**qunūt**: a supplication said in the prayer.

**Quraysh**: one of the great tribes of Arabia. The Prophet Muḥammad ﷺ belonged to this tribe, which had great powers spiritually and financially both before and after Islam came. Someone from this tribe is called a Qurayshī.

**Qurayẓah**: one of the Jewish tribes of Madīnah.

**Rabī‘ al-Awwal**: the third month of the Muslim calendar.

**Rabī‘ al-Ākhir**: the fourth month of the Muslim calendar.

**Raḍwā**: a mountain to the west of Madīnah.

**Rāfiḍites**: the Rawāfiḍ, a group of the Shi‘ah known for rejecting
Abū Bakr and ‘Umar as well as ‘Uthmān. It is a nickname, meaning “deserters”.

**Rajab**: the seventh month of the Muslim calendar.

**rak‘ah**: a unit of the prayer consisting of a series of standings, bowing, prostrations and sittings.

**Ramadan**: the month of fasting, the ninth month in the Muslim lunar calendar.


**ribāṭ**: the stronghold traditionally used by the Muslims to prepare for jihad against their enemy, situated on exposed points on the frontier.

**Riddah**: the defection of various Arab tribes after the death of the Prophet ☪ which brought about the Riddah War.

**Ridwān**: the Homage of Riḍwān was a pledge which the Muslims took at Ḥudaybīyah to avenge ‘Uthmān when they thought that Quraysh had murdered him in 6/628.

**rukū‘**: the bowing position in the prayer.

**šā‘**: a measure of volume equal to four mudds.

**ṣadaqah**: charitable giving in the Cause of Allah.

**Ṣafā and Marwah**: two hills close to the Ka‘bah.

**Ṣafar**: the second month of the Muslim lunar calendar.

**ṣahīḥ**: healthy and sound with no defects, used to describe an authentic hadith.

**Ṣaḥīḥ**: “the Sound”, the title of the hadith collections of al-Bukhārī and Muslim.

**Salaf**: the early generations of the Muslims.

**Ṣāliḥ**: the Prophet sent to the people of Thamūd.

**Ṣaḥūlī**: pure white cotton cloth from Saḥūl in Yemen.

**sariyyah**: an expedition sent by the Prophet ☪ in which he did not participate.

**Sha‘bān**: the eighth month in the Muslim calendar
shādhdh: an ‘irregular’ hadith which is reported by a trustworthy person but which goes against the narration of someone who is more reliable than him.

shahādah: bearing witness, particularly bearing witness that there is no god but Allah and that Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah. It is one of the pillars of Islam. It is also used to describe legal testimony in a court of law.

Sharī‘ah: The legal modality of a people based on the revelation of their Prophet. The final Sharī‘ah is that of Islam.

Shawwāl: the tenth month of the Muslim calendar.

Shayṭān: devil, particularly Iblīs, one of the jinn.

shighār: a forbidden form of marriage agreement whereby a man gave his daughter in marriage to a man who in return gave his daughter in marriage to him, without either of them paying any dowry to their respective brides.


shirk: the unforgiveable wrong action of worshipping something or someone other than Allah or associating something or someone as a partner with Him.

Shu‘ayb: the Prophet Jethro.

shūrā: consultation, especially used for the council of six Companions who met after the death of ‘Umar to choose the next Caliph.

Sīrah: biography, particularly biography of the Prophet ﷺ.

Ṣirāṭ: the narrow bridge which spans the Fire and must be crossed to enter the Garden. It is described as sharper than a sword and thinner than a hair. It will have hooks over it to catch people as they cross it.

Ṣubḥ: dawn prayer

sujūd: prostration.

sukūn: a diacritic mark that means that there is no sound after a
consonant.

**Sulaymān:** the Prophet Solomon.

**sunan:** plural of sunnah.

**Sunnah:** the customary practice of a person or group of people. It has come to refer almost exclusively to the practice of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ.

**sūrah:** a chapter of the Qur’an.

**Tābi‘ūn:** the second generation of the early Muslims who did not meet the Prophet Muhammad ﷺ but learned the dīn of Islam from his Companions.

**Tabūk:** a town in northern Arabia close to Jordan.

**Ṭā’if:** a walled town south of Makkah known for its fertility. It was the home of the tribe of Thaqīf.

**takbīr:** saying ‘Allāhu Akbar,’ ‘Allah is greater’.

**takbīr al-iḥrām:** the takbīr which begins the prayer.

**talbīyah:** saying ‘Labbayk’ (‘At Your service’) during the hajj.

**tanwīn:** nunation.

**taqwā:** awe or fear of Allah, which inspires a person to be on guard against wrong action and eager for actions which please Him.

**ṭawāf:** circumambulation of the Ka‘bah, done in sets of seven circuits.

**tawḥīd:** the doctrine of Divine Unity.

**tawakkul:** reliance, unshakeable trust in Allah.

**Thaqīf:** a tribe based in the town of Ta’if, a branch of the tribe of Hawāzin.

**Tihāmah:** the Red Sea coastal plain of Arabia.

**Uḥud:** a mountain just outside of Madīnah where five years after the Hijrah, the Muslims lost a battle against the Makkkan idolaters. Many great Companions, and in particular Ḥamzah, the uncle of the Prophet, were killed in this battle.

**Umm al-Mu’minīn:** literally ‘Mother of the Believers’, an honorary title given to the wives of the Prophet.
‘umrah: the lesser pilgrimage to the Ka‘bah in Makkah performed at any time of the year.
ūqiyyah: unit of measurement equal to 40 dirhams in weight or 118.80 gs.
Al-‘Ushayrah: a place between Makkah and Madīnah in the direction of Yanbū‘. It was the site of an early expedition.
usahaan: plural of aṣl, the basic principles of any source used in fiqh.
‘Uzayr: Ezra.
Al-‘Uzzā: a female idol worshipped by the pagan Arabs in the Hijaz in the Jāhiliyyah.
Waddān: a settlement about eight miles from al-Abwā‘ where an expedition took place in 2/623.
Wādī al-Qurā: located near the Gulf of ‘Aqabah north of the Red Sea where a Jewish settlement was located in the time of the Prophet
walā‘: the tie of clientage established between a freed slave and the person who frees him, whereby the freed slave becomes integrated into the family of that person as a client (mawālī).
walī: (plural awliyā‘) someone who is a ‘friend’ of Allah, thus possessing the quality of wilāyah. Also a relative who acts as a guardian.
wakīl: a person who is an authorized representative, agent or proxy.
wuḍū‘: ritual washing to be pure for the prayer.
Yahyā: the Prophet John the Baptist, the son of Zakariyyā.
Yamāmah, Battle of: also known as the Battle of ‘Aqraba, the major battle of the Riddah War in which the Muslims defeated the forces of the false Prophet Musaylimah in 12/633.
Yanbū‘: a sea port near Riḍwā, between Makkah and Madīnah.
Ya‘qūb: the Prophet Jacob, also called Isrā‘īl (Israel).
Yūnus: the Prophet Jonah.
Yūsuf: the Prophet Joseph.
**Zabūr:** the Psalms of Dāwud.

**Zakariyyā:** the Prophet Zachariah, the father of Yaḥyā, John the Baptist, and guardian of Maryam.

**zakat:** a wealth tax, one of the five pillars of Islam.

**zakat al-fiṭr:** a small obligatory head-tax imposed on every Muslim who has the means for himself and his dependants. It is paid at the end of Ramadan.

**Zamzam:** the well in the Ḥaram of Makka.

**ẓihār:** an oath by a husband that his wife is like his mother’s back to him, meaning she is unlawful for him. It was a form of divorce in the Jāhiliyyah.

**Ẓuhr:** the midday prayer.
1. Translator’s note


1. 96 The first House established for mankind was that at Bakkah, a place of blessing and a guidance for all beings. 97 In it are Clear Signs – the Maqām of Ibrāhīm. All who enter it are safe. Ḥajj to the House is a duty owed to Allah by all mankind – those who can find a way to do it. But if anyone disbelieves, Allah is Rich Beyond Need of any being.

2. 98 Say, ‘People of the Book, why do you reject Allah’s Signs when Allah is witness of everything you do?’ 99 Say, ‘People of the Book, why do you bar those who believe from the Way of Allah, desiring to make it crooked, when you yourselves are witnesses to it? Allah is not unaware of what you do.’

3. 100 You who believe! if you obey a group of those given the Book, they will make you revert to unbelievers after you have believed.

4. 101 How can you disbelieve, when Allah’s Signs are recited to you and the Messenger is there among you? Whoever holds fast to Allah has been guided to a straight path.

5. 102 You who believe! have taqwā of Allah with the taqwā due to Him and do not die except as Muslims.

6. 103 Hold fast to the rope of Allah all together, and do not separate. Remember Allah’s blessing to you when you were enemies and He joined your hearts together so that you became brothers by His blessing. You were on the very brink of a pit of the
Fire and He rescued you from it. In this way Allah makes His Signs clear to you, so that hopefully you will be guided.

6. **104** Let there be a community among you who call to the good, and enjoin the right, and forbid the wrong. They are the ones who have success.

7. **105** Do not be like those who split up and differed after the Clear Signs came to them. They will have a terrible punishment.

8. **106** on the Day when faces are whitened and faces are blackened. As for those whose faces are blackened: ‘What! Did you disbelieve after having believed? Taste the punishment for your unbelief!’

9. **107** As for those whose faces are whitened, they are in Allah’s mercy, remaining in it timelessly, for ever.

10. **108** These are Allah’s Signs which We recite to you with truth. Allah desires no wrong for any being.

11. **109** Everything in the heavens and everything in the earth belongs to Allah. All matters return to Allah.

12. **110** You are the best nation ever to be produced before mankind. You enjoin the right, forbid the wrong and believe in Allah. If the People of the Book were to believe, it would be better for them. Some of them are believers but most of them are deviators.

13. **111** They will not harm you except with abusive words. If they fight you, they will turn their backs on you. Then they will not be helped.

14. **112** They will be plunged into abasement wherever they are found, unless they have a treaty with Allah and with the people. They have brought down anger from Allah upon themselves, and they have been
plunged into destitution. That was because they rejected Allah’s Signs and killed the Prophets without any right to do so. That was because they disobeyed and went beyond the limits. 113 They are not all the same. There is a community among the People of the Book who are upright. They recite Allah’s Signs throughout the night, and they prostrate. 114 They believe in Allah and the Last Day, and enjoin the right and forbid the wrong, and compete in doing good. They are among the righteous. 115 You will not be denied the reward for any good thing you do. Allah knows those who have taqwā.

13. 116 As for those who disbelieve, their wealth and children will not help them against Allah in any way. They are the Companions of the Fire, remaining in it timelessly, forever.

14. 117 The metaphor of what they spend in their life in this world is that of a wind with an icy bite to it which strikes the crops of a people who have wronged themselves and destroys them. Allah did not wrong them; rather it was themselves they were wronging.

15. 118 You who believe, do not take any outside yourselves as intimates. They will do anything to harm you. They love what causes you distress. Hatred has appeared out of their mouths, but what their breasts hide is far worse. We have made the Signs clear to you if you use your intellect.

16. 119 There you are, loving them when they do not love you, even though you believe in all the Books. When they meet you, they say, ‘We believe.’ But
when they leave they bite their fingers out of rage against you. Say, ‘Die in your rage.’ Allah knows what your hearts contain.

17. 120 If something good happens to you, it galls them. If something bad strikes you, they rejoice at it. But if you are steadfast and godfearing, their scheming will not harm you in any way. Allah encompasses what they do.

18. 121 Remember when you left your family early in the day to install the believers in their battle stations. Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

19. 122 And remember when two of your clans were on the point of losing heart and Allah was their Protector. Let the believers put their trust in Allah.

20. 123 Allah helped you at Badr when you were weak so have taqwā of Allah, so that hopefully you will be thankful. 124 And when you said to the believers, ‘Is it not enough for you that your Lord reinforced you with three thousand angels, sent down?’ 125 Yes indeed! But if you are steadfast and are godfearing and they come upon you suddenly, your Lord will reinforce you with five thousand angels, clearly identified.

21. 126 Allah only did this for it to be good news for you and so that your hearts might be set at rest by it (help comes from no one but Allah, the Almighty, the All-Wise) 127 and so that He might cut off a group of those who disbelieve or crush them and they might be turned back in defeat.

22. 128 You have no part in the affair. Either He will turn towards them or He will punish them, for they are wrongdoers. 129 Everything in the heavens and
everything in the earth belongs to Allah. He forgives whoever He wills and punishes whoever He wills. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

23. 130 You who believe, do not feed on usury, multiplied and then remultiplied. Have taqwā of Allah so that hopefully you will be successful. 131 Have fear of the Fire which has been prepared for the unbelievers. 132 Obey Allah and the Messenger so that hopefully you will gain mercy.

24. 133 Race each other to forgiveness from your Lord and a Garden as wide as the heavens and the earth, prepared for the godfearing:

25. 134 those who give in times of both ease and hardship, those who control their rage and pardon other people – Allah loves the good-doers –

26. 135 those who, when they act indecently or wrong themselves, remember Allah and ask forgiveness for their bad actions (and who can forgive bad actions except Allah?) and do not knowingly persist in what they were doing,

27. 136 Their recompense is forgiveness from their Lord, and Gardens with rivers flowing under them, remaining in them timelessly, for ever. How excellent is the reward of those who act!

28. 137 Whole societies have passed away before your time, so travel about the earth and see the final fate of the deniers.

29. 138 This is a clear explanation for all mankind, and guidance and admonition for those who are godfearing.

30. 139 Do not give up and do not be downhearted. You shall be uppermost if you are believers.
31. **140 If you have received a wound, they have already received a similar wound. We deal out such days to people turn by turn, so that Allah will know those who believe and can gather martyrs from among you – Allah does not love wrongdoers –**

32. **141 and so that Allah can purge those who believe and wipe out the unbelievers.**

33. **142 Or did you imagine that you were going to enter the Garden without Allah knowing those among you who had struggled and knowing the steadfast?**

34. **143 You were longing for death before you met it. Now you have seen it with your own eyes.**

35. **144 Muḥammad is only a Messenger and he has been preceded by other Messengers. If he were to die or be killed, would you turn on your heels? Those who turn on their heels do not harm Allah in any way. Allah will recompense the thankful.**

36. **145 No self can die except with Allah’s permission, at a predetermined time. If anyone desires the reward of this world, We will give him some of it. If anyone desires the reward of the Next World, We will give him some of it. We will recompense the thankful.**

37. **146 Many a Prophet has been killed, when there were many thousands with him! They did not give up in the face of what assailed them in the Way of Allah, nor did they weaken, nor did they yield. Allah loves the steadfast. 147 All they said was, ‘Our Lord, forgive us our wrong actions and any excesses we went to in what we did and make our feet firm and help us against these unbelieving people.’**
38. 148 So Allah gave them the reward of this world and the best reward of the Next World. Allah loves good-doers.

39. 149 You who believe! if you obey those who disbelieve, they will turn you round on your heels and you will be transformed into losers. 150 No, Allah is your Protector. And He is the best of helpers.

40. 151 We will cast terror into the hearts of those who disbelieve because they have associated others with Allah for which He has not sent down any authority. Their shelter will be the Fire. How evil is the abode of the wrongdoers!

41. 152 Allah fulfilled His promise to you when you were slaughtering them by His permission. But then you faltered, disputing the command, and disobeyed after He showed you what you love. Among you are those who want this world and among you are those who want the Next World. Then He turned you from them in order to test you – but He has pardoned you. Allah shows favour to the believers.

42. 153 Remember when you were scrambling up the slope, refusing to turn back for anyone, and the Messenger was calling to you from the rear. Allah rewarded you with one distress in return for another so you would not feel grief for what escaped you or what assailed you. Allah is aware of what you do.

43. 154 Then He sent down to you, after the distress, security, restful sleep overtaking a group of you, whereas another group became prey to anxious thoughts, thinking other than the truth about Allah – thoughts belonging to the Time of Ignorance –
saying, ‘Do we have any say in the affair at all?’ Say, ‘The affair belongs entirely to Allah.’ They are concealing things inside themselves which they do not disclose to you, saying, ‘If we had only had a say in the affair, none of us would have been killed here in this place.’ Say, ‘Even if you had been inside your homes, those people for whom being killed was decreed would have gone out to their place of death.’ So that Allah might test what is in your breasts and purge what is in your hearts. Allah knows the contents of your hearts.

44. 155 Those of you who turned their backs on the day the two armies clashed – it was Shayṭān who made them slip for what they had done. But Allah has pardoned them. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, All-Forbearing.

45. 156 You who believe! do not be like those who disbelieve and say of their brothers, when they are going on journeys or military expeditions, ‘If they had only been with us, they would not have died or been killed,’ so that Allah can make that anguish for them in their hearts. It is Allah Who gives life and causes to die. Allah sees what you do.

46. 157 If you are killed in the Way of Allah or if you die, forgiveness and mercy from Allah are better than anything you can acquire. 158 If you die or you are killed, it is to Allah that you will be gathered.

47. 159 It is a mercy from Allah that you were gentle with them. If you had been rough or hard of heart, they would have scattered from around you. So pardon them and ask forgiveness for them, and consult with them about the matter. Then when
you have reached a firm decision, put your trust in Allah. Allah loves those who put their trust in Him.

160 If Allah helps you, no one can vanquish you. If He forsakes you, who can help you after that? So the believers should put their trust in Allah.

161 No Prophet would ever be guilty of misappropriation. Those who misappropriate will arrive on the Day of Rising with what they have misappropriated. Then every self will be paid in full for what it earned. They will not be wronged.

162 Is someone who pursues the pleasure of Allah the same as someone who incurs displeasure from Allah and whose refuge is Hell? What an evil destination! 163 They have different ranks with Allah. Allah sees what they do.

164 Allah showed great kindness to the believers when He sent a Messenger to them from among themselves to recite His Signs to them and purify them and teach them the Book and Wisdom, even though before that they were clearly misguided.

165 Why is it that when a calamity happens to you, when you have already inflicted twice as much, you say, ‘How could this possibly happen?’ Say, ‘It has come from your own selves.’ Allah has power over all things.

166 What assailed you on the day the two armies met was by Allah’s permission, so that He would know the believers, 167 and so that He would know the hypocrites. They were told, ‘Come and fight in the Way of Allah or at least help defend us.’ They said, ‘If we knew that there would be a fight, we would certainly follow you.’ They were closer to
unbelief that day than to belief, saying with their mouths what was not in their hearts. And Allah knows best what they are hiding.

54. 168 They are those who said of their brothers, when they themselves had stayed behind, ‘If they had only obeyed us, they would not have been killed.’ Say, ‘Then ward off death from yourselves if you are telling the truth.’

55. 169 Do not suppose that those killed in the Way of Allah are dead. No indeed! They are alive and well provided for in the very presence of their Lord, 170 delighting in the favour Allah has bestowed on them, rejoicing over those they left behind who have not yet joined them, feeling no fear and knowing no sorrow,

56. 171 rejoicing in blessings and favour from Allah and that Allah does not let the wage of the believers go to waste.

57. 172 Those who did good and were godfearing, among those who responded to Allah and the Messenger after the wound had been inflicted, will have an immense reward:

58. 173 those to whom people said, ‘The people have gathered against you, so fear them.’ But that merely increased their belief and they said, ‘Allah is enough for us and the Best of Guardians.’

59. 174 So they returned with blessings and bounty from Allah and no evil touched them. They pursued the pleasure of Allah. Allah’s favour is indeed immense.

60. 175 It was only Shayṭān frightening you through his friends. But do not fear them – fear Me if you are
believers.

61. 176 Do not let those who rush headlong into unbelief sadden you. They do not harm Allah in any way. Allah desires to assign no portion to them in the Next World. They will have a terrible punishment.

62. 177 Those who sell belief for unbelief do not harm Allah in any way.

63. 178 Those who disbelieve should not imagine that the extra time We grant to them is good for them. We only allow them more time so they will increase in evildoing. They will have a humiliating punishment.

64. 179 Allah will only leave the believers in the position you now are in so that He can sift out the rotten from the good. Allah has not given you access to the Unseen. But Allah chooses those of His Messengers whom He wills. So believe in Allah and His Messengers. If you believe and are godfearing you will have an immense reward.

65. 180 Those who are tight-fisted with the bounty Allah has given them should not suppose that that is better for them. No indeed, it is worse for them! What they were tight-fisted with will be hung around their necks on the Day of Rising. Allah is the inheritor of the heavens and the earth and Allah is aware of what you do.

66. 181 Allah has heard the words of those who say, ‘Allah is poor and we are rich.’ We will write down what they said and their killing of the Prophets without any right to do so and We will say, ‘Taste the
punishment of the Burning.’ 182 That is on account of what you did. Allah does not wrong His slaves.

67. 183 Those who say, ‘Allah has made a contract with us that we should not believe in any Messenger until he brings us a sacrifice consumed by fire.’ Say, ‘Messengers came to you before me with the Clear Signs and with what you say. So why did you kill them if you are telling the truth?’ 184 If they deny you, Messengers before you were also denied, who brought the Clear Signs and written texts and the illuminating Book.

68. 185 Every self will taste death. You will be paid your wages in full on the Day of Rising. Anyone who is distanced from the Fire and admitted to the Garden has triumphed. The life of this world is just the enjoyment of delusion.

69. 186 You will be tested in your wealth and in yourselves and you will hear many abusive words from those given the Book before you and from those who are idolaters. But if you are steadfast and godfearing, that is the most resolute course to take.

70. 187 Allah made a covenant with those given the Book: ‘You must make it clear to people and not conceal it.’ But they toss it in disdain behind their backs and sell it for a paltry price. What an evil sale they make!

71. 188 Those who exult in what they have done and love to be praised for what they have not done should not suppose that they have escaped the punishment. They will have a painful punishment.

72. 189 The kingdom of the heavens and earth belongs to Allah. Allah has power over all things.
73. 190 In the creation of the heavens and the earth, and the alternation of night and day, there are Signs for people with intelligence: 191 those who remember Allah, standing, sitting and lying on their sides, and reflect on the creation of the heavens and the earth: ‘Our Lord, You have not created this for nothing. Glory be to You! So safeguard us from the punishment of the Fire. 192 Our Lord, those You cast into the Fire, You have indeed disgraced. The wrongdoers will have no helpers. 193 Our Lord, we heard a caller calling us to belief: ‘Believe in your Lord!’, and we believed. Our Lord, forgive us our wrong actions, erase our bad actions from us and take us back to You with those who are truly good. 194 Our Lord, give us what You promised us through Your Messengers, and do not disgrace us on the Day of Rising. You do not break Your promise.’ 195 Their Lord responds to them: ‘I will not let the deeds of any doer among you go to waste, male or female – you are both the same in that respect. Those who made hijrah and were driven from their homes and suffered harm in My Way and fought and were killed, I will erase their bad actions from them and admit them into Gardens with rivers flowing under them, as a reward from Allah. The best of all rewards is with Allah.’ 196 Do not be deceived by the fact that those who disbelieve move freely about the earth. 197 A brief enjoyment; then their shelter will be Hell. What an evil resting-place! 198 But those who have taqwā of their Lord will have Gardens with rivers flowing under them, remaining in them timelessly, for ever: hospitality from Allah.
What is with Allah is better for those who are truly good. Among the people of the Book there are some who believe in Allah and in what has been sent down to you and what was sent down to them, and who are humble before Allah. They do not sell Allah’s Signs for a paltry price. Such people will have their reward with their Lord. And Allah is swift at reckoning.

You who believe, be steadfast; be supreme in steadfastness; be firm on the battlefield; and have taqwa of Allah; so that hopefully you will be successful.

3. 4. Sūrat an-Nisā’ – Women

1. O mankind, have taqwā of your Lord who created you from a single self and created its mate from it and then disseminated many men and women from the two of them. Have taqwā of Allah in whose name you make demands on one another and also in respect of your families. Allah watches over you continually.

2. Give orphans their property, and do not substitute bad things for good. Do not assimilate their property into your own. Doing that is a serious crime.

3. If you are afraid of not behaving justly towards orphans, then marry other permissible women, two, three or four. But if you are afraid of not treating them equally, then only one, or those you own as slaves. That makes it more likely that you will not be unfair.

4. Give women their dowries as an outright gift. But if they are happy to give you some of it, consume it with pleasure and goodwill.
4. Do not hand over to the incompetent any property of theirs for which Allah has made you responsible, but provide for them and clothe them out of it, and speak to them correctly and courteously.

5. Keep a close check on orphans until they reach a marriageable age, then if you perceive that they have sound judgment hand over their property to them. Do not consume it extravagantly and precipitately before they come of age. Those who are wealthy should abstain from it altogether. Those who are poor should use it sensibly and correctly. When you hand over their property to them ensure that there are witnesses on their behalf. Allah suffices as a Reckoner.

6. Men receive a share of what their parents and relatives leave and women receive a share of what their parents and relatives leave, a fixed share, no matter whether it is a little or a lot.

7. If other relatives or orphans or poor people attend the sharing-out, provide for them out of it and speak to them correctly and courteously.

8. People should show concern in the same way that they would fear for small children if they were to die leaving them behind. They should have taqwā of Allah and say words that are appropriate.

9. People who consume the property of orphans wrongfully consume nothing in their bellies except fire. They will roast in a Searing Blaze.

10. Allah instructs you regarding your children: A male receives the same as the share of two females. If there are more than two daughters they receive two-
thirds of what you leave. If she is one on her own she receives a half. Each of your parents receives a sixth of what you leave if you have children. If you are childless and your heirs are your parents your mother receives a third. If you have brothers or sisters your mother receives a sixth, after any bequest you make or any debts. With regard to your fathers and your sons, you do not know which of them is going to benefit you more. These are obligatory shares from Allah. Allah is All-Knowing, All-Wise. 

12 You receive half of what your wives leave if they are childless. If they have children you receive a quarter of what they leave after any bequest they make or any debts. They receive a quarter of what you leave if you are childless. If you have children they receive an eighth of what you leave after any bequest you make or any debts. If a man or woman has no direct heirs, but has a brother or sister, each of them receives a sixth. If there are more than that they share in a third after any bequest you make or any debts, making sure that no one’s rights are prejudiced. This is an instruction from Allah. Allah is All-Knowing, All-Forbearing. 13 These are Allah’s limits. As for those who obey Allah and His Messenger, We will admit them into Gardens with rivers flowing under them, remaining in them timelessly, for ever. That is the Great Victory. 14 As for those who disobey Allah and His Messenger and overstep His limits, We will admit them into a Fire, remaining in it timelessly, for ever. They will have a humiliating punishment.
11. If any of your women commit fornication, four of you must be witnesses against them. If they bear witness, detain them in their homes until death releases them or Allah ordains another procedure for their case.

12. If two men commit a like abomination, punish them. If they repent and reform, leave them alone. Allah is Ever-Returning, Most Merciful.

13. Allah only accepts the repentance of those who do evil in ignorance and then quickly repent after doing it. Allah turns towards such people. Allah is All-Knowing, All-Wise. There is no repentance for people who persist in doing evil until death comes to them and who then say, ‘Now I repent,’ nor for people who die unbelievers. We have prepared for them a painful punishment.

14. You who believe! it is not lawful for you to inherit women by force. Nor may you treat them harshly so that you can make off with part of what you have given them, unless they commit an act of flagrant indecency. Live together with them correctly and courteously. If you dislike them, it may well be that you dislike something in which Allah has placed a lot of good.

15. If you desire to exchange one wife for another and have given your original wife a large amount, do not take any of it. Would you take it by means of slander and outright crime? How could you take it when you have been intimate with one another and they have made a binding contract with you?

16. Do not marry any women whom your fathers married – except for what may have already taken
place. That is an indecent act, a loathsome thing and an evil path.
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96 The first House established for mankind was that at Bakkah, a place of blessing and a guidance for all beings. 97 In it are Clear Signs – the Maqām of Ibrāhīm. All who enter it are safe. Ḥajj to the House is a duty owed to Allah by all mankind – those who can find a way to do it. But if anyone disbelieves, Allah is Rich Beyond Need of any being.

98 Say, ‘People of the Book, why do you reject Allah’s Signs when Allah is witness of everything you do?’ 99 Say, ‘People of the Book, why do you bar those who believe from the Way of Allah, desiring to make it crooked, when you yourselves are witnesses to it? Allah is not unaware of what you do.’

100 You who believe! if you obey a group of those given the Book, they will make you revert to unbelievers after you have believed.

101 How can you disbelieve, when Allah’s Signs are recited to you and the Messenger is there among you? Whoever holds fast to Allah has been guided to a straight path.

102 You who believe! have taqwā of Allah with the taqwā due to Him and do not die except as Muslims.

103 Hold fast to the rope of Allah all together, and do not separate. Remember Allah’s blessing to you when you were enemies and He joined your hearts together so that you became brothers by His blessing. You were on the
very brink of a pit of the Fire and He rescued you from it. In this way Allah makes His Signs clear to you, so that hopefully you will be guided.

104 Let there be a community among you who call to the good, and enjoin the right, and forbid the wrong. They are the ones who have success.

105 Do not be like those who split up and differed after the Clear Signs came to them. They will have a terrible punishment

106 on the Day when faces are whitened and faces are blackened. As for those whose faces are blackened: ‘What! Did you disbelieve after having believed? Taste the punishment for your unbelief!’ 107 As for those whose faces are whitened, they are in Allah’s mercy, remaining in it timelessly, for ever.

108 These are Allah’s Signs which We recite to you with truth. Allah desires no wrong for any being. 109 Everything in the heavens and everything in the earth belongs to Allah. All matters return to Allah.

110 You are the best nation ever to be produced before mankind. You enjoin the right, forbid the wrong and believe in Allah. If the People of the Book were to believe, it would be better for them. Some of them are believers but most of them are deviators.

111 They will not harm you except with abusive words. If they fight you, they will turn their backs on you. Then they will not be helped.

112 They will be plunged into abasement wherever they are found, unless they have a treaty with Allah and with the people. They have brought down anger from Allah upon themselves, and they have been plunged into
destitution. That was because they rejected Allah’s Signs and killed the Prophets without any right to do so. That was because they disobeyed and went beyond the limits. 113 They are not all the same. There is a community among the People of the Book who are upright. They recite Allah’s Signs throughout the night, and they prostrate. 114 They believe in Allah and the Last Day, and enjoin the right and forbid the wrong, and compete in doing good. They are among the righteous. 115 You will not be denied the reward for any good thing you do. Allah knows those who have taqwā.

116 As for those who disbelieve, their wealth and children will not help them against Allah in any way. They are the Companions of the Fire, remaining in it timeless, forever.

117 The metaphor of what they spend in their life in this world is that of a wind with an icy bite to it which strikes the crops of a people who have wronged themselves and destroys them. Allah did not wrong them; rather it was themselves they were wrongdoing.

118 You who believe, do not take any outside yourselves as intimates. They will do anything to harm you. They love what causes you distress. Hatred has appeared out of their mouths, but what their breasts hide is far worse. We have made the Signs clear to you if you use your intellect.

119 There you are, loving them when they do not love you, even though you believe in all the Books. When they meet you, they say, ‘We believe.’ But when they leave they bite their fingers out of rage against you. Say, ‘Die in your rage.’ Allah knows what your hearts contain.

120 If something good happens to you, it galls them. If
something bad strikes you, they rejoice at it. But if you are steadfast and godfearing, their scheming will not harm you in any way. Allah encompasses what they do.

121 Remember when you left your family early in the day to install the believers in their battle stations. Allah is All-Hearing, All-Knowing.

122 And remember when two of your clans were on the point of losing heart and Allah was their Protector. Let the believers put their trust in Allah.

123 Allah helped you at Badr when you were weak so have taqwā of Allah, so that hopefully you will be thankful. 124 And when you said to the believers, ‘Is it not enough for you that your Lord reinforced you with three thousand angels, sent down?’ 125 Yes indeed! But if you are steadfast and are godfearing and they come upon you suddenly, your Lord will reinforce you with five thousand angels, clearly identified.

126 Allah only did this for it to be good news for you and so that your hearts might be set at rest by it (help comes from no one but Allah, the Almighty, the All-Wise) 127 and so that He might cut off a group of those who disbelieve or crush them and they might be turned back in defeat.

128 You have no part in the affair. Either He will turn towards them or He will punish them, for they are wrongdoers. 129 Everything in the heavens and everything in the earth belongs to Allah. He forgives whoever He wills and punishes whoever He wills. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, Most Merciful.

130 You who believe, do not feed on usury, multiplied and then remultiplied. Have taqwā of Allah so that
hopefully you will be successful. 131 Have fear of the Fire which has been prepared for the unbelievers. 132 Obey Allah and the Messenger so that hopefully you will gain mercy.

133 Race each other to forgiveness from your Lord and a Garden as wide as the heavens and the earth, prepared for the godfearing:

134 those who give in times of both ease and hardship, those who control their rage and pardon other people – Allah loves the good-doers –

135 those who, when they act indecently or wrong themselves, remember Allah and ask forgiveness for their bad actions (and who can forgive bad actions except Allah?) and do not knowingly persist in what they were doing.

136 Their recompense is forgiveness from their Lord, and Gardens with rivers flowing under them, remaining in them timelessly, for ever. How excellent is the reward of those who act!

137 Whole societies have passed away before your time, so travel about the earth and see the final fate of the deniers.

138 This is a clear explanation for all mankind, and guidance and admonition for those who are godfearing.

139 Do not give up and do not be downhearted. You shall be uppermost if you are believers.

140 If you have received a wound, they have already received a similar wound. We deal out such days to people turn by turn, so that Allah will know those who believe and can gather martyrs from among you – Allah does not love wrongdoers –

141 and so that Allah can purge those who believe and
wipe out the unbelievers.

142 Or did you imagine that you were going to enter the Garden without Allah knowing those among you who had struggled and knowing the steadfast?

143 You were longing for death before you met it. Now you have seen it with your own eyes.

144 Muḥammad is only a Messenger and he has been preceded by other Messengers. If he were to die or be killed, would you turn on your heels? Those who turn on their heels do not harm Allah in any way. Allah will recompense the thankful.

145 No self can die except with Allah’s permission, at a predetermined time. If anyone desires the reward of this world, We will give him some of it. If anyone desires the reward of the Next World, We will give him some of it. We will recompense the thankful.

146 Many a Prophet has been killed, when there were many thousands with him! They did not give up in the face of what assailed them in the Way of Allah, nor did they weaken, nor did they yield. Allah loves the steadfast.

147 All they said was, ‘Our Lord, forgive us our wrong actions and any excesses we went to in what we did and make our feet firm and help us against these unbelieving people.’

148 So Allah gave them the reward of this world and the best reward of the Next World. Allah loves good-doers.

149 You who believe! if you obey those who disbelieve, they will turn you round on your heels and you will be transformed into losers. 150 No, Allah is your Protector. And He is the best of helpers.

151 We will cast terror into the hearts of those who
disbelieve because they have associated others with Allah for which He has not sent down any authority. Their shelter will be the Fire. How evil is the abode of the wrongdoers!

152 Allah fulfilled His promise to you when you were slaughtering them by His permission. But then you faltered, disputing the command, and disobeyed after He showed you what you love. Among you are those who want this world and among you are those who want the Next World. Then He turned you from them in order to test you – but He has pardoned you. Allah shows favour to the believers.

153 Remember when you were scrambling up the slope, refusing to turn back for anyone, and the Messenger was calling to you from the rear. Allah rewarded you with one distress in return for another so you would not feel grief for what escaped you or what assailed you. Allah is aware of what you do.

154 Then He sent down to you, after the distress, security, restful sleep overtaking a group of you, whereas another group became prey to anxious thoughts, thinking other than the truth about Allah – thoughts belonging to the Time of Ignorance – saying, ‘Do we have any say in the affair at all?’ Say, ‘The affair belongs entirely to Allah.’ They are concealing things inside themselves which they do not disclose to you, saying, ‘If we had only had a say in the affair, none of us would have been killed here in this place.’ Say, ‘Even if you had been inside your homes, those people for whom being killed was decreed would have gone out to their place of death.’ So that Allah might test what is in your breasts and purge what is in your hearts. Allah knows the contents of your hearts.
Those of you who turned their backs on the day the two armies clashed – it was Shayṭān who made them slip for what they had done. But Allah has pardoned them. Allah is Ever-Forgiving, All-Forbearing.

You who believe! do not be like those who disbelieve and say of their brothers, when they are going on journeys or military expeditions, ‘If they had only been with us, they would not have died or been killed,’ so that Allah can make that anguish for them in their hearts. It is Allah Who gives life and causes to die. Allah sees what you do.

If you are killed in the Way of Allah or if you die, forgiveness and mercy from Allah are better than anything you can acquire. If you die or you are killed, it is to Allah that you will be gathered.

It is a mercy from Allah that you were gentle with them. If you had been rough or hard of heart, they would have scattered from around you. So pardon them and ask forgiveness for them, and consult with them about the matter. Then when you have reached a firm decision, put your trust in Allah. Allah loves those who put their trust in Him.

If Allah helps you, no one can vanquish you. If He forsakes you, who can help you after that? So the believers should put their trust in Allah.

No Prophet would ever be guilty of misappropriation. Those who misappropriate will arrive on the Day of Rising with what they have misappropriated. Then every self will be paid in full for what it earned. They will not be wronged.

Is someone who pursues the pleasure of Allah the same as someone who incurs displeasure from Allah and
whose refuge is Hell? What an evil destination! 163 They have different ranks with Allah. Allah sees what they do.

164 Allah showed great kindness to the believers when He sent a Messenger to them from among themselves to recite His Signs to them and purify them and teach them the Book and Wisdom, even though before that they were clearly misguided.

165 Why is it that when a calamity happens to you, when you have already inflicted twice as much, you say, ‘How could this possibly happen?’ Say, ‘It has come from your own selves.’ Allah has power over all things.

166 What assailed you on the day the two armies met was by Allah’s permission, so that He would know the believers, 167 and so that He would know the hypocrites. They were told, ‘Come and fight in the Way of Allah or at least help defend us.’ They said, ‘If we knew that there would be a fight, we would certainly follow you.’ They were closer to unbelief that day than to belief, saying with their mouths what was not in their hearts. And Allah knows best what they are hiding.

168 They are those who said of their brothers, when they themselves had stayed behind, ‘If they had only obeyed us, they would not have been killed.’ Say, ‘Then ward off death from yourselves if you are telling the truth.’

169 Do not suppose that those killed in the Way of Allah are dead. No indeed! They are alive and well provided for in the very presence of their Lord, 170 delighting in the favour Allah has bestowed on them, rejoicing over those they left behind who have not yet joined them, feeling no fear and knowing no sorrow,

171 rejoicing in blessings and favour from Allah and that
Allah does not let the wage of the believers go to waste.

172 Those who did good and were godfearing, among those who responded to Allah and the Messenger after the wound had been inflicted, will have an immense reward:

173 those to whom people said, ‘The people have gathered against you, so fear them.’ But that merely increased their belief and they said, ‘Allah is enough for us and the Best of Guardians.’

174 So they returned with blessings and bounty from Allah and no evil touched them. They pursued the pleasure of Allah. Allah’s favour is indeed immense.

175 It was only Shayṭān frightening you through his friends. But do not fear them – fear Me if you are believers.

176 Do not let those who rush headlong into unbelief sadden you. They do not harm Allah in any way. Allah desires to assign no portion to them in the Next World. They will have a terrible punishment.

177 Those who sell belief for unbelief do not harm Allah in any way.

178 Those who disbelieve should not imagine that the extra time We grant to them is good for them. We only allow them more time so they will increase in evildoing. They will have a humiliating punishment.

179 Allah will only leave the believers in the position you now are in so that He can sift out the rotten from the good. Allah has not given you access to the Unseen. But Allah chooses those of His Messengers whom He wills. So believe in Allah and His Messengers. If you believe and are godfearing you will have an immense reward.
180 Those who are tight-fisted with the bounty Allah has given them should not suppose that that is better for them. No indeed, it is worse for them! What they were tight-fisted with will be hung around their necks on the Day of Rising. Allah is the inheritor of the heavens and the earth and Allah is aware of what you do.

181 Allah has heard the words of those who say, ‘Allah is poor and we are rich.’ We will write down what they said and their killing of the Prophets without any right to do so and We will say, ‘Taste the punishment of the Burning.’

182 That is on account of what you did. Allah does not wrong His slaves.

183 Those who say, ‘Allah has made a contract with us that we should not believe in any Messenger until he brings us a sacrifice consumed by fire.’ Say, ‘Messengers came to you before me with the Clear Signs and with what you say. So why did you kill them if you are telling the truth?’

184 If they deny you, Messengers before you were also denied, who brought the Clear Signs and written texts and the illuminating Book.

185 Every self will taste death. You will be paid your wages in full on the Day of Rising. Anyone who is distanced from the Fire and admitted to the Garden has triumphed. The life of this world is just the enjoyment of delusion.

186 You will be tested in your wealth and in yourselves and you will hear many abusive words from those given the Book before you and from those who are idolaters. But if you are steadfast and godfearing, that is the most resolute course to take.

187 Allah made a covenant with those given the Book: ‘You must make it clear to people and not conceal it.’ But
they toss it in disdain behind their backs and sell it for a paltry price. What an evil sale they make!

188 Those who exult in what they have done and love to be praised for what they have not done should not suppose that they have escaped the punishment. They will have a painful punishment.

189 The kingdom of the heavens and earth belongs to Allah. Allah has power over all things.

190 In the creation of the heavens and the earth, and the alternation of night and day, there are Signs for people with intelligence: 191 those who remember Allah, standing, sitting and lying on their sides, and reflect on the creation of the heavens and the earth: ‘Our Lord, You have not created this for nothing. Glory be to You! So safeguard us from the punishment of the Fire. 192 Our Lord, those You cast into the Fire, You have indeed disgraced. The wrongdoers will have no helpers. 193 Our Lord, we heard a caller calling us to belief: ‘Believe in your Lord!’ and we believed. Our Lord, forgive us our wrong actions, erase our bad actions from us and take us back to You with those who are truly good. 194 Our Lord, give us what You promised us through Your Messengers, and do not disgrace us on the Day of Rising. You do not break Your promise.’ 195 Their Lord responds to them: ‘I will not let the deeds of any doer among you go to waste, male or female – you are both the same in that respect. Those who made hijrah and were driven from their homes and suffered harm in My Way and fought and were killed, I will erase their bad actions from them and admit them into Gardens with rivers flowing under them, as a reward from Allah. The best of all rewards is with Allah.’ 196 Do not be deceived by the fact that those who disbelieve move
freely about the earth. 197 A brief enjoyment; then their shelter will be Hell. What an evil resting-place! 198 But those who have taqwā of their Lord will have Gardens with rivers flowing under them, remaining in them timelessly, for ever: hospitality from Allah. What is with Allah is better for those who are truly good. 199 Among the people of the Book there are some who believe in Allah and in what has been sent down to you and what was sent down to them, and who are humble before Allah. They do not sell Allah’s Signs for a paltry price. Such people will have their reward with their Lord. And Allah is swift at reckoning. 200 You who believe, be steadfast; be supreme in steadfastness; be firm on the battlefield; and have taqwā of Allah; so that hopefully you will be successful.

4. Sūrat an-Nisā’ – Women

1 O mankind, have taqwā of your Lord who created you from a single self and created its mate from it and then disseminated many men and women from the two of them. Have taqwā of Allah in whose name you make demands on one another and also in respect of your families. Allah watches over you continually.

2 Give orphans their property, and do not substitute bad things for good. Do not assimilate their property into your own. Doing that is a serious crime.

3 If you are afraid of not behaving justly towards orphans, then marry other permissible women, two, three or four. But if you are afraid of not treating them equally, then only one, or those you own as slaves. That makes it more likely that you will not be unfair.

4 Give women their dowries as an outright gift. But if
they are happy to give you some of it, consume it with pleasure and goodwill.

5 Do not hand over to the incompetent any property of theirs for which Allah has made you responsible, but provide for them and clothe them out of it, and speak to them correctly and courteously.

6 Keep a close check on orphans until they reach a marriageable age, then if you perceive that they have sound judgment hand over their property to them. Do not consume it extravagantly and precipitately before they come of age. Those who are wealthy should abstain from it altogether. Those who are poor should use it sensibly and correctly. When you hand over their property to them ensure that there are witnesses on their behalf. Allah suffices as a Reckoner.

7 Men receive a share of what their parents and relatives leave and women receive a share of what their parents and relatives leave, a fixed share, no matter whether it is a little or a lot.

8 If other relatives or orphans or poor people attend the sharing-out, provide for them out of it and speak to them correctly and courteously.

9 People should show concern in the same way that they would fear for small children if they were to die leaving them behind. They should have taqwā of Allah and say words that are appropriate.

10 People who consume the property of orphans wrongfully consume nothing in their bellies except fire. They will roast in a Searing Blaze.

11 Allah instructs you regarding your children: A male receives the same as the share of two females. If there are
more than two daughters they receive two-thirds of what you leave. If she is one on her own she receives a half. Each of your parents receives a sixth of what you leave if you have children. If you are childless and your heirs are your parents your mother receives a third. If you have brothers or sisters your mother receives a sixth, after any bequest you make or any debts. With regard to your fathers and your sons, you do not know which of them is going to benefit you more. These are obligatory shares from Allah. Allah is All-Knowing, All-Wise. 12 You receive half of what your wives leave if they are childless. If they have children you receive a quarter of what they leave after any bequest they make or any debts. They receive a quarter of what you leave if you are childless. If you have children they receive an eighth of what you leave after any bequest you make or any debts. If a man or woman has no direct heirs, but has a brother or sister, each of them receives a sixth. If there are more than that they share in a third after any bequest you make or any debts, making sure that no one’s rights are prejudiced. This is an instruction from Allah. Allah is All-Knowing, All-Forbearing. 13 These are Allah’s limits. As for those who obey Allah and His Messenger, We will admit them into Gardens with rivers flowing under them, remaining in them timelessly, for ever. That is the Great Victory. 14 As for those who disobey Allah and His Messenger and overstep His limits, We will admit them into a Fire, remaining in it timelessly, for ever. They will have a humiliating punishment. 15 If any of your women commit fornication, four of you must be witnesses against them. If they bear witness, detain them in their homes until death releases them or Allah ordains another procedure for their case.
16 If two men commit a like abomination, punish them. If they repent and reform, leave them alone. Allah is Ever-Returning, Most Merciful.

17 Allah only accepts the repentance of those who do evil in ignorance and then quickly repent after doing it. Allah turns towards such people. Allah is All-Knowing, All-Wise. 18 There is no repentance for people who persist in doing evil until death comes to them and who then say, ‘Now I repent,’ nor for people who die unbelievers. We have prepared for them a painful punishment.

19 You who believe! it is not lawful for you to inherit women by force. Nor may you treat them harshly so that you can make off with part of what you have given them, unless they commit an act of flagrant indecency. Live together with them correctly and courteously. If you dislike them, it may well be that you dislike something in which Allah has placed a lot of good.

20 If you desire to exchange one wife for another and have given your original wife a large amount, do not take any of it. Would you take it by means of slander and outright crime? 21 How could you take it when you have been intimate with one another and they have made a binding contract with you?

22 Do not marry any women whom your fathers married – except for what may have already taken place. That is an indecent act, a loathsome thing and an evil path.

Glossary